| | | 1 |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | HELD: | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Tuesday, April 19, 2011 | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | LOCATION: | |
| 10 | Council Chambers | |
| 11 | Scranton City Hall | |
| 12 | 340 North Washington Avenue | |
| 13 | Scranton, Pennsylvania | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | OATUENE O NABBOTTI BBB OFFICE: COMPT DESCRIPTION | |
| 24 | CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER | |
| 25 | | |

I

<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>

Ü

. .

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.)

MS. EVANS: Before we begin tonight's council meeting, we have with us some very lovely young ladies and very handsome gentlemen from West Scranton High School. These fine student athletes will be honored here this evening and each and every one of you is a credit to your family, to your coaches, to West Scranton High School, and to the City of Scranton and we are very proud of you here at city council. The girl's team please come up front and girls coaches as well.

WHEREAS, the COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON is desirous of honoring the "LADY INVADERS", players for the WEST SCRANTON HIGH SCHOOL GIRLS' BASKETBALL TEAM; and

WHEREAS, the "LADY INVADERS"

defeated Riverside High School girls'

basketball team on December 29, 2010, in the

pre-season tournament to become the TAYLOR

LIONS CLUB TOURNAMENT CHAMPIONS and finished

the season undefeated thereby earning the

title LACKAWANNA LEAGUE DIVISION 1

CHAMPIONS; and

WHEREAS, on March 5, 2011, the "LADY INVADERS" beat Holy Redeemer High School girls' basketball team and, for the first time in the history of West Scranton High School, became the PIAA DISTRICT II AAA CHAMPIONS; and

WHEREAS, the "LADY INVADERS"
advanced to the second round of the PIAA
STATE TOURNAMENT by defeating Danville Area
High School girls' basketball team and
although the "LADY INVADERS" suffered a loss
in the second round of the state tournament,
the overall team record for the 2010-2011
season is 25 outstanding wins and only 2
losses; and

WHEREAS, the "LADY INVADERS" are led by Head Coach Leo Ciullo and assistant Coaches Maureen Kenny, Erin Mangan, Mae Keiper, and John Zullo; and

WHEREAS, the "LADY INVADERS GIRLS'
BASKETBALL TEAM MEMBERS" are Alex Bolsar,
Maraya Bowen, Colleen Cadden, Sabrina
Coleman, Shannon Dermont, Amanda Greene,
Katie Hart, Kelly Hill, Nina Hodanich, Jane

Joyce, Nora Joyce, Kelcey Langan, Morgan
Matyjevich, Jamaica Miller, Shayna Miller,
Macy Nicholson, Jessica Nowakowski, Marissa
Pazzaglia, Kat Perry, Jessie Purawic and
Jessica Reuther.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on Tuesday, April 19, 2011, Scranton City Council wishes to congratulate "THE LADY INVADERS", their families, coaches, athletic director and teachers for their outstanding achievements and extend our best wishes for success in all their future endeavors.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Proclamation be made a permanent part of the Minutes of this Council, as lasting tribute to the "LADY INVADERS", WEST SCRANTON HIGH SCHOOL GIRLS' BASKETBALL TEAM.

(Whereupon the Lady Invaders received their proclamations.)

MS. EVANS: And now the Invader boys. WHEREAS, the COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON is desirous of honoring the "INVADERS", players for the WEST SCRANTON HIGH SCHOOL BOYS' BASKETBALL TEAM; and WHEREAS, the "INVADERS" defeated

Carbondale High School boys' basketball team to become the JERRY FINAN MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT CHAMPIONS and also defeated the Riverside High School boys' basketball team to become the TAYLOR LIONS CLUB TOURNAMENT CHAMPIONS in pre-season games; and

WHEREAS, the "INVADERS" overall record for the 2010-2011 season was 21 wins and 7 losses; and

WHEREAS, the "INVADERS" became the PIAA DISTRICT II AAA Runners up and advanced to the second round of the state tournament by defeating Lampter-Strasburg, which was the first win in the state tournament since 1970; and

WHEREAS, the "INVADERS" are led by
Head Coach Jack Lyons, assistant Coach Paul
Dougherty and assistant Coach Craig Mailen,
whose father and uncle were on the 1970
state tournament team; and

WHEREAS, the "INVADERS BOYS'

BASKETBALL TEAM MEMBERS" are Joe Devine,

Louis DiBileo, Cullen Fanning, Gene Gantz,

Jay Gantz, Patrick Gerrity, Tyler Hughes,

Elijah Jackson, Matt Langan, Tim Langan, Tom

MacDonald, Austin Martinelli, Mark Murphy, 1 Brandon Oleski, Aaron Owens, Rich Rescigno, 2 3 Malcolm Sweeting, and Lamont Wright. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that 4 on Tuesday, April, 29, 2011, Scranton City 5 council wishes to congratulate "THE 6 7 INVADERS", their familles, coaches, athletic director an teachers for their outstanding 8 achievements and extend our best wishes for 9 success in all their future endeavors. 10 11 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this 12 Proclamation be made a permanent part of the 13 Minutes of this Council, as lasting tribute to the "INVADERS", WEST SCRANTON HIGHS 14 SCHOOL BOYS' BASKETBALL TEAM. 15 16 (Whereupon the Invaders received 17 their proclamations.) 18 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 20 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 21 22 MR. ROGAN: Here. 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.

3-A.

NO

1 MR. JOYCE: Here. MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the reading of the minutes. 4 MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 5 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Clerk's notes. 8 MS. KRAKE: We don't have in Clerk's 9 notes this evening, Mrs. Evans. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake. 11 Do any council members have announcements at 12 this time? Joyce Electrical, Incorporated, 13 will sponsor a blood drive dedicated in 14 special honor of Leo Welkers on Saturday, 15 April 30, from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. at the IBEW 16 building, lower level, 431 Wyoming Avenue in 17 Scranton. You may call 1-800-REDCROSS to 18 schedule an appointment to donate. There will be a walk to benefit the 19 20 American Heart Association at Nay Aug Park 21 on Saturday, April 30, registration begins 22 at 9 a.m. and there is no fee for the walk. 23 However, donations will be greatly 24 appreciated. Young Jack Scarfo, grandson of 25 Mary Ann Rossi, will lead the walk this

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year. Please support this worthy cause.

The Tour De Scranton is an annual noncompetitive bike ride for riders of every age and skill level on May 1, designed with a selection of routes and distances. catering to both the novice and experienced rider, anyone can bring a bicycle and join in the fun. The Tour De Scranton also benefits an extremely good cause, the Erin Jessica Moreken Drug and Alcohol Treatment The bike ride will be Fund, Incorporated. monitored from start to finish by adult volunteers and cyclists at regular check It is a safe and enjoyable way to have fun and raise the much needed funding to assist young people who are struggling with the disease of addiction. Each year through this event, scholarships, aid, and drug and alcohol education programs are offered to a variety of worthy individuals and groups in our community.

Registration fees are as follows:
\$30 on or before April 27; \$35 April 28
through May 1; \$50 parents and their
children under 12, that is only one charge

for the family. For registration on-line, route maps, questions, or volunteer opportunities, go to www.TourdeScranton.com. If you can't participate, donations can be mailed to Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Moreken, 1328 Myrtle Street, Scranton, Pennsylvania, 18510. Make checks payable to "Erin's Fund" and please support this very worthy cause.

Senior citizens who are members of the West Scranton Crime Watch, ask me to say that they feel Chief Duffy is doing a great job and they hope that he will keep up the fine work.

And finally, on behalf of Scranton
City Council, I'd like to wish everyone a
very blessed and Happy Easter and passover.
These holidays usher in a long awaited
season of rebirth and freedom and a renewed
opportunity to grown in love and service to
our families and to each other, and that's
it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Bob Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening, Council.

Bob Martin, citizen and President of the Fraternal Order of Police. I'm here tonight to just clarify some of the positions, I spent the better part of the day, I've been on vacation this week and since about 11:00 this morning I have been basically defending the position of the Fraternal Order of Police in all of the media outlets, newspaper, TV, even Steve Corbett, and I just want to clear some things up so that the council has a clear understanding as to the position of the FOP in this matter which is the Unfair Labor Practice that was filed

First I want to clarify that this is not the Fraternal Order of Police's initially action. This is a reaction to the action taken by Mayor Doherty in 2002, and that was to remove the position of the Chief of Police from the bargaining unit. The FOP fought that vigorously. We spent a lot of money fighting that and we lost. The mayor got what he wanted, he removed the position of the chief from the bargaining unit, and

with the Labor and Industry.

it's since been that way since then.

And part of that is that once you are out of the bargaining unit, that's a conscious decision that this chief made, so much as the first, Chief Elliott, it was a unit clarification and that's what he filed for and that's what the Labor Board issued the order in 2002 and hereby ordered that the superintendent of police is excluded from the bargaining unit and is a managerial employee, so that means he is no longer in the bargaining unit, he is now a managerial employee, he's an administrator.

And just to put things, clarify things, this action or reaction by the FOP is not so much against Chief Duffy as it is against the city. In the complaint it says it's against the City of Scranton. The mayor filed for this and he got what he wanted, he got the chief out, the chief's position out of the bargaining unit and this is our response.

And again, just to clarify, we don't have a problem with the chief out doing police work if he bumps into work or into a

1

4

5

3

6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

crime in his presence and responds to that
he is legally and he is morally obligated to
respond to that crime being committed in his
presence, but the exception we take is that
when he crosses over the line, as on March
27, and he actively goes out on patrol,
essentially what he said in the newspaper,
and seeks out a crime and then takes action,
that action upon himself.

I mean, that in itself is -- that's where the exception is filed with the Labor Board, but we are talking the position that the mayor wanted this, we are just holding his feet to the fire. We absolutely have no qualms with the chief doing whatever he is doing, with the exception of crossing over that line and, of course, the city is using that to just make the union look bad, and probably the action admittedly makes us look a little foolish or frivolous, I guess you could say, however, my response to that and the response Mr. Corbett this afternoon, the mayor would not allow us if we violated any of the Labor statutes I'm sure he that he wouldn't step aside and just let that go on.

And beyond that, it's basically our position that we want -- this could be negotiated, same as our contract, basically maybe it's a tool. Someone says does that mean -- Corbett asked me that this afternoon and I said, "I couldn't have said it better myself."

Chief Duffy just happens to be a part of this collateral damage in all of this, and they are making all of the mileage of it they possibly can. The point is the mayor could have negotiated this in the beginning, he could have negotiated it now. We sent him a letter January 6, 2011, let's sit down, work this entire process out, come up with one nice, neat package and we'll all live happily ever after and, of course, we never heard again.

They have approached us, asked us to have some discussions and we have had some intermediate discussions that just, you know, really just kicked some ideas around and it was nothing to anything that had any substance to it and, of course, at that meeting I predominantly brought up the

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

processing center. That's one of the biggest things I want to be able to negotiate. I want that work back. I want the taxpayers of the City of Scranton to enjoy the fruits of the labor their police officers and reap the money. The county and the mayor have corroborated that they are getting three quarters of a million dollars annually processing the prisoners, and it's understandable, it's a long and complicated case as any of these cases are, just as complicated as this, that the state wants to unify all of these things, but we could have been part of that and we also could have enjoyed some of the revenue source that comes from that.

They get \$300 a prisoner. We supply the majority of our all of the prisoners.

We just won that. The Labor Board said,

"You are absolutely right, the city violated."

But they can't do anything about it, they can't go against the judge's order. We petitioned the judge again to sit down and ask them to look at this so that we can

force the city to negotiate that so much as we can get our work back and maybe we can save some of our young men and woman on the bottom of the ranks so that they don't have to live with the threat of layoffs.

Three-quarters of a million dollars in the budget, how far would that go? Would that make a difference?

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. MARTIN: I would hope it would make some difference, so again, for all of the beaten up I've taken today, if I've embarrassed the FOP or the Board of the --executive board embarrassed the FOP by taking this action, so be it. But again, the whole thing is if you want to sit down call the mayor. If the mayor wants to let the chief back in the bargaining unit tell him to give me a call, we'll be happy to discuss it and negotiate it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Can I just -- you are trying to say that this is all the fault of the mayor that the chief went out and did police work?

1 MR. MARTIN: I'm saying that the mayor removed the position from the 2 3 bargaining unit and it's no longer -- he is no longer the bargaining unit member and, 4 no, I'm not -- yeah. In sense, yes, I am 5 saying that with the exception, see, you are 6 7 trying to get the mileage out of it, you are 8 trying to get the mileage out of it to make 9 the FOP look bad. I'm telling you that the Labor Board -- the Labor Board issued an 10 11 order that says he is not a bargaining unit. 12 MR. MCGOFF: How is the mayor trying 13 to make the FOP look bad? 14 MR. MARTIN: Well, the whole point 15 of the thing is that it's not so much0. 16 MR. MCGOFF: This is something that 17 happened in the distant past and now you are 18 filing a grievance because --We filed one against 19 MR. MARTIN: 20 Chief Elliott --21 MR. MCGOFF: -- the chief did a -went out and did police work? 22 23 MR. MARTIN: And he is doing an 24 excellent job, but the part of that, 25 Councilman McGoff, is that if he is out

23

24

25

there actively looking for it, can I ask you if you were the bottom guy on the seniority list that was threatened to be laid off in December and your family might go out on unemployment and you read the newspaper every day, every day Chief Duffy is in the newspaper and he is out there and he is doing a good job, that's fine, how about the young man and woman that's working midnights. How about the young man and woman that's out there working in the rain? How about the young man and woman that's out there in the trenches working, and how about the young man and woman that makes off duty arrests all the time? Are they on the front page of the newspaper?

MR. MCGOFF: So now you are - now it's a matter of publicity?

MR. MARTIN: You don't think so?

MR. MCGOFF: I think what the police should have done was offer a commendation to the chief, you know, for the work that he did rather than filing a grievance.

MR. MARTIN: Have you ever heard me ever say anything bad about the chief in

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

public, Mr. McGoff? I praise his work.

MR. MCGOFF: This certainly was.

MR. MARTIN: It's not meant to be.

This complaint says it's against the City of Scranton, it's not against Chief Duffy or the work that he performs. I think he does a good job and so do all of the members of the FOP. To the best of my knowledge, I think they do, and we all support the chief, and I'm not here to bash the chief, but what I'm here to do is I'm trying to save the taxpayers some money. I'd like the mayor to sit down and negotiate a package with us so we can -- they came to us and they asked us for help, but they never asked us for any substance. We are willing to help them, we are willing to sit down and help them out of this mess any way we can.

So basically, basically this is a tool to get the mayor to sit down and negotiate. If he wants to negotiates the fact that the chief can go out and do bargaining unit work he can throw that into a nice big contract as far as I'm concerned.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTIN: Thank you very much for your time.

MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Giovanni Piccolino, and maybe just a very brief comment on Mr. Martin's presentation. Now, the one thing that occurred to me in all of this is the fact that we mustn't have enough police officers if the police chief has to use his days off to go out and address crime actively, that says something, and yet the administration is looking at severely cutting the police ranks. I don't know then what should occur, should every police officer be working on every day off, seven days a week around the clock, in order to combat the crime levels that we have experienced in Scranton. Mr. Piccolino?

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city council, and fellow Scrantonians. My name is Giovanni Piccolino. I live, work and own a business in the City of Scranton. I'm just going to make this brief. I'd like to comment on 7-A about the removal of parking meters located in and around the CMC. I think that's a great idea, and I also think

1819202122

23

24

25

that city council should consider moving all of those parking meters they just placed around General Dynamics. I think that's one of the few industries that we have in this city and these gentlemen and ladies who are, you know, on the assembly lines making military weapons and shells and what not, I mean, I highly doubt they have the time to run out and throw a couple quarters in the meters and, quite frankly and honestly, I drive by there ever day and not one of those spaces is ever occupied, so I don't think it would be a bad idea to try to remove those and maybe make that permit parking for those people.

And I would just like to say God bless everybody, Happy Easter, and I have another \$100 donation to the Manpower Initiative from Buona Pizza food t-shirts sales.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much for the generous donation.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good night.

MS. EVANS: Good night. Andy Sbaraglia.

citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, let me start off to say I have been a union member all my life and I'm proud to say I'm a union member, but what the police did basically is cut off their nose to spite their face. People are coming up to me and asking me what is the police force interested in, their jobs or fighting crime? Any police officer, whether it's the chief all the way down the line, or let me mention this, I'll throw in for Kevin Murphy who used to sit up there where you are, he fought crime, too. When there was a purse snatcher he ran them down. It's the duty of

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,

People are coming up to me, I'll tell you, I have never got bad marks like they are now. The police are in really a PR situation with the mayor. He wants to lay them off. Why create something that didn't have to be created? I'm sure he could have went to the police chief and asked him,

every citizen to fight crime wherever it

exists if they can and they can do it

safely, if they can't.

"Listen, you know, we are trying to keep more people on the force," he came up from the ranks, he was a union member, probably still is, and he went on the stand that to keep people on the force maybe you shouldn't be out there a little this or that, why there is so much PR with the Times, I don't know, other than the fact is they criticize you one day and praise somebody else the next day.

I understand the times, this is politics, but it's a shame to play politics with the police protection. This is important. I don't know how many people come up to say, "All them policemen that you keep supporting, are they more interested in crime or are they interested in their jobs?"

And I have to say, "Well, it's probably both."

They are interested in keeping their jobs because they probably like that type of work, just like I liked the elevator work, I would have liked to kept my job there, but unfortunately, age caught with me, but anyway, I'm sorry, I wish they just would

withdraw that grievance, really withdraw it and certainly just go to the police chief and tell him, "Listen, if you want to go out by yourself, we got people on cars on duty everywhere. Let them call and have one of the cars come."

And everybody then would be pleased, but the people are not pleased for what happened. First of all, it's going to cost us money. That alone sticks out like a sore thumb. Grievances should not be filed if you can talk to people. That's the last resort. And to file a grievance for fighting crime, that becomes ludicrous. One should never have a grievance for fighting crime, especially if you are a police officer.

It's just bad, and I'm sure they told you that, too, Janet. I'm sure a lot of people told you that and a lot of people told me, too. Well, we'll do what he can and we hope that maybe they just withdraw the grievance and meet with the chief and talk to the man and say, "You know, you are a union member just like the rest of us.

You came up through the ranks, you understand everything, and no matter what when this mayor is gone you will still be back in the ranks". Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,
Mr. Sbaraglia. David Burgerhoff.

MR. BURGERHOFF: How you are doing?

I'm David Burgerhoff. I'm from North

Scranton. I apologize for not attending

more meetings more regularly, however, I do

read the Times, my neighbors bring me

recordings of the meetings, so I stay

abreast of council events and topics.

Sadly, I'm here today to deliver my commentary on my sincere dissatisfaction with the progress of the North Scranton Junior High School. For many, many years now residents of North Scranton have had to gaze upon what I believe is a metaphor for the entire City of Scranton and an even bigger reflection of wasted taxpayer dollars and government cronyism. I have been waiting for years for your updates about the project since PennRose was last requesting additional taxpayer funding to pursue

construction of a theatre building, a building that is in deplorable condition and that was just a joke and a way to get more funding where they provide no actual benefit to that money being spent.

I have tried to contact PennRose

myself, I have heard some letters that they
have sent here, it's all worthless, it means
nothing. It's going to turn out to be the
same thing like the Sterling Hotel in
Wilkes-Barre, let's just tear the building
down and get it done, you know. Council
basically to me has been worthless on this
issue. My personal belief, like I said,
let's just tear town the building and be
done with it.

You know, I have been told before that when I disagree with legal issues or oppressive nonsensical legislation that it's not Dave's law which implies that it doesn't include any common sense or honesty, and apparently that's not the issue here either.

I was compelled to speak here today because when I returned home from work yesterday I found a notice regarding some

issues with my property. I will remind the city that this is spring and this is when clean outs normally take place and garbage collection was today. I was also advised that I could have not have any uninspected vehicles in my driveway, even though they do not pose any hazard and are outside of the view of anyone not looking purposely down my driveway.

I was informed that if it falls under abandoned or junk even though I insist it is neither. The truck has a value of over \$2,000. Not to mention, although I will, the fact that behind my home is a city-controlled property with more garbage than the Alliance Landfill as well as the blighted school I just spoke of, excuse me, and yet a completely functional vehicle in my driveway must go.

I could go on and on about the issues I have suffered from DPW, city hall, NCC, which I assume is the arm now of the city, and finally the police who violated the constitutional rights of a small child from my neighborhood and then threatened to

arrest me when I questioned their authority,
I'd like to speak to Duffy about that
perhaps.

But this is not about me, this is about the government not representing the people, but oppressing them. You know, I have often heard it said, "If you enjoy your freedoms, thank the veteran."

Well, they may have fought for the country, but it's up to you for fight for your rights. Many fear retaliation from government for speaking out, and I share that concern, but to remain silent would be an even greater burden. I received a letter from a person that stated my words encouraged her to speak out and be resolved in her faith. I hope people will take a lesson from her and all of the people that speak out here every night. It is not just a right, it is a duty.

I sometimes get frustrated stating
that we elect these people to do the
people's business so the people could go on
with their business, but I do understand it
is our responsibility and our responsibility

does not end at the ballot box.

I also didn't expect to have to comment on Duffy, but, you know, and amazingly I'm in agreement with Mr. McGoff, it's funny to hear that we would complain about a city worker doing extra work, but normally we can't get them to do any work. And as far as the union, if they are worried about how citizens are looking at it, well, I'm a citizen, I don't like, and this is the worst environment you could have chose this battle.

And on top of it, it makes me think, you know, I guess school teachers can't tutor on their own time because they would be going outside of the purview of their job. If my neighbor is a cop and I want to knock on his door because I see an issue in the city I better not, I'm going to have to call him because he would be going out off duty and he might cost someone their job.

Well, like Janet pointed out, there is plenty of crime in this city so if we need more cops we need more cops, but the point simply being he is not wearing a cap,

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he is not going to end all the crime here, I don't think this is a wise battle and I suggest they drop it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Burgerhoff. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. too, want to talk about Chief Duffy, and I just verified something with Detective Martin, so what I'm going say is fact. knew about this, this is the second grievance that was filed. The night before Thanksgiving the chief set up a DUI checkpoint which he was working at, again which he wasn't supposed to do, they filed a grievance, Bob Martin just told me they sat down together, the chief admitted, he said, "I'm sorry, I made a mistake, I won't do it again," and now he just did it again, so his word can't be trusted as I learned on another issue.

He thinks he is a supercop, he wants to be a supercop, if he wanted to make all of these arrests he shouldn't have left the bargaining union. He agreed to leave and

become chief police, he is in management now, he shouldn't actively be pursuing criminals. As Detective Martin said, if he happens to come upon a crime, that's all well and good. He left his house that day to actively pursue criminals and that is against the union contract. That's all I have to say on that matter. It doesn't matter what Mr. McGoff thinks or not, it's wrong.

Next, I have to talk about this trash that I call the Doherty newsletter trying to get information about Mrs. Evans, well, what they wrote and what Chris Kelly wrote last week was the poorest form of journalism I have ever seen. First of all, it's nobody's darn business about if Mrs. Evans is on comp, her medical condition, and I have one word to say to Chris Kelly and the Doherty newsletter, it's HIPAA. HIPAA laws protect the privacy of any person's medical condition so it's illegal for Chris Kelly and the Times-Tribune to be looking into whatever is going on with Mrs. Evans.

Why doesn't Chris Kelly and the

2
 3
 4

Doherty newsletter look into more important things, for example, why don't they look into where the \$5 million dollars that Chris Doherty plugged into last year's budget is missing a million and a half dollars. Why don't they look into that where the rest of that money is?

Secondly, why did the mayor hide filling \$5 million from the pension fund? Why doesn't Chris Kelly look into that?

Thirdly, he is dragging his feet with the Crisp Avenue Bridge project which almost caused two people to get killed last week in an accident. So why doesn't Chris Kelly and the Times-Tribune, a/k/a Doherty newsletter, look into more important stuff like that and try to save the taxpayers' money instead of trying to butt into Mrs. Evans's business.

Moving on, an article I think it was in Saturday's Doherty newsletter, about the firefighters placing flyers on the doors in the Hill Section people. Again, I think this is a great idea because just warning people that Mayor Doherty is putting their

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

safety in danger, closing down fire stations, they are just making people aware of this and Chief Davis says it's a scare Well, it's not a scare tactic, and tactic. you can use an example in my area again, Engine 9 is permanently shut down, it's less than a mile from my house, doesn't take an expert to figure out that Engine 7 on Luzerne Street is going to take much longer to get to my house. That's not a scare tactic, that's a fact, so by chief Davis saying it's a scare tactic, he's wrong, he is just another Doherty puppet and it's part of the administration again which Mr. McGoff supports, so I guess he doesn't care about people's public safety either.

Lastly, recently I seen letters to the editor criticizing people for come to go this podium and spewing negativity. All I have to say about that is if Chris Doherty and his administration would do something positive maybe we wouldn't be up here talking about negativity. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: Tonight I would just like to really be very -- probably very brief, but what the tax group would like to do is on the 28th of April, and then hopefully the second Thursday after that, sometime in May, we would like to have as many candidates for public office as possible come to council chambers and introduce themselves, give them ten minutes to present why they are running for office, what they would like to accomplish. We did have a meeting with the council candidates at one time and for some reason it just didn't record right, so I would also like to say to Mr. McGoff and Mr. Loscombe, you know, all of the candidates that showed for that I would appreciate if you would consider coming again to allow the voters to have some idea of what you think is important. I don't know if council would allow candidates for various public offices in Lackawanna County to come into your

office during your office hours and just sign their name so that we would have some idea of the people that were going to come on the 28th. It's open to Democrats, Republicans, Independents, everybody, even a write-in. I mean, it's just important to bring people forward and I just hope that maybe you would consider allowing us to do that.

MS. EVANS: Certainly. As long as the office is open, they are welcome to come in and sign for their attendance at your function.

MR. MORGAN: Okay, we intend to -well, we are going to go to the Voter
Registration Office tomorrow and try and
pull as many phone numbers and contact
people, but if there are any candidates out
there that know other candidates I would
hope you share it with them and ECTV said we
could record on a disk for three hours and
we intend to try to reach out to everybody
and I just think it's important and I thank
you for your time.

MR. LOSCOMBE: What time is that,

Lee?

MR. MORGAN: It's the 28th of April at 6:30 and we are going to go by the sign-in sheet and work our way from the top to bottom, and we want everybody to come and it's not a thing where -- there is no bias here. You just come for ten minutes, present what you think is important, and we want to hear it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,
Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin tonight, if I may, I'd like to file a Right-to-Know request, if I could leave it with Ms. Carrera, it's regarding PEL. Under Act 47 PEL has been involved with the city since 1992 and I'd like to know what the cost is, if any, to the city to have PEL consulting our finances.

MS. EVANS: I can probably answer that for you right now, I don't believe the city incurs any costs directly. They are paid through the State Department of

Community and Economic Development and, once again, they are paid with your state tax dollars.

MR. JOYCE: Right, and I can assure you that there is no line item in the operating budget for the payment of any PEL employees. It does all come from DCED as far as I know.

MR. MILLER: Well, I appreciate that.

MR. ROGAN: I would add though that I understand where you are coming from and where my colleagues are, but the people of Scranton do pay tax state taxes so at the end of the day the people of Scranton are paying.

MR. MILLER: Absolutely. That's why
I asked the question because you never get a
service for free, there is only a catch.

MS. EVANS: Oh, certainly not.

MR. MILLER: And I think the taxpayers need to understand that's going on and that's why I thought it was important for me to ask that question. I'm glad you clarified it.

MS. EVANS: I think maybe though, if you direct the request to perhaps DCED you might be able to then determine exactly how much of our state tax dollars have been paid to the Pennsylvania Economy League from 1992 through the present.

MR. MILLER: Thank you. Well, here we go again, welcome to Scranton. Where we slander whoever we can. This is a Chris Kelly theme song guest starring school directors Lesh and McGuigan who pretend to have medical degrees to diagnose the conditions of others, including Mrs. Evans. Apparently, these presumed wannabe doctors were never taught the meaning of lawyer/doctor and client confidentiality.

As a citizen of this city, I totally denounce the actions of these individuals.

You can certainly voice your opinions, which we all have a right to do, however, your criticism comes from an empty echo chamber.

I was taught a simple phrase years ago, engage the brain before you put the mouth in gear. These folks should speak the truth based on fact and not own substantial

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

allegations, in their case we know it's politically motivated.

Transparency has also been at the forefront recently. Council has been accused of being non-transparent. Well, I took the time yesterday to look up the official meaning of transparency in government. It's defined as holding officials accountable, holding open meetings, financial disclosure, and budgetary review. This certainly defines Scranton City Council. You have held the administration accountable, you have conducted numerous open public caucuses, you have disclosed the finances of this city, and you have certainly reviewed the budget going beyond by making cuts from an extremely bloated budget handed down by the administration, and I really want to applaud you for your transparency. I thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: Our current financial debacle is not council's doing or it's so-called minions, a term used by Chris Kelly in his Sunday morning column. This

mess is the result of years of mismanagement by the mayor and his minions, including rubber stamp extraordinaire, Mr. McGoff. Who allowed spending and borrowing to get out of control, and also along the way included a 26 percent tax increase approved by the mayor's group of minions on the former rubber stamp council lead by Mr. McGoff who made an extreme mockery of this city.

You know, I find it quite comical that the administration even had the audacity to blame council for the deficit even though council placed several resources in the 2011 budget to generate revenue as well as relieve some of the financial burden placed on our taxpayers. This includes the rental registration fee, which the LIPS office fails to strongly enforce up to this point, as well at the StreetSmart program which the administration has failed to institute even though other cities in Pennsylvania, including our close neighbors in Luzerne County, who have had success with the program.

Finally, council cut taxes,

fulfilling a campaign pledge made to all

Scrantonians. Mr. McGoff, on the other

hand, stated at the tax group interviews,

which was reported in Go Lackawanna, that he

would favor raising taxes again and place

one hefty burden on the residents of this

city.

Before the administration and it's minions start pointing fingers at the council majority, they should all start by look themselves in the mirror. The games in this city have to come to an end now, and with that said, I would like to wish everyone a happy Easter. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Ungvarsky.

MR. JOYCE: Excuse me, while the next speaker is coming up to the podium if, Mr. Burgerhoff, if you could place the location of the city-owned property that you explained that --

MR. BURGERHOFF: I spoke to Liptai multiple, multiple times for the last five, six years and he is up there again today

harassing my property and entering my property. I would like to actually see the rules on what kind of access you guys are allowed to take because he considers my property his accessible point. I guess if you can see down my driveway that gives you the right to walk down my driveway, I'm not so sure about that, you know, so I wanted to make you aware of the property, he tried to tell me it's not controlled by the city, but it's been owned by the city since '65.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Bugerhoff, we'll get that information, I'm sure it must be contained within an ordinance and we can provide that to you as soon as we locate it.

MR. BURGERHOFF: Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council, I'm Tom Ungvarsky.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Addressing 7-A. I realize that under good times parking is a problem at CMC, but perhaps there is another solution to the problem. The 109th field artillery has a lot just several blocks away from CMC. All their vehicles have been

removed and it is setting empty. Perhaps
the workers at CMC could park their vehicles
there and seeing as CMC has a shuttle system
perhaps they can take them and bring them
back from that lot.

And as far as that goes, Mr. Rogan had a very good suggestion last week if that one doesn't work out. I realize it's in Seventh Order, but perhaps you could even table it and discuss both of those ideas.

Two weeks ago, city council awarded a wealthy developer here \$250,000 to fix their sidewalks. I have no doubt that he is going to use that \$250,000 to pay the back taxes on the old Chamber of Commerce building and reapply for the \$4 million to develop Mulberry Lofts. Each time that an apartment of his is rented out it probably takes away an apartment from a private home. I wish city council would consider those, and while I realize that this \$4 million is from the state, it is still taxpayer money that we are giving. If he wanted a loan that would be fine, but I think it's wrong, we have already given millions of dollars

Marie

Next, I

1 for the Connell building, and I can't see giving him another \$4 million of the 2 3 taxpayers' dollars to develop loft apartments. Thank you and have a happy 4 Easter. 5 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 6 Schumacher. 7 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: Two brief items. 9 First, I would like to ask I guess it would 10 be our finance chair when he is expecting 11 the updated Recovery Plan from PEL? 12 MR. JOYCE: At the present time, I 13 know that PEL is using the 2001 operating 14 budget as the basis for the upcoming 15 Recovery Plan. 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: That's what they 17 said they are going to do. 18 MR. JOYCE: Since nothing has been 19 done at the present time we will be working 20 with PEL hopefully in the future of 2011 to 21 develop the Recovery Plan. MS. SCHUMACHER: 22 Thank you. 23 would like to say that I agree with Tom 24 Ungvarsky wholeheartedly on 7-A. I do not 25 think this should pass tonight.

And then finally, I would like to devote the rest of my time to a song I've sung many times before. Back in the 1950's there was a very popular TV game show, it was called the \$64,000 question and it was cut short after only I believe three years of playing due to a scandal involving TV quiz shows in general. Contests were asked a series of questions that concluded with the final question having a top prize of \$64,000. Tonight I want to pursue a \$600,000 question, and that's not really the inflated 1950 \$64,000 in today's 2011 dollars, so some background is in order.

At the May 18, 2006, council meeting, Mrs. Evans stated, "Good evening. First, I notice that we have held a legislation concerning a redeveloper's agreement with the Ice Box and the SRA for the interest free payments over 20 years. I believe we are going to have a caucus next week with those individuals to discuss in-depth."

That's the end of the quote. Now, presumably the legislation referred to here

is a resolution that was forwarded in April -- on April 26, 2006, to council by the city solicitor which would sell the property for \$600,000 to be paid in 20-year installments of \$30,000 with no interest. This resolution was tabled and never re-introduced.

Midway through the 2006 city council's August recess, a lease was filed with the County Recorder of Deeds for 99 years with an option to renew and additional term of another 99 years. I'll get back to that a little bit later.

Now, on September 18, 2006, and remember, following the fact that there was a resolution and the filing of the deed and it starts with Mrs. Evans saying, "Yes, Uno Fitness Center currently enjoys KOZ status. Their owners who currently owe our city \$600,000, and I would suggest that we table this loan until such time that the owners of the Ice Box would provide the monies owed to the City of Scranton and then we can entertain such a loan to their tenant."

Now, the rest of the colloquy deals

with procedure issues, but it ends up with a unanimous -- unanimous vote, so every member of the council felt at that point in time, September now, that the city was owed \$600,000.

However, I requested under the open records statute many things and received them, I might add. One was a copy of Resolution 67 of 2002 which set forth the original lease agreement. A memorandum of the lease between BRT Ice and the City of Scranton, that changes the terms, and one of the ways, this is the addendum to the realty tax statement of value on these parcels at BRT Ice.

Number one, this is a transfer of property subject to a ground lease for 198 years which is a payable at \$1 per year and \$600,000 at the end of the term. That's at the end of the 198 years. According to the present value calculations of Thomas P. Rainey, CPA, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, the present value is \$23.89.

Now, Solicitor Hughes,

unfortunately, is not here this evening, he did make a statement on February 10, and he likened this to the Dutch buying Manhattan for \$24 roughly, the beads and stuff, that probably had a value of about \$24. So my \$600,000 -- well, a few questions first. Under the -- well, just -- the \$600,000 question then is which agreement is valid, the one approved by city council or the memorandum attached to the lease which, as reported by Council Solicitor Hughes on February 10, results in a remuneration to the city of \$1 a year for 198 years?

And I will put that in writing in an e-mail to Mrs. Krake in the morning, but that is the \$600,000 question.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, City of Scranton. I've had several complaints so I took it on myself last night to go out and a reprehensible situation exists which should not exist and it would behoove city council

to rectify the situation immediately, there is not a light on the Lackawanna Avenue bridge, not one single light, and the lady who called me last night said her daughter has to cross that bridge every night walking across that coming from work and she nearly got hit by a car last night, and there is no excuse for that.

That bridge, I believe, is still under warranty, is it not? It hasn't been a full year yet. I believe there is a warranty involved in that and something has got to be done, and if there is a contractor and there's an agreement and he is not performing it, well, damn it, fire him and get somebody who will do the job. That's a public safety hazard and a very serious safety hazard and it should be rectified, not yesterday but immediately. It's got to be done and I hope you will take that under advisement really quick. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Talimini, I don't believe it's the new bridge by the railroad station, it's the bridge above it, because I

| 1 | have posted that complaint several times |
|----|--|
| 2 | myself. |
| 3 | MR. TALIMINI: It's the new bridge |
| 4 | from the bus station all the way over. |
| 5 | MR. LOSCOMBE: There is no lights on |
| 6 | that one? |
| 7 | MR. TALIMINI: Not one single light |
| 8 | on that bridge last night. |
| 9 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Because the upper |
| 10 | bridge has not a single light |
| 11 | MR. TALIMINI: There's not a light |
| 12 | on that bridge at all, and if I were walking |
| 13 | across there I would be worried about my |
| 14 | health and safety, too, especially knowing |
| 15 | what the situation is in that neighborhood, |
| 16 | but for a young girl to be walking home from |
| 17 | work and having to cross there, uh-huh. No. |
| 18 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Krake, if we |
| 19 | could just ask Joyce what the status of that |
| 20 | is. |
| 21 | MR. TALIMINI: That is totally |
| 22 | unacceptable. Thank you. |
| 23 | MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree. |
| 24 | MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Talimini. |
| 25 | MR IACKOWITZ Rill lackowitz |

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

South Scranton resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Basically tonight I want to talk about the occurrences in the last week especially with the newspaper. You know, last Tuesday night at city council meeting there was a lot of information brought forward by a lot of people, mainly council members and citizens and, you know, we learned that business administrators past and present have basically not been truthful with us and they have been padding the figures, they have been padding the numbers. We have heard that money has been transferred from one account to another account. We heard that money was taken out of an account from the 2010 budget and was used in January of 2011 which technically from my understanding, and if I'm wrong correct me, was in violation of the Home Rule Charter, and I believe that the Home Rule Charter is law. If I'm wrong, please correct me, but yet the newspaper decided to concentrate on Mrs. Evans' disability at the

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school board.

We even had two school board members, Mr. Lesh and Mrs. McGuigan, McGuigan, I'm sorry, who are known Doherty supporters and we also know that the Scranton Times are known Doherty supporters, but yet they wanted to make a front page headline story out of this, you know, and plus they are quoted in saying that Mrs. Evans is making \$79,000 a year, well, I'm going to tell you what, if the school board and the taxpayers are paying a teacher \$79,000 a year to work I forget how many hours it was, but it wasn't very many hours, then we have a major problem, and I think the school board should be concentrating on the school board issues and not city council issues and the mayor's issues because, like I said, we have very, much more important issues to be talking about.

We have a distressed city for 19
years. We have a Pennsylvania Economy
League who has done absolutely nothing for
19 years to help the City of Scranton out of
the distressed city status. We have firemen

and police officers who haven't been under contracts since 2002. We have had, I don't know, 25 or 26 arbitration cases that have done before arbitrators and now have gone before Courts and have been appealed, but yet we are going to concentrate about a disability case, which quite frankly, is no one's business or no one's concern.

I mean, this city is falling apart.

I was just down in a city right outside of Philadelphia today, down in Yardley,

Pennsylvania, and I'll tell you what, they are laughing at Scranton. It might only be one little small community, but it's my family, I was at my cousin's funeral tonight -- or this afternoon I should say, and they have all been to Scranton, they even lived in Scranton for one time or another, but they all left. They are laughing at this city. It's a joke.

And you know why it's a joke, because of the people. Not all of them, but most of the people who we have elected to public office. We, the people, of the City of Scranton have elected the wrong people.

And, yes, Mr. McGoff, I will hold you responsible. You said last week if we wanted to hold you responsibile for this mess, well, I am holding you responsible, and you want to know why? Because of your votes. Words are nothing but thoughts, that's all they are. Actions is what counts, and for an elected official your actions are your vote, so when you vote for higher taxes, that's your action. When you vote against the firemen and police officers and public safety, that's your actions.

Don't be giving me this google eyes, you voted against them. It's on record. Read the record.

You voted for fire station closures.

Read the record. Okay? You voted for brownouts. Read the record. Because you voted for every one of them, we had four votes against one, and you were the only one who supported Mayor Doherty like you have on every issue. You have supported higher taxes, you have supported stupid, ridiculous fines and fees on taxpayers, delinquent taxpayers. I mean, you supported it all.

So, yes, me, I hold you responsible. 1 Judy Gatelli responsible and I hold Sherry 2 3 Fanucci responsible, John Pocius responsible, and all of the other cronies 4 who voted lock, step and barrel including 5 Kevin Murphy who voted with Mayor Doherty. 6 7 That's why this city is the laughing stock of this state. That's why we have been 8 9 distressed for 19 years, that's why we will remain distressed because we have no 10 11 leadership, and when someone does try to 12 lead and a new super majority comes in they 13 are crucified by a bunch of Doherty cronies 14 in the Scranton Times-Tribune. 15 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. 16 Jackowitz. 17 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Jack. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy, how about them West Siders? 19 20 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Aren't they classy, 21 Janet? 22 MS. EVANS: Absolutely. 23 MR. SLEDENZSKI: They're classy, 24 aren't they, Pat? 25 MR. ROGAN: Oh, yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Oh, Jack, next Saturday, on April 30, pay for little league next Saturday, for the kids. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Chris.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris.

MR. ELLMAN: Council. You'll probably be sorry you came in so early when you saw me. Well, I had several more people tell me I must have lost my mind in attacking Mr. Jarbola. I wasn't attacking Mr. Jarbola, I respect Mr. Jarbola and I think he has been there long enough and ought to go on up the ladder myself, but what I was attacking is the favoritism and the deals that go in our judicial system, and people say it's been 150 years, like I said, well, you tell them 60 people down at Wilkes-Barre that it can keep going on. These people, they have a responsibility to everybody in this city and I just don't think they do a good job at it.

I saw in the paper the other day it said Playboy named the University of Colorado at Boulder the biggest party school in the country. Evidently, they haven't

seen all of the drunks going up Mulberry
over the weekend. They use that big statute
for a toilet down there.

Jack, don't hide. I was talking to Johnny Superstar, our dear friend. We were having some cocktails, of course, at the Taurus Club Sunday and he was telling me how you saw the -- his trick getting-- having a hundred dollar bill disappear and come back. He said, "Just like our mayor, but he just knows the disappearing part of the \$100 bills, he doesn't know how to get them back."

What's this letter say, I'm fired?

Well, if I offended council last week I'm sorry, but I just wanted to get it out and I'm not a -- I'm not the one that did wrong. The system failed me and my son and I guess we just have to go to Court and sue Moosic and other people to makeup for his lost time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Okay, on 5-B through E, please do whatever you can to make sure the Office of Economic and Community Development spends that money wisely and not just on somebody's pet project like has happened in the past. I heard stories about homeless, housing for the homeless where thousands upon thousands of dollars were sunk into just one home and one person got it and he was a favorite son or whatever, and hopefully you can do whatever you can to mitigate that.

Now, tonight on this union issue with the police department, I would just like to say that union security is the very backbone of any union contract, without union security. And I was the union steward and chief steward at one time, I constantly had original employees of this company, and eventually I red circled them on the contract, because they would keep coming back and performing work and while they were performing physical labor, there are four or five guys hiding behind a machine not doing their job anyway, but that is the backbone

of any union contract, so I have to come in on the side of the police department on that and the union because if one guy can do it then next thing you know we have a half a dozen people running around nonunion performing union job duties and it's not right. Eventually you wind up with an open contract, so I understand why they are doing what they are doing and I'm with them on that. You can't get around it, if you sacrifice your union security you don't have any union, you have an open shop.

On that Mattes Avenue and down there by General Dynamics, I had a real brain storm of possibly selling some of those people at a severely reduced rate parking permits, that's some food for thought. If somebody works down there and you want to buy a parking permit and pay a little bit instead of \$2 but -- or \$8 a day or whatever and probably would be a good thing. Maybe we could get a couple of dollars out of the situation.

And on this Scranton Times, please, stop appointing yourselves doctor, lawyer.

_ .

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If I were you, I would call Lenahan and Dempsey. I'd fix their butts. It's just ridiculous. I mean, you know, it's -- it's everybody said that. I've had bouts with disability and people say things to you that, oh, well it's okay if you need it. Well, you know, I had congestive heart failure, I took, you know, a cardiac arrest in recovery on an open heart surgery. have -- I've had back problems, knee problems, aneurysms and so forth, and it looks like they have some kind of medical degree just because you can nurse yourself into getting moving after five or six hours of popping pills and doing whatever you have to do survive.

And finally, the golden parrot award, well, back after 9/11 we had troopers and they believed that the plane didn't really fly into the building, and they believed that the government used thermite, it sounds really impressive, to blowup buildings, well, that's the stuff they put on the sparklers for the Fourth of July and President Bush just got barraged by that.

You know, maybe in his intelligence network there was a little bit of incompetence there that they didn't pick up on a few things, but now we have the birthers and they don't believe that President Obama, so they get the golden parrot of the week award. I mean, how much do you have to see to -- when you see a plane flying into a building or whatever to know that it's not something that was just planned and created all these problems, so they get the double bawk, bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. O'MALLEY: Good evening,

Council. How is everybody tonight on this rainy evening?

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. O'MALLEY: I'm here simply for our night at the races, the Scranton High Football is April 30 at Holy Rosary from 7 to 11. Holy Rosary is located on West Market and Wayne for anybody who doesn't know where it is. We're in desperate need of baskets, horses, anything anybody can

give us, we would really appreciate it.

With everything going on this time of year and financial everything, but this is for the kids and every penny we make goes to the kids and they need it. We want to get them in camp, we want to get them what they need.

Period. So I'm asking everybody just come to our night at the races. Have some fun, have a couple of drinks, eat some foods and make a couple of bets, and do it for the kids, and Happy Easter and thanks.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. Once again, I would like to reiterate what I said before about Chief Duffy. I believe that his actions are commendable and whether it was the intent to embarrass the chief or not, I think that filing a grievance did do that. I think it was an injustice to a gentleman that was doing -- that's attempting to do a

1

4

3

6

5

8

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good job for the City of Scranton and doing the job for which he was hired. Again, I think it goes to the, you know, no good deed goes unpunished, and I hope that Chief Duffy keeps up the good work and continues to work on behalf of the City of Scranton despite what has taken place.

Secondly, last week we spoke about the budget deficit and I asked if we would sit down with the administration and attempt to discuss a course of action for dealing with the deficit. I still think that this is the best course of action rather than waiting for a proposal from the mayor and then dealing with that and then either accepting or rejecting and going back to another proposal, I think that the best course of action would be for council and the administration to work together to solve this problem and I guess I'm asking, again, if anyone on council is willing, you know, to sit down with the administration and, you know, pave the way for a new Recovery Plan rather than, again, waiting for one to be presented that we may not approve. I would

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hope that some member, you know, other members of council will respond to my request.

Also, last week I failed to mention that I did present amendments to the Rental Registration Act to Attorney Kelly and he is reviewing them. We are going to sit down hopefully before the end of this week to discuss, you know, attempt at some of them and perhaps look at some other ideas that he may have and that Mr. Seitzinger may have for implementation of the rental registration program and hopefully within a number of weeks we would -- what I have asked Attorney Kelly to do is to provide a draft of legislation, rather than putting something on the agenda I would rather that he present that as a draft of possible legislation that we can then review, make changes to, and then with those changes then present it to council for a vote rather than trying to amend it as it's presented. hopefully that draft will be available within the next couple of weeks.

Last, I would just like to respond

21

22

23

24

25

to a couple of things that were said. don't -- never remember voting on closings or brownouts. I don't know that there was ever anything introduced to council that dealt specifically with that. I did -- I will say I did vote against the mandatory manning legislation, which I believe was improper, and voted against it because of that. I would just like to -- I am grateful for the work that the police and fire departments do on a daily basis. never voted against any or voted for anything that would hinder them in the performance of their duties. And with that, I reserve any other comment, anything else that I would say would probably appear to be political in nature and really not -- this is not the proper venue for that type of response, so with that, thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And Councilman Rogan, any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Once again, I would like to congratulate the West Scranton boys and West Scranton girls basketball teams. As a proud West Scranton resident

and graduate myself -- that's right, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: That's right, Pat.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to congratulate them, as well as Coach Lyons and Coach Ciullo. Coach Ciullo was my swimming instructor when I attended West Middle School and Coach Lyons was our senior guidance counselor when I graduated high school.

Secondly, I received a caper from OECD and initially our office only received one, and then we had to get other copies, and it's a very, very lengthy document, so I will be reporting on that next week. I just started reading it, but it's a few inches thick, so I will be finishing up on that.

Last week I talked about the
Washburn Street Cemetery, and I know it's
been an issue for many, many, many years and
I know Charlie Newcomb has been very active
about it, he's been in touch with me, but a
friend of mine from West Scranton, Frank
Stefano, said he really wants to get
involved with helping with this situation,

and he sent me some pictures, I have them on my phone, of tombstones, he counted hundreds, over a hundred here, that was tipped over in the cemetery. The grass is already becoming overgrown and we are not even really in the spring yet. The roads, some of the roads in the cemetery there is trees fallen in the middle of the road, so people can't drive down to where their loved one's grave is, and it is absolutely appalling that that can happen when people pay to have their relatives buried and hopefully with respect.

You know, Hillary Clinton's father was buried in this cemetery. You would think that with the Clintons and all of the power they have that we would be able to get something done, but I would assume she doesn't know about the condition, and it's an embarrassment, it really is, and I hate to put our newspaper reporter on the spot, Josh, but maybe we can head out there and try to get a story going on this to -- you know, let everyone in the community know how bad it is.

Next, there has been a lot of talk about the budget deficit the last few weeks, and I just have a few ideas that I would like to put out there. First, I would like to reiterate that I would not support any cuts in police, fire and public safety for the city. And I also believe that the solution is not going to the bank to borrow more money. We have borrowed and borrowed and borrowed and borrowed and, you know, look at government on every level. United States deficit went from positive to negative. You know, we have borrowed too much money, so these are two ideas that I have to help bring in money to the city and to reduce the size of our budget.

Authority. Everyone knows about all of the nepotism at the Sewer Authority. It has been where this mayor has stashed people around election years to try to gain support, putting more and more people in that authority year after year after year and the cost is going up and up and up. I have talked to many people in the business

community over the last month and they believe that the sale of the Sewer Authority could bring in nearly \$50 million, and that is a low end estimate. With \$50 million brought in we could close the budget gap as well as instituting a citywide paving program with the majority of the streets in this city that need to get paved will finally get paved once and for all.

Secondly, and I mentioned this last week, privatizing the DPW. The refuse portion of the DPW can be done easily by the private sector unlike police and fire work which cannot be done by the private sector, garbage collection can. It is in many other towns and many other cities. By privatizing garbage collection, we would have an outside company that comes in and does it which would save a lot of money in the budget, and if we didn't want to go that far, which I would support, we definitely should have an efficiently survey done at the DPW.

I have talked to many reliable sources who believe that the garbage pickups can be done in four days in the City of

Scranton. If they are done in four days, on the fifth day the guys who normally work refuse could be out fixing the roads, fixing the potholes, attending to other business in the city, and that's of a five-week workday buy one extra day for guys to go out and take care of all of the other needs in the city we would notice an immediate difference, but the first step would be an efficiency study where we would have somebody come in, an expert in the field, which none of us on council obviously are, that could come in and do the report and give it to us and give it to the administration.

Mr. McGoff, you mentioned, you know, you wanted somebody to sit down with the mayor, I would be willing to do it, but, as I said, I would not be willing to even negotiate about cutting the police and fire and I would not be willing to negotiate borrowing anymore money, so if that's part of what the mayor wants to do, for me it wouldn't be even worth the time to sit down and try to discuss it. If there are other

ways we can go about it without tax increases, cuts in public safety, borrowing more money, I would be more than willing to sit down with the mayor any day.

Finally, we have all received a letter from residents about 814 Quincy Avenue, and this is a street, I know we have been contacted by the resident many, many times about this and, Mrs. Krake, I believe each one of us received it, if it's agreeable with everyone else if we would allow the office to forward this down to Licensing and Inspections and ask that they take prompt action.

And finally, I would like to wish everyone a very happy Easter. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And, Councilman Loscombe, comments, motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, a few brief comments. First of all, I have a citizen's concern, the 1100 block of Academy Street, this past week there was a seen of an attempted theft of tires from the vehicle and I spoke to someone since then and I guess this is starting to become epidemic in

23

24

25

this city, there has been at least six incidents since then where these thieves are jacking up the vehicles and putting them up on cinder blocks and taking the wheels and tires, unfortunately, this was about three in the morning and one of the neighbors happened to witness this and when they went out, they were on foot, they chased them away, they already had one wheel off this vehicle, but this particular area is very dark and I was just wondering what the process is to get a light installed and if we can check on that, Mrs. Krake, because it's a dark area and it makes it prone to -and right across from Lincoln Jackson School in that area, but, you know, hopefully the police will be cracking down on this stuff. It just seems to be another, you know, another situation in the city right now.

As Mr. Rogan said, Mr. McGoff, I would be also be happy to meet with the administration. We have attempted to meet with him on several occasions prior, but I will not meet with him until the business is done and accomplished that we have put

before them, the StreetSmart RFP's, the insurance broker RFP's, our rental registration, you know, this stuff has been languishing, they are looking how to get out of the deficit they are in, but there is moneymakers there for them that they are just sitting on, so I would request before anything is done and any meeting with the administration that they take care of these situations.

I can't really comment too much on it because I just found out about it this afternoon, I don't receive the paper, but on the police grievance I think Mr. Martin had explained the union side, I would like to speak to Chief Duffy who, again, has always been professional, has always been accommodating, and I think I can understand from a labor side, also, you know, you are in a situation where you have a choice to be promoted to a certain position such as chief, you give up the patrolman duties, and again, most of the public don't understand the situation, but the problem being is we fought here to put policemen back on the

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

iob. The administration cut ten policemen. As of now, two of those positions are unfilled and the mayor has stated publically in the newspaper that he will not fill the positions as they become vacant. So, yes, the police are worried about their jobs, but the situation goes back years, I believe 2002 Sergeant Martin said, where all of this was clarified, what the police chief's duties are and what the patrolman's duties are, but like anybody, anybody comes across the scene of incident naturally they have to react, they have a moral obligation, an ethical obligation, and an obligation to their badge.

I think what happened here the problem is when you go beyond that scope and you're actively going around, that puts a different light on it. Not that the chief isn't a great chief, and I understand Mr. Martin has commended him many times. And as far as I think Mr. McGoff said they put it in the paper to embarrass him, I don't believe that it was the union that put it in the paper, I believe the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

administration passed it onto the newspaper because you know the history with this history with this newspaper and the unions here.

But until I get the total picture, until I have sat down with both parties I can't comment any further, I just happen to see the sides that have been presented to me so far and I think it's basically a news article that's making a big thing out of nothing. It's just, you know, the chief can continue on doing what he is doing. I think he is doing a fantastic job and nobody disagrees with that, like I said, and he has been very accommodating. Any time I have to sit down with him or meet with him, it was right there, and he has done anything that I have asked and I know he is working very well with his staff.

But, unfortunately, when you get into labor negotiations and stuff like that some of this comes up. It may seem to be an embarrassment on the police department right now, but, you know, anyone that's involved in labor would realize what's going on. The

average person has never been involved in a labor or union contract, I don't think no matter what they say they will understand, but I think in the best interest of all let this play out and I think it will be resolved to the best interest of all citizens in the city here, and that's all I am able to say at this time.

And as far as anything else, I'm just speechless this week over the newspaper articles of this past week and I can't even comment on them because, you know, what you hear at these meetings, as I said previously, and what you see at these meetings is not what you read about in the newspapers, so we are not going to get anywhere by arguing about it.

And finally, I'd like to wish everyone out there a happy and holy Easter.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And thank you,

Councilman Loscombe, Councilman Joyce,

comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Before I get into what I'm going to discuss tonight, I just

wanted to take the time to wish everyone a very blessed happy Easter and a very sacred blessed happy passover as well.

business administrator, Ryan McGowan, indicated to the Scranton Times that council was aware that a deficit would occur in the 2010 operating budget. I'd like to clarify a little bit by saying this statement is completely false. During PEL presentations, PEL did not indicate that there would be a shortfall in the 2010 budget. All PEL indicated is that there were would be shortfalls in subsequent years if the budget was left unchanged.

PEL's solution at the time was to increase revenues fees for residents, in addition to reducing administrative salaries by 15 percent while laying off a few city employees. Neither council nor the administration adhered to PEL's suggestions.

On January 4 after the 2011 operating budget was passed, the business administrator submitted a cash flow report to city council and to the State of

Pennsylvania showing actual amounts of the revenues collected and expenditures incurred through the month of October, coupled with his projections for November and December.

I have the report right here in front of me, and notice this is coming to us in 2011 when, oh, we are supposed to be aware that there was a deficit in 2010 at this point, right? Wrong.

In this report the business administrator indicated that in 2010, prior to the tax anticipation note obligations we would receive \$62,798,212 in revenue and incur \$62,771,168 in expenses, which would leave us collecting \$27,044 more than we actually spent, so I guess by the report it doesn't really appear that council knew that there was going to be a deficit in 2010 that occurred from the budget at that time.

It was not until the March 1 report submitted by Mr. McGowan that it was discovered that the 2010 operating budget came up significantly short. In the Business Administrator's report on March 1, it was indicated that we only collected

\$57,688,628 in revenue, while spending \$62,401,767 in expenditures, obviously, thus leaving us \$4,713,139 short.

Because of the strain that the \$4.7 million shortfall had on overall cash flow in 2010, the administration did not pay back TAN-B in December of 2010, as was previously discussed last week. The reason for this is that the city would not have had enough money to do so. Therefore, they abandoned the payment until 2011 when revenue from the next years TANS came in.

Therefore, with all of being said, when council made budget amendments it was under no impression that the 2011 operating -- or 2010 operating budget would come up short as predicted by the business administrator at that time.

So there you have it. Now we are faced with the situation that we are in. We know that (a), the 2010 operating budget came up \$4.7 million short, we know that the business administrator projects that the 2011 budget will come up \$3.9 million short, mostly due to faulty health insurance

projections that were provided by the former business administrator himself; and (c), that the administration already used revenue from 2011 to pay back 2010 bills to the tune of at least \$11.3 million.

While the administration and the Scranton Times, since the Scranton Times basically takes everything that the administration states as true, is trying to purport that council -- that it is council's fault that the city has a deficit. However, it is not.

In adopting budget amendments,

Scranton City Council amended some revenue sources, the bulk of these revenue sources were real estate taxes, wage taxes, the local service tax, the business privilege and the mercantile. In the tax office's most recent report, the tax office has actually collected and distributed nearly \$1 million more in revenue to the city than the previous year from the collection of these taxes, and that's with a tax decrease being factored in.

What is more troubling than this is

that the administration and the Scranton

Times still blames council for the projected

budget hole for this year after the

administration not only made faulty health

insurance projections, but also hired

employees back that were laid off in the

2011 operating budget and gave them health

benefits as casual workers. When this was

done, Mayor Doherty stated everything was

fine. Everything would be covered.

All of what I just said is the truth that the Scranton Times fails to print.

However, this week council has become aware of even more activity by the administration that is appalling. While the mayor and his administration is pointing the finger at council and crying that the city is going to run out of money, they are refusing to adhere to the 2011 operating budget because they are not paying the salaries that were reduced in some cases.

A request was submitted to the city controller's office to report the bi-weekly pay amounts of the mayor's confidential secretary for 2011. As Mrs. Novembrino, our

city controller, indicated for the first three pay periods of 2011 Mayor Doherty paid his confidential secretary at a pay rate that was budgeted by council. However, over the past four pay periods that ha changed. Apparently, as indicated by Mrs. Novembrino, the mayor decided to give the secretary a \$2.40 per hour raise which translates to about \$5,000 a year, while trying to point the finger at council as the scapegoat for the reason the city is running low on funds. Well, my friends, isn't this the pot calling the kettle black.

As per today's Scranton Times article, the mayor alluded that the secretary was provided a raise because she now does more work. Hmmm. Well, let's see here, employee head count was reduced in the 2011 operating budget. Of course someone is going to have to pick up the extra slack. I would like to ask anyone listening right now that in a period of restructuring who doesn't take on additional work? Welcome to the real world.

Also, if the mayor's secretary had

23

24

25

time to pick up an additional duty, she must have had a lot of down time. With this in mind, since we now have become aware that Mayor Doherty's has given his secretary a raise in excess of what was actually budgeted for, Mrs. Krake, please submit a Right-to-Know request on my behalf asking the administration to provide regular, not overtime work, for -- or to provide the actual payments in the 4-1 and 4-13 pay dates for all regular nonunion employees. In this Right-to-Know request, please disclude any overtime work that was performed so that we could see exactly how much each of the employees are making since the mayor's confidential secretary's pay rate is now higher than what was budgeted for, we must also question what about everybody else?

Guess what, there were people laid off in other departments, I'm sure someone else had to pick up the work. Is everyone else receiving a raise, too?

MS. EVANS: Mr. Joyce, if I could just interrupt for a one second?

MR. JOYCE: 1 Sure. MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake. I'm 2 3 wondering if we did receive a response from the city controller regarding the four 4 casual workers who were previously DPW 5 supervisors? 6 MS. KRAKE: I don't believe we 7 8 received them as of today. 9 MS. EVANS: Okay. And I know that 10 our finance chair is indicating that we did 11 receive a response concerning the mayor's 12 secretary. 13 MS. KRAKE: Right. 14 MS. EVANS: If I'm correct here, neither was sent as a Right to Know? 15 16 MS. KRAKE: That's correct. 17 MS. EVANS: Can we send from city 18 council then a Right to Know on the matter of the four casual workers. 19 MS. KRAKE: 20 Yes 21 MS. EVANS: You can use the same 22 information that was provided in the 23 original letter to Mrs. Novembrino. And I 24 just wanted to add, Mr. Joyce, to your most 25 recent discussion that ironically, however,

it was I believe the administration who cut the position in the Human Resources

Department.

MR. JOYCE: That is correct.

MS. EVANS: It was not city council, so the mayor would have cut that position, the person holding that position had also served as the part-time secretary to the Civil Service Commission, which I believe doesn't even meet monthly, I can't -- honestly I can't get my finger on the pulse of when those meetings are, they are irregular at best, but then the duties were assigned to his secretary with additional pay. That's correct?

MR. JOYCE: That is correct.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: And I know that originally the four foremen, I believe they were earning \$15.80 an hour plus benefits, I'm not sure if that's changed because, obviously, the salaries of some of the administrative employees seem to be changing, so I'm not sure if -- I'm not sure what people are making, if Mayor Doherty is

even paying them according to the 2011 operating budget at this point.

But to conclude, while the administration continues to point the finger at council for the city's cash flow problems, the facts really do speak for themselves. The administration used 2011 revenue to pay off 2010 bills. The administration hired back workers that were laid off in the 2011 budget and refunded their health insurance through they under projected their own health insurance numbers, and the administration is now paying employees at a pay rate higher than what was actually budgeted for.

The deficit that the city is currently running is the administration's deficit. The administration is who created a deficit in 2010 and prior years and are the primary reason why there may be a deficit in 2011 due to faulty projections. Though the Scranton Times would like to direct everyone's attention to Mrs. Evans' personal work matters rather than Scranton's real problems, being the deficits the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

administration has created which have lead to Scranton's cash flow issues, no smear articles about Janet Evans will be able to hide the real truth.

And on other matters of business, I noticed that we received a controller's report for the month ending March 31, 2011. I had a chance to briefly glance over it just a little while ago and I noticed that the figures for the real estate tax are different than in the controller's report of what was actually realized by the city than from the tax office's report. Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, can you please contact Mr. Courtright and Mrs. Novembrino on my behalf and just simply ask them why the numbers don't match? Ιs there a difference in reporting cycle that -- or the reporting cycle that we are not aware of.

Also, I have a few citizens'
requests. I have been informed by various
Hill Section residents that there are
various potholes and cracks in the 200 and
300 blocks of Colfax Avenue there are making

travel conditions very difficult.

Mrs. Krake, please forward to Mr. Brazil and ask him to repair these two blocks.

A resident of Prescott Avenue also reported to me that the 300 block of Prescott Avenue is in very poor shape as many cracks and potholes are making travel conditions difficult. Mrs. Krake, if you could please add this to the letter to Mr. Brazil.

And various residents on West Market Street report speeding vehicles on a consistent basis for which they fear it could end up in accidents occurring. If you could please pass this onto Chief Duffy and ask him to handle this -- or this situation as he sees fit.

Also, I did notice that we received back from the DPW, let me find it, a memo from Mr. Brazil regarding ATV activity upon the city's levying system. I know that last week Mr. Hubbard approached council stating that there were ATV's driving upon the levy in lower Greenridge and informed us that he had contacted police, however, police said

there was nothing that they could do being that the activity wasn't occurring on the street. Well, thankfully, Mr. Brazil passed on this ordinance and there is a \$500 fine for violating this ordinance which prohibits ATV's from driving on levies in the lower Greenridge area.

So with this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Chief Duffy on my behalf and ask him if the Scranton Police Department can patrol this area and fine the violators of this ordinance who can, because is it just a useless ordinance that has no backing?

And with that, I conclude what I have to say for tonight and once again I just wanted to reiterate to everybody have a happy Easter and for our Jewish friends have a happy Passover as well. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

Good evening. I'd like to thank everyone
for your phone calls, e-mails, and cards of
support and encouragement throughout this
past week. I wish to speak directly to you,
ladies and gentlemen, regarding my alleged

lack of transparency and accountability propagated by the Scranton Times. It was I who responded to the public in October of 2009 and a newspaper article was printed quoting my remarks on October 21, 2009, thus, there was never any secret.

I suffered a serious work-related injury and filed a claim for worker's compensation in 2009. My injuries were medically confirmed by both my treating physician and independent medical examiners. I do not collect \$50,500 annually. I receive a statutorily mandated payment of \$32,102.40 after the deduction of a 20 percent attorney fee. I also receive a \$12,500 council salary for a total annual income of \$44,602.40.

Although, I do receive sustained medical treatment only for my injuries, I do not have comprehensive health care benefits. God forbid if I were to develop pneumonia or any sudden life-threatening illness, I have no health care coverage to pay hospital and physician bills like so many of you in our community, many of whom suffer far worse

hardships.

Further, I am not the chairperson of the English Department and this position was posted for replacement in 2010. Any statements and cartoons to the contrary are irresponsible and malicious untruths.

I am able to attend city council meetings once a week of which all physicians are aware and have approved. The comparison between one council meeting per week and a full five-day workweek is illogical even to those outside of the teaching profession. Teaching requires constant, active supervision, discipline, simultaneous instruction of students possessing a broad spectrum of ability levels, preparation, grading and accommodations for special needs among numerous others. Both federal and state laws mandate these requirements for the education and safety of our students. This work is performed both within and outside of the classroom. People who think that sitting in a classroom for three hours a day or for seven hours daily constitutes teaching are depreciating what our teachers

25

1

do.

I trust these statements satisfy the inquiries of all of those concerned with my personal life and professional career.

Next, returning to matters of city business that truly impact the people of Scranton, this city council has achieved more significant accomplishments for the benefit of the people in little over a year than the previous council on which I served.

This council majority lowered property taxes by 10 percent and business taxes by 25 percent. It maintained your level of public safety personnel, specifically police and fire, to ensure that the safety and welfare of all Scranton residents.

It cut the costs of a bloated administration and presented new revenue generators to address city debt, none of which the mayor is pursuing. It lowered the bidding threshold. Mandated that professional services are bid, and brought accountability to the UDAG repayment accounts by ending Mayor Doherty's frivolous

spending throughout the last nine years.

This council held developers accountable for their work and for their delinquent taxes and was the first to seek PILOT payments from large nonprofits and increase of contributions.

And just as an aside, I listened as many tonight discussed the former
Chamberlin, I believe it's General Dynamics,
I might add that General Dynamics was approached by both myself and I believe the mayor of the City of Scranton for PILOT payments. To date, no contribution has been made and I did not receive the courtesy of a return phone call that I requested from one of the vice presidents.

In addition, this council satisfied the outstanding bills of Chris Doherty for the city's portion of the Lackawanna Heritage Valley Trail and negotiated a new agreement with them and provided increased fair funding to the Griffen Pond Animal Shelter. It also increased funding for blight removal and provided funding for the purchase of a fire engine and police cars.

It is fighting for a fair cable contract and it dissolved the Library Authority. It was the first governing body to ban bath salts and synthetic marijuana in this area. This is but a snapshot of what this council majority has achieved.

At the same time however, the

Scranton Times desperately attempts to make
you, the public, believe that this council
has done nothing and is incompetence. The
editor wrote on April 14, and I quote, "If
incompetence were a medical condition,

Mrs. Evans' city council would be on
permanent disability."

Yet, their own reporter fails to report facts presented during weekly council meetings. For example, where is the long promised list of Chris Doherty's invoices of city UDAG funds printed in the Scranton Times? Where is the article reporting that the administration used a 2011 TAN to pay off a 2010 TAN IN violation of the very ordinance that provides for the issuance OF the TANS? When will the reporters ask mayor Doherty how he is able to move city money

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

without ordinances prescribed by the Home Rule Charter and the Administrative Code?

Have you ever read an investigative article into the \$11.2 million findings against the OECD Office? Has there been an article revealing the sole source declarations previously approved by the Doherty administration?

Each of these issues is documented in black and white and each has been discussed right here during city council meetings, and yet, there have been no news articles or editorials that address these serious issues. It seems that accountability and transparency do not apply to the mayor's office or perhaps Mayor Doherty never pledged any accountability and transparency to the people, which explains the absence of vital financial facts and information in the newspaper, the continued retreat to the Chamber of the Commerce to present the state of the city address, the total absence from council caucuses and meetings to which he is invited, and his refusal to appear at town meetings.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now. let's examine what has made the pages of the newspaper. The very recent and false statements in both the news article and editorial which proclaim that council overestimated revenues and that Mrs. Evans had no suggestions for addressing the Doherty deficit. I recommend that the newspapers should do the following: Listen to the weekly reports of the council finance chair and examine his documentation, particularly data from the Single Tax Office which shows increased revenue in 2010 and 2011, as well as Mr. McGowan's contradictory reports then you will have a clear, financial picture of the revenues based on fact.

Next, pull the minutes of recent council meetings and verify my statements and suggestions. The reporter never reported them and for whatever his reason seems to have told his bosses that I had none. I listed refinancing debt, the sale or lease of the Scranton Parking Garages after 60 days notice to the Scranton Parking Authority, the privatization of the Scranton

Sewer Authority, and the use of the excess funds in the Worker's Comp Trust Fund. This was said several weeks ago during a council meeting during which a Scranton Times' reporter sits throughout.

Mr. Rogan on the same evening suggested the privatization of the DPW. Such privatizations are familiar to the mayor since Councilman Doherty agreed to the privatization of the Sewer Authority to solve prior financial ills.

At the same time, the administration suggests only layoffs to police, fire, clerical, and DPW employees. While Chris Doherty reinstated his cronies to the DPW budget, awarded them unprecedented health care benefits while categorizing them as casual workers, and used tens of thousands of dollars to pay his dues and subscriptions before the UDAG repayment checkbook closed.

He took 2011 TANS and worker's comp excess funds without council's knowledge and approval to pay a 2010 TAN and bills, thereby, causing a significant deficit in 2011.

He closed the door to revenue generators like StreetSmart Technologies and rental registration and something that hasn't been mentioned by my colleagues this evening, the Office of LIPS and the rental registration program has lost an employee and the mayor refuses to fill the position which, of course, will further negatively impact the rental registration program.

Absentee landlords can continue to create problems in our neighborhoods without any accountable and the city will receive no revenue.

I have a question for the newspaper, will the Scranton Times live up to it's proud journalist mission, investigate and print these facts in a news article and editorials?

Next, city council recently received a report from an inspection team from CC

Johnson and Malholtra, PC, regarding the Rockwell Avenue bridge over Leggett's Creek. The team inspected this City of Scranton owned stone masonry arch bridge and has determined 14 high priority maintenance

items that have not been completed.

According to the report, the structural adequacy of this bridge is questionable. The conditions described if left as is are considered to be an unacceptable safety risk to the motoring public. Significant structural repairs to the arch steam walls, spandrel walls and wigs are needed in order for the structure to remain in service. These repairs are considered to be high priority maintenance activities requiring acting to be taken within six months of notification.

Mrs. Krake, please send correspondence to the city engineer, CECO Associates and DPW Director Brazil on behalf of city council, we request to know the plans, if any, to address the high priority maintenance items included in the CCJM report, as well as the cost and sources of the funding for these required repairs.

Also, please send a letter to

Mr. Brazil and Ms. Aebli from city council

requesting whether or not they have

submitted public infrastructure projects and

. `

economic development projects and applied for the Appalachian Regional Commission or ARC and Economic Development Administration, EDA, funding through the Northeastern Pennsylvania alliance. Preliminary proposals are due no later than April 30, 2011, and city council hopes these departments have forwarded submissions of any eligible projects.

If submission of preliminary proposals occurred, identify the projects and the purpose and estimated costs of each.

A response is requested on or before May 5, 2011.

Next, I received a thorough report and documents from residents of the 1700 block of Wyoming Avenue regarding the second phase of the Scranton Meadowbrook Flood Protection Project which would address the collapsed culvert behind a residence at 1713 Wyoming Avenue. According to Sharon Lynett, field representative for Senator Casey, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection requested that the governor authorize 12 million for the second phase

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Governor Rendell approved the request in his 2010 executive budget which would then appear in the next capital budget authorization bill. When this bill is enacted, the DEP can request the release of these funds through the Pennsylvania Department of the General Services or DGS and the governor's budget office. Released funds will be administered by DGS with DEP serving as the using or reviewing agency. DGS will request proposals for the design and likely hire a consulting engineer by Spring 2011. The construction should be underway for the 2012 construction season as hopefully will be completed by the Fall of 2013.

In addition, the city must secure easements and rights of way to allow private properties to be accessed so that the project can be constructed. The city will be responsible for contacting residents and obtaining these easements. The time line may increase significantly if one or more property owners are not readily agreeable to construction within their property.

Numerous Greenridge properties are affected by the creek, including the Church of the Good Shepard. Funds appear to be available from the federal and state levels, but the city seems to have stalled on it's requirements. This problem has plagued some residents of this area for 40 years and it needs to be continued to be addressed.

Mrs. Krake, on behalf of city council please send letters to the Pennsylvania Department of General Services and the governor's budget office requesting a status report and the total amount of funding designated to the second phase of the Scranton Meadowbrook Creek Project as soon as possible.

Next, please send a letter to Paul O'Hora, flood control manager, and CECO Associates, city engineer, requesting an update and timeline for completion of this project. Has the City of Scranton contacted residents and obtained the required easements and rights of way for the second phase of this project? If not, when will the city begin to do so? City council

requests a written response from the city representatives involved on or about May 5, 2011.

And I know that you touched on the issue of the ATV's on our flood levies under your motions.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: In addition to DPW head Brazil's comments on the issue, we were also able to speak with a city police officer who indicated, of course, there is no trespassing on city-owned property, but the officer also recommended, and I believe wisely so that the city post "No Trespassing, Motorized Vehicles Prohibited" at the flood levy in lower Greenridge.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to Mr. Brazil with a copy to Mr. Reed requesting the installation of these signs at the lower Greenridge flood levy.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week. According to WNEP TV -- to a WNEP newscast yesterday, Connell Park was used by the University of the Scranton for a baseball game. If the University of

Scranton owns the South Side Complex, city residents want to know why it is using Connell Park.

A child playing at the Tripp Park playground last week was hurt because there is not adequate mulch on which to land below the sliding boards. Residents of Tripp Park request the placement of mulch on the playground and park for the spring and summer seasons before more children are injured, and if we might add to that, I think it is very important that the city look toward maintaining it's current parks and playgrounds before it begins construction on additional locations.

The red car was removed from the corner of the Meadow Avenue and River Street thereby debunking the notion of a used car lot, however, residents continue to request a cleanup of that area for Earth Day this week.

Residents of East Mountain report
that catch basins on East Mountain Road are
covered with debris from weekend storms.
With additional rainfall in the forecast,

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the residents requests that storm drains would be cleaned as soon as possible, and that's it.

MR. JOYCE: Before we move onto 5-B, I just wanted to address something, I know that Mr. McGoff was stating before that we should meet with the administration and discuss the cash flow issues that are causing some of -- causing some of the projected problems that may happen in the city. As far as recommendations by council for handling the cash flow deficit, council, and I know in particular Councilwoman Evans, already suggested ideas such as a selling or leasing the parking garages, privatization of the Sewer Authority, refinancing debt and/or privatizing the DPW. I know that I have personally looked into the selling or leasing of the parking garages, I had a conversation with Mr. William Padutto, who is the finance chair for the Pittsburgh City Council, back in the summertime and I have also researched companies that specialize in this sort of thing.

In regard to the time that we would

meet, I believe the mayor should come here and meet with us. You know, I work during the day eight to five and I already used several vacation days in 2010 going to Court to try to save the city money over council's budget amendments which were ultimately shot down, but guess what happened, there was a deficit in 2010, but at the time the administration said, "Everything will be fine."

So since I used my vacation time in 2010, I challenge Mayor Doherty to use some of his down time and come here and sit with us to discuss the cash flow issues created and in great part by the 2011 -- or the 2010 budget in this forum with us, and that's all.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. CREATING AND
ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF
SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED U.S.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
ACCOUNT NO. 13A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT AND
DISBURSEMENT OF ALL BROWNFIELDS
COMMUNITY-WIDE HAZARDOUS ASSESSMENT GRANT

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FUNDS ("EPA FUNDS") RECEIVED FROM THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ("EPA").

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? This is a continuation of a number of special accounts being created within the Office of Economic and Community Development in order to pull apart, if you will, and straighten out funding that has unfortunately been commingled throughout the years. understand that HUD will be visiting shortly, if it isn't already, to check on the status of many issues at OECD that were brought to light in the audit finding by the Office of the Inspector General, and I think that these accounts are probably measures, additional measures that OECD is taking toward that HUD visit to ensure that at last the accounts are separate and unique to various grants and government requirements. All those in favor of introduction signify

1 by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 4 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 6 have it and so moved. 7 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. CREATING AND 8 9 ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY 10 DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED ECONOMIC 11 DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE PROGRAM ACCOUNT NO. 12 14A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF 13 14 ALL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE PROGRAM FUNDS ("EDI FUNDS") RECEIVED FROM THE U.S. 15 DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT 16 ("HUD"). 17 18 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 19 20 introduced into it's proper committee. 21 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 22 MR. JOYCE: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 those in favor of introduction signify by 25 saying aye.

| | 108 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 2 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. |
| 5 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 6 | have it and so moved. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: 5-D. CREATING AND |
| 8 | ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF |
| 9 | SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY |
| 10 | DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED COMMUNITY |
| 11 | DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM - RECOVERY |
| 12 | PROGRAM ACCOUNT NO. 15A.0101 FOR THE |
| 13 | RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF ALL COMMUNITY |
| 14 | DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT - RECOVERY PROGRAM |
| 15 | FUNDS ("CDBG-R FUNDS") RECEIVED FROM THE |
| 16 | U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN |
| 17 | DEVELOPMENT ("HUD"). |
| 18 | MS. EVANS: At this time I'll |
| 19 | entertain a motion that Item 5-D be |
| 20 | introduced into it's proper committee. |
| 21 | MR. ROGAN: So moved. |
| 22 | MR. JOYCE: Second. |
| 23 | MS. EVANS: On the question? All |
| 24 | those in favor of introduction signify by |
| 25 | saying aye. |

| | 110 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 2 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. |
| 5 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 6 | have it and so moved. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: 5-E. CREATING AND |
| 8 | ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF |
| 9 | SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY |
| 10 | DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED HOMELESS |
| 11 | PREVENTION AND RAPID-REHOUSING PROGRAM |
| 12 | ACCOUNT NO. 16A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT AND |
| 13 | DISBURSEMENT OF ALL HOMELESS PREVENTION AND |
| 14 | RAPID REHOUSING PROGRAM FUNDS ("HPRP") |
| 15 | RECEIVED FROM THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING |
| 16 | AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ("HUD"). |
| 17 | MS. EVANS: At this time I'll |
| 18 | entertain a motion that Item 5-E be |
| 19 | introduced into it's proper committee. |
| 20 | MR. JOYCE: So moved. |
| 21 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 22 | MS. EVANS: On the question? All |
| 23 | those in favor of introduction signify by |
| 24 | saying aye. |
| 25 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| | |

1 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 3 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 4 The ayes have it and so moved. 5 MS. KRAKE: 5-F. AUTHORIZING THE 6 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF 7 8 THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AN ADDENDUM 9 TO THE COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND THE SCRANTON PARKING 10 AUTHORITY BY ADDING THE NEW SUBSECTION 9 TO 11 ARTICLE 1 - POWERS AND RESPONSIBILITIES 12 OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY. 13 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 15 16 introduced into it's proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. MR. JOYCE: I would --22 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 23 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 2 The ayes 3 have it and so moved. MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a 4 motion to table Item 5-F. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Too late. We just 6 7 voted on it. 8 MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the 9 floor to table Item 5-F, do we have a 10 second? 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 12 MS. EVANS: On the question? 13 MR. ROGAN: I have two questions. 14 The first one is why and the second one is 15 we just voted on it so why are we tabling it 16 after the vote? 17 MR. JOYCE: Yes, actually this is 18 the way it's written up on our sheet, but 19 the reason why I wanted to table this, and I 20 actually tried to jump in before we voted on 21 it, so I'm not sure of the correct legal 22 proceedings, but I had a brief discussion 23 with Attorney Hughes and from what I 24 understand this is council-drafted 25 legislation and he informed me that he

hasn't received some additional information which had an effect on the ordinance. I'm 100 percent sure all of what the additional information was, however, I wanted to table the item so that any amendments that needed to be made could be done prior to introduction, and that's the main reason.

MR. ROGAN: Well, it is only Fifth Order.

MR. JOYCE: It's in Fifth Order I guess then any subsequent amendments could be made next week if it's considered.

MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to add that we are following the directions of Solicitor Hughes. Is there a problem with that?

MR. ROGAN: No, every time before this when we tabled anything we always did it before the vote.

MS. EVANS: Well, again, as I said,
I am following the advice of Solicitor
Hughes. If anyone is dissatisfied with
Attorney Hughes' service then you should
make that known.

MR. ROGAN: No, I think Attorney

Hughes is doing an excellent job, I just don't know why in the past when every time something was tabled it was always before the vote and this is the first time we are tabling something after the vote. It's just a question.

MR. MCGOFF: When --

MS. EVANS: Well, I had --

MR. MCGOFF: We're going to fight here.

MS. EVANS: No, you go ahead.

MR. MCGOFF: When this returns then it would be in Sixth Order since we have already voted on it.

MS. EVANS: Yes, yes, so it's really -- there is really no great difference here. You could have tabled it and kept it off the agenda entirely or placed it on the agenda and tabled it until such time as our attorney has the opportunity to address it and he is currently out of town, he will not return until next week at which time I know he will be inundated with work.

MR. ROGAN: I just want to clarify,

I know it would be the same thing as tabling

| 1 | it next week before the vote. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. JOYCE: Yeah, I don't think it |
| 3 | has any profound impact, we just had a small |
| 4 | office error. |
| 5 | MR. ROGAN: Yeah. |
| 6 | MR. JOYCE: Yeah. So I tried to |
| 7 | jump in before that, but we could wait until |
| 8 | next week. |
| 9 | MR. ROGAN: As long as it's cleared |
| 10 | up. |
| 11 | MR. JOYCE: We can wait. It's fine |
| 12 | with me. |
| 13 | MR. ROGAN: As Mr. McGoff said, it |
| 14 | would just be in Sixth Order instead of |
| 15 | Fifth. |
| 16 | MR. JOYCE: Exactly. |
| 17 | MS. EVANS: All those in favor of |
| 18 | tabling Item 5-F signify by saying aye. |
| 19 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 20 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. |
| 22 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? |
| 23 | MR. ROGAN: No. |
| 24 | MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so |
| 25 | moved. |

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

_ _

24

25

MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO. BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-ORDINANCE NO. 26, 2011 - (AS AMENDED) - REMOVAL OF PARKING METERS LOCATED IN THE 200 AND 300 BLOCKS OF ARTHUR AVENUE, BETWEEN MULBERRY AND LINDEN STREETS AND IN THE 1700 AND 1800 BLOCKS OF MULBERRY STREET AND IN THE 1700 AND 1800 BLOCKS OF LINDEN STREET, AND THE 300 BLOCK OF COLFAX AVENUE. AS MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN THE CORRESPONDENCE AND ON THE DIAGRAM FROM COMMUNITY MEDICAL CENTER ATTACHED HERETO AND THEREAFTER, TO INSTITUTE DAILY PERMIT PARKING FOR COMMUNITY MEDICAL CENTER EMPLOYEES.

MR. LOSCOMBE: At this time I would like to make a motion to amend Item 7-A, as amended, by amending Section II, by adding in quotations, "And shall remain in effect until CMC parking garage is reopened or December 31, 2011, whichever comes first."

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.

Mr. Loscombe, I appreciate you putting this in ahead of time so we were able to review it, and I will vote in favor of the amendment even though I oppose the legislation for this reason: With the amendment this limits the amount of time these meters will be roped off until January 31, 2011, so it takes a bad piece of legislation and makes it not last quite as long.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add that without this legislation the city will receive no money. Whatever monies have been let's say paid by the CMC would go to and have gone to the Scranton Parking Authority, and certainly we discussed the last week why that is certainly uncalled for since the Parking Authority has no labor involvement in the transaction, so you can either decide tonight should the city receive money or do you want the Parking Authority to receive the money?

MR. ROGAN: We are voting on the amendment right now; correct?

MS. EVANS: Correct.

2

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Could I just make a comment?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe it applies, but I should have mentioned it earlier, the police have been ticketing pretty regularly lately up there, there is some ambiguous and obsolete signage on the Linden Street side of the hospital, there is "Loading Zone" signs "No Parking" and you could be ticketed for that, those loading zones were put in when they were constructing the part of the hospital, there are loading zones around the corner on Colfax Avenue now, and there are some "No Parking" between signs and permit parking, so I think we have to have someone look at the signage on Linden Street alongside the hospital and Colfax Avenue and the next block down.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: There have been quite a few tickets given out last week and I happened to see the police officer there today. You know, I mean, he is following his orders, but there is some ambiguous

1 signage there, so we have to correct that, also. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Yeah, I think if we get in touch with the appropriate parties 4 tomorrow hopefully we can have the 5 appropriate signage installed and what is 6 7 useless removed. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Exactly. Free up a 9 few more spots there. 10 MS. EVANS: Absolutely. All those in favor of the motion to amend Item 7-A, as 11 amended, signify by saying aye? 12 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 13 14 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 15 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 16 17 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 18 have it and so moved. What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 19 20 Committee on Finance? 21 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 22 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 23 passage of Item 7-A. 24 MS. EVANS: As amended. 25 MR. JOYCE: As amended.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.

This was brought up in Fifth Order, the vote went 4-1, I was only person that voted against it. In Sixth Order the vote went 3-2, Mr. McGoff saw the light, and I am hoping that one of my esteemed colleagues to the left will join us in shooting this down.

Passing this legislation is simply having a vote to increase fees for people who visit relatives at CMC Hospital.

Mrs. Evans spoke about the money going to the city verus the Parking Authority, but I believe that was covered in the last item that we tabled which said any parking meters which are removed from service as a public park space by an ordinance of the City of Scranton and replaced by permit parking for a period of four months or more shall be excluded from this agreement and all fees for permit parking shall be paid directly to the City of Scranton.

That is the piece of legislation that was tabled and if it is brought back on

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agenda will appear in Sixth Order next week. The piece of legislation that we are voting on now authorizes the removal of 150 parking spots from the Hill Section, the area around CMC, and I personally believe that the city has done more than enough to accommodate CMC in that region. They already have parking at Nay Aug Park, which is a city-owned park, now they want to take away 150 spots that could be used either by -- either we remove the meters totally and move them somewhere else where they could be used by residents, people who visit the hospital or even hospital employees, or leave the meters as The revenue will continue to thev were. come in and the Parking Authority will get their cut with the meters in, but it would make it fair for everyone.

If somebody who wanted to park for a half hour they would pay 50 cents. If somebody wanted to park for an hour they would pay the dollar an hour rate, instead of parking CMC's garage and paying \$4 whether you are there for five minutes or whether you are there for five hours. It

may not seem like a lot of money, but it's a matter of principle.

MR. JOYCE: Let me ask this, besides those 150 parking spots (a, are there other places where people could park that may visit the hospital; and secondly, I have seen the light and, in fact, I have already seen the dollar sign which could go to saving police and fire jobs to the tune of \$2,000 per space times 150 which is \$300,000, so I would like to ask, do you know offhand how many police jobs that would save? How many cops that could keep on our streets?

MR. ROGAN: I would dispute the number of 300,000 though because by removing the meters we are also removing the revenue that would come in from the meters.

MR. JOYCE: Well, okay, we could factor off some revenue coming from the meters.

MR. ROGAN: So it wouldn't be \$300,000.

MR. JOYCE: However, if you factor in what's actually collected up there all of

the meters are bagged so I'm assuming that not very much revenue would be coming off.

MR. ROGAN: That's the problem that they are bagged. That's what I'm against.

MR. JOYCE: Well, I do -- this is what I'm saying, I don't think that those meters are going to be occupied 100 percent of the time throughout the whole course of the day, which is basically what we would need in order to get the revenue that CMC is willing to provide us and if we could have more revenue coming into this city, which would ultimately save police and fire positions, yeah, I have seen the light. I have seen the light of voting this through and saving our police and fire positions rather foregoing the chance to earn some revenue for the city, and that's my opinion.

MR. ROGAN: But to be fair --

MS. EVANS: Excuse me, please.

 $\label{eq:mr.Rogan: I was the one that was} \mbox{ interrupted.}$

MS. EVANS: Excuse me, I just wanted to say that this legislation represents a compromise. No situation is ideal, but this

was a compromise between the CMC Hospital, the City of Scranton and Scranton City Council who brought in Mr. Markowski for a public caucus. I think that sometimes and, in fact, many times it is compromise that leads to the solution and sometimes obstinacy is not the best path.

MR. ROGAN: I agree that compromise would be the best path and when we had the representative from CMC in I know I stated, and I believe others stated, that we would be in support of this if the fees in the garage were changed to an hourly rate similar to what the meters were. That I felt was a good compromise, that if somebody wanted to park and visit a relative in the hospital at a meter or at the garage they would pay the same rate. I felt that was a fair compromise, unfortunately, CMC didn't.

Getting back to the issue of firefighters and police, I voted for the amendment where the money should go for that, I agree that if we are bringing in any extra money, well, it's not really extra money, instead of it going to the Parking

Authority it will come to us, also assuming that the item that was tabled is passed would come to us. I voted for the amendment, I voted for Mr. Loscombe's amendment tonight capping it to December 31, 2011, but it is still not the right thing to do. There are other ways to bring in money besides saying, well, you know, we are going to get rid of all of our parking meters in the city and they can park on private lots. I know the vote is going to go down 3-2, we might as well vote.

MS. EVANS: And just for the audience's edification, the parking meters are not being removed. The previous system will be enacted once again next year. Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A, as amended, legally and lawfully If there is no further business, adopted. I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn. MS. EVANS: This meeting is adjourned.

ability.

 $\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER