	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, December 28, 2010
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD AFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
5	Rogan .
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. NO
15	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
16	MS. EVANS: Do we have any clerk's
17	notes this evening?
18	MS. KRAKE: We do not have any
19	clerk's notes.
20	MS. EVANS: Thank you. And do any
21	council members have announcements at this
22	time?
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes, just one. The
24	Invader Mat Booster's Knight at the
25	Races will be held at the Tripp Park

Community Center, 2000 Williams Street,
Scranton, PA, 18504, January 29 at 7 p.m.
All proceeds will benefit West Scranton
wrestlers. Admission to Knight at the Races
is \$10. Tickets will be available in
advance or at the door. Admission includes
food, soda, beer, coffee and fun. Must be
21 to be admitted. There will be 15 horse
races where one can wager any monetary
amount on any or all races. Come join us
for an inexpensive night of fund. You do
not need to purchase a horse to come to this
event, although it does make it a lot more
interesting if your horse wins a race.

MS. EVANS: Scranton City Council wishes to recognize Covenant Presbyterian Church for it's \$1,000 payment in lieu of taxes for fiscal year 2010. We are most grateful to this church for it's financial support of our city.

In addition, council thanks the University of Scranton for it's \$175,000 payment in lieu of taxes which represents an increase of \$65,000 over it's prior payments. However, unlike the information

accompanying the church's contribution, the paperwork for the University of Scranton does not specify if this PILOT payment is for the Year 2010 or 2011. Mrs. Krake, please send thank you letters to both institutions and in the correspondence to the University, inquire for what fiscal year the payment has been made.

On a personal note, I wish to thank everyone who sent my family and me beautiful Christmas cards, thank you notes, Christmas cookies, cakes, candies and other delicious treats, flowers and prayers. Your kindness, support and confidence truly inspire me and I'm deeply honored and grateful to work for you.

Finally, I'd like to wish everyone a very happy, healthy and prosperous new year, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler, city resident and

homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I wish everybody happy holidays.

MS. EVANS: And you, also.

MR. SPINDLER: First off, the previous council before this one I always criticized them when they cancelled meetings and I want to tell this council I think they wrong not to have a meeting last week and tonight we only have a meeting because of the mayor's veto. I agree with Councilman Rogan I thought there should have been meetings last week. I don't think meetings should ever be canceled and because elected officials you owe it to the people of the city to have meetings whenever possible and that's all I have to say about that.

I want to read something that the mayor had to say in the Doherty newsletter last -- there was -- the 21st, whatever day that was. It's about the budget, and he says, "It appears me that the reduction of wages was vindicative because they only targeted management and they didn't touch

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their department Mayor Chris Doherty said."

Well, vindictive is I think putting the people's safety in danger, wanting to lay off firefighters and police officers. Ι think that's being vindicative. I was at the fire Christmas Eve on Price Street because my in-laws only live like a block away from there and I heard from a good source that a firefighter went into the one house to save somebody's mother's ashes, and these are the kind of people this guys want to get rid of and I think that's wrong and it just showed he cares nothing at all about public safety in this city. He doesn't care what happens to the citizens of this city.

Another thing, on a light note, I always thought that the mayor was all Irish, but I think he's got some Italian in him because his middle name is Veto.

Something else, a lot of people have approached me with some concerns about the budget and their questions are that council has some revenue sources in there that, for example, the delinquent taxes. What happens if these taxes don't come in, is there a

backup plan or what are going to plug in the budget to fill those holes? People have asked me than.

MR. JOYCE: I will discuss that during Fifth Order and I will also bring some documents forth to the public from the Pennsylvania Economy League which actually states that the administration underestimated the current wage tax by \$774,500, so that's one of th ways that could be covered.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay.

MR. JOYCE: But I'll address more in motions.

MR. SPINDLER: That's fine. I have all confidence in this council, but people have asked me these concerns and I didn't have the answer.

Lastly, I want to commend Bob Bolus for his 16th annual Christmas dinner. I was there, I've volunteered for approximately eight or nine years now, I lost track, and it's very rewarding. I did it once and I walked out of there feeling very good and I have gone back ever since. I think

everybody should do it at least once. I mean, last year 75 turkeys, this year we have 80 turkeys, went through all of them.

As I said, it's a very rewarding experience and I don't think Bob gets enough credit for doing what he does. Nobody else in this area does anything like that and I think he should be commended. That's all I have.

Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Just before I call up the next speaker, I just want to make one thing clear, this evening's meeting is a regularly scheduled meeting of Scranton City Council. This is not a special meeting that has been convened because of the vetoes of legislation. Once again, it is a regularly scheduled meeting. And Mr. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council. Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident, member of the
Taxpayers' Association.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JACKOWITZ: During the past four meetings we have learned from Mayor Christopher A. Doherty's sworn testimony

14th of September, 2010, the following truthful information about his mismanagement in the City of Scranton during the Doherty administration. Again, I must state this is not rumor, editorial, opinion or made up.

This is a Mayor Doherty's sworn statements, so help me God.

Number one: The mayor was not aware that he and the City of Scranton were be sued.

Number two: That delegation of authority in the Scranton is made orally with no written procedures in place.

Number three: That Mayor Doherty was not aware that his appointed director of human resources, a cabinet position, had a degree in fashion design and no training or experience in sexual harassment, ethics or supervision.

Number four: That Mayor Doherty
appointed a police chief who had no
supervisory experience or training in sexual
harassment or ethics.

Number five: We learned that Mayor

Doherty the appointed the Director of Public

Safety on a recommendation of one person,
District Attorney Jarbola. The Public
Safety Director had no experience in sexual
harassment or ethics.

Number six: We also learned that cabinet members reported to other cabinet members and supervised other cabinet members and that they were not equal although they all were appointed cabinet members. Also, no notes are taken at the weekly city cabinet meeting. Like I said, this is not fiction, it is sworn testimony by Mr. Mayor Doherty.

Number seven: We also learned that the people who are tasked with investigating sexual harassment complaints were the above-mentioned cabinet members who had no training or experience in these matters.

How were they expected to do an investigation and come to a conclusion as to what had happened and why.

Number eight: We also learned that no female has ever been promoted to rank in the police departments such as corporal, sergeant, lieutenant, captain or chief,

although the head of Homeland Security is female.

Number nine: We also learned that
Mayor Doherty would have a relied on the
Director of Public Safety work's experience
and the police chief's experience in dealing
with sexual harassment cases in the
departments, but yet the mayor testified
under oath that he did not know if these
people had any experience. Again, sworn
testimony not an editorial.

Number ten: Most importantly, Mayor Doherty was not aware this the City of Scranton had a sexual harassment policy in effect since 2004. Mayor Doherty took office in 2003. The policy was kept in the Human Resource Department, you know, where the director has a degree in fashion design. You mean to tell me that the Chief of Police, Director of Public Safety and Director of Human Resources also were not aware of the city policy on sexual harassment and discrimination? You really cannot expect anyone with intelligence to believe this.

1 Maybe the mayor and his band of 2 merry men and women might not have a problem 3 believing this answer, but I sure do. "Question: Do you know whether you 4 5 were obliged as a matter of law to maintain a policy of the specific policy regarding 6 sexual harassment? 7 8 Mayor Doherty: If it's a matter of 9 law then I must assure that our department 10 is following it. Question: No, sir. I'm asking the 11 12 question did you know it. Again, I don't 13 know -- I do not want guessing. If you say 14 I do not know, I do know is all right to say I don't know. 15 16 Mayor Doherty: I am not aware of 17 any. 18 Question: Did you ever discuss a 19 sexual harassment policy with Ms. Moran? 20 Mayor Doherty: Not that I can 21 remember. 22 Question: Would that be the type of 23 thing that would come up in a cabinet 24 meeting? 25 Mayor Doherty: It would not come up

1 at a cabinet meeting. 2 Question: What types of things come 3 up in a cabinet meeting if that wouldn't be 4 one of them? 5 Mayor Doherty: We talked about what happened the week before and what will 6 happen in the week ahead. 7 8 Question: Do you discuss important 9 issues at the cabinet meetings? 10 Mayor Doherty: Things that took 11 place during the week. Question: The week before? 12 13 Mayor Doherty: Um. 14 Question: All right. Would you 15 adoption of the sexual harassment policy by 16 the City of Scranton be important? 17 Mayor Doherty: I don't know. Ιf 18 they would have brought it up. 19 Question: Were you ever given a 20 copy of the policy? 21 Mayor Doherty: Not that I'm aware 22 of. 23 Question: So you do not even know 24 what the city requires of it's employees? 25 Mayor Doherty: Not that I'm aware

of.

Question: And you're the person responsible for the day-to-day operations in the city?

Mayor Doherty: Yes, I am."

Again, this is not fiction, it is fact. Why doesn't the news media report this? This deposition and these ridiculous answers should be front page news and Boris, Chris, Josh, Jeremy, Pat and Lynetts should have a field day with this nonsense.

Remember, this is the mayor speaking under oath. Where is WNEP, WBRE and FOX 56?

But yet on 22 December 2010, the following editorial was written: Several parts of council's apparently unbalanced budget. When an administration such as Mayor Chris Doherty is serious about protecting the public interest in dealing with municipal employees. I asked are police and firefighters, municipal employees or just authority attorneys -- may I finish, I'm just about done.

MS. EVANS: Yes, finish your thought, please.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JACKOWITZ: Same day, 22

December 2010, Scranton Recovery Act coordinator says the \$74.9 million budget adopted by Scranton City Council last week is balanced and could be the first step to getting the city out of distressed status stated Gerald Cross, executive director of PEL. Times-Tribune, get your story straight or higher new editors and quality assurance inspectors. You are embarrassing yourself.

And in today's paper they are talking about the cuts to the Night Out and so on and so forth, how about Mayor Doherty charging children the age 6 to 15 \$3 a day to swim in public pools at Nay Aug paid for by the taxpayers with tax dollars or they can by a pass for \$75 for the summer. seen that headline in the Times-Tribune or a story written about the injustice done to East Scranton children by the Doherty Maybe \$40,000 for the administration. summer for children 6 to 15 years old to swim and enjoy the park is not important enough, instead, the mayor would spend \$50,000 for one night on fireworks and

magic. Just like his visions and budget.

Is anybody seeking Mel Thomas?

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Hello.

MR. ELLMAN: Just before I start on the budget, I'd like to say I went to Mr. Bolus' dinner and delivered meals, a few meals and 9:30, 10:00 I was watching television and the phone rings and some gentleman in Olyphant phoned me and thanked me for the food, and I said, "You need to thank Mr. Bolus and his volunteers," but it made me feel good that someone showed some appreciation like that. You know, everybody says thank you when you leave some meals off, but it's just like I say, the city got such good people in it.

While I'm in a good mood I'd like to say how fortunate we are to have Mr. Doherty and his 13 years of expertise in finance that I read about in the paper. Just think what a mess the city would be in if it weren't for Mr. Doherty? I had three I

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

guess you would call them successful business people were telling me there is just know way you people can balance a budget with the mess the city is in. As they said, between the nonprofits taken stuff off just weekly in 30 days the medical school took off \$200,000 worth of houses and they're just beginning. They told the city they are going to take an acre of houses.

You know, somebody said that it cost twice as much now the past couple of years to pave the streets that's why we haven't been paving the streets. All of these things are coming up. You can't depend on building permits. I have been trying to find out for a year about building permits, they won't tell you, except that you got less than half the money if you worked out what was spent like \$380 million or something, there is less than half the building permits because of favoritism and no other reason. You go down and put up a fence they want 60, 80 dollars for the building permit, but you want to build Mt. Pleasant Austin Burke paid \$19 for a

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

building permit. That's why there is not going to be money like you're figuring.

It's a shame what goes on.

I think yesterday I was reading in the editorial some guy in Maryland wrote a letter how fortunate we are to be infested with these KOZ's. I have talked to people and nobody can say something positive came out of KOZ's. There is just nothing positive for the public or the city. just seemed to help a bunch of the property owners and business people, but it sure didn't help the city, like those 150 houses on there. Some of them are for sale already because, you know, you are talking about a couple hundred dollars a month now for your They are two or 300,000 dollar taxes. houses and they are going to be lost or foreclosed on, unfortunately.

And lastly, last week I was reading about Mr. Quinn taking over the school.

This article, I don't know. This article first he badmouths Mr. -- well, I'm not sure, the editor anyway is badmouthing council and the school board for this

24

25

outrageous request of wanting money from the University and he says right here in article that the University is laying a strong foundation in their ongoing role in the region's economic development. You know, they have taken over \$50 million in tax money from the school board and the city. That last sentence in here, the very last sentence, this is just so disgusting to me, he says that the loss of dollars by the University is overcome by their economic development in the future of this area. This is ridiculous thinking like this. Mr. McKenna's a dreamer. He is not being realistic in what's going on in this city anymore. You know, they need to get out and go to the grocery store and talk to people and see what people think and what they want and what they need. I don't see how, when I talk to people I don't think that the average income in area is \$15,000, you know, for half the people in town. Maybe I just end up talking to all of the poor people, I don't know what it is.

But I got one question and then I'll

5

6

4

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

go, by my house on Alpha Street there has been a continual problem with a drug house, 13 Alpha Street. Finally the city closed it up and the people kept going back, they took the meters out, the wires off it, and they kept hanging around and going back so finally Mr. Liptai or Mr. Seitzinger put a big thing, they had signs on there. They would scrape them off, that red thing, he threw them out one more time and it was nice and quiet for a couple of weeks. understanding is from the city when a house has been designated a drug house it's six months it's got to be empty. It's condemned for six months. These people went to Court and they had some bleeding heart overcome the six months. I don't know if they want to magistrate or court, they just went to court and appealed the decision and they are back in there.

See, this is what ruins the values all over town this is going on. You know.

This is just -- you know, the police and the city did what they were supposed to do, the court let everybody down in the

neighborhood. I watched them tonight when I walked the dog this afternoon, there's a boy that ran in with his motor running, he is in there for I don't know, five or ten minutes, when he came out I was walking by the car and he is down counting something in his lap either dollars or something, but if this house was condemned how can you overcome what the city has -- what's was done?

MS. EVANS: Mr. Ellman, we will ask the police department to keep their eye on that location for you. And I think, you know, if there is something improper, illegal that I may be occurring then the police will be able to do it.

MR. ELLMAN: I forgot I had my hat on.

MS. EVANS: So thank you very much.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, it's ashame.

That's where the house I'm talking about is where the lady had the baby in the garage over there. It's a bad mess of people hanging out there.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ELLMAN: They're just ruining

the neighborhood. It's just one of them.

You know, I told you I got eight or ten

empty houses around me.

MS. EVANS: Well, we'll report them to Chief Duffy.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, it's not you alls fault, it's not the city's fault, it's the courts fault. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Jones.

MR. JONES: Hi. Bob Jones, Scranton resident, taxpayer. Member of the Taxpayers'.

MR. JOYCE: Hello.

MR. JONES: I would just like to say this mayor has declared war on the residents of this city since he has been elected, and as far as it goes I'd like to thank you for promising to veto this -- yeah, promising to override this veto to this budget.

And as far as the war goes I'd like to quote from Henry V, which I think Janet would appreciate: Once more unto the breach, dear friends, once more," which I expect we see another Court case again; right? "Once more into the breach. In

peace there's nothing so becomes a man as modest stillness and humility, but when the blast of war blows in our ears, then imitate the action of the tiger; stiffen the sinews, summon up the blood, disguise fair nature with hard-favored rage."

That's what I say to the firemen.

This mayor is not somebody to be reasoned with, this mayor is somebody to be dealt with. Period. And also, about the KOZ's, I heard TMG Health is leaving the city. They want to keep their KOZ until it expires and then move up to Jessup where they have another KOZ going. So I just narked on them. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

Lee Morgan.

(While Mr. Jones was speaking Mr. McGoff joined the meeting and took the dais.)

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I could see why Les thought this was a special meeting because I thought council said it wasn't going to meet

until January, but I'm glad he is here, I'm glad everybody is here, including council.

You know, I don't know if I should ask this question so maybe just in general, maybe council doesn't have the answers, I just have a couple. You know, does the council know what the long-range debt of the city is in money? Can you tell us?

MS. EVANS: 303 -- approximately \$303 million.

MR. MORGAN: Okay. I just have two more questions, and like I said I'm not trying to grope council, I'm just asking, I think it's important for maybe the residents to have some grasp. What is the city's obligation to the Pennsylvania fund since the PEL said it was only 47 percent funded, so what would the other 52 percent equal or, you know, 53 percent, is that a number you have or is that a number you would have to look for?

MS. EVANS: We'll look for that for you.

MR. MORGAN: And my last question is what is the -- what is the city's cash

reserves? Does the city have cash reserves, a liquid cash reserve? You know, I'm just asking these questions and I think it's important for the press and it's maybe -- and I don't expect anybody on council to answer this off the top of their head it's just a question and maybe next week would be a better time to present that.

But, you know, I agree with the council on some of these issues, I really do, you know. I don't think we could afford to give up our police protection and I don't think, you know, we could afford to give up our fire protection and a lot of other city jobs and I do agree that we have to save them.

I'm happy at that the mercantile has been cut. To be honest with you, I'm lukewarm to the property tax cuts, I mean, I know it's not a lot of money because the city part of the taxes is a lot less than that others, but my opinion is the city is in really bad shape and I just hope that we could begin to look at new ideas. I firmly believe abolishing the authorities and

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

having control of every single bit of money that's spent in this city is vitally important to all of the residents.

I had hoped that there would be I had hoped that maybe youth programs. council would find some money to create a I know the city is really youth program. fighting with the drug problems as you know the previous speaker spoke about the drug house over on Alpha Street. It's running rampant through this city and, you know, Judge Barrasse and the other judges in Lackawanna County Court can stand on their head and scream and yell and send people to jail, but the problem is really out of control and I don't know, I think a youth program year round would help the residents.

I think we have to realize as you spoke about the city's long range debt that the federal government is seriously concerned about municipal pension, I mean, municipal debt. They think that bond markets are going to collapse in the next two or three years possibly, they are under a lot of stress, not only that but student

loans are under a lot of stress, over a trillion dollars worth of debt there.

But I just would like to hope that the council would have been able to sit down with the mayor, as hard as that may seem to make happen, because it makes it look like our government, you know, is fighting itself and, you know, just walking around talking to people as I do, you know, they just don't see how we are going to find solutions to our problems unless everybody sits down and hopefully this scenario won't take us into Court again, but again, I would like to see this council sit down with the mayor and the city controller, Mrs. Novembrino.

I would have liked to see cuts made in a different way you, but I'm not calling the shots, council is and, you know, I just think that the residents of the city have a lot at stake here. We have a lot of vacant spots in our city, we have torn a lot of houses down. As council already knows, I'm a strong supporter of SAPA. I think we need to create jobs, I think the federal government has finally acknowledged that the

24

25

problem that we have of unemployment in this country is we have sent all of the jobs out of the country and I think Harrisburg is going to send a very strong signal soon that they're going to start investing in small business incubators because Pennsylvania's unemployment rate is just a little under ten, but places like Michigan allegedly it's 14 percent. We have real long-range problems, you know, and none of the solutions are easy. But I just hope that council would try one more time to talk to the mayor, talk to Mrs. Novembrino, sit down and let's plot a course of creating what this city really can be, a progressive city. And, you know, I appreciate what you try to do, and I appreciate if the mayor would try to reach out because in the long-run you represent all of us and I just don't think a government divided can work for us and, you know, we have got a lot of foreign competition we are trying to compete against and as Americans we just have to all come together. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

3

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, and I just want to comment for a second. One thing that I do firmly believe that in 2011 since obviously salaries are going to go up, health insurance costs are going to go up, one thing that council will be looking into and would also like to collaborate with the mayor and other city officials in a public forum, possibly maybe at one of the council meetings when there is not too many agenda items, possibly we would have a forum where we would sit down and discuss new sources of revenue in generating new creative ideas and hopefully maybe this is something that could be coordinated with the Taxpayers' Association at some point in 2011, too.

I was reading into studies from other cities where something similar to this was done and it was over 50 or 60 ideas came out of it, obviously some of them are realistic, some of them are not, but at least it could get everyone together on the same page and we could move in a direction where we will find new revenue sources for the upcoming years. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Dough Miller, Scranton. I'd just like to begin by saying I hope everyone had a good holiday and hopefully with the new year approaching us around the corner, you know, I wish everyone the best and obviously we wish the city the best moving forward.

Just a few minutes ago Mr. Ellman approached me, I guess he had a question and he forgot to ask council, and it's a good question he had, it was regarding the hospitals and us possibly getting payments in lieu of taxes from the hospitals, and I'm not sure if any council have any update or if we received any notification from any of the city's hospitals as to what they intend to do?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I was going to -during my comments I'll give you a little
bit of an update on that.

MR. MILLER: I appreciate that. At least it's clear in the record and we know what's going on.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm glad Mr. Joyce just made the comments he made with regards to the revenue I don't know if you remember, but months back I had made the suggestion that we do something similar to that. taken some time to do some research in other states across the country who, you know, put several committees together, residents in the city, councils, members of the administration who sit down and, you know, just float around ideas for new revenue streams, and I think ideally getting the tax group involved and other residents of this city certainly would be a wonderful thing, and I think it leads into the idea that I brought up many times and that's putting together town hall meetings in the city. You know, I just think we need to realize that people matter and what we are talking about tonight, obviously, is about people with this budget and how it's going to effect them for the next year.

You know, I just want to reiterate my statements that I made last time I was here. I'm really please with the direction

council went with their budget and when we take a look at the cuts and the new revenue streams. I like the idea of the new parking meter idea that was brought up by

Mr. Loscombe that we had them in here to explain it to the public. You know, when we look at our current financial status these are things that had to be done. Council took the bull by the horn and, you know, as of this point did what needed to be done.

And I also appreciate the fact that you did restore the police and fire, I think we all understand how important public safety is in this city and providing that protection to the residents of the city.

It's truly important.

You know, while we have people that might want to challenge the budget, I just don't think they realize that the residents of this city are suffering and that something needed to be done, you know, a lot of the critics that we have, unfortunately, have lead us to where we are today because of decisions that have been made and because we've played a lot of politics over the

years, and that's why we are presented with our current situation, but it's nice to see that we finally have officials step up to the plate.

There is no secret, you know, last year we had a council race and obviously I ran against three of our members here, but it's nice to step back now and actually appreciate the fact of the things that you have been able to do here and that as residents we all come together and we try to bring our ideas together and we just try to do the right thing and that's look out for the residents of this city, and I really want to commend you for what you are done up to this point.

You know, a lot of people might want to criticize you for different things you have done in the past, but you did what you needed to do here. You know, you took a budget, you realized that we had to make cuts, you know, cities and towns all across the country are to doing this and, you know, for years and years here in Scranton for some reason it was always a difficult thing

to do. You know, we talked about it, but it just never happened and we had members of council who wanted to see it happen but never had enough power to get it done, and now that we have a team of people up here who realize that we need to get the job done.

And I just certainly hope moving forward we can have more cooperation from other officials here in terms the putting budgets together or any other decisions we have to make. You know, and again, it goes back to seeking input from the residents of this city and putting groups together to generate revenue ideas, having town hall meetings because at the end of the day it's about people. People matter and we need to look out for them. So again, thank you and I wish everyone a happy new year. See you next week. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address city council?

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi, I'm

20

21

22

23

24

25

president of the firefighters' union. things on the budget first. It's very typical, I read in the paper since you put out your budget that the newspaper is banging on every line item they could. just remind people what happened the last nine years. I mean, correct me if I'm wrong, every year for the last ten years all you heard was this \$5 million deficit, year after year after year there is \$5 million deficit. We knew that wasn't true. We knew that was just an offbeat cruel joke on the taxpayers of the city so that they could look broke so they didn't have to give us anything, so they could fight us -- continue to fight us in Court and act like they were broke so they could continue to raise taxes because people thought there was a deficit. It wasn't true. Never was true.

Just look at last year when they
took this council and the mayor took this
council to Court. There was a \$6 million
deficit, miracously at the Court case they
pull out a document we found \$7 million that
came in the last quarter or whatever the

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

heck they said in the courtroom that day, and plus the mayor was running for senator so there was a balanced budget all of a sudden. Out of blue there was a balanced budget, \$6 million turnaround.

Just a few months ago PEL comes here and says we have to raise taxes by 11 percent, we have to raise the garbage fee. I don't remember exactly how many cuts they were talking about but I know it was over a dozen cuts and there would still be a \$3 million deficit if they didn't do that. weeks later you come out with your budget --I'm sorry, the mayor comes out with his budget, balances the budget, no tax increase, but has to cut 69 employees of the City of Scranton. Two weeks later this council comes out with a budget that's balanced, restores most of those cuts and lowers taxes. That was about a \$7 million turnaround, so whatever the newspaper is saying about you guys, whatever the Pennsylvania Economy League is saying about you guys, just take it with a grain of salt. You guys know the truth now, you finally

have a council that actually took the time to look at the budget and find out where the revenues were and where the expenses are and you did the right thing and you kept the levels -- that's what I want to tell the newspaper editorialist, what part of keeping the level of safety and giving us a fighting chance to keep this town safe and lowering the taxes doesn't he like? But they will find something wrong because you are not Mayor Doherty.

On another note, all news is not good news. Last week we were ordered by the city to rebid our department and go to three shifts from four shifts. That freed up some people, under the Court order the city wasn't mandated to do it, but they did it and now starting on January 1 we want the public to know that Engine 9 on Main Avenue will be permanently closed, Engine 4 at headquarters will be closed we assume about 60 to 70 percent of the time as our estimates right now, and after that the mayor made a decision I believe yesterday we were told that the next company to close if

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we had a few men injured the next time they come in if they are still off injured,
Engine 8 on Market Street will be closed,
and then for some odd reason they are going to move Rescue 1 to Engine 8's house to give the people in North Scranton a false sense of there is actually a real engine company out there that can actually put out a fire.

What's been said was, I mean, when I let a few of these council people know what was taking place the first thing they said was we thought we could keep everything open with restoring the cuts that the mayor made. Well, yeah, if they didn't put us on the shift there would have been a fighting chance to do that, the problem the mayor refused to use any overtime whatsoever to keep things open, that's what we were told. There would have absolutely, positively been five companies closed if his budget went through, now there is going to be one company closed since you restored those manpower. One company closed according to the city's plan, and two a lot of the time, and three sometimes. That's where we are at

this point.

Let's put this in perspective. St.

Peter's Cathedral last week had a fire.

Engine 4 was the first on the scene, they

were there in under three minutes. Engine 9

was the second company on the scene, kept

that from being a catastrophe to the church

which is the center of the Scranton Diocese.

A small little fire, didn't have time to

grow because we got there in time.

Engine Nine will be closed on

January 1, Engine 4 most likely will be

closed on January 1. The other day we had a

fire on Price Street, the shift I was on. I

was the third company on the scene to fight

that fire. Engine 9 was the first one, they

were there in under three minutes, I'm

sorry, a little over three minutes, truck

four was next, Engine 4 was next. Sounds

familiar, doesn't it. May I finish?

MS. EVANS: Yes, please.

MR. GERVASI: Yesterday Albright

Avenue, second alarm fire, Engine 9 was the

first one on the scene, I think Engine 4 was

the second one on the scene, truck four was

1

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there also, and Engine 15 came down about six minutes later. Under the mayor's new scenario Engine 15 would have been probably the first one on the scene with an over six minute response time, so that went from a little room and contents fire to be knocked down really quick to probably the whole building, the whole top of the building would have been on fire.

So I just want to make you aware. refrain from wanting to scare anybody or anything else, but that's the part of the Court case we lost, the mayor has control of us now. He is going to shut down what he wants to shut down when he wants to shut it down, and I just want to just remind people in the Tripps Park area, in the Bullshead area and possibly in the North Scranton area, people in downtown, people in the Weston Field area, lower Hill section toward town and South Side and all of the businesses and residents that there is going to be times when there is going to be a much more than four or five minute response time to your home or business and make sure your

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

smoke alarms have batteries in them, make sure you have a plan to get your family out, and good luck because we are going to do the best we could with the cards we are dealt, but it's not going to be the way it is right now.

So we just want to make you aware that there is nothing we can do about it. We spent \$700,000 of our own money fighting to keep the city the same level of safety we You have restored us to the point have. where we probably will always have two companies that the mayor was going to close continue to be open, but it's not going to be the same as it is starting on January 1, but we are going to do the best we could and wish us luck with what we're dealt.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Gervasi, I just wanted to ask you, if the mayor stayed with the four shift system, would all fire apparatuses be able to stay open?

MR. GERVASI: With the amount of overtime they are using today, yes.

> MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MR. GERVASI: What you need to understand is the current shift we are on right now, four shifts, we have two men per apparatus, which is an unsafe thing to begin with. We have been running that way as a stopgap measure for probably 18 years now. We are still 168 position department running with 137 people. Going on three shifts we are 148 person department running with 137 people, so you need overtime to keep everything open and if he refuses to use overtime something is going to close, so that's the way it is.

If we get three people injured at a fire the next day two companies are going to close because there is not enough people to man those companies, so that's the situation we are in right now.

MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just another question, Mr. Gervasi, I have heard rumors that in spite of us if we override the mayor's veto on our manning ordinances that he is still going to refuse to fill those positions if they become vacant.

MR. GERVASI: That's what we heard.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's going to aggravate the situation even more.

MR. GERVASI: Yes, it will. If we have any retirees this year, God forbid one of your guys dies or gets injured and has to retire from disability, we are hearing that they are not going to replace those and keep that manning, we will take him to Court immediately if he does violate that city law or that ordinance you passed, but if that does happen and we lose, as people retire and he doesn't replace them then there is going to be more and more companies closing.

But we will fight it. We will fight for the -- we will spend our own money to fight to keep those companies open and it won't cost the city. We will spend the money to keep it open. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. Motions.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, any comments or motions?

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: Please. Since we are here to discuss the budget and the

possibility of overriding the veto, I would

like to make some comments concerning the

budget. Given adequate time to review the

budget that was passed by council and vetoed

by the mayor, I believe that there are some $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

issues that need to be addressed. I

expressed some concerns at the time that

they were presented and also when we voted.

These concerns were tempered a little bit by $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

the fact that we were looking at preserving

the public safety services that we had,

which I was pleased with, and we were also

looking at a tax decrease. With only 24

hours to review it, the budget -- some of

the items that were of concern I kind of

glossed over. I think in an attempt to

protect against the layoffs and to preserve

the protections provided some new issues

were created and I think they are concerns

that should be looked at.

My concerns aren't necessarily the same as the reasons why the budget was vetoed, my concerns have to do a lot with

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

services and consistency in the budget.

Primarily, the services provided by the DPW. I believe that we have removed -- we have removed a number of supervisors, we have removed some casual workers, we have cut the overtime budget for DPW. It's -- I don't see how with the money that -- with the number of workers and the amount of money that's in the budget that -- the current budget how we will be able to provide for all of the services that we now enjoy.

With a lack of overtime in the budget, any snowstorms, any emergencies I believe will be costly and force the city to do something, maybe look at private snow removal which in the past I know we have done, but also have been criticized for I don't want to see it come down to a situation where we are deciding whether we are going to pick up garbage or remove snow and I think that's a very serious possibility.

Also, I do have some questions about, as some other people do, about the revenue projections that are in the budget.

At the time that they were presented I thought that they were done in some cooperation with the Single Tax Office, and then it was later said by the tax collector that he was unable to provide the projections that were needed and that some of the these projections came from employees within the Single Tax Office. I don't know if that's a reliable way to make predictions. To me, it's like asking one of the soldiers on D-Day, you know, what the invasion plans were. It just doesn't, you know -- employees don't always know the entire picture of what's going on.

Also, the basis for real estate taxes, how they were projected, whether it was based on current percentages, old percentages, where they came from, I'm not sure. I know I just was reading some things about the EIT tax. That, too, there were some questions about the revenue projections.

We are looking to reduce the mercantile which may be a good idea, however, if it doesn't work the mercantile

tax can't be raised again. By state law you can't raise the mercantile again, so if there is a need for revenue -- if there is a need for revenue it won't fall on businesses it will need to go back to the taxpayers.

And the last think on revenue projections, parking tickets. I don't know how many tickets per day we are going to have to give out in order to reach that number that's projected, but I don't see how that's going to be reached even with the new system in place, the system that will take months to implement, so I don't see -- those revenue projections I think are of concern.

Also, salary reductions. I know that Mr. Joyce explained his rationale for reducing the fire chief's salary, however, I believe that's a little bit inconsistent. There are union positions that I believe are on a 40-hour workweek, they were not reduced. If we are going to use that rationale for reducing the chief's salary perhaps we need to use it for other positions.

The OECD position that was funded

until retirement, the person in that position isn't retiring. I don't know how we can assume that somebody is and then stop funding the position.

Confidential secretary to the mayor salary cut, but yet confidential secretary in the Clerk's Office no salary reduction and new position, but without the salary reduction.

And speaking of the Clerk's Office no decreases in anything in the Clerk's Office, in fact, increases. The solicitor's salary is increased where other legal services in other departments were either cut or eliminated. The clerk's salary, an appointed position untouched. Not reduced like other positions -- other appointed positions.

Maintenance. We have cut
maintenance, you know maintenance funding
just slashed. What are we going to do to
maintain buildings and equipment in the
city? I think there are serious questions
about the money that's there and whether we
are going to be able to maintain both the

buildings and the equipment that we use.

And last, Parks and Recreation. I know that, you know, maybe it's a -- some people consider that, you know, a frivolous thing, but many people enjoy and are willing to pay for some of the services that the parks provide. The park maintenance is going to come under question with the number of employees reduced in the department. How many pools are we going to be able to open next summer given the fact that we have reduced the expenditures for supplies and also for workers?

I think these are all concerns that we need to address. We seem to be a government in opposition to itself. We look to blame rather than solve. I think the mayor's budget was unacceptable, I think that council's budget has some significant problems. Perhaps it's time that we look to sit down and seek sustainable, viable, solutions to this budget issue. I don't think either budget the way it's framed is going to be -- would be sustainable. I think that it's time we have an opportunity

for council, administration and any other people to sit down and reach an agreement on the budget that's reasonable and sustainable for 2011, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. A few general comments and then I'll speak about the budget. First, I would like to thank Bob Bolus and Giovanni Piccolino for their generosity in the Christmas season.

Mr. Bolus once again held his dinner and Mr. Piccolino for the first year had an event at his pizza shop where children came and received presents.

Next, I would like to thank the

Scranton Fire Department for their response
to the fire on Price Street and I have an
e-mail that I would like to read. It says,
"I wanted to tell you what a great job your
fire department did. They were all great.
They went in and covered the Christmas
presents with a tarp so they would not get
ruined. They had to put a hole in the
bedroom closet to run a hose through. They

took all the clothes off and laid them on the bed so they wouldn't get ruined. Later that night they took us into the house with a flashlight to help us find our cat, which they did. They did a great job. We need all of our city police and firefighters. Please tell our mayor."

And this is just another example of some of the great work that is done by our city employees. And I mentioned this -- when I received this e-mail I was talking about it with a few friends and it's not a rare occurrence. It seems every time there is a fire around Christmas time, you know, they will try get the presents. Somebody hence mentioned that there were ashes in there from one of their relatives and the firefighters I have heard other stories of them going into get the ashes, so this just goes to show how we need our firefighters and our police officers in this city.

Secondly, I made some comments last week that were meant to be congratulatory towards Chief Duffy and the great job that he is doing and a few people mentioned to me

that it came across that I was, you know, bashing the former Chief Elliott as he is out the door and that wasn't the purpose as at all, so if anyone took that out of context I apologize.

Now onto the budget. Over the last two weeks it seems in editorials in the Scranton Times and in articles that the newspaper continually tries to beat down this budget and I would like to respond to a few of the issues.

The first one is the First Night.

First Night is held on New Year's Eve, it
lasts about three hours. The cost is about
\$50,000. That same \$50,000 is approximately
the salary of a police officer that would be
patrolling the streets of Scranton for one
full year. So when we spoke to the
residents of the city they told us that the
police and fire protection was one of their
top concerns and, personally, I would rather
have that police officer on the streets for
a full year than have three hours of fun for
one night. And in the past the First Night
celebration has been funded privately and I

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's two different stories.

Secondly, I would like to respond it a few of the concerns Mr. McGoff had. first one was on the revenue projections.

Now, I didn't have any hand in the revenue

projections, but I spoke to Mr. Joyce at

length and I know he is going to talk about

them a little bit tonight and I'm confident

that his numbers will pan out for the course

of the next year.

Secondly the mercantile tax. Mr. McGoff mentioned, once it's reduced it

think it can continue to be in the future.

Secondly, the Boys and Girls Club. Council will continue to fund the Boys and Girls Club through CDBG funding in future The newspaper mentioned funding was cut for a restroom for the Boys and Girls Club. And, you know, when we came down we looked at the CDBG funding, you know, you have to look at where the money should be You know, if the Boys and Girls Club spent. applied for that money for a program for children I could assure that money wouldn't have been cut, but to restore a restroom,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cannot be increased. That's a good thing, that's not a bad thing. We want the mercantile tax to go down to bring businesses into the City of Scranton. Businesses that will employ people in the City of Scranton. When these people get jobs they pay wage tax which money will come back to the city. Some people have criticized council about SAPA and our response for not joining the SAPA plan was because we need to reduce the mercantile tax. This is the first step towards reducing and hopefully in the distance future omitting the mercantile.

Next, the salary cuts. I had mentioned week after week that I would have preferred to see the council cut the salaries under \$50,000 and I'm sure each of us here and every person out at home could find one thing out of thousands of upon thousands of items that we don't agree with, but that's not the point of a budget. The point of passing the budget is to find the best collaboration of everyone's ideas and think what was done in this budget. Would I

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like to have seen more cuts? Yes. Would I like to seen in the cuts extend to council's office? Yes. But on the whole when you are faced with one budget that cuts police officers, cuts firefighters, and holds the line on taxes, then you have another budget that keeps police and fire protection and decreases business and property taxes, I think the choice is very clear. There is no debate. Council's budget is the best choice.

The editorial in the Times said that are cuts were vindictive in nature. are not vindictive at all. Council's only goal is to cut the fat in government. we ran for council we said we were going to This isn't a surprise. do this. Nobody should be shocked by this budget. We said we were going to cut the fat, we said we are going to cut taxes, we said we are going to restore police and fire protection for our neighborhoods and that's what this budget There is no surprise in this budget. We campaigned on this issues and we delivered on these issues.

So I would hope that the budget, the veto, would be overridden by a 5-0 vote just as how it was passed and I hope the manning legislation will also be overridden, and that is all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Rogan. Councilman Loscombe, any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. I just have a few scattered thoughts. First of all, I also would like to thank Mr. Bolus and Mr. Piccolino for their efforts this holiday season to bring people together and I also would like to echo a lot of what Mr. Rogan has stated. A lot of that is what I believe in, also.

A couple of things I just wanted to address some issues, we have talked about the newspaper and stuff like that. If you remember when we brought up our amended budget at that time I said you are going to hear a lot of rhetoric from the newspaper trying to turn our budget upside down and that's exactly what has happened between the newspaper and their cartoons and editorials

and whatever. I mean, I just feel at this point that you are going to find more journalistic integrity in a grocery store tabloid than you will find in our daily newspaper, unfortunately.

And I do have to applaud GO

Lackawanna for having some -- for going at a little bit of a step ahead and actually researching some of these issues and giving a fair side to the public in this city.

Something that hasn't been done for a long time and I just hope that they will grow.

Maybe we could call it "Grow Lackawanna."

But I'm not here to endorse any newspapers or that, but that's a big part of our citizenry here. They believe what they read most of the time and, unfortunately, a lot of it are sound bites and incomplete facts that make things look a lot different than they really are.

The budget, Mr. Ellman, is he gone?

He had mentioned about, you know, some of

our items that we are looking to generate

revenue and stuff like that. Well, let me

tell you, I know this city council I have

20

21

22

23

24

25

been working with this past year, I have had the Honor of being with them this past year, I had the honor of being appointed by them this past year, and I don't know, I have never worked with a council prior to this but this has been one hardworking council, and I know that our initiatives we are going to be aggressive on, we are going to be vigilant on through this next year to make sure that they succeed, and I'm urging anyone out there that plans on sabotaging any of our initiatives to be careful, but we are working hard, we are working hard for the taxpayers, and that's who we are trying benefit. We are not trying to benefit administrative personnel, ourselves, newspapers, anything like that. It's you, the taxpayer out there, who have suffered all of these years.

We have been asked by Mr. Morgan mentioned it and Mr. McGoff about working with the mayor. Well, I don't know how many times people have heard that our hands were reached out to the mayor. Mrs. Evans and myself had met with the mayor personally on

arbitration awards. Only two weeks later to find out in the newspaper they were vetoed. We went to Court last year and the judge at that time asked us to sit down in a conference room and try to negotiate with the mayor. We thought we were negotiating very well and then the mayor just walked out and turned his back on us.

It sounds like the same MO that we have heard repeatedly from the police and fire unions here, too. There is no negotiating. We found that out the hard way as everyone else has. It's his way or the highway, unfortunately. And it hasn't benefited the public. We have to take the bull by the horns and we have to do what we have to do to benefit everyone that's out there. It hasn't been done.

He has been the mayor here for nine years, he was on this council as a finance chairman and look where we find ourselves budget wise. They want to criticize our budget? We are farther in debt now than we ever were and who has been at the helm along with PEL. PEL is here 18 years. They could

criticize our budget, but they haven't come up with anything positive yet. Give us a chance. Let us show you this year what our budget can do for you. There is only more to come, believe me. We are working hard, I know that.

And I'm sorry I seem so impassionate about it, but I believe this council's heart is with the people, and anything, if we are to vote in favor of the mayor's budget as he had written it we would be guilty of criminal negligence. I mean, look what could possibly happen to the safety of the people in this town. That's criminal. And he better listen to our directives and go by our amendments and whatever. I lost my train of thought there, I'm sorry.

But, you know, Mayor, stick your hand out with us and work for the people for a change. I know we can work together if you would come here and sit down with us, but we haven't found that. We found every time we attempted to reach out to you, you have turned your back on us. You have turned your back on the public. That's what

we are here for and at this point that's all I have to say. I'll speak a little bit more when we are in our business later. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

And, Councilman Joyce, do you have any
comments or motions at this time?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Tonight I will respond to some of the charges in mayor's veto letter of the 2011 operating budget amendments beginning with revenue projections.

To provide some background, in the 2010 operating budget the revenue that the administration projected for the wage tax was \$21,400,000. In 2011, that amount was decreased to \$21 million even though individuals living in the 240 KOZ properties being added back to the tax rolls will be paying wage tax.

So everyone is wondering so where did council's figures come from? Well, let me explain. As per the current tax office information at the end of November we collected \$20,392,207.42. When one adds the

amount of wage tax collected in December of last year to the amount collected so far this year, the amount is \$21,249,545.06, so we are taking the last 12-month period to come up with the projection. This is approximately \$150,000 below what the 2010 budget projects, though in documentation provided to council on November 8 the administration projects that we will realize more than the 21.4 million that was originally projected.

Assuming no one receives -- assuming that no one receives a pay increase that's paying the wage increase in the work force paying the wage tax is the same. It is common logic that \$21,249,545.06 would be collected in 2011.

Now, as far as the KOZ's, the assumption was made that 160 of the 240 KOZ properties that were being added back to the tax rolls were working households and that an average household in these developments would earn \$75,000 per year. Though the average household income in Scranton is \$39,000, one must realize that the average

income in the KOZ developments are higher. This assumption can easily be made because the average value of the home in the KOZ development is nearly twice the average value of the home in Scranton which is approximately \$110,000.

If one multiplies 160 by \$75,000 the amount is \$12 million in additional wages subject to the wage tax. If one multiplies that amount by 2.4 percent, the amount of the additional revenue is \$288,000.

Therefore, when adding \$288,000 to the amount of revenue from the wages taxes we should collect in the upcoming year an amount of \$21,537,545.06, and that is the reasoning why \$537,454.06 in revenue was added back to the current wage tax.

The method I just described is more accurate than the administration's method of simply use a four-year average. Using a four-year average does take into account the fact that additional people living in KOZ properties will be paying the wage tax and quite humbly in my opinion is irresponsible. This is also not consistent with the

procedures used in previous budgets.

Though PEL showed some concern in their letter regarding wage tax collection amongst other matters, they must have forgotten the numbers that they presented to city council in our most recent caucus in October.

In this caucus, PEL themselves projected that we would collect \$21,774,500 in revenue in current wage tax collection. Thus, in their letter regarding revenue, they are actually contradicting information that they provided us just two months ago, actually less than two months ago. And I have the page directly from their presentation that states this number and I will provide this to both of our reporters after the meeting tonight.

So our estimate with everything being said is nearly \$244,000 less than what PEL projects, thus making our estimate more conservative than the same group that is criticizing that our revenues may be overestimated, and that doesn't make too much sense, does it?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay, second, I'll talk about the local service tax. In the 2010 operating budget the LST was projected at \$1,580,365. Same amount is projected in 2011 by the administration. The same methodology was used for projecting the LST that was used for the wage tax being to estimate based on the actual amount collected at the end of the November and then add the amount that would be collected in December of 2009. the end of November, the amount of revenue collected from the LST was \$1,657,716.47. After adding the projected revenue amount that was collected in December of 2009 the amount is \$1,738,877.83. Therefore, this is the reasoning why there was a \$158,512.83 increase in the LST.

Okay, real estate tax. By using the same methodology that I previously described, council projects that the actual amount that will be received will be \$282,300.50 more than the administration's budget. This methodology can actually be further validated by the fact that the administration themselves projected in

documentation received by council on

November 8 that the city would realize over
\$300,000 more than the original projection
for 2010.

In 2011, the administration projects that the amount that will be collected from real estate tax will be \$14,250. Since we projected by the methodology previously discussed that the amount collected in real estate would be \$282,300.50 higher than the administration's value in 2010, we projected that the amount allocated by the administration in 2011 would be the same amount higher. Therefore, the amount that we projected to be collected from this tax would be \$14,582,300.50.

However, as one knows there was a 10.5 percent cut in real estate tax. Since the projected value that the cut would be made from is 14,582,300.50, a 10.5 percent reduction from this amount would equate to \$1,533,707.21 and this reduction is a \$1,251,406.71 reduction from the administration's projected value.

Next revenue item rental

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

registration fees. The primary reason why rental registration fees were not collected in the amount that was budgeted in 2010 is due to the fact that the administration would not provide legislation to council this year to hire a rental registration coordinator and strength the program despite numerous council requests, thus, this is the fault of the administration. Why is the administration not interested in raising this revenue? Next year council will have to draft this legislation if the administration does not intend to strengthen Council also adding two the program. housing inspectors back to the city paroles to strengthen this program.

Also to note, the projected revenue in council's amendments were only increased by \$30,000 from the budgeted amounts in 2010 and 2009. If the administration truly believes that we will only collect \$12,000 in revenue from rental registration then they are irresponsible for employing a rental registration assistant who costs the city over \$45,000 when factoring in salary

and benefits. It's just a matter of common sense, Folks.

Parking enforcement revenue.

StreetSmart Technology which quoted in caucus that we could see over \$2.2 million of new revenue if their program is implemented though council's estimate is not even 1/7 of that, install the test program of 50 meters in Reading. From this three-month pilot program Reading realized an increase in 20 percent from meter revenue, which council did not even increase, and a 149 percent increase in enforcement revenue based on capture rate of only 4 percent.

To note, council projected the amount of increased revenue at a lower rate than the results that Reading had seen.

Moreover, many cities, including Easton, have enjoyed an increase in meter and income and parking ticket fees due to the program.

Though the administration criticized council for not budgeting startup fees associated with the program, it was verified that there is no setup fee for the program by

Mr. Miskell, account manager for StreetSmart Technologies.

In addition, though council realizes that there may be some costs incurred if we do choose to install equipment rather than have StreetSmart do it for us, there was nearly \$45,000 allocated towards this in the SPA budget which was passed by council by a 5-0 vote and which Mayor Doherty himself signed into law.

So though the administration has stated that there is no data to support the increases in revenue that have been projected, I humbly disagree. I have just provided justification for council's additional revenue by using data from the Single Tax Office, StreetSmart Technologies, the Pennsylvania Economy League, and even the administration themselves to support the revenue increases that were included in council's budget amendments.

So along with revenue sources, Mayor Doherty his veto letter also charged council with underfunding some accounts. To begin I'll discuss utility costs. All utility

costs were projected based on current usage rates which were prorated based on the apartments spent in the first three-quarters of this year with the exception of gas rates. Since gas rates are higher in colder months this expenditure was budgeted at a higher amount than the average usage rate as for the first three-quarters of the year.

Overtime costs in the DPW. Though
Mayor Doherty has criticized that snow
removal could be affected by reducing costs
to the DPW I humbly disagree. For years
Mayor Doherty has farmed out snow removal
work to numerous contractors such as Mr. Art
Russo and Mr. Dominic Scartelli due to a
lack of equipment. The amount budgeted for
the outsourcing of the snow removal on
municipal roads was not changed.

Also, council's amendments maintain a contingency fund of \$100,000 which can be used for snow removal in the case of major multiple snowstorms, and from the Court case that council was involved in last year Solicitor Kelly questioned me about the contingency fund and it's usage and he did

state when I explained that any unemployment costs could be taken out of this fund he questioned, well, what do we do if there is a major snow storm? So there is one of the uses of that extra \$100,000 contingency fund, which I might add we did not use anything out of this year.

Professional fees legal. Legal fees for defense purposes were prorated based on the amount that we have actually spent during the first three-quarters of the year. Legal services for labor-related issues were reduced by \$11,000 from what we actually have spent for the first three-quarters of this year since much of the legal services regarding labor that were used are due to the fact that there were constant appeals to Court decisions in favor of the fire and police unions. This should no longer be needed in this excess since most of the issues were settled in the recent Commonwealth Court decision.

If we were more conservative with these services in the past and did not choose to engage in a nine-year court battle

with the fire and police unions and negotiate a fair and equitable contract we could have avoided some of these expenses in the past, and furthermore, we would not be in a situation we are now in as fire and police union representatives have advised that they would settled for much less and the raise amounts that were awarded in Court.

Maintenance expenses. The majority of the expenditures needed to maintain buildings have been prorated to an annual level based on current expenditure rates. In addition, some of the expenses in this account were eliminated. Examples of expenditures that were eliminated include \$8,000 to pave the police parking lot. The new police headquarters has been in it's current location for five years while there are some city streets that have not been paved in the last 35 years.

Also, a \$2,000 expense was eliminated for lawn mowing at the police headquarters when we have a full and capable DPW staff that can do this.

A \$12,000 expense was removed for snow plowing for the police parking lot as this is something that the DPW could do as well.

Also, a \$5,000 expense was eliminated to purchase new floor mats for city hall when the ones we have purchased are less than two years old.

Finally, in Mayor Doherty's veto

letter, he questioned what council
suggestions were if there were any
shortfalls. Well, though council does not
project that there will be a shortfall we
would think that the administration would
offer council some suggestions since this is
something the administration had to consider
on numerous accounts in the past. In prior
years, the administration had kept their
methods secretive as exemplified by the
withdrawing of \$2.9 million by Mr. Renda
from the city worker's compensation trust
without any council notification in 2009.

Personally, I would also like to know how the administration covered a shortfall of over \$3 million in wage tax

collection in 2008 along with a \$1.6 million shortfall in the collection of delinquent wage taxes in that same year.

Though the administration would like to scare the public into thinking that streets will remain snow covered during storms and that pools will close, lifeguards will be laid off, and paint a picture of overall doom and gloom if council's amendments were enacted this is simply not the case. Money has consistently been transferred into and out of accounts as not all revenue sources are realized on a consistent basis each month. Sometimes you will get a chunk of money at that will come in in one month and then the next month it may be quite a bit lower.

Also, regarding health insurance concerns that PEL indicated in their correspondence in the budget, all amended health insurance values were used based on information that was provided for the city's contribution for single and family insurance plans as per the most recent Commonwealth Court decision for police and fire

personnel. For all other workers, insurance rates were based on the average cost per employee based on the administration's original values which comes out to roughly estimated around \$12,000.

Therefore, with this being said, if health insurance rates result in a shortfall it the fault of the administration and not city council. I would also like to add that Mayor Doherty passed the Scranton Parking Authority budget as amended by council which only effects administrative personnel, not citation issuers or meter collectors in regards to some of the cuts that we made.

The SPA budget that was passed into law by Mayor Doherty is directly correlated with the SPA citation issuers line item in the operating budget. With this in mind, the original budget presented by the administration is now technically unbalanced due to the fact that the SPA citation issuers line item in the 2011 operating budget does not match the reimbursable portion of the SPA's budget that Mayor Doherty signed into law just recently.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To conclude, the amendments council proposed decrease property taxes, they decrease nuisance taxes that hinder our current business community, they keep police on our street, they keep firehouses open that would have closed otherwise, and they allocate the necessary funding to keep the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter in operation as per the needs that they requested from the City of Scranton. These are the facts. 2011, the administration will need to learn to become more efficient and stop overspending and stop some of the trends that have been going on for the past nine And that's all that I have to say. vears.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. Recently the Scranton Times reported the sale of the Hilton Hotel and Conference Center at 13 million on page one, while under Lackawanna County Court notes, property transactions, the sale was listed at approximately \$10 million. I assume the additional \$3 million tacked onto the sale price by the newspaper may be the cost of renovation.

The last time the Hilton changed

hands in 2005, it was predicted that the future sale of the hotel would realize over \$30 million and the city would receive the \$3 owed to it only when the sale price reached said figure. In addition, hundreds of parking spots in the Medallion Garage were given to the Hilton free of charge.

This was the deal made by Mayor Doherty.

At the same time in 2005, I predicted this day would come, that the future sale price would be drastically lower than what the mayor agreed to and that the city would lose millions. Consequently, I voted against this deal in 2005 and once again the taxpayer of Scranton have lost millions of dollars under the mismanagement of Mayor Doherty.

I would like to see the city and Scranton Parking Authority recoup some of it's losses by resuming ownership of those parking spaces for which the Hilton Hotel charged and earned a profit from it's guests. Mrs. Krake, please send a letter of inquiry on behalf of city council to Mr. Scopelliti and Mayor Doherty regarding

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the parking spaces in the Medallion garage.

Will the City and the Scranton Parking

Authority regain these parking spots? If

not, why was the new agreement drafted

without the return of parking spaces to

infuse much needed revenue into the

Scranton Parking Authority?

Next, I'd like to comment on the letter from the Pennsylvania Economy League regarding the 2011 operating budget. According to PEL, council's budget is balanced, will help lift distressed status and will be used as the basis for the first year of the revised Recovery Plan. However. I wish to make clear that this is the first time since I was elected to city council in 2004 that the Pennsylvania Economy League sent a letter to council regarding an operating budget. I suspect this is because it's not the mayor's budget and city council now manages the checkbook. Interestingly, throughout Mayor Doherty's terms in office PEL remained silent when the mayor ran up historical debt and record borrowing and spending, sold city assets such as the

municipal golf course and the South Side

Complex and created political patronage jobs

in city hall and in municipal authorities.

Further, PEL sent a letter concerning the \$3 million of overestimated wage tax revenue in 2009. PEL sent no letter when Stu Renda, the business administrator, withdrew \$2.9 million from the worker's comp fund in 2009 seemingly to cover this huge budget hole without the knowledge and consent of city council and the people of Scranton.

PEL sent no letters regarding the 2009 and 2010 operating budgets which contained inflated projected rental registration revenues. It even remained silent when the administration refused to enforce and collect rental registration revenue during these years, but it now criticizes city council's modest revenue projection and intention to pursue this untapped revenue source.

Most importantly, and as Councilman

Joyce just stated, PEL itself projected

\$21.7 million in wage tax revenue for 2011.

Yet, it's critical of Councilman Joyce's wage tax revenue estimate which is significant low less than it's own. How then did PEL arrive at the \$21.7 million dollar figure and how did PEL expect those figures to be realized in 2011? PEL can't continue to talk from both sides of it's mouth. It doesn't benefit our city.

The Pennsylvania Economy League has overseen Scranton distressed status for 19 years now and produced Recovery Plan after Recovery Plan. In 2011, Scranton will enter it's 20th year of distressed status and, ladies and gentlemen, this unequivocally demonstrates failed leadership, both PEL and our DCED representative promote only three solutions to our financial problems: Tax increases, cutting public safety, and higher garbage and parking fees.

It's recommendation and opinions
have grown increasingly subjective as it
works in close concert with the Doherty
administration. In contrast, city council's
solutions to our financial problems include
among others lowering property and business

taxes to retain and attract people and businesses, restoring public safety in our neighborhoods and downtown, and generating new revenue for the city coffers.

I would also like to make a few statements regarding council's budgetary cuts to both First Night and the Boys and Girls Club. First, council allocated funding this year for the Lackawanna Valley Heritage Trail, the Everhart Museum, the Johnson Memorial, and the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter. With goals of reducing the property and business taxes, council could not fund everything. Council funded the aforesaid items for the welfare of the people. For instance, the city cannot be overrun by stray animals.

The people of Scranton must ask
themselves this question, do they want a New
Year's Eve party or a property tax decrease?
The downtown business owners must ask
themselves, do they want a New Year's Eve
party or a 25 percent business tax
reduction? The mayor is on record stating
that he is committed to First Night.

25

20

Council recommends that First Night seek more private funding and that the mayor appropriate funds through the Office of Economic and Community Development Re-re account as he did for both the Italian festival and the St. Patrick's Day parade. The mayor donated \$5,000 to both the Italian festival and St. Patrick's Day parade this year instead of funding both through the general budget as he does for First Night.

As reported in today's Scranton Times, council cut \$10,000 to the Boys and Girls Club. Council made this cut because it had allocated \$84,000 to the club through Community Development Block Grant for 2011. Let me say that again, council allocated \$84,000 to the Boys and Girl Club.

And furthermore, I have always been an advocate for this club. The public will recall that I opposed the closing of the West Side Boys and Girls Club in 2005. sought assistance and funding from Congressman Kanjorksi who visited the club with me and was ready to help until the mayor and club directors decided to close

the location anyway.

I also brought in former NBA star,
Darryl Dawkins, who was willing to hold a
charity basketball event to raise funds for
the West Side Club until Mayor Doherty
decided to close it, cut their funding and
give it to United Neighborhood Centers.

Moreover, I remind the public that this council has not only committed itself to the Boys and Girls Club through it's \$84,000 allocation, but also to other projects, centers and programs for children including the United Neighborhood Centers Project Hope and Bellevue Youth Program and improvements to the Novembrino pool complex, the Cloverfield playground and the facilities for the West Side Falcons. All of this information, unfortunately, was omitted in today's newspaper article.

Next, I'd like to comment on Mayor

Doherty's vetoes of the budget-related

legislation and city council's budget

amendments. In the latest Commonwealth

Court decision, the city, not the mayor won

management rights. The Home Rule Charter,

Section 201, states that the mayor and council shall be the -- excuse me, the mayor and council jointly shall be the governing body of Scranton city government.

City Solicitor Kelly states that the mayor is granted all powers, duties, and authority traditionally accrued to the executive authority pursuant to the city's Home Rule Charter. Solicitor Kelly fails to include or acknowledge that the council of the City of Scranton is also granted authority pursuant to the city's Home Rule Charter, and that it is certainly within the purview of the city council to write legislation, to amend the mayor's legislation, to legally and lawfully adopt ordinances and resolutions and to vote down adoption of ordinances and resolutions.

Further, it is the duty and responsibility of the mayor to enforce all legislation that is legally and lawfully adopted by the council of the City of Scranton, particularly in matters of veto override of the city. Yet, he willfully chooses not to enforce the law.

City council legally and lawfully adopted legislation to require staffing levels for police and firefighters in order to keep fire stations open for fiscal year 2011 in response to will of the public expressed at two public hearings and during regular city council meetings. The vast majority of Scrantonians want all firehouses to remain open and they want more police on the streets. Council's legislation will be in effect for one year only and was adopted to restore public safety and keep your firehouses open.

media that he would not adhere to council budget amendments and he didn't have to reinstate positions if he chose not to do so. More alarming, however, is the mayor's stated intention to close fire companies in his veto of the staffing legislation, and I quote: "The 2009 and 2010 Court opinions also allow the city to temporarily or permanently close three or more fire companies and does not require an increase or any change whatsoever in the compliment

of a department as a whole."

It is dishonest of Mayor Doherty to have reneged on his 2009 mayoral campaign promise to keep fire stations open, and more so that he refuses to listen to the priorities of the people.

And so fire companies and houses may well close in 2011 because the mayor is choosing to close them. Only he makes that decision. If fire companies or stations close in your neighborhood you must appeal to the mayor. Council has done all that it can do to keep your firehouses open.

The mayor, based on fabrications in memory loss, has also vetoed the 2011 operating budget of the City of Scranton.

The mayor warns of lack of services, snow removal, for example. However, city council provided \$60,000 in DPW overtime for snow removal and cut only \$153 from the budget line items for the numerous subcontractors hired by the city each year for snow plowing and removal during each winter storm.

In addition, as was said earlier, \$100,000 is available if the contingency

fund which is to be used for weather and other nature-related events according to testimony given by Stu Renda in court in the Spring of 2010. If snow plowing and removal should not occur at some point in 2011, it will be the mayor's doing because he has the funding and tools in place to succeed.

Mr. McGoff tonight questions the viability of city pools. Within Parks and Recreation the groundskeepers and the pool workers are not cut, not one of them. The lifeguards were not cut. When the Capouse Avenue pool failed to open in the Summer of 2010 and Connell pool opened late Mr. McGoff raised no objections or concerns. Again, if problems occur with city pools these problems will be created by the mayor. He has the same funds and tools he always had.

The mayor also questions how a potential fiscal shortfall in 2011 will be filled. Should this occur, a fiscal shortfall can be addressed by the administration in the very same way it has addressed many financial shortfalls that occurred in the mayor's past eight budgets,

most notably, the 2009 \$3 million budget hole.

As Councilman Joyce stated earlier, the fact that the mayor signed the amended Scranton Parking Authority budget means his own budget is no longer balanced. This is highly noteworthy for the public. The numbers contained in the SPA budget only fully coincide with the figures contained in city council's amended budget. Mayor Doherty, Solicitor Kelly, and Business Administrator Renda apparently never picked up on the fact that by vetoing council's amended budget and signing the SPA budget the mayor's budget is no longer balanced.

Another example of the mayor's memory loss concerning his own budget can be found in his veto of council's amended budget. Article III, Section 27, of the State Constitution and 53 Pennsylvania C.S.A., Section 307, (a) (4), are referenced for violations regarding the raise for the Scranton Tax Collector. However, the mayor conveniently forgets if he raised the salary of former tax collector of Ken McDowell in

his 2002 and 2003 operating budgets by \$3,750 and \$400 respectively. In fact, Mayor Doherty also raised the salary of the tax collector by \$2,118 when he was the council finance chairman for the 2001 budget.

Unfortunately, the newspaper hasn't gotten around to verifying this for the public. The mayor can remember it anyway he wishes, but the 2001, 2002, 2003 operating budgets state otherwise.

On this occasion of our final city council meeting of 2010, I wish to make one point very clear tonight and I hope the public will remember it again and again in 2011, if the budget deficits next year, for instance, because the mayor doesn't enforce the rental registration program or implement the StreetSmart parking program, they will be the mayor's doing. His veto speaks volumes. He doesn't want the people to have adequate public safety on protection in their neighborhoods. He doesn't want new revenue generators in Scranton. He purposely deflates revenues and he doesn't

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want businesses and homeowners to have lower taxes.

There is a fundamental difference in philosophy and vision between Mayor Doherty and Scranton City Council. Since 2002, the mayor's nine big boy budgets have caused historical debt, record and unnecessary borrowing and spending, sales of city assets like the golf course and South Side Complex, created and maintained political patronage jobs in management, awarded hefty pay increases in management, crippled public safety, and now closing fire companies and increased your taxes by 25 percent. fact, the mayor's greatest annual revenue generators in nine years have been the 2007 increases in your property taxes and the real estate transfer tax.

The city council's first amended budget forces the mayor to be frugal and to do more with less. Our budgetary restores public safety, attempts to keep our firehouses open, eliminates wasteful spending, cuts bureaucracy, provides an equitable number of cuts to both management

and union positions, generates new revenue through the StreetSmart program and lowers business taxes and property taxes.

City council believes that the people deserve this help. It's most telling that the mayor does not.

Finally, I have one citizen's request regarding the blighted properties on Ripple Street. Homeowners were kind enough to forward photos of the blighted conditions. Contact Mr. Oleski tomorrow morning, please, and show him these photos. Council would like a report on this as soon as possible. And that's it.

MR. JOYCE: When you stated how PEL came up with it's projection of \$21,774,500 I did some quick math while you were speaking and they assumed a 1.5 percent growth rate in the earned income tax for 2011 as well as 2012, '13 and '14.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Thank you, Councilman Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: You are welcome.

MS. EVANS: That's very useful information for us to know.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT 1 THIS TIME. SIXTH ORDER. 2 NO BUSINESS AT 3 THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR 4 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 5 FOR ADOPTION- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52, 2010 6 (AS AMENDED) - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE 7 8 EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE 9 PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2011 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 10 2011 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY 11 12 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2011. 13 MS. EVANS: What is the 14 recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Finance? 15 16 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 17 Committee on Finance, I recommend City 18 Council override the mayor's veto of Item 7 - A . 19 20 MR. ROGAN: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 21 22 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 23 The last few weeks there have been dozens of 24 articles, hours upon hours of commentary on 25 this budget from citizens and council

members, but some of my reasons for overriding this veto three quick sentences:

One, council's budget does not make cuts to police or fire protection for our residents.

Two, council's budget includes a tax decrease for homeowners and business owners, keeping more money in your pockets.

And three, council's budget is the first step towards getting Scranton back on the right financial track by cutting wasteful spending and reducing taxes.

I would urge my colleagues to override this veto by a unanimous five to zero vote.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? I think I would just like to add briefly, I would be very interested to see the local newspapers do an analysis and investigation of the mayor's budget current and previous budgets. I have never seen that occur. The numbers are accepted as if they have been set in stone or empirically proven, which is certainly not the case. I would like to see an investigation of why revenues are deflated. What was the point

1	of so doing and what was the point of an
2	unnecessary 25 percent tax increase several
3	years ago? Roll call, please?
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: No.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
14	the mayor's veto of Item 7-A, File of
15	Council No. 52 2010, as amended, legally and
16	lawfully overridden.
17	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
18	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
19	ADOPTION- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 57, 2010 -
20	ORDINANCE GOVERNING THE STAFFING
21	REQUIREMENTS FOR THE BUREAU OF FIRE,
22	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OF THE CITY OF
23	SCRANTON, FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2011.
24	MS. EVANS: What is the
25	recommendation of the Chair for the

Committee on Public Safety?

MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend City Council override the mayor's veto of Item 7-B.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, I have the 78-page Commonwealth Court ruling here for the police and fire department and there is a section here, a whole section based on firefighters' safety, where they realized that they couldn't mandate, the city had 150 firefighters, however, they were concerned of the safety of the firefighters with less manning and there are several sections in here that refer to the manning and it says, "Even a city in it's discretion shall determine after consultation with the firefighters in making this determination."

I mean, this just seems that the city is operating unilaterally. Again, as Mrs. Evans has stated before this ruling says "the city" not the "mayor" and the

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arbitrators as far as protecting the firefighters I believe also because they are dealing with the contract here their concern is with the public as well as the firefighters' safety. They realize it and they put the wording in this here, Commonwealth Court ruling in several cases, and that's one of the factors that there is no way that I could have voted for the mayor's budget with the cuts that he had and anticipate that he wasn't going to do it without our ordinance to protect the safety of all of the citizens of this city and I would just hope he abides by it, and if not I would welcome the opportunity to spend the day in court every day to fight for your public safety and where your tax dollars are spent. And that's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: I know this has been mentioned earlier, but I believe the mayor stated his intention not to cooperate with Scranton City Council. He remarked that he had produced 13 budgets and he operates in the "big boy world." We are all adults.

Those were offensive comments. I just want

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the public to know that the lack of cooperation, the lack of respect, the lack of reciprocity is rooted in the Office of the Mayor of Scranton, not in the Office of Scranton City Council.

MR. JOYCE: Also, I want to add that I, too, was somewhat offended by the "big boy world" comment. Personally, I'm employed in a corporation that's owned primarily by Michael Eisner who is a financial guru and has his own television show. I have watched some of the things that he has done to implement revenue increases in the company I work for, and I also take note of other successful individuals who do the same, so though I don't own a business myself I do work in a business that is owned by someone who has a very extensive knowledge of generating profit, so that, too, was somewhat offensive That's all. in my view.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add that, getting back to the legislation, that it's basically as we mentioned before, it's just expressing the wishes of the people and the

wishes of this council on another piece of legislation. As far as the mayor's comments go, he can say what he wants, I don't take it personally, but the bottom line is last November the people elected us to council and we told them what we were going to do and now we are coming through on our promises, and this is just us holding up another one of our promises to keep police and fire protection.

MS. EVANS: And I think, I would like to end with this, I know the mayor says he runs this city like a business, and that was his original comments. Government isn't a business. We are not in business. Government is here to serve the people, to protect the people, to look out for your health, safety, welfare, and happiness. Again, we are not a business. We are not here to make a profit. We are here to take care of the citizens. We serve. Nothing more, nothing less. Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

_

	100
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
7	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
8	the mayor's veto of Item 7-B, File of
9	Council No. 57 2010, legally and lawfully
10	overridden.
11	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. F <u>OR CONSIDERATION</u>
12	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
13	ADOPTION- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 58, 2010 -
14	ORDINANCE GOVERNING THE STAFFING
15	REQUIREMENTS FOR THE BUREAU OF POLICE,
16	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OF THE CITY OF
17	SCRANTON, FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2011.
18	MS. EVANS: What is the
19	recommendation of the Chair for the
20	Committee on Public Safety?
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairman for the
22	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend City
23	Council override the mayor's veto of Item
24	7 - C .
25	MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Pretty much the same reasons as the fire department for the police and the public safety. We have to come up with this legislation, it has to be abided by. Otherwise, I don't know what's going to happen. It could be -- like I said before, we will be on borderline criminal negligence if a catastrophe should happen in the city and I for one do not want to see that happen.

And also, based -- I should have mentioned it before on the fire aspect and all that, one of the other reasons is that the fact that there is never any viable study that they are utilizing for their cuts. What fire stations are closing, anything like that. We have requested every study that has been presented to PEL and none of them have the station closures that were presented to us by the mayor's budget. So again, that was done arbitrarily, and that's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? Roll call, please?

	102
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
2	MR. MCGOFF: No.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. I hereby
10	declare the mayor's veto of Item 7-C, File
11	of Council No. 58 2010, legally and lawfully
12	overridden.
13	If there is no further business,
14	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
15	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
16	MS. EVANS: And a very happy New
17	Year to all. This meeting is adjourned.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I

I

ability.

 $\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER