		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, March 1, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD AFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

I

_

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR (Not present.)

	_
1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD
17	MEETING HELD JANUARY 26, 2011.
18	MS EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION
22	HELD ON MEETING HELD JANUARY 26, 2011.
23	MS EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. CONTROLLERS'S

1	REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING JANUARY 31,
2	2011.
3	MS EVANS: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
6	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION
7	COMMISSION MEETING HELD JANUARY 26, 2011.
8	MS EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
11	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING HELD
12	JANUARY 26, 2011.
13	MS EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. AGENDA FOR THE
16	ZONING HEARING BOARD TO BE HELD MARCH 9,
17	2011.
18	MS EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. AGENDA FOR THE
21	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING HELD
22	FEBRUARY 23, 2011.
23	MS EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-H. CONTRIBUTIONS WERE

MADE TO BE USED FOR MANPOWER IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT BY THE FOLLOWING CITIZENS: DORIS & DAVE CHASE \$20.00, WATSON CRAMER \$50.00, WATSON CRAMER \$50.00, STEVE & BONNIE PRUNELLA \$3.00, WILLIAM CRAMER \$3.00, STAN BURLINGAME \$3.00, ELIZABETH CRAMER CRUMLE \$3.00, CAROL & DONNA HAGERTY \$10.00, HELEN, WH CRAMER \$25.00, ANNIE EKTERMAN \$10.00, SCRANTON LACKAWANNA COUNTY TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION \$50.00.

MS EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. EVANS: Once again, we thank all of the individuals who and organizations who have contributed to the Manpower Initiative. We appreciate any donations that you make regardless of how small, how large, it makes a statement that our police and our fire are very important to the people of this city. Received and filed. Clerk's notes.

MS. KRAKE: This evening, Mrs. Evans and Council, I'm going to be reporting on a Pennsylvania Economy League meeting that I attended today on behalf of city council.

During that meeting, the business

administrator went over the cash report and he told us that come July there is a raise to be given per the Court award for police and fire of 3 percent as part of the monies they haven't received over the past ten years, and his words were, "If the real estate money doesn't come in, there will be a huge deficit in the budget."

I asked the Pennsylvania Economy

League, who was represented by Joe Boyle, if
at any time they had recommended to the city
what to do if the police and fire unions had
won their cases, and he said that if the
awards came down in the middle of the year,
such as this, apparently, then they were to
go immediately to a financial institution
and borrow the money.

So I then turned to Ryan McGowan, business administrator, and asked him if he was going to borrow the money, and he said, no, he was going to lay people off.

So I asked him who he is going to lay off, and first he said, "Well, I haven't decided yet," and then he said, "Well, it will be layoffs across the board. It will

be everyone, every department."

And I said, "Well, what about when you borrowed or I should say stole the \$2.9 million from the worker comp in 2009 and then paid it back 2010?"

In other words, pointing out to them how they have funds available for when they choose to have funds available. And he said, "Well, we did that in 2010, also."

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{And I said, "Well, what did you use} \\$ the money for?"

He said, "We used it for salaries."

Apparently, they used that money in 2009 for salaries, paid it back in 2010 with our 2010 real estate taxes that were already earmarked for monies other than that in our 2010 budget, per the mayor, and then apparently he did it again in the end of the 2010, and then was going to, I don't know how pay it back in this year.

Now, as sad as that was, the worst part was Lisa Moran, the Human Resources
Director, started laughing. Laughing at the part about massive layoffs. The business administrator also started laughing, and the

person from Pennsylvania Economy League, Joe Boyle, was trying to hold back laughter.

Apparently massive layoffs are funny to them. They made comments, Lisa Moran did, such as, "What do you think, we have bags of money? Where did you think we are going to get the money from," and continually in this vein.

I had to excuse myself. I wasn't at all happy with this conduct and it was very unprofessional. In fact, in speaking about it, it's a little unnerving again. You know, I am reporting that to council this evening. They falsified their budgets --

MS. EVANS: That was going to be my question to you --

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: We, in 2010, just became aware that they had tapped into the worker's comp trust fund, we never learned the reason until today.

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: To balance a budget and pay salaries, and then I learned once again that in 2010 they dipped into that fund and,

once again, to pay salaries. So, in fact, my question is, how reliable are the city's budgets, because the budgets don't reflect any of these actions and city council was never informed of these actions, not in 2009, not in 2010. They transferred this money without the knowledge and consent of city council, and so I really have to question the validity of their budgets.

And I would also have assumed,
looking at the mayor's budget and, of
course, not knowing that he had again taken
from this fund, that he had provided for
these 3 percent increases, incremental
increases, that will occur for the police
and fire this summer. He is well aware of
that ruling, yet, he didn't provide for that
in the budget; is that what you're telling
us?

MS. KRAKE: I'm not sure if that's correct or if they are alluding to the fact that if enough real estate money doesn't come in they won't be able to cover that, it was hard to tell from their remarks.

MS. EVANS: So, in other words, they

intend to dip into the real estate taxes immediately to pay back or to fill the hole that they secretly created in 2010?

MS. KRAKE: I'm actually speechless.

MS. EVANS: So that the real estate taxes then would come up short for what they have -- what the appropriations are within the 2011 budget, and that is no way, that is no way to run a city. Concealing the finances and falsifying budgets.

MR. JOYCE: And if I might add,
Mrs. Krake, I apologize to you that you had
to be subject to unprofessional conduct, if
you were, I do not know, I was not at the
meeting. However, in regard to Ms. Moran's
comments as far as if she said, "What do you
think we have, bags of money?"

Well, it seems like they have had bags of money for the last nine years to do whatever they want, and this has been a customary procedure that money has been dipped into or borrowed out of this fund or borrowed out of that fund in the case of the worker's comp trust fund. So it's interesting, and I find it very curious,

that they would borrow out of this fund to fund the salaries of city employees in the past and then pay it back next year, but they wouldn't be willing to do so until tax monies came in later in the year this time, and I wonder if that is specifically because it may involve laying off more cops and more firefighters. It's just curious observation.

MS. EVANS: And it doesn't seem to be though, also, in keeping with the mayor's reinstating of four foremen's positions in the DPW. One would think, since he is well aware of what he has done, though council was not, that the last thing he could afford to do in those circumstances would be to reinstate people with, I don't know, I'm going to say approximately \$16 an hour and full benefits who are working full-time, so it seems like certain departments may be targeted, while others are by passed.

I also find it shocking that the administrators present at this meeting and those representing the Pennsylvania Economy League and the Department of Community and

Economic Development would find massive layoffs to be comical. I find it appalling because they are laughing basically at the people of this city and this isn't a game. They may feel it's a game, and they are playing a very dangerous game here, but it is not a game.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Evans took the words right out of my mouth, I'm appalled at what Mrs. Krake just reported. And again, they are laughing -- they are laughing at the taxpayers of this city. They are supposed to be professionals, they are supposed to look at their budgets and prepare for the future, it just seems like it's a joke to everybody that's running this, and again, I'm appalled. I just can't believe that this happened and PEL sits idly by.

MS. EVANS: Well, it's not only insulting to the taxpayers, but more so, it makes it impossible to develop an accurate budget when the administration is playing a game of chance with millions of dollars from a worker's comp trust into a budget, they

are pulling it out of other places, taxes
that are allocated for specific
expenditures, it's a very dangerous game of
chance.

MR. JOYCE: And if I might add, there was no where in the operating budget that was submitted that indicated that money would be transferred to the worker's comp trust fund out of the budget in any line items.

MS. EVANS: Well, we thank you.

MR. JOYCE: It's a gapping hole.

MS. EVANS: Yes, apparently so.

MR. JOYCE: Apparently so.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, thank you very much for informing city council and the citizens of Scranton. If I could just return to one issue though, PEL suggested that in the event a shortfall should occur this summer in order to prevent layoffs the city should approach banking institutions to borrow the money that is required?

MS. KRAKE: Yes, they did. That was their suggestion.

MS. EVANS: Okay. Well, is there

anything else we have tonight under clerk's notes?

MS. KRAKE: No, that's it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

MR. JOYCE: And finally, I would just like to add, you know, this is some appalling information and, obviously, we have the money to hire people that were cut out of the council's amended budget, we have the money to pay for memberships for conferences, for dues and subscriptions, for hotel stays in Washington, D.C., with funding that wasn't there, so I can't understand why workers would be laid off in the event such as this. That's all.

MR. ROGAN: I would just say if the mayor wanted to layoff the four DPW foremen that, as Mrs. Evans mentioned, weren't in the budget, I would be in support of that. They are not in the budget, they shouldn't be working, and if he wanted to make further cuts in middle management or further cuts at the DPW I would also support that, but if Mayor Doherty thinks he is going to cut fire and police he has another thing coming.

You know, this council has stood firm that we need to keep our neighborhoods safe, you know, police and fire protection are the two most important jobs of this government and that's what I believe and I will stand by that until the day I'm off this board.

MS. EVANS: Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. On a lighter note, there will be a "Social for Saunders" on Saturday, April 2, at 6:00 p.m. at the Ice Box Sports Complex located on 3 West Olive Street across from Memorial Stadium. There will be food, refreshments, a 50/50 raffle, basket raffles, a silent auction and entertainment provided by EJ the DJ who is very talented and very well-known throughout the area. A \$20 donation can be made at the door or tickets could be pre-purchased at Gerrity's Supermarket or Bottler's Tavern on 200 Delaware Street in Jermyn, Pennsylvania. And that's all.

MR. ROGAN: I have a couple of announcements to make. The first one is an

22

23

24

25

announcement for residents of the City of Scranton who use a gold card at Sure Save The Hyde Park Neighborhood markets. Association is part of a promotion that -well, not a promotion actually a charity, that the Sure Fine and Western Family products are doing. If you sign up on the website, it's www.mycommunityrewards.com/ suresave/default.htm. If anyone would like me to repeat that I can -- they could e-mail or call me and I'll get it to them. percent of whatever you purchase from a Sure Fine store, Sure Fine products or Western Family can be donated to the West Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Association and it's 3 percent throughout the whole year and during special times during the year that jumps up to 6 percent, and it's not a cost out of your pocket, you would pay the same price for your groceries, they will donate between 3 and 6 percent of the total of your Sure Save purchases to that group, so if anyone else is interested they can feel free to get in contact with me.

And the next two were two e-mails I

received from Scranton High School, two just bits of good news. The Scranton Knights boys basketball team completed a solid regular season campaign finishing with an impressive 21 and 2 record. The Knights are seeking their consecutive District II championship. Tom Fish, a four-year starter, played in his 100th career game. Tim Fish, sorry, which is a school record. Asin Dickson also broke the season rebounding record. Dickson is averaging 10.3 rebounds per game. The Knights will play the winner of Delaware Valley and Wallenpaupack game on March 2, 2011.

And also, two Scranton high school students are the St. Patrick's Parade Day official t-shirt winners. Haley Archer, tenth grade, was the first place winner designing the official shirt for the parade, and Casey Freidman, of 10th grade, was the second place winner and also has the design printed on the "T". They will be for sale on-line and at Scranton High School on March 4, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: And I might add, that

Scranton High school has quite a record of first place finishes for annual parade day t-shirt contest.

I think, too, Mrs. Krake, with the agreement of my colleagues on council, I would like to honor the Scranton High School boys basketball team for their accomplishments with proclamations, and I would also like to honor the West Scranton girls basketball team for their accomplishments by proclamation as well. If we can set that up sometime over the next several weeks and contact the schools for the appropriate information regarding each of the team's players.

Saints Peter and Paul church, 1309
West Locust Street, in Scranton, will hold a
pierogi and clam chowder sale every Saturday
before and after the 4 p.m. mass, and on
Sundays before and after the 11 a.m. mass
during the Lenten season. Pierogies are \$6
per dozen and clam chowder is \$7 per quart.
There will also be a bake sale and basket
raffle on Palm Saturday and Sunday before
and after masses. These delicious food

items make meal planning much easier throughout Fridays in Lent.

On Thursday, March 23, 1967, James
T. Reddington was killed in action in
Vietnam. Jimmy's immediate family have all
passed away leaving his legacy to his fellow
marines and Weston Field friends to ensure
he is remembered properly. The Board of the
Central City little league where Jimmy
played is going to rename the field
Reddington Field in his honor. This
wonderful gesture on the board's part will
guarantee his name and memory are not
forgotten.

On Saturday, June 4, 2011, at the League's field day a plaque's marker with his photo will be dedicated at the Dick Moon Holmes Complex at the top of Wood Street.

They are asking for a donation of any amount to help defray the cost of this plaque marker that will be affixed to the little league building.

Again, Jimmy was born and raised in the Weston Field section and this ceremony will honor one of our own. Any tax

deductible donations should be made out to the "Central City Little League Reddington Fund" and mailed to: Central City Little League, 2003 Greenridge Street, Dunmore, PA, 18512.

And finally, as per the Rules of City Council, please submit all requests in writing and be sure to include your contact information. This will allow our office staff the ability to contact you if they require further information while processing their request, and that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, could I -I didn't know we were doing basketball
tonight, but I would like to offer
congratulations to the Holy Cross boys
basketball team. They are seeking their
fourth consecutive Class AA District title.
They are in the semi-finals tomorrow morning
against Riverside High School, and there is
one Ryan McGoff that is member of the team,
and I'd like to wish them luck and success
tomorrow evening. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And I will second that.

Great luck and success to Holy Cross, my

alma mater.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Giovanni Piccolino.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, fellow Scrantonians and City Council, may God bless us all. My name is Giovanni Piccolino, I live and work and own a business in the City of Scranton, and though I'm fully aware that Rome was not built in a day, and even our creator needed time to get things right, but there is lot of positive signs of a taxpayer friendly government finally in the City of Scranton.

Mrs. Evans, along with your supermajority, you have turned a council full of jokers into a council full of aces by choosing running mates Mr. Rogan and Mr. Joyce and appointing Mr. Loscombe, you guys have created a solid foundation that has put an end to pay-to-play politics. You treat citizens with respect, and we finally got a tax break. Well-deserved. These are things that we are not familiar with as

taxpayers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But all is not wonderful in the City of Scranton. The snow removal of this past winter, to put it in I guess one basic word as a business owner and even as a citizen of Scranton, it was absolutely horrific. Valentine's Day party that we tried to do for senior citizens was okay, but in light of it, we had many calls from senior citizens saying that they really couldn't get to the pizza shop. Like, we have a path plowed out, but if someone is to park in front of the pizza shop then there is just up and down Lackawanna Avenue by the mall every where just mounds and mounds of snow that has not melted, that has not been removed.

You know, I take it for granted, I'm 34 years old, and I can jump over a pile of snow, but it's not the case in the situation of elderly people. Also, on Wyoming Avenue, trying to go to St. Pete's mass is dangerous. The one entire passing lane you are opening your door into -- because there was snow there the whole entire time. You

literally would be opening your door into traffic, again, I'm a 34-year-old male, that's easy for me, but seeing senior citizens and the elderly, it's very difficult for them, they are opening their door into traffic and getting out and it's really not a good situation.

Not to mention, I can't imagine the amount of revenue that we lost in the meters during this time. You know, you can't get out and put a quarter in, you know, they are covered, you know. So, I mean, it only snows three to four months during the winter here, I think -- I mean, it's obvious we might have bad winters. You know, we really, really got to try. I know you guys are against the eight ball, but next winter there has got to be something done with that.

Now, all the potholes in the city right now, I think everybody knows it's like playing slalom with your car, you got to move in and out, in and out, that's also very dangerous.

There is one other concern I have

in the city. Center Street right downtown behind Lackawanna Avenue, there is two ways for people to come in, off of Adams Avenue and off of North Washington Avenue, but it's really only one lane. I think it should be designated as a one-way, one way or the other. It's only one lane there, yet from Washington Avenue you can make a left and come down the alleyway with the coffee shop and the former Molly Brannigan's and at the same time you could make a left off Adams Avenue and go in, but if there is another car coming in either direction you have to pull up on the sidewalk where customers come or where there is people walking.

And last, but not least, for the Manpower Initiative we would like -- generally down at Buona Pizza we start out St. Patrick's day t-shirt sale on March 1. We would like to donate all of the proceeds of our t-shirt sales through the entire St. Patrick's Day season, they are pretty popular, and we make roughly about four or five on shirts, so everybody come down, buy a St. Patrick's Day t-shirt and it will

short the Manpower Initiative and after St.

Patrick's Day we will give a check to the city. Thank you very much. Have a great night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much,
Piccolino for that generous contribution.
In addition, if you would like to give
Mrs. Marciano any of that information that
you spoke about, if you have any of those
requests, you can provide it to her and then
our office can get busy on that.

MR. PICCOLINO: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: You're welcome.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. Still waiting for a
contribution from the Parks and Recreation
Authority for "Kids Swim Free," 1416 South
Webster Avenue, Scranton, PA, 180505. I
would like to thank all of the generous
people who have donated to the "Kids Swim
Free" program for the past four years.

When the citizens of Scranton voted

for a strong mayor form of government, did
they also vote to allow the mayor to spend
taxpayers' money without seeking council's
approval? With the strong mayor form of
government that's Scranton residents voted
for and approved, is only the city
controller, business administrator and OECD
director approval required?

What I do not understand is where does the mayor get the right to spend taxpayers' money on himself or anybody else for that matter? If he wants to go on trips and give ECTV money, then he should reach in his big pockets and start writing checks on his own personal account, not taxpayers' checking accounts. Some of the items were removed from previous budgets or amounts were decreased, but yet Mayor Doherty continued spending the money with total disregard for the approved budgets and cuts.

For eight years Mayor Doherty had a rubber stamp council, minus two, Bill Courtright and Janet Evans. Mayor Doherty stated publically, "All I need is three votes."

_ .

Well, he had his three votes. That all changed in 2010 when the voters of Scranton elected three council members and pledged to be watchdogs. The first action the new council did was appoint Mr. Loscombe as the fourth watchdog for the citizens.

Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci went away and Mr. McGoff remained as the only Doherty rubber stamper.

We know longer have to listen to Ms.

Fanucci's sermons and speeches about how
good of a job OECD Office was doing and how
all the loans were being paid back and
up-to-date which, by the way, was not
actually the case, citizens later found out.

Currently \$11 million investigation ongoing by US government HUD. Telephone calls and conversations in the hallway is not the way transparent government works.

That is the way a backdoor government works.

I'm a bit offended that Mayor

Doherty did not write a check to "Kids Swim

Free" while he was in charge of the

checkbooks. Mayor Doherty, there were still

time. Write a check made payable to "Kids

_ .

Swim Free," mail to 1416 South Webster

Avenue, Scranton, Pennsylvania, 18505,

before the checkbook is taken away from you.

Make some children happy in Scranton.

I hope Mrs. Aebli and

Mrs. Novembrino have answers to why all of
these unnecessary checks were written on
taxpayers' checking accounts using
taxpayers' money. Also, past business
administrator, Mr. Renda, and current
administrator, Mr. McGowan, need to provide
answers to the taxpayers of the Scranton.

Just my opinion.

What I have learned sounds somewhat like money laundering with unregulated taxpayers' money which Mayor Doherty had control off along with Mrs. Aebli and city controller, Mrs. Novembrino. Investigations to be expanded by HUD and find out what really happened, just my opinion.

My bet is Mr. Jefferson and Mayor

Doherty had some kind of deal for not paying
the taxes and it went bad with council. For
once, council took the time to investigate,
not take somebody's word or chance meeting

in the hallway. Are we expected to believe that Mr. Jefferson did not know about the back taxes? First, the title company would research to guarantee a clear title and his lawyer should have done a title search, also.

By the way, who is Mr. Jefferson's lawyer on this matter? Anybody we know? Obviously, Doherty made promises but couldn't keep them. Job well done, Mr. Kolajeski.

Mr. McGoff, you and the other two Doherty council members voted in the past in an ordinance requiring that delinquent taxpayers must pay additional fines and fees in order to meet their tax burden with the city. Do you feel that Mayor Doherty's action are justifiable by having someone from the OECD office promise Mr. Jefferson that he would not have to pay back taxes, fines and penalties, that you, Mrs. Gatelli, Ms. Fanucci, defended vigorously stating that all must pay their fair share of taxes to the city. Should the mayor send someone to a developer to make such promises,

Mr. McGoff, I hope you answer that, please, during motions.

And the sidewalk deal, assuming now they realize they couldn't get the tax relief, so how about hitting the grant fee bag again. It appears that the sidewalks have already being completed, let's say the cost is \$100,000, they ask for \$150,000, it goes for back taxes. Not a bad deal if you find the right partner to work the deal with, assuming that is what happened.

We need the feds here ASAP.

Interesting, how this investment works.

Money is put up and somehow paper losses are incurred that are applied to the investor's taxes. Intriguing, to say the least. It must be nice to have friends in high places.

Good health is the most important aspect of life. Freedom is the second most important aspect of life.

I see where Mr. Brazil is complaining to the Times-Tribune again about money, I'm almost finished, but maybe they should not have rehired the four supervisors who were eliminated from the budget. I hope

they are out plowing and picking up the garbage.

I see where Mr. McGowan is talking to the newspaper and blaming city council for removing Mr. McGoff, Ms. Fanucci's and Mrs. Gatelli's ridiculous fees for delinquent taxpayers. Mr. McGowan, go to the mayor's office and ask him why he made the deal with Mr. Jefferson then come to city council meeting and tell the public what Mayor Doherty's answer was. And, Mr. McGoff, I hope I get an answer from you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Jackowitz. Joe Talimini.

MR. TALIMINI: Good evening. Joe
Talimini, citizen of Scranton. Number one,
I want to say one thing, I am appalled and
thoroughly disgusted. Based on what Mrs.
Krake, if it's true, and I have no reason to
believe it's not, this mayor should be
indicted for fraud.

We have right now a city official who is under indictment by the federal government for misuse of federal funds. If this is true that those funds are held in

escrow and they were missed, that is mismanagement of funds. I think we should call upon the District attorney and the State Attorney General to investigate the Doherty administration, and that is your job as legislator's section. There is no reason in the world for them to misuse funds like that.

We have a man, like I said, he is facing a 16-count federal indictment for misuse of funds, even though he paid the funds back, he has been indicted by the federal government and will stand trial in April unless he cops a plea out of it, and I think that's what he's going to do.

Now, the other thing I would like to do, and what I came here for, number one, are the city pools going to be open or are they not, does anybody know?

MS. EVANS: We are assuming the city pools will be open, however, because the mayor has dipped into the summer casual worker's account in order to pay the salaries and benefits of the four foremen he reinstated, obviously, there will be far

less funds available in this account this summer. Meaning, there will be a far fewer lifeguards and fewer summer casual workers in terms of the high school students and college students.

Now, that signals that one, two pools possibly will not be in operation this summer. We did send a letter to the mayor, to Mr. Brazil, requesting a response what is the mayor's intention, what pools will be closed, but like every other request that's been sent to the mayor there has been no response.

MR. TALIMINI: Well, I have read the Home Rule Charter. I see nothing in the Home Rule Charter that gives the mayor the dictator's power that he has assumed, and unless council does something I can assure I will, and I will ask on the fellow citizens to back me up on this that Chris Doherty is going to answer to these actions as far as what Mrs. Krake said and as far as this misuse of funds.

And as far as this goes, if I may,
I'd like to read a letter I got from a very

dear friend of mine in Paris, if I may.

It says: "Dear Joe, we are sorry to hear of Scranton's inability to provide for it's children. As you know, both Jack and I grew up in poverty and because of my medical problems we were unable to have any children of our own. However, we feel very strongly that in a nation as rich as the United States, there is no excuse for political leaders to turn their backs on the nation's children. It is sad that so many politicians only think of themselves and their contributors when it comes to doing the job for which they were elected.

Would you please call on the citizens of Scranton, and especially the businesses and professionals who benefit from political affiliation, and doing business in your city to please make a contribution to the cause for these children. The revelers who will soon be St. Patrick's Day in your city, could surely afford the price of a couple of beers towards helping repair the city pools and allow the children to swim this summer.

We are soon to embark on our own celebration, Carnivale, and I can assure you that our citizens would never allow such a condition to exist in this country."

This incidentally came from France.

"There is no reason it should exist in yours. Please accept our donation of \$10 US to kick off any campaign to save your pools and to preserve the rights of children to enjoy the amenities which Scranton should offer them. I regret we could not make a larger contribution, but our medical bills have been enormous. As you know, we both spend considerably and helping poor children in underprivileged nations. I'd hate to think the United States is one of them.

Perhaps if more people took an interest in our children there would be less likelihood for them to get into trouble. Every industry and business in your city, including the colleges and the universities, should be more than willing to help out in this cause. None of them are really so desperate that they couldn't afford to support their own children.

Please convey our thanks to

Mr. Jackowitz and the taxpayers' group for
their benevolence, and I hope someone will
come forth and offer to establish a fund for
the repair and maintenance of your city
pools. I hope it will be exempt from any
politician having access to this funding and
misusing these funds.

Again, thank you for your assistance and for the assistance of every caring and giving person. You can hold your heads high for showing concern for these children.

Please don't use our names because we prefer to remain anonymous for obvious reasons.

Good luck and God Bless you. Sincerely, your friends, Jack and Mandarine."

And I would like to show you a picture of Mandarine. She is in a wheelchair, she has suffered brain aneurysms. She spent four years in the hospital. They couldn't have any children, but she still dedicates herself. She does all the costuming for Carnivale, and every bit of money she gets she donates to charities for kids. This is a very, very

wonderful woman who has never been able to 1 have any children of her own. 2 3 And in addition to which, she sent me a \$10 bill and I'd like to add my own \$10 4 to that to Mr. Jackowitz and the "Kids Swim 5 Free program, and I thank you people very 6 7 much. 8 MS. EVANS: Thank you very much. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Mr. Talimini. 10 11 MS. EVANS: Before I call the next 12 speaker, I do want to clarify an issue that 13 was raised by Mr. Talimini regarding the 14 worker's compensation trust fund. A city, I 15 believe, is able to take money from that 16 fund as long as it might be 70 percent, 17 Mrs. Krake, do you remember the percentage 18 of which must remain funded at all times? MR. JOYCE: 19 75. 20 MS. EVANS: 75 percent. 21 MR. JOYCE: I believe so, but I would have to look back on that. 22 23 MS. EVANS: And according to 24 government officials, the remainder can be 25 borrowed for use by a city as long as it is

paid back within a five-year period. The issue lies in the fact that the administration has done just that. They have taken these funds and placed them into an operating budget without any documentation for such and without the knowledge and consent of city council. This produces an inaccurate budget, and so it makes it impossible for council to make any adjustments to something that is an unrealistic document. It's not completely truthful.

We are talking become right now, I'm guessing, maybe a \$3 million hole that the administration was well aware of in the Fall of 2010, and yet submitted a budget to this council that contained none of that information.

MR. JOYCE: And I'd like to add, in drafting budget amendments along with other council members, we weren't drafting budget amendments based on the fact that we would be paying \$3 million back to cover a shortfall to pay back money that we owed from the previous year. It's a situation

where the city keeps robbing Peter to pay 1 Paul, and it's unfortunate. 2 3 MS. EVANS: I think it also bespeaks the fact that truly there hasn't about a 4 balanced budget. Budgets, in fact, at least 5 for 2010 and 2009 were never balanced 6 budgets. Yet, they are provided to council 7 8 proposed to be such, so it's a very 9 troubling situation. 10 Our next speaker is Mr. Ron Ellman. 11 MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 12 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 13 14 MR. ELLMAN: I have to apologize for everybody because last Tuesday I got in 15 16 about 12:00, 12:30 and Ms. Rosie was 17 watching Jay Leno and she looked up at me and said, "Where you been?" 18 I said, "Council." 19 20 But she changed tactics on me, she said, "In that shirt?" 21 22 I said, "Yeah, this shirt." 23 She said, "You slob. You look like 24 a fool. You got food all over your shirt." 25 She said, "People will think Rosie dresses

you funny."

So I want to apologize because it looked -- you know, my shirt looked all right to me, but probably at lunch time anyway, and I want to apologize to Ms. Evans for some jerk that wrote the letter saying that you referred to the University as deadbeats. I'll take that credit for that. I think you are too much of a lady to do such a thing, but I'm not above that. Those people haven't done for me. I'd like to know what they have done for the city besides ruined the tax base, you know. I have no use for them.

We got a bunch of people overseeing the University that never work, never pay taxes, they just haven't done a thing that I know of for this city except take houses off the tax roles. You know, they have to pay an electric bill, a gas bill, water, insurance, sewer bill, but they don't think they have to pay the people of this city for all of this property they have taken away. That's why I don't have anything good to say about them.

You know, the University has reserves that are far in excess of their -- excess of their requirements. How can they still be a nonprofit with all of the money that this place has? There is something wrong.

Well, I got a question then I'll sit down, I was talking to a young man in Wilkes-Barre Sunday and he told me that he have to pay quite a bit of money on their maintenance for their levies down there for the flood control, do we have to do it up here? I told him -- he asked me, I said, "I no have no idea, I don't know."

Why I think of it, last week three houses went off over \$150,000 to two nonprofits. See, you can't make a budget with that going on, it's just impossible.

I know you people have heard that our esteemed governor dropped the insurance for 42,000 low income people. This is just unconscionable. It's just terrible. The salaries that that NEIU that -- what was it that Rosetti? He was getting \$2,000 a week salary and \$4,000 a week benefits. This is

just -- it's unheard of for people to make money like that for our little community situation. And the governor got to take it from 42,000 people to somebody like that. That organization, each child is over \$22,000 because of all of the expense -- all of the give-aways in it, you know. People ought to be writing some letters to their congressmen about what goes on there. I don't know.

You know, I'm not your enemy. I'm not. I have created a lot since I have been talking up here these years, ut, I'm not -- I'm not the enemy. Jefferson is your enemy. If he ever had to work an honest day he would starve to death. Rinaldi with his million dollars sidewalk, there is your enemy. Paul Mansour buying and selling houses the last 15 years and not paying a penny in taxes on nothing, he is your enemy, not Ronnie. I paid my taxes last year and I am willing to pay them again this year, you know. I don't like the idea.

But every week, you know, I get up here and I listen to -- I listen to other

people out here that have suggestions and it hurts to be disappointed, you know, whether it's a baseball game or whatever, to see the city -- I walked around last week downtown there is so many empty stores and all. It's hurts. It's -- you know, what do you do when there is just nothing left to do? It's just nobody seems to have suggestions that are -- to bring us out of all of this debt and everything.

And I had a message for you from a meter maid unofficially, she said to give them a bonus plan and they'll get you your tickets instead of this other situation.

MS. EVANS: Well, thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: I said that'd be all right with me. Again, I apologize for my dirty shirt.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council, Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to begin tonight by asking council once again if we

received a response from DPW regarding the request I had made about the clearing the crosswalks by the school?

MS. EVANS: I don't believe we received that response.

MR. MILLER: Okay, isn't that a shock? Who is the chair on the Department of Public Works, if I my ask?

(Mr. McGoff raises his hand.)

MR. MILLER: Mr. McGoff, okay? Mr. McGoff, have you made any attempts to follow through on any requests that relate to your committee?

MR. MCGOFF: I have spoken to

Mr. Brazil at times. He told me that work

would be done as -- or in a manner which,

you know, they could. I do not know if, in

fact, any of the work has been completed,

that you requested or that you asked about.

I don't know. Have you checked to see if

any of it has been?

MR. MILLER: Well, I haven't checked because I don't believe it's my responsibility. I made a request as a citizen and I would hope that you, as the

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Committee on the Department of Public Works, would look into that. I don't believe it's necessarily my responsibility to do that. made the request and I'm hoping that the problem can be solved.

MR. MCGOFF: If you requested it I assume that you could go and see if had, in fact, been done.

MR. MILLER: I made the request to council and I would think that someone who deals with that committee would be able to help solve that problem. You know, it's an issue that's been going on at the school for awhile in the winter months, and I just don't think that children should have to, you know, slipping and falling crossing to go to school.

And, you know, we have been playing a lot of games here with getting response responses from department heads and I just think it's -- it's a disgrace, and I think it's a disrespect to the residents of this city that we can't get answers to simple questions. I mean, it's just unbelievable. It really is. You know, I'll -- hopefully

next weekly we'll having something new, I'm not expecting that to happen, but, as I said, I can only ask.

Regarding the Chamber building I would like to reiterate my statements from last week, I urge council to continue to stay the course on this. I don't think we should be approving a \$3 million loan when these individuals owe taxes \$75,000 worth. The newspaper --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just to correct you, Mr. Miller, that's a grant, not a loan.

MR. MILLER: I apologize, a grant.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just so it's --

MR. MILLER: Thank you for

clarifying that. The newspaper did state today -- or not today, but yesterday that the property may end up being placed on the county tax sale in the future, but as I stated in the past, I am all for development, and I don't criticize anyone who is willing to come in here and invest in our community. However, what I don't support is free rides. We have been giving a lot of those away for many years and the

taxpayers have suffered for far too long, they are struggling to meet their needs, and it's not fair to them, and that leads into the \$250,000 that the same group would like for the sidewalks.

As I said last week, I don't understand what the deal is with this. From what I understand, the sidewalks are brand new. What do they intend to do with this money?

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Miller, I have response before we vote from OECD. I will tell you upfront the response wasn't too clear, but it is a response, nonetheless.

MR. MILLER: Okay. It's pretty hard to get clear responses around here and, you know, you all know that, so I'm sure we will be going back and forth with that for quite sometime. But I just don't believe we should be giving money away. As I said, we go down there, the sidewalks are brand new, they are going to go and rip new sidewalks out and give these people money? It's another handout and it's just not fair to the residents of this city.

I'd like to address the UDAG funding, I believe it's 6-B on the agenda this evening. You know, Mrs. Evans, you exposed a heck of a lot last week. I really want to commend you for that because you know what, in the past, that never would have occurred. Just would have been another thing swept under the rug and we would have found out about it years down the road.

You know, it's the disturbing and to hear and read some of the things that this money was spent on, I mean, I'm looking at an article here from "Go Lackawanna" and they listed specifically some of the things that this money was spent on. Over \$100,000 on luncheons, dinners, donations, hotels, etcetera, but I think the most appalling was over \$16,000 paid to Carl Greco for alleged legal services. You know, how many millions of dollars did we pay this individual over the years and what have we got in return? Nothing.

\$29,000 for dues for League of
Cities. I believe the mayor stated that
ever the city in Pennsylvania is part of it,

so we have to be as well. Well, I understand that every other city is involved, but, you know, we are a distressed city and if we can't be in this League of Cities because we are distressed and we need to cut back, well, then maybe we need to sit out for a year. I don't think the League of Cities is going to be offended because Scranton, Pennsylvania, is not in the group.

As council stated last week, these funds should be used for the needs of the people. We should be investing this into the neighborhoods. We should be paving our streets. We should be fixing our curbs and sidewalks. The every day requests that you hear from people on the street, that's what people want to see.

You know, and the other thing that was quite disturbing to me was why would the city controller sign this off? Why the mayor could just walk upstairs, hand a piece of paper, and Mrs. Novembrino can sign off on this, and perhaps we can send a letter to Mrs. Novembrino through her office requesting her to answer why. Why did she

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do that? What was the reason for it? Why are we squandering this money? And I think, Mrs. Evans, last week you said it best, the checkbook is closed.

Let's see, the other issue tonight and the last issue is regarding an article that I read in the Scranton Times today regarding potholes and subsidences. know, I kind of found this comical to see this, because it seems as if the Times is trying to make this a new issue, like we don't know we have potholes and subsidences. I think the question that the Times should be asking is what are we going to do to solve the problem? Why haven't past councils stepped up to the late and found solutions to the problems? Because their intentions were to squander money on pet projects and be rubber stampers. But I know we now have a council that's committed to solving this problem. Mr. Rogan, you stated it, Mr. Loscombe, you also stated it, that the OECD funding that we have available that should be used to invest in paving streets when the weather breaks and repairing our

curbs and sidewalks because that's what the residents of this city want to see happening.

You know, I support our parks
because I believe it was mentioned that this
money should be invested in parks. Well, I
support them and I know a lot of people do
and I know you do, but I believe the
majority of the residents would like to see
that money spent in their neighborhood.
There is blight, we are condemning homes, we
are tearing things down, and they would just
like to see council use this money to
benefit them, and I'm sure you will do that.

And one more comment, if I may,

Mrs. Evans? I'd just like to, as I made a
suggestion in the past to council to make
government for accessible and accountable,
that you would still consider holding town
hall meetings in the future. I believe that
it would be an asset to you to allow
citizens to come forward and discuss issues
for you to gain more information so you can
improve the quality of life in the city, and
that's all for tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

Does anyone else care to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers',

resident of the Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: I'd like to report a hole forming on Elm Street above the rail tunnel.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Where's that?

MR. DOBRZYN: That's the back of Nichol's Plaza, McNichol's. It's directly above the rail tunnel and it looks like a sink hole so there is a lot of water getting in there and if that rail tunnel ever collapses it's going to be a massive amount of expenditure.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Dobrzyn, if you can provide that to Jamie Marciano, please, that would be very helpful.

MR. DOBRZYN: All right. Okay, last week we had a person in here that was improperly and anonymously -- an anonymous statement was made about her -- as far as

25

blight, and some things concern me. I see in the paper that, you know, there is a lot of this anonymous tips being encouraged, and I feel that instead of anonymous they should be confidential. know, you don't want to be -- have the name out so you don't have a neighborhood problem or whatever, if there is a person causing the problem in the neighborhood, but therein again, people that report inaccurate statements and if they are poorly investigated they could lead to some real problems especially in the case of police enforcement like drugs or whatever. We have a policy that SWAT teams are serving search warrants and so forth. I mean, if I called 911 and reported a fire on Alder Street and there wasn't a fire, I think I would be hearing about it, so, you know, people should be willing -- if they are willing to at least have their name kept confidential they should be willing to give a name so we don't have serial pranksters and so forth causing some bigger problems we don't need that.

And is the WFT newsletter -- or letter for the grant, is that -- I could pick that up sometime during the week, but it's getting down to the wire.

MS. KRAKE: During the week would be fine, Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: What's that?

MS. KRAKE: Sometime during the week would be fine.

MR. DOBRZYN: Okay. Okay. I'll look up your number then and get in touch.

Okay, well, it looks like we are down to what is it, "B", 7-B. I agree with most of the statements that have been made already tonight. That has a familiar ring on it there, you know, we all -- a quarter of a million dollars in taxes and a quarter of a million dollars being asked for the sidewalk that's already finished. I don't see where the proposition is coming from there.

Now, finally, for our beloved golden parrot award. Be advised, citizens of the America, that the oil companies have donated an average of \$650,000 per member of

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Congress since 2008, so if you wonder where are your gas prices coming from and the silence about them, well --

And I also like to give a golden parrot to the children's children's debt people, I think it's been used a little too often, and I'd like to point out that education between kindergarten and 12th grade cost an average of \$670 billion a year nationally. Anybody that thinks that children don't owe society something when they get out of school and have had all of these benefits and s-chip and so forth, they don't deserve a massive \$14 billion debt. but therein again, you know, if we have to build schools and everything else, I think they basically do. They are getting too many -- too much recognition as children's children's debt and so forth. A lot of the things that government does spent their money on is for their betterment and their future and it should be recognized. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address

1	council?
2	MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, City
3	Council.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
5	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
6	MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm Tom Ungvarsky.
7	Last week Mrs. Evans read off a list of
8	expenditures of the mayor. Those funds were
9	supposed to have come from the RE-RE
10	funding. Can you tell me if the mayor has
11	any other accounts that he draws off of?
12	MR. ROGAN: I hope not.
13	MR. UNGVARSKY: That he can that
14	he has personal use of it?
15	MR. ROGAN: I don't know, but I
16	would hope not.
17	MR. UNGVARSKY: No discretionary
18	fund?
19	MR. ROGAN: Not that I know of.
20	MR. JOYCE: Besides the general fund
21	there is no more that I'm aware of, however,
22	I'm still looking into all of that.
23	MR. UNGVARSKY: In the past, we had
24	a revolving loan fund and I haven't heard
25	anything of that in the last two years,

could this RE-RE fund be part of that?

MR. ROGAN: I think it's just by another name, yeah, it's the same thing.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, we had money that we would loan out, it would come back in, and we would reloan it, but I thought that was in perpetuity were all of that money would just keep on circulating and not be put into an account where the mayor could draw off of it.

MR. ROGAN: From what Attorney
Hughes explained last week was I guess once
the initial loan is made, it's paid back.
At that point it can only be used for a
loan, but once -- the second time it's paid
back, that's why RE-RE, pay back the second
time, that's when, you know, we had these
expenditures that Mrs. Evans listed last
week.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay, I understand that part of it, but this is a separate account that we had several years ago, okay, where the funds would be reused. It was called a revolving account and the money would be loaned out and I don't believe that

1	was part of the RE-RE fund, but I haven't
2	heard anything about it in probably the last
3	two years. Is there anyway you can check in
4	on that?
5	MR. ROGAN: Sure.
6	MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay. I thank you
7	for that.
8	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, don't you
9	laugh, buddy.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
11	MR. JOYCE: Chrissy.
12	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, Pat, hope,
13	the girls win tomorrow tonight. I hope they
14	win like they said. I hope they just don't,
15	you know, what you said, Pat, remember?
16	West still is the best. Right, Frankie?
17	MR. JOYCE: That's right.
18	MR. SLEDENZSKI: You know, West is
19	one of the in the city. Remember that.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy, approach the
22	front here, please.
23	(Mr. Loscombe gives Mr. Sledenzski a
24	t-shirt.)
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: I told you I had a

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

_ -

22

23

24

25

surprise for you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident and taxpayer. First, Item 7-B, again, I believe this is the same amount that was spent on the -- or at least allocated, I know, in the OECD budget for the sidewalks at Linden and Washington and the square footage there it seems to me the total was a lot more than what the square footage is in front of the Connell building, so if there is nothing urgent about this and since the project has already been completed I don't see why waiting another week might -- would cause any harm to find out what the square footage, the comparison is and if, in fact, that the Linden and Washington was done for a quarter of a million dollars why this one it if, in fact, is less, why it is what it is dollar wise.

MR. ROGAN: When I went down there over the weekend it seems -- I didn't measure it out, but I just took a glance by and just by looking it looks to be about the same size as the sidewalks in front of city

1 hall, which --MR. SCHUMACHER: No, but what I'm 2 3 saying is just last year, it's comparable down at the old parking garage. 4 5 MR. ROGAN: And the parking garage, I believe, it was all the way around to the 6 7 corner. 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: It was the corner. 9 It was all the way in. MR. ROGAN: And those sidewalks are 10 11 pretty nice sidewalks, I believe they have 12 the --13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Stamped concrete. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, and it went to the building. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Stamped concrete. This 17 was just regular poured concrete. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. So, yeah, I think there is some issues there. 19 Because 20 that should be -- at this point since this 21 is all after the fact there should be an 22 actual amount, not a forecast amount. 23 Two, I'm happy to report that I did 24 check into the signalization RFP and the 25 traffic signal, Mr. McGoff, at Meadow and

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

River is in the RFP that was put out for What I didn't ask though, and I don't know maybe some of you checked it out, is whether it's specifies LED lights, I didn't think about that when I was doing it. just interested in that traffic signal. That I would be interested in as well.

But the real reason I'm here tonight is to talk about distracted driving. disappointed that Mr. Hughes isn't here tonight because perhaps he would have had a legal opinion, I personally am a freedom-loving person and I don't like restricting people anymore than have to be, however, I also assume that people act responsibly, and I know there are currently 11 communities in the State of Pennsylvania that have enacted distracted driving -- or, excuse me, they have prohibited cell phone use while driving, and I don't think there have been any legal issues as a result of I would prefer not to -- and this is that. where legally I don't know if there is possible, but I would still like to leave it up to the individual driver the freedom.

However, if they cause an accident I think there should be a sizable fine and I don't know if that's personal -- or if that's even possible if you don't have a law against it, but I would ask that you see with Mr. Hughes if that is possible and if it draw up an ordinance because I know many people, including as you are aware myself, have suffered damage from someone talking on the cell phone and it is out of hand and something needs to be done.

I would also like to know, maybe

Mr. Loscombe knows, whether or not we in the

City of Scranton track those. I know they

can't be cited for distract driving because

it's not illegal, but do we track -- keep

any statistics on how many distracted

driving --

MR. LOSCOMBE: At this point I don't belive they -- it's part of the report, but I don't believe they actually specify it to that point.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, maybe you could check that out. I would also be interested, and if not if they do that, that

1 would be helpful. 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: But I agree with you. 3 It think the biggest problem today more than speaking on the phone is texting and stuff. 4 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, whatever. 5 you are distracted for whatever reason, 6 7 really. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: I did see the video, 9 thank you. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: Did you see the 11 video? Good. Thank you. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Very good. 13 MS. SCHUMACHER: I mean, I thought 14 that was pretty powerful, and most of those 15 were young people, but I do think we need to 16 do something to discourage people or 17 encourage people to make the right decision 18 and not to those kinds of things when they 19 are behind the wheel. I would prefer to let 20 it up to people's personal discretion. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm definitely 22 interested in revisiting it. 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: I think that needs 24 to be revisited. And then I also would like 25 to know if anybody -- about a month ago

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there was an article in the paper about Corning growing -- their growth is surging, they expect a 50 percent expansion by 2014 and I wonder if council ever gets involved, I don't believe the Chamber does, does it's job, of reaching out to companies that where we could offer a better deal or at least a good deal, and since Corning is so close to here, I don't know if they have the room to expand by 50 percent up there, if not to extend a invitation we certainly have lots of room, and there are other people, I mean, were I in charge I would have a committee going to people in California, to businesses in California that are hurting, being forced out of business, and telling them they got a welcome place to come to if they would like to relocate and maybe save businesses and help the city.

And even for that matter, that

Methadone clinic that was talked about in

Dunmore, again, I don't personally believe

in substituting one drug for another, but

all you have to do is pick up a paper any

day and we know that we have got a serious

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

drug problem in this city and if it would help we've got a local of industrial areas that are served by buses that could accommodate such a thing, and I would make an overture to that company. They are not a nonprofit. Anyway, thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. Last week it was mentioned that Railroad Avenue was like a mine field. I would just like to explain that, for example, I had a gas leak in front of my home, and the gas company come right out, very efficient. Jerry, Mike, Dave and Johnnie were the best. The gas company men, they did an excellent They should go into the landscaping They put the front of my house business. back better than it was before they came, and the reason I'm saying this is because you mentioned about the DPW taking care of Railroad Avenue. You can probably take that off the list because I'm sure the gas company is going to put new gas lines up Railroad Avenue further on this spring or in the summer, early summer, and they will be

paving that road, and they do a great job.

Now, Mr. Rogan, I read in the paper where you thought it was okay that
Mr. Jefferson you forgive his taxes and penalties on this property.

MR. ROGAN: No, not the taxes.

MS. FRANUS: I meant the penalties and fees. Would you also forgive the penalties and fees from all of the homeowners that keep this city afloat?

MS. ROGAN: I think that the penalties and fees that were passed by the previous council were excessive.

MS. FRANUS: I'm not talking about that. I asked you since you were willing to forgive Mr. Jefferson, would you be willing to forgive all of the city homeowners in the city to keep the city afloat by paying their real estate tax, their wage tax, their EMS tax, they struggle. See right here? Here is my tax bill. I paid it. I put a little bit away each month from my social security check and when it comes due I have the money, thank God. Some people don't. Some people aren't fortunate, they're going to

lose their homes because of these penalties and fees and you're willing to forgive Mr.

Jefferson, a rich developer. Do you think he is more important or better than the average home payer --

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. FRANUS: -- that you supposedly you are supposed to represent, Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Not at all. I just think -- I think that I would like to--

MS. FRANUS: How do you justify that?

MR. ROGAN: I would like to see the project go through, and I think we all agree that we weren't going to vote on it until the taxes were paid.

MS. FRANUS: The taxes, I'm talking about you said you would like to say the penalties and fees, which I think you said were 13,000. Well, I don't think that's right. If you are going to forgive Mr. Jefferson you should forgive every other homeowner in the City of Scranton. They are the ones that keep the city going, not Mr. Jefferson.

1 MR. ROGAN: Past practice has always 2 been when a developer would like to do a 3 project they would pay the taxes, but the fees and interest would be forgiven. 4 MS. FRANUS: Two wrongs don't make a 5 Just because was in the past doesn't 6 right. 7 mean it should be in the future or the 8 present because these homeowners, 9 homeowners, Pat --MR. ROGAN: I understand. 10 11 MS. FRANUS: They elected you --12 MR. ROGAN: I understand --13 MS. FRANUS: And you're telling them 14 you're representing them and not -- -how could you justify forgiving penalties and 15 16 fees for Mr. Jefferson and not for the 17 homeowners? 18 MR. ROGAN: I understand what you 19 are saying, but --20 MS. FRANUS: Not too well. 21 MR. ROGAN: But the \$13,000 that 22 could be forgiven we would receive more than 23 that in. 24 MS. FRANUS: And you still would 25 receive more than that.

1 MR. ROGAN: Not if the building sits 2 vacant. 3 MS. FRANUS: But you don't know that. 4 MR. ROGAN: And we hope it doesn't. 5 We hope he brings the city a check. 6 7 MS. FRANUS: Well, in the meantime, 8 if you forgive those taxes for him, you 9 absolutely must forgive them for others. Ι 10 mean, that's discrimination and not just 11 that you didn't elected by Mr. Jefferson you 12 got elected by the people in this city that 13 struggle, like myself, even more so than 14 myself, that pay their taxes every year, 15 penalty and fees. Some people have an \$800 16 bill and when they done with penalties and 17 fees it's \$2,200. Should they be forgiven? 18 I would hope so. 19 MR. ROGAN: As I said, I think those 20 are wrong as well. 21 MS. FRANUS: Well, then, shouldn't 22 they be forgiven as well if you are going to 23 forgive Mr. Jefferson? 24 MR. ROGAN: Sure. 25 MS. FRANUS: You are quick to jump

on that.

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

MS. FRANUS: Sure. Well, I don't think you should be forgiving penalties and fees. Since you and Mr. McGoff seem to be buddy-buddy these days, he thinks that Mr. Jefferson -- well, it's not really funny, it's true. You both use your phone for text messages all night to tell you what to say at the meetings, you don't listen to council.

 $\mbox{MR. ROGAN:} \quad \mbox{I get citizen's requests} \\ \mbox{on my phone during the meetings.} \\$

MS. FRANUS: If you can't deal without citizen's request during the meeting you shouldn't be a councilman. The rules state you should not use your phone, but you completely ignore the rules, but --

MR. ROGAN: Often times a resident, something will be said by somebody at the podium--

MS. FRANUS: Yeah, like last week when you mentioned the party, that was really important business. If you can't mention it, you know, you could --

MR. ROGAN: The party for senior citizens?

MS. FRANUS: You could mention it.

It doesn't matter what it is, it could have been mentioned later.

MR. ROGAN: It was a charitable event that I wanted to mention it.

MS. FRANUS: Pat, that's not my point. My point is you shouldn't be getting text message during the meeting. You should be obeying the rules, that's all, and you don't want to. I mean, sure it was an important message about a senior citizen party, but I don't think you need to have your cell phone on when it's against the rules but you and Mr. McGoff think that you could do whatever you want to do.

So what I was saying before is

Mr. McGoff stated last week that he liked

Mr. Jefferson possibly to make installment

payments for this tax. I don't agree with

that at all. Now, do you agree with that as

well?

MS. FRANUS: You would consider it?
First you heard it, you were here last week,
you didn't hear him say it?

MR. ROGAN: And I spoke to other council --

MS. FRANUS: Maybe you were texting somebody. Sorry.

MR. ROGAN: I spoke to other council members about that, also, today. I think a few of us on council would support that. We just want the money to come in.

MS. FRANUS: Well, I do not think it should come in in payments. I think he should pay this like everybody else. You know, when a person goes to purchase a property they have to pay all of the back taxes, the real estate tax back taxes from the property, so --

MR. ROGAN: They should have been paid at closing, I agree. That's why we tabled it.

MS. FRANUS: Well, if you agree then if it comes push to shove, he pays his taxes or let it go. Let it go to somebody else because this is just like a big bluff as far

as I'm concerned.

MR. ROGAN: That's what will happen.

MS. FRANUS: Mr. Rogan, you have to start representing the people that elected you. We do not -- there is more of us than there is of him.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Franus, I'm up every day --

MS. FRANUS: Ms. Franus.

MR. ROGAN: -- going to resident's -I'm sorry, Ms. Franus. I'm up every day
going to resident's homes, receiving
e-mails, phone calls, going out and about
helping people.

MS. FRANUS: And has anyone ever said to you, Mr. Rogan, "Let Mr. Jefferson get away with his penalties and fees and also let him payment plans on his taxes when we struggle to pay ours every year?"

Do they say that to you, Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Actually, if I had my computer with me, there was some people that felt the complete opposite, that we should be forgiving all of it, and I don't agree with that. I think that's a fair middle

ground in order to see the project go through and that Mr. Jefferson pays his taxes.

MS. FRANUS: Then every person in the City of Scranton should go to the city and say they are not paying any penalties and fee, because if you do it for one, you do it for all, and it's -- I think you are leaning toward big business here and not the people that you are supposed to be representing.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Ms. Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who would care to address council?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident, taxpayer and recording secretary of the FOP, first

Amendment Rights. Before I left the house tonight to come here, I heard Mrs. Krake speak about the borrowing of the workmen's comp money to the tune of \$2.9 million in 2010. It also was borrowed in 2009. I missed if the \$2.9 from this most recent borrowing has been paid back.

MR. ANCHERANI: Oh, not in 2010.

MS. EVANS: Correct. And purportedly it was reimbursed into the account in 2010. I don't have the documentation for that, we have been told we'll have to await the audit, the independent audit of the city, for the Year 2010. In the mean time, however, the administration once again dipped into the fund in late 2010 to balance it's budget.

And I think what we are saying was, you know, it doesn't appear -- it doesn't appear anywhere in the city's operating budget for either year.

MR. ANCHERANI: So we don't know if that was reimbursed yet? Okay.

MS. EVANS: No.

MR. ANCHERANI: The use of the money for salaries and to fill the budget shortfall for the last two years kept the city from having deficits. My question is where was the slimes? They have criticized this council for your budget, from the

get-go, yet, nothing on the administration budgets, even though the city had to borrow money to cover salaries and deficits in past years.

So, Mr. McGoff, one of my predictions came through for last year, the borrowing of millions. What if that money is not paid back in year? Does your budget have a possible deficit if you are unable to borrow anymore from that workmen's comp fund? And PEL, are they suggesting borrowing again if we have a deficit? And the paid interest, like the 59 million we are going to pay in interest to pay back for the Scranton Parking Authority loan of \$35 million, that's a lot of money, \$59 million interest to pay back. We could have done a lot more with that.

How about the \$1.6 million that the Single Tax Office couldn't find? Did we forget that? That \$1.6 million, if we had it, let's us only be \$1.3 million to borrow for salaries if needed. And I hope nobody forgets about the \$300 million in long-term debt the city owes.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As for the statement about bags of money and layoffs, I'm not a betting man, I don't like to lose money, but I bet there will be layoffs after the summer raises go through, and my belief, out of spite. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Ancherani.

MR. PILCHESKY: Council, good evening. Joe Pilchesky, 819 Sunset Street, Scranton. I apologize for not appearing last week after indicating that I would be here. As I was preparing my presentation I received a call from a fellow named John who had an emergency situation that needed some legal attention, which was that his home of 30 years was being sold the next day at the Lackawanna County tax sale. Needless to say, panic was the word of the day for John. There wasn't a lot of time to determine whether or not I might be able to help John. At any rate, I couldn't fully review all of John facts and be here at the same time.

 $I'd\ \mbox{like to share with you some of}$ the elements of this situation that I

experienced with John, since they are insightful and they may assist you and the viewing public in understanding part of the process involved where the Tax Claim Bureau desires to sell your property to recover past due tax obligations.

On January 3 of this year the Tax
Claim Bureau filed about 200 petitions in
county Court seeking the Court's permission
to sell the subject properties. On the
cover of every petition was a schedule that
advised the responding party that an answer
to the petition must be filed by February
10th of 2011, and that a hearing on the
issue would be held on February 10 in front
of Judge Moyle relating to the relief
demanded in the petition which was to sell
your property.

John went to the tax bureau when he received this petition. He talked to Mr. Kolajeski, who properly told him that it was the legal matter now and it needed a lawyer. So John went to his lawyer, who was already legal counsel in another matter for John, but his lawyer wasn't in, so his

lawyer called the next day and John talked at length with him at the end of the phone call, John was of the opinion that the lawyer was covering John's back. That wasn't true.

John's lawyer did contact the Tax

Claim Bureau, did talk to Mr. Kolajeski, but
the call didn't result in negotiating a
successful closure to the tax problem that
John had. John didn't know that. The
lawyer didn't tell John that he has not
filed an answer on John's behalf to the
petition, and he didn't tell John that he is
not going to showing up February 10 at the
hearing in front of Judge Moyle, so February
10, with no one appeared on behalf of John,
Judge Moyle issued an order directing the
tax bureau to sell John's property.

There are two problems with the order that Judge Moyle issued. The first problem is that in the first sentence it indicates that she was satisfied that John was properly served with the petition, and there is such a thing as proper service, not anybody can just hand you the petition.

Yet, there is no proof in the record anywhere that John was ever served properly with the petition.

Secondly, there is no proof in the file that John was served with a copy of the order that Judge Moyle issued that directed the tax bureau to sell his property, and those are the types of the documents that would always be in an order because that's how you can prove that you have communicated properly to someone that they got notice.

In circumstances like that, you have an issue of being deprived of your rights to due process. Here, intentionally or otherwise, an attorney, Tax Claim Bureau for the attorney -- or the lawyer for the Tax Claim Bureau and the Court itself kept John in the dark. Had someone not appearing at John's home the day before the sale offering to buy it in advance of the tax sale, John's property would have been sold the next day without John knowing what was going on.

Once that happens it's a whole word of legal expense and trouble to undo that, if it can be done at all.

I prepared for John a petition for emergency injunctive relief in the nature of a stay of the tax sale citing a violation of John's due process rights, because he certainly had a right to file an answer to the petition and be at the hearing and, of course, he thought his lawyer was doing that and that didn't happen.

After ten minutes of explaining the circumstances to Judge Corbett, who was very, very sensitive to John's plight and very, very helpful to him, the sale was stayed, but the lesson to be learned here is this, when it comes to covering your own back in very important matters everybody should participate in that event. When served with any legal documents, there is a docket number on the cover, your entire file is stored at the Prothonotary's office located at North Washington and Spruce Street. It greatly benefits you to check your file once a week, see what's in there. You might be surprised at what you find.

Had John done that, he wouldn't have come so close to losing his home, and that's

just some advice for those out there who might be overly trusting of the legal system and their attorney. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would care to address council?

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Just to answer a few questions, first of all, that if I'm not mistaken when Mr. Jefferson was here the first time dealing with the Mulberry Towers, I believe he did say that at the time of purchase he did purchase the tax lien at the time of the sale, so he was fully aware of the taxes that were on the property, and in any of the questions that were asked of Mr. Jefferson and in the ensuing weeks I didn't see there was any indication that there any deal between OECD or between the mayor and Mr. Jefferson.

So, once again, you know, misinformation and innuendo kind of form the basis for some of the questions that were

posed, and with no substantive basis for the questions, it's really impossible, you know, to provide an answer. When you are dealing with supposition anything is possible.

The other thing, prior to last week's meeting, Mrs. Evans asked if I would look into the -- if I would meet with members of the administration to discuss the rental registration program, and I went to Mr. Seitzinger's Office and we did setup a meeting, which was subsequently cancelled because one of the parties could not attend. We are attempting to reschedule.

But at the time I did speak with Mr. Seitzinger about the program and he said at the present time they have increased the data base for the rental registration units. What they have attempted to use are lists from the Department of Public Works that identify multi-dwelling units to which they pick up refuse. The problem with that is that any dwelling, I think, it's over five is subject to private refuse disposal so that those properties are not in that particular list, but he said they are

working, that there is -- one of the inspectors is working on the rental registration program and trying to identify more of the properties and letters have been sent out. They are receiving responses from property owners that were aware of the program, that were -- and that are looking to pay the registration fee.

I hope to meet with Mr. Seitzinger and Mr. Mr. McGowan and the inspector that's running the program or at least taking care of the program to see if we can do anything else that would enhance the collection of the rental registration fee.

And with that, I would also -- I would ask that perhaps in the coming months that we as a council, again, I think the important thing is we do something to look at the rental registration ordinance and that we need to do something to improve that and make it more effective so that the revenues from -- not only the revenues from it are improved, but also that it begins to do what the secondary intent of the program was and that's to deal with blight and to

deal with rental units that are not up to par and not up to code, and so that we can start to deal with some of those problems.

And that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff, and I actually did bring along tonight the information from Wilkes-Barre that I talked to you about, so if after the meeting we can discuss it a little bit further.

MR. MCGOFF: Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: And I'll give you that information.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: You're welcome.

Councilman Rogan, any motions or comments?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. A few comments and some information and some citizens' requests. First I would like to respond to the questions posed by Ms. Franus. First one about forgiving the fees and interest to Mr. Jefferson regarding the Mulberry project, when this came down there were people on -- there were a few people who thought we should have forgiven the whole amount. I thought that was completely

unacceptable, as did the five of us up here.

It was tabled. We are hoping that he does

pay the taxes and the project can move

forward.

Now, I believe the taxes that were owed were approximately \$75,000 if you include the fees and interest, 65, 75, and it was \$13,000 of it was fees and interest. With the people that will be moving in there, the fees that we would be getting in from permits over the course of probably a year or two we would receive that money back, and with the tax -- with more people living in the city and the higher tax base, that means the burden among the resident's of the city will be lessened because we will have more people paying in the same amount, because the percentage each person will be paying will be less.

Regarding using my cell phone during the meeting, I think, although it is part of the rules, I think we have to look at the intent of the rule, not the letter of the rule. Reading of council rules, yes, you are not supposed to a use cell phone during

the meeting. I am not using it to have a social conversation with a friend, catching up with a friends from out-of-state, I'm using it for city business. I know, a couple of residents that are here right now text me during the meetings with requests. I'll forget to mention something that I talked to them about earlier in the week. In the case of Mr. Piccolino's party he had on Valentine's Day for the senior citizens he texted me during the meeting, "Hey, Pat, can you announce this for me?"

Sure. Although, it is in the rules, I do not think the intent of the rule was to stop council people from using their phones to do their jobs.

So back to business, I am happy to see that in newspaper it is reported that the administration did choose Joyce Electrical fore the streetlight repairs. I did review the bid they were the lowest bidder and I hope that this legislation will come down to council as soon as possible because we have been inundated with calls and e-mails from residents all throughout

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city with streetlights that have been out for weeks and sometimes months, and it really does pose a safety hazard.

Mr. Miller mentioned town hall meetings, I would support having town hall meetings, and as I mentioned before, I oppose cancelling the meetings for the summer, but maybe instead of having formal meetings in chambers we could have informal meetings all throughout the different sections of the neighborhood and start in West Side and North Scranton and Greenridge and go all around the city one week just have citizens' input.

I have the letter from OECD regarding the \$250,000, the grant for sidewalk improvements, and I will read that right now. It says, "Dear Mrs. Krake: I am in receipt of your letter dated February 24, Requesting information requested by Marie Schumacher, "Is the request for an increase in the grant funding by \$250,000 for sidewalk improvements to the Connell building an after-the-fact request."

This is the response: "This office

submitted a new application to PA DCED for an additional \$250,000 for sidewalks for the Connell building in July 2010. The office had several suggestions with PA DCED and it was determined that the \$250,000 for sidewalks could be an amendment to the previous contract for a total of \$750,000 for HRA. The PA Department of Community and Economic Development approved and amendment for the additional \$250,000 for sidewalks under Section 4-C of the Housing and Redevelopment Assistance, HRA.

Scranton Connell, L.P., received a loan in the amount of \$500,000 previously contracted under this contract, No.

C-000045289. According to the contract, the eligible project costs incurred under this contract between July 1, 2008, and June 20, 2011, this would include the time frame when the sidewalks were installed.

The additional funding of \$250,000 will be a conditioned until DCED receives the amended resolution authorizing the \$250,00 for the sidewalks. Since the sidewalks are a public right-of-way, this

will be a grant instead of a loan to, Scranton Connell, L.P., as was the \$500,000.

I do hope this answers any questions that city council or the public had concerning this project. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me."

I voted "no" on this last week, I'm going to vote "no" again on this week I'll save my further comments on this for voting time.

And finally, I have a few citizens' requests. The first one comes from a resident who lives on Winfield Avenue. It was about -- originally, it was ice in his neighborhood, and luckily since it got warmer, that problem solved itself, but then there were some photos and he couldn't park basically on his own block, it was all ice, but then he went onto say that in the summer there is a flooding problem, so I have the information for you, Mrs. Krake. Can you please forward that to the DPW?

And I also have a request from the 1400 block of Bulwer Street. Sidewalks in front of the house flooded during recent

rain storms. The repair that DPW did last year crumbled apart allowing water from the street to flow onto my property and making it impossible for the resident to walk out his door. So I have that as well, as well as the address.

I'm sorry, the first one wasn't
Winfield Avenue, it was Duncan Street
between Winfield Avenue and Prospect Avenue.

A neighbor has requested that a few stop signs be evaluated for replacement. The traffic sign at Price and North Hyde Park is faded and rusted and difficult to read. Also, to the DPW, there was a hole forming on Elm Street behind McNichols Plaza; and finally, a request of OECD and the business administrator, does the city currently have a revolving loan fund, not including RE-RE. And that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, any motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, Mrs. Evans. My only comments tonight are going to deal with the Mulberry Towers, since that

25

seems to be a hot button subject. There is a lot of misinformation out there, and again, I think we should clarify some of it. During the week I try to make myself as accessible as possible. I mean, between drug stores, grocery stores, restaurants, places like that, I do have the opportunity to see a lot of our residents there and I welcome them and I applaud those that do approach me with their concerns. That's how we find out what the people -- how the pulse of this city is working and what the people are interested in and what they need, and I think all of us are pretty much doing that on a regular basis.

But over this situation, I don't think maybe one person personally and maybe one e-mail were in favor of forgiving the taxes on the Mulberry Lofts. 99 percent have been in favor of exactly what we are doing and I'm just going to read, I found a -- well, I was presented with an on-line news blog from a former reporter, I believe he was with the Times, Lou Marcus, NEPA Today magazine. And the title is, "The

Trouble with City Hall."

"It just dawned on me what the problem is in this current Scranton City Council incarnation. It is not that Council President Janet Evans and Mayor Chris Doherty are on two different ends of every issue, it is not that President Evans controls the vote of three others on city council giving her a supermajority," and I would take that back, I mean, we are all independent minds, we have voted differently on certain things, but I do think we agree on a lot of stuff because we feel the pulse of what we need to do in this city. That's why we seem to vote as a supermajority.

"It is not that the three members of city council unfavorably go along with President Evans and endorse her view of Scranton, while all that is true, it is not the problem. The problem is communications.

I have been sitting here for a couple of days pondering just what is the real issue here in the latest nonsense scenario coming from city hall. Today's dilemma is that developers of the former

Chamber of Commerce building in downtown

Scranton is on hold because council is not inclined to give the developers a forgiveness on back taxes on the building.

Things have gotten so bad that the county plans to put the property back on the sales tax roles for March.

So let's bring everybody up-to-date. The bank foreclosed on a mortgage on the building because the former owners let it go to pot. Tenants fled in droves, repairs were never made, the building fell into more and more disrepair until pipes broke and the building flooded. The city wound up condemning the building. Now, this isn't some apartment building in the neighborhoods where it would be bad enough, this is across the street from city hall, right on the edge of Scranton's downtown historical district and smack dab in the mid of the city center.

The white night in this story is the same group that just developed the Connell building, another aging hulk in the middle of the downtown into a world class residential and commercial center. Finished

with project one, they moved right onto the old chamber building."

I'm not quite sure that the Connell is 100 percent complete at this point, but this is what the writer states. "Following their previous blueprint of success, a mix of commercial and residential, now come city council refusing to setup legislation allowing the developers to receive a \$3 million grant from the Commonwealth until they pay their back taxes. So they up and put the building back on the market claiming to pay back taxes will throw the financing out of whack.

Now, how did it get from Mayor

Doherty telling me excitedly a month ago
that the developers of the Connell building
are going to go from building two of the
downtown, to me reading in the newspaper
that council spiked the deal? I have a
question to ask here, does city council
realize the job is more than two hours on a
Tuesday night?"

Well, Mr. Marcus, you ask my family that. I think this council has done a lot

more review on some of these projects and we are not going to rubber stamp them. That's exactly why we are here. I have a question after -- okay, I'm sorry.

"The administration wanted a meeting with council on another matter and the reply was, "Come down to regular business when city council meets in session."

I don't recall that request, however, I know myself and Mrs. Evans have met with the mayor and his staff on several occasions. The only reason we would ask them here because a project is an important as this with your tax dollars involved should be held in the public eye, so you get to ask the questions, as our caucuses have been, so you could see what's going on with your tax dollars, not hidden away in an office down the stairs where it becomes he said/she said.

And I have firsthand experience of that because of our meeting at the University of Scranton. All of a sudden it became a he said/she said. If I had done that over again, I think we would have had

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that caucus here, but that's the reason why city council wanted the meeting here. Okay, let's see here.

"Whatever happened to being accommodating? Whatever happened to the free exchange of ideas for building a better product? In council's scenario it is, 'You present your idea, if we like it, okay, if we don't, it dies.'"

Well, that's probably pretty much the fact, but it's not as simple as that. We review everything, if everything is in order and it's going to benefit the majority of the taxpayers in this city, it's a go. If we find something wrong in the backup or we through the research, like, taxes, back taxes and stuff like that, deals made on the side, any of that stuff, it doesn't necessarily die, we request the additional information. We give them the courtesy of tabling an item, giving them the time to provide us with the additional information that we require, and if that's not done then, obviously, they don't have the information to back it up, that's when it

will die.

"Whatever happened to the great C's, communications and cooperation. I hate bashing city council, I look for ways around it, but council just manages to get my hackles up on a regular basis.

Now, I don't always agree with the way Mayor Doherty does business, he could learn a lesson from the great C's, but at the end of the day city council just shoots down good ideas and a mayor delivers on project after project. Can't argue with success."

Well, I can argue a little bit with that last comment. Yes, there are a lot of projects going, but every one of these projects have been given taxpayer grants, every one of them. Multi-millions for the most part, and a lot of them are still sitting vacant. I think there could have been a lot more projects completed in this city if things were looked at a little sharper in the past. We could have had probably twice as much development and decrease the funding to everyone, spread it

out a little bit, instead of two, three, four developers. I think there is probably 50 developers in this area who would be happy to have some money in their hand to help do something in this city. That's our tax dollars.

The next one is a letter I just received today in my mailbox from a resident right here. It says, "Dear council members, I'm writing this note with mixed emotions. I was originally 100 percent in support of the opinion that the company that purchased the former Chamber building should, as part of the purchase agreement, be responsible for all back taxes, and as such should pay the city \$72,000 owed to us.

However, given the likelihood that this building will now be sold to a nonprofit and thus be forever tax exempt from contributing to our tax base, I believe that a compromise should be considered. I now understand that the likely group to purchase the building will turn it into a residential halfway house."

You see, folks, the scare tactics

had are starting, the strong arm tactics.

Extortion, I don't know what you want to call it, but this is what goes on. I mean, this gentleman here is scared all of a sudden because he lives across the street that there is going to be a halfway house, and if that's what the mayor wants there, then so be it. I think there is a lot better use for that building then a halfway house at that location, and I think a lot of other developers would be happy to look at it.

But the residents are getting scared thinking it's going to be a nonprofit and, I mean, we are already giving three and a half million dollars. This property was purchased on the transfer of a mortgage for \$10. By doing that, the transfer charges, the transfer costs the city -- reality transfer costs were eliminated. That could have brought in another 55, \$56,000, but the developer saved that by taking over the mortgage. That would have gone -- that could have gone towards our taxes, towards the taxes he owes on here.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we dig out of our taxpayers' pockets? someone handed me three and a half million dollars to fix that place and I had the company behind me and the knowledge, I would But to come back and say, "I'm kiss them. going to give it to a nonprofit" or "I'm not going to sell it, I'm going to give it away because I can't come up with that tax money," any one -- and it was stated here before, any one that purchases a house, all of the taxpayers here realize that, before you close on that house the title is searched. Even if you owe a past garbage fee it has to be paid at that, a sewer fee has to be paid at this closing before you take title. And most importantly, your taxes. If we -- if we bid and give these -forgive these taxes on top of that grant we are going to have to do it for everybody else that comes into that city.

I just don't understand how much can

All I'm doing, and I believe my colleagues are, is looking out for the taxpayers here. I believe that tax amount is a very insignificant amount compared to

the whole project. And the gentleman has received a lot of money on another project. And trust me, this is not personal. I think he is a great developer, and if he is a smart and he loves this city as much as he touted here at the meeting, how could it be a problem to just pay the taxes and develop that? That's where I'm coming from. I am watching out for your tax dollars, not a developer's, yours. I'm not looking for any contributions from these people, these developers. My contribution is to work for you people.

And I'm just going to finish this

letter, and it says, "Please reconsider your

position on this matter. Forgiving \$72,000

in taxes now not only assures future

property tax revenue, but also the

re-establishment of a business on the first

floor of this centrally located building and

attracting more new taxpaying residents to

our city, as well as our downtown. Perhaps

council can add a caveat or two to the

forgiveness agreement that the owner has to

agree that the building cannot be sold to a

nonprofit under penalty of repayment of the tax revenue, plus interest and costs, or whatever council can do to stimulate this community growth at a time when so many areas of the city are deteriorating."

And I agree with a lot of that, but, you know, we cannot be bullied into this at this point.

"The project is obviously an important seed, not only in the development and growth of our tax base, but of the viabelcy of our downtown, what we are seeing in our downtown is something that we have not seen in any of our neighborhoods in a long time, that is the great desire of many people to move to this neighborhood.

Please take this giant step to help our city move forward in perception and in growth in our region before it's too late."

And it's respectfully signed "Chet."

I won't say his last name, but I gratefully respect Chet's letter here. I think I have explained myself, where I'm coming from, and I think it's in the best interest of all of the taxpayers, all of the residents of this

city, to have the taxes paid. Now, I don't believe in forgiving any of the penalties or back taxes, but I would -- I would agree possibly to an arrangement, and that would have to be tied to his disbursements, too, if possible, because I believe we had -- he already made an arrangement that he was going to pay at closing, and that never happened, so this would have to be ironclad if I were to agree to something like that, but I'm looking for full tax in back pay and if, unfortunately, if it goes to the hands of a nonprofit we'll have to look at that down the road.

But if Mr. Jefferson truly loves his city, truly thinks it's the place to be, I think that tax money is a small pittance compared to what he can develop there, what he can gain from that and to show us that he really is for the city, and that's all I have to say at this point. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, could I just -- Mr. Rogan had mentioned something before about the streetlight situation, I

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happened to run into somebody that's associated with MEM, they said that they were going to call the clerk's office on Monday, did they? Did anyone contact the office concerning the streetlights?

MS. KRAKE: Not that I'm aware of.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Thank you. I just wanted to find out if that did happen. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I do want to clarify some statements that have been made this evening. I'm sure that Attorney Hughes this, were he here. It was Miss Lori Reed from the Office of Economic and Community Development who visited Mr. Kolajeski and told him that the mayor wanted this taken off -- he wanted the Mulberry Loft project Oremoved from the sale and that the owner, because it was going to be purchased and the owner would pay taxes -- would pay delinquent taxes at the time of the closing on the sale, and Mr. Kolajeski in his duties and responsibilities with the county, made a written note of that which was then provided to city council, so that we do have, as does

Attorney Hughes, a copy of that written note stating that, as per Mayor Doherty through Lori Reed, the delinquent taxes will be paid in full at the closing.

So for those who misunderstood that situation, for those who may have thought Mr. Jefferson made the statement or some may have thought Mr. Doherty made the statement that taxes are forgiven, that is, you know -- those suggestions have been misconstrued.

In addition though, I think I just wanted to add to what Mr. Loscombe had said, I think that the current situation puts into question the developer's solvency. Is the developer solvent enough to pay the delinquent taxes to the city, the school board, and the county? Does he have or is he willing to come up this reasonable amount of any own money? And I think perhaps it appears that in failing to do so is Mr. Jefferson saying he has so little faith in his own -- in his own project's success that he unwilling to pay these taxes. I do hope that some type of agreement can be reached.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the other hand, I respect Mr.

Kolajeski's decision that the property would be placed back onto a sale I believe in September, and as soon as Mr. Jefferson makes some type of movement with the City of Scranton, I can only speak for city council and the city's end of this, certainly we have no authority to conjecture or make any decisions for Lackawanna County commissioners or for the Scranton school board, but if he should come with any type of proposal it's something that we will consider, but I can tell you that I am looking for payment from 2006 through 2010 of delinquent taxes, and I would say at this point the ball is and has been in Mr. Jefferson's court.

And, Mr. Joyce, do you have any comments or motions this evening?

MR. JOYCE: Briefly. First of all, I would like to start off by thanking the various residents who donated contributions, whether they be from \$3 to \$10 to \$50 to the Public Safety Manpower Initiative, and also to the "Kids Swim Free" program.

should be commended for their actions and they're greatly appreciated by me as well as I'm sure mostly all of my council colleagues.

Secondly, I'd like to make some comments in response to some of Mrs. Krake's comments regarding the PEL meeting, our BA, our HR director, and my responses earlier in the meeting. In no way do I want people to think that I'm up here on a mayor bashing trip. Make no mistake, I admire some of the work that the mayor was done in revitalizing the downtown, in the efforts he put forth in that section of the city.

Where I do feel Mayor Doherty has failed is in labor negotiations with the fire and the police unions which has lead to high court fees, attorney fees, as well as various arbitration awards that have been appealed time and time again.

My opinion of Labor negotiations can be best described by imagining an pendulum. Prior to Mayor Doherty's administration, the pendulum may have swung up on this end in favor greatly of the unions, whereas as when

Mr. Doherty took office the pendulum seemed to swing high on the side of the management. See, this is where we are failing and the success, and what I believe will be the ultimate success to the city in the future is when that pendulum meets in the middle.

Blue collar workers, as well as labor unions, are part of the backbone of this country as well as the city. There should be respect given to them and the pendulum shouldn't swing in either side.

Management should be considerate of the unions, and the unions should be considerate of management as well.

And in speaking with various union members over the past nine years, I believe, the unions have been very considerate of management and all they have been looking for fair and equitable raises, especially in the police and fire department as well as their safety concerns, and that's all I'm going to comment on that tonight.

However, I think in the future we should look to make that pendulum meet in the middle.

Secondly -- or third, I do have some citizens' requests, as I have been walking through some of the neighborhoods lately.

Residents on the 200 block of North Everett Avenue report various potholes and cracks in the road as well as rough road conditions.

Mrs. Krake, if you could please notify

Mr. Brazil of this and ask him if he can patch up some of those potholes once the weather permits.

Also, residents in the 300 block of North Fillmore report various cracks and potholes all throughout the block, if you can please notify Mr. Brazil of this as well.

And third, in speaking with the resident who lives off of Newton Road on the Gardier Street, she reported to me that will is quite a substantial amount of ice on her street as well a Newman Road due to the fact that someone recently built a house on the street and did not put in an adequate drainage system, therefore, it has resulted in a lot of water runoff, and while I know that there is nothing the city can do about

Newton Road, since it is a state route, she did inform me that PennDOT has been trying to take care of the situation the best they could by laying down salt as well as trying to remove some of the ice.

If you could please also notify

Mr. Brazil of the situation on Gardier

Street and ask if he could possibly travel
up there or perhaps someone from the DPW

travel up to the street and handle the
situation accordingly to help in the ice
situation that they are having up there. I
know it's making -- it's causing the
resident to have a great deal of concern
especially in the event that an ambulance
needs to get up there or a fire truck ever
needs to get up there. The roads are
just -- the road is just a sheet of ice and
so --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I can echo what you are saying because I drive that road every day, too. I agree. I haven't even it as bad as it was this year. Everything is flowing across Newton Road and it's right at the bend, right at the top of Fawnwood

entrance there. It's a pretty dangerous spot, but PennDOT has been salting it as much as they could, but at night it freezes right over. Thank you. I'm sorry, Frank. I just --

MR. JOYCE: No problem. And that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: You're welcome.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. City council received a response from Mrs. Aebli, OECD director, to Mrs. Schumacher's question posed on February 22, "Is the request for an increase of grant funding by \$250,000 for sidewalks improvements to the Connell building an after-the-fact request?"

And Mr. Rogan has already provided that response, however, Mrs. Aebli's response creates further questions regarding a \$500,000 loan, which is later turned a \$500,000 grant. Council would like to know the date of this change and the specific justification for such.

I also note that this correspondence was copied to Attorney James Mulligan, OECD

solicitor. Apparently, Attorney Mulligan has replaced Attorney Greco since the professional services contract for Attorney Greco were halted by this council majority and replaced by a salaried position of OECD solicitor in the 2011 amended operating budget.

This change should provide a savings of approximately \$135,000 annually. In addition, should the city retain Attorney Greco for any other legal services, professional services exceeding \$10,000 must be bid and legislation must be sent to and approved by city council.

As a follow-up to last week's brief discussion of grant funding for the Lackawanna County Heritage Valley Trail, PennDOT awarded \$459,000 in Pennsylvania Community Transportation Initiative Funding in early January to the Lackawanna County Heritage Valley Authority to remedy safety issues for the trail in Archbald Borough.

Also, I noticed this evening in our mail, Councilman McGoff, that we received a response from the Scranton Sewer Authority

to our request for their assistance with the rental registration program and they are also telling us to work with the Lackawanna County Assessor's Office. If you might mention that as well to Mr. Seitzinger. I think he had stated in the newspaper that early in the year he had contacted the Sewer Authority about this and, you know, as I said, I know council sent the letter, oh, in January as well I would think and, you know, maybe this can help with those types of rental properties as you were describing.

MR. MCGOFF: Multi-dwelling.

MS. EVANS: Yes, multi-dwelling.

Next, I have several items of business this evening. Mrs. Krake, please send the following letters on behalf of Scranton City Council:

Number one, a request for any and all February 2011 RE-RE vouchers from the office of the city controller.

Number two, a request to Mr. Gerry

Cordaro, president, Scranton Lace Affiliates

for a responses to these questions: Why is

Mr. Joseph Casey no longer a partner in

Scranton Lace Affiliates? What is the date of his disassociation with the partnership and the Scranton Lace Complex project? Was Delta Development Group assigned to the project by the State Office of the Budget? And if not, was Delta Development group hired by Scranton Lace Affiliates or by the City of Scranton?

Three, a request to City Solicitor,
Paul Kelly, to draft an RFP for an insurance
broker for the City of Scranton and it's
various insurance policies. Please remind
Attorney Kelly that the current contract
with Knowle's Associates is for a term of
six months only, therefore, the process must
begin as soon as possible in order that the
city is not left uninsured at the
termination date of the current contract.

Fourth, a request to OECD director Linda Aebli, for spreadsheets for the years 2008 and 2009 to include any and all funding that was deposited into and disbursed from the UDAG RE-RE account as was provided to council for the years 2010 and 2011, and attached to her February 15, 2011,

correspondence to city council.

Fifth, a request for monthly receiving forms and reports from both the full-time and part-time animal control officers in order to track the number of animals dropped off by the city at Griffen Pond Animal Shelter.

Six, a request for information from licensing, inspections and permits, can a condemned structure be sold by it's owner, particularly if the same owner has a long history of legal problems and condemnations?

Number seven, a request for information to Mr. McGowan: Business administrator, does the administration intend to take 2011 real estate taxes to reimburse the worker's comp trust fund for it's withdrawal from the same fund in 2010?

Council was not informed of the withdrawal and requests documentation for this withdrawal and for the 2011 reimbursement.

The Scranton Police Department was recently awarded approximately \$1.3 million dollars from the Pennsylvania Labor

Relations Board who ruled that the city administration failed to comply with a long disputed arbitration over SIT clerks. The case originated in 2003 and through continuous appeals by the administration to rulings previously handed down throughout the last seven years, the interest on the latest Pennsylvania Labor Relation's Board ruling, could very likely amount to half of the 1.3 million dollars. I have heard that the administration may layoff police officers in order to pay this SIT clerk award.

Now, if the mayor had not violated the police contract the city would owe no monies to the police department. In addition, if the mayor satisfied the terms of the previous awards, the city would not owe 1.3 million dollars today.

Now, to make matters worse, the mayor may cut police officers to pay for his mistakes. The unemployment compensation that would have to be paid to these officers will amount to roughly half of their salaries to keep them on the streets of

Scranton.

In the 2011 budget, city council worked diligently to reinstate ten police officers which the mayor had cut in order to keep the people of Scranton safe. I hope the mayor does not use public safety layoffs to pay for his own errors and put the people's public safety in jeopardy once again.

He has, as we learned earlier tonight, produced false budgets. He has secretly taken money from a worker's comp trust fund without the knowledge and consent of Scranton City Council in both 2009 and 2010 in order to balance his budgets, and he intends to institute massive layoffs to pay Court awards for pay increases and actions in bad faith resulting from his continuous court appeals.

This administration is playing not only with city budgets, but also with the people's safety and welfare. It's merely a game that amuses them as evidenced at today's PEL's meeting. Perhaps some politicians view government as a game with a

goal to be master of the game. They play with taxpayers' lives and money to further their careers and gain the support of the wealthy and powerful.

I am not a game player because I have great respect and deep concern for the people of this city. I know their problems. I know their troubles because I have lived them. I owe no one but the people and no one owes me. I do not and will not play games with budgets, conceal finances, and then laughingly cut your services and put your safety in jeopardy.

Ladies and gentlemen, government is not a game to me, rather, it's a weighty responsibility and a privilege to represent the people and fight for them.

Unfortunately, I believe the administration has drawn the line in the sand by playing these games and by laughing at you, the taxpayers. The majority of this city council stands firmly with you, my friends, because you are the winners and losers in the mayor's game of chance and finance, and city council doesn't want you

to lose.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week: A city resident reports that streetlights are out at the following locations: 500 block of Mulberry Street, all lights out. 100 block of Mulberry, six of eight lights out. 300 block of Adams, s six of eight lights out. West Lackawanna Avenue bridge, all the lights out. Linden Street bridge, all lights out. North Scranton Expressway, between Seventh Avenue and Main, all lights out on outbound lanes.

The resident raises a very important point which is in less than two weeks there will be thousands of guests downtown on parade day and evening. With downtown streets in partial darkness, a serious public safety issue exists, so I am hoping that these issues are going to be solved citywide very soon.

A city resident would like to know why the city is using CGI Communications from Rochester, New York, to solicit local businesses to advertise on street banners in the downtown. As a result, city council

wants to know if CGI has a contract with the City of Scranton. If so, was this contract put out to bid and when does it expire.

Also, what dollar amount is paid to the City of Scranton annually.

Next, a Scranton resident requests
the status of the North Scranton Junior High
School building. He notes that Senator
Mellow and Governor Rendell awarded a
multi-million dollar grant for redevelopment
of this structure into apartments and office
space. Since the project has been on hold
for over five years, the resident would also
like to know if that grant was received by
Goodwill Industries and Pennrose, the owners
of the building and land.

A letter to DPW, a city resident requests that two large potholes at the corner of Elm Street and Pittson Avenue are filled and repaired. He also reports numerous potholes throughout Elm Street, North Washington Avenue and Railroad avenue to Seventh avenue. He asks that these potholes are also repaired.

A Scranton resident requests snow

removal on the Harrison Avenue bridge, the
Elm Street viaduct and the Spruce Street
bridge following all snow and ice events,
and that's it.
MS. KRAKE: 5-B. SALE OF TAX
DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS
2730 BIRNEY AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
TAX MAP NO. 16717-030-040 TO THOMAS F.
BARRETT AND TERRI F. BARRETT, 2734 BIRNEY
AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18505 FOR THE
CONSIDERATION OF \$2,500.00.
MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
introduced into it's proper committee.
MR. ROGAN: So moved.
MR. JOYCE: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question? All
those in favor of introduction signify by
saying aye.
MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
MR. JOYCE: Aye.
MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE 1 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 2 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND 3 DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF 4 \$4,000.00 FROM SANOFI PASTEUR INCORPORATED 5 FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT 6 FOR THEIR CRIME PREVENTION EDUCATIONAL 7 8 PROGRAMS. 9 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 10 11 introduced into it's proper committee. 12 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 13 MR. JOYCE: Second. 14 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. JOYCE: Yes. 15 I would just like 16 to publically thank Sanofi Pasteur for their 17 contribution that they wish to make to the 18 city. MR. LOSCOMBE: I would also like to 19 20 thank them and commend them for their 21 continuing donations to our public safety. 22 MS. EVANS: All those in favor of 23 introduction signify by saying aye. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 25 MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. KRAKE: 5-D. APPROVING AND 5 AUTHORIZING THE ACQUISITION BY EMINENT 6 7 DOMAIN, DEED IN LIEU OF CONDEMNATION, OR 8 OTHERWISE OF THE NECESSARY RIGHTS, 9 FRANCHISES, LICENSES, EASEMENTS OR TITLES OF LAND REQUIRED FROM JOSEPH S. SAITA 10 AND JUDY E. SAITA IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THE 11 12 CRISP AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT. 13 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 14 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 15 introduced into it's proper committee. 16 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 18 19 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 20 I'm glad to see legislation regarding the 21 Crisp avenue bridge, it's been long overdue, 22 but I do have a question, Mrs. Krake, could 23 we please a send a letter to the city 24 engineer and OECD asking once 5-D is passed 25 by council if all of the legal work will be

1 done for the project to begin come spring. 2 Last I heard that this was the last piece of 3 legislation and the last really any paperwork or legal work that needed to be 4 done before the project could continue, and 5 I would really love to see this work being 6 7 started very, very soon. 8 MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to add 9 that both Joseph Saita and Judy Saita have 10 signed off on this project, so they are 11 obviously well aware of it and have provided 12 authorization. All those in favor of 13 introduction signify by saying aye. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 15 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 17 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 18 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 19 MS. KRAKE: 5-E. ACCEPTING A FIVE 20 21 HUNDRED (\$500.00) DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION FROM THE GREATER SCRANTON JAYCEES PRESENTED 22 23 TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT. 24 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 25 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be

1	introduced into it's proper committee.
2	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
3	MR. JOYCE: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question?
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes. Just like I did
6	with Sonafi, I would like to thank Greater
7	Scranton Jaycees for their contribution.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: I, too, would also
9	echo Mr. Joyce's comments and I failed to
10	thank the earlier contributors that were
11	mentioned by Mrs. Krake for their donations,
12	also, for public safety. Thank you very
13	much.
14	MS. EVANS: All those in favor of
15	introduction signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -
23	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 13, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
24	- CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY
25	ACCOUNT NO. 02.229599 ENTITLED "LAW

ENFORCEMENT TORCH RUN" TO ACCEPT DONATIONS 1 AND PAY FOR ALL RELATED EXPENSES FOR THE 2 TORCH RUN. 3 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 4 5 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 6 7 pass reading by title. 8 MR. JOYCE: Second. 9 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 10 those in favor signify by saying aye. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 12 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 14 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 15 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 16 have it and so moved. 17 MS. KRAKE: 6-B.READING BY TITLE -18 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 14, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY 19 ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE ESTABLISHED) ENTITLED 20 "URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT REPAYMENTS 21 ACCOUNT" FOR THE DEPOSITING OF REPAYMENTS OF 22 URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT FUNDS MADE TO 23 24 THE CITY OF SCRANTON BY THE OWNERS OF 25 PROJECTS WHO RECEIVED THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT

1	ACTION GRANT FUNDS.
2	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
3	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
4	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
5	pass reading by title.
6	MR. JOYCE: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
8	those in favor signify by saying aye.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
12	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
13	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
14	have it and so moved.
15	MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
16	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 15, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
17	- AUTHORIZING THE DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE
18	SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE DETERMINED)
19	ENTITLED URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT
20	REPAYMENT ACCOUNT ONLY FOR ECONOMIC
21	DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES THAT ARE ELIGIBLE
22	ACTIVITIES AS REQUIRED BY FEDERAL LAW.
23	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
24	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C

1 pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: 2 Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 3 A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 4 5 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 7 8 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 9 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 10 MS. KRAKE: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION 11 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION -12 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 12, 2011 - CREATING AND 13 14 ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY 15 16 DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED COMMONWEALTH OF 17 PENNSYLVANIA REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE CAPITAL PROGRAM FUNDS ACCOUNT NO. 12A.0101 18 FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT 19 FUNDS ("RACP GRANT FUNDS") RECEIVED FROM THE 20 21 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE 22 BUDGET. MS. EVANS: What is the 23 24 recommendation of the Chair for the 25 Committee on Finance?

MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 1 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 2 3 passage of Item 7-A. MR. ROGAN: Second. 4 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 call, please? 6 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 7 8 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 9 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 10 11 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 13 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 14 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 17 18 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 19 FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 14, 2011 -20 21 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 22 ENTER INTO AN AMENDMENT TO ITS CONTRACT 23 24 WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 25 DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC

DEVELOPMENT FOR HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT
ASSISTANCE CONCERNING THE RENOVATION OF THE
CONNELL BUILDING IN ORDER TO INCREASE THE
GRANT FUNDING THEREUNDER BY \$250,000.00 FOR
SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS.

MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a motion to table Item 7-B.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: There is a motion on the floor to table Item 7-B and a second. On the question?

MR. ROGAN: On the question, I voted "no" last week to this legislation and although tabling it I guess is better than passing it, I am going to vote "no" on tabling it not because I support the project because I think we should give it an up or down vote and it should be voted down. \$250,000 for a small piece of sidewalk is government waste at it's absolutely worse. It is almost as bad as the infamous bridge to no where that was made very famous up in Alaska.

I went down this weekend and checked out the sidewalks, they were nice sidewalks,

but they weren't made of silver or gold so --

MR. JOYCE: While I respect

Mr. Rogan's opinion, I would like to table

this for the mere fact that Ms. Aebli didn't

respond back to one of council's request,

however, I do believe that there needs be a

little bit more substantiation just then the

office submitted an application for

\$250,000, and that it's grant funding

because it's on a public right-of-way. I

would like to see some square footage and I

would like to see a little bit more detail,

an estimate of costs that will be incurred

with this.

For instance, if you are an insurance claim's adjustor, not that I am, you are not going to take the word of a body shop that says it's \$2.500 to repair your vehicle that was involved in an accident. You are going to want to see a breakdown of what the costs incurred will be and that's why I may end up agreeing with Mr. Rogan that it may be a misuse of federal taxpayer dollars. I want to at least give the office

the opportunity to substantiate the \$250,000 by sending us a breakdown of the costs that will be incurred, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to add, OECD is not in possession of that type of itemized list and costs list, please contact Mr. Jefferson in order to obtain that before next week's meeting because I do agree this needs to move along and I really would like to see it go back onto the agenda next week, regardless of the outcome.

MR. JOYCE: And the \$250,000 may be very well justified.

MR. MCGOFF: In the letter that was sent to us and through all of this, DCED did approve this grant conditionally. It's obviously eligible for the funding, but yet I agree, I think that there should be some justification for the expense --

MR. JOYCE: Right.

MR. MCGOFF: -- provided to council and so I will agree with tabling it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And ditto with my colleagues.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add that I

respect my colleagues' opinion to seek more 1 information, but there is no amount of 2 3 information that I could be given that could justify voting for a quarter of a million 4 dollars for a very small amount of 5 sidewalks. We are not talking about 6 7 sidewalks for a block, it's in front of one 8 building and one street. 9 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 10 signify by saying aye? 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 13 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 14 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? MR. ROGAN: 15 No. 16 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 17 moved. Item 7-B is tabled. If there is no 18 further business, I will entertain a motion to adjourn. 19 20 MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn. 21 MS. EVANS: This meeting is 22 adjourned. 23

24

25

U

. .

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER