## SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING PUBLIC HEARING

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2011 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2011 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2011.

## HELD:

Tuesday, November 30, 2010

## LOCATION:

Council Chambers

Scranton City Hall

340 North Washington Avenue

Scranton, Pennsylvania

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI- COURT REPORTER

MR. ROGAN: The purpose of this meeting is public comment regarding the budget. We have a sign-in sheet and the first speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie

Quinn, City of Scranton, Scranton Taxpayers'

Association. In regards to the city police

I read a week ago today in the Times-Tribune

regarding the budget Chief Duffy said that

the elimination of the Scranton Police

Officers would have no -- much of an impact

because of the fact that there will be

employment that they would have, you know,

and therefor, pick it up. Of course, we

know Sergeant Bob Martin doubted that, you

know.

That was on the 23rd and the 25th the chief is interviewed again by a different reporter from the Times-Tribune and he said it's the night before
Thanksgiving, and he's talking about DUI's.
He says, "It's a big night and I have always have been a big advocate for not drinking and driving enforcement so I'm going to go out myself and enforce it, Chief Duffy said.

The more help the better."

Okay. Then on Sunday with the boondoggle of the cameras that they had for, you know, the big article in the paper Captain Graziano says, "We need more cameras and we need more people to monitor them.

That's the truth. That would make them more effective without question."

So I think that -- I don't know
Chief Duffy, but I think that the mayor had
the -- figuratively speaking, I think he had
his throat down the chief's neck when he
spoke about the budget, you know, since he
seems to water it down when he talks about
the DUI's and everything, you know? And the
chief has to, you know, be eligible, you
know, watch either's back. I'm the sure the
Chief doesn't want to lose those ten men
just as the people in the City of Scranton
doesn't want to.

Now, also the fact is that because of the extenuating circumstances, you know, we are going to have probably a deficit, did we get the audit yet, the 2010 audit?

MR. ROGAN: I don't believe so.

MR. QUINN: If we have a deficit 2010 and a deficit 2011, we have a debt, I don't know \$148 million and police and firefighters are going to have a tough time, again, like I said last week, ten years again, I put it in perspective of one of their children is in 7th grade they would be a young man and young woman and now graduated from college, so ten years it's a long time.

What I'm saying is that because of the extenuating circumstances the mayor has done it for year after year after year and got away with it, okay, and I don't know where you are going to get the money, okay, in regards to paying the \$10 million back paying everything like that and trying to also fight off the deficit -- the debt, so I think there's a possibility that you might have to look at refinancing something, you know, and try to help out here because I don't want to see these police and firemen left out in the cold and, therefore, the spin off would be the citizens in the City of Scranton.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now coming from the taxpayers that's not, you know, usually you say save money, watch money. I'm not asking that we raise taxes, but that's one way that we've got to figure how we can sort of get out of this mess that he got us in, the mayor. you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening, city council. As far as the budget goes, \$75 million is a high budget. That basically breaks down to about \$1 million per person because I think the population is about 77,000, somewhere in that area, and that's including all payments, so if you go down to voting age and higher, you know, it's probably like \$2 million per person for the residents of the City of Scranton. We can't afford it, okay? The minimum wage, the medium wage for a family of four is about 37 to 38 thousand dollars. We have the lowest per capita income in the state.

We have the -- you know, I mean, all of this has to be taken into consideration

and it should have been taken into consideration for the last ten years, but none of this has ever been taken into consideration and who is to blame? All of these people right here. All these pictures on the wall. All of the mayors past and all of the city council pasts because they allowed this to happen.

It needs to stop so I hope that when the budget time is it come in a couple more weeks I hope the budget is slashed. I'd like to see it slashed about 12 to 15 million dollars, I really would, because the taxpayers didn't put us in this position, the elected officials did, you know. We came here week after week and complained, we were laughed, we were called names, you know, but we were right. We knew this was going to happen and now it's crunch time now.

Also, today Act 47 went before the Supreme Court so their ruling should be coming down very soon. Either way the taxpayers are going to lose, whether the ruling is in favor of the city or whether

20

21

22

23

24

25

the ruling is in favor of the police and firemen, firefighters, the taxpayers are going to get slaughtered either way around. Again, it's not -- was not the taxpayers' fault, it was the elected officials, the people who we put our trust in, the mayors and the city councils of the past. slammed us and they slammed us hard and hopefully the 2010 City Council will pay attention to this and really start making changes because the city -- we have been distressed for 19 years, the judges couldn't even understand why we have been distressed for 19 years. I still don't know why we have been distressed for 19 years, but we have been, it's a reality. It needs to stop in 2010 and 2011.

As far as the firemen and police officers goes, like I have said many times from this podium, probably the most important thing an elected official has to do is provide for the safety of their residents and their citizens and their taxpayers. You know, the cameras, we find out the cameras don't work. We find out

nobody has been monitoring the cameras. ridiculous is that? We spent \$250,000 plus to mount cameras, I talked about this two years ago when we were doing this. laughed at. I worked security for many years. I work in a place now where we have cameras. Guess what? They have people monitoring the cameras. A camera is useless if nobody is looking at it. ridiculous. How many more times are the City of Scranton going to embarrass themselves with ridiculous answers and ridiculous solutions. We wasted money on cameras.

You know, we wasted money on just about everything that we have spent our money on that's why we are in debt 140 some million dollars or whatever it is, I don't even know what the number is anymore, but I don't think it really matters because it's never going to get paid anyway. So really let's, you know, let's use some common sense and good judgment and let's make some right decisions for a change. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Joe

20

21

22

23

24

Talimini.

MR. TALIMINI: Good evening,

Council. All I can say about this budget
is, you know, grow up, people, start facing
reality. Even the president of the United

States has put a freeze on wages and it's
about time this city put a freeze on wages,
too.

Now, I haven't had a cost of living raise last year and I won't get one next year and I'm on a fixed income, but you know what, when you pay \$2.95 a gallon for that gasoline so do I, Pal. And all of these clerks with assistant clerks who has assistants to them it's ridiculous. It's time to clean house in this city and starting with the administration, with this city hall right here and working on down.

I can't feel sorry for somebody who is making 40 grand a year and is crying for a 2 1/2 percent wage increase. I'm sorry, it just doesn't work in my book. I live on less than ten grand a year, which is less than you people make sitting up there in what's considered a part-time job, so when

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it comes to the taxes and when it comes to raising taxes and when it comes to salary increases, you are not going to get any sympathy from me. It's just means you are going to have to tighten your belt, just like this entire city has to tighten it's belt.

I didn't get a cost of living raise, but my cost of living is still going up on a daily basis. Gasoline prices went over 20 cents a gallon in the last two weeks. still have to use that same gas and I don't see anybody going to -- I can't go to Price Chopper and ask them to give me a break. can't go to the Housing Authority and ask them to give me a break. I can't do any of the things that you do and ask for a break, but I also don't have the extra money to pay for it, and this city doesn't have the money to pay for these fallacies that we have, with everybody who needs more money, everybody who needs a second TV set, who needs an extra car, who wants to buy a better house. It would be wonderful if I could to that, too, but I can't.

It's about time these people started doing the work they get paid to do. They don't need assistants on top of assistants on top of assistants. They don't need new equipment for something they are not doing. Mr. Jackowitz pointed out \$220,000 worth of cameras which are absolutely useless. Put them in here. Let them get some use out of it.

I guess all over this county and all of Luzerne County and other counties people who read my newsletter call me, they e-mail me, they say the same thing, the joke is no longer the Scranton City Council, the joke is the City of Scranton and it's about time it changed.

Now, you are elected officials, think about this, the Republicans and the Democrats spent \$21 million in campaign advertising this year alone on TV. \$21 million. That's almost one-third of the budget that you have in front of you. Now, if they can do that let them put some of the money back into the city. It's got to be a cost-effective operation. That's what you

were elected for and we expect you to do that. We don't expect the mayor to do it because he doesn't have enough sense to come in out of the rain, but we do expect you to do some budget cutting and do it without benefit of friends and family, etcetera. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Charlie Newcomb.

MR. NEWCOMB: Good evening, council. What I'd like to say about the budget is I'm going to give you a figure \$84,550. What that figure is, that's the figure that a child of somebody who would be born in 2011, next year, that's how much they already owe the federal government the way our budgets are. \$84,000 for the infants that aren't even born yet with the trillions of dollars. They want to extend unemployment, want to extend everything else, there is no money to pay for this stuff.

What I want to say about the City of Scranton's budget is this we have a lot of consultants I believe we could do without, we have lot of assistants for the

assistants, we have an \$85,000 business administrator who I believe even when we had Mr. Nixon he was only \$76,000 and then it went up to Mr. Pichesky for \$85, which he had a lot better credentials, I believe, than the gentleman we have now and we are paying \$85,000. I think what you have to look at in this day and age and economy, I know it's tough for anybody to have to lose a job, but what I want to see is a taxpaying citizen.

First of all, I want to see somebody start collecting these million of dollars of delinquent taxes money over all of these years because I'm sure that would help us with our budget, and what I'd like to see done is not lose one policeman, not one lose one firemen, and I believe the chief should not be out on the street working. I believe that's a union job. I believe he is taking work away from somebody. But, city council, I know have you a hard job ahead of you and you got a budget coming up by December 15, but really what I'd like to see done is I would like to see you look by line, cut

20

21

22

23

24

25

where you can cut. If I was working for the city, and I'm just going to use a number, if I was making let's say \$60,000 a year and I had to take my salary down -- got reduced down to let's say 35, well, I'd have to look at is 35 better than nothing or is 35 better than collecting unemployment and keeping the police and firemen on the streets? So I know you have a hard job to do and you are not going to make everybody happy, but as a taxpayer, like I said last week, I do have two properties that we own, we pay our taxes, we are up-to-date, and I do not want any services taken away from us, because like Mr. Jackowitz said, all of the mayors on the wall here previously, councils and everybody just said, "Ahh, we'll worry about it later."

Well, we seen what happened with our federal government, we seen the last election, we see the trouble we are in, spending has to stop. You have to sit down and look at line-by-line item and, please, I ask you, do not we stabbings at the Steamtown Mall, we've seen some other things

recently in the newspaper that didn't come out, stabbing on the Expressway the other night, they are still looking for the person, please do not cut any police, do not cut any firemen, don't cut the citizens short.

(Mr. Joyce joins the meeting while Mr. Newcomb was speaking.)

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Marie Schumacher.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, I'm glad to see our finance chair has joined the party.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would like to start by saying I agree with whatever speaker, whether it was last week or the week before, stated that the fire department should be separate from the property tax. It should be a fee on all property owners. It's everyone who has an improvement on a property is covered by the fire department and everyone should chip in, so that fire department should switch to a fee for service basis.

I think we are missing new opportunities. I see nothing in here,

although I'm sure it's incorporated, but I would like to know precisely how much the expiration of the KOZ's at the end of this year will increase our property taxes next year. I would like to know why -- well, maybe you people haven't -- I hope we are going to see your budget tonight as well your amendments because I have heard for a long-time about establishing an EMS and what that would bring in and what it brings in in

Bethlehem and Reading and so I hope we are

looking at that.

I believe I have also seen some estimates on what regular towing in-house would be instead of contracting it out and that brings up another humongously missed opportunity which is the enforcement of existing laws. It's just pitiful. I have every year since I have started coming to council meetings I have complained that we don't seem to be able to afford enough paint to paint the T's at the parking meters, so people know where they are supposed to park.

Just tonight I came down parking meter, I thought, oh, there is a space. Well, wrong, because the middle of the car was at the parking meter and people parking up on Vine Street when I came down Vine Street somebody pulled in, their rear bumper was right at the edge of the avenue. That is a dangerous situation and that is increasing. People just park wherever they want, they don't care.

We have and if I hadn't already accepted a job for next year I would volunteer to do it for free and that is to ticket pedestrians for cross -walking. I think the University of Scranton, I've said this before as well, needs a special course in teaching their students that that little red light under the traffic signal that says "Don't Walk" means you stay on the curb until it turns white and tells you to walk. We could make a small fortune just at the corner of Linden and Jefferson, although, I'm sure that they would learn what those lights mean.

Well, unfortunately, I can't read my

writing on that, but I do think another thing you have to go back to the Recovery Plan. The people of this city voted for the Recovery Plan and that Recovery Plan had wage and salary costs that were capped. There was a certain amount allocated for each year and that was totally ignored. I think -- I'm hoping that that was the starting point of your, city council's budget, that we'll be seeing and again

I believe our firemen and policemen have to be compensated for the cost of living during that period, but then I think we need to go back to square one and go by the Recovery Plan.

hopefully tonight.

And then we need to look -- I brought this up last week, apparently a lot of people think that a nonprofit 1023 or 501-C-3 organizations means you're property tax exempt automatically, and that's not true. All that you are exempt from is paying the federal income tax and you really need to look at all of those exempt properties, I didn't bring the number, but I

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had it last week and it's -- I believe it
was in the thousands and whether or not some
of those could not be switched from tax
exempt to tax paying.

And then we need to know whether or not the hold harmless issue that was brought up by Attorney Jennings, that question needs to be answered. Will the City of Scranton -- may I do one more? I will stop there, but I would like to know also what the auditor's audit. I don't know a lot about auditing, although I tutored accounting, but I find auditing incredibly boring, but during the hearings or trial whatever it was when the mayor sued city council earlier this year, Mr. Renda made a statement, I believe there was some discussion about the controller's report at the end of every year was showing a surplus and he said, well, that was not accurate because other things came in after the close of the year and some things did not such as the wage taxes didn't come in until sometimes as late as the end of February, so I did a Right-to-Know. I did a

Right-to-Know to Mr. Renda and I asked what the -- what the City of Scranton wage taxes were for calendar years 2005 through 2009.

I also went and got the controller's financial -- annual financial statement and I was very surprised because since the controller's report comes out and showed these big surplus I expected that it would be a different figure. But, in fact, the audit report and the report of the controller are exactly the same all the way down.

But the other Right-to-Know I did was to the Single Tax Office and for the Years 2005 through 2008 they show that the City of Scranton wage taxes were \$118,845 and change, and that is 36.4 million above what the audit report and what the report of the controller shows at \$82,458, so I would hope you would find out where the missing \$36 million might be and I hope we get the figures for -- we get an audit soon so we have the figures for 2009. Thank you very much for your time.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

1 MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Schumacher, I do 2 want to apologize. I was running a little 3 bit late from work, but I had one question for you, when you say that the fire service 4 5 should be a fee, are you suggesting that this should be a flat fee for every 6 7 homeowner or --8 MS. SCHUMACHER: No, on the 9 improvements, again, I'm sure that brush 10 fires on vacant lots, but personally I would 11 do it as a percentage on the assessed 12 improvement. 13 MR. JOYCE: On the assessed 14 improvement. MS. SCHUMACHER: On the assessed 15 16 improvements on all properties. 17 MR. JOYCE: Okay. 18 (Mrs. Evans joins the meeting during 19 Ms. Schumacher's comments.) 20 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 21 cares to address council? MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Good evening, 22 23 City Council. Charlie Newcomb, homeowner 24 and taxpayer. First of all, I'm upset that 25 there is not about 100 people here at this

the mayor has -- or he wants to do.

Everybody is talking about the police and fire, and like I stated last week, I'm adamantly cutting any of those positions, but I'm also against after researching any clerical positions. When this mayor took office there was a 100 clerical positions and he wants to eliminate ten of them, which will be down to 52, and also DPW positions positions. In my on opinion these are the

public hearing for what is about -- for what

Since this mayor has sat in the seat downstairs before it was even warm he has tried to take the legs off of the union people of this city in every aspect that he possibly can and as roadblocks have been thrown in front of him from the Courts, as they should be.

people that do the daily grinds of the

functions of this city day-to-day.

I stood at this podium eight, nine years ago before any of you were seated up there, Mrs. Evans might have been here at the time, I think, and I stood here with a stack of bills from when this mayor first

took office of things he did within his first couple of months of his term. There was thousands upon thousands of dollars for flower pots. There was millions of dollars being putting into Nay Aug Park for senseless renovations.

The authorities have been funneled millions of dollars around the city council so they could spend it at their leisure. We have spent hundreds off thousands, I could be wrong, maybe a million dollars to date, I don't know, on lawyers for appeal after appeal after appeal after appeal after appeal after appeal after appeal he turns conservative overnight and wants to cut positions in the budget, but yet the people that work for him still have their jobs and their bloated salaries.

It is not your fault or my fault that the city is in the situation that's it's in, this was done by one person and the councils that sat here before you like other people that said that just said, it's okay, it's okay. Now the chicken is coming home to roost and you have to make the ultimate

decision as far as what needs to be done.

I implore this council to cut every single salary that these people that work for this mayor, like I stated before and I will say it again, below \$50,000 a year. I don't get paid more than my boss, correct me if I'm wrong if you get paid more than your boss, I don't know anybody that does expect in this city.

The situation that we are in wouldn't be in if the people that came to this podium, and Mrs. Evans when she talked under the last two councils that I came here before, if the people actually -- if he actually listened and said, "You are spending money, you are out of control, you have an open checkbook," finally people are coming to say, "You can't spend it anymore."

He had a 2.3 million surplus when he took office. What's the surplus now? I haven't heard the term surplus in nine years because there isn't one. How could one person possibly spend that much money and then turn around and tell me that you want to put my family's public safety at risk.

It's completely unacceptable.

We are the only city in Lackawanna County that has a paid fire department, the only city in the whole county that has a paid fire department with the exception of Carbondale that has seven drivers that are in the firehouse and Dunmore which reduced their fire department and they just haven't had a disaster yet to see the ramifications of that. Why would we want to change that?

I contacted my insurance agent and I pay a little less than \$1,000 a year for a lot of homeowner's insurance. If I lived in an outside area Taylor, Old Forge or Clarks Summit it would be triple because of the response of the fire department. They specifically told me that. That we are at a rating right now because I live less than a mile away from a fire department and the response time would be considered under five minutes that I -- and I forget the word that she used, but a certain code, that I'll pay. Why would we want to change that? The people of this city have to realize that if these cuts happen you are going to pay more

all around whether it's in your taxes or what.

And I'll be the first person to stand here and tell you if you come forward with the budget and you could show me personally, I'm speaking for myself, that you have cut these people that work for this administration down to the bare minimum and you come forward and you say you still have to raise taxes in order to pay for these positions that he wants to get rid of, I'll be the first person for you to tell me -- I'll write the check out with my taxes next year, but you can't do it if these people are still bloated in this administration.

And nothing made me happier last week when I heard what you said about the Parking Authority that even one realm of the possibility to get rid of these people that as far as I'm concerned just suck this city dry for every nickle that they possibly can. If that is a possibility and get rid of these people and this bloated salary, please do it because this mayor has taken advantage of these authorities like no other.

I attended the Recreation Authority meeting, and I'll wrap up, years ago, when I seen the way they were spending money and I asked how much money that they were spending on Christmas lights at Nay Aug Park, and believe me, nobody enjoys the Christmas lights more than my kids when I drive through there, but it's nearly 40 to \$50,000 just for the electrical bill for these Christmas lights. That's the salary of a police officer or a firefighter or two clerical workers. That's what needs to be looked at in the city. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: Maybe I'll save myself five minutes later, you know, I got here a little late, I'm assuming that this is a hearing on the budget; is that correct?

MS. EVANS: Yes. That is correct.

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MORGAN: Okay, I got here late. Well, what I really think we should do is I think we should ask the mayor to take a 25 percent pay cut. I think we should ask council to do the same thing. I think we should ask all of the employees to take a I think we small cut, a 1 or 2 percent. need abolish all the authorities. I think in the process of abolishing all of the authorities and taking all of their debt and extinguishing them I think we need to sell all of the assets of the Scranton Parking Authority if they will satisfactory the debt.

I think it's time for a real change in direction here. I mean, I know I'm a big supporter of SAPA, I think that needs to be put back on the table. I think we see the President of the United States has a commission looking at the deficit federally. I think our nation realizes that we borrowed more money and we can never pay back.

I don't agree with any fees to fund the fire department. I believe that the residents of this city are seriously

overtaxed under a lot of pressure. I think it's time for some changes in direction and I think the only way we can really accomplish this is we also need to look at the Scranton sure authority because we need to find out what every -- I think we need to use the power of subpoena of this council, all right, to get answers to question on if somebody is on the payroll what is their job function? What do they do? Let's take a good hard look at the budget. We don't see anybody hit the unemployment lines, but we have to find out where the money is going.

I think it's time to hold hearings here with the controller and the mayor and if necessary use the power of subpoena to bring them here. I think it's time to use the power of subpoena to get the information we need to find out if council itself has any questions on the financial status of the city and where the money is going and the answers that council needs to prepare it's budget if it's going to make changes.

I think we need to change everything we are to doing to be honest with you. We

are tearing all of the houses down in the city, I think -- I don't personally find the mayor completely responsible for what's happened here, and a lot of people aren't going to appreciate what I'm saying here, but you know what, the people and the residents of this city who voted for this Recovery Plan without ever reading it, without ever understanding what was inside of it, I really think they are responsible for where this city is.

I think the residents of this city are responsible for where this city is because you can see by the few people here how much general interest there is in making government work for us. You know, growing up as a kid I listened to all of the seniors laugh about all of the money the federal government was borrowing and now we are borrowing money that are grandchildren are going to pay back.

I think the joke is on us. I mean, we are talking about -- and it may not seen to have a lot to do with the city, but we are wondering where we are going to get 900

billion dollars to pay the Chinese back and where is the city going to get all of the money it needs to pay all of it's creditors back? I honestly think it's time to go to the Court and ask for intervention. People don't like the term bankruptcy. We need to restructure our debt.

I personally think personally speaking I think it would be important for every resident to know how many police are on every shift and let them really have an understanding of what kind of police protection we really have in this city because to be bluntly honest with you, I think we are heading in the same realm as Wilkes-Barre only it's going to be a lot worse.

I think the people in this city have a right to know exactly how many firemen they actually have and the amount of -- amount of the city have covering. I think a lot of people are under false impressions that we have too many employees in fire and police and DPW and in my opinion it's not true.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think we need to really take a good hard look at nonprofits. I think somebody needs to have a conversation with some of them, and I don't want single the University of Scranton out, but are they paying their fees for their lots and why --how can a college rent dorm space and the city not capture revenue? I just don't understand it. I really don't.

All we ever talk about is how we are going to raise more taxes off people that are already overtaxed and I think this council knows because you walked through these neighborhoods and knocked on people's doors. I think you see the poverty there and you know what's there and there is no more to give, and I really honestly, you know, I commend you for whatever you are going to try to do for the city. We'll wait for your budget. I have a lot of respect for you, it's not an easy job you have. I'm not here to pound you, you know, I just hope that, you know, you realize that the residents of this city need their fire and police protection and we need the DPW and

the residents are really responsible for this because they voted for it and then the PEL stood here and as Rome burned it's like Nero just fiddling away, and it's time for the people to stand up and demand change without sacrificing more of our employees and our public safety. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Thank you for your participation. City council will consider your input in it's budget deliberations. This public hearing is now adjourned.

ability.

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER