	1	
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, March 29, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

I

<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>

Ü

. .

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	
4	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
8	MR. ROGAN: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
12	MR. JOYCE: Here.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
14	MS. EVANS: Here. Reading of the
15	minutes.
16	MS. KRAKE: Dispense with the
17	reading of the minutes?
18	MS. EVANS: Yes, please.
19	MS. KRAKE: Excuse me, Mrs. Evans, I
20	think I've lost my place. Would we be doing
21	the proclamation next?
22	MS. EVANS: We can. I was going to
23	move through the minutes first, but it
24	really doesn't matter. Perhaps we will go
25	right ahead with the proclamation then

instead.

(Whereupon the proclamation was given as follows:)

We are honoring tonight a young man from Scranton who has achieved the rank of Eagle Scout, a very prestigious rank.

WHEREAS, the COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON is desirous of honoring "CHRISTOPHER R. GRASSI", son of Brian and Eileen Grassi and brother of Daniel and Scott; and

WHEREAS, "CHRISTOPHER" is a senior at Scranton High School and a member of the National Honor Society; and

WHEREAS, "CHRISTOPHER", who is a member of the Elm Park United Methodist Church choir where he plays the hand bells, also plays the violin and is an accomplished pianist who had the distinction of performing in Carnegie Hall when he ws in the 8th grade; an d.

WHEREAS, "CHRISTOPHER" is a proud
member of Hickory Street Presbyterian Church
Boy Scout Troop 16, of the Northeastern
Pennsylvania Council, Dan Beard District

located in Scranton, Pennsylvania; and.

WHEREAS, "CHRISTOPHER: Began his scouting career as a Tiger Cub, worked his way up through t he ranks of Cub Scouts, and earned the Arrow of Light Award; and.

WHEREAS, "CHRISTOPHER" held the positions of Assistant Patrol Leader and Patrol Leader during his Boy Scout years; and.

WHEREAS, "CHRISTOPHER" attended a high adventure activity in Florida with other scouts from his troop where they spent a week on a boat and explored coral reefs, went scuba diving, and even had time to attend the Florida Marlins baseball games; and

WHEREAS "CHRISTOPHER" for his Eagle
Scout project cleaned, resurfaced and
painted walls of the Youth Group Room at Elm
Park United Methodist Church and opened up
the stage area for use by the youth group;
and

WHEREAS, "CHRISTOPHER" passed his
Eagle Scout Board of Review on February 16,
2011, attaining the rank of Eagle Scout, the

highest award a scout can receive;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on Tuesday, March 29, 2011, Scranton City council wishes to congratulate "CHRISTOPHER R. GRASSI", his Family, Scout Leaders and Teachers for their outstanding achievements and extend our best wishes for success in all their future endeavors.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this

Proclamation be made a permanent part of the

minutes of this Council, as lasting tribute

to "CHRISTOPHER R. GRASSI."

Congratulations. This man is the third Eagle Scout in his family. I think his most definitely deserve a round of applause for raising such wonderful gentlemen.

(Council returns to the dais.)

MS. EVANS: And now dispense with the reading of the minutes, please.

MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A
MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD
MEETING HELD FEBRUARY 23, 2011.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE 1 NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING HELD 2 3 FEBRUARY 23, 2011. MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 4 If not, received and filed. 5 MS. KRAKE: 3-C. Report on the 2010 6 7 audit timetable from Robert Rossi & Company 8 dated March 22, 2011. 9 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 10 If not, received and filed. Clerk's notes. 11 MS. KRAKE: We don't have any 12 clerk's notes this evening, Mrs. Evans. 13 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake. 14 Do any council members have announcements at this time? 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Please, just two. 17 again, April 2, at 6:00 p.m. at the Ice Box 18 is the Social for Saunders, a very worthy 19 event. It looks like it's going to be a 20 really spectacular event for a great cause. 21 That's April 2, 6 p.m., at the Ice Box. 22 And second would be the Easter 23 Eggstravaganza being sponsored by Matthew's 24 Mission in memory of Matthew Newell, and 25 that's at 11 a.m. on April 9 at Harmon Field

1 with the Easter egg hunt to begin at 11:30. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. ROGAN: Just one. On April 16, 2011, there will be an autism walk at Nay 4 5 Aug Park starting at 11 a.m. and everyone is to meet at the gazebo across from the CMC 6 7 Hospital. MR. LOSCOMBE: I would just like to 8 9 thank Louise Hubert in Boscov's for her 10 assistance today. She knows what I mean. 11 MS. EVANS: Mr. Joyce, any 12 announcements? No, I'm fine for 13 MR. JOYCE: 14 tonight. MS. EVANS: The Fraternal Order of 15 16 Eagles No. 314 in Scranton, will conduct 17 it's annual spring craft fair this Saturday, 18 April 2, 2011, from 11 a.m. to 4 p.m. Items 19 for sale include jewelry, Dove chocolate, 20 Avon products, home decor and many more. 21 Food will also be available for purchase. That's it. 22 MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' 23 24 PARTICIPATION. 25 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evening is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin tonight by responding to an article that I had the opportunity to read over the weekend in Go Lackawanna regarding the West Side I just wanted to take this Crime Watch. time to recognize the group for their commitment to protecting and being watchdogs in the West Side community. Having their presence on the streets along with our police officers certainly sends a strong message to anyone who may look to cause harm in that part of town. My only hope is that this group continues to grow and can have the opportunity to educate the public.

I would also like to see council try
to build the working relationship with the
group in order for you to gain a better
perspective of what's going on over there
and on anything that may be taking place in
the neighborhood in a period of time.

While I'm on that subject, I would

also like to take this time to commend each and every neighborhood association for all of their efforts. Last night I had the pleasure of attending an open house at the Keyser Valley Community Center to view the improvements that were made to the building. They did some work on the bar, installed a new flat screen TV, and many other improvements and I just want to commend them for that, they should be also proud of their efforts and certainty I would hope that more residents in that part of town would get involved with the Keyser Valley Community Association because they do serve a great purpose.

And, like I said, I would encourage residents not in the area, but all over town to join their neighborhood associations because in order to turn the city around it's going to take a total team effort, and when I say team effort, I'm talking about everyone being on the same team. It's also going to take cooperation from all sides and a commitment to solve the city's problems.

Moving onto another topic tonight,

the Taxpayers' Association will be holding a special meeting this Thursday at 6 p.m. here in council chambers. I'd just like to encourage the public viewing tonight if they are interested to please attend.

And I'd also like to ask Mr. Joyce if we have had heard anything regarding the request I made on Mr. Greco, if you have any update?

MR. JOYCE: We haven't been done to the office yet, but I will be doing so within the next few weeks.

MR. MILLER: Like I said last week, reiterating my statements, I don't feel you should have to go to any office, and that's not just council, that would be anybody. I don't think that -- in my opinion I feel citizens' request should be given -- sent right to the city clerk's office and given to you directly. I don't believe you should be running all over town chasing information. Those are my feelings. I'm sure there is lot of opinions on that, but that's how I feel.

And one last issue tonight, it was

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

made -- brought to my attention earlier this evening that I guess coming down the expressway coming into town towards the Radisson if you were to make that right-hand turn at the light there to get onto Jefferson, there is a light there, and I go by there, you know, frequently and I never noticed this, and it was a good point that was brought out, there is a sign that says "No turn on red" and the point that was made was any traffic coming from your left-hand side would be coming from Lackawanna Avenue, well they can only -- that traffic coming from that light can only make that right-hand turn going towards Moosic Street. There is no traffic that can go straight through onto Jefferson because there is that median in the middle of the road.

So maybe we can take a look at that.

I thought it was a good point that was
brought forward. Why would we waste all of
that money on a light if we don't need it,
so maybe we can look at that. And that's
all for this evening. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Giovanni

Piccolino.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city council and fellow Scrantonians. Giovanni Piccolino.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PICCOLINO: How are you? I would like to begin by applauding Chief Duffy. I think what he is doing is fantastic around this city. I constantly see it in the Times. He is doing a lot of extra footwork, kind of makes you feel a lit bit safer.

I would also like to applaud the Scranton School board for voting that bath salt is banned from I guess around the school district, which I was wondering if there is any steps at all, I mean, to take that we could ban the sale of that in the city? There has been a lot of bizarre incidents.

MS. EVANS: It's very coincidental that you should mention that tonight because, in fact, Mr. Loscombe, our public safety chair, has been working very diligently on this issue recently and he

will be addressing it later this evening.

We had gotten together on a few occasions

since last week and I know he has done a

great amount of work on this and we have our

solicitor involved as well, so we will

address that later.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good. That was a scary picture in the Times, especially with those two children not having restraining seats and stuff.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Piccolino, I would also add, me and Mr. Loscombe spoke about this before the meeting and Mr. Miller actually brought up the neighborhood watch meetings and, you know, before the media really started talking about a bath salts when I heard of it, I think, oh, you know, bath salt, like you pour into your bath, and I know I actually learned a lot about it because of Chief Duffy and Captain Graziano's presentation that they had at the Hyde Park Neighborhood Community Watch and it was a great presentation and they went on to say that they believe that these bath salts, even though they are legal throughout

1 the state, they are more dangerous than hard 2 drugs like heroine and cocaine, and we have 3 been seeing it played out in the news day after day recently. 4 5 MR. PICCOLINO: Thanks. And last, but not least, it wasn't for the community 6 7 Buona Pizza wouldn't be where it's at our so 8 our t-shirt sales we have \$200 for the 9 Public Safety and Manpower Initiative for 10 the city. 11 MS. EVANS: Thank you very much. 12 Yes, if you could give that to Ms. Carrera. 13 That's very generous. Thank you so much. 14 I'm sure that both of the police and the 15 fire departments will be very grateful to 16 you. 17 MR. PICCOLINO: Thank you. God 18 bless. 19 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Bolus. 20 MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. 21 Bob Bolus, Scranton. 22 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 23 MR. BOLUS: Well, I can't say it's 24 been a heck of a week since I was here last. 25 We've finally come to some of the things

1

5

6

4

7 8

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I think need to be addressed tonight. As you all know, and I'm sure most people do, I have been pretty well-hammered in, you know, the press and accusations were made about my home and all, and I have taken really serious exception to the comments of Joe Weschler, Barry Dunleavy and others in the East Mountain Neighborhood Association and I'm going to see that they get their day in Court for the falsely accused lies and misrepresentations they made about me and my home and everything else up there because they are without justification. They made them to the press, they made them to city officials, and they have to be addressed and they are going to be dealt with.

I had a dialogue with Attorney
Kelly, in fact, yesterday. We had bumped
into each other and Paul asked if we can we
sit down and talk. And I said, "Paul,
that's all I wanted to do. There was no
need for anything to get to where it was. I
understand the issues you are dealing with,
you know, you are in a campaign, you are in
a race, being nobody wants to have anything

negative one way or the other."

But, I said, this could have been resolved with a little intelligence had people supplied the right information and maybe people paid attention to what so-called neighbors that were trying to attain at the expense of other people.

Mr. McGoff had stated after I left last week, I reviewed it, oh, let it go to Court. Well, maybe it's a good thing to go to Court on some issues that you can't resolve, but I think intelligent people, mature people, can setup a dialogue and get things done. Your running mate, I didn't hear anything about his illegal aliens and how you perceive him, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.

MR. BOLUS: No, I'm not going to excuse you because you made a comment last week --

MR. MCGOFF: I didn't make any comments.

MR. BOLUS: Yeah, you did. You said it should go to Court. What I would like to you to today, Mr. McGoff, on behalf of

council, since Mr. Hartman has an issue up there with the land that everybody is trying to protect him with, I'd like to see you send a letter to Attorney Kelly, because we raised that issue with him, to have a legal opinion as to why he built his home on city-owned property, which I presented to this council. I'd like to see you take that --

MR. MCGOFF: Don't you have that in Court now? Isn't that one of the --

MR. BOLUS: No, that isn't in Court yet, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: That's not one of the 27 lawsuits you have?

MR. BOLUS: No, it's not part of the lawsuit, the easement is my issue that we are dealing with. This is an issue about city-owned property. The question of the subdivision that was approved and he built his home on it.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Bolus, if I can interrupt, I actually had it on my list of requests to send a letter to Attorney Kelly asking if action was going to be taken on

your offer for \$50,000, and I know Attorney Hughes mentioned last week that that would be the bid that if somebody else wanted to bid they would have to beat that.

MR. BOLUS: Absolutely.

MR. ROGAN: And if was agreeable to everyone on else council I would ask that we send that letter to Attorney Kelly. Also, on that letter asking if there is any new information regarding the easement.

MR. BOLUS: Okay the -- I'm sorry.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add that I think, oh I, don't know how long ago this might have been, during one of your previous visits when you had asked council to contact Mr. Kelly asking for his opinion as -- I believe as to that portion of the land being city-owned property, etcetera.

MR. BOLUS: That's correct.

MS. EVANS: We did, in fact, send that letter to Attorney Kelly, I don't believe we received a response though, did we, Mrs, Krake?

MS. KRAKE: I'd have to check and see. I don't believe so.

MS. EVANS: I can't recall having read a response because I think had I, I would have provided that to you as I do to other speakers who have posed questions and when we receive the responses we pass it right along.

MR. BOLUS: Well, when I spoke with Attorney Kelly we spent about an hour together, and I can say that I believe we covered a great deal of ground and I think we are very close --

MS. EVANS: Good.

MR. BOLUS: -- to committing an agreement, an understanding between us in writing. Paul had a better grip of the facts now, he had a better understanding after we had the meeting, and I would commend him that he did sit down and he is looking to resolve the issue at the least expense to anybody and, of course, it's time consuming. We were prepared to go to Court on Thursday and I gave Paul my word that we would hold back on it until we see where this agreement goes, and it's basically regarding the trailers. When the house is

finished being completed and the work is being done he understood now how the insurance company was involved and all of the things there that the house is definitely not uninhabitable, that there is more to meet the eye than neighbors and people made comments on, and I offered any time an inspector wanted to come in there be more than glad to show him what work is going to be done by the contractor, who is a licensed city contractor, who went in for the permit five weeks ago, and I paid for it, and then we were told we couldn't get it.

So the permit will be granted, the work will be done, and he could inspect -- or the inspector, as long as he is qualified, can inspect the work that's going to be performed on the house. I have no problem with any of that. There were no secrets. It just took some common sense for people to come forward and do it.

And I would really like to thank the press, quite honestly, that's something that's very hard to do sometimes, but they

did come forward, Channel 16, Go Lackawanna, the Scranton Times, they did bring the issue to light and I think by them doing that it's made more people attentive to let's resolve this situation rather than let it escalate because they didn't like the idea their names were in the paper no more than I did for something negative that was just unfair.

And I ran into the mayor over the weekend at a function for Roseanne

Novembrino and he offered to get me a room, but as of today, you know, I didn't get the room yet and I hate to tell the mayor I'm still staying in my house, I'm sorry, but I really don't need the room now, but thank you very much and I appreciate that the efforts being done now. Maybe we can avoid spending money in a lawsuit like Mr. McGoff would like us to do, but I believe dialogue is the best way to resolve the problem.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: And I think Attorney
Kelly and I will get that done and I'll keep
council posted as well as the press. Thank
you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,

citizen of the Scranton. Fellow
Scrantonians, there is a lot of things being built on city-owned property. Of course, some of those leased out. I believe the Holiday Inn is being -- they are putting a bank there now where the old Holiday Inn used to be or Holiday Manor? I believe that's a city property, too, and they are also talking about putting a Turkey Hill down at the old DPW site.

Now, think about it, they only have to pay a tax on the improvements, not on the land, and if you look at your taxes, the land tax is more expensive than the improvements, so somewhere along the line a lot of shenanigans is going on. Well, there is sense going back to the Ice Box. I think we all went over it 101 times and what is what is.

I don't like a 200-year lease, I don't care what for a dollar a year. I

could say it 101 times and it still makes me mad, but unfortunately, that's what our mayor did. He gave that piece of property for \$1 a year for 198 years and their only stipulation was, of course, to tear down the buildings.

Now, the Holiday Manor, on the other hand, we tore that down. That should not have been leased. That should have been sold. Where does the man get the right to do what he is doing other than the fact that a lot of people vote for him and really, really, really in my mind I can't understand it, but it's done. It's done. That's the reason why it's too bad they don't have recall provisions in the State of Pennsylvania. We certainly need it.

Now, let's go on to some of the other issues. You know, the Connell building wanted us to fix their sidewalks. If you look at the original legislation for the sidewalks for the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, it was all the sidewalks. We didn't say we would only fix Rinaldi's sidewalks, we said we would fix everybody's

_ :

sidewalks since the sidewalks are public egress and they should have been fixed, they still should be fixed, and I believe before you do the Connell building you ever bring that back up again you fix the sidewalks on the 500 block of Lackawanna. There is no sense in that. We could have had it all done and be done with it.

There is a lot of things happening in this city people don't realize. I wish they had more common sense really. What's the idea of the mayor trying to buy all electrical fixtures and then lease out installation to contractors? I don't understand that. You mentioned that the mayor wants to by the equipment.

MS. EVANS: Actually, I'm going to report on that under motions, the administration has reversed course on that and I'll address it further.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, thank God, because you would have had to live over there with Novembrino to find out what he was doing with all of these things. He has the discretion to spend \$5,000 without

20

21

22

23

24

25

coming before you and he could spend it and there is not a darn thing you can do about it. That's what bothered me about him deciding maybe you wanted to buy the light That didn't make sense at all. fixtures. Obviously, the best person to buy light fixtures is the contractor because he gets a discount. It makes more sense. I had the original contract for the light fixtures when they came out originally. I didn't believe that we have should have bought the light fixtures from PPL. I talked about it then, but like everything else in the city it falls on deaf ears until the streetlights go black. PPL did a good job. They should have never done what they did and I'm still waiting for somebody to come up and say, "Let's see the figures."

How much money did we actually save by buying the light fixtures being we had to pay the interest, we still have to pay the electricity, and we have to pay for somebody to install it? PPL did all of that. I don't quite understand, but like I said before, there is a lot of things that happen

in the city I don't understand, they didn't 1 make sense then, they don't make sense now, 2 3 and we got to live with it. Thank you. MS. EVANS: 4 Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Sbaraglia, if I'm 6 7 not mistaken, the bank being built on 8 Franklin Avenue, that property is owned by 9 the Tobyhanna Army Depot. That's whose 10 building that -- they are building a new 11 credit union there, and I don't recall if 12 they held the mortgage on the former 13 building that was there and by default they 14 had or they purchased it after, but they 15 are --MR. SBARAGLIA: You have to look 16 17 into it because originally back then they 18 said it was leased out. You will have to check it out. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll check it out for 20 21 you. 22 MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Les 23 Spindler. 24 MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, 25 Les Spindler, city resident and Council.

1 homeowner, taxpayer. MS. EVANS: Good evening. 2 3 MR. SPINDLER: Mr. McGoff, I'm wondering since you're a former teacher can 4 5 you tell me are the words exact and similar do they have the same meaning? 6 MR. MCGOFF: I can't -- I'm sorry? 7 8 I didn't understand --9 MR. SPINDLER: Do the words exact 10 and similar have the same meaning? I don't believe so. 11 MR. MCGOFF: MR. SPINDLER: 12 Thank you. 13 MR. MCGOFF: But I wasn't an English 14 teacher. 15 MS. EVANS: I would concur with the 16 history teacher. 17 MR. SPINDLER: You don't have to an 18 English teacher to know the answer to that, 19 and all it takes is a dictionary, which 20 leads me to Attorney Hughes, correct me if 21 I'm wrong, sole source means somebody, a company, providing a service that no one can 22 23 provide that's exactly the same; am I 24 correct? 25 MR. HUGHES: I think that's a good

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

definition, yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay, thank you. Well, if that's the case, I think Chris Doherty in this article in the Dougherty newsletter the other day more or less is saying with StreetSmart is a sole source and I will read this. It says, "The city determines StreetSmart cannot be sole source because the city has received calls from other companies that said they provide similar services."

Now, similar is not exact, and, Mr. McGoff, you backed me up on that, exact and similar are two different means. Exact is exact. So if these companies do not provide exact services then they are not a sole source and I don't think council should have to put bids out. This is nothing but a stall tactic by the mayor and his administration to make council look bad to have less income coming in to make your budget look bad, and I have said this in the past, and here it is. He more or less says that right in the paper.

And this is a terrible way to run

the city. He is upset is he didn't get his way with the budget, and as I said, this is nothing but a stall tactic to make council look bad, and who hurts in that is once again the taxpayer, and that's really sad and I know council really didn't want to do it, but you had to give in because this mayor just he's like a little kid that doesn't get his own way and goes home with the ball. He gets his own way or --

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Spindler, I would also add two days from now is March 31 which ends the first quarter of the year, so we have already lost a quarter of the year --

MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely.

MR. ROGAN: -- without anything being done.

MR. SPINDLER: And we will probably go at least another month before all of the bids are in. That's unbelievable.

Okay, moving on, I have said in the past, it's no secret how upset I am with the mayor what he is doing with the fire department closing down Engine 9 permanently, browning out other fire engines

23

24

25

and trucks and now I've heard in the last couple of days, I hope this isn't true, but I heard it from a very good source that the mayor is considering laying off 30 firefighters and 10 to 20 police officers. I don't know if this man is totally losing his mind or what. If he lays off 30 firefighters how in the world do they think they can protect this city from fires? have their hands full as it is and with the crime in this city also if we lost 10 to 20 police officers? That's another thing. know there were times during the past year where there were days where there were only a few cars on the street to patrol the whole city. Now, if we lose 10 to 20 police officers, God knows what's going to happen. That's -- you might as well put up a banner to all of the criminals, "Come to Scranton."

I mean, when is this going to stop?

This is just ridiculous. This man doesn't care a darn about the safety of the citizens of this city, and I wish people would rise up and have their say because I called the mayor about the fire department, we need

more people to speak up and not let him get away with this.

The next thing I have to stick up for Mr. Bolus and say I think this is nothing but politics at it's best. These people, Mr. Weschler, Mr. Hartman, they are nothing but Doherty cronies. If Mr. Bolus was a Doherty crony, which he isn't, this never would have happened. This is nothing but politics. And, you know, this has to stop for this city to get running smoothly. I don't know when it's going to stop, but it's got to.

Lastly, about three or four weeks
ago I brought in a request about my corner
that was damaged again. I wonder if
anything was heard about that? If there was
any response from DPW?

MR. ROGAN: I don't think so.

MS. EVANS: No.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. Just in time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.
Tom Ungvarsky.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Krake, could we

please resend Mr. Spindler's request? Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Just a word about the streetlights. Years ago PPL used to take care of the streetlights. They had a department that would keep records -- that would keep record of the lights and before the streetlights would burn out or outlive it's life, they would come around and change it. When this mayor took office, for some reason he bought them out and wanted to do it in-house. I have no qualms with Mr. Joyce, I don't know him, but perhaps you could even get a bid next time from PPL to look into it again.

Does anyone on council know who takes care of the workmen's compensation?

MS. EVANS: I'm not quite sure what the question is specifically. The business administrator I'm sure oversees the finances of it. Are you speaking of that or --

MR. UNGVARSKY: No. as

24

25

MS. EVANS: Administrator? MR. UNGVARSKY: Yes. as administrator wouldn't it be his duty to inform council or someone else for that matter when a large sum of monies are being It would seem as withdrawn or returned? though you would have to supply some kind of an audit or a report to city council as to what the expenditures were and what the MS. EVANS: Yes, but that's never MR. UNGVARSKY: Well. it seems like there is no one in this administration who is willing to supply information. MR. JOYCE: That's why we have the Right-to-Know request out there so we can get to the bottom of it. MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay. I'm a member of the Scranton and Lackawanna County Taxpayers. What the taxpayers try to do is inform the public as to what's going on in the city and in the county. Ozzie Quinn was our president. Ozzie was a hardworking,

honest man who knew all of the departments, what they could do and what their rules were. Ozzie had no agenda except to see this city succeed. Ozzie will be hard to replace. I was glad to work with Ozzie Quinn. I hope he enjoys his retirement because he earned it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Ungvarsky, and I think on behalf of council we can echo Mr. Ungvarsky's comments regarding the resignation of Mr. Quinn from the presidency of the Taxpayers'

Association. The level of professionalism and credibility, honesty and integrity that he brought to that office is unparalleled. He will be very, very difficult to replace because he did work for the benefit of the citizens of Scranton and Lackawanna County and that was the pure motivation. Nothing more, nothing less, and we were fortunate, I feel, to have enjoyed his service for these many years and I want him to know that he will be deeply missed in that position. Our next speaker is Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Council. The first thing I would like to address tonight is clarification of an issue raised at the March 8 meeting, that would be the City of Scranton's obligation to reacquire the unpaid delinquent taxes at the maturity date of the note payable in an amount necessary to satisfy the balance of the note.

My first question is how PennStar would be able to demand a note be paid by the end of this year when the independent auditor's note states that the note matures in January 2012. What gives PennStar the right to demand early repayment? It seems to me the city would not be obligated to reacquire any unpaid delinquent taxes until 2012 with the note is payable.

Also, Solicitor Hughes stated a transaction was done in December of 2007.

The note is to mature on June 1, 2011, in ten months. This begs an observation and two questions. The observation is that the June 1, 2012 is more than ten months away and one question is which date is correct, the maturity date of the note, June 1, 2012,

as stated by Solicitor Hughes or January 2012 as stated in the auditor's note?

The second question is when council meeting minutes are actually approved. The reading of the minutes is typically dispensed with and as these form the official records, I presume they should be read and approved, but I don't recall ever hearing of minutes being approved.

The minutes of the March 8 meeting further record the statements, "It is very troubling to note that the city may be on the hook for a mandatory repurchase of the delinquent tax claims at a cost of 1.6 million this year since council was not informed by the SRA or the administration of this matter," etcetera.

I agree as to the year in which the repurchase must occur, but the auditor's report for both 2008 and 2009 clearly state, "The City of Scranton has an obligation to reacquire the unpaid delinquent taxes at the maturity date of the note payable in an amount necessary to satisfy the balance of the note."

The only thing that has changed in the auditor's note are dollar amounts, but since council had the auditor's report for 2009, because it was publically available and before the council amendments were revealed, I'm disappointed council was not apparently sufficiently troubled by the fact that the balance of the note only went down from \$2,051,375 at the end of calendar year 2008 to \$1,872,990 at the end of the calendar year 2009 to investigate the poor collection rate.

I will conclude with an issue about which I will speak more in a future meeting. Last year council approved the sale of eight tax delinquent properties on East Mountain.

On a whim or divine guidance, take your pick, I checked to see whether taxes were current on these properties, and guess what? The taxes are not paid and there is no record of change of ownership in the Assessor's Office. Many changes appear to have been made at these properties so I'm wondering both who obtained the permits to remove trees and substantially rearrange the

earth and whether permits are issued on tax delinquent properties as a general rule.

Again, I will have more to say on this at a future meeting. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Attorney
Hughes, would you care to address some of
the statements in questions presented by Ms.
Schumacher concerning the sale of the city's
delinquent taxes to the SRA and the
subsequent developments with PennStar bank?

MR. HUGHES: I believe I made those statements about three meetings ago. I don't have the file in front of me, however, my memory is, first of all, the notes were sold with recourse which means the maturity, any amount that was not collected, the city of Scranton has to repurchase that amount.

The second thing is that, as I remember it, the note matures on January 1st of 2012, however, there is provisions in the note setting forth in the event of default, if there is an event of default, the holder of the note, PennStar, can hold or can give the notice in the event of default, declare them in default, and confess judgment for

the amount.

If there is no default, and I believe that's what PennStar was attempting to do because the city did not have anyone to collect the taxes -- or the Redevelopment Authority did not have anybody to collect the taxes, that the note requires the city to make provision in it's budget at least 30 days before the note is due for the amount that is due and owing to PennStar so they can be paid on January 1, and I believe that that -- there is a requirement of 30 days and 15 days which means it has to be adopted by December 15 which, of course, I believe in the Home Rule Charter the budget has to be adopted by December 15.

So they are the requirements that would provide for acceleration, what we call an acceleration if there is a default where the amount that would be due the maturity date would be -- the full amount would be become due and owing if there is an event of default, number one.

Number two, the note is with recourse to the city.

1

21

22

23

24

25

- -

And number three is that the provision that was put in there assures that it would be adopted in this year's budget to be paid the maturity date on January 1 of 2012. That's how those dates are critical, as I remember them, and I haven't looked at that in a couple of weeks, but that's how they come about.

I would also, as I stated I believe three weeks ago, that the mayor's proposed budget that was submitted to council on November 15 for this year did not include any amount in his budget to pay that note. I believe council is unaware of that in adopting their budget, and council's budget also did not include it based on the premise that the mayor's budget didn't include it.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: And as I remember the audits, I do not believe that any audit ever stated what the -- what the uncollected amount of the real estate taxes were so that would be a contingent liability of the city and the audit. The audits did disclose that there could be a contingent liability

because of the SRA note which was I believe a footnote and was set forth on page 52 of the audit, and I'm going from memory, I don't have it in front of me, but that's how I remember how this whole thing unfolded. I was certainly you unaware of it until the attorney from Harrisburg called me from Rhodes and Simon and informed me of that and sent me the documents.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney Hughes, and I hope that helps --

MR. HUGHES: You're welcome.

MS. EVANS: -- to respond to some of your questions, Ms. Schumacher. And our next speaker is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: You know, I'd just like to say that the tax group appreciates the comment that council has made in regard to Mr. Quinn and, you know, I don't know if it's a proper to ask this from the podium or not, but maybe council will consider, because you have said nice things, also, about Mr. Quinn, that maybe the city could

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

give a proclamation to Mr. Quinn for all of the service to the community.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MORGAN: You know, I mean, I hope that you word it, if you would, any way you see fit in any way you would see fit. I mean, he's just a man that, you know, really gave all he could to the community for a long time and, you know, I think that our group would really appreciate it, I think the residents of the city would appreciate it who knew of all of the work he did including, you know, Habitat for Humanity and all of the other things that I just -you know, you can't say enough nice things about this man who was committed to our community for a long time and, you know, I hope you consider that.

The other thing is tonight I'd like to do a Right-to-Know, and I'm not saying that council has the answers to this questions, but I asked it before and it has to do with the shortfalls in the city's pensions. The PEL said it was 53 percent funded and if council doesn't have the

numbers at least, you know, if I could find out exactly where to retrieve that information I would appreciate it. I would just like to give this to you, if I could.

MS. EVANS: Um-hum. Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: You're welcome. The one other thing I have this evening is there a funding stream for ECTV at this time?

Does anybody know how they are operating, what revenue they are operating on?

MS. EVANS: I'm not aware of any.

MR. MORGAN: Are they waiting -- has the contract with the cable provider been accepted? Has that been implemented or where does that stand?

MS. EVANS: If you remember the history of this, the last step that was taken was council had approved the Comcast contract with an amendment to it, and that amendment was that the contract could not be authorized and executed until certain changes were made, one of which was to lower the term of the contract from 15 to 10 years, and another was to put out RFP's for government access channel management.

MR. MORGAN: We went from one channel to two; is that right?

MS. EVANS: Well, we had two, the mayor wanted one, and then council in it's amendments said that we could prefer to retain two stations and forego the \$85,000 grant that would have been given to the city 24 months following the execution of the contract because we felt that the extra channel was far more beneficial to the people than the \$85,000 grant.

MR. MORGAN: No, no, I do remember those things, but I mean, it's gridlocked now and it hasn't moved? Is it --

that, council overrode the veto and where it went from there, I really don't know. But, obviously, Comcast is still in full force in terms of it's operations. In fact, it's updated it's system quite recently to the dismay of many viewers, I know, that have had quite a bit of trouble with that, and I do notice that under the new system Channel 19 is designated as the educational -- or excuse me, the government access channel,

and channel 21 as the educational access channel. So I am quite sure that Comcast is well aware of the developments that occurred in Scranton.

MR. MORGAN: Okay. I just wanted to ask that because if ECTV isn't receiving any funds then there is a possibility that the community could lose it's public access channel through a lack of funding and that's why I asked about, you know, where everything was because evidently there needs to be some settlement, but I appreciate your time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers' here in Scranton. Well, tonight that's what I'm here about. Our conference or whatever Friday was total -- or Thursday was a total failure. The camera went on the fritz and it was my personal property and it's -- it's totally mush. It wouldn't be fair to put any of it on television at this time, so we are trying to work out a thing where we can

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reorganize and see what other options are and Thursday night we are going to meet and see where we are going with Ozzie dropping the presidency, and most of it is my equipment here. There is one or two things that belong to the taxpayers' that they helped buy, and, I mean, I went through 200 different cameras of all types of prices last night, I cannot find with the external sound input, so that would nullify all of the mics at the tables or whatever, and I'm going to keep looking, but it's not easy. It's not easy and -- well, hopefully maybe I can change some of the policies or we can get a policy from the Taxpayers' because at times there has been speeches that really didn't help me in dealing with ECTV or whatever and from members of the taxpayers and we just have to level off our emotions, maybe they can help us.

But that's been my concern with it and I think I have spoken out about that recently, past where it might be better to try and reach some kind of settlement with ECTV then keep pursuing a course where they

are not funded. Sometimes I wonder and it wasn't said to me by anybody from ECTV, but the administration would just love to see them disappear because it's our side of the story that gets heard on the channel more so than the mayor's side or whatever and that's not always convenient for him, but, well, hopefully we can get things together and replace Ozzie as best we can.

I was sort of taken back when he resigned, but he has been teetering ever since Gary lost his bid for mayor and his democratic party, we had to talk to him then, make him an offer he couldn't refuse, and drag him back and rough him up whatever when we met up in Nay Aug Park. We took him back to the lion's den. No, just kidding, but --

And well hopefully I'll be able to get these together. That camera was bought for about \$150 and they just keep reducing the amount of options you have on them and they have wonderful focusing and zoom characteristics and stuff, but as far as sound they are getting worse and worse and

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

worse. They build the microphone into the camera and that's the only option you have. You could work with a two or three hundred dollar camera, but it has to have external sound inputs or it's worthless as far -- unless it's for family entertainment and something.

All right, other than that I have been looking at these budget issues and the surprise, surprise bills that have come to light. You know, which ones I mean, the shifting of accounts and what the -- the disability insurance and funds and stuff and next year maybe sooner than any kind of tax decrease or whatever you people could strictly control it, no money gets paid off unless you people say it's okay and put it on the agenda. If you got a surprise bill that you absolutely didn't know because the audit didn't report it or whatever, it would be nice to be able to pay that off and not have it put a dent in your finances for the Thank you and have a good night. vear.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

1 MR. DOBRZYN: Oh, I would like to 2 apologize to everybody, but maybe we can get 3 something together in a week or two and still slide it in before the election, but 4 it's going to be tough. Thank you and have 5 a good night. 6 7 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Jack. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: What's up, Chrissy? 9 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Janet. 10 MS. EVANS: Hi there, Chrissy. 11 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Frankie Boy, What's going on, Frankie. 12 13 MR. JOYCE: What's going on? 14 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, Jack, you know something, Jack, my main man, you know 15 16 where they're at. They're the best guys 17 down there and my best friends. All of them you downstairs, they're all my best friends. 18 19 Remember that. Thank you. MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy's in uniform 20 21 tonight. 22 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Yep, uniform 23 tonight, Jack. 24 MR. PILCHESKY: Council, good 25 evening. How are you?

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PILCHESKY: Joe Pilchesky, 819 Sunset Street, political activist. As this council knows, and I'll remind the viewing public, back in the days of the Gatelli Council when she and Sherry Fanucci were lame duck council members they passed an ordinance, with Mr. McGoff's help, that created the Scranton Library Authority. Ιt was an Authority created at the mayor's insistent to add yet another municipal authority that could autonomously borrow large amounts of money and obtain large grants to the benefit of the mayor's political interests, yet unaccountable to It amounts to non-elected the public. public officials incurring substantial debt as the SHA and the SRA and the SSA does to be paid by the taxpayers having no say over the borrowing or the expenditures whatsoever, as it the case with all of these authorities filled with the mayor's personally appointed members.

Once the new Library Authority was created, the city immediately went about the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

business of transferring to it the deeds to the Albright and Greenridge and the South end libraries. On January 26th of 2010, this council appropriately acted to repeal the ordinance that created the Scranton Library Authority. When that repealing ordinance was passed, it was improper for the Library Authority to take the steps necessary to lawfully dissolve itself in the eyes of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and transfer the deeds to the three library buildings back in the city where it belonged. That did not happen which, of course, created a problem of getting our library buildings back.

The Home Rule Charter is the law of the city for folks would don't know that. It provides that the mayor is charged with the duty of enforcing all ordinances, unfortunately, it's not strongly worded to include when and how he will enforce ordinances. That being said, it was the mayor's job to make the Library Authority dissolve itself and to make a return of the three library buildings to the people.

By June of 2010, a check with the Commonwealth revealed that the Library Authority had not yet acted to dissolve itself corporately or to return the three library buildings to the people. In July of 2010, I sent a letter to the mayor through the solicitor's office demanding that he do his job by forcing the Authority to dissolve itself and to surrender the three libraries in a responsive letter refused to do so instead acknowledging that he still believes that the Authority was still lawful.

In September, as a matter of required legal procedure, I requested from the Office of the District Attorney that it file an action to force the mayor to do his job. That's called a mandamus action.

Mr. Jarbola, a good friend of the mayor, sent me a letter also refusing to take such action. As a matter of procedure and law,

Mr. Jarbola's refusal permitted me to file an action in my own name and in October of 2010 I filed a Mandamus Action in Equity at Docket No. 7555 against the city, the mayor, and the Library Authority demanding that the

mayor do his job by, again, forcing the Library Authority to dissolve itself and give back to the people the three library buildings it owns.

While you would think that the mayor would simply do the right thing here, or the Library Authority for that matter, the city and the mayor and the Library Authority hired Attorney Gene Hickey to fight me tooth and nail on this issue.

In Court papers recently filed,

Mr. Hickey raised two arguments, the first
is that I don't have standing. I have heard
that objection many other times in actions I
filed against the city and that's an
argument that I have won every single time
even here to Harrisburg, so I'll win that
again.

However, the second argument is more interesting. Mr. Hickey argues that while it's true that the Home Rule Charter imposes a duty upon the mayor to enforce ordinances, it doesn't say when he has to enforce them or how he has to go about doing it. In other words, he has the discretion to do it

or not do it, at his leisure, whenever he feels like it and that's if he ever feels like doing it. Meanwhile, this illegal authority is in possession of these three buildings, including the beautiful Albright.

We are waiting for an argument date by the Court, that's where it sits right now. I respectfully suggest that given the mayor's proclivity to play with the valuable property owned by the people in terms of refusing to do his job, because a normal ordinance gives him too much discretion not to act, perhaps future ordinances might need some explicit language to force him to act in a timely manner beneficial to the people who own buildings like these three beautiful library buildings.

It's further inappropriate that we now have to spend a great deal of money on Attorney Hickey to get these library buildings back. I don't know how long that's going to take, but it appears Mr. Hickey is digging his heels in as is the mayor and the Library Authority.

So I will from time to time keep you

informed of the progress of this legal action as these things develop and hopefully I will be successful in the recover of these three library buildings. If there is anything that council might be able to do to impose a little pressure upon the mayor to move that along that might save us some legal fees on Mr. Hickey. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening,

Council. Nelson Ancherani, resident, taxpayer, recording secretary of the FOP, First Amendment Rights. Recently two awards won by the unions have been appealed by the administration, thus delaying the inevitable. One award totals 1.3 million because the city violated the SIT clerks as required in the contract. The other is a backpay award that totals approximately three million.

The SIT clerks required in the contract was violated by the previous administration resulting in more than 1.1 --

a 1.1 million award being paid to the police. We didn't do the work. They didn't have the SIT clerks, so we got paid because they weren't there. Shouldn't have been, but it was. That's the way it's going to be on this one.

In this award, the city was found in bad faith and the city will end up paying our attorney fees, along with the award of \$1.3 million. Mr. Ryan McGowan, current business administrator, said it best when he showed the intentions of this city, the delayed inevitable, which is to pay the award. McGowan said, "We are appealing so it won't be paid. It won't be a current year liability."

It's going to be a current year liability soon, so Paul is going to be at the door. He can only take so much from Peter. That's right. It won't be this year, but it will be some year. Taxpayers, you are the losers. It did not have to be this way. All of the administration had to do was sit down and bargain in good faith. The police and fire unions have always

given. You are not going to hear that from the slimes anymore, they will never say it.

What about the 50 fire and the 17 police positions given up as an example. Previous mayors may not have liked us, but we always bargained with each other from positions of respect. Oh, well, too late now. The police and fire have been blamed for the financial situation of the city. Our wages have remained static from 2002 through this year. It's current -- it's around 13 million and it's been that way for all of those years, but we are still going to be blamed.

What does the city owe, over 300 million long-term debt, but we are going to be blamed. We are also going to be blamed for the payments of the above awards.

Supermajority of council, prepare to get blamed for the layoffs that will more than likely be coming early summer. Even though you lowered taxes, you will still be blamed. It may be said that payrolls can't be met, therefore, the layoffs, but your budget was one million less than the other

budget, than the proposed budget. You won't 1 have the luxury to borrow 5 million from 2 3 workmen's comp to cover payrolls, so, therefore, you don't also have the luxury to 4 recover 1.6 million missing from the tax 5 office. 6 7 Stay strong, don't waiver, and the 8 taxpayers thank you very much. Thank you. 9 MS. EVANS: Thank you. MR. JOYCE: 10 Thank you. 11 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 12 cares to address council? 13 MR. JONES: Hi. Janet. 14 MS. EVANS: Hello. 15 MR. JONES: Hi. My name is Bob 16 Jones. I'm a member of the still functional 17 Taxpayers' Association, and I would just 18 like to say something short to the mayor. 19 hope you had your coffee because you are 20 going to need it because we're still going. 21 Thank you. 22 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 23 MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, citizen 24 of Scranton. As I understand it, the

Chamber of Commerce is not an official

25

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

function of the city government, is it? It's a separate entity?

> MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

MR. TALIMINI: You are the legislative group to the city, you actually have control of the budget, do you not?

> MS. EVANS: At budget time, yes.

Right. MR. TALIMINI:

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. TALIMINI: Well, I would like to suggest within your next budget you eliminate \$50,000 from the budget, which is the mayor's salary, since he doesn't answer to the citizens or the council, let the Chamber of Commerce pay his salary. was the last time he appeared before this council or before the citizens of this city? But he always manages to appear before the Chamber of the Commerce. It's like he and Austin Burke are Siamese twins. Well, if that's the case then, by God, let the Chamber of the Commerce pay his salary because he is not earning it from the citizens, and I would hope that the

opportunity will come up that this will take place, and if you've got any backbone you will try to enact that legislation. Just cut his salary from the budget and that \$50,000, even if you gave a \$1 a piece to the firemen and the cops, it's well worth it because he certainly isn't worth it. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Talimini.

Is there anyone else who cares to address

council?

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. 5-A.
MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, any motions or comments?

MR. MCGOFF: Two very brief. I was speaking to someone from the Licensing and--from LIPS, and they were asking if council could do anything to provide money for certification, training and continuing ed for inspectors, apparently that any money that was -- or that money was taken out of the budget. I know that we can't reopen the budget and, you know, do that, but I guess there was some concern on the part of the

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inspectors that that was not there, so I just wanted to make, you know, council aware that that was brought up as an issue and maybe it's something that we can look into as it arises and when that education or when that training is needed that perhaps we can encourage the administration to provide that from some other source.

The other thing that, and again, just very briefly, I was informed that the DPW -- on the DPW website that there would be a schedule for street cleaning. that was before the weather forecast for another huge storm or whatever, but supposedly that they were -- by the end of the week there was going to be a schedule for street cleaning and just from my own experience if people would take a look at that schedule and see when their streets were being done it's to their benefit to move cars off of those streets so the entire street can be cleaned rather than just the middle of the street, so please try and take a look and, you know, if you are able to, you know, move vehicles out of the way I'm

sure you would be pleased with the result, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.

And, Councilman Rogan, any comments or
motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just a few comments and some citizens' requests. It's coincidental that I was planning on talking about the bath salts tonight, I'll let Mr. Loscombe do most of the talking because it's his committee, and I don't envy you. You have the most important committee on this board by far.

You know, I spoke briefly about them, and I'm sure everyone has seen it on the news, all the problems that we are having not only in Scranton but in all of Northeastern Pennsylvania with bath salts, and basically what they are is they are a synthetic form of a drug. Instead of like heroine or cocaine or marijuana, which grows from the ground in one form or another, these are made in labs, and unfortunately, they are legal. I know there is one shop in West Side that was approached by the police

department and they were asked to take them off the shelves and they refused to. It's unfortunate that some people would put profits before the safety of the people of this city.

And generally I'm against government getting involved in people's lives, but when public safety comes into question the government has to act, and I'll let

Mr. Loscombe do the rest of the talking since he speaks right after me on that issue.

I was pleased, I got a few e-mails from people that had streetlights out and they said that -- a few of them did say they are repaired, and I'm glad to hear that the administration reconsidered the procedure with buying the products and I'm sure Joyce Electrical will do a great job. They were the lowest bidder, which I was glad to see that both council and the administration were on board with that, and hopefully within the next few weeks everyone will be seeing those repaired.

Next, you know, I try not to beat up

25

on the mayor too much -- I try not to beat up on Mayor Doherty too much, you know, I don't like to get involved in politics much at the meetings, but the lack of information that we have been getting from the administration is appalling. We can't do our jobs without help not really from the mayor, but more so from the people that answer to him, the department heads. know, if somebody has a problem with DPW, Licensing and Inspection, any department, and they come to us and we want to help them, we have to go to the department heads. We can't go out and fix the pothole. can't go out and repair the light. We can't go out and arrest somebody, although, a lot of times it would be a lot easier for us to go out and actually fix the problem manually than send letter after letter and make phone call after phone call. But, you know, after a year and a half it's really getting frustrating, and I know I have said it before, but it's so blatantly obvious the mayor and his administration they don't want to be here.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

He has ran for countless political offices unsuccessfully and he doesn't want to be the mayor of Scranton, so I hope that in a few years somebody out there will run, it won't be me, will run for mayor and answer to the people and you know the frustration isn't just from us on this board it's from the people out there, and their requests aren't being handled properly by the administration and the buck stops with Mayor Doherty. It's not that we are not relaying the messages, and sometimes it's not that the department head's fault either, they have to answer to the mayor and that's the problem, they should be answering to the people of this city.

Finally, just one comment about the sidewalk vote that was tabled. Has there been any information about that?

MS. EVANS: I know that our solicitor was reviewing the responses from OECD and I think in the meantime as well we did receive a very hefty number of invoices --

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I saw some.

MS. EVANS: -- I believe from

Mr. Jefferson for all of the work that was

performed with regard to the installation of

those sidewalks and related work and I do

believe we may have that on the agenda for

text Tuesday.

MR. ROGAN: Okay, great. And I look forward to voting against that. I looked through the -- well, although, tabling legislation does delay it, and usually when we table it it's to gather information, but I don't believe even though as strongly as I'm opposed to spending a quarter of a million dollars on sidewalks, I still believe it should be voted down instead of just tabling it and letting it sit there, kind of like a pocket veto the way the president would do it.

But finally, I just have a few citizens' request. The first one is is there a paving list for 2011 yet? If so, can a copy be sent to city council.

Also, a resident requests that the 1900 block of Sanderson Street and the 600 block of Depot Street are considered for

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paving this year. They are in total disrepair.

Also, to the DPW, has the city ever considered cardboard recycling pickups? I received this from a resident. I think it doesn't sound like a bad idea. I don't know how, you know, going about setting it up, but I was wondering if there was ever any consideration given to that. Could we please a send a letter to State Rep Kevin Murphy and Mr. Brazil to schedule a follow-up meeting regarding the flooding on Keyser Valley? I know we had a caucus I think it was about maybe three or four weeks now and we all agreed that the next step was to have another meeting with all of the parties involved, so hopefully we can all get together and get that taken care of.

Could we please resend

Mr. Spindler's request to the DPW, and if
everyone agrees I would also like to send a
letter to Attorney Kelly asking if any
action will be taken on Mr. Bolus' offer of
\$50,000 for the piece of city-owned land on
East Mountain, and as well if there is any

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opinion on why Mr. Hartman was allowed to bid on city-owned land. I know that it possibly could be going to the Courts, but if there is any information that the administration has I think council would like to see it as well, and that's all for tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, Councilman Loscombe, any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, Mrs. First, I would like to comment on an article that was in the newspaper regarding the flood insurance, "Insurance slaves," and I was a little dismayed to read in there that they have to raise the levies even more now before they could change the maps and bring these people lower premiums, and I don't know why, I mean, with all of the research how things have changed as far as flood elevation for 100-year level in that meantime, but I think at the least the people that are in that area are in a better position than they were prior, they shouldn't be paying the highest rate for

21

22

23

24

25

flood insurance, and I don't know maybe we could get a caucus together with the flood people, maybe Mr. Garbarino, Mr. O'Hora, and maybe someone from FEMA, but, I mean, at least there should be some temporary relief for those people because God knows how long it's going to take to elevate the levies anymore, and if anyone pays flood insurance, especially in the high risk zone, you realize sometimes it's three, four times your own home insurance premium, so I definitely feel for these people and hopefully we can get this resolved at least on a temporary basis until they complete the next step of the project or whatever, but at least we can get some details, but they are not in the high risk zone anymore regardless if they have to raise it another three feet so they shouldn't be paying those rates, so I just wanted to touch on that.

The main subject I wanted to discuss tonight, ladies and gentlemen, we are in the midst of crisis that is bordering on the verge of epidemic proportions. That crisis is the sale of hallucinogens or drugs under

the guise of bath salts and synthetic marijuanas. It is no secret that we have witnessed an uptake of serious incidents over the past several weeks.

As the Chairman of the Committee on Public Safety, I felt it incumbent upon me to immediately get the ball rolling on banning the use, possession, sale, delivery, and distribution of the synthetic Cannibis and other synthetic drugs. We must act swiftly to enact an ordinance protecting all of our city residents and police officers from those under the effects of these so-called legal drugs.

With that in mind, and with the permission of Council President Evans, and I'm sure with the agreement of the remaining council members, I have asked our city council solicitor, Boyd Hughes, to draft legislation in the form of an ordinance to ban the use, possession, sale, delivery and distribution of all synthetic drugs within the city limits.

I understand that legislation is currently being proposed at the state and

17

16

15

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

federal levels, however, we know the pace at which big government operates. I read an article a few weeks ago in the newspaper that the D.A.'s Office has been meeting with city officials, including our city solicitor Paul Kelly. If they were truly interested in mounting a sincere effort to ban these substances, legislation by the city solicitor office should are been presented to city council weeks ago. Perhaps the solicitor is busy wearing too many hats at this time to be truly concerned about the health, safety and welfare of our residents. I would have expected much more from him, especially an invitation to this meeting that the newspaper reported as being held on this issue.

I am the public safety representative of city council, the legislative branch of our government. This problem is too dire an issue to continue and let languish. We have to place legislation on the table now before the substances result in a local fatality.

Children and police officer's lives

along with a Catholic priest's life have been in danger just in recent days. It is no accident that the marketing geniuses have setup shop in neighborhoods close to schools. One such retailer is located within two blocks of an elementary school and a high school in the city's west side. This particular retailer was highlighted recently by the news media as stating that he would not remove the merchandise from his place of business, but he would not restock it once he sold out his current inventory. Believe that one and I have some swampland for sale in Florida that I would like to sell you, of course.

As a matter of fact, a person was sent to this retailer to inquire about purchasing the remaining inventory and he was told by the clerk that they had regular customers that they wanted to sell to. What kind of business does this sound like to you? Ladies and gentlemen, we have to put a stop to this immediately as these drugs pose a growing and grave threat to our children and families. A ban has just been passed

through ordinance in Mt. Carmel, the little town of Mt. Carmel, where they cited several incidents, including the attack on Father Landry at St. Ann's Basilica here in Scranton.

I believe that this city council is poised to take the bull by the horns and enact our own legislation to protect the health, safety and welfare of all of our city residents now rather than later. We will be proactive and hopefully all of the surrounding municipalities and boroughs will follow our lead.

The Scranton school district has taken the initiative to ban these substances from school properties, and I applaud them for that. Although I would like to introduce this legislation for a vote this evening, we must abide by our own Rules of City Council and place it on the agenda for introduction at next week's meeting as our legislation was not completed until today and our rules call for agenda items to be posted by the Friday prior to the Tuesday meeting.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will be willing to provide you with more information at next week's meeting upon introduction, and that's all I have, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, I'm sorry to interrupt, but I just want to reiterate this point, a lot of people out there when they hear bath salts they think of an actual salt that you put when you take a bath. absolutely not the case. As Mr. Loscombe said, they are hallucinogenic drugs and when Mr. Loscombe and myself attended the neighborhood group, members of our police force said that they believe they are more dangerous than heroine, and as Mr. Loscombe just said, when somebody tried to purchase the remaining stock they said, well, we have regular customers, and basically it's just legalized drug dealing. That's what it is and it has to stop in the city and, you know, we see it ever day on the news and it has to stop. We have enough problems with the illegal drugs coming here from New York and New Jersey, so I look forward to voting

on the legislation next week and maybe even if -- after the first reading next week we could push it forward to get it enacted a little bit sooner.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Yes, we have already given forethought, but I think what's important for the public to understand is that state legislators and federal legislators are actively working on this issue, and I have no doubt that the federal government and the state government will ban this substance, the sale of this substance throughout our Commonwealth and throughout the United States.

I have heard Senator Casey, for example, is very involved in federal legislation right now, but as Mr. Loscombe indicated, big government moves slowly and it is possible that the process could take the remainder of this year, God forbid into a following year because, as was noted earlier, we are seeing cases involving bath salts escalating now almost on a daily basis within our city and within our neighboring

cities, and so what council is hoping to do
is provide a stopgap measure so that until
such time as the state passes it's ban
throughout the Commonwealth that we have
some legislation with teeth in the city that
might be able to get a handle on these five
stores that are, as Mr. Rogan said, dealing

these synthetic drugs.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it is very troubling when one is located so closely to a city high school. taught teenagers for 25 years, I know the temptations, and the ability to walk down the street and make a legal purchase of a synthetic, but controlled substance, for a mere \$30 is very inviting to the youth in our city and it's going to cause increasing issues within the school district itself, and I think council has the responsibility to protect the people of the city because right now your health, safety and welfare are at risk, and as we sit by awaiting the ultimate legislation how many more incidents will there be? How many more people will be injured? How many will be arrested and imprisoned and, God forbid, how many

. •

could -- how many could die?

So, Mr. Loscombe, we are very supportive of your effort and we will await our council solicitor's guidance on this matter.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. I'd just add, I mean, we've done a lot of research, there is a lot of incidents across the country and it's only mushrooming every day as you see on the printed media and the televised media. We have to stop it before it gets worse. That's all. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Right, and I'll comment on it a bit, and I totally agree with the measures that city council is taking and doing something now is a great stopgap measure, and I think the struggle that the federal government and the state government is having is defining exactly what these synthetic Canaboids are and the bath salts are. For instance, from doing some research of this on my own, I have noticed that recently a chemical compound referred to as JWH-18 which was found in pep spice, as it's called in some of these stores, or K-2 was

included, so once that was banned or once that was declared illegal, something else was substituted in it's place to give it the same synthetic cannaboid effect, so what we are doing right now is a great measure and hopefully the state will follow-up with their research on these other synthetic cannaboids and hallucinogens which cause the psychoactive effects that you read about leading to these incidents in the news over the past few weeks.

MS. EVANS: And you should continue, Mr. Joyce, with your motions.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Well, while I'm at it, in regard to the \$5 million borrowed from the Worker's Compensation Trust Fund in 2010, Mr. McGowan when reached for comment after the council meeting held last week, March 22, said -- or said to the newspaper, it would not create a deficit, though, it was paid back and that it was council's overestimation of revenue that would lead to budget shortfalls.

When Mr. McGowan made these statements, he must have forgot the cash

flow and revenue expenditure analysis that is sent to the Governor Center for local government services as is required on a monthly basis, and I have a copy of this report right here. This was submitted to our office on March 1.

In Mr. McGowan's letter to the state, Mr. McGowan clearly states that the revenue and expenditure projections are based on the most reasonable estimates that are available. It states that directly on the front page of the letter. As you know, council increased revenue of various items. Overall Mr. McGowan's report of revenue that he projects the city will bring in it's actually \$183,145 than what council projected.

With that being said, Mr. McGowan, though he stated that council's overestimation of the revenue would create a shortfall in the newspaper just a week ago, actually reported to the state that the city would realize more revenue than originally projected. Therefore, he is a saying one thing to the newspaper and another thing to

the state and contradicting himself.

While Mr. McGowan projected that we would receive more revenue than what council projected in 2011, by the amount of \$183,145, he did project that there would be a deficit of \$4,877,700. When you add the amount of the extra revenue that Mr. McGowan projected that the city would realize in 2011, to the deficit that Mr. McGowan projects, the approximate amount is coincidentally about \$5 million, which is equal to the amount of money borrowed from the Worker's Compensation Trust Fund in 2010.

Though we know that \$5 million was borrowed in 2010, and subsequently paid back, assuming 2011, as Mr. McGowan reported to the newspaper, he states that in this report nearly \$5 million in extra -- in health insurance expenditures would contribute to the shortfall. I find it very, very curious that we would have \$5 million in extra expenditures due to health insurance. The reasoning for this is that when council added positions back into the

budget, the amounts that expenditures were increased by were numbers that the former business administrator, Stu Renda, provided to the Scranton Times in regard to contributions that the city would be responsible for firefighter and police health insurance plans based on whether they elected for single coverage or family coverage.

When adding the positions back into the budget, I personally obtained a list of the firefighters and the police officers that were projected to be laid off and also this list included what type of coverage they had for the previous year, so I used exact numbers that the current business administrator at the time had quoted in the newspaper to add back to the expenditures that we were projecting as far as health insurance is concerned for the firefighters and the police.

For the clerical union and nonunion additions, the number that was used was an average amount based on the total cost for health insurance divided by the number of

employees that were employed by the city and that average came out to around 12 to 13 thousand dollars per employee.

Therefore, if the administration is projecting a shortfall due to health insurance, they are admittedly saying that the numbers they provided in the 2011 operating budget and the numbers that were submitted to the Scranton Times were ultimately false if, in fact, we have a \$5 million deficit due to health insurance.

With all of this being said, we knew that in 2010 there was \$2.9 million paid back to the Worker's Compensation Trust Fund from the general fund. As the 2009 audit indicated, \$2.9 million was due back from the general fund to the Worker's Compensation Trust Fund at the end of 2009 that was apparently paid back in 2010. We also know that \$5 million was borrowed from the Worker's Compensation Trust Fund in 2010 and later paid back most likely in 2011; and that, furthermore, Mr. McGowan projects that there will be a deficit of \$5 million in 2011.

These numbers seem to add up quite perfectly. How could health insurance cost really cause the deficit if the amounts that council used to add workers back into the budget were based on the administration's numbers themselves and how could it be council's revenue projections if Mr. McGowan admits in the report that he submitted to the State of Pennsylvania that the amount of the revenue he projects the city will realize is more than what council projected that the city would realize?

I just find it curiously odd that we the amount of money that we borrow from the Worker's Compensation Trust Fund and subsequently paid back is equal or just about equal to the deficit that business administrator Ryan McGowan is projecting. However, he does quote in the newspaper that this will not contribute to any deficit or shortfalls due to the fact that we had to pay it back in 2011.

It just doesn't seem to all add up and the fact that they're submitting to the state that health insurance costs are going

to result in a \$5 million shortfall almost solely by themselves is very curious being that we used the numbers that ultimately they provide. So what are they saying, that their numbers are wrong? I just find it awfully odd.

With that being said, I have a few citizens' requests: First, a representative of the Keyser Valley Community Center informed me that due to cracks and holes in the parking lot along with the way it is paved any time it rains extremely large puddles form making it very difficult to access the center without getting your foot stuck in a large puddle. The representative from the center informed me that this parking lot is considered city property.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can you please check to see if Keyser Valley Community Center, the parking lot, is in fact considered to be city property, and if it is please contact Mr. Brazil notifying him of this situation and ask him if the parking lot can be paved or at least patched up so that this problem is resolved.

Residents of Eynon Street in West
Scranton have reported that there are
various cracks in the road along with
potholes near the intersection of Sixth
Avenue and Eynon Street along with Ninth
Avenue and Eynon Street making travel
conditions very difficult. Mrs. Krake,
could you please add this to the letter to
Mr. Brazil and ask him to repair once
weather permits.

I understand with the projected snowfall that we have coming up this weekend -- or this, Friday, it may put a little plug in some of these pothole actions.

Also, West Scranton residents have reported various cracks in the road near the intersection of Jackson Street and South Dewey Avenue which are making travel conditions difficult. If you could please add this to the list to Mr. Brazil.

And various residents from all sections of the city that use the South Side Shopping Center have reported various potholes and cracks in the road near the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Beach Street entrances of the South Side
Shopping Center and South Side Bowl which
are making entering and exiting places of
business very difficult. Mrs. Krake, if you
could please also add this to the letter to
Mr. Brazil, and that is all for tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, I would just like to comment on what Mr. Joyce said. What you mentioned about Mr. McGowan it really reiterated what I was saying about what we are up against, you know, with the department heads that really don't want to cooperate with city council and they are trying to hide information, they are deceitful, and it's unfortunate that Mr. McGowan seems to be going down the same path as Mr. Renda, and I know just from being on council and watching the meetings over the years that, you know, the current business administrator and the past business administrator have not been on the ball with responding to the public and with making information known and correct information and, unfortunately, with Mr. McGowan it started with the incident with the money

that wasn't missing from the tax office that he said was missing.

I'm glad I didn't vote for

Mr. McGowan. I believe just like many of
the other department heads he is not doing
the job. He is not answering to the people
and, you know, it's not council that's not
doing their job, it's the administration. I
rarely comment on financial issues because
Mr. Joyce does such a good job explaining
them, but, you know, it just goes to show
you that the mayor and his administration
really seems like they don't even want to be
here. That's it.

MR. JOYCE: Well, with your comment, I'm just going to say, you know, it's just very frustrating when you see a comment pop up in the newspaper that says it's council's overestimation of the revenue that will cause budget shortfalls, but yet at the same time you submit a report to the State of Pennsylvania on March 1 stating that it's projected that the city will realize more money than what council originally projected. That's all.

MR. ROGAN: I agree with you completely, and it's like once they say one thing to one person and one thing to another and, you know, this job would be so much easier and we would be able to get so much more done if we had cooperation from the administration which, unfortunately, is lacking.

MR. JOYCE: I'm finished.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. First, I wish to update the public regarding streetlight repairs. Last week the city reversed course and decided to allow Joyce Electrical to purchase it's own supplies and equipment. As a result, the company was able to enter all streetlight complaints into it's system and began streetlight repairs effective Friday, March 25, 2011. In fact, I was notified by a resident of Alder Street during this past weekend that the two streetlights on Alder Street had been repaired.

Further, PPL electric utilities has forwarded streetlight reports it has received from Scranton residents to Joyce

Electrical as well. More importantly,
Scranton residents are now able to report
streetlight outages by logging onto the city
of Scranton's website, clicking DPW, and
then clicking onto NEPALIGHTS.com. Please
be sure to include the pole number when
reporting an outage. And once again, that
is NEPALIGHTS.com.

Last week, council received responses to it's questions regarding permit parking issues at Community Medical Center.

Consequently, related legislation will be added on council's agenda on Tuesday, April 5, 2011.

Next, rumors are swirling of impending public safety and service layoffs. Council members have received numerous phone calls, e-mails, and visits regarding such rumors that are being circulated by city administrator's to the DPW and Scranton police and fire departments. I have been told that the mayor intends to layoff more than 20 police officers and 40 firefighters. I was not provided with a number for the DPW, but I would expect it is similar.

In truth, these rumors first began in late December following the passing of the 2011 budget. They have grown in frequency during the three successive months until now when they have peaked into the following: The mayor intends to inflict massive layoffs prior to the May primary election, and workers may receive notices within the next two weeks.

I don't believe the mayor should quietly resort to frightening and threatening employees with layoffs through his administrators. Why not let the taxpayers know the truth. Scranton residents are savvy. They know precisely what is going on and what the motivation is. City council reinstated all public safety positions to keep the police -- or, excuse me, to keep the people and our city safe.

Now, three months into a new budget year the Doherty administration is looking for layoffs. Facts demonstrate that the Doherty administration runs out of money each year in August or September and payrolls can't be made. In fact, city

council has a very recent statement from the city controller that verifies lack of funding for payroll in a prior year. The administration then raids the Worker's Comp Trust Fund and injects the money into the current year's budget to make payroll and complete the financial year. They did it in 2009 and again in 2010. They take money out, save the budget, pretend they produce a balanced budget and then replace the money using tax anticipation notes in the spring of the following year until they take it out again in the fall, finishing the year, and pay it back the following spring and so on.

It doesn't appear in any of the annual budgets. The problem with this process is that it requires council action, which the Doherty administration ignored.

Apparently, they didn't want you to know how their finances really operate.

Having repaid the \$5 million the

Doherty administration took in November of

2010, they now have created a \$5 million

budget deficit in 2011. Since council made

this information public, the Doherty

administration hopes to spin it's budget hole into layoffs just in time for election day. If council had not discovered this practice, it seems the Doherty administration may have quietly borrowed back the \$5 million this fall to make payroll and pay it's bills as it has historically done. Again, the only difference is that city council learned the truth and told you, the people.

Should the Doherty administration
layoff police, fire, and DPW workers?
Absolutely not. They have many available
options to fill their budget hole. They can
once again borrow from the Worker's Comp
Trust Fund which they have done, as I said,
for the last two years to meet payroll and
in this case they can repay the fund over a
five-year period as it allowable according
to the labor department.

Because they would no longer be hiding their actions, the administration would not have to rush to replace the full amount before anyone found out.

They can refinance the Doherty debt

as recommended by PEL several weeks ago.
They can sell or lease the parking garages on 60 days notice to the Parking Authority.
They can privatize the Sewer Authority as Mayor Connors and Mr. Doherty agreed to do while he sat on council as it's Finance
Chair. In 2000, the city had no money for payroll and bills, yet neither Chris Doherty nor Mayor Connors insisted on massive DPW, police and fire layoffs. Rather, a solid agreement was quickly obtained by then
Councilman Reap and the budget hole was filled jointly by the mayor and council.

Whatever the mayor wishes to do in 2011, he cannot do it alone. In the event of a deficit, the mayor must recommend solutions for which only council may make appropriations. As we noted in Court last spring, the budget language of the Home Rule Charter and the Administrative Code is very specific.

Ladies and gentlemen, here is the bottom line, layoffs in 2011 are simply retaliation by the Doherty administration for the reinstatement of public safety

positions and a desperate attempt to sway a council election in order for the mayor to resume complete control of council and, therefore, even more importantly complete control of the finances of the City of Scranton.

City council is prepared to once again fight for you and protect you, show the Doherty administration that you won't tolerate losing your safety and services for it's private agenda.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week: Residents of the 900 block of Birch Street report that large dead trees were marked for removal several years ago by Mr. Santolli. They have contacted Mr. Santolli throughout the years, but unfortunately, the city never removed these dangerous trees. One resident states that a large chunk of tree fell down during a storm over a year ago that would have crushed her vehicle if she hadn't already left for work five minutes earlier. The residents live in fear during each storm and ask that the city would reexamine these trees and remove them

when the weather improves.

Repair potholes on Boulevard Avenue.

Now, I am aware that that process began recently and quite a number of potholes have been taken care of on Boulevard Avenue, but the residents report that there is still remained a number that need to be addressed.

Residents of East Mountain report
that Lieutenant Glynn Thomas the SPD
recommend the posting of a "No parking this
side" sign on the west side of Yesu Lane.
The sign should be installed on the grassy
side several feet off East Mountain Road on
the side opposite of the houses.

A city resident reports two large cracks running across the roadway on the Parker Street bridge. He believes these cracks may compromise the integrity and safety of the bridge since all vehicle weight is focused onto the middle bridge rather than onto the entire span as designated. He requests an immediate inspection, and since the time that I received this e-mail I received an additional e-mail from a resident of the

area stating that the cracks appear to be spreading with the passage of the time.

A city resident reports that numerous vehicles parked illegally in downtown courts while others park in clearly marked "No parking" zones on downtown streets without being ticketed.

Also, he reports that 15 to 20 vehicles park daily on Ridge Row in the Hill Section and in courts off Prospect Avenue.

This is a safety issue and a lost revenue source.

East Mountain residents ask if

Councilman McGoff, Councilman, if you could help them, these residents of East Mountain, please, to look into cleaning the area -- I know we have talked about this in prior years, cleaning the area at the top of the Meadow Avenue and River Street in observation of the Earth Day. They say they have waited a very long time for this exit and entrance to our city to be spruced up.

And finally, I do request from our office, and poor Ms. Carrera, a proclamation to honor Mr. Robert Quinn's service not only

to the Scranton Taxpayers' Association, but to the people of our community in the many endeavors that he has participated in through the years. And that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, I thought somebody else was going to mention this that we received, I was wondering if we could contact or send a letter to the Scranton Police Department asking why there is a police officer on Davis Street near the Maine's Food Store between the hours of 4 and 6 p.m. Someone else had asked me about this before, and I'm sorry but I did forget to ask about it, but apparently it's not just patrolling it's actually parked on Davis Street between those hours and not quite sure why, and the resident would like to know who is paying for it and what's the cost.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. ACCEPTING THE
RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND
APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

1	FOR A+SIGNS, INC., 259 SOUTH KEYSER AVENUE,
2	OLD FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA, FOR INSTALLATION OF
3	ONE SINGLE-SIDED SIGN ON FRONT FASCIA OF
4	BUILDING; ONE NON-ILLUMINATED PANEL TO BE
5	4' 6"X 28'; LETTERS ON PANEL TO BE
6	INTERNALLY ILLUMINATED CHANNEL LETTERS;
7	LETTERS TO BE INTERNALLY ILLUMINATED
8	WITH LED LIGHTING SYSTEM; LETTERS TO BE
9	FABRICATED OF ALUMINUM RETURNS WITH PLEXI
10	GLASS FACES AND EXISTING AWNING TO BE
11	REPLACED WITH BURGANDY COLORED FABRIC AT 135
12	WYOMING AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
15	introduced into it's proper committee.
16	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
17	MR. JOYCE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
19	those in favor of introduction signify by
20	saying aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
24	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
25	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

have it and so moved. 1 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 2 NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 3 SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 4 COMMUNITY 5 DEVELOPMENT FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 17, 6 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 7 8 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A 9 SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO CARL VON LUGER, LLC, TO OPERATE AN OUTDOOR RESTAURANT 10 AT THE CORNER OF NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE AND 11 LINDEN STREET (301 NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE) 12 13 SCRANTON. PENNSYLVANIA. 14 MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 15 16 Committee on Community Development? 17 MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Community Development, I 19 recommend final passage of Item 7-A. MR. JOYCE: 20 Second. 21 MS. EVANS: On the question? 22 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I would just like 23 to wish the owners of the restaurant good 24 luck and I look forward to eating there. 25 MS. EVANS: Anyone else? Roll call,

	101
1	please.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
5	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
9	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
12	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. If
13	there is no further business, I'll entertain
14	a motion to adjourn.
15	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
16	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
17	adjourned.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

П

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER