	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, April 12, 2011
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATUENE C. NADDOZZI DDD. GEELGIAL GOUDT DEDGOTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>

Ü

. .

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
11	Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here.
13	THE COURT: Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. CONTRIBUTION WAS
16	MADE BY SEGWAY OF SCRANTON IN THE AMOUNT
17	OF\$100.00 TO BE USED FOR MANPOWER IN THE
18	CITY'S PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT.
19	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. THE BREAKDOWN OF
22	ELIGIBLE SALARIES FOR THE LIQUID FUELS
23	ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF JANUARY, FEBRUARY,
24	AND MARCH, 2011.
25	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

3-C. THE CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT FOR FY 10 FOR
THE CITY OF SCRANTON.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Clerk's notes,
please.

MS. KRAKE: We have two this evening, Mrs. Evans. The first is a response from Robert J. Foley, deputy director of assessments, he tells us that the Lackawanna County Assessment Office does not have a form similar to the one Mr. Ellman presented from the Wyoming County Board. The Lackawanna County Assessment Office requires a copy of the IRS form 501-C (3) for tax exemption be forwarded for our office for review and this board is submitted to the Board of Assessment Appeals with an Appeal Board in order for the exception to be granted.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake, and if we might also provide a copy of that to Mr. Ellman.

MS. KRAKE: Our second response is

24

25

from Chief Davis in reference to our letter concerning the exterior light at Engine 7 on Luzerne Street. Please be advised I have spoken to the house captain today and corrective action will be taken.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: That's it.

MS. EVANS: Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, please. receive one, a little lengthy to read. Scranton Running Company has launched a unique approach to smoking cessation through an ambitious initiative called the Oxygen Some members of council may want project. to consider this. They have partnered with the American Lung Association, Marywood Universities Human Psychology lab, that Run Smart Project in creating a 15-week program that cautiously induces the sport of running into participant's lives. It will consist of approximately 25 smokers who have been selected through an application process. The Oxygen project is now accepting applications and will officially commence

the weekend of the May 20. Interested parties who wish to run to quit should contact the Scranton Running Company, and I will ask that they run this on the -- scroll this on the ECTV.

Scranton Running Company is a relatively new business located in the Ice Box complex run by -- owned by Matt Burne, former area runner. It's an ambitious company, they have been doing a lot of good things and if there is anyone interested please go down to Scranton Running Company and, you know, become part of this.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: This is more of a for your information than an announcement, I received this from a resident, Pennsylvania Tax Freedom Day will arrive on April 14th of this year, the 104th day of 2011. That means the Pennsylvanians will work over three months a year from January 1 to April 14 before they ever earn enough money to pay this year's tax obligations to the federal, state and local levels. The question is does it bother you that you have worked over

100 days this year just to pay taxes to the federal, state and local governments. This council has reduced taxes, but the real question for the people out there is what were they going to do about excessive taxes throughout state and federal and local levels and there are a few suggestions on here to get involved that include writing a letter to the editor, to your local newspaper about taxes, call your federal, state and local officials, call talk radio programs and talk about how taxes impact you, and et involved with a group of other patriotic Pennsylvanians who want tax reform.

MS. EVANS: Is there anything else?

MR. ROGAN: That's it.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Loscombe, do you any announcements?

MR. LOSCOMBE: No, thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. First, I would ask that audience members remain quiet during the council meeting, particularly when speakers are addressing council and when council is presenting it's motions. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been contacted by a number of individuals who attend council and they have expressed -- they have expressed their difficulty in hearing throughout the meeting because of the loud talking that sometimes occurs in the audience.

(Whereupon Mr. Joyce takes the dais and joins the meeting.)

The Tour De Scranton is an annual noncompetitive bike ride for riders of every age and skill level designed with the selection of routes and distances, catering to both the novice and experienced rider. Anyone can bring a bicycle and join in the fun. The Tour De Scranton also benefits an extremely good cause, the Erin Jessica Moreken Drug and Alcohol Treatment Fund, Incorporated. The bike ride will monitored from start to finish by adult volunteers and cyclists at regular checkpoints. It is a safe and enjoyable way to have fun and raise the much needed funding to assist young people who are struggling with the disease of addiction. Each year through this event scholarship, aid and drug and alcohol

education programs are offered to a variety of worthy individuals and groups in our community.

Registration fees are as follows:

\$30 on or before April 27; \$35 April 28,
through May 1; \$50 for parents and their
children under 12, there is one charge only.
The registration time is 9 a.m. and the
starting time for all groups 10 a.m. For
registration on-line, route maps, questions,
or volunteer opportunities go to
www.tourdescranton.com. If you cannot
participate donations can be mailed to
Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Moreken, 1328 Myrtle
Street, Scranton, Pennsylvania, 18510. Make
checks payable to "Erin's Fund." Please
support this very worthy cause, and that's
it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Giovanni Piccolino.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city council and all fellow Scrantonians. My name is Giovanni Piccolino and I live in the

city, I work in the city and I own a business in the city. Just to start off with here, I was watching Newswatch 16 I think it was maybe last week or the week before and they are starting to implement the StreetSmart parking, so I guess they are just, you know, testing it. It's kind of sad that you guys months ago wanted to get this actively in motion and Wilkes-Barre is beating us to the punch. It should already be in motion and I feel bad for the super board majority up there because you guys are trying to do some really good things for the city and they are dragging you guys through the water and the mud.

Also, Wilkes-Barre estimated that they were going to make \$700,000 for the revenue of that extra parking, I don't even think you guys estimated anywhere near that for the budget.

MR. JOYCE: That's correct, it was \$300,000 in our estimate.

MR. PICCOLINO: There you go, so that's just one thing our mayor should take
-- in light of Wilkes-Barre and maybe follow

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

their lead.

Just a couple of thank yous. you to the supermajority. I recently paid, my mercantile tax and it's nice to see that since I took over our pizza shop around seven years ago that it actually went down so I want to thank you guys for that, and I also want to thank Mr. Loscombe here for initiating and getting everything up and going for the banning of bath salts in the I think some people got credit for area. that shouldn't have gotten credit for it and you do all of the underneath work and all of the dirty work and I don't think people realize it so I just want to thank you, Mr. Loscombe, and that's it. God bless.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Piccolino, I wasn't looking for credit, as long as the job was done I'm happy. Thank you very much.

MR. PICCOLINO: Sometimes credit should be given where it's due.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,

citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, the headlines in the paper were quite shocking today, but if I remember back again, this budget for ten, '10 was passed in '09 by I think Mr. McGoff was -- sat on the presidency at that time when this was passed. From what I read in the paper, we were \$11 million in the hole on the '10 budget. Now, how we got it that way I don't know how. I do know there was always the \$5 million which I asked many, many times how were they closing that \$5 million gap.

Apparently they didn't.

Well, let's go on further, you read in the paper that they used '11 TANS to pay off '09 TANS -- I mean, '10 TANS. I was always under the impression, and you can ask your attorney, that the TANS were supposed to be paid in the year they were taken out in, so if you took out a TAN in '10 you had to pay that back in '10. How were they able to go to '11 and payoff a '10 TAN?

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Sbaraglia, I do not know how they did it, however, what I do know from looking over the financial reports

and cash flow analyses that were sent from Mr. McGowan to our office, in January reflecting spending in the month of December that it's apparent there wouldn't have been enough money in the general fund to pay off the TAN payment due December of 2010, so subsequently what happened was the administration then paid it once the TANS came in for 2011.

 $\label{eq:MR.SBARAGLIA: Well, I understood} \mbox{\footnote{that.}}$

MS. EVANS: I might add, though, that it appears from information that we received from the business administrator that the administration may well have used 2011 TAN to pay off the 2010 TAN, and in addition the late payment incurred additional interest of \$2,082.2.

MR. JOYCE: That's correct.

MR. SBARAGLIA: So we got the number game again going from one number to the other number. I don't know how they got away with it, but apparently they did, but the question is why was there such a big hole in that budget, the '10 budget when it

18

19

17

20

2122

23

24

25

was passed in '09. I know you went to Court to try to amend it, but the Courts, I guess threw up their hands and said, "You can't do that or didn't want to do that," but where you stand today because of what council did in '09 and what the Courts did in '10 brought us up to this problem in '11, and really, really something's got to be done. I mean, if the law was broken with the TANS then it's time for the law to take it's course. If it's perfectly legal to pay off TANS in following years with other TANS that we're borrowing, then I guess it's legal, but the question is the legality should be looked into and if any law was broken within the state then whoever broke that law should be held accountable.

Scranton is where it is now not because of what one council did, but because of what many councils did. It was like a progression, like a path to disaster and in the end it's going to fall upon the citizens and they can't go back, and like I said before, you can't hold a politician up to the fire for anything they did while in

office, and that's bad. That is bad. You can't hold a politician for a wrong decision and many wrong decisions were made in this city.

Now, somebody told me that the swim free program, I could have swore they read in the paper that they weren't going to raise the rates, and then somebody on it said the poor kids coming off the street is going to have to come up with four bucks to swim up at Nay Aug.

Now, I know that's the Authority, and you heard me many, many times speak against the Authority, and I'll always speak against this Authority, and I speak that the mayor should have never, never, never made an Authority up at Nay Aug. Nay Aug wasn't made for a cash could you. It wasn't a cash cow, it was made for the enjoyment of the people of Scranton. Now you go up there and you got to pay for this and pay for that, but to have the kids pay for swimming that's unconscionable and if he closes a bunch of the pools this year, which he has already looking for, you know he plans to run this

. .

budget into the hole so deep that he says,
"I have to layoff these workers or we can't
survive", you know that's his plan.

Now, what you got to do is counter that plan. You got to get ahold either through the Courts or something that every contract he makes for under \$10,000 you get a copy of it, not after it's paid when it's made. That's the only way you can hold this man to the fire, if you let it go or after it goes through Novembrino it's too late, it's paid, and the only way you can do it is ask them, request it, if not, go to Court and demand it.

You got to start making demands on this administration, not just sitting there and saying, this, that or whatever. Okay, I thank you.

MS. EVAN: Thank you. Mr.

Sbaraglia, just one comment I wanted to make that relates to a comment that you made during your presentation, it is accurate that city council tried in 2010 to amend the budget to cut the deficit, however, in Court members of the Doherty administration

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

testified that the budget was balanced and that there was no deficit. I know we have all found that to be false, but those were the statements made. Our next speaker is --

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could just very briefly, I didn't have time to look at the Local Unit Debt Act today however, I did receive from Mrs. Krake the ordinances establishing the TANS for 2010 and 2011, and just reading File of Council No. 53-2010, which established the borrowing of the TANS for 2011, the second whereas clause says, "Whereas, the city has estimated it's expected taxes, revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year 2011 and on a monthly basis will experience a cash flow deficit during 2011 fiscal year; and whereas, having received the estimates referred to above the city has determined," in order to float a TAN.

The way that this ordinance is constructed and written, and also the previous year was ordinance File of Council No. 115 of 2009 authorizing the borrowing of a TAN, that the tax anticipation note that's

22

23

24

25

floated in January is to pay the expected bills for the ensuing year, not to reimburse for the previous year because ordinarily the way that a TAN is floated is that the city doesn't have the tax revenue coming in so it wouldn't float the TAN for a period of months, I believe this one was for six months or \$5 million, there is another one for 9 1/2 million, and those TAN funds will be used to pay the city's expenses as set forth in the budget for that year, so that the expected taxes, revenues and expenditures for the fiscal Year 2011, it's -- the way that this ordinance was constructed not only for this year, but for the previous years, was always that the money that was received -- the loan received from the TAN would only be expended for expenditures in that year's budget.

It's up to the controller to see
that when checks are written that they
comply with the ordinance, and in this
situation in my opinion using money from the
tax anticipation note to pay off a previous
year's tax anticipation note is improper

even in accordance with Section 9, I believe it's Section 9, where it states that the tax anticipation note shall be a general obligation, which unless funded pursuant to the act shall be included in the budget of the city for the following -- well, what it means is that the tax -- under Section 9 if the tax anticipation note for the previous year was not paid, the only way it can be paid is if it's included in the budget for the Year 2011, which was not done in the mayor's budget and was not put in the council's budget.

MR. JOYCE: That's correct.

MR. HUGHES: So the controller should have in looking at his ordinance said before you write a check for 2011 from that tax anticipation note to pay off 2010 tax anticipation note, which was to be paid by the December 31st of 2010, if that was not paid the only way under Section 9 of the ordinance that it could be paid is if it was put in the budget. Since it wasn't put in the budget, those funds cannot be expended to pay off the previous years TAN which

meant -- which means that last year's budget should have been ended up with a deficit of \$5 million, at least \$5 million because there was no way to pay the TAN, and to pay the TAN with -- to pay 2010's TAN with money received from a 2011 TAN pursuant to this ordinance unless it was put in the budget could not be paid.

I hope that answers your question.

I didn't have time to look at the State

Local Unit Indebtedness Act to see what's

required there, but irregardless of what's

required in the state law, what it states in

the city's ordinance for this years tax

anticipation note is that in Article 9 that

none of those monies could be used to pay

off last year's tax anticipation notes

unless it was put in the budget. I hope

that answer the question.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And, Mrs. Krake, just one second, I'd like you to send the following letters, please: A letter to the city controller requesting verification of

how she approved the payment of the 2010 TAN-B FOR \$9.5 million with 2011 revenue, which is in violation of File of Council 115 of 2009, particularly, I believe as Attorney Hughes noted, Section 9.

MR. HUGHES: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Also, if we could send a letter to the business administrator, Ryan McGowan, asking why the TAN payment wasn't part of the mayor's 2011 proposed budget as per the aforementioned ordinance which authorized the issuance of the \$9.5 million tax anticipation borrowing. Thank you. I'm sorry.

MR. JOYCE: And if I might add on top of that, I have thoroughly reviewed all of the controller's reports since I have been on council and one thing that I could not see was a reflection of any TAN payments in the January controller's report. In fact, in the January controller's report I believe off the top of my head it would appear that we had about \$10 million after the month of the January which, of course, conflicted very greatly with Business

.

Administrator's cash flow report.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just say something quickly, I promise, because I get asked this a lot, TAN, a lot of people don't understand the acronym TAN, and Attorney Hughes I think made it pretty clear, tax anticipation note. Tax anticipation note, just like he stated. We are anticipating that amount of tax money coming in, therefore, the bank loans us that amount to be paid for the same year, and apparently it's not being utilized that way, but that's therefore why it's called a tax anticipation note. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, gentlemen.
Mr. Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,

South Scranton resident and member of the

Taxpayers' Association. The City of

Scranton located in Northeastern

Pennsylvania has the distinction of being a

distressed city for 19 years starting in

1992 under Mayor Connors and continuing

until present 2011 under Mayor Doherty. For

the entire 19 years, the PEL, the

Pennsylvania Economy League, has been the

city's Recovery Act coordinator. 2002 the

city was voted for and approved the city's

Recovery Plan which has been expired since

2005, although, Mayor Dougherty is not aware

of the fact because he still continues to

lose arbitration cases and Court cases. As

of today, 12 April 2011, to my knowledge a

new and current Recovery Plan has not been

drafted by the city administration, Mayor

Dougherty.

We currently have our third business administrator since Mayor Dougherty was first elected to be mayor of Scranton.

Since his election, the mayor has managed to sell all of the assets that the city owned, borrowed millions of dollars and paid back millions of dollars in interest, has lost the majority of the arbitration cases with city employees and is now in the process of losing the Court cases including the appeals.

The mayor has given control of the parks, garages, recreation, housing, sewer

and libraries to authorities that he created an appointed representatives to serve, thus taking away from our elected council members the authority to oversee and manage these politically appointed cronies who are under the control of the mayor. Results: The City of Scranton remains distressed and has not had a balanced budget in years.

Now the Times-Tribune and staff write Josh Mrozinski has finally uncovered the fact that the City of Scranton has a money problem. Citizens and council members such as Mrs. Evans, Mr. Courtright, Mr. Rogan, Mr. Joyce and Mr. Jack Loscombe have been speaking about this for years. We have the Times-Tribune staff writers -- where have the Times-Tribune staff writers and editors been? In my opinion, they have been too busy listening to Mayor Doherty's sound bites and misleading statements.

Approximately three years ago city council passed the 25 percent tax increase onto all property owners within the City of Scranton. Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci. Mr. McGoff is the only

remaining council member at this time, the other two were voted out of office. My question, considering the current financial situation of the City of Scranton, how did this unnecessary tax increase improve the financial conditions of the distressed City of Scranton?

I see where no progress is made, just allow the mayor and his administration to put the residents deeper into debt.

Also, by transferring and the spending the money from the sale of the golf course, what advantage was gained for the residents of Scranton. Hopefully someone will address these concerns during motions tonight.

Just like Gomer Pyle, surprise surprise. The City of Scranton's red ink could hit \$8.4 million, \$3.9 million deficit in 2011 front page headline. I must ask the editorial staff and staff writers while you pick 12 April 201 to make this old news front page headlines? The PEL has attended several caucuses within city council and never answered or addressed the concerns of the citizens or certain council members.

Mr. Gerald Cross has avoided and sidestepped the issue at every opportunity only to be allowed to do so by the Times-Tribune staff writers and editors. Now, the finger pointing starts. Well, guess what? The damage has been done.

Thank you, Mayor Doherty,

Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli, Ms. Fanucci,

Mr. Murphy, Mr. Pocius, Mr. Hazzouri, and

all of the other Doherty real people, and a

special thank you to the Scranton

Times-Tribune and all of the voters who

voted for Mayor Doherty and the

above-mentioned cast of character.

Now the Doherty administration and the Times-Tribune would like for the residents of Scranton to believe that all of this has happened in the past 15 months since the supermajority was elected.

Council members, supermajority, you should never have reduced the budget by approximately \$600,000, look at what you caused. By doing so you caused a \$4.5 million deficit from 2010, which is still outstanding. It also caused a \$9.5 million

tax anticipation note, pinch in worker's compensation funds, payroll and other bills due in December. We truly have a supermajority council, three supermen and one superwoman.

We are all being told just ignore
the past eight years when Doherty ruled with
his majority of city council who voted lock
step with his poor management decisions
without ever questioning the reasoning
behind the bonds, borrowing, creation of
authorities, appointments, especially
cabinet level appointments, and the fact
that the mayor is only visible in
newspapers.

Sometimes I think we have a card -sometimes I think the Times-Tribune has a
cardboard cutout resembling the mayor that
they use as his picture in the newspaper.
Has anybody really seen the mayor around
town lately? If you happen to come in
contact with him, give him directions to
city council chambers. Josh, maybe you
could point him in the right direction. The
time has come to hold the administration's

feet to the fire.

2

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

3

Mr. Jackowitz. Bob Bolus.

4

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council,

5

Bob Bolus, Scranton.

6

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

7

MR. BOLUS:

MR. BOLUS: I didn't really intend

8

to be here again, I thought our problem on

9

East Mountain was going to be resolved, but

10

unfortunately, I think politics take a

11

precedence. I had given Paul Kelly my word

12

that I wouldn't do anything, you know, as

13

far as his campaign went or anything

14

negative or whatever, and I live with my

15

word, I expect somebody else to do the same.

16

Well, we went into Court -- well, we

17

tried to meet with Mr. Kelly to resolve the $\,$

18

issue regarding this so-called condemnation

19

of my home. I thought we had an agreement

20

and I came before council and I said I

21

thought everything was resolved. I guess

22

when you are looking for votes and you think

23

everybody on East Mountain is where you

24

should be rather than represent the people

25

in a fair and impartial way you cloud your

judgment. Well, we never come to terms with Mr. Kelly and the city, so we went into Court on an emergency petition hoping that when we went in there we would get it resolved.

Well, that was denied because

Mr. Kelly came in with a brief with a lot of false allegations. We didn't anticipate that. We thought he would be a man, come in and say, "Look, let's have the emergency hearing, and let's resolve this issue."

Well, that didn't happen. Now, we are going full blown into Court, and I'm going to read a letter that I sent to

Mr. Kelly, and it's, "Dear Paul, I enclose herewith a time-stamp copy of a Rule to Show Cause signed by Judge Harhut this morning in the above-captioned case. It's truly unfortunate that we must litigate a matter of this nature and waste valuable taxpayer money. I attempted to speak with you last week after the Motion for Emergency Relief, personally stopped at your office, left several messages, and had Attorney Moses place several calls attempting to schedule a

meeting to resolve this matter with no response.

I spoke to the mayor at an event indicating my intent to resolve this matter. I was under the impression that he was going to speak with you in an effort to guide this into resolution. It seems that your misguided attempt to garner votes from the East Mountain property owners is blinding you to both the reality of how unfair the actions of the city in condemning my home are, and to the injustice being done to the tax paying public by this continuous litigation.

As a public official, you should cure that blindness, seek out justice and serve the public at large and not select public interests."

I know Mr. McGoff always has a smart look on his face, but I recall Mr. McGoff saying this belongs in court. Well, Mr. McGoff, it's going there, and it's going to cost taxpayers money, but I never saw you stick your nose into this to try and resolve it nor did I see Mr. Weschler who is the

catalyst behind all of this with the Taxpayers' Association, and he is your running mate. You are the people who want to come here and run this city fair and impartial. Quite frankly, I find it offensible that I have to seen come before you and address this issue because what I see from you is a person who is not a leader. I don't see Mr. Weschler as a leader. What I see are two people who are antagonistic to a taxpayer in this city who is trying to do what's right and avoid the litigation in this city.

I have spent in excess of \$25,000 in this litigation. Haven't seen you do anything. I brought here the thing that said an individual that you are protecting, Todd Hartman, on East Mountain, you let him build on a piece of property owned by the taxpayers of this city, and I like your smile, it's great, but I hope when this election is over that smile is wiped off your face because what we need sitting here, and do you see Mr. Weschler in here, I don't see him, but he is good at writing letters,

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he is good at forcing the city to do his dirty work for the East mountain neighborhood.

Well, they are going to find out what litigation is about. Unfortunately, the city is going to find out and we will suffice because when people lie about me they are going to find out I'm not the person you lie about. When people say my home was vacant, uninhabitable based on a TV story that I showed an interview, that showed only partially the home. You do not condemn a home from the outside. inspector is not qualified to do what he did. Check in Harrisburg.

If you were any kind of a person you would stand up for the rights of all of the people. I can assure you, I will campaign as vigorously as I can against you and anybody else that would force taxpayers in this city to be subjected to the abuse that we are taking, especially from the law department.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I have another

Right-to-Know letter, I seem to have been using them a lot, but I have a lot of questions and my question I have this week is what were the city's costs for the playground program in it's last operation -- in it's last year in operation in the city when it split the costs I believe between itself from the Scranton School District. At one time they were playground program operated, a lot of schools, and I'd just like to get an idea of what that cost was. Can I give this to you?

MS. EVANS: You can actually just give that to Ms. Carrera. Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Now, I hear a lot of the debate or a lot of the opinions from everybody and I respect everybody's opinion even if I don't like what I'm hearing, but the truth has to come forward that the voters in this city voted this mayor into office so, I mean, we may disagree with a

22

23

24

25

lot of things that happened here. I think I agree with lots of things people said here about former councils that there has been problems and there have been problems, but I think the greatest problem of all comes from the voters, the voters who don't go to the polls, the voters who go to the polls and vote and don't know what the issues are, don't know what the problems of the city are, don't care what they are, but go in and pull a lever, because I have talked to people talking about their friends they voted for, and I just don't think in a representative republic you vote for your I think you vote for people who friends. can get a job done and I just think that too often we wonder why we are falling short.

In regard to tax anticipation notes,

Mr. Loscombe, you know, I can't understand

why every resident in this city doesn't

understand what they are considering that

all of that debt is tied to their property.

I had an opportunity to talk to the guy from the information technology about putting the agenda on the site. His problem

-- he didn't state that the problem was personnel, his problem he thought was space on the site and that they couldn't waste that kind of space on putting that agenda up for people to understand what was going on in their government. I'm pretty troubled by that, considering where our country is and the amount of debt we have.

This problem we have here in this city, I have been coming to this podium probably for 20 years, this problem has walked side by side with the city for a long time. I think people keep voting their friends into office. I think it's a popularity contest.

I mean, I personally think we should file bankruptcy. The former president of council, Murphy, agreed when he was president of council that that was an option. I just -- you know, I just can't see where we are going with more fees and parking and nothing -- no disrespect to council, but, you know, these parking meters ideas and, look it, we lost a big thing when we decided to make changes to the Scranton

Lace building that I think was in contradiction to the needs of the city. I think the city needs an economic engine. I think we spent too much time doing favors for people when we should have been looking out for our own people, the citizens in the city. I mean, you see the amount of stress that the Scranton School District is under and, you know, I talked to people who were looking for full-time kindergarten and now they are talking about cutting school funding. I just think we have a lot of problems.

And I think we need to become a class three city. Somebody has got to tell me why Scranton is the only Class 2-A city in the Commonwealth. Somebody has got to explain to me how the council can't seem to use it's authority under subpoenas to find out exactly what's going on. I mean, I can see the city asking the controller some questions, but I can't understand why the council can't issue subpoenas to get answers from questions that the council needs to do it's oversight. Because I think that not

. .

just this council, but any council, when the city was headed in the wrong direction I think that all the councils should have asked some very tough questions. People are blaming authorities. If you don't want authorities, vote the mayor out and vote a mayor in who will abolish of the authorities because all of that money is being borrowed on the back of the taxpayers, too.

I just think we need to really take a good look at government and I didn't come here to shoot this council. I'm just saying, look it, we have to do a better job. You know, you watched the clock that was on TV the other day about our national debt, and then you look at our city's long-term debt, and are we spending money like we are trying to solve our problems? We are spending too much time fighting between the mayor and the council and then we are trying to single people out, and really, you know, I agree with council that the mayor should come up here and present himself, but we need solutions to our problems.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Morgan, just to address your question on the Class 2-A city, we were appointed as a Class 2-1 city quite sometime ago, I'm not exactly sure of the year, but at the time our population was much higher than it is and we do actually meet the requirements to be classified as a class three city right now, and the way that would have to be done is it would have to be submitted to the state, I believe, and the population data from the past two -- or the two most recent census would have to be provided.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council, Les Spindler, city homeowner,

resident and taxpayer. Two weeks ago when I

was here I spoke about StreetSmart and I'd

like to speak a little more about it. As

some people might not know, what a sole

source is a company that does something that

no one else does exactly the same, and if

there is another company that does exactly

the same then it could be put out to bid,

but as I stated two weeks ago, even Chris
Doherty said in the Doherty newsletter that
the phone calls he received from other
companies did similar things, not exact, and
like Mr. McGoff said a few weeks ago, exact
and similar are different, so that being
said, street source -- I'm sorry,
StreetSmart is a sole source and that leads
me to question Mr. McGoff, since StreetSmart
is a sole source why were you so insistent
that this go out to bid?

MR. MCGOFF: Just because you say it's sole source doesn't mean it is and --

MR. SPINDLER: Well, I say and Attorney Hughes says it.

MR. MCGOFF: Are you going to allow me to answer your question?

MR. SPINDLER: I'm saying what an attorney said. Well, I disagree with you. I think you did it because you are taking your marching orders from the mayor and you are doing exactly what he tells you to do.

MR. MCGOFF: Are you going to allow me to answer the question?

MR. SPINDLER: You answered it.

MR. MCGOFF: I wasn't finished.

MR. SPINDLER: I'm giving my rebuttal. You did exactly what the mayor told you to do and he is dragging his feet along with you dragging your feet to sabotage this council and not have money coming into this city which could have been coming in for months already and I hope everybody realizes that on May 17, that you are just up there again to be the mayor's puppet.

Last week Ms. Schumacher mentioned about the cell phone ban, which I mentioned in the past, also, and the last time I spoke about it council said that the state is looking into it, well, you know, I haven't heard anything about the state and I think they are also dragging their feet and I think it would be a good idea for council to institute this. Other cities have it and if somebody is pulled over and they want to take it to court, let them. Because I don't see the state doing anything about this and I think council should be proactive and do something about this because it's a serious

situation.

I will say a little thing about this \$3 million deficit, also, and this also goes back to the StreetSmart program. Maybe if that was instituted by now we could have money coming in to offset a little bit of that deficit, but as like I said and other people said, this mayor and the Times-Tribune just want to make this council look bad and I think that's all they are doing.

And lastly, Mr. Loscombe, I, too, think you deserve credit and credit should be given where it's due and you deserve it and you had something to do with these bath salts being taken out of the stores and when I look at the newspaper picture you were cut out of the picture, you definitely should have been in there. You are a law maker, Attorney Kelly is not a law maker, he had no reason to be in that picture, it was just politics because he is running for magistrate and the Times-Tribune is backing him, you should have been in that picture and not Attorney Kelly and you deserve all

of the credit in the world. He had nothing to do with that and I congratulate you on that. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Spindler, I'll be commenting more on the newspaper article during motions, but my message to you and anyone else listening right now is don't believe everything that you read in the newspaper.

MR. SPINDLER: Oh, I know that.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, under motions I am going to address the topic of sole source declarations, but I also wanted to add that letters were sent to State Representatives Murphy and Smith regarding the cell phone ban statewide on behalf of Scranton City Council since last week's meeting. The next speaker is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Good evening. Ronnie
Ellman, member of the Taxpayers'
Association. This is my son, Kerry. My
other son is in jail thanks to two cops down
in Moosic named Gill and Bird. I'll get to
that in a few minutes, but I would also like

to talk about the double standard of justice in this city that I have already spoken on this once before, and I was listening to Mr. Bolus, he seems to be engaged in it right now. I'm trying to weigh my words so I don't get in trouble.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. ELLMAN: If you knew how frustrated and how mad I am the past few months, you would know why I'm trying not to use any potty words or anything. Real brief, last summer the boys, this one was driving a friend's Corvette and they had an incident at the Turkey Hill in Moosic on Birney Avenue and they used some abusive language on a woman that was out on a cell phone and wouldn't turn in traffic, finally, she turned, I'm making a long story short. They went home because they had some ice cream and groceries. The woman went back and said there was a hit and run accident.

Now, the front bumper on a Corvette is 11 inches high. She had a scuff mark 18 inches high. I asked for an accident reconstruction, nobody would give it to me.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who went over everything and she said this is nothing. You know, you are just absolutely -- they don't have a thing. The first time we went to Court, you couldn't believe it. She made the D.A.'s assistant like a child. He looked like he should have been arrested for impersonating a lawyer. That -- was it eight or ten days later? had to go to Court the second time, the deal had been made. She laid down, wouldn't do a thina. Three witnesses wouldn't have them, wouldn't have the reconstruction. That is the double standard. If a lawyer is so poor he cannot win a case on his -- on the merits then he should find a job washing dishes or something, and that's exactly what went on here. Everybody knows it.

We went to Court so we got a public defender

I told you, my father was an attorney and he was in politics in Tennessee all of his life and I used to hear about deals here and deals there, you can talk to anybody in the city and they say you don't have to have that lawyer, you have to have a lawyer that knows so and so. Right under

your noses -- everybody's nose down the street last Friday you saw two of them get 30 months. He is not going to be in there 30 months. That's a deal right there. All he got to do is go to take the alcohol abuse courses, that will reduce his sentence by 12 months. This man played us for suckers. He has been that stand for years, he knows all of these tricks, then they get his time served or half the time or something.

Look at Gilhooley they should -- and Hillberg, they ran that jail raggedy and nothing happened to them. David Baker gets his salary for a year, nothing happens. I have talked to, I don't know, the past six, eight months I must have talked to about a dozen, 15 people that just got the shortened of the stick from Mr. Jarbola's office because they had a Public Defender that just didn't care or whatever. I haven't found one person that says something good about the Public Defender's Office, you know, that's your double standard.

The two police men that I mentioned to have my son in jail because of them it's

_ -

right here in the transcript. They
repeatedly lied, they committed perjury.

Not once, over and over and over again in

Judge Geroulo's room. Of course he doesn't

know it, I know he is a man of integrity

because I have asked people what's going on.

MS. EVANS: Well, thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Mr. Jarbola knew what I was up to and he refused to see me, he knew it shouldn't have gone this far.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Just let me bring this to a close. This just -- I don't want to hang out my wash, you know, that sounds like that's what I'm doing, but this double standard, one for, like, people like Patty Hearst and one for the rest of us isn't right. It's got to end, and people tell me when I'm complaining about it that's been going on for 150 years and it will go on after we are all gone, well, it's not going on like that anymore in Wilkes-Barre and it's got to stop here and Judge Geroulo needs to investigate my allegations

immediately because my son is in jail, he wasn't even driving.

The day before yesterday I get a bill for \$2,600 for Court costs and all. He is not even driving and I haven't appealed the case, I mean, this is injustice. You know, is like a hangmen's court or something.

MS. EVANS: I can appreciate what you are saying, I feel very badly, I know there is a great deal of frustration on the part of many people who live in this city, I think council feels it's own frustration day in and day out with the obstacles it faces, but, unfortunately, there really isn't anything that city council can do in this instance, it's beyond the scope of our authority, it's a county issue, and it has to be handled by county officials.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, you people must think I've lost my mind to get up here and challenge Mr. Jarbola and others, but I haven't put all of my cards on the table. I just demand an investigation, I'll take a lie detector test and prove these two cops

lied through their teeth on Judge Geroulo's stand. He said he had a meeting with me, you know, all kinds of -- they are so used to getting away with murder down at Old Forge, the first time I talked to him they wouldn't give me an accident report. Weeks and weeks went by, they wouldn't give it to me. He told, "Ellman, your son, Colby, is going to jail, it's prearranged."

I said, "Prearranged?"

He said, "It is prearranged. Are you stupid or something?" You know, it's prearranged.

That's the kind of court they are used to. That's the kind of thing that got to be stopped. It's not fair for people that -- for the man on the street.

MS. EVANS: I understand. Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Ellman, I just wanted to clarify, these aren't the Scranton police; right?

MR. ELLMAN: No, no, the two Moosic police.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay, I wasn't quite sure.

MR. ELLMAN: I never heard of any problems here, you know, and like I said, I got some friends that drive a cab and they tell me all of the things. I've never heard them complain, you know, that was --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure, that's what I wanted to make sure it was clarified.

Definitely.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you. Thank you very much. I know I got off the subject, I had some -- I wanted to wind this up and bring it back, but I need to buy some more time is what I need. Can't you give me Phyllis'? Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Ellman, while you are leaving I just wanted to comment on an issue that you brought up the other week about a form that Wayne County uses in regards to it's nonprofits, we checked in with the county's office and just to report, the Lackawanna County Assessor's Office doesn't have a form similar to Wyoming County that we forwarded to them and

Lackawanna County basically requires a copy of IRS Form 501-C (3) for tax exemption to be forwarded to their office for review and once that form is submitted to the Board of Assessments they then look at it, review it, and decide whether the organization is a nonprofit or not.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, hopefully maybe something good will come out of it, I don't know. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: You're welcome.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. HUBBARD: Good evening, Council,
Daniel Hubbard, lower Greenridge.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. HUBBARD: I'm also embarrassed to come here tonight to speak about what I have to talk about. It seems lately that a few of the residents of lower Greenridge after a few cocktails find that the levy project is their ATV park. These are the same people that had water in their houses in '04 and '06, these are the same people

that were screaming and crying and wanting flood protection for years and years and years, yet when asked to please keep their quads off the levies they seem they're ignorant and they just don't want to do it and they are going to do it no matter what, so the police have been called on a few occasions and when the police come down basically the reply is, "As long as they are not on the streets, we don't care."

Which is fine. You know, if you are in a field, you're in your yard or doing something stuff like that, that's fine, I really don't care. I mean, my son has a small quad, he rides in our yard, that's fine, but there has to be -- if there is a not an ordinance can we look into getting something where they can actually cite these people for being on the flood protection because they are causing ruts, they are wearing on the grass, which isn't even really growing in yet, it just was planted in October of last year and it's going to cause erosion, it's going to cause problems on the levy and then that's going to cause

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more repairs for the city because the city is going to have to take ownership of this project now it's completed, and then there is a liability issue.

You know, they are playing across the top of the levy doing 60 miles an hour, if somebody losses control of that quad and goes off the river side and down to the rip rap rock and they are not wearing helmets there is going to be a serious injury involved on city property with an ATV, so I would really like it if council could look into and see if there was actually an ordinance about riding ATV's on city property, which I'm sure there is, and if there can be something done to keep them off of the flood project and give the police the authority or the power to at least cite these people who -- I'm sure a few citations will keep them off there, but as long as the police show up and say, "Well, if they're not on the streets we are not going to do anything about it, then they are going to continue to do this.

They have been asked by me on

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

several occasions, they just don't seem to care, and to be honest with you, I went through a lot of hassle, a lot of grief between -- we like to talk about the Times, between the Times, the administration, and everything that we have done and had to fight for since 2004 I just think it's just absolutely appalling that the same people that were crying for this protection are destroying the same protection that protects their homes, and I can't get through to them. The police won't do anything about it, so hopefully if we can get something and the books that will allow the police to have the authority to cite them I would appreciate that.

And touching on a few subjects,

I'm-- without sounding sarcastic, I don't

think anybody on this dais should be

surprised that the Scranton Times is slanted

at this point. We have all been around

Scranton politics for enough years to know

that no matter how good you guys do your job

or no what you do that helps the residents

of this city, as long as the current

administration is in power the Scranton

Times will never give you your due

diligence. They will never commend you for

what you do, but the people in this city see

what you do and they are the ones that

matter. So don't spend any of your time

wasting it on talking about the Scranton

Times. They are not worth it. They are not

worth wasting your time and your breath

pointing out what they do because we are all

aware of what they do.

Everybody in this city sees what they do and they are going to slant everything against the people that are trying to do right or the people that are out there that go against the current administration, and that's whether they are residents or politicians, they like to slant against the people that go against the current administration, so don't let it bother you, everybody knows what good you are doing, don't let it get you down and don't give them the time of day to acknowledging what they are doing. Have a good day.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake, if we could please find the city ordinance regarding ATV's and if necessary, Attorney Hughes, if you could review that and see if we would be able to amend it to give it a bit more teeth on city property, particularly flood projects, to prevent children from riding, young adults from riding, ATV's on city-owned flood projects. Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. HALLOCK: How you doing, Chris Hallock, city resident and taxpayer.

Mr. McGoff, I just have a question for you, what's your reasoning for wanting to be re-elected and serve on the council?

MR. MCGOFF: This isn't a political forum and I'm not going to get involved in that.

MR. HALLOCK: Okay, this is no personal attack of you, but sitting back there I'm a big person with respect, these are the people that you serve, that you, you know, took an oath to, you know, serve and when people up at this podium are speaking

25

about 98 percent, if not more of the time, I have noticed you just looking down, writing, and, I mean, I don't know what you are doing and I see four other council members looking and paying attention to what the people are voicing their concerns and, I mean, occasionally they look down, you know, they write something down, you know, they talk amongst each other shortly or briefly, but it just -- it kind of just bothers me and offends me as a citizen that you are looking down with your head down not looking and making contact and paying attention to the people who are here voicing concerns you are supposed to address. And again, this is nothing personal, it's something that I am big on respect and eye contact and just paying attention because these are the people that I'm assuming if you are on council you wanted to represent, so I just ask that if you could just try to pay better attention, at least let the people know that you care and give them the illusion that you care.

And to go further, I got a question

about in the budget that was put in, that
was amended by council, all of the police
officers and firemen they were all restored
through city money; correct?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HALLOCK: Paid for by city money?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HALLOCK: In the newspaper it's been voiced that there is 13 officers that are OECD funded, okay, where did the money that was supposed to, you know, so you have the money that the council and the budget has paying for them and now you got -- so they are getting double paid so where is the money that the council and the budget was paying for, where did that go?

MR. JOYCE: Just to be brief, and I don't know if this will answer your question or not, the budget that was originally submitted to council used I believe it was 660 some thousand dollars to fund 13 police officer's salaries. We added an additional ten salaries to that budget, therefore, it would be the addition of city money. In

both budgets, the one passed by council as 1 amended and the one sent down through the 2 3 administration, there was 13 police officers being funded by OECD money. 4 MR. HALLOCK: That was in the 5 budget? 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Yes, through the 8 neighborhood police patrol. 9 MR. HALLOCK: And you see the 10 ordinances that were made with this, was 11 that correct to say that what was the time limit again if an officer left or that they 12 13 had to fill the position? 14 MS. EVANS: I believe that ordinance 15 is effective through December 31, 2011. 16 was for the 2011 fiscal year. 17 MR. HALLOCK: So it didn't start 18 yet? MS. EVANS: Yes. 19 20 MR. JOYCE: No, it did start. 21 MR. HALLOCK: It's in effect. 22 MS. EVANS: But obviously the administration doesn't enforce ordinances or 23 24 abide by them. We have many, many examples 25 of this, unfortunately, that, you know,

either the mayor chooses not to enforce an ordinance that has been passed or that the mayor actually will violate, this particular ordinance, the Home Rule Charter, the Administrative Code, etcetera.

MR. HALLOCK: So if an officer left at the beginning of the year under the ordinance there should have been another officer hired; correct?

MR. LOSCOMBE: He has blatantly stated that as a vacancy occurs despite our ordinance that he does not plan to fill it.

MR. JOYCE: Right, and I believe from the language of the ordinance it is 30 days.

MR. HALLOCK: That's what I was asking. So if an officer left in January it's past the 30 days; correct?

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's correct.

MR. HALLOCK: Is there any plans of any action taken for the violation of the city ordinance on your end because if it was your city ordinance put in and someone is obviously violating it, has there been any Court proceedings or any, you know, Court

litigation towards that?

MS. EVANS: Yeah, council as a legislative body passed an ordinance that set the manpower numbers for a period of one year for the police and fire departments. If there is a -- well, let's say if there is a violation of that then I believe it would be most likely the responsibility of the police or fire department to pursue litigation against the administration based on the ordinance passed by city council.

MR. HALLOCK: Thank you. And,
Mr. McGoff, again, it wasn't a personal
attack to offend you, it was just something
I observed.

MR. MCGOFF: I may be better at multi-tasking than some people.

MR. HALLOCK: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. BEHUSE: Good evening, Council.

My name is Deborah Behuse and I'm currently

a resident of West Scranton and have been

for the last ten years, taxpayer for the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

past six. I honestly do not know where to begin as I have been intending to make it to the Council meeting for many, many months now, but I have never been able to find the time, more on that reason at a later date.

I would begin by saying that I am unequivocally a usual suspect wannabee. may be mistaken, but I believe not too long ago a local newspaper editorial referred to the people who fairly regularly or in some cases faithfully attend city council meetings to voice their opinions and exercise their First Amendment Rights as usual suspects. You know, when I first read the editorial and I realized the people speaking here tonight were being referred to as usual suspects, I thought to myself, that can't be meant as a tongue-in-cheek joke, would it? I suppose some would say, yes, it was said as a barb towards this group and not to make much of it.

Nonetheless, I think we can all agree that usual suspects carries with it a fair degree of negative connotation. Usual suspects are normally thought of as usual

criminals, so I read on and realized that the context of the reference didn't help much. It was not a flattering article about council and I reasoned, therefore, the label usual suspects was not meant to be funny, it was meant to be unflattering, and I wondered why that was. Why would a newspaper editorial refer to a group of people that way.

From what I have observed, and I try to watch every single council meeting at some point, the people who have spoken here tonight and those waiting to do so, do not deserve any such negative connotation. what I have seen, these are people who are taking time out of their daily lives to speak up on issues that might not only to them, but frequently also should matter to the residents of this city. In most cases, they have researched their issue, did their homework, so to speak, have discussed their issue with other citizens, take time out of their lives to not only write it all down an analyze it, but then further take the time and effort to show up at these meetings week

after week.

Where I was raised, Clarks Summit, and where I was later educated, the University of Scranton and the Thomas M. Cooley Law School, those actions described not that of usual suspects, but of good and caring citizens participating in their local government and exercising their constitutional rights because they care about their community, their local government, and, yes, perhaps even their pocketbook and the financial health of their city as they have every right to do.

Since returning to this area ten years ago after spending some 17 years away in other parts of Pennsylvania as well as in Michigan, I have learned that in Scranton and in Lackawanna County when someone in authority states something is so, frequently, and sadly, the opposite of what is stated is the truth. That goes for government officials, educational heads, and even members of the media. I wish that were not so, but it has proven itself to be the case so many times I no longer second guess

myself about it's occurrence. It does in fact happen and frequently.

So when I realize that the people of behind me here in the audience were wrongly being referred to as usual suspects on some level I thought, okay, here in Scranton when you are a good citizen by way of attending government meetings, stating your opinion based on research and analysis, most frequently in a respectful and articulate manner or offering suggestions to your city council after you have spent hours developing it, here in Scranton that must make you a usual suspect because, after all, a newspaper says it's so.

Well, I do not for one minute think any of the people here tonight or those that regularly attend this forum, deserve the thinly veiled slight of being referred to as usual suspects. While some who attend may occasionally be longwinded, who hasn't been that at time, and others my digress into opinions or matters that are national in scope, such imperfections do not usual suspects make.

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And if it does make them usual suspects then let me state here and now that I would be glad to be part of their good company and share the questionable title a newspaper for some strange reason seems to think they deserve. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to say before Mr. Gervasi comes up, I appreciate your comments and, you know, the rights of the people to come to a meeting like this are what makes this country great, and I wish we had every seat in this chambers filled every day. You know, I wish we had 100 percent voter turnout and more people involved, and the people that come to council meetings and contact us and that vote, write letters to the editor and are involved in what's going on in government, they are the backbone of society. They are the people that are keeping their eye on their elected officials, and I think the majority of us up here are doing a good job. Many aren't, and it's up to the people to keep a check on them and come election time

2

4

6

5

7

9

10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they make those decisions, and I would just, again, I really appreciate your commence.

MS. EVANS: And I would echo what Mr. Rogan said, and I think we should all be a community educated and aware and very grateful to those who are involved actively in their government and their community and it is very disheartening that a newspaper locally discourages that and that the newspaper discourages, I fear, individuals from seeking office because apparently if you are not part of their agenda then you need to be, well, let us say, either silenced or attacked or perhaps, you know, at best part of the truth or part of the information you give might be reported, but I think it is very admirable to the people that live in this city and that's why very often you won't see a flurry of candidates for any given office because it certainly is a challenge endure and to stand up against the powers that be. Thank you.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi and it is very, very refreshing to hear the majority

of city council and very intelligent people come to this podium and mirror what we have been saying for probably ten years now.

It's nice to know we have a little company in our sandbox for a change. It took a long time, and I welcome everyone who realizes what's actually going on in this city.

I have not spoken at this podium in a long time because, frankly, a lot of people told me over the months, past many months, that a lot of people don't watch council meetings anymore because it's not a circus anymore. People are treated with respect when they come to the podium, government is actually working in their favor instead of working for one man's favor, and I'm not going to get into that tonight.

I have been watching council meetings religiously forever and I have been coming to them for a very long time before cameras were rolling and I just want you to know that, you know, I was thrust back into the position of my union where I am today as president again, once again.

I think there is times when public officials can't just really just put it out there and slam it down someone's throat exactly what is going on. Everyone, you know, speaks very articulate on this dais, except I listen to all of the rhetoric going back and forth and sometimes I need to just come up here because I'm so frustrated watching the meetings because I'd love to just sum it up with two or three sentences and let everyone know exactly what's going on.

And what's going on right now, everyone is talking about the TANS, everyone is talking about the Worker's Compensation Trust Fund, if some people are veterans of watching council meetings you might remember the president of the clerical union, Nancy Krake, who is our city clerk, or myself or Ann Marie Stulgis or Bobby Martin or many, many other people from the audience coming up and saying that it's a big lie how the city was broke. It's just a big lie. And you heard the Scranton Times day after day, week after week, month after month, and year

after year regurgitate what this administration was feeding them and they never actually investigated. Ever.

I went through -- I haven't talked to Josh, he's kind of one of the new kids on the block, but I went through four or five of their reporters and gave them all a chance, and they put words in my mouth and quoted me of things I have never said and I stopped talking to them one after another, but the Scranton Times comes out here every day, week, month and year and regurgitates the same thing to the citizens of the city of Scranton that your firefighters are bad, your police officers are bad, and we have a \$6 million deficit when none of it was true.

And now everyone -- it seems the roosters are coming home to roost now because this council actually reads legislation. This council actually researches what the truth actually is and you are actually telling the people. No one is telling anyone better when it comes to finances than Councilman Joyce. He is telling people exactly what's going on and

we don't really have to come here and tell anyone anymore because he gets it. He actually gets it.

And you've got Councilman Loscombe out there every day banging away telling everyone what we have been saying for years and years. The result of PEL and the result of DCED and the result of the Recovery Plan and the result of Act 47 is you are going to pay more money for less services. Well, it's come full circle and that's where we are.

And now when this council finally gets a handle on a budget for the first time in ten years, you get a handle on the budget, you cut taxes, you cut taxes where they belong on property and you cut taxes on businesses because everyone has been saying, I'm 50 years old, and for the last 40 years since I was able to understand what's going on, businesses are leaving Scranton or not coming to Scranton because of the nuisance taxes. You did something about it. And you know what happened? You are getting beat up for lowering taxes.

You know why? Because you finally find out where they were hiding the money. Every year I came here and said the city wasn't broke, it's a lie, and the city and the newspaper has been telling you that we are broke and we have to raise taxes. Think about this, people. Think about this. The mayor, the administration, and your newspaper, the Scranton Times, told you they were broke and they raised your property taxes 26 percent on a life.

They told you the firemen and police are bad, we are the cause of the whole problem based on a lie. They told arbitrators, they told judges, they told Commonwealth Court judges and they just told Supreme Court judges that we are the problem, they are not, and it was all based on a lie.

I don't know what that means in the end, but all I know is the roosters are coming home to roost and the city is playing these little shell games with the money, they were hiding money in the Worker's Compensation Trust Fund while they were

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lying, blatantly lying with the full knowledge and consent of the Pennsylvania Economy League and DCED, and those same lawyers from those two organizations went to judges, state judges and Supreme Court judges, and told them the city is broke when, in fact, they were running surpluses since 1999, and now because this council, this elected council that we have that did the research and actually found out where the money was and what you could actually do with a budget and make a fair and balanced budget, now you found out you were deceived, too, by the same people that were deceiving all of these people that raised our taxes and cut your services based on a lie. Today's paper, and I don't mean to

go over Mrs. Evans, I haven't been here in awhile, just give me one more minute, please. Last week the city administration called you and said, "We're in trouble."

They basically threw their hands in the air and said, "We can't run the city anymore. We don't know what to do. We are going to have to close all of the

neighborhood firehouses by a laying off 30 firefighters, we are going to have to make the city unsafe by laying off ten more police officers, we are going to have to cut your garbage collection, we are going to going to have cut filling potholes and we are going to have cut clerical people because we are broke and we don't know what to do. Please, come and help us."

The first question we asked was, "Why are you asking us? We are just cops and firemen?"

But they asked us and we sat down with them and we were going to sit down with them tomorrow except this morning you read in the paper that it's the cop's fault, we have to do layoffs, now we have all of our young guys worrying about getting laid off again, they are blaming you for cutting taxes which is -- excuse me, correct me if I'm wrong, \$800,000, they are talking about a \$11 million deficit and they are trying to blame you for cutting \$800,000 in taxes.

It's all based on a lie and the people need to know and wake up once and for

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all before we lose blocks, before children die in fires or before you are robbed or murdered or killed because there is no cops in your neighborhood to do what they are supposed to do. All based on a lie and a shell game by the this administration.

I pledge right now as the firefighter's union, we have 137 people left out of 200, we will work with you and with the administration starting tomorrow if necessary, but I'm not talking to any people in the administration. If the mayor is there, I'll talk to him. If he wants to work out something, work out a budget -- I'm sorry, a budget within the fire department if he wants to work out doing a deal we will be there and we will do it. I'm done talking to the rhetoric people. I'm done talking to the Scranton Times who has been lying to people that pay for their service over last the ten years over this entire administration and it's time for it to stop and it's time for everyone to get really serious right now because it's going to get real bad real soon. Thank you very much for

your time.

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, citizen of Scranton. I'm not going to take issue with the Lynett/Haggerty liturgy because we all know what's standing there. I applaud the lady for coming up here and saying that, you know, she is proud to be coming up here and so I am. I have been doing this for a long, long time, before I even got to Scranton. Scranton did not invent politics, Scranton did not invent city administration, Scranton did not invent the executive branch of government, but they sure in hell don't know how to apply it.

As far as the citizens coming up here, I can't think of anybody up here I can fault because they all come up and they have an interest, and I get this constantly from people in Clarks Summit, from people in Hazelton, from people in towns I don't even know exist who watch these proceedings on television and they all say the same thing, this council is to be applauded for what it's done in the year because your response to the citizens, okay?

If this lady is -- and I agree with what she said, I think we should be proud of coming up here, and I'll say one thing, if that's the case I'm a hell of a lot better citizen than the president of the Chamber of Commerce or the mayor of this city because I come to these council meeting, I've never seen either one of them come up here unless -- Mr. Burke came up and was pussyfooting in the back a couple of years ago because he wanted a little favor, but he never appeared before this council in the flesh and I have never seen the mayor up here except when Mr. McGoff was appointed, Mr. McGoff was appointed, that was it.

And so, councilmen, I'm proud to come up here and speak my mind. I wish more people in this town would do it because you people have done one hell of a job and two previous council people who told us we would get what we deserved, thank you. We did get what we deserved, and they also said they would still be around and I haven't seen either one of them since then. Thank you very much.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton.

On these reports on these deficits, I had a
little brainstorm and ask for air times for
ECTV on reruns possibly so it could be
recorded and what you are stating are on it.

And I'd also like to -- you people won't need to suggest it, but maybe some of these departments could finally get their audits in early so we could sort out our budget before we really have to.

And I have been hearing a lot of Class II to Class III, the taxpayers did a little forum on that in February, I still have a DVD on it, and one thing I did understand is that you can only charge 1 percent wage tax on a Class III, so we may have problem there if we just overnight went to Class III you would have to raise property taxes and maybe who knows what 100 percent or whatever, so that would mean that grandma might pay --

MR. LOSCOMBE: That would be if you

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under the distressed act like Reading that would not apply.

MR. DOBRZYN: That would not apply while we are distressed?

MR. LOSCOMBE: While we are under distress.

Okay, when I first MR. DOBRZYN: moved back to Scranton we had the mayor's music festival at Nay Aug and I was talking to Ray and he mentioned that it used to bring in \$10,000, it used to pay for a lot of activities up at Nay Aug and so forth and when this mayor came in for some reason or another he said no more rides, well, food concessions didn't make any money and then our two fine county commissioners that are up for indictment gave us a ten and we started charging \$15. Well, I was at a few of those music festivals and there might have been a dozen people there, you know, so that didn't amount to no \$10,000 brought in.

I'd like to see something, some kind of study maybe late in the summer where we could get something back together again and maybe that could finance some activities up

at Nay Aug and lower the swimming pool rates and so forth, \$4 is ridiculous, that's crazy.

And, well, the library, last week I reported on leaks at the library, they are not in the roof they are in the basement and the people that I talked to said they are trying to fix it in-house, so time will tell, but basically don't take a backseat if you want to voice to our commissioners to make sure they took care good of the Scranton public library system. You can't run around and build for everybody else and abandon us with two first class -- two or three first class buildings.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Letters were sent out to the commissioners, also, from city council regarding those issues.

MR. DOBRZYN: Great. Great. It turned out it was basement, the water was coming in through the basement at the children's library. I thought it was the roof, maybe somebody even suggested that to me that didn't know as much about it as the other person I talked to.

1 And finally for the golden parrot award, the Marcellus gas people, I don't 2 3 know if you read in the Scranton Times there is a little good in all of us, yesterday in 4 the paper, the Chinese are contracting that 5 gas and taking liquified and taking out of 6 7 the country and sent to China at our expense, isn't that nice of them. 8 9 And Donald Trump, four times 10 married, he is morally bankrupt, four times 11 bankrupt, so he is just generally bankrupt 12 and, John, I'm going to do my imitation, 13 "John, you lost all your money you invested, 14 but you are fired." 15 Have a good night. 16 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there 17 anyone else who would like to address 18 council? 19 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Jack. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Hey, Chrissy. Jackie. What' up 21 MR. SLEDENZSKI: 22 Pat. 23 MR. ROGAN: Hey, Chrissy. 24 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, I don't know 25 Jack, these guys down here are doing a good

job for us, aren't they? All of them are.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, they are.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: You know something, Jack, there's a fire in the city who'd fight the fire. No one would. Nobody would fight them but us. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thanks, Chris.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Chrissy.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening,

Council. Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer, recording secretary of the FOP, one of the usual suspects and approximately 30 other names that they call us. I didn't know what to talk about -- oh, First Amendment Rights. I didn't know what to talk about today but the slimes came through as usual and saved the day, I'll mention that later.

According to Councilman Joyce, \$11.3 million was spent this year on 2010 bills. Five million came from borrowing from the Workmen's Comp Fund. Was council notified that was done or did you find it out yourselves? \$6.3 million was paid in the beginning of this year for 2010 unpaid

bills. Was council notified that was done or did you find it out yourselves? Did these amounts totaling 11.3 million come from the TANS, the tax anticipation notes? If that was the case, that leaves 3.2 million left in the TANS to pay 2011 bills until money comes in from taxes in other revenue.

If the 11.3 million was for the 2010 bills, we would have an 11.3 million deficit for 2010? Why didn't we know about that and end of 2010 and why are we finding out about that now? Four months into 201 and we are finding that out.

Now, to the slime's article,

"Deficits weigh heavy on Scranton's cash

flow," by Josh Mrozinski, staff writer, and

I'll quote, "Scranton's Recovery Act

coordinator is projecting the city's cash

could run out as early as this spring while

the deficit could balloon to \$8.4 million by

year's end."

Where was PEL when the city had what appears to be an \$11.3 million deficit for 2011?

"In a letter provided to the administration and council, the Pennsylvania Economy League said it does not dispute the City Business Administrator's projected \$3.9 million 2011 deficit adding the potential exists for a higher 2011 operating budget deficit. PEL, which has overseen the city finances since the city became distressed under State Act 47 in 1992, also said that the 2011 projected deficit would be on top of a \$4.5 million deficit from 2010 that remains outstanding."

PEL, Pennsylvania Economy League, how about that shameful agency? 19 years and we are still distressed. How could they be kept on as coordinator with a failing 19 years record? They are so concerned now that we may have a \$3.9 million deficit in 2011. Where were they in 2010 when we have a \$4.5 million dollars deficit that remains outstanding? Why are we just finding out that now? Why wasn't PEL jumping up and down at the end of 2010, oh, I forgot 2010 wasn't your budget, it was their budget, not yours.

Your 2010 amended budget was shot down in Court after the city sued you over your budget. The reason it was shot down was, and I believe, that the safety of the residents of the city was at risk with your budget. If there were any layoffs in your amended budget for 2010, they are no where near what layoffs are being proposed now. I wonder what the Courts would say now if you were before them with your budget with minimal layoffs as the opposed to the massive layoffs rumored recently. Massive layoffs.

Again, the losers will be the taxpayers when the garbage isn't picked up as one of the things. "Meanwhile Scranton's administration and council continue to blame each other for the pressing financial problems."

Council, don't stand up for the blame. You did not cause the 11.3 million deficit from last year. Remember your budget for last year was shot down and the city's budget prevailed.

"Business administrator, Ryan

McGowan, said he is concerned the budget overestimates revenue items and creates a loss of revenue from tax decreases.

Mr. McGowan said council's amendments failed to address the 2010 deficit. He said the mayor's budget proposal eliminated the deficit through spending reductions, while about 1.2 million in 2010 bills and 9.8 million in 2010 tax anticipation notes were covered with 2011 TANS. Council president Janet Evans said council will respond to the Doherty deficit in PEL's letter in detail at tonight's meeting and refused to answer questions."

I have to ask, what spending reductions? Just as an example, \$300 million in long-term debt:

"City council's budget amendments amounted to approximately 2 percent of the 2011 operating budget, Mrs. Evans said."

700,000 is council's reduction, 11.3 million deficit is the city's 2010 deficit.

Payrolls and bills for 2010. I had a little bit more, but I'll just say where is the money. Thank you.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Ancherani, if I could just speak for a second here while you are still here, I know that you read a sentence that Mr. McGowan quoted in the Scranton Times and one thing I did want to say about taking into account the 2010 deficit, when the budget was sent down by the administration in November, the most recent reports that council had received at that time from former business administration, Stu Renda, showed that there was no deficit and that nothing was wrong. The only report that showed that there was a deficit for the year came out at the end of the year, in fact, it was in the controller's report that was submitted for

Up to that point council was -well, the administration obviously tried to
persuade council that there were no problems
in 2010.

the year end of 2010.

MR. ANCHERANI: Okay, and that would be a question, why didn't we know about the deficit during the year if nobody ever told us. I guess that's why we don't know but if

there was a deficit it was kept pretty good under wraps.

MR. JOYCE: Yeah, I guess nobody knew and once it was found out that there was a deficit in 2010, I'm surprised that that didn't make the front page of the newspaper in big bold headlines.

MR. ANCHERANI: Who found out?

MS. EVANS: Well, the only other thing I wanted to add though was to some of what you read was that I had told the reporter that at the time I spoke with him I had not received or read PEL's letter, so that I couldn't comment on it, I couldn't answer questions, but I did indicate I had two statements, one would be that council would respond in detail tonight, and that I was aware, you know, council had not amended the budget more than 2 percent and somehow, unfortunately, it gets twisted around in the newspaper into I refuse to answer questions, so I just wanted to explain that.

MR. ANCHERANI: One further thing, if Mr. Trump was here, Donald Trump was here, PEL would be fired. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I think I agree with that. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Thank you, a number of things actually. First, a couple of weeks ago I said that I wasn't going to get in a war of words with Mr. Bolus, but I can't sit here and allow him to attack me without at least some response. It seems Mr. Bolus wants to use the podium here in city council to argue his Court cases, and I just want -- for the record, I am not a party in any of these issues that he has or in any of these Court cases, so I don't know what it is that, you know, he excepts or wants me to do.

I guess he would have you believe that I'm part of some type of persecution of a poor taxpayer on East Mountain. The truth is that it's his taxpaying neighbors that have asked and are asking the Courts to have Mr. Bolus abide by the law and be a good

neighbor. In fact, one case that's already been settled the Courts have ruled against Mr. Bolus and said, "Be a good neighbor and move those trailers."

He kind of neglects that when he, you know, comes here to attack. And for the record, also, I do not live near Mr. Bolus.

I am not one of his neighbors and I am not part of the East Mountain Neighborhood

Association.

And lastly on this issue, this isn't a political issue and Mr. Bolus has, you know, a legal issue that he is pursuing or legal issues I should say that he is pursuing, as a councilman I have no jurisdiction over any of that. I am not involved in anything that has taken place with Mr. Bolus on East Mountain and I kind of resent the fact that he implies that. I have nothing, you know, more to say on that other than the fact that, you know, I have never, you know, taken the side of anyone in that issue either here or outside of council and I think it's unreasonable that
Mr. Bolus, you know, wants to attack in the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

way that he does.

The second thing that just to respond to another speaker, see, I do pay attention, it may not seem that way. The debate over -- concerning StreetSmart program, I have not been opposed to the StreetSmart program since it was introduced. The debate over -- I felt that the debate over the sole source issue was prolonging the implementation of the program and a number of weeks ago I suggested that rather than continue the debate let's put it out it an RFP, let's get it, you know, settled, and members of -- other members of council agreed, you know, let's discontinue the debate, put it into the bidding process so that we could implement the program. If I were part of the some conspiracy to, you know, delay implementation I would have continued the debate here over sole source and kept it going forever when, in fact, you know, my attempt was to hasten the process if possible, so that it could be implemented. So, again, you know, just a little bit for the record and the truth of

the matter.

A couple of other issues outside of what was said tonight, I would like to announce that the city has received a \$50,000 grant from DCED for the Perry Street playground. This is a grant that was put together through the Parks and Rec's -- not department anymore, but a section of DPW and the grant they will -- the grant will be received in the summer and work will begin on the Perry Street playground.

Also, the neighbors, this is going to be a neighborhood project, the neighbors of that area have agreed to help construct and, you know, get the playground, you know, put together. So again, you know, while people may not see, you know, think the playgrounds are somewhat frivolous just from what the Connors playground has done for that area of South Side and has, you know, really cleaned up that neighborhood and made it, you know, a nice area, I think something like the Perry Street program can enhance a neighborhood and I know that the neighbors in that area are very grateful for that

program or for the grant and the building of this playground.

Also, next thing, I was speaking with -- and it was mentioned last week that we have not received the reports from OECD for February and March?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: February and March. I was speaking with Ms. Aebli and she said that the office has been extremely busy in preparing for the two-week HUD visit and audit of the program and that they were unable to submit those, but they will be submitted at a later date when the audit is completed.

And lastly, on the topic of the night, the budget deficit. You know, for a number of months now we have been kind of arguing back and forth about, well, not arguing, but, you know, discussing and criticizing and the council wants to blame the mayor and the mayor wants to -- or through the business administrator and, you know, wants to blame the council and we go back and forth on, you know, whose fault

this is, and the more we argue, you know, the less is getting done. I don't think it really matters at this point in time where the blame lies. We can assess all the blame we want, you know, blame me, I don't care, but we seem to be acting independently of one another, the council and the mayor, and yet there is no resolution and there doesn't seem to be a resolution in sight. If we can continue to do this, I don't believe that there will ever be resolution that is liveable, that is acceptable.

We need -- we, as a council, and the administration need to work together on this. This isn't about being right. It doesn't matter, you know, to come in here and say, "See, we were right," when, you know, 50 people lose jobs. That doesn't do anyone any good. I think it's time that we work together with the administration, that we try to do something to come to some type of resolution to this problem. We cannot do it independently.

I was happy to hear Mr. Gervasi say that, you know, they are willing to sit down

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the mayor and --

(Whereupon some audience members make comments.)

MR. MCGOFF: May I continue? Thank I'm sorry, I kind of lost my train of I just believe that we need to sit thought. down and talk this through. I think there is some resolution that can -- that we can come to without people losing their jobs. From the beginning, from the inception of the -- you know, when the mayor's budget was introduced and then when the council budget was introduced, I said I don't believe that this is the time for people to be put out of work, and I still believe that, but we need to find some resolution because rumors of mass layoffs can't become reality. We can't allow that to happen, and unless council gest together with the administration that's a distinct possibility.

And so I guess what I'm asking is, you know, what is it that council is willing to do in order to resolve this problem? Are we willing to sit down with the mayor and with the administration and come to a

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

resolution that we can all -- that we can all accept and that is in the best interest of everyone in the city. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. If I just could respond, and then I'll allow Mr. Joyce, I agree with Mr. McGoff this certainly is not about who is right. It's about being truthful and the administration has never been truthful about the finances of this city. Financial maneuverings and machinations have occurred since at least 2009 without the knowledge and consent of city council. It is very difficult now after they have caused a financial train wreck to sit down with people who do not tell the truth. Not only are certain weighty issue, very weighty issues, kept secretive, those issues impact the development of budgets going forward so that budgets have become a joke now.

Now, I think, Mr. McGoff, you might do well to look at the Home Rule Charter, the Administrative Code, I do understand your concerns, but in the event of a deficit

that develops during the fiscal year the mayor must make recommendations to city council as to how he intends to address it and city council may make appropriations thereafter. The mayor cannot make appropriations as he has done each year of the last three years and city council, according to law, does not make the recommendations, the mayor does.

We await the mayor's recommendations. Council will review them and then council will decide on the appropriations and I should probably add that council certainly is opposed to layoffs because it was this council that reinstated those positions to protect the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Scranton and, Mr. McGoff, when it came to the final vote when the mayor vetoed that budget, you voted against this council.

MR. MCGOFF: I --

MS. EVANS: Now, I know what your response will be, I'm sure, that you were voting against ordinances that you felt were inappropriate, but those ordinances were

part of that budget override. When you said no to that, you said, "No, I don't want workers reinstated."

So we are looking at a terrible, as I said, train wreck, a tsunami that was unknown to anyone with the exception of the Pennsylvania Economy League, DCED, and the administration of the City of Scranton, and all have kept that secret for far too long, but as someone said earlier, the chickens have come home to roost and this council has worked diligently, tirelessly to uncover these secrets and it's out there now and now again the chickens have come home to roost and we await the mayor's recommendation as to how he intends to solve his financial mess.

But I can tell him that council will not be entertaining massive layoffs, and as suggested by some other members of council, I do not believe that council will be considering tax hikes as an answer to this either as someone mentioned earlier tonight we have already endured a 26 percent tax hike. What did it get you? Do you have any

more than you did years ago? And is the city in a better financial position?

Certainly not. It's worse off today than it was in 1992, and it's far worse off today than it was in 2002. It's been going downhill rapidly and now it's all out there, ladies and gentlemen.

Although, perhaps that it is not an accurate statement. I'm sure there is more. I'm sure there is plenty more with this came from, and I can only assure you that this council will continue to work and to dig and to put the whole puzzle together for the people of this city, but what is very sad in the meantime is that a few people have had the ability to hurt you and to hurt our city.

And this city council isn't just going to stand by and allow it and this city council is not going to be party to the lies, the deception, the coverups, the shell game with millions and millions of dollars from one year to the next. No, I won't engage in those games, Mr. McGoff. I will abide by the law and I will do what needs to

be done.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, I am not asking you to engage in anything other than working with the administration to resolve the problem.

MS. EVANS: And the administration --

MR. MCGOFF: And I think that that's something that council has a responsibility to do and I think it's the best way in which we can come to an acceptable resolution, acting independently has not gotten us anywhere.

MS. EVANS: Well, I don't think it's been an issue for council that we wish to work independently, we have gone to the mayor several times, we have gone to his administrator several times, we have made every effort to work together. We have been shunned. Now, I, for one, didn't fall off the turnip truck yesterday. I will not work with people who are deceptive about the finances of this city. I cannot trust the statements that were made.

I received yesterday statements from

Mr. McGowan accounting for \$11.2 million that was spent in January and those statements in writing are in direct contradictions to a previous statement he made that \$5 million was taken from a TAN to pay a Worker's Comp Fund. Well, that suddenly no where appears within the \$11.2 million of 2011 money they spent to pay off whatever was going on in 2010.

Now, I don't know where we take it from there, Mr. McGoff. Should I sit in front of them and say, "Oh, should I add that five million to your 11.2 million now? Are we now up to 16.2 million?"

MR. JOYCE: I just to clarify, from reviewing the Right-to-Know requests that we sent earlier regarding the \$2.9 million that was taken out of the 2010 budget to pay off the Worker's Comp Fund -- or sorry, for the money borrowed in 2009 the account number was 165000. On the financial reports that Mr. McGowan had submitted to us thus far, the cash flow reports, there is no \$5 million expenditure taken from that account, from that account to reimburse the Worker's

Comp Trust Fund, therefore, I don't know if it really is, it may be, I know that it was quoted in the newspaper that it was and he told our city clerk that it was taken from account number 200,000, however, account 200,000, the breakdown of that showed that the majority of the money was used to pay back TAN-B from last year's -- as well as you know \$1.5 million of other bills, so it's a mystery that has yet to be solved.

The only question I have for Mr. McGoff, is I can appreciate and I'm glad that the city received a grant for the Perry Street playground, I know that in the budget, the 2011 operating budget, as amended, funding for this was taken out. I believe the amount of funding was \$120,000. Now, when we applied for this grant and is now getting this grant, is the city required, as to your knowledge, to produce matching funds as they are in many other grant situations.

MR. MCGOFF: To be honest, I did not see the actual grant, it will be coming before us in some point in time, I'm not

sure on the matching fund.

MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MR. MCGOFF: It -- from conversation it did not seem as though it was, but again, that was just an assumption on my part.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. I just wanted to raise that point because if there is a requirement for matching funds, you know, I think we have to sit down and take a look at priorities because if the administration is crying that they are going to run out of money, I don't think they should be spending \$50,000 out of the city coffers to help build a playground when they could be keeping one more cop on the street to keep our citizens safe.

MS. EVANS: With our apologies,
Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. I was going to start on the budget, but I guess I'll elaborate on the playground as well. First of all, I would just like to say I'm frustrated in the way I found out about this, I received a call from Josh from the

Scranton Times and that's how I found out that the city received a grant, not from the administration, not from a colleague, it came from the newspaper. Now, I would hope that in the future, you know, when something does come up the administration would let council know.

And Mr. McGoff talked about a cooperation trying to work with the administration, and I have only been on council about a year, less than a year and a half, and I have spoken to Mayor Doherty outside of social events once. I don't know how many times my colleagues have, but I can't imagine it being fairly many, and we have invited the mayor here numerous times. I would like to sit down with the mayor about any issue, but he can't be reached.

Many times department heads, we have the same problems, letters are sent to out requesting them to come to council for a caucus, it's delayed, delayed, delayed.

Letters are sent out on issues for this city and it keeps getting delayed.

But getting back to issue of the

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

park, I share the same concerns at that Mr. Joyce has that if this is funded by grant money from the state in the neighborhood, of course a park is something great for a neighborhood, it really is going to help, but if we have to provide matching funds we just can't do it. We don't have the money and we need to focus on the police, fire, repairing the roads, the necessities, and then once the budget is straightened out down the line then we can go look at that parks, and I think that's where this administration went wrong. Doherty's big thing was to run the city like a business, but he didn't. You know, the initial things Mayor Doherty invested in were Nay Aug Park, millions of dollars spent, millions of dollars in the downtown while the neighborhoods were left to deteriorate.

Blight has been on the rise, crime has been on the rise, and while crime has been on the rise the mayor wants to cut police and fire protection. Just getting back to the budget with -- I know Mr. Joyce

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will elaborate on this --

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I will.

MR. ROGAN: -- but this didn't just start this year. From what I understand, we were -- the city, not us, because most of us weren't even up here then, the city was short money so they borrowed from workmen's comp, they borrowed from TANS. The next year they had to repay back what they borrowed and then they were a little short so you just keep cheating and cheating and cheating and cheating and eventually it comes to ahead, and the house of cards collapsed. It's a house of cards built on top of a tank of gasoline. Eventually something has got to give and when our budget was put together we did cut taxes because we believe the people need a break, the 20 -- the tax cut that was passed by the previous council was unnecessary and there was nothing -- no benefits were received for that. We cut taxes, we cut wasteful spending, I would have liked to have gone further than some, but, hey, I don't think there is ever a case I want more cuts and

wasteful spending.

At the same time, I don't support cuts in the police and fire protection because that's the job of government to keep you safe. It's not to build a new park in your neighborhood, it's to keep you safe.

I believe the article \$8.4 million by the year's end, I believe that was the number that was used. I don't have the article in front of me, I read the paper on my phone I don't purchase it \$8.4 million by the year's end when the amount of cuts that we made in our budget were no where near \$8.4 million which would be well in excess of 10 percent of the budget. Our cuts didn't go that far. We didn't reduce taxes by \$8.4 million, which we could have, probably get a check from us then, but it's just a game that the administration has been playing.

As I have stated before, it's clear as day Mayor Doherty does not want to be mayor of Scranton. He was hoping to get out of town, become mayor, become lieutenant governor, state senator before that house of

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cards over the gasoline collapsed. doesn't seem to be happening. It's not one year, because these problem didn't form in one year, they were formed over years and years and years of decades. The long-term debt in this city and the long-term debt of the country is coming to a point where we can't sustain it. On the federal level I believe it's 40 cents of every tax dollar goes to pay off debt. I don't even though what that number is in the city, I would like to take a look, but every time we borrow and borrow and waste and waste it just keeps getting worse, and the mayor's solution in his last budget that we amended was to cut police and fire protection. That's the last place we can make cuts.

We need to look for solutions. We need to do an efficiency report down at the DPW. The DPW they haven't been any cuts made to their union, it's only been to the police and fire and the clerical. We can look into privatizing the DPW. The DPW is something that can be done privately. Police and fire protection is not something

that can be done privately.

We need to look to cut more spending from the mayor's cabinet. The mayor is the CEO of this city and he has people under him who are making more money than he does.

Their salaries need to be cut, also. And if this was done ten years ago all of these savings would add up over years and years and years and years and years and we wouldn't be in this big of a hole we are in right now, but that's all I have to say on the budget, I'm sure

Mr. Joyce will explain it in detail.

Last week I did have a meeting with Mr. Skeleton from the Sewer Authority and Mr. Tell from Representative Murphy's office about the flooding situation up in the Keyser Avenue region and it was very productive and I would like to thank Mr. Skeleton and Mr. Tell. We seem to finally start to make some progress, and we go around talking to some of the neighborhoods or some of the neighborhood and the problems is a lot worse than we initially realized, but I look forward to working with them and hopefully

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coming to a solution for that problem.

Finally, I have a few citizens' requests, personal requests and citizens' requests. Mrs. Krake, could we send a letter to the Parking Authority asking for a list of the people to have these free tags where they get to park at the meters for free? It came from a resident, and also in that letter, I park in the downtown four or five days a week, I feed the meter, and three times in the last week I went to put money in the meter and it said right on the meter "Fail" and when you go look to see where you put the quarter in it's all jammed up, and I think the reason for this is because the rates were doubled I believe a year and a half, two years ago, to a dollar for an hour from 50 cents an hour, so obviously people are putting in twice as many quarters for the same amount of time and they are jamming up.

I know that's one thing if we had StreetSmart implemented as soon as that jammed up the person who was in the area would get it on their pager that it was

jammed, and I didn't get a chance to look it was jammed and I had a couple of other places to run, I would have liked to sit there and seen how long it took to fix it, but just think of that throughout -- that's just a few meters that I park out downtown throughout the whole city, throughout the downtown, the hill section by the hospitals, that's a lot of money through the course of a year.

And also, when -- not that we want to be giving people tickets all the time, but if the meter fails you can't give somebody a citation for that, so if you can please ask what the procedure is for emptying the meters and how long -- they how found out that a meter is full. I'm assuming it's they just walk by and you have to wait for that part of the route. That seems very inefficient, and hopefully, you know, we have been saying this since January, StreetSmart will be implemented.

Next, I have a citizens' request, the 200 block of 14th Avenue, and I have a picture attached to this as well, is

littered with probably half a dozen potholes and one or two sink holes that need to be repaired. The resident mentioned he called this into the DPW's pothole repair line that scrolls across on the screen multiple times and it hasn't been fixed, and this is a very busy rode since it's the only way you can get from Washburn to Luzerne going towards the high school, and I think that's it.

I'll hold my comments for the legislation until later.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, Mrs. Evans. I'm still getting over this cold so I apologize. As Mr. Rogan stated, I was unavailable for that meeting on the Keyser Valley flooding issue, but I have been down there staying on top of it and I'm proud to say that the Sewer Authority has installed a new storm sewer on North Cameron Avenue to eliminate a lot of problem in that area. There are still some pockets there that we are working on and we will continue to work

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on together. I do commend Representative Murphy's office and Tom Tell.

And on the same note, several weeks ago Mr. Tell and myself had met with state representatives from PennDot, Mr. Murphy, Representative Murphy and representatives from the Wal-Mart corporation regarding the St. Ann's area with the lighting system, the turning lines, the lanes were a little too There were bus stops on the corners, tight. it just proved to be an unsafe situation, so I'm happy to say that the two bus stops at that location have been relocated freeing up The company -- the contracting the corners. company for Wal-Mart will be redoing the lines on the road to where it was prior so there were will be additional parking, and that's still a work in progress the parking addition in front of the laundromat, and they are going to move the line on Landis Street so there is parking in front of Carimano's, also, so those things are progressing.

I do have to agree with Mr. McGoff when he stated several weeks ago we agreed

for an RFP with StreetSmart. I believe the reason we agreed was our frustration over the length of the time it has been taking -- taking the administration, Solicitor Kelly, the city solicitor, the city controller's office and her solicitor, John Brazil, to determine sole source. Our own attorney has determined it, Easton has determined it, Wilkes-Barre has determined it, Reading has determined it, along with numerous other towns, yet, our attorneys know more here in this city.

At the same time you see streaming headlines on the money we are losing. They are the enablers. We could have this money coming in right now. Don't cry about losing money when you have the ability to get the money in. I'm getting frustrated again. I believe -- I mean, I see many people come to this podium and I could feel their frustration because I talked to a lot of people on a daily basis out there and, you know, this administration has failed you. It has failed you and it's been enabled by PEL and the local newspaper in that, and I

just hope some day justice prevails and those that are responsible are going to pay for it, but in the mean time we can't let this city go to pot.

And speaking of pot, if they build a park with no police and firemen, you know what's going to happen up there. Nothing against parks, but with no protection I don't know what's going to happen.

But I believe that are solicitors right now should man up, get StreetSmart implemented, get some money coming into this city so they can show that they are truly concerned. It's working everywhere else and if they are worried about a lawsuit from two competing companies that don't have the same technology, yet they are not worried about lawsuits on arbitration awards, they are not worried about lawsuits on bath salts, let's get this done. We have to get some income in this city.

Insurance, we have been requesting insurance broker RFP's from the administration and the city solicitor, we still haven't had a response, have we?

MS. EVANS: No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Come June 1st or July 1st this city is going to be without insurance. We only approved it for six months and at the time, at the beginning of the year, we requested they put an RFP out because there was a lot of errors in the current coverage and I think another broker or even this broker can do much better. I don't care who the broker is, but we have to smart looking at saving for the people in this town not taking care of friends. Those days are over. We have no money left in the kitty to do that, you can see it, but that's it on that.

I won't discuss the budget because I know our finance chairmen knows a lot more about it than I do and our president here, so I'll let them discuss the budget end of it, but I do have an issue that I have to bring up.

Ladies and gentlemen, as we have witnessed on the news yesterday, the scenario that we had all been worried about has occurred. A large truck barreled

through the concrete barriers at Linde Creek on Crisp Avenue and it ended up in the river. It's very fortunate that there were no fatalities, although the two occupants of the vehicle were seriously injured. The construction of the new bridge has been bantered about by this administration since the original structure was washed away in 2006. Here are some documents and news articles highlighting the construction promises or fables to the neighbors of this project.

This is excerpts from our council minutes on September 9, 2008. "We are corresponding regarding the schedule for replace of the Crisp Avenue bridge. In November of 2007 and the city bid and subsequently awarded a contract to design and permit the replacement of the Crisp Avenue culvert damaged by the 2006 flooding. The result, the construction project was to be completed by August 23, 2008."

That's one promise, there is a whole litany here on that, but I won't go into detail. June 10th of last year, 2010, there

has been many issues in-between, this is in the newspaper, "This week, city officials called the neighbor and several other property owners next to the bridge telling them that ground would be broken on a new bridge this August, August of 2010."

September -- move to September 2010, September 3, Josh Mrozinski, Scranton Times. "This week Scranton officials executed at \$220,830.15 contract with Pike's Creek site contractors to install the bridge. In addition to the construction costs, the city also has the \$58,000 engineering bill bringing the project load to about \$278, 000. The Department of Public Works director, Jeff Brazil, said he thinks the installation of a new bridge will probably begin in about six weeks."

That was last year. The reality
here is that all of these prior promises
whether actually fables. I personally spoke
to a project manager from Pike's Creek site
contractors yesterday afternoon after I
spent the morning at the accident site with
the police and firefighters and tow truck

operators, and the information that he provided me is this: A contract has just been signed within the last 30 days. There is an issue with the elevation data on the drawings by the engineering firm. The city has just appointed a new engineer for city projects and that new company is Cecco Associates. Brian Swanson, the contracting city engineering that was most familiar with this and other ongoing projects, has not had his contract renewed.

The project manager tells me that they cannot complete the shop drawings until they meet with the new engineer and iron out these issues. They cannot begin construction of the prefab portion of the project until the shop drawings are complete. They will not start working on the site until the prefab components are complete.

Ladies and gentlemen, the truth is we are no closer to getting this project off the ground today than we were last fall. I would like to know what city official have erroneously provided the neighbors, the

media, this city council and Representative Murphy's Office with all of these inaccurate details knowing full well we are still several months away from seeing any activity at the Crisp Avenue bridge site. We have all been made fools of over the past few years.

I am calling for an immediate ban of all truck traffic on Newton road until this project is completed in an effort to prevent the possibility of a tragedy in the future, and this is just another example of what you want to read and believe. Come here for the truth. We are working for you. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Mr. Joyce, do you any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, before you begin I have a few comments on the bridge, Mr. Loscombe, I don't know if you remember probably about three weeks ago, maybe four, I received a letter from OECD saying four to six weeks and that they were just waiting for Pike's Creek, and now we have this other information and it seems like every time we get something for our neighbor, West Side,

it always get held up. 1 MR. LOSCOMBE: You will not see 2 3 anything there probably late August, end of September with what has to be done at this 4 5 point. MR. ROGAN: And I don't know how 6 7 many times myself, and I know all of us have 8 talked about it in the last year and a half 9 have brought up that bridge and every time 10 we get something back from OECD or the 11 engineer and now Brian Swanson was on top of 12 things, and I spoke to him on the phone numerous times, he always got right back to 13 14 me, and now he is not even part of the 15 project. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: And it makes us look 17 like the fools because --18 MR. ROGAN: We are reporting the information. 19 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: -- reporting what was 21 told to us. Now I have it right from the 22 construction department. 23 MR. ROGAN: So Brain Swanson is not 24 even involved now? 25 MS. EVANS: Correct.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Not at all. Nope.

They have to start from scratch with the new engineer.

MR. ROGAN: And he was working on that all along and we have a new engineer who had no knowledge of the project.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's correct.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: Okay. If no one has any other comments. First off tonight I would like to start by congratulating Mr. Howells from Go Lackawanna. I happen to pick up a copy of the weekender magazine and saw that you won the reader's choice award for the best investigative reporting.

No offense, however, I cannot say the same thing for the reporter for the Scranton Times. That's why tonight the issue of business that I was solely address is the deficit that Mr. McGowan projected as reported in the newspaper this morning, as you can see there were some quite big bold headlines. Though I explained every part of the projected deficit to the Scranton Times, Mr. Mrozinski, on a Friday evening for about

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25 minutes over the phone, they took a mere two sentences that I actually stated, thus, not telling the truth which is the whole story.

First I'll address Mr. McGowan's In the first report that was projections. filed with the State of Pennsylvania as is required on a monthly basis, Mr. McGowan reported that we would have a surplus of roughly \$300,000 in revenue coupled with an increase in expenditures of \$5 million for an overall deficit of \$4.7 million. Ironically, this was never reported in the newspaper, and one of the primary reasons why it wasn't reported in the newspaper was due to the fact that Mr. McGowan's report, and in his report the \$5 million increase in expenditures was due to an under projection of health insurance costs.

As described when presenting council's budget amendments, health insurance costs were increased in accordance with the dollar amounts provided to the Scranton Times by former business administrator Stu Renda for 2011. Thus,

health insurance costs that the administration provided in the 2011 operating budget were erroneous if the deficit will result because of that.

Basically they provided bad numbers.

In addition to this, the city took on extra health insurance costs that were not even budgeted for by adding back employees that were eliminated in council's 2011 operating budget, the four DPW foremen who I believe may be the first four casual workers to receive health insurance through the city.

Let's jump a month ahead. In the second report that Mr. McGowan prepared for the State of Pennsylvania he projected there would be a \$1.2 million hole in revenue coupled with the \$2.7 million hole in expenditures. In regards to the \$1.2 million revenue hole that was projected, \$300,000 of the revenue shortfall consisted of figures that the administration provided consisting of license and permit fees as well as penalty and interest revenue.

Therefore, \$900,000 consisted of

council adjusted revenue such as collections from real estate, wage, business privilege, mercantile and the LST tax as well as of StreetSmart revenue and rental registration. Related to the rental registration and StreetSmart especially, I might add the administration has been adamant on stalling on it. In fact, I have seen some turtles stuck in molasses move a lot quicker.

In response to this, I just wanted to point out that though Mr. McGowan's report projects at \$900,000 hole in council adjusted revenue, which are primarily tax revenue items, we received a report from Mr. Courtright in the Single Tax Office indicating that revenue has been collected and distributed to the city at the end of March. So this is what they failed to print in the newspaper.

As of the end of the March, we have collected and distributed over \$900,000 in revenue from real estate tax, wage tax, business privilege and mercantile taxes as well as the LST which is the bulk of what council adjusted when creating amendments in

excess of what we have at the same point
last year, and that's after instituting the
tax decrease.

In addition to this, the amount of revenue collected from taxes was projected to be lowered this year, so, wow, how surprising. We are going to have revenue shortfalls in all of these taxes, however, the city has received more money from the tax office even though the taxes were lower, so as per the latest data from the tax office one would expect that the city would fine on the revenue side of the coin, so it is questionable how we would becoming up short on those figures after the March report from the Single Tax Office.

In Mr. McGowan's \$3.9 million

deficit projection, \$2.7 million of the

projection was on the expenditure side.

\$2.5 million of this was due to an

underestimation of health insurance costs

which were generated by the administration's

faulty projections, coupled with the

\$200,000 deficit in other salary accounts

though the mayor hired four foremen back in

the DPW from other salary accounts. How ironic, and stated that their salaries would be fine and covered in addition to providing and continuing to provide them health insurance knowing that there is a \$2.5 million under projection in the health insurance account.

So I don't think that makes a lot of sense or really doesn't sound like someone is looking out for the best interest of the city and it's taxpayer here. So there you have it. If a shortfall occurs in 2011, it will be primarily due to the administration's under projection of health insurance costs, coupled with the fact that the administration chose to hire back workers that were eliminated from council's budget amendments creating a hole in other salary accounts, and also adding to the costs of health insurance since the health insurance of the poor workers hired back by Mayor Doherty is being paid for by the city.

If revenues are short, it is likely that a shortfall in revenue items will not be due to council's projected real estate

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tax, wage tax, business privilege,
mercantile and local service tax figures.

It's indicated by the tax office information
Mr. Courtright has distributed more money
through those taxes to the city than at the
same point last year though projections for
this year are going to account for the tax

decreases are actually lower.

The discussion of the 2011 budget, as amended by council, does nothing but deflect the major problems that the city is facing, which is it's overall cash flow. From information gathered at yesterday's PEL meeting by our city clerk, Mrs. Krake, I work during the times when the PEL meeting are so she goes in my place and provides minutes back to me, in information regarding the 11.3 million in extra expenditures paid out in 2011 in excess of 2011 expenses it was stated by Mr. McGowan that the vast majority of these expenditures were due to the paying back of TAN-B in 2011. With this being said, the city used funding from its short term tax anticipation notes received in 2011 to pay back the payment owed for the

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

__

25

2010 tax anticipation notes, TAN-B.

Basically, what the city did was take out a loan in 2011 to pay off the 2010 loan that it didn't have the money to pay for. One may ask, why did they do this? I just said that the answer is simple, the city didn't have the money to pay back TAN-B in 2010, though it is clearly marked as an expense in the 2010 budget.

From looking over the last cash flow report of 2010, it is evident that at the start of 12-2010 there was -- the city had \$4.1 million in the general fund. and -- in the month of the December of 2010 the city realized \$5.5 million in revenue and incurred \$6.2 million in expenditures. With this being said there would be an excess -- or, I'm sorry, there would be \$3.4 million left in the general fund when subtracting the excess of expenditures over Not included in the revenue for the month. December of 2010 expenditures, was the repayments of TAN-B which is nearly \$10 million, \$9.5 to be exact, plus interest. Because the city ran out of money in 2010,

we could not make the payment of TAN-B in December, the city waited until January to pay back the 2010 TAN-b with the TANS-A and b for 2011, which are meant to be used on 2011 expenditures until tax revenue arrives.

This is the real reason why we are running short on cash not because of the 2011 operating budget as amended by council. We ran a hole last year, we just waited until this year to pay it. We would have ran out of money last year if we didn't take the money from 2011 and go back and pay back what we owed.

The discussion of the 2011 budget seems to be the administration's way of deflecting blame on council for mistakes made in past budgets, and PEL stated that there was a deficit at the end of 2010, though when council tried to aid this by reducing expenditures the administration fought us in Court and they said everything was fine. There would be no deficit. There was nothing to worry about and that laying off people or reducing any salaries would cause irreparable harm to the city. Well, I

wonder if Mayor Doherty would eat his words when rumors are spread around city hall of massive layoffs, imagine the irreparable harm he plans to cause there if he actually puts that plan into fruition.

Okay, continuing on. Furthermore, up until December's report former business administrator, Mr. Renda, submitted reports, as I said, that indicated that there wouldn't be a shortfall, but in all actuality there was. Whether or not council made amendments to the 2011 operating budget or not, we would be faced with the cash flow problem that we are facing right now and that's the bottom line and that's the truth.

Personally I'm not trying to point the finger at the administration, however, the facts speak for themselves, they are easy to decipher. There is no secrets here coming out of my mouth, only the truth is. The secrets are hidden and, of course, the Scranton Times refuses to report them. These are the same facts that I explained to the Scranton Times that didn't appear in today's story. Money was paid out in 2011

for 2010 bills, nearly \$11.3 million in

January for TAN-B was paid back to cover -or was paid in January to cover back the

TAN-b payment of 2010, as well as money that
needed to be paid back for the Worker's Comp

Trust Fund in 2010 that was borrowed,
however, we don't even have a clear picture
if that was ever paid back even though I

guess Mr. McGowan states it was, but
according to the documentation provided to

Mrs. Krake, it's not evident in those
accounts.

Well, since it has been shown in Court that council cannot open the budget and that laying off employees causes irreparable harm to the city as per Judge Mazzoni's decision also year, a decision does have to be made how to rectify our cash flow situation not only for this year but also for in the future. As per Section 909 of the Home Rule Charter, it's explicitly stated that if a deficit shall develop or should develop the mayor shall make recommendations to the deficit for that purpose. Council may reduce other

appropriations. Obviously, the decision
last year when the city ran out of money in
2010 was to pay back TAN-B with 2011 funds.
I am more than willing to listen to
suggestions and work with the administration
on their suggestions or basically hear them
out and see what they have to say, how are
they going to rectify this cash flow problem
that was created from prior year budgets.

The problem isn't being caused by the 2011 budget, the problem is built up all along, in 2010, in years previous, and that's what the newspaper doesn't tell you, so while they may have good articles on some matters, this article is a bunch of garbage and if you are sitting at home I suggest you do what I do, (ripping paper). Take it and rip it up and throw it in the recycle bin.

And last but not least, a couple citizens' requests. A Scranton resident reports that the section of the Warner Street leading into Aztek in Minooka is in subpar position, has various cracks in the road coupled with potholes are making driving positions difficult for all workers

at the facility. Mrs. Krake, could you please forward this over to Mr. Brazil and ask him to repair as soon as weather permits.

And a Greenridge resident informed me that the old Holly apartments building located on the 400 East Market Street has been blighted for quite sometime, and furthermore, that city officials were out to view the property and I believe they also mentioned that it's condemned. Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Mr. Seitzinger and ask him if and when this property is scheduled to be demolished, and that's it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. Following the passing of emergency legislation last week, a store owner visited our council office and requested a copy of the city council's ordinances prohibiting possession, sale, production and distribution of these dangerous chemical substances to adults and minors. He commented that he would get around this and continued to sell such substances only this time in different forms under different

names.

However, our city clerk immediately informed him that he would be in violation of city laws since the ordinances also prohibit the possession sale, production and distribution of all derivatives.

Further, the Scranton police chief stated in the Scranton Times that the city's ordinances coupled with the countywide injunction give his department teeth, bath salts and synthetic marijuana, will be considered contraband if seen by the police, including during traffic stops.

Also, because local law enforcement cannot enforce federal laws, 'You have to have local jurisdiction," according to DEA spokesmen Michael Cannon.

The man who drafted our city ordinances and covered all of the basis to halt this growing epidemic in our city and empowered the city police department is council solicitor Boyd Hughes. Of the many articles I have read on this topic, Attorney Hughes' name and work, like Mr. Loscombe's name and work, fail to be mentioned. In

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under a week this man produced laws that were still on everyone else's to-do list. He deserves our acknowledgement and our gratitude.

Meanwhile, council continues to await the movement of our city solicitor toward RFP's for StreetSmart and for city's insurance coverage.

Next, I observed several police cars sitting at the DPW awaiting repairs. After a second drive-by occurred, it was noted that the same cars appeared to be parked tore two weeks. After brief investigation, I learned that the Scranton police seem to have responded to over 14,200 calls since the beginning of 2011. In light of this tremendous number of calls, I am concerned that police cars remain out of service for far too long and that officers may have to double up in cars which may impact the number of calls addressed and the response Our police officers cannot wait times. weeks for vehicles repairs.

I am also aware that renege 2011
CDBG funds were allocated to the Scranton

Police Department for the purchase of several vehicles. In addition, CDBG applications were for 2012 allocations will be available within the next few months and I urge the police department to request funding for the additional purchase of the police cars, and as the public safety chair, Mr. Loscombe, I ask that you would meet with Chief Duffy, please, to discuss the problems with the police car repairs, purchase in the near fewer of police vehicles using 2011 CDBG funds, and the importance and urgency of applying for additional CDBG funds in 2012.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Consider it done.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Next, city council received a correspondence from PEL late last week regarding city finances.

Beyond the long-term Doherty debt of over \$300 million, another financial tsunami has been building, another one since 2009 and it's unacceptable that city councils, the representatives of the people, were never made aware of this. Only the Doherty administration and the Pennsylvania Economy

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

League were involved in this very private annual mess. I shutter to think that this may have been done to force layoffs of police, fire, clerical and DPW and to keep PEL in business in our city.

I'd like to take you on a trip back in time to the Connors' administration when Councilmen Doherty sat in a seat right here as finance chair. At year's end, outstanding bills remained, no different than the current predicament, in January of the following year taxes for the previous year continued to be received and the prior year's bills were first paid through these taxes. Any remaining bills were paid only then through the use of tax anticipation notes or TANS. Councilman Doherty and his colleagues made very vocal weekly issues of the Connors' administration inability to pay prior year bills and held Mayor Connors' feet to the fire to follow this payment practice.

I wonder what Councilman Doherty would do to a mayor, to Mayor Doherty, who failed to pay TAN-B on time, and then

borrowed a new TAN the following year to pay off the TAN borrowing from last year. Fast forward to 2011. Apparently, this same financial practice does not apply to the Doherty administration and council must remain in the dark so that they cannot take issue with the Mayor Doherty's mismanagement.

In January of 2011, the Doherty administration with the blessings of PEL, used over \$11.3 million in 2011 TANS to pay off bills from 2010, including TAN-B series 2010 in addition TO \$5 million, which Mr. McGowan states was repaid to the Worker's Comp Trust Fund in 2011. As a result, the city developed and over \$16 million budget hole in January right off the top.

In addition, Mr. McGowan reports increased health care costs above and beyond the figures reported by the administration in 2010 and used to draft the current budget. As I said earlier, the chickens have come home to roost and the ongoing financial mismanagement hits the fan in

2011. The Doherty administration would do well to remember that only council may appropriate funding to address budget deficits and the mayor must make recommendations to council according to the Charter and the Administrative Code.

Now, this never happened in 2009 and 2010 and none of these financial maneuverings appear in the annual operating budgets. Also, nothing appears in 2011 to reflect the Doherty deficit with the exception of cash reports and viewed together this annual secrecy is a large part of the cause of this mess.

To date, the Doherty administration remains in violation of Article 6, Section 601 and 602 of the Administrative Code and the Home Rule Charter which was granted under state law, although, both the administration, PEL and, in fact, I'll throw in DCED, seem to ignore that fact.

Equally troubling and significant, how can the Doherty administration and any city council draft an accurate budget with multi-million dollar secrets looming from a

previous year? How can a city controller sign and pay contracts when she can only base these expenditures on a budget that never includes the Doherty deficit? Is there even money in place for contracts, particularly new contracts, when the administration cries it will issue layoffs?

There has been absolutely no accountability and no transparency in these financial matters and as a result, the city budgets to seem to be a joke.

This city council amended the 2011 operating budget by approximately 2 percent. None of it's changes can even begin to approach the current deficit figure created by the Doherty administration with the blessings of the Pennsylvania Economy League. According to PEL, the city will run short on cash during the third financial quarter. This is not news since the city has run short each of the previous two years and used the Worker's Comp Trust Fund to bail itself out secretly. Apparently, the Worker's Comp Trust Fund surplus has been treated as another checkbook of the mayor.

Fast and easy money to use without any accountability or checks and balances.

Ironically, the mayor sued city council last year for trying to cut the 2010 deficit, and as I noted much earlier in the meeting, members of the Doherty administration stated in the Lackawanna County Court that the budget was balanced and there was no deficit. As you know, that was not the truth. It has since been documented that a \$5 million deficit existed.

In this current financial year, however, the mayor must present his recommendations to fill his budget deficit to Scranton City Council as charged by the charter and code and then council may make the appropriations to address it. He cannot address the budget deficit and amend the budget in any manner without ordinances.

In the immediate future, both PEL and city council agree that the mayor should enforce revenue generators should as the StreetSmart parking program and the rental registration program, both of which he has

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

neglected. For example, very recently, an apartment owner phoned the LIPS Office to arrange to make a rental registration payment and she was told by someone in the office that they have no one to collect the fees. It makes one question if the mayor's cuts to city inspectors had stood, would the entire LIPS Department have closed?

Also, I reviewed sole source designations from 2009, 2010 and 2011 from which contracts were awarded. Letters from firms and department heads were accepted without question and without any contact with competing firms and RFP's were never In one case, the mere statements of issued. a supervisor and department head were accepted as the determination for a sole source provider. For some reason, however, the Doherty administration didn't apply the same practice to StreetSmart technology. Ιt appears to make it's own rules as it goes along and in so doing has caused tremendous harm to our city finances and it's good people.

In order to attempt to keep on top

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the financial train wreck caused by this administration, please send the following letters, Mrs. Krake: To the city controller, the total dollar amount paid to four DPW casual workers from January 1, 2011, through April 15, 2011. Include overtime. Council also requests the same information for these same individual employees from January 1, 2010, through April 15, 2010, when they held supervisory positions. Additionally, provide monthly pay reports for each of the four DPW casual workers including overtime and health benefits beginning May 1, 2011.

The total dollar amounts of the bi-weekly payments made to the mayor's confidential secretary from January 1, 2011, through April 15, 2011.

And to the business administrator, provide justification by means of the Administrative Code and the Home Rule Charter for your use of the Worker's Comp Trust Fund to pay TANS borrowed in a prior fiscal year and to make payroll and other pay invoices without ordinances and the

consent of the Scranton City Council. The Worker's Comp Trust Fund account does not appear in the operating budget within the BA's Department.

And finally, I have several requests for the week from citizens. The corner of Meadow Avenue and River Street, the intersection appears to have developed into a used car lot business now. Although, the white car was removed, an older model, perhaps a late 1980's red Buick Regatta without a license plate is now parked in the same location complete with a "For Sale" sign and the cell number of the owner. Can this property be utilized as a used car business?

Next, a third request regarding 837-839 Prescott Avenue. Residents of the 800 block of Prescott Avenue have been more than patient. While awaiting response from the Department of Licensing, Inspections and Permits concerning this condemned property. Although, in 2010 residents state that Mr. Oleski promised to demolish this property in the Spring of 2011, the Doherty

administration has since turned a deaf ear to this neighborhood. Provide city council with a written update on or before April 21, 2011.

Finish filling potholes on Boulevard Avenue. The work was begun several weeks ago and remains unfinished.

A homeowner reports that a red car, and I do have the license plate number, Mrs. Krake, that I'm not going to report it publically, at 805 Market Street parks beyond the "No parking here to corner" sign when. Making a right turn from the stop sign at Keyser Avenue onto Market Street this vehicle blocks the view of oncoming traffic. Please address as soon as possible.

Hamm Court, potholes measured in width four feet three inches to five feet eight inches, and a homeowner's window pane was broken by a rock that flew from a pothole hit by a passing war. Now, I did learn today that the empty lot in that area will be cleaned up and that Linde Construction will properly repair potholes

on Hamm Court within the next few weeks. I certainly hope that that is accurate and I ask the residents of Hamm Court to please keep me abreast of that situation.

City residents report that the traffic light at Lackawanna Avenue and Seventh Avenue is causing lengthy traffic tie ups. Vehicles wait through four lights to get through this intersection. Residents request an arrow light before the light turns green, and that's it.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, that last request was actually one I forgot to mention because I noticed that myself, and I think the reason for that problem is because the smaller Linden Street bridge is out.

MS. EVANS: Right. Well, it's been bad --

MR. ROGAN: If they could readjust the lights.

MS. EVANS: Yes. It was bad to begin with because you have all of the traffic from the Scranton High School early in the morning and midafternoon, but it's certainly been exacerbated by what you are

saying on Linden.

MR. ROGAN: Yes. This morning I was coming down Linden and it took I think three or four lights before I got through and the traffic was all the way backed up to where Kost Tire is, that's almost a whole block. I don't if they could recalibrate the lights, but then we would have the problem from Scranton High School, so I have --

MS. EVANS: I know.

MR. ROGAN: It's a tough situation, hopefully the bridge will be repaired quickly.

MS. EVANS: Well, they are saying that could take quite awhile as well.

MR. ROGAN: Hopefully not as long as the Crisp Avenue bridge.

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. JOYCE: Sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but, Mrs. Krake, in the midst of talking about all of these budget and cash flow matters, I did forget one request for you. A resident asked me about the status of the Larry Johnson memorial and in case you don't know who Larry Johnson is, he is a

24

25

fine soldier that was killed in battle, and I know that there was money left in the budget for that memorial this year, so if you could please contact Mr. Brazil. I believe that's through -- well, it's though the DPW and through the Bureau of Parks and Rec and find out what the status of that is because a few residents have contacted me about that. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: No problem.

MS. KRAKE: 5 - B . NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 26, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE - REMOVAL OF PARKING METERS LOCATED IN THE 200 AND 300 BLOCKS OF ARTHUR AVENUE, BETWEEN MULBERRY AND LINDEN STREETS AND IN THE 1700 AND 1800 BLOCKS OF MULBERRY STREET AND IN THE 1700 AND 1800 BLOCKS OF LINDEN STREET, AND THE 300 BLOCK OF COLFAX AVENUE, AS MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN THE CORRESPONDENCE AND ON THE DIAGRAM FROM COMMUNITY MEDICAL CENTER ATTACHED HERETO AND THEREAFTER, TO INSTITUTE DAILY PERMIT PARKING FOR COMMUNITY

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEDICAL CENTER EMPLOYEES.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. MCGOFF: I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title.

> MR. JOYCE: Second.

MR. LOSCOMBE: At this time I would like to make a motion to amend Item 6-A for the following amendments: Number one, amend the fourth whereas clause by deleting, "Once the meters are removed."

Number two would be amending the sixth whereas clause by deleting, "Scranton Parking Authority" and inserting the "City of Scranton."

Number three, amending the seventh whereas clause by deleting "Parking Authority" and inserting "City of Scranton."

Number four, amending the second line in the now therefore clause by deleting "Removal of" and inserting on the fourth line after Colfax Avenue, "Shall be bagged."

Number five, amending the last paragraph of the now therefore clause after

CMC removal program by deleting, "Scranton Parking Authority" on the first line and "Parking Authority" on the third line and inserting "City of Scranton" on both lines, and also by adding on the last section after October 15, on the last line, in quotations, "Which shall be deposited into city account number to be determined."

And that's the amendments.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion to amend Item 6-A on the floor. Do we have a second?

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question I would just like to explain a little bit.

Next week we are looking to introduce legislation providing for a separate account for these meter funds. At this point we are looking to set them aside for public safety expenses in the event of layoffs and other possible situations.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add that at last week's council meeting I listened carefully to the comments and

suggestions offered by Mr. Sbaraglia regarding the legislation, and as a result I asked Solicitor Hughes to entertain amending the legislation to include the following:

A termination date of December 31, 2011, or until such time as the employee garage is repaired, whichever comes first. Parking meters will not be removed from the streets surrounding the hospitals since metered marking will be enforced at the termination of this agreement. The \$2000 per metered space permit parking fee will be paid to the City of Scranton since the labor of Scranton Parking Authority employees is not required during the term of this agreement.

Further, the money will be earmarked at next week's meeting, placed into a special account, and earmarked for the specific purpose of public safety layoffs.

Now, I believe these changes will better protect the city and the visitors of the CMC, and if I might add, the parking agreement, cooperation agreement, between the City of Scranton and the Scranton

Parking Authority will also be amended in the near future.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Loscombe, is the last one, does that place a limit on the time?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sorry?

MR. ROGAN: Did the last section in the amendment limit the amount of the time where they would be bagged? I thought I heard you say something like that.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Basically it just gives the fact that it will be deposited in the account in order to be determined at this point.

MR. ROGAN: No, I think I support the motion, this the first I have heard of it so it's taking a little time to process, I support the agree the city should get the money, not the Parking Authority because they don't have to patrol the spots.

MS. EVANS: And we would like to keep the meters intact since at the end of the agreement they can just once again revert to metered parking spaces, so it seems counterproductive to remove meters and

1	meter heads right now and then put them back
2	at the end of the year.
3	MR. JOYCE: Essentially it's a short
4	term fix.
5	MR. ROGAN: Do you have a copy of
6	the motion so I could read it?
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Certainly. There is
8	some of the changes on there, too. Here's
9	the motion.
10	MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I
11	could I believe it's Section II of the
12	ordinance where it says, "This ordinance
13	shall be become effective immediately upon
14	approval."
15	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
16	MR. HUGHES: You could add after
17	that to amend that, "And shall remain in
18	force until the CMC employee parking garage
19	opens or December 31, 2011, whichever comes
20	first."
21	MS. EVANS: Yes.
22	MR. HUGHES: I forgot to put that
23	in.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
25	MR. HUGHES: But, I mean, that would

be another amendment and I believe that would be in Section II because it would become effectively upon approval and remain in effect until.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah, definitely.

MR. ROGAN: On the surface this seems to make sense, I will still vote against the final passage of the whole package and I will explain those reasons on the final vote, but I agree that the city should get the money if the Parking Authority has nothing to do with it.

MR. MCGOFF: May I make a request?

I believe that a year ago or whenever this council took their seats we asked that any amendments be presented, you know, in writing prior, you know, to being introduced on the floor. As Mr. Rogan stated, it's the first time anybody is hearing this and it's difficult to process, you know, while we are sitting here. I just ask that in the future, you know, things like just if they are put in writing and given to council before we are here on the floor it would be much easier to deal with. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? 1 All those in favor of the motion to amend 2 3 Item 6-A signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: 4 Aye. MR. ROGAN: 5 Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 8 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. Now, all those in favor of item 6-A --10 11 MR. ROGAN: On the question; 12 correct? MS. EVANS: Pardon? 13 14 MR. ROGAN: Can't we speak on the 15 question for the entire legislation -- the 16 entire basis? 17 MS. EVANS: Yes, then I believe we 18 would be on the question because the sixth 19 order motion was made and seconded. 20 MR. ROGAN: On the question, as I 21 said last week, I voted against this last 22 week and I am voting against this again this 23 week, and basically since CMC's one of the 24 parking lots needs maintenance they need 150 25 spots for their employees to park, and this

legislation, if it passes, would remove 72 double spots and four single -- or five single spots, approximately 150 spaces, metered spaces, from around the hospital.

The metered spaces were generally used by visitors to the hospital for short-term stays or staying an hour or two hours and feed the matter, and no need to park in the garage. Now, what they want to do is they want to charge \$4 per visitor to park in CMC's garage because the metered spots would all be used by the employees.

Now, obviously, it would cost more money for somebody for a short-term visit to visit somebody in the hospital. What seems to me to be the better solution for CMC, and I obviously don't work for CMC, would just be to let their employees park in the other garage and leave the meters for visitors. If they have a separate garage why charge the residents to park in this garage and use the metered spots when they could just have their employees park in the other garage and the people could still park at the metered spots like they always have for a number of

years?

MS. EVANS: I think one of their concerns involves outpatients, the outpatients which average maybe I believe according to the responses they forwarded to us maybe 120 daily, an outpatients receive free parking within the visitor's parking lot, so that if you were transforming that into an employee parking then, you know, you are potentially creating a problem for the outpatient who are visiting the hospital on short-term stays.

MR. ROGAN: And it does say the outpatient's parking is free for outpatients and they are also using parking at Nay Aug; am I correct?

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. ROGAN: So that they want the whole section?

MS. EVANS: No, I don't think it's a matter of that, but I think we have to remember as well that the meters have been bagged since I believe May 2010. This isn't something that is going to happen, the only difference is going to be the permit parking

and the increase in revenue that the city is going to realize from this agreement for 2011 versus I think what was occurring in the previous year, but no one has been able to park at those meters for close to a year now regardless.

MR. ROGAN: Well, I was already always under the impression if a meter was bagged you could still park there, but you just didn't have to feed the meter because I know downtown I have parked at bagged meters numerous times.

MS. EVANS: No, they had --

MR. ROGAN: They said -- I know they would put up permit parking, and CMC will give the employees a permit, and it would be just for the CMC employees.

MS. EVANS: Um-hum, for this year.

MR. ROGAN: For this year, and visitors would be forced to either park numerous blocks away or park --

MS. EVANS: Well, basically that's what's already occurring.

MR. ROGAN: And we're just giving it our okay.

Ms. EVANS: And it has been since May. Well, we are giving it our okay because we are putting limits on it and saying it must conclude by this time, that, you know, we will receive \$2,000 per metered space, which will give us I think quite an increase over what's been paid in 2010, and in addition to that we are saying those parking meters are going to stay, so let's not get too accustomed to this situation.

MR. ROGAN: I agree with the amendment and I voted for it, which is what you are talking about, but I'm just talking about the whole piece of legislation and I know Mr. Joyce mentioned last week that \$2,000 is right around the right numbers if they were full for the entire day.

MR. JOYCE: For eight hours.

MR. ROGAN: If they were full for eight hours, obviously, they were not full for eight hours, but now we won't be receiving money on tickets from those spots, so I would say call it a wash. Bringing in -- we would be getting extra money because they are not fully eight hours a day, you

would get a little bit extra money on that, but you would see zero in the tickets.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually it's not a wash though because you are not going to be paying anything to the Parking Authority now. The city -- the money will come directly to the city because it requires no longer the involvement of the Parking Authority. There are no meters to empty. There are no meters to maintain. They are just -- they have been bagged for quite awhile, so that the services of the Parking Authority are no longer required, hence, their 10 percent is no longer required.

MR. ROGAN: Well, the 10 percent on, you know, obviously I want to save every penny we could, but at the same time I don't want to quadruple the rate for somebody to visit somebody in a hospital for a 20-minute stay, which is basically what this would be doing if they were forced to park in the garage.

MS. EVANS: Well, the I think the bottom line though, I see what you are saying and I do agree \$4 is a tremendous

amount of money to ask for let's say one hour's parking, it's different if you there all day or you are there for overnight, but regardless of the situation, you know, you can say "no" to the legislation but the practice is going to continue. The \$4 will not be changed in the parking garage what they are charging and, you know, we are going to have the same situation that's been prevalent since May 2010, and so we can very well be losing money on it.

MR. ROGAN: I just, you know, when he came in I thought that we all agreed that was one of the sticking points was that they didn't charge an hourly rate, they charged a flat fee. And I said then and I said it now if they didn't change that I wasn't going to vote for it. It's not fair for them, first of all, to have their employees parking at Nay Aug Park, ask the city to give them permission for 150 spots on the street, which they are giving us the money that they should have paid for, as well as increasing the charge the person will be paying more that goes for a visit. It just doesn't make

sense to me.

MS. EVANS: Well, again, I agree with you, but we did get a slight concession of sorts or a concession of sorts in that prior to the public caucus with I think it was Mr. Markowski of CMC \$4 was charged regardless of how many times per day you entered and exited that parking garage and so there has been a change in that \$4, yes, is still charged, but now it can be \$4 for a 24-hour period and if you are coming and going throughout the day you will receive a pass so that it's no longer necessary to keep paying \$4 again and again and again throughout the day.

MR. ROGAN: And for somebody who say they are going to stop by five, six times a day, obviously that helps them, but somebody who goes once for a half hour or once for an hour. Let's just vote.

MR. MCGOFF: I actually agree with you on some of the points, so it's a difficult situation. I think what the problem on it is the extent or the large number of meters. You know, if that number

had been reduced and somehow, you know, we can reverse where the parking was it may have made more sense, but, you know, it would be half.

MS. EVANS: Yes, and I don't believe we requested that, unfortunately, of Mr.

Markowski during the public hearing -- or the public caucus, excuse me. All those in favor of Item 6-A, as amended, signify by saying aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 19,
2011 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A
SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO PIZZA BY
PAPPAS TO OPERATE AN OUTDOOR RESTAURANT AT
303 NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE, SCRANTON,

	1~
1	PENNSYLVANIA.
2	MS. EVANS: What is the
3	recommendation of the Chair for the
4	Committee on Community Development?
5	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Community Development, I
7	recommend final passage of Item 7-A.
8	MR. JOYCE: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
10	call, please?
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
18	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
21	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
22	If there if no further business,
23	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
24	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
25	MS. EVANS: Meeting is adjourned.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

NARDOZZI, CATHENE S. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER