		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, December 7, 2010	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

I

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT (Not present)

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF (Not present)

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr. 4 5 Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Here. 6 7 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 9 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Here. 10 11 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 12 MR. ROGAN: I received a phone call 13 from Mrs. Evans this morning, she is not 14 feeling well and Mr. McGoff also called in, 15 he is not able to attend tonight. Please 16 dispense with the reading of the minutes. 17 MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. NO. BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 18 MR. ROGAN: We also do not have 19 20 clerk's notes tonight as well, right? 21 Before citizens' participation begins, I see 22 we have a very large crowd today so I would 23 ask that everyone would stick to the five 24 minute speaking time, and our first speaker 25 is Fay Franus.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Last week, Mr. Rogan, you weren't in favor of Council tabling the loan from OECD. As chairman of OECD I would think you would be the first person that would want this tabled until this investigation. I just have to wonder why you did that.

Another thing, I read in the paper where you said the city is in bad shape and you really didn't think you could afford much more for the animal shelter. Well, you can't be too much of an animal lover. is the worth of an animal? You couldn't give me \$1 million for my dog, \$6 million. A person is heartbroken if their dog gets lost, and you know what they do when their dog gets lost, the first thing they do is call the Humane Society or the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter to make sure that maybe somebody turned it in, but now if you don't find the money, council doesn't find the money to make this work right, the dogs will be roaming the streets because the animal control officer will say, "Well, I don't have the \$50 to give for this animal,

1 therefore, I can't pick it up for you, a stray." 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Franus --MS. FRANUS: And I want to have my 4 time --5 MR. ROGAN: -- the fee only applies 6 7 to the city, not to private individuals. 8 The \$50 per animal fee is being asked of the 9 city. MS. FRANUS: I know, but if they are 10 11 the stray, aren't they supposed to pay for that? 12 13 MR. ROGAN: I'm saying you as a 14 private citizen. 15 MS. FRANUS: No, no. I'm talking 16 about if they pick up -- suppose I was 17 walking down the street and I saw a stray 18 and I called the animal control officer, I 19 would hope they could come and pick it up. 20 I mean, I was a meter reader, I found many 21 strays and I would call the animal control 22 officer every day so that stray wouldn't be 23 mine, so my point is I absolutely think this 24 council should could whatever they have to 25 do.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mrs. Evans has quite a bit of experience with budgets, I would think with her help she can possibly help you guys find a way to find this money because it's very, very, very important. I think you could cut a lot more salaries and the crony positions that the mayor has to make this possible. I just know, I'm not really going to take any excuses for this. This is too important. Either you love animals or you don't I guess, but if you do you won't let this happen. I really don't want it hear we can't afford it. I just don't. Because. like I said, every person's animal is worth the world to them, it's their life.

Now, on another matter, the article that was in the paper today that the mayor is going to veto this or did veto this and he is going to take it to Court, well, the Home Rule Charter states this, so that was just a scare tactic on his part about it not being right with the Home Rule Charter. It says, Section 203 of the Home Rule Charter says, "Allocation of certain powers and duties, all powers and duties of the city

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

government which are legislative in nature shall be exercised and performed by council."

If council does not approve a contract he cannot enter into it. To do so is a violation of Section 609 of Home Rule Charter. It makes me wonder, suppose that council didn't amend it, okay, and they just voted against it, what would the mayor do? I want to know why does the mayor send it to council for approval, disapproval, anything if by chance they don't go along with it why send it to council if it doesn't matter what they do? Does that make any sense. personally think that Mayor Doherty is complete -- it really eats him alive that Janet Evans is so intelligent and can handle all of the stuff in the city and all of the financial matters and really run a business. He can't take it that she, a woman, is as smart if not smarter, I'm saying smarter than him. He can't deal with it. That's just my opinion.

Now, another thing I heard, I just heard this, the city clerical workers, I

believe there is 40 of them, they come to work in the morning, they have to put their hand on a machine that count when they are here and when they leave for lunch, which I agree with, I think everybody should do that, it's accountability, I think it's great, but when city administration workers walk right alongside of them and don't have to do the same thing that's blatant discrimination. Wouldn't be me. I would never do it. No way ever would I do that. I think somebody needs to call the ACLU or something because how do they get away with it?

I also heard a rumor again, but maybe it's going to be true, that Lisa Moran might be going to the Sewer Authority and they may be creating a job for her as head of human resources. Well, let me tell you something, creating a job so Mayor Doherty may lose that position but they are going to make sure she gets another job so how are you really saving money? Now, this may not be true, but it probably is. And not just that our sewer rates just went up and they

have the guts to create a job.

Please, do something about finding the money for the animal shelter. Top priority. More important than a couple of jobs.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Franus, I want to respond before you leave the podium. The reason why I voted against tabling the loan was council receives the agenda on Friday and our meeting is on Tuesday, so we really only have three, three and a half days to do our research. I didn't have all the facts. I didn't want to put it on the table and let it sit there, I just wanted it to be move forward to next week so we can collect the facts and give it an up or down vote.

MS. FRANUS: Well, why is it that the rest of council members thought it was important to table it? Why did they think it was important to table it and yet you didn't?

MR. ROGAN: Well, I understand where they were coming from, but --

MS. FRANUS: How come they weren't coming from where you were coming from?

MR. ROGAN: That's why we have five separate people on here.

MS. FRANUS: Well, let's put it this way, I don't agree with your thinking.

MR. ROGAN: That's fine. I just wanted to explain myself.

MS. FRANUS: Well, I don't think it means anything because people listening last week really don't understand you, chairman of OECD especially, you should let this be investigated as thoroughly as possible. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Just to make a quick comment about the animal shelter, and I know I have been working quite a bit on going through the city finances and budget work, and right now in the budget that was sent down to council there is approximately \$6,500 allocated to donate to the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter which by far isn't enough to cover the \$50 per animal fee in Scranton. As we have heard from Griffin Pond Animal Shelter that I would say there is between maybe 700 to 1,000 animals

brought up there by the animal control officers a year, and make no mistake, there will be extra funding allocated above that \$6,500 to take care of that need, and that's all.

MR. ROGAN: I would also just like to say on the animal shelter in the past the city has donated I believe it was \$9,500 per year.

MR. JOYCE: I think it was about \$9,000.

MR. ROGAN: \$9,000 per year and now we are -- with the fee of \$50 per animal it would cost about \$50,000 per year, so as you can see we are looking to find the money, and I mentioned in the paper that seems pretty steep. It's just the fact that I'm looking to save money everywhere we could. Obviously, you know, we care about animals and stray animals in our neighborhoods are a big problem. I live in West Side and in my neighborhood it's a very big problem and the way to address we can't just let the animals stay out on the cold streets we have to bring them somewhere and take care of them.

It just was surprising that all of a sudden after all of these years that it hit us all at once. That's why it was surprising to me. I never said that I opposed it, it was just came surprisingly and, you know, it's money that we have to come up. Obviously, as Ms. Franus mentioned, we are working on cutting the fat from the budget. We are working on cutting administrative salaries, and we are working on putting the money where it's going to benefit people, and that's all I have to say. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I read in the paper that the mayor is going to veto the agreement with the cable company. If he vetoes that agreement then we have no franchise agreement with the cable company. Does that mean we can open up our Scranton area to other cable companies if there is some available? But basically I read the agreement, the fact is they are giving us 5 percent and I don't know I believe it's three or four hundred thousand for this EG

4

5

3

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Channel, everything else is just legal terminology, so what in that agreement that the mayor doesn't like, the 5 percent, maybe he wants to cut it to 1 percent? Have a party with the people from the cable company But it's -- you can charge up to 5 or what? percent. As you know the cable fee went up because they raised the fees, so hence any time there is a raise in the cable fees the city makes more money because we collect 5 percent, so somewhere along the lines I don't know how the mayor can sit there and say we are going to veto this agreement unless he can find out what he doesn't like specifically in this agreement other than he can't control the peg channels, and if that's the case we'll tell him we don't want a dictator ship. In China they control the news, not in America, so if he wants to do all of that he should just change countries.

Now, it looks good, the figures look good on your program for Street Smart or parking meters and so forth and so on, how many people use the meters at Chamberlain or General Dynamics?

MR. ROGAN: No one.

MR. SBARAGLIA: That's right. Now, look at the penalty fees that they gave us. They said we collect \$366,000 and when we put this knew system in we are going to collect \$3,272,000 in fines. How many people do you think is going to come into Scranton? Exactly why do we use the meters at all in Scranton? We go to the doctors, we go to the lawyers, we go to the restaurants. Them are people that's coming into the city spending their money in the city.

You are not old enough to remember when we had free parking way back when, when the malls first came out, okay? If it wasn't that we had lost this revenue we would city still have free parking. Now, of course, you realize we did have some people who park at the meter and leave their car all day. This system, of course, would probably eliminate them, but I don't think a lot of people -- with these fines people ain't going to come here. There is no reason really to come to Scranton other than

- -

if you like a particular dentist or a doctor, you can go anywhere. You go up to Wal-Mart in Taylor, Wal-Mart in Dickson City, Wal-Marts everywhere. Even our mall, when he came before you and tell you the mall is dying and they have free parking and they still can't make it.

You don't really want to do much, I realize we need the money, I believe in really driving out of the ballpark, but, man, I would think -- you know what I don't like these figures at all, and I think they would have an adverse affect about people coming in doing business in Scranton. I would avoid it and I think a lot of people would, but besides that point it's a good position to be looking at.

But you never looked at the problem, you know where we are able to tax the lawyers, the doctors, and that same 3.4 piece of legislation that you passed anybody that does a service in Scranton can be taxed, in other words, all those doctors in the hospitals can be taxed. You should look into it or find out why our tax collector

isn't looking into it and make sure we have the legal ramifications to go after it. You are talking about millions and millions of dollars from them people in the city. I just had operation, well, you did, too. You saw what the bill was. Somebody made I think it may have been \$150,000. That money could be taxed, some of it anyway.

So stay with your out of the ballpark, that's the only way you can go, like I said before, I'd like so you break up the police protection, the fire protection like some of the other towns did and charge -- take a look at your millage and whatever millage we're paying for the fire department take it off and put a fee on for that. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. I'd just like to take a moment, if we can, to remember all of those today is December 7 and remember all of our vets and all of people that died on the December 7, but not only there but everybody throughout every conflict that we

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have had if we will take a moment on that,
please, I'd appreciate if everybody could do
that. (Moment of reflection observed.)
Thank you. I'm sure they appreciate it.

What I'd like to do is start off on the Griffin Pond Shelter. As an individual that's had animals pretty much for all of my lifetime I became very upset. I attended the meeting on Sunday because we have kind of pushed the animals to the side. Now, put them in a hole, give them a shot, through them in the incinerator, but if you have owned an animal you would rather have the animals sometimes more than you would your own kids. You could beat them, you could yell at them, you know, and they'll came back and they'll lick your hand and they'll lick your face. They give a love that you can't buy, you can't demand. It's natural.

And, Mr. Rogan, I don't know if you owned a dog or a cat or ever had an animal, but had you had one I think each and every one of us here would appreciate the fact that we need to do something about it. We can't sweep it under the rug, and I'm sure

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council after hearing what I heard before is going to do everything in it's power. sure with Janet and everything else if it's there I think it should be given the time to consider it and see what you do come up If it isn't then I'm sure we could all come back and criticize you over it, but I think you should be given the opportunity.

I think we should open Nay Aug Park, the zoo that was closed. It belongs to the people in this city. Have people volunteer. The University offered to have educational things that they brought up in different schools, kids could come there and take care of animals. Let the city pick up the cost there through donations.

I mean, Chris Doherty has his own playground out there. Well, it's lacking animals, it has everything else but the animals, and he chased them all out. closed it in lieu of selling it for a dollar for 99 years to Lackawanna College. that fell through. Well, let's use it for it's purpose, a visitor's center.

Donations? Certainly. Absolutely. Take

care of the animals there, it's our responsibility. It's not Griffin pond or the people up there to do it, it's our responsibility, it's our city. Chris Doherty doesn't want to pick it up and he wants to evade the issue. Well, for the dogs and cat taken to the shelter we need to receive donations and we need to educate people on what the animals really are, they are our true friends. Your children grow up with them, the animals lay by there and guard until it's death, protecting your home or your family.

I think we should put \$1.50 fee on tickets sold at the stadium and the Cultural Center. Pass a \$2 fee on all county residents and nonprofits and KOZ's. It's a fee, we have a garbage fee, that was illegal, but we got away with it, so we can't put fees on if you want to. Have stores add on 50 cents on every sale.

We heard about parking meters
tonight, millions of dollars that could be
made, well, how about 25 cents off every
meter that goes towards the pond, okay, it's

outside revenue. It's squandered through
the Parking Authority and other places, put
it to a good use, feed the animals that they
care about, but respect the volunteers and
the people that actually do the job.

How about fines? A dollar on every fine, every parking ticket that goes out of the city we take a dollar off it and add it. Let the politicians, the judges, the congressmen and the people who didn't want to take their pay raise, well, how about given half of it at least to the shelter to the animals. It's easy to do. They don't want to take the raise, they want to give it away, well, what better place can you give it than to the animals.

How about a \$50 fee throughout the Commonwealth on every municipality in the county, not just the City of Scranton because it's not just the City of Scranton here that's at issue, it's everywhere in the county that these animals come from. Let them pay for it. They can come up with 50 bucks. They can buy road salt, they can do all of that. If we had saved the \$3 million

from the golf course we would use the interest today and have used it other than let Chris Doherty squander \$3 million plus how many thousands in interest.

These are only some ideas. These are only my opinions. People out here they have no -- we are the animal lovers and I think everybody in the city and the county have to recognize that. We will be heard. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

Hello.

My name is

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. HAWLEY:

MR. ROGAN: Kathleen Hawley.

Katie Hawley and I speak as a former employee of the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter, also as a mom of four rescued pets, two dogs and two cats. My family and I have also been rescuing animals from the shelters since I can remember. Our first adoption was when I was three years old. I don't know any other way other than to adopt. I'm also a local spay, neuter and rescue activist. I am constantly trying to educate the public on how serious pet over

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

welcoming to the public. If the city is willing to drop off

nearly 1,000 dogs this year alone then they should be willing to make the financial

compensations to care properly for these

animals.

I believe that when we die we are

met at bridge by every animal we have come

in contact with throughout our lives and

they decide if we cross. If these animals

are allowed to suffer in these horrible

conditions then I think a lot of us will be

stuck on the other side.

I have heard that statistics and

population in our area is.

I can speak from experience that the shelter has almost none of the resources it Short lives are standard simply requires. because they do not have the manpower or equipment to run a sanitary shelter. they were able to do something about the ventilation in the building I feel as though the odor would not drive so many people out. There are some shelters that are almost as sanitary as hospitals and are extremely

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

numbers are always helpful at city council meetings so I would like to provide with you a few. One unspayed female dog can be the result of 67,000 puppies within six years.

Three unspayed female cats can produce one million kittens within ten years.

Anyone who has ever done any research on pet over population or anyone involved with rescues or shelter life knows full well that every litter born in the world is a litter euthanized in a shelter somewhere. It's a ripple affect. Four to six million pets are euthanized in shelters ever year and I believe that education is key to the said truth. Once you are aware of how serious pet over population is there really is no argument. Adoption is the only If there were a city ordinance stating that pet owners spay or neuter their pets by six to eight months of age literally millions of innocent lives could be saved.

You cannot walk down the street in Scranton without coming across someone that breeds their pitbull or knows someone that does. One of my rescues is the result of

irresponsible pitbull breeding and although he is a phenomenal dog now with an awesome temperament he suffered major health problems when I first rescued him as a puppy. He was taken away his mother way too soon and was completely malnourished and starving for affection when I first found him abandoned in an apartment below me. Not only did it cost me hundreds of dollars in vet bills for prescription foods and antibiotics and such, but I feel as though my Leroy did not get a fair start in life.

Interaction between mother and pup or kitten as well as interaction between littermates is crucial for the future of a dog or cat. These people breeding and putting these sick puppies and kittens out to our city have no idea what they are doing, have no certifications, no licenses and are inexperienced with breeding and usually with the breed that they are trying to breed and they are also breeding for the wrong reasons. Anyone breeding a dog to make money is breeding for the wrong reason. Breeding done my individuals that actually

. .

love a breed are well-educated,
well-experienced certified breeders will
tell you that there is no money to be made
if you are breeding simply for the love of
the breed.

If a female is to have any complications during her pregnancy and a cesarean is required, I am sure that the people breeding dogs in their basements and backyards are not going to be willing to pay the thousands of dollars to get the euthanized. Not only that, but these dogs are selected at random. Some people will breed their dogs simply because one has a female and the other has a male.

Proper breeding requires extensive vet records of both maternal and paternal blood lines and if there is the slightest notion of an illness or any genetic flaws, the dogs are pulled from the gene pool and are no longer allowed to reproduce. The repercussions of irresponsible breeding are infinite. With no spay or neuter ordinance, there are more unwanted, unstable, unhealthy cats and dogs in the streets being dropped

off by the public or by the animal control, more people remain uneducated on the matter and put their pet and any offspring they produce at serious risk of major health issues and add to an already horrendous situation.

I did work in a shelter. I have seen the ugly side of this problem. I have seen too many innocent friendly animals end up euthanized and put in a plastic bag to keep quite myself. I believe it would save the city money to have a spay and neuter ordinance because there would be a major reduction in the number of animals needed to be trapped, chased, found and dropped off.

Besides the obvious more reasons breeding is such a terrible thing, it is the taxpayers who wind up paying for these irresponsible breeders because animal control officers are very needed in the city overrun with pets.

Our landlord required we show vet record stating that all of our pets had been spade or neutered and vaccinated which I feel as though it should be state law. A

major reason many landlords require pets to be spade or neutered is because of unnecessary infection found in pets that are not fixed. I propose that there be a city ordinance state that if you did not adopt your pet and they are not already spade or neutered in order to own the pet they must be fixed. If not, face a fine and if not willing to pay the fine the animal be seized. It will save the city thousands of dollars and save millions of lives to get these breeder off the streets.

Please always remember to spay, neuter and adopt, and to close I would like to leave you all with my own top ten spay and neuter list and attached to the back is a wonderful article that's very, very well put together regarding backyard breeders and puppy mills versus responsible breeding, so lots of helpful information.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie

Quinn, taxpayers' association. When Mayor

Doherty come into office there was \$2.1

million surplus operating budget and

long-term debt was 30 million dollars.

Presently we are looking at that \$5 million deficit and a long-term debt of \$148 million. Mr. Doherty says he was going to be able to get nonprofits so he could get more revenue to pay in lieu of taxes, he sold the municipal golf course which is revenue for producing, you don't do that, and he just ignores whatever he is trying to do and he never produced any revenue.

Now, comes 2011 -- oh, he hired, yeah, he hired for over a million dollars a lot of people in this administration. Now, he wants to -- public safety he wants to cut down. Office clerks, he wants to cut. The animals, he wants to cut down. The man is just a hypocrite. He says that he is there for the people, but he isn't there for the people.

Now, I looked at the presentation tonight on Smart Street and it was a very good presentation and if you looked at it very closely and if you looked at the various communities that, you know, implemented this Smart Street it's a win-win

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

situation when you look at the revenue it's going to produce. Now, that's creative.

You know, I just saw the president, I saw governors, I read it and I saw it, the mayors they all are saying you got to be creative, Mr. Loscombe has been creative. The first creative move I have seen in this city in the a long time to try to get revenue. We commend you on that, Mr. Loscombe, I do very much for reaching out, because that revenue if we -- if somebody was on the ball when Mr. Doherty was offered back last year maybe these people we wouldn't have to be worried about laying people off, and I'm worried about it. I'm worried about it. I'm worried about the fact that it's public safety, everybody is worried about it, and I don't like to see people laid off just because of the fact that there is a budget that just won't hold it, but yet you hold your cronies in there and it's not right.

Now, one thing I do -- I have noticed, also, the fact that when Mr. Visco gave the presentation he did say that it's

going to produce economic development as it has in other cities, and that was a plus, you know? A lot of people say, yeah, well, it's going to drive people out of town, but when you see Wilkes-Barre, as a matter of fact, just today gave them a positive yes on this, too. So, you know, 2000 meters spread throughout the City of Scranton when we say he surveyed he said 27 of them were broken, okay, 100 meters he surveyed, so extrapolate that over 2,000 and find out how many aren't collecting revenue and which would be collecting revenue, and I'm one of the taxpayers. I want to see revenue, so this is a way I think we can produce it.

If it doesn't work, it doesn't work, but nobody else in this administration is doing anything to help these people. All he is doing is spending, spending, spending. Every time he was able to raise money it was by refinancing the bonds and that got us into the mess we are. It's like taking your credit card going down Boscov's paying off Boscov's with your Bank of America card and yet you're think you're free now of Boscov's

. .

loan, but it's on your Bank of America card.

That's what he has been doing and we are winding up here and we should not be arguing here. We should have enough revenue to go around in the city.

You know, we are losing people, there is no doubt about it, and we are going to continue losing people unless we do get creative like the program that Mr. Loscombe is trying to bring in here. So, please, look favorably towards this program and try to do something for these people, okay, because it isn't their fault it's the mayor's fault. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Carl Farise.

MR. FARISE: Good afternoon,

Council, my name is Carl Farise. I'm a

lifelong resident of the City of Scranton.

It is with a great deal of pride and

pleasure that I can say I live in Scranton.

Thank you for this opportunity to share a few thoughts with you. As a Christian, I feel plea bound to speak regarding a matter of the utmost importance to a vast number of Mercy employees as well

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as the communities we serve. The matter before us this day is a possible sale of Mercy Hospital.

Before I go any further, I would like to thank Mr. Loscombe for attending our community event. We appreciated you there, we are happy to see you there.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. FARISE: It is with reservation that I come before you regarding my employer. Mery Hospital has provided me with a living wage for over 33 years. have learned many skills, I have met some incredible people, and have grown in my I stand before you and say that we, the employees, managers and labor alike, provide the best care around the area. look at our record of achievement. employees have been given a gift of life at Mercy, some have grown old at Mercy, like myself, together. Yes, and some have died at Mercy. Mercy has and always will remain near and dear to all of us in this community.

Our conflict is not with Catholic

Health Partners or our administration at Mercy of Scranton, as a delegate of our union, SCIU, I am confident that my thoughts ambulate the sentiments of our union members as well as some of our managers at Mercy.

We do acknowledge management's rights to protect their affairs as they see fit. This is not the issue. Most every worker I speak to, most every worker I speak to real concern is what appears to be the front runner who will take over managing Mercy Hospital, that's for profit corporation is Community Health System or CHS.

Some facts you need to know. In 2008, CHS within a few years purchased two hospitals in Washington. They proceeded to eliminate the trauma center designation and closed the Parkinson Clinic. This is done after CHS had promised not to close the facilities. They do not live up to their promises. CHS engages in potentially dangerous behaviors at the facility level. Some of their Pennsylvania hospitals are among the worst. CHS inappropriately put

patients in restraints and failed to provide their patients with emergency call buttons. CHS does not respond to patient concerns.

CHS own Lockhaven and Sunbury

Community Hospitals and have the fifth and sixth highest rate of health citations in the state, six times the national average.

On April 28, 2010, Sunbury Hospital was cited for violating federal law, federal regulations regarding restraints. In addition, a patient was placed in seclusion for the convenience of nursing staff.

CHS has their problems beyond SCLU facilities. National business journals reviewed national labor relations board records for the past year and found CHS was nearly double the rate pending and closed unfair labor practice charges.

If I may, I'd like to approach the panel with even more damaging CHS information?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, please.

MR. FARISE: Documentation here it's backed up by our researchers. Thank you.

(Mr. Farise approaches the dais.)

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. FARISE: Time constraints

prevent me from continuing. I have only
touched the surface. Community Health

System is not good for patients, it's not
good for our community or our employees.

I'm here to ask the citizens of this
community to help us turn back the possible
sale of Mercy Hospital to CHS. The citizens
have a voice. It is time to make those
voices heard. Keep CHS away, away from our
community. A website you might be
interested in is FutureofMercy.com.

This holiday season we wish you and your family love, happiness, prosperity and good health. Thank you four your time and have great days and tell someone that you care.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you,

Mr. Farise. If I could just comment quickly, I did attend their inaugural meeting, I apologize I was unable to make the meeting yesterday, but I will be at any future. I had another program yesterday.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FARISE: That's fine.

MR. LOSCOMBE: But what Mr. Farise is saying is it's been announced in the newspaper Mercy Hospital is for sale and the employees have been there under the Mercy spirit, I guess they would say, all of these years and they want to keep that spirit and once of the contenders to purchase Mercy Hospital is a for-profit business that's only in it for profit, basically they're probably one of the for-profit businesses that have the highest number of violations throughout the country from what I understand from the meeting and from the presentation that I had seen. And all of the employees at Mercy Hospital are worried about is that the care continues and that if it is sold that the proper company which has the spirit that we have in Scranton takes it over and, you know, anything I can do it I will definitely be there.

MR. FARISE: Thank you. Can I say one more thing? All of the other speakers I heard before me I'm thinking all of the complicated issues that are handled by the

city, it's a credit to our community that they appear here and it's a credit to you gentlemen that you try your best to deal with many complicated issues. I don't know how you do it. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Mr. Farise.

MR. ROGAN: Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Tonight I have a few follow-up of
a few procedural questions and then some
budget comments. On the follow-up side I
would like to know if anyone 00 during
motions if you would answer then, if any one
has checked on the PEL contract to see if
there is, in fact, a hold harmless clause,
and if so what is the outcome of that
reading of that contract.

Second, last week I reported there was a difference between the wage taxes the Scranton Tax Office were collected for the City of Scranton, a difference between what the Single Tax Office says they collected and what's reported by the city and in the audit report of \$36.4 million for the years

2005 through 2008. I would like to know if anybody checked into that and has a reason why. I would also like to know if the audit is available, and those are the follow ups.

Now, to the procedural questions, capital budget. What is being done about the capital budget. I believe we have only -- what's today, is today the seventh today? Yeah, Pearl Harbor Day. Yeah. We only have one more week and I have not seen a revision, proposed revision to the capital budget, so that's an issue.

I am very disappointed that council will not be presenting amendments to the mayor's budget tonight for two reasons:

One, it tells me that citizen input is not valued if we are only going be given a few minutes to see the changes and then potentially comment on them, and I would guess by next Tuesday the chances that any changes that we would suggest be incorporated would not be considered.

But the second reason is because of Section 905 in the Home Rule Charter that says, "Should a change in a program or

budgetary item exceed 10 percent of the mayor's recommended budget, another public hearing must take place within 72 hours to justify said change."

So anything, if there is anything that's over 10 percent I guess you are held to under the 10 percent, but because if there is the mayor's budget would take affect the next day, and I think we have a--as I say, I'm very disappointed that we are not having at least a week to review what the changes are.

Next, again back to a procedural question to the Home Rule Charter, when it says ten days, does it mean ten days or ten calendar -- ten calendar or ten business days because, again, if the mayor chooses to veto an ordinance he has a ten-day period. If it's a ten-day period that is calendar days it's going to be very difficult to advertise and schedule any override before the end the year. There may not even be a next regularly scheduled meeting until the following year if the mayor waits for the full ten days if it's calendar days.

And now to my budget comments.

First of all, I would like to know if the Housing Authority and the school director or the school district reimburses the city 100 percent for both the salary and the burdens on salaries for the pensions and health care and all of those other things for the resource officers there provided by the city.

I would like to know why the Housing rental license is only estimated at \$10,000. I would also like to know or recommend that with respect to the funding for Griffin Pond I don't think \$50 is trivial and when we were -- when Scranton Today gave up on First Night I think there was a reason, it was stated when it was decided to continue that they would go out and raise their money and personally I object even without the need for that \$50,000 at Griffin Pond to our tax money being used for First Night. Twenty minutes of worth of fireworks versus a year for an animal at Griffin Pond to me there is just no comparison.

Everybody else has gone over, I'm

going to finish up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I like to know if our police and firefighters are the only civil service employees, if not, which others are.

I would like to recommend that the budget contain a revised computer system for -- that would, again, allow us to find out how much has been collected in, for instance, building permit fees which the current system can't do, and then our state legislators if anybody who goes on Grassroots.com on a regular basis as do I saw for the last two days that a lot of places are concerned about the state's unfunded mandate that both Mr. Murphy and Mr. Smith voted on Act 106 which creates a database or all restaurants and cafeterias in the state. I don't believe with -- I believe the budget has one health officer in I don't believe one health officer can it. comply with that state mandate and I think perhaps also additional training is required.

The other thing is, and I don't really know if I copied it, is I question whether or not the budget that we are reviewing is even a legitimate budget because the Home Rule Charter says that the prior year's budget actual figures are supposed to be provided with the budget and they aren't there now and they haven't been there and all we have is last year's budget which doesn't come anywhere close to what the actuals are going to be in a lot of instances, and the actuals through September of this year the Home Rule Charter says it should -- we should have the actuals for all of last year and so this budget is invalid and should have been sent back originally. Thank you for your time and your consideration.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions that you asked about.

MR. JOYCE:

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Schumacher, if you are able to leave some of your requests with our assistant city clerk Jamie over there.

> MS. SCHUMACHER: Which ones?

> > Just some of the

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: Hopefully you will answer those during motions, but --

MR. JOYCE: Well, some of the new ones regarding the budget specifically.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Jennifer Crutchley.

MS. CRUTCHLEY: Good evening. Μy name is Jennifer Crutchley, Scranton Pennsylvania. I'm a rescue mom of four, foster of three, and I do a lot of work with the rescue groups and such things like that. I'm also a dog obedience and educator. only do I do those things, I also work for an agency in the area that provides services for people with intellectual disabilities. One of the resources that we utilize in helping those consumers is the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter. Our residents recycle for them, they do drives for them, they walk the animals, it's amazing what the Griffin Pond does for them.

As a trainer, I see a need almost ever single for the services at Griffin Pond Animal Shelter, the education, and as a community, as a pet owner we need to do

something here. It's just so important.

That's all I just really wanted to say, I just want to brief. Everybody else is running long.

MR. ROGAN: Thank.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you for your time.

MR. ROGAN: Eileen Herchick.

MS. HERCHICK: Good evening, City
Council. My name is Eileen Herchick, I'm
the president of IAM Local 2462. I
represent the clerical union workers for the
city and Single Tax Office. I'm here
tonight to humbly ask city council to please
put back the ten positions that were
eliminated from the mayor's 201 budget.

In a short synopsis of our union, we have continually over the past eight years lost positions. For example, at the end of December 2001 we had 95 positions, today we are down to 62. Last year we lost our traffic division which was replaced by a company that has made no significant savings to the city.

We also lost the zoning secretary.

She not only took care of all of the

clerical duties within the zoning and planning departments and commissions, but she also sent out and collected fees for the rental registration. Since that job was eliminated we haven't collected any revenue this year relating to rental registrations. She also worked the counter and helped the customers who came into license and inspections.

After losing those positions we were told that we would never lose any jobs again because of all of the cuts we endured over the years and that we were streamlined enough. Unfortunately, this year they eliminated ten positions.

These positions include the janitor for Parks and Recreation and the DPW building. She cleans and maintains these buildings. There are no other janitorial services at these buildings so who will clean the buildings once this job is eliminated.

The administrative assistant to

Parks and Recreation. She prepares the user

fee forms and prepares the cash receipts

that are sent out to city hall. She maintains all lifeguard employment applications. She also prepares the memberships for use of the pool and accepts the payments from the patrons that use the pool of Parks and Recreations. She also books all of the events down at Parks and Rec.

The accounts payable clerk. She is responsible for all payments to all vendors in the city. She keeps impeccable records of each and all transactions that are made. She also assists the business administrator in the Right-to-Know.

The assistant administrative assistant payroll clerk in the police department. She keeps all personnel records for each person at the police department and also does the payroll for the policemen. She prepares vouchers for payments to the vendors that use the services of the police department.

The administrative assistant records coordinator in the controller's office. She maintains a spreadsheet of all parts and

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other expenses to all city vehicles and prepares a semi-annual report to the controller. She maintains records of vouchers and purchase orders along with normal general office duties. She also logs and keeps track of all of invoices dealing with the Scranton Times.

The tax collector in the Treasury Office. She takes the payments of delinquent city taxes. She records each payment into the tax book and sends records to NCC at the end of each month. researches and satisfies tax liens. processes all correspondence from the sheriff's sales and updates the tax book. She deals with all attorneys who need information on properties when there is a closing. She assists in the collecting of parking tickets and refuse fees. learned recently that the delinquent city taxes and refuse fees will again be done by the this office as it was done years ago. Also, the tax sales may be coming back to that department. Who is going to do that work if her position is eliminated?

The payroll clerk. She assists the other payroll clerk in all aspects of payroll. She helps to prepare and transmit the payroll for processing for every person who is employed in the city. She assists in all aspects of payroll regarding arrival and departing of employees. She deducts all required funds and directs these funds to their proper monetary commitments. She assists in preparing all reports to the state and local taxes and to the IRS on a quarterly basis. We have approximately 600 employees which they keep every type of payroll record. It would be impossible for just one person to handle this job.

The administrative assistant in your office. I don't need to tell you how important Jamie is to all of you. Your office is one of the busiest offices in the city and city hall and it would be ashame to lose her.

The housing inspector, I'll leave for Patty Fowler.

I ask again who will do the work once these positions are eliminated. The

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

loss of these or any jobs would be detrimental to the daily services that we provide our citizens. As Mr. Newcomb said last week and I'd like to thank him, we are the backbone of city hall. We are the first ones in the building ready to greet the public and the last ones here at night. We handle most of the public's needs from paying their tickets and house taxes to the refuse fees and assisting people with questions on tax delinquent properties. We handle all licenses needed in the city. Ι just have a couple more lines, may I speak?

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

MS. HERCHICK: Thank you. We handle all licensing in the city from homeowners to business owners and contractors to dog licenses. We handle all blighted and condemned properties and towing of abandoned vehicles on properties. We keep this building clean and properly -- all the buildings clean and properly maintained. We maintain all of the records and reports for the police, fire, DPW, Parks and Rec Departments which includes their personnel

files. We maintain and keep all police, fire, and municipal vehicles running and operational and when needed assist the DPW and maintain their vehicles.

In conclusion, we keep all departments, be it the police, the fire, DPW or Parks and Recreational functioning and operational so that they could do their job. On behalf of myself and my members we would appreciate anything this council could do to save these positions, and I thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just have one question for you, anywhere in-between now and the time that you were notified that these positions were being eliminated did Mayor Doherty or any department heads ever layout a plan of how the duties and responsibilities of these positions would be allocated?

MS. HERCHICK: No, basically they just told us that --

MS. FOWLER: We'll work with what we have.

MS. HERCHICK: We'll work with what

1 we have, yeah. MS. FOWLER: That's what we were 2 3 told. MR. LOSCOMBE: How did they 4 determine what positions they were going to 5 eliminate? 6 7 MS. FOWLER: That's a great 8 question. 9 MS. HERCHICK: That we don't know. We have no idea. 10 11 MS. FOWLER: We would love to know 12 that if you find that out. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, like the 14 firehouses, the dart board method I would 15 assume. MS. HERCHICK: Yeah. Yeah. 16 Thank 17 you. 18 MR. ROGAN: Patty Fowler. 19 MS. FOWLER: Good evening. My name is Patty Fowler, I'm one of the housing 20 21 inspectors that have been eliminated in this 22 budget seven out of ten years. It's tough. 23 That means that our inspection's officer 24 will be working with two housing inspectors 25 for 26 square miles in the city. The amount

of square miles in the city hasn't changed, continuing to get rid of housing inspectors does not help the continuing blight issue that we are all aware of. We all acknowledge there is a huge blight problem in this city. Some areas are worse than others, however, two inspectors will never get the job done and I ensure that you will all agree with that since you ever week have issues that you bring up.

As inspectors we work hard, we patrol the various sections of the city on a daily basis. We respond to tenant and landlord complaints, cover all areas which involve property maintenance. This includes exterior and interior concerns and covers everything from infestation to serious blight safety issue. We often have to perform investigative work when dealing with vacant and abandoned properties currently up for foreclosure. This economy has only increased in that respect in the amount of our work. We often deal with a variety of agencies in the city such as the Bureau of Aging, Children and Youth, the animal

control officer when we are compelled to condemn properties with such safety issues.

Our daily work also includes checking on property permits for work being performed within city limits, insuring that the work being performed done by license contractors. We are also protecting the property values of everyone that calls and wants something done next to them. We are also called to different police actions to different properties. When properties are condemned for drug arrests, fires, whatever issues, utilities that are shut off, the list goes on. It's unclear to me how two inspectors would fill the void that would be left with the loss of two inspectors in that office.

When our last contract was negotiated it was made very clear to our union by the administration that we are working at that point with bare bones, we are bare bones and we couldn't do it with any less of a support staff than we had then, here we are now ten people less. I don't understand it.

I would also like to touch on the fact that while everyone in the union is willing to pitch in, we will now have to voluntarily have a call-in list to clean the front sidewalks in the event of a snowstorm. Just to give you an idea of how skeletal our clerical union has been cut our people are willing to pitch in.

Finally, I would like to take the time to point out that while the clerical union is the most underpaid and under appreciated, I as a citizen, coworker and union sister would like to take the time and I wish you would include me to thank them for the work they do for us every day.

(Whereupon the audience claps.) Anything that you can do to help us we would appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Debbie
Torbal.

MS. TORBAL: Good evening, Council.

I'm Debbie Torbal, I'm the tax clerk,

resident and taxpayer of the City of

Scranton. I am here tonight to offer my

support to my fellow union members, firemen,

police, DPW and especially my clerical union partners. Even though the cutting of the clerical union does not affect public safety, I think cutting the clerical union jobs would greatly impact the public service that the City of Scranton homeowners and citizens deserve, and I believe that the city clerk positions being cut are instrumental in keeping the city running, efficiently and productively and I would appreciate you considering reinstating our jobs. Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Nancy Reese.

MS. REESE: Hello. Good evening,
I'm Nancy Reese of the NEPA Animal Adoption
Network. I'm here in support of the Griffin
Pond Shelter and I would like to talk about
a few things maybe the city could possibly
think about to help them with the \$50 per
animal fee.

First I would like to talk about a spay and neuter ordinance. For those who don't know how a spay and neuter ordinance works it's very simple, I have been looking into them for the last year, you can go

about it several different ways depending on which one you are looking into, but they are pretty simple. First, anybody caught breeding cats or dogs in their possession purposely, either they don't want to take them to the vet to get spade or neutered or they are breeding them purposely in their homes, when caught would be, you know, warned and provided with low cost resources to get their pet fixed and ASAP. Second time \$100 fine, for example, the third time they go back another increase in the fine and the third time possibly a misdemeanor charge to enforce spaying and neutering.

Of course there is going to be exceptions for breeders, responsible breeders. A lot of times breeders are very scared about a spay and neuter ordinance, a responsible breeder I feel wouldn't have a problem with registering their intact dog with the city. Therefore, you could also tack on a fee for people registering, breeders registering their animals.

As you mentioned earlier, Mr. Rogan, you live in West Side, you would know that

the growing population of cats is ridiculous. It's out of control. Recently in the last few months we've started a trap, neuter, release program and we have fixed in the last few months 30 cats from West Scranton and 15 of them we did find homes for, if they are nice when we get them we are able to put them up for adoption. If they are feral a lot of times we do have to put them back, but they are fixed and we do provide rabies shots for them.

We have only had to take three to the shelter for obvious reasons, so we are trying to help our community and we do ask other people to pitch in. A lot of times the citizens are also doing trap, neuter, release on their own and everything comes from our own pockets, so we would like you to, you know, possibly think about a spay and neuter ordinance and any money that you would make from that can be forwarded to the shelter possibly to fund the \$50,000 they are looking for.

I believe that's it. I do have a lot of more, but I think that's it for right

now, but I do work for the Griffin Pond and as a multiple pet owner and an animal lover my whole entire life I have always dedicated myself to the animals and there is lot of people here today that, you know, are animal lovers and owners and we do hope that you do think out thoroughly what you can do to raise money to cover the cost because if the shelter were to go under we all know that it's going to be bad, it's just not good. There is already cats, hundreds of cats, they start breeding at four months of age and it's babies have babies, so we hope that you do think it out thoroughly and do give them, you know, what they are asking for.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. I apologize, I skipped a speaker, Linda Parintano. I apologize for that.

MS. PARINTANO: Good evening, city council. My name is Linda Parintano. I'm a Scranton city worker. I am in building maintenance. I have been with the city for 21 years. I have never bumped out of my position, I have always stayed in building maintenance. Well, since 2001 we have lost

four positions in building maintenance. We now have a cleaning company. Right now we don't have a -- we don't even have a maintenance man. There is no maintenance man in this city. He is out on compensation so we literally have no maintenance man. There is myself, there is another man in the department in which I was one of the positions eliminated, I work out of DPW and I work out of Weston Field. In my position the other gentleman that I work with I had

to bump in which he is a very special man

I had no other choice.

and it was very hard for me to do that, but

Coming into this building after so long I started off with in the city for 21 years, like I said, I started cleaning in city hall. I was on second floor, I took care of second floor. When this administration came in they eliminated everybody. I was in this building alone for four months. Then finally they brought back the other man to clean. I was put down at police headquarters. Down from police headquarters I now clean out of, like I

said, DPW and Weston Field.

Since coming in this building tonight I look at this building and to me it's a disgrace. I went in those bathrooms on second floor in which I kept meticulous they are a mess. Police headquarters are a mess. Our own people are cleaning the bathrooms down there. There is no soap, it's a pigsty and it hurts to see that they want to eliminate another position in building maintenance. Maybe they don't think you need a clean building, I do not know. I try my best at DPW.

I'd like to know if anybody here on city council knows how much it costs to pay a cleaning company? Do you know what the price is, the year that we pay for the cleaning company per year?

MR. ROGAN: I don't know offhand.

MR JOYCE: I don't know offhand either.

MS. PARINTANO: I would say it's an average of \$65,000 a year for the cleaning company which is totally ridiculous, and our salaries what do we make? What does the

cleaning person -- what does the janitor make, \$30,000? So you get rid of another janitor making 30,000 a year and give it to the cleaning company for \$65,000 a year and they do not even clean. To me, like I said before, when I left this place I had those bathrooms on second floor meticulous. They look terrible. It's just a disgrace for what the building used to look like to what it has become.

I just want to know how come the county doesn't have privatization with cleaning or how come the school district they have their own people. Why can't we have our own people back in building maintenance like it used to be, like we used to take pride in this building. Now we come in it's just not the same, and I just think as somebody in building maintenance that watched the department get cuts since 2001 I think it's time that we saw stop. Enough. We are just as important as the next person in building maintenance and every other clerical worker that is being eliminated.

For two weeks I sat back and I

watched them talk about the policemen and I watched them talk about the firemen, that is wonderful, we need them, too, but we are the also clerical union in which I'm proud to be a member of. That's all I have to say.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just a couple of quick questions, the private company that does the cleaning --

MS. PARINTANO: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: -- do they have any set hours or are they punching in or do they --

MS. PARINTANO: No, I believe they do not punch in, I'm not sure about that. I know they are not here as long as we are. I know the one man that works here is here from 4 to 10:30, and that's his hours, me at the other building I'm there from 4 to 11:30 because I opt to take the 40 hours.

I mean, right now I personally don't believe they are here right now, I do not know. I don't know who locks the doors, I don't know what time they come in, I have no idea, but I know right now as I'm talking I am on vacation and there is nobody cleaning

the Department of Public Works and that's sad, so I am hoping that -- after a whole week of being out of the Department of Public Works I do not know what that building is going to look like when I go back and that's not right because we are dealing with a hundred men down there. A hundred men.

And besides having all of the buildings we have one maintenance man? Now he is off on compensation we have no maintenance man. Nobody. Nobody to change the lights, there is lights burnt out.

Nobody. Nobody is there to do the work.

(Mr. Loscombe points to the light.)

Exactly. My exact point. We have lights burnt out at DPW and if I want to do them I will get up on a chair like I have done before and I will change the lights.

In fact, I'm out tonight and I was so worried about who was cleaning the building down there that I'm making phone calls stating if there is no toilet paper or paper towels I will go down there and fill it for yas. They said, "No, you stay on

vacation," but that's just bothering me.

Right now as I'm speaking I'm thinking to

myself, "Do they have paper towels down

there."

MR. LOSCOMBE: The other question I had supplies, cleaning supplies and stuff like that, does this company utilize ours or do they bring their own?

MS. PARINTANO: They use ours.

Because a couple of months ago, I would say maybe about four to five months ago I had a meeting with the administration, okay, I had problem down where I work, everybody was there, I have asked for my supplies. When I was up here I used a scrubber and I used a burnisher, okay, which I was the one that kept these floors shiny. I was the one that did the waxing up here. I asked for that equipment to go down to the Department of Public Works in which my boss, who is Mark Seitzinger, says, "Absolutely."

He was stopped dead short by human resource director and said, "No, that has to stay at city hall for the cleaning company."

Why should it stay at city hall?

21

22

23

24

25

That machine is city property. Why should the cleaning company use it? Why should the cleaning company use our materials? not right. You're a cleaning company, bring your own materials. When I cleaned houses on a personnel level I brought my own cleaning equipment in. I didn't expect the people to supply me with what I needed. They are getting supplied by the city with all of the work that they are doing, plus they are making \$65,000 a year, if not more. If not more. So you know what, my suggestion is get rid of the cleaning company, bring back another position in building maintenance, you could have one cleaning city hall, you could have one cleaning DPW, and you could have one cleaning police headquarters like we used to have it done, and then we used to rotate when someone was off. Those buildings would get cleaned.

When this first started with the privatization with the company they were supposed to fill our positions when me and Harry were off. They do not do that. This

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cleaning company that they got now they do not do that. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just lastly, are you saying all of us men are slobs?

MR. ROGAN: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman, member of the Taxpayers' Association. I have to say something to somebody who is waiting for a message from me, it was Whiting Brothers. Now you can go to sleep. I was at the VFW in Dupont Friday night at the bar and this other fellow and me were talking about this big chain of gas stations and truck stops in California years ago and we couldn't remember the name, it drove both of us nuts, and it popped into my head and he told me see if I remember it. They were horrible looking places. They were built in the 20's and 30's, they were just horrible looking, but they used to have six hamburgers for a dollar. Can you imagine that? That's like going into Burger King and getting six hamburgers or McDonald's. I mean, getting six great big hamburgers. Well, anyway, that's no longer.

Getting back to business, about the dog pound, I suggest they become an authority like the Parking Authority and the Sewer Authority because that would make them eligible for all of this graft and corruption that they get, right? It's just a passing thought how they could raise funds.

And I saw in the paper about the permits were supposed to bring in what, \$3,800,000 or something, they can only see to account for half of that money, that the paper said that there was 1,400 jobs, I don't know if that's with the mayor 6,000 jobs or above it, but again, they forgot to tell the Single Tax Office about, you know, all of these jobs.

This newspaper is just full, full of it. But I have to say one thing today they had a good editorial about that NEIU, I don't know were they don't disband with them. How could they -- the state allow these people to get away with it with what goes on there. This article is in the paper a couple of weeks ago, forget that Rossetti

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he took the state for a million dollar ride, he ought to be in jail for something for what he has done to the taxpayers. The one that came in for 16 weeks he was given \$2,000 a week salary, but he was given almost \$4,000 a week vacation benefits, for 16 weeks he had \$60,000. Who ever heard of that?

At the school board at the meeting Wednesday they want to raise the taxes 4 percent or something with all of this monumental waste going on, all of these people that aren't worth nothing it's not right to hit the taxpayers again. Somebody else needs to bite the bullet for a change, you know, it's just the people are just so sick of being taken for a ride by the government. Right here, a man making \$60,000 vacation in 16 weeks it just doesn't The president doesn't make make sense. money like that and he is worth more than any of these people.

You know, I have been listening to all of these ladies talk and there is people in this very room right now that I talked to

trying to -- when I was badmouthing Doherty week after week after week that said we have to vote for him to keep our jobs. So you can see how much he cares about you people out there now. You see what kind of man Chris Doherty is now. And when you go in that voting booth there is no one looking to see how you vote you should vote with your heart. You don't have to vote because you want to keep a job here because that wasn't very good security. I'm not trying to get somebody made add me because I'm completely 100 percent on you guy's side. I think this is a terrible situation.

Todd O'Malley and Chris Doherty have given hundreds of KOZ's in this city that haven't done a thing except take millions of dollars off our tax rolls. Thirteen years think of the kids. There are so many kids going to school they have to build one and not any of those people are paying a penny for those children going to school. I thought you were going to give me Phyllis' time. Well, I thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ELLMAN: I'd like to say one thing. Can I say something real quick?

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

MR. ELLMAN: It's Christmas time, you know, and this will just take a minute, Christmas, this stays with me year after year. Every Christmas I think of this, when I used to go up and back to California in the 50's and 60's, I think it was Christmas about a week before Christmas in '64 when I had my little no-window convertible, I left Los Angeles and I was in Barstow at this big hotel and restaurant and I had -- I was driving to Memphis and Atlanta and I just didn't look forward to it, so I had some coffee or something, and I went -- I got in my car and I was just worn out and hadn't started so I went in the office and I asked the man they used to call them -- they had day sleepers. You would have eight hours for \$3 in the motel. Anyway, I told them I wanted to sleep for an hour or two and he said, "Why don't you just sleep in your car," he said, "You don't even have to move it."

I was in front of the office, the restaurant was down there. I got in the car, I had this war surplus sleeping bag with a pillow and I got nice and comfortable and I woke up and I don't know an hour or two later and I had this great big heavy black and white quilt on me, and I couldn't imagine how -- you know, where it come from because my doors were locked. I got out and I folded the quilt and I brought it in and the man was watching television at the desk, he said, "That's not my quilt."

I said, "You're joking."

 $\label{eq:hearing} \mbox{He said, "I'm not joking about it,} \\ \mbox{that's not my quilt."}$ 

He said, "I didn't see anybody go near your car the whole time you were there."

And he wouldn't cop out that he put it on me, I don't know how he got in the car, but every Christmas I think of that. I guess for two or three years I used to stop at that motel and finally one time when we were talking I used to say hi to him, he said, "You can just take this quilt with

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you, nobody ever come for it."

I must have had that quilt like another 20 years. When my ex-wife threw me out of the house she kept the quilt, but I guess she's still got it. That's the one that beat me with the frying pan so bad.

But every Christmas that comes back to me how I woke up with that big quilt and it never could account what happened to it, but it's just -- it seemed like it was something to do with Christmas, you know?

> MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Doug Miller.

Good evening, Council. MR. MILLER: Doug Miller, Scranton, joking before, well, I don't want to call it a joke, but it is a serious matter, you talked about the light being out, I think that light has probably been out for two and a half years, I was seated here on junior council and that thing was still out.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It's been out since I been here.

MR. MILLER: I would be lying if I

25

said I was surprised. Regarding Griffin Pond shelter, we've heard a lot of statements made on that this evening, I would just like to follow-up on what everybody else has been commenting on I, too, would hope that council would support this group. You know, when you take a look at the things they do for the community not just here in Scranton, but all over the county providing protection for these animals who, you know, need the protection and in a lot of instances they become nuisances in neighborhoods and Mr. Rogan talked about West Side, you know, I, too, being from West Side understands it's an issue and I just think that we squander a lot of money through the years in this city and I just think that this is a worthy investment and I would hope that council would find room in the budget to put aside some funding for the shelter because they do provide a good service and we shouldn't be using it as a free dropping station. know, we have a lot of people here tonight from the shelter who are dedicated

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

individuals who dedicate their time to provide a service to the community and they should be commended for that and, you know, we shouldn't just turn around and slap them in the face, you know, we need to start doing the right thing.

Regarding the issue of cameras in the city, I took the time a few weeks ago to read an article in the Scranton Times regarding these cameras, I believe we spent over \$200,000 plus on these cameras and we find out today that they are not being used and for their intended to purpose and that was to be a useful watchdog for the police department. We learned that lot of these cameras don't even work, they were placed in areas that to be honest with you that weren't really crime areas, and we also hear that, you know, we have police officers with their backs turned to them, the monitor. Whether that was done intentionally or not I don't know, but if we are going to make an investment and spend this kind of money whether it's coming from the city coffers or the Scranton money the bottom line is if you

are going to make an investment in something I would hope that you make take advantage of it.

And once again, as usual, like I said, I would be lying to you if I said I was surprised we go and squander money like it's nothing. I mean, it's just really out of control. It really, really disgusts me.

But the main issue tonight I have is the proposed cuts with the public safety, the police and fire, but also to the inspectors, the clerks, and to the maintenance. I want to express my strong opposition to this mayor as a lot of other people have come up here and expressed the same viewpoint, and I would just hope that council will understand that this plan is in the best interest of the residents of this city. It might work in other towns, but it's not in the best interest of the residents in the residents in this town.

You know, public safety needs to be the number one priority for this council and I believe some of you have stated that in the past and I hope that council has

dissected this budget and I would imagine you have, you've had the opportunity to do so since January, so I would hope that all five of you have a firm grasp of what's going on here with this budget, you have had enough time, and I just feel that we need to make cuts in other areas.

We have heard administrative cuts, we need to start there. The millions of dollars we spend in administrative salaries and the positions that we create all of these jobs floating out there let's start there. You know, the taxpayers are pretty fed up with this and I for sure am, too, and I would just hope that this council will begin to do something about it and I look forward to seeing what your proposed cuts are and I believe we will be seeing those in the next few days and over the next few weeks as well.

You know, it's ashame that here in Scranton we always have a difficult time. Every year we have the same obstacle, you know, where are we going to make cuts, where are we going to start. You know, it always

starts with the police and fire, that's always our first start for whatever reason and we ignore everything else and we go right to that. Now we want to shut fire stations down. I mean, you know, it's unbelievable.

Why can every other city and town across the country make cuts and cuts back and we can't? It's like pulling teeth. I mean, you know, we look for solutions and we hear the same rhetoric and, you know, this isn't a problem that's occurred overnight, this has been going on for many years because we let politics and egos in the way, and we elect the same people over and over again who have their strings pulled and they're controlled and they are told how to vote and what to do and they don't have the interest of the people in mind and that's what you were elected to do.

You know, I'm proud to be a Scrantonian, there is no doubt about that, but the thing that really upsets me is that I know myself the two young gentleman we have seated on this council and every other

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

young individual across this city, including future generations, are going to be left with a massive debt that is just unimaginable and it's because, again, we have let politics and egos get in the way and they've destroyed our future pretty much and to be honest with you, I know it's a very negative thing to say, but it's the truth and sometimes, you know, the truth needs to be told, and I would just hope that with the majority of Scrantonians putting faith in this council to do the right thing I'm one of the many residents in say that hopes you will to the right thing appreciate your time. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave
Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers', resident
of Scranton, so forth. On 7-A, B and C, I
would like to compliment you, it's my
understanding that these are the rules that
allow Northeast Criminal Conspiracy, I mean,
Northeast Credit and Collections to rip us
off for how many years and not really result
in any significant collection of taxes and,

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in fact, exacerbate people paying their taxes when they're behind.

And now on this animal control, we are probably stuck with the situation, so you people will just to have figure out how to deal with it the best you can. If they want \$50 an animal that's the way it's going to be. They are the ones setting the However, I agree with lot of standard. these people. I have three pets and every animal I have wound up at my door for some reason or another. I picked up a cat off the street had been hit by a car, I thought she was a goner, but she's still around and they are neutered and taken care of at the vet and so forth.

Now, Mr. Joyce about two weeks ago mentioned about trash and expanding it to apartment buildings, I would like to suggest that some of the trash that I before mentioned and the situations of illegal dumping may be the result of allegedly privatized trash. That couch that a landlord gets stuck with or the tenant doesn't want to get sued by the landlord so

he has an extra couch or a couple of chairs that are beaten up, well, if you have to pay too much for them or you can't get rid of them or you can't get the privatized person to take them out there is always the trolly tunnel or the bridge above 307 or whatever and with the building materials that I aforementioned that is privatized trash because you are supposed to have, if you do a roof or something like that or renovations you are supposed to have a dumpster parked in front of the house and that's all supposed to collected and taken away, and instead somebody slips in somewhere on some back road and just dumps it off.

So I'd like to see, I don't care if there is 30 units in a house if they want to pay DPW for those units and do it right that's okay by me, and also the recycling, I have noticed that in some apartment houses people have told me that they do not recycle and we get grants and so forth according to tonnage or whatever and that is one grant we will never receive. So, you know, the younger people have a bigger interest in

that than me, who knows how long I'll be around, but in reality it's very important that these things be done and other than glass most of the recycling can be done on profit.

And finally, the golden parrot award this week goes to Justin Phillips of the tea party nation for implying that people should have to own property to vote. Whatever happened to taxation without representation? Bawk. Bawk.

And the Afghan vice president with stopped at Dubai with \$54 million in \$100 bills, that is an approximately 1100 pounds of hundred dollar bills all in cold cash, where did he get the money? I'd like to follow him around. He gets the golden parasite award. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,

South Scranton resident, member of the

Taxpayers' Association. In the past two

weeks we have learned from Mayor Christopher

A. Doherty's sworn testimony 14 September 2010 the following information about the mismanagement of the City of Scranton.

Number one, the mayor was not aware that he and the City of Scranton were being sued by two female police officers.

Two, the delegation of authority in Scranton is made orally with no written procedures in place. Unbelievable.

Three, that the Mayor Doherty was not aware that the appointed Director of the Human Resources, a cabinet position, had a degree in fashion design. Also, she had no formal training or education in management supervision or sexual harassment or ethics training.

Four, that Mayor Doherty appointed the police chief had no supervisor training or experience in sexual harassment or ethics training resulting in taxpayers paying \$100,000 in Court awards to two female police officers plus attorney fees, total \$153,000.

Five, we learned that Mayor Doherty appointed Director of Public Safety was

appointed on the recommendation of one person, District Attorney Jarbola, and also that the director had no experience in sexual harassment or ethics training. We learned that the cabinet members report to other cabinet members, although they all hold positions as cabinet members and that no minutes are kept at the weekly cabinet meetings held by Mayor Doherty.

Unbelievable.

"Question: Tell me what experience
Mr. Hayes had in the administration of
sexual harassment policies?

Mayor Doherty's answer: I don't know if he had any.

Question: What was your understanding as to the city's obligation to maintain and effect the policy that specific prohibited sexual harassment?

Mayor Doherty's answer: I wasn't aware that he had to have a policy.

Question: Have you since learned that that might be a requirement of the law?

Mayor Doherty's answer: I have not been aware of that one way or the other.

Ι

Question: As of today, you are not

aware of that? 2 3 Mayor Doherty's answer: Right. Question: So until today did it 4 5 ever occur to you that you have never -that the city has never promoted a female to 6 7 a position of rank within the department? 8 Mayor Doherty's answer: Well, it 9 has occurred to me because you don't see 10 them. 11 Question: What have you done, if 12 anything, to address that? 13 Mayor Doherty's answer: I have done 14 nothing. 15 Question: Did you at any point 16 encourage or direct Chief Elliott or 17 Mr. Hayes to seek education in the law of 18 sexual administration or as to what sexual discrimination as to what their 19 20 responsibilities might or might not be 21 regarding police officers? 22 Mayor Doherty's much: We asked. 23 know Chief Doherty -- or Chief Elliott. 24 had all of the cabinet people going to 25 sensitivity training.

1

1 Question: Prior to this incident? 2 Mayor Doherty: No. 3 Question: Whose responsibility would it have been to investigate those 4 5 complaints and resolve them? Mayor Doherty's answer: It would 6 7 have been the HR Department and whatever 8 department head that was involved with them. 9 Question: Would they report to you? 10 Mayor Doherty's answer: They would, 11 yes. 12 Question: If there was, in fact, a 13 finding made by, for instance, a federal 14 agency of a probable cause to believe that 15 sexual harassment occurred or discrimination had occurred would you get that report? 16 17 Mayor Doherty's answer: If there 18 was, I'm not aware of it. 19 Question: Would you act on it? 20 Mayor Doherty: If there was 21 probably if it was serious enough. Question: 22 What constitutes how 23 serious a claim involving sexual 24 discrimination would it take for you as a 25 mayor to do something?

Mayor Doherty's answer: If my subordinates had told me it was serious enough that we should act on it.

Question: So if your subordinates--

Mayor Doherty: Right.

Question: -- told you.

Mayor Doherty: Hum."

Wow, I'm sure happy that I do not work for the City of Scranton under Mayor Doherty's administration. Remember, his cabinet members, department heads have no training or experience in these matters, but yet the mayor was going to rely on them and their recommendation. No wonder why the city lost the Court case and the harassments happened in the first place, but just remember, experience does not matter. A direct quote from a past city council president. Apparently that is the philosophy of the Doherty administration.

For four years the citizens were being told by the Doherty three council members that they were getting their answers from the administration cabinet members. I do not see how. They would have answers.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No minutes were being taken and kept and some cabinet members had more influencing authority than others.

Finally, I would like to know what qualifications Mayor Doherty and Mr. Stu Renda, business administrator, have to supervise the Scranton Police Department and the fire department? Under Mayor Doherty's reign as mayor the city has remained distressed. His outdated Recovery Plan has been violated by him and his cabinet members and Mr. Renda has been late every year with his audit report and refuses to answer questions posed him by the residents and Scranton City Council. How in the world can they manage a fire department and police department without any knowledge, expertise or experience? Can someone please answer that question for me tonight?

And also, I support the clerical union, I think they are getting ripped off and they suffered and they have sacrificed enough, and again, we have a mayor right here, this is his sworn testimony, these are honest answers, not editorials, not made up,

not make believe. He swore to this, and look at the answers he has given. I will continue next week.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else that would like to address council?

MR. GERVASI: Good evening again, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi, resident and taxpayer, and I'm the president of the firefighters' union. I have two things to say tonight and after listening to our colleagues from the clerical union I have a hundred things to say.

This is not the latest wave, the clerical union last been getting hit by this administration, this is probably the fifth wave they've got hit. I remember in the beginning they were getting hit because apparently there was no money for the clerical union employees. They knocked these women and men off and then the next thing you know they would hire somebody at twice the salary to do basically the same job in a nonunion position and call it a middle management position.

Apparently, the mayor didn't have any friends, you know, whose kids needed, you know, sanitation jobs or secretary jobs making \$25,000 a year. That was the first wave.

I work with a lot of these people and I know what they do and they work hard and they go to work every day just for a decent day's pay. Isn't it ashame that they have to come here and practically beg city council to help save their jobs so they could do a service for the city? Isn't a shame the fire department and the police department have to come here and say, "Please keep the citizens safe"?

What are you left to work with because of one guy, because of one guy who just wanted to advance himself politically and decided he was going to borrow \$100 million and spend it, and now I guess it's time to pay the piper because the firefighters and the police got a cost of living raise after nine years, so now all of sudden there is no money.

Well, the police and fire

department, again, did not cost you any more this year than it did nine years ago. The clerical union probably cost you about two-thirds of what it cost you nine years ago, and the DPW cost you less than it did nine years ago. Why is our budget up \$17 million? Two years ago they were up \$22 million? Where did that money go? I'll tell you where the money went, debt payment. Debt payments for the next 30 years, and now we have all of these fine people coming up here saying, "Please save our jobs because all we want to do is come to work and do our job and provide a service and now we can't."

Now they can't. You guys have a tough road ahead of you, you really do. But you know what, let's talk about the man who did bury us in debt and now there is no money and now all of these people are losing their jobs and people are not going to get the services they got. Let's talk about some of the things he has done. You know what's funny, it's just an observation I made when the ten names came out I could probably -- five of them just an example,

one person is a veteran person in a veteran position that's been here forever, that position got eliminated, that person happened to be married to a firefighter. One person who is in a veteran -- is a veteran in a veteran position that person happened to be the person who had to testify and tell the truth about a prior cabinet member calling names of female police officers, that position got eliminated. have a person that happens to be helping you on a daily basis, that person has to be eliminated, but past councils that were friendly with this mayor had five people working in there now they want you to go to two.

Just coincidence I'm sure, it's all coincidental, and one person that has been knocked off their position I think seven times in nine years just happens to be the vide president of the clerical union that came to this podium and had the guts to speak up about what's going wrong in the city, but I'm sure that's just coincidental, too.

I'm sorry, back to my rant. I just wanted to come up here tonight and two things I wanted to say was very simply that there is debate going on right now about public safety, the guys where I work in my department have spent hundreds of hours getting -- getting a lot of information together just so that the people are aware of what this department is going to look like, if you can't help us the mayor gets his way.

We brought truthful, factual, comprehensive, scientific information to the school board, to city council and we are continuing to talk to the people in the city to let them know certain areas of the city how their response times and their fire safety is going to suffer, and I would just like to ask the administration while we're debating this over the next two weeks or week if they could just be truthful just once, just be truthful like we are trying to be just once.

Like the article the other day in the paper when the mayor just throws this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out, two days ago it was the average firefighter make \$67,000 a year. Well, the other day in the paper it was, and I quote, "The average cop and firemen in Scranton makes \$70,000 -- will make \$70,000 next year."

Let me tell you the truth. One, the highest paid firefighter in the department, our deputy chief -- may I finish?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. GERVASI: Is going to make \$8,000 less what he is saying. Four people are going to make \$10,200 less than what he is saying and they are our assistant chiefs. Our inspectors are going to make \$12,000 next year less that when he's saying. Twenty of our captains are going to make \$14,700 than the statement he made. Twenty-one of our lieutenant's are going to make \$16,900 less what he stated in the paper. Forty-five of our drivers, operators are going to make \$18,900 less than what he stated in the paper, and 46 of our private firefighters are going to make \$21,900 less than what he stated in the paper. If you

add them altogether it's \$17,000 less what he stated in the paper. That's the truth.

And by the way, the first thing the administration, the cabinet members said to these fine clerical workers when they said they were losing ten people, "Blame the cops and firemen on their award. Blame the cops and firemen."

I guess the administration wanted us to go without a raise for 12 years, but because we got a cost of living raise they want them to blame us because we finally got a cost of living raise. It's incredible.

Thank you for hearing me.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Pay.

MR. ROGAN: Hey, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Jack, you handsome devil, you. Remember, Jack, these people want to keep their jobs, Jack. Keep the jobs in the city for these people in the city. Let's keep the jobs for these people in the city. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: We're working on it.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident, taxpayer and recording secretary of the FOP, First Amendment Rights. Eleven positions in January 2010 cut under council's amending of the 2010 budget. Wages rolled back to 7.5 raise from 2001 to equal the 7.5 percent raises of public safety raises in nine years saving \$700,000 in the process. Arguments by the city and the courts that it affects the health, welfare and safety of the citizens of Scranton, the judge concurred. Move ahead six months and 69 positions will be eliminated in an attempt to save \$2.5 million. A little late trying to save money since 2001.

I wonder what the Courts will say
when those 69 positions are going to be
eliminated? I wonder what words will be
used then by the judge? Health, welfare,
and safety of the citizens are strong words.
I wonder if old man Webster can top those
words?

During the lawsuit brought about by the city against council, no police or fire personnel were going to be eliminated by

council. Health, welfare and safety of the citizens of the Scranton was still going to suffer. The city's and judge's words, not mine. Forty-four police and fire, what will the courts say now? Health, welfare and safety of the citizens.

If I'm correct, in 2001 there were 92 clerical workers. If you, Council, do not restore clerical positions, it will be 50 clerical workers left to do the work of 92. That breakdown is a 45 percent loss of the clerical workers since 2001. That's almost one-half of the original compliment since 2001. Clerical workers are the backbone of the city, they are the supply and support of the men and women in the field. Supply and support.

I know you are thinking long and hard over this budget, you do not have an easy job, but I have faith and I know you will do the right thing by looking at each eliminated position proposed by the City of Scranton, and again, remember, health, welfare, and the safety of the citizens of the City of Scranton. You guys have a

colossal job, we'll, all five of you do.

Good luck and think about the little guy,
the clerical, and the health, welfare and
safety of the citizens of Scranton, public
safety.

And now also on a last note, I hope you can find money for the animal shelter. \$50,000 is a small amount when you compare it to the \$4 million spent on outside attorneys. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. KNOCH: I'm John Knoch, I'm a Scranton police officer. I just wanted to come up and talk to you guys about what it's like on the road. Right now we are already working shorthanded. Every time I go to work I'm on the "B" shirt, I work three to 11. We are nonstop from the time we get in the car until the time we go home, and domestics are by far the most dangerous calls we go on and we have even had times where we are going to them by ourself. We have no available backup, COM Center is trying to get ahold of other people to come

- -

and help us and there is no available backup for us.

As it is right now we don't even have enough police officers. I understand that we don't have the money, but cutting any police officers right now would not only be dangerous to the civilians in the city, but to the police officers themselves.

And I also heard other people talking about animal shelters tonight, which is also an important thing, I understand, however, finding money for an animal when people's jobs are in jeopardy it just seems wrong. I mean, I'm an animal lover myself, however, I think people should keep their jobs before animals should be put into the shelters. That's basically all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. HALLOCK: How you doing,

Council? Chris Hallock, taxpaying citizen,

FOP member. I know a lot of people are

upset that you guys don't have the

amendments done and are ready to present it

today, I would just like to thank you actually for taking your time, I mean, people have got to understand that the budget that you were handed to what it needs to be to -- for the city to thrive is, you know, completely two separate ends of the spectrum so I appreciate you taking the time and looking into this.

I mean, everyone that come up here, I'm glad the clerical workers are all here, I mean, we've heard from police, firemen, working side-by-side with many of them they are, you know, important no the city. I mean, I can't put into words how much they mean to the city and the every day functions that people just don't realize, see, they are not seen, but they're equally as important as everyone is.

I saw a lot of people here for the animal shelter. I agree that's definitely--someone who just the other day responded to a call, had to pick up a dog that was hit by a vehicle and transported to the animal hospital in Clark's Summit. I mean, it's just -- it is a priority and I think that,

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, you guys really got your hands full here and I just -- I continue to appreciate the work that you guys are doing and, I mean, you guys are fighting, you know, to keep my job and everyone's job and, you know, I wasn't planning on saying anything, but seeing people up here and they're kind of mad that you're not ready to go forward with the budget today I feel, you know, like I have to stick up for you guys because you got to understand the substantial of the future of Scranton that this budget has and how hard it is for you guys, so I just want to thank you for taking your time to make sure that you go through this thoroughly and make sure that, you know, it's line by line like you said you would and, I mean, that takes time, so I appreciate that.

And I'm glad, you know, to see the clerical workers here, we haven't really heard from them, and I'm glad the people are not afraid to come up here and voice their opinions and their beliefs. Again, I would like to continue to thank everyone, council,

1 everyone, for the continued support to myself, the fellow officers and all the 2 3 firemen, clerical workers. It's amazing to see the people unite in a situation like 4 5 this and that's all I ask for and it's great 6 to see that. Thank you. MR. ROGAN: 7 Thank you. Is there 8 anyone else that would like to address 9 council? MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Loscombe, do you 12 have any motions or comments? 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you Mr. 14 Rogan. First of all, I would like to make a 15 motion to appoint Mr. Rogan our temporary chair for Committee on Rules. 16 17 MR. JOYCE: Second. 18 MR. ROGAN: Motion has been made. All those in favor signify by saying aye. 19 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 21 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 22 MR. ROGAN: Ave. Opposed? The ayes have it and so ordered. 23 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Now, just a couple of 25 thoughts, first I will start with the animal

shelter. You know, the three of us here are new to this council and in the midst of getting the budget thrown on our lap to me is a book of fiction as it is, we were -- I don't think any of us realized the ramifications to the animal shelter or how that operated we saw in the budget an amount in there and until we had the caucus two weeks ago we were unaware of the situation.

Naturally, it's among our Christmas list, our Christmas wish list. We are all animal lovers, we have a daunting task withe the budget alone and, like I said, we are all animal lovers, I know I am, especially my wife if something happened to my springer she would mourn for him forever, me she would forget about the next day, but I have seen what the animal shelter has done.

I know many people who have adopted pets and it's a great service and I do agree that they are working underfunded, and as part of our wish list we are definitely working on providing more in there. Maybe perhaps we can with the help of the animal shelter throughout the year come up with

some more innovative ideas to generate funds for them even fundraisers using some of the city's properties, too, throughout the year on top of it, but I do assure you that it is on our Christmas wish list, our budget wish list, and it will not be forgotten.

Secondly, is just the article I read on the Comcast veto by the mayor in the paper today. His statement, "It's my opinion if council overrides the veto they will lose in Court which will cost the taxpayers more money, Mr. Doherty said."

Well, first of all, he may have won last year but I think the judges in this town and the public have seen what condition our city is in, they have seen the decisions we have made through the year, and I think they will have a little more faith in us should it come down to Court, but all we are doing is trying to give you, the public, the best advantage as we always are.

We have reduced the contract from 15 years to ten years, we would all go with five years if we thought it was possible, but ten years appears to be the minimum. We

have increased the educational channels from one to two, so I don't see how that hurts the public. We also asked for input on who provides the public access to this audience. We don't want to give that whole boat away. There is checks and balances. Right now they have the printed media that are on one side, we have to show -- we have to be able to have these meetings at your pleasure, focus to you live and replayed and we have to have a part in that process and these are three of the items that the administration does not want us to do.

That's the only reason I could see them vetoing our passage of this, so I don't know where it's going to go from there. I believe that the existing contract is still in existence until there is a new contract. If I'm wrong, our solicitor can correct me on that.

And then finally, just a couple of things on the budget. First, I'd like to address Mrs. Schumacher and I think
Mr. Hallock stated it, also. It would be nice, it would have been nice for us, it

24

25

would have been a lot easier if we had everything in black and white tonight to present to people, but we have been waiting for information, we want to have all of the information in place legally and ethically before we make some of these decisions and we have tried. Yes, from the beginning of last year we tried. We were stymied at the beginning of last year, taken to Court over our amendments at that point. We have studied the budget through the year, but we had no idea what the budget was going to entail until that bombshell was dropped on And, as I said, it's a daunting task. us. I'll telling you I'm tired, I can imagine these guys. Frank is doing a lot of numbers work, Pat, every one has been working night and day and we are doing things like we promised. We are looking at innovative ways to bring revenue in, the last thing this council wants to do is raise taxes. The mayor dumped this on his lap when he put it in the newspaper and the only thing we can do is leave it stay or raise taxes to pay for these people.

Well, I think the taxpayers are paying enough and they want to get the service they deserve and they should get the service, which they haven't gotten, and as a former employee of the city for many, many years here, you know, I don't want it to get lost on the clerical union or the DPW, you have not been forgotten. Although most of the meetings have focused on police and fire, we have kept you guys in our sights and I know personally the importance that you have.

I have worked with many of you through the years in various functions as a contractor, as a -- I mean, even this year on council I have had a good opportunity to utilize all of the departments in the city to see who performs the work, to see who responds to us, and it's you people in the trenches that have done that and have done the work and the last thing we want to do, we are looking for every possible penny we can to not raise the taxes, to keep all of the positions in place, and that's our Christmas wish list. We may not achieve it

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

100 percent, but we have been working hard.

And that meeting today about the parking meters and stuff that's just one of the innovative things we have, we can't spill everything out right now because I guarantee you, as I stated before, the posse will be out tomorrow trying to knock down or innovations because we came up with it. Something that should have been done over the last nine years. Why wait until now to try and balance the budget on the backs of everyone and, you know, blame it on contracts and everything. They have had nine years to put aside a minimal amount every year in anticipation of this. seems that this administration thinks they can just keep jumping ahead and getting away with it. I think maybe they thought they would be out of here before -- hit the fan, that's all I'm going to say, but that's not the case, and there is more to come.

And we have been forward thinkers since we are been here, that's why we started last spring. We know there is a lot more to come and it's not undeserved either.

The fact is they could have made preparation for it, they could have been more open, and in essence we have made a lot of attorneys in this town and out of town very wealthy, and as a city council I know, I mean, we discuss things on a regular basis, we are all in agreement, the public in this city does not deserve a tax increase, they deserve the services both from clerical workers, garbage, fire and police that they are paying their taxes for now.

I think we are too lean with everything as it is to be honest with you, but why break something that's working. We are doing our damndest and I promise you I hope everybody is happy with the final result, but unfortunately, Mrs. Schumacher, we have been -- it's not something that we can do overnight. After that budget came out there was a lot of questions we had, a lot of information that we still required and at this point we are still waiting for some of it, but next week, and we will follow the law, we will follow the Home Rule Charter, and I will be here Christmas night

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if I have to make sure everyone is taken care of, and I guess that's all I really have to say. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: I echo Councilman

Loscombe's round of applause to that. of all, the budget. This is an item that I'm going to want to table tonight, obviously. As you know, the budget presented to council for 2011 contains some of the most drastic cuts that Scranton has ever seen. Though we all wish this was an easy process, unfortunately, it's not. you know, there is serious public safety concerns with the proposed budget, and with everything in mind at this point in time I have spoken to each member of council separately on their ideas, what they would like to see happen, what they would like to see done, and with all of this being said I'll present amendments based on the input of all council members at a special meeting that we are working on a time for, I believe at this point it will be next Monday, and once we get that time finalized, Mrs. Krake,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if we could have ECTV put a notification out across the channel so that the public can be notified, and then subsequently we will have a public hearing, so at least we are not -and, Mrs. Schumacher, like you said, at least we are not going to just present everything and give the public a few minutes to look at it even though I know a day may not be a great deal more, but we are trying to take everything that everyone says into consideration and I'm very glad that we are waiting at this point, one, two council members are absent tonight; and two, we were able to hear a lot of the opinions and concerns of some of the various clerical workers and some of their union which will all be taken into consideration in the amendments.

Regarding the audit, we received a draft audit that is subject to change. At this time, unfortunately, I cannot discuss the report with the public, and the correspondence from Rossi & Rossi, let me find the notification here in my notes, I had it somewhere, here it is, they had sent

. .

us a letter and we had requested what they had, and they basically drew up, "Pursuant to the request on behalf of Scranton City Council and our letter dated November 29, 2010," they enclosed a draft of the audit report, "that is subject to change for pending response from an Attorney Kelly," who at this point I understand is still holding up the process a little bit.

"These draft reports are for internal use only and no information should be disclosed to the general public. After receipt of the attorney's response, we will issue a final draft of the report for preparation of the city management and discussion and analysis section of the audit report and schedule an exit conference."

So that is where we are right now.

I am reviewing what Rossi & Rossi has
submitted to us, but unfortunately, there
are still some open ends.

About Street Smart Technology, once I received notification that we were having public caucus I had the opportunity to research this organization a little bit and

applaud Councilman Loscombe for his efforts that he has put into bringing Street Smart Technologies here to give a presentation. I think it's a good move for the city, especially in a time where we need all of the revenue that we get with the tough economic changes, especially with some of the economic challenges that we will face in

the future as well.

find out more about what they do and I

I think, Councilman Loscombe, I think that you have done a remarkable job with bringing them here and I just want to express my thanks for that, and I think as a council we should send a letter, if it's agreeable with my two colleagues that are here right now, perhaps we could ask Bob and Janet, recommending that the Parking Authority pursue looking into seriously implementing the program that Street Smart Technology is proposing.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. I would like to see it done tomorrow.

MR. JOYCE: I would, too. I didn't have the opportunity to make the

announcements I was going to make during the earlier part of the meeting, but I did want to say that the downtown senior center is going to have a Christmas party, that will be held on December 15th from 9:30 to 11:30 a.m. following with lunch at noon and entertainment will be provided by Frank Gervasi and the Poets. The cost for this event is \$3 for nonmembers plus a meal donation, for members the only cost is a meal donation.

Secondly, St. Peter & Paul Roman

Catholic Church on 1309 West Locust Street

will hold an annual pierogi sale on December

11 before and after 4:00 mass and also on

the December 12 before and after 11:00 mass.

The price is \$5 per dozen, and that's all I

have for tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. I just have a few comments and few questions and then I'll speak on the budget. The first comment I have is I know in the past councils have historically recessed after passing the budget, but when I was looking at the calendar Christmas is on a Friday and--

Christmas Eve is on a Friday and Christmas is on a Saturday, New Year's is on a Friday and New Year's Day is on a Saturday, so I would hope that council would continue to meet throughout December and into the new year without taking a recess.

Secondly, I have a few citizens' requests for tonight. Actually, first I want to talk about the Street Smart Technology. Councilman Loscombe mentioned this right when he was appointed, I remember, this idea and, you know, that's what we are tying to do, as he mentioned before, we are tying to be innovative. You know, the way to raise revenue is not simply by going through the budget and saying, "Well, we need to raise taxes by 25 percent."

We need to find other ways to bring money into the city. We have aggressively worked towards a rental registration fee which actually Councilman McGoff was a part of last year when he tried to get it up and running. Hasn't worked well so far, but we are working on getting that back on the

right track, and I firmly believe that the residents of Scranton have been taxed and taxed and taxed and they can't take it anymore. If there is another tax increase for the residents of Scranton more and more people will leave the city forcing the people that are left in Scranton to pay even more taxes, so I firmly believe we are getting on the right track here, we have only been on council, the three of us have only been on council for less than a year.

who have only been here a year that the city is at it's end. It's not the fault of the police and fire unions who haven't gotten a pay raise in nearly a decade that we're in debt. It's not the fault of the clerical unions who have lost scores of members for the last ten years, it's the fault of the previous councils, the current mayor, previous mayors who have used the simple philosophy, failed philosophy of taxing, borrowing and spending year after year after year, but starting next week when this council passes their amendments to the

mayor's budget cutting the fat, cutting the administrative waste and restoring vital positions for the safety of the residents of Scranton. Scranton will finally take the first step on the long road of financial recovery. Thank you.

And finally, I have a few citizens' requests. The first one is from a resident who wanted to call to report that a stop sign is missing at the intersection of Prescott and Linden in front of the 1438 Linden Street. Could we please forward that to Mr. Brazil asking him to replace that immediately as a safety concern?

Secondly, there is a car outside,

I'm not going to give the address or the

license plate on here, but I'll give it to

you, Mrs. Krake, that has two flat tires and
the inspection is overdue and I believe it's

out-of-state plates as well.

And secondly, 1520-1522 Linden there is an unattended property with vagrants and kids running in and out of the property.

And that is all.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE

1	SINGLE TAX OFFICE TO COLLECT THE 2010
2	DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAXES FOR THE CITY OF
3	SCRANTON.
4	MR. ROGAN: Attorney Hughes, should
5	we wait a minute for Mr. Joyce to return
6	before we vote?
7	MR. HUGHES: Yes.
8	(Mr. Joyce returns to the dais.)
9	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
10	SINGLE TAX OFFICE TO COLLECT THE 2010
11	DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAXES FOR THE CITY OF
12	SCRANTON.
13	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
15	introduced into it's proper committee.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved.
17	MR. JOYCE: Second.
18	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved.
24	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. <u>READING BY TITLE —</u>
25	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53 2010 - AN ORDINANCE</u> -

AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF A FIVE 1 2 MILLION (\$5,000,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL 3 AMOUNT. TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON KNOWN AS TAN SERIES A, 4 AWARDED TO PENN SECURITY BANK AND TRUST 5 COMPANY: DETERMINING THE FORM AND TERM OF 6 SAID NOTE; AWARDING SAID NOTE; AUTHORIZING 7 8 AND DIRECTING THE FILING OF CERTAIN 9 DOCUMENTS; AND DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE 10 ANY AND ALL OTHER ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED 11 12 IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID 13 NOTE. 14 MR. ROGAN: You have heard reading 15 by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: I move that Item 6-A 17 pass reading by title. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 20 those in favor signify by saying aye. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 22 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 24 have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -

25

FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 54 2010 - AN ORDINANCE -1 AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF A NINE 2 3 AND ONE HALF MILLION (9,500,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL AMOUNT, TAX AND REVENUE 4 ANTICIPATION NOTE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON 5 KNOWN AS TAN SERIES B, AWARDED TO THE 6 FIDELITY DEPOSIT AND DISCOUNT BANK; 7 8 DETERMINING THE FORM AND TERM OF SAID NOTE: 9 AWARDING SAID NOTE; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; 10 AND DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF THE 11 CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ANY AND ALL OTHER 12 ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED IN CONNECTION 13 14 WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID NOTE. 15 MR. ROGAN: You have heard reading 16 by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Chairman, I move 18 that Item 6-B pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: On the question? All 21 those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 23 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 25 have it and so moved. At this time I will

	120
1	entertain a motion that council suspend the
2	rules and pass Item 6-A and 6-B into Seventh
3	Order for final consideration.
4	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
6	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
7	those in favor signify by saying aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
11	have it and so moved.
12	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. <u>FOR</u>
13	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
14	FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 49, 2010 -
15	REPEALING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.82, 2007
16	ENTITLED "APPROVING PENALTY, INTEREST AND
17	FEE SCHEDULE FOR COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT
18	REAL ESTATE TAXES".
19	MR. ROGAN: What is the
20	recommendation of the Chair for the
21	Committee on Finance?
22	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
23	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
24	passage of Item 7-A.
25	MR. ROGAN: Second. On the

1	question? Roll call, please?
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
3	Rogan .
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
10	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item
11	7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
12	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
13	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR
14	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 50, 2010 -
15	APPROVING PENALTY, INTEREST AND FEE SCHEDULE
16	FOR COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE
17	TAXES AND REFUSE FEES.
18	MR. ROGAN: What the recommendation
19	for the chairperson for the Committee on
20	Finance?
21	MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for the
22	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
23	passage of Item 7-B.
24	MR. ROGAN: Second. On the
25	question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, I would like to make a motion to amend Item 7-B by deleting the following subsections of Item No. 3-B, C, D, E, G, H and thereby reassigning the remaining subparagraphs in chronological order whereas 3-F now becomes 3-B.

MR. ROGAN: Is there a second?

MR. JOYCE: Second.

 $\label{eq:MR.ROGAN: Are there questions on the amendment?} % \begin{picture}(20,0) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){100}} \put(0,0){\li$ 

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just a brief explanation, basically the penalties that were handed down we are changing them to be a little bit more user friendly. You won't lose your house to a sheriff's sale by an overdue garbage fee, stuff like that.

Council has amended Item 7-B to be a little bit more user friendly in these economic times. That's all I have to say on it. I don't know if Mr. Joyce would like to say anything?

MR. JOYCE: That's okay, I think you pretty much summed it up.

MR. ROGAN: All those in favor of

1 the motion to amend Item 7-B signify by 2 saying aye. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: 4 Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. May we have a roll 6 call for Item 7-B, as amended. 7 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr. 9 Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 10 11 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 13 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 14 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item 17 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 18 MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 19 <u>BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION</u> 20 -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 51, 2010 - APPROVING 21 FEE SCHEDULE FOR DELINQUENT TAX SEARCHES, 22 DELINQUENT AND CURRENT REFUSE SEARCHES, AND LIEN/CONDEMNATION SEARCHES. 23 24 MR. ROGAN: What the recommendation 25 of the Chairperson for the Committee on

1	Finance?
2	MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for the
3	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
4	passage of Item 7-C.
5	MR. ROGAN: Is there a second?
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
7	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
8	call, please.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
10	Rogan .
11	MR. ROGAN: No.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
15	MR. JOYCE: No.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
17	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item
18	7-C defeated.
19	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
20	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION-
21	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52, 2010 -</u> APPROPRIATING
22	FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY
23	GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE
24	FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2011 TO AND INCLUDING
25	DECEMBER 31, 2011 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE

GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 1 2 2011. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make a motion to table 7-D at this time. 4 MR. JOYCE: Second. 5 MR. ROGAN: Motion has been made. 6 7 On the question? 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe it's been 9 stated before that we are still in the 10 process of working on our amendments and 11 that's the reason. 12 MR. ROGAN: And for those in the 13 public, Item 7-D is the budget. We will be 14 presenting our amendments next week at a 15 caucus and again next week at the general 16 meeting. All those in favor of tabling Item 17 7-D signify by saying aye. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 21 have it and so moved. 22 MS. KRAKE: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION 23 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 53, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF 24 25 THOMAS PREAMBO, 510 DEAN STREET, SCRANTON,

1 PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A MEMBER OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION FOR AN ADDITIONAL FOUR 2 3 (4) YEAR TERM. MR. PREAMBO'S TERM EXPIRES ON DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL 4 EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2015. 5 MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the 6 7 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 8 passage of Item 7-E. 9 MR. JOYCE: Second. 10 MR. ROGAN: On the question? would just like to thank Mr. Preambo for 11 12 sending in his resume well ahead of time 13 without having to be asked by council. 14 has been our policy for anyone being 15 appointed to a board or commission to send 16 in a resume just so we could have a little 17 background information about them. Ro11 18 call, please. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 19 Mr. 20 Rogan. 21 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 22 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 24 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 25 MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 1 MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item 2 3 7-E legally and lawfully adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION 4 5 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 54, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF 6 JERRY RICHARDSON, 829 CAPOUSE AVENUE, 7 8 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A MEMBER 9 OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION FOR AN 10 ADDITIONAL FOUR (4) YEAR TERM. MR. 11 RICHARDSON'S TERM EXPIRES ON DECEMBER 31, 12 2010 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON 13 DECEMBER 31, 2015. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make 15 a motion to table Item 7-F. 16 MR. JOYCE: Second. 17 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, as 19 Mr. Rogan has just stated and we have stated 20 many times at this podium that anyone 21 getting appointed to a commission or board 22 or reappointed must submit a resume. We are 23 not talking a lengthy resume, we are 24 speaking one page a little bit of their 25 background and experience in that field and

I don't know what it is, but we haven't been getting the response. We are giving them the courtesy by tabling this item tonight that we will send a follow-up letter from city council reminding them of this exercise and hopefully they will get the point.

I, for one, after January 1 I won't even vote to table. I mean, the word has been out for a year, they should know, and this just goes to anyone out there that's going to be appointed to a board we require a one-page resume, just a brief resume.

Mr. Preambo gave a very lengthy one, which was very good, I wish everyone would follow in his footsteps and those other few that we were provided with, but I will be voting "no" if we don't get any resumes in the future, and that's my comment on that motion.

MR. JOYCE: I concur and at this point it could be a handwritten document for the level of the response that we have had.

MR. ROGAN: I would agree with my colleagues. Obviously, we will give them a week, if they don't send in a resume put

them back on the table and vote for it,
obviously if they don't send it obviously we
will vote it down --

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just -- I'm sorry, I thought you were done.

MR. ROGAN: We also have one more I believe it was almost two months ago appointment that we put on the table and we sent them a letter and we never received anything back, so next week maybe if we can put that back on the table and give it an up or down vote.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay. I would think for someone that's professional enough to want to be on one of those boards it only takes five minutes, as my colleagues have said, to even handwrite a resume. I think it's a disgrace to our public that you can't even provide us with that and that's all I have to say. I mean, if you want to be professional act professional.

MR. ROGAN: All those in favor of tabling Item 7-F signify by saying aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

	100
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: <u>7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
4	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
5	RESOLUTION NO. 55, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF
6	RAYMOND HAYES, 2637 CEDAR AVENUE, SCRANTON,
7	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, TO THE BOARD OF THE
8	SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY. MR. HAYES WILL BE
9	REPLACING FRANCIS NOONEY, WHOSE TERM EXPIRES
10	ON DECEMBER 31, 2010. MR. HAYES' TERM WILL
11	EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2015.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make
13	a motion to Table Item 7-G.
14	MR. JOYCE: Second.
15	MR. ROGAN: On the question?
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: The same reasons as
17	discussed under 7-F.
18	MR. ROGAN: All those in favor of
19	tabling Item 7-G signify by saying aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved.
24	MS. KRAKE: 7-H. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
25	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-

RESOLUTION NO. 56, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF 1 ELIZABETH RANDOL, 622 SOUTH WEBSTER AVENUE, 2 3 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY. 4 MS. RANDOL WILL BE REPLACING LEO VERGNETTI 5 WHOSE TERM EXPIRES ON DECEMBER 31, 2010. 6 MS. RANDOLS' TERM WILL EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 7 8 31, 2015. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make a motion to table Item 7-H. 10 11 MR. JOYCE: Second. 12 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Again, that's three 14 out of four for appointed to authorities or 15 boards that have failed to comply and these 16 are supposed to be professional people. 17 MR. ROGAN: All those in favor of tabling Item 7-H signify by saying aye. 18 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 20 21 The ayes MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? 22 have it and so moved. 23 Before Item 7-I and 7-J are read, 24 are there any citizens who would like to 25 address council because they were moved to

Seventh Order? If not, let the record show 1 2 nobody spoke. 3 MS. KRAKE: 7-I. WHICH WAS FORMERLY 6-A. CONSIDERATION FOR THE COMMITTEE ON 4 FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 5 53 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE 6 7 OF A FIVE MILLION (\$5,000,000.00) DOLLAR 8 PRINCIPAL AMOUNT, TAX AND REVENUE 9 ANTICIPATION NOTE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON KNOWN AS TAN SERIES A, AWARDED TO PENN 10 11 SECURITY BANK AND TRUST COMPANY; DETERMINING 12 THE FORM AND TERM OF SAID NOTE; AWARDING 13 SAID NOTE; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE 14 FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS: AND DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON 15 TO TAKE ANY AND ALL OTHER ACTIONS AS MAY BE 16 17 REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF 18 SAID NOTE. MR. ROGAN: What is the 19 20 recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance? 21 22 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 23 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 24 passage of Item 7-I. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

1 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Ro11 2 call, please? 3 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr. Rogan. 4 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 9 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item 12 7-I legally and lawfully adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-J, WHICH WAS FORMERLY 13 14 6-B - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 15 54 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE 16 17 OF A NINE AND ONE HALF MILLION 18 (9,500,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL AMOUNT, TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE OF THE CITY OF 19 SCRANTON KNOWN AS TAN SERIES B, AWARDED TO 20 THE FIDELITY DEPOSIT AND DISCOUNT BANK; 21 22 DETERMINING THE FORM AND TERM OF SAID NOTE: 23 AWARDING SAID NOTE; AUTHORIZING AND 24 DIRECTING THE FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AND DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF THE 25

	134
1	CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ANY AND ALL OTHER
2	ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED IN CONNECTION
3	WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID NOTE.
4	MR. ROGAN: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Finance?
7	MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for the
8	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-J.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
11	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
12	call, please.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
14	Rogan .
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
19	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
21	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item
22	7-J legally and lawfully adopted.
23	Being that there is no further
24	business I'd make a motion to adjourn.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved.

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER