SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD:
Tuesday, JANUARY 25, 2011
LOCATION:
Council Chambers
Scranton City Hall
340 North Washington Avenue
Scranton, Pennsylvania
CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

I

<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>

Ü

. .

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING
17	DECEMBER 31, 2010.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD
22	DECEMBER 15, 2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed. Do we have
25	clerk's notes this evening?

MS. KRAKE: No, we don't have any this evening.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have one.

MR. ROGAN: After you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: The Fraternal Order of Eagles on Railroad Avenue is having a Chinese auction which benefits the CMC Heart Fund on February 12. Tickets will be sale at 2:30 with the auction at 9:30. The heart dance starts at 8 p.m. with DJ Joey playing. Come out and help us support a great organization. For more information you can call the club at 961-5495, and this past Saturday they hosted a chili cook off there and that was a fundraiser for the cancer -- for a cancer benefit and that was well attended.

The Eagles it seems like they're pretty much putting something on a weekly basis or as much as they can, but it's all to benefit some worthy cause and I would

hope everyone would go. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. On Sunday, January 30, from 1 to 5 p.m. there will be a pasta dinner at the Radisson benefiting the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter. Tickets are \$12 per person, the buffet will run continuously from 1 to 5 p.m., and there is no snow date. The benefit will be held rain, shine, sleet or snow.

MS. EVANS: The Scranton Lackawanna
County Taxpayers' Association will meet this
Thursday, January 27, at 6:00 p.m. in
Scranton City Council chambers.

The West Scranton Invader Mat
Wrestling Boosters will conduct it's annual
Night at the Races on Saturday, January 29,
2011, at the Tripp Park Community Center at
7 p.m. Admission is \$10 and includes food
and refreshments. Tickets are available at
the door and you must be 21 to be admitted.

There will be 15 horse races and you may wager any dollar amount on any or all races. You need not purchase or bet on a horse to attend. Come to Tripp Park

1 Community Center and join the Invader Mat Boosters for an inexpensive night of fun 2 3 this Saturday, January 29. "Rock On" with the Little Sisters of 4 5 the Poor third annual rockathon on Saturday, February 5, from noon to four p.m. at the 6 Holy Family residence, 2500 Adams Avenue in 7 8 Scranton. Bring the family for an afternoon 9 of fun, music, food and prizes. And that's 10 it. MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. 11 CITIZENS' 12 PARTICIPATION. 13 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this 14 evening is Giovanni Piccolino. 15 MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city 16 council. Giovanni Piccolino. 17 MS. EVANS: Good evening and 18 congratulations. 19 MR. PICCOLINO: Thank you. Finally, 20 right? 21 MS. EVANS: Yes. 22 MR. PICCOLINO: So today is the 23 final day of week of prayer for Christian 24 Unity so I think tonight is going to have 25 sort of a religious overtone to it. I would

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just like to start off by thanking God for everything that me and my family have. I would like to thank God for Mr. Boyd Hughes when he used the phrase "My four-year-old nephew could write a better legal paper than this, " or "it's a slam dunk, " or constant over and over again his constant telling me, "It's fine, it's fine," and sorry I'm out of breath, I ran here from outside before, year after year him telling me, "It's going to be fine. It's going to be fine with the pizza shop," and after five and a half years we finally had justice and what a waste of money. I don't know how much it's going to ride the taxpayers this time around, I don't know if that's something you guys could find out.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. PICCOLINO: Mr. Greco will have to pay for this not to mention for us being reimbursed for our fees for what? Nothing. Okay. I would like to thank God for the president of city council right now. As I was on my way to mass today I realized just how smart your idea with the parking meter

1

6

5

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

. .

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is. When I pulled into a spot it had 30 minutes on it already today, so before I went to mass I got in and I pulled in, I put one quarter in, I have to admit, but later on in the course of the day I pulled into another spot, I only had to run in somewhere for about a couple of minutes and that had eight minutes on it so I thought, wow, you know, a pretty good idea, Guys.

So I think God for you guys, you are actually bringing things into the city that makes a lot of sense, a whole lot of sense, but the Lackawanna Avenue still doesn't have meters at all on our block. I don't know if that's something, you know. Also, on my block the sidewalk from the vacant parking lot of the city that they bought next door to us, during this whole Lackawanna Avenue project is never, ever shoveled. Like right now it's a sheet of ice. So, I mean, it's a liability. I would imagine since the city owns it they should at least salt it and shovel it off, you know, it's enough I shovel out front of ours and I kind of actually even shovel a little path here and

there, you know, I don't want our customers slipping and falling. We do appreciate our customers and we will be servicing them another 45 years, again, thank the good Lord, and that's really it.

And since we will be in the city another 45 years servicing the community I would like to continually give back to the community, so on Valentine's Day, that's Monday, February 14, I would like to invite all of the senior citizens down to the pizza shop, I will be there from 5:00 on, for a slice of pizza, a soda and a cannoli on us.

MS. EVANS: That's very generous and kind.

MR. PICCOLINO: And we will be giving back to the community much, much more. And again, we appreciate you guys, what you are doing, and it's a fabulous idea and I just noticed just that one little thing today with the parking, it's very, very intelligent. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Ms. Carrera, if you would make note of Mr. Piccolino's comment about the city-owned parking lot and the

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sidewalks never salted or shoveled and we'll send a letter out on that, please.

MS. HUGHES: Madam President, the city does not own that lot. That lot is owned by the 500 Lackawanna Development Corporation and whoever the entity is down It was purchased by the there. Redevelopment Authority from MS, who I also represent in the condemnation action, but they ended upcoming to terms, sold it to the-- resold to the Redevelopment Authority, the Redevelopment Authority immediately conveyed it to 500 Lackawanna Development Corporation or whoever the entity is. own that lot. They are private entity under the ordinance of the city, they are responsible to have those sidewalks cleared within 24 hours after it snows.

MS. EVANS: Yes. I'm sorry, Ms.

Carrera, we can make that correction then and forward the letter to the appropriate party, developer, 500 block, and perhaps you might include with the letter a copy of the city ordinance requiring snow removal.

Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
Citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians,
five and a half years ago he is right, I
came before council and said it was a bad
idea. That was my favorite pizza place and
my favorite hot dog place and they wanted to
tear them down or change them, so forth and
so on and I spoke again against it.

But let us look at this thing realistic today. We got a new governor, isn't it funny what the new governor coming in all of a sudden they threw it out. There is no office building. Remember that office building that they were going to build, where is it? You people got to realize these people are dreamers. They come before you with all kinds of propositions. I always said, give me a 20 million and I'll build a damn across East and West Mountain and have a generation plant there, we can all have free electricity, but this is the plans they give you.

And when you get down there to -- I worked in the Lackawanna Lace, I took care of the elevators there, okay, I know what is

there and what conditions it was in toward the end, and the fact of the matter is if this thing was a viable project why would the banks give them 4 1/2 million, why do they need a grant? And then aren't you leery about anybody telling you they are going to do something five years in the future? That alone would spell trouble with me. If you put something in the stipulation or if they get the loan that if they don't do what they said in five and a half years they would have to return the money, that's a whole different thing.

Like I told you before, I'm in favor of loans, I'm not if favor of giveaways, especially with the climate today. Too many people are losing their homes, but we have money to throw away. How much do we spend for the downtown project? Do you remember when they were supposed to do the sidewalks that the mayor said unless you come in with us we aren't going to fix your sidewalk and their sidewalk wasn't done.

You got to look at what's going on.

I have saw all those buildings in town and

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so on and so forth, but I believe in this, viable project means the bank will lend them the money, not after you give me 4 1/2 million then I'll bring you the money. That doesn't cut ice.

Well, let's go. I mean, they can tell you anything, but five years in future that alone would be a warning sign to me being you see what happened with the office building down in the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue. It's a dream. A lot of people have dreams, but when it comes to being a realist, that's a whole different project. It's too bad they couldn't redo that back into what it was before, some kind of a manufacturing plant because it's in the middle of no where, lots of parking around it, and so forth and so on. Just too bad they want to turn it into another loft building so how the heck they plan to do.

Now that we are down there, you remember Lackawanna College was given money for that project down there, too. I don't know if they returned the money because they never fulfilled the project, but we gave the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money for there, too. You got to look into the history of these things before you go off and say, "This is wonderful project."

You know, Sardoni is a good contractor, I'll say that because I worked for Sardoni on some of the jobs he worked on, but I'm leery of anything five years in the future, but let's -- let me look at something more realistic now.

Up there I got a notice in the paper where they said because they made the light show they wouldn't have to raise the fees at Nay Aug for the kids because they had this Tell me actually what to they do up monev. at Nay Aug? I believe we pay the liability on everything up there, okay? I believe we even take care of the lifeguards up in that project. In fact, the only thing I know they do was they bought the chlorine for the pool, and that's is, or they are putting a I guess a pavilion up there. Who is paying for the pavilion? Us. They are going to do the green house, who is paying for the green house? Us. And who makes the money on any of these things that are up there? They do.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But who pays off all of these projects that they are doing up there, the citizens of Scranton. Why the heck are we paying to use any of the facilities up there? In the original deed the only thing they were supposed to charge for were amenities and the amenities at that time was a snack bar, that was it. You were able to do everything else for free. True they spent a lot of money up there, I know it, but did we need a tree house? Not necessarily. Did we need a bridge? necessarily. Did we need a place for the kids could swim for free? That's what we needed. More than anything else they did up there.

Nay Aug was never envisioned as a cash cow. It was gift to the city and to all of the people of the city. Somehow or some way that was turned. Now it's looked at like a cash cow. Everything they do up there has to return money to where, to the Rec Authority? But yet we pay for everything else up there. I can't wait to until I get to look at the audit to see what

the heck they are spending their money on up there. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Sbaraglia. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to begin tonight by asking council if they received a response in regards to the request I had made last week about the pools?

MS. EVANS: The letter was sent,
Mr. Miller, but we have not yet received a
response.

MR. MILLER: Okay. You know, I just -- I just find that totally unacceptable, and this isn't a criticism on council by any means because it's not a problem that just happened overnight we have had this problem for a long time getting answers to questions, and I just think that if a department can't respond to a simple question within a week I think we have serious problems and I think that maybe council in the future should look at maybe

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

removing some of these people. It might sound out of line, but that's my opinion and I think that a lot of residents are beginning to express a lot of frustration, and I know council is because I just I don't see why we can't get answers to questions. We are paying these people to do a job and they are not performing.

Mr. Rogan, you talked about what I just mentioned, if it's necessary we'll start cutting back, you know, if we have to take the budget apart for next year let's start removing these people because you know what, if they're not going to do their job then they don't belong here because if council or myself or any resident comes up here with a question we expect the answer. You know, I just think we have been playing too many games here week after week and it's leading us no where and we pay people to represent the residents of this city and do the duty that they were appointed to do and if you can't do it then you shouldn't even be here.

The second thing I have tonight is

23

24

25

in regards to Chief Duffy. You know, I'd just like to take this time to personally commend him for his performance up to this point, and this isn't a disrespect to other chiefs we've had in the past, everybody has done their job, but since my involvement in the city, you know, I just can't recall a chief doing some of the things that he has done here and I just think that he obviously knows that in order to get the job done sometimes you just have to take that extra step to get the job done and I just want to thank him for that. You know, it's not a secret he has been doing his job ever since he has been in office, not just since he's been a chief, but now that he's a chief people are really seeing what's going on.

The other thing I have tonight is in regard to the special account that was created to accept donations to possibly staff additional police and firemen, you know, I'd just like to suggest tonight that possibly we could consider raising funds towards this effort. You know, putting ideas together to possibly host a fundraiser

because, you know, when it comes to public safety I take that very serious and I hope others do as well. You know, I think maybe we can possibly look at holding another basketball game as I did -- when we did with Junior Council a year or two ago where we raised \$3,500 that went towards the monuments that you have here out in front of city hall and the other one in front of the police station, so maybe we can possibly look at doing something like that again, just something to, you know, entertain.

The other issue I have tonight was I received some information from an individual who approached me earlier in the week and he is a volunteer down at the Scranton Rescue Mission and he had just asked that I bring up some information to the public tonight. Apparently they are going to be having a coat drive this Saturday from 1 to 3. They are asking people in need to come for coats and other items that will help keep you warm in the winter. You know, I really appreciate the effort and time that a lot of these dedicated individuals put to this, you

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you.

know, they are not getting paid for it.

They are taking the time on a Saturday and go down, you know, and serving their community and give back and I just want to commend them for that and hopefully people

out there listening tonight will go down and

possibly give a couple of hours and, you

know, support their efforts.

I finally tonight, on Griffin Pond it's been brought up the last couple of weeks, but I'd just like to piggyback on what everybody else has been saying. know, I really encourage other towns and municipalities in the area to consider making a donation to benefit their shelter. When we look at the services they provide they do an excellent job and I just feel that we should be supporting them. Again, I want to thank council for putting aside the funding to support them. I know recently a lot of other towns have followed your lead and done the same and just moving forward I would hope that others areas would do the same thing, and that's all tonight. Thank

•

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. Actually tonight I'd like to really congratulate Mr. Hughes, Attorney Hughes, Mr. Piccolino, and, of course, Coney Island. I understand that we are going to have hot dogs and pizza for free for the next two years now. It was a great victory I think for the city and the people in the city and the businesses and the perseverance paid off and they are to be commended for staying the course. And I hope you have great success in your continued ventures here in the city.

On another issue that brought me here actually tonight is the East Mountain Residents Association I know sent council a letter, they sent letters to everybody.

Well, I'm here to take issue with Mr. Wexler's comments so he gets it straight, he didn't want to name names, Mr. Wexler, it's Bob Bolus in case you forgot who I am. This has been an ongoing issue

that's been happening up on East Mountain, it's regarding an easement issue. It's been an issue created by a neighbor. The term that Mr. Wexler puts in here the parking of illegal storage trailers. I think Mr. Wexler should kind of confine his statements and not become a practicing attorney or a description what he may consider illegal without the full fundamental knowledge of what's going on and I intend to take him to issue on it.

First of all, East Mountain

Residents Association has no standing in the litigation since they are not a party to it. What they want to yap about or complain about they can go do that, that's the right of our democracy, but don't sit here and try and hide who the players are, they are already listed on here. This is not an issue I raised or started, this is an issue I've had to defend and I've spent considerable money doing it without any assistance of the East Mountain Neighborhood Association that this issue started a long time ago.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if Mr. Wexler wants to practice be an attorney I think he better get his terminologies in order or suffer the consequences of his ambiguous statements.

The purpose of those containers are there for a reason and that reason is going to be determined in a Court of law not by Mr. Wexler, not by the neighbors, it's going to a courtroom where a judge will decide who is right, who is wrong, what's legal, and what isn't legal. I have copies of the city ordinance, in one part it says one thing and the other part it says another thing. will get the opportunity to defend their statements or what the regulations states in Court. I'm not here to give my legal arguments away tonight, but I can say we intend to vigorously defend against the accusations of Mr. Wexler and the City of Scranton as the zoning violation.

And I must say today, Chris Doherty took time, I met with the mayor this morning, we had a discussion with regarding this, and I think we are all of the opinion let the Courts make the determination what

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will be and will not be on East Mountain regarding my property and the situation that's been ongoing, and that's why it's in Court.

So all the wannabe attorneys and the wannabe complainers learn to spell my name correct when you talk about me or you write a letter because I don't hide behind anyone and I'm not an unknown, that's for sure.

However, they could have came forward when we had the issue regarding the neighbors building on city-owned property which I have raised before this council on numerous occasions. Yet, Mr. Wexler, Paul Kelly, I've gone to the solicitor on numerous times to have a discussion with him regarding it and he refuses. The issue there is it's city-owned property, it's a deeded waterway, it's owned by the city, nobody has a right to build on it, nobody has any right to use that land but the city of Scranton unless you buy it. You can't just get it for an action to quite title, you just can't get it because you know somebody in the city, you need to purchase

the property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So what I'm requesting council tonight to have the solicitor actually do his job instead of worrying about trailers that are there for a purpose and not a valid reason which he didn't want to hear. with the city-owned property that someone illegally built on in an illegal subdivision and let's put the property up for sale to the highest bidder whether you built it on it or not, it's buyer beware, builder beware, that's why you have title companies to do your search. These individuals were notified prior to building by certified letter, which they accepted, that they were building on city-owned property and went ahead and did it.

We need to take this task and resolve this issue. They want to play with the big boys and run with the big dogs now is their opportunity because that's where this is going. The property needs to be put out for sale, it's tax dollars, it's revenue to this city, and it shouldn't be ignore. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. Mr. Sam Chera,
Recreation Authority Chairman and Doherty
appointee stated that the Nay Aug Christmas
Light Show raised \$42,207 this year, but yet
Mr. Chera went onto say that they will not
have to raise the fees at Nay Aug Park pool
and slide this year. Mr. Chera, how about
lowering the fees or rather yet doing away
with these fees that you charge children
between the ages of 6 to 15 every day of the
swim season.

threatening the citizens with no garbage pickup or snow removal because he lost his job. Now we have an appointed recreation board member threatening to kick raising the pool fees at Nay Aug Park. How about gathering up your 135 volunteers that act as lifeguards this summer. Also, Sammy, you can send a check to "Kids Swim Free" 1416 South Webster Avenue, Scranton,

Pennsylvania, 18505, ask the other 134 volunteers to contribute, also. Make some children happy for a change.

City council has the perfect opportunity to review the qualifications, education and background of an appointed cabinet member. Mr. McGowan's appointment is currently in front of city council for approval. We know from Mayor Doherty's sworn testimony 14 September 2010, that he was not aware of the qualifications of the police chief, public safety director and human resource director prior to appointing these individuals to cabinet positions. How do we know that he is aware of Mr. McGowan's qualifications and experience?

Has anybody on council checked into Chief Davis, Mr. Brazil and Mr. Dougher's qualifications to be department heads and cabinet members? By the way, Chief Duffy, is doing a fantastic job. What about a resume from Mr. McGowan listing all his education, work-related experience in the field of finance? Also, does have any sexual harassment training, ethics training

or supervisory experience? Remember, one cabinet member, prior police chief Elliott, has already cost the taxpayers of Scranton \$153,000 in embarrassment. Chief Davis has a 93 percent "No confidence" vote and has not backed the city firefighters yet.

I understand the mayor has the final say and not council but at least council should be aware of cabinet member's qualifications and experience. This should be public information. Their salaries will be paid with public money, not private money.

Remember, city council, Mr. Rinaldi came before city council telling them how important the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue project was, how jobs were going to be created, boutiques and apartments. I ask, how many jobs have been created so far? We have a church that moved into the Coney Island building more than likely not paying taxes. Now we have a chocolate factory relocating from Old Forge. How many full-time jobs are they bringing to the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue? Are all

employees Scranton residents? What's their salaries? Remember, this project cost the Scranton taxpayers over \$30 million state, federal and city tax money used. Where the return to the taxpayers?

Congratulations to Mr. Piccolino and Mr. Moran who stood their ground and won.

Mayor Doherty, "I'm happy with what came out of the 500 block," he said. Jeremy Burton's follow-up question should have been, "Mayor, are you saying that you like empty buildings that are not generating any revenue, creating jobs or tax money for the city?"

I read a letter to the editor where someone suggested a grocery store from the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue. Great, a \$30 million grocery store built with taxpayers' money. Mayor Doherty, bring in what you and Mr. Rinaldi promised businesses, jobs, not a grocery store, minimum wage jobs again.

As far as the garbage fee, I was very disappointed council approved this illegal fee. Until you impose fees on the nonprofits and leeches then you should not

impose any fees on the residents/taxpayers of Scranton.

Breaking news, Senator Casey,

Gang up on gangs." Senator, hold a meeting
with Mayor Doherty, District attorney

Jarbola and ask Mayor Doherty why he wants
to reduce the police department by ten
officers, why there are no scheduled testing
for new police officers, and why has Mayor

Doherty allowed the vehicle fleet to
deteriorate to a current embarrassing level.

Why does Scranton police officers lease
their own police cars?

As far as District Attorney Jarbola, a few years ago he stood firmly with Mayor Doherty and the Times-Tribune telling Scranton residents that there were no gangs in the Scranton area. Senator Casey, Scranton needs more police officers on the street and then maybe Chief Duffy can become a manager, not a beat cop. Although, like I said earlier, he is a doing the fantastic job.

Now private citizens are contributing to and to fund and hire police

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officers in Scranton. What's next, Mayor Doherty, everybody in the city using garden hoses to extinguish house fires? Mayor Doherty, you were elected to do just one thing, lead the city, the whole city, not just your family, friends and supporters.

And again, city council, I'm going to caution you, be leery of developers coming in here promising jobs, promising development and promising everything under the sun and they have never, ever come through. If any one on council wants to challenge that then give me an example. got the Southern Union building, we got the 500 block of Lackawanna County, we got the Mulberry Street project, we got holes in front of the Cathedral, we got everything. We where are the jobs? Construction jobs are nothing but temporary jobs. As soon as the construction is done the jobs leave. Are they going to be union jobs? Are all of the construction workers going to be Scranton residents? If they are not Scranton residents, Scranton ain't getting no wage tax. All I'm going to say, Council,

is be leery of any approval of any development in this city because I'm personally going to hold all five of you accountable if you approve it and it doesn't come through. Make sure it's in writing.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Jackowitz. Pedro Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: Pedro Gonzalez, South Side resident. Good evening, Council and all in attendance. As always, I come here tonight to just give thanks and to make announcements of events, future events and things to that effect. Since our friend, Giovanni, has left we would like to congratulate him and his family for their win. Hopefully, our church will see victory also at the end of the tunnel with our situation. We have spoken many times and he keeps telling us stay in this thing and we are. We are going to stay in it to the very end.

Since everybody also is speaking about Chief Duffy, we also would like to thank him, the members of Maranatha Ministry and the Latino community would love to thank

Chief Duffy for all of the tremendous work that he has done. It's the first time ever that one of the two, three families have come to us in the last couple of weeks and they said it's the first time, first time ever that they have seen any Scranton police officer in a good light which is ashame because we have a lot of, a lot of hard and good working men and women on the police force of this city, you know, but hopefully this is the beginning of a change in their minds, you know, the community members, so thank you again, Chief Duffy, for that.

And moving on, I know we gave thanks to many individuals for the Three King's event January 6, but as many of you know we had an event on January 14, 15, 16, 17, the Martin Luther King event that we had here across the city. It was tremendously, tremendously well-attended across the board. We want to thank all of the individuals who attended, the commission members who volunteered their time and participated and made this thing come to past, all elected officials that showed up and spoke and

showed support, but to many organizations that were there that do this every single year are the United Neighborhood Centers, St. Joseph's Center, Scranton School District, Salvation Apostolic Temple, Shilo Baptist Church, Latin Cultural Diversity Center Group, the Lackawanna County Prison Ministry, Voluntary Action Center, Friends of the Poor, Center for Anti-Slavery Studies, the Scranton Cultural Center, and we want to thank all of the media, the television crews, and all of the newspapers teams that were out there that supported the Martin Luther King event. Thank you all so, so much.

And the last thing on my agenda is more good news, Maranatha Ministries on 510 Prospect is proud to announce our brand new food bank that we are opening and everything is free as we normally do in the community, and we are here to serve the South Side, South Side area of this city, but anybody that comes to our doors that need food and are hungry we are there, we are providing bags of food, canned product, meats, so on

20

2122

23

24

25

and so forth and so on, it's an official food pantry. We have been investing with certain groups and organizations and we are proud to announce on Monday, I believe the date will be Monday the 31st from 5 to 7 our doors will open for the first time as a food bank, and also I believe Wednesday, February 2, going to be two days, every Monday and every Wednesday from 5 to 7, 510 Prospect, Maranatha Ministries Church, the very church that certain individuals want to shut down have, again, keep contributing to this city and the well-being and the productivity of our youth and the community members of this city every single day. That's why we are Every single Monday, every single Wednesday starting from the 31st on, God willing we have our doors open as a food pantry.

We thank you all so, so much. It's good to see you, Senorita Evans,
Councilwoman Evans, you know, I've always got to throw my Latin slang in there, may
God bless everybody. And once again, as always, my name is Pedro Gonzalez, thank you

1 for allowing me to express myself. MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Gonzalez. 2 3 Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn. MR. QUINN: 4 Good evening. 0zzie Quinn, Scranton Taxpayers' Association. 5 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 6 7 MR. QUINN: I have three reasons or 8 purposes why I'm here tonight, the first 9 one, Councilman Joyce, could you give us a 10 status on the city audit? 11 MR. JOYCE: Yes. I'll be discussing 12 it in some brief detail during motions and I 13 did attend the exit conference yesterday, so 14 I know at this point Ryan McGowan, our business administrator, did state that he 15 16 was going to pass the audit along to IT to 17 post on-line, so I'm not exactly sure how 18 long that's going to take, but the audit is 19 now complete. 20 MR. QUINN: Thank you. 21 MR. JOYCE: You are welcome. 22 MR. QUINN: Second issue is my 23 neighbors are complaining in my neighborhood 24 in regard to the pickup of Christmas trees. 25 A lot of them are senior citizens, low

income, and the trees are just left there.

Now, we pay taxes and taxes are supposed to be for services and they already deduct a garbage fee out of the taxes so what do we do with the Christmas trees, wait until they turn to the earth or what? Is there going to be a pickup or anything like that?

Usually they pick them up by now, I don't know what happened.

MS. EVANS: Ms. Carrera, if we could please notify Mr. Brazil about the lack of Christmas tree pickup, particularly in the Hill Section of Scranton in the neighborhood of?

MR. QUINN: Just East Scranton's Little Leagues area.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. QUINN: Thank you. The third purpose I'm here for I have a proposal I'd like to make to the administration via city council, which is not unlike some of the content of President Obama's State of the Union address tonight, that is the creation of jobs, mine is locally. My proposal will also prevent blight and hopefully rebuild

11 12

9

10

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

our neighborhoods. I have the opportunity to drive through city neighborhoods weekly, obviously, there are many blighted vacant boarded up residences condemned by the city. These properties are not only eyesores and non revenue producing, they cause unsafe conditions and potential crime in the neighborhood. When I was a former member of the city planning commission, city planner, Don King, and myself was instrumental with creating the City's Vacant Property Review Committee now administered by the SRA. vision was to expedite the sale of city-owned vacant parcels. In that period of time, Nancy Krake, presently city clerk, was a clerk at the Treasurer's Office. and I did complete a survey and catalogued all of the vacant tax land throughout the city where it's located, how much the taxes were, was it was a biddable property, so and so on.

Obviously, it was never used for it's purpose and it's presently outdated and probably collecting dust somewhere in city hall. Recently I read a city neighborhood

crime watch held a meeting with city
officials to address the crime problem in
their neighborhood. The city responded that
the blighted properties are scheduled for
demolition in their specific neighborhood to
resolve part of the crime issue.

We all know that hundreds of residential homes are demolished in the past thus leaving vacant non taxing producing land. Also, many have been condemned and remain standing for years, thus, cannot be rehabilitated. My proposal follows:

To the best of my knowledge the SRA is presently without an executive director. I would voluntarily serve with the SRA to help create jobs for many of the over 400 home improvement contractors throughout the county who because of a poor economic conditions are under dire straights financially from slowdown of home improvement jobs.

Without giving the appearance of sounding arrogant, my resume will show I have the experience and knowledge for rehabilitating properties. I hope to save

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many condemned and boarded up houses and place them back on the tax rolls. Of course, some of them have been boarded up, like I said, for so long they could never be replaced.

My resume: I formerly served for four and a half year as the executive director of Habitat of Humanity of Lackawanna County. At that period of time under the guidance of the former habitat board of directors and the habitat policies, I was able to renovate and construct 32 tax producing, affordable city homes. renovations were a new source of revenue for the city. Also, I created emergency home repair program that benefit more than 300 low income homeowners in need of furnace repair, leaky pipes, handicapped ramps, electric problems, etcetera, some of which would have been lead to the city condemning the home.

Previously I worked on the SRA staff as a community organizer working with the neighborhood project residents. The SRA rehabilitation at that time was able to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rehabilitate and save several hundred homes in the project which included the South Side and Minooka neighborhoods. Riverside projects including in and around Third and Fourth Avenue developer section and the Diamond project in North Scranton on the 1100 block of North, Piece and Boyd Street section. Again, I don't want to give the appearance of arrogance. I am using my experience and knowledge to support my case.

We cannot continue to condemn homes and demolish them. Our tax base continues to decrease thus leaving vacant non revenue land in all of the neighborhoods for years We must start to be creative to to come. spruce up our neighborhoods and bring properties back on the tax roles. initial step will be to arrange meetings between city officials, banking institution reps and contractors to discuss feasibility and finances. Of course, some of public money could be used on that economic development investment. I sincerely request that you follow up for consideration on my proposal. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.

Mr. Quinn, have you forwarded that letter to
the mayor and to the Chairman of the SRA?

MR. QUINN: No, I haven't. I brought it here tonight to ask the council to maybe send a letter and might have more influence or maybe not with the mayor and the Chairman of the SRA.

MS. EVANS: If you would like to leave that with Ms. Carrera and she can make a copy of it.

MR. QUINN: Sure.

MS. EVANS: And, Ms. Carrera, will you send a letter to the mayor and to I believe it's Mr. William Laser of the Scranton Redevelopment Authority indicating Mr. Quinn's expressed interest in serving with the SRA and the vacant property review committee at no cost to the city and this offer was expressed publically during city council meeting. Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city homeowner and
taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

offer to repair a police car at no cost to the city, I thought that was very admirable on his part. I think we need more people in the city to step up and do stuff like that, so I hope they take up his offer on that and we'll have one police car that doesn't really look that bad, and I think it was a great gesture.

to talk about last week Bob Bolus made an

MR. SPINDLER: First of all. I want

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: I, too, want to thank Mr. Piccolino or congratulate Mr. Piccolino, Mr. Moran and thank Attorney Hughes for the great job he did in that lawsuit. It was five years of wasting the taxpayers' money in this administration, it has gone on for nine years in Chris Doherty's administration, all he has done is throw out taxpayer money and I thank God we have a council that's overseeing that somewhat now. Maybe it will stop. Again, congratulations on winning that lawsuit.

Last week Mr. McGoff said he wants to oversight on how the Griffin Pond Animal

Shelter is going to spend the money. Well, Mr. McGoff, it's funny that you want oversight on that, but you never asked for oversight on all of the millions of dollars that Chris Doherty has thrown out over the past years such as giving raises to all of his crony hires which was a violation of his recovery plan, losing all of these arbitration appeals, I have to put on my glasses, I can't read my own writing. Also, hiring outside companies to plow the DPW lot and our police station when we have DPW to do that. Mr. McGoff, how come you never wanted oversight over those situations? Mr. McGoff, are you awake?

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me?

MR. SPINDLER: I'm asking you a question. Never mind. What a waste. A citizen, who is a friend of mine, called me today he lives on North Merrifield Avenue, I brought this up before, in back of his house where it's Dewey Avenue, it's a small street, when it's snows I guess it's not on the plow list he asked if they could get on the plow list. He can't get out of his

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

garage when it snows and I do have that in writing, I will give that to Ms. Carrera.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. SPINDLER: And I like I said, this happens every winter. He is a heart transplant patient and he can't get out and shovel his garage out, so I'd appreciate it if you can help him out.

Lastly, everybody is here blowing Chief Duffy's horn tonight, he doesn't need anybody to do that, the Doherty newsletter is doing just fine, but I have to disagree with the great job he is doing. As I have said in the past, and many people might be tired of hearing this, I approached Chief Duffy at the National Night Out, which was in September, about the police report identifying two city employees who stole Gary DiBileo's signs and threw them in the river, which was a crime, whether minor or not it was a crime, and the police chief should be arresting people that commit He said it's not a priority but he would speak to Bob Martin, who was the investigating detective. Over four months

later he still hasn't spoken to Bob Martin. He lied right to my face. He is nothing but another Doherty puppet and I challenge him to come here and prove me wrong. He hasn't done it and what he is doing being out on the streets is illegal to be a police chief and I know Thanksgiving Eve when he was out trying pull people over for DUI as soon as Detective Martin heard that, he had to call into Mr. Jennings, their attorney, filling an Unfair Labor Practice grievance.

So what he is doing isn't right, whoever thinks he is a doing a great job I think they are wrong and, as I said, he is nothing but another Doherty crony. What else is new. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Mr. Bolus, if you could please provide the
specifics of your very generous regarding
the police vehicles --

MR. BOLUS: I will.

MS. EVANS: -- to Ms. Carrera so that can be forwarded to Chief Duffy and the mayor as well.

MR. BOLUS: I could send it down

tomorrow. I'll fax it over with a fax number and I'll fax it over to you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Appreciate that very much.

MR. BOLUS: You're welcome. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And our next speaker is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: I found out this week I can blame something adverse on Dave if people get us mixed up since we both need a haircut so bad. Our brother Jack, I missed your rebuttals last week because I had to go home and watch the "Walking Dead." I went home to watch that Barrett-Jackson. Jack, Jack, Jack, what are we going to do? All I do is relay what people are expressing to me mostly up here, and I have heard people say that you do listen to us and you do hear us that you don't care about this parking meters. I don't know, you all seem like you're hell bent on going that way.

I got a question, the paper said

there was a \$30 per space, but it didn't say 2 if that was for the 60 months or every month 3 or what. That's a lot of money. MR. LOSCOMBE: The \$30 per space is 4 continuous. The \$5 per space is 60 months 5 for the installation. The monitoring, they 6 7 cover -- it's all their equipment, it's all 8 their monitoring, they change the batteries, 9 everything. The city wouldn't have to pay 10 for anything as far as equipment wise. if someone came up to me and said, "Here's a 11 12 hundred dollars, you know, give me \$20 back 13 and you can keep the 80," I'd certainly go 14 for it because it's \$80 more than we have 15 right now. 16 MR. ELLMAN: That might be true, but 17 they are saying the capture rate has to go 18 from 1 percent to 10 percent to do this. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: No, no, no. 20 MR. ELLMAN: That's what the paper 21 said. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, you believe the 23 paper? 24 MR. ELLMAN: Well, that was what 25 people, you know, discussed in here two

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

weeks ago was the capture rate.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If you read the paper you wouldn't even know we discussed the insurance quotes last week, but basically I'll give you a copy of everything we have. They have quoted from 1 percent capture rate up to 10 percent capture rate. At 1 percent is where they base the -- we are getting the significant increase.

MR. ELLMAN: They say -- they used what the city gets now is their 1 percent.

> MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct.

MR. ELLMAN: So in order to get the big money they have to go up to 10 percent.

We are going to get a MR. LOSCOMBE: significant increase at 1 percent just utilizing the system that's going to be in place, but a system that makes it more efficient would actually increase your rate of collections anyway, but if we keep it at status quo there is still an amount that's not collected there. That would be -- I could sit down with you explain it, I don't want to use up your time here.

MR. ELLMAN: When Clinton was

running for president and people kept saying he flip flops and all the time on the issues somebody in, you know, in his group said he wasn't flip flopping, he considered both sides of the argument more and decided that the other side was better so, you know, I could change my mind, but so far I just don't agree with this.

I was downtown yesterday, I came down Penn Avenue to the Forum and I would say there was, I don't know four or five parking spaces, I just pulled up, but it looked like maybe a quarter to a third of the cars were parked, there was spaces everywhere. This looks like it is going to be the death nail for downtown if you ask me. That's what people tell me.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sure that's what you hear. Like I said last week after you had left, you have to speak to people who have it in effect already to learn what their experience is. Anyone is going to be skeptical, trust me, I'm probably most skeptical of anybody and I looked into this for several months and made several calls

and spoke to people that I thought to be adversely affected and I got quite the opposite response, and their first response and their first impulse was exactly like you are getting from the business people here.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, I heard from somebody at the mall that people will park there and walk in to town. It's going to ruin their business they said.

MR. LOSCOMBE: No

MR. ELLMAN: I've already done that to be truthful, you know, that I have -- I parked over here at the Parking Authority and it cost me \$5 for I don't know a couple of hours or something, but I would rather do that than worry about a ticket, but I don't mean to be argumentative about this.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, no, we appreciate it. This is the kind of commentary we had we need.

MR. ELLMAN: To change the subject, anybody see where ARC got another house last week, \$209,000? How can you budget with this keep going on and on and on and it's nonstop? It's not fair to the people of

23

24

25

this city to just keep -- just keep going There has to be some kind of legislation to stop it, you know, there is already no tax base in the city and here is ARC they don't need to do this buying a house, they are a business. They are taking -- putting a business in the middle of residential neighborhoods and that is no They've got to be stopped. why last year I know everybody didn't like it, I won't give the United Way a penny. You give them money and they give it to ARC, ARC buys a house with that money and takes it off the tax roles and the people that are supporting all of this have to pay the tax on the house. We have to make it up.

But you multiply that by six, 800 houses that have been taken off the last 15 years it's millions of dollars in loss to the people. It's got to stop somewhere. There is just -- you just -- the place is just full of empty houses and empty apartments. I mean, you know, I'm not yelling at you all, it's just got to stop.

And before when you talked about the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sidewalks, I think I can safely say half the people in my neighborhood don't clean sidewalks anymore. There is no inducement. I get out there with my lawn mower and use \$5 worth of gas up and everybody around me when I walk my dog nobody does their sidewalks no more. Where the old bar up the street used to be they cleared up the whole place with a snow plow and didn't do the sidewalk. You know, the bar is empty. doesn't make sense. All over place where I walk people, I'd say half the people just don't do their sidewalks no more in occupied houses. It doesn't make sense. You know. there is no inducement for me to get out there.

And I'd like to say something about Mr. Miller real quick. We didn't hit it off too good politically, but he has sure come a long way the last year and I'd just love to see more young guys like this get involved in politics. I don't how you could do it, you know, maybe we could get some more people back here like when he was here a couple of years ago. I have young guys talk

to me now and then, I tell them to come down there and they said nobody wants to come anymore, so I don't know. Maybe you could have him do it, but that's the future of this city right there, that young fellow, right there. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: Well, you know, the first thing I have here is on 7-B, Scranton Lace again. You know, I really think that this plan should be rejected. To be really honest with you, you know, I've listened to all of these arguments, Mr. Loscombe I listened to what you are trying to say about these meters and, you know, to be very honest with you I don't claim to possess a wealth of knowledge, you know, I don't hold myself up as being anything special, but the one thing I have done is, you know, in the last election I ran for state representative part of the district was in the city, hit an awful lot of doors, I don't think anybody

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has ever run for an office that his hit more doors than me and I don't care who they are, okay? It was just finally something I decided I was going to do is I was going to run for public office, I was going to hit doors and I was going to talk with people.

You know, Mr. Quinn brought up something that's been discussed here, Mr. Ellman brought up something that was discussed here, a lot of people come up here and they have talked about a lot of things that were discussed here, the truth of the matter is, okay, it always sound great to raise revenue. We are taking the same money from the same people and we have the same excuses that we have tons of debt and allegedly we are electing people that are really listening to us, but the truth of the matter is the city's situation really hasn't changed much. In my opinion, it's probably gotten worse and I think in my own opinion, and I talked to Mr. Austin Burke about this project and about the Scranton Lace building, we need an economic engine here. Go down there and take a look around the

site.

1

22

23

24

25

2 Now, you know, parking meters, 3 Mr. Loscombe, if you think you are going to raise revenue that way I respect your 4 opinion, but the truth of the matter is we 5 6 are going to raise revenue by creating jobs that employ people that have discretionary 7 8 funds to spend in the community. Condemning 9 a ton of houses I think, you know, was 10 allegedly the answer to our problems at one 11 time because we swore that the reason we had 12 all of the problems we had is drug influence 13 in the neighborhood and the conditions of 14 the neighborhoods, but for the life of me I 15 can't understand, you know, when you look at 16 the Scranton Times article dated I believe 17 January 7 we got more money coming for more 18 improvements to the downtown. When are we 19 going to spend money on the neighborhoods? 20 Why would he want to keep developing 21 apartments?

We need an economic engine, okay, and that economic engine is the Scranton Lace building. There is not one building, like I said here once before, that has the

abilities that building has. We have talked about the open space around it, I think there is enough room around it to build a parking garage. I think it's totally possible to employ 5,000 people there. I think it's time to really have some heart-to-heart conversations with anyone in the Chamber of Commerce that will listen and who wants to really develop jobs.

Everybody talks about creating jobs and everybody talks about being a businessman, but the truth of the matter is we want to create rental spaces because in my opinion they are going to be subsidized either by the state or the federal government on some level. It's not the answer. The answer is to increase something. Employment. Employment is our problem.

Now, council says that is doesn't see any need for SAPA. I totally disagree, but you know something, if that's your opinion then let's start developing jobs in the city and let's sit down with these developers and say, "We think you are taking

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this project in the wrong direction."

We don't need a museum to the Scranton Lace Corporation that was there once, we need jobs. We have a lot of museums in this country. We brag about our high speed internet and it's really not high We talk about all of the technology we have in our country as we are falling away in every field and we have all of the politicians, not a personal shout at the people in council, I have run for public office myself, but we have everybody making a ton of promises and leading us allegedly in a new direction when even the federal government says the problems we have in our nation our jobs.

The one thing we to do is for once we have to be honest with ourselves. We can't turn this city around without jobs.

Andy I think got up and spoke about how everybody is going to do something with their projects. Lackawanna College was going to something with the Scranton Lace building, as a matter of fact, OECD walked through that building, okay, but there is

plenty of money for grants and loans for people that are connected as the neighborhoods fall apart.

I would like to see Mr. Quinn appointed to the position he is asking for, I don't think it's political. I think you have to put a person in office who has the ability to be successful and I think Mr. Quinn has that ability. I don't agree with everything Mr. Quinn says, but on this issue I wholeheartedly agree with him, but I think the one way forward for this city is to table this. We don't need to give people more money. We need to give money to the people in our neighborhoods. We need to start reclaiming or neighborhoods, Mr. Quinn hit it on the head, I've talked about it many times before.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Morgan, the Lace Works is located in a neighborhood.

MR. MORGAN: Yes, it is, but what you are going to do is you're going to create rental spots, you're going to creat things and what we really need, Mr. Rogan, are jobs and employment. We need a business

incubator and light manufacturing with the ability to expand which is all around that project. We did flood control projects there, we have a lot of room there to expand and we need some vision, we need to look ahead. We need to stop giving people money because they are connected to somebody politically and I think that's the one problem this city has continually had. We have let politics drive the argument and not facts. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Morgan, I don't think any of us up here are connected politically to the group that was here, the Lace Works project.

MR. MORGAN: Decisions are made in this government based on politics. Politics controls everything in this country and when somebody doesn't believe that I don't know what to say to you because why --

MR. ROGAN: How does this decision

I'm making have to do with politics? We are
trying to restore a building that's been
vacant for ten years.

MR. MORGAN: Yes, it is. What's

wrong with putting a small business incubator there and creating 5,000 jobs?

MR. ROGAN: Nothing, but nobody is in front of us willing to put a small business incubator there.

MR. MORGAN: Well, maybe somebody needs to take --

MR. ROGAN: Where is the money going to come from?

MR. MORGAN: Well, evidently it's going to come from -- I think there is a great amount of discussion going on in Harrisburg about creating small business incubators and light industry. I know it's going on in Washington because they are saying they can't seem to turn the unemployment numbers because we have given all our jobs away to other countries and we are continually talking about revenue and if we are going to raise revenue then we have to do one thing, we have to create capital. Think about it.

MR. ROGAN: We agree that we need jobs and we need capital, but when we have a proposal in front of us --

MR. MORGAN: Let me ask you this question -- let me ask you one question --

MR. ROGAN: Can I finish?

MR. MORGAN: Go ahead.

MR. ROGAN: That will transform a blighted building in the lower Greenridge neighborhood, will create construction jobs, will create jobs, will beautify the neighborhood versus tabling it, doing nothing, and leaving it in the current state it's in.

MR. MORGAN: No, see, I think your argument is flawed for one reason, we have blight in the hill with condemned homes, South Side, Hyde Park, okay? We are creating apartments in the downtown, we are going to create apartments there, okay, and we have people that own properties in city that can't rent their apartments. We don't have a lack of rental property, okay, we have a lack of the employment, and I think to keep creating more rental units, okay, when you have an overabundance of them, as a matter of fact, you have so many condemned homes I can't understand why they weren't

homesteaded or sold for a dollar and let somebody reclaim them. I mean, I just think we are directing redevelopment in this city in totally wrong direction and the thing we lack here are jobs. We have plenty of vacant properties, we have plenty of abandoned properties, we have plenty of blight, and the thing we need to change that is capital and I hope you consider my argument, okay, and look at it. You know what I mean? I appreciate your time.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. DOBRYZN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, member of the Taxpayers'. First I'd like to congratulate the victims of eminent domain for having their case dismissed, and might I remind them that that case was based on a Supreme Court decision from three or four years ago that made it possible for eminent domain seizures to hand over to developers, so they get two golden parrots for that.

Now, these audits, if info can't be

turned over in the timely basis the people that are responsible to turn that info over have no place in the Authority, so maybe they should be dismissed, I think I've said that before.

And on property taxes, I have another DVD for a willing viewer. How about you, John?

MR. ROGAN: I'll pass mine along to Mr. Joyce or Mrs. Evans.

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah. Yeah, you can share them back and forth. These are all done in real time, but -- oh, well, here. That's based on Bill 1275 which is currently dead in the house, but they are trying to renew it.

Now, I have another thing I printed up here, I got it out of the hospital, and anybody that thinks they are not paying for someone else's health insurance right now if they see this they might be -- they might change their mind, so you guys can pass it around, and I also have there is different levels on that. A single person who earns less than that \$21,660 is qualified for

uncompensated charity care services, so if they get hit by a car, they get an appendicitis attack and you are sitting out in the room, the waiting room at the emergency and you are taking a heart attack hopefully the hospital won't be too bankrupt to have a few extra doctors hanging around because these amounts are significant. A family of four, \$44,100 uncompensated medical care.

And then another little thing of interest, Taliban have lovers executed by stoning. This is true, it happened, this couple eloped, they were tempted back to their village and they were sentenced to be stoned to death. Great democracy in Afghanistan so --

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: It has nothing to do with local politics, but then all politics are local, so -- okay, the golden parrot award this week goes to Septa Southeast Transportation Authority, they took stimulus money and they bought high speed rail cars from Korea, from Hyundai. Where are the

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

jobs, Congress, where are the jobs? And well, that's about it for tonight, but keep in mind that that was a Supreme Court decision that made it entirely possible for these eminent domain seizures and to me it's entirely ridiculous. What rights do you have if you can't hold onto your business and your property?

One other thing I'd like to reiterate from last week, these banks that were given 9 trillion dollars in loans between early '09 and '08 and last year maybe in May, 9 trillion dollars by a Federal Reserve and if you are in the reserves and you were called up to go to Iraq or Afghanistan and your house falls behind, your mortgage, well, guess what? They are kicking people right out. Servicemen that are serving overseas not making a wage in this country, they are reliant on whatever wages they get paid by the military, and if you are behind on your mortgage, well, that's too bad. seize your house, so they get a triple, super duper golden parrots. Have a good

1 night. MS. EVANS: 2 Thank you. 3 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 4 cares to address council? 5 MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. 6 7 I would just like to inquire about St. 8 Mary's school. The Scranton School District 9 is renting from the Dioceses. I would 10 imagine. Wouldn't that be a taxed property 11 now because it's a rental property, it's no 12 longer a school, same for the church and the 13 rectory, they are no longer a church or a 14 rectory, so couldn't you get taxes from them 15 because now they are taking rent, it's 16 rental property? 17 MS. EVANS: But it's being used as a 18 school? 19 MS. FRANUS: Yes, but -- it's being 20 used as a school, the school district is 21 paying rent to the Catholic Church, it's a 22 rental property. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Who is the rent being 24 paid to, the Diocese? 25 Probably. St. Mary's MS. FRANUS:

School, I'd imagine they own it. It's not 1 their school, they are getting rent from it. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: I understand, yeah. That's a good question. 4 MS. FRANUS: So is there any way you 5 could -- even the church and the rectory 6 because they are no longer being used. And 7 8 also someone mentioned to me about St. 9 Stanislaus up on Oak Street it's the same 10 situation up there, no longer a church and 11 maybe you could check into that. Also, what is happening with the \$11 12 13 million from that audit from OECD, did 14 anything ever come of that or I never heard 15 anything more about that? 16 MS. EVANS: Council will be holding 17 a public caucus in the future with hopefully 18 a representative of the Investigator General's Office to discuss the \$11 million 19 20 finding. 21 MS. FRANUS: So you are definitely 22 going to get to the bottom of that; right? 23 MS. EVANS: Yes. 24 MS. FRANUS: And hopefully this 25 won't ever happen again and someone will be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

watching OECD, I hope. It's almost like the Kenny McDowell tax debacle. I'm thrilled the chocolate store is coming. Who could not want a chocolate store coming? I think it's great. Don't you think? You like chocolate.

And I also think people should really take care of their animals now with this cold weather and don't let them out. If you have a dog out bring him in. Put him in bed. I mean, you just have to take care of these dogs and cats. Anything. Bring them in. It's just totally nuts. Ask yourself would you want to be outside, well, why would they. And if anybody has any extra money, not even that Griffin Pond wouldn't appreciate that you got the money for that the shelter, but any other animal rescue place or any place that takes care of animals, don't forget them. I don't mean you necessarily, I mean the people out there, send donations and take care all of the other places because they are very important and they aren't doing it on their own, they need people's help. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident
and member of the Taxpayers' Association.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Since Fay was talking about animals, I will happily report that the Pepsi Refresh Project the Griffin Pond is still in seventh place and there is only a couple of days voting left for this month, so it's possible they will get a caption shortly, so anybody who hasn't voted can do it once a day. Now, back to this business, the --

MR. JOYCE: Sorry to interrupt you,

Mrs. Schumacher, do you know what the web

address is where you can go and vote for the

Griffin Pond Animal Shelter?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I don't know
-- if you go to Pepsi.com and then you go
down to the Refresh Project, you go, that's
what you do and then it says, "Vote now" and
you hit the 50,000 -- there is three or four
different dollar categories. Hit the

\$50,000 category and then there is a pull down screen where you can say "Near you," do that, and then you can vote.

MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And you can do it once a day. You bet. Item 3-A on tonight's agenda is the controller's report for the month ending December 31, 2010. That shows that Act 511/Local taxes that the local taxes realized were \$2.3 million less than estimated. Now, does this mean the wage tax was less than anticipated or is there some other aspect of the local taxes that came in under what was estimated, do you know?

MR. JOYCE: I'm actually looking into all of that right now. I do have a report from the tax office that outlines what was actually collected and sent over to the city in 2010 and I'll be talking about that in Fifth Order tonight.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Good. Next, 7-B, is this \$4.5 million the amount that was originally allocated for Lackawanna College or is this RACP funding? Now, tonight they mentioned you have paperwork

that says it was on the 2006 list? Was it on the 2006 list as the -- as this company or was it on as Lackawanna College because I remember about that time frame Lackawanna College was going to do something with the Lace company building and they had money allocated from that fund, so I'd like to know if your backup shows that it is, in fact, that they were, in fact, the recipients of this or if it's a transfer.

And in that same regard, I have a question the papers had mentioned that, and it's more of a policy question, but the papers have mentioned there is \$3.5 million available from that same fund for the former Scranton Chamber building. Now, I'd like to know if that's the same \$3.5, it just seems that was the amount that was in place for the defunct Mulberry Plaza Project and since that's no longer viable, now, if that's true that it's that money that was allocated for the Plaza does that mean we will have to come back before council to change it to another recipient or how does that work?

MS. EVANS: It is. To my knowledge

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

~ -

25

it is coming back to council in the form of legislation, yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But is that -- at the state level has that been changed from the Mulberry project to the Chamber? don't forget, all of that -- all of that RACP funding is -- the value of whatever you see that is given out is much less than what we taxpayers end up paying in transferring to a private entity because that's all borrowed money. That why if you recall the \$600 million issue at the end of the year with the former governor, so we have a lot of interest to pay. Look at what we pay on that -- on the Scranton -- the Scranton Parking Authority loan that they have. time interest. So, you know, we are really not -- you have to add all of that interest in whenever you look at a principal amount that we have transferring.

And then I have a question on going back to the Medallis property, has that situation been resolved the flooding that the Medallis were suffering from the Tripp Park Project or is the city still on the

hook for that if a developer does not come through, does anybody know?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe it's still in litigation. It hasn't been resolved yet.

MS. SCHUMACHER: The judge ruled.

 $\label{eq:MR.LOSCOMBE: On the Medallis?} \label{eq:MR.LOSCOMBE: On the Medallis?} Property.$

MS. SCHUMACHER: Oh, yes, several years ago, and if the developer doesn't make the corrections the city is next in line because we didn't properly, I guess oversee for lack of a better term --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I misunderstood

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- what was done, so I would like to know because I think that's a significant dollar amount and I would like to know if we are still on the hook for that.

And then I'm happy to hear that the exit audit on the 2009 audit was completed, but I would also like to offer another suggestion, which I offered to the prior council and I don't believe anything was done, but I do know that part of the problems with the lateness of the audit is

22

23

24

25

1

that I think all of the authorities as well as the city, of course, have their audits done at the completion of their fiscal year. The problem is some of the authorities are on a July through June fiscal year, so they are not going to have their audits done until their fiscal year ends and then you're automatically pretty much into August depending on the Authority, so I had suggested that you identify those authorities and ask them if they would go for a transition year and everybody would be-- the calendar year would be a fiscal year and then I think a lot of the problems with late audits would go away. So I would like you to consider that, and then -- can I finish one more thing?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I still have leftover for next week, but regarding bids, I know there have been two in the paper recently, one is for seasonal chemicals for swimming pools, excluding Nay Aug Pool, the other is for printing and mailing of the 2011 waste disposal bill. All of these bids

come in during the day when most people, working people, cannot attend and find out, so I believe -- I did before I was otherwise engaged during the day I used to come to several of those bid openings and I know that the clerk usually came, and I was wondering if you could add that to clerk's notes whenever there is a bid opening if that information would be brought back the following week and tell us who the bidders were and who the successful bidder is, I think that would be helpful. The rest I'll hold for next week. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. PILCHESKY: Council, good evening. Joe Pilchesky.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PILCHESKY: This is another presentation regarding the corruption at city hall with the courthouse so it's a city-related business. The legal system is without question one of the most intimidating places to be, most people strive to avoid it considering the power it

has that can tax them financially and inflict great mental and emotional suffering in the course of litigating a case.

responsibility. With that great
responsibility is a traditional obligation
and duty to conduct yourself ethically.

It's reasonable for litigants to expect that
the system will, in fact, conduct itself
ethically and fairly within it's own rules.

That's a very high expectation in our county
because our legal system, depending on who
you are and what you want, will join forces
with your opponent to place justice out of
reach with great additional expense of time
and money. Expense is the intimidating
factor. How much is it going to cost to win
or defend?

A plaintiff, who should have won but had a judge rule in favor of a defendant who was a friend of the Court, is faced with the heavy expense of an appeal. Most people can't afford that and that's how the wrong guys win time out and time in.

The truth of it is that the legal

system is about two things, and one of them is not justice. First, it's about reelection. Judges will give favorable rulings to those who help them with election. They, being campaign contributors and politicians. The biggest contributors of cash to elections are actually the legal firms that appear before the judges and that's a prejudice that's almost impossible for any opponent to overcome and defeat.

Secondly, it's about the legal community getting rich by agreeing to disagree. It's a very old game actually, it's a still very popular to this day. One lawyer files meritless objections, the opposing lawyers have to fight them off, and 50 hours later their clients are out another \$7,000 and the case is back to square one with both lawyers very happy.

Bottom line, the legal system is a feeding frenzy and the only necessary food on the menu is the plaintiff and a defendant, whether it's a private citizen or a corporation if you are not friendly with our Court system in this county you might as

well sprinkle salt and pepper on yourself because you know you are going to be some judge's lunch in this county.

As a person who has sued the city and various public officials many times during the past six years, I can share with you that I could not win any of my cases at the county level. Not one of them. After suffering through years of prejudice and bad decisions imposed by bought and paid for judges who loyally serve a very corrupt city hall, I have had to repeatedly file appeals to either the Superior Court, the Commonwealth Court or the Supreme Court where I have repeatedly won, and without an attorney.

The action I filed to get the South Side Complex back for the University, for example, should have taken no more than two or three hours in front of a fair and impartial and honest judge. Instead, this case is now six years old and thousands upon thousands of legal papers have been filed in this case. You can stack them from the floor to the ceiling. I have scheduled the

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

month of April, the entire month of April because it will take the whole month to share the injustices of that case with you and I promise that you will all be overwhelmed with disbelief of how corrupt that case is.

As uphill as the slope is to avoid bad decisions and to reverse local judges through appeals, they are not really players to make the slope as slippery as possible. The Office of the Court administrator likes to participate. It's in charge of scheduling your cases and what judges will hear them. The public should know how this office participates in judge shopping and how -- and denying swift due process to frustrated litigants.

Next week I shall present to you various legal documents in support of demonstrating to you how that office's participation works on behalf of corrupting the legal system at the command of the corrupt judges. Thank you for your time.

I'll see you next week.

1	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, always the
2	last one, Jack.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
4	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie. Here,
5	Jack. Here, Frank. I didn't forget you
6	neither. I never do. Here, Janet.
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris.
8	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Pat.
9	MR. ROGAN: Thanks, Chrissy.
10	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, Jack, what do
11	you think our girls this week or what? The
12	boys did terrible last night now it's the
13	girl's turn. Remember, Jack, west is best
14	they're still best in the city. Thank you.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: All right, Chrissy.
16	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
17	cares to address council?
18	MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.
19	MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, any
20	comments or motions?
21	MR. MCGOFF: Nothing this evening.
22	Thank you.
23	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman
24	Rogan, any comments or motions?
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I would like to

start off by congratulating Mr. Piccolino and Mr. Moran for their victory and their lawsuit with the city. This was big government at it's worse. When government tries to take two businesses that are the anchors of the block, the 500 block of Lackawanna, they have been their for decades and they are two of the most popular businesses in the downtown and we congratulate them and we hope that they are there for decades more to come.

Secondly, the Lace Works project.

Now, I know Mr. Morgan and a few other people would like to see it for more of an industrial use, and believe me, I think if all of us up here had the option to have Ford come in and produce cars at that location or to go through with the project as planned, we could all be voting for Ford to come in. But, unfortunately, Ford or any other large manufacturers are not coming in.

And our options are to vote this down and leave the building in the condition it is and let it continue to deteroriate for another ten years or to have some sort of

development there. It will be a much improved piece of land for the neighbors, there will be fewer vagrants on the land not breaking in for a place to stay. It will create jobs, it will create temporary construction jobs which are well-paying and it create some permanent jobs. Now, how many pan out in the end is anyone's guess, but if it works according to plan we will see some new jobs, and most importantly, we

The levy project is complete, so that those were the first steps and now hopefully they said by fall if everything goes according to plan they will seek construction.

will get development in that neighborhood.

Next I have a letter from a resident that couldn't make it tonight, they wanted me to read it. It's about a couple of issues, mainly the snow removal, and it says, "Hi, Council. The purpose of our taxes are to provide police and fire protection, pave roads, and keep streets clean and safe in a snow storm. First and foremost, the city is yet again using rocks

on the street, I guess it is better than glass.

Last year I addressed this at the meeting and was ridiculed and mocked by DPW workers over the radio frequency. This is another example of lack of respect by some city employees to their paycheck suppliers, the taxpayers. Maybe, just maybe, if we use salt on the roads they would be wet instead of snow covered. These rocks will be in people's homes, yards and in the sewer.

I'm getting infuriated that the administration is choosing politics over my family's safety and the safety of everyone in this city. It doesn't take a college degree to realize that these unsafe and dangerous calls are being made by the administration to one-up council. We shouldn't tolerate this by any mayor. The roads in the past storm were the worst I have seen in years."

I just wanted to relay that and, you know, it does seem from the articles that are in the Scranton Times week after week and from different commentary we have heard

from the departments that the department heads at the DPW are trying to -- it seems they are trying to drain the resources early to embarrass city council and the budget. That is completely unacceptable. The budget that was sent down to the DPW was sent down so they would execute it in a way that would help all of the people of the city. The snow must be removed on time, the streets must be paved come spring, and they must do the job for the people.

I have another letter here from a resident about Kane Street between Stafford and Winfield Avenues. Now, anyone that travels up in that area knows how deplorable those roads are, and this isn't the first time council has received letters or phone calls about this neighborhood and the roads and every time we receive something we pass it along to the proper authorities, in this case the DPW. Unfortunately, the five of us on council cannot go out and pave the roads. Believe me, if we could we would, but once again, we will be forwarding all of your requests for potholes to be repaired, street

signs, anything that comes up to the proper department and it is up to them to do the job for the people. They don't answer to the mayor, they don't answer to city council, they answer to the people of Scranton. And, Mrs. Krake, I have this letter here, could we forward this to the DPW and hopefully this will be taken care of.

Finally, I have the OECD report for December 2010 and January 2011 and I will read some of the highlights:

The action plan for 2011 was mailed to HUD on November 12, 2010, to the local HUD Philadelphia office to ensure that it arrives by Monday, November 15, 2010, 45 days prior to the start date for the program new year which begins January 1, 2011.

HUD has completed and approved the review of Scranton's action plan for 2011.

The funding will become available after the city and OECD receives and executes the contracts for HUD, CDBG, HOME and the ESG programs.

The 2010 consolidated annual

performance and evaluation report caper is being prepared by OECD. The report summarizes accomplishments made with funds from the CDBG, HOME program, ESG, HPRP, and CDBG-R. The report is to due to HUD by March 20.

Here are some of the highlights from the HOME program over the last few months:

Lackawanna Neighbors has received an award in the amount of \$104,923.95 to purchase, rehabilitate, lead abate, and to sell it to a first-time home buyer. This home will be located in East Scranton or North Scranton.

No home has been selected of January 21.

I'm happy to see that it is not another home in South Side. I know that South Side has had a lot of problems with blight, but I do think that they need to be spread around. Hopefully the next one will be over in West Scranton.

718 Cedar Avenue, the closing was held on January 4. The buyer received assistant from OECD under the Neighborhood Stabilization Home buyer's Program and they also received an FHA mortgage. They

completed the home buyer's counseling with NHS.

311 Prospect Avenue, the home is
listed with Wayne Evan's Realty at a list
price of \$104,900. Lackawanna Neighbors
along with OECD held an open house at the
property on December 14 and have been
working with interested buyers. However,
this far the interested buyers have either
been over the income guidelines or have been
unable to secure a mortgage due to poor
credit.

approximately 95 percent complete. The back splash tile is being installed and the appliances were installed on January 12.

Once these items are completed a final inspection will be scheduled. Interested home buyers are being provided with information about the Scranton Home buyer Program.

213 Steven Avenue, the home is approximately 65 percent complete. Due to inclement weather the siding work was delayed, but is nearly completed. The

interior is a being painted and lighting and kitchen cabinets and finish materials have been purchased, ordered and trim work, interior doors are being installed.

518 South Webster Avenue, the contractor has begun working gutting the interior and reframing walls where needed so that drywall and spackling can begin. The sale proceeds program income for the sale of 718 Cedar Avenue will be used to pay for lead abatement and rehabilitation work at this property.

The crisp Avenue Bridge, HUD signed and approved the request for release of funds on January 12, 2011. This activity is categorically excluded under HUD Regulation 24 CFR Part 58 from the National Environmental Policy Act requirements. This is a project and it is very long overdue and we hope that this spring this project will finally be completed. The people on West Mountain have waited far too long for this bridge to be constructed.

United Neighborhood's Community

Development Corporation. On December 13,

2010, UNC requested to draw \$132,000 in
Neighborhood Stabilization Program funds for
the Cedar Avenue housing revitalization
initiative. This request was for
construction costs, architectural fees and
utility bills associated with the project.
During the month UNC did not receive any NSP
drawn down payments and have no pending
requests for the City of Scranton Office of
OECD.

UNC continued their work on Cedar

Avenue early in the month, the structure
that was located at 621 and 623 Cedar Avenue
was demolished to prepare for construction
of a new townhouse and parking at that
property.

rehabilitation work on the structure located at 629 and 631 Cedar Avenue where they have removed the rear portions of the structure and preparing the remainder of the structure for major renovations. Job site meetings continue to take place at the property. The project is progressing on schedule with planned completion of Phase I of the Cedar

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Avenue project sometime in late spring 2011.

UNC obtained additional funding for Phase II of the project through the awarding of an affordable housing program grant from the Federal Home Loan Bank. The remaining funding sources are in the process of being finalized to ensure the project is completed according to planned.

Additionally, UNC is prepared to close on several properties that are associated with the Cedar Avenue project. Many of these properties are eligible for purchasing NSP funds and we expect at least two acquisitions in January 2011. UNC's legal counsel continues to finalize plans for the acquisition for the remaining properties within the Cedar Avenue. This project will be great once it's completed. The Cedar Avenue area has been -- there is blight everywhere, there is a lot of crime in the area and hopefully by getting families in there it will take pride in their community and will turn it around in the right -- moving towards the right direction.

The Connell building, OECD submitted the final payment to PA Office of Budget for the RACP funding on October 5, 2010, and final payment of RACP was received and disbursed on January 14, 2011, in the amount of \$838,155.15.

The sidewalks on North Washington and Linden Street. The contractor began work following the Italian Festival and the project is approximately 90 percent complete except for period lighting that will be delivered during the peek of January 24 and it's expected to be installed shortly.

North Scranton Viking's Junior

Football construction. This project is completed, inspected and the contractor has been paid. In the Spring of 2011 OECD will do handicapped bathrooms.

Sidewalks at 329 Penn Avenue.

Specifications were prepared on October 8,
2010, an ad in the Scranton Times appeared
October 13 and 14 for sidewalks at 329 Penn
Avenue. A pre-bid was held on October 20,
and a bid open on October 28. Stracha
Construction was deemed the most

responsibility bidder and a contract had been prepared and will be executed in order for work to begin in the Spring of 2011.

Fire and police memorials. Work began in August 2010. The monuments are in the process of being made. The base and the pedestals of the monuments have been set in place and the statutes will be completed and installed in the Spring of 2011.

I won't go through the Scranton Lace project, we spoke about that tonight.

317 Linden, LLC, a pre-bid conference is scheduled for Thursday,
January 27, 2011, at 10 a.m., at the site,
and the bid opening is scheduled for
February 7, 2011.

Finally, I have the loan portfolio from 2002 to present. There are many loans listed, so I will only list the ones that are in litigation because they are the ones that seem to cause the most controversy.

Impanema Grill \$40,000 from 2007, in litigation. Michael's Luxury Eyewear, \$85,000 from 2006 in litigation. Paperless Practice, Inc., \$250,000 from 2007, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

litigation. Cartenega Family Wines, \$35,000 2008, litigation. Vida Tapas Bar and Grill, \$120,000, litigation. Cache Surplus Limited, \$60,000, litigation. Of course, Molly Brannigan's, \$650,000 from 2006in litigation, and that is all. If there is anyone who would like a copy of the full report I would be glad to provide that for

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

them, and that is it all for tonight.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, could I mention one thing I forget but I did want to mention, could we look into, I don't know if anybody else has noticed, but if you are traveling on Jefferson Avenue from let's say from Spruce Street to Mulberry Street, as part of the new street scape they are putting in a new traffic signal -- or new traffic light, the new traffic light is not yet operational, yet it's obstructing, at least I think it obstructs the view of the one that is operational and at times can make it very difficult to tell what color and whether it's a red or a green light and what the situation is, I was wondering if we

could possibly contact the developers there, whoever is working on that, to find out when they put -- if they can expedite making the new signal operational so that there is no obstruction of view.

MS. EVANS: Certainly. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: If I might just add real quick, Mr. Rogan, I believe it was in the Scranton Times where it was mentioned that there are plans to tear down ten blighted properties in West Side this year. I know that you were concerned about that.

MR. ROGAN: I'm very pleased to hear that and, unfortunately, I was feeling ill, I didn't make it to the neighborhood association meeting last week, but that's definitely a step in the right direction and I hope that the rehabilitation properties we would see some in West Scranton, Pinebrook, East Scranton, all around, but I'm always looking out for my home in West Side so, thank you.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Loscombe, any motions or comments?

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have just a couple of comments. Thank you. First of all, to save some words I would like to echo Mr. Rogan on his thoughts on the eminent domain case of the 500 Lackawanna Avenue, and also the Lace Works building. We have given a lot of thought, we have read over a lot here, we still have a lot to go over, it's not a done deal at this point, however, they did provide the information that we requested to date, and I'm skeptical when I saw the amount of jobs and I needed a little bit more description on what they planned on doing there, but it is a building that's been vacant for ten years with nothing done. Mr. Miller -- or not Mr. Miller, I'm sorry, Mr. Morgan, mentioned an economic engine and I don't think any of us here would disagree that city needs an economic engine, but they haven't been lining up at the door at this point and any improvement I believe with the proper safeguards and oversight are going to benefit us, and I think this program will be a benefit, but again, we will stay vigilant on it.

. .

This is a big project for us to approve, and I'm sure as Mr. Jackowitz, too, you know, I know our reputation lies on this, too. We are looking for anything we can to improve the city and the neighborhoods, we are finally getting into some of the neighborhoods.

And just to touch on that, I think

Mr. Quinn would be a perfect appointment to

the Redevelopment Authority with his

background, he would be a great asset for

the blighted properties and I know he's a

diligent worker, so I would hope that they

would consider that.

Last week, as I stated earlier, anyone that was at the meeting and read the paper the next day, the daily news paper, wouldn't realize that we had a discussion on bidding out our insurance coverages. With that in respect, I'll give you a copy, Mrs. Krake, but I think that in order to get these -- get the information and the proper information we would have to request possibly through a Right-to-Know a copy of our current loss runs and a claim's history

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

for the last three years, a list of our policy premiums for each company for the past three years, and a copy of all currently renewed policies for 2011 in their entirety spelling out the coverages that are included, and I may have one or two items to add to that, but specifically that would give us a start.

And while I was reviewing the insurance policies, the Library Authority jumped out at us again. Last year we repealed the Library Authority, the Authority was formed prior to this majority coming into office and apparently they have not disbanded. The deeds have been turned over to the Library Authority. One of the arguments for the Library Authority was the fact that they had to form an Authority so they could get their own insurance at the coverages that they felt were there and the city couldn't get the proper coverages for I don't know, they can't have it both them. They are holding the meetings, it ways. appears that the city on this year's schedule is paying the insurance for the

20

21

22

23

24

25

library buildings and apparently they paid for them in 2010. I don't know how that could be since it's not city buildings now they are deeded to the Library Authority and the authorities are supposed to be autonomous. So I would like to request a letter to the business administrator and the Library Authority requesting who paid the insurance premiums on the buildings and contents for the Year 2010, and also have they paid the current policy, who has paid the current policy for renewal for 2011. Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: If I could, Jack, there is a real issue here there you just raised as to whether or not the city has an insurable interest that's recognizable in the event of the fire or any calamity. city does not own the property right now even though council has moved to dissolve the Authority it's up to the administration to file an action against the Authority to compel them to dissolve and to adopt a resolution to dissolve and file it had the Secretary of State so that the property

would be revested in the city at that time and have an insurable interest, so I think there is a real legal issue that the solicitor's office should look into and also on the insurances as to whether if the city does pay the premium would there be a defense by the insurance companies that the city does not have a insurable interest so, therefore, there is no insurance in the event of any fire or anything.

MR. LOSCOMBE: You said it better than I could. I was getting at that point exactly.

MR. HUGHES: I'm sorry to interrupt.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It's like me putting car insurance on your vehicle when I don't own it, I don't have an insurable interest.

MR. HUGHES: Correct.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And you have an accident and I try to put a claim in.

MR. HUGHES: Even though council has dissolved the Authority, that's of record, but by the law, by the North Fork case, the Borough of North Fork had to bring an action again the Sewer Authority to compel them to

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

__

25

dissolve even though they dissolved them they wouldn't voluntarily do it so that the municipality brought an action against the Sewer Authority which was ultimately upheld by the Commonwealth Court. Council has done it's job, it's now up to the solicitor's office to file an action against the Authority to compel them to file -- to do the Articles of Dissolution and file them with the State. Once that happens, at the time that council dissolved the Authority the deeds were not recorded. It wasn't until months later, after council adopted the resolution to dissolve the Authority that they recorded the deeds even after council took the action to have them dissolved, so right now it's up to the solicitor's office to bring an action against the Authority to compel them to file Articles of Dissolution and file them with the State and also raise the issue that they have to have the insurance and not the city in my opinion.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct. Just another position we have been put in. And

also I would like to, if we can, request a status on the RFP for our parking meter program. The clock is ticking and, you know, if there any possible way we could write our own RFP's or get this out. I mean, we can't wait. They are trying to do it to us, they are trying to force it so our budget doesn't come out the way we planned it, and I'm not going to stand by for that. So please have them respond and see when they're going to have their RFP completed. Other than that, we will have to look at other options.

And also the status on our rental registration program, have we heard anything on that? These are a couple of programs that are going to generate revenue, but obviously the administration is not interested in that or they are interested in embarrassing us because they believe we won't fulfill our budget commitment, but hopefully we can resolve this.

And being the chairman on the

Committee on Public Safety, it's still

aggravates me the way things are going with

3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our public safety. I had to stop over Engine 7 the other day and Engine 7, which is on Luzerne Street, was called to respond to a gas leak at Main and Howells, which is approximately 18 blocks from Engine 7. Engine 9 is permanently out of service right now since the beginning of the year. mayor will not place firefighters in there, he will not use the overtime, and that engine house is only four blocks away from this situation. At the same time there was nothing covering West Side at that point. The closest company would be Engine 8 and Engine 8 is one of the companies scheduled to close as they brownout. Not only the fire side, but if you have read the news lately, watched TV, the police officers that were killed an injured just in this past several weeks is phenomenal, it's a record, and we have openings in our police department and it was quoted in the paper there is one right now that he does not plan on filling, plus we had pushed for a Civil Service Test for vacancies which they have not done.

I can't say it any stronger, you know, I believe that the administration and those that enable this administration are guilty if anything happens to anybody, firefighters or the people in this city, they are guilty of no less than criminal negligence and I hate to see it come to that point, but safety is a major concern and I will just -- I would just hope that the public will let the mayor know. We have received some letters here, I hope people are contacting the mayor, but he just doesn't seem to get it. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, just a few.

Yesterday I had the opportunity to attend
the exit conference for the 2009 annual
audit and to highlight the 93-page document
in a few sentences: One, the balance of the
general fund at the beginning of 2009 was
\$9,895,364. The balance in the general fund
at the end of the 2009 was \$4,316,010. With

that being said, there was a shortfall in 2009 of \$5,579,354 in the operating budget.

Someone may ask, why was there such a shortfall? Well, the answer is simple, it's due to faulty projections by the administration. For example, in 2009 the administration projected that the city would realize \$5.5 million in delinquent wage tax collections, however, the city only realized \$2,574,000. Thus, the administration, their projection was nearly \$3 million short for that revenue item alone.

In addition, the administration over projected current wage tax collections, real estate transfer tax collections, refuse disposal fee collections as well as license and permit collections, that's all from 2009. And being that some of our critics have been so skeptical of our numbers for the 2011 operating budget, I think that maybe this should be on the front page of the Scranton Times tomorrow.

While we're on the subject of revenue, I wanted to inform everyone that the Single Tax Office had submitted their

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were no great variations between the final numbers from the tax office and numbers used for council's projections in the 2011 operating budget regarding the business privilege and mercantile as well the current real estate tax and LST/EMS tax. There was a significant variation in wage tax collection. The amount of funds from the current wage tax in 2010 was reported by the tax office as \$22,268,528 which is actually higher than what council had projected as council projected \$21,537,000, and that was a number that was very, very harshly criticized, so we see from the tax office we are bringing in 22.268 and in 2011 we are projecting 21,537, so I don't think that that 21537 figure is too farfetched as some critics would like to say it is.

With that being said, the 22 million 268,528 dollar figure from the tax office report that doesn't even take into account new additions to the tax roles in the form of the residents living in KOZ's paying into the wage tax. Therefore, we should have a

final report for the Year 2010. While there

larger tax base in 2011. This is just further justification that council's amendments and adjustments to revenue amounts in the 2011 operating budget are sound.

Next up, this snow removal business. Approximately a week and a half go the Scranton Times ran story on overtime costs associated with snow removal following the snow storm that began after the January 11 council meeting. I remember it specifically because I was walking out the door and it began to snow and this storm lasted until Wednesday morning, left roughly five inches of snow. During this time it was stated -- or it was stated by Mr. Brazil in the paper that \$10,000 was spent on overtime to send out 17 workers to take care of roads.

Now, in no way, shape or form do I think that Scranton should neglect roads by any means or that roads shouldn't be plowed or salted, however, I do question the process and I wonder if we are handling snow matters efficiently. Let's do the math here, \$10,000 was spent on 17 workers. This

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

means that each worker put in an average of about \$588 in overtime with some change, so let's translate this into hours actually DPW highway employees earn between worked. \$18 and \$19 an hour depending on what their actual title is. With this being said, their overtime rate, which is time and half, would be between \$27 and \$28.50per hour. So if you divide the 588, which is the average amount that they earn, by 27 and 28.50 you get about an average of 21 hours of overtime for 17 people to take care of a storm that didn't last for more than 12 hours. mention that some snowplowing and salting of roads had to occur during normal work hours and mostly all roads were cleaned by Wednesday night.

With in this mind, I have some questions for Mr. Brazil and, Mrs. Krake, if you can issue these to Mr. Brazil in the form of a Right-To-Know request it would be much appreciated. Number one, is there a standard operating procedure of how salting and plowing operations are to be handled during a snowstorm. If so, please provide

that procedure.

Number two, for the snowstorm that occurred January is 11, please submit all overtime records, specifically the names of each worker that worked overtime and the exact times that they worked.

Number three, if the January 11 storm began on Tuesday night and lasted until Wednesday morning I'm assuming that the workers who worked overtime would have worked about 14 hours between Tuesday night and Wednesday morning worked the regular shift and then worked about an additional seven hours directly after the regular shift in order for all of the numbers to add up.

Since primarily all of the work associated with the January 11 snowstorm was complete on Wednesday night, I'm wondering and please ask this, does this pose a safety risk to the workers if workers are, in fact, working 30 straight hours?

I'm not saying that we don't need to salt our roads and plow our streets, however, is there a more efficient way that snow removal can be handled? For instance,

in Erie's budget less money was allocated for roads and highways overtime, I believe, than was spent last year in Scranton though they received two and a half times more on an average basis in snow than we do.

Secondly, let's talk about salt.

Recently Mr. Brazil had stated that the city is running low on salt and will be seeking a budget transfer in the future. To provide some background, I just want to make this clear so it's not cast on council completely. Council did not reduce any funding for salt in the 2011 operating budget though we did make many amendments to other things. The amount of funding that was budgeted for salt was originally set by the administration.

Secondly, if more salt is needed it has already been stated by Mayor Doherty himself that there is extra funding in the other salary account which is funding the foremen that were previously eliminated in the 2011 operating budget by council and hired by back by the administration against council's wish. Perhaps a budget transfer

can be made from this account since the administration has stated that there is excess revenue available to fund the positions eliminated by council.

I would hope that if more salt is needed the decision would be made to take care of a residents of Scranton by drawing the funds from an account that is over funded rather than choosing to take care of one's own people and one's own supporters and that's all I have for tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, if I could piggyback on something Mr. Joyce said with the Single Tax Office, I was just glancing this over before the meeting, in 2009 the earned income tax the office brought in \$19.7, approximately \$19.8 million. In 2010 the office brought in \$22.2 million, and the one big change between the 2009 and the 2010 was our tax collector, so I would like to thank Bill Courtright for the great job he is doing at the tax office.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I would agree.

MS. EVANS: Thank you both. Good evening. We have some very special guests

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in attendance at tonight's heating, and council would like to welcome the Boy Scouts in the back row to these noble chambers as well as a very special guest and handsome young man up here in the front, I believe his name is Jeremy, and I understand, Jeremy, that you recently registered to vote and you are very interested in city government and city council meetings, you watch them faithfully, and we are absolutely thrilled to have both Jeremy and all of the young men in the back row in attendance in these noble chambers this evening, and we encourage you to -- we encourage you to continue your involvement in not only city council, but local government. We look forward to seeing you again.

I would also like to congratulate Attorney Boyd Hughes for his Court victory over the Scranton Redevelopment Authority which prevents the Authority from seizing two Lackawanna Avenue properties by eminent domain. When I learned in 2006 that the city and the SRA had not included Buona Pizza, Coney Island, and 506 Lackawanna

Avenue in the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue restoration project, and intended to take two of these properties by eminent domain, I stood firmly and publically on the record with these businesses. I asked my council colleagues at the time not to approve legislation regarding this project unless Buona Pizza and Coney Island, staples of our Scranton downtown were included.

Later, I met with Mayor Doherty and Mr. Giovanni Piccolino, he is a great guy, Jeremy, and Mr. Giovanni Piccolino to try to settle the issue in the best interest of Buona Pizza and the city. The mayor stated that he would contact Attorney Greco and schedule a meeting between Attorney Greco and Mr. Piccolino the following day.

Needless to say, the meeting never occurred and Attorney Greco never contacted Mr. Piccolino.

Buona Pizza and Coney Island are synonymous with Scranton and were taxpaying downtown businesses for decades before the birth of the Steamtown Mall, the Southern Union building and the purchase of the

majority of the 500 block of Lackawanna

Avenue by developer Bill Rinaldi. While

your state tax dollars paid for the

magnificent restoration of that city block,

sidewalks and streetlights among other items

were denied to businesses at both ends of

the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue.

In addition, Coney Island suffered an arson fire during this time, a crime which remains unsolved. However, Mr. Thomas Moran remained undaunted by this fire and immediately renovated not only Coney Island, but his building because he believed in downtown Scranton and loved our people despite the harsh treatment he received by the city administration.

I am proud, very proud of and grateful to Mr. Piccolino, Mr. Standolny, Attorney Hughes, and Mr. Moran for their perseverance and for showing all of us that right concurs wrong and you can indeed fight city hall and win.

On a related note, Attorney Hughes, perhaps you can, if not this evening but in the near future, tell the people

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approximately how much Attorney Greco's fees and the SRA will have cost the taxpayers in their attempt to arbitrarily condemn and seize city properties through eminent domain.

MR. HUGHES: I can only speak for myself, I have no idea what the city has -or the SRA has spent in fees in order to do the condemnation of the -- actually, it was the M & S property, it was the Buona Pizza property owned by the Piccolinos and there's the Standolny property. Mr. Moran's property was not condemned by the SRA, however, one of the findings Judge Mazzoni made is that both -- he didn't make the exact finding that Mr. Moran was to be included in the project, but the Standolny building was included in the project, they were voluntarily- they were written out of the project. Mr. Moran has never gotten any money from the -- in the redevelopment grant for the building. In fact, the city brought an action against him to prevent him from demolishing the second and third floor after the mansanard roof had been taken down, I

represented Mr. Moran in that, the junction was issued by Judge Minora, it was dissolved after the hearing, so that he can demolish the rest of the buildings third and second floor.

As part of my representation of Mr. Moran, I tried to get the Redevelopment Authority to provide a grant and assistance to him so that he could redo the building, he actually has plans for it, so there would be a three-story building and Mansanard roof, it would be a replica of the building that the second and third floors have been taken down. That was never even responded to by the Redevelopment Authority even though part of the grant, the \$9 million grant, went for grants into the Moran building and into the Standolny building, they were written out of the project.

When the Standolny building was condemned there was never any -- any amendment to the plan, they never came back to council, they just condemned the Standolny to expand, the building was supposed to be built on the vacant lot where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Buona Pizza was instead of from two lots to three lots, totally a unilateral action without any enacting resolution to do it.

As a result, that's one of the reasons why the condemnation was held to be arbitrary Standolny and the Piccolino building, but Mr. Moran was to be included in the project, did receive a developer's agreement from 500 Avenue Development, when he viewed it and started to question it the Redevelopment wrote him out of project and he has not received one dollar for all of the work he has done there in rehabilitating that building, and contrary to what was said by one of the public speakers is that even though Mr. Moran has leased part of that property on the first floor to the church, he still pays taxes on the entire property. It does not make his property tax exempt.

MS. EVANS: And, Mrs. Krake, perhaps we could send a letter to the SRA requesting the total amount of fees from 2006 through 2011 paid to Attorney Carl Greco in this case.

Next, during a West Side Crime

2

3

5

7

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Watch--

MR. HUGHES: I would state, if I could?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. HUGHES: One of the -- part of the fees that were expended and, of course, I have to keep track of all my fees, but early in this project after I represented M& S, Piccolino and Mr. And Mrs. Standolny, the SRA moved to disqualify me for a conflict of interest, there was a trial on that, Judge Mazzoni wrote about a 28-page opinion on that that I did not have a conflict of interest in representing any of the condemnees. They were fees that unnecessarily spent in my opinion, but they were incurred by the Redevelopment Authority in order to disqualify me, which Judge Mazzoni ruled against in that issue, that I did not have a conflict of interest.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. Next, during a West Side Crime
Watch meeting, it was announced that several
buildings in West Scranton will be
demolished in 2011 following demolitions in

South Side. I fully anticipated that the Department of Licensing, Inspections and Permits will demolish West Scranton properties because City council provided the tools to make this a reality by allocating significantly increased funding for blight demolition in the 2011 community development block grant program.

In addition, city council approved the purchase of blight removal equipment in 2010 for this purpose. Council will continue to target blight removal and street paving and repair among others for the people of Scranton through it's ongoing funding of these programs.

The bidding and purchase of road salt and anti-skid materials occurred in 2010. In the 2011 amended operating budget city council maintained, as was mentioned by our knowledgeable finance chair earlier, city council maintained full funding for these materials and did not cut even one penny from the dollar amount that was allocated by Mr. Brazil, Mr. Renda and Mayor Doherty. If council should receive

legislation to purchase additional road salt, it should approve such legislation while remaining mindful that two winter months are already behind us.

Next, I am very concerned by the lack of enforcement of the city's rental registration program. This very important program serves two essentially purposes: First, to remove neighborhood blight by regular vigilance over rental properties and owners; and second, to increase revenue which then lowers the burden on taxpayers. While numerous other Pennsylvania cities run highly successful rental registration programs this administration has ignored Scranton's program since 2008 which is a great disservice to homeowners throughout city neighborhoods.

Mrs. Krake, please inform

Mr. Seitzinger and Mr. Oleski that city

council requests a monthly report of the

rental registration program to include all

property and rental unit inspections,

monthly fees collected, addresses of newly

registered properties as well as annual

renewals, addresses of rental unit inspections that were completed within the report month, etcetera, effective January 2011. Copies of the monthly reports are also to be sent to Mr. Gerald Cross and representatives of the Pennsylvania Economy League.

Further, Mrs. Krake, please check for city ordinances regarding inspections of rooming houses.

Additionally, please inform the Pennsylvania Economy League of the lack of enforcement of the rental registration program throughout the last three years during your next weekly meeting, please. Since this program has been and is included in operating budgets, city council requests PEL's assistance in urging the administration's enforcement and in overseeing the progress of the program. Inform PEL that manpower is currently in place to enforce the program particularly since city council reinstated two inspector's positions.

Next, last week I asked Mrs. Krake

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to schedule public caucus sessions with the owners of the East Scranton Intermediate School building on February 1, and with Mr. Charles Jefferson, one of the owners of the Mulberry Professional Plaza on February 8 in order to discuss their respective projects which are under consideration for funding by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's Office of the budget through grants from the Redevelopment Assistance Capital Program. Since Lace Affiliates was requested by council to present it's project to the public, the remaining two applicants for grants should receive the same opportunities. Legislation for each of the two projects will be included on council's agenda for introduction following each of the scheduled public caucuses.

Also, city solicitor Paul Kelly responded to city council's letter of January 5, 2011, regarding the 175 parking spaces in the Medallion Garage given to the Hilton Hotel. He states that the recent buyer of the Hilton, Scran-Chris Hospitality, L.P., receives the same rights

to 175 parking spaces per day at no cost due to the terms of the parking garage use agreement that was signed in November 2005.

Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to Solicitor Kelly on behalf of Scranton City Council requesting a copy of the parking garage use agreement to which he refers in his January 18, 2011, letter.

And finally, I have a few citizens' requests for the week, and I think much of this is going to echo previous requests, I received numerous complaints from city residents regarding the lack of snow removal in West Scranton and South Scranton last week. Streets in front of the Linden Jackson and John Marshal Schools as well as North Rebecca Avenue were in very poor condition on Friday morning prior to the two-hour delayed opening of city schools.

Also, city residents report that the DPW's use of rocks rather than road salt on city streets. They fear the rocks will clog storm drains and wish to know when and why the city stopped salting since their tax dollars pay for these services.

In addition, a South Side resident reports that streets in his neighborhoods were not plowed.

I am grateful to those who informed me of these problems. Council wants to know the level of service or lack thereof that city residents are receiving. However, city council is a legislative body. It cannot hire or fire a DPW director who in turn takes his orders from the mayor.

I recommend that you register complaints with Mr. Brazil and Mr. Dougher as well as with city council regarding the lack of snow removal. If your street hasn't been addressed, the DPW head and/or the administration make those decisions.

In fact, I have heard some troubling comments from city workers that some administrators are doing a lackluster job in retaliation for salary cuts. I certainly hope this isn't true because it sends a clear message to the people of Scranton you do not take pride in your work, you have a poor work ethic in your primary job, and most importantly, you have no concern for

your Scranton neighbors when you spite the very people who pay your salary. In the remaining winter weeks the people expect you to perform your job properly.

Also, Mrs. Krake, if we can have a letter to Attorney Kelly asking for his opinion and verification of the ownership of property in East Mountain that was discussed during citizens' participation this evening by Mr. Bolus.

Finally, I letter to Mr. McGowan, council requests the following:

Corresponding bank financial documentation that allowed the city administration to remove \$2.9 million from the worker's compensation trust fund.

Two: Documentation of the receipt of the \$2.9 dollars and to what line items in the 2009 budget it was applied. List the exact expenditures for which the \$2.9 million was used.

Third: Provide the corresponding bank financial documentation that the worker's compensation trust fund was refunded by \$2.9 million in 2010. When did

this transaction occur? What is the expenditure line and account number in the 2010 budget that documents the \$2.9 million repayment.

And, ladies and gentlemen, this is your money. It's as if the city, well, the city administration has taken your checkbook and made deposits and written checks without the appropriate entries. This is not acceptable and council wants to see all of that documentation that is so heavily involved in the 2009 and 2010 operating budgets, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. PROVIDING FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OF MOUNT PLEASANT DRIVE IN THE MOUNT PLEASANT SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND RECORD IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS OFFICIAL RECORDS A DEED FOR THE ABOVE MENTIONED RIGHT OF WAY.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be

introduced into it's proper committee.

۱ ٔ

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MR. ROGAN:

rik. Jufce: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question,

So moved.

Mrs. Evans, I happened to receive in my mail today that this was coming up for a vote and I took a ride out and I did take some photographs. It's very hard for me to determine whether the paving is complete because there is no snow plowing done there, and it says "Sewer." I only saw one sewer, this is quite a big project for one storm sewer. I imagine it was approved in the plans, but I don't know how complete it is without seeing a developer's agreement.

There is a gate down at the end of the cul-de-sac here, is it supposed to continue down there? There doesn't appear to be any completed roadways down there beyond that gate, the only thing is the -- the only thing I saw was a little bit of a footing, a small footing in one area here, nothing else completed in that development. A blinking light at the entrance, and I just

don't want to see us get into the same situation as the Tripp Park Development even though this is a commercial development it could still have the same consequences of the school down the other side.

I do see that the city planner signed off on it, but I do not see any signoff from our city engineer.

MR. MCGOFF: The second paragraph in the ordinance it indicates that he has.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Where is that?

MR. MCGOFF: In the ordinance,

"Whereas, the improvements were constructed
to the City of Scranton's specifications and
where the city engineer has inspected and
found the improvements to be satisfactory."

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, it states that, but there is a signoff letter from Don King, the city planner, I want to see a written statement from the city engineer. I mean, there is a liability concern there, the engineers ENO has to cover or his liability insurance.

MS. EVANS: Well, Mr. Loscombe, I would suggest that you'd request tonight

exactly what documents you would like to see and you would like to council read and I know I will vote to introduce this evening, but if we do not receive the documents as requested prior to next week's council meeting, then I might suggest to you that we table the legislation until we do receive the documents that you are requesting so that we can make the best possible decision for not only the project and developer, but more importantly, the people of the City of Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Exactly. I mean, I have Tripp's Parks in the back of my mind with this situation up there.

MS. EVANS: Which I think what you alluded to earlier it was still in litigation, it is in a sense in that the developer, I believe, is suing the city.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, that's what I had in my mind. I used the Medallis, but I realize that had been resolved and now it was on this end. But, you know, if a developer is going to come in and make promises they have to complete their

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

promises. The only thing I have here is an ordinance that was written by the administration. I cannot tell by driving out there if the proper drainage is there or if the road is blacktopped. photographs, apparently, they don't even plow their own streets there, that's why they want the city to take it over, but there is nothing there at this point to plow for, but it's still a safety hazard and we have a blinking light out on the main drag there, but I do -- I would like to request the copy of the, you know, any of the developer's agreement as to what point, at what stage of their development this was to transferred over to the city. Usually, they are transferred after the development is complete, but -- and you all of the infrastructure is complete.

And the other item would be a letter from our city engineer putting his name on there certifying that he is in agreement that this project has been complete to his satisfaction for our -- for transfer to the city.

1 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of 2 3 introduction signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: 4 Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-C. PROVIDING FOR THE 10 ACCEPTANCE OF A STORM WATER BASIN IN THE 11 12 MOUNT PLEASANT SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO 13 14 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF 15 16 ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND RECORD IN THE 17 LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS OFFICIAL 18 RECORDS A DEED FOR THE ABOVE MENTIONED RIGHT OF WAY. 19 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 20 21 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 22 introduced into it's proper committee. 23 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 24 MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

25

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, I 2 would just echo the comments I made prior 3 with the same questions. MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman 4 Loscombe. Is there anything else on the 5 question? All those in favor of 6 7 introduction signify by saying aye. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 13 have it and so moved. 14 MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 7, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE -15 APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT 16 17 LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY DEPE, 18 INC., 108-112 PROSPECT STREET, DUNMORE, PA 18512, LICENSE NO. R-14820 TO CARL VON 19 20 LUGER, LLC FOR USE AT 301 NORTH WASHINGTON 21 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA AS REQUIRED BY THE PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD. 22 23 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 24 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A

25

1 pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: Second. 2 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 4 5 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 7 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 8 9 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 10 MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -11 12 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 8, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE -CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY 13 14 ACCOUNT NO. 02.229598 ENTITLED "PUBLIC SAFETY MANPOWER DONATIONS" TO ACCEPT 15 DONATIONS FROM PRIVATE CITIZENS AND/OR 16 17 ENTITIES FOR COSTS RELATED TO PUBLIC SAFETY 18 MANPOWER. 19 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 20 21 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 22 pass reading by title. 23 MR. JOYCE: Second. 24 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 25 those in favor signify by saying aye.

	134
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
6	have it and so moved.
7	MS. KRAKE: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION
8	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY FOR
9	ADOPTION- RESOLUTION NO. 2, 2011 - ACCEPTING
10	A TWO HUNDRED (\$200.00) DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION
11	FROM CHRISTIAN SCIENCE SOCIETY OF LACKAWANNA
12	COUNTY PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON
13	FIRE DEPARTMENT.
14	MS. EVANS: What is the
15	recommendation of the Chair for the
16	Committee on Public Safety?
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chair for the
18	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
19	final passage.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? I'd
22	like to thank the Christian Science Society
23	of Lackawanna County for their generous
24	donation to the City of Scranton Fire
25	Department. Roll call, please.

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 2 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 8 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 10 11 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 12 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY FOR 13 14 ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 3, 2011 -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 15 CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A 16 17 GRANT FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE 18 CAPITAL PROGRAM ("RACP") THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE 19 BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF FOUR MILLION FIVE 20 21 HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$4,500,000.00); IF THE APPLICATION IS SUCCESSFUL, ACCEPTING AND 22 23 DISBURSING THE GRANT; AND COORDINATING THE 24 USE OF THE GRANT FUNDS WITH THE "LACE BUILDING AFFILIATES, LP", FOR THE PROJECT TO 25

BE NAMED THE "SCRANTON LACE COMPLEX REDEVELOPMENT".

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Public Safety?

MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend final passage.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. I would just like to reiterate what I said earlier that, you know, this project will take a blighted property that's been a burden on the lower Greenridge community and hopefully turn it into something that is going to create jobs, it's going to make the neighborhood a little bit nicer. They mentioned they were looking for parking that would also be accessible for the community to come and walk around the riverbank, so I am behind this project 100 percent.

I hope that they will meet all of their goals to be -- for Phase I to begin by the end of by the fall, to create job with a

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

total of \$2.5 million.

MR. MCGOFF: I, too, would like to say that -- and Mrs. Evans said once before that it's very easy to find fault with something that's this complex, I'm sure that we could go through and find little things we don't like, some speakers, one speaker in particular mentioned, you know, it could something else. Well, there are a lot of could be's, but we have something that is concrete, somebody that is willing to take a chance on developing something that would be very beneficial, and I think it's a necessity for us to approve this and hopefully, as Mr. Rogan said, that will begin quickly and finish quickly and add to the overall development of the lower Greenridge community.

MS. EVANS: And again, I believe that the Scranton Lace Works actually closed down in 2002 and in the intervening years there has been increased deterioration of that property and now that we are into the ninth year I think it is well past time that the property is appropriately redeveloped,

addressed and returned to the tax roles in a very what can be anyway a very lucrative manner eventually.

I know that since the current owner purchased the complex it has been on the tax roles, so as Mr. McGoff and Mr. Rogan have said, this is a project like any other where there is certainly a risk involved, but I believe that the benefits far outweigh at this point the disadvantages and I'm very much looking forward to the redevelopment occurring in this neighborhood.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And I would just like to add what I said before, I do believe that this city council will be very, very vigilant in watching every "I" and every "T" on this project to make sure it's completed the way it should be and I echo everything else that was stated before that. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I think everyone else has pretty much said what I was going to say on this matter, so I'm not going to offer any further comment.

MS. EVANS: Very good. Roll call,

1	please? MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
11	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
12	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
13	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
14	RESOLUTION NO. 4, 2011 - APPOINTMENT OF RYAN
15	MCGOWAN, 735 NORTH BROMLEY AVENUE, SCRANTON,
16	PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 TO THE POSITION OF
17	BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR EFFECTIVE JANUARY 10,
18	2011. MR. MCGOWAN WILL BE REPLACING STUART
19	RENDA, WHO RESIGNED.
20	MR. ROGAN: I would make a motion to
21	table Item 7-C.
22	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
23	floor to table Item 7-C, is there a second?
24	MR. JOYCE: Second.
25	MS. EVANS: On the question?
1	

MR. ROGAN: Yes. The reason for the motion, I know for all of our appointments we require a resume and even though we all know Mr. McGowan I think we need to consistent across the board and request a resume. Mrs. Evans and myself spoke very briefly before the meeting and we agreed that it would be a good idea.

MS. EVANS: And I might add there have been comments and questions posed by members of the public with regard to this particular legislation and I think in a spirit of continued transparency it is wise for council to remain uniform to obtain the information and then provide it to the public.

MR. ROGAN: And hopefully by next week we will have the resume and be able to give approval.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

	141
1	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
2	MR. MCGOFF: No.
3	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
4	moved. 7-C is tabled. If there is no
5	further business, I will entertain a motion
6	to adjourn.
7	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
8	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
9	adjourned.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	
25	
20	

П

·

•

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER