	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Tuesday, MAY 3, 2011
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

3 <u>CI</u>

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Here. 11 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. Before we proceed 13 MS. EVANS: Here. 14 with tonight's meeting, council has a Proclamation for a wonderful Eagle Scout 15 16 that we would like to present to him and his 17 parents this evening, so if you would come forward, please. 18 19 (Scott Grassi comes forward to 20 receive the Proclamation.) 21 WHEREAS, the COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF 22 SCRANTON is desirous of honoring "SCOTT 23 GRASSI", son of Brian and Eileen Grassi and 24 brother of Daniel and Christopher; and WHEREAS, "SCOTT" IS sophomore at 25

Scranton High School and a member of the National Honor Society; and

WHEREAS, "SCOTT" has been playing baseball since he was a very young boy from T-ball to the 15-16 Year Old East Scranton Teener League; and

WHEREAS, "SCOTT" is a proud member of Hickory Street Presbyterian Church Boy Scout Troop 16, of the Northeastern Pennsylvania Council, Dan Beard District located in Scranton, Pennsylvania; and

WHEREAS, "SCOTT" began his scouting career as a Tiger Cub, worked his way up through the ranks of Cub Scouts, and earned the Arrow of Light Award; and

WHEREAS, "SCOTT" attended the Great
Expectations and great Medicines courses and
upon completion volunteered to help teach
and is currently on the staff of Great
Medicines and will be on staff at the Boy
Scouts Goose Pond Summer Camp for 2011, and

WHEREAS, "SCOTT" for his Eagle Scout
Project cleaned out, repaired and
refurbished the original boys' locker room
area a the Elm Park United Methodist Church,

which was then converted to the maintenance department at the church. Scott also built shelves to help with the organization of this department; and

WHEREAS, "SCOTT" passed his Eagle Scout Board of Review on March 16, 2011, attaining the rank of Eagle Scout, the highest award a scout can receive.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on Tuesday, May 3, 2011, Scranton City Council wishes to congratulate "SCOTT GRASSI", his Family, Scout Leaders and Teachers for their outstanding achievements and extend our best wishes for success in all their future endeavors.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Proclamation be made a permanent part of the Minutes of this Council, as lasting tribute to "SCOTT GRASSI".

You are certainly a credit to your family, to the Scranton School District and to the City of Scranton. I know that we have had this particular family here not too long ago because another son also achieved the rank of Eagle Scout. What wonderful

1	parents they have and scout leaders.
2	(Council returns to the dais.)
3	MS. EVANS: Dispense with the
4	reading of the minutes.
5	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
6	MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD'S
7	REGULAR MEETING HELD MARCH 23, 2011.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
11	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION
12	MEETING HELD MARCH 23, 2011.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
16	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION FUND
17	MEETING HELD MARCH 23, 2011.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE
21	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO BE HELD MAY
22	11, 2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE

24

25

NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION FUND MEETING HELD APRIL 27, 2011.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have one. 0nSaturday, May 7, at 7:00 p.m. there will an astronomy day at the Thomas G. Cupillary Observatory sponsored by the Lackawanna Astronomical Society. Sunspots, solar prominences, lunar graters and Saturn's rings can be seen through the telescopes. It's a free program with free admission. The observatory is located at the intersection of Route 107 and Hack Road. Tο get to the observatory from Interstate 81, take Exit 202 and head towards Fleetville. At 1.8 miles from Interstate 81 turn left onto Hack Road and you will see the observatory. That's all I have.

MR. ROGAN: It's not an announcement, I'd like to take this time to thank our troops, President Obama, President Bush for their brave work over the last ten

years to finally bring justice to Osama Bin Laden.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
The Mountain View Care Center Auxiliary will conduct a purse and jewelry sale, a money raffle, and a bake sale on Friday, May 6, that's this Friday, from 2 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., and Saturday, May 7, from 11 a.m. to 4 p.m. at the facility located at 2309 Stafford Avenue, Scranton.

I'd like to wish all the wonderful women in our community a very happy Mother's Day, and to my own very dear mother, thank you for the never ending love you have given me, the priceless lessons of the importance of faith, family, education and integrity, the daily help with my children so that I could pursue my education and better provide for them, and for being my life-long best friend. I love you more, mom. Happy Mother's Day. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I, too, want to commend our brave Navy Seals who killed a person who was responsible for almost 3,000 deaths of Americans citizens. They did a great job and I think President Obama continuing to go after these men, and I commend all of the men and women who are fighting for our freedoms and giving us the freedom of speech to come here tonight. God bless America.

Now, onto business. Mr. McGoff, two weeks ago you said you didn't vote for closing city fire stations or brownouts, but did you ever go to the mayor and tell him that you thought this was a bad idea and it was a public safety hazard to the citizens of this city?

MR. MCGOFF: Do you want a response to that or is that just rhetorical?

MR. SPINDLER: No, I want a response.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	MR. MCGOFF: Well, sometimes you
2	don't, I wasn't sure. I have spoken to him,
3	yes.
4	MR. SPINDLER: Pardon me?
5	MR. MCGOFF: I have spoken to him,
6	yes.
7	MR. SPINDLER: Well, what did he
8	say?
9	MR. MCGOFF: I think his actions is
10	his response.
11	MR. SPINDLER: Well, I think it just
12	goes to show you don't tell him what to do
13	he tells you what to do.
14	Second thing, Mr. McGoff, when you
15	were a teacher were you in the union?
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I was.
17	MR. SPINDLER: Okay. If you were
18	let go and replaced by a non-union teacher,
19	what do you think the union would do?
20	MR. MCGOFF: If I was replaced by a
21	non-union teacher?
22	MR. SPINDLER: Yes.
23	MR. MCGOFF: I have no idea.
24	Actually, I was replaced when I was union
25	teacher, so
	II

1 MR. SPINDLER: Well, I think they 2 are --3 MR. MCGOFF: And the union didn't do much about it, so. 4 5 MR. SPINDLER: Well, I think they would probably picket or strike. 6 MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me? 7 8 MR. SPINDLER: They would probably 9 picket or strike. MR. MCGOFF: I was on strike with 10 the Scranton Federation of teachers. 11 MR. SPINDLER: That's not what I'm 12 13 talking about. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Well, I'm just -- you were asking questions and I--15 16 MR. SPINDLER: I'm talking about if 17 a non-union person took your job, they would 18 picket or strike. Police officers can't 19 picket or strike, so their only way of doing 20 things is filing a grievance and for you to do what you did last week just shows that 21 22 you are totally non-union and I hope all of 23 the union people in the city remember that 24 two weeks from today. 25 Moving on, a picture in the paper

21

22

23

24

25

last week about Officer Brennan McDermott saving a family's life in South Side, seeing that their house was on fire and knocking on their door when they were all sleeping and making sure they got out alive, and it's great that they had his picture in the paper, but what bothers me is where Chief Duffy's picture in the paper, too. Chief Duffy had nothing to do with this, why didn't he give this kid his one minute of glory, let him be in the picture by himself. Chief Duffy is nothing but a glory hound. His picture is in the paper all of the time on the news, that's -- I guess I should go on TV and be a comedian, Mr. McGoff, since you think it's so funny.

MR. MCGOFF: I do.

MR. SPINDLER: It's very disrespectful. Again, he is nothing but a glory hound. This kid did something great, he should be honored and Chief Duffy had no reason to have his picture in the paper.

Lastly, I brought this up before about my corner being flooded and it was fixed last year and I guess it eroded away

and now with all of the rain we had it's totally washed away so now we are going to get severe thunderstorms tonight maybe and it's -- my property just continues to get flooded, so I know council did put a request in and maybe we can send another request or something.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Absolutely. We will follow-up.

MR. SPINDLER: And last week with all the rain all almost of the stuff got washed away, so it's just all water, everything. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Spindler? I did speak to Mr. Skeleton regarding your corner and he is going to look at a couple of issues with me next week and we are going to go visit that again. He had a concern about the elevation difference for, you know, the curb and the handicapped and that looking at it.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, there is -they left -- they made a ramp last year
which is still there.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah. So he took --MR. SPINDLER: But the curbing they 2 3 put in that all washed away. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah, we are going to 4 address that. 5 MR. SPINDLER: It's still 6 handicapped accessible. 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: 8 Okay. 9 MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. 10 MS. EVANS: Andy Sbaraglia. 11 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 12 citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I 13 read a little article in the paper about the 14 Comp Fund I guess it was saying that it was fully funded on January 30, but that fund 15 16 couldn't have been funded on December 31, it 17 should have been underfunded. Why put an 18 article in there like that? In other words, 19 make you look bad, Janet. When you said the 20 fund was underfunded it was underfunded, and 21 saying it was fully funded on January 30 22 is-- that's foolish. 23 Okay, I was talking to the DPW 24 people, okay, and I promised I would say a 25 word for them. When you talk about a safety

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

net, the safety net considered is consists of the firemen, policemen and the sanitary workers. They are all part of the safety If they didn't put pick up the refuge we would be overrun with rats and God knows what else, so I promised, being nobody mentioned them, I would mention them when I came before you. And, like I said, I would rather a policemen's job, a firemen's job, but I don't think I would want to work on the sanitation's job. Them poor people are out there and it's freezing, when it's 100 degrees in the sun. Believe me, I have a lot of -- I feel for them under them conditions.

I see it's back on the agenda, I guess you should be voting tonight about the acquiring the land, the road, up there at Mount Pleasant. I told you before, for two bucks I'd gladly give it to you, because to take over that road at this condition is a liability to the city. There is too much that has to go over it. That's why we have to get rid of it. I don't blame them. I wouldn't want to retain that road no matter

2122

19

20

23

24

25

what. They got to be plowed, they got to be cindered, they got to be repaired from all of these heavy trucks that's going to be going over this road, plus you know it's built on a down -- I don't think you would know. I do because I used to play there, okay? The mine used to be up there, Mt. Pleasant Mine was on top where it is now right up there, where Mount Pleasant is now the water used to run down for the sewer system, and I guess it was filled. That's what was there.

How steady is that? Well, I guess it goes more steadier than anywhere else in the city, but I believe we should take care of the roads that we already own rather than taking on more liability. I can understand the point of the Chamber. Actually, if you vote for it you would probably get invited to hear the mayor's speech being you are not invited there, but anyway --

MR. ROGAN: I doubt that,

Mr. Sbaraglia, we haven't been invited to

anything.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, you vote for

this you probably will be because that saves them thousands and thousands of dollars to maintain that road, and -- well, like I say, I promised I would talk about the sanitation. Everything else is pretty well cut and dry. The only thing is the road and the poor sanitation workers. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Attorney Hughes, could you just comment briefly again on the acceptance of the road and the storm drain at the Mount Pleasant Industrial Park, the agreement that was reached with the Chamber of Commerce?

MR. HUGHES: Yes, Madam president.

In accordance with the Pennsylvania

Municipalities Planning Code, the road when
it was built to specifications would be
accepted by the city, the road was accepted
by the city engineer, it was built according
to specifications as required by the city.

There is a cul de sac put at the end of the
road which means that the city will be able

to receive liquid fuels tax for it, and as a result of what's in the MCP, it's also in the city's subdivision land development ordinance known as SALDO that the city upon acceptance of the road can require the developer to place a performance bond or maintenance bond up to 15 percent off -- I'm sorry, 15 -- I'm going from memory now, 15 percent of the cost of the road for a period of 18 months after it's accepted.

I had the figures last week and I read them off. The road cost I believe it was close to \$150,000, 15 percent was \$22,500, the road cost a little bit more, it might have been \$164,000, it came to like \$24,000. The Chamber is coming up with a bond of \$25,000 as a maintenance bond to the city for a period of 18 months. The road is built according to the city's specifications and in accordance with the plan that was approved for the Mount Pleasant development up there the city is obligated under the MCP to accept the road.

As to the storm sewers, the storm sewers it's the same type of requirement,

however, those storm sewers are a fairly new type of storm sewers, installation is a geotech material put on the bottom that would take out -- or it would trap some of the sedimentation. It has to be cleaned periodically. We have negotiated with the Chamber of Commerce they will come up with a bond, not a bond but a payment to the city of \$5,000 to be held in escrow, so that when the city -- the city does not have the equipment and the Sewer Authority will clean it out that if the city is charged the money would be there to pay the Sewer Authority and reimburse them for the costs involved in cleaning out those storm sewers.

The storm sewers then run into the sedimentation pond owned by PennDOT. They have a highway occupancy permit, but they have a permit to discharge the storm water from Mount Pleasant into that storm basin that's owned by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for ultimate discharge into the Lackawanna River. Everything complies with the MCP and everything complies with the plans that were approved by the planning

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commission from the city for the acceptance of the roads and the sewer systems upon completion.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

Lee E. Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: The first thing I have to say is I would like to thank council for allowing candidates last week to come in and sign to come to the tax group here in council chambers and participate. ECTV's help and the council's help I think it was a very good thing that council did, and once again, the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' and Citizens' Association is going to allow more candidates to come in on this Thursday starting at 6:00 on the county level and various other offices that, you know, whether it's school board or magisterial races, there is an awful lot of candidates, county commissioner candidates, etcetera, coming in.

So I would just like it say that it's been a really very positive experience

21

22

23

24

25

in my opinion, and I think it's informed a lot of people on a lot of the ways that the candidates see the office they are running for and what changes they want to make and, you know, I just -- with that said I would just like to say that I find ECTV to be a very valuable instrument to the city, and today reading the newspaper we see they are in trouble still and, you know, I don't know where their funding is going to come from because evidently they have no money, but maybe council and the mayor could sit down and try to find some funding stream because I think if we were to lose that channel it would be, you know, very detrimental to the community, so I just hope that council and the mayor would maybe sit down and try and come up with an agreement until something happens with the contract with the franchise fee.

And the other thing I would like to say tonight is, you know, last week I wanted to come to the podium and say a couple of things, but my son had an appointment so I had to leave before then, but I just hope

21

22

23

24

25

that the city doesn't really consider some of the things that's said in the newspaper whether it's privatization of DPW or, ou know, some kind of leasing of the Scranton Sewer Authority again like we had with American Anglican, I think the city has got a real hard road to travel and I don't -- I really have to be honest, you know, that you are sitting in a really tough situation here and there probably aren't a lot of good answers out there, but I just hope we don't go back to the past because I don't see what the agreement with the Scranton Sewer Authority did for the city in the long-run, and that's not a political thing for me that's just, you know, when you take a look at what's occurred and where we are, you know, the same thing with leasing the Parking Authority buildings, I think if anything we should wait until they are at their maximum value maybe and sell them off as long as we can pay all the notes off.

The city needs jobs and the council would hopefully with the Chamber of Commerce and hopefully with the mayor sit down and

21

22

23

24

25

come up with a plan like the Scranton Plan or another plan and begin the process of creating economic development in the city. Council didn't like the SAPA plan, I liked that plan, but council didn't, but we need a plan and I just hope council would sit down with all of the parties involved and, you know, when you take a look at some of the statements even Wal-Mart has made lately that people have no money at the end of the In the beginning of the month people month. are spending, and by the end of the month they have no money. The mall is in trouble. You know, how can the mall be successful if the people in the city have no money and I just think that's the key to everything.

Even Martin Luther King realized that the most important thing of all was employment and that that was the thing that empowered people, and that's why he went to Memphis, you know, and I just hope that, you know, council really take a good hard look at that in this election year, and even if it wasn't an election year, because really honestly and truthfully that is the city's

problem the lack of, I don't know, family sustaining employment, any employment at this time, it's not just a unique problem with the City of Scranton. So, you know, I really appreciate your time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. I have reached my
limit on this budget issue and financial
issues in Scranton. Someone is responsible
for this disaster and the buck must stop
somewhere. The elected officials of
Scranton and all appointed cabinet members
and section heads must come together and
resolve this mess asap, as soon as possible.

The Taxpayers, citizens and voters have listened to the ongoing path battles for far too long. I do not want to hear that it is this person's fault or that person's fault. What I want to hear are logical and real solutions to the problems. As a citizen of Scranton, I am no longer asking for the executive branch and the

legislature branch to answer or the city government to get together, I am demanding that they get together and work together.

I neither an accountant nor an elected official of Scranton, but I am a resident of Scranton who pays his taxes on time and demands protection, service, courtesy and truthfulness all city employees, appointees and elected officials which include Mayor Doherty and city council.

The mayor has hidden behind the
Times-Tribune and has appointed business
administrators and cabinet members plus
council members who were rubber stampers for
his every wish. He addresses the Chamber of
Commerce every year with the annual state of
the city address by invitation only.

Mr. Mayor, I demand that you address the residents of this city about the truthful financial situation of this city.

I want to know without a doubt how much debt we, the taxpayers, must pay because of the decades of mismanagement by our local elected officials.

Scranton elected city controller must become more involved in the management off the city, checkbook and do what the job requires. Manning excuses can no longer be tolerated any longer. Mrs. Novembrino must address the taxpayers and voters of Scranton in person, not through the newspaper.

Mayor Doherty, Mr. McGowan and Mrs. Novembrino should schedule a public televised meeting and address the city's financial problems and make recommendations on how they plan on resolving the massive problems and their plans on how they plan on resolving the massive problems and their plans on how they plan on resolving the massive problems and their plans on how they plan on raising and having the distressed city status removed. This must be accomplished immediately with openness and transparency. No more hiding in the city clerk's office away from the public view.

A memo to Chris Kelly,

Times-Tribune, I agree with you the mayor has played too cute with the city finances and must now make the hard decisions required of a leader. The only problem

being Mayor Doherty has shown no leadership ability in nine years and neither has his cabinet members and rubber stampers on city council, especially Mr. McGoff who is the only remaining member of the city council presently from the Doherty three.

I also agree that the money to be rewarded the union is not there. Why you must ask, because it has been wasted by Mayor Doherty and the rubber stampers throughout the years. I have asked the mayor on several occasions either personally or from the podium where the money has gone. As of today, May 2, 2011, I still have not received and answer. I asked city council for answers last week, still waiting. Maybe this week someone will answer this very important question, where has the money gone?

We had a 25 percent tax increase, that alone should have made a difference. Tax revenue should have increased and budgets should have been balanced. They were not balanced, but we did have the 25 percent tax increase. Someone should

explain that, especially the person who voted for it.

Mr. McGoff, if you are serious about cooperation between the mayor and council, bring your friend, Mayor Doherty, to the council meeting and have the mayor discuss his proposals for turning the city's financial situation around. Also, invite Judge Mazzoni attend. I would like to hear his rationale on his decision to side with Mayor Doherty and go against the residents of Scranton.

Also recommend to the mayor that the administration should hold a public meeting in the Scranton High School auditorium open to all residents and have ECTV televise a town hall meeting live and shown on a daily basis during prime time slots. This way more residents will get the message, that is, if you are really serious. I am, I just made the proposal.

I was glad to see that city council rewrote the letter requesting that the police union drop the grievance against police Chief Duffy. I was present 19 April

2011 when Sergeant Martin addressed city council on this issue, never once did I hear Sergeant Martin attack or discredit Chief Duffy. Chief Duffy, in my opinion is doing a good job as chief. He may be a little too eager, but I am seeing results. The word on the street is stay the hell out of Scranton if you want to sell or distribute drugs or urinate in public. Also, be extra-vigilant, the chief of police could just stumble or run up on you while you are committing a crime. Uniform is optional.

Mr. Loscombe. In my opinion you were within your rights to answer the Times-Tribune's questions last week.

Mr. McGoff has given three campaign speeches in a row. Two years ago when Mrs. Gatelli and Ms. Fanucci gave campaign speeches every week to council president, Mr. McGoff, never intervened or stopped the two councilwomen from making their campaign speeches. What's good for one is good for all.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Doug Miller.

2

3

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,
Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin this evening, if I may, by responding to the editorial that we saw in Sundays Times. you can recall in the past, candidates were precluded from addressing council at it's weekly meetings. However, that issue was challenged in Court by a city resident and successfully overturned. Therefore. candidates were then permitted to address council. The Times editorial headline, "The dog ate it's homework" states that Councilman Loscombe launched into a political speech answering the questions posed by Times staff writer Josh Mrozinski. It further states that Council President Evans should afford equal time to other candidates at future council meetings and that there should also be an open microphone.

With that said, I've rarely seen any candidate come before council, yet now a select few want to whine because they missed

out on an opportunity to come forward in the past as private citizens. The microphone is and always will be open here. Councilman Loscombe did not ignore the questions posed to him, he answered them, though perhaps not to the Times' liking. He was the public forum that is open to all including Mr. McGoff and Mr. Wechler who can come up here and discuss issues such as why Mr. McGoff raised taxes 26 percent and why Mr. Wechler stood by as plant manager while his company hired illegal aliens over Scranton residents.

Our government is a form of government based on free speech and luckily for us we get five minutes of it even though in the past, for the most part, those five minutes were like whispering into the wind because our statements fell on deaf ears and the pounding of the gavel drowned our words.

Mr. McGoff recently issued a statement on his Facebook page regarding the conduct at council. It states here, "By friends of Bob McGoff, Wednesday, April 27, 2011, 2:32 p.m. The campaign is heating up.

. •

Opponents are using council meetings to conduct their campaign and resorting to name calling to enhance themselves. Neither of the aforementioned tactics will be part of my campaign. My four years on council have been marked by respect for the office and respect for the people that hold office. Council meetings are about government, not politics and about respectful discourse, not attempts at public humiliation. What do you want from your city council? It's your decision on May 17."

We, as citizens, all come to take part in our government and, yes, Mr. McGoff, it is about respect, but unfortunately, when you were council president and even councils before you, residents were gaveled down, laughed at and made a mockery of. We also had armed guards here in the back, and I was never quite sure who was exactly being protected, the residents or council members. It must have been council because I can only recall residents being dragged out of here.

When you hold yourself as a public official, there is no such thing as privacy.

It's called being held accountable and citizens have a right to demand accountability from their elected officials. Mr. McGoff, these meetings are not used for public humiliation they are used to address public concerns, and if you do feel humiliated then that means you didn't do the job you were elected to do. You and Mr. Wechler want to run this city, yet not once in my ten years of participation at council meetings can I even recall Mr. Wechler coming to this podium on behalf of the residents of this city nor did I ever see you, Mr. McGoff, come forward until you were politically appointed.

I take personal offense to the statements you made to Councilman Loscombe last week. You and Mr. Wechler had the opportunity to address council as private citizens. In fact, Mr. McGoff, if you would like you can address council right here at this very same podium. You can even run back to your council seat if you'd like and answer your questions, and even for the sake of saving time and keeping within the

five-minute time frame, you can even bounce back and forth on the administration's rubber stamp if you like.

I believe actions speak louder than words. Take a look at where this city was just a short time ago and take a look at where we are today. We've finally got a council majority trying to make changes to improve our city. Maybe it wouldn't hurt you, Mr. McGoff, to join this team because this administration is finished.

Finally, you concluded your crying call by asking residents what they wanted from their city council. Well, I believe the response to that is a quite simple, residents want council members that are accountable, respectful and most of all understanding that people matter and must always come ahead of politics. I believe it's quite obvious, Mr. McGoff, that this ultimately excludes you. The rubber stamping days of old are over.

When you were council president,

Mr. McGoff, you allowed Mrs. Gatelli and

Mrs. Fanucci to give political stumps each

week during the last primary campaign, and you just sat back and said nothing, and now that the shoe is on the other foot you want to make a political issue out of it and you and Mr. Wechler need to remember they must always lead by example. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank, Mr. Miller. Charlie Newcomb.

> MR. NEWCOMB: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. NEWCOMB: Before I get into what I would like to say here about city business, I would also like to chime on what Mr. Rogan said earlier. I'll tell you what, today is a great day to be an American and I have to give President Obama credit for Number one is keeping the doing two things: Bush agenda over on the war side and not closing Guantanamo Bay down there, and from what I understand that's where this came out of last July or last August, so I'm glad that he did that and I'm glad that he sent the team out that he did to do the job he did, I'm very proud of that even though, you know, my politics are totally opposite of

his and I couldn't vote for his social issues, but I do thank the administration and it's a great day to be an American, we finally got this -- it's nice to know the last think he looked at was one of our great soldiers that after what he did on September 11 he got everything he deserved.

What I'd like to talk about right now is, has anybody up on council or anybody, have you drove through the cemetery on Washburn Street lately?

MS. EVANS: I have driven by.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I have as well.

MR. NEWCOMB: Have you drove through all the way around the back?

MR. JOYCE: No.

MR. NEWCOMB: Well, I'll tell you what, if you come into Washburn Street

Cemetery and all of the way around the back where it comes around the turn it's a dumping zone there. There are signs "No Dumping" and there is concrete slabs there probably the size of your podium, like, split up in four different spots, all kinds of, like, building materials that looks like

somebody just dumped this stuff there. As far as I'm concerned that's blight.

And somebody made a call to the cemetery over there and the person who answered the phone their quote was, "Oh, we are having a terrible time with people coming in here after dark dumping."

So the first thing is if somebody
was -- if somebody did that to your property
the first thing you would do if somebody is
illegal dumping you should call the police.
Well I checked into it, and I don't see any
police record of any complaints about
anybody dumping over there at the police
department, you know, I checked with a few
different people and they couldn't find any
records of it.

Just saying, "I'm having problem with illegal dumping," that doesn't solve the problem. You have to get rid of that stuff. If you don't cut your grass in the city, if you have blight at your house, you'll get a knock on your door and tell you to cut your grass and everything else.

This -- we had a family member pass

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

away, and as matter of fact, I have to look at that every time I go over there because we're right across from there. They demanded-- we had to pay \$950 to open the grave before he did anything. Grass wasn't cut, it's horrendous over there. This guy has got posters all over having some kind of roast or something, I think something has to be investigated into the cemetery.

Every year you get complaints about it, citizens have to -- it's a great thing that the citizens do, but it's not their job to go over and cut somebody's private property and the grass. You tell the guy to go up Wal-Mart or some place and by a #30 lawnmower. And here's the problem, that front gate is the only place you can come in or that front road where they are dumping. You go to the Dunmore Cemetery, there is a sign that says, "Cemetery closes at dusk," and you have a gate. Let them go buy a \$30 fence or a gate and put it across there. It's ashame what that looks like and anybody who lives over there on Washburn Street or anybody that goes through that cemetery

compared to the rest of them in this area that's a disgrace, so if anybody takes a ride over there and looks, this guy should be cited, you got to get trucks in there to get this stuff out of there.

If I lived in the neighborhood and my house was bringing everybody else's property values down and I looked like Sanford and Son and had bikes on my porch, as far as I'm concerned people who create blight in the neighborhood they're like terrorists, they bring everybody else's property values down.

So I tell you what, this guy should be cited, somebody take a ride over there, there is concrete slabs -- how could you not see a truck, you couldn't bring that stuff in a car because if you know what I'm talking about they slabs are -- they weigh a lot, they had to bring them in there with a dump truck and dumped. I personally, my own opinion, I think this was allowed to happen. I think somebody knew what was going on and they turned the other cheek, but that stuff has got to get out of there, the cemetery is

a mess, this guy doesn't want to spend any money, if he doesn't want to spend any money he should be cited, sell the cemetery and don't buy anything in Scranton because as far as I'm concerned it's a disgrace living over there, we have family members over there, the grass isn't cut. Hillary Clinton's father is buried over there and it's terrible.

So I think he needs to get roasted in a different direction, either cut the grass, move all of the garbage out of there or sell the cemetery to somebody that will appreciate it. Thanks.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Newcomb.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Newcomb, actually tomorrow I'll take a stop over there in the day -- well, in the evening. I live very close to the cemetery and I know one of the main problems I believe with that cemetery is I see no gate or at least if they do have one it's left wide open at night because I always see cars from around the neighborhood parked right in the beginning where they have an opening and I know that the

3

4

6

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cathedral Cemetery, which is kept in immaculate condition, in my opinion, has their gates closed right at -- right after dusk.

MR. NEWCOMB: Just like Dunmore.
Okay. Thanks.

MR. JOYCE: We will look into it.

MR. ROGAN: I would also like to add, I spoke to a few residents about this issue in the past and I do walk over and it's a disgrace, the condition that cemetery is in, and I spoke to Josh Mrozinski from the Times and he mentioned that he would be --would consider doing an article on it so hopefully if we can get some media attention maybe with Channel 16 as well get out there and put some pressure on this guy and clean that cemetery up because, you know, when you said they called they said that illegal dumping at night. They're just using that as a scapegoat. They don't care. They are just looking to make money, they don't want to maintain it. If it was a one-time thing and they cleaned up we could say, well, maybe it was illegal dumping, but this is

year after year after year, so we'll be working -- we'll be doing our best to try to help the situation.

MR. NEWCOMB: Okay, thanks.

MS. EVANS: Charlie Newcomb, Jr.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Just to touch on the cemetery for two seconds, every single year on Memorial Day, Channel 16 goes over there and does a report on the disgrace of the cemetery, the grass is cut for one week and then three weeks later it's still in the same condition, so my opinion again it's selective enforcement. I could be wrong.

What I came for tonight is I would like to thank the city council, well, four of you, including Mrs. Krake, the Sewer Authority, Mr. Murphy and Mr. Tell, for taking the first step in saving a neighborhood from flooding for nearly 30 at that 50 years. The Sewer Authority put a drain on Cameron Avenue in front of my neighbor's house, this drain does not solve

the problem, but it helps it significantly.

I actually had someone say to me, "Oh, you got your drain put in."

Well, this isn't my drain, this is a drain that saves a neighborhood from flooding for nearly 50 years. The last big storm our neighborhood still flooded, but it would have been much worse if this drain wasn't put in. The solution to fix the problem is on Lafayette and Keyser Avenue the open pipe where the water pours water into the neighborhood, that is the issue, so while the state is ripping up Keyser Avenue now is the time to work together to fix it. I don't care who fixes it, it needs to be fixed.

I occasionally hear the elite followers of this administration say that this majority of the council doesn't do anything. Well, the flooding issue in Keyser Valley has been an ongoing issue for 30 to 50 years. I forgot it this evening, but I have a copy of a letter that was written to Mr. McDade in 1981 regarding the same issue. I came to this podium and I

begged for help for six years. I was ignored by you, Mr. McGoff, and all of the past other council members that sat before you, with the exception of Mrs. Evans.

Mr. Loscombe, you weren't on council two weeks and you came to my home as well as the three other members and worked tirelessly on this problem since then.

Mr. McGoff, you had the in for a few years and didn't do much about it. I have written numerous e-mails over the last six years, made nearly 50 to 60 phone calls and never got one response. The majority of this council, in my opinion, not only help people but you truly get results. Like I said, this is a problem that's been 30 to 50 years and nobody was able to correct it before you, of course, without the help of the Sewer Authority and Mr. Tell's office.

Mr. Loscombe, you and the majority of this council not only do you get things done but you reduced my taxes personally by 10 percent and you reduced my friends who own businesses their taxes by 25 percent.

This is, in my opinion, is getting a lot of

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things done.

Mr. McGoff, when you are done smirking if you can answer a couple of questions, while you were president of the council you allowed people, I witnessed it, to come to this podium and they were abused, they were humiliated, they were mocked, and even went as far as to get arrested. and correct me if I'm wrong, please, raised taxes 25.6 percent and over a two-year period borrowed over \$16 million in bonds, and if I'm wrong feel free to jump in and correct me, you had the opportunity to decrease taxes and you voted against it. You had the opportunity to keep firemen in the firehouses and police officers on the street and you voted against it.

So I asked the elite followers, whose fault is it that the city is in the condition that it was in? Is it the majority of the council or is it the following people that sat before you and the people that worked downstairs? I think the answer says it for itself.

I really do have to say though,

17

18

16

19 20

21

2223

24

25

Mr. McGoff, and all of the people that came before you, you really do have to be commended on the dedication of the agenda that you have put forward for one man and the mentality, and I would also like to know where all of the money went that has been borrowed over the last few years. They have taken money from the Work Comp Fund, I just don't understand. I know, having a family of four you work on a budget, and if I do my budget at the end of the month and there is not enough money coming in to pay the bills, well, then you cut and you do whatever you have to do to fix it. It doesn't seem to work that way in certain floors of this building.

It is vital for the future of this city to continue down the path that the supermajority is putting us in. If not, it's really pointless for you people to sit here and for me to even stay in this city.

It's truly amazing to me that council people that sat here prior to you actually got paid to abuse people that came to this podium. Do you have a problem,

1 Mr. McGoff? You were president when it 2 happened? You can answer the question, I 3 mean --MR. MCGOFF: Do I have a problem? 4 5 MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Yeah, because you are smirking at me and everybody else that 6 comes up here, I just didn't know if I was 7 8 interrupting here or you had something to 9 say. 10 MR. MCGOFF: I was trying to wonder 11 who it was that I abused, I'm not sure. 12 MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: I said the people 13 on council that were there abused people at 14 this podium while you were president and you allowed it to happen. You don't recall 15 16 Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci abusing people 17 that came to this podium? You don't recall 18 any of that stuff? You don't recall --19 MR. MCGOFF: Interpretation. 20 MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Pardon me? 21 MR. MCGOFF: Your interpretation. 22 MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Well, my 23 interpretation, here is a better way of 24 putting it, any single person that can hear 25 my voice can go pull up a tape from the

_ :

library and they can get their own interpretation of what happened in these chambers.

MR. MCGOFF: Fine.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Because it was truly a disgrace when the people that sat before these four people were here it was an actual disgrace. People said that this city council was the most horrendous thing that ever happened, hence the reason why you're the only person sitting here today. I truly hope the sailboat has enough room for you in two weeks. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address city council?

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini,
resident of Scranton. First of all, I'd
like to make a nonpolitical statement, I
have to take the blame for this.
Mr. Piccolino asked me last week to mention
that, again, this year, he would be giving
out two \$250 scholarships and it was my
fault because I ignored him, but anyone who
wants to apply for those scholarships, any

10

11

12

8

9

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kid in there if you going to college or trade school or what, they can apply either through a resume or a letter, just send it to Buona Pizza the 504 North Lackawanna and get them in by the first of June because that's when the scholarship program will take place again, and again, this is not political, he has been doing this for quite sometime now.

Now, on political issues, Mr. McGoff, I don't usually agree with you, but I do agree with you on Chief Duffy. mean, the man is doing his job, that's what he's paid to do whether he's in uniform or not, and I'm sorry, but if the unions can't handle that then maybe they should look for a different union to get into, but a cop is a cop is a cop, and whether he is a patrolman or a chief or a supervisor of police that's his job to do and if he does it that's wonderful. If he doesn't do it, he should be chastised. Don't condemn the man because he is doing his job. My God, there are enough citizens in this city, there are another taxpayers in this city who

are offended by the people who work in the city that don't do a damn thing, so when somebody is doing something applaud him.

As far as political posturing, I laugh, I think it's hilarious because all I've seen since I've been coming to this Council is political posturing, whether it's up there or down here, we have perennial candidates who come up here and who are posturing and they're running for office constantly, week after week after week after week after week. Come on. Get a life. This is so sophomoric. It's pitiful, it really is sad.

And as far as anything else goes, quite frankly, I'm up to here with the fundraisers. I've had it, and I hope anybody who is going to have one, please, don't invite me. Thanks a lot.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi and I'm a firefighter and homeowner, resident of the city, and I'm going to try not to politically posture.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. GERVASI: On may 1 there was an article in the paper called "Scranton Fire Suppression Rating Improves." I'm not going to -- I'm not going to berate the Scranton Times because I was called for a comment and I immediately went to our chief to ask for a copy of this because I didn't see it, it was early in the morning I haven't seen it yet, I really couldn't make a comment and the chief told me he gave his only copy to the newspaper, so we went to the deputy chief and he found a copy that was in city hall, I believe in the mayor's office, so I did obtain a copy by the end of the day, but apparently that was, you know, too late to make a comment on it.

But I just want to make a few comments on this that, you know, as everyone knows, especially up in the Hill Section and downtown the firefighters have been going out and letting people know there is company closures and response times are going to suffer, and if you read this article it sounds like everything is great and

everything is getting better, but let me just put a little truth and clarity into that article.

Chief Davis stated that this rating for the size of our department is great rating and we did improve and we are going to keep try improving everything. I'm just here to tell you, and I challenge anyone to say I'm wrong, that the City of Scranton or the chief hasn't done one thing in nine years to improve the fire department. Every single thing that was a positive development was fought for by the union, the big bad terrible union, and we won many concessions and we won many things in Court that had to do with the safety of the public and safety of us.

As a matter of fact, the only arbitration that we actually loss in the last nine years was fighting for people's safety for the amount of apparatus that shows up at a fire scene, and we actually lost that one and the city was really proud that less apparatus and less manpower goes to the scene of the fire if you have a fire.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But anyway, what the ISO rating is, is the Insurance Services Office and the Insurance Services Office develops criteria, dozens of criteria, different aspects of water supply, manpower, apparatus, communications, equipment, and they come up

with a rating, a composite rating, and then at the end they give you a final rating zero to ten, and what the composite rating did actually go up, it was actually touched on in the article, but the real reason wasn't because the City of Scranton is doing such a wonderful job managing the fire department, the real reason is probably 70 percent of the reason why that number went up is because of the communications center, they built a Taj Mah Hall as far as communication centers are concerned and their software and their hardware greatly increased the capabilities of the communications and that had most to do with this recent uptick in

The other few criteria were our last deputy chief and our current deputy chief worked tirelessly to implement a firehouse

that number.

software program, which most progressive fire departments have and they utilize every single day to run their department and keep track of training, of all calls, things like that, and those two gentlemen alone with the pushing of the big bad union for probably the last ten years we finally got the city to purchase that in cooperate with Lackawanna County. That was another aspect of that.

The other part was training. We train on a daily basis, whether it's total department training or if it's departmental-- I'm sorry, company level training, and before we had the software program that was a big criteria with ISO to know how trained our people are, and now that we have the software program, we did used to train before, we just never wrote it down. Now we literally put it into our computer software system so we can supply that information of how much company-level training we do, and that's thanks to our deputy chief and our former deputy chief who worked with that in place.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other two big aspects of this, and it didn't make a lot to do with the amount of the composite numbers going up, was the amount of manpower that's available at any given time and the apparatus which wasn't mentioned. The score was based on something that was implemented on January 1 which was putting three men per apparatus. I say men, because we don't have any women anymore, we have all guys at this point, but three men per apparatus and that was something that this union never fought and as a matter of fact we fought for and that came out in the Commonwealth Court order so that was something the union fought for, not the city, and what was not a part of this score which was -- it wasn't calculated in the score was that the recent closure of Engine 9, because it was based on 2010, the recent closure of Engine 9 and Engine 15 which is closed in the Hill Section usually 70, 80 percent of the time and sometimes Truck 2 right here in fire headquarters, so that wasn't a part of the score. If that was, the score would have been lower and

probably wouldn't have improved.

So I just wanted to people to know that it's -- the City of Scranton has never in the last nine years had one creative thought. Everything that has improved our routine, the way we operate, grants so that we didn't have to saddle the taxpayers when we got to spend \$700,000 on airpacks, those were our people, our people working in conjunction with our safety committee, our people have the union going to the city, our union going to our arbitration forcing the city to do the progressive things that need to be done.

Not one positive thing that I could think of ever came out of the chief's office or the City of Scranton that improved anything in the fire department, and the grants and the monies that was saved through health care that was because of the Health Care Cost Containment Committee, which the city does sit on, and grants that were received were by our people because finally the city allowed us to write grants along with a very, very smart person that happens

1 to be sitting to my right with her assistance, and that's why we saved lots of 2 3 money on buying and purchasing of equipment through grants and not saddling our 4 5 taxpayers. So I just wanted to clarify that, 6 and I usually don't get off the subject of 7 8 fire, but I have been kind of baffled for 9 the last three weeks watching these meetings 10 talking about the financial condition of the 11 city and why the city is so bad. Mr. Joyce, if you can answer this 12 13 question for me? 14 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MR. GERVASI: Has there been three 15 16 or four different scenarios of where the 17 money went in the last say two months, it 18 seems? 19 MR. JOYCE: There are a few. 20 MR. GERVASI: So money was supposed to be here, but two weeks later it wasn't 21 22 from there it came from somewhere else. 23 MR. JOYCE: That's correct. 24 MR. GERVASI: Another question, I 25 know a little bit about this stuff, the

Workers' Compensation Trust Fund, was that over funded by \$6 million for the last two years that we know of, that we know of?

MR. GERVASI: Was there \$6 million of unnecessary money that didn't have to be there or an actuarial from the state that says how much has to be in there, sitting in there, in the account?

MR. JOYCE: Was it over funded?

MR. JOYCE: Over the course of the past few years. Are you asking --

MR. GERVASI: Last year and the year before say, since we found about it.

MR. JOYCE: As per the agreement as amended, that is correct. That's an accurate statement.

MR. GERVASI: Okay, so is it -would I be correct in saying that, and I'm
telling you, you guys didn't know about this
until you found it, we kind of knew about it
for a long time, but nobody would listen to
us, so it is fair to say that there was \$6
million last year more than the 75 percent
needed to be in there and \$6 million the
year before and it's possible it could have

Ι

Is it

been \$6 million, a little piggybank for 1 maybe the last nine years? 2 3 MR. JOYCE: It is quite possible. know that the agreement was different at one 4 5 point, however, once that agreement changed that there had to be 75 percent, it had to 6 be funded at 75 percent funded in regard to 7 8 the outstanding liabilities there would 9 approximately, I'd say, between five or six million dollars in there that could be used. 10 11 MR. GERVASI: Thank you. 12 possible, is it true, that the TAN Series A 13 doesn't have to be paid until July 31? 14 back? TAN Series A, not B, A? MR. JOYCE: That's correct. TAN-A 15 16 is paid back in I believe it's July, June or 17 July, and TAN-B is to be paid back at the 18 end of the year. 19 MR. GERVASI: Is it true they paid 20 it back a few months early this year? 21 MR. JOYCE: Yes, that is accurate as 22 far as --23 MR. GERVASI: Isn't it appropriate 24 to use that money to run the operation of 25 the city until your taxes come in or isn't

1 it -- or maybe is that possibly, possibly, just maybe coincidentally, is that possibly 2 3 a way to make the city look broke before the May 17 election and try to make council look 4 bad that your budget didn't work out right? 5 Is it possible? 6 MR. JOYCE: 7 That's one possible way 8 that it could be interpreted. 9 MR. GERVASI: Was this council aware 10 while you were talking about the budget probably last October, last November, that 11 the city had \$6 million stuffed away 12 somewhere and you didn't know about it? 13 14 MR. JOYCE: During the time that the budget was actually amended --15 16 MR. GERVASI: Right. 17 MR. JOYCE: -- are you referring to? 18 MR. GERVASI: No, no, while you were 19 -- yeah, while you were talking about the 20 budget, amending the budget. Was council 21 aware that the city had \$6 million stuffed 22 in a piggyback somewhere? 23 MR. JOYCE: No, that wasn't released 24 to us. 25 MR. GERVASI: So they deceived you?

MR. JOYCE: In some ways you could

2 say. 3 MR. GERVASI: Very good. Now, let's just say two years ago, let's say two years 4 5 ago that there was \$6 million there, which 6 we now know there was, and they raised taxes 7 by 26.6 percent, is that the accurate 8 number? 9 MR. JOYCE: I believe it's --10 MR. GERVASI: With \$6 million they 11 could have used to run the operation of the 12 city, they raised your taxes by 26 percent, 13 knowing there was \$6 million sitting 14 somewhere that didn't have to be there that 15 they could have used for the operation of 16 the city; is that is correct? 17 MR. JOYCE: That would be accurate. 18 MR. GERVASI: Thank you very much. 19 MS. BECK: Good evening, Council. 20 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 21 MS. BECK: Cathy Beck, city resident 22 and taxpayer. I came here this evening to 23 comment on the article written by Chris 24 Kelly that appeared in last Sunday's edition 25 of the Scranton Times. In the article

1

Mr. Kelly wrote, "The city is fast running out of cash, which should shock no one who has been paying attention for God knows how long. Mayor Chris Doherty and his alleged administration have used this year's money to pay last year's bills and now have no paying way to cover a projected deficit of \$8.4 million by year's end. If you don't think that's irresponsible, you fit right in at the halfway house."

Mr. Kelly goes onto say, "I am saying, for the record, that the mayor has played too cute with the city's finances and must now make the hard decisions required of a leader. Council has called his shifting of the new funds to spackle over debts a shell game, and that's exactly what it is."

The writer was goes onto say, "Some guilt on council supermajority, led by President Janet Evans, must answer for irresponsibly large tax cuts."

Allow me to start here with my comments. Number one, I believe that the budget produced by council to a great degree was based on where the city was going to end

up financially at year's end. This was based on figures provided by the mayor and his administration that proved to be grossly exaggerated and optimistic.

Number two, for years many council members, as well as speakers at this podium, have questioned the mayor's budget and inaction of PEL in pursuing a way out of our distressed status. Countless questions pertaining to the city's financial reports have gone unanswered by the administration.

Up until now, the Times-Tribune has blindly followed the mayor, never investigated or pursued answers to very serious questions and allegations. Could this latest approach to the city's dire financial status have been brought on by the supermajority's relentless pursuit of the truth? Whatever the cause, I firmly believe that it is imperative that a supermajority council be preserved in this upcoming election of council members in order to maintain a system of the checks and balances and put an end to, to quote the Scranton Times, this shell game involved in the

3

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city's finances. Thank you for your time.

Thank you, and if you MR. JOYCE: could allow me to comment for one second on something you had to say, I will confirm for you that during the time that the budget was amended the financial reports that were submitted to the State of Pennsylvania by the former business administrator, Stu Renda, did show that for the Year of 2010 we would bring in in revenue as much as we would spend, however, it wasn't until I believe the middle or late part of the February when the final controller's report was issued as well as on March 1 when we received the cash flow analysis submitted by Ryan McGowan that we were shocked by the fact that in the Year 2010 alone the city came up \$4,7 million short.

MS. BECK: Thank you. Appreciate it.

MR. JOYCE: But a few months prior everything was supposedly fine.

MS. BECK: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

23

24

25

I have noticed many people that have come up here tonight have the same feelings that I have, I have experienced many of these myself coming to council for many years with Mr. McGoff. Like right now, he never seems to look at the speakers when they speak because he is texting, writing, getting text messages back as to what he's saying, but other people have said it, well, I'll say it again. When Judy Gatelli was running for office and when Sherry Fanucci was running they used to tell the people from that podium, from your seats right there, that the candidates -- the public should check into the -- some of the candidates running had criminal records and that the public should check into them before they vote for them and that this council, if they get a new majority this place will never be run in a good manner. I can't even comprehend it.

But my question to you, Mr. McGoff, is you were president, but nobody else has asked you this, but I'm asking you, how could you say that this majority is speaking politically when you allow this? How come

you allowed them to do what they did every 1 2 week when you were running for office? You, 3 as president, allowed it. How come you allowed it? How could you say one thing to 4 5 this council and do exactly the opposite? MR. MCGOFF: 6 I objected to a 7 particular thing that happened, not to a 8 general --9 MS. FRANUS: You said that this is 10 political, this majority is political. MR. MCGOFF: No, I did not. 11 MS. FRANUS: Well, you said Jack 12 Loscombe was making political speeches. 13 14 MR. MCGOFF: I referred to a particular thing that took place, yes. 15 16 MS. FRANUS: Well, sort of doesn't 17 go with what you allowed for all of those 18 years. 19 MR. MCGOFF: I think there is a discernable difference. 20 21 MS. FRANUS: Well, I beg to differ. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. 23 MS. FRANUS: Because what those two 24 women did is unthinkable, and then started 25 off last week with a politically charged

motion saying -- it had no sense whatsoever, made no purpose whatsoever except if you weren't with the mayor you were terrible, but if you were with him you were wonderful. You tried to get this Council to squeeze in a motion, that was lie, saying that the union president said something and attacked him and he didn't, and when you tried to squeeze that in, if that wasn't political, what was?

And then you also started off with the letter from Mrs. Novembrino. Now, can you honestly sit there and say you think she did everything right? She hasn't had an audit in 20 years. And everything that the mayor did had to come in front of her desk and you knew this and you could sit there and read that letter from her and knowing all of it was lies? So if that wasn't political, what was? What was?

MR. MCGOFF: I read the response that Mrs. Novembrino wrote to council.

MS. FRANUS: How come you did? Were you asked to by Mrs. Novembrino?

MR. MCGOFF: It was given to me. I

Ιf

don't know if any of other members of 1 council received it or not. 2 3 MS. FRANUS: Were you asked to read this yourself? 4 MR. MCGOFF: 5 No. MS. FRANUS: Well, why did -- you 6 7 just took it up upon yourself? I just find 8 it --9 MR. MCGOFF: It was a response to 10 councils, so, yes, I thought it should be 11 read. 12 MS. FRANUS: Well, again, that was 13 another political thing. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Okav. MS. FRANUS: And then in your 15 16 campaign things in the paper you are saying 17 that you think the mayor and yourself and 18 council should work together, that's what 19 you said in the paper as you far as -- you 20 say it here all of the time. So, you know, 21 you say one thing and do another. 22 anybody is political in this campaign you 23 are, and I hope the people remember that 24 everything Judy Gatelli and Sherry Fanucci 25 voted for you did, too. So I hope this time

23

24

25

the people up there voting put you in the same position they are in, gone. And I have a right to say that, it's freedom of speech because let me tell you, if you don't follow in their footsteps something is it wrong in this city because you were responsible for the tax increase, you were responsible for every loan that the mayor wanted, your shoulders must be very sore from carrying that water for the mayor. I mean, you must be really sore. It must be nice, I don't I don't know how you can't -- you should have a mind of your own, but you don't. You are there for a reason, you serve the purpose, I wonder what you are getting out of this? You do their bidding for them.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me?

MS. FRANUS: Well, anyway, we'll see in the election booth and if you do get elected again, well, you won't serve any purpose because your vote doesn't matter.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm Tom Ungvarsky, and I'd like to thank Mr. Hughes for his explanation on 6-A and 6-B, however, there are several things I don't quite understand. About a year or two ago didn't we give Mount Pleasant \$2 million for infrastructure? I think for if they only put in one road they must have many, many more to go there and are we going to have to take over the plowing and the patching and whatever else has to go on with those roads?

Also, this is a private development, I thought that you were not be able to take over a private development until it was fully developed, is that one building the only one that is going to be put in there?

MR. MCGOFF: Right now it's the only one that they have leased, the only section.

I think there are five separate sections.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Um-hum, and what happens if they develop more and more roads go in, are we going to assume the responsibility for those roads under this present plan?

And as far as the storm water system

goes, back in the day when I was working we used to call it a drainage field. We put in orangeburg and a gravel pit with several lateral lines and let the water seep into the ground. Apparently this new piping is just a new system, maybe a little bit of an improvement, but it's the same process, and if they are just giving us \$5,000 for perpetual care on this I think they are ripping us off once again.

I think council should look into this a little deeper. There is many, many questions that have to be answered, and I thank city council.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.

Just a couple of follow up on things I have requested before. Number one, on the minutes, I hope I will learn how and when the city council minutes are approved. I read them frequently and I do notice errors and since they are the permanent record I do have -- would like to know that.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, in the past I have asked Mr. Loscombe, and I thought he agreed to follow in the footsteps of Mr. Courtright and provide the number of cars that are out on patrol on weekends, but maybe I misunderstood, but I would like to now ask, especially since the mayor has indicated as people retire or move to other positions on the police and firefighters, that you will start at least every other week to provide us with the current number of active firefighters and police officers and the rolling documentation on the rolling I think citizens deserve to know closures. how we stand and I think that would be helpful.

Also, I'm very happy for the people on Cameron Street, those residents have been through a lot. Flooding is certainly no fun, but I also would like to repeat my question and what has been done or will be done for the Medallis family. That's still an open issue.

And I would like to know when we expect PEL to come up with a draft Recovery plan.

And then finally, I would just like to say anyone who would like to celebrate Cinco De Mayo with some good authenticate Mexican food you won't be disappointed if you go to the new restaurant, relatively new restaurant across from Miller Beam in South Scranton.

MR. MCGOFF: You getting a commission on this?

MS. SCHUMACHER: I get a free taco.

MR. MCGOFF: It is a very nice restaurant.

MR. LOSCOMBE: A free burrito.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRYZN: Okay, on this shuffle game, please just keep with it and keep your chin up and stand your ground. It's ashame that so much misinformation is flying around and some people seem to think it's a laughing matter, but they are from Clarks

Summit anyway. It doesn't sound as funny if you live in Scranton.

Okay, on 6-A and B we've got a few bucks there, hopefully it will be sufficient I don't know how things will work from there. Keep your eyes open.

And I'd like to talk about little bit about privatized trash. I have a couple of points here. In 2000 I lived up the country and I was paying \$2.25 per bag in 2000. Now, some people said, well, you lived up the country. Well, that's not actually the case I lived in a small country town, so if you look at how much trash is going out of your house and multiply it by times 52 and it was \$2.25 a bag fr recycling, also, and there were quite a few scandals about the bags all just going in the same place and there was actually in reality no recycling.

In 1990 my wife was chided by the small town mayor and police when a tenant upstairs lied about 40 plus garbage bags out in front of the house until she produced the payment book, and anyone can toss trash

anywhere as long as they don't get caught. If someone does dump on your property who pays for the removal, that's a question, and I don't know if you seen it a couple of months ago, I'm trying to get the article so I could make copies for you people, in Old Forge there was -- which it has changed because they joined with Empire Landfill and I think they get free trash removal, but they had quite a bit of problems with people switching bags and stickers or whatever and stealing stickers back and forth and so that was a bit of a mess and I'm just wondering is the \$178 sufficient for removal, if it's not and there is tax funds going into it or are there tax -- I heard in the past 100 some of that money was being put into the general fund, also, so --

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Dobrzyn, if I could interrupt, I know when PEL was here --

MR. DOBRZYN: They wanted to raise it.

MR. ROGAN: They wanted us to raise the fee because the money coming in from the fees isn't enough to fund the refuse

collections.

MR. DOBRZYN: Right. If it was kept in a separate account, which that's part of the shuffle game, too, that you people are trying to ferret out, and I understand that fully, and you have my gratitude for it, you know. Now, if we did liquidate the DPW would we get the same deal later after, you know, we burned our bridges and liquidated trucks and so forth.

And a few weeks ago I did have a suggestion, if people would recycle only two times a month, say like you did have a group during the winter, could we possibly eliminate overtime? That was my suggestion and I'm wondering about that because I clean all of my recycling and the papers and stuff are clean and so if I put it off -sometimes I'm just too lazy, I put it out every four weeks anyway because I have 42 steps that are down there and it might be icy and I just figure as soon as break my neck I'll let it go until I have a clear road or whatever, so that's a question, and it's a suggestion and think it's very valid,

25

1

2

if they staggered it like where you actually only have it every fourth week where you put it out, say like cans and bottles and --or they did a half a route instead of a whole route you could possibly eliminate overtime and, I mean, I work a lot of overtime in my life and there are some times when it seemed like all I was doing was paying the tax man extra anyway, and so I really wouldn't want it, I'd want the leisure time instead, but I didn't get it that way. But anyway, thanks a lot and have a good night.

And one other thing I'd like to compliment President Obama and the forces, they are really impressive nowadays on what they can do and pull off. I mean, it's just surprising. I wouldn't any of those guys after me, I would find a place to hide, too. Have a good night.

MR. JOYCE: Hey, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Frankie.

Jack, that playhouse was nice, wasn't it,

for the kids? You were here, remember I

seen you? That was a great job. You know

something Jack, these cops one cop, he did a

good job. You know those two guys downstairs, good job, boys, keep it up downstairs.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. Ronnie Ellman, member of the Taxpayers'
Association. I caught a cold in all of this terrible weather as you see. I'd like to say something about Mr. Newcomb what he brought, if council would just go to the four or five other people in this city that have dumpsters like Waste Management and DeNaples and John Longo, I'm sure they would with some kind of incentive leave them in problem areas. You wouldn't have this crap all over the street like we got. It works because they did it in Mississippi where a friend of mine lives.

I got a couple of things here from some of the people that hired you. I heard -- somebody told me this yesterday, they think this is the best council with all five members the city ever had, but still got a long way to go, so I'm not saying all of

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this derogatory stuff. A young man asked me today where he could get a Duffy sign that they showed in the paper and I didn't know, I thought maybe you could -- somebody up there would.

You see those picture last week of Austin Burke standing there like Superman in the paper? The man of steel. He is supposed to represent the people of this city and he doesn't. He represents only big business and that's all he cares about. Taking Mount Pleasant, assuming all of the responsibilities you don't have to is just asinine. Cleaning the streets, having the insurance on it, worrying about the sewer basins and all like that they did up there up on Keyser Avenue it's just -- it's just needless. There is not a thing to gain by taking over Mount Pleasant. Let them keep his white elephant, let his business people keep it with their -- I told you to go upstairs and check on the building permits, they are nothing like they should be, and now he wants more.

Why I think of that, I saw where

23

24

25

they wanted to do away with the parking meters around CMC and I know they paid. can't you get some \$500,000 out of them from that parking garage? It's been going on for year after year after year, they just don't -- they don't do nothing. There is so much money out there that there ought to be some way to collect it, you know? You remember Michael's, the guy who was going to sell \$50,000 glass frames, he got \$85,000 and when he ran away from here he owed \$86,000. Write this down, \$86,000. had that Spanish tapas bar on Washington, I never was in there, they borrowed over I think around \$130,000, made four payments and they were gone. And then we got Mr. Doherty's there, that Molly Brannigans he bragged about. You know what that was, 530 or 530,000 plus the \$60,000 them fools at Parking Authority gave them they didn't have You know, how much that adds up to for to. five people, with Al Boscov's million which is lost, he is not going to give us any money, that's \$1,836,000 in five people. That sure would have helped your budget.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Last week, I don't know if you saw it, that Old Things place that has all of the junk stuff the paper called it a cult corporation. They got a bunch of misfits working for free for a leader, and I think he is in Pittsburgh or I don't know, they are a multi-million dollars concern, they took \$400,000 worth of the property last week, it was in the paper, one swoop. are you going to make a budget with this going on with million dollars a year being taken off by a bunch of phoney -- and it just doesn't seem to stop. That Good Old Things, I have been in there talking to them about a sign and they are a bunch, I'll tell They are something to see when you talk to that group.

One time, talking about the CMC, I met Madman Munster in St. Louis years ago and he told me he was selling TV sets, he said he offered people two big TV lamps or green stamps and he said everybody wanted the lamps and then the stamps. They want the garage and then the parking all around there. You have got to put your foot down.

You people have got to show some authority for the people of this city. You can't rent property around here and when you talk to someone about renting a fee of property they say the taxes have gone up and the insurance has gone up because of fires and this and that. The city is getting awful hard for people to live in. You can rent nicer places, newer places all around here.

You know my friends, I don't know how to say this diplomatically, but sometimes we could make the wrong decision and sometimes what's wrong looks good or maybe it tastes good, but it could have disastrous effects on us. That's how some of the things that council does, it's having disastrous effects. Some of your decisions that are made in this room are just having disastrous effects.

I had one man tell me the other day somebody on council should watch that parking wars in Philadelphia I think, they got the same thing we got. They didn't -- you don't have to spend hundreds off thousands of dollars trying to make

\$100,000, you can use what you. Got there is good laws on the books they are not enforced. You watch that program for one-half hour and you will see how to make money with the Parking Authority like it was right now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff you have any motions or comments?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. First of all, just to response to Mr. Gervasi that on the report that was in the paper, my initial reaction was that the report of reduced response time was a credit to the experience, the training, the preparation that the Scranton Fire Department has done in trying to reduce response time and I believe that the article, you know, placed a very favorable light on Scranton Fire Department and they should be commended for working hard and, you know, seeing that that is done.

Second thing, I wasn't -- I wasn't

going to address this issue at all this evening, but it seems to be speakers have made it the focal point of the evening, when I objected last week to what Mr. Loscombe was saying I objected because I believed that the question -- my belief was that the questions that he was answering were questions addressed by the newspaper to candidates, two specific candidates for a specific election. I didn't believe that every other candidate had that opportunity, the opportunity to answer those and, therefore, I believe it was inappropriate.

Is this dais an opportunity -everything that we say here has political
overtones, it's just the nature of the
beast. I just believe that that was a
different situation and I objected to it.
Mrs. Evans saw differently, and as is her
prerogative, allowed Mr. Loscombe to
continue. I did object again later because
I thought it became personal and I didn't
think that was appropriate, however, I
believe that the issue was done, it was
answered, and I'm not going to say

forgotten, but, you know, we had a resolved it that night.

Obviously this evening I'd like it thank some of the speakers for reaffirming my belief that they see this podium as nothing more than an opportunity to advance a political agenda, a political campaign, and I would reaffirm my believe that I don't think this is the proper venue for conducting a political campaign. On May 11, there will be a League of Women Voters debate and I think that this is the proper venue for conducting a campaign and proper venue for my response, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.
Councilman Rogan, comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'll be very brief. I apologize to those who have e-mailed me that I've not gotten back to, I have a problem with the city e-mail account, but I think it's straightened out now. I just have a few comments. I'm sure many people saw in the paper this situation in west Scranton with the big drug bust on St.

Francis Cabrini and I was contacted the following day by a resident that lives across the street in the house and he was wondering why it wasn't condemned. And so we came down, we went down to Licensing and Inspection and, you know, we told them about it and within five minutes of leaving city hall I received a call that it was being taken care of, so I want to thank them for all their hard work down there and they were really on top of things with this one and they deserve to be commended for a job well done.

Secondly, while reviewing the rental registration laws, for a property to be condemned under a nuisance law there needs to be three reports, three police reports, and the problem with having an actual full report is if the neighbor across from me is dealing drugs and there is activity going on that I want to call, I may be afraid to go out and put my name on the report because of fear of retaliation. So while speaking down in Licensing and Inspections and with my colleagues agreement, we would work on

possibly amending it from three reports to three or four calls to the house, calls that will be followed up by the police if they're found. Obviously, we don't want people just calling to try and -- again in retaliation, but there is a sense of fear in the community to call the police and use their name.

I know Chief Duffy has mentioned at the West Scranton Crime Watch meetings that there is an anonymous tip line setup, which I think is great, if you see drug activity or any other illegal activity in the community they can call in, it gets actually -- I think he said it gets routed to Canada and then routed back so it can't be traced. So that's good for, you know, having an anonymous reporting system, but it's something that -- I have a copy of the ordinance and, you know, it's something that I think we can all work together on to try and make it a little easier for our inspectors to do their job.

Finally, well, not finally, before I get to the citizens' requests, I for one

can't wait until the election is over. I'm not running this year, you know, hopefully it gets over quick and we can get down to business, but speaking of the election, and I'm normally not one that would like to miss meetings, but since we have two members that are running this year I would assume we are not meeting on election day; correct?

MS. EVANS: That's correct.

MR. ROGAN: So just if the public has anything they would like to get to us if they would like to come to the next meeting just so they know and, as I said, normally I don't like to cancel meetings, but obviously this is a different situation.

And finally, I have one citizen's requests that was in the mailbox, I believe we all got it. A council resident requests that potholes be fixed in the following areas: 100 block of Meadow Avenue in front of CVS, 200 block of Meadow Avenue, and they have the 1,000 block of North Main under the bridge. I believe that was fixed two days ago. I know it was closed off there during the summer up there and it also says, "This

resident stated he has called DPW over and over again and has gotten no results," which isn't surprising at all, so if we could please forward this to the DPW, and that is all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Councilman Loscombe, any comments or

motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you.

First of all, I would like to wish a happy
Mother's Day to my mother, Mary, who is at
home watching every evening and I have to
report to her after the meetings, she is
still a mother. My mother-in-law, Joan
Kozloski, and my lovely wife of 32 years,
Susan, and my two daughters who have
produced one-plus grandchild from my
daughter Tara, and she has one due in
November, and my daughter, Katie, who has
two daughters, two lovely granddaughters, so
I would like to wish them a very happy
Mother's Day this year.

I would also like to congratulate

Officer Brennan McDermott for the fine work
he did to evacuate those people from the

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

building that was on fire.

At this time, I would like to make a motion in accordance with 108 of the City of Scranton Zoning Ordinance, File of Council No. 74-1993, as amended, that the request of the Minooka Neighborhood Association to change the zoning classification from CN to R-1-A for the area bounded by 200 block of Davis Street to the southwest, 3000 block of McCarthy Street to the northwest, projection of the 200 block of McDonough Street to the northeast, and the projection of the 3000 block of Brett Court to the southeast in an area that extends northwest along the 100 block of Davis Street from McCarthy Street to Joan Street 150 feet on each side of Davis Street and extending approximately 125 feet northwest beyond Joan Street, be scheduled for a public hearing before Scranton City Council at 6:00 p.m. on June 7, 2011, and that the city clerks send a copy of the request of zoning amendment for the Minooka Neighborhood Association to the Scranton City Planning Commission and Lackawanna County Regional Planning

Commission for their recommendations prior 1 2 to the hearing on June 7, 2011. 3 MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor to rezone an area of Minooka at the 4 5 request of the Minooka Neighborhood Association from a classification of CN to 6 7 R-1-A. Do we have a second? 8 MR. MCGOFF: The motion is to hold a 9 public hearing, correct, on that? MS. EVANS: Yes. Well, hold the 10 11 public hearing and send letters to planning commission, the city's planning 12 13 commission --14 MR. MCGOFF: I understand. MS. EVANS: -- and Lackawanna County. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I'll second. 17 MS. EVANS: On the question? 18 MR. MCGOFF: Just for reference, I'm somewhat confused, is this the area that is 19 20 caddy-corner from the CVS across the street from the bank? Is that --21 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: No, this is actually 23 down -- do you remember where the radio 24 tower was on Davis Street? It's down about 25 five blocks from CVS, it's that parcel right

where the radio station was? I don't know how else to explain it. It's in front of the nursing home.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm not familiar with all of the streets that were mentioned so -- but that's fine. I'll go find it.

MR. JOYCE: That's the last block off of Davis Street, correct, from what I understand?

MR. ROGAN: Just for clarification, sorry, Mr. Joyce, just for clarification, we are just voting to hold the caucus; correct?

MS. EVANS: Well, to hold a hearing.

MR. ROGAN: To hold a hearing, I apologize.

MS. EVANS: There is actually a procedure that has to be followed when I think you're looking to rezone an area and the reason that the public hearing is scheduled for June 7 is to provide at least 30 days to both the planning commissions to review the amendment that's presented by the Neighborhood Association and then to make a recommendation on it to city council.

Maybe at this time I would defer to

Solicitor Hughes and perhaps he could give us a little timeline or a little explanation here of the proper procedure that must be followed and what law we are following.

MR. HUGHES: Yes, Madam Chairman.

The City of Scranton zoning ordinance of
1994, as amended -- I'm sorry, 1993, it's

File of Council No. 74 of 1993, Section 108

sets forth as to how the ordinance can be
amended. Section 108 (a), Council may
amend, challenge or repeal any or all

portions of this ordinance on: One, on it's
own motion; or two, upon agreeing to give a

written request from any person, entity or
the planning commission.

So council could do it on it's own motion, which it's not doing, under 108 (a) (1), we are proceeding under 108 (a) (2) of the city zoning code that there has been a request by the Minooka --

MS. EVANS: Neighborhood Association.

MR. HUGHES: Yeah, I'm sorry, by the neighborhood association to amend this parcel of land from a CN to an R-1-A zoning

25

classification. All of the areas around all of those streets that were mentioned and the areas all around it on the three sides are all R-1-A zoned, so that what we are doing, and then it says under Section B Council has to hold a public hearing, and then under C the planning commission, the city planning commission, and the Lackawanna County Regional Planning Commission have 30 days to review the proposal, so what we have here in following the ordinance is the request has come in from the Minooka Neighborhood Association requesting that this parcel be rezoned.

I would submit, here's a map of it, and you can pass down, it's that large parcel there, that that be rezoned so that the Planning Commission and the Lackawanna County Regional Planning Commission will give their recommendation, we'll have a public hearing on June 7 regarding that, and I would anticipate by that time I will review the ordinance that was submitted, Mr. King, the city planner, will probably have comment on it, probably that Friday

before we could put the ordinance on or we could wait until after the public hearing to put on the ordinance to rezone that property.

MR. MCGOFF: Attorney Hughes, could I just -- maybe I missed it, what is CN and what is R-1-A?

MR. HUGHES: CN is -- that's neighborhood commercial and so that zoning is a commercial zone right in the middle of an R-1-A zone. R-1-A, I believe you can put up a duplex, medium low density residential, I believe that's limited to two-family, two family homes, duplexes. It's been a long time since I looked at that section of the zoning ordinance, but you are going from neighborhood commercial --

MR. MCGOFF: That's fine. Actually, CN was the one I was --

MR. HUGHES: That would be for neighborhood commercial. Convenient store, gas station, things like that. As I said, it's right smack dab in the middle of that R-1-A zone.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there

anyone else on the question?

MR. ROGAN: I would just add, I never have a problem with voting for a city caucus. When I initially read it, it looked like, as you said, that we were voting to change, this is the first I saw it now so --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: -- I have no problem with the caucus.

MS. EVANS: We're just, as I said, following the procedure that is outlined in the law and the code and at the recommendation of our solicitor as to how best to proceed in order to obtain positive results and I think to make sure that we are, as he is so often likes to say, wearing our belts and suspenders.

MR. HUGHES: I just have suspenders on tonight, no belt.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have met personally with the neighborhood association in Minooka and they did put the ordinance together and we had a long discussion there and I did address their concerns and told them I would pass it along to our solicitor and with the

okay from our president, Mrs. Evans, and basically that's how we got the ball rolling on this, and there be more information through the public hearing. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just to continue, I just have a couple of more items. Ladies and gentlemen, I would like to take the time this evening to address the non-issues that the Scranton Times has parlayed into a daily barrage of editorials, opinions, cartoons in an effort to discredit all of the good that this city council has done for the taxpayers and businesses in this city over the past 16 months. I have been accused of using this forum as a campaign tool, relatively speaking, all of the business that is discussed in these chambers is political in

one way or another. Just because I filed my nomination papers for full-time in this seat does not give anyone the right to suppress my opinions or views as to what has been going right or wrong within this city. I have expressed my opinion, which is my constitutional right since the date of my appointment in January of 2010, and I will continue to do so until my service is completed to you, the taxpayers.

My comments last week were born out of frustration, a frustration that I have witnessed from many speakers at this podium, a frustration that I have witnessed on a daily basis from people that I meet in the streets or come across in our businesses. A frustration that has built up in me because of an absolute disregard by our newspaper, the Scranton Times, by continually overlooking the real issues that have brought this city to it's knees financially.

This administration and the newspaper, as it's accomplice, continue to believe that they can hide the real facts from you, the taxpayers, but I do know that

you are much smarter than that. This is the only true forum for you, the public, to bring your questions and receive your answers without being edited.

As I drive our streets on a daily basis while dodging the large potholes, I ask you where has the money gone? As I drive through downtown and observe all of the taxpayer funded developments and empty businesses I ask, where has the money gone? As I drive by our parking garages and realize all of the free parking spaces that have been dolled out, I ask, where has the money gone? As I see nonprofits gobbling up taxable properties thereby shrinking our taxpayer without an effort by the administration to seek payments in lieu of taxes I ask, where has the money gone?

As I realize that the police and fire unions have not received a raise in nine years yet the city's deficit has ballooned tremendously during that time, I ask, where has our money gone?

As this council majority turns over more rocks and discovers more money

inappropriately moved or transferred to the tune of over \$11 million and counting, I ask where has our money gone? As this council majority was not in place when you, the taxpayers, were slapped with a 26 percent property tax increase I ask where has our money gone?

This council majority has passed our amended budget for 2011 reducing the fat, protecting your public safety and decreasing your property taxes and decreasing the business nuisance taxes, and also closing the administration's personal checkbook, yet this administration continues to hire in positions that were eliminated and spend monies from the RE-RE account I still ask, where has our money gone?

I can go on and on forever. As you can see, there are a million stories in the naked city, and that is what we will be if we don't stop the bleeding completely.

These are the stories that you should be reading about in the Scranton Times not the daily distractions that they post. I can take all of the cartoons, opinions and

_ -

editorials that they can throw my way, what I can't take and what enrages me is the fact that they have enabled this administration to squander millions off your tax dollars on a handful of cronies, legal appeals, and gross wasteful spending, just to name a few, all the while trying to discredit this city council majority on a daily basis by pointing the finger at us, a city council majority that is working for you, the taxpayers, not this administration and not the Scranton Times.

I would bet that if a true journalist for the Scranton Times addressed just one of my previous mentioned issues, they would be a candidate for a Pulitzer Prize. Yes, Folks, your frustration is my frustration and I will not keep my mouth shut until all of the co-conspirators are held accountable.

And lastly, last week we had a little discussion and Mr. McGoff was offended, as he stated before, and I think what offended him he said I called him a name. Well, I have a public record here,

ladies and gentlemen, this is from January 6, 2010, page 19, lines 11 through 23.

"MR. MCGOFF: I, too, would like to thank the outgoing members of the council.

I owe a great debt to Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci for all the assistance that they have given me over the three years that I have been here. I really truly thank my fellow rubber stampers for their assistance and I will miss their being here and I think the city is going to miss the service that they had given and I hope that they will continue to be part of the City of Scranton and part of the development of the City of Scranton."

So, Mr. McGoff, I did not call you anything that you did not call yourself and Elvis has left the building. That's it.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. At this point in time I feel like the Scranton Times, I feel like the whole newspaper is starting to turn into one big Op-Ed column, let me explain why. Last week the Scranton

Times wrote an article claiming that council's claim that the city violated any law or agreement in withdrawing \$7.6 million from the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund was unsupported. As stated in the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund agreement, it is explicitly stated that the city is not to borrow money that would leave the fund less than 75 percent funded. As reported by council and stated in the Scranton Times, the administration withdrew \$7.6 million from the fund to cover outstanding bill payments from 2010, being the previous year.

A large portion of this payment was for -- a large portion of this was for our payment for TAN-B in which we owed in excess of \$9.5 million, among other paid bills, which put us at a grand total of over \$11 million owed.

Back to the issue of whether or not the fund was less than 75 percent funded at any time, as the Scranton Times stated, the total amount of outstanding liabilities at the end of the January 2011 was \$20.5 million. When subtracting \$7.6 from 20.5,

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the resulting amount is \$12.9 million, so you do the math at home. So this is the money that was left in the fund in early January. When you divide 12.9 by 20.5, the result is that the city left the fund 63 percent funded when they removed this money.

Being an inquisitive finance chair, after the Scranton Times stated that our claim was unsupported, I instructed our city clerk, Mrs. Krake, to contact George Knehr, and I apologize if I mispronounce his name, who is the chief of the Self-insurance Division for the Bureau of Workers' Compensation in the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and industry. I asked our city clerk to ask him or to ask him -- or to ask him one question which was, would the city at any time be able to go below the 75 percent reserve level in the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund? Upon presenting that question to Mr. Knehr his response was pretty cut and dry, as he stated: opinion is that the answer to your question is, no, meaning that the city is not to go below the 75 percent threshold at any time

which, of course, they did since they left only 63 percent funded when withdrawing the \$7.6 million from it in early January to cover 2010 bills.

From correspondence from our auditor Rossi & Rossi, this money was withdrawn from the account on January 3, 2011. The money was put back into the account, let me get a date here, I believe it was January 11th of 2011. So for that time frame the account was below the 75 percent.

Furthermore, Mr. Knehr stated that
Section 2-A of the amended trust states that
the reserve account shall, which essentially
means must, equal the outstanding claim's
liability allowing for a deficit of no more
than 25 percent between the employees
outstanding liability and the asset value of
the reserve accounts.

So there you have it. It was

Scranton City Council or -- so there you
have it. Scranton City Council's claim that
the city violated the agreement of the
Workers' Compensation Trust Fund is
supported. Though the Scranton Times

suggested that it is not, I have the documents and correspondence from Mr. Knehr, right in front of me for anyone that still believes the newspaper, and if you would like a copy of that you can e-mail me at Frank Joyce@live.com or you can e-mail me through the city e-mail account.

The Scranton Times reported that at the end of January the fund contained enough money that would put us above the 75 percent reserve level and, yes, this is true. The reason for this is that revenue from 2011 was transferred back into this fund once TAN-A and TAN-B proceeds were received in 2011. Once these payments were received, the \$7.6 million was transferred back into the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund, which is the money that was intended to be used to pay 2011 bills not cover for the administration's under projection of revenue in 2010.

Regarding the administration's under projection of the revenue in 2010, coupled with the administration's misprojections of health insurance costs in 2011 as well as

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the under projection of budgets prior to 2010, the city is faced with a deficit. this Sunday's newspaper, it was suggested that a portion of the problem was due to council cutting taxes. What the newspaper must have forgot is that when the 2011 operating budget was amended and first sent to council, the administration reported to both the State of Pennsylvania and to the city council that the city would not fall short in 2010, and that we would bring in enough revenue to cover our expenditures for that year as proven by the \$4.7 million deficit in 2010 alone, which was first reported to council in late February, early March of 2011, the administration's 2010 budget was not balanced and fell very, very short. This budget fell so short that money was used from the 2011 budget to cover bills from the 2010 budget.

At no point in time when council amended the 2011 operating budget or even in Mayor Doherty's veto letter of our amended budget for that matter, was it indicated that council, or that we would fall short by

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$4.7 million in 2010 and need to use money received in 2011 to cover bills of the past. If Mayor Doherty decides to lay off city workers, which he has been threatening to do, and subsequently jeopardizing your public safety, it is the administration's fault not the fault of the Scranton City Council.

In addition, council has already offered suggestions on how to handle the shortfall of money that may occur later on in the year. However, to this date council has yet to hear any of the suggestions from the administration. This brings me to my next point, this past week I received a letter from Mr. McGowan regarding PEL meetings. Typically in my place I ask our city clerk to attend PEL meetings and take notes for me since the meetings occur on Monday morning. In Mr. McGowan's correspondence to me, he invited me to join these meetings, however, he stated that he could only have them moved to some time before 4:00 p.m. on Mondays or in the same time frame on another day.

Here is the point of why I'm saying to you, the administration knows very well that I work from eight to five on Monday through Fridays and conveniently offered to moved PEL meetings to a time that is essentially impossible for me to attend. anyone listening to me right now sit back for a minute, think of what your boss would say to you if you asked them to leave work early every Monday to attend a meeting for another job. I bet that 99 percent of those that are listening right now are thinking that their boss would tell them to go pound sand if they asked them to leave work early to attend a weekly meeting for another position.

Mayor Doherty and the administration to come to the council meeting on Tuesday at 6:30 p.m. to discuss suggestions on how to address the fiscal shortfall that they created is still on the table, I'll never take it off and it's always going to be there. To this point council has offered suggestions on how to handle fiscal

shortfall, however, the administration has not and we are still waiting to hear from them.

So the administration, our BA and Mayor Doherty are more than welcome to come here at 6:30 or we could schedule a time prior to that and we would discuss any fiscal matters that need to be discussed regarding the projected shortfall of cash that may occur later on in the year.

Next, I wanted to address DPW overtime a little bit. Regarding DPW overtime, I want to reiterate that the mayor hired back four foremen that were not included in council's budget amendments. This could have been used on an excess overtime that the DPW would have needed to cover our rough winter season. Recently council sent a request in regard to what the cost of hiring back the four foremen really is. Well, when I saw the information that Mrs. Novembrino from our Controller's Office sent to us, I was quite astonished.

As per Mrs. Novembrino's correspondence to council, which was sent to

us in a very timely manner to add, I have found that on average the four foremen hired back despite not being funded in the budget are costing the city more money than last year. In Mrs. Novembrino's report, she provided figures as to what the four foremen earned last year and what they have earned so far this year. Since Mayor Doherty hired the foremen back as casual workers, they are now offered overtime, which last year they did not receive since they were salaried employees.

So through the first seven pay periods though Mayor Doherty technically states that he lowered their salaries as casual workers, they are actually making more money doing the same exact job that they did in 2010 because they now have the ability to rack up overtime costs. So this really doesn't sound like the administration wants to save too much money now, does it?

Before council approvals the transfer of any funding to comp the cost of overtime there are few things that we need to know and, Mrs. Krake, can you please send

this in the form of a Right-to-Know request so it is ensured that we receive the information that we are looking for. Number one, the balance of the account for snow removal and plowing contractors or subcontractors. I remember from our budget amendments this was a fund that we reduced-- or a line item that we reduced by a mere \$100.

And number two, a complete list of all DPW workers, their number of overtime hours per day, along with the dates when they worked overtime and the specific work that they performed each day from January 1, 2011, up to and including April 29, 2011.

From viewing Mrs. Novembrino's response to council regarding the overtime of the four foremen that were hired back by Mayor Doherty, despite being eliminated in council's budget amendments, it was apparent that some of the foremen had been eating up overtime like kids eat candy. With this in mind, I think before approving any transfer of funds from a contingency fund or any other fund for that matter, we must do our

due diligence and examine why overtime was used rather than just simply taking their word for it.

And with that I have a few citizens' requests. Several South Scranton residents have reported that the property located at 823 Rear Moosic Street is in very poor condition and is becoming a safe haven for rodents, skunks and possums. Upon visiting the neighborhood, I noticed that the property has not been condemned. So, Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Mr. Seitzinger and notify him of the situation and ask him to address it appropriately.

Several South Scranton residents
have informed me that the cars are speeding
on the 200 block and the 300 block of
Pittston Avenue. Mrs. Krake, can you please
contact Chief Duffy and pass this note onto
him and ask him to address this as he sees
appropriate.

Also, residents on Dexter Avenue in West Side informed me there are many potholes and cracks along the entire road.

This resident has informed me of this several times throughout the course of the past year and I know Mr. Newcomb always mentions Cameron Avenue, this is yet another street in the Keyser Valley section, and if you drive through the Keyser Valley section the roads are in deplorable shape for the most part. So, Mrs. Krake, can you please notify Mr. Brazil of this and ask him to address this situation appropriately.

And finally before I close, I want to give a congratulations to Officer

McDermott for his courageous work in notifying the sleeping residents of a burning home that their house was evidently on fire and, you know, sitting back here thinking, I remember very, very sharply from looking over the list of police officers that would have been laid off if it wasn't for council's budget amendments, that was one of the officers, and it makes me very proud to sit up here and know that we amended a budget that saved an officer that ended up saving the lives of possibly an entire family.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And last, but not least, I want to wish all of the mothers out there a very happy and blessed Mother's Day, and especially my mother. In case you don't know much about me, my father passed away a week before my senior year in high school and I want to thank my mother personally for the guidance and strength that she has provided me throughout the years. You will always be the focus of my heart and I love you more than you can imagine, and I encourage every single person that's listening tonight on Mother's Day to give their mothers a great big thank you for all that they do in their lives, and that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know that when we brought up Davis Street before, I know people have asked this in the past about the police officer that's stationed there in the afternoon, I don't know if we had addressed that.

Mr. JOYCE: I believe we did. I think the letter was sent over.

MR. ROGAN: I don't think we got a response.

MR. MCGOFF: But I was just talking to a businessman on Davis Street and he said that policeman is being paid by one of the businesses to expedite traffic in that area and from the gentleman I talked to he said it's really a Godsend because of the volume of traffic there and people making turns into the various properties, so it is being funded by one of the businesses on Davis Street in that area, and that's all. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: If I could just piggyback something Mr. Joyce said about the DPW overtime, I'm sure everyone remembers the comment that our former police chief made about police officers, and I'm not going to repeat the comment, we all know what it was, but I think that the people in this city that were truly exploiting overtime are the workers in the DPW, not the workers in our public safety units and, you know, I have been extremely critical of the DPW and residents have and I think rightfully so. I think, you know -- and I will be looking forward to seeing those

numbers about the overtime, that's for sure.

And, you know, moving forward, I definitely
think we need an efficiency report from the

DPW.

And what I find very peculiar is every morning when you go out on garbage day you go out and see the DPW trucks and refuse workers are supposed to work until 2 p.m., correct me if I'm wrong, 2 or 3 p.m., and I don't know if anyone, because I know I have never seen a DPW truck on the road past noon, so what are they getting paid for those other hours? And definitely not from 1 to 2:00, and I challenge somebody to take a picture and send it in if you do, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. Before I begin maybe there is something I could just add about the DPW overtime, I'm anticipating that the response we will receive to Councilman Joyce's Right-to-Know request will indicate that it cannot be answered in a five-day period, they are going to I'm sure request 30 days or more in which to respond. If I heard my

colleague correctly, he is asking for the justification for the hours worked, and again, I'm anticipating that that will be met with great displeasure, but I have to say just quickly, when I worked if we were to perform extra jobs outside of our normal working hours, outside of the normal school days, first of all, the number of hours were set by contract and by the administration in terms of, you know, this particular activity will receive "X" amount of hours per year. Should you exceed those hours you will not be paid.

Now, that sounds like negative proposal, doesn't it? Meaning, well, you get as much done as you can, if you can't get the rest done you don't do it because you don't get paid, but you know what, ladies and gentlemen, in most cases quite the opposite was true, and although a certain number of hours were assigned, I saw many, many people, myself included, who went well beyond the assigned number of hours.

We weren't paid for it, but it was a matter of pride and a matter of the dedication and

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a matter of work ethic.

Now, we had to justify every hour that we worked, meaning, it was insufficient to submit on a timesheet to central administration, well, I've worked 15 hours or I worked 75 hours, you have to justify each and every one of those hours spent, and I remember, you know, often times when it would be a situation for myself where it would be 15 hours, in fact, one year it went well over 100 hours that I put in. paid for 15 hours, but I took great pride in what I was doing and the kids that I was working with and I didn't need to be paid for everything to do the job well.

Now, I think the point of what I'm saying is simply this, in other businesses, in school districts, when you work overtime you have got to justify what you are doing during that period of time. You can't simply turn in a number of hours and then have it stamped and you are just automatically paid. So I am very anxious to see the justification for all of the hours. I think it's -- I think it's called for,

it's the right thing to do before council would decide on what should be allocated for overtime in the DPW.

I'd also like to announce that the assistant law director of Mansfield, Ohio, requested copies of Scranton City Council's bath salt legislation. Apparently, other states are following our lead and hard work. I thank Attorney Boyd Hughes and Councilman Jack Loscombe for drafting this legislation and making our city safer and putting Scranton on the map in the fight against bath salts.

Next, I'd like to comment regarding the city's finances and deficit, several important facts must be noted. City council has exposed the proof that the administration has played a shell game with taxpayers' money. However, it is equally important for taxpayers to know that this harmful shell game was a private well-guarded secret kept not only from this council, but also the previous council. The movement of funds requires the knowledge and consent of the city council, in other words,

it requires ordinances. This never occurred. Not in 2011, not in 2010, and not in 2009.

When the administration conceals its debts, the raiding of Workers' Comp Fund Trust and the use of TANS to pay prior year's bills, no city council passed or present has the true and accurate financial facts. Rather, the administration presented budgets and documentation showing quite the opposite and any city council can only base it's budget amendments and decisions on the budgets and documentation presented to it by the administration.

Further, it is a fact that for five months the administration has purposely failed to enforce a rental registration program and institute a StreetSmart parking program, the very same program which was embrace by Wilkes-Barre, State College, and other Pennsylvania municipalities.

Last week, we learned from a
Wilkes-Barre official that their parking
program was not put out to bid and RFP's
were not issued. Wilkes-Barre simply took

wise advantage of the free trial period offered by StreetSmart and if the program makes money for Wilkes-Barre then it will be installed citywide.

Further, no competitive companies
threatened to sue Wilkes-Barre. Only in
Scranton would such an opportunity be
postponed and neglected. Since the
administration was always aware of its
deficit and is starkly aware of the
immediate need for funds, why does it refuse
to aggressively pursue this new revenue
generator?

Ladies and gentlemen, it's much like a man who losses his job, raids the family saving's account, is losing his house and hides it all from his wife. Now, opportunity knocks, the man has a great job offer, but he won't accept the job, save his house and pay his bills, instead, he decides to blame his wife, insist that she clean up the mess he made, and let his children live in the streets. I think we can all agree that man should step up and accept the job, come clean with his wife, and save his home

or else leave the family that he destroyed.

At the same time, the latest election issue raised is the tax decrease given by this city council to the senior citizens, blue collar workers, the unemployed, and the businesses of Scranton. In a recession, these are the people who are hardest hit and these people need and deserve a break, particularly since their taxes were raised by 26 percent while millions of dollars sat in a bank account overseen by a former tax collector.

Property taxes were raised by

Mr. McGoff's council majority, but

simultaneously the deficit grew. This

situation demands an explanation from the

administration. Instead, the newest spin is

to convince taxpayers that a tax decrease is

a bad thing, especially in a recession.

Curiously, however, little to no mention is made that this council also cut business taxes to help our existing businesses during this recession and to encourage new business to locate in Scranton. Perhaps it's because the business

tax decrease given by this council majority doesn't effect the Scranton Times since it pays no business taxes. There's a chunk of revenue the city has never seen.

Nevertheless, the newspaper doesn't think the little guy deserves a tax cut.

Now, the council amendments were financially accurate and the 2011 budget was therefore balanced and in compliance with all of the information supplied by the administration, including the mayor's proposed budget. Now, that the truth has been exposed regarding the financial machinations of the Doherty administration, the same administration, its supporters and apologists offer only one solution, cut police, fire, DPW, and clerical workers.

On the other hand, my colleague,
Mr. McGoff, has offered a tax increase as a
possible solution. Both the administration
and Councilman McGoff need to generate
better solutions for the people of Scranton.
Step up to the budget plate, institute the
StreetSmart program immediately, for the
first time in three years and force the

rental registration program, and do the homework on the sale or lease of all city parking garages. Failure to take such action only proves to the people that they have no interest in bringing revenue into this city to solve this problem.

When these measures are accomplished, the majority of city council will discuss additional options, if necessary.

Next, ECTV -- or rather regarding
ECTV's IRS tax lien, ECTV received well over
\$100,000 from OECD and the UDAG RE-RE
account as well as funding from the county
commissioners and private sponsorships.
ECTV chose not to pay it's federal taxes.
None of this pertains to city council.

Also, Comcast does not recognize the mayor's franchise agreement, which cut out one peg channel, and as I'm sure most of you are aware two peg channels remain in operation as council requested.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week. Residents report that the pool heater at Weston Field has been broken

for several weeks and late last week that the pool was closed. We have since learned that the pool is not closed and the department is addressing the heater problems. Please update council when the problem is resolved or by May 10, 2011, whichever comes first.

South Side residents request that the traffic lanes on Meadow avenue at the intersection of Meadow and Moosic Street are repainted. They state that numerous motorists cannot recognize there are turning lanes at this intersection.

A resident wishes to know if the LIPS Department is collecting permit fees of \$5 per day for each roll off container and portable box within city limits as required by the Administrative Code. These containers and boxes are placed on a street or highway within Scranton for the purposes of collecting waste to be transported to a disposal site.

Clean block of Olive Street located across from Scranton High School, school students should not have to walk through

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

such deplorable conditions and be forced into the street.

I'd like to send a Right-to-Know request to the Department of LIPS, when will the condemned property at 812-814 Quincy Avenue be demolished as promised by Mr. Oleski to residents of the 800 block of Quincy Avenue in 2010. These homeowners are now at wit's end. They have contacted Mr. Seitzinger, Mr. Oleski, the mayor's office, city council, they're dealing with a condemned property now for quite a long time, and when I see the variation in situations between some locations that are condemned and it's sometimes questionable and they are taken down very, very quickly at the city's cost, and then I see something like this I really have to wonder.

And I think what the Newcombs were stating earlier is also very accurate. I'm well aware because of the Newcomb family of the deplorable inexcusable condition of the Washburn Street Cemetery for years and for years I have asked the LIPS Department to go there and cite the owner, and here we are a

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year again later still no citations and the situation grows more and more unacceptable and that's a disgrace not only to those who are buried in that sacred land, but to their families who visit them faithfully.

And I think as my colleague stated, you can visit just about any other cemetery in the City of Scranton and in Dunmore and they are pristine. There is no excuse for what's going -- no acceptable excuse, there can only be something else going on here behind the scenes that protects this particular owner just like it appears to me now that the same type of situation could very well be going on at 812-814 Quincy Avenue, and I know the law has been involved before, I know this has gone to a magisterial level, I know a magistrate has ordered the owner of that property to make repairs and upgrades and that never happens, and this goes on year after year after year and for some reason the city just backs off.

And the people of the 800 block of Quincy Avenue are disgusted with every element of city government, and I understand

why. I'm disgusted right along with them, but I'm asking them to understand that city council cannot order LIPS' inspectors to go out and do anything. They have a boss and their boss has a boss and that's where it's got to come from, and when it doesn't it's not due to a failure of any one up here, you've got to go to the source.

And finally, I just wanted to read one request I got by e-mail: "Mrs. Evans, I am a taxpayer of Scranton and I have a problem with the DPW at the corner of Meadow Avenue and Birch Street in the South Side area and on the bottom of a hill. Every time it rains, there is big buildup of dirt, which the city knows. I call the DPW to ask them if they could send up the street sweeper to pick up the dirt, and all I do is call and call and get nothing done. Why is it we, as taxpayers, have to beg to get something done?

When I go to work in the morning, I always see the sweeper on the same streets and then they sit to kill the day. Why is this? We all in this area try and keep our

homes nice and when we need help we can't get any. This is a shame. I understand there are other areas that need cleaning, but not always the same places. Is there something you can do to help with this problem and maybe there could be something done to stop this from happening. Is there someone that can take a look at this problem so maybe we won't have to keep calling?

You know, Mrs. Evans, I have been cleaning all of the dirt up for my than 20 years. Now that I'm a lot older, I can't do it anymore and have no place to put it. So please, will you see what you can do? "

And so I am asking the DPW to go down with the street sweeper and clean that area and to add that to their list after rain falls. I think 20 years of people having to perform services that they're paying their taxes for, you know, enough is enough, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 31, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE-

PROVIDING FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OF MOUNT 1 PLEASANT DRIVE IN THE MOUNT PLEASANT 2 3 SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, 4 PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO 5 ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND 6 RECORD IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER OF 7 8 DEEDS OFFICIAL RECORDS A DEED FOR THE ABOVE. 9 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 10 11 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 12 pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: Second. 13 14 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 21 have it and so moved. 22 MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -23 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 32, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE 24 - AS AMENDED - PROVIDING FOR THE ACCEPTANCE 25 OF A STORMWATER SYSTEM IN THE MOUNT PLEASANT

1	SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
2	PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
3	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
4	ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND
5	RECORD IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER OF
6	DEEDS OFFICIAL RECORDS A DEED FOR THE ABOVE
7	MENTIONED STORMWATER SYSTEM.
8	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
9	title of Item 6-B, as amended, what is your
10	pleasure?
11	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
12	pass reading by title.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
23	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 33, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
24	- AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING THE RIGHT-OF-WAY
25	ACQUISITION OF A PORTION OF CITY OWNED

1	PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTION OF
2	
	KEYSER AVENUE AND JACKSON STREET (1,110
3	SQUARE FEET) IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON MORE
4	COMMONLY KNOWN AS A PART OF PIN NUMBER
5	14412-030-016 TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF
6	PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
7	("PENNDOT") IN ORDER TO WIDEN THE
8	INTERSECTION FOR BETTER TRAFFIC FLOW AT THIS
9	INTERSECTION.
10	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
11	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
12	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
13	pass reading by title.
14	MR. JOYCE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
24	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
25	FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 27, 2011 -

	134
1	CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR
2	THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC
3	AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED
4	U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ACCOUNT
5	NO. 13A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT AND
6	DISBURSEMENT OF ALL BROWNFIELDS
7	COMMUNITY-WIDE HAZARDOUS ASSESSMENT GRANT
8	FUNDS ("EPA FUNDS") RECEIVED FROM THE U.S.
9	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ("EPA").
10	MS. EVANS: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chair for the
12	Committee on Finance?
13	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-A.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
18	call, please?
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. 1 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 4 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 5 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR 6 ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 28, 2011 -7 8 CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR 9 THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED 10 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE PROGRAM 11 ACCOUNT NO. 14A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT AND 12 DISBURSEMENT OF ALL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 13 INITIATIVE PROGRAM FUNDS ("EDI FUNDS") 14 RECEIVED FROM THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING 15 16 AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ("HUD"). 17 MS. EVANS: What is the 18 recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Finance. 19 20 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 21 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 22 passage of Item 7-B. 23 MR. ROGAN: Second. 24 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 25 call, please?

	136
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
11	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
12	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
13	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
14	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 29, 2011 -
15	CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR
16	THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC
17	AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED
18	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM -
19	RECOVERY PROGRAM ACCOUNT NO. 15A.0101 FOR
20	THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF ALL
21	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT - RECOVERY
22	PROGRAM FUNDS ("CDBG-R FUNDS") RECEIVED FROM
23	THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN
24	DEVELOPMENT ("HUD").
25	MS. EVANS: What is the

	137
1	recommendation of the Chair for the
2	Committee on Finance?
3	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
5	passage of Item 7-C.
6	MR. ROGAN: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
8	call, please?
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
16	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
18	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
19	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
20	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
21	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
22	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 30, 2011 -
23	CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR
24	THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC
25	AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED

	138
1	HOMELESS PREVENTION AND RAPID-REHOUSING
2	PROGRAM ACCOUNT NO. 16A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT
3	AND DISBURSEMENT OF ALL HOMELESS PREVENTION
4	AND RAPID-REHOUSING PROGRAM FUNDS ("HPRP
5	FUNDS") RECEIVED FROM THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
6	HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ("HUD").
7	MS. EVANS: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chair for the
9	Committee on Finance?
10	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-D.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
23	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
25	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
	·

Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 20, 2011 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
CITY OFFICIALS TO APPROVE THE INSTALLATION
OF TEN (10) BIKE RACKS IN THE CITY OF
SCRANTON.

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Community Development?

MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Community Development, I recommend final passage of Item 7-E.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.

As I stated last week, these bike racks were purchased -- the authorization for the purchasing was made in 2009, I was not on council at the time. If I had been, I probably would have voted against spending taxpayers money on bike racks, but now that they are already purchased we are not going to just warehouse them so it's best it get

them on the streets where people can use them, so I will vote "yes."

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, did we receive any answers regarding the location of these bike racks, meaning, are there bike racks located at every one of the city parks?

MS. KRAKE: Regretfully, we didn't get a chance to ask Mr. Seitzinger that question until today, and he was out of the office all day, I checked with his staff and we did leave a message in his voicemail and a message on his door, also, but I don't believe he returned to the building this afternoon. So I really was not able to get that information from him.

MS. EVANS: I'm going to ask if my council colleagues might consider tabling Item 7-E until we can determine the locations for the bike racks? I know that we have a list, but as I said last week, that list is markedly different from the list from 2009 which included the parks, and so I would like to make sure that all of those locations are covered rather than

	'7
1	placing, I don't know how many here, but
2	let's say 12 bike racks all within the
3	downtown within in many cases one block of
4	one another.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: The courthouse
6	already has bike racks.
7	MS. EVANS: Yes, the courthouse
8	does.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make
10	that motion to table.
11	MS. EVANS: We have a motion to
12	table, do we have a second?
13	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved. And Item 7-E will
22	return to the agenda once we receive the
23	responses that we have requested from
24	Mr. Seitzinger.
25	MR. ROGAN: Hopefully that will be

	172
1	next week since the weather finally is nice
2	outside.
3	MS. EVANS: Let's us hope that he
4	will respond.
5	MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION
6	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
7	RESOLUTION NO. 21, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE
8	CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE
9	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
10	TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND
11	OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION
12	OF S.R. 6307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND S.R. 3033
13	(OAK STREET) TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMINGS AT THIS
14	INTERSECTION WILL BE MODIFIED AS PART OF THE
15	WEAVE/OAK STREET RAMP REMOVAL PROJECT.
16	MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-F.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

	143
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
8	MS. KRAKE: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION
9	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
10	RESOLUTION NO. 22, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE
11	CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE
12	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
13	TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND
14	OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION
15	OF S.R. 6307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND KEYSER
16	VILLAGE DRIVEWAY/PRIVATE DRIVE TRAFFIC
17	SIGNAL TIMINGS AT THIS INTERSECTION WILL BE
18	MODIFIED AS PART OF THE SCRANTON WEAVE/OAK
19	STREET RAMP REMOVAL PROJECT.
20	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
21	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
22	passage of Item 7-G.
23	MR. ROGAN: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
25	call, please?

	144
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
11	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
12	MS. KRAKE: 7-H. FOR CONSIDERATION
13	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
14	RESOLUTION NO. 23, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE
15	CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE
16	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
17	TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND
18	OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION
19	OF S.R. 0307/6307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND S.R.
20	8031 (SCRANTON EXPRESSWAY NORTH BOUND OFF
21	RAMP) TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMINGS AT THIS
22	INTERSECTION WILL BE MODIFIED AS PART OF THE
23	SCRANTON WEAVE/OAK STREET RAMP REMOVAL
24	PROJECT.
25	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the

Committee on Finance, I recommend final 1 2 passage of Item 7-H. 3 MR. ROGAN: Second. 4 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 5 call, please? 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 9 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 12 13 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 14 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 15 16 Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted. 17 MS. KRAKE: 7-I. FOR CONSIDERATION 18 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 24, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE 19 20 CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE 21 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND 22 OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION 23 24 OF S.R. 0307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND S.R. 8031 25 (SCRANTON EXPRESSWAY SOUTH BOUND OFF RAMP)

	14
1	TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMINGS AT THIS INTERSECTION
2	WILL BE MODIFIED AS PART OF THE SCRANTON
3	WEAVE/OAK STREET RAMP REMOVAL PROJECT.
4	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
5	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-I.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-I legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-J. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
23	RESOLUTION NO. 24, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE
24	CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE
25	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF

1	TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND
2	OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION
3	OF S.R. 0307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND S.R. 8031
4	(SCRANTON EXPRESSWAY SOUTH BOUND OFF RAMP)
5	TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMINGS AT THIS INTERSECTION
6	WILL BE MODIFIED AS PART OF THE SCRANTON
7	WEAVE/OAK STREET RAMP REMOVAL PROJECT.
8	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
9	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-J.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-J legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. KRAKE: 7-K. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
2	RESOLUTION NO. 26, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE
3	CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE
4	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
5	TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND
6	OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION
7	OF S.R. 3011 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND FERDINAND
8	STREET TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMINGS AT THIS
9	INTERSECTION WILL BE MODIFIED AS PART OF THE
10	SCRANTON WEAVE/OAK STREET RAMP REMOVAL
11	PROJECT.
12	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
13	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
14	passage of Item 7-K.
15	MR. ROGAN: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
17	call, please?
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
25	MR. JOYCE: Yes.

	149
1	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
2	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
3	Item 7-K legally and lawfully adopted. If
4	there is no further business at this time,
5	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
6	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
7	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
8	adjourned.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

. `

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER