	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, March 8, 2011
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	OATUENE O NABBOZZI BBB OFFICIAL COURT BECCET
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>

Ü

. .

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

```
1
     (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2
     observed.)
3
                       MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
4
                       MR. MCGOFF: Here.
5
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
6
                       MR. ROGAN: Here.
7
8
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9
                       MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10
                       MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
                       MR. JOYCE: Here.
11
12
                       MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
                       MS. EVANS: Here.
13
14
                       MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER.
                                                  NO
               BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
15
                       MS. EVANS: Clerk's notes?
16
17
                       MS. KRAKE: We do not have any
18
               clerk's notes in evening, Mrs. Evans.
19
                       MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.
20
               Do any council members have announcements?
21
                       MR. MCGOFF: Just briefly. The DPW
22
               is behind one day, one refuse pickup due to
23
               the snowstorm on Monday, so please be
24
               advised accordingly.
25
                       MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add
```

that I thought the DPW addressed this most recent unexpected storm very well.

MR. ROGAN: The last two storms.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Our recognition and thanks go out to all of the members of the DPW.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, very much. I definitely agree.

MR. ROGAN: And I would just add that it seems when they push the garbage collection back a day, as they did in the last two storms when we can get everybody out on the streets plowing roads and salting the roads and even the bridges have been clear, which is a good for the pedestrians, and I think it's a much better arrangement if we continue to operate that way.

I have two announcements. The Northeast Attachment of the Marine Corp League, 1340 Alder Street, is serving a ham and cabbage dinner on Saturday, March 12, this Saturday, beginning at noon. The cost is \$8.50 per person. Stop by after the parade.

And a group of students at Scranton

High School are holding a dance-a-thon
Saturday March 19 in Scranton's High School
gym from 2 to 9 p.m. Registration is
starting at 1 p.m. it is \$15 to dance or \$5
to enter the door and take place in the
basket raffles. All proceeds benefit the
Ft. Evan's fund, a fund that supports
children with cancer. We are encouraging
everybody, young and old, to take part in
amazing event.

Also, the students are still looking for businesses to donate gifts card and baskets. Any businesses or persons wishing to participate may contact Alyssa at ALAUER93@aol.com.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else for announcements?

MR. JOYCE: I'll hold mine tonight.

MS. EVANS: In keeping with the Rules of City Council, please submit all requests in writing to Ms. Carrera and be sure to include your contact information. This will allow our staff the ability to contact you if they require further information while processing your requests.

Christopher R. Rossi, a member of Boy Scout Troop 16, recently achieved the rank of Eagle Scout. Christopher's Eagle service project was remodeling two rooms in a local church. In recognition of his elevation to scouting's highest rank, Ms. Carrera, please prepare a proclamation for Christopher on behalf of Scranton City Council, and I'll provide you with the contact information following tonight's meeting.

Saints Peter and Paul Church, 1309
West Locust Street in Scranton will hold a
pierogi an clam chowder sale every Saturday
before and after the four p.m. mass, and on
Sundays before and after the 11 a.m. mass
during the Lenten season. Pierogies are \$6
per dozen and calm chowder is a \$7 per
quart. There will also be a bake sale and
basket raffle on Palm Saturday and Sunday
before and after the masses.

There will be a benefit for Donna Moran on Saturday, March 19, 2011, at Holy Rosary Hall from five to ten p.m. Tickets are \$15 and are available at the door.

And I just wanted to add, I also have responses that council received to questions posed by Ms. Schumacher and Mr. Ungvarsky, and if you can see me after the meeting I will give those responses to you. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaragalia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, has the Parking Authority decided how they are going to makeup the deficit?

MS. EVANS: I'm not aware of any decision that they have come to. Nothing has been forwarded to city council.

MR. SBARAGLIA: I know there was an article in the paper saying if you try to refinance it will only help for a short while and then it becomes a deeper hole.

Keep up on it and ask them because that's important. If they should have to go under, we're all stuck, and I hate to see that happen.

Now, what's up with the city engineer, did he resign or what?

MS. EVANS: Actually, there was an RFP put out for city engineer. I am assuming that the previous contract would have expired and in the interim, however, I noticed that Mr. Swanson, who I believe did submit a proposal for a renewed or a new contract, has continued in the service of the city.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Yes. I was just wondering because it seemed -- usually they just renew a contract and now they are sending out for -- because city engineers, I guess, aren't a dime a dozen, especially those that may want to work for the city. Usually they're all in engineering houses, and they like to stay where they are.

Well, there is lot of things
happening in the city. I guess you'll
explain it a little later when you get the
facts. There is no sense asking, you know,
saying about it because I really don't know
all of the facts. I do know that when Brain
Reap sat on that council he made sense and

what we are happening today if they only listened to that man, and that was a sorry state of affairs that was done at that time and it puts up on the road to where we are now. Well, thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers' association. Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: 7-B and "C", if you are to approve those resolution and ordinances, does that mean that they UDAG repayments have to -- if they go into a special account they have come back to the city council before they are used again?

MS. EVANS: Actually, on tonight's agenda you will see there are four pieces of legislation pertaining to the UDAG accounts. Those that appear in Seventh Order pertain to the first repayment, so that any monies paid -- repaid from an economic development project must then go out on once again to

another economic development project, and then tonight in Fifth Order we have two additional pieces of information or legislation that provide restrictions on the second round of UDAG repayments, and in terms of those, before any money can be disbursed from the account, legislation must come to city council.

MR. QUINN: Very good. It's about time somebody put the handcuffed cuffs on the mayor on that.

I just want to make a note of the fact that, you know, I read last Thursday's paper about the budget and worrying about if they are going to get enough revenue and so on. You know, I had to laugh because, you know, I can't ever remember when Mr. Doherty ever had a deficit that he had to borrow? You know, and then he had to borrow again the next year to pay off the money he borrowed and borrow, borrow, borrow, borrow. And he has got us into a situation now that is unbelievable.

You know, I'm just looking at the City of Scranton taxpayer right now, in the

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

county they owe -- they owe in excess of \$230 million. Now, 27 percent of that is going to be paid by the city taxpayers going to the assessor's office, o, that would be \$62.5 million. The school has a deficit of \$139 million and they are looking at possibly building a new school, rehabilitating an existing school or their third option was relocating the students around the city.

In the City of Scranton, we are well over \$300 million, so we are talking about over \$500 million just the City of Scranton That is just unfathomable. I taxpayers. don't know how we are going to pay it off. I really don't. And, you know, this mayor thought that he was going to bail out -- he was going to use this as a jumping stone as the mayor and then ran for governor and then he ran for state senator, you know, hoping that, you know, he would be gone before this year was -- accumulation was up on the taxpayers, but we are left here now with all of this money to pay off and I don't know how the heck we going to do it, you know.

And Mr. McGoff said that they administration was looking at the possibility of refinancing and they want to refinance, according to the newspaper, and if we refinance, you know, we are just going to deeper and deeper and deeper. We are just tough luck here. Tough shape, you know?

And you know, even -- everything that goes out it, it's just -- you have to put some ease on the taxpayers in the City of Scranton because of the fact that it's going to come to a point where we are going to have to pay this and I don't know how we are going to pay it all, you know, and it's a serious matter, and as Andy said about now you have the Parking Authority, you know, their deficit, Holy gosh, we are in a heck of a mess, you know?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. QUINN: So what I'm saying is that, please, this mayor, you know, he may get that picture of that tree house and that what's in the greenhouse up in Scranton up in the Nay Aug Park and everything else, we

poured so many millions into that, and that even that UDAG money that is spent, and his own people are suffering going to pay an increase, and he could at least spend some of that money to give to the pantries in the city, you know? But he didn't. He gave it for luncheons and to subscriptions and for contacts he had with his station and his newspaper and so on, it's unbelievable what's going on.

And he is like a sailor that's out on leave that's been out at sea for over a year. He just keeps on spending, spending, and spending. So, please, please, anybody related with this mayor that will back him, please don't support him in any way, okay? Please, please, out there, we are in tough, tough shape. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Quinn, the difference between the mayor's spending and a sailor is the sailor only spends the money they have. The mayor is spending money we don't have.

MR. QUINN: That's true there, Patrick.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: I think, Mr. Quinn,

during motions this evening I am going to be discussing some financial issues, and some budget holes that have opened up and I think you will see at that time that the conditions have become so significant that I can't see that the amount of money necessary to close these holes can be cut out of a budget because it would cause the complete elimination of many departments within the city and the crippling of public safety.

And I think, you know, I agree with you, much borrowing occurred in the past and I think when the borrowing finally stopped through bonds or financial institutions then the administration turned perhaps towards the worker's comp trust for it's borrowing, but as much as I did adamantly oppose borrowing, as you know, for a period of at least seven years, I felt that it was unnecessary at the time that many of these projects should been assumed singularly rather than all at one time because in the back of my mind was always this day of reckoning concerning municipal unions and

Court awards, concerning the debts of the city where the financial house of cards would come tumbling down, and at that point in time and only at that point in time might borrowing become necessary, and that's why, as I said, all of these years I opposed it because I saw the inevitable coming and that it should be reserved for those types of significant emergencies.

MR. QUINN: And no one listened. I know.

MR. JOYCE: And, Mr. Quinn, I will also be addressing financial matters during Fifth Order as well.

MS. EVANS: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. About three weeks ago I made a request regarding clearing the sidewalks in front of the school. Well, I figured tonight I will take a new approach and going with what you have done in the past, I would like to submit a written request to Mrs. Carrera, if I may, and perhaps you can pass it along it Mr. McGoff.

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. MILLER: And maybe we can address the issue. The other request I have tonight, and I will submit this, it will be addressed to Mr. Joyce, deals with Attorney Carl Greco and some of the comments I made last week regarding the fees we paid him throughout the last several years, and the services that he was provided. I think I said last week that the mayor using the RE-RE money gave him \$16,000, and I would just like to get a breakdown of the fees we paid and what services we have gotten and maybe, you know, we can get a response to that. I appreciate it.

MS. EVANS: I might just add though,
Mr. Miller, that as one prong of that
request, city council did ask the Scranton
redevelopment Authority to provide us with
the cost and breakdown of his service on
their behalf with particular emphasis on the
eminent domain lawsuit involving Buona Pizza
and it's neighbors, and we did receive a
response from Mr. Laser, who I believe is
the chairman of the SRA, stating that he
couldn't provide the information to us at

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this point, but as soon as they are able to compile that information he will send it to council and then we will be happy to provide you with the information as well.

MR. MILLER: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: And if I might add, as you know there was, I believe, correct me if I'm wrong, was it \$16,000 in change out of the RE-RE fund? Also, there was -- I saw a 20 -- I believe it was 23 thousand and change out of the Court awards out of the operating budget, and as you know, Attorney Greco racked up fees that were -- that surpassed the contractual amount by I believe it was 40 -- I believe it was somewhere in the 40 thousands, and I'm assuming that 20 some thousand was paid out of the operating budget, and the other 16 was taken out of the RE-RE account. surpassed the \$125,000 that he was originally contacted for.

MR. MILLER: All right. You know, I just think when you throw all of these figures out and you just think of the millions or dollars because that's what it

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will end up adding up to be, and I think the general public would just like to know, you know, what are we getting out of it? You know, we have squandered all of this money and, you know, how are we benefiting from this, and I look forward to, you know, hearing back on that.

I'd like to take this time to personally commend the fire department for the response to a false alarm that we had my house the other day. I wasn't home at the time, but I guess somebody had left a steamer on upstairs and it set off the smoke alarms in the house and, obviously, prompted the fire department to, you know, come to the house coming from Luzerne Street, and I just want to commend them for their quick response, and the reason I'm doing that is because I can only imagine what the residents over near Tripp Park and the surrounding area, how long they have to wait with Engine 9 being shut down, and not only there, but everywhere else in the city where people are being affected by the system that the administration put into place, you know,

24

25

to see how quick they arrive from what I was told, I really want to thank them for that, and I just think this is an example of why we really need to -- we need to support our public safety and we need to make sure that we're not closing fire stations. We can't be playing around with people's lives, and that's exactly what's going on here. And, you know, fortunately it was only a false alarm, but, like I said, in the future I'm concerned about anything that is serious and how response times will be effected and it could obviously, you know, be a difference of whether or not, you know, someone lives or not, so it's very serious issues here.

And I am going to be brief tonight, I do only have one more comment. With the parade this weekend I would just like to ask everyone to be smart, be safe and enjoy your time, remember children are involved and it's a family, event so just do the right thing, make smart choices, and enjoy your time. And that's all for tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And Joe

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Talimini.

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, City of Scranton. Sorry, Mr. Jackowitz. The problem I have is a very simple one, and that is that I think it's incumbent upon the council to try to enact an ordinance, not an alternate side of the street parking ordinance that, you know, in effect forever and ever and ever, but during snow days it's something that should be addressed, and I brought two pictures which were taken this afternoon at 2:00 right in front of Washington West which, as you know, is the senior high rise, we have a lot of people in there who are handicapped. This is the handicapped area. That snow is sitting there. And the sad part of it is, it's right at the entrance to the building, the steps. So you will see the sign, it's for handicapped only, you drop off and pick up spot. Out of the question. Impossible.

This other one --

MS. EVANS: Mr. Talimini, can you provide that photo to Ms. Carrera --

MR. TALIMINI: Yes. I will.

MS. EVANS: And then she can send a memo to the DPW to get that taken care of.

MR. TALIMINI: And this other one, which is just a little bit more tragic, shows you the handicap bus which comes in and out of our building. This is the problem all over with the high-rises. Snow removal in front of them is impossible.

This is a severe handicapped person trying to get out of the bus. The bus driver had parked in an area, climb over the snow to get to the back of the bus to lower the ramp to get that wheelchair out of there. That's completely unnecessary.

It's something that can be done. If it's takes a truck for snow removal, then by God let's do it. But, you know, you had Mr. Tobin here a couple of weeks ago and Mr. Tobin had the same problem. Mr. Tobin is in a low rise wheelchair, he cannot get around. Now, how do you think these people are that don't have access other than with the bus and they can't get in and out of the bus? Or somebody comes to pick them up to take them to the doctors, they cannot get

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS:

over that snow. And even if it's only for that small section there, those four or five parking spaces in the front, something should be done. They should have a truck to come in there for snow removal, and it could be done with a pickup truck.

But, I mean, I'm not saying that this is a problem that has to be addressed because of DPW, it's not DPW's fault. just when the plow gets in there and he plows it there is no place else for it to go and if you get cars parked there during a storm like we had there is just no way he could get in and out.

Another thing that I'd like to say is today is Fat Tuesday, as you well know, and Cajun people have a saying, it says, "Laissez Les Bon Temps Roulez" which means let the good times roll. I would like to say that, except for one thing, we do have major problems which we just inherited and in view of that, it may not be a happy time, but anyway, especially to Ronnie Ellman, "Laissez Le Bon Temps Roulez," Buddy.

> Thank you. Bi11

Jackowitz. And I have to apologize because I did skip over Mr. Jackowitz and I apologize very much.

MR. TALIMINI: Thank you, Mrs. Evans.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Thank you. I know you like Joe Talimini better, so I understand. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. "I take no joy in determining that the city has engaged in bad faith in this case nor in showcasing the litany of prior decisions demonstrating the pattern of bad faith demonstrated by the city over the years."

Latest arbitration loss for the City of Scranton, Thomas J. McConnell, Jr., dated 7 March 2011. Current and former firefighters and police officer win another arbitration award awarding them backpay -- back raises for the years 2006 and 2007. The taxpayers loss again. Thanks, plus we have to pay the interest and the attorney fees. Thanks, Mayor Doherty, and your high-priced Philadelphia attorneys.

They Recovery Plan expired in 2005, Mayor Doherty, and you rubber stampers, Times-Tribune staff writers and editorial staff refused to accept that fact. And the arbitrator, Mr. McConnell, was very specific in his ruling that the plan expired in 2005. Not one word in today's Times-Tribune. Not one word. Can't believe it.

Developers have received far too many breaks in the City of Scranton. You do not start a complete \$250,000 sidewalks projects and then come back to the grant feed bag asking for more grant money. \$250,000 free money once should be more than enough. Considering if the project is successful and the developer will reap the profits, if it fails the taxpayers suffer the loss. What a deal. Remember the saying, we milk cows, not taxpayers.

The Doherty Three milked you us for a 25 percent tax increase a few years back which really has not solved any of Scranton's financial problems. As a matter of fact, I would venture to say that the tax increase created more problems for the city.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

long-term debt is over \$300,000, we still have outstanding balances on the loans and bonds that have been taken out in order to balance budgets in the past. Cabinet members were given raises while the rank and file have not.

We are still a distressed city, our

The city vehicle fleet has deteriorated, especially the fire apparatus and police vehicles. We wasted taxpayer dollars and cameras that either do not work are not monitored. Streets are in total disrepair. Streetlights are not being replaced as they go dark. Blight is at an all time high, although, we continue to tear buildings down. Kids sill pay to use Nay Aug Park pool. Pools have been closed for the swimming season. Four supervisors positions that were removed from the budget were hired into newly created positions, most importantly, Mayor Doherty and his band of merry men and women are jeopardizing public safety with cuts, brownouts, and not filling positions as people leave.

I just do not see what was

accomplished with the 25 percent tax hike, except creating four positions for friends and supporters of mayor Doherty.

City officials, ready options in case of revenue shortfall. The speakers at city council and Mrs. Evans have been speaking about this situation for years. What was missing from this article were the millions of dollars that Mayor Doherty has wasted fighting the firefighters and police officers. If contracts would have been negotiated and signed years ago, the city would have saved well over \$1.5 million. The Times-Tribune really needs to get their facts straight. Ask the tough questions, otherwise, do not write the story.

Members of the administration are already talking about layoffs, taking on additional debts or using money from the, worker's compensation fund as an option if \$1.5 million in new revenue projected by the council fails to materialize. That happens every year. Where has the reporter been?

If the administration and city council would sit down together at a public

forum and discuss the financial situation of the city and ask for input from the citizens, my belief is that some of the shortfalls can be filled with input from all sides. Reduction in real estate tax was an excellent idea, much better than the Doherty Three outrageous fines and fees and the delinquent taxes. The reduction of business privilege and mercantile tax is well overdue, should have been done years ago.

Councilman McGoff, you have been speaking with the administration, how about inviting the administration to hold a public televised meeting to discuss the city problems and the administration plans on how the mayor wants to raise revenue to cover shortfalls for the past nine years.

The budget effects all of the taxpayers of Scranton, not just the Doherty real people. Most of them have no financial problems. My very first appearance at Scranton City Council I stated that the most successful cities are the cities where the government works together and not against each other. My opinion is the City of

Scranton will never recover. The city fell into one of the huge potholes.

I see where Mr. Brazil was in the newspaper again. Although, I did not see anything in the paper today about the city losing the arbitration award, maybe tomorrow there will be a nice big front page headline, but I doubt it.

New buildings do not help the economy, family sustaining jobs is the answer and solution. Thank you, Councilman Rogan and Council President Evans for responding to my questions in a timely matter. I am looking to Councilman McGoff's responses.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Jackowitz. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening. Les Spindler, city residents and homeowner and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: First thing I want to talk about is an article in the Doherty newsletter last week saying, "City officials ready options in case of revenue shortfall."

The quote, Business Administrator
Ryan McGowan, he said, "We have to look at
every option to use and prepare if revenues
don't come in, Business Administrator Ryan
McGowan said. Every month we are going to
look at our numbers. They are a lot of
potential risks."

This is coming from a man who thought we were a million dollars short from the tax office, turned out we weren't and they blamed it on the clerk, when the truth is I recently bumped into taxpayer Bill Courtright, he told me to straighten that situation out he had to send his controller over and show Ryan McGowan what the problem was.

This is just an ongoing example of how incompetent this whole administration is and it's really, really sad. It's ashame that we have at least three more years to put up with this.

Last week I couldn't make the meeting because we had our neighborhood meeting which I'm a part of it, I watched the replay and I think Councilman Rogan was

14 15

10

11

12

13

17

16

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unjustly attacked by Ms. Franus for him saying that he was willing to forgive the penalties and fees for Mr. Jefferson on the Chamber of Commerce building. She said that we're going through difficult times and people are struggling and this and that. Well, I'm struggling, too, I'm in the process of looking for a second job to try and make ends meet, but I agree with Mr. Rogan about I'm -- I'm one that's in the minority. I say we should forgive all of the taxes and, you know, it's not the people that bring revenue into the city, like that building would be, and Ms. Franus said, you know, we shouldn't have to pay taxes either. Well, I think that's wrong, because the normal taxpayer wouldn't bring in the revenue that that building will bring into this city, so I think that was unjust.

Next thing, a couple of times I have been here and I criticized DPW for not doing their job well, well, when I see a job well done I am going to give credit, also. I have a few good stories today. Yesterday it was terrible out and my wife knows somebody

that lives on Glinko Street, which is -it's the side street, I guess, off Keyser
Avenue, someone had to transported in an
ambulance and they called DPW to come and
plow the street and they did it in a very
timely fashion, so kudos, to them.

Today it was nice out, I was walking through town on my lunch, I came across two DPW workers cleaning off the ice and snow from the corners so they would be accessible to disabled people, and I thought that was a really good job they did, and I want to mention their names, I told them I would mention them on the air, they were Charlie Mattes and Dave Mitchell, the artist.

That's what he told me. I guess he is an artist.

And while I'm talking about DPW, I didn't make the meeting, as I said last week, but I did bring a request to Ms.

Carrera and she put the request in every person's box and Councilman Rogan did read it, it was a request to have DPW repair my corner again. My question is, Mr. McGoff, did you get that request in your mailbox

1	last week?
2	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
3	MR. SPINDLER: Is DPW your
4	committee?
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
6	MR. SPINDLER: Why wouldn't you have
7	read that request instead of Councilman
8	Rogan?
9	MR. MCGOFF: I didn't think it was
10	necessary to read it.
11	MR. SPINDLER: That's typical of the
12	kind of person you are.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Why does it need to be
14	read publically?
15	MR. SPINDLER: It's a citizen's
16	request
17	MR. MCGOFF: Why can't it be
18	MR. SPINDLER: It was a citizen's
19	request and you didn't want to read it.
20	That's typical. I hope the people remember
21	come election day. You don't give a darn
22	about the taxpayers and you never did.
23	MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, if I could
24	just inject, I know that I saw your request
25	as well, and although I didn't read it a

loud, I did submit it immediately to Ms. Carrera and ask that the report be made ASAP to have that repaired, so sometimes, you know, we are not reading it out loud because when one person reads it then, you know, we are not going to repeat it, but I think you will find that you get a response from a lot of council members.

MR. SPINDLER: I understand that, but Mr. McGoff does speak first in comments and that is his committee.

MR. JOYCE: Right, and also to interject, a lot of the requests are sent to council by the public just addressed to city council and not one specific council member, so many times requests that are sent in will be read off generally by the first council member that decides to speak on it. So if something is mentioned by another council member I generally don't repeat it. Unless I missed what they had to say.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. I have a question, that StreetSmart program, does anybody know when that trial period is going to start up with that?

2

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: That's another issue that I'm going to be addressing under motions this evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Thank you. Lastly, about this lost arbitration again, it just keeps on rolling along. I think that's 25 out of 26 the mayor has loss and as Bill Jackowitz said it's the taxpayers that are the real losers. It's, again, it's just the attorneys that are stuffing their pockets and it's a really sad situation and I don't know when this is going to end, but in the long run the taxpayers are the losers, and I'm happy fore the firefighters and the police officers. It's ashame it has to be that way. If he would have only have sat down in the very beginning and settled with these people, none of this would have happened, and it's scary that we have three more years of this to put up with. you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Hello. You know, it's said a little knowledge is a dangerous

22

23

24

25

thing. This morning on the editorial page there is a good example of somebody that has a minute bit of knowledge of something and wrote paragraphs and paragraphs that they don't what they are talking about. a letter here in defense of Mr. Jefferson and his group. He thinks that the city is trying to extort money from the poor soul. That's what it says, extort money. His last sentence says we should forgive taxes, blah, blah, blah. He has no understanding of what went on. Up here he says after -- I guess he heard what was going on last week he said the city was trying to collect the delinquent taxes and they had -- it was impossible to do it, we had no way to collect the delinquent taxes. He doesn't understand the building was for sale for delinguent taxes. He doesn't understand that the man asked the building be taken off, pay a fine, assume the taxes, he missed all of this.

You know, I don't even know how somebody can show their gross stupidity in such a letter. I had some other things I

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wanted to talk about, but after I read this, it just infuriated me saying that the city is trying to extort. I have talked to a dozen, 15 people and every single one of them are the man on the street that's supports you. You just can't keep giving The facts were out there. I just don't in. know how someone could be just not understanding anything and write such a letter.

Well, I hope our new laid on the school board who I know a little bit doesn't turn her back on us like the other members seem to have done, you know. I'm a noble advocate for the poor and the homeless and the low income people, I just have a place in my heart for them, but you can't have some mother of six or eight kids in the project dictate we need a new school and we need this or we need that, schools are nothing but babysitters for these people. Ι guarantee you that. And I won't go on, I won't get in an argument about that.

And I got some homework for Mr. Hughes, if he wouldn't mind.

1 MR. HUGHES: I think I would. MR. ELLMAN: You might enjoy it. 2 3 MR. HUGHES: Only Mrs. Evans, she is the teacher, she can give me the homework. 4 I want you to find out 5 MR. ELLMAN: for me the law on black and white for the 6 7 county, this county, where the state --8 where the county has given tax exemptions in 9 writing to the churches and nonprofits --10 MR. HUGHES: I suggest you go to the 11 county itself and ask them, I'm not in the 12 business to do that. MR. ELLMAN: You're what? 13 14 MR. HUGHES: I don't do any work for 15 anyone other than directed by the President 16 of Council. I suggest you go to the County 17 Assessor's Office and ask them for that 18 list. MR. ELLMAN: I didn't know that. 19 20 MR. HUGHES: There is a list that's 21 published and they have it. 22 MR. ELLMAN: This article in the 23 paper this week from Wyoming County, it 24 states in black and white that exemptions 25 are a courtesy, it's not a law in Wyoming

County. They could give them for religious buildings that is the real property. There is no law requiring the county to exempt anybody in Wyoming County and I was wondering if we got it here.

MS. EVANS: That I don't know, but I would agree with Attorney Hughes that those questions are probably better posed to county government. Maybe you could speak with the Tax Assessor's Office our you might want to pose it to the county commissioners, I think they would be better prepared to respond that what Lackawanna County laws are in comparison to the example you cited.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, as I have said 20 times, something sure needs to be done about all of these predators. They pry on such poor people, you know, that just can't afford -- I just talk to people almost every day that are holding onto their property by their fingertips. Yesterday, somebody was telling me about their father gave up the cable TV to save some money. I mean, you know, people are just doing everything and half of them -- half of the people in this

city haven't had children in school in ten, 20, 30, years. Somebody has got to give somewhere for crying out loud. It just can't keep up. Well, thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. Lynn Bloom.

MS. BLOOM: Good evening. Lynn
Bloom, North Scranton. Does anyone know --

Good evening.

MR. JOYCE:

MS. BLOOM: Hi, good evening. Lisa Moran, her education credentials? Does anyone know?

MS. EVANS: I really am not certain about that.

MS. BLOOM: Because I have heard, you know, rumors are a viscous thing, I would like to apologize to Mrs. Krake, I have been in your position in a meeting where I just had to observe and I want to say thank you for taking such great notes at that PEL meeting and I wish I was sitting there with you, because you are not paid enough, you are really not for -- I don't know if it's their amusement or what, but thank you so much for the job you do.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe Ms. Moran's degree is in fashion. No degree, and I think I look okav. The comments made at that meeting are disgusting, plain and simple. Bags of The facetiousness is appalling, and if anyone was to have bags of money it would be those appointed in the administration because they got raises all along and now the city, because it's time to pay the piper, every resident, even those in the administration, which is I find kind of a little odd, we are all going to be in more jeopardy next month, next year, than last year.

So maybe, God forbid, when it impacts them close to home, maybe they will stop laughing. Maybe when their family members don't have means to pay for a private ambulance or the best security system or a fire suppression system, maybe they will take it a little more seriously.

To threaten massive layoffs in this economy is just awful. When I worked for the federal government, no one wanted to work for the government because everyone in

the private sector was making money hand over fist. Now, the private sector tanked and public sector jobs look good, but the economy will come around again, because it always does, you just got to ride it out, and there will be an opening in the public sector.

And for those people at that meeting, Mr. Krake, they forgot one very important lesson, the people you meet on your way up are the same people you meet on the way down, and you always come down.

Nothing lasts forever and everyone is replaceable.

Now, that my little vent is over, I apologize. Finding money for the city. Blight is a big problem in Scranton. I don't know the laws in Pennsylvania because the few I know are very shocking and confusing to me, but instead of demolishing houses why can't the city have a lottery for it's residents, and then instead of knocking down houses and having empty lots where heroine users will go and shoot up or underage kids will go have sex or a kegger,

you can reinvest in our neighborhoods. They cannot be for nonprofit, it could not be corporate, it would have to be current city residents, 50 bucks a pop, \$10 a pop, and whoever wins get the property. They can rehab it, they could -- we get the tax role, a deserving family that is willing to work gets a home, a neighborhood gets restored, and maybe we'll actually start attracting citizens that aren't going to be here for as long as the good job lasts, that have been here like my in-laws for 100 years or more.

Maybe creative financing, not going into a worker's comp fund and hoping nobody finds out about it for a year or two. something to think about. I hope the mayor gets a clue. I really do, because I'm not going anywhere and there might be more people like me coming, sorry, everyone, I'm really sorry, because if you think taxes are bad here a three bedroom, one-bath ranch, without my husband's VA tax break \$10,000 was my property tax. \$10,000. Yes, I ran off of Long Island, anybody would. We are Civil servants. not rich. I was forced to

retire, he was working at a national cemetery. It will happen. We have to be smart.

I had mentioned a few weeks ago -I'm sorry, everyone in my neighborhood was
priced out. I don't want that to happen in
Scranton. I really don't. Thank you very
much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. Dave
Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers' and
resident of Scranton. Last week I spoke
about anonymous tips to the city, and I'd
like to elaborate on that a little bit
because last year after the -- the blight
policy was adopted, and I'm not criticizing
anybody from the city, but I noticed pickup
trucks going around South Side and they
didn't have municipal license plates, and I
am wondering with this anonymous if it could
be possible for a repair person or a
contractor to pose over the computer as a

plaintiff, a related plaintiff where it's not coming -- where it's coming from the neighborhood and possibly turning people in and trying to conjure up business and so forth, so that's one of my concerns.

Just basically there shouldn't be anonymous tips, they should certainly be kept confidential, but no pranksters, don't make it easy for pranksters to cause problems for people.

Okay. I mentioned a few -- a week or two ago about a support letter for WFTE for a grant and I talked to Nancy about it today, is that available or -- if you people are willing, I'll catch you after the meeting, and sign it. It's a nonprofit radio station. I don't know how long I'll be connected with it, but we'll see.

And I don't have much to complain about, so it's time for the golden parrot. The golden parrot for this week, one golden parrot for the COLA bashers in Congress. Years back Reagan, Ronald Reagan withdrew the housing cost and so forth from the cost of living assessment and many other things,

and then two or three years ago George Bush said energy prices have nothing do with inflation, well, I mean, what is COLA after that for somebody that's making 800 or 900 a month on social security.

And then the big oil, well, they're jacking prices up, as you may know.

Unfortunately, we have to charge way more just because we can, and some day they'll wind up stuck like AIG was struck with a whole tanker full of oil, they didn't know what to do with it, they couldn't get their money out of it, and that's part of what caused the collapse of AIG or caused the need for bailout funds.

And the third one is NATO. You are friends in Europe, they did nothing about Bosnia, they did nothing about Kosovo, every time I turn the news on I'm hearing about Libya now. They have their socialized medicine, they got free medical care, they got retirement at 60 and 62 years old, but once again, let America pay for it. 65 years of something, maybe 75 by now, since in World War II, how is my math? That's 65

years, and it's probably NATO is about 60 years old they do absolutely nothing and then they want us involved in it, so how long is that going to go on and how much is going to come out of our pockets at 60 billion dollars a year to keep NATO up in the United States. Thanks a lot and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ DOBRZYN: They get the bawk, bawk.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: You know, just came here to listen to a lot of comments from a lot of different people. I think we are still stuck in the same mindset that the mayor is responsible for everything, and I just can't -- I can't agree with that. I mean, I agree he is responsible for a lot of things, but I can't say he is responsible for everything that's taken playing here. My opinion is the city is very seriously

mismanaged and it's gone on for an extremely long time, even before the mayor was mayor.

I will say that in some ways I think he has accelerated it.

You know, Mr. Spano came to the tax group that I belong to and tried to talk about the Home Rule Charter and the revisions they wanted to make. I tried to have a very frank conversation with him on that issue because I attended a lot of those public meetings as an interested citizen, and I found the changes they wanted to make then were just not relevant, just like the present council we -- I mean, not the council. but the Home Rule Charter we have It's not -- it's almost useless because if you are not willing to wield the power that you possess, well, then power has no meaning.

I think for a very long period of time the citizens in this city have to make a determination whether they are all going to work together to bring change here. I honestly believe that they have to determine the change necessary. They need to join

neighborhood associations, they need to make demands of this council. We have had a lot of councils, and I'm not necessarily talking about this one, that have come in and said they had a new vision for the city. With the visions that they've had, we're left with a nightmare. I think some of the people came up to the podium spoke about the blight in the neighborhoods, well, we are still spending money to all of these developers and in my opinion not enough money is going into the neighborhoods. I think the city is mismanaged then and I think it's mismanaged now.

I think that -- I think there really is a need for a real change. I think it's time for the citizens to stop, you know, you talk to citizens and they tell me, well, this person ran for office and they told me they were going to be their own person and why aren't they?

The one question I have is why do we keep electing people that have never attended a meeting for an office they want to possess? I can't understand it. I just

-- I mean, evidently people can grow into a position, but this city's problem are so severe, you know, we have talked about mismanagement here for over 20 years, I have sat in here and talked them with Mr. Pocius and Mr. -- whoever you want to bring up. I mean, it's like 20, 25 years of just discussions and now we have come to the point where, in my opinion, we may have too few policemen, we are getting ready to lose our fire department, now Dunmore can't count on us to come over and allegedly help them, and I always thought you help your neighbors.

You know, you go in the neighborhoods and people ask why they don't have curbs, why -- and now, I mean, people are telling me should they buy a truck to drive on city roads? And we keep discussing our financial problems. I honestly have to say that I know the answers to the problems in the city aren't simple. I really have to tell you that I'm not sure what they are other than asking the Court to allow the city to reorganize.

But, you know, I just find, like Attorney Hughes said here today, that he only moves at the direction of the President of Council, and my point is that it seems that government has created circlings on various levels. People are concerned about what the school board is doing. People are concerned about what the county is doing, people are concerned about, you know, what the city is doing, but all of the revenue is coming from one place. I think the mall has 12 or 15 vacant stores, they have all gone I guess on the mountain or they are going into Taylor where Wal-Mart is. People are fleeing and all we keep talking about is more revenue.

And I just think that the people in this city have to determine whether they want to work together to bring the change necessary that we need and we've needed for a long time. Stop the borrowing, a willingness of an administration to sit down with organized labor and come up with an agreement that serves the people, and a government that represent the people.

I think we keep bringing who come to our city and tell us they want to create jobs and we keep giving them the money and we keep ignoring our neighborhoods, and now that the neighborhoods are just continuing to decline, and now we are starting to -- you know, I don't know where we are going.

I mean, we elect people they talk about no nepotism at the Scranton school district and the next thing you know somebody in their family has a job. Who do we believe? That's what people ask me, how can we believe our government when they say one thing when they ask for their vote and they do something else, and I just think that it's time it save this city and I think this council can do it. I just think that we all have to work together.

The last closing thing I have to say is this, when you walk up these steps you look at that picture on the wall, it's Abraham Lincoln. He took a country that was fractured and he brought it together because there were two opposing camps and they were at war and he managed to save our nation and

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have to save ourselves. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. Last week at council's meeting, council stated that the administration took millions of dollars from the workmen's compensation In 2009 and also in 2010 fund again. without city council knowing anything about How do they pull this off? there anyone besides the mayor or the city administrator who oversees the city money on a daily basis? Isn't there a written record someplace showing where millions of dollars were taken from one place and deposited somewhere else? Someone or some other office must have known about this as well as the city administrator and the mayor. thought -- I thought the city controller. thought that was their job, the city controller's, to watch over the money in the city. Wouldn't the city controller know about this?

MR. JOYCE: Ms. Franus, not to interrupt you, sorry, I am, I will be addressing that later on this evening during

motions --

MS. FRANUS: Okay.

MR. JOYCE: -- regarding council being left in the dark with this borrowing of \$2.95 dollars in 2009 and 2010 respectively.

MS. FRANUS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.
But as I was saying, that's the city
controller's job to watch over the money in
the city and I would think that they would
know about this.

I can't even comprehend how they did not notice when suddenly a million dollars appears to pay the bills. If I were paying my bills last week and I didn't have enough money, then all of a sudden this week money appears in my account, I'd surely notice. Red flags would be going up and I certainly would know because I would be writing the checks. So think about this, everything I have said and all of these questions I have asked, think about it. How does one not notice this money?

So when the bills are being put in to be approved and paid how does the

1 people -- how do the people in that office not notice this? I'm asking council to 2 3 please check into this, to find out in any way you can to get to the bottom of this. 4 And also, I don't how you manage your 5 finances when things like this are done 6 behind your back. I also don't understand 7 8 the mayor who feels it's necessary to hide 9 his finances and keep this from the city, 10 the people in the city. I hope that you 11 check with the city administrator and I hope 12 you check with the controller's office to 13 find out about this, and I also would like 14 to say I'd like to also wonder what else is 15 being done behind your backs? Thank you 16 very much. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 18 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 19 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Jackie, 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. What's up, 21 Buddy. 22 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Wearing the shirt, 23 Jack, I told you. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Ready for the parade.

MR. SLEDENZSKI:

Well, Pat, they did

25

it again, didn't they? The girls won the championships Saturday. The girls came through. Good luck Friday -- Saturday. Good luck. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chrissy. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. I probably should have mentioned this before, but PennDOT is conducting a public meeting on the Harrison Avenue Bridge project, that would on Thursday evening, March 10, at Scranton High School. The informational displays will be available by 6:30, p.m., and presentation by PennDOT at 7:00 p.m.

From what I have -- we have seen from the past, there are a number of possibilities for what will take place with the Harrison Avenue Bridge. They have a number of scenarios. It would probably be in the best interest of, you know, people especially that live in that area to be

there and take a look at the presentations that are being made. I know a lot of it involved -- some of it involves the moving of the bridge from -- you know, slightly, and eminent domain, taking some properties through eminent domain. So, again, it would probably be a worthwhile meeting to attend for, you know, citizens of the South Side and Hill Section areas.

The second thing, as far as the -- I received or in speaking with Mr. Brazil at DPW and also with Mr. Vitris talking about paving and patching of the city streets, they are attempting to make repairs when possible. I know a week or so ago when it looked like we were going to have some better weather they had crews out almost, you know, for five days or a number of days, you know, all through the day patching different parts, different streets, and then another storm and more cold weather and a lot of -- a lot of what they did was, I guess -- I don't want to say useless, but it came right out. The patching didn't take. And I know the one section almost every day

1

10

11

9

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

I'm up along Lake Scranton Road which is in pretty bad shape and they tried to patch it and it was, you know, reasonably good for about a day and then, you know, two days later it was, you know, full of potholes and the patching was gone, so they are making attempts so that -- I know it's tough to be patient with that in driving some of the roads, it is very difficult.

You know, it's been mentioned here South Webster Avenue from about Willow Street back to Maple Street it is like a war There are parts of it that are just zone. undriveable. I don't know how you can in coming down Beach Street from the Seventh through the 600 block of Beach Streets is absolutely terrible and a lot of it is the result of the work that's being done on the streets during the summer and into the fall, but they are attempting to, you know, do what they can and to make repairs until, you know, real paving and paving projects can begin.

The second thing, I know it was mentioned about Meadow Avenue and we did

receive a response from PennDOT that Meadow Avenue, while many people see it as perhaps a state route, it's not. It's not -- it's not under the jurisdiction of PennDOT in any way, so any paving or repair work that would be done on that section of Meadow Avenue from Moosic Street to River Street would be the sole responsibility of the city and not of PennDOT. And again, if you drive that frequently while there are parts of it that are bad, it does need some repair and hopefully it will be gotten to by the city as soon as possible.

And just a response to a couple of things that were mentioned by people at the podium. I know we have gone and back and forth on the -- about housing demolitions.

While it's a nice idea to say, "Why can't we -- why can't we do something to rehabilitate homes," many of them are beyond rehabilitation. Many of them are homes that are in such disrepair that it would be practically impossible to rehab them in any functional way, and many of the homes that-- I shouldn't sat many, some of the homes that

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

homes in areas in courts, and in a places that are small lots that are -- that by any standards they couldn't be rehabilitated. There is just not enough space for bedrooms and, you know, bathrooms and that type of thing, and that they actually need to be demolished and removed.

I have seen that have been demolished are

And the second part of that is I have seen a number of homes rehabilitated in South Side area through Lackawanna County Neighbors, I think they do a great job with the homes that they have to rehab I know a couple around where I live, one that was in a fire that I thought it was just, you know, totally destroyed, Lackawanna Neighbors took it and rehabbed it, and it's a beautiful home, it's now occupied and back on the tax roles and all, so there is rehabilitation being done and as money is available I'm sure there be more done, but it is a costly thing to do.

And last, in the four years that I have been on council I have rarely, if ever, talk about citizens' requests during the

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

meetings. If I get a citizens' request I usually call the necessary department and speak with whoever needs to be spoken with and take care of it in that way. I don't think that it's necessary to publically, you know, talk about all -- any citizen's requests really, and in fact most of the people that have called me have asked that I not do it pubically. They'd just as soon not be in -- you know, have their names or problems mentioned and so I think it's -and I'm sure it's for many of the members of the council that there are at lot of people that call with requests, calls, you know, for various things and we simply take care of the problem rather than having to do it publically, and thank you. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.

And, Councilman Rogan, any motions or

comments?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Just a few comments and some citizens' requests. While I do make a habit of announcing the majority of my citizens' request publically, only because I believe in the old saying that

says the long spoon gets the grease, and by getting it out there that there is a problem I think it's puts more pressure on department heads to actually go out there and fix it.

But a lot of time, as Mr. McGoff said, sometimes just a phone call, just a letter, will get it repaired promptly which is the best scenario for everyone, but often times, you know, we are waiting week after week after week.

Last Friday I had the privilege of reading to the third and fourth graders at John Marshal Elementary School as part of "Read Across America" week and they were great kids, I had a great time and they actually had a lot of very good ideas for the city, and one of them that really stuck with me that I think is a good idea, is the students asked if recycling cans be placed next to the trash cans in the downtown area. So, Mrs. Krake, could we please send that to the DPW asking -- because I know the DPW does collect the garbage cans that are down there now, but there are no trash -- or

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there are no recycling cans, just garbage.

Next, about the DPW, I have probably been the most critical of the DPW of everyone on this council, but I do have to say the last two snowstorms they have done a very good job of removing the snow, and I think the big reason for that is because they are pushing the garbage collections back a day to get everyone out and focus on the snow. And also there was a letter to the editor, I forgot to bring it to the meeting, I think it was in two or three days ago and it was on North Sumner Avenue, not too far from where I live, and I would just like to congratulate the men on that truck for doing such a great job in helping the person who needed the help.

The Mulberry Plaza, the taxes continue to be an issue. There are some people who think all of the taxes should be forgiven, there are some people who think they should pay everything including the fees and interest. Personally, I take the middle ground where if he would pay the \$50,000 that's owed the \$13,000 in fees and

interest would be forgiven, but as it was stated last week, the ball is not in our court. It's in Mr. Jefferson's court to come to the city. I think all five of us on here want that project to move forward, but if we did forgive the entire amount that would set a dangerous precedent for future developers in the city who would buy properties with back taxes and think just because they're going to develop it they would have their taxes forgiven.

A resident on Cameron Avenue called me earlier today and wanted me to publically thank Mr. Skeleton from the Sewer Authority. We all have heard about the problems going on in that neighborhood with flooding, and with the recent rain and snow fall, Mr. Skeleton was right on top of it and actually they are going to be putting a drain in the one area where the water is ponding. It might not fix the whole problem, but it definitely is a good step towards that.

And finally, I have responses from OECD. Last week I requested a response

regarding the Crisp Avenue Bridge and I will read it off: "Pine Creek Site Contractors received a contract on February 28, 2011.

Pine Creek Site Contractors will now request the shop drawings from the box manufacturer.

The time frame of receiving the precast box will be subject to the chosen manufacturer.

The total timeframe for this process is somewhere around six weeks. The contractor would like to have the precast box in place prior to the spring runoff."

In sum, just to sum it up, they expect to begin work in six to eight weeks at the site, which is great news, but then again, it says depending on everything moving forward quite rapidly, so we hope that everything will continue to move forward and hopefully in six to eight weeks we won't have to talk about this on council anymore because it will be done.

Next, on a request that was is there a current revolving loan fund not including RE-RE? And the response we received was, "The following are the revolving loan funds that this office currently has available:

OECD, commercial and industrial revolving loan account. EDZ revolving fund account. EDA revolving loan fund."

And this was sent in I think just yesterday from Ms. Aebli, and we thank her for the prompt response once again.

And finally, I have some citizens' requests: The first one is to the business administrator's office: In the last ten years how many arbitrations have the city loss? How many have the city won? And how much money has been spent on attorneys for fighting the city's unions?

Second, what is the procedure for making a two-way street a one-way?

And the third was regarding the recycling cans downtown which we already have.

And finally, this is a letter that was sent to all of us on council, and I'd like to read it out. It says, "Members of council, first let me introduce myself. My name is Fay Turley, companion of Harry Granville. I am writing on behalf of myself and the Granville family. Harry F.

MS. EVANS: Thank v

Granville passed away on November 12, 2010. His properties are now part of the Harry F. Granville estate and his children will be the new owners of this property. Prior to Harry's passing, we discussed the matters of requesting the board to change the name of Clark Place to Granville Lane. The Granville has and continues to reside and retain Clark Place for 101 years according to the 1910 census. Clark Place is not owned nor maintained by the city and, therefore, as mentioned above, is maintained by the Granville family.

Enclosed is a copy of the property
map. Also enclosed is a list of the
residents, tenants of the Granville's
properties, as well as their signatures who
are in favor of the name change."

Mrs. Krake, this a first for me, I don't know how we would go about this, but I guess I'll just attach this and talk about it after the meeting to figure out which way to go about it, and I will hold my comments or the agenda items until Fifth Order.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rogan. Councilman Loscombe, any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, Mrs. Evans, I have a couple. I'd like to continue -- and a lot of times we are quick to criticize employees or departments or whatever and I'm going to continue the applaud tonight because I think it's been a pretty good week and give a pat on the back and a shout out to the Department of Public Works, first of all. Fortunately, my area I don't know if it's the crews or whatever, how it's hit and miss in other areas because I do get complaints about certain areas, but I have never had a problem in my area and that's been a long time and I think it's the same gentleman that handles the plow up there, so he is right on the money. So I do want to applaud them for that.

You know, we have to realize it's a tough job. In the summer, you know, they are walking out in 90-degree weather behind garbage trucks that you don't know what's falling out of those garbage bags. You don't want to know what's falling out of

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

them garbage bags. In the winter, like this here, they have got -- this has been a wicked winter all around, I mean, rain and storm, ice, melt, pulling the garbage bag it might be frozen in the ice or a garbage can or slipping on the ice and walking behind the trucks in five below zero weather. You know, it's tough until you walk a mile in somebody else's shoe, but there are some times when things have to be pointed out and corrected, and I think a lot of that has been resolved and I think, you know, we are on the uphill slide on that.

But as Mr. Rogan stated there was an article in the paper this week, I would like to commend the Department of Public Works employees, their names weren't mentioned here and I forgot to call Sam to get them so I could publically give them their accolades, but they had helped an ambulance crew lift a patient in the ambulance in this weather, and I believe it was in your neighborhood you said, Mr. Rogan.

So I to want to applaud them on that, but I do have to caution some of the

Public Works employees to be careful with their radio communications because people have scanners and, you know, they're live broadcasts, and some things are being said off and on that, you know, shouldn't be handled that way, but I didn't say that to detract from their applaud.

And in this week's paper, a police officer, Peter Balchus, he responded to a youngster that was held up by knife point in West Side and he ended up rounding up the assailants and they had a nice article in the newspaper about him.

Just a few weeks ago Engine 7 on
Luzerne Street had responded to a cardiac
arrest in Keyser Valley because the county
dispatcher he had enough intelligence to
call him to see if there was any EMT's on
board because the closest ambulance was
responding from Dunmore, and due to their
quick response and when the ambulance from
Dunmore got there they had already revived
the patient and from what I understand
everything was going fine, so these are the
city employees that sometimes we criticize,

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sometimes we -- I mean, we like to praise them when we can, but and when they are wrong we definitely want to hear it and straighten it out, but I do believe everybody that does, you know, goes above and beyond deserves a pat on the back once in awhile, definitely in these times of low morale.

Let's see, next we discussed citizens' requests. I handle my citizens' requests as you can see pretty much like I don't usually read them out Mr. McGoff. probably because I tend to get carried away with my other articles, so I don't want to prolong the meeting, but for the most part I do believe, you know, a lot of them the people don't want their neighbor to know they're complaining about this or that, but we do turn them in and they are handled in that aspect. We have different philosophies on how to do it, and that tends to be the way I do it. So for Les, I mean, I handle it the same as Mr. McGoff. I would rather just follow-up. I usually go out and take photos and everything first and then hand it

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

into Mrs. Krake and the staff and they respond to it.

Blighted properties, again, it's probably one of our biggest issues in the city here. The number of blighted vacant properties and the economy is one thing, and I do commend the police department because they are actively enforcing regulations in the neighborhoods because they believe that drugs create blight, blight creates drugs, and from what I have seen of the chief and the rest of the police department recently they have been actively pursuing these issues, and that's a big help, but also, I mean, we constantly have demolition programs going on. There is another list of properties to be demolished, but I do believe, I have seen several properties that were condemned or falling apart that have been rehabbed and they look fantastic. mean, they look better than they looked when they were brand new. There are properties out there like that and I think it's a matter of, you know, maybe our License Inspections Department tagging certain homes

that are rehabable that are structurally sound.

I mean, there was one in West Side, there was two houses side-by-side, they just tore the one down because the foundation was bad. It was unrehabable, but if you saw the one next to it you wouldn't believe it. It looks like a brand new home on Washburn Street and I commend, that was a private owner that did that, and I commend them for their work there and that's what we would like to see, more private investment.

Ms. Bloom has some good idea and that's something that I'm looking into is trying to get some more of these blighted properties in the hands of private owners and maybe we could work on some kind of incentive for them down the road like we do for the developers of these big buildings at least to help them because sometimes these lots are too small to rebuild on, too, so you have an empty lot there forever, and sometimes the neighbors don't want to take it. There is a lot of variables there, but I think it goes both ways.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think there are a number of properties out there rather than just tearing them down that can be rehabbed, but we have to get a viable program together for that.

And the last thing I just wanted to discuss, and I believe my colleagues will get more into detail later, is the latest arbitration award. For many, I mean, prior to me being on council you have witnessed police representatives and fire union representatives come to this podium and explain to you what it was like going through arbitration trying to negotiate and what transpired how they were treated. are listening to their side, we didn't hear the other side, but in this arbitration report I'm just going to read this paragraph to you, and this is a neutral arbitrator that ruled in favor of the police and fire and you don't find many arbitration awards that have language this strong, in fact, probably would have been stronger if they were allowed. And Mr. Jackowitz, he started his comments before with the first sentence

on this here.

This is the arbitrator speaking: take no joy in determining that the city has engaged in bad faith in this case nor in showcasing the litany of prior decisions demonstrating the pattern of bad faith demonstrated by the city over the years. Ι am much more inclined to restrain myself from unduly interfering with the labor relations balance which has been struck by the parties, but the city's pattern of bad faith exhibited again in this case strongly suggests that the city has jettison notions of maintaining any semblance of a true collective bargain relationship with these unions. And it is sad irony that it is these union members who risk their own lives to protect the security and welfare of this city.

Whether the city will take any sort of instruction from what I write here or whether the city will continue to act with intransigence in it's conduct of labor relations policy, is beyond my control."

That's one of the strongest

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

arbitration reward languages that I have ever seen, and it tells you that the tale from the other side, so you are hearing from another party not just those that come to the podium here, and they have listed ten cases, this arbitrator has ten cases prior where he using these examples from.

I would just hope that this administration will get it and sit down and stop appealing. The employees of this city are taxpayers here, too. They are willing to work with us and with the city. But, you know, when the morale is broken and they're constantly thrown out the door and they have to go spend their money on arbitration, and this arbitrator even ruled that the city has to pay all of the legal fees, too. I'm just disappointed that it continues to get to this point.

You have heard comments from us here asking do not appeal, please. Mrs. Evans and myself have met Mayor Doherty personally and tried to get him to reason and not appeal and not appeal. I don't know if he thinks he is going to be gone by the time

these come due, but they are all coming due now and he is still here, so we have to work together.

You know, I know it's going to be hard, but there is a resolution, and I believe we can work together and I know the unions would work with us because they are taxpayers here, their families are here and they need to survive within the city, also, but they are given a lot over the past several years just to have the door closed on them.

So I would just hope that the administration would be willing to sit down with us, sit down with the unions and discuss these issues. It's not going to get any better, and I'm going to leave further discussion on this to my colleagues here and that's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe, but I think I'll just add one point to what you have said, I learned just about two hours ago from employees of Channel 16 that the mayor and the administration have decided to appeal the

most recent award, so I thought that would be important for the public and members of council to know, that the decision has already been made because they were seeking my opinion on the mayor's decision.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I mean, with this language on appeal the next Court is going to read this, I don't know how it could be changed. This is incredible. All it's going to do is tack on more interest and more penalties to us, the taxpayers, to make some attorneys rich. I'm sorry to hear that, Mr. Evans. I didn't know that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And Councilman Joyce, do you have any motions or comments tonight?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, very briefly.

First off to report, we did receive notification from the Tax Office that on 2-24 of 2011, \$2,972,000 was transferred to the city for real estate taxes.

Second order of business, some requests on behalf of Mr. Sbaraglia who spoke earlier tonight, please send a letter to Mr. Scopelliti at the Parking Authority

inquiring how they plan on repaying their deficit.

Second -- or second of all, on behalf of Mr. Miller, please send a request of all invoices submitted by Attorney Greco paid by OECD and city funds rather than a simple breakdown of the dates submitted and the payment that was reimbursed. I think it's about time that we see more details on what we are paying money for rather than just a simple statement that says, "On 2-13-2010, Attorney Greco received \$8,000."

For what? We don't know. I'm sure there are many costs that could very well be justified and I would like to see what those are, so please request a copy of the invoices that he submits.

Also, residents in west Scranton have reported that there are numerous deep potholes and cracks in the road near the corner Oxford Avenue and Ninth Street leading to roughly travel. Mrs. Krake, if you could please contact Mr. Brazil and ask him to have road crews patch the potholes in this area once weather permits.

And in addition, various residents in the 1400 block of West Gibson Street have informed me that the original barricade to the door of the condemned property located at 1406 West Gibson has been removed. In turn, residents inform that this is turning the condemned property into a safe haven for stray animals as well as trespassers.

Mrs. Krake, please contact Mr. Seitzinger and notify him of the situation and ask him if the barricade to the entrance of this property can be restored.

In addition, this property previously contained four rental units before condemnation. Please also ask him to add this to the list of rental properties in the rental registration database if it is not already included.

Finally, I would like to speak a bit about financial matters. It's quite disheartening that auditors as well as local media sources Find out vital information before city council members do on occasion. Such was the case when \$2.9 million was borrowed from the Worker's Compensation

Trust Fund in 2009 to pay salaries. This had first been reported to council by our independent auditor Rossi & Rossi. Though we borrowed money out of the Worker's Comp Trust Fund, Mr. Renda, our former BA, stated that this money was paid back.

In addition to that, council recently found out that the city borrowed \$5 million in 2010 to pay salaries. This was first mentioned at a recent PEL meeting, however, when it happened it was not reported to council. Since this money was borrowed late in 2010 before the 2011 budget was originally issued to council, I am curiously wondering how it will paid back since there is no line item in the 2011 budget that indicates how this money will be pay back to the Worker's Compensation Trust.

If this money being paid back -- if it's being paid back by 20110 revenue, this would automatically start the 2011 budget with a \$5 million shortfall since we would have to pay \$5 million expense that was not included.

I feel in some sense that city

council is being left on the dark on vital matters such as this and I wonder if other elected officials are as well.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to Mrs. Novembrino, since she is also an elected official, and since as the city controller she is also ultimately responsible for paying the bills asking her if she was aware that \$2.9 million was borrowed from the Worker's Comp Trust in 2009, and that \$5 million was borrowed from the Worker's Comp Trust in 2010 as well as to why there was a sudden influx of funding to pay salaries in the final quarters of 2009 and 2010.

In addition, also ask if she was aware of the balances of the Worker's Comp
Trust Fund in 2009, 2010, and so far to date in 2011.

Now, last week I spoke briefly about unions and that negotiations in a successful city should not favor the side of management on one side of the pendulum or the side of union on the other side of the pendulum, but rather meet in the middle, and I also

mentioned how over the past eight or ten
years or so negotiations have been heavily
in favor of the management where in the past
before that maybe there were instances where
they were in favor of the unions.

Recent Court awards have been a result of failure of negotiations. The most recent Court award, Case No. One -- or arbitration award 1439099509 CN, the city is required to pay 3.5 percent of a wage increase for firefighters and police officers in '06, and 4 percent in wage increase in 2000 or for the Year 2007.

Not only is the city required to pay these increases, but it is also required to pay interest on top of that because the city acted in bad faith as it was ruled. And as my colleague, Mr. Loscombe, had mentioned, the city is also responsible for incurring the costs of legal fees due to acting in bad faith. And I had a chance to review some of this -- some of the verbiage in the award and the ruling of the arbitrator, and I would like to read a few of these off as to the history of bad faith.

One of the points made, on July 23, 2003, the Commonwealth Court in the City Scranton vs. FOP found that the city had filed a frivolous appeal of an award justifying an order directing the award of attorney's fees.

On October 21, 2004, Arbitrator

Charles Long concluded in the Firefighters

Local Union No. 669 in the City of Scranton

that the city acted in bad faith when it

irresponsibly acted without justifiable

reason in failing to comply with the unions

and the attempting to control health care

costs.

On June 26th of 2006, Arbitrator

James Darby concluded the Firefighters Local

No. 60 in the City of Scranton, that the

city had acted in bad faith by disregarding

explicit language of the contract in failing

to pay health insurance benefits.

On November 9 -- or November 2nd of 2009, the late arbitrator, Charles Coleman, concluded in EB Jermyn Lodge No. 2 in the City of Scranton, that the City had acted in bad faith when it offered no sensible

reasons for failing to pay police officers in accordance with their contract.

And a more recent one, on August 21, 2010, arbitrator Stanley Agis concluded in Firefighter Local 60 EB Jermyn Lodge No. 2 in the City of Scranton that the city's defense to unilateral implementation of health insurance co-payments defies logic or reason and that the city has taken an antagonistic litigious approach or reason, and that was towards labor relations, that cannot rationally be reviewed as being in the best interest of the city, it's taxpayers or it's employees.

And furthermore, from the comments that Mr. Loscombe previously stated, this current arbitrator stated that, "The city's pattern of the bad faith exhibited, again, in this case strongly suggests that the city is jettison of notions of maintaining any semblance of a true collective bargaining relationship with the fire, police unions and it is the sad irony that these unions who contain members who risk their own lives to protect the security and welfare of this

city. Whether the city will take any sort of instruction from what I write here or whether the city will continue to act in it's conduct of labor relations is beyond my control."

And obviously, as Mrs. Evans just stated, she had recently learned that the city intends to appeal this, and this is also just another instance of where city council is somewhat left in the dark and we tend to hear about things a lot later than some of the local media sources do.

Well, we have a few situations here, we have the SIT award, we have this award, which obviously the administration stated that they will appeal, and we have \$5 million borrowed from the Worker's Comp Trust Fund. My friends, these are the real budget busters, not \$20,000 to cover the costs of some extra overtime or not \$50,000 to cover the cost of some extra road salt needed for bad weather, this is what will break the budget, not council's revenue projections.

With that in mind, Mrs. Krake, and

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one final request, I would like to send a Right-to-Know to Mayor Christopher A. Doherty asking how he intends to repay the \$5 million back into the Worker's Comp Trust Fund, the SIT award, as well as any further arbitration or Court awards for the firefighters or police in the following year, and please copy the Right-to-know officer, Mr. Ryan McGowan, as well. And with that, that is all I have to say tonight.

Thank you, Councilman MS. EVANS: Good evening. I would like to begin by addressing the potential shortfall in the 2011 budget. Although, a recent Scranton Times newspaper article reported on a potential for revenue shortfall this year, the article missed the big target despite public discussion held during the council meeting only days earlier. The concern is not council's budget, as Mr. McGowan, recently appointed business administrator had you believe, much of the new revenue figure of \$1.5 million included in the 2011 budget by city council depends on the

successful implementation of the StreetSmart
Parking Program and the aggressive
resurrection of the Rental Registration
Program.

The success or failure of both of these programs, and the resulting revenue are in the hands of the mayor and his administration. Although, they have been aware of these programs since December 2010, the administration to date has not implemented the StreetSmart Program and the daily responsibilities of the rental registration program seems to be placed on the shoulders of only one hardworking city inspector. It appears that the administration has limited concern for either program and for generating new revenue.

Now, here are the true financial issues which were not targeted in the newspaper article, many of whom were just discussed by our finance chair:

Number one, \$5 million taken from the Worker's Comp Trust Fund in late 2010.

Two, \$1.3 million for a recent Labor

Board decision regarding SIT clerks.

Three, \$300,000 for incremental pay increases in July 2011, for police and fire.

Four, \$1.6 million for payments owed to PennStar Bank regarding the sale of delinquent tax claims for calendar years 2004, 2005, and 2006.

Five, Court awards in favor of the police and fire ordering payment of back pay, interest and attorney's fees for which I have no total figure.

Six, despite having \$14.5 million available from TAN-A and TAN-B in early 2011, the administration Mas our finance chair noted, urgently requested a check from the Single Tax Office on Monday, February 28, for all available tax revenue. Over \$2.9 million was turned over to the city immediately, which appears to be an unusual action this early into only the first financial quarter of the year.

For what purpose did the administration need that tax revenue so urgently and what is the status of the TAN-A and TAN-B?

amount to a total of \$8.2 million. Add to that the Court award for backpay, interest and attorney's fees to the Scranton police and fire. This is the shortfall. These are the facts that were not provided in the newspaper article and, for the record, I want to address city council's direct involvement in these outstanding financial obligations.

These current financial obligations

Number one, city council did not raid the Worker's Comp Trust Fund. City council learned on March 1, 2011, that the administration raided the Comp Fund once again in 2010 to make payroll purportedly and balance out it's budget. Thus, city council was not aware that the administration would need \$5 million this year to reimburse that account.

According to Business Administrator Ryan McGowan, the \$5 million taken in 2010, has been reimbursed to the Worker's Comp Fund, thus, creating a \$5 million whole in the 2011 budget.

Number two, city council did not

fire the SIT clerks. Council did not appeal this Court case for the last seven years.

Number three, city council did not take the police and fire to court for the last nine years. In fact, council, as was noted by my colleague, Councilman Loscombe, council advised the mayor to negotiate and halt the appeal processes to save the taxpayers' money.

Fourth, city council did not write the legislation to sell delinquent tax claims and it didn't write the legislation to repeal the 23 fines, fees and costs.

Both were generated and driven by the administration.

City council approved both pieces of legislation respectively in order to prevent the mayor's borrowing and generate revenue in 2007, and to stop the sheriff's sale of resident's homes in 2010.

Further, neither the administration nor the Scranton Redevelopment Authority informed city council of the departure of NCC, Northeast Credit and Collections, and neither informed council that only \$700,000

of \$2.3 million in delinquent tax claims had been collected by NCC over the last three years.

City council is a legislative body without the ability to execute raids of worker's comp, Court appeals, and union contracts. Council has no authority over the Scranton Redevelopment Authority, the purchaser of the tax claims, and recipient of the \$2.3 million dollar loan. The SRA communicates solely with the administration and the mayor appoints it's members.

Like so many of you, city council would like to know how the administration intends to solve it's problems and fill this \$8.2 million whole in the budget, plus the newest Court award for backpay, interest and attorney's fees and postponing this solves nothing and only adds to this ever growing budget shortfall.

It's clear, I think, to anyone with working knowledge of the city's operating budget that \$8.2 million plus can't be cut from the budget without eliminating entire departments of city government, thereby,

ending some public services while significantly crippling all remaining public services. When the administration decides how they will address each of these shortfalls, it should first inform city council because it will require the cooperation of council to act on related legislation.

Throughout the last two weeks on behalf of city council, our solicitor, Boyd Hughes, has been addressing the issues of the SRA's possible loan default with PennStar bank's attorney and the sluggish selection and implementation of the StreetSmart Parking Program. Attorney Hughes provided each council member with documentation for each of these issues.

And so at this time, Attorney
Hughes, I would ask that you would report to
the public on these developments, please.

MR. HUGHES: Yes. Thank you, Madam President. I think I will start with the easy one first, that's the StreeetSmart Program. I sent a letter to Attorney Kelly on March 2 in response to his letter about

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

StreetSmart and whether it should be bid or whether it was a sole source contract. I sent a copy to Mr. Loscombe and I believe that every council person has received it, a copy of my letter, I sent it on March 2.

Basically what I said is that I have investigated this, I found out that the City I'm Allentown -- or, I'm sorry, the City of Easton, Pennsylvania, approximately 25,000 people, started a PILOT program of StreetSmart on 50 meters, and as a result of that they were very pleased with it. overall revenue of their entire system with only 50 meters being monitored by StreetSmart, increased 15 to 20 percent. They were going to expand it to another 100 meters and as a result of the StreetSmart Program, that while many of the merchants in downtown of Easton were against the installation of StreetSmart, what they found out was that after it was installed their sales had increased and virtually all of the stores, they were very pleased with the program and as a result of awarding it on a sole source basis the overall parking

revenues had increased 15 to 20 percent in that time period, even though it was not installed systemwide.

I sent that to Attorney Kelly on March 2 regarding that. I haven't had any response. It was my opinion that while I'm not -- haven't reviewed the other specifications on the other people that -- the other two companies that have protested and want to bid it, it's my understanding that that program that StreetSmart has they integrate a lot of these other units into their program, which the other ones do not.

I also spoke with -- I didn't put it in the letter, I don't believe I did, it's been awhile since I wrote the letter, about a week ago, but one of the competitors uses a credit card only and what the City of Reading found, the director of the Parking Authority in Reading was that people who used the credit card, the city was getting charged in accordance with the contract a transaction fee by the company, but also they got charged a transaction fee by the credit card company.

So based on their rates of somebody -- and I think it might have been 75 cents for a half an hour, I think they got 75 -- I think that they go a quarter every ten minutes, the people that would come in and charge 75 cents, by the time they got the transaction fee the city was only getting 30 cents because it was 45 cents on a 75 cent charge, so that the Parking Authority was only getting 30 cents and they cancelled that contract. I believe that was with IPS, if I'm not mistaken, so they cancelled that pilot program because

Attorney Kelly. I informed him to talk with the business manager of Easton, I gave him his name and phone number to verify what they had to done as to why they went sole source on their investigation. So that's what I have updated on the StreetSmart Program. I don't know if council has any questions on that, but I also sent to Attorney Brazil who represents

Mrs. Novembrino, the city controller,

their revenues drastically went down.

2

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because Attorney Kelly's letter went out to seek input from my both myself and Jack Brazil as to whether it should be a sole source or whether it should be bid. And that was -- everybody has a copy of my letter to Paul Kelly.

The next item regards the sale of the City of Scranton's delinquent taxes, real estate taxes, for the Years 2004, five and six, to the Scranton Redevelopment Authority for the purchase -- for the price of approximately \$2.3 million. I don't know if that went into the city's budget in 2003 or if it went into the city's budget of 2008, how those funds were distributed. However, legally it's known as a "with recourse" transaction which means if it's with recourse, if the Redevelopment Authority did not collect the \$2.3 million the City of Scranton was obligated to buy back the amount of the delinquent taxes that the city had received the money for, which were not collected.

The Redevelopment Authority did not have the money, the Redevelopment Authority

entered into an agreement with PennStar Bank to borrow the \$2.3 million dollars from PennStar Bank, I'm sorry, it's 2.23 million from PennStar Bank. The Redevelopment Authority got the money, did not give all of the money to the city, the \$2.3 million, kept certain monies out for expenses, and also for reserve fund. The city's full faith and credit and it's taxing power is set forth in the loan agreement so that this loan can never be in default.

A transaction was done in December of 2007, the note is to mature on June 1, 2012, in ten months. On Thursday, March 3, I received a call from the attorney Michael Windfield with the law form of Rhodes & Sinan in Harrisburg questioning me about the transaction, actually informing me about the transaction first, and then questioning me about File of Council No. 49 of 2010, which repealed File of Council No. 82 of 2007 which established rates for NCC to collect the delinquent taxes.

I told them that I know that council can not draft that legislation it had to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come from the solicitor's office. He said that there is a serious issue here that the city could be declared in default, that the amount -- if it were, the amount of the entire loan would be accelerated and become immediately due and payable. I told him I had never seen the agreements and he said that he had written to Attorney Greco and Attorney Kelly, I believe it was on February 25, because they had not responded to his correspondence or phone calls he gave them seven days, which would have been until last Friday, March 4, to immediately get in touch with him to pursue options on behalf of the city so that PennStar would not move to declare the city in default.

I asked him how much was due and owning on the note, he said it was \$1.6 million, which means in the last almost three and a half years, NCC has only collected on the note approximately \$600,000 to \$700,000.

He sent me an e-mail letter
regarding our conversation on Friday along
with the Federal Express package of all of

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the loan documents. I told Attorney
Winfield, I said, "This is not council's
problem, this is the administration's
problem."

I knew very little about it. perused everything and wrote a two-page memo to each councilmen recording this issue and I have -- I went through the audit that was just completed by Mr. Rossi for the Year 2009, in that audit under Footnote No. 7, it refers to page -- on page 51 it refers to the city's obligation that regarding the note of \$2,296,570, and as of December 31, 2009, it was approximately \$1,872,990 that was due on it. So it was disclosed, however, anybody that is familiar with reading financial statements and footnotes knows it can be very laborious and time consuming project to sift through it, and for what I'll say laymen, and very politely and in due deference, to understand what an accountant discloses in his footnote.

As a result of this, I wrote a -like I said, I wrote my opinion letter, at
least I wrote a letter on Friday to

councilmen regarding this and the severity of it. What happens is I also reviewed the city's budget that was prepared by the mayor this year and submitted to council November 15 for loans and liabilities payable at the end of this year, and what the loan agreement with PennStar states is that in the event the loan is not fully paid within 30 days of due date, which would mean by December 1st of 2011, that the city must within 15 days pay the balance due.

At \$1.6, and based on the past history of the collection of the SRA and it's agent NCC which has tendered it's resignation and is no longer collecting it so now there is nobody collecting it, the city could face a substantial default and would have to pay this loan off in full by December 31 of this year.

The budget as submitted by the mayor on November 14 did not include any item for repayment of this loan. I direct your attention to it would be on page 28 of the budget summary, interest and debt service without TANS, tax anticipation notes, is

\$7,106,000. With the TANS, there is an additional \$15,190,000. If you turn to page 130 of the mayor's proposed budget, and as to nondepartmental expenditures for repayments of TANS and other debt, there is no mention of the obligation to the Scranton Redevelopment Authority.

I also prepared for council's review what -- I faxed this to Mrs. Krake and she has provided me with most of the virtually all of the documentation I had except which Mr. Winfield, Attorney Winfield submitted to me, they have spent a lot of time in the City Clerk's office in putting this together so I could give this -- so I could give this analysis, but if you -- Mrs. Evans, the exhibit that I gave you, did you pass it out to the rest of council?

MS. EVANS: Yes, I did.

MR. HUGHES: Oh, you did?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: Okay. In accordance with the line of credit loan and security agreement dated September 20, 2007, between the Redevelopment Authority and PennStar

Bank, there was an exhibit attached, which each council person has, and I would say this, when this legislation was submitted to council by the solicitor's office and was adopted by council in 2007, there was no agreement attached. And what it said is that council authorizes the mayor to execute a document as determined should be signed by the mayor and the city solicitor. That agreement is this December 20 agreement which was not the legislation that was passed in September I believe, it was done previously, is not attached to the legislation.

One of the things I have always told council is that any legislation that is submitted the agreement must be attached so it can be reviewed and know exactly what they are reviewing, but attached to the loan agreement, and council has it, and this is with PennStar Bank, the total amount of the taxes that were delinquent for 2004, five and six, the face value was \$2,343,439. They have a formula and what PennStar agreed to do in accordance with this document is

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that to deduct from that amount certain items nothing was deducted, so they took the face value of the delinquent real estate taxes for the three years of \$2, 343, 459, assumed a collection of 90 percent of them, take 90 percent of that \$2,190,095.

The Scranton Redevelopment Authority added a collection fee or costs of the Authority related to the advance of \$187,475 to that amount, to the 90 percent. almost -- actually it works out to 8.88888 infinity as to what the percentage of the loan would be that the Scranton Redevelopment Authority got paid to do this. I just rounded it up off to 8.9 percent. When you add that to the 90 percent, the amount that was loaned was virtually 100 percent of the total delinquent tax, which would assume for the city to be whole NCC would have to collect every dollar of delinquent real estate taxes. The figure was \$2,296,570, which is an about 60 some thousand dollars less than the entire delinquent taxes.

However, from the check that

PennStar wrote to the Redevelopment
Authority for \$2,296,570, the Redevelopment
Authority deducted \$187,475. There was a
loan reserve account established for
\$114,829. That was so that the loan
couldn't be in default depending on what the
collections as they come in.

And also, there was an amount that was paid to the Clerk of Judicial Records for the recording of about \$95,000, which meant that of the total length the City of Scranton received \$1,994,266 of which on that amount there is still \$1.6 million owed on the loan.

As I said, NCC has resigned and/or terminated their contract with the Redevelopment Authority. The Redevelopment Authority is currently in a position of advertising for a new collection agency, and it would mean that of that amount that's left of \$1.6 million dollars of delinquent taxes that are left, virtually all of the delinquent taxes for the Years 2004, five and six, every dollar would have to be collected between now and the end of the

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year so that the city would not have any liability. I wish them good luck.

But, unfortunately, assuming even if they collected \$600,000, PennStar is going to make the demand to have the million dollars paid by the end of the year. It could be sooner, depending on how soon Attorney Kelly and Attorney Greco meet with Attorney Winfield as to how to resolve this. Attorney Winfield wants me to be involved in that, I told him we were a legislative body. I don't believe I should be involved, however, in the Redevelopment Authority's RFP that they have put out they have also included the collection of the delinquent taxes for 2007 and eight, probably knowing that it's virtually going to be impossible just to collect the taxes that they have, and I would believe that -- not believe, I could state unequivocally that they will be providing legislation to council to include the delinquent 2007 and eight real estate taxes in order to have -- increase the size of the pool or increase the size of the delinquent taxes that would be available to

be collected by the end of the year.

I would anticipate that they are going to say that those taxes must be included to be collected without the city receiving anymore money just so that the city doesn't have to come up with any money by the end of the year.

That's my report on this situation and on the sale of the delinquent 2004, five and six real estate taxes which the solution might include the 2007 and eight at no consideration, which means that instead of the Treasurer's Office collecting those monies for the city that it would be going out to pay off this indebtedness.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes, and based on our solicitor's
findings, city council would like detailed
information from the SRA. Mrs. Krake,
please send a letter to the Scranton
Redevelopment Authority requesting the
following:

The detailed breakdown of the SRA's cost of \$187,475 included in the 2007 PennStar bank loan. A breakdown of

documents recorded by the Clerk of Judicial Records at a cost of \$95,609 included in the 2007 PennStar Bank loan.

It is very troubling to note that the city may be on the hook for a mandatory repurchase of delinquent tax claims at a cost of \$1.6 million this year.

Since council was not informed by the SRA or the administration of this matter, council requests the following information from business administrator Ryan McGowan, Mrs. Krake: Did the administration provide for the \$1.6 million repurchase of delinquent tax claims in the 2011 budget? I know that our office has gone through the mayor's proposed budget, as has our council, but I am posing this question nevertheless to Mr. McGowan for a written response: Into what budget account was the \$1.6 million placed, if so.

As I previously noted, city council did not create any of these budgets holes and as a legislative body it's not authorized to address them. However, in retrospect, I must wonder if the judge'

decision last year at this time handed down in favor of the mayor might have been very different if some of these important facts had come to light. Nevertheless, council awaits the decision and action of the administration to solve each of it's budget holes.

And next, I just have a few items to report. Ryan McGowan, Open records officer, responded to council's Right-to-Know request which required clarification of prior brief responses. His most recent responses include the following: Monetary donations to First Night of December 31, 2010, were made by OECD on June 3, 2010 for \$25,000 and on December 8, 2010, for \$3,500. This is in addition to the \$60,000 already paid in the first quarter of the 2010 from the operating budget.

The four DPW foremen reinstated by
the mayor are receiving health benefits paid
by the City of Scranton and being drawn from
Account No. 4112, health insurance,
nonunion. Casual workers will not be
contributing to the pension fund. And

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

according to DPW Director Brazil, a 24-hour dispatcher service is being provided by DPW.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week. City residents ask that local newspapers would include all DPW announcements regarding garbage pickup delays because of holidays. They hope that I would remind citizens not to put out garbage too far in advance of the pickup since garbage often spills and litters our city streets.

A Right-to-Know letter to Mr. McGowan, for what purpose was the tax revenue check for approximately \$2.9 from the Scranton Single Tax Office during the week of February 28, 2011, used? Into what budget accounts was it deposited? Was this tax revenue used for payroll? Provide documentation of the dollar amount and date of the 2011 reimbursement to the Worker's Comp Trust Fund. Is the city using TAN-A and TAN-B of 2011 to pay off 2010 bills and to reimburse the Worker's Comp Trust Fund for the 2010 withdrawal of five million. What dollar amount remains in TAN-A?

dollar amount remains in TAN-B.

And then finally, a resident of the Parker Street area would like to know when the flood project will be completed and the road will be returned to two-lane traffic, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE SPECIAL CITY
ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE DETERMINED) ENTITLED
URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT SECOND
REPAYMENT ACCOUNT FROM WHICH THE CITY
OF SCRANTON CAN MAKE LOANS OR GRANTS WITHOUT
THE LIMITATIONS IMPOSED BY THE HOUSING AND
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1974, SECTION
119(f), 42 U.S.C. §5318(f).

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? About two weeks ago, I enumerated several of the RE-RE withdrawals from the calendar years or fiscal years, if you will, 2011, 2010. I did receive the list of payments in receipts

made from the UDAG repayment checking account for 2008 and 2009 in the interim from Ms. Aebli, executive director of OECD, and at this time I just wanted to read off some of the expenditures from that RE-RE account for the Year 2008.

We have WNEP TV for \$1,700. The
Capital Hilton hotel expenses, \$565.29.
Actor's Circle Sponsorship \$500. Brucelli
Advertising Company, \$151. St. Patrick's
Day Parade funding, \$10,000. WNEP TV ad
\$5,000. WWC Pro Wrestling, \$1,000.
Michael's for 25, 18 by 24 photos, \$2,750.
Scranton Tomorrow, \$2,905. Courtyard
Gettysburg, Governor's conference, \$259.42.

The Friends of the -- and I don't know it's -- it's not completed, it might, you know, often times I have seen, for example, Friends of the Scranton Knights or Friends of the Invaders, so I'm sure it's that, \$500. Northeast Boxing, \$5,000. Pathfinder Productions for the Scranton Pocono 500 \$6,000. Craig Freidman Productions, \$9,400. Chris Doherty, mayor's conference, \$1,039.46.

Lou Marcus, care of NEPA, I'm assuming this is some type of local magazine, and I think this would be the same individual that Councilman Loscombe read a recent article at last week's meeting from. This individual had penned kind of an anti-council article. There's quite of number of entries here for Mr. Marcus from the RE-RE account. Swank Motion Pictures, \$400. Allied Services Foundation, \$500.

Dan Simrell, stumped mayor in, and I remember that was some type of video or a commercial or something that might have been on YouTube or was played somewhere.

MR. JOYCE: Actually, if you don't mind me interrupting you, it's currently on the city website and I was actually just browsing the city website and it mentions -- it's a video of Mayor Doherty and it's some other former council members, and I believe some former commissioners, I believe Mr. Cordaro in it as well as Mrs. Gatelli. Mrs. Gatelli and some local figures.

MS. EVANS: That's \$1,397.25. Wilt Chamberlain, care of, and it's for electric

theatre, \$2,244.43. Metro Public Relations, \$2,244.43. Broadway Theatre League with a memo, "Senator Mellow" \$5,000. University of Scranton, a luncheon \$50. M & M Publishing, a new book about, it doesn't say what, \$5,600. The Friends of something else, for \$5,000. Lou Marcus, \$600. Michael's Photography, photo prints, \$3,375. The University of Wisconsin, \$2,000. Park East Media, Incorporated, a TV ad, \$3,500.

And then we can move into 2009,
Electric City TV, a donation, \$4,500. Park
East Media, Nay Aug, \$1,650. Electric City
television donation \$4,800. The Scranton
Club, donation for, and it doesn't say what,
\$200. Vintage Theatre, \$500. Keystone
College, "The Gathering," \$5,000. Lou
Marcus, \$800. Lackawanna College, a
sponsorship, \$1,000. Park East Media, Nay
Aug holiday, \$3,500. The Women's Resource
Center, tickets for "Great" something for
\$600. First Night Scranton \$5,000. Lou
Marcus, \$800. Architectural Heritage for
Pages and Places, \$2,500. Lou Marcus, \$800.
Mayor's prayer breakfast, a table sponsor

for \$150. Deutsch Institute Celebrity
Champagne Brunch, \$100. District I IMA ad
book \$500. Electric City television \$3,500.
PJ Scanlon's, does anyone know what --

MR. JOYCE: Yes, it's a restaurant located in downtown, and they happen to have very good food, if I might add.

MS. EVANS: Well, PJ Scanlon's, there is no memo, it's a blank, and that's \$236. And those are just some examples of how the money has been spent and as we face all of these budget holes that have been created by the administration when you are driving down the street like Councilman McGoff mentioned, on roads that are almost impassable, you should be remembering that these roads could have been paved, these potholes could have been filled. Money could have been set aside for these types of emergencies that arise for Court orders that have been appealed forever and ever Amen.

But, you know, it's just -- it's astounding to me the waste. The waste. And would could have been done with that money to help the people of this city, and every

time you hit a pothole, folks, think to yourself, there is another ticket to lunch for the mayor or there is another -- you know, when you're driving down the entire washboard two, three blocks, there is another commercial or there's the stunt mayor video that could have been our streets paved.

MR. ROGAN: I would just say I would urge the people to check out the city's website and check out the stunt mayor video. It's definitely an abuse of taxpayer dollars.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I might add, the list that Mrs. Evans just read, I don't believe she read 10 percent of the items on there. She just picked a few highlighted items. So it's pretty extensive.

MR. JOYCE: And I might as well as add something, just to make some clarify -- just to hopefully clarify something, I know that Lou Marcus does have an on-line magazine, so I'm assuming that maybe the city took out some ads, perhaps, hopefully, over the course of time, but I'm not 100

percent sure, just a perception.

MR. ROGAN: That's what makes this even more disturbing is some of them, for instance, "Friends of," when you run for office your committee is also "Friends of," so when it says "Friends of" and then it's blank, I really don't know where the money went.

MS. EVANS: Exactly. And I might just add to that, that I know that when -- and I said this before, when each of us places an advertisement in a booklet or each of us attends a luncheon or each of us makes donation to "Friends of the Invader Mat Boosters" or "Friends of the Scranton Knights" or whatever it may be, that's coming from our money, not OECD, not taxpayers' money. That's our checkbook, our personal checkbook, our own office, because we would not use public funds in this manner.

Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

117
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
MR. JOYCE: Aye.
MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
have it and so moved.
MS. KRAKE: 5-C. CREATING AND
ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE
ESTABLISHED) ENTITLED "URBAN DEVELOPMENT
ACTION GRANT SECOND REPAYMENTS ACCOUNT" FOR
THE DEPOSITING OF REPAYMENTS OF LOANS MADE
BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO BORROWERS WHO
RECEIVED LOANS FROM THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT
ACTION GRANT REPAYMENT ACCOUNT.
MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
introduced into it's proper committee.
MR. ROGAN: So moved.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question? All
those in favor of introduction signify by
saying aye.
MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
MR. JOYCE: Aye.

1	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AMENDING FILE OF
4	COUNCIL NO. 243, 2003 ENTITLED "ADOPTING
5	A COMPREHENSIVE HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION
6	ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON REGARDING
7	EMPLOYMENT, HOUSING, REAL ESTATE AND PUBLIC
8	ACCOMMODATIONS INCLUDING PROCEDURES FOR
9	REDRESS OF VIOLATIONS" IN ORDER TO BE
10	CERTIFIED AS SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT TO THE
11	FAIR HOUSING ACT, AS AMENDED IN 1988, 42
12	U.S.C. §3601, ET SEQ.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
15	introduced into it's proper committee.
16	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
19	those in favor of introduction signify by
20	saying aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
24	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
25	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

•

have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-E. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A
LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE
COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN
PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150-31 IN AN AMOUNT
NOT TO EXCEED \$55,000.00 TO LEARN AND GROW
EARLY CHILDHOOD CENTER TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE
PROJECT.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. I would like to thank the owners of the Learn and Grow Early Childhood Center for coming in last week. They came in and they explained it very thoroughly to us what was going on, I drove by myself and checked it out and, you know, they are going to create a few more job, they are already an existing business so it's not a giveaway to a

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

start-up, they have already been there and I'm glad to see it's on the agenda tonight. I completely support it and I would hope that we could do the same with future loans to have them to come in in front of us before voting.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add that OECD received a \$11.5 million audit finding in 2010 and the Office of Inspector General, OIG, performed the audit had told us that they are an independent office and will not appear before council to explain their findings. Maydab Inam from HUD told us he will be available to come to a public caucus once his office has reviewed the responses from OECD concerning the audit findings. HUD sent a letter to OECD on January 27, 2011, asking them to address the issues that were identified in HUD. was required to respond by February 25, 2011. City council asked several weeks ago for copies of both letters and nothing has been received, and so city council, quite naturally, is reticent about approving additional loans until all of these problems

.

are addressed properly.

As Mr. Rogan mentioned, this business is already in operation and it has been doing well and so the decision was made to place this on the agenda for approval. But again, until we receive the copies of the correspondence between OECD and HUD and a visit from HUD discussing the \$11.7 million finding, council will remain reticent to move forward with any additional loans.

Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction, signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.

READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 16,

2011 - AN ORDINANCE - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT

PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS 2730 BIRNEY

AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX

1	MAP NO. 16717-030-040 TO THOMAS F. BARRETT
2	AND TERRI F. BARRETT, 2734 BIRNEY AVENUE,
3	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18505 FOR THE
4	CONSIDERATION OF \$2,500.00.
5	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
6	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
7	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
8	pass reading by title.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
19	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 17, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
20	- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
21	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
22	SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS
23	IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,000.00 FROM SANOFI
24	PASTEUR INCORPORATED FOR THE CITY OF
25	SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR CRIME

PREVENTION EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS. 1 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 2 3 title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 4 pass reading by title. 5 MR. JOYCE: Second. 6 7 MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 12 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 13 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 14 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -15 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 18, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE 16 17 - APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE ACQUISITION 18 BY EMINENT DOMAIN, DEED IN LIEU OF CONDEMNATION, OR OTHERWISE OF THE NECESSARY 19 RIGHTS, FRANCHISES, LICENSES, EASEMENTS OR 20 TITLES OF LAND REQUIRED FROM JOSEPH S. SAITA 21 AND JUDY E. SAITA IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THE 22 CRISP AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT. 23 24 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 25 title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C 1 pass reading by title. 2 MR. JOYCE: Second. 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11 4 5 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 9 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 10 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 11 12 MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 13 14 FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 13, 2011 - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL 15 CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229599 ENTITLED "LAW 16 17 ENFORCEMENT TORCH RUN" TO ACCEPT DONATIONS 18 AND PAY FOR ALL RELATED EXPENSES FOR THE TORCH RUN. 19 MS. EVANS: What is the 20 21 recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Finance? 22 23 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 25 passage of Item 7-A.

	125
1	MR. ROGAN: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
3	call, please?
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
15	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
16	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
17	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 14, 2011 -
18	CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY
19	ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE ESTABLISHED) ENTITLED
20	"URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT REPAYMENTS
21	ACCOUNT" FOR THE DEPOSITING OF REPAYMENTS OF
22	URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT FUNDS MADE TO
23	THE CITY OF SCRANTON BY THE OWNERS OF
24	PROJECTS WHO RECEIVED THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT
25	ACTION GRANT FUNDS.

	120
1	MS. EVANS: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chair for the
3	Committee on Finance?
4	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-B.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
23	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 15, 2011 -
24	AUTHORIZING THE DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE
25	SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE DETERMINED)

	·-
1	ENTITLED URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT
2	REPAYMENT ACCOUNT ONLY FOR ECONOMIC
3	DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES THAT ARE ELIGIBLE
4	ACTIVITIES AS REQUIRED BY FEDERAL LAW.
5	MS. EVANS: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chair for the
7	Committee on Finance?
8	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-C.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-
2	RESOLUTION NO. 15, 2011 - ACCEPTING A FIVE
3	HUNDRED (\$500.00) DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION FROM
4	THE GREATER SCRANTON JAYCEES PRESENTED TO
5	THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
6	MS. EVANS: What is the
7	recommendation of the Chair for the
8	Committee on Finance.
9	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
11	passage of Item 7-D.
12	MR. ROGAN: Second.
13	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
14	call, please?
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
22	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.

1 MR. ROGAN: I would make a motion to take File of Council No. 9, 2011 from the 2 3 table. MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 4 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 6 7 Both this piece of legislation and the next 8 piece that I'm going to make a motion to 9 back on the table are regarding the Mount 10 Pleasant project, accepting -- the city 11 accepting responsibility for the road on one 12 and the drain on the other, although I do 13 not support these I do believe they deserve 14 an up or down vote. 15 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman 16 Is there anyone else on the 17 question? All those in favor signify by 18 saying aye. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 20 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 22 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 23 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 24 have it and so moved. 25 MS. KRAKE: FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR
ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 9, 2011 PROVIDING FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OF MOUNT
PLEASANT DRIVE IN THE MOUNT PLEASANT
SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND
RECORD IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER OF
DEEDS OFFICIAL RECORDS A DEED FOR THE ABOVE
MENTIONED RIGHT OF WAY.

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Community Development?

MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Community Development, I do not recommend final passage of Item 7-E.

MS. EVANS: Do we have a second?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MR. ROGAN: The reason for the recommendation is it's been mentioned by speakers at council and by us on council that although when the project is completed we would be more than happy to vote "yes" to accept responsibility, but until that time

we don't want to accept a road and accept responsibility while construction is going on and then once the construction is finished we would be on the hook to repair it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would agree with my colleague, Mr. Rogan, and to add to that we had requested, you know, approval from our city engineer which we never received either. We never received documentation from our own engineer, but even with that based on, you know, what had transpired there at this point, plus there is only one storm sewer, too, I have a question with that. I don't want to see another Tripp Park problem, so I would definitely be voting against this.

MR. JOYCE: I'd like to add that

I'll be voting against it as well and until

the projects are complete I don't think that

we should have to assume the responsibility

as Mr. Rogan stated before for costs of

repairs that may be incurred on the road due

to construction vehicles traveling back and

forth to include the project.

MS. EVANS: The only thing I would like to add is to my council members this is going to be a reverse vote in that the Chair of Community Development did not recommend final passage of Item 7-E, and if you are in agreement with the chair that this is not recommended, in other words, you wish to vote it down, you will vote in the affirmative, yes. If you do not agree with the chair of the committee, you will be voting in the negative, thereby voting, no.

MR. MCGOFF: I would just -- in the original legislation it was approved by the city engineer as well as the city planner.

Also in speaking with members of the Chamber of the Commerce, they indicated that any future construction at this site they would make it incumbent upon the developer for any repair or damage that would be inflicted to the roadway and, therefore, would not be a burden to the city.

And as far as the project being completed, the development phase of the project is completed. Now they are awaiting to -- looking for developers of the five

pods or whatever they are referred to as to move in, but as far as the site development that's completed, and I think that given those -- that those facts that we should approve --

MR. ROGAN: I would disagree -- I would disagree on both fronts personally. There is nothing in the legislation that says the city will not be responsible for any damage during the future construction; and secondly, although the landscaping is done, they are just beginning to build the first building on the site and it's not even a skeleton, it's basically just starting.

MS. EVANS: And I know that this has been an issue that has been tabled for many weeks, and though I appreciated Councilman McGoff's work and comments, it would have behooved the Chamber of Commerce or SLIBCO to put that in writing. It's very difficult to say or for us as a council to merely accept on hearsay a verbal agreement. I think if the -- the interest were truly apparent that type of agreement could have been submitted to city council long ago, so

I will be voting "yes."

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I may add, I discussed this previously about our engineer approving it. In the letter it stated he approved it. I had requested a letter signed by our engineer as was the one by the project engineer and that we haven't received. That was one of the big things, but again, you know, accepting something before a project is complete is only going to open up us to problems such as we have already experienced in this city from approving things when they are not complete.

And again, once that project is complete, I'll be happy to approve it.

There is still infrastructure that's going to be tied in from that road to every construction site there, and that's going to tear it up and there is no way around that and there is no guarantee without a written statement.

MR. JOYCE: Right, and if the
Chamber does want to provide us with a
written statement perhaps in the future this
is something that could be placed back on

1	the agenda.
2	MR. ROGAN: Again, as soon as, you
3	know, as soon as the project is complete, as
4	Mr. Loscombe said, if they want to come to
5	us I would have no problem voting "yes"
6	then.
7	MS. EVANS: And I agree with you,
8	Councilman Rogan. Roll call, please.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
10	MR. MCGOFF: No.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
16	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
18	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
19	Item 7-E defeated.
20	MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a
21	motion to table File of Council No. 10,
22	2011, from the table.
23	MR. JOYCE: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. This is on the

1 second piece of legislation involving Mount Pleasant. 2 3 MS. EVANS: All those in favor by 4 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: 6 Aye. 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 8 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 9 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 10 MS. KRAKE: FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE 11 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR 12 ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 10, 2011 -13 14 PROVIDING FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OF A STORM WATER BASIN IN THE MOUNT PLEASANT 15 SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, 16 17 PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR 18 AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO 19 ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND 20 RECORD IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER OF 21 DEEDS OFFICIAL RECORDS A DEED FOR THE ABOVE MENTIONED RIGHT OF WAY. 22 23 MS. EVANS: What is the 24 recommendation of the Chair for the 25 Committee on Community Development?

1	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Community Development, I do not
3	recommend final passage.
4	MR. JOYCE: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: On the question? Again,
6	this is a reverse vote. If you agree with
7	the Chair of the Committee for Community
8	Development, who has not recommended final
9	passage of this piece of legislation, you
10	will be voting "yes." Roll call, please.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: No.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
18	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
21	item 7-F defeated.
22	MR. ROGAN: I would like to a make
23	to take Resolution No. 13, 2011, from the
24	table.
25	MR. JOYCE: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 1 This is the piece of legislation that was 2 3 tabled. I believe this falls under Mr. Joyce's committee. It was regarding the 4 5 flood protection grant. MS. EVANS: All those in favor 6 7 signify by saying aye. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 13 have it and so moved. 14 MS. KRAKE: FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-15 16 RESOLUTION NO. 13, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE 17 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 18 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, 19 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 20 ("DEP") FLOOD PROTECTION GRANT PROGRAM TO 21 ACCEPT GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF 22 23 \$3,128.00 TO PURCHASE THREE (3) MOWERS, FOUR 24 (4) TRIMMERS AND REPAIR CONCRETE AT FIVE (5) 25 LOCATIONS ON THE SOUTH SCRANTON FLOOD

PROTECTION PROJECT. 1 MS. EVANS: What is the 2 3 recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Finance? 4 5 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final 6 7 passage of Item 7-G. 8 MR. ROGAN: Second. 9 MS. EVANS: On the question? 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, we 11 tabled this because we had requested some 12 information from Mr. O'Hora, which he has 13 provided and apparently he has assured us 14 that there is sufficient funding for the 15 matching grant or for the match for this 16 here in his budget at this time. 17 MS. EVANS: And we do remind 18 Mr. O'Hora, since we were not aware when 19 developing a budget, of his application for 20 this grant that he must stay within the 21 confines of his flood budget. Roll call, 22 please. MS. CARRERA: 23 Mr. McGoff. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 25 MS. CARRERA:

Mr. Rogan.

	140
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
7	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
8	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted. If
9	there is no further business, I'll entertain
10	a motion to adjourn.
11	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
12	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
13	adjourned.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

П

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER