	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, December 14, 2010
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	
25	

I

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. There is nothing
14	on Third Order.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. NO BUSINESS AT
16	THIS TIME.
17	MS. EVANS: And do we have any
18	clerk's notes?
19	MS. KRAKE: No clerk's notes this
20	evening. FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
21	PARTICIPATION.
22	MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this
23	evening is Giovanni Piccolino.
24	MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city
25	council. Giovanni Piccolino, business

21

22

23

24

25

owner, resident. I just wanted to say from a business owner's standpoint thank you very much. That mercantile tax is going to help businesses slide a little more in one direction of possibly coming in and maybe we will finally got some neighbors on Lackawanna Avenue there to fill in some of those vacancies.

Anyways, one of the main reasons why I'm here is I would like to invite all of the public on Christmas Day from 12:00 to 3:00 we are opening up our shop to any and every child in the Scranton and surrounding We are going to have our first annual Buona Pizza Christmas party. Everything is on the house for us. kids, anybody that knows anybody that knows anybody, whatever, we just want to see kids have a fortunate Christmas. It's going to be a party, toys, candy, pizza, pasta, everything possibly just to make it a better holiday for kids, so anybody that sees this out there in Scranton and they know anybody please, you know, tell them to come along. If they want to drop off toys or anything at

the shop do so, it's all going to the kids. 1 That's it. You guys have a great and happy 2 3 holiday season. MS. EVANS: Mr. Piccolino. how 4 generous you are. That is really a 5 marvelous contribution to the community. 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: Give us the times 7 8 again? 9 MR. PICCOLINO: It's 12:00 to 3:00 10 on Christmas Day. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 12 MS. EVANS: Bill Jackowitz. 13 MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz. 14 South Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers' Association. In the past three 15 16 weeks we have learned from Mayor Christopher 17 Doherty's sworn testimony 14 September 18 2010 the following truthful information 19 about the mismanagement of the City of 20 Scranton during the Doherty administration. 21 Number one: The mayor was not aware 22 that he and the City of Scranton were being 23 sued. 24 Number two: That delegation of 25 authority in Scranton is made orally with no

written procedures in place. Unbelievable.

Number three: That Mayor Doherty was not aware that his appointed director of human resources, a cabinet position, had a degree in fashion design, plus no formal training or education in sexual harassment, discrimination and supervision.

Number four: That Mayor Doherty
appointed the police chief who had no
supervisory training or experience or sexual
harassment or ethics training.

Number five: We also learned that Mayor Doherty appointed the Director of Public Safety that was recommended for the job by one person, District Attorney Jarbola, no one else.

Number six: We also learned that the cabinet members reported to other cabinet members and supervised other cabinet members and that they were not equal, although, all were cabinet members. No notes of records of cabinet meetings were taken. I know it sounds confusing, but it's the truth.

Number seven: We also learned that

the people who were tasked by Mayor Doherty
to report such problems are the
above-mentioned political appointees who
have no experience or training in these
matter. Unbelievable.

Number eight: We learned that no female officer has ever been promoted to a rank within the police department, such as corporal, sergeant, lieutenant, captain and chief. Remember, the Homeland Security Director is a female.

"Question: Well, all right, to the extent that such a discrimination, gender discrimination might have occurred in the police department that would not have occurred by you at your hand, am I correct, because you say you never done it?

Mayor Doherty: Correct.

Question: So it would have had to occur by -- have to occur by --

Mayor Doherty: An employee under that scenario, yes.

Question: Okay, but you relied on those people to tell you whether it was serious or not? You relied on those same

1 subordinates? Mayor Doherty: I would have relied 2 3 on Director Hayes and Chief Elliott, yes. Question: Now, given the fact that 4 5 you were not aware of the training or 6 experience that either possessed on the 7 subject of -- correct me if I'm wrong, on 8 the subject of sexual discrimination on what 9 basis would they make a value judgment as to whether the discrimination was serious or 10 11 not? 12 Mayor Doherty: On their experience. 13 Question: Well, you already 14 testified you are not aware of any that they had? 15 16 Mayor Doherty: Experience at work 17 experience in treating people the right way, 18 most people. That's it? 19 QUESTION: 20 Mayor Doherty: Yes. That's it. 21 Question: Are you aware that the 22 City of Scranton has had a written sexual 23 harassment policy since 2004? 24 Mayor Doherty: If we had that what?

25

Question: No. I'm asking you, are

you aware that the City of Scranton has had a written sexual harassment policy since 2004?

Mayor Doherty: If we had it inside of the HR Department that's what we had. I couldn't tell you one way or another all of the different things we have in our city, policies, so if there is one there --"

Remember, Scranton citizens, taxpayers and city workers, this is the Mayor of Scranton speaking under oath who has been the mayor for nine years. By his own admission under oath he has not a clue as to how to manage or lead the city, but yet now he wants to manage the police department, fire department and clerical departments. This citizen does not want to see this happen.

By the way, Mayor Doherty, you are not the governor, lieutenant governor or state senator. The voters got it right, but you are and will be the mayor for three more years. Start acting like a mayor. Speak with your citizens not hide from them and by all means become aware of what is happening

within your city.

"Question: So how do you as mayor distinguish between that which will deserve your attention and that which will not deserve your attention?

Mayor Doherty: I depend on my personnel to tell me.

Question: So did you depend on your personnel to establish the sexual harassment policy?

Mayor Doherty: I depend on my personnel and make sure they execute their job.

Question: Do you know as a mayor whether the implementation of a sexual harassment policy was part of a job or one of your subordinates.

Mayor Doherty: I don't understand the question."

I do not understand how Mayor

Doherty was elected to be mayor three times.

I would like everybody at home and in the audience to close your eyes, relax, and have a vision of how the state would be run if the mayor was governor, lieutenant governor

\_

or state senator. Okay, open your eyes, it was just a nightmare.

Now that Mayor Doherty and Scranton
Times wants to blame the Scranton City
Council for the financial mess -- can I
complete, I'm almost done?

MS. EVANS: Yes, just finish your thought though.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I recommend that the Scranton Times, WNEP and WBRE interview Robert McGoff, Sherry Fanucci and Judy Gatelli and ask them why they approved the borrowing and past city budgets which were not balanced and money which was not available was plugged into the budget such as the so-called five million from the Single Tax Office which never appeared in the city treasurer. It should make for an interesting story plus investigation. Also interview Mayor Doherty and ask him why he has not met with the taxpayers and the citizens of the Scranton to discuss his plans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, again,

25

council. Bob Bolus, Scranton. Just a couple of quick things, one, on East Mountain Road, I would like see the parking change coming down East Mountain Road. don't know if you have been up there when it's snowing and it's wintertime, but there is never any parking there. People park in their driveways, but when you come down there and it's snow and icy there is cars parked all along there. It's not only difficult for people traversing there, but for snowplowing and coming down there you really have no where to push the snow other than against the cars, so I would like to see them go back in their driveways at least during the winter months like up in Clarks Summit and other areas they have no on-street parking in certain areas, I think we need to do that. That's a dangerous area coming down there. It's all downhill, the car slides we're gong to have problems there and it should be changed at least for the winter. They have always parked in their driveways. I think they need to go back to doing that.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, as far as the fire department goes, you know, I'm a volunteer firemen up in Throop. I think maybe we could talk to the outlying fire departments instead of adding more and doing more here, maybe talk to them about getting assistance from the volunteer fire departments for a set fee. This way we don't have benefits, we don't have all of that overhead taxes and everything else that we have to pay on the additional employees, and maybe the volunteer fire departments could help. You got Throop, Dunmore, they have people. have Olyphant, Dickson City, Taylor, Old Forge so, you know, there are other areas that may be able to assist us in certain times. And Jack knows, you know, what they could do and not do. They are pretty well trained, so that's just food for thought down there to help offset the additional things that Mr. Schumacher was concerned with, how do you pay and all of the benefits and all of the stuff that goes with it.

And in next thing is I heard

Mr. Piccolino with his pizza, well, last

year at my dinner he brought pizza over and it was a hell of a hit, and I just talked to him out in the hall, I said, "What do you mean, we are not getting pizza at our dinner?

He goes, "No, we'll see that you have pizza this year, too."

And what I'd like to do is tell everybody because next week is going to be a very hectic week and just invite everybody as I have over the last 15 years, this will be the 16th year that I have sponsored a Christmas Day all-day buffet at St. Lucy's church in West Side. People can call, make -- they don't need a reservation, but if they need a ride or a meal delivered, I'll give them a phone number, if I may?

MS. EVANS: Sure.

MR. BOLUS: It's 570-346-7659. They could call if they need help a ride or a meal delivered. It starts at 12:00 and goes to 6:00. There is toys for kids, it's an all day festival, it's about people, it's not about one select group or another, it's for everyone who has no where to be on

Christmas. This is the place to be. It's part of my extended family now and I invite everybody to be there, and I'd like to wish each and every one of you a Merry Christmas. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Bolus, for your never ending generosity to this community and congratulations on your 16th dinner. I know it is always very well attended.

MR. BOLUS: I got a lot grayer this year. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you for all you do.

MR. BOLUS: You are welcome.

MS. EVANS: Don Davis.

MR. DAVIS: Good evening, Council.

My name is Don Davis, I am currently the refuse supervisor at DPW, a position I have held for the last 11 years. I have come before council this evening to appeal the amendments to Mayor Doherty's budget for 201 wherein my job and the jobs of my three fellow foremen have been eliminated. I was blind sided by this news. It is

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unimaginable to me how council expects the department to run safely and efficiently without foremen.

I'll tell you a little bit of what I do each day. I arrive at the Department of Public Works at 4:30 a.m. each morning. I'm responsible for 40 men getting, them out to pick up the refuse each day. I'm responsible for 11 refuse trucks. responsible to go on complaints, answer complaints, complaints about blighted houses where, you know, people that they move out of the house and they put all of their garbage out on the side of the road. responsible for that. I I'm involved with the city inspectors, which the housing inspectors you put in two positions back in.

It's just -- I just can't imagine running the Department of Public Works with just two supervisors. I mean, there is times where we were all -- the supervisors are out three days in a row with a snowstorm, I mean, then the next day we have to come and run the Department of Public Works. I just can't imagine, you know, two

supervisors running the whole department.

I mean, I have been down there, like I said, 11 years and, I mean, there is times that I'm running from one side of the city to the other side of the city where the men would -- some men would get hurt, I would run to the other side of the city and pick up the guy and take him to the hospital. I mean, I don't know how two guys would be able to do all of that work. I mean, it's just a lot of work for two men.

I mean, there is -- there is days where it's nonstop. There is days where 20, 25 guys call in sick, I mean, then we are responsible to shift guys from one department to another department and try to get enough refuse trucks out to pick up the city's trash. I mean, it's just a lot of work for two foremen and I overheard Mrs. Evans saying that they put in Mark Dougher, what's the title involved?

MR. JOYCE: Deputy Director of DPW.

MR. DAVIS: And that's a new

position?

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. DAVIS: I don't know. Like I said, I have down there 11 years. Jeff Brazil is the Director of Public Works and his responsibilities, he let's me and three other supervisors run our departments and any problems we answer to Jeff Brazil. I mean, I just can't imagine just two supervisors running that whole department. I don't know.

I mean, you know, in closing, I kindly ask council to reconsider this amendment and restore our jobs. That will be it.

MR. MCGOFF: If I may, I had spoke to two members before the meeting, I am going to ask during the meeting that two of the foremen positions be reinstated for the budget.

MR. DAVIS: That would help. I mean, that would help a lot. I mean, like I said, there is days where we get a big snowstorm how to two guys stay out all night long and just even think about running the next day? I mean, you need a break. It's just a lot of work for two guys. I mean, I

1 have been down there 11 years and there was 2 always four supervisors and the director. 3 Just the fleet manager, Mike Lynady, I mean, he is in charge of all the garage. He is in 4 charge of ordering parts, making sure the 5 fuel is up to -- you know, I don't know who 6 7 would -- who would take over the garage to 8 order all these parts? I mean, Jeff Brazil, 9 Mark Dougher? I don't understand. 10 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Davis, while we have 11 you here, who is in charge on supervising 12 refuse workers to ensure they are working a 13 full day? 14 MR. DAVIS: I am, sir. MR. ROGAN: 15 Okay. And they are? 16 MR. DAVIS: A full day? 17 MR. ROGAN: Yes. A full eight-hour 18 shift. 19 MR. DAVIS: Oh, I would say they get 20 their breaks and their lunch after they pick Yeah. 21 up refuse. 22 Thank you. MR. ROGAN: MR. DAVIS: 23 Okay. Thank you. 24 MS. EVANS: Andy Sbaraglia. 25 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,

citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, on your agenda items, 6-B has to do with the Parking Authority. Are we giving them money again for administrative like we did all of the other years and for the citation workers, too?

MR. JOYCE: We will be funding them at a level that we feel is appropriate as per the amendments we presented last night. Therefore, there will be some administrative cuts in this budget or in the -- we will be amending the Parking Authority budget to reflect the administrative cuts that we are suggesting should be made in the SPA Office.

Just to give you some background on the SPA, as you know, that there is a director, which is Mr. Scopelliti, a director of finance, there is an office manager and there is a supervisor, and I believe, correct me if I'm wrong, there are two cashiers. That's a lot of chiefs to manage two Indians, so currently it was the consensus amongst other council members that we should only be funding two of those positions from the city budget as far as

what we feel is needed to effectively manage the meter program, so we will be making adjustments to that. And also we will be making an adjustment to add a \$25,000 payment in lieu of taxes which is in the bond prospectus of the SPA to be given back to the city.

MR. SBARAGLIA: While you are at that there, are they going to borrow this year to pay on the bonds again?

MR. JOYCE: I did see -- their budget that they submitted to us it wasn't very detailed, I did see a refinancing of debt. I know that's a pretty bold projection because, and correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Hughes, if they refinance their debt that would have to come from in front of council; correct?

MR. HUGHES: If they refinanced and they wanted to have what's known as a double barrel bond, which all of the bonds are, which means that the City of Scranton pledges it's full faith taxing power to secure the bonds so they are a fully taxed bond by the city and not a revenue bond,

they would have to come before council in any refinancing.

MR. JOYCE: And they are making the assumption that we are going to grant that, which I don't think is necessarily a wise assumption, but we may be left with no choice in the end.

MR. SBARAGLIA: But we could still give away parking spaces; right? They can be very generous when it comes to free parking to certain people?

MR. JOYCE: I'll be honest with you, I'm still looking to decipher where the money from CMC is going and I'm still yet to get that information and that's something I'm currently looking into and as far as giving away free parking spaces I don't necessarily agree with that at all, but unfortunately, you know, we can make our suggestions, but we don't have the authority to tell the Parking Authority what to do, so --

MR. SBARAGLIA: The mayor figured he can do without ten policemen; am I right?

MR. JOYCE: That's correct.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: Why can't they hand out tickets like they used to and the heck with the Parking Authority? Let them sink or swim under their own cesspool that they created?

MR. JOYCE: I'll be honest with you, the whole meter program this is something that I personally would favor being in house. We pay \$190,000 in management fees to the Parking Authority based on an ordinance and a bond issue in 2006. Basically we are giving them \$190,000 every year because we pay for all of their workers, all of the costs relating to the meter programs, so really is doesn't make a lot of sense. It's almost to me, the way I feel, as though we are giving them \$190,000 a year to do the same thing that we could have -- or that we could do with the same workers if they were just City of Scranton employees, but --

MR. SBARAGLIA: Yes, they used to be.

MR. JOYCE: -- that's my opinion.

MR. SBARAGLIA: It used to be. The

city police used to do it a long time ago. But that brings up a lot of other problems with the Parking Authority other than finances, this, that and whatever, extend their bonds. They are also redoing some of the garages. I don't know how they long they figure they are going to push these bonds out, they say garages are still going to be standing by the time they pay them off, that's another problem. You can't accelerate -- well, I shouldn't say accelerate, but the bonds making instead of 20 years, making it 30 years when the garage is to stand only 20.

And a lot things with that Parking

Authority, but you have the right to do what
you want as far as the meters and plus the
fact is all of the meter revenue is
mortgaged, you didn't bring that up that the
mortgage -- all of the money is mortgaged so
the Parking Authority can borrow.

MR. JOYCE: And another thing I want to bring up about the SPA and I learned from some sources that their management staff is a receiving a 10 percent raise this year

which is pretty interesting since the mayor proposed cutting numerous firefighters, police officers, DPW workers, and a few administrative people that in such a time when we are cutting multiple workers that management raises would be given out as well in one of these authorities, and that they wouldn't be sharing in some of the burden the city is currently taking on.

MR. SBARAGLIA: We should all learn to play baseball and get on his team. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I just want to add, I don't know if you said this, Mr. Joyce, but, we discovered when going through the budget that there are a number of spaces in those garages that are given for free to city hall administration and that's been eliminated in the budget as well.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: There will be no more free parking for the city administrators.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, it's approximately \$10,000 if I recall correctly that the city is paying for parking for employees.

MR. SBARAGLIA: We also remember that they got free parking for the movie theatre, too, that was given to Boscov's.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Sbaraglia. Doug Miller. Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.

A number of things, first, I would like to acknowledge Mr. Davis as a very responsive employee. I remember when the garbage truck was doing all of it's squeezings out in front of my house and it smelled horrible and it looked horrible and I called and it turned out the truck needed a new seal and it was installed and ready to go by the next week when it showed up at any house, and I appreciate his efforts.

Two, I am very disappointed that we have no capital budget. It was -- we never got a public hearing, it's not being amended or approved and I'm disappointed in that.

I'm also disappointed that no audit was available to outsiders before this budget process.

And I would like to say to

Mr. Bolus, he doesn't live on East Mountain Road, I do, they plow to the curb and I get it all in my sidewalks and the salt eats the cement away and I wish they could do something about that, too.

Regarding CMC, I know it's an issue and I would just like to say having had someone in their most of last week and spending time there, they have the audacity to park -- all week if you try to get a parking space on the museum side from Monday through Friday forget it, it's not there. They park for free and they have the audacity to charge any visitors of the hospital \$4 flat rate when you go out and until they change that to, you know, a buck for two hours, I say no more breaks for CMC.

Now, back to the budget. The utilities and LIPS and the building budget have been cut by seventy-six and a half thousand dollars, I know my utility bills all continue to rise and I'd like to know how the city is different from me and what I might do to get my down that much.

The treasury, I would also like to

know what accounts for the better than doubling over 2010 of the professional services account.

I would like to know why Tripp Park
Community Center is the only COM Center in
the receive operating funds paid by the
entire city.

And I would also suggest you might want to put a reserve in for -- to repay the University of Scranton when the South Side Complex is returned to it's rightful owner.

DPW on refuse, since the refuse fees as of September 2010 totaled \$4 million and the refuse department will be operating at a half a million dollars less than that, can't the refuse fee of \$178 be reduced?

Single Tax Office: With Burkheimer collecting the local taxes beginning in January of next year, I expected to see a reduction in personnel and related expenses, and I would like to know why there is no reduction to match the reduced workload.

BA, worker's comp, the actuals through September was \$3.8 and council has budgeted \$1.8 million less for 2011 than has

been expensed for nine months of 2010. I would like an explanation of that.

Parks and Rec, I would like the identity of what projects have been eliminated to achieve the \$132,000 reduction.

And even with the mayor's budget 30,000 of that -- of the 35.9 reduction was the dues for the Pennsylvania League of Municipalities and Cities, and I just wonder if the cost of performing the services and the programs that they perform for the city and I believe for council if it operates the same as boroughs, if that cost isn't going to exceed what you reduced in the mayor's budget because, for instance, when the state mandates something I'm quite sure that that organization let's the city know so they may comply, and I think you might want to look at restoring that.

And Parks and Rec, I believe you reduced to two people and -- oh, I'm sorry. Since two pools, I believe it was two, did not operate in 2010 I would suggest maybe they will be using more chemicals when those

two pools return to operation in 2011, and also it appears that the positions transferred from Parks and Rec to the DPW there was -- I didn't have time to write down all of the personnel stuff last time so I'm not entirely sure, but in the mayor's budget have been eliminated how will this effect the functioning of Weston Field?

MS. EVANS: Ms. Schumacher, can you provide Ms. Carrera with all of your requests, please?

MR. JOYCE: And I'll just elaborate

MS. SCHUMACHER: Can you give the cards back to me?

MS. EVANS: Absolutely. Yes.

MR. JOYCE: I'll elaborate on the first two because I did write those down, the first one was the electrical charge. In 2009 we spent approximately \$328,473.30. On the first three-quarters of this year we spent \$290,360.60. If you base that on a prorated basis for each quarter and multiply it by four it comes out to \$387.147.47. The amount that was put in the budget was a nice

round number. We are basing our estimate on an actual cost based on what we know is the actual cost of it.

MS. SCHUMACHER: In all of those you reduced all of them. You reduced gas by \$50,000, and you reduced even the SSA amount was reduced all of them -- all of them were reduced and it's significant. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Right, and I will elaborate more, the gas charge that we have used so far this year is \$93,272.12, it's in the in the budget for \$200,000, which means that we would basically have to use double the amount that we did in nine months within a span of three months and we still wouldn't get to the \$200,000, so that was actually prorated and put to a \$150,000.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And I hope your backup sheets, even if they weren't available before now will be available later.

MR. JOYCE: Yeah. I can always e-mail you a copy of the spreadsheet as well.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That would be

great. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I also just wanted to add with regard to the tax office,
Burkheimer will not be assuming those collections until the year 2012. Throughout 2011 the delinquent tax collections for the Year 2010 are going to be conducted through the Single Tax Office. Years 2009 and previous will be collected by the Treasury Office in City hall, and so it was necessary to retain the number of employees within the Single Tax Office because their duties and responsibilities have not been decreased in 2011, conversely, they have-actually increased by council.

With regard to the capital budget,
the information necessary to the development
of a capital budget is not entirely
available to city council. We don't have a
list of the all of the projects completed in
the last three years, the project dates, the
specific expenditures and justifications,
etcetera. That information is in the
possession of the administration and it is
the administration that is charged by the

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Home Rule Charter to present a capital budget which is in compliance with the Home Rule Charter. If council had received a complete and valid budget in compliance with the charter, council could then amend it, vote in favor of it or vote it down. However, it is not within council's scope of the authority to write budgets. We are empowered to amend, approve, and decline That is why it's impossible in addition for us to amend information that

Probably just one more thing though, want to add. You know, Henry David Thorough, who was a giant of American literature wrote, the faultfinder will find fault even in paradise. I try to remember those words and I think if we all do we can find a sense of paradise more often in life and try to appreciate all that we have rather than what we do not.

And the next speaker is Les Spindler.

wasn't provided to us.

MR. JOYCE: Before Mr. Spindler speaks if I could also add that the

adjustment in professional services that you spoke about you said in the Treasury it's risen and the reason why that has risen is because of things that the Treasury Department needs in order to collect those delinquent fees. Those were some of the things that were budgeted for in that area.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler, city resident,
homeowner and taxpayer. I want to commend
council on coming up with a balanced budget
without leaving our residents and without
public safety people I thought it was great
that you could balance it and keep our
public safety people and cutting property
taxes and cutting the mercantile business
privilege tax, now maybe we can get
businesses into this city.

I have been coming to these meetings for nine years and no council has ever tried to balance a budget. They might have, but Chris Doherty and his rubber stampers on there didn't allow it. I'm so proud of the supermajority and I'm proud to say that I

voted for you four and I can go to bed tonight feeling that my family will be in good hands, no thanks to Chris Doherty, but thanks to this council supermajority.

And now, Mrs. Evans, I don't know whether or not you are aware of it or not but the mayor was on the news tonight and like a spoiled brat he said, "I'm still going to cut, I'm still going to lay off people, I have my management rights," so can I defer to Attorney Hughes and say was he still -- could he still lay off people even though council came up with a balanced budget?

MR. HUGHES: I didn't see him, I don't know what he said and I don't what he -- let's wait until he does it and see what happens.

MR. SPINDLER: He said he has management rights, I'm going to layoff people, we have to balance a b budget.

Well, we have a balanced budget without laying off people and cutting taxes, so I think it will be interesting to see what happens and I hope if he does that maybe in

time council takes him to Court.

MS. EVANS: Well, council will address whatever comes it's way.

MR. JOYCE: And in all due respect,
Mr. Spindler, the compliment for each
position is a part of the budget document,
so that is one argument that I firmly
believe in that we don't have the right to
tell the mayor what shift schedule he should
be putting firefighters on or -- you know,
we could make suggestion and whether he
chooses to listen to them or not, but one
thing I firmly believe is that the budget
document contains the compliment for each
department so --

MR. SPINDLER: I understand that perfectly.

MR. JOYCE: That's my position.

MR. SPINDLER: You came up with a balanced budget, I don't think he has a leg to stand on.

Next, I thought last night was a very important meeting, I couldn't make it here, but I put on the TV at 6:00 to watch it and my TV screen was black. I thought

maybe something happened to my television.

It's about six months old only and until you explained the situation, Mrs. Evans, I couldn't believe -- I was able to listen to it I'm very sorry I couldn't see Councilman Joyce, I heard he was very professional, and I heard something today from a very good source, did you get the news about 3:15, Mrs. Evans, that it wouldn't be able to get broadcast?

MS. EVANS: It was late in the day when I received that message.

MR. SPINDLER: I heard it was about 3:15 and I heard just before that that Mark Migliore was in the mayor's office for about ten minutes and then I told he got in touch with council and said that we are not going to broadcast it, so I think he got his marching orders from the mayor not to put that meeting on and I think that's terrible because I think it was a very important meeting for the taxpayers of this city to see and all we could do is listen to it and if that's true then I think something should be done about -- I have been outspoken

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

against ECTV since day one and I think they should be shown the door and if this is true then just adds more fuel to the fire. And I definitely can believe that because I got this from a good source.

Lastly, you know, I have given Chief Duffy the benefit of the doubt, I saw him at "National Night Out" which was approximately three months ago and told him the situation with the police report that I identifies two city employees stealing the DiBileo signs, he said it wasn't a priority of his but he would look into it and I told him Detective Martin was one of the investigating detectives and he said he would talk to Detective Martin. As of tonight, he has yet to talk to Detective Martin which was like three months ago, so I think Chief Duffy lied right to my face and I think he doesn't have any credibility at all, I think he is just another Doherty stooge, and if he can prove me wrong let him come here and prove me wrong and arrest those people, but I feel safe in saying I don't think that's going to happen. That's all I have to say. Thank

you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.

Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers',
resident of Scranton. Okay, I'll compliment
you on attempting, at least to hopefully
things will work out, with this budget.

Now, at the Taxpayers' last week we entertained the possibility of converting police and fire protection fees and spreading the cost out over part of the nonprofit community, so over the next year I hope to --

MS. EVANS: Please turn off your cell phones.

MR. DOBRZYN: I hope to explore that so that these guys don't have to be worrying about whether or not they have a job every six or eight months or some something like that, and I think it would be a good idea similar to the trash fee and, of course, then some people would have to pay a fairer share, but when we are doing a service that benefits the whole country or an education

service that benefits the whole world possibly whereas foreign exchange students why should the people of Scranton being continually asked to come up with the extra taxes to keep these people going.

And I made a little tape here to submit to council, it's on an old bill before the House, and one of the things that Mrs. Gatelli always pointed out, and in this case she was right, school taxes are way higher than city taxes and people could pass it around, do we have a meeting next week?

Is there a --

MS. EVANS: No, there is not one scheduled.

MR. DOBRZYN: No council?

MS. EVANS: No there is not a meeting scheduled for next week.

MR. DOBRZYN: Well, you could pass this one around and some day maybe I could get to a DVD, I didn't have the time to make it up today, but it's on expanding sales taxes and eliminating school property taxes, so with permission I'll bring it up.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: That was taped down at West Nanticoke High about the year and a half ago and I'd like to urge everybody in the country call your representative that your national representatives Kanjorski and Casey and whoever and get rid of that social security tax holiday out of the Federal Tax Bill. That is craziness. Every day you hear something about social security and they are talking about cutting social security tax, that's one tax we don't need cut.

And finally, the Golden Parrot award goes to the 2008 Senate for not eliminating the filibuster. I think they could have saved theirselves a lot of trouble if they had thrown the filibuster and out and banned it. Bawk, bawk.

And by the way, tonight there was an a little broadcast on what you done on last night's council meeting, so it will probably be played in the future. I saw it before I came or --

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much for your work last night.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MR. DOBRZYN: Parts of it didn't turn out too good, but -- yeah, my microphone cables all got tied up into a knot, I had them arranged for the taxpayers and I throw it out and I was in parallel instead of series and that didn't work out, but we got the message, so thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.
Mr. Ellman?

MR. ELLMAN: Council, Ronnie Ellman, member of the Taxpayers' Association. I was listening about the budget, with all of the chaos in this Doherty administration I don't see how anybody could make a budget. You just never seem to know what's going to happen next.

I had six, eight people during the week ask me if I was telling the truth about my blanket story last week and that's a true story, it's not paranormal. Somebody showed me some warmth and compassion and put a blanket on me when I was freezing to death, that's all I was saying.

Last night, I went up to get a quart of milk at the Giant, it was one of those times Rosie told me to go someplace and this woman came over to me and I wrote this down on another piece of paper here, this woman came over and told me she was disgusted by my continual, appalling, controversial accusations of waste, graph, corruption, and incompetence under Mayor Doherty.

Now, how do you answer that? I mean she was hot, too. I don't know who she was. I didn't get to use my potty mouth on her because she was just wandered off after she give me a dirty look.

And I was wondering a few years ago when we had the house appraisals as I remember we were mandating by 2012 to have our appraisals over, isn't that -- isn't that right? What was ever done about that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: You're talking about the reassement?

MR. ELLMAN: Pardon?

MR. LOSCOMBE: The reassesments, is that what you are talking about, the property reassesment?

MR. ELLMAN: Yeah. I mean, I knew they stopped that 21st Century or whatever.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's on the county level, as far as I know it's still stopped.

MR. ELLMAN: I didn't know whatever happened. They appraised mine, but I never saw nothing. The people come with a ruler, you know, and --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I think they had the majority of properties done.

MR. ELLMAN: I was wondering if this marriage between Boscov and Blake if we will end up with another multi-million dollar refinancing the loan or something to save all of those guys that were here their six figure jobs. They sure seem to be in bed together now those two.

You know, all week long when I talked to people this is really -- this is such a good town, I'm really happy, you know. I said one time I could have -- I knew people all around the country, you know, friends and relatives where I could have gone and I have people ask me every once in awhile, "What you doing up here?

Why aren't you down south or something?"

I just like this town and I like the people and I sure wish we could get out of our problems and I think, I don't mean this so adversely about the mayor, but I just think he is just at the bottom of everything, every single problem we have seems to go back to this administration just to -- it's just incompetent. You know, that's why I said I don't see how you all can try and make a budget between lawsuits and, you know, there is just nothing constant. It's just continual chaos.

Well, that reminds me, I didn't see Phyllis for two weeks I don't need her time tonight either. You weren't going to give it to me anywhere, were you? I thank council and I hope you all have a nice Christmas holidays. I'd rather say Merry Christmas instead of holidays, I don't like the word holidays, but I hope everybody has a nice Christmas season. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KREEGAN: Good evening. My name is John Kreegan, city resident and I congratulate you on your budget, it's very nice and it's about time you got something done. I would like to talk a little bit about something that if you are planning on in your budget for revenue.

I'm a part-time worker in the Scranton Parking Authority and I'd like to address the smart parking or whatever it's I don't think it's feasible in the called. city, I don't think it's going to work. don't have all of the facts, but I have a few things. It might work in the big city where you have more employees to run around and more parking meters, but we just don't have it here. Our system that they use now is very good. There's only four enforcement officers to cover the whole city which includes the hospitals and everything else, so it's a big area to cover, but this system, this StreetSmart or whatever it's called, basically everybody is going to have a beeper, so when the meter runs out the beeper is going to tell that meter person to

go to that car so they might be eight, ten, blocks away. By the time you get there that car could be gone, they could have coined the meter, etcetera, you know?

Also, it doesn't recognize handicapped plates or placards and handicapped people get an extra hour grace period with their parking, so they would be coming to put a ticket on a car for no reason whatsoever because of the handicapped spot.

So, you know, it's just -- I don't know what the cost of this system is, but from what I hear the four enforcement officers would have to write approximately 600 tickets a day to cover the cost, the monthly cost of the system. Right now they probably average maybe 200. There's just not enough traffic at our meters to write 600 tickets, so I think you guys should like look into that before you think about it and put it in. That's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. KEEGAN: Merry Christmas and Happy New Year's.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. STULGIS: I'm Ann Marie Stulgis and I'm here this evening because as a member of the Board of the Fraternal Order of Police I'm privy to various communications and orders that would be directed to our president, and I received a copy of an order that he was given last week and I thought it was really necessary to share it with all of you, and I won't read it verbatim, I will give you the gist of it and I have made copies for each of you.

It was written by our police chief regarding police officers speaking at city council meetings. And it starts stating that, "I understand that there were police officers at the last city council speaking at the podium."

He then requests that the union president give them some guidance regarding speaking, about speaking at city council meetings. He goes onto say that, "No person employed by the Scranton Police Department is authorized to discuss the inner workings

of the police department nor any communication about personnel nor any opinions about the police department."

That I particularly like since I didn't know that we weren't allowed to have opinions. He goes on to say that this does not just pertain to speaking at city council, but it also refers to any print media, any non print media, any social networking websites, including Facebook and any web blogs.

Now, keep in mind that I still thought that police officers had some civil rights. Truly they must not. He finishes up saying, "Any officer who publically disagrees, contradicts or questions the operations of the department will be dealt with."

Now, I'll tell you, that is in my opinion unbelievable. When I first saw it I was extremely angry. After I calmed down, which took a whole day, I became very concerned because if you don't want police officers, now, they weren't coming up here telling you about crimes or about victims,

they were giving you facts, facts that the citizens, people need to know. If they are not allowed to tell facts I have to ask why and wonder why. What is he hiding? What is the city afraid that the police officers

will tell?

there is only four police officers working on midnight shift covering the whole 27 square miles? Afraid people will find that out? I'm telling you, it's a fact. That is fact. What are they afraid of? That they are going to tell that there aren't enough police cars and the police cars are all in crappy condition? It's been that way for 20 years. That hasn't changed.

And as for them not having the right to speak, just quite coincidentally, I came across a note for the very first time that I came and spoke when I was a police officer and it was July 5th of 1995. I wasn't an officer in the union, I was just a police officer. Coincidentally, the meeting was about the mayor cutting police jobs and council voted not to cut the jobs and the

jobs weren't cut, and that was in 1995. Since then a whole lot of police officers have come here and have spoken and exercised their rights as citizens and taxpayers to speak, and now I think you people really need to understand and I think the citizens had better be really concerned as to what is this police department afraid that the citizens are going to find out. What is being hidden here that we can't know as citizens? Is it really that bad out there that we don't want anybody to know what's happening. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI:

Council. Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer, recording secretary of the FOP, First Amendment Rights. I'm going to make this short and give you a break, I want to thank you for the time and effort that you put into this amended budget. It was not an easy task. I believe much time was spent on it. It was gratifying to see that a budget could be put forth that saves money, keeps most of the 69 positions that had been

Good evening,

written out of the original budget and it cuts taxes, we have a 25 percent mercantile cut in taxes, 10.55 percent decrease in real estate taxes.

We all remember the 25 percent tax increase of 2008 and who voted for that. I wonder how Mr. McGoff will vote tonight? He has a chance to redeem himself with taxpayers getting at least almost half of their 25 percent tax break back. Thank you very much, Council. We, the people, appreciate it.

I also want to thank you for giving us again we, the people, a chance to address council, to let our views be known about the original budget. Everyone, citizens, residents, taxpayers and all union represents had the opportunity to come before council and fight for their members. It was their, everyone's choice to appear before council. Whether they did or not was up to them.

Not everyone is happy today, but there are a lot less happy people with this amended budget than the original budget put

forward that eliminated 69 positions. You saved most of the positions that were written out of the original budget and for that we are extremely grateful. Thank you. I'm also very thankful for the council considering the health, welfare, and safety of the City of Scranton residents and citizens.

One more thing, if the supermajority council had been in place would we have had a balanced budget in 2007 and no need would have existed for the 25 percent tax increase at that time? Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Ancherani. Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Mr. McGoff, any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. As I sat and went through the budget today and looked at the amendments that were being made there are a number of questions that I had, but I think you are right, Mrs. Evans, that you know, any document of this magnitude you are bound to find things that are questionable and certainly there are a number of things

in here that I -- you know, that I would ask questions about and Mr. Joyce last night offered to provide me with some of the details of expenditures that were cut, things were added, that were not included in the presentation and I thank him for that.

But in totality, again, I think that those things are somehow lost by the overall nature of the budget. There are -- still with that, there are one or two kind of major questions that I would like to ask and let it be -- and then be done with it. The one thing in the city clerk's city council section, the executive assistant and confidential secretary, are those union positions or are they nonunion?

MR. JOYCE: They would be nonunion.

MR. MCGOFF: They would be nonunion, so they would basically be new positions?

MR. JOYCE: And the existing position would be eliminated.

MR. MCGOFF: The one union position eliminated. Thank you. I wasn't sure how that was going be -- and they would then be -- those would be appointed by council?

It's

MR. JOYCE: Correct. 1 MR. MCGOFF: I would assume? 2 3 MS. EVANS: Yes. MR. MCGOFF: By a vote of council. 4 MS. EVANS: Yes, that was I believe 5 provided for in the administrative code. 6 Okay. I just, again, I 7 MR. MCGOFF: 8 just wasn't sure what it was. 9 MR. JOYCE: No problem. 10 MR. MCGOFF: The second thing that I 11 just had questions about, the police chief 12 and fire chief salaries for -- that were 13 suggested in 2011, police chief at \$73,006 14 and the fire chief at \$62,872. 15 MR. JOYCE: And I know, I'll explain 16 that to you all right now. MR. MCGOFF: Okay. 17 18 MR. JOYCE: Because, you know, I 19 figured that someone was going to ask that 20 question and I might as well have an 21 explanation. As far as the police chief is 22 concerned, the police chief works a 40-hour schedule just like all of the other 23 24 officers. The firefighters under the 25 current plan work a 48-hour schedule.

been customary procedure at least with the unions and chiefs that the chief would make 4 percent higher than the next lowest subordinate. With that being said, I calculated the hourly rate of the fire chief based on 4 percent higher than the next lowest subordinate's hourly rate and multiplied that by a 40-hour workweek rather than a 48-hour workweek because I understand that the chief has a regular daily schedule where the firefighters would be working the 24 day, two days off, and then the 24 hour day, two day off shift and with the calendar I believe it's every month or two weeks or whatever it was written as, but that's how I came about that number.

MR. MCGOFF: It just seems odd that I think if I counted correctly 24 or 25 members of the department will be making more in salary than the chief, which doesn't seem quite, you know, proper, but thank you for your explanation.

MR. JOYCE: I do -- I do see the point that you are making, but in that same light I will say that on an hourly rate the

23

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

chief will be making 4 percent more than the next lowest subordinate, so I guess there is an argument both ways and I see it one way and you see it another, but we agree to disagree and that's why we are in America.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. And the last two items, I did speak to Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Rogan prior to the meeting and I said that I would ask if they would consider reinstating three positions into the budget, two of them being -- well, all three of them actually being in the Department of Public Works. One would be the foremen for refuse and the other would be the recycling coordinator. With the concern that we have expressed for public safety and also public health, I think that these two positions are absolutely essential to maintain the integrity that the Department of Public Works has and in providing for and maintaining public health throughout the I don't -- in hearing Mr. Davis talk I don't believe that the refuse department can operate without some supervision, necessary supervision, and so I would ask

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that we consider reinstating those two positions that I indicated.

And also the third would be in the Parks and Rec program, the Parks and Rec program is one that operates outside of the kind of normal working hours. Schumacher mentioned, you know, what would happen to something like Weston Field that operates, you know, outside of the basic nine to five hours. I think that an additional person in this program would be welcome in order to maintain the current program that we have and the things that are offered through the Parks and Rec program and so I would ask that the Parks and Recreation program manager also be considered for reinstatement.

The salaries at those were \$39,000 for the foreman for refuse, \$39,000 for the recycling coordinator and \$38,000 for the Parks and Rec program manager. So as we are talking this evening and we get to the legislation I would ask that these three be considered. Thank you. That's all.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Now, just for the

1 record, two of those positions were originally cut by Mayor Doherty, correct, in 2 3 the Parks and Rec that you're --MR. MCGOFF: May have been. 4 MR. JOYCE: Right. I believe they 5 That's why I wanted to make that 6 were. 7 point clear. 8 MR. MCGOFF: I can look. 9 MR. JOYCE: That's okay. 10 MR. MCGOFF: And whether, again, as I said before, I didn't agree with all of 11 12 the cuts that the mayor had made in the 13 budget and certainly among others that was 14 one. 15 My question would be MR. ROGAN: 16 where would the money come from to 17 reinstate --18 MR. MCGOFF: That was something that we would need to discuss. 19 It would have to --20 MR. JOYCE: ultimately it would have to be balanced so 21 22 it would have to come from the revenue and 23 that the tax decrease that we were proposing 24 would have to be lowered. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Adjusted.

MR. JOYCE: It would have to be adjusted.

MS. EVANS: Or the other possibility would be other individuals, other positions would have to be eliminated to provide for those positions because I think if you look through the paperwork that Mr. Joyce provided to all of us we have gone through every department and every expenditure of each of these departments very, very closely and there really is nothing left to cut, so I think what you are saying is asking us to consider if we wanted to decrease the tax decrease for the people of Scranton or we wanted to cut the tax decrease for businesses or we wanted to lose some firemen or policemen.

MR. MCGOFF: No, that wasn't --

saying those are --

MR. MCGOFF: I understand.

MS. EVANS: Those are the areas that are well-funded and so, you know, that's basically where you would have to take the money from.

\_

MR. MCGOFF: Understood.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry. Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. First some announcements as to general city business and then I'll move onto the budget. The West Scranton Hyde Neighborhood Association will meet this Thursday at 7:00 p.m. in the basement of St. Pat's Church.

The next three I received from a teacher at Scranton High School who sends us announcements periodically about the accomplishments of some of the students in the high school and I have three of them.

Three Scranton High School students

Stephanie Fuentes and Mark McGuire were selected by the VFW Post 25 Voice of

Democracy winners. This is a scholarship given by the VFW for an auto essay. The two students will attend a District 10 banquet.

Linda Dannning and Jimmy McNalley
have been chosen as finalist in the holiday
poster contest sponsored by the
Lackawanna/Susquehanna Office of the Drug
and Alcohol programs.

Also, for her senior project Megan McGowan visited Marley's Mission in Lake Ariel. Marley's Mission uses horse assisted therapy to help children and their families who have experienced trauma to gain self-confidence, self-image and trust.

Students from Scranton High School came in second place at a cryptography contest held at the University of Scranton on November 20, 2010. Cryptography is the science of sending secret messages. It is one of the most important applications of mathematics in the modern age.

And I have a few citizens' requests, Mrs. Krake. The first one is there a streetlight out on Beach Street, I have a pole number for you, I will give it to you after the meeting. And the second is a letter to the DPW, there are various potholes on Palm, Fig and Stafford Avenue.

Now onto the budget. I would first like to start off actually with a piece of campaign material that we used when we ran for council and on the back it says, "Rogan, Joyce, Evans, Scranton City Council. If

we're elected we pledge to: Work to reduce taxes." You see that in this budget.

"Increase police and fire protection." You saw we restored the jobs that were cut by the mayor in this budget.

"Help to bring family sustaining jobs to Scranton." Our cut in the mercantile tax will give an incentive for businesses to come to Scranton which will mean more jobs for the people of Scranton.

"Vote against any tax increase."

This budget does not contain a tax increase.

"Vote against borrowing to balance budgets." This budget does not contain any borrowing.

"Vote against wasteful spending."

You see how Mr. Joyce outlined how council
went line item by line item eliminating any
waste everywhere we could, including \$54 for
cake.

And finally, "Restore decorum to council meetings."

I don't think anyone disagree that over the last year decorum has been restored to city council. City council is no longer

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the laughing stock where videos are posted on YouTube and they receive millions of hits. City council is now a place where people can come with their problems and city council is going to try to help them.

Moving to just a few more comments about the budget, Mr. McGoff had a few concerns about the budget and when you have a panel of five people and you have a document as thick as a budget, not every person here is going to agree on every single line item. I have stated in the past and Mr. Joyce mentioned in the paper that I personally wanted to go under \$50,000 for each employee in the administration, less than the mayor, but not every -- every single person has to compromise. budget is an accumulation of all of the ideas. Mr. Joyce met with each one of us, put them together and he had a great presentation last night, and this isn't city council's budget this is the people's It's been decades since property taxes were decreased in the city. 2007 they were increased 25 percent and in the future

we're going to work to decrease taxes even more.

If the City of Scranton is able to get more sources of revenue, the next obstacle to tackle would be the wage tax which keeps many people from living in Scranton.

The business taxes, some people say, well, it only helps businesses, but it doesn't, it helps everyone. People have come to council and talked about SAPA and they wanted council to reinstate SAPA because they said it would be bring businesses to Scranton, and all along the four of us up here have been saying the reason why businesses won't come to the City of Scranton is because of the mercantile tile and business privilege tax. This budget is the first step in the distant future of eliminating those taxes.

We are sending a message to businesses throughout Lackawanna and throughout the state that Scranton is becoming business friendly. We want businesses here. We want to bring good

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

family sustaining jobs for all of the people in Scranton.

The property tax, there is a cut in the property tax in this budget. People for decades have been leaving the City of Scranton. They have been leaving to the Abingtons, they have been moving out of town, they have been moving south, west, everywhere but Scranton and one of the big reasons is because of the taxes.

When people go to buy a home they look at the school districts, they look at many things, but the big thing that people are concerned about is their wallet, their checkbook at the end of the month. sending a message to the people all across the area that Scranton welcomes them into Scranton. We are working to reduce the fat in government, we are putting jobs back the people need to keep them safe and we are reduce taxes. This budget is the best budget I have seen since all of the time I have been following city politics, and that is all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Loscombe, any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you,

Mrs. Evans. First, I received this envelope
with a check in the amount of \$250 with a

little note here and I wanted to read it:

"Dear Jack and city council, enclosed is a check for \$250 on behalf of the West Side Falcons, a nonprofit youth organization in Scranton. Our concern for the safety and well-being of the children does not go without warrant. Two years ago my wife and I attended the funeral of one of our little Falcons, a nine-year-old child who died in a fire along with his brother due to arson. I pray to God that something like that never happens again. I fear for all of the children who may be in more danger if the fire and police personnel are cut in any way. Both are services that our organization has called upon many times. The firemen are always there whenever we have a bond fire or an accident, the police have been called on several occasions when there is mischief at our field. children always feel safe when they are

around.

Although, we don't have a lot of money, we are grateful to have a place for the children to go, keeping children off the street and involved in activities helps keep them out of trouble, and without the use of the field there would be over 200 children with nothing to do between July and November, so please accept our donation and our gratitude to all who have helped serve and protect all of the children in our organization. Sincerely, Ken Martin, president of West Scranton Falcons Youth Organization."

Now, I just want to say a few words on the budget, we have reserved ourselves the past couple of weeks. I definitely would like to commend Frank for all of his effort, all of his work, he did the brunt of it, he put the slideshow together, we just said, "Yeah, that's right, Frank."

No, we actually all had our part.

Although the budget proposed by the administration did not increase taxes, it did eliminate positions that would directly

impact the health, safety and welfare of all our residents, businesses and commuters. This city council unanimously agreed that the mayor's budget was unacceptable as presented. The city council majority which has been accused of doing nothing during our first 11 months in office has been aggressively pursuing ways to provide the quality of life and the services that you the taxpayers are entitled to.

Throughout the past 11 months this council majority has worked arduously responding to citizens and employee concerns on a daily basis, not just Tuesday evenings. We have listened to thousands of requests and concerns and are responding to them to the best of our abilities sometimes with and many times without the cooperation of administrative officials.

We are all facing difficult economic times and this council majority has maintained their focus on that reality. In tougher economic times it is a historic fact that crime rates spiral and the incidents of blighted properties and arson fires greatly

increase. Cutting the public safety departments, as the administrative budget has proposed, is a very ill-conceived idea that can result in tragic consequences.

Throughout this past 11 months I have been approached by many people in churches, grocery stores, the mall, church picnics, charitable fundraisers, and on the street as I know many of my colleagues have also been. The majority of their concerns have been crime and drugs, blight and fire hazards, streets that need paving, high business and wage taxes, and several other concerns.

This council majority has listened intently to the issues brought to your attention in the aforementioned locations along with the issues presented here at the podium on a weekly basis. I believe that all of my city council colleagues have collectively contributed ideas and suggestions in the best interest of all Scrantonians in an effort to produce our 2011 amended budget. Is it perfect? No. But I do believe it is a far better budget

than the one we were handed.

It pains me to see anyone lose their jobs, especially at this time of the year, but there are far less positions lost under city council's budget than would have been.

And, yes, unfortunately, some very good people are among those who will lose their job. I have had an opportunity during the past 12 months to get acquainted with most of the city personnel and I do believe that most of them have the best interest of the citizens that they serve in their hearts.

Administrative salaries and positions have been cut in our budget and even that is a hard thing to do at this time of the year, however, the administrative positions are at-will appointments and their certainty is not always a given. As a matter of fact, had the mayor won one of any several offices that he has aspired to recently the administrative personnel would have more than likely been seeking other positions as of the new year.

In the words of Mayor Doherty, we

19

20

18

17

21

22

23

24

25

have to do more with less. That is exactly what we have done in our amended budget, but with one difference, over the last nine years the fire department, the police department, the DPW, clerical workers, and most importantly the taxpayers had to do more with less. One group continued to do well, the administration. So well that over \$100 million in borrowing took place which resulted in tax increases, reductions in services, rising crime, businesses moving out of the city, homeowners moving out and rising blight. This budget will attempt to reverse that trend and make the administration do more with less and finally give a break to the homeowners and businesses in Scranton and supports public safety for a change.

We have had nine years of throwing money at the downtown while our homeowners and neighborhoods suffered. We have had nine years of chipping away at our public service which resulted in less services and more crime and blight. We have had nine years of giveaways to failed businesses and

cronies while our population and loyal businesses continue to decline. We have had nine years of pay-to-play politics which put additional burden on our taxpayers. Within these nine years all indications have shown the dismal failure from administration's policies.

We are attempting to correct this with the decrease of 25 percent on our mercantile tax let this be a first step to totally eliminate this oppressive tax that chases businesses out of town and prohibits businesses from moving into town.

We have a mayor who for over nine years has claimed that he is business friendly, but who in fact has done nothing to reduce this oppressive tax. This city council is showing shouting loud and clear to the business community that we are cordially inviting all businesses to relocate to our city to help increase our tax base and more importantly create badly needed jobs for our citizens.

This administration has promised tax cuts for nine years and didn't deliver.

This city council is shouting loud and clear to our homeowners, "We hear you," and we have heard you. We are a cordially inviting you working families to consider moving to Scranton, purchase a home and raise your family in the convenience much the city with a smaller tax burden and with safe neighborhoods and appropriate police and fire protection.

This council will stop the bleeding and reverse the declining course we have been on. Next year we will work hard to reduce our taxes even more by hopefully beginning to reduce our burdensome wage tax to provide relief to our working families.

We have a long road ahead of us to correct the direction that we have been heading into, but this budget will be a first step in that direction and will be our journey -- will begin our journey to a safer and more prosperous community. Enjoy our tax cuts, you have waited long enough and truly earned it. May God bless you all and have a happy and healthy holiday season. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: I do have a few. As we conclude the Year 2010, I wanted to take this time to thank all of my colleagues for their valuable input during my first year as an elected official. Though we sometimes may disagree on issues we all stand here with a common purpose and a common goal which is to serve the residents in the best way that we see fit.

During this time, I also wanted to thank all of my colleagues for their input that was involved in creating the amendments to the budget that were presented last night which will be voted on later tonight.

First, I want to start by thanking Mr. McGoff for expressing his ideas and for his wishes to implement a stronger rental registration program and also for expressing your concerns with parks and recreation as well as blight in the neighborhood.

Secondly, I want to thank Mr. Rogan for his input with OECD in the 2011

operating budget as well as his input on public safety priorities as well as his fiscally conservative views.

Third, I would like to thank

Mr. Loscombe for input on public safety and fire technology. I would also like to thank

Mr. Loscombe for his efforts to bring

StreetSmart Technologies in front of council so that we could see the revenue benefits that implementation of their services can have for our city. As many speakers and citizens alike have suggested, we need to find new creative ways to create revenue. I appreciate your research, your efforts, and the service that you have provided to this community.

Finally, I would like to thank

Mrs. Janet Evans for her knowledge of
council proceedings, knowledge of city hall
operations, union issues, legislation issues
and her tireless contributions to the 2011
operating budget. Without her resources and
knowledge of city government the amendments
that will be voted on tonight would not have
been possible to it's fullest extent. Since

I have known Janet Evans she has fought for her ideas and beliefs and for the residents of this city. She has done an excellent job as council president in researching every piece of legislation that comes before us for a vote. She has the best interest of the residents of the city in her heart and in her soul and it's evident in her dedication to this city council. She should be commended for her efforts as it should all of us.

Well, last but not least, I would like to thank the residents of the City of Scranton who put their trust in me to become a member of this council. It is my honor and privilege to serve you whether you voted for me or whether you didn't. Through crafting budget amendments, it was always my intent to do the right thing and what I felt would be in the best interest of the public, both residents and business alike.

For the creation of the budget
amendments that will be voted on tonight, it
was always my goal to restore the safety
standards that you have come to expect and

deserve in the fire and police department,
to keep the fight against blight by
restoring housing inspector positions and to
keep city hall equipped with the staff that
will answer your calls and concerns when you
have questions.

In the budget amendments, both union and nonunion positions alike were cut. It has never been by intention to work for the unions or for the administration, it has always been my intention to work for the residents of the city and that is what I will strive to continue for in the future. The people of this city are the heart of Scranton and it is an honor and a privilege to serve you.

As we look onto 2011, let us remember the past, both the good and the bad to help us aid in our decision making. I, myself, as well as other council members have expressed their ideas for new revenue sources which because of legal reasons could not be a part of the 2011 budget amendments. As we move on, I will be researching into many of these new revenue streams as I'm

sure my other council colleagues as well will. Ultimately we have one common goal and one common purpose and that's to make Scranton a better place.

Though the mayor and council have not always seen eye-to-eye on issues, I hope that department heads offer us a little bit more cooperation in the future and I hope that as two bodies of government we could work better. We are all in this together, we all work for the same people, and we all love the city that we serve.

And when I heard the comments made or the presumed comments that were made by the mayor on the news tonight I will say personally it's heartbreaking because, one, Mayor Doherty sat in this very same chair as finance chair at one point in his life the body of work that I put in to creating these budget amendments, as far as crunching numbers, talking to council members, asking for their input in generating a document that summarizes these amendments I want to know is this something that he ever did as finance chair?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If he wishes not to put back the fire and police positions that we restored it's not to spite us it's to spite you because there is two bodies of the government in this city and if this budget isn't honored with the compliments that we are restoring it to then what's the purpose of us? What is the purpose of council if we don't have a voice? We were elected to be a voice in this city as well, so I'm asking you, please, Mayor Doherty, honor our budget, if the amendments are passed, honor the compliments that we are putting in the budget. We have worked tirelessly and I respect all of the work that you have done for the city as well. I think you have done a great job in many areas, but this is something we firmly believe in, too, and I know you have management rights, but the compliment is a part of this budget document, so I'm asking you, please, honor our wishes. It's for the betterment of the city and it's for the betterment of the community that we live in which we were all elected to serve, and that is all I have for

tonight.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. I would first like to apologize for my absence due to illness from last week's council meeting during this very important budget season. I don't take my duties and responsibilities to you lightly. The budget work of this council continued throughout last week.

Next, Scranton City Council legally and lawfully adopted legislation for the renewal of the Comcast franchise agreement and establishment of a special city account related to the agreement in accordance with the Home Rule Charter and FCC law. In addition, council conducted a public hearing regarding Comcast legislation. The response from the public not only during this public hearing but also during prior council meetings and through e-mails and phone calls is decidedly uniform. The public wants three things:

Number one, a shorter contract.

Comcast subscribers want a 10 year not a 15 year contract.

Number two, two education and

government channels. Subscribers do not want to surrender one of their stations.

And, number three, a different EG channel administrator.

In fact, even the Scranton Times newspaper supports shortening the length of the cable franchise agreement. However, by vetoing in legislation Chris Doherty is doing only what he wants not what the people want. Council is responding to the will of the people and will cast it's vote regarding that veto tonight.

It has been a lengthy, meticulous and painstaking journey to this final budget vote. When I decided early in 2009 to seek a third term on Scranton City Council and run as a team with Mr. Joyce and Mr. Rogan, together we made pledges to you, and the budget that council will adopt this evening takes all of us full circle.

Ladies and gentlemen, city council's budget amendments represent the direction of all five council members and the fulfillment of the pledges made by this council majority during that 2009 campaign. Among others we

pledged to ascertain a true financial picture of the city, it's long-term debt and it's actual revenues and of municipal authorities such as the Scranton Parking Authority.

The long-term debt of the City of Scranton as of December 2009 is approximately \$303,500,000. Actual revenue included in the budget amendments is based on research and numbers from the tax assessor's office and the Scranton Single Tax Office.

Also, for the first time, we have our hands on the Scranton Parking Authority budget and will amend it tonight to cut the fat.

Second, this council majority
pledged to limit the size and cost of
government. We did so in early spring by
amending the budget, but were taken to Court
by the mayor. These 2011 budget amendments
include administrative salary cuts and an
equitable amount of cuts to administration
and unions. 14 cuts were made to
administration to include 12 full-time and

two part-time positions. 11 cuts were made to city unions and an additional five DPW casual workers were eliminated for a total of 16.

Conversely, the mayor cut 54 union positions and four administrative positions, three of whom are secretaries. He played a shell game with the remaining five administrative jobs sliding them from one department to another with the same or increased salaries, but that was never released to the public or published by the Scranton Times.

Further, council meticulously
examined each expenditure of this city and
cut the fat. Administrators will pay for
their own memberships and professional
organization. Cell phones will no longer be
handed out like candy and city hall postage
machines and office stationery will no
longer be used for personal or political
reasons. Public tax dollars should never be
spent on personal matters, invitations to
balls, dinner tickets, cakes, expensive
office decorations or to advance once

political career during election cycles.

This is the waste we found in the operating budget and in the Office of Economic and Community Development.

A third pledge was to protect the public safety of city residents. People pay for and expect these services through their tax dollars. As a result, their are no tax-- there are no cuts, excuse me, to the police department. In fact, we would like to try to hire more police next year.

This council majority also pledged not to close your firehouses as Chris

Doherty himself did when he ran for a third term as mayor. Although we cut seven firefighters, our firehouses should remain open and none of this should come as a surprise to anyone because we made clear and firm pledges to the taxpayers during our election campaign.

In addition, just two months ago
this council, although often accused by it's
critics of doing nothing, fully funded a
much needed fire engine to replace another
which was so antiquated parts could no

longer be purchased for purposes of repair.

Council also eliminated police report fees

for victims of crimes this year.

Fourth, we pledged to explore new revenue items and we have done so. Through council's budget amendments the StreetSmart Program will be implemented. We have an included a very conservative estimate of \$300, 000 in new revenue and it could possibly more lucrative in 2011 according to estimates provided by John Miskell, account manager of StreetSmart Technology. For instance, the City of Easton has enjoyed a 25 percent increase in parking fees through it's StreetSmart program during only four months of this year.

Furthermore, this council has several other ideas for new revenue generators, but our research demonstrated that these measures cannot be legally enacted until 2011. Consequently, this council will work tirelessly in the new year to pursue these significant revenue generators and hopes to include them in next year's budget.

A fifth pledge was to help existing businesses and to try to attract new ones to our city. Again, even though council allegedly did nothing, we helped businesses earlier in 2010 by lowering the bidding threshold, putting professional services out to bid, and now by lowering business privilege and mercantile taxes by 25 percent.

Our most important pledge to the taxpayers of Scranton was to work diligently to prevent tax increases and to try to lower taxes if possible particularly in light of the 25 percent tax increase levied in 2008 by Chris Doherty and his council majority. This 10 percent property tax reduction is a result of actual tax revenue figures, personnel and salary cuts, elimination of wasteful spending and a new revenue generator.

Also, this council recently repealed draconian costs and penalties for delinquent taxes and ended the ability of this administration to take your homes and businesses by sheriff's sale even though it

is said that we sit on our hands and do nothing.

It is now within your financial ability to pay delinquent taxes and the city of Scranton will receive all delinquent revenues.

City council worked in concert on these amendments. Mr. McGoff wished to pursue a rental registration program and to reinstate housing inspectors. Mr. Rogan advocated administrative salary cuts and provided cuts to OECD. When Solicitor Hughes informed me that our revenue ideas could not be implemented legally in 2010 and we would need to wait until 2011 to take further action, Mr. Jack Loscombe worked diligently to determine a new revenue generator and he deserves all of the credit for bringing the StreetSmart project on board.

But more than anyone Councilman

Joyce devoted countless man hours not only
to the development, organization, and
presentation of the operating budget
amendments, but also to the Scranton Parking

Authority Budget amendments. He helped to determine actual revenues and percentages of the tax decreases and has been our go-to guy for the last month, and I congratulate him on an outstanding job.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Council Solicitor Hughes drafted necessary legislation to accompany the budget amendments, listened to our endless ideas and concerns and advised council's office and me as to the appropriate and legal procedures to follow. Throughout 2010, Attorney Hughes has learned that his solicitorship is a daily call to arms, an infinite sources of possibilities and a blazing beacon of hope to the residents of the Scranton.

City clerk, Mrs. Krake, assistant city clerk, Mrs. Carrera, and council clerk, Mrs. Marciano, supported our efforts and worked tirelessly without complaint and with smiles to produce these meetings, seek information from government, administrative, and lending institutions and to assist each council member in his or her tasks.

This is a tremendous team of innovative, highly motivated hardworking individuals who put the people of Scranton first not only at budget time but every day of every week and I am honored to work besides each of them.

As council president, I am in full agreement with the budget amendments and am very pleased with our efforts. Cuts are equitable to both union and nonunion employees. Funding is provided for the Johnson memorial, the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter and the Everhart Museum. That being said, this is not a perfect budget and neither side whether union or administration will be completely happy.

Most importantly, however, council has attempted to restore public safety, keep our firehouses open in the downtown and neighborhoods, help our city businesses, and give the long suffering people of Scranton a property tax decrease. The people deserve something back and they deserve to feel safe in their homes and neighborhoods.

Throughout my tenure on Scranton

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

City Council occasionally I have not seen eye to eye with the mayor, most often on matters of finance and accountability, and too often I stood alone until this year. Having presided over and worked with this outstanding council throughout 2010 amid or agreements and disagreements, victories and defeats, I have gained valuable insight.

Although, unquestionably both the mayor and city council share a passion for this city. This council has a philosophy and vision different from that of the mayor and the 2011 operating budget clearly represents that difference. Our campaign slogan was and our philosophy is putting What this means is that people first. people are to be respected and protected as an absolutely end in themselves and must not be used as a mere means for some external political purpose. This is why we have restored public safety and lowered taxes. This is truly investing in and protecting our city. I believe Scranton will revive through lower taxation. There will be an incentive to live in Scranton through lower

property taxes and to invest in business through lower bills taxes.

If this council can implement our several revenue generators next year, we will try to further lower taxes with an eye toward the wage tax no matter what Mr. Doherty the Pennsylvania Economy League and the Scranton Times newspaper have to say about it.

And finally, I did want to wish a belated and happy Hanukkah to members of our Jewish community because I had been absent last week and a very Merry Christmas and a happy, healthy prosperous New Year to all.

MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans and council, I just would like to apologize for the last minute interruptions we had this evening for giving you additional information because of the seriousness of the budget amendments and the amount of the work council has been doing we in the office had been working right up to the minute of the meeting, also.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you very much.

MR. JOYCE: It's very much

1	appreciated.
2	MS. EVANS: All of our office staff,
3	tremendous work that have you done and most
4	often in the 11th hour at my request.
5	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. <u>READING BY TITLE -</u>
6	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 55 2010</u> - AN ORDINANCE -
7	AUTHORIZING THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE TO COLLECT
8	THE 2010 DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAXES FOR
9	THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
10	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
11	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
12	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
13	pass reading by title.
14	MR. JOYCE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. <u>READING BY TITLE —</u>
24	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56 2010 - AN ORDINANCE -</u>
25	APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE

SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY FOR THE PERIOD 1 COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY 2011 2 3 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2011 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY 4 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 5 2011. 6 7 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 8 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 9 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 10 pass reading by title. 11 MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, 6-B. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 13 MS. EVANS: On the question? 14 MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a 15 motion to amend Item 6-B as per the 16 following --17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 18 MR. JOYCE: I think I have to say it 19 first, but anyhow, when the Scranton Parking 20 Authority budget was submitted to us it 21 wasn't submitted to us with actual account 22 numbers, it was just a some line items and I 23 want to amend those line items in the 24 following ways: 25 Amend gross salaries on page one,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$410,128.77. Health insurance to \$96,468, disability and life insurance to \$2,173. Pension to \$25,247.98. Maintenance and supplies, and this also includes operations, that's not even on the budget, but we might as well put it on there so when it's made available to the public they can understand where the money is located, \$155,372. Utilities \$230, 269. Insurance \$92,718.63 and add under nonoperating revenues and expenses, PILOTS, StreetSmart, real estate taxes, \$109,196,21. On page two, under tenant rentals add \$20,000 for other. you add up the revenue, the operating revenue for the SPA garage, the Medallion Garage, the Casey garage, and the Connell Garage it amounts to \$518,541.44.

Therefore, their revenues don't match the total the sums of the individual breakdowns. Therefore, I'm assuming that the \$20,000 would be other revenue in this category. It's included in the total, but it's not itemized.

On page three, under "enforcement" amend gross salaries full-time to

\$238,125.22, health insurance that also includes dental and vision, I went through and found that out by researching through the city budget, amend that to \$74,524.25, disability life insurance, \$26, 093.93.

Pension amend to \$18,109.53. Operations, amend to \$34,710. Worker's compensation amend to \$29,048.88. Total operating expenditures or expenses, amend to \$397,234.781 and also amend under reimbursable the same exact amounts for each one of those items.

Under office amend gross salaries full-time to \$119,869.77. Health insurance dental and vision to \$24,000. Disability life insurance to \$116,000. Pension to \$63,060.98. Worker's compensation to \$12,026.63. Total operating expenses amend to \$320,679.38.

Under nonoperating expenses,

Miscellaneous PILOT to the city \$25,000 and
that is per bond prospectus, and the rest in
order to balance this add a line for

StreetSmart implementation for \$43,434.21 in
our efforts to get this program rolling for

a total of nonoperating expenses amend to 1 \$68,434.21 under other and amend to 2 3 \$109,196.21 as a total in this category. Amend total enforcement to 4 \$397,234.81. Amend total office to 5 \$320,679.38. Amend reimbursable to 6 7 \$397,234.81. Amend other grand total 8 expenses to \$3,465,015.21 and amend the 9 totals as follows: Under gross salaries 10 full-time, \$376,198.77. Health insurance 11 \$96,468. Disability life insurance \$21.073. 12 Pension \$25,247.98. Operations \$105,500. 13 Supplies \$15,372. Utilities \$230, 269. 14 Worker's compensation \$12,026.63 for a grand total of \$1,069,792.38. That's all. 15 16 MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the 17 floor to amend Item 6-B, do we have a 18 second? 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll second. 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? 21 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Have any of these 22 been discussed with the authority? 23 MR. JOYCE: These are actually to 24 reflect changes that city council wishes to 25 make with the parking program.

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

instance, we are currently paying for the utilities, however, in the ordinance it's not required that we pay for their utilities.

Also, under the bond prospectus, correct me if I'm wrong, I'll defer to Mr. Hughes, is it not true that the Parking Authority is liable to pay a \$25,000 payment in lieu of taxes as per that prospectus?

MR. HUGHES: That's correct. not only in the three bond issues it's also in the operating agreement between the Parking Authority and the City of Scranton. The operating agreement has been picked up and put into the -- as full disclosure of the Security Exchange Act of 1933 or the Securities Act 33, Securities Exchange Act of 1934 when these prospectuses are registered for public offerings they put in the fact that the maximum amount of real estate taxes that can be paid by the Parking Authority to the city is \$25,000. It is not a -- it is not -- it cannot be accumulated, so it's not assessed one year and paid, it cannot be assessed the following year. So

2

3

4

5

6

7

know.

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that amount is authorized by the operating agreement and is also put forth in the three bond issues that are currently outstanding.

MS. EVANS: Anyone --

MR. HUGHES: Secondly --

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, I didn't

MR. HUGHES: -- the one thing we -- I believe this is the first time in a long time, if not the first time ever, that the Parking Authority has submitted a budget at the request of council because they can only adopt a tentative budget. The budget according to the operating agreement and the budget according to the prospectus supporting the three bond issues which I believe total close to \$80 million, it's been awhile since I looked at it, state that the Parking Authority must submit it's budget to council for approval, so their budget is only a tentative budget subject to the final approval of council. It's mv understanding that this is the first time that this has been done in many years if not ever.

MS. EVANS: And the figures that were read by Councilman Joyce coincide with the decreases that have been made in the operating budget, so they're documents that are working hand-in-hand.

MR. MCGOFF: So the answer to my question then is that we haven't discussed these with the authority?

MS. EVANS: No, I have not discussed it with the authorities, but I did read through the legislation and the operating agreement with the authority and it was very clear to me after having read it that we have been duped for a number of years into paying far more than we should have.

MR. MCGOFF: I was just -- I was asking if we had discussed these so that we could determine if they were, you know, if there was anything that -- if they were feasible according to what the Parking Authority believes is necessary to operate the authority. That was all.

Secondly, what is the cost of the StreetSmart to install?

MR. LOSCOMBE: They don't charge

\_ .

anything to install. 1 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: They have a per month fee per meter basically and they also have a 4 guarantee that if our revenue isn't over 5 what we are getting then they don't -- we 6 7 don't pay them that fee. 8 MR. ROGAN: I believe it was --9 MR. LOSCOMBE: It was all in the 10 packet. 11 MR. ROGAN: -- \$30 --12 MR. LOSCOMBE: \$30 a month. A dollar a day for a meter. 13 14 MR. ROGAN: And \$5 a month for 15 installation. 16 MR. MCGOFF: A dollar a day per 17 meter per month. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct. And as far 19 as some of the installation, there is some 20 costs that they can prorate over say a 21 five-year term which would probably be a max of \$5 a month, however, if we utilize our 22 23 in-house there is some different options we 24 are looking at. If we could utilize 25 in-house employees we would save that cost

1 so they are willing to work with us on that, 2 also. 3 MR. MCGOFF: So a dollar per month per meter. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: A dollar a day; 5 6 correct. 7 MR. MCGOFF: Per meter and we have 8 12 --MR. LOSCOMBE: And that's the 9 10 maintenance, the batteries, the upkeep, all of the electronic, the monitoring devices, 11 the sensors, it's a whole system. 12 13 MR. ROGAN: I think that would pay 14 for it just by the reset, you know, many times when I park downtown I pull up to a 15 16 meter and there is 30 minutes, 45 minutes on 17 it. With this system as soon as you pull 18 out the time you paid for resets. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: And the figures they 20 quote us are --21 MR. MCGOFF: What's the guarantee from StreetSmart? 22 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: That our baseline 24 that we're -- once we start it we go by last 25 year's budget if we made \$2 million on the

meters if we don't make over that we don't pay.

MR. MCGOFF: So as long as we make what we have made last year it's cost free?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct. As long as we don't make under what we -- yeah, as long as we make up to what we made last year it's cost free, exactly.

MR. JOYCE: Now, as per the presentation in the caucus that is something that could generate up to \$2 dollars in extra revenue per year, and I will say that if that would happen it would be a win-win situation for both the city and the Parking Authority because if our funding that we collect from meter revenue goes up then our management fee goes up along with it, so the Parking Authority would be gaining revenue by implementing a program such as this.

Now, as far as the installation, in the caucus Mr. Miskell did specify that installation could be done by StreetSmart Technologies' individuals or it could be done by in-house people or a private person, whichever would be preferred.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: And again, I'll ask, have we been in touch with the authority to determine whether, you know, they would implement it or whether they can implement this program?

MS. EVANS: Actually, I spoke with Mr. Miskell about the equipment utilized by the on-street program of the Parking Authority, it's equipment, and they have a preexisting agreement with that company. other cities have used Duncan meters as well so there is absolutely no problem there, and in terms of the handhelds that the enforcement officers have at this point they can also be utilized within the StreetSmart program, so that we are not losing any of the equipment we currently use it will be easily adaptable to the program.

> MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of the motion to amend Item 6-B signify by saying aye.

> MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. All those in favor of 4 Item 6-B, as amended, signify by saying aye. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 6 MR. ROGAN: 7 Aye. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 9 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 10 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 11 MR. HUGHES: Madam President, I 12 13 believe that there was a motion to amend on 14 the floor, the vote should be on the motion to amend -- the motion to amend --15 16 MS. EVANS: Yes. 17 MR. HUGHES: And then go back and 18 vote on the original motion as amended. MS. EVANS: We voted on the motion 19 on the floor. 20 MR. HUGHES: Now we have to vote on 21 the original motion. The motion was to 22 amend the motion as read, so the first vote 23 24 has to be on the amendment to the motion that was made by Mr. Joyce, seconded by 25

1 Mr. Loscombe. MS. EVANS: Right. 2 3 MR. HUGHES: So now that the amendment has been -- now that the amendment 4 has been voted on affirmatively now go back 5 and vote on the original motion, as amended. 6 7 Oh, we did? 8 MS. EVANS: Yes, we did. 9 MR. HUGHES: I apologize, I didn't 10 hear it that way. I just want to make sure 11 the procedure was correct. I'm sorry. 12 MS. KRAKE: 6-C. <u>READING BY TITLE</u> – 13 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 57 2010 - AN ORDINANCE -14 ORDINANCE GOVERNING THE STAFFING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE BUREAU OF FIRE. 15 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OF THE CITY OF 16 17 SCRANTON, FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2011. 18 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure? 19 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C 20 21 pass reading by title. MR. LOSCOMBE: 22 Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I would just like 25 to restate again that while I may agree with

2 3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the intent of these two "C" and "D" I believe that we are overstepping in doing and that it's not proper way to go about to go about doing this.

MS. EVANS: Probably, and I can see what Mr. McGoff is saying, but he triggered a thought in my mind as he made that statement. At the same time, however, the mayor is saying or suggesting, I should say, that he will not reinstate positions that are funded in a budget that will be legally and lawfully adopted by this city council and that, too, appears to be an illegal act that can be taken to Court. Anyone else on the question?

I guess it applies, MR. LOSCOMBE: but it was noted in the newspaper that the mayor stated that he will not take our budget to court, he will not take us to court on our budget, but I believe that may be double speak for "I will not enforce your budget, you have to take me to Court," and that's what I think. That's the way I read it, so --

> MS. EVANS: Indeed.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: We may be there.

MS. EVANS: And I think we will find out or at least the people, the people of the city are going to find out once and for all is the mayor working for you or he is working for himself? Does he want you to be safe or is he pulling off a political vendetta. Does he want you to have your tax decreases or does he want to continue his political spending and his bloated bureaucracy? And I think you are all going to get the answers to those questions over the next several days as the mayor chooses the action he will take with regard to an legally and lawfully adopted budget provided for by the Home Rule Charter of the City of Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: And I --

MS. EVANS: There are two branches of government, I'm sorry. There are two branches of government and both oversee this city. No one is a dictator and any attempt to dictate I believe has to be, has to be addressed and we will stand up on behalf of the people.

MR. JOYCE: And I want to say I know I did get a little bit emotional before, but this is something that I firmly believe in and I put a great deal of time and effort into coming up with these spreadsheets, crunching all of these numbers together and listening to all of council members to craft these amendments and if these amendments aren't honored what is the purpose of that work? What is the purpose of city council?

MS. EVANS: What is the purpose of the Home Rule Charter?

MR. JOYCE: And I will say, and what meant the most to me and what -- maybe not the most, but it meant a great deal is I know that me, myself and Mr. McGoff, we disagree on issues from time to time and I have a great deal of respect for his opinion and he told me that I did a great job, and I wanted to thank you for that because it really meant a lot.

And even though the mayor and the majority of the council members seated up here may disagree on what should be done, we are allocating funding for these positions

and we sincerely hope that they will be honored. If not, then what is the purpose of even doing this in the first place?

That's all.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add in the last almost a year being on council now the one thing I'm sick of hearing over and over again, especially in the paper, it's the city council versus the mayor. Our budget has nothing to do with the mayor. We are just doing what's right for the people, and as Mr. Joyce mentioned we hope that the mayor will live up to what he said in the newspaper and not challenge it and enact our budget. That's all.

MR. JOYCE: And I would like to reiterate that, too. This isn't about Chris Doherty vs. Janet Evans, this isn't about Chris Doherty vs. City Council, this is about doing what's best for the public and what's best for the city and this is what -- these amendments are what we believe are best for the city. Even Mr. Mrozinski, a reporter from the Times, he said to me in casual conversation last time that, "I

thought that your cuts were going to be steeper," and they -- sure, we could have made them steeper, but I thought what we do was fair and equitable and we also left some union positions out and the paper constantly criticizing us as being bought and owned by the fire and police union, yet we decided to not put in seven firefighter positions that the union would have liked to see put in there.

This is what we truly believe is best for the city and we are just hoping and I'm very confident that the mayor will work with us and implement our spending plan.

When we were first elected to office and after the Court hearings about the budget amendments that we lost, Mayor Doherty said that the law was on our side next time and that he won't pursue legal action in Court against us, however, he would hold us accountable.

Well, if he doesn't give us the chance by putting the people back into the positions that we are desiring them to be put back into is he giving us the chance to

1 hold us accountable? I would surmise no. And I sincerely hope that the mayor would 2 3 follow along with council's wishes, and that's all. 4 5 MS. EVANS: I'll just say they are not just council's wishes, this is in 6 7 reaction to you people. They are the 8 people's wishes, so we shall see if the 9 mayor follows the people's wishes. 10 Anyone else on the question? All those in 11 favor signify by saying aye. 12 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 14 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 15 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 16 MR. MCGOFF: No. 17 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 18 moved. 19 MS. KRAKE: 6-D. <u>READING BY TITLE -</u> 20 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 58 2010 - AN ORDINANCE -21 ORDINANCE GOVERNING THE STAFFING 22 REQUIREMENTS FOR THE BUREAU OF POLICE, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OF THE CITY OF 23 24 SCRANTON, FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2011.

MS. EVANS:

You've heard reading by

25

title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure? 1 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-d 2 3 pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: Second. 4 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 9 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 10 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 11 MR. MCGOFF: No. 12 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so At this time I'll entertain a motion 13 moved. 14 that council suspend it's rules and place all Sixth Order items into Seventh Order for 15 16 final consideration. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 21 Correct me if I'm wrong, but didn't we move 22 two -- didn't we vote twice on these two 23 last week -- or last night. 24 MS. EVANS: I thought we had, yes. 25 We moved it into Sixth Order.

MR. ROGAN: We voted; correct? 1 That's why I just confused because it would 2 3 seem like we would be voting a fourth time on this legislation. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Just to make sure. 5 MR. JOYCE: I have been reading so 6 many numbers over the past year two days 7 8 that --9 MS. KRAKE: I think we did. MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake? 10 11 MS. KRAKE: I think we need to ask 12 the solicitor on that. 13 MS. EVANS: Solicitor Hughes? 14 MR. HUGHES: I don't have last 15 night's agenda. I remember certainly things 16 were moved and whether these -- was the 17 final vote taken on these last night? 18 MR. MCGOFF: No. 19 MR. EVANS: No. 20 MR. MCGOFF: No, two votes were 21 taken. 22 MR. ROGAN: We voted Fifth and Sixth 23 Order that's why it was in Sixth I think 24 thought it was wrong, I think they should 25 have been in Seventh to beginning with.

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

shouldn't have to move them into Seventh. Just wanted to make sure that we are not making mistakes. I know we did vote twice last week -- or last night.

MR. HUGHES: If Mr. Rogan is correct I think if we have last night's agenda these were first introduced in Fifth Order then they were moved to Sixth Order and they were voted upon so they should have been on Seventh Order, I believe. I don't have last nights agenda with me, I don't know that --Cathy is going out to get the agenda, but I do believe that these three items were voted on in Fifth Order then moved to Sixth Order and voted on, so they should now -- they should have been on Seventh Order.

MS. EVANS: Which they will now go into.

> MR. HUGHES: Right.

MS. EVANS: I think we should probably just continue as we were. We had a motion on the floor, did we have a second? No, we are not there yet, Mr. Rogan stopped us prior to second.

MR. MCGOFF: We are making a motion

1	to move it, I'm sorry. I'm getting
2	confused.
3	MR. JOYCE: We're all starting to
4	get confused.
5	MS. EVANS: No, it's fine. It's
6	fine.
7	MR. MCGOFF: We move into Seventh
8	that's where we are at.
9	MS. EVANS: Yes, so do we have a
10	second on that motion?
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MS. KRAKE: 7-A. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
21	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR
22	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52, 2010 (AS
23	AMENDED) - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE
24	EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE
25	PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF

JANUARY, 2011 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2011 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2011.

MS. EVANS: File of Council No.
52-2010, the 2011 operating budget, as
amended, will be voted on for final passage
this evening since a public hearing as
required by Section 905 of the Home Rule
Charter was held December 14, 2010, at 6:00
p.m. with nine speakers coming to the podium
to address city council. What is the
recommendation of the chair for the
Committee on Finance?

MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a motion to amend 7-A, as amended, increase standard salary controller account 01.030.00000.4010 by \$26,050, and decrease DPW Highway overtime account 01.080.000080.4080 by \$10,000, and decrease fire overtime account 01.011.00078.4080 by \$1,650.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor, is there a second?

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

\_ \

24

25

MR. JOYCE: I'll respond to this, the purpose of doing this is to reinstate the solicitor in the city controller's office. I have been in contract with Mrs. Novembrino, and I do agree with her as per the administrative code it does call that there needs to be a solicitor in her office and that she cannot use the services of council solicitor or the city solicitor due to the fact that it would be viewed as a conflict of interest, so I am moving that we put in position back into the operating budget. The deductions were decreased from these accounts. Due to the figure of the salary, and I would like to reiterate we are decreasing the fire overtime account by \$16,050, however, it is still more than the

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of the motion to amend Item 7-A, as amended, signify by saying aye.

mayor originally allocated for this account.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 2 3 have it and so moved. We will now have roll call on item 7-A, as amended. 4 MR. MCGOFF: We are still on the 5 question? 6 7 MS. EVANS: No, that was the 8 question on his motion. We are on the 9 question on his motion. 10 MR. MCGOFF: Right, so we are still 11 on the question, we haven't --12 MR. ROGAN: Don't we need the 13 recommendation first? 14 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend that Item 15 16 7-A, amended, as amended again, pass reading-- or final passage actually. 17 18 MR. ROGAN: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question. 20 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Again, I would 21 like to see the positions that I had asked 22 before be reinstated into the budget. If we 23 are talking about -- we are adding two new 24 positions to the Clerk's Office and to City 25 Council, I believe that the positions in the

Department of Public Works are essential and if we are going to maintain the services that are current in terms of the cost it would -- the total cost would be I would guess the difference in salaries about \$116,000, probably less if the reductions are applied that were applied to the other positions by Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: They would fall in the 15 percent category, I mean, that was used for the majority of the salaries because that's what PEL recommended in their caucus to us and that would in my view effect the majority of the workers that fell in this category.

MR. MCGOFF: And you use asked where the funding would come from, I believe that reducing the percentage of decrease for the current real estate tax would be appropriate. It would cost less than, I'm estimating at numbers, but I'm thinking it would probably cost less than \$5, maybe somewhere around \$5 per taxpayer to refund these three positions, and I would like to make a motion to reinstate the positions of

foremen for refuse, recycling coordinator and program manager in the Parks and Recreation to the 2011 budget with funding to be provided by reducing the percentage of the decrease for the current real estate tax.

MR. ROGAN: So it's by raising taxes?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, from the decrease.

MR. JOYCE: I just want to -- or are we technically --

MS. EVANS: No, we have a motion on the floor, do we have a second? The motion dies for lack of a second. We can return to the question on 7-A, as amended.

MR. JOYCE: I just want to make clear to the public that, yes, we are adding two positions in our office, we are also deleting two positions in our office, so it's a basically a tradeoff. When the mayor came out with the 2011 budget he cut one of the positions in council's office. We have an office staff of three that's basically responsible for putting together all of the agendas, everything that goes through with

these meetings, answering citizens' concerns, being here at these meetings and taking in your calls, your comments and relaying them to us, and also attending as a proxy at many meetings that we cannot attend due to our work schedules such as PEL meetings that Mrs. Krake attends that I wish that I would be able to. In effect, that's a 33 percent reduction in the staffing of our office. Essentially, in actual people that we are putting back into council's office that's one person, however, the mayor did not cut 33 percent of the DPW.

Now, while I do see that some of the positions in Parks and Rec would be nice to have, I do agree with the mayor on this one because he did not -- he cut these positions out of his budget as well and if he thinks that we could do more with less in this area then I humbly have to agree with him on this one particular instance.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to reiterate my support for this budget and actually since our budget is a fiscally conservative budget I actually have two

things I forgot to mention earlier. As we mentioned, we are looking to save every penny we can and that's what this budget does. A resident brought it up to me about the lights up at Nay Aug and that they were being on 24 hours a day. So, Mrs. Krake, could we please get in contact with the proper authority to see that that stops.

I'll go up there myself and shut them off if I have to. It's a waste of electricity.

But getting back to the budget, we all mentioned before that this is a common sense conservative budget. We put back police officers who assist the people every day and we put back firefighters who hopefully most residents won't have to. That's a service that the people they don't have to use, just like buying insurance you want to know it's there, and best of all we decreased property taxes and business taxes which will give an incentive for residents to move into Scranton, for the people to stay in Scranton and for businesses to be in Scranton, so this is probably the happiest moment I have had in the first year of being

on council having the privilege in just one short year to vote for a tax decrease. As I read off the list of campaign pledges as Mrs. Evans did as well, in just one year we have met most of those pledges. Every --

MS. EVANS: All of them.

MR. ROGAN: All of them. All of them. You know, every year election time comes around and it seems people running for office promise big, but then they don't deliver. The majority of us on council we delivered on our promises with this budget. That's all.

MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to continue to -- I feel that, you know, much of what was done in the budget was good. I still believe that what we have done here that the Department of -- we have emasculated the Department of Public Works. We have removed the foreman, we have taken away the casual workers, especially in the refuse area. We have removed -- we are removing the people that provide the service. I don't know how we can expect that the same services will be provided

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

minus these people, and I think adding -adding, you know, the two foremen was a
minimal -- would be a minimal amount of
money to hopefully insure that the services
that we now expect from the Department of
Public Works would be continued and I just
am not understand how we are going to do
that without the necessary people to do the
job.

MS. EVANS: I would just comment that I believe it's the DPW employees who do the work. They are out in the trucks, they are out on the streets, they are working hard all of the time. There are only two administrators in charge, but under these foremen, as Mr. Rogan questioned earlier, there have been instances for a very long time, for decades now, where full days have not been worked and supervisors have overlooked that. As a result, overtime has ballooned. They are working on the weekends to makeup for what's not being done during a weekday, and if that's the type of supervision that's been provided by these individuals I think it's something maybe we

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can do without and we need someone in there who is actually going to get the work done during the workweek. Is there anyone else on the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just want to say, I mean, I know for myself and the rest of my colleagues here it is a difficult decision, as I stated in my comments earlier, to let anyone go, but we have crafted a budget that was long and arduous and I think it hit on a lot of points and the positions we took out I don't think we put a face to any of those positions, we tried not to. I just -- I spoke to this gentleman earlier this evening, he is not here now, the gentleman that spoke from the DPW. We would love to put every position back in that we can, but given the situation if things were changed several years ago everybody would have their positions, but there has been so much borrowing and so much excess spending that this is what it's come down to and, you know, it breaks my heart to let anyone go, especially this time of the year, but we have to have a responsible budget and I

1	believe that that's what we have now. And
2	again, administrative personnel were
3	appointed at will and if the mayor had gone
4	onto one of his other elected offices they
5	would be in the same position at this point.
6	That's all I can really say on that.
7	MS. EVANS: Anyone else? We will
8	now have a roll call on 7-A, as amended, as
9	amended, please.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-A, as amended, as amended, legally
21	and lawfully adopted.
22	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
23	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION-
24	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 47, 2010 - CREATING AND
25	ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.

	12
1	02.229596 ENTITLED "COMCAST EG GRANT" FOR
2	THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS
3	AVAILABLE TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON THROUGH
4	THE RENEWAL OF THE CABLE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT
5	WITH COMCAST.
6	MS. EVANS: What the recommendation
7	of the Chair for the Committee on Finance?
8	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Finance, I recommend city
10	council override the mayor's veto of Item
11	7 - B .
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
13	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
14	call, please.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
22	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
25	the mayor's veto of Item 7-B, File of

Council No. 47-2010, legally and lawfully 1 overridden. 2 3 MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-4 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 48, 2010 (AS AMENDED) -5 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 6 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A 7 8 CABLE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY 9 OF SCRANTON AND COMCAST OF 10 COLORADO/PENNSYLVANIA/WEST VIRGINIA, LLC. 11 MS. EVANS: As Chairperson my 12 recommendation is that we override the 13 mayor's veto of item 7-C, as amended. 14 MR. JOYCE: Second. 15 MS. EVANS: On the question? 16 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Once again, I'm 17 going to ask the basic question is that if 18 we override this is Comcast obligated to 19 accept the contract as amended and what 20 happens if, in fact, they do not agree to 21 the amendments that were written into the 22 contract? 23 MS. EVANS: Well, as we all know 24 it's very difficult and nearly impossible to 25 break a franchise agreement, to be able to

129

choose not to renew a franchise agreement, so the agreement continues regardless of what happens, the current agreement.

However, it does -- what this council's legislation is doing is forcing Comcast and the mayor to negotiate a better agreement than what has been previously offered.

Obviously, the mayor has not listened to council or the people of the City of Scranton and Comcast, for example, is taking a station for \$85,000, removing a station

for 15 years at a tawdry price of \$85,000.

Comcast has contracts in other cities, franchise agreements in other cities, that are ten-year contracts, so this is a possibility. It always has been.

There are certain things that we may have to give up and, you know, as a result that's why council removed that \$85,000, for example, that we are willing to give things up in order to shorten that contract and to see the proper things done in compliance with the priorities of the people of Scranton and the subscribers of Comcast.

MR. MCGOFF: So if I understand you

1	correctly, if Comcast does not agree to the
2	changes then the old contract continues and
3	we go back to negotiating to negotiating
4	a new one.
5	MS. EVANS: Well, we don't.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Well, the city goes
7	back to negotiating with Comcast.
8	MS. EVANS: Yes, with Comcast
9	concerning our concerning council's
10	provisions.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Understood.
12	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
13	question? Roll call, please?
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	the mayor's veto of Item 7-C, File of
25	Council No. 48-2010, legally and lawfully

1	overridden.
2	MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans, at this time
3	I'd like to verify that a motion was made to
4	move Sixth Order items to Seventh?
5	MS. EVANS: That is correct.
6	MS. KRAKE: May we proceed with
7	Seventh Order items?
8	MS. EVANS: Yes, you may.
9	MS. KRAKE: Thank you. 7-D,
10	(FORMERLY 6-A) FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE
11	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
12	55 2010 - AN ORDINANCE – AUTHORIZING THE
13	SINGLE TAX OFFICE TO COLLECT THE 2010
14	DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAXES FOR THE CITY OF
15	SCRANTON.
16	MS. EVANS: What is the
17	recommendation of the Chair for the
18	Committee on Finance?
19	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
20	Committee on Finance, I recommend Item 7-D
21	for final passage.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
24	call, please?
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 6 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 9 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. 10 11 MS. KRAKE: This one will now be 12 7-E. 7-E, (FORMERLY 6-B) FOR CONSIDERATION FOR THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION 13 14 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56 2010 - AN ORDINANCE -APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE 15 SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY FOR THE PERIOD 16 17 COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY 2011 18 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2011 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY 19 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2011. 20 21 MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Committee on Finance? 22 23 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 25 passage of Item 7-E, as amended.

	134
1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: On the question?
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.
4	The amendments are included; correct?
5	MR. JOYCE: Correct.
6	MR. ROGAN: I just want to make sure
7	because we voted on it and I don't want to
8	vote again. As long as the amendments are
9	included.
10	MS. EVANS: Yes.
11	MR. ROGAN: That's all I wanted to
12	be sure.
13	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-E, as amended, legally and lawfully
25	adopted.

1	MS. KRAKE: Our next item will be
2	7-F. 7-F, (FORMERLY 6-C) FOR CONSIDERATION
3	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
4	ADOPTION – FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 57 2010 - AN
5	ORDINANCE - ORDINANCE GOVERNING THE STAFFING
6	REQUIREMENTS FOR THE BUREAU OF FIRE,
7	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OF THE CITY OF
8	SCRANTON, FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2011.
9	MS. EVANS: What is the
10	recommendation of the Chair for the
11	Committee on Public Safety?
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chair for the
13	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
14	final passage of Item 7-F.
15	MR. ROGAN: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
17	call, please?
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: No.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
25	MR. JOYCE: Yes.

1	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
2	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
3	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
4	MS. KRAKE: This will be Item 7-G.
5	7-G, (FORMERLY 6-D,) FOR CONSIDERATION FOR
6	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FILE OF
7	COUNCIL NO. 58 2010 - AN ORDINANCE -
8	ORDINANCE GOVERNING THE STAFFING
9	REQUIREMENTS FOR THE BUREAU OF POLICE,
10	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OF THE CITY OF
11	SCRANTON, FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2011.
12	MS. EVANS: What is the
13	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Public Safety?
15	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
16	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
17	final passage of Item 7-G.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: No.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
3	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. KRAKE: If you would please
8	refer to your cheat sheet, your guide, there
9	is more motions there.
10	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to take
11	from the table Resolution 43 2010,
12	appointment of David G. Smith, to the
13	Historical Architecture Review Board.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
16	floor and a second, on the question?
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, has
18	he submitted his resume, do we know?
19	MR. ROGAN: No, but this is just a
20	vote to take it from the table; correct?
21	MS. EVANS: Yes.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, I'm sorry. Like
23	I said, it's a long night.
24	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
25	signify by saying aye.

	130
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
6	have it and so moved.
7	MS. KRAKE: RESOLUTION NO. 43 2010-
8	APPOINTMENT OF DAVID G. SMITH, P.E., PLS.
9	1606 PRIME STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
10	18510, AS A MEMBER OF THE HISTORICAL
11	ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD FOR AN ADDITIONAL
12	FIVE-YEAR TERM. MR. SMITH'S TERM EXPIRES ON
13	OCTOBER 11, 2010, AS HIS NEW TERM WILL
14	EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 11, 2015.
15	MS. EVANS: Is this the Committee on
16	Rules?
17	MS. KRAKE: Yes.
18	MS. EVANS: I would imagine so. As
19	Chairperson for the Committee on the Rules,
20	I recommend final passage of Item 7-H.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? Has
23	Mr. Smith provided his resume and cover
24	letter to Scranton City Council?
25	MS. KRAKE: No.

	139
1	MR. ROGAN: No.
2	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
3	question? Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: No.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: No.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: No. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-H is not legally and lawfully
15	adopted.
16	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to take
17	from the table Resolution 54 2010,
18	appointment of Jerry Richardson to the City
19	Planning Commission Board.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 2 3 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: RESOLUTION NO.54 2010 -4 APPOINTMENT OF JERRY RICHARDSON, 829 CAPOUSE 5 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A 6 MEMBER OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION FOR 7 8 AN ADDITIONAL FOUR-YEAR TERM. MR. 9 RICHARDSON'S TERM EXPIRES ON DECEMBER 31, 2010, AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON 10 11 DECEMBER 31, 2015. 12 MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the 13 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 14 passage of Item 7-I. MR. ROGAN: Second. 15 16 MS. EVANS: On the question? 17 MR. JOYCE: Yes. I would like to 18 say that I will be voting "yes". 19 Mr. Richardson did submit a copy of his 20 resume and I'm very pleased to vote "yes" 21 after receiving that and also by the great 22 credentials that he has. 23 MR. ROGAN: I will also be voting 24 "yes" and I know there are a few more that 25 we still have on the table and I hope that

we would -- if they don't send one vote them 1 down over the next two weeks. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 11 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 13 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 14 Item 7-I legally and lawfully adopted. there is no further business --15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, is there a 17 meeting next week or when is the next 18 scheduled meeting? 19 MS. EVANS: The next regularly scheduled meeting will occur the first 20 21 Tuesday of January. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 22 23 MR. ROGAN: May I ask why we are not 24 meeting over the next two weeks? 25 We can poll council and MS. EVANS:

1 see. 2 MR. ROGAN: Okay. 3 MS. EVANS: I think in the meantime though we are -- we have an a number of 4 issues that will require ten day waiting 5 periods on the mayor's vetoes. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: I believe if we just met 8 for public comment. 9 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone who 10 would like to conduct regularly scheduled council meetings for the remainder of 11 December? 12 MR. ROGAN: I would. 13 14 MR. MCGOFF: No. MS. EVANS: I don't think there is 15 16 any agreement with that, Mr. Rogan, and so we had a motion to adjourn? 17 18 MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn. 19 MS. EVANS: This meeting is adjourned. 20 21 22 23 24

25

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER