	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, February 22, 2011
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE & NADDOZZI DDD AFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>

Ü

. .

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
11	Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD
16	MEETING HELD
17	JANUARY 26, 2011.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. CONTRIBUTION WAS
21	MADE BY WILLIAM R. JACKOWITZ IN THE AMOUNT
22	OF \$50.00 TO BE USED FOR MANPOWER IN THE
23	CITY'S PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT.
24	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed. Clerk's notes.

MS. KRAKE: We don't have any Clerk's notes this evening, Mrs. Evans, we will have quite a bit for next week.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

Do any council members have announcement at this time?

(Mr. Joyce takes takes the dais and joins the meeting.)

MR. MCGOFF: I just have one from DPW. The garbage pickup schedule for this week is behind one day because of Monday's snowstorm. DPW was not scheduled off for President's Day holiday, but they did work on the snowstorm in lieu of the refuse pickup, so everything is one day behind.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

On Saturday,

Yes.

February 26 from 7 to 11 p.m. there will be a "Saturday for Sam" benefit supporting the Sam Fortese benefit fund. He was diagnosed with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in October. Friends and Family are getting together to help offset the burden of this diagnosis. Join us for refreshments and light fare. Raffles for Philly's and New

MR. ROGAN:

York Yankee tickets and more. It will be February 26 from 7 to 11 p.m. at Holy Cross at 501 East Drinker Street in Dunmore.

There is a \$20 donation.

MR. LOSCOMBE: There will be the Poets in concert with a special appearance by Frankie and the Corvettes on March 4, 2011. It will be held at Elm Park Church, 712 Linden Street. The doors will open at 6:00 p.m. The concert starts at seven, ticket prices are \$12.50 in advance, and \$15 at the door. Advance tickets are available by calling Jack Dobenhauser, 909-4974, Jason Miller, 342-4794, or Brian Grassi at 343-8076.

And another one, there is going to be a benefit for Don Moran on March 19 at Holy Rosary Hall from 5 p.m. to 10 p.m. The cost of the tickets are \$15, and that's all I have.

MR. JOYCE: I apologize, I was running a few minutes behind tonight, but I would like if we could all please remember in our prayers Mr. Tim Maloney. Tim Maloney is a 22 years old Scranton resident who is

currently in critical condition at Geisinger Hospital in Danville. Mr. Maloney is the nephew of Mary Ellen Beavers who is the sister of our state representative, Kevin Murphy.

On another note, St. Nicholas
Orthodox Church located on 505 Jefferson
Avenue will hold a pierogi sale this Friday
from 9:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. The price is \$6
per dozen and orders can be placed by
calling 344-1522.

St. Vladimir Ukrainian Greek
Catholic Church located at 428 North Seventh
Avenue will hold a pierogi sale on March 5
beginning at 1 p.m.. the price is \$7 per
dozen and orders can be placed by calling
346-4164.

Also, there will be a venison dinner on Saturday, February 26, at 4:00 p.m. at the Northeast Attachment of the Marine Corp League located on 1340 Alder Street.

Donations of \$8 per person are being accepted to assist the league with other civic projects.

And finally, there will be a "Social

for Saunders", as you may know Jay Saunders is currently suffering from cancer. He was one of or former city clerks. This will be on Saturday, April 2, at 6:00 p.m. at the Ice Box Sports Complex. A \$20 donation can be made at the door or you may prepurchase tickets at Bottler's Tavern at 200 Delaware Street in Jermyn, PA, or at your local Gerrity's Supermarkets. There will be food, refreshment and 50/50 raffle, basket raffles, a silent auction and entertainment will be provided by EJ the DJ, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. On Thursday, March 23, 1967, James T. Reddington was killed in action in Vietnam. Jimmy's immediate family have all passed away leaving his legacy to his fellow marines and Weston Field friends to ensure he is remembered properly. The Board of the Central City little league where Jimmy played is going to rename the field Reddington Field in his honor. wonderful gesture on the board's part will guarantee his name and memory are not

25

forgotten.

On Saturday, June 4, 2011, at the League's field day a plaque's marker with his photo will be dedicated at the Dick Moon Holmes Complex at the top of Wood Street.

They are asking for a donation of any amount to help defray the cost of this plaque marker that will be affixed to the little league building.

Again, Jimmy was born and raised in the Weston Field section and this ceremony will honor one of our own. Any tax deductible donations should be made out to the "Central City Little League Reddington Fund" and mailed to: Central City Little League, 2003 Greenridge Street, Dunmore, PA, 18512.

On behalf of Scranton City Council,

I wish to thank Mr. Bill Jackowitz for his
generous donation of \$50 to the Public

Safety Manpower Initiative. A resident of
Scranton, Mr. Jackowitz in concert with
members of the Scranton/Lackawanna

Taxpayers' Association, annually spearheads
the "Kids Swim Free Program" by collecting

donations for free days of swimming for children at the Nay Aug Pool. Mr. Jackowtiz needs our help to fund this worthy cause.

Please send donations to "Kids Swim Free"

1416 South Webster Avenue, Scranton, PA,

18505.

I'd like to thank the students who sent me the Lackawanna Trail Lion's bracelet and I'm very glad that they watch Scranton City Council meetings occasionally, so here's a big shout out to the Lackawanna Trail Lions.

Although as a nation we observed President's Day just yesterday, the actual birth of President Lincoln was February 12 and the birth date of President Washington is February 22. We honor George Washington this day as the father of our country and arguably our most important American who lead a war to create it, won the presidency to develop a governmental model for the future, and set by example the conduct of the presidency for each of it's successors, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'

PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. On your agenda, 5-E, authorizing the mayor and other appropriate city officials of the City of Scranton to enter into an amended agreement to it's contract with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development for Housing and Redevelopment assistance concerning the renovation of the Connell building ordered to increase the grant funding therein by \$250,000 for sidewalks.

Everybody in this city, every resident has to pay for their own sidewalks. What the heck? After the millions we gave this man he even has the gall to come again. I don't know, that's OECD. Something somewhere -- well, something has to be done about OECD with theses ridiculous grants. I mean, when I walk up from where I live up the Main Avenue up to -- actually, the square, I have to walk on dirt, but yet we

have \$250,000 for him to fix up the sidewalks at the Connell building which probably is construction and they tore it up. Now, something is wrong somewhere.

We've got to watch every dollar we spend when we are coming up with ideas like this it's ridiculous. I mean, are you going to fix everybody's sidewalks in the city? You are not going to put in grants for all of our sidewalks all the way up and down the city, but yet OECD is picking these particular spots, but what galls you is the millions we gave them. It's not like he is doing it because he just bought a building or something. We give him millions of dollars to fix that building up.

Now he never -- he is always put his hand out and it's got to stop. Somewhere along the line you got -- this man, whoever it is, has to live up to what is he doing and fix his own sidewalks like every other resident in the City of Scranton. I had to do mine, everyone else had to do theirs, but yet for some reason or other central city seems to be always out with their hand on

the bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The whole city is important. sidewalks are falling apart everywhere, they are slate. They were put in probably in the turn of the century. Very few places where they actually redid the sidewalks. they redid them up at the square in Providence, but they only stopped at Providence. When you go down the hill you walk in dirt, and that's not right. I mean, somewhere along the line the neighborhoods should come first. Central city we pour too much into central city already. We got almost \$40 million we put into central city. Do you know how many houses we could have rehabbed for 40 million dollars? We could have redid a whole neighborhood, and what did you do, you rehabbed ten buildings. \$40 million into ten 10 buildings and it never ceases. They always have their hand out.

And the truth of it is, most of the money you are getting it through the wage tax. That's people that work in the city, not the businesses, okay? We get very little from the businesses. Most of what

you get is from the people who work in the city and not necessarily working in the city, but paying taxes because they live in the city.

So I believe the city -- the residents of the city should come before central city and it's got to cease. I mean, God, ripping down buildings after building after building and we are throwing money to fix up old buildings that people who buy them should be responsible to do, but that's the way life goes in the city and it's been going that way for a long time. That's why we are where we are today. It's a downhill spiral.

The neighborhoods are collapsing, people are moving out, even though you did cut the wage tax, I know you can't do nothing about it because that's your money. That's where all of the money comes from actually to run the city is the wage tax and people don't want to come into the city unless they are like me who was born in the city and I don't want to leave the city and that's the reason why. No matter how much

money I made I was glad to pay it, but it was a different situation then.

When we used to pay that money we knew your grandmother didn't have higher taxes on her house because you weren't paying the difference to run the city, so we -- okay. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Sbaraglia. Ozzie Quinn. And I would ask that everyone would please remain quiet while a speaker is addressing council.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton.

Lackawanna County taxpayer. Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: In regards to motions, under "C", creating a special city account for the Urban Development Action Grant, I don't understand that, why you are creating one now and why the administration is creating one now. I mean, they are an action grant from going on and make repayments for the last 20 years.

In addition, I remember that former city council majority at that time they

passed a motion for all of the repayments to go to Mr. Doherty for whatever he wanted to do with their development action grants, so could you explain why you are making that?

MS. EVANS: Actually, this is, I believe, it's 5-C and 5-D are council generated pieces of legislation in order to prevent those types of occurrences that just listed, we are closing the checkbook, and I will elaborate more on that for you under motions.

MR. QUINN: Thank you. And I want to quote what Andy said in regard to that, I know that money is coming from the Pennsylvania Department of Community Affairs, Community and Economic Development, that dates me, Community Affairs, however, the fact is it's taxpayers' money. Part of that money came from the people here in Scranton and you cannot say that, well, it's state money. Let's have a caucus with the state and talk about this, you know? For some unknown reason they think it fell out of a tree or someplace. That is public money.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And when Andy said about those sidewalks, you know, when Attorney Hughes was Chairman of the Redevelopment Authority he did many rehab programs under his chairmanship where they did sidewalks and curbs, okay, and you don't see these slates, you know, busting up or the curbs busting Go down and Third and Fourth Avenue like they previously said or over on Cedar Avenue or over in North Scranton and Ravine Street, Main Street, I mean, that's - you know, that's because there was planning Now, you just didn't buckshot things. done. We spent so many millions of dollars through the years through this Community Development Block Grant Fund and there was no -- it didn't work on a plan. You know, even a city like Atlanta, Georgia, a huge city, it has a master plan to follow it's CDBG If you don't you are open to program. whatever your mayor wants to do and whoever, if he has got control of city council and they back him, as in prior years, that's what happens.

Now, I just want to point out, too,

the fact that I really appreciate you holding up, tabling that grant, again, that's taxpayers' money that \$3.4 million because he wanted a tax relief, okay, forgiveness.

Now, the Scranton/Lackawanna County
Taxpayers met last Thursday and we supported
that, and we sent a letter to the county
commissioners and the school district
following your lead, and it's not just the
76,000 it's close to \$275,000 because we,
the taxpayers, got to include the school
district and the county, so that's a piece
of change that we don't -- we don't want to
give out and this guy wants to get three,
five year and two down the street. I mean,
it's ridiculous, and the mayor says that.
"I'll take the lead of the school district."

What kind of leader is that? You know, he should take the lead. He should have listened to you people. You don't want to listen to the school district, he should take the lead or realize, you know, that we are hurting. You know, and the fact is than he is saying, "I'll take the lead."

You wouldn't want to be in a foxhole with that guy. Really. I mean, he should take the lead.

Now, that leads up to a very serious point I want to talk about, brownouts. I live up on east Scranton, which is contiguous with the Hill Section, okay, Bunker Hill, that area there. Now, Ash Street fire station, Engine 15, was closed last Sunday, okay, was this brownout so, that meant that Engine 4 would have had to come over and cover that, you know. I don't know what -- doesn't he realize that this is a moral issue, okay, because of the fact that he is fooling around with people's lives and limbs, properties.

Now, when I was 13, 14 years old our home was destroyed by fire and at that time there was the fire station on Wyoming Avenue, one on the 1600 block of Dickson Avenue and one on East Market Street, okay? And that fire, boom, like that. Okay? It's a response time, so what I'm trying to say is that we have to do something for the city council.

You know, a lot of people say,
"Well, not my house," buy, you know, we
are -- this is a dangerous situation and,
you know, this mayor has got to realize, you
know, he has got to realize what he is
doing. He had those urban development
action grant money and that was money can go
anywhere. You know that. It doesn't have
to follow the CDBG regulations. Did he use
it for any type of fire or for public
safety, for police cars or whatnot? No.
Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.

MS. EVANS: Les Spindler.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could just address Mr. Quinn. Oz? If you just stick around until these two resolutions are introduced I think will you will find out why we did it, okay?

MR. QUINN: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: Okay? Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident,

homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, Ozzie stole my thunder a little bit. That's the first thing I wanted to speak about is what the mayor is doing with our fire department. I mean, I was very angry when I heard he was closing down Engine 9, which is real close to my house, and now he wants to brownout Engine 15 and I think it's Truck 2?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: It's Truck 2. Engine 15 is bad enough, but browning out Truck 2 I think that's just a travesty. As you said last week, Mrs. Evans, that would go to all of the three city hospitals, all of the high rises downtown, you know, if somebody has to be saved that would be the ladder truck. Otherwise, they have to wait for I think it's Truck 4 on Main Avenue which the response time would be greater.

And, you know what, I think this man really has issues, and I mean Chris Doherty. He didn't get his way with the budget so this is his way of fighting back and all he is doing is hurting the taxpayers, putting

people's safety in danger and I think it's wrong. I have already called his office, I hope everybody else that's watching this on TV calls the mayor's office, ask him not to do this or write a letter. I don't know if it will do any good, but it can't hurt.

Something has to be done because this is public safety and, I mean, this guy just doesn't seem to care. I don't know what his problem is, but something has got to be done.

Moving on, I agree with what Andy and Ozzie said about \$250,000 for sidewalks. That's just unheard of to me. I mean, I know where the Connell building is, I don't think it takes that many sidewalks. It cost \$250,000? I think that's ridiculous, I don't know if that's Mr. Jefferson, I guess owns that building, too.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: I don't think he should get that, but on the same subject with him, I have been thinking about this with the Chamber of Commerce building and I think council should rethink about their

decision of tabling that legislation. You know, if he sells that building to a nonprofit then we won't get a penny for that building ever and I have it on good authority that he had a huge offer for Lackawanna College to buy that building. If that's true we will never get a penny of taxes for that building. At least if those taxes are forgiven, which again, weren't his taxes, then the city will receive a lot of taxes from that building year after year, but if that is sold to a nonprofit we won't see a penny.

And like I said, I have it on good authority that Lackawanna offered him \$300,000 more for that building then he paid for it, so I think council should rethink that. If we lose the 75 or as Ozzie said over 200,000 in the long run I think we would make that back from all of the taxes that building would bring in. That's all I have tonight. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.

Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Doug Miller, Scranton. A few issues tonight. I would like to start off with the funding from OECD, we had \$200,000 in funding that we now have available that can be used for other initiatives throughout the city, and from what I understand the funding was originally set to be used for a project on Scranton Lace.

And I would just like to echo the statements that you, Mr. Rogan, had made in the paper and that is the funds should be used for services throughout the city such as investing in the neighborhoods and paving the streets. I think we can all agree that our streets are deplorable and the neighborhoods are run down and we need to straighten this mess out, and they're common complaints that we hear every day of the week from residents and I would just encourage council to look into utilizing those funds for that purpose because I think we can all agree that it's time to shift our attention to the neighborhoods and doing what we can to eliminate blight and improving our streets because that's got to

be the number one priority right now.

You know, I think listening to Mr. Sbaraglia tonight talk about the millions of dollars that have been invested in the downtown, and although I would commend anyone for investing in any part of town, I just really believe that we need to focus on the neighborhoods right now. We have let them go for far too long, and we are seeing the effects of that.

I'd like to also address the Chamber of Commerce building project. You know, I'd like to -- again, I commend anyone for being willing to invest in our community and I don't criticize anyone for wanting to invest in our community, however, what I don't condone is allowing someone to get a free pass on paying taxes to the city. You know, I think that what council did last week, the stance you took, I asked you to stay the course and in regards to tabling that legislation and not approving any \$3 million loan until those obligations are met.

The other issue I had tonight deals with this situation on the agenda, 5-E. We

19

2021

22

23

24

25

are dealing with the same group of individuals who now want an additional \$250,000 to get free sidewalks in front of the Connell building, and as Mr. Sbaraglia mentioned, I'm going to go back to him again, you know, we take a look at the sidewalks across this city and he made a good point, does that mean we are going to get free sidewalks now? I mean, I just don't understand where we are going here. It's really it's ridiculous, and to sit here and look at this legislation and to see that these individuals don't want to pay the taxes they owe I don't understand how they can expect council to approve any of this legislation, and I'm truly disappointed that someone would come here and ask for this kind of money.

And, you know, you hear this word grant and they try to hide the fact that, you know, although it's a grant it's still being funded by the taxpayers of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania so we are paying. We are paying for it, and I would just hope that council will, like I said,

stay the course and not approve any of this until those taxes are paid.

You know, the majority of the residents of this city are struggling, it's no secrete. They are struggling to pay their bills, they are struggling to find employment, they are not going to get a free pass on their bills. They know they have to pay their taxes. They know council is not going to give them a break and say, "No, you don't have to pay your taxes," so why should these individuals? It's not fair.

A free ride to the city needs to come to a fast halt, and I'm sure KOZ's and nonprofits across the city don't appreciate the comments I'm making and I really don't care because I'm about people, not politics and not games, and I know that this council for a long time has been committed to ending free rides and I know you will continue to pursue this issue.

Another issue I have tonight was
just a simple request, there is a street
light on Parrott Avenue across from the West
Scranton middle school that's been out for

the past few weeks and obviously without the light there is a noticeable difference and with the darkness and obviously a safety issue, so maybe we can send that request and try to address that issue.

I would also like to ask council tonight if we did receive a response from DPW in regards to the request I made about the crosswalks being cleared in front of the Francis Willard Elementary School?

MS. EVANS: I don't believe we received a response on that. However, I did want to add a comment to your first issue tonight, the unused funding from the Lace Complex. I had brought that issue forward at last week's council meeting and correspondence was sent to Ms. Aebli concerning the use of those unused funds. She responded and I am going to be discussing that tonight under motions.

MR. MILLER: Very good. Thank you.

I appreciate that.

MR. JOYCE: Also, Mr. Miller, would you happen to know the pole number of the streetlight that is out?

MR. MILLER: You know what,

Mr. Joyce, I can get that for you. I

apologize for not having that, I should have

had that for you. I can get that for you.

MR. JOYCE: Oh, that's not a problem. If you could send it to me in an e-mail I'll go on Municipal Energy Manger's website and enter it and also have our city clerk follow-up with the call to Mr. Kearns.

MR. MILLER: Thank you. I'll get that to you.

MR. ROGAN: I have probably entered half a dozen on the website in the last two months and it seems that none of them get fixed.

MR. JOYCE: I have as well and reentered them.

MR. ROGAN: It seems sporadic, I mean, the way they get fixed. If you enter one month and you enter the next one a month later the one that was submitted second will get repair. I don't know what system they are using, but I did see the bids that came in and hopefully we will get something from the mayor on that soon.

MR. MILLER: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. I thought I'd take on a couple of the robber baron's tonight in the city like Charles Jefferson. This man is an absolute disgrace to this city, threatening us that he is going to sell to Lackawanna College or a nonprofit if he doesn't get his way. You know, you people have the right to challenge these nonprofits and you don't do it. It's just got -- it's just got to end for crying out loud.

You know, almost all of these things are things that are told to me by three, four, five people, during the week.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Ellman, actually, with regard to the large nonprofits, and I assume you are inferring particularly the postsecondary institutions like Lackawanna College since that seems to be the rumor concerning a potential buyer for Mulberry Lofts, but City Council actually did approach each one of the schools and their answer was they are not giving the city any

money.

MR. ELLMAN: Whoever is responsible to allow Lackawanna College to think they were going to stay in that little building to start with is a complete fool. The first thing people started thinking they are going to need land like the University of Scranton. They shouldn't have ever been allowed there, but that's hindsight.

But Charles Jefferson cheated the city out of all of that money from the building permits and the Connell building. You haven't seen him come in here to confront me or sue me or anything because I know that's a fact, and he is going to do the same thing over here, you will see. I have a -- he is getting the building half -- half grants and half taxpayer money, all he's got to do is come up with half the money to remodel it and that's not enough.

These people will never be happy if they just bought the last ounce. When he bought that building he knew to the last lousy penny was involved and he knew there was tax money involved. He shouldn't be

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

given no more forgiveness.

We have a city, there is just no leadership. There is just no telling what this man downstairs has already told them is going to happen, but the school board has turned it's back on the people of this city and you can't do the same. We need you. Ι mean, you know, I would rather see that building across there rot than have it -the taxes forgiven. Nobody -- you didn't get a house half free. Nobody out here got a house half free. These people just never They don't enough. It's what you have done for me lately? He thinks a half free building is not enough. He got a business. He will get, you know, so much more money, there is people down here hanging out, I have talked to them in the mall, without outrageous rents trying to get by and this greedy SOB is crying poverty all the time about everything.

This man has no integrity, no credibility, he is not an honorable person to do business with and I have done business with lot of people on a handshake. One of

my arch enemies in Missouri I just -- you just can't imagine how this man and I are two complete opposites, but when he shakes hands with you on a deal it's like having a notary stamp, and he is known for that.

This man doesn't have a bit of integrity whatsoever and the city shouldn't give him a lousy penny more and if something does come up with him wanting to sell confront him. You got to do something to stop all of these nonprofits from taking over the city. And Lackawanna College saying, "Go use my library."

They're in a, what is that, four-story building, isn't it, that used to pay taxes. They don't think of that. They don't think of that building they used for dormitory and paid almost \$10,000 a year, the one on Wyoming. All of these guys, the University got 120 houses off and people are just -- I don't know, I have heard them say they need to start paying their money into the -- what do you call it every year instead of giving it the city and I think that's a good idea. The -- I forget what

you call that, where you put your money in escrow. Something's got to be done to get your attention and that ought to be it.
Well, not your intention, but what are we going to do?

You know, every year there is millions of dollars floating around in those books and nobody seems to care. I asked a year ago about that house why was that house at 1,500 block of Court made a KOZ by Mr. Doherty? It'd look like somebody would be interested. It couldn't be a more crooked situation than make a house in the middle of a residential neighborhood a KOZ. See, nobody cares.

Last week we talked about all of the sewers and things over here, that shouldn't have ever happened to the city. I don't know where all of these people are, but the politics in this city just stink.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: You're through with me,

huh?

MS. EVANS: We are never through with you.

1 MR. ELLMAN: I've got a whole lot of 2 other things I can come up with. 3 MS. EVANS: I know. MR. ELLMAN: I've already alienated 4 5 Mr. --MS. EVANS: Well, next week is 6 7 another week. 8 MR. ELLMAN: I got a bunch of people 9 I could alienate. Thank you. MS. EVANS: 10 Thank you. 11 MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much. 12 MS. EVANS: Theresa Roberts. 13 MS. ROBERTS: I'm a newcomer. Good 14 evening. 15 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 16 MS. ROBERTS: My name is Theresa 17 Roberts and I'm a taxpayer of Scranton. I 18 own 621-623 Harrison Avenue in Scranton. I 19 come to complain, okay? This is the last 20 straw that I have. I'm going to show you a couple of things first. Mrs. Evans knows me 21 22 and --23 MS. EVANS: Yes. 24 (Ms. Roberts approaches the dais.) 25 MS. ROBERTS: I received letters in

the mail from the city. This is my property here. This is my garage, and these are the letters that I received, okay? If you can read them? I received this one letter on Friday the 18th of February and the other one I had to go down and sign for it for certified mail today.

I came down to the city saying that the city, this Patty Fowler, she is from the License and Inspection and Permits and it says there that there is an abandoned building -- or abandoned car on my property that has junk and all kinds of stuff and it's hazardous, and it doesn't even exist.

Okay, as a taxpayer I found out that this was an anonymous phone call that came through, okay, and anybody can call you and say, "Such and such has this going on," but my grief is how could somebody call the city, okay, say my name, my address, okay, and this lady here, this inspector, did not do her job, okay? She did not follow-up. She did not go to my home. She did not, you know, follow the facts or whatever, she just put a letter through telling me that I had

five days to remove a vehicle that did not exist, okay?

I came down to the office today and
I went to go to Chris Doherty's mayor's
office and the secretary there did also give
me this Tom Oleski that came in?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. ROBERTS: And I went to his office and I told him the situation, he said, look, give me an hour and a half and I'll get back to you. He said, "I'm going to go to your residence and I'm going to look at your property," he said, "and I'll call you back and I'll let you know what I, you know, decide or whatever."

I said that was fine. He came up to my property and I guess Patti also went up to the property, but Patti didn't go to my house. It turns out that there was an error that this vehicle was in the 600 block of --600 block of Wheeler in the alley. So, therefore, he is at my place and she is at a whole different other place, okay?

If I did not pay attention and come down, okay, this would have continued. This

is harassment, okay? I pay my taxes, I have been there for 16 years, okay? I'm quiet when I have to be, you know what I mean? So I figure because my son was junior council, he said, "Come down and talk to you guys."

So I did talk to Tom and he said that, you know, there will be a letter of apology, but that's not good enough. I mean, I'm very upset. You know, Patti wasn't doing her job clearly. You have to have procedure when someone calls. You are ruining people's reputation, okay? As you can see, my property is clean and it's a beautiful home and if I could pick it up and leave it and take it somewhere else I would.

Now, I want to show you these pictures. These are in the back of my house, okay? Now these people here are clearly ones that should be citated for garbage. This is what I have to look at every day when I leave my property so instead of picking on me clearly you can see those pictures. If I was going to call and complain, okay, if I was going to call and complain about something you need to have

2
 3
 4

your facts straight, okay? And if you are going to call anonymously then you not doing it -- no, you just want to cause trouble and that's exactly what it is. Somebody wanted to cause me trouble and cause my family trouble, okay?

And I just want, you know, somebody to look into it and see what they can do about it because this can't happen again, you know what I mean? People sue all of the time. I'm not a sue happy person, but if I was pushed to shove I would do it, you know what I mean? I'm just like giving you a heads up for it, you know what I mean?

My son, I, and my daughter are living in the City of Scranton, we have been here for a long time and, you know, I want to be proud of my city, you know what I mean? And they should have the respect of the residents that live there. You cannot blame or -- you know, you cannot accuse people of doing things that they are not doing.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Roberts, did you point this out to Mr. Oleski?

MS. ROBERTS: He came up to the property and when he was looking at my property he turned around and he could not believe his eyes. So from what I understand now I guess they are going to try to citate the property owner there. I mean, this goes on all of the time, and I did want to make a comment to you, too, about the garbage, okay?

I did -- and Don, I think his name is Don Davis, very nice guy, of course, I like --(inaudible) because he is a doll to for me, and I was having problems with the garbage men. I buy garbage cans all of the time, okay? They are picking up the garbage. You know, when they throw it they're picking up the can and they're throwing the can and they are cracking the I can't keep going to Lowe's. unemployed as it is. I can't keep going to Lowe's and buying cans to replace it. Don Davis is bringing up a couple of garbage cans for me because -- and, you know, I found out that I guess they are trying to get rid of like four or five supervisors in

that department, you can't do that. If you 1 have 90 people you're watching over as a 2 3 supervisor when I was you have to babysit, you know what I mean? You can't do it. 4 Have you to have more people on the job 5 making sure they are doing their job. 6 7 You smell garbage, you know what I 8 mean? You pick it up, it's disgusting, it 9 makes your property value go down, you know 10 what I mean? So hopefully they can take 11 care that. 12 Do you need these back? MS. EVANS: 13 MS. ROBERTS: Would you like them? 14 MS. EVANS: We can make copies. 15 MS. ROBERTS: Okay, if you could. 16 MS. EVANS: And return these to you. 17 MS. ROBERTS: Yes, could you make 18 copies and then I'd like to keep the 19 originals. 20 MS. EVANS: Certainly. If you could 21 give these to Ms. Carrera that would be very 22 helpful. 23 MS. ROBERTS: Did you need the 24 pictures, too? MS. EVANS: As long as Mr. Oleski 25

has seen the pictures and he has that address I think he is good to go on that.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. EVANS: I do have one question though, had the inspector actually traveled to your home to look for the vehicle, the illegal abandoned vehicle that had been anonymously reported, was anyone out there actually at your address to see that nothing was there?

MS. ROBERTS: And I live -- okay, and next to me is Cathy and Leo Wortek, they live next to me. I mean, their house is immaculate, you know what I mean? We all are. We all take care of our properties. We all watch each other. Peggy and Dave are next to me and they are also watching and we all watch out for our neighborhoods and everything like that. Like, my house is one of the best houses on that block besides the Worteks and all of them, we all keep it up.

What my -- why I'm so upset is because they didn't to their job right, okay? When you get an anonymous tip, okay, even if I don't like you or whatever you

have to have something, okay, so you get an anonymous phone call, say I called and said, "You know, Mrs. Evans, has got all of this garbage and da, da, da, and all this stuff, okay, well, we are going to send somebody up to see that property and see what it is before we do anything, okay?

MS. EVANS: I thought normally that is what happened -- occurred.

MS. ROBERTS: Yeah. There has got to be -- everything has procedures and there was no procedures followed whatsoever, okay? She went and she said on the phone to Tom, okay, "I'm at this property, the car is still here, the junk is still here."

He goes, "Well, I'm at the property that you sent the letter to," he goes, "and there is no abandoned vehicle."

I don't even know what the vehicle looks like and they kept saying to me when I went -- you know, when I was going to the mayor's office or I went to Tom's office, he goes, "Well, do you know what the -- is the car still there? You know, do you know what the car looks like?"

I'm like, "I'm telling you, this car does not exist."

Okay? I got the letter, I was upset with the letter, they should have looked into it. She had the wrong address, she had -- how did she get my name, I have no clue, okay, but when I talked to Tom he said the calls come in anonymous, okay, for some -- you know what I mean? But if I'm going to -- if I'm not going to report you that you are doing something wrong I'm going to give you my name, I'm going to give you my address, and I have facts, and you can see that I have facts. Pictures say everything.

MR. ROGAN: I could understand your frustration when you go through all of that trouble to keep great care of your property and you get a letter like that in the mail I could see how it's very frustrating.

MS. ROBERTS: It is frustrating. I was very upset with it, yes, I was.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Krake, could we send a letter to the DPW asking that the refuse workers don't throw the cans especially in this cold weather when they

become more brittle and, you know, garbage cans aren't cheap these days.

MS. ROBERTS: Trust me, I know they are not cheap. My son was going to go and buy two more, I said, "No, look, I have to go down to council and talk to them about it."

You know, they break, if they are plastic and you throw them and it's cold, it's 19 degrees --

MR. ROGAN: They snap.

MS. ROBERTS: They just shatter and then, of course, I have to chase them, you know, half way down the block and then somebody steals them, you know what I mean? So now I'll get two new ones and I'll put my address on them and they'll still take them anyway, but it's ridiculous that you have to go in the back of the alley in the summertime, you know, like the food is out spoiled, it turns into worms, and you are like trying to wash it. It smells, it's disgusting, I'm there with rubber gloves and my son is like, I'm like, this is ridiculous, you shouldn't have to do that.

I had come in contact with a couple of the garbage men, and let me just say they are not friendly people, okay? They are just not friendly. I have approached one, two, and he started yelling saying we got to double bag it and all of this stuff. I double bag it and I make sure my tenants next door make sure their garbage is inside concealed in a garbage bag and put in there. Now, they pick it up and they throw it on there, you know what I mean, and then if it breaks you have the gloves, you have the manpower, pick it up and put it in the garbage truck, okay?

If you can't do your job I'll gladly take it, I don't have a job. I don't have a job, I'll take it.

MR. ROGAN: You are exactly right.

I have been extremely critical of the DPW over the last month or so and happy that the snow removal was excellent on Monday, but then well-prepared to compliment and everything and then a story like this. It's frustrating.

MS. ROBERTS: It is frustrating, you

1

know what I mean? Like, just do your job. That's all I ask. I pay for garbage tax. paid every year, okay, and I would ask that they would to their job and take care of it, you know what I mean? I shouldn't have to go out and there pick up the garbage every time they leave, and the last time I didn't even talk to you guys at city council I just gave pictures to Bill Courtright and then he gave them to Don. Don was a gentlemen, a nice guy, he's coming up tomorrow to bring me two new garbage cans and two more recycling cans because they keep getting stolen from the front of my house. I don't know who keeps taking them, but somebody keeps taking them and so he is bringing two more up and he said he would like to speak to me, so that's it. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much for your time.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. BLOOM: Good evening. Lynn

25

24

.

Bloom, North Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. BLOOM: Did anyone by any chance find out whose responsibility the back parking lot of Neil Armstrong belongs to?

MS. EVANS: We haven't, no.

MR. JOYCE: We haven't heard a response yet.

MS. BLOOM: Because I would hate to think that adults would be spiteful and petty, but we got a lot of snow, a good -- I can't judge distance, I should be not a good driver, but I actually am, this far from the curb to where you can park your car.

Population of the school 600. Third grade and above can only exit the back door.

Main Avenue firehouse, permanently closed. Market Street might be out on a call. This morning a mother and a child went down on the sidewalk. First the child then the mother, so I really hope that none of this is intentional and it's just simply apathy. I really do hope it's just apathy, because, you know, there was a gentleman speaking here, I have to be totally honest,

I have said it repeatedly, I moved here for a better life for my youngest child, if something happens to my son when he is in the care of the Scranton School District I have no idea what I'll do. I know it won't be violent, that I can assure you, but I have an intense feeling it is going to cost somebody other than myself and my son a hell of a lot of money because this is just a complete lack of forethought, foresight and concern.

It's the 21st century. There is no excuse on this planet why between a school district, a DPW, a parking lot with 600 students and students are only released from one door for third grade and above, come on. It's not a big road to begin with and it's not right. It's just not right.

I mean, you know, having school district employees go out a one-way road onto Theodore, come on, man. You are getting paid. This is your job so you can afford the extra five minutes to go the right way and go onto Clearview and around. You are getting paid, you are on the clock,

so don't put my life and the life of other parents going to pick up their children in jeopardy because you want to just, I don't know. I don't know what the malfunction is. Bad mood, late coffee break, it's not my problem.

I have done a lot of stupid things when I was working. I never worked at an elementary school. I did an internship for my master's program at an elementary school, I lasted three weeks, I'll be the first one to say it. I did not want to deal with fetal alcohol syndrome, drug abuse, child abuse, not my thing. I'm sorry, that rant is over.

Mr. Jefferson and his employee last week, oh, where to begin. I have never been forgiven a tax dollar in my entire life. If a man can invest that kind of money, he was surprised that taxes were owed? I just can't -- it was very hard for me to keep a straight face and the young woman that was an employee, look, if you're a yuppie, first of all, I moved here four years ago. To be totally honest, Scranton doesn't roll out

the welcome wagon to people with accents like mine. My husband's family has been here for 100 years, that's what I have to tell me. I'm an import, he imported me, but, you know.

The young upperly mobile people they were referring to believe it or not, kids, believe it or not, I was married before. I was married really young, he was a young upperly mobile professional. We didn't stay in our home town on the weekends. Why? Because he was a young upperly mobile professional. We went skiing, we went on out of town cruises, pick something. So if that developer is going to try and convince me that these professionals are going to spend their weekends spending money in downtown Scranton I have a bridge really cheap.

I wanted to live in downtown

Scranton when my husband broke it to me that
we were staying in Scranton. I couldn't

afford the parking, my husband couldn't

afford the extra time in his commute. We

worried about snow removal, and I had to

1 worry about a school for my son. They don't have these concerns. 2 3 He had -- what he did by threatening the nonprofit that's financial vampirism. 4 Oh, you are not going to do it my way? I'm 5 going to suck you dry financially by selling 6 7 to someone that won't give you any money. 8 It's not right, so thank you. 9 Mrs. Bloom, can I ask MS. EVANS: 10 you, where did the woman and child fall? 11 MS. BLOOM: They were coming -- to go into the back door they were crossing the 12 13 parking lot. 14 MS. EVANS: Of the school? 15 MS. BLOOM: Of the school. 16 MS. EVANS: Okay. 17 MS. BLOOM: And first the child went 18 down and being a mommy instinct and then she fell as well. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Okay. 21 MS. BLOOM: That was at 8:15 this 22 morning. MS. EVANS: 23 That was actually on 24 school district property? 25 MS. BLOOM: Yes, not a good way for

them to start the day, and I pray to God that they are both okay. One of my girlfriends did stop and another woman had already stopped, but it's just, I mean, all of the parents were complaining. We have been very patient this winter, it's been a brutal winter and you try and understand, but when you have firehouses closing the closest ones that would render aid coupled with not clearing parking lots and having access, it's -- a tragedy is going to happen and that's one time I don't want to be right, I'm sorry, I just don't want to be. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

First, I don't know if you have any answers saying it's state money, maybe OECD or something, if you can consider possibly if you have an opportunity to find some money for the Lackawanna Heritage Trail. I was talking to Natalie Solfanelli today and she is doing a great job and they are thinking about or they are hoping to get a trail from Elm Street at the South Side Shopping Center

and go down all the way down to Taylor, and that would be Scranton, so if the city could possibly help out with any grants or anything if they could possibly look into that. Pat?

MS. EVANS: Well, what I can tell you, you might recall the city has an agreement with those who oversee the Lackawanna Heritage Valley Trail. The city actually had not paid --

MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?

MS. EVANS: The city had not paid it's bills for maintenance of the trail that travels through Scranton, and I had been in touch with Ms. Solfanelli, this was I believe the Summer of 2010 and maybe the early fall and all of that was worked out and I believe they have been paid and we have an agreement going forward for a five-year period in terms of what the city pays per mile to that for their maintenance of the trail, so we are already contributing.

MS. FRANUS: But what I'm saying is there any way possible to get a grant that

would help them more so? Pat? 1 2 MR. ROGAN: If they apply and it was 3 in a low to moderate income area --MS. FRANUS: Oh, it certainly is. 4 MR. ROGAN: Yeah, I would think it 5 would be. 6 7 MS. FRANUS: Are they are aware of 8 that, Pat? 9 I don't think they are. MR. ROGAN: 10 I could get in touch with them. 11 MS. FRANUS: Could you get in touch with them? 12 MR. ROGAN: Sure. Do have their 13 14 contact information or --MS. FRANUS: No. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: I'm sure I could get it 17 out of the phone book. 18 MS. FRANUS: Yeah, I'm sure you 19 could, but I wish you would do that because 20 right now with the new governor in place we 21 don't know if they are going to get as much 22 as they were promised and that's a very 23 important trail. 24 MS. EVANS: And I think what we are 25 also looking at though is a reduction very

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

possibly in CDBG monies to all municipalities nationwide under the new national budget. As a result, it's very possible that Scranton will not receive the dollars that it has in previous years and then it's going to be a very difficult process of prioritizing and choosing which projects are most needed by city residents.

MS. FRANUS: I understand that. appreciate it though if you could, and one of the projects you shouldn't do is -- I thought maybe, yes, you should, but \$250,000 for sidewalks? Can you imagine the nice house you could build for \$250,000 with sidewalks? Think about it. They must have gold in them or something. I mean, I would seriously if you are going to consider this at all please ask for any bills to show how this money was spent from a contractor that charges that much for sidewalks, and how come they don't come and fix my sidewalks, the city? I don't get it.

MS. EVANS: You don't have an RACP grant or a Growing Greener Grant.

MS. FRANUS: I think it's who you

know around here.

MS. EVANS: I believe both grants were awarded to the Connell building project and Mr. Jefferson.

MS. FRANUS: Yes. Yes, but why was my question? Why is the money going to this man all of the time and then the arrogance of he and that woman that came last week, I forget her name.

MS. EVANS: Well, basically I believe any developer can apply for these state grants and as far as I understand there is no ceiling, there is no limit on how many grants for which they can apply or how many times they can apply, let's say you have three redevelopment projects, you have the right and the ability to apply for state grant funding for all three of those projects. There is no state limitations.

MS. FRANUS: Well, I guess my point would be to please make sure that you as a city council check every bill that they get. That -- every penny that they spend to double check where that money went because I, as a taxpayer, and everybody else in the

city and the state we are paying them and the way they spoke to you is though they felt it was owed them and they were very threatening and arrogant. I just couldn't get over them. I mean, they just felt like it was -- should be for them and I don't get it.

That's about it. Just please reconsider if you do give it to them, make sure you get every bill possible, please.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCCLOE: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MCCLOE: My name is Brett

McCloe, Scranton resident. Two things were said at last week's meeting that prompted me to come to council this week. What struck me wasn't the taxes that were owed on the property, but how at ease Mr. Jefferson was with threatening the loss of revenue that he says his properties would generate for local merchants. We all understand the dynamics of how projects add prestige to the downtown area and increase foot traffic for local merchants, but why must we constantly live

under the threat of developers abandoning their projects and selling them to nonprofits if they don't get their way?

When will the citizens of Scranton tire of this tactic? The previous council all but told us that the earth was going to open up and swallow Scranton whole if we didn't give away every stitch of taxable land to developers and nontaxable institutions.

Now, I know I'm not a developer, but we are all supposed to be citizens or at least corporate citizens of this city. To entice the citizens of this Scranton with visions of prosperity and progress and then threatening to take it away has always been standard operating procedure for developers in this city. It doesn't show good corporate citizenship nor does it foster good faith in the vision developers have planned for this city.

The citizens of Scranton should be very critical of the threat mantra that seems to always start with the same old loaded sophomoric question, "Would you

rather not have."

This would you rather not have loaded question assumes that the answer is supposed to be frank with a yes or a no. If you answer, yes, then you must be against progress. If you answer, no, then you must be willing to give the developer everything he wants. The citizens of this city have been trapped by this line of questioning for far too long.

I would also like to say I don't think it is good idea to constantly put the burden of economic growth on the people who are trying to live in our downtown area. Not one wants to be thought off as an economic tool. These people are more than just a means to an end. If all of these people represent is a bottom line, I think these people should all ask the owners of these buildings to lower their rents so they can spend more money and increase the bottom line of our local merchants.

Next, you brought something to my mind, Mr. Loscombe, last week, you spoke briefly about unions and how you support

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think every American should support them. unions in one form or another. They alone are the only institution upholding and maintaining the middle classes' ability to participate in the consumption end of capitalism and the only thing stopping the average American worker from getting paid the same wage as an outsourced worker in China, Taiwan, and India. If corporations and their legislative benefactors had their way, we would all be working for outsourced wages with very little benefits which in turn increases the income at the very top. This is not capitalism, this is called capitualism.

I don't want to tear down the worlds largest retailer, which is Wal-Mart, but with the great prices and having the most employees, Wal-Mart is not just the world's largest retailer, but it is a part of an economic strategy of sedation. The Wal-Martization of America loves the lulls and soothes the people to believe that they can still afford to buy goods they want even though the wages of the lower and middle

class are stagnant and declining. Decades of nationwide union busting by corporation tells us that the American worker no longer has a place in a capitalist society and that corporations and institutions no longer wish to increase pay relative to the massive increases of end profits of the top five to 1 percent wage earners.

Union busting also means this is no such thing as a collective body of workers. Therefore, there can be no such thing as bargaining for higher wages or benefits. Now state and local governments are also buying into the Wal-Martization of government and forcing government employees to bow down or lose their jobs. No doubt once again we will hear the threat mantra of capitualism, would you rather not have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McCloe.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm also a member of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers'.

I believe

1 This past week the taxpayers had a food distribution. We distributed nonperishable 2 3 food to nine food pantries. I want to thank Ozzie Quinn for picking it up, Bob Jones for 4 delivering it, and the taxpayers for their 5 donations. I would also like to thank the 6 7 Riccardo Market for their cooperation and 8 their donation. We delivered approximately 9 300 nonperishable meals to each of the nine 10 food pantries. 11 MS. EVANS: That's wonderful. MR. UNGVARSKY: 12 Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: Highly commendable. 14 MR. UNGVARSKY: Just more word about 15 the Mulberry Towers. I understand they want 16 to be declared a historical site. 17 being declared a historical site they get a discount on their taxes; is that correct? 18 19 MS. EVANS: I believe they would get 20 tax credits for that situation; is that 21 correct; attorney Hughes? MR. UNGVARSKY: Is it up to 20 22 23 percent? 24 MS. EVANS: With a historical 25 designation?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUGHES: So far as I'm aware it depends on the use of the building. fact that it's on the National Historic list does not make it free from local taxes. Ιf it's owned by a legitimate nonprofit it would be. When it is on the list, on the national list of historic places there are certain things that can you do on the rehab of the building such as selling investment tax credits to go into the equity of the property for the rehabilitation, however, the building has to be rehabilitated in accordance with the standards of the Department of Interior. You just can't go in and redo a building.

There is a whole application, I have been involved in those applications that you put in. It goes to the Department -- it goes to the United States Historic Commission for review. This building over here you are talking about putting on the national historic list? Where the old YMCA was?

MS. EVANS: The Mulberry Towers, the old Chamber of Commerce.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. UNGVARSKY: I didn't quite make out what you were saying.

MR. HUGHES: Oh, oh, I thought you meant Mulberry Towers over here.

MS. EVANS: No, no.

MR. LOSCOMBE: The Lofts.

MR. HUGHES: If you they put that in, what he would do, it's a very -- you would form a limited partnership, limited partners would own a very small percentage of the building, maybe 1 percent, they turn around and take the investment tax credits and have limited partnership to put into it. They take the invest tax credits and sell the investment tax credits to investors who are qualified investors, high income individuals that would qualify under the Securities Exchange Commission regulations, take that money and put it into rehab. a lengthy process to get it -- in order to get it listed.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay.

MR. HUGHES: By virtue of the fact that it's on the National Historic list would not exempt it from local real estate

taxes.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay, so there are other advantages besides the tax credit? Is that the reason why he came to city council for \$2 million for the facade on the Connell building so that he could declare it a tax-or a historical building? When he was developing the Connell building he came to city council look for another grant, okay, to fix the facade on the building. Was the reason for that so it could be declared a historical site? Anyone?

MS. EVANS: Honestly I don't recall. Most of these council members would not have been members of city council at the time of this transaction. I think Mr. McGoff and I might have been, but I don't recall and we would have to go back and actually take a look at the documents in order to answer your question.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay. I'm not against --

MR. HUGHES: If I could, there is lot of -- there is the National Register which lists historic places in districts.

The building has to qualify in accordance with federal regulations to be a historic structure with the district itself.

Buildings in Scranton such as the old

Scranton National Bank building, the Masonic Temple, the Albright Museum, are on the national register of lists of historic places which means one thing you can't do is use federal money for -- any federal or state governmental money for any demolition.

Once it's on the list, there is -- it's a very strict list. There are qualifications to get the building listed.

Secondly, there is the state list of historic places. That has no relationship to the Federal National Historic List. When you are on the National Historic List there is tax advantages under the Internal Revenue Code on your redevelopment of the project. The one thing you can do, such as the old Lackawanna train station which is now the Radisson, that was on the National List of Historic places, the developer can depreciate it in five years or capture all of his investment in five years instead of

35 years as if ordinary real estate, so there is a lot of advantages on the National List.

There is also the state list which some -- a lot of buildings that are on the national list are also on the state list.

You can get on the state list, but you would never qualify for the national list. So it depends. It's very --

MR. UNGVARSKY: Yes, but --

MR. HUGHES: There is a very long and lengthy application.

MR. UNGVARSKY: But there is an advantage to it, otherwise, he wouldn't have applied.

MR. HUGHES: From a federal tax standpoint, yes. If you are on the national list and you qualify and you rehabilitate the building in accordance with the Department of Interior standards, then you can depreciate the building in five years instead of 35, so if he has \$5 million invested in the building, not grants, but out-of-pocket money, then he can turn around and depreciate it a million dollars a year

against the income, but whereas if it was on the 35 years list it'd only take 3 percent a year or \$150,000 versus a million. That's the big advantage of the national list.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I understand. Just one more thing, I'm not against development, but I am against all of these grants, we are giving out \$11 million over the last four weeks and I think it has to stop somewhere. Thank you. City council.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. Mr. McGoff, last
week I asked about the Snow Removal Task
Force that was formed three or four years
ago to implement a plan for snowplowing
within the City of Scranton. This planning
group was formed the year of the St.
Valentine's day snow massacre. So far this
year, snowplowing has been horrible, to say
the least, except for this last snow fall.
I must say they did a pretty good job this
time, although, there still are a lot of
spots in downtown, because I go around, that

need to be cleared out, but they did do a better job on Monday.

Again, the city plowed the middle of the roads and that was it. Downtown was a disaster. For a city that promotes downtown and central city business, they sure made it impossible for customers to park. All that is needed is for the downtown plowing to take place any time after 10 p.m. when the merchants in central city are closed. What is so hard with that? DPW needs to do a better job throughout the city, not just selected streets and neighborhoods. Plow from curb to curb downtown at night.

I have been in some of Luzerne communities such as Luzerne and Dallas and Shaffertown, and let me tell you what, they are plowed from curb to curb. They're a smaller community, but they are plowed from curb to curb. It should happen in the City of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Jackowitz, I heard on this evening's local news that a number of the businesses in downtown Scranton were very upset about the lack of snow removal

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from yesterday's storms.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, we don't actually have snow removal because we don't remove anything all we do is plow it from one corner to another corner.

MS. EVANS: Right. Well, nevertheless, they were very upset and seemed to be banding together and I know that Mr. Brazil was interviewed. He said that he was going to try to get some men out after midnight to do one or two streets per night, but he indicated that the problem that they are running into during the evening, the overnight hours, is the fact that the people who are residing downtown now are not parking in parking garages, they are parking on the streets. So that, you know, it's very difficult for the DPW to be able to clear right to the curb when all of downtown tenants are parking -- are using street parking rather than garage parking.

MR. JACKOWITZ: So why don't we --

MS. EVANS: And that he wanted to talk to someone --

MR. JACKOWITZ: So why don't we

generate more revenue by ticketing them, towing their cars away, and plowing from curb to curb? It's simple. They do it in all of the cities all over the United States. I lived in Minnesota, I lived in Wisconsin, and I lived in North Dakota and guess what, we get a heck of lot more snow in those areas than we do in Scranton, Pennsylvania, and that's what they do. They ticket it, they tow it away, and it generates revenue for the City of Scranton. S, that's all they got to implement.

Like I said, four years ago a snow plowing plan was adopted, I want to know is it still in place because it sure isn't working. So what we need to do is plow from curb to curb, and that includes the neighborhood streets. We need odd/even, whatever we got to do, it's a mess. It's ashame. It's a disaster. DPW and the City of Scranton should be ashamed of themselves.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Jackowitz, I was just going to say an odd and evens system.

I remember during the summer I sent a letter to Mr. Brazil asking if they would do that

for the street sweeping as well because, again, we have all of the cars on both sides of the road, you can't get the streets plowed or cleaned and, you know, it's counter productive when they plow and then, unfortunately, they --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Of course, it is.

 $\label{eq:MR.ROGAN: -- throw it back out in the road.} \label{eq:MR.ROGAN: -- throw it back out in the road.}$

MR. JACKOWITZ: They need to implement a plan like I thought they did four years ago, obviously, it wasn't or if it was implemented it's not being followed. I hope I didn't use up all of my time here.

Also, Mr. McGoff, you seem to be the lone council member who has an open door to the mayor. I would like to see you use that door to get the mayor to be a little bit more cooperative. Invite the mayor to attend a council meeting and also to hold a town hall meeting with the residents of Scranton and discuss his plans to generate public safety plans for the next three years. I know that I have several questions that I would like to have the opportunity to

ask the mayor and members of his cabinet.

I see where Mayor Doherty held the state of city address again at the Chamber of Commerce, was Councilman McGoff the only council member invited or was all five council members I invited to attend or could you as council members just show up and get a seat?

Last week Mr. Jefferson and several members of the trade union addressed city council concerning the Scranton Mulberry, LP Project. I find this to be very admirable, but also, where have these union members been for the past nine years helping their fellow brothers and sisters work for the City of Scranton. The Times-Tribune showed a union carpenter's picture in the paper the other day. He was from Wilkes-Barre according to the caption, not Scranton.

Mr. Jefferson, I see where you stated in Wednesdays' Times-Tribune you are not in a position to add 50 percent to the cost of acquisitions and make the project worth. Maybe you should have thought about that before you applied for the \$3.5 million

state grant which, by the way, Mr. Jefferson is taxpayers' money. Now you come before city council requesting that the Scranton taxpayers fork over another \$75,866 to you and your tenants. By the way, how much do your tenants pay in property taxes, school taxes, and county taxes every year? I will bet the answer is zero because they are renters not owners.

If you walk away from a project because of \$75,866 you only wanted to invest the bare minimum in the project in the first place. Another developer will pick up the project just like they are doing with the Mount Pleasant project. My information is that two apartment buildings are being constructed on that site. They both will be willing to -- be tax paying buildings. The city taxpayers are still out 29 million plus for the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, another three million for the Hilton, who knows how much for the Radisson over the years, and many more promises from developers.

Like I said, the residents have been

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

waiting 32 years for the train from Hoboken to Scranton. I guess somewhere along the trail, the train has been derailed.

My first appearance before Scranton City Council I stated from my experience that the best cities that I have resided in were the cities that elected government officials got along with each other and worked hard to make their city the best it could be. That has not happened in Scranton. It seems that for the most part elected officials at all levels work against each other and try to enhance their own This is a recipe for failure that is eaos. why Scranton is still distressed, has low paying jobs, and high unemployment, apartment buildings, grocery stores and young professional will not change anything unless they bring good paying jobs along with it and not restaurants, retail and grocery store jobs.

Scranton City Council, good luck with Mr. McGowan. I hope I'm wrong, but I see nothing changes from past business administrators. Also, to continue to hold

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your ground, the majority of the Scranton taxpayers are behind you. They are tried of giving their money to developers and business owners such as Al Boscov who in turn makes campaign contributions and pays minimum wage or just above minimum wage with the taxpayers money that was given to him by our elected officials.

Local judges do not understand the First Amendment Rights, freedom of speech. Now we are finding out that some do know not what a kickback or bribe is. They consider the money to be a finder's fee. Only in northeastern, Pennsylvania. Where are the feds? Come to Lackawanna County and Scranton, please. How can you possibly release a person on bond who has been convicted on 12 federal felony charges. 0h. I forgot, once upon a time he supposedly was an honorable what, laugh, Judge? I guess they stick together.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

Mr. Jackowitz, I would just like to take the time to thank you publically for your

donation to Public Safety Manpower

Initiative and also on behalf of the other residents who have made contributions.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Council, Marie Schumacher.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'd like to start off with 5-E as well. Is it possible that this is an after-the-fact request? About ten days ago I was walking up that street and the only reason I'm thinking it may have been, and I didn't get time with my job to go by and see, but somebody was caulking, and I don't believe you would be caulking between sidewalks that you were planning to replace, so that's issue number one, if this is an after-the-fact request.

And then the second one we pay, much to my chagrined, the same amount to replace the sidewalks, many of which were fine and in good condition, at the original Scranton Parking Authority garage at Linden and North Washington, and that's a whole heck of a lot

more square feet or cubic feet of cement than what's at the Connell building, so I have those two issues in addition to the other comments that have been made.

Next I'd like to follow-up on repeats on three things that I brought up from the past, one from the end of November last year I had asked -- noted to

Mr. Loscombe that Mr. Courtright used to tell us periodically about the number of cars, police cars that were out on the street streets on given nights and weekends, and I asked if you could do that and you said, "Sure," but I haven't seen one of those yet and I would like to hear about that.

The second thing I asked was on the bids where the city is putting out bids or even OECD authorities that fall under the purview, if those -- if those bids could be read here after the fact at a council meeting I think it would be instructive for all of the taxpayers to hear what the bids and how many people are bidding.

And then the other follow-up is on

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the consideration of an ordinance or whatever is required to limit the size or truck traffic particularly where the height as a tractor trailers on city streets exceeds the height of the utility lines including Comcast to the homes, so that these are broken when these trucks go down, and again, I would like to see something on that.

A couple of other things, probably Mr. Loscombe's area, I noticed last week, I believe, just exactly one week ago there was an article in the paper about Dunmore's firefighters and how they would not be replacing a firefighter, and that in conjunction with the brownouts in our own city, I wonder if it's time to revisit the agreement we have with the City of Dunmore or the Borough of Dunmore on backing them I would hate to think that we taxpayers pay for what firefighting we get now and that somebody within the city would need a truck during one of these brownouts and they would be off in Dunmore and not able to get back, so I don't know who makes those

agreements or whether council is even involved if they have to approve them, but I would like to know about that.

And then the back to the parking meters. Originally when the proposal was made, it was all 1,200 parking meters. Now, I heard some drawback that maybe it was just going to be in the downtown area and so maybe during motions you could discuss how many of the 1,200 would be covered by the StreetSmart agreement?

And then I have a problem, a real problem, with the courthouse area being selected for the evaluation unless, of course, the -- wait for the evaluation until after the tickets have been adjudicated at a magistrate's office because I know a lot of those are forgiven because they are peace officers who are in the courthouse on business and they park there and then they are never even ticketed by, I don't know if it's a formal agreement or if it's informal, municipal cars, municipal license plates aren't, and that's what a lot of the parking is, frankly, around the square there.

So I would like do some more so we

can actually do another cost benefit

3 analysis based on how many of those and where those are going to be located and then 4 even with that I have a problem with a 5 two-tier system if we are going to be really 6 7 vigilant in one place and let the other 8 areas goes that doesn't make me very happy 9 either. Next week. Hank you. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Hello, Jack. 11 MR. SLEDENZSKI: 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. 13 MR. JOYCE: Chrissy. 14 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, the bridge the lights were out the other night, the 15 16 Linden Street bridge, that light is still 17 out up there. Some of those lights on the 18 bridge up there, Jack, they wouldn't touch 19 them once. Thank you. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Chris, I'll 21 mention that. 22 Thanks, Chrissy. MR. JOYCE: 23 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. 24 Dave Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers', 25 resident of Scranton.

1

2

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: I'm going to try and talk fast, I have a few things here. 5-E, agree with Andy Sbaraglia, my compatriots in the taxypayers' organization, but something rings a bell, I mean, we owe a quarter of a million dollar taxes on other buildings and we want a quarter of a million dollar grant for another building.

And I have a letter here from our radio station WFTV, if you people could endorse us for a grant we are going to apply for a federal grant. I don't know if they will even be available, it's probably going to be shot down, but I'll drop it off with Nancy on the way and it's self-explanatory here, and on the way I'll -- I have here hospital analysis.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: The official figure for uncompensated care 100 percent is if you earn less than \$21,660 per year and you have no major investments off to the side. I'd also like to -- so as you can see, and it goes way up from there. If you have eight

kids you can earn \$74,000 a year for a family of eight and still qualify for uncompensated care. I could sit there with my insurance in hand and if you are there first it's just too bad for me. Things aren't looking good that day.

Okay, also, I'd like to express some concern about these brownouts and Marie brought up an interesting point that was running around in my mind here, you know, I read Dunmore is letting go of more firemen and here we are suffering brownouts in our city and agreeing to run up there whenever their lack of personnel is available causes a problem. I mean, how far can this go?

You know, I really -- I knew somebody in the fire department, a volunteer fire department, and they literally drove him out of his mind. He needed mental health care by the time he got done with it because he was out in the middle of the night on emergency calls, he was an EMT actually, and then they wanted him in there cutting potatoes on Sunday morning for a breakfast fundraiser. Now, come on. How

deep are our pockets here, you know? It's just ashame.

Now, okay, the golden parrot award. I got through I guess what I needed to, goes to the commodities market. They are already chirping over Libya about \$5 a gallon gasoline and might I note in '08 for every barrel used in this country it was traded 27 times, seven trades for each barrel we consumed in '08. So where does the high price come from?

And John Banner, "If people lose their jobs so be it."

Well, bawk, bawk, John. Not John
Loscombe, by the way. And on a more serious
note I have been mentioning about military
problems and the loss of houses and so
forth, well, I learned last week that the
suicide deaths in 2010 exceeded the combat
deaths, and it's divorce, lost homes, no
jobs in the civilian market, posttraumatic
stress disorder and meanwhile banker pray on
widow's investments convincing them to
invest and taking the cream off the top and
giving them 1 1/2 percent a year or whatever

and foreclose on active duty and veteran's homes and so forth and it's just ashame.

They get -- well, they don't deserve a golden parrot. No golden parrot for them. So thank you and have a good night. I made it before the bell. I'll save that time for next week now.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

Mrs. Schumacher, I just got word from somebody that municipal plates don't get tickets when they are parked in the downtown.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So what good does that Smart meter do if we aren't going to ticket them?

MR. ROGAN: Uh-hum.

MS. EVANS: Actually there is an agreement between the city and the Parking Authority that lists all of the exemptions for certain individuals in terms of the parking, so I am quite sure that would be listed therein. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. PHILLIPS: Good evening. Mark
Phillips. I've got a speech impairment, so

I'm a

They are

if you can't hear me, excuse me. 1 handicap by the State of Pennsylvania. I 2 3 own a property in the west side, I had it for the past six months. The City of 4 Scranton put citations against me. 5 neighbor put citations against me. 6 7 a bunch of lies. It started with -- about 8 the mayor with his thing, newspaper, for the 9 safety and I came about both properties. 10 Mrs. Evans, do you remember me a couple of 11 years ago --12 MS. EVANS: Yes. 13 MR. PHILLIPS: -- at the old 14 hospital? MS. EVANS: Yes. 15 16 MR. PHILLIPS: About the safety and 17 about parking in the alleyway. 18 MS. EVANS: Yes, you showed us 19 pictures. MR. PHILLIPS: Okay, that's great, 20 21 but now I got one my neighbors it started 22 parking, now right to the city ordinance. 23 And I want to ask you a question, does the 24 zoning officer and the Don McCarrow, what's 25 the difference between Mike Wallace and

Donny McCarrow? 1 MS. EVANS: What's the difference 2 3 between them? MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah. 4 MS. EVANS: Mike Wallace is the city 5 zoning officer, and Don McCann is the city 6 7 inspector. 8 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay, they basically 9 do the same thing for the safety of 10 Scranton; right? 11 MS. EVANS: I don't know know that 12 they have the same duties and 13 responsibilities. 14 MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. Now, on 15 September -- no, August I think it was 31st, 16 my neighbor put a fence up in the alleyway, 17 it's off the property and your fine zoning 18 officer said it was okay, but I got a -- I 19 got a permit and the permit is no good. 20 don't own the house and he said he owns the 21 house, and took a permit out. 22 Now, the fence in the alleyway. 23 Now, you five people have a job; right? 24 have an occupation? If somebody don't do 25 their job, right, do you get fired?

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to know how come that Mike Wallace won't do his job? It has been over six months and McCann should do his job, but they seem to come back at me.

Now, on the property at 17th Street and Preston Court he got across there it don't have no setback. I have been after that for years, but they citation me for -the guy was on my property that had -because I'm doing remodeling to it, so I'm here to petition you, President Janet Evans, to go to the Lackawanna County Clerk get a court judgment in the City of Scranton for the property at 331 17th Street in the City of Scranton to have a surveyor and see if the grass is legal and the fence is legal and I'd like to have Mike Wallace and Don McCann fired from the City of Scranton for failing of doing their job that the city pays for.

MR. PHILLIPS: And Mike Wallace fired and he lied and in the hearing. How can you have people paid by the City of

Scranton lie to a magistrate? 1 MS. EVANS: Well, I can't really 2 3 comment on that because I wasn't present, but I can tell you that city council 4 cannot --5 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, you do, under 6 the Home Charter ---7 8 MS. EVANS: We cannot --9 MR. PHILLIPS: --to carry out the 10 laws of the City of Scranton. 11 MS. EVANS: We can't hire or fire 12 any of the city employees. That authority 13 is granted only to the mayor of Scranton 14 and, you know, even in the case where someone job's performance is --15 16 MR. PHILLIPS: Well, I think you 17 should get a judgment against the city and 18 the mayor, and the mayor act, have the 19 injunction or whatever you call it, the 20 court order, for the mayor of Scranton to 21 carry out his duties. 22 MS. EVANS: Have you spoken with the 23 mayor? 24 MR. PHILLIPS: No, he won't answer 25 my calls.

magistrate threatened to put me in jail for 1 nonsense, for lies these people put against 2 3 He don't even own the house. And this article how come they won't citation this 4 guy but they citation me? His grass is 5 6 illegal. 7 MS. EVANS: So there is --8 MR. PHILLIPS: People are not doing 9 their job. 10 MS. EVANS: So there is a conflict 11 between you and your neighbor? 12 MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah. Not me. I 13 wanted to get things straightened out. 14 petition you to get a Court order to do it by the Home Rule Charter, Chapter 505, I 15 16 think it is. 17 MS. EVANS: Well, I'm not quite sure 18 what we can do with this because it does 19 seem to be a dispute between neighbors. 20 MR. PHILLIPS: You got to carry out 21 the laws of the -- the city laws or the 22 ordinance of the City of Scranton; right? 23 MS. EVANS: Well, me make the laws, 24 we don't enforce the laws. The laws have to 25 be enforced by the mayor.

1	MR. PHILLIPS: Read Section 505. It
2	states that you have the capability of
3	carrying out the laws of Scranton. To carry
4	out laws of the City of Scranton.
5	MS. EVANS: Okay, can you get that
6	for us and drop it off at our office?
7	MR. PHILLIPS: Mrs. Evans, you got
8	the book. I got to pay for it. You have
9	the books. It's in your Home Charter.
10	MS. EVANS: It's in the Home Rule
11	Charter.
12	MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, Article 505, I
13	think.
14	MS. EVANS: Okay, we'll take a look
15	at it. Thank you.
16	MR. PHILLIPS: And I want the fence
17	taken down. It's in a it's in the
18	roadway, unless you can prove it's her way
19	it's on the private property it don't have a
20	setback, the right-of-way is five city
21	ordinance.
22	MS. EVANS: Well, we can look into
23	it, but again
24	MR. PHILLIPS; and it will be the
25	cost to the land to the people who own

the house.

MS. EVANS: We'll certainly look into it, but I do want you to know --

MR. PHILLIPS: Like the last time I was here? Thank you, Rogan and Pat, for doing the last time doing nothing.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, any motions or comments?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. A couple of responses to things that people mentioned. As far as the StreetSmart test, one of the things that was discussed was whether doing the test on the courthouse would be a representative sample, and we went through that discussion and decided that it might be an appropriate place because it was the highest traffic area. While it wouldn't be a representative sample in the truest sense of the test, it might give us the best indication of how the system would work.

The second thing was that part of

the test would be the first month would be a baseline test that they would observe and look at the number of tickets that were ready and the number of meters that were used and then use that, that first month, as the baseline for the next two months of the test, so that in that way it would become a representative sample for the following two months, and the things that you -- that were mentioned as problematic such as the municipal cars and so on would be included in the baseline part of it, so it would, you know, be taken into account for the test.

On street cleaning and the snow plowing, yes, we did have a committee that met a number of years ago, there was a plan put in place. As far as I know, that plan is unchanged, that at the time the -- I believe that the -- if I can remember correctly, that the idea was that plowing would be done from -- kind of an inside out pattern that would start in the downtown and work towards the perimeters of the city with bus routes being one of the primary areas for plowing. I don't know that that is

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unchanged or whether it has changed.

As far as the odd/even idea, that was discussed at the time and while it worked many years ago, in some places it was deemed difficult to do at the present simply because of the volume of cars. That on many streets cars are parked on both sides from end to end and to try and move those cars from one side to another there just wouldn't be any place to park them on, so the idea was I don't want to say just ignored, but nobody really had an answer to that problem of what do you do with the volume of cars that's already there on both sides of the street. So I don't know if that answers any questions or just, you know, just leaves it as murky as it was before, but those are the things that I can remember from the meetings.

Somebody mentioned about the trails and the Lackawanna Trail -- or along the river, there was a meeting I thought last Friday in -- I thought it was supposed to be held here, in council chambers, with Mr. Barletta, Congressman Barletta, to

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discuss the trails.

MR. ROGAN: The meeting was cancelled.

MR. MCGOFF: Was it cancelled? I didn't see the cancellation, but I had been talking with Ms. Solfanalli prior to that and we talked about the grant money and so on and she sort of assured me that this is something that they are actively pursuing and aggressively pursuing, the completion of the trail to Taylor and eventually further into Duryea, so that, you know, this is an ongoing project and something that the -- Ms. Solfanelli is, you know, looking to do and aggressively searching all avenues for funding, so hopefully there are things that will be in place, you know, for her and for the project.

A lot of things of the streetlight situation, and I see we have another thing in our mail about a streetlight that's out and has been out for a long period of time. In speaking with Mr. McGowan concerning the RFP's that were sent out for the that

contract, I talked to him about it and he, too, had some questions about whether the RFP as it was proposed was proper and whether it did -- whether the RFP had enough in it that covered the city and the problems that might occur.

I remember we talked about one of the things that the bids did not include replacements for all of the lights that were in the city, especially those around power lines or high power lines, whatever the terminology was, and if that wasn't covered then we would be subjected to calling PPL every time one of those lights went out and I forget what it was, \$80 an hour or something, some enormous amount of money to change a light bulb, and so he had some questions about the RFP's and whether that bidding was needed to be redone.

The bids were not awarded. The bids were not awarded at the time that I spoke to him and I don't think that they have been since, and it was my suggestion to him that-- and he -- we sort of concurrently mentioned that perhaps someone should sit

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

down with maybe the city engineer, someone familiar with the situation, look at the bid as it was -- proposal as it was sent out, see if there needs to be some corrections and possibly rebid -- rebid that in the future.

Now, that doesn't do anything to help us in the present. I am hopeful that the company that is currently -- or had been under the contract is continuing to take care of the problems that exist. I'm sure that they are a little hesitant to respond given the status of, you know, the new contract, but I would hope that MEM, you know, continues to work on the situation and that I'm assuming that they are going on a month-to-month contract with the city until there is a new contract in place and hopefully in speaking with people from MEM that we can resolve some of the, you know, problems that currently are occurring and get some of these, you know, major problems taken care of.

I know you have met -- Mr. Rogan, I know has been in contact with, you know,

J

people and hopefully we will be able to stay on top of it and take care of the situation as it presents itself.

The Chamber of Commerce building, I thought that it was a good idea to table the proposal or the grant proposal. I spoke to Mr. Rogan before last week's meeting, I'm sorry I was unable to attend, but I told him at that time that I thought it was a good idea to go through with -- or to table that or to, you know, not approve it as it stood.

I thought that it would be a bad precedent to allow for anyone to get complete tax forgiveness. If we were to do that for one developer then anybody that had any problems with taxes would be before council and before the city and everyone else asking for tax forgiveness. I don't think there that there is any way that I could reasonably see that taking place. I know that in the past for some -- in some situations, there has been a forgiveness of penalties and interest so that projects could move forward, and I think under certain circumstances I would consider doing

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, but that might be something that I would look at.

The other thing that I thought about was that perhaps somebody need to sit down with -- you know, with Mr. Jefferson and talk about, you know, ways in which these taxes would get paid. I wasn't here last week so I don't know what occurred and, you know, the dynamics that took place. seems as though it was not a very pleasant exchange, but anyhow, I still think that this is a project that needs to move forward, certainly the -- that building can't sit vacant and we could like to have it under tax roles, perhaps there are some things that we could work out that could be worked out with Mr. Jefferson, so that the taxes would be paid, that the grant proposal could move forward and that, you know, that project could move forward.

My suggestion would be maybe something with some type of scheduled payments over a period of time, over the period of the project for the back taxes or something that would get the taxes paid and

move the project forward I would be greatly in favor of.

On the Connell building, and I might as well do this now, as the grant for the sidewalks, my look at that as I perused it I thought that this is part of a larger grant or application that there was I believe a \$500,000 loan and that this \$250,000 was part of the amendment to it that because it -- and it would qualify as a grant rather than a loan.

My understanding was that the sidewalks, and it was beyond just replacing sidewalks, that it was a necessary in order for the project -- in order for the development of the building to take place, they had to remove the sidewalks that were there, go into that vault area that was below the sidewalks that exist in many of the areas throughout the city, and that all of that had to be filled properly and reinforced and then sidewalks, you know replaced, so it wasn't just new sidewalks. There was a little bit more involved than just, you know, pouring some cement, and

that -- I don't know what the actual cost was, but I'm sure it was, you know, more costly than, you know, what would normally we would consider for the replacement of the sidewalks and that it was something that needed to be done, it was a safety concern and I'm trying to picture that area, I thought that that was completed.

MS. EVANS: I believe it is.

MR. MCGOFF: And as somebody said, it seems like an after-the-fact type of thing or whether they are talking about an extension, I know it was, you know, part of the sidewalks, but I don't know if they are talking about an extension of the sidewalks to other parts of the building, you know, to the corner or whatever, but maybe we should have a better understanding of exactly what this grant would be for, what it would pay for and, you know, whether it is something that's already been done and whether this will be looking for sort of a reimbursement.

And lastly, I think lastly, the CDBG funds that were -- that Mrs. Evans spoke about and that were reported, I think that

using that money for citywide paving is probably the first priority. Certainly as I drove across Jefferson Avenue near Ash Street and my car bottomed out on a -- something that I thought the entire car was going into a pothole and my wife yelling at me for driving too fast and everything else, but anyhow, the streets are in need of help and certainly, you know, some of this money certainly could go towards that.

I think the idea of demolition, I think that we are spending quite a bit of money on demolition and dealing with blight. I don't know if additional funds are necessary for -- all for demolition, but I certainly would think that another thing that part of the funding could be used for is in the parks and recreation. I think one of the things enhances neighborhoods and works towards the building of a safe and viable neighborhood is a safe and kind of recreation area and I think this the city benefits from that, and that also includes recreational activities. I know that the last funding we did look some of the areas,

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

little leagues and so on, some of that funding had to be cut, but those are programs that I think can also use some additional funding so that they could remain viable and remain an important part of our neighborhoods, and that's all. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan.

I'd like to start MR. ROGAN: Yes. off by saying that I was very disappointed with Mayor Doherty. I don't about any of the other council members, but I would have liked to have attended the state of the city Unfortunately, he chose not to address. deliver it in council chambers, and that's fine. Even though I disagree with many of the mayor's policies, I would still have liked to have attended the state of the city. I did not receive a letter, an e-mail or a phone call inviting me or I don't know about my colleagues.

MS. EVANS: No. I agree with what you are saying. I wasn't notified of it nor was I invited.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: And, you know, what bothers me even more about it is, you know, we have a city council here who is I think we are doing a great job, we go out to the neighborhoods and listen to the people's problems, listen to the phone calls, and listen to the e-mails, and on the other hand we have a mayor who ran for multiple offices over the last year to try and get out of the In November of 2009 he was re-elected to become mayor. Throughout January and February of 2010 he was trying to run for governor, failed. Tried to run for lieutenant governor, failed once again. Then he tried to run for state senate, once again rejected at the ballot box and now there have been calls going on out polling for Chris Doherty for commissioner, and it's very upsetting as somebody who loves being on city council, who loves helping the people of Scranton, that when the mayor who is trying to escape the residents, he doesn't want to be mayor, goes to give the state of the city address the members of city council aren't even invited, so I would

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hope that in the future years that council would be invited to the state of the city address and, like I said, even though I disagree with the mayor I'd be the first one at the door to listen to what he had to say.

Secondly, with the tax forgiveness on the Mulberry project, I have received a lot of feedback over the last week about this and only one or two people have mentioned that they want to see the project go forward without the taxes paid, and as I said at last week's meeting, it's just not fair to the people who do pay their taxes for somebody else to get a grant of \$3.5 million with all of these back taxes, and as Mr. McGoff says, it sets a dangerous precedent where if somebody wants to come downtown or any part of the city and do a project and they owe back taxes they would feel entitled to get them forgiven as well if we did them for Mr. Jefferson.

Third, the unused \$200,000. As I stated in the paper, I think that the top two priorities for this money would be roads and blight removal, and roads would be

number one. When we went to do our amendments to the CDBG money at the end of last year, we couldn't increase the money that was put in for paving because they only applied for a small amount, I forget what the dollar amount is.

MR. JOYCE: If you don't mind me interrupting, it was \$600,000 and \$600,000 was approved.

MR. ROGAN: Which is a very small amount and we approved the maximum. We all agreed that we would like to have approved more money, but we cannot approve more than what was applied for.

With blight removal, we did put a significant increase in it. Is it enough?

No. Could there be more? Yes.

And, thirdly, on that \$200,000 I know Mrs. Evans sent a letter to Ms Aebli about it asking if it could be used for flooding, which we had the caucus about last week and, unfortunately, she replied that it wasn't a low to moderate income area.

And the final option Mr. McGoff mentioned with the parks. Although, I think

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the parks are nice in the city I think we have spent plenty of money in the parks over the last ten years and I think it would be much better spent in the neighborhoods with the focus on the roads.

And the streetlights being out, I hope that MEM will get their act together and, you know, in the meantime while they are still doing the work actually doing the I have submitted so many of them and, you know, you feel bad when people e-mail you and call you and you tell them, okay, I'm going to put this in and then you drive by a week later, two weeks later, three weeks later, three months later and it's still not fixed, you know, you feel like you are letting the people down, but unfortunately, the five of us up here we can't go out there with a truck and put a new light in. If we could, we would.

I have a few updates that came in from Tom Oleski about some blighted properties. The first one is 459 Phelps Street, it has been put on the 2011 demolition list. The next one is 837-839

Prescott which I just received an e-mail about today, the owner of this property has hired an attorney who filed an injunction, so at this time the city is waiting for a hearing date in front of a higher Court.

436 Ripple Street the city sent out a notice for violations. 425 Ripple Street a demolition letter was sent out. Once the procedure for notification is completed the property will be added to the demolition list. And 104 Yesu Drive, LIPS will continue to monitor this situation.

And finally, I have a few citizens' requests for the week, I guess I'll hold off on the ones for streetlights that are out:
The 700 block of Beach Street in South
Scranton is a total mess. Also, the intersection of Beach Street and South
Webster Avenue. South Webster Avenue was just paved this past summer. Several blocks are already in bad shape. They must have used the cheapest blacktop on the market.

I understand that many streets are in bad shape, but some of the potholes look like craters.

Another request about potholes, about construction workers leaving the intersection of Luzerne Street and Railroad Avenue a mess. And entire block of Railroad Avenue leading up to Luzerne is like a mine field with holes that are doing serious damages to cars and forcing them to swerve into the opposite lane. They left a large hole on Luzerne Street under the bridge in-between Burger King and the gas station that you can't even see when you go over.

Mrs. Krake, could we please send these to DPW?

And I think that is all for tonight.

I'll hold off for my comments on the agenda

items.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, any motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have few comments. I'd like to touch on a few things that were mentioned here, not to elaborate, but the streetlights again we all receive complaints about the streetlights. I would just hope that Mr. McGowan would act as quickly as possible to get a new RFP if

that's the way it's going and in the meantime to contact the current contractor and see if they can get up to speed because it is a safety issue. I mean, especially in weather there is a lot of dark areas, a lot of elderly walking on these sidewalks and, you know, there is some bridges that are totally out, no lights or anything like that, so it is a safety issue and I would hope they would act on it as soon as possible.

This past Thursday evening

Councilman Rogan and myself had attended a crime watch meeting in West Scranton. They have quite a large group there and it's pretty active and the program was presented by Captain Carl Graziano on drugs on how to -- it was geared more towards parents and adults and they are going to be bringing it around to every venue they can, I guess, the schools and neighborhood meetings and stuff like that, but it was pretty interesting.

You think you know everything until you see some of the stuff they have put together here, but it's a great educational

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

program for the adults and how to recognize different drugs scenarios with children and just some of the slang they use, some of the things you take for granted that is drug language, drug signs, drug speak, whatever you want to say, but I thought it was very good. It was very educational, so I would like to commend them on that program.

MR. ROGAN: Sorry to interrupt, Councilman Loscombe, but you are exactly, right. It was a great presentation and I know I learned a lot and, you know, as was saying about bath salts which have been in the newspaper a lot lately and on TV, it's something I never even thought of. You know, when you think of drugs you think of marijuana, heroine, cocaine, but, you know, in this day and age there is lot of new things out there that people don't even know about and it was great and I'm glad to see that they are going to go around the city with it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Even the strength of the marijuana today compared to 30 years ago, I mean, it's like, I don't know what,

20 times stronger. So, you know, you wouldn't know about that until you saw this program, but I think they did a good job with it and I hope that it wakes up a lot of people and cuts down just as we are pushing for to cut the drug trafficking and the blight and everything, it all comes into play, so I would like to commend the West Side Crime Watch for being as active as they are, also.

Another thing, it was discussed by several members here before, about the brownouts, it was in the newspaper. The one comment that galls me the most in the newspaper is a comment by Mayor Chris Doherty it said, "This is the budget council passed and sent us."

Now, just like the Recovery Plan, he has picked and chosen certain things from our budget to work to his advantage, but he is trying to lay this on us. Ladies and gentlemen, if this city council didn't put in the funding for the additional firefighters there would be five stations closed on a nightly basis. Just think about

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

_ :

22

23

24

25

it, we put that back in. He was willing to let it go. He and the newspaper has stated that he is not going to fill any positions that become vacant. He is creating this monster. I don't know how much more I can say it, I wish there were more we can do, but this is nothing more than getting that revolver and putting a bullet in the six chambers and playing Russian Roulette. of these times that bullet is going to hit, and God help us, I hope it's nobody I know, nobody I'm related to, nobody in this city. I don't know how else to put it, but somebody has to do something, I don't know. I'm just frustrated on that because it continues.

Mrs. Schumacher asked about the police cars and stuff, I'm sorry, I didn't have a chance to sit with anybody on that at this point, but I will work on that.

As far as the Dunmore Fire

Department, I don't know what the latest

agreement is because they did do some

changes with their hours and manpower up

there to increase their manpower, as always,

_ .

I mean, Scranton along with the surrounding areas, Throop and Dickson City and all of that, do have a mutual aid agreement with Dunmore, so I don't know, I mean, basically it's effective. If our Engine 15 is closed then I don't know what the next company they will send to Dunmore would be. It would be a longer time for Dunmore to get response from us, but I will do some more research on that with the latest scenario for you.

And I think Mr. McGoff he explained pretty well the StreetSmart program with the courthouse square.

I believe it was Mr. Ellman earlier mentioned the nonprofits again and trust me, we went last year, we started the ball rolling, and we are going to continue. We are going to go out there and fight again and fight again and we are going to go to all of these nonprofits, we're going to let them know that we are not going away that they have to do their fair share. They have to help every one concerned here. I mean, we are being threatened with nonprofit taking over buildings, but again, and I

believe more so now than ever within the state legislature there will be some legislation coming down the road that's being worked on by several municipalities who I've stated before are in the same position as we are and, you know, the large amount of nonprofits in their municipalities have put a drain on them just as they have us. They have to learn to be good neighbors in these times.

And on the Connell building, the legislation for the Connell building, I might as well make my comments now rather than when we are voting it, but I believe you have seen this city council has been diligent in pretty much all of the legislation that has come before us. I mean, we research it as well as we can, naturally, there is going to be something that slips through the cracks, we can't go over everything, but we have been watching your dollars pretty steadily, and a couple of months ago I even mentioned for the interest of the contractors out there that do place bids under our CDBG and whatever to

sharpen their pencil a little bit -- pencils a little bit better because this is not all free money. If they give us a bid on a project and it's twice what you anticipate, we have to pay it out, that eliminates anther project or two, so the sharper they can make their pencils, the more benefit it will be to all of the taxpayers here, but I was a little concerned when I saw the amount \$250,000 for a set of sidewalks. I think that could cover quite a bit of the neighborhood sidewalks and curbs.

We have also given many grants to this company, again, and I'm not talking this particular developer, I think he has done a fantastic job, it just so happens that last two projects that have come before us have been Mr. Jefferson's projects, but as some people have mentioned, I took a ride by today to see, you know, the area that had to be -- and it says -- in the legislation it says they are looking to obtain an additional \$250,000 in grant funding, this is grant money, this isn't a loan or anything, this is free money from DCED for

sidewalk improvements in front of the Connell building on North Washington Avenue.

Well, that's today's photo. They are brand new sidewalks so I don't know what has to be improved. I mean, I'm not quite sure we could get a grant after the fact. I'm not sure, we have to research that, but apparently the project is already complete and there is -- I have taken several photos. I mean, there is lot of parking spots taken away from the front of that building, too, "No parking" signs at any time, so we are losing parking revenue ultimately, too.

So before -- I may vote to introduced it, but before I would look at it any further I would like to see an itemized breakdown of the costs of these sidewalks. I understand there is a vaults underneath, but what that entails is just every other project in downtown Scranton has had vaults that they have had to fill. Block up of the interior doorway to maybe two or three with cinderblock and fill it in and put your reinforcing in, fill isn't that expensive.

So I just think \$250,000 personally

can concrete a lot of sidewalks, and these aren't fancy sidewalks, these aren't stamped concrete or anything, they have just brushed concrete. We have to be vigilant with the money we are putting out. If these are only worth \$100,000 there is another \$125,000 or \$150,000 there we can use on other project. That's where I'm coming from.

And again, and also on the Mulberry Plaza -- or Mulberry Lofts, I stated how I felt last week about tax forgiveness, just -- I can tie both of these in, when you are a developer like this and you look at a project, you look at the costs, when they purchased that building they knew what the taxes were due on it. Those should have been part of the costs that they had included.

This is a different city council here. This isn't the old council that doesn't look at anything and just stamps everything. We are watching your money. We want to see more downtown development and more projects, but if we keep feeding three or four people millions and millions we are

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

eliminating those other 20 or 30 developers from having a little bit. Something has to be watched here. Again, I have nothing personal against this particular developer, but I have seen a lot of money go through here, I have seen a lot of money prior to my being here dumped in the downtown and I still see empty buildings. A lot of money dumped into them, but nothing to show for at this point, so I don't know what the answer is.

I'm not looking to detract development because we definitely need it, but we have to keep a sharp eye on where this money is going, but I had a couple of people ask me about my vote on the Mulberry Lofts, they didn't understand the 3 1/2 million we were discussing was a grant, it's not a loan. 3 1/2 million dollars is being given free to that project that's why I cannot in my heart and my mind give away the taxes, too. That's a lot of money. That's The taxes are miniscule significant. compared to what they are getting for that, plus they are getting credits for the

building and they are going to be getting credits for the architectural end of it, and it's time for the developers to come like this and they can put one hand out and the other in their pocket. I'm not going to vote for two hands out anymore, and that's the way I feel. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Joyce, any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, sorry to interrupt, I had one request that I forgot.

Mrs. Krake, could we please send a letter to LIPS asking what the city plans to do with the properties on Parker Street and Boulevard Avenue that were demolished?

Thank you. Sorry.

MR. JOYCE: No, no problem. Is that all?

MR. ROGAN: That was it.

MR. JOYCE: Well, first I wanted to speak about tonight, earlier we had a woman come in and complain about a situation that she had, of course, the resident from 621 and 620 -- the owner of 621-623 Harrison

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Avenue received a letter regarding junk cars on her property that didn't exist and I think it's very unfortunate and it's really tough to assess whose fault this is, whether it's the inspector, whether it's the inspector's boss, and that's why I'm a huge proponent of standard operating procedures or more commonly known as SOP's. So, Mrs. Krake, the first thing I'd like to ask is if you can please contact or send a letter to Mr. Seitzinger and ask him if there is a procedure for handling anonymous tips or anonymous calls or are the inspectors just at liberty to address the situation however they see fit, especially, I think an SOP is necessary definitely in this situation and I'm hoping that he would have one since the housing inspectors are unionized positions in essentially all performance and job functions.

Secondly, we have some numbers from the tax office that were sent to council today -- or actually, it was today, so I will read those off for you. So far the tax office has distributed \$252,000 in real

. .

estate taxes to the city; \$45,000 in delinquent real estate taxes; \$3.19 million in wage tax revenue; \$343,000 from the emergency service tax; and \$61,000 from the business privilege and mercantile taxes.

Therefore, as of this date after a month and a half the tax office has turned over \$3.89 million to the city in regards to their collections.

Third, Mrs. Schumacher, asked before about the police car deployment, and I know at that, Councilman Loscombe, you said you were going to look into that. One good place to start actually may be the operating budget in 2011, believe it or not. Attached to the back of that budget was a plan for the deployment of police vehicles and, in fact, the plan was set out that certain vehicles would be deployed during certain times when crime was higher as opposed to when crime was lower and on certain days when crime seemed to be higher or lower.

Now, I don't know if that original plan is still intact being that council's amended budget added police officers to the

force that would have been cut, so that may be a good starting point. I just thought I would try to help you out.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Any time. The

Lackawanna Valley Heritage Authority. As

Mr. McGoff reported, Mrs. Solfanelli is

pursuing funding to extend the trail and

this is a very commendable act. I just

wanted to say I have used the trail and I

know that the Authority promotes many great

programs on the trail and they do a very

excellent job at maintaining it, so this is

something that is very commendable and I

would like to see this happen as well as

Mrs. Franus stated before in her comments.

In reading over this week's mail, I noticed that Mr. Metiche, our animal control officer, submitted all of the receiving contracts. To provide some background, a receiving contract is basic paperwork that's filled out in delivering an animal to the shelter that provides a brief description of the animal and some other things that I will mention later.

So far in January, Mr. Metiche delivered 27 cats and 6 dogs to the shelter. Along with Mr. Metiche, it can be seen in the budget under the LIPS Department, we have a part-time animal control officer and we were unable to obtain these sheets for the part-time officer. Perhaps in addition to receiving these reports, it would be prudent to contact the animal shelter and have them give us a breakdown month by month as to how many animals are being delivered to the shelter by the animal control officers.

In fact, it's very logical because they have to have the number because they stated themselves that they wouldn't accept any animals that would exceed a \$50 per animal quota or a fee that they are charging all of the cities and municipalities.

Anyhow, I would ask, Mrs. Krake, if you could please do this.

One thing I wanted to mention about the last paragraph of this receiving contract, and we have them in our mail and I would be happy to show anyone, what it

states is, "I hereby affirm that I am legal owner, keeper or finder and/or surrenderer of the above-described animal to the Humane Society of Lackawanna County to be placed in a home approved by said society. I understand by doing so I relinquish all claims to said animal.

If I choose to reclaim the above animal, and if it is still available to be adopted, I shall adhere to the adoption procedures and fees. If unable to find a new home, said society shall destroy the animal."

I understand this is a legal binding contract and this is it what our animal control officers' have to sign, and I'm very interested in knowing being an animal owner myself how many animals that are brought from the City of Scranton are euthanized when brought to the shelter. Perhaps even if there could be some assistance or some suggestions offered to the shelter if it is a high number to promote adoption of some of these strays.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, if

you could also inquire how many animals being delivered from Scranton are destroyed on a monthly basis and how much time does the shelter give before an animal is destroyed once the animal control officer brings the animal to the shelter.

Out of all of the municipalities and boroughs and towns around Northeastern Pennsylvania, Scranton by far has contributed the greatest amount of funding to the animal shelters, so it should be a priority of the animal shelter in my eyes to see that these animals are taken care of in the best possible manner that they could and that every effort is made to lead to their adoption and to a warm and loving home.

Lastly, I just wanted to speak about the brownouts a little bit and some of the comments Mayor Doherty made in the newspaper, however, Mr. Loscombe already did that, so I won't really comment too much on the comments that Mr. Doherty made, but what Mr. Doherty also failed to mention and what the Scranton Times also failed to mention is that compared to what was actually used in

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

firefighter overtime the last year, Mayor

Doherty cut \$800,000. Well, actually, it

was a little bit over that, I believe

\$932,000 was used in overtime, Mayor Doherty

brought it to \$100,000 in the original

budget that was sent down to us.

Furthermore, like Mr. Loscombe said, if by adding 27 firefighters, which is the -- which was the amount that was being staffed last year when all fire stations were open, leads to Engine 9 being closed and two -- two fire apparatuses being browned out, I can only imagine what would happen if we passed the operating budget that was sent down to us. I wonder what the impact of those 27 firefighters being out of their positions and laid off would have made in additional brownouts or additional closings. Like Mr. Loscombe said, he believes five stations, I see no way that any less than five if not six fire apparatuses total would have been closed in the city.

And, finally, I have one citizens' requests for the night. On the 100 block of

North Everett Avenue residents have contacted me informing me that there are lodge potholes at the end of the street near the stop sign and, Mrs. Krake, if you could please send a letter to Mr. Brazil notifying him of this and ask him if he could please have these patched up once weather permits. Obviously, the roads aren't in the best of shape right now.

And last, but not least, I know that several council members have been critical of the DPW, including myself at times, but I do want to say in this last snowstorm I think that the DPW did a very commendable job of cleaning the roads in a timely fashion so that residents could travel to get to and from work as needed, and that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. During last week's council meeting, I informed the public that over \$200,000 in Community Development Block Grant funds originally allocated to the Lackawanna College Scranton Lace Project was not dispersed and, therefore, remains

available for other eligible projects.

In addition, I suggested that these monies be utilized for: Number one, the Cameron Avenue flooding problems. Two, removal of hazardous structures citywide or, three, citywide paving projects, and I directed Mrs. Krake to send correspondence to Ms. Aebli, OECD director, regarding this matter, and to request legislation for this purpose.

City council received a timely response from Ms Aebli from which I will read a portion: "North Cameron Avenue neighborhood appears not to be in a low to moderate income area according to the 2000 US Census assuming the flooding is originating from this area. OECD is not fully aware of all of the particulars of this flooding project. Under the assumption the water is coming from North Cameron Avenue, this activity on the surface does not appear to qualify for CDBG funding.

As I indicated to council several months ago, OECD will be taking a thorough analysis of activities that have been

delayed for one reason or another and take steps to reallocate this funding to eligible activities. Currently, OECD has undertaken several detailed reports that must be completed in the next few months. Please be assured that as soon as these reports are completed, OECD will be taking the necessary steps to reallocate and prepare legislation to transfer funding to eligible activities. OECD will definitely keep in mind the demolition of hazardous structures citywide and the street paving citywide program."

Councilman Loscombe, could you work with Mrs. Krake, please, to collect documents from the state, the city engineer and the Sewer Authority to determine the origins of the flooding on the North Cameron Avenue neighborhood?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Certainly.

MS. EVANS: According to, as I just read, Mrs. Aebli's response, she seems to believe that the flooding originates in that area and I think that in some cases, no, it does not. It is coming from farther up.

MR. LOSCOMBE: --the street, it's a

culmination of the flooding.

MS. EVANS: Right. I think this has to be done, you know, taking a look at this paver work in order to accurately determine whether or not the this project may be eligible for CDBG funding.

In addition, the 2010 census report will be forthcoming this year and we can review any possible changes in neighborhood eligibility as well. In, however, this neighborhood remains ineligible for CDBG funding, council will pursue use of unused funding for paving and blight removal this year.

Ms. Aebli also sent a response to a question posed by Ms. Schumacher during a recent city council meeting.

Mrs. Schumacher asked for what purpose have the grant monies previously allocated to the defunct Mulberry Plaza project located at the corner of Mulberry Street and Mifflin Avenue been used. Ms. Aebli states that on September 30, 2009, Semian and Gress Real Estate submitted through OECD a Pennsylvania DCED single application for Monroe County

local share account in the amount of \$500,000. On November 20, 2009, this application was withdrawn from the local share account by Semina and Gress Real Estate.

Ms. Aebli further adds that, "It should be noted that this office did not obligate any funding to this project nor does it have any records of transferring any CDBG funding to this projects. \$100,000 may have been coming from a future action plan, however, OECD has no records indicating this is true."

Thus, it appears that no CDBG funding was given to the Mulberry Plaza project and, therefore, no monies were allocated to other redevelopment projects.

Next, after I announced the mayor's new order for brownouts of Engine 15 in the Hill Section and Truck 2 on Mulberry Street at our previous council meeting, the mayor responded in the Scranton Times that he will not use overtime to cover firefighter use of sick time and he has to remain within the 2011 budget in which city council cut

overtime funds. And city council did, indeed, cut overtime for the fire department because it reinstated 27 positions in the fire department which the mayor had cut. Council restored these positions in order to keep all firehouses open throughout our city.

By cutting 27 fire positions, the mayor -- as Mr. Loscombe indicated, the mayor would have been able to permanently close four to five fire stations in Scranton which was an integral part of his plan.

However, regardless of the reinstatement of these positions the mayor alone chose to change shift schedules, caused the permanent closing of Engine 9 on North Main Avenue, and the brownouts of select engine companies and a rescue truck. It is only due to city council's actions that many more fire stations were not permanently closed this year leaving neighbor hoods without their traditional fire protection and increasing response time very nearly throughout the entire city.

Further, at last week's council

meeting, council members tabled the RACP grant funding for the Mulberry Lofts Project until such time as delinquent taxes for the years 2006 through 2010 are paid by the building developer, Mr. Charles Jefferson.

I received numerous e-mails of support for city council's decision following that meeting.

I also received additional related information and documentation from Mr. Kolajeski, from the Lackawanna County Tax Claim Bureau, note's maintenance written by Mr. Kolajeski on September 17, 2010, state, "2010 City of Scranton has a developer, Charles Jefferson, for the building. Mayor Doherty through Lori Reed asked for property to be removed from sale to allow developer to close deal. We will be paid all taxes due at closing per Miss Reed."

Thus, it is now clear that this property was removed from a county sale by Mr. Kolajeski due to the statement made by Lori Reed, an OECD employee, on behalf of Mayor Doherty promising that all tax

delinquencies would be paid at the closing on this property. Mr. Jefferson indicated during a public caucus that he closed on the Mulberry Street property sometime in January 2011, and it is a fact that he did not pay any of the delinquencies due to the city, county, and school district.

It also puts into question whether Mr. Jefferson, in fact, has clear title to this property and it appears that PNC Bank would require a title insurance policy which may in itself necessitate the payment of all taxes, all back taxes.

I asked Attorney Hughes to review the documents I received from the Tax Claim Bureau, and I'm aware that he spoke with Mr. Kolajeski by phone last week. Attorney Hughes, would you elaborate on your discussion with Mr. Kolajeski and the documents he provided, please?

MR. HUGHES: Yes, Madam President.

The owner of this property is YMUSA, they are I believe from New York. This property was listed for judicial sale back in 2008, in July when the judicial sale is -- the

county has finally instituted a judicial sale which means that once the owner is given notice of the sale it can be sold for taxes free of all liens, encumbrances, mortgages so that the buyer who buys it will have clear title. In the event there is not enough money raised from the taxes at the sale, that it be can shared pro rata by the taxing agencies and all those taxes would be abated.

Back in July of 2008, the owner came in, they paid \$19,350 to remove it from the sale. It was taken out of the July 2008 sale pursuant to Mr. Ronald Kolajeski. In 2010, as you have stated, Mr. Kolajeski notes where that, "In 2010 the City of Scranton has a developer, Charles Jefferson, for the building. Mayor Doherty through Lori Reed asked for the property to be removed from the sale to allow the developer to close the deal," and these are Ron's notes, "We will be paid all taxes due at closing per Ms. Reed."

That was stated on September 17, 2010. He typed his notes and he gave a copy

of those notes to Miss Reed stating that the taxes would be paid and he typed it right into his computer and he gave her a copy.

One thing is that that what

Mr. Jefferson has done is that he has

purchased the mortgage on this property from

the bank, which I believe was Pennstar Bank

and he also got from the developer, from the

owner, the deed in lieu of foreclosure, so

that he did not have to foreclose on the

property. Right now he owns the property no

matter -- by pursuant to the deed in lieu of

foreclosure.

In the event these taxes are not paid, I would believe that Mr. Kolajeski would put them back into a judicial sale and at that time other buyers could come in and bid on the property. If somebody bid, I'll state it this way, the taxes right now after giving credit of the \$19,350 the previous owner paid in July of 2008, the amount of taxes owed to the county, the library, and the school district after giving the credit was \$148,959.72. That was up through the end of December with the January interest of

\$959.41, it comes to \$149,919.13. The City of Scranton taxes exceeds \$75,000, so there is a total of \$225,000 in real estate taxes owed that the commitment was that they would be paid at closing.

In the event that they are not paid, I believe the property will go back into a judicial sale and at that point I believe that Mr. Kolajeski would not accept any money from the developer to take it out of the sale pending payment because the old saying, "Fool me once, shame on you, fool me twice, shame on me, "I think it will stay in the sale until Mr. Jefferson pays the \$225,000 in taxes. If not, it would be sold free and clear of the mortgage that he has on the property so that he would lose the money that he paid for the mortgage due to Pennstar Bank.

It somebody did come in and buy it, say it was for \$150,000, we'll even round it off and say \$112,500 the taxing agencies would each get 50 cents on the dollar of the delinquent taxes plus the property would then go to a private owner or to an owner to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be rehabilitated and they would own the property.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. So it is my understanding that Mr. Kolajeski requires payment in full of delinquent county and stool district taxes. Scranton City Council, the governing body of a distressed city, also requires payment of all delinquent city taxes, and it questions the ability of the mayor to single-handedly Council would like abate delinquent taxes. a copy of the statute, ordinance or any law that grants the mayor the authority to forgive taxes. By forgiving five years worth of tax delinquencies, the mayor would be indicating that all tax delinguencies must be paid by Scranton residents and businesses expect for Mr. Jefferson.

In addition, PNC bank evidently determined that Mr. Jefferson is creditworthy, so perhaps it will expand a loan to include taxi delinquencies if Mr. Jefferson cannot personally pay his debts for this project.

Therefore, it is council's hope that

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Jefferson will soon address his delinquencies and allow this project to move ahead.

Next, tonight's agenda includes legislation --

MR. HUGHES: I don't want to extend the meeting any longer, but I would want to comment just on one thing.

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. HUGHES: Mr. Kolajeski's notes, I don't believe there was any contact from Mr. Jefferson with Mr. Kolajeski regarding the taxes. I mean, this was strictly by the mayor and by Miss Reed who personally went to Mr. Kolajeski and stated that if it's taken out of the sale that all of the taxes will be paid, and that's why he took it out of the sale based on that representation of her from the mayor, and I didn't ask Mr. Kolajeski if he ever had any conversations with Mr. Jefferson, but I don't think he ever did. I think they were there as, let's say, on behalf of Mr. Jefferson to get it out of the sale with the assurance that if it's taken out of this

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sale, which I believe the sale -- I don't know if it's going to be -- the sale was going to be held tomorrow or if it was a previous sale, it was held back in September I believe, that if it were taken out of the sale the taxes would be paid. I just wanted to clarify that.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you. Tonight's agenda includes legislation to amend it's contract with the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development or DCED in order to increase grant funding by a quarter of a million dollars for sidewalk improvements in front of the Connell building. I will vote to introduce the legislation this evening, however, city council would like to see a specific itemized accounting for the sidewalks improvements and coordinating dollar amounts for each. Mrs. Krake, please request this information which should be submitted as soon as possible in order that city council can proceed with a possible approval process for increased grant funding.

I believe there was something else though that, Mr. Loscombe, you wished to know about?

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I think about it, I'll have to look at my notes. I'll add to it definitely.

MS. EVANS: Also, on tonight's agenda are Items 5-C and D, two pieces of legislation requested by city council members and drafted by Council Solicitor Boyd Hughes. One piece of legislation creates a special city account for the deposit and disbursement of Urban Development Action Grant or you UDAG repayment funds. It removes the UDAG repayment account also known as RE-RE from OECD and places the account in the city's budget.

The other legislation requires that the monies contained in this account must be spent according to federal regulations and must receive the approval of Scranton City Council. And at this time, I ask Solicitor Hughes to comment on these two council generated ordinances, please.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUGHES: Yes, Madam President, this legislation was really initiated after Ms. Aebli's letter to Council dated February 15, where she said that regarding various funds that were going to be placed into the RE-RE account instead of our CDBG accounts since RE-RE, and I don't now what RE-REmeans, but anyway, the RE-RE account do not have any federal regulations attached to it. This is totally false. What happens is when the UDAG program was in effect there is no longer an UDAG program, but under Housing and Urban Development what would happen is, and mostly this came through Congressman McDade, who was a master at obtaining UDAG grants for the City of Scranton, and one of the last ones received was for the mall, it was \$13 million. It was one of the largest UDAG grants given in the country.

This is a typical grant, the City of Scranton has to put an application in, the City of Scranton would then after the application approved, would receive the money. The money would then be lent out to a developer, it would be paid back to the

City of Scranton. However, it's not a federal regulation, it's the law of the United States that created the UDAG program. It sets forth in 42 United States Code Section 5301, which is known as the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 and what the law states is that the City of Scranton can give it out as a grant and not receive any of the money back, however, as the UDAG is repaid to the city it is required that that money be utilized on eligible activities for community development.

Those activities are set forth right in the act in Section 15 -- or I'm sorry,
Section 5308 and 5318 (f) as is set forth in our legislation tonight. It's a mandatory requirement that on the repayment of these funds while they become city funds the city can lend them out, that they have to get them -- those funds on the repayment of the UDAG grant and that in accordance with the Community Development Act of 1974 the first time on repayment they can only be utilized for community development activities that

qualify under the Act.

The section that sets that up is

Section 5381 (f) and that states, "In any
case in which the project proposed as
repayment of the grant funds. Such funds
shall," it says shall, which is mandatory,
"be made available by the applicant," which
is the City of Scranton, "for economic
development activities that are eligible
activities under this section or section
5405 of this title."

That's the United States law set forth in the Act and this will assure that the City of Scranton will be in compliance with all UDAG repayment funds that will be deposited in a special account in accordance with the federal law and that they will only be in the next ordinance, which is 5-D, that the funds can only be withdrawn from the UDAG repayment account by appropriate legislation for community development activities which are in accordance with Section 5305 and 5318 (f) of the US code.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. The other reasons for council's decision to draft and

approve this legislation are as follows:

The UDAG repayment funds in the OECD RE-RE account were commingled with other grants and payments which is not the best accounting practice.

For example, payments to PNC Bank from grants for the Connell building were made through the RE-RE account including January 13, 2011, \$838,155.15 paid to PNC Bank from the RACP grant. August 9, 2010, \$1 million paid to PNC Bank for the DCED Growing Greener grant. August 6, 2010, \$500,000 to PNC Bank for the DCED grant HRA program; and July 7, 2010, \$3,161,844.55 paid to PNC Bank for Phase I of the RACP grant.

OECD has already created checking accounts to stop using the RE-RE checkbook for outside funding sources such as neighborhood stabilization program or NSP, Department of Energy, DOE. DCED and Monroe County Local Share Account and Emergency Shelter grants or ESG.

Additional legislation will be adopted by council at the March 1 meeting to

create an RACP account for the three newest RACP grant projects.

In addition, City of Scranton
payroll was often funded through the RE-RE
account. The legislation generated by
council will separate UDAG repayment funds
from any and all other accounts by
depositing the city money into a special
city budget account.

The second reason for this legislation involves the manner in which RE-RE funds have been spent by the mayor throughout the last several years and in 2001. Although OECD had previously had reported to council more than once a meager balance of approximately three to four hundred thousand dollars in the UDAG RE-RE account, this is just for 2010, and it appears that close to \$100,000 has been spent by Mayor Doherty for use as his personal checkbook into 2010 alone, rather than for uses that would benefit the people of Scranton and our city.

I reviewed the expenditures for 2009, 2010, and January of 2011 and because

the vouchers are so numerous I cannot present them all. Rather, for the sake of the time I will provide only several documented examples:

Attorney Carl Greco for a total of \$16,816.19. January 201, pavement of \$205 to the Beacon Hotel. Reservation for Washington DC conference for Chris Doherty. May 2010, payment of \$261 for two nights at the Hyatt Place PCLM conference. December 2010, \$595 for membership renewal in GFOA. \$29,954.68, 2011 dues for Pennsylvania League of Cities and Municipalities. October 2010, \$500 for membership in the Lackawanna County Convention and Visitors Bureau.

December 2011 and May 2010, \$28,500 for First Night sponsorships and fireworks for the most recent First Night celebration. The funding for First Night was eliminated in both the 2010 and 2011 budgets and most dues and subscriptions as well as travel and lodging were eliminated in the 2011 budget and that occurred in December 2010. Since

the mayor couldn't spend this money from the budget, he dipped into the RE-RE account for his memberships, dues, and travel and for First Night.

There are numerous expenditures for luncheons, tours, and tickets in 2010, including an Office fan tour of the Lackawanna County Convention and Visitor' Bureau for \$2,500. \$150 for the mayor's prayer breakfast. A \$120 for the Armed Forces Committee luncheon. \$100 for five tickets to the Deutsch Institute Celebrity brunch. \$3,050 for a 100 tickets for RTGP events, LLC. A \$10,000 donation to the Deutsch Institute beyond the CDBG monies awarded to this institute annually. \$5,000 to the Broadway Theatre League. Payments of \$508 to Dan Simrell for reasons unknown.

2010 local sponsorships, program ads, internet newspaper ads, TV contracts, etcetera, totalling approximately \$88,000.
2009 RE-RE spending is quite similar for sponsorships, programs ads, dinner tickets, with the noticeable additional of donations to ECTV for \$12,800.

Imagine how that UDAG RE-RE money could have been better spent on paving, police vehicles, flood control, etcetera.

No spending by other elected city officials is found among these hundreds of vouchers.

Dinners and luncheons are paid for by the individuals themselves just as are all sponsorships and programs ads. We use our salaries to pay our own costs, the mayor should do the same and the monies in this UDAG repayment RE-RE account will now be spent for the needs of the people. The checkbook is closed.

Finally, I have a request,

Mrs. Krake, we were notified that the individuals who completed the audit report of the OECD Office would not be coming in to a public caucus with Scranton City Council at any time in the near future. In addition, we were informed that no one from the Investigator General's Office would be coming in for a public caucus at any time in the nature future, rather they would like to wait until OECD has completed all of it's reports and submitted them to the

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate agencies for their review and then these groups would come before city council.

And so, Mrs. Krake, I request a letter to be sent to Senator Robert Casey and Congressman Lou Barletta urging the Department of Housing and Urban Development to address the \$11.7 dollar audit findings within the OECD Office. We would like the senator and congressman to intervene in order to arrange a public meeting between HUD and city council as soon as possible to disclose all aspects of the audit and what possible repercussions may arise from the findings. And finally, what measures will be taken to ensure that OECD will not continue to blindly act outside of the federal, state and local regulations.

And one last matter, I know that Mrs. Roberts, who was here earlier this evening, regarding the letters that were inappropriately sent to her for an abandoned vehicle also indicated that four foreman, four DPW foremen had been cut and that is, indeed, true and that cut was done by

Scranton City Council.

However, I wanted Mrs. Roberts to know that since then the mayor almost immediately reinstated all four foremen at an hourly rate of \$16 plus full benefits.

They are all working full-time to my knowledge, and I think that I just wanted to put Mrs. Roberts' concerns to rest, the mayor did take very good care of Mr. Davis and these men, and that's it.

MR. ROGAN: I have a question for Attorney Hughes, that list that Mrs. Evans read, is that legal what the mayor spent that money on?

MR. HUGHES: In accordance with federal law and Community Development Act of 1974, I read the statute, that money has to come back in to the city. Once it's repaid, it could only be spent again on activities which apply to community development in accordance with the law.

MR. ROGAN: I know it's hard to get a yes or no answer out of an attorney, but --

MR. HUGHES: The first time that --

I don't know what was deposited into the UDAG account, the first time that the money comes in on an UDAG repayment it can only be spent in accordance with federal law and in accordance for -- in accordance with federal law for community development activities which qualify under the law. The second time it comes in, it's city money that can be spent whatever way it wants, but the first repayment has to be spent for eligible community development activities in accordance with the law.

So as the mall is repaying on it's UDAG, any other UDAG's, as though payments come into the city, they will be deposited into this account so that they can only be spent on eligible community development activities. Once it goes out the second time and comes back in, then it's city money that can be spent however the city wants.

MR. ROGAN: Okay, so the answer is yes.

MR. HUGHES: So I don't know how -if the city when it got the first UDAG
repayments, like, the mall has been paying

back, there is a lot of other UDAG'S, like, one of the UDAG's that the city had I think it was around \$3 1/2 million it was to build the road from Davis Street to Montage, it started as Montage Mountain Road, it started in the City of Scranton, it ended in the City of Scranton, that's how the city got that UDAG development grant to build the road to Montage. That ended up as being a subordinated loan with the Chamber of Commerce.

Mountain from the -- actually, it's from Montage, Inc., which was a nonprofit corporation, it was entity that was formed by the Scranton Chamber of Commerce, when the county took it over that loan was subordinated, that was not accepted by the county so that \$3 1/2 million dollars was lost, but there is a lot of other UDAG'S out there that are still active, there was a special UDAG account set up, it was \$3 1/2 million from Fidelity Bank that was to pay for the acquisition and relocation costs for the hotel project, that was a legitimate

project. I think only of that a little bit over a million dollars was spent for acquisitions and relocations at the mall, it may have been a million and a half, whatever happened to the rest of that, those UDAG'S then if no more money was borrowed from Fidelity Bank those UDAG's then would have been paid to the city instead of Fidelity.

Where that money went I have no idea. That money that goes into the RE-RE account if that was properly lent out in accordance, and I don't have any idea it was because I don't think it was that account, but if it came back in, was lent out for community development activity, it qualified under the Act and then it gets repaid to the city a second time that money can then be used by the city as it sees fit.

MR. ROGAN: So the question is whether it was spent after the first or second time?

MR. HUGHES: Correct. The first time on the first repayment of any UDAG loan it has to be spent in accordance with federal law for community development

activity to qualify under the Act. 1 MR. ROGAN: 2 Okay. 3 MR. HUGHES: The second repayment, it's free money. 4 5 MS. EVANS: And I don't think, you 6 know, the purpose was so much to say this is possibly an illegal activity as much as this 7 8 is an appalling activity, this is an 9 inappropriate activity, this is a wasteful 10 spending of monies that can be used for much 11 needed projects in the city and government 12 money should never become the personal 13 checkbook of any elected official and anyone 14 who is part of it and anybody who signs off 15 on it is wrong. 16 MR. ROGAN: I agree with you 17 completely. 18 MS. EVANS: And there are people who 19 signed off on that. Mr. McGowan signed off 20 on it, Mrs. Novembrino signed off on it, and 21 I think there is a third. 22 MR. ROGAN: You know, just when you 23 were reading off that list the expenditures 24 seemed so frivolous, you know, that it 25 seemed like could be bordering on illegal.

MS. EVANS: It will be interesting. 1 MR. HUGHES: I would say if there --2 3 if it was on the first UDAG repayment there were unauthorized expenditures in accordance 4 5 with federal law. That's a play on words, 6 but anyway. MR. ROGAN: 7 Yeah. 8 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Very well 9 said. Anyone else before we move along? Mrs. Krake? 10 11 MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5 - B 12 CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229599 ENTITLED "LAW 13 14 ENFORCEMENT TORCH RUN" TO ACCEPT DONATIONS AND PAY FOR ALL RELATED EXPENSES FOR THE 15 TORCH RUN. 16 17 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 18 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 19 introduced into it's proper committee. So moved. 20 MR. ROGAN: 21 MR. JOYCE: Second. 22 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. MCGOFF: Just maybe for 23 24 explanation, the law enforcement torch run 25 is something that's conducted every year by

the Scranton Police Department and I think 1 some other police departments also joined 2 3 in. It's a run from Carbondale, basically a relay from Carbondale to Scranton, and many 4 of the Scranton police take part as do 5 6 others. 7 MS. EVANS: Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Have you taken part in 8 9 the past? 10 MR. MCGOFF: No, I haven't. MR. JOYCE: 11 I know that you are a 12 runner. 13 MS. EVANS: How about this year? 14 MR. MCGOFF: I'm going to have find out when that is. 15 16 MS. EVANS: I think you can do it. 17 You do Steamtown, you can do it. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Thanks for your 19 support. 20 MR. JOYCE: I might think about it 21 if they have a one-mile stretch, but 22 anything above that --23 MR. MCGOFF: I will tell you they do 24 have a bus that follows them and you can hop 25 on the bus every once in awhile.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor of 1 introduction signify by saying aye. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: 4 Aye. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 8 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-C. CREATING AND 9 ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE 10 11 ESTABLISHED) ENTITLED "URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT REPAYMENTS ACCOUNT" FOR THE 12 DEPOSITING OF REPAYMENTS OF URBAN 13 14 DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT FUNDS MADE TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON BY THE OWNERS OF PROJECTS 15 WHO RECEIVED THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION 16 17 GRANT FUNDS. 18 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 19 20 introduced into it's proper committee. 21 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 22 MR. JOYCE: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 those in favor of introduction signify by 25 saying aye.

	161
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
6	have it and so moved.
7	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AUTHORIZING THE
8	DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE SPECIAL CITY
9	ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE DETERMINED) ENTITLED
10	URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT REPAYMENT
11	ACCOUNT ONLY FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
12	ACTIVITIES THAT ARE ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES AS
13	REQUIRED BY FEDERAL LAW.
14	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
15	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
16	introduced into it's proper committee.
17	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
18	MR. JOYCE: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
20	those in favor of introduction signify by
21	saying aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
23	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
24	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
25	MR. JOYCE: Aye.

1 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 2 MS. KRAKE: 5-E. AUTHORIZING THE 3 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 4 FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO AN 5 AMENDMENT TO ITS CONTRACT WITH THE 6 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF 7 8 COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE 9 CONCERNING THE RENOVATION OF THE CONNELL 10 BUILDING IN ORDER TO INCREASE THE GRANT 11 12 FUNDING THEREUNDER BY \$250,000.00 FOR SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS. 13 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 15 16 introduced into it's proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 21 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 22 MR. ROGAN: After you, Mr. Joyce. 23 MR. JOYCE: Well, I think that all 24 of my colleagues have mentioned it by now, 25 with the exception of me since I had left it

out of motions, but I, too, would like to see an itemized list of these expenses. I know that \$250,000 is quit a lofty figure, but I understand from Mr. McGoff's explanation that there had to be additional work done, so once the itemized list comes I would make my decision on whether to okay it or vote in the affirmative or negative. For now, I will be voting "yes" to move it along.

MS. EVANS: And I think this is what you may have mentioned, Mr. Loscombe, the fact that all of the work would have been completed and now the city applies to amend a contract and increase the grant by a quarter of a million dollars which would appear to be a reimbursement to

Mr. Jefferson. I would assume since the project is complete that the project has been paid for, and so I'm looking for an answer to that. Is that the situation and is that appropriate under the terms of this grant?

I don't know, you know, it almost makes you wonder what that quarter of a

million dollars might be used for in addition to sidewalks and as certainly, you know, I hope that is not the case, I don't think that would be an appropriate use of state funds to take the taxpayers dollars and maybe --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Especially since the figures are close.

MR. JOYCE: And pay the taxes.

MS. EVANS: Right, and pay tax delinquencies with those, so let's, you know, hopefully that isn't something that OECD or Mr. Jefferson would become involved in, and I think that's why, too, it becomes even more important to find out exactly what the situation is with this amendment.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Like you said, is that allowed after the fact, after the project is complete. You know, we don't want it to come back at us, you know, and under an audit we would have to repay it because it was ineligible.

MS. EVANS: Right, and that is, in fact, a provision of each one of these grants that has come before us, that any

1 activities that are deemed ineligible by the state government are now to be repaid by the 2 3 city, not the developer, the city. MR. ROGAN: I would just like to say 4 I first read this and read the amount 5 \$250,000 for sidewalks improvements, I was 6 7 pretty stunned and generally even if it's 8 something I'm on the fence on I'll vote "yes" in Fifth Order, but whether it's a 9 10 reimbursement, whether it's new money for 11 improvements, there is no way I'm voting for 12 this. It's government waste at it's worst. 13 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on 14 the question? All those in favor of 15 introduction signify by saying aye. 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 18 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 19 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 20 MR. ROGAN: No. 21 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 22 moved. 23 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 24 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 12, 25 2011 - AN

1	ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW
2	ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF
3	ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD")
4	TITLED COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
5	REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE CAPITAL PROGRAM
6	FUNDS ACCOUNT NO. 12A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT
7	AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS ("RACP GRANT
8	FUNDS") RECEIVED FROM THE COMMONWEALTH
9	OF PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE BUDGET.
10	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
11	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
12	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
13	pass reading by title.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
24	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
25	FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 11, 2011 -

1	AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 97, 2009, AN
2	ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING
3	BUDGET 2010" BY TRANSFERRING \$162,652.00
4	FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.13090.4299
5	(NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES -
6	CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO.
7	01.011.00078.4120 (FIRE DEPARTMENT -
8	LIFE/DISABILITY INSURANCE) TO PROVIDE
9	FUNDING TO COVER LIFE INSURANCE ADJUSTMENTS
10	PER COURT AWARD.
11	MS. EVANS: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chair for the
13	Committee on Finance?
14	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
16	passage of Item 7-A.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
19	call, please?
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

	168
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
7	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
8	RESOLUTION NO. 11, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE
9	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
10	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO ON BEHALF OF THE
11	CITY OF SCRANTON A USER AGREEMENT WITH THE
12	PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
13	("PDA") FOR THE USE OF EQUIPMENT IN ORDER TO
14	COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ACT 106 OF
15	2010.
16	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
17	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-B.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
8	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
9	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-
10	RESOLUTION NO. 12, 2011 - ACCEPTING
11	DONATIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$242.00 RECEIVED
12	BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FROM PRIVATE
13	INDIVIDUALS FOR THE PUBLIC SAFETY MANPOWER
14	INITIATIVE.
15	MS. EVANS: What is the
16	recommendation of the Chair for the
17	Committee on Finance?
18	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
19	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
20	passage of Item 7-C.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
23	call, please?
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 2 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 4 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 6 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 9 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION 10 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-11 12 RESOLUTION NO. 13, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 13 14 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, 15 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 16 ("DEP") FLOOD PROTECTION GRANT PROGRAM TO 17 18 ACCEPT GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF 19 \$3,128.00 TO PURCHASE THREE (3) MOWERS, FOUR (4) TRIMMERS AND REPAIR CONCRETE AT FIVE (5) 20 21 LOCATIONS ON THE SOUTH SCRANTON FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT. 22 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: At this time, I would 24 like to make a motion to table Item 7-D. 25 MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: There is a motion on the floor to table Item 7-D and a second. On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: The reasoning?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, just a matter of tying up some loose ends we did request some information on matching funds and stuff and we are still awaiting for that, that's the only reason at this point.

MS. EVANS: I would like to take a look at the information that I requested from Paul O'Hora. He has a designated amount in his budget and I think we need to take a close look at, you know, what the intended uses are for that budgeted money and if the city then can afford the matching funds because he does have to stay within his budget.

MR. ROGAN: I spoke to Mr. Loscombe very briefly before the meeting about this, I have no problem tabling it, but I would hope that we would have a final vote on it within the next few weeks.

 $$\operatorname{MS}$.$ EVANS: Well, that will be up to ${\operatorname{Mr}}.$ O'Hora.

MR. ROGAN: Well, if he doesn't respond then I guess --

MS. EVANS: We'll put it back on.

MR. ROGAN: -- we'll vote it down.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Because, you know, there are a few things that awhile back that we tabled that we never put on and I know even though we were just going to shoot it down because we wouldn't vote for them if they didn't send in a resume, but I do believe that everything does deserve an up or down vote and the end of the day, but I'll vote "yes" for the amendment -- or to table.

MS. EVANS: Okay. I should probably expand on something Mr. Rogan just said.

Yes, I have been holding appointments of individuals made by the mayor to board and commissions because the mayor has ignored the votes of city council, he has ignored the legal and legitimate placement of individuals on authorities. I will not allow the mayor to disrespect city council or the people of Scranton any longer by even entertaining such legislation. It's become

	173
1	nothing more than a farce under this
2	administration.
3	Okay, we have a motion to table, all
4	those in favor signify by saying aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and Item 7-D, is it?
11	MR. JOYCE: Yes, it is.
12	MS. EVANS: Is tabled. If there is
13	no further business, I'll entertain a motion
14	to adjourn.
15	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
16	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
17	adjourned.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER