		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, January 11, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	CATHEME 3. MANDUZZI, KFK - UFFICIAL CUURT KEPURTER	
25		

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans called me
13	prior to the meeting, she is sick. Please
14	dispense with the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	MINUTES OF THE FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION
17	MEETING HELD ON OCTOBER 27, 2010.
18	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE
22	AUTHORITY'S REGULAR BOARD MEETING HELD
23	SEPTEMBER 16, 2010.
24	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

1	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. CONTRIBUTION WAS
2	MADE BY DAVID AND DORIS CHASE IN THE
3	AMOUNT OF \$20.00 TO BE USED FOR MANPOWER IN
4	THE CITY'S PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT.
5	D. CHECK RECEIVED FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF
6	SCRANTON, WHICH REPRESENTS PAYMENT IN LIEU
7	OF TAXES FOR THE YEAR 2010 IN THE AMOUNT OF
8	\$175,000.00.
9	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
10	If not, received and filed.
11	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. CHECK RECEIVED FROM
12	THE COVENANT PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH, WHICH
13	REPRESENTS PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES FOR THE
14	YEAR 2010 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000.00.
15	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
16	If not, received and filed.
17	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. AGENDA FOR THE
18	ZONING HEARING BOARD TO BE HELD JANUARY 20,
19	2011.
20	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed. Mrs. Krake, do
22	we have clerk's notes tonight?
23	MS. KRAKE: We do not have them this
24	evening.
25	MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Are there

Good

1 any council members who have any announcements to make? 2 Nothing? 3 MR. JOYCE: Yes. Right now as we speak there is a benefit going on at 4 5 Friendly's up in Dunmore for the Neil Armstrong School, I believe it's going on 6 until 8:00 p.m. I received a last minute 7 message from one of the PTA folks over 8 9 If you can make it up that would be 10 I believe a portion of the proceeds great. 11 go to benefit the school. I know it's 12 snowing out right now, but if you can make 13 it up there it would be greatly appreciated 14 and I'm sure that the school would be 15 grateful. 16 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce. 17 Fourth Order. 18 MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: Our first speaker 21 tonight is Ozzie Quinn. 22 MR. QUINN: Thank you. 23 evening. First of all, you know, it's 24 obvious that Mr. Scopelliti and the city 25 administration dropped the ball in 2010 and

thanks to Mr. Loscombe and the majority council, this year's majority was able to pick up that ball and we are pleased that we are on the right track to find ways to find more revenue and different revenue other than the old hit the people with taxes. We appreciate that very much and thank you, Mr. Loscombe, for doing that, okay?

And Mr. Miskell made a very good presentation and he is willing to sit around before going to Reading and answer any questions that our members may have and we appreciate Mr. Miskell for doing that.

Thank you very much.

The audacity of Solicitor Kelly to say to Councilman Joyce that he is not going to answer that question it's not relevant, the hell it isn't relevant. Anything that goes on in the Parking Authority that relates to StreetSmart is relevant. The problem is they don't want to give up what they think they're autonomous and they're not autonomous, they have to answer to City Council, and it's ashame when we sit here and when you see them trying to any way

whatsoever trying to deceive the public by saying, "We don't know, you put the cart after the horse," you know. What do they think, we're stupid? We know what's going on, this is the second caucus on this thing here. I come to these causes, I don't need a special invitation. No. I don't believe in the stuff that these authorities get away with, it's murder.

The last thing I want to ask is this here, I want to know where my money went in 2009. Where is the audit, the Rossi audit? I want to know are there any findings? Where was it spent? Is there any recommendations?

MR. JOYCE: At this point in time we do not have a final audit, we received a draft and we have been asked not to disclose the information with the public because it's not final, however, I will be addressing that during Fifth Order tonight.

MR. QUINN: Okay. Thank you very much I appreciate it.

MR. ROGAN: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,

South Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers' Association. Ozzie, find Stu Renda, he will answer your question for you since he was the most qualified business administrator we have had ever had in the City of Scranton. That's right, Mr. McGoff, shake your head.

Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton
resident, member of the taxpayers'
association. Good evening, city council,
Doherty doomer hypocrites. Along with the
dedicated editors and staff writers from the
Scranton Times-Tribune who, by the way, are
responsible for the named doomers, and I did
not just call city council doomer
hypocrites, they know who I'm talking about,
the DVH members asked, "Ask Mayor Doherty
what other funds and other salaries used.
He put it into the budget."

The mayor's amended budget was legally and lawfully adopted and now is law. The same thing happened in 2002 with Mayor Connors' budget. Do you remember? Doherty was finance chair?

Also tonight's caucus on

StreetSmarts is the second public caucus and not the first. Furthermore, Mayor Doherty, Mr. Scopelliti, Scranton Times editors and staff writers were invited to attend plus other cabinet members so they can all become well informed as the 2000 city council members are. Facts do matter to DVH members and they did show up. So hopefully they got some valuable information tonight.

I would like for someone to inform
Fire Chief Davis and Mayor Doherty that
firehouses do not fight fires. Fires are
fought by firefighters and fire apparatus
along with water. So by the administration
and the Times-Tribune making a point of the
fact that firehouses will not close is
completely asinine to say the least, but
then again, I will consider the source.
Mayor Doherty was not aware of the fact that
the city had a sexual harassment policy in
effect for six years or the qualifications
of Lisa Moran and Chief Elliott and Chief
Ray Hayes.

Does anybody including the mayor know the qualifications of Fire Chief Davis?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do know that he was a firefighter for many years. Other than that, does anybody know anything else about his educational experience in sexual harassment and ethics training? One chief already cost the Did Chief Davis have taxpayers \$153,000. any management and supervisory training and experience prior to his appointment as fire Has the Chief obtained any since he became the fire chief? Possibly Councilman McGoff could and would look into that. not, can Councilman Loscombe look into that serious matter pertaining to the safety of the residents of Scranton and the Scranton firefighters.

Qualifications do matter. The residents really cannot afford to lose another \$153,000 in lawsuits. Furthermore, I do know that 93 percent of the firefighters voted "no confidence" in Chief Davis last year. Has that "no confidence" vote changed in the past year? Again, I must ask what qualifications do Mayor Doherty and past Business Administrator Renda have to make changes in the fire

department without having any guidance or recommendation from the trained and experienced firefighters.

Scranton Fire Department is blessed with having several firefighters with degrees in firefighting and also several Scranton firefighters teach firefighting techniques throughout the country. This very valuable asset should be used by the administration at every able opportunity.

Also, this could be the reason why some firefighters will earn more money than the chief. They are better qualified.

Has the staff writers of the Scranton Times done any investigating reporting to find out what studies have been used by the administration and received written evidence and recommendation from the consultants that were used by the administration to warrant 24-hour shifts and reduction of fire apparatus in the City of Scranton.

Also, what study was used to come to the conclusion that firefighters' manning and police officers' manning should be

reduced? Also, Councilman McGoff has fought to keep Fire Chief Davis' pay raise. My question is, why would Chief Davis not use his own cell phone? Could that mean that he does not care about the residents and his fellow firefighters? I guess the 93 percent "no confidence" vote is warranted.

Furthermore, has Chief Davis and the administration implemented a policy so that PPL would respond to turn off power lines immediately or has nothing been done?

Mr. Loscombe, can you address that dangerous situation during motions? Also, why is the mayor and business administrator making these recommendations, where is Chief Davis? Does he not have a say in the fire department decision making? He is the appointed chief.

Congratulations to Mr. Joe
Pilchesky. He beat the local judges again.
Not bad for a carpenter. Pennsylvania
Superior Court ruled that Judge O'Brien was
100 percent wrong in his ruling. The trial
court did not focus on Gatelli's duty to
produce prima facie evidence of actual harm.

More is required than the bald assertion that the inflammatory statements harmed the plaintiff's reputation in the social, civil, professional and political community. For some reason the staff writer from the Times-Tribune neglected to report that in his version of the story. Read the opinion handed down from the PA Superior Court. Again, facts do matter. Now we must hope that Judy Gatelli will return the \$30,000.

And also, I must congratulate the Times-Tribune on their story on Saturday, "Mayor moved to cut cell phones." Again, this time they actually went out and found the truth, and again, we got the same answer from the mayor, "I know nothing about it. I didn't do anything. Talk to the administrator, he is the one responsible," but yet the mayor and his sworn testimony stated that he is the CEO and he is in charge of every day-to-day operations of the City of Scranton. Which is it, Mayor?

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Council, you never guess what happened to me tonight. I got

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all ready to come here and I got a little brush upstairs I use with a little round things so I don't pull all my hair out and my brush is gone. And I said "Rosie, where is my brush?"

She said, "It's down here."

I said, "What's it doing down here?"

She said, "I used it to groom the

dog."

I said, "How could you do that?" These things happen to me every day. You know, I listen to these gentlemen about the parking meter, it seems like every time this table got somebody they try to take the taxpayers for a ride. You know, we had a bunch of guys whining were the University how much they needed to take a bunch of houses out for the buildings and parking and then we had that bunch with six figure incomes pleading to do away with the loan at Boscov's, and tonight we got a bunch just with a speculative venture. You know, we are broke city. We just don't need any more -- I have talked to a bunch of people, at six, eight people and I haven't heard

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anything positive about this.

The Parking Authority is just a poster boy for mismanagement. You know, when you were trying to get rid of the Library Authority he used them as an example why we don't need another authority. They have taken the people of this city for a ride every time you turn around. Now you're gonna to give them another burden where they don't -- they just don't deserve anything else.

You know, we got -- I was downtown today at 11:30 and there was so many empty meters you didn't have to parallel park, you could just pull in. I am talking about all over downtown it's just -- you want to bring business downtown let's get rid of all of the meters for six months or a year and try different things to bring business downtown. I don't see downtown filled. I don't see Boscov's filled, but raising the price chasing everybody away and they are not on the buses. I have asked, you know, some people if they ride the bus when we were talking. Nobody seems to -- that has a car

has changed their habits, but just assuming an unnecessary unneeded venture that's like this, you know, we just don't need it anymore.

You talked about overspending last week and this is something we don't need to spend any time and money on. If you people had gotten out and talked to some of the people like I do at the grocery stores and so forth you wouldn't have had this meeting tonight. It's just time people just can't tighten their belt any more in this city with all of these ventures.

And I just have one other thing, you know, I cry about not being able to use the sidewalks, on the corner of Parker and Main there is a bus stop for the little preschoolers, at 2515 Main Street is a house, the lady that lives there's got this old junky car. She parks it out of the sidewalk with all four wheels, and I'd say 90 percent of the time I'm on the other side of the street, but I have watched the little preschoolers on North Main Avenue because of this car long enough and I have complained

and complained and complained and it never gets a ticket or nothing. I don't know if it belongs to the city administration or maybe Obama administration, but the police won't address it for some reason.

Let me tell you the kicker, the license plates expired last May. The inspection expired, and I am a betting man and I will bet there is no insurance on this car it is driven every day, every single day the car is moved. I don't know why the police don't tow it in or do something with it. But, like I said, the main reason I complain is it parks right on the sidewalk where you can't use it and I seen these little kids just out there, you know, just unnecessary.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman, can you get us the license plate number?

MR. ELLMAN: A plate number?

MR. ROGAN: Yeah.

MR. ELLMAN: I gave it to them once.

Yeah, I can get it and leave it he office.

MR. ROGAN: That would be great and I'll forward it to the chief.

MR. ELLMAN: Like I said, the
license plate is expired in May. You know,
you have car insurance I imagine, everybody
has car insurance and license and
inspection. My two inspections cost me \$60,
I think, or \$55. That's more than this
junky car is worth. You know, that's why
it's not inspected. Well, thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: And I hope you consider what I said about taking on another costly venture like this. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, on your agenda items under I guess it's five, 5-H, it's with Knowles. Knowles, you know, is the broker for the city, but do you know if the slide is incorporated now in this dealing or are we going to get them another brokerage fee when it comes time to open up Nay Aug? We pay for the slide, the insurance on the slide anyway, I'd just find out since it looks like it's really spread it would be nice if the slide was

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

incorporated in this group of, you know, the fee for \$10,000 broker's fee is out of this world.

Now, I know the keep talking about that \$300,000, but nobody mentions that PEL was here and called for a \$7 million shortfall. You erased the \$7 million shortfall. If we come in at the end of the year and we're \$300,000 in the hole so be it, but we already saved \$6 million \$700,000. So, I mean, you got to look at the broad picture when you do these things, but the main thing my concern is I have been hearing a lot of rumors about pools closing. As you know, the Taxpayers' Association runs fundraisers for Nay Aug so people could, you know, kids come swim up there, but I know they are not going to open Capouse, I guess they are fairly on that, but they are talking about a second pool and that becomes more troublesome.

As you know, if you live in West
Side and they close down Novembrino where
are those kids going to go? Capouse -- I
mean, Weston Field is long way off and a kid

1920

18

21

22

23

24

25

that's four or five or six they can't walk If they close North Scranton down say up at the housing project, we got all them kids up at the housing project, where are they going to swim back at the creek? guess you people would know there is a creek that runs down by the lower line that we used to swim in when we were kids back there, but anyway, that's there, but they would have to go there, but a five-year-old, six-year-old, seven-year-old, we can't really understand the weather. It may be rainy, it could be steaming like a jungle, we don't know, but the main thing is that provisions should be made to make sure them pools are open or provision should be made for transportation to another pool.

So we got to look at these things now because Janet mentioned that they might use money from parks and recreation for different things and she was afraid, too, I would be afraid, too, that the lifeguards, without lifeguards you can't open the pool. If he uses up all the money for different reasons then really we can't open the pools

and if she realizes Nay Aug, the only thing they do up at Nay Aug on that pool is they buy the chlorine. We pay for the lifeguards, we pay for the insurance on the slide and all they do is buy the chlorine. Now, for that little amount of things why should there be not be free swimming up at Nay Aug?

I mean, if we pay for the liability already, that's what I mean about I asked about that, and we pay for the lifeguards, surely we have enough money to pay for the chlorine, and besides that you realize that that's the pool for that whole section of the city. Of course, and that's the bad part about it, I mean, them people up in the hill would have to go all the way down to Capouse to swim or West -- I shouldn't say Capouse because that's going to be closed, Weston Field to swim or take a bus or somehow walk up there to South Scranton and go up the big hill by the projects and use that pool.

But, I mean, it's a long distance.

I walk the mall. I grew up when the buses

weren't running like they are now, I grew up actually almost a little after the war and we used to walk many places. Many times I walked up to -- I swam up there in the gorge, I did swim there, but we walked there but at that time you could walk, there was no cars because of the war. It was safe to walk. You didn't have to worry about a car coming from anywhere because there was none, but nowadays you have to worry about it.

Well, I hope you will look into it and make sure we find out does he plan to close pools, what pools he plans on close and how he plans to transfer the kids to another pool. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you,

Mr. Sbaraglia.

MR. ROGAN: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. Mr. Jackowitz stole my thunder a little bit. I, too, want to congratulate Mr. Pilchesky on winning the decision in the Superior Court that the

Judge O'Brien said he had to name posters on his website, now he doesn't have to. It's a big victory for First Amendment and a big victory for freedom of speech and we always when I came up here said we have freedom of speech, although, some people in past years said we didn't have it.

And that leads me to bring this up, on November 18 in the Philadelphia Inquirer the Supreme Court made a decision the people do have the right to come to council meetings and speak, that's a Supreme Court decision because in Philadelphia they tried to get people not to speak. So, Mr. McGoff, when you were president and when Mrs. Gatelli were president and you gaveled people out of order at this podium we are not weren't out of order, you were the one that was out of order.

MR. MCGOFF: That's incorrect.

MR. SPINDLER: Not according to the Supreme Court. I guess you don't believe in them. And another thing, Mr. McGoff, I don't know what your intentions are, but if you intend to run for re-election this year

I will do everything in my power to make sure you don't get re-elected. We don't need people like you representing us, we need people like the supermajority.

Moving on, awhile back I submitted a request for a left-turn signal at the corner of Linden and Seventh Avenue because it's really hard to turn there, was anything found out about it? I did put in a written request?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah, we actually submitted the request but we haven't received a response yet, Les. We will try to followup on it.

MR. SPINDLER: And another request I did bring for my sister-in-law about the corner right down here of Wyoming and Mulberry, there were many traffic concerns that she had.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe they were submitted also, too. I didn't recall that one, but I do recall the one about the left-turn.

MR. ROGAN: We will take sure we send them again.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. I spoke a lot last week about the firefighters and Engine 9 being taken out of service, I have to put my glasses onto make sure I don't miss anything. Like I said last week, Chris Doherty is putting innocent taxpayers' lives Not only that, he didn't even in danger. take into consideration the children that are going to be going to the new school down on North Edward Avenue, which Engine 9 could be there in like probably about a minute, now they'll have to wait until the engine on Luzerne Street can get there which will -the response time will be much greater than if Engine 9 was in service. I guess the mayor doesn't care about anybody's lives being lost, all he cares about is himself.

And not only that, he is putting firefighters' lives in danger. Having them work 24-hour shifts is the most outrageous think I ever heard of. These men are taxed to the limit to begin with, he wants to them for work for 24 hours straight, it's just ridiculous. I guess he is not going to be happy until something serious happens to

somebody.

1

And back on the subject of Engine 9, I don't know if anybody is aware, but Engine 9 had one of the largest coverage areas in the whole city and that's the engine he takes out of service. Like I said, there is -- people's lives are in danger here and, like I said, I did call the mayor and spoke to him, it went in one ear and out the other. Maybe if enough people do pick up the phone and call it will have some effect, and I also did a letter to the editor in for people to call, but the Times-Tribune edited that part out, so they don't want -- they are just backing the mayor anything he I guess that's all I have this decides. Thank you for your time. week.

> MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

> MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Could I give you each one of these?

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

(Mr. Morgan approaches the dais.)

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

23

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

You know, today I have a lot of respect for government, I really do, but you know,

Section 312 of the Home Rule Charter deals with investigations, okay, and the council may make investigation into the affairs of the city and the conduct of any department, office or agency in, you know, in the city in regards to legislative power and their functions and may issue subpoenas for this purpose.

Now, you know, it's no disrespect to this council, but if you look at the second page I have included in this a recipe for chocolate chip cookies, because to be honest with you, gentlemen, you, know, and I know Mrs. Evans isn't here today, and like I said, it's not that I disrespect you, but if we can't issue subpoenas to figure out where we are going and we have listened to this rhetoric for council for 20 years about how nobody is going to answer our questions, look it, if you are the elected officials and nobody is going to answer your questions then we might as well just cancel all of the

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council meetings, we'll all either come here and listen to a new recipe for the week or something because this city has long-range problems, and we can't seem to get any answers, and I think it's time to really start looking for some. I'm being real honest with you, okay? That Home Rule Charter was written for a reason, okay?

You know, I appreciate what everybody comes up here and says, but you know, I have been listening for 20 or 25 years and I have watched this city just disintegrate. I mean, and my point is really simple. Today we talked about, you know, StreetSmart. Well, out of one hand we are talking about how people aren't coming downtown shopping and I know a lot of people that are parking out of the downtown and walking in, and my question is that if we go with this plan, and this is off the top of my head, if we go with this plan how are we going to stop people from parking for free under the mall, because that's where a lot of people are parking. They are really disgusted with the increases in the parking

meter fees. I mean, and then what are we going to do, are we going to write more citations? I mean, we have got so much office space in the downtown that the county moved most of it's functions there. Where are we going?

I mean, you know, look at it I know that the council doesn't care much for SAPA, and if that's so, so be it. I think it should be reintroduced and I think it has a lot of merit, I have a lot of respect for you people, there is not doubt. But look it, we need an economic engine here and if we keep going to everybody who parks in the downtown as being the solution to our problems where are we going?

I agree with what this gentleman said some of it, at one time people did double park in the streets, but there was a reason for that. We had 140,000 people here and we just don't have that now. Now the vast majority of the people in this city in my opinion are elderly. I have walked the city, how many of councilmen have? Every one of them I'm sure. How many of the homes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in this city are empty? Don't you think we really need an economic engine?

I mean really, you know, look it, you can say, well, we'll start the meter back from zero as soon as the car pulls out. Well, you know, that's all right, but you know what, we need people to come to downtown Scranton to shop. We all know the mall is in trouble, at least I do and I don't know if you share my opinion or not.

I mean, do we really need new answers? Yes. Listen to a couple of things that Andy said here tonight about the children in the neighborhoods. Be concerned about the pools, all right? The one thing I don't understand is why aren't we going to the Recreational Authority and creating a playground program at Nay Aug? Why aren't we reaching out to the county and trying to something for the children in these neighborhoods? It's a well-known fact we There is no doubt. need more revenue. Like I asked last week, we don't even know how short the pension funds are. The PEL said they are 47 percent funded, but we do know

our long range debt is over \$300 million.

We need an economic engine to confront that.

We already know that the federal government said that they are 117 percent over the gross domestic product which means our country is absolutely bankrupt and all we keep looking to is the same broken solutions, more revenue. No, we need to create employment, create opportunity and have government function for people and then we are wondering like I am when I walk through the neighborhoods why people just stop voting, and I know you have come across that because you have knocked on doors and you've talked to people.

And, I mean, the vast majority of the people are just tired of the same old rhetoric and, you know, it's a terrible shame what's happened recently in Arizona. And, I mean, that should never happen. It shouldn't come to that point. But, you know, we have got to find solutions. This is a great city, it's a progressive city I think or if isn't I think it can be, and I think that you can come up with the

solutions, but you know what, if you aren't willing to use the tools you possess to get subpoenas out there and bring them in here and let's have a real heart-to-heart conversation on what's really going on then we should all be home baking chocolate chip cookies and playing games, because, you know, so many people talk to me and they say, "Lee, why do you think nobody comes there? Because they have no solutions, it's all politics, it's one side against the other and we keep losing."

And, you know, a lot of people they sit home and watch us and grab a beer and have a laugh. But you know what, I don't agree with that. I think the laugh is on them. I think they belong here. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: I would like to start an investigation of the validity of this recipe, I'd ask to subpoena Mr. Morgan and his cookies to verify their taste. Could we do that? No?

MR. LOSCOMBE: You think he would have brought us a sample.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: We will be looking forward to those cookies next week,
Mr. Morgan. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. I'd just like to begin tonight by expressing my thoughts and prayers to all of the victims, including the Congresswoman who were involved in the tragic shooting in Tucson, Arizona, and we obviously all hope that something like that doesn't happen again.

My first thing tonight on the agenda was the check that we received from the University of Scranton in payment in lieu of taxes for \$175,000. I'd just like to go back to some of the things I said before. Ι just think I strongly believe that council should consider creating a fee on KOZ's and nonprofits. I believe that everyone should pay their fair share. I'm not going to stand here tonight and chastise the University of Scranton, they did give a contribution and I know that in the past council has made attempts at meetings with the University and I believe Mr. Loscombe

3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

once back made a presentation, so I would just hope moving forward and keep pushing on that and strongly urge you to consider creating some sort of fee so that it goes all across the board.

Regarding the cell phones, there was an article in the Scranton Times the other day that I got a chance to look at regarding cutting back on cell phone usage, and I just I don't believe everyone in the city should have a cell phone. Having the opportunity to look at a list of some of the people that did have one, they probably shouldn't have had one to begin with, you know, I think these phones should only be given to emergency personnel such as the police officers, firemen, DPW, etcetera. Ι strongly believe that everyone else should use their own phone and, you know, taxpayers of the city shouldn't be paying for it and think that, you know, making cuts obviously it was wise to make the cuts that we needed to make here with the cell phones and hopefully we won't have any issues with this in the future.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- -

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to talk about police vehicles in the city. I know this isn't a new issue here, but just in the last few days I have had the chance to see a few police officers cars around town, you know, and really just sit back and notice how beat up our vehicles are and that we are putting our police officers behind the wheel of some of these vehicles and we have these on the roads. I mean, it's just truly appalling and, you know, I know, like I said, this isn't a secret, this is an issue that all of a sudden we are just learning about, it's been ongoing for a long time that we have police officers using vehicles that, you know, to be quite honest probably shouldn't even be on the road and I know that with our current financial situation I don't see us correcting this problem any time soon, but I would just hope that we would address it and try to come up with a long-term solution here because something needs to be done and I just wanted to bring that up because it

Regarding the StreetSmart, you know,

really did open up my eyes here.

25

I really want to commend council for putting this caucus together tonight and I know we had one awhile back, but tonight it really gave me an opportunity to have my questions answered that I had and, you know, if I do have any questions in the future I'll be sure to ask council. You know, I just would like to commend you for taking the opportunity to come up with a new way to generate revenue and a new way of technology here. You know, we have seen studies done in other areas and we have had comments made that it hasn't worked in other areas, but from what I have heard and read overall it seemed to be successful. You know, any criticism that you might receive from people, you know, they are the ones that probably didn't step up to the plate and do any homework themselves so for anybody to stand up and criticize in my opinion they should just sit down, do homework, come up with their own idea and then say something, but if they can't do that then they should just sit there.

And, you know, this idea that, you

know, people don't want to cooperate, you know, questions were asked by council tonight, nobody wanted to give answers, you know, it's just totally out of line. There needs to be more cooperation, you know, the residents of this city are what's on the line here and generating revenue in this city which obviously we all know is distressed and we are in major debt here and we just should have more cooperation from all departments in the city.

And I'd like to finally tonight talk about the issue of the pools, Mr. Sbaraglia brought it up. Last week the issue was raised and Mrs. Evans was talking about the idea of Capouse Avenue being closed this summer and possibly one other pool, and the idea that the administration wants to take the funding set aside for parks and recreation that's normally used for paying for our lifeguards and using that to cover the cost for the two new foremen that I believe are going to be repositioned in the DPW, and I just think council should do everything in it's power to make sure that

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doesn't happen. I think the last thing we want to have happen, I'm sure you would agree, is to have pools in the summer closed. I mean, last year we had to go a summer without Capouse Avenue open, we went most of last summer without Connell Park being open, and I just think it's in the best interest of this council to do everything they can to make sure we are not closing pools because, you know, we need to put children first. You know, what do we expect them to do? Children need a place to swim in the summer, obviously, the less fortunate, and we do to be everything in our power to make sure that they have that opportunity, so I appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Lynn Bloom.

MS. BLOOM: Lynn Bloom, North
Scranton resident. Happy New Year,
Everyone. I apologize for being absent for
so long. I had some family and health
issues. Usually, I don't make a habit of
apologizing for any other adults other than
my adult children. But, Mr. McGoff, I feel
that you truly deserve an apology. I do not

think for one minute that your decision to vote against the rest of the council had anything to do with intentionally jeopardizing the safety of the citizens of Scranton. My personal opinion is I disagree with your decision, I think it was possibly a little short sided, but I feel you truly do deserve an apology. That was egregious to me, so I can only imagine how you felt.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. BLOOM: You're welcome. I have been absent so long, I have so much to say and so little time so please bear with me. I want to address the safety issues first, and I have some pictures here that I'd like to show you, gentlemen.

MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MS. BLOOM: I was an Army wife for the first Gulf war, my husband was deployed from West Germany. As a young mother in a foreign country I had to get used to the idea of men carrying M-16's and guarding my family. I thought that was only because I lived in Europe. As we all know 9/11 changed this nation forever. I retired from

federal service in 2002, my only goal was to get my youngest child off of Long Island. I was not near Ground Zero, I was 42 miles away, I was evacuated from my building with 5,000 federal employees. That is as close as I want to come.

When my husband and I purchased our home here we specifically took into account my child's elementary school, location of the fire services, even down to the location of the fire hydrant, because I don't know if some of you are aware, but how close you are to a fire hydrant it effects your homeowner's policy.

At this time not only my

ten-year-old son, but approximately 600

other Scranton Elementary school students

are in jeopardy. I pray to God we never

have a national emergency, I pray to God we

do not have a severe snowstorm. Main Avenue

is empty. Market Street, brownouts, rolling

brownouts possibly. I have pictures of what

a gymnasium with 600 elementary school

students looks like on a good day. I cannot

imagine the pandemonium that could occur in

a delayed response time to these children.

I moved to Scranton, some of you might laugh, for safety. I was aware that gangs were coming here, unlike some city officials, but I factored in the pros and cons. This administration has cut fire services, services to children, they do not want to -- they do want mandated staffing levels, they do not want to rehire.

My child is my testimony to this nation. I will never build a bridge, I will never be famous, he is this community's future, and his 599 other classmates are the city's future. These children because of the Scranton School District, and I don't pretend to know the policy, do not have a playground to play in. They have to cross a street, go down dilapidated concrete steps and play on a blacktop that is in disrepair. That is in itself a recipe for disaster.

No school that I have viewed has a playground in it anymore. How many different ways are we going to tell our youth we don't value you, but when you join a gang because you need to feel accepted we

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to incarcerate you to the fullest extent of the law. We can't have it both ways. We just cannot. I don't have the solutions, I don't pretend to be a rocket scientist, but I do know prevention cost a heck of a lot less than the remedy.

Since I mentioned Scranton School District, I am a taxpayer in the City of Scranton. My tax dollars go through our tax office to the school district. appalled that in the 21st century a school district employee is able to take credit card and make purchases with no invoice and no accountability. Now granted, this was in the Times so anything I read I take with a grain of salt, but even it's marginally true how is that possible? I had a government credit card, I had to give my receipts. had to enter my information within three days of returning to my duty station. wanted a phone, I was only a GS-11. Only GS-13's and above got cell phones, so I would have to purchase a calling card and verify that that -- I'm sorry-- that that calling card was used only for government

purposes or I wouldn't get reimbursed the 1 \$20. 2 3 There is so much, and I don't how to say it and I apologize, negligence in this 4 city across all lines, children, safety, 5 housing, drugs, pick something. We've got 6 to start working towards change and if we 7 8 don't start investing in our kids that --9 youth cycle and leave. Thank you very much. 10 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 11 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 12 MS. BLOOM: Can I show you those 13 pictures? 14 MR. ROGAN: Yes, please. 15 (Ms. Bloom approaches the dais and 16 shows pictures to council.) 17 MS. BLOOM: And this is a joyful 18 event, just imagine if there was a fire 19 trying to get all of those students out. 20 Thank you very much. 21 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 22 MR. ROGAN: Pedro Gonzalez. 23 MR. GONZALEZ: Pedro Gonzalez, South 24 Side resident. Good evening, Council. 25 MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. GONZALEZ: And greeting to all in attendance. Happy New Year to all. (Mr Gonzalez speaks in Spanish.)

I want to first thank the City of Scranton, all the residents and the community members, volunteers and sponsors who came out to our January 6 Three King's event. Thank you, Mr. Joyce, for coming out with your family and enjoying the time with us.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you for having me.

MR. GONZALEZ: You are very welcome, very welcome. It was extremely well-attended as you saw. We wound up giving 250 gifts -- or 250 children that got gifts that night and over 300 adults that were fed that night. All of it was done for free thanks to the sponsors and the community members and the volunteers that participated in that event, so thank you all again for that.

As always, our next event is January

14 through the 17, our annual Dr. Martin

Luther King event. I do have a document

here for you guys, for the council. We also

called and left notices and sent e-mails. I do not believe you received that though. I was told by one council member that they knew not of the event, so we will provide you some information before I leave.

The main event will be the 17th, Monday the 17th, 5 p.m. on Olive Street we lineup to March to courthouse square, many dignitaries speak every single year. We have yet to see a council member there and speak, hopefully this year will be the first, and they have in the past I apologize, but maybe I wasn't there at the time, so hopefully this year we'll have one or two of you gentlemen, we know that Janet with her back and for obvious reasons she can't walk that far, but I know, Pat, you could do it, Buddy. I know you can do it.

But Friday we have an event for the kids at the Boys and Girls Club on Lafayette Street. Saturday, Salvation Apostolic we have a brunch, that's the only event that comes with a cost, \$25 per ticket. On Sunday we have at Scranton High School a multi-cultural event that goes on every

23

24

25

single year there and Sunday, Sunday -- I mean, excuse me, Monday all day long there will be events throughout the entire city all day long, volunteers situations at the Viewmont Mall. United Neighborhood Centers there is some events going on, Friends of the Poor are throwing an event at the University of Scranton, and then cake and cocoa at the Cultural Center toward the end of the evening, and I believe there is an interfaith service that will be held at Salvation -- one to be held at Shilo Baptist Church, I apologize, Shiloh Baptist Church, but there is a lot going on from this coming Friday until Monday so we hope that everyone, everyone is invited, again, the entire community, the entire City of Scranton, all of Lackawanna County. percent of all of these events are free for all attendance and it's extremely kid and family friendly. Thank you again --

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. GONZALEZ: -- for your time and consideration as always. My name is Pedro Gonzalez and thank you for letting me

express myself.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, member of the Taxpayers'. I also agree with some of these speakers, it's very concerning that the administration chooses to eliminate or wait for people to retire or get hurt and not replace them and shut down fire engines and companies. It's really not too smart as far as what I see.

Now, Doug talked about this a little bit and we spoke about it at the Taxpayers' at our last meeting, and changing some of the city property taxes to a maintenance fee, which would have be paid by just about virtually everybody, and the only disadvantage would be lose some money on your income tax deductions, but the offset probably would be exponential, so I'd like to see some kind of study go into a city maintenance fee as opposed to a city property tax, that way you could eliminate

some of the immunities and get some more
money in without -- from other parties and
have them -- have the property tax lowered.

And I have a DVD here it's on House
Bill 1275 by Sam Rore. I gave Janet a tape
before, but I had another DVD, but I don't
think it worked out, I just grabbed it
before I left and tried to review it and it
didn't seem to work out so it you would like
I would --

MR. ROGAN: You can leave it with us.

MR. DOBRZYN: Thank you. What that involves is changing the property school tax for a sale's tax, an expended sales tax, and it would regulate some of the debts that get piled up and so forth, and basically I felt it was a good idea. There was a few people there that were a little off base on the tape, but that's the way things are nowadays, and it's very interesting, but Sam Rore retired and he is going to -- somebody else has to reintroduce the bill, but that would be an interest in your area.

MR. ROGAN: The Sam Rore that ran

for governor?

MR. DOBRZYN: Yes. Yes.

MR. ROGAN: I thought so.

MR. DOBRZYN: Yes. And he had this bill refined over the years and basically Dave Baldinger seemed to have it pretty much together, and might I mention that the school property taxes is the biggest portion of the tax you pay, so there is nothing -- you can struggle as much as you want, but if the school property tax goes crazy then the property taxes are high anyway.

Okay, this Sunday at the Irish Wolf from six to ten another benefit that we are tying to get on the air that radio station WFTE, and the donation is \$5.

And I didn't have a chance to mention this the last time, but if you truly believe that the reason council wasn't covered by ECTV by the mayor's marching orders than holler at the mayor if you want, but don't holler at the people from ECTV or beat up on them. It's kind of counterproductive. If they have to take their marching orders from the mayor then

that's just the way it is and if that's what happened then that's what happened, but it doesn't -- they are at his pleasure anyway basically, we can't overrule the situation we can only try and work with it, so okay. Sorry I was missing my person -- I'll leave the golden parrot out due to the events in Arizona, but I don't drive -- write the targets I just give them golden parrot. Thank you. Have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else who would like to address council?

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, City
Council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky. At home I have
a t-shirt, it has some letters across the
front of it, and I'd like to will that to
Mr. Chris Kelly. The letters stand for the
Legion of Doom. Back in the day when
Mrs. Gatelli sat up there and people would
come to this podium and ask questions they
would be ridiculed and called all kind of
names, one of whom was doomers. After
seeing the articles in the Scranton papers
lately, I think they have the honor of being

Is there

Ι

Ι

1 called the doomers. I'm very glad to see city council 2 3 trying to do something. It seems as though whatever city council proposes the Times and 4 5 the mayor is going to be against. I just hope you people will continue and at least 6 7 try to get Scranton back on solid footing, 8 and I thank you. 9 Thank you. MR. ROGAN: 10 anyone else who would like to address 11 council? Hey, Chrissy. 12 MR. SLEDENZSKI: I heard that. 13 heard that. Jackie. Hey, Jack, I got some 14 good news for you back there, Buddy. I'm 15 working now. 8 to 7 back there. I got 16 switched to back there now. I'm working 17 back there 24 hours a night. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: At least we kept you 19 on, Chrissy, right? 20 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Thanks, Buddy. 21 22 Keep it up, will you, Pat? 23

24

25

appreciate it. Pat, good job up there. MR. LOSCOMBE: Take care, Chrissy. MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else? If not, Fifth Order.

•

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. A couple of things that I'd just like to mention that we have received in the mail and some other things. First of all, we received a notification from the DCNR Bureau of Recreation and Conservation notification of an open grant program. I was wondering if we could somehow determine whether perhaps the city is applying for grants through this program especially to look into the new park that was suggested and I forgot what street it was on --

MR. ROGAN: It was in North Scranton.

MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, it was in North Scranton, but certainly if we were looking to funding for that area that might be an area in which to do that, so hopefully the city, I'm not sure who is does the grant writing now for parks and rec program, I'm not sure if the person that did it in the past is still working in this year, but

certainly it's something worth looking into.

The second thing, I would like to issue a thank you to Covenant Presbyterian Church and the University of Scranton for their payments in lieu of taxes and I know we have, you know, argued about amounts and what's fair share for people, but certainly anyone who is willing to donate money to the city through this program it's commendable, and I would like to thank them for that.

Third thing, again something that we received in our mail, and I asked Mr. Rogan last week if anybody had looked into it, it's Q-Star Technology and in there it's a promotional thing, it says, "There is a unique deterrent system that can help you in the battle against graffiti, vandalism, illegal trash dumping and copper materials theft."

I would like to perhaps see if this is something that police department and city is looking into or if there is something -- it's worthwhile to look into, whether it's cost effective, but certainly we have had complaints in the past about vandalism,

about graffiti, especially in the parks areas where for want of a better word, and I hate to use it, where gangs of people congregate, this may be something that's worth looking into or something similar, so perhaps we can investigate whether the police department is looking into anything of that nature, and certainly I would believe that as Mr. Rogan mentioned last week when we talked very briefly that this may be something that is fundable through CDBG or through some community grant program, so I think it's something worth looking into.

Also, we received notification of bids for streetlight maintenance. I don't know if any other council members, I'm sure they have, but I received in the last few weeks of 2010, the last few months of 2010 numerous complaints about streetlights being out and not being taken care of. Hopefully this bid process will, you know, bring us someone who improve on that performance and keep the streets in the city, you know, lighted as they should be. Also, does

anybody know is there a budgeted amount for this maintenance? I don't remember seeing it.

MR. JOYCE: There is something in there, I do know that. I don't have the budget right in front of me, but --

MR. MCGOFF: Right. But, you know, maybe that's something that we should -- I think that the date for the bids was like the 30th of the month or something around there, but certainly something to keep track of because I think it's something that we must, you know, we must improve upon anyhow.

Rental Registration Act and Rental Registration Program, I think we need to again be proactive in this program and do what we can to get it implemented as soon as possible. Certainly the law governing rental registration needs to be addressed, we had talked about it last year and it was put on hold because we never got a coordinator for the program, but certainly that needs to be looked at and we also need to put some people in place that are requesting to implement this program. It's

11

12

10

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not going to run itself, and if we are expecting, and I don't think that we can, you know, sit back and say we are waiting for the administration to do something. This is something that we put into the budget last year and this year and I think now it's incumbent upon us to really be proactive and to do something to make sure that this program is implemented as soon as possible and that the law is updated so that it can be effective and can produce the revenue that it should, but beyond that it will also help alleviate some of the problems that we now have with apartment dwellings, absentee landlords, and so on. think it's something that we really need to address as soon as possible.

And the last thing, just a last comment from me on the StreetSmart program, while I think that it may be an effective program and it may be something that we should look at to increase revenue I really believe that this is something that should be bid. I think that we are relying on a statement from StreetSmart that they are the

only company that does this, I don't think that we can do that. If we do, I think we are violating the very same standards that we have established. We have reduced the bidding amounts, we have, you know, tried to increase the number of people that are available to bid on programs and I realize that we want to start realizing revenue from this program as soon as possible, but I still think that if we are going to be honest with what we are -- with what this council is saying that they want to do then this is something that should be bid, and that is all. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe, do you have any comments motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you,
Mr. Rogan. I don't have anything prepared,
but I just have a couple of comments based
on some of our speakers here. Ms. Bloom, I
would like to echo her sentiments regarding
the children's safety. As you know that's
near and dear to may heart, but I don't know
if it's good news but this year -- or this

21

22

23

24

25

week Engine 4 remained open, although Engine 9 has been closed pretty much permanently, but as people start retiring now or they are off sick or whatever as you get into the spring you are going to see the rolling brownouts, Engine 4, Engine 8 which, you know, I would just hope again that the mayor reconsiders and appoints people as there is a vacancy. Everyone's taxes are paying for it, we put it in the budget, and your safety and health depend on it and the only way you are going to get the point across, we bring it up here every week, but the people have to talk -- have to call the mayor, have to let it be known. Otherwise, you know, we are waiting for a disaster, just like she I mean, it's not just fire it's any stated. kind of an incident, so there is -- this is definitely a concern and I will remain on it and I would just hope that the public let's their ire be known, also.

Now, I wanted to discuss

StreetSmart. Mr. Ellman and Mr. Morgan I

believe have left here, but they seem to

have questions with it. Trust me, I had a

8 9

10

11

6

7

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

lot of questions, too, and I to do a lot of research. I didn't want to get into a back and forth debate here this evening, that's not what this was setup for. It's nice to see administrative people here and opening their ears and eyes to what's going on, although, I still feel it's going to be an uphill battle.

I want to thank Mr. John Miskell for taking the time, and one of the things that has sold me on StreetSmart, and again, I'm the first one to say, "Let's bid it," I haven't found any company out there -- there is companies out there, but not to the degree of professionalism and accountability, the key word accountability to the public that StreetSmart possesses with real time monitoring of everything. We heard what happened in San Francisco with the company that was mentioned here. Spent hours on the Internet my research. making phone calls and I have heard nothing but compliments on the StreetSmart system.

You know, when I came into this council I said one of the things we would be

looking for is increased PILOTS, increased-look for innovative ways to generate
revenue. Well, folks, this was presented to
me in the summer, Mr. Miskell had seen my
name in the newspaper and had remembered me
from year's past and contacted me with this
program and I agreed to meet with him and I
passed it onto my fellow council members and
they thought it was a great idea. At that
time he explained everything to me, the time
lines, how he had approached the Parking
Authority, how he had approached the city,
all of this the year prior and followed up
with it with the mayor with no response.

I know I'm repeating what I stated last meeting, but -- and again, I mean, they have to admit here it's been presented to them, they did not respond, at least they came tonight to hear this and I feel possibly we are on a path to cooperation. I would hope so, I'm crossing my fingers, because it's not for us here, it's not for anybody else, it's for you the taxpayers out there.

We were presented this year with a

budget that would make anyone cry. I mean, when we were gutted with our public safety and administrative people were making high salaries, you know, they don't put fires out, they don't get the bad guy, our problems right now are gangs and dilapidated structures, arson fires, you know, garbage pickup, these are things that we have to maintain, but we were presented with this budget, with this manpower cut to the bone, right now there would only be three firehouses in this city hope if we didn't amend the budget to the way we did.

that scenario, so in our essence of looking for ways of generating revenue without raising the people's taxes this at this time seemed to be the perfect fit. It was the perfect fit, it's at the right time, and it's the right technology. It's the way for the city to go. We have to generate more revenue and we have to do it without raising the taxes.

If you look back at the last nine years I don't see anything that was put in

there to generate revenue. There was many one-time sales, sales of our South Side Complex, our golf course. A golf -- the golf course was generating revenue on an annual basis. I don't agree with that sale at all. I don't agree with the South Side Complex. I don't think once they looked at any innovative ideas in this administration to raise revenues. It was always, "Okay, we'll raise taxes. We will raise the fees on the meters. We will do this and do that."

I think with this situation this is a first step towards our city looking at revenue without coming out of all of the taxpayers' pockets, and again, I know

Mr. Ellman and Mr. Morgan didn't agree with the whole process, but I don't believe they were rather pay higher taxes. The people that visit the downtown would be helping offset that, and in turn it is going to generate more business for the downtown.

People will see that.

But I do feel this is the way to go,
I hope the administrative people were

21

22

23

24

25

sincere tonight and they don't throw anymore hurdles in front of us because this is going to take some time to get into operation, and again, not only the research that I did between phone calls and on-line and research like that, one thing that really sold me is Mr. Miskell's availability any time we needed him. He came here for this caucus, he was here for a caucus several weeks ago, any time that I had a question or whatever we would e-mail back and forth or call. This is the kind of a company we want that's local, that's accountable, and again, transparent. The big key here transparency. That's what we are all about, and this is the only company that I found that has the realtime transparency that we are looking for and to help the people in this city to offset taxes, and that's all I have to say on that.

And the last issue, I had a meeting with Representative Murphy's Office with Tom Tell, his chief of staff, and several members of the PennDOT engineering staff along with our city engineer, Brian Swanson,

22

23

24

25

1

2

regarding a situation that was created by Wal-Mart on South Main avenue between St. Ann's and Wal-Mart reducing parking spaces for businesses and homeowners by the creation of a left turn lane. It's very tight there, parking has been abandoned without -- you know, we are still working on this, so for those people that live in that area we are working on it, it will take a little more time, but we are making progress. And also I forgot to mention, Mrs. Krake was also at the meeting with us, too, and she took all of the notes. Nancy. But, I think that's all I have. Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe, and I'm glad to see something moving along about that intersection. I spoke to a business owner down there as well that was experiencing problems.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, just a few.

Concerning the audit, earlier this week we

received some correspondence from Rossi & Rossi, our independent auditor, that they were still awaiting city's management discussion analysis section of the audit report. From what I understand in speaking with our city clerk, this has now been taking care of by the administration and I would like to thank our new business administrator, Mr. McGowan, for doing so. At this point we will schedule an exit conference and once that is complete Rossi & Rossi will have a final audit available which we will be able to disclose to the public.

Earlier tonight, in case you may have missed it, we had a caucus with StreetSmart Technologies, Mr. Miskell was here, our new business administrator, Ryan McGowan, our city solicitor and also the solicitor for the Parking Authority, Mr. Paul Kelly, and Mr. Scopelliti, the director of the SPA.

At the time I had some questions for Mr. Miskell, which he politely answered, and I also had some questions for Mr. Scopelliti

and Mr. Kelly, acting as solicitor for the Scranton Parking Authority, wished that I would not ask him those questions as they weren't related directly to StreetSmart.

The questions that I had are related to enforcement, which in my humble opinion directly affects StreetSmart or any program that we would have in place.

And what I'm going to do tonight is, Mrs. /KRAEUBG, I will read off these questions for you and since they weren't prepared to answer these in person perhaps once they receive them by mail they could answer them then.

that the position of citation processor was eliminated by you in the Parking Authority though this position was fully funded in council's budget amendments? From the correspondence that I have had with a number of employees in the Parking Authority I understand that this position has. However, before making any accusations or judgments, I figured I would go straight to the source being Mr. Scopelliti since he is the

director.

Number two: Is it true that you are asking each citation issuer to spend two hours of their day in the office to fulfill the duties of the citation processer, thus, eliminating eight hours of enforcement time per day which is the equivalent of eliminating one citation issuer?

Now, of course, why would

Mr. Scopelliti eliminate a citation issuer,
it would make him look bad because it would
like he would purposely be trying to bring
in less revenue to the city, however, by
asking four citation issuers, which we
currently have, to spend two hours a day in
the office it's basically the equivalent of
doing just that.

Number three: Could your actions in doing this cause the city to issue fewer citations? I think that question answers itself being, yes, however, we will see what Mr. Scopelliti's response is.

Number four: Are you following the direction of the SPA citation issuers account as directed in the 2011 operating

budget, as amended, and the budget for the SPA, as amended, with the corresponding letter that was sent by council? From what a few employees in the Parking Authority had informed me, this is not being done.

Number five: Are you aware that by your actions of not following council's budget, which was legally and lawfully adopted, by taking citation issuers off of the street you are deliberately reducing enforcement time which can ultimately lead to a budget shortfall being deliberately created by you under your direction?

Number six: Has a grievance been filed against you for these actions?

These are the questions that I wanted to post to Mr. Scopelliti tonight, unfortunately, Solicitor Kelly did not think it was appropriate to ask Director Scopelliti questions relating to the Parking Authority at this time because they were not prepared to answer those questions. Though he is the director of the department I believe that he should be prepared to answer questions about the employees that he

oversees.

requests: Number one, I received notice that the property at 508 West Market Street is in poor shape, his gutters are hanging off the property. In addition, front and back porches are piled with junk. Residents request that action be taken. Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to Mr. Seitzinger asking him to take a look into the situation? Also, please copy our blight control officer and Chief Duffy.

Various residents have reported to me that the parking lot of the Dollar Store on Pine Street in Scranton's hill section has become a haven for suspicious activities and quite possibly drug deals. In addition, other residents have also reported that this type of activity is occurring in the 1100 block of Gunster Court and the 300 block of Evans Court. Mrs. Krake, can you please notify Chief Duffy of this and ask him if this area could be monitored a little more heavily. I know that Chief Duffy is very adamant about fighting this type of activity

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the city and he should be commended for such.

Bellevue residents have reported that properties located at 211 and 213 Fifth Avenue are in a deplorable condition and are filled with garbage. Mrs. Krake, if you could please add this to the letter to Mr. Seitzinger, and that's all I have for tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce. Just a piggyback on what you said about the questions that you had for Mr. Scopelliti. I, too, had some questions and many of them you just asked from Mr. Scopelliti. What I find interesting was, you know, although you addressed the questions to Mr. Scopelliti, it was Mr. Kelly who jumped in and I find it to be a conflict that Mr. Kelly is both the city solicitor and the solicitor for the Parking Authority. You know, in my notes when I introduced him I introduced him as the city solicitor because that's the position I know he holds in the city, but when he spoke tonight he spoke as the solicitor for the Parking Authority, so one

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

may wonder where -- which side he is really looking out for.

And I would hope that Mr. Scopelliti will follow through with what he said and willing to work with us on the StreetSmart system, and I would like to clear up just a few misconceptions that a few people have mentioned tonight.

A few speakers mentioned it will cost more money for people to park downtown with the StreetSmart system. If are somebody that parks downtown, who feeds the meters who pays for their time you will not pay one cent more under this new system than you did under the old system. If you plan on going shopping for an hour or going into a restaurant for an hour, put four quarters in the meter, if you are there longer you pay more time. This is not an increase in the rate much like we saw last year when the rates were doubled. The rates will remain the same. The only difference is you will pay for your time. You will not be able to take the extra time that somebody leaves and if you leave extra time you are not paying

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for somebody else either. Hopefully this will increase turnover rates in the downtown which will help businesses.

Secondly, the article in the paper about the cell phones. I agree with the mayor on eliminating these cell phones. mentioned it when council first did their amendments last year that people should have to use their own cell phones. Five of us on council we use our own cell phones. understand the reason maybe back in the early 90's when cell phone were new technology and they were very expensive an you'd pay on a permanent basis, but nowadays many people have unlimited plans since I know that's what I have because I talk to a lot of residents during the week, and if the five of us on council who make \$12,500 for sitting up here could afford a cell phone plan, I think many of the administrators in the city who make much more than that could also afford to pay for their own cell phones.

Next, a few residents mentioned about possibly pools closing next summer

24

25

and, you know, it's really frustrating to, you know, when we got to the budget time and, you know, we listened to the people we did what the people want, me made cuts in administration now the mayor is using the money that should be used for lifeguards for the staffing of the pools to rehire two people as casual DPW workers. They were eliminated in council's budget, I'll talk to Attorney Hughes afterwards, I don't know if there is anything that we can do, but it's appalling and it's not council's intention to close any pools. We did not cut funding from pools, we would like to see the Capouse Avenue pool open. As Mr. Sbaraglia mentioned before it's very difficult for somebody who lives in that neighborhood, you know, say an eight or nine-year-old kid to go to another pool and especially if they have to go up to Nay Aug then they have to pay and they shouldn't have to pay either.

Next I have a few residents have mentioned to me about stray cats in neighborhoods and I have noticed it in my neighborhood as well, it's been an ongoing

1920

21

22

23

24

25

problem, and I would urge residents if they do have a problem with stray animals, cats, dogs, any type of animal to contact animal control or if they do, you know, catch the animal to bring them up to the Humane Society, throughout the neighborhoods many people have been feeding stray animals and it poses a risk to the animals, number one, because they will be living outside in the cold in these type of conditions and walking around on the streets where they could possibly be hit by cars, and stray animals are also a nuisance to the neighbors. they often times would be, you know, camping out and sleeping on a porch where it's a little warmer and go into the bathroom and, you know, this and that.

And finally, a few residents that I talked to during the week, you know, they give me their concerns and we've relayed them for them and this one person told me and it really rubbed me the wrong way then they said, "I would love to come to a council meeting, but I'm afraid of the repercussions if I came."

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I told them, "Well, everyone has a right to come to council."

And he mentioned that a few years back he came to a city council meeting and spoke about out against the administration and the next day he had multiple phone calls from people urging him not to come back to council or a family member of theirs would suffer -- who worked for the city would suffer negative consequences. In this country everyone has the right to free speech and nobody out there who is watching this meeting or who follows Scranton Council at all should be afraid to come. We welcome everyone, whether you agree with me or whether you disagree with me, we welcome you to come to our city council meetings and to voice your opinions, and that is all I have for today. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
THE COUNCIL NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN
ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR
GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF
REAL PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF
SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE

1	METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH
2	TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES
3	UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING
4	PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE
5	REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND FIVE TENTHS
6	PERCENT (2.5%) FOR CALENDER YEAR 2011.
7	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
8	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
9	introduced into it's proper committee.
10	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
12	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
13	those in favor of introduction signify by
14	saying aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AMENDING FILE OF
21	COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN
22	ORDINANCE(AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A
23	TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE
24	AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME
25	

ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE 1 RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR 2 3 NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE. SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS 4 CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, 5 REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS 6 7 SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO 8 COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE 9 ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR 10 11 THE VIOLATIONS", BY IMPOSING THE WAGE TAX AT 12 TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT (2.4%) ON EARNED INCOME FOR THE YEAR 2011 FOR RESIDENTS. 13 14 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll 15 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 16 introduced into it's proper committee. 17 MR. JOYCE: So moved. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 19 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 24 MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed?

The ayes

25

1 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AMENDING FILE OF 2 3 THE COUNCIL NO. 7, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE(AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A MERCANTILE 4 LICENSE TAX OF 2 MILLS FOR THE YEAR 1976 AND 5 ANNUALLY THEREAFTER UPON PERSONS ENGAGING IN 6 CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND BUSINESSES THEREIN; 7 8 PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY AND COLLECTION AND 9 FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MERCANTILE LICENSES; CONFERRING AND IMPOSING POWERS AND DUTIES 10 UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR OF THE CITY OF 11 12 SCRANTON; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE MERCANTILE TAX AT 13 14 THREE-QUARTERS OF A MILL (.00075) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2011. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll 17 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 18 introduced into it's proper committee. MR. JOYCE: So moved. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: 20 Second. 21 MR. ROGAN: On the question? MR. JOYCE: Yes. I would just like 22 23 to announce very gratefully that this is a

25 percent reduction from the rate that it

was set at last year.

24

25

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: An council will continue to hopefully reduce this rate in the future.

All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-E. AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE(AS AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE RATE OF TWO (2) MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS RECEIPTS THEREFROM; REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE TAX AS CONDITION TO THE CONDUCTING OF SUCH BUSINESS; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX; PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS AND RECORDS; CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE BUSINESS PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF THREE-QUARTERS OF A MILL (.00075) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2011.

1	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
2	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
3	introduced into it's proper committee.
4	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
6	MR. ROGAN: On the question?
7	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I'd like to also
8	reiterate that this is a 25 percent
9	reduction from the rate that was set at in
10	2010.
11	MR. ROGAN: All those in favor
12	signify by saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 5-F. AMENDING FILE OF
19	THE COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN
20	ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND
21	SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY
22	SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2011.
23	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
24	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
25	introduced into it's proper committee.

1 MR. JOYCE: So moved. MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: On the question? MR. JOYCE: Yes. Once again, I'd 4 5 like to point out that the millage rates will be reduced by 10.55 percent in 2011 for 6 7 both land and land improvements. 8 MR. ROGAN: All those in favor 9 signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 12 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 14 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-G. AMENDING FILE OF 15 THE COUNCIL NO. 17, 1994 ENTITLED "AN 16 17 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 18 ENACT' A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' 19 FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING REVENUE TO 20 21 COVER THE WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR 22 THE DISPOSAL OF REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE 23 DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE OF \$178.00 24 25 FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2011.

1 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-G be 2 3 introduced into it's proper committee. MR. JOYCE: So moved. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 5 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 6 7 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 saying aye. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 13 have it and so moved. 14 MS. KRAKE: 5-H. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 15 16 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT, NOT TO 17 EXCEED THE SUM OF TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS, 18 (\$10,000.00), WITH KNOWLES ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., FOR INSURANCE BROKERAGE SERVICES FOR 19 20 INSURANCE WITH GREAT AMERICAN, CNA, INDIAN HARBOR INSURANCE COMPANY/XL INSURANCE, 21 22 PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY, ARCH INSURANCE COMPANY AND NAUTILUS 23 24 INSURANCE COMPANY FOR CITY INSURANCE 25 COVERAGES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011

1	THROUGH JANUARY 1, 2012, AND TO RATIFY ANY
2	AND ALL ACTIONS AND SERVICES PERFORMED
3	SINCE THE EXPIRATION OF THE PRIOR INSURANCE
4	CONTRACT.
5	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-H be
7	introduced into it's proper committee.
8	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
10	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
11	those in favor of introduction signify by
12	saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. NO
19	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. NO
20	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
21	MR. ROGAN: If there is no further
22	business, I'll entertain a motion to
23	adjourn.
24	MR. JOYCE: Second.
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER