	1	
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, November 30, 2010	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD AFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Here. 11 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 13 MS. EVANS: Here. Roll call, 14 please. Dispense with the reading of the 15 minutes. MS. CARRERA: THIRD ORDER. 16 17 CHECK RECEIVED FOR \$500 FROM THE HARRISON HOUSE PERSONAL CARE HOME WHICH REPRESENTS 18 PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 21 We are grateful to the Harrison House 22 Personal Care Home for their generous 23 donation of \$500 to the City of Scranton as 24 payment in lieu of taxes. Anyone else? 25 Received and filed.

1	MS. CARRERA: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE
2	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION HELD ON
3	NOVEMBER 17, 2010.
4	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
5	If not, received and filed.
6	MS. CARRERA: 3-C. APPLICATIONS
7	ALONG WITH DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING
8	HEARING BOARD ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10,
9	2010.
10	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
11	If not, received and filed.
12	MS. CARRERA: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE
13	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD
14	MEETING TO BE HELD NOVEMBER 17, 2010.
15	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
16	If not, received and filed.
17	MS. CARRERA: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE
18	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO BE HELD ON
19	DECEMBER 8, 2010.
20	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed.
22	MS. CARRERA: 3-F. CONTROLLER'S
23	REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING OCTOBER 31,
24	2010.
25	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

I believe we do not have any clerk's notes for this evening's meeting and so we will move onto announcements, do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. JOYCE: I just have a few. The West Scranton High School Drama students will be presenting a Wizard of Oz Christmas this Friday at 7:00 p.m. and Sunday at 2 p.m. Tickets can be purchased at the door and are \$4 for adults and \$2 for students and children over the age of two, and children two and under are free.

Also, there will be a pierogi sale on December 9 and 10 at St. John's Bizantine Catholic Church on 310 Broadway Street. The church is asking that anyone interested should preorder by Saturday of this week by calling Joanie at 344-3282 or Barbara at 342-8924, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: First, I'd like to welcome the Boy Scout Troop from Hickory Street Presbyterian Church who is seated in the balcony at this evening's meeting. We are very pleased to have them in attendance.

I understand that they are working toward a badge and that is why they will be observing tonight's meeting, so welcome, gentlemen.

In addition, our city clerk,

Mrs. Krake, is suffering from a loss of her

voice and as a result our assistant city

clerk, Ms. Cathy Carrera, will be reading

tonight's legislation.

Because numerous speakers are in attendance tonight, I ask that every speaker adhere to the five-minute time limit in order that all may have an equal opportunity to be heard.

A Family Fun Night will be held at Friendly's Restaurant in Dunmore from 5 to 8 p.m. on Thursday, December 9. A percentage of the cash register food receipts will be donated to St. Francis of Assisi Food Kitchen in Scranton. Enjoy a delicious meal and help to feed the poor during this season of giving.

The 24th annual performance of the holiday classic the Nutcracker will be presented by the Scranton Civic Ballet Company on Friday evening, December 10, at

7:30 p.m. and Sunday afternoon December 12, at 2 p.m. at the Scranton Cultural Center. Tickets are \$15 and \$17 by three and get one free. Tickets can be purchased by calling the Scranton Cultural Center box office at 570-341-4111 or Scranton Cultural Center Ticketmaster at 800-745-3000. For additional information log onto their website at www.Scrantoncivicballet.com.

All dancers and performers are residents of Northeastern, Pennsylvania, and range in age from 7 to 70. The spectacles of the mouse king, sugar plumb fairy, waltzing flowers and snow queen will dazzle the youngsters and warm the spirit of the young at heart. Begin your holiday season with the magic of the Scranton Civic Ballet companies splendid performance of the Nutcracker, and that's it.

MS. CARRERA: FOURTH ORDER.
Citizens' participation.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is John Judge.

MR. JUDGE: Good evening, city council. John Judge with the IFF Local 60

Scranton Firefighters and the secretary.

I've been here the past two weeks,

unfortunately, to discuss some of the

proposed changes by the mayor's budget.

What I did promise the first night that I was here that we would be responsible to the citizens and taxpayers of the city and ultimately take a look at how these changes that the mayor is proposing will affect the safety of both the firefighters and the citizens within the City of Scranton.

I told you we would prepare a report to the best of our ability and best of our knowledge. You have received that report I hope, you have it in front of you.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JUDGE: Great time and detail and effort went into this report by members of this union, okay? We have taken realistic numbers, facts, and placed them into this document so that you will have a real understanding of how the mayor's decisions will ultimately effect how are department operates come January 1.

You could see that we have done some case studies, using some case studies, doing some times and what are average response times to these place -- these locations would be under our current manning and what they will be after January 1 with the proposed cuts that the mayor has.

This document serves to show you response times and we talked mostly inside the document regard structure fires, which is one of the primary things that we are charged with as a department in the city. This is not the only type of incident that we respond to. We have stayed pretty on focus with this, but we want to convey to you that there is other emergencies that this department does undertake, and in this day and age post 9/11 this department's responsibilities are astronomical.

The numbers that you will see in here once you read the document in it's entirety I feel that you almost need to be shown how this transpires, how a fire transpires and how it progresses to get a full understanding of the what these lapses

2

4

5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and now greater response times are going to mean for how we are going to operate.

This is a fundamental change in how we operate that needs to be addressed. We will be doing a public hearing, we have been invited by the Scranton School Board on Thursday night at Scranton High at 7:00 where we plan on putting on that presentation so that the Scranton School Board members can make an informed decision with how they are going to move towards protecting the students that they are charged with. I would urge any members of council if they can attend it or if they would like us to give us the same presentation here at city council we would be more than willing to do that.

A couple of my Commonwealth colleagues from the union will be up here this evening to speak on details of this.

This is written towards the layperson, not a firefighter, so it should be well understood by the average reader. Any questions that you have of this document our union is always available to address those. Any

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions that you might have beyond this document we'll find the answers out for those as well.

We have a very, very smart group of guys that work for this department that have thousands and thousands of hours of training. We have members with associates degrees in fire science, bachelor degrees in fire science, professional levels of certification, master degrees. This is an untapped resource that the city has not drawn from. If they want to know how to better staff, how to better respond to emergencies they have a 137 of my brothers that they can ask any time, okay? We are the experts in the city when it comes to fire protection. Thank you for your time and if there is anything I could do or any questions I can answer please feel free to contact us. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SETA: Good evening, Council.

My name is Bob Seta, I'm with the IFF Local

60. I have served as the training committee chairman and served on the safety committee

for Scranton Firefighters. If you would just indulge me a second, on behalf of the public safety family, the firefighters, both paid and unpaid professionals, police officers, EMS personnel and public safety dispatchers to extend our deepest condolences to the family, friends and coworkers at Lackawanna Ambulance of EMT John Demkosky who died while on duty yesterday. All of you and John are in our prayers.

I'd also like to tell council, I'd like to inform you that the Scranton Firefighters Honor Guard has extended or support to the Lackawanna County Ambulance and they have graciously accepted our offer, so it is our Honor to assist them in their time of need just as they were there for us in our time of need.

I would like to briefly address the proposed restructuring of the Scranton Fire Department. I hope you have had an opportunity to review the response analysis that has been compiled and provided to you in an attempt to clarify the implications of

the proposed fire department cuts. These cuts are a wholesale change in the way the Scranton Fire Department provides service. The removal of the city's two busiest engine companies from the city's highest density and highest life hazard area, the downtown, goes against sound fire protection practices.

The deployment and centralization of resources should be determined by the ability of the fire department to efficiently handle a reasonably anticipated workload. Obviously, the downtown represents this workload that is why our fire companies are configured the way they are. While some of them have mentioned that the current staffing locations have not changed since horse drawn days, they do not point out that there was many, many more of them.

The remaining stations are strategically deployed throughout the city. Of the current single company stations all but three were once multi-company stations. The administration states that especially

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- '

25

Rescue 1 station in the 900 block of Wyoming Avenue is redundant. Rescue 1 responds to the entire city on vehicle accidents and fire calls making it's location almost optimum and it is not an engine company.

Both truck companies and rescue companies work in conjunction with the engine companies, they cannot replace them. Placing these company in the place of engine companies is like placing a screwdriver in your toolbox when only a hammer will do.

In regards to the downtown area, commercial, industrial and mercantile areas generally require additional apparatus in If properties with the initial alarm. considerable life hazard are involved, such as schools, hospitals, and nursing homes, etcetera, additional resources should be considered on the initial alarm. Especially large numbers of personnel are needed for search and rescue -- for search and rescue operations in these properties. The required firefighting companies should arrive on scene close enough in time after the initial alarm to operate as an effective

team following planned tactical procedures.

The removal of the engine companies from this equation is most disturbing and a large building with a high occupant load the best course of action is to quickly extinguish fire since removals of large numbers of people would not be feasible in a short period of time.

The fire protection handbook states what a typical initial response assuming interior attack operations capability.

That's going to be a major point in that study and that's going to be a major point that -- it's very important everyone realize. There is exposure-based firefighting and there is interior-based firefighting.

We currently do interior-based firefighting. We want to go in and find the fire at it's seat and take care of it when it's at his smallest point. Once you go to an exposure-base system you are pulling up and worrying about the single property that we are going to stop it in that property. That property is going to be sacrificed so

hopefully we won't have to sacrifice others, assuming interior tech operations capability. This sets the necessary deployment for this capability, this is from the Fire Protection Handbook, as at least four pumpers, two ladders truck, two chief officers, and other specialized apparatus as may be needed. No fewer than 24 firefighters and two chief officers.

This represents almost exactly the current second alarm deployment for the Scranton Fire Department. The proposed level of service completely eliminates this capability to the point of responding to the most typical of single alarm with structure resident fires will completely delete the available fire companies. The department will not only be unable to responsible to large scale emergencies with the proper resources, there will also be no second alarm capability and there will be no multi-emergency response.

Our statistics, and I hope you saw in the study, 23 percent of the time when an alarm is sounded in the City of Scranton

there is already one company out on another

-- on a separate call. That number is only
going to increase. If you reduce the number
of the companies and you are still having
the same service area they obviously are
going to spread that around, so that 23
percent is going to rise.

What that does is that where you had one company remaining you are not going to have any. If there is one -- the one company that's out if it's your target area, and the study addresses this, in 3-A and 10-A, the case studies, you will see what happens when a single company is removed, that would be the first due engine, the losses can be great. I have less than a minute.

MS. EVANS: You can finish up.

MR. SETA: While I certainly did not want to see my friends and coworkers lose their jobs, it is also the responsibility of the firefighter to worry about the public safety. These cuts will fundamentally change the level of service currently provided to the citizens of Scranton. The

citizens have not been informed of the weight of these changes and the firefighters have not been given the slightest guidance as to how to implement these changes.

Please put safety first where it belongs.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Seta, the plan that was passed down to us by the administration in no way resembles the plan that we received from PEL. Do you know how this plan came about for the administration? Was it the dart board method where they just sat there and threw a dart and whatever one it hit? I haven't heard who developed it.

MR. SETA: If you would like me to speculate, it's a political decision. It's politically very difficult to close a neighborhood fire station, and I certainly don't condone it because the deployment of your current stations is spread as thin as it could be. To close -- if you take the Engine 9 station and place an apparatus in it and think, well, when the public drives by they see that there is someone in that

1 2 3

station and that employment will work, well, that's Rescue 1 on Main Avenue with only Truck 2 downtown, when you combine them and you give an alarm at the high school or you give an alarm at downtown Scranton and you are eliminating those first two engine companies from that box, you are removing the firefighting capabilities. The truck company and the rescue company cannot put the fire out, but obviously it's a lot easier to close a station when you think the public is not going to realize what you did.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I spoke today with two of our senior citizens that are starting a fund, I mean, this is coming from their heart because they are in fear of their public safety in the high rise right around the corner here from fire headquarters which will be affected, as you stated, and many of your brothers have stated if --

MR. SETA: Right, that was the example I used where a fire in a building such as that, this isn't a scare tactic, this is --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Reality.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SETA: -- the facts of life. If this is a fire in that building on the first or second floor you cannot remove all of those people that quickly. The most prudent course of action is to quickly extinguish the fire, and you are going to -- you want to deploy your resources to the highest hazards. The highest hazards are in the downtown. The study will say that, this will say that.

We could talk for days about it, but it's the most obvious deployment. That's why the system is the way it is. That's why your two trucks companies are centrally That's why your rescue company is located. centrally located and that's why your fire companies are deployed in such a way that you can have a fast initial response with a minimal response time for the second company coming into supply sustainable water. you take the initial engine companies out of the mix, all address part of the study that I'm aware of, a downtown building that had a response time of under three minutes will have a response time of engine companies

1 over five minutes.

Now, that doesn't sound like a lot standing here, that was why, as Mr. Judge explained, we would like to show you. The physics of fire growth are very rapid. Fire doubles in size every 30 seconds, and when-it's hard to speak about flash over here with a general audience, but once your fire fighting capabilities put you in a position that you are target time exceeds flash over or exceeds brain death the fire has become so large you need extra resources at exactly a time where we don't have anymore resources left.

Most communities -- most progressive communities that search this out and study this you establish your goals at flash over. The chart that's in there explains that to you, that you have your dispatch time, you have your quickest response time for water on the fire. Nothing replaces a truck company, nothing replaces a resident company, nothing replaces the engine company. The truck being there first does not put that fire out, the rescue company

does not put that fire out, only the teamwork of the engine companies in conjunction with those specialized companies puts the fire out.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Seta.

MR. SETA: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Mr. Seta:

MS. EVANS: Bob Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening, Council.

Bob Martin, President of the FOP, Lodge II.

I will only take a few minutes tonight, I

don't want to take too much time, but I just

want to reiterate some of the things that I

spoke about before at the podium, and one of

the issues that's a real burr in my saddle

is the processing center.

The administration chose to give that work away a couple of years ago on a test pilot program, of course, it's grown into where they have taken all of the work now and, of course, they have turned it into a revenue making machine at the fruit of our labor. Our police officers go out and work hard on the street, make the arrest, bring them in and now the county has come in and

22

23

24

25

with the agreement and the -- with the city and the administration turn all of this work over to the county, and again, they are charging \$300 per criminal processing and the last time I spoke, I hadn't updated the numbers, it was 2510 criminal arrests that we turned over to the county and, I mean, that equates to a large sum of money and here we are now we are standing here looking at laying ten officers off, we are going to lose ten jobs and there is very viable work that we should have been doing. We spent three and a half million dollars plus to build the new police headquarters, we have all of the equipment in there that the county has, I mean, I know you have all heard this before and, I mean, that work -we could have very well turned that into a revenue source for this city. We could be doing all of the work that the county is doing for all of the municipalities.

I mean, we have been in this fight, it's a labor issue at this point, and I'm confident that we are going to prevail, the Labor Board is going to come down on our

23

24

25

side. We petitioned Judge Harhut some 30 plus days ago to go before him because he issued an order stating that every police department in the county must bring the prisoners without hearings, without having, you know, hearing a word of our side of the story or where we were coming from or how it was going to affect our police department, and again, he has just plained ignored us throughout this process and we are working on that again, and again, the Labor Board decision is forthcoming, should be not too far off in the future, I think it's going to be in time, because I think even if they split it, if even if they come down with a split decision then I think that the city should immediately look at some of it, and nothing else, I want the work back. the work back, and hopefully that's going to be, but I'm thinking if that's not possibly we are going to come up with some shared thing and the city we should be reaping -we should be getting paid for that.

On a part-time basis we were going Friday nights until 4:00 to Sunday morning

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4:00 and one of the questions at one of the meetings came up and said, "Who is collecting the money when the prisoners come back over to police headquarters, who is collecting the \$300 bucks while we are processing the center at headquarters and the center is not doing it?"

And they would never answer the question. Actually one of our members was told to sit down and not ask the question again, so now, you know, now it's turned into a full-time suite where they take all of the prisoners, we don't enjoy any of the work, our officers are going to get laid off, we don't get extra-duty out of it, we don't get anything out of it, they have taken it out all, and again, I mean, it just infuriates me that we are going to lose -possibly lose ten police officers, hardworking police officers and it was their stats, their work that provided those statistics to build that processing center and now we are going to lose the work and plus we are going to lose some probably very, very good officers. I mean, I just

25

warrant to reiterate that, and again, the plan that's going forward with cutting us, I mean, we are down to -- we had a very major incident last Saturday night about 3:00 in the morning, I think there was about six officers left on the street, shifts had just changed when the incident came in, extremely dangerous, extremely dangerous when you have-- you know, when you have a several traffic stops going on, you have a major incident happen, if several traffic stops -all of these officers tied up. They are tied up. You can't respond to another emergency if you have suspects stopped in the middle of the street or potential suspects and you are trying to figure out what happened at this major crime and now, you know, and we are down to six officers for that short period, three, four-hour period, I mean, it gest to the point, we have just been running on luck, and I think I'm afraid at some point it's going to run out and someone is going to suffer the consequence.

But anyway, I just wanted to bring

to light that we are still working on the processing center with the Labor Board to come down with a decision and I'll keep you posted.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Please notify us immediately of that decision.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just a quick question, of the people that are brought into the processing center, do you know what percentage represents those from Scranton?

MR. MARTIN: The people that are brought in?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MR. MARTIN: The majority of them -we are the biggest dog on the block. 2,500
-- everybody else pales. I mean, the next
highest is probably in the number like 300
and that includes the Pennsylvania State
Police, Troop, Taylor, Dickson City, all of
them combined together. It's all us. We do
the majority of the work, we provide the
majority of the people to be processed at
that center and then, of course, now we are
going to lose ten officers and they are the
guys that sent them over to the center in

the first place and now they are going to send them all packing. Unbelievable. Thank you very much for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident, member of the
Taxpayers' Association. Continuing on with
Mayor Christopher A. Doherty's sworn
deposition 14 September 2010. Last week we
learned that Mayor Doherty appointed Lisa
Moran as director of human resources without
knowing anything about her educational
background and experience in the field of
human resources. We also learned that he
appointed David Elliott as Chief of Police
without knowing anything about his
educational background or Mr. Elliott's lack
of management and supervisory experience.

"Question: Do you know who a gentleman by the name of Ramond Hayes might be?"

Mayor Doherty: Yes. He's the former Director of Public Safety?

Question: Was that an appointed

1	position as well?
2	Mayor Doherty: Yes.
3	Question: And did you appoint
4	Mr. Hayes?
5	Mayor Doherty: Yes, I did.
6	Question: When did you appoint
7	Mr. Hayes?
8	Mayor Doherty: Eight and a half
9	years ago.
10	Question: At the commencement of
11	your administration?
12	Mayor Doherty: Yes.
13	Question: And what was the and
14	what was the or were rather the duties of
15	the Director of Public Safety in the City of
16	Scranton under your administration?
17	Mayor Doherty: He oversaw the
18	police and fire departments. The chief
19	would report to him.
20	Question: So Mr. Elliott would
21	report to Mr. Hayes?
22	Mayor Doherty: Yes.
23	Question: He would not
24	Mr. Elliott would not report directly to
25	you?

1	Mayor Doherty: He would report to
2	Mr. Hayes; correct.
3	Question: Well, was Mr. Hayes a
4	cabinet position?
5	Mayor Doherty: Yes.
6	Question: Was Mr. Elliott a cabinet
7	position?
8	Mayor Doherty: He reported to my
9	cabinet meetings every Monday. He would
10	give me a police report. Yes, it was
11	considered a cabinet position.
12	Question: So were they equals?
13	Mayor Doherty: No. Mr. Hayes was
14	his superior.
15	Question: And how about Ms. Moran
16	in terms of Mr. Elliott, were they equals in
17	responsibilities and authority within your
18	administration, albeit it different
19	departments?
20	Mayor Doherty: Yes.
21	Question: Did Ms. Moran was she
22	a cabinet position?
23	Mayor Doherty: Yes.
24	Question: She reported directly to
25	you?

1 Mayor Doherty: She reported to me and she would also report to the BA, the 2 3 business administrator. Question: And the BA is who? 4 5 Mayor Doherty: Stu Renda. Question: So Mr. Renda was a 6 7 cabinet - was he a cabinet position? 8 Mayor Doherty: Yes, he was. 9 Question: And Miss Moran was a 10 cabinet position? 11 Mayor Doherty: Yes. 12 Question: So while Miss Moran would 13 report to Mr. Renda she was co-equal with 14 Mr. Renda in that she was a cabinet 15 position? 16 Mayor Doherty: She was not a 17 co-equal. She reported to him. He was her 18 superior. 19 Question: So when you held cabinet 20 meetings was -- would Mr. Elliott and Miss 21 Moran attend the entire meeting or only the 22 portion of the meeting in which you wanted 23 them to attend and then excuse them? 24 Mayor Doherty: The entire meeting. 25 Question, were there minutes kept of

1 the cabinet meeting? 2 Mayor Doherty: No. 3 Question: No one kept minutes? Mayor Doherty: 4 No. Question: I was asking you before 5 about Mr. Hayes, and I may have taken a 6 7 short sidestep, and I apologize. 8 Question: Prior to being appointed 9 public safety director what was Mr. Hayes' 10 employment? Mayor Doherty: He worked for the 11 12 state police. 13 Question: And what was his capacity 14 in the state police? 15 Mayor Doherty: He held different 16 ranks with the state police. I think his 17 last position was negotiating, hostage 18 negotiator. 19 Question: Hostage negotiator? 20 that a rank in the state police or a job? Mayor Doherty: I think it's a 21 22 position, a job. 23 Question: What made him qualified 24 to be in your mind Director of Public 25 Safety?

Mayor Doherty: Well, I thought he an exemplary career with the state police and I thought he would do a good job in managing the two departments.

Question: Would you tell me what made Mr. Hayes' career with the state police exemplary?

Mayor Doherty: Well, just that the people who had known him and thought of him and knew of his career thought he would a perfect person for the job.

Question: Could you be more specific, please? What exactly made him a perfect person for the job?

Mayor Doherty: He was a state policeman and that he was in charge of the barracks and I had talked to people in law enforcement. They thought he would do a good job.

Question: Who did you speak with?

Mayor Doherty: District Attorney

Jarbola, and he thought he would be excellent.

Question: Anyone else other than District Attorney Jarbola?

Mayor Doherty: No, that was it."

I know this sworn deposition and the mayor's answers are beginning to sound like you Abott-Costello routine "Who is on First", but this is the executive officer in the city explaining how he has managed the city departments during his eight and a half years as mayor. No wonder why the city is still distressed and multi-million dollars in debt, but we also must remember those immortal words of a past city council president that qualifications do not matter.

Well, they do not matter and now the citizens of Scranton who voted for the past city council members and the mayor three times must suffer the consequences for their vote. In my opinion, the city has mismanaged for the past eight and a half years by Mayor Doherty and the Scranton City Council. Many speakers have spoken on this matter several times only to be laughed at, yelled at, called names, and were told that they were out of order.

No, Mayor, and past city councils, you were and are the ones who have been out

of order, not the citizens. Look around and see what a mess you have created for the taxpayers in the citizens of the City of Scranton.

Now Mayor Doherty and his friends
must start answering for their poor
decisions and lack of leadership abilities.
2010 city council, hold their feet to the
fire, no wiggle room allowed this time.

Mayor Doherty, appear in public and address the problems of the City of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Thank, Mr. Jackowitz.

Joe Talimini.

MR. TALIMINI: Good evening,
Council. Joe Talimini, citizen of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. TALERICO: First I'd like to commend you on the fact that you are taking up under Item 6-A-B-C and D a piece of legislation which was very poorly constructed to begin with and was meant for no other reason than to pat somebody on the back. It's about time that the taxpayers

got relief in this city in repealing that's ordinances is the main thing. It was something that, Mrs. Evans, I know you fought hard for and you were overruled and it's about time that the people who said they were going to be back here again, even though they are no longer in office, haven't showed up that they got their comeuppance. That's number one.

Number two, again, with the budget, there is plenty of room to cut that budget. There are way too many staff members working for the City of Scranton. Way too many. And there are some exorbitant salaries there. Again, I reiterate I did not get a cost of living increase last year and I will not get one this year and I'm a senior citizen, I get a disability pension from the government and I'm not getting a cost of living increase there either, so I have no empathy for these people who are crying because their wages aren't high enough. That's tough. Tighten your belt for a change.

Now, you people are the legislative

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

branch of this city, I would like to see you exercise your authority. This mayor has lead this city for eight half years and it's still distressed. If the US military has a problem whenever there is a distressed situation they hang the United States flag upside down to show they are in dire need. I suggest you do the same thing as long as the city is distressed. Turn that flag upside down. We are in distress, we are in trouble, and when that picture goes up on that wall I want his picture upside down because he is the reason we are in a distressed situation, and if you are not going to hang it on that wall, hang it up at Nay Aug Park because that's where he lives practically.

Now, it's time to cut costs, the police and the fire, no, don't cut them.

Don't cut the police and the fire. As far as their cost of living increase goes, they can live without that, I'm sorry, but they can. I'm not going to be politically correct because this is no longer time to be politically correct. It's time now to face

the realities of the fact that this city is in distress, it's going to stay distressed. What they have got coming from the previous time, give it to them, do not cut their services, but by the same virtue nobody in this city, nobody that works with this city deserves a cost of living increase. I'm sorry, but even the President of the United States has ruled that there is wage freeze and that wage freeze should exist here as well.

Now, it's time that we stop with the political correctness. Some of you are up for re-election next year, others of you probably don't care, but we just saw \$21.3 million spent on TV ads for somebody to acquire \$150,000 a year job. That's ludicrous. Explain to these people out here who work for minimum wage, explain that to these people out here who are living in subsidized housing, explain that to these people out here who cannot pay their rent. That's what I want you to do. Explain that them and if you cannot explain to them then, damn it, act. Stand up to your rights. We

elected you here and we expect you to perform.

If you have to take this mayor to Court, by God do it. If you have to overrule this Home Rule Charter in Court, by God do it. We are still governed by the constitution of the United States and that takes precedent over the Home Rule Charter and all of this nonsense, this political correctness in this city.

And another thing, I'm tired of the damn musical chairs in this city. Every time you turn around somebody losing one job is going to another job is going to another job. We just had an incident with somebody who was in the Sewage Authority and was forced out, got a job with the Housing Authority and has been forced out. Now, how long is this going to go on? I see Ray Hayes' name in here for an appointment, I know you can't do anything about that, but it's damn time we try to figure out why you can't do anything about things like this?

There are other people out here who are capable of serving, probably more

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

capable than the ones who are. I'd like to see a little bit more transparency in government, especially from the executive branch which I don't anticipate we are going to see, but I would like to see you people exercise your authority. Your subpoena powers are there, use them if necessary, and if you have to take them to Court, by God, we are behind you 100 percent. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I heard a lot of rhetoric tonight, but that ain't going to solve your problem. problem is money, M-O-N-E-Y, how to either cut the budget sufficiently enough or raise revenue some way. You notice the mayor put this burden on you now, he usually would sell something, namely, the DPW site. too bad you couldn't sell it to somebody, That would solve at least 5 million of too. your problems.

With your budget, even with the cuts, you know and I know that's not going to make it. If you did everything you

23

24

25

wanted it still ain't going to clear up the problem we are in, probably create a lot more problems.

Somewhere you have to look at everything from out of the ballpark actually. Last time I told you last meeting I said, yeah, maybe we should split the fire protection and police protection out of the budget, in other words, I pay "X" amount of taxes, you reserved \$500 from somebody, but I pay probably three times that for the same services that that place gets, but that's the way things are because of the law, but you have to look at things out of the ballpark. Staying in the ballpark ain't going to solve your problem.

The city population keeps declining and that's a problem. People keep moving out of the city. People don't want to move into the city, and you saw everything from the mall all of the way down to the residents. Everyone is in trouble. How much of it is caused by where we stand now with the economy, but even where we stand now was caused by political actions that was

done years and years ago, some by the federal government and some by the state government. You always said when the mayor took office he couldn't spend money fast enough. All of the building projects went in and he took \$72 million. Why we brought the streetlights, I'll never know, but we bought them, too. Somewhere along the line now we are going to pay for buying the streetlights because I don't think the savings made up for the cost of the acquisition and the person that they hired to take care of it.

So, I mean, there has been progression all the way down the line. The golf course supplied money to us, he couldn't get rid of that fast enough. I think they said we were making sometimes \$100,000, sometimes even more pouring into the city from that -- from that little golf course, so he sold it. Where is the money from the golf course now? Sunk into the city budget, the hole that never keeps filling up. It's just a money pit you might call the city budget. It's money just pours

into it, decisions pour into it, I can give you 101 things that happened since he took office. I could spend hours just going over the financial problems.

I was with Mrs. Evans, we were at night one time in a room going over the budget a couple years ago calling Mr. -- I think he was away that time, our other councilman, and he answered by phone, but anyway, that was done. What was done with it? Nothing. So the problem continues.

Now you're into a dilemma of safety, people and so forth and so on. I don't know how you are going to derive that. I mean, I can say, well, Scranton has so many fires a year, Scranton has so many accidents a year, Scranton has so many false alarms a year, Scranton has so many inspections a year, you can do that and say, well, you are going to get to it later to building and naturally it's going to burn, but if their's a person in it they are going to die because nobody was there in two or three minutes to get them out.

I can relate a problem, I was

working in the federal building and they just put new smoke detectors in and I told my helper, "Whatever you do, don't smoke and miss the overhead," but he didn't listen, he smoked. Before I took down with the elevator down the fist floor the firemen were coming in. That's how fast their response was, so I'll never fault the police or the fire for their response time. They are fairly good. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Charlie Newcomb, Sr..

MR. NEWCOMB, SR.: Good evening again, city council. Just like to clear up something that in the previous speaker said about people getting cost of living raise, I understand that people on social security and fixed income, you know, don't a get a raise next year or -- this year or next year, but these men and women of the city haven't gotten one in ten years, so there is a big difference with the cost of living and not getting any in 10 years.

I'd just like to touch, Mr. Renda had in the paper, I believe it was last week

he made a quote, "We need more money."

Well, I don't know, I think a speaker earlier who spoke on about the KOZ's, as we know, I don't know how much money it's going to, we should investigate it. I belive 2011, for 2011 the KOZ's up the Tripp's Park so that should be a good chunk of money coming from them homes over there.

And I want to talk about the Chief of Police a little bit. I said at the podium before, and I'm sure Mr. Martin will clear it up, but I don't really need Mr. Martin to clear it up because I could speak for it for myself from the experience, the chief made it very clear, I can't believe that he put it in the paper very proud of Thanksgiving Eve going out and getting DUI drivers. Well, this is the arrogance that you have, first of all.

It's in the budget I believe for a \$14,000 raise, and I explained last week what the raises will do down the road to the retired -- the retired people get half, but you are also looking for a \$14,000 raise,

you were taken out of the bargaining unit and you are going on the street doing this work. That's an unfair labor practice waiting to happen. I knew that as soon as I read it in the paper. I didn't have to ask anybody, I knew it myself, and if you asked Mr. Martin I'm sure the police are going to tell you that one will be filed, it's common sense.

I just want to make it very clear, too, because I was up here talking about, you know, our workers in the city, when I say I don't want you to get rid of any employees, I'm also talking about the other people's jobs that are on the line, too, about clerical and DPW, but I am mentioning about public safety because it's important to me.

So you know which way I feel on the budget and I hope the budget goes the way--like I said, I don't want to see anybody lose their jobs, I want to see some major cuts, I want to see some people that are making \$80,000 that I feel don't have the qualifications to do it come down to maybe

what the mayor makes and give the city taxpayers a little bit of a break.

But here is what I want to mention because we see it in the paper all of the time, and this bothered me this morning when I read this in the paper. And, Mrs. Evans, you can attest to this, I want to say 2004 maybe you were at a meeting that myself and a bunch of people attended at Weston Park for a Recreation meeting.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. NEWCOMB, SR.: I got up at the podium and I spoke about something, if you remember, and there was a member on there that did not like what I said at the podium and he challenged me, he wanted me to go outside, if you remember.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. NEWCOMB, SR.: Well, I went outside, as you remember, and when he came around the corner he realized, oh, boy, I think I bit off a little bit more than I can chew, and my point of it is this, if it weren't for those people, and we see it in the paper every day are bullies, okay, and

when I read in the paper this morning that Mr. Migliore from ECTV what happened with Mrs. Franus, I understand what the law said and the lawyer did his job and if it says, you know, because it was one time it wasn't whatever, but I'm going to tell you that to me is the lowest part of bullying.

ECTV, when this was Scranton

Tomorrow or Scranton Today, whatever, they operated, they did a good job here, they operated on no budget, they didn't ask the city for \$90,000, now they want another \$100,000, this was the mayor's project, I'm going to tell you as a taxpayer in the City of Scranton, if it means these cameras going dark I do not want to see them get another nickle of the taxpayers' dollars.

Let them go out to the mayor's parties and Nay Aug Park and all these 25 -- or \$250 a head gala balls and ask for money, for donations, and all I could say is this, if it was me that night going out into this elevator and the way the world is today followed out to my car or whatever the case was and being called all of these different

names I guarantee you as I'm standing here, people know me and what I say, we would have never made it to Court this morning because there would have been no apologies because I would have either gotten an apology out there because you really did a stupid thing or it would have went to a different venue, but I just want to say that I think that was wrong.

People have a right just -everybody is not going to agree with what I have to say. I don't agree with some of the speakers, and I'm sure they don't like -but the bottom line is we have a right to say it and nobody should have any repercussions because they say something they don't like. I'm a Republican, all of my friends are Democrats, you five people up there are registered as Democrats, I'm sure I say things that a lot of the Democrats don't agree with and vice versa, but you know what, we all have a right to say, we don't have to agree on it, but I'm just telling you that was a form of bullying and for a man to go after a woman like that in

25

the elevator that's the lowest of low and all I could say he is very, very lucky that it wasn't somebody else because it would have turned into a lot worse than it is.

And, like I said, good luck by

December 15, keep the police and firemen on
the street and don't take the safety away

from the citizens.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, again, Marie Schumacher, city resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. I'll try to give back the time that I took during the public hearing, but still mostly on the budget, I do believe you need to petition the county to complete the reassessment in a fair fashion and hopefully with a different vendor, that would help matters tremendously.

As I said last week, you need to petition our state legislators to pass the School Property Tax Elimination Act which would reduce the 1 percent -- eliminate the 1 percent wage tax from the school budget

which would free up a considerable amount of money.

And I would like to reiterate something that Lee Morgan and said because I have had it on my list and never worked my way down to it, but Mr. Courtright as the liaison for the police department used to tell us how many cars were out on a given --during a given week and I was going to ask Mr. Loscombe to begin doing that.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I think that would be helpful. I hope, I hope before the 15th I hear back from the city on the \$600,000 that BRT Ice owes the city. I still very firmly believe they do owe that, that what was filed was an incorrect document, and I hope that adds \$600,000 to next year's budget.

And, you know, it's interesting, we never see a budget that has the actuals for the entire year. When the budget comes it's always actuals for September and the only time we get actuals is when you get the --well, after the audit is received, and Mrs.

21

22

23

24

25

Novembrino does do her financial, annual financial report, but like this year, here we are, it's a year practically gone by so we still don't even know what the actuals were for last year, but the one thing I do know, again, back to the wage tax revenue issue and in addition to the \$36 million that's missing from the -- or unaccounted for from 2005 through 2008, last year city's budget had 22.4 million in for wage taxes and according to Mr. Courtright the actuals that were transferred were only \$19,720,000 and change, so they have, again, this year I don't think the economy has improved and those jobs that were created must have been extremely low paying because the city has another \$21 million in for wage taxes for next year and I question whether those are going to happen here either.

I still say the only jobs that have been created in the city are black market and they are selling drugs or hot merchandise. You may be making money and those jobs may be have been created, but I can't see any others.

And I would like to say that even after the controller's report that shows rather larger -- from Mrs. Novembrino's financial report for the end of the year there has only been one year since 2005 that we did not end up with a surplus, modified accrual surplus, that is. As a matter of fact -- and that was last year, we were short a million nine, and in 2006 we actually had a \$6.7 million surplus, so how that got usurped in the interim between the end of 2006 and now I think would also be interesting. Thank you very much for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. I really wasn't coming tonight, but fortunately for council I have such an unselfish little bride that she wanted to share me with everybody. She is always telling me go somewhere, go in the kitchen or go outside or so forth, what a woman.

Does anybody have trouble parking out front? My car doors hit the concrete.

Am I the only one? I see other people open

their door and get out. Even when I'm out trying to open the door I hit that curb out front. I'm getting where I don't even want to go anywhere anymore.

When I lived in California I had 60 Thunderbird convertible, didn't have a back window, and I used to drive 2,000 miles to Memphis, summer and winter, 2,000 home and spend a day or two and be fresh as a daisy, and now here at 39 years old I need a motel room when I go to see the Pioneers down there.

Well, maybe I'll say something of consequence. I had some people tell me how despicable that picture was of the bear shooting in the paper, it just doesn't need to be there, and I agree. That's -- the game warden should be fired. Four people chase a tame bear out of the woods and the fifth one slaughters it, that's not hunting, that's not sportsman ship, that's terrible. They should have been arrested. And I have heard some people at the club that hunt say that. It's just a terrible situation and the game warden's fault and the fault of the

man that fed this animal. You can't tame a bear and do that.

And this old junky house by me on the corner of Parker and Main, they started renting rooms I guess because there is cars galore from the house, so someone come home Saturday night and I guess they were drunk, they parked at this 45 degree angle and just missed the telephone pole, the back end is in the street and the car is against the building so Sunday I phoned. I said how about giving them a ticket or tell them to move it, they didn't do nothing. So Monday I phoned, the dispatcher said that the policeman said the car was all right like it was.

I have been complaining and other people have been complaining about cars on the sidewalks, it's not all right like it is, you know. It's not, like I said, inconvenience, it's safety. People are not supposed to be in the street because you people don't know what the significant of all of these illegal parked cars on sidewalks because people are renting rooms

and these people that are renting the rooms, I talked to one guy he is from San Diego, I was at the Pioneer's game, he lives up here, there is some boys from Los Angeles, there is a boy from Oklahoma City, you know, why do these young guys come to this city? They are not working. They are going to be a potential problem I'm telling you, and it's as plain as the nose on your face that it's coming and something should be done.

Anyway, I'd like to Mr. Duffy to come in here and tell me why I should be in the street and cars could be parked on the sidewalk right there where I walk around the block for 16 years on Troop Street two people got I guess a 7,500 foot driveway to their garage, they both parked completely on the sidewalk where you can't use it anymore. It's everywhere.

Oh well, I don't know, this town is going to pot. I got a section eight house by me, the man got a new BMW. Yeah. You go by there. He got this section eight housing got a new BMW, you know. We are paying for that.

Down the street I asked Mr. Santolli not to cut that tree down for \$5,000, the city cut it down on private property, you know what the lady did? She has put an \$8,000 roof on her house last month. These people paid for that. I paid for that, you paid for it. That's senseless.

Let me say something real quick about last week, this will just take a second, when was the high school built, 12 years ago or so?

MS. EVANS: Which high school?

MR. ELLMAN: Anyway --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Scranton High School?

MR. ELLMAN: -- this woman has been

phoning me since before the high school was built, just once in awhile I talk to her, she grew up in a project, she lives in the project now, and all she ever does is argue with me whatever I say up here. Last week she said me and all of these people come up here to talk every week are a bunch of damn fools beating our heads on a concrete wall and it really got me mad, but I started thinking about it when I was walking by big,

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les

fat basset hound, you know, look what she got? She is on welfare, she got an Access card, free rent, free insurance, you know, that we pay for, free utilities, free cable, she takes a cab downtown and stops for groceries because she told me that. She probably goes to Denise's and gets her hair fixed free. She is right. We are a bunch of fools and these people it's just time to limit them.

When you leave here go through the Midtown apartments and look at the bunch of the nice new cars over there. Go through Park Gardens and look at all of the nice new late model cars. The taxpayers are subsidizing all of this and it's not just right. It's time something was done. When you have -- when you're on welfare you get that sheet every month, I mean, every year to fill out and it asks what kind of car you got, income and all of that, people just getting away with murder. Just like that tree. That lady put a new roof on the house and we paid for it. Thank you.

Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler, city homeowner and
taxpayer. There is not much more that can
be said about the public safety people, I
have said for years I'm totally against any
public safety people being laid off, you
don't have to be a rocket scientist to
figure out I know where I live anyway, if
Engine 9 is taken off the street it's going
to take a lot longer for the engine to get
to my house from Luzerne Street than Engine
9 would be, and I don't want that to happen.
I don't want police officers cut.

The people that should be cut are a lot of the people that work in this building. We have a human resources director who is totally unqualified. She has a degree in fashion. We don't need a degree in fashion design as our human resources director. I'd rather have more firefighter and police officers on the street.

There was an article the other day in the Doherty newsletter about cameras and

Captain Graziano and he said he thinks we need more cameras. I don't think we need more cameras, we need more police officers on the street. There is an example, there is two cameras on Dorothy Street at the playground, one is pointing at the basketball court, one is pointing at the playground, neither one works, so I have it written down here and maybe Chief Duffy could look into that unless he is too busy chasing people that are drinking, but I don't think the cameras are doing any good, we need more people on the street, that's what's going to prevent crime, not cameras.

Moving on, recently at this last

Planning Commission meeting there was an

Attorney David Rinaldi that spoke, there was supposed to be a Sonic built on Olive

Street, you know, where the Ice Box used to be and he was looking out for our children, he said children are going to get obese if there is a Sonic built there because they're going to eat fast food, well, children are going to eat fast food no matter if they go to McDonald's or Burger King. A Sonic would

be another business that has people working in this city.

He wants to put an office building there. That's just what we need is another office building. Has anybody walked around downtown Scranton lately and seen all of the office space that's available. The Southern Union building is almost all empty, there is office space all over. Look at the Mt. Pleasant on Linden Street, that was supposed to be offices, that's empty. We don't need another office building. Would anybody know if this is the same Rinaldi that did the 500 block of Lackawanna?

MS. EVANS: I don't know if there is a relation.

MR. SPINDLER: Because I know that was a Rinaldi, also.

MR. HUGHES: No, they are two different Rinaldis, two different families.

MR. SPINDLER: Oh, okay. Another article in the Doherty newsletter the other day about ECTV threatening to go off the air if they don't get funding, and to quote John Darcy, "He said it's possible that it can go

out of business. It's a possibility if we don't get the money."

Well, I have said from day one let them go out of business. They're incompetent, Scranton Tomorrow did a much better job, if we have to not have council meeting for a few weeks I would be happy with that if we get Scranton Tomorrow back and, Mr. Migliore want onto say that they focus on events and activities in the community. Well, if the Chicago White Sox's and Betty Boop cartoons are the community I beg to differ with them because that's the kind of programming they show.

I hope when it comes time council gives ECTV a bounce or like I would call it, it was Elect Christ TV. Chris Doherty picked this handpicked committee to pick ECTV, so I hope we get Scranton Tomorrow back.

The last thing I have to say, a week from tomorrow, Wednesday, December 8, the Tripp Park Neighborhood Association presents "Meet your Neighbors" at the Tripp Park Community Center from 7 to 10 p.m. It's an

evening to get the neighbors to know each other, we're going to have adult beverages and light fare for nothing, so anybody in Tripp Park that wants to attend it's next Wednesday at 8:00 at the Tripp Park Community Center from 7 to 10 p.m. and I hope we get a lot of people there. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Chris Hallock.

MR. HALLOCK: How you are doing,
Council? Chris Hallock, tax paying citizen,
member of the FOP. I'd like to first start
off by saying my words up here when I come
to the podium are not meant to discredit the
city, they are not meant to discredit the
mayor, who I stated previously I think has
done great things for this city. It's not
meant to discredit the police department or
Chief Duffy, whose resume speaks for itself.
I simply come up here as Chris Hallock,
concerned citizen, and member of the FOP.

With that said, the last two weeks I have come to this podium I have come to this podium to speak in front of this council, in front of these people, in front of the

people watching at home. The council, you people here, and people watching at home have opened your ears and I believe most importantly have opened your hearts to what I have to say.

Over the past two weeks I have experienced a lot of support from council and from the great citizens of this city. I received phone calls, text messages, and people have come up to me and voiced their support and appreciation for what I am doing. Many people told me it's brave for coming up here week after week. People telling me it's brave for me to go every shift to put my life on the line to protect my fellow partner and this great city.

I would just like to take this time and tell everyone here in attendance, everyone watching at home and tell you, Council, that it is my privilege to serve and protect all of you on a daily basis. It is my Honor to come here and be your voice, to work and fight for your safety. I would like to take this time to thank each and every one of you who have supported not only

me, but the remaining officers and firemen.

As I stated last week, without strong

community support a police department will

not succeed in it's goal of a safe

community.

In an interview with the Scranton

Times the mayor of this great city is quoted

as saying, "This is an important budget and

we feel it's the foundation for the future."

The foundation for the future.

These pieces of paper and the ink on them are the foundation for the future. With the upmost respect, I strongly disagree with that statement. The foundation of the city are the hardworking men and woman who call this city home. That could be traced back to our heritage of the hardworking coal miners. That's what this city is known for.

The foundation of the city are the great citizens who come together in cold weather to celebrate an annual tradition of lighting the Scranton Times tower.

These strong community values are the foundation of Scranton. The men and women who go out every day and night to

serve and protect this great city they are the foundation, not some pieces of paper with some numbers and words on them.

The future of Scranton are the children and our public schools, the public schools that have gangs in them. The future of the Scranton are children who walk the streets of Scranton, the streets that have criminal activity occurring on them.

Without a strong foundation, there will be no future. Without the hardworking men and woman raising the future generation and passing on the community values there will be no few fewer. Without the public safety working to provide and build upon a safe community there will be no future.

This, the great citizens of the Scranton, this is neither the foundation nor the future. Each and every one of you here and watching at home are the foundation of the future. The men and women who go out on a daily businesses and put their life on the line, they are the foundation and the future. I would like to thank each and every one of you for your continued support.

In these hard times we rise up and unite.

We must unite as a family. We must unite as a state, and most importantly we must unite as a country. We must unite for the future.

This, these pages, are not a foundation for the future. Each and every one of us are. We hold stock in the stakes of this, not pieces of paper or ink written on them. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. ROGALEVICH: Good evening,
Council. Roger Rogalevich, Scranton Fire
Department, Local 60. Hopefully I can to
this in as simple and easy way as I can.
This is the NFPA 1710 Standard. It's
written by an organization up in
Massachusetts that writes consensus
standards for the fire service. This is the
bare minimum of what fire services should
perform, they are standards. The last I
checked there was pretty close to 300 NFPA.
The City of Scranton abides by a great
majority of them.

This standard 1710 is titled, "The

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Standard for the Organization and Deployment of Fire Suppression Operations, Emergency Medical Operations and Special Operations to the Public by Career Fire Departments."

That's what we are here in Scranton. This standard was adopted on May 26, 2009, and with an effective date of June 15, 2009. Every three years these standards are revised and updated. This standard applies only to a single-family residence structure fire, and they define that as a typical 2,000 square foot home with no basement, no exposures, which means there is quite a distance between the buildings, and if you look at it that also has no alley. Most of the houses in Scranton are 35 foot by 30, two-story, which puts you at 2,100 square foot, which is above what this standard is designed to cover.

There is quite a few definitions on what structural fire fighting is. The easiest way to explain is I go to work, someone calls 911 their house is on fire, I put my gear on, I arrive in a big red truck, I pull the hose out, go to the house and I

put the fire out. They set bench marks that have to be and should be attained to provide the best quality service to keep the people of the community safe as well as the firefighters. They, for some reason, did everything in seconds as opposed to the old standard of minutes, but the first engine company should arrive in 240 seconds, which is four minutes. A full assignment should arrive within eight minutes. That means that your other engine is there, your rescue company is there, your chief officer is there and your aerial ladder truck is there.

This standard also goes into great detail to state that fire suppression operations shall being organized to ensure that the fire department suppression capability encompass deployment of personnel, equipment and resources for an initial arriving company, initial full alarm assignment, and additional alarm assignments if the fire is beyond those capabilities in first due companies.

And they set some very simple benchmarks on staffing. Engine companies

should have a compliment of four personnel, that's the driver, the officer and two firefighters on the back. Rescue companies shall also have four personnel, and truck companies shall also have four personnel as a minimum.

Now, Scranton, of course, we all know does not have any of these indicated high hats or occupancies. There is no schools, no hospitals, no special medical facilities like Allied or St. Joseph's, no high-rise residential buildings, no occupancies in neighborhoods with close structural proximity to one another. If you drive up the Hill Section you can see there is six, eight inches, that's more than enough between some buildings.

I have been on three fires in my 22 years where three or more buildings went because of the closeness of the buildings.

Two of those fire were in the daylight hours around noontime.

First alarm assignment of dispatch you shall have one incident commander who establishes command outside, that's the

5

6

4

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One pump operator, that's the guy that stands at the engine, pulls some levers, hooks up the hose into it when somebody finally does leave from the hydrant and supplies the hand lines with water. Four personnel on two hand lines. nozzle man, the person at the nozzle at the end of the hose that controls and opens and shuts it to squirt water on the fire and a backup person because, to be honest with you, if you are putting out 150 gallons a minute through a hose it's a lot of pressure, and if you have a garden hose with really good pressure you hold it with one hand and it pushes you back, now you are putting out 150 gallons as opposed to 15 gallons. You can see where two people are needed.

It also calls for two backup
personnel. One of those personnel is the
person that is on the other arriving engine
company that is connected to the fire
hydrant, those metal things that stick out
of the ground to hook a hose up to and you
drop the line down the street, and another

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

person helps stretch the hose.

Two search and rescue persons. always try to do things in a buddy system. I go in a burning building at my company by myself because I have just the driver when I'm first in. Search and rescue when the rescue comes they have two people, that's for safety. One searchs the room and one stays in the hallway, they come out, they move to the next room, the person that was in the hallway searches the room, it conserves energy, it conserves air, plus you're in constant contact, the other person can be monitoring in case things start to turn very poor.

Two persons for ventilation, that means throw ladders and poke a hole in the roof. People don't like when we poke the hole in the roof, we do it for a reason, not because we want to damage your house, we want to get the smoke out so we can see better.

One aerial operator that stands in the turntable and moves the big ladder up and down, and a two-person initial response

21

22

23

24

25

RIT team in case a firefighter goes out. This is for a room and contents fire. the fire goes beyond room and contents fire, you also need an incident safety officer who comes and just orbits around the fire and makes sure that everybody has their gloves on, their breathing apparatus, he is looking to see if the fire is doing something that is unexpected, it's believed to be in the front room and all of a sudden the fire is in the back. The way the smoke is moving they have very great responsible position that has to be performed, and people don't understand that they see a person standing there just looking at the building what he is actually doing.

And your RIT team also increases by two members up to four now because I don't know how most people are, but I know if one of my brother firefighters go down I will kill myself to get him out, but when you pulling somebody it's dead weight and it takes a lot out of you to drag somebody up or down a flight of steps.

As I stated, this is for a first

alarm response. First engine must be on-scene in four minutes, then every one else must be on-scene within eight minutes.

Under the mayor's proposal, I seen the study that was presented to you by Mr. Judge, I do not know of the full amount of scenarios, I was not privy to that, about under the mayor's proposal the eight minute response time I cannot see being met.

The way that we operate at present, as I stated, if I am first in on a fire in West Scranton and I pull a line I know within three to four minutes I have six people coming to help me. That's one company of three laying the hose line, the truck company that is scheduled to be eliminated along with that engine company, which is going to be eliminated, are there within three or four minutes in bad weather or heavy traffic.

Under the mayor's proposal, I am in the building with the third person, which is great, I'm out of water, 750 gallons a minute, in four, maybe five minutes with a partner. Then we pull outside and we watch

your house burn until another engine comes with three guys in maybe eight minutes, but the rescue will be on scene in probably four minutes under the mayor's proposal from Engine 9 to West Scranton, and this also applies to North Scranton and Greenridge, but they do not have the hose to lay from hydrant, they do not have an on-board water tank capable of providing water to suppress a fire, so I will get three more people there that can actually start to do a limited search while I'm running out of water trying to save your house. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi. I'm the president of the firefighters' union.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. GERVASI: As you have heard tonight this is kind of the Reader's Digest version of what everyone will be able to hear on Thursday night at 7:00 at Scranton High School. That night all of the gentlemen you heard here tonight and others will be there to explain factual

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is actual information taken

information. All of the information that you received in that study we are going to expand on that. All of that information is not speculation. All of that information is taken from actual records of response time.

What we have tried to do, because it's so hard to break it down to each individual street to let every single person in the city know exactly how long it will take us then what it's compared to now, we have broken it down for the school district and the few here William Prescott School, if you're within four or five blocks of William Prescott School and you hear those numbers you will know how long it's going to take to get to your house. If you hear Scranton High School, when you hear the new school they are building, so any -- Bancroft, any of these schools, any of these neighborhood schools that we will be addressing the changes of what it is now and what it's going to be if the mayor's budget goes through and those engine company and truck company closures happen, take place.

right from past runs that we have been on.

We know how long it takes for each individual company to get to certain areas of the city, and you will able to hear that, and again, that's Thursday night at 7:00 at Scranton high School. If anyone is concerned about this please come down, you will be able to ask questions. I believe the mayor and the fire chief were invited to go, I hope they show up and let the people know their plan, but we know there is no plan. There is no plan whatsoever.

One thing that Captain Seta said
here tonight, and I think it's very
important for everyone to know, these cuts
were not made based on any type of impact,
safety impact study, these cuts are not
being proposed because of fire safety for
anyone in certain areas of the city. These
cuts were specifically done for a political
reason. Closing Engine 4 still leaves a
truck company at headquarters. Closing
Engine 9 and Truck 4 and putting Rescue 1 up
there, which is not an engine company, gives
people a false hope that, you know, fires

can be put out in the Tripps Park and
Bullshead area of the city in a timely
manner. Well, it's not going to happen, so
these proposals were made simply with no
thought involved and how least political
damage it can to do this administration.
What a way to run a fire department.

So again, if maybe one of you or two of you can come to this, we are going to explain this in-depth and you will know what's going on. One important thing that I want to break down, you heard all of these numbers tonight, and here is a guy, Captain Rogalevich, he's got a master's degree, he did his master's thesis on staffing and NFPA 1710. Nobody ever asked him if these proposals are okay. There is guy who would help the city in a heartbeat for free, and you don't have to pay this guy to do that, but they didn't.

But what you need to understand, and it's a very, very important thing that you need to understand with these cuts is right now currently we are able to have a full-blown structure fire, we bang our SRN

which bring extra companies in the routine, a second alarm we can still do that and still right now have at least an engine company or two left over to take care of another call.

As you heard Captain Seta say at any given incident we have 23 percent of the time one out of every four calls that we have there is an incident going on at the same time. With the proposed cuts that the mayor has, not to get scientific, we are going to be a one fire or one major incident department. Once we're at a burning structure, a burning building with a structure fire that's it. If a second one comes in we have nothing left in the city. Nothing.

And as you will also hear on
Thursday night, it's something that nobody
has been talking about, I mentioned it here,
the staffing he is talking about, bringing
us down to 110-man department, it's not
three companies closing, you are going to
hear the horror stories and the response
times for three companies closing. It has

to be four. Manning it has to be four or he is going to be spending a ton of money on overtime. He doesn't have to spend any machine on overtime, only \$100,000, it's impossible to close just three companies and go to 110 personnel. Not going to happen. So you are looking at four closures not three.

So come and learn the facts Thursday night 7:00 at Scranton High School. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR: Good evening,
Council. Again, I talked to a lot of people
over the last couple of weeks and they have
told me about they don't really like this
budget and I have talked to people that
supported this mayor over his last three
elections and I was quite taken aback when
these people told me he is wrong. They
applaud council for attempting what they
want to do and they are afraid to come to
this podium because of the repercussion that
they can have for speaking out, I'm at the
point in my life where I could care less.

It's all about my family and the safety for that.

But do we all remember in the heated mayoral election, I know I do, the signs that were up all over the city that said, "Don't take the bait." It had a big fish swallowing a little fish and people came to this podium and they had stated that it's a scare tactic, it's the unions trying to keep their job.

The last council that sat here,

Mrs. Gatelli, Mr. McGoff, and Mrs. Fanucci

all said it's a scare tactic, don't listen

to it, it's not going to happen. What

happened? Now, all of a sudden, we are in

this position.

The people talked about the cameras before, \$250,000. Do you remember when that was in the paper? It was about two weeks before the last election when those -- when that was on the front page of the newspaper, that the mayor is getting all of these cameras? What was that for?

I have been very fortunate in my life never to have a fire in my house and

20

17

18

19

21

22

23

2425

everybody has been talking about fires. have had the unfortunate experience of having a flood in my neighborhood two weeks after I purchased my house, and the first people that came there to help me were the men and women of the Scranton Fire Department. At the same time, that I was protecting my property the Crisp Avenue Bridge gave way and the whole neighborhood behind me on Merrifield Avenue was flooded and people had to be rescued from their roof and do you know who did that rescue? Scranton Fire Department, and you know who was there for days upon days upon days getting that water out of Merrifield Avenue? It was the Scranton Fire Department. the mayor, not all of the people that work for him, but the Scranton Fire Department.

So everybody is talking about the statistics with the fire, these men and woman also respond to things like this. We are looking at now a possible flooding situation over the next couple of days, these men and women are going to be out there pumping your basements. We can't

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

afford to lose these people.

A few years ago I had a neighbor of mine that suffered a cardiac arrest and I did CPR on the gentleman for nearly 25 minutes and because the ambulance couldn't find the street and it was a big to-do and we -- I actually went with the family, we had meetings with the county and they literally revamped their whole response system so this never, ever happened again, and the fire department stepped forward at that time, Mr. Gervasi, and I sat at this table with them and they came forward and they said that they would volunteer and that they wanted to put together and they put it in writing a quick response system where the firemen would respond to situations like this within the city so this would never happen again.

Everybody was on board with it except for the mayor and he said, "Until I get the contract," or whatever the term he used, "it won't happen."

These people have always stepped forward when it comes time for the people of

this city to help them and to what they wanted to do. I firmly believe we should still have that quick response system within the city and assist people that need to be done.

We also then in turn got AED's to go inside of the police cars. We got a grant from Mr. Kanjorksi's Office, they are no longer there any more. All of this stuff happened for political reasons and it just falls on deaf ears.

Where, I'd also like to know, I went through the Christmas lights the other day and I would like to know where the money that's collected from those cars that come through there. Where that does money actually go? Do you know if that goes to the Recreation Authority, is it put in the budget. Do you have any idea?

MS. EVANS: I believe it goes to the Recreation Authority.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: To use on their pet projects? So you never see it, in other words, it's just the Recreation Authority's money?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 to know where the money went. 13 14 15 16 17 the \$52 tax? 18 MS. EVANS: 19 20 operating budget. 21 22 23 anything? 24 MS. EVANS: 25 it is to be designated for public safety.

MS. EVANS: I believe that's where it goes. I could be incorrect, but I recall having asked that question perhaps a year or two ago and that was the answer I received. MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: I was curious. When I went through the holiday display the other day and I give a donation and I said to the gentleman, "Here's some money, maybe you can hand it off to your boss and have him keep a firehouse open," and he didn't like that too much, but I was just curious Also, does the \$52 tax that comes out of your check go to towards the EMS or is that -- does that go to the budget? don't know where that goes. You remember It's in the -- it does appear as a line item in the city's MR. NEWCOMB: It is specially for public safety or can it be used for I believe that much of

2

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Well, I think 100 percent of it should be designated, I don't know if it is, towards public safety.

And my last two seconds, I'm not going to be here unless something should transpire for the rest of the year, but I want to thank this council for actually listening to the people and taking what they There's a lot of people out do forward. here, and I don't read the newspaper, but I have heard that they have said that you are the whatever council, you don't do things, you have in my opinion accomplished more, I know for my personally for things that needed to get done that any council that sat here before you, and I came to council since I was a junior in high school I had to do a paper on there and nobody ever listened to the people. Nobody. People came before here and they begged with city council not to pass the mayor's budget, nobody ever listened, so I would like to thank you for actually listening to the people and good luck because, boy, you have a big job of head of you over the next couple of weeks.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Charlie, you touched on the QRS, I mean, that's something we have to work on and stuff like that, but I'm a firm believer, and my belief is only enhanced by the action of a gentleman that's in this audience right now, Captain Roger Rogalevich, he responded from Engine 7 back to Keyser Valley, I think it was Merrifield and Price area, a young lady had fallen with her bicycle and the handlebar went right through her leg. She literally bled to If he didn't take it upon himself with his skills and his quick response, they got their prior to the ambulance and everything, I'm saying it and I don't know if I ever told him, he literally saved her life.

I actually went down with him to Bethlehem, the surgeons, they airlifted her. He called ahead a trauma alert a they happened to have a helicopter at the CMC at that time, but his experience and being able to call ahead they held that helicopter, flew her to Bethlehem, she was literally --

they didn't give her a chance down there, it was touch and go, and today she is walking around like nothing happened.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: If I may, I recall that very vivid, it's a block away from my house, and the same gentleman, we were involved in a very bad accident 18 months ago, and there is nothing worse than the feeling to be helpless when your kids and your wife are trapped in a car and you can't get them out. He responded on his own with Engine 7 because he knew the Rescue 1 was further away and he was literally there within two minutes to be able to ease the situation and help us.

So why would you want to change the way that these things are done? And they didn't get dispatched for that, they heard the call, they were there in two minutes and that's the type of services that the city needs to go through with.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And Captain

Rogalevich, I mean, he's a perfect example

of the firefighting crew that we have in

this city today and they're dedicated and

23

24

25

willing to do the extra work as long as -as well as the police department. Over the years, and you could see, he has a master's degree, there is degrees, from the time I was on the department years ago the schooling level has increased dramatically. There is a lot of highly intelligent people on these departments that have a lot of knowledge, a lot of experience and are willing to do whatever they can for the people of this city. That's something that hasn't been tapped into it and that's something I certainly will be looking into myself, and I think the public in this city deserve nothing less and these fine men and women are willing to do it, but someone keeps their thumb on them.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: They keep cutting their legs off, I know.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's all I have to say.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Newcomb, if I could briefly add, the EMS tax, technically, yes, the great portion of that should be used on public safety, it's listed as, you know,

1 everything else is general revenue items, however, the salaries of the police and 2 3 firefighters far exceed that tax, so technically, yes, the city could say that 4 it's all being used for public safety in 5 this matter. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. 8 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Jackie. Well, 9 Jack, these guys are doing a good job 10 downstairs. Every one of them are. I'm 11 proud of them all back there and they know 12 it, too. Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 14 cares to address city council? MS. CARRERA: FIFTH ORDER. 15 16 MOTIONS. 17 MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do 18 you have any comments or motions? 19 MR. MCGOFF: Nothing this evening. 20 Thank you. 21 MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan? 22 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I'll be very 23 I just wanted to share a few of the 24 highlights from the report that was given to 25 us tonight by the firefighters. If we look

at the location of the Armstrong School,
North Lincoln and Clearview Street, the
first due engine there would be no change in
response time. The second due engine would
take five minutes longer to respond. And,
you know, for the last few weeks I'm sure
all of us, except for Mr. Loscombe, learned
a lot about the fire department because he
already knew it, but we have learned that
the first truck that arrives only has a
limited amount of water, and it's very
important for the second truck to get there
and hook up the hoses and then move on with
extinguishing the fire and the search and
rescue.

And, you know, a five-minute difference, it may not sound like much if you are watching TV for five minutes, but when your house is burning for five minutes it could mean life or death. It could mean especially in a tight neighborhood like West Scranton and the Hill Section it could mean the difference between your neighbor's house catching on fire as well or if your neighbor's house is on fire your house they

are so close to one another.

The next case study on here is

Audubon School, 1801 Mulberry Street. The

first due engine would take approximately

six seconds longer, but the second due

engine would be three minutes and 24 seconds

additional.

1801 Mulberry Street, the Audubon School, in this scenario when Engine 4 is out of service the first due engine three minutes and 24 seconds longer, and the second due engine four minutes and 54 seconds longer.

1002 Albright Avenue, Bancroft
School, first due engine one minute and 42
seconds longer, second due engine one minute
and six seconds longer.

Kennedy School, Prospect and Saginaw Street, first due engine there would be no change, the second due engine that would be two minute and 54 second change, so three minutes longer.

McNicholl's Plaza School, the second engine again would be a minute later, and there is six of them listed on here, I'm not

going to read them all because I know it's been a long meeting and everyone else has to talk as well.

Fellow Street, West Scranton

Intermediate School, the second engine would
be a minute and 30 seconds longer again.

Scranton High School, 63 Munchak
Way, first engine two minutes and six
seconds longer, second engine one minute and
54 seconds longer.

The Scranton Times building, the first engine would be three minutes and six seconds longer to respond and the second engine would be one minute and six seconds longer to respond. You might want to get that one in the article, Josh.

Smallcomb Drive, Allied Services, the second engine would be two minutes and 48 seconds longer to respond, and Allied Services has senior citizens live there, people who have problems walking, problems getting around, so it's very important that we have a quick response.

Allied Services, if Engine 8 is out of service the first engine would be four

minutes and 12 seconds longer in response time and the second engine would be three minutes longer.

Now, I didn't read the whole study, obviously, because there is -- it's pretty thick and I would be here all night, but I look forward to attending the presentation at the high school and it just goes to show the importance of keeping these engine companies open and having the guys out there that are going to respond. It's not only fires, just like Mr. Loscombe said, you know, there is many other scenarios where we need our fire department.

You know, and also the police department. A family member of mine actually this past weekend had their purse stolen while at a restaurant and, you know, we need more guys on the street combatting crime.

And I just want to make a comment, a few people mentioned earlier today that it was the mistakes of the past is why we are in the financial shape we are in now, and I couldn't agree more. You know, if we look

back five years, ten years, 20 years, 30 years, small savings back then of a few thousand dollars a year, \$10,000 a year accumulated over 10, 20, 30 years would add up to a huge amount of money. We would be in less debt, we would have -- our budget would be smaller now and we wouldn't be in this predicament here where the mayor is trying to cut public safety. I was talking to Mr. Joyce during the week and we are going to sit down and continue to discuss our council's amendments.

And I have one citizen's request, it's the permit parking sign was not reinstalled on the 500 block of Quincy Avenue as he requested several months ago. He said there was were several cars parked there without parking permits and wanted the police to be notified to ticket the cars. He would also like the permit parking sign reinstalled.

So I have this here, would you please forward this to the chief and to Mr. Brazil as well? And that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Councilman Loscombe, any motions or

comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. I just have a couple of quick items here. Last week I had met with Kevin Murphy's chief of staff, Tom Tell, on a situation across from Weston Field regarding a worry about the new power lines that are going there, and there was this flyer going around the Providence area, if some of you have seen it or not, but Mr. Tell had given me a call when he received some calls from constituents regarding this, and I was happy to go down there and meet with some of the neighbors.

And let's see, who else was there,
Rich Beasley from PPL and some people that
owned I believe -- just purchased the
property across the road. There was a
concern, and rightly so when you see knew
power lines and stuff going in today because
of that major project from the Poconos,
however, it was explained to me by
Mr. Beasley that this was not at all a part

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of that. Today everyone worries about the EMF's, the electromagnetic fields that are put out my high tension wires and stuff.

In actuality, what they are doing is they are replacing some very old polls and wires that were put up in the 30's, and believe it or not, even wires get like water pipes the resistance builds up and the electricity doesn't travel through them as fast, so what they are doing is putting up new lines where the electricity will travel faster, no increase in voltage. They are actually going to be anywhere from 10 to 20 feet higher, the wooden polls than the existing polls, so any current electromagnetic fields that were on those old wires will actually be abated because they will be farther away from any of the areas.

They do have to put a couple of metal polls on the hill because of the slope to run the wire down, but any of the residential areas they will have the new wood poles and the new -- anyone in the area like Clearview Avenue and that have

experienced it already, so he said,

Mr. Beasley said everything went fine there.

Again, it's not as invasive as digging up

the street to put in sewers or gas lines or

water lines.

e-mail today actually, he said, "Jack, this is the letter that goes out to the residents prior to us doing any work in the neighborhood. Later this week we are running two ads that explain what we are doing and where, and he told me to call if he has any questions and also offered to come in to a caucus and explain to the people if there is any question beyond this, basically that's where we are at this point.

And the letter that all of the people in the affected areas are going to get, "Dear PPL electric utility customers, I'm writing to let you know about work we will doing in your area. Over the next three to four months PPL electric utilities working with his contractor, Asplundh Construction Corporation, will be upgrading aged power lines and poles in your

neighborhood.

The project will involve replacing older wood poles. The new poles will be 10 to 20 feet taller than the old poles. The increased height is necessary to comply with electrical codes, including those pertaining to grand clearance. Wires on the old poles will be replaced with new wires, but the line voltage will remain the same.

The existing lines were purchased by PPL Electric from the former Scranton Electric. They were built in the 1940's and have remained largely unchanged since then. The work is needed because this power line, much of it now 70 years old, is no longer adequate to serve the electric needs of our customers in the city and surrounding area. The project will ensure the customers in this area have reliable electric service and enough electricity to meet their anticipated demand fr power well into the future.

During the course of this project,
we will be make every effort to keep
disruptions to a minimum. Some brief power
outages may occur during normal working

hours when contractors transfer customers to the upgraded system. If you will be affected by one of those outages, we will come to your home and attempt to notify you prior to the outage. They also may be temporary parking restrictions in work areas. In the days before crews start work on your block, an informational door hanger will be placed on your front door. Please read it carefully and feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Thanks for your patience as we work to improve the system that delivers your power. Sincerely, Rich Beasley."

And this is going on right along
Weston Field right now, so I just wanted to
alleviate some fears on that issue.

I read in the paper this morning that Governor Rendell has vetoed the firefighter cancer bill that was pushed through by Representative Kevin Murphy who has done a lot of work on this here. It was modified, but with the help of Mayor Doherty and 19 other mayors sending a letter into Governor Rendell against this situation

Governor Rendell decided to veto it, and it's nothing different than a miner with black lung.

As a firefighter, I see many of my brothers that I worked with previously, many of them that are retired that have cancers and diseases that I have never seen so much in anybody. Every time they turn around one of my friends is being diagnosed with something. And it was limited to certain cancers which they feel related to fires.

You know, 60 years ago the fires in these properties were all wood. Today there is so many carcinogens and synthetic products in the structure and chemicals out there that these men are going through and it affects them down the road, and that's what this bill was pushed for, but in their distorted figures and everything they were able to convince this governor, who I don't believe has been a friend of labor anyway, to veto this, and I'm saying that from heart as a fellow firefighter, but if I wasn't I would still think they deserve the dignity for what they do in that situation.

And I mentioned the donations from

-- there was a letter to the editor the
other day from Watson Cramer, and he kindly,
him and so far he has got another donation
for us from Doris Chase, I actually met with
them earlier today, too, and they are trying
to get a fund together where they are
worried about their public safety, as
everyone else is, and rightfully so they are
right in the downtown in the high-rise and,
you know, there is a fear out there, and I
assured them that we are working diligently
on a budget that will try to give them the
best protection we possibly can.

And lastly, I'm not going to discuss the budget tonight, I'm saving my comments for next week when I'm sure I will have a bundle of comments on it, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Joyce, any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Just a few. First I'll start with some citizens' requests. This has been a recurring issue that has constantly come up, the whole issue of

Greenbush and Reese Street, and it's not the same North Scranton residents that inform me of this, it's quite a few. It's different ones every time and it's just a recurring problem, and if you are not familiar with the two streets, there are two streets, one goes up to the Career Technology Center, it's one-way, and the other one goes down to the Career Technology Center. There is many people there live on these streets, it's a well-traveled area.

Anyhow, the residents that I have spoken to informed me that this hasn't been touched in about 30-plus years. I know that council has sent various requests to Mr. Brazil, I don't believe this is one of the matters that he has responded to, so if you could please send a letter over to him and ask him if there is any plan in the near future to repair these two streets. And also, if not, please inquire back as to when repairs can be expected.

Also, a North Scranton resident has informed me that the property located at 2149 North Main Avenue is in very poor

condition and is not well-kept. The resident informs that this property has lead to an increase in rodent infestation in the neighborhood and also in their own personal home. Mrs. Krake, if you could please send a request to Mr. Seitzinger asking him to look into the situation.

Also, West Scranton residents have informed me that both 1401 and 1409 Price Street are in extremely poor shape, because of this residents have concerns about the value of their neighborhood as well as various rodent problems that I have heard of in that block. Mrs. Krake, if you could also add this to the letter to Mr. Seitzinger, these two locations.

Finally, a South Scranton resident has informed me that there are two condemned properties on the corner of the 100 block of Meadow Avenue where squatters are coming in and out. Also, the resident reports that they see suspicious activities happening at these properties on a regular basis.

Mrs. Krake, if you could please add this to the letter to Mr. Seitzinger and also

include a copy to Chief Duffy asking him to examine the situation.

Finally, I would like to publically thank Doris and David Chase for their generous donation to the City of Scranton for public safety, and also to Watson Cramer who is starting the collection of some donations to help out with the public safety costs.

I will be very brief tonight as far as the budget because I will have plenty to say next week, I could assure that. Anyhow, as you know, a few weeks ago council was handed a budget, a balanced budget, however, it was a budget that jeopardizes the public safety and welfare of the human beings that live in this city, kids, senior citizens, middle-aged people alike. It cuts public service, it lowers response times on a fires and it puts less cops on the streets.

I have been working tirelessly, I know that all of the other members of council have also been working tirelessly to generate solutions to help fix the problems that instituting this budget will eventually

occur if it's adopted, and next week we will present amendments to you about that and those amendments will have all of the considerations that we have heard from the public in mind.

So with that being said, that's all I have to say for tonight and next week we'll continue and amendments will be proposed. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

Good evening. First, I have read the report of the regional inspector general for audit Philadelphia region regarding the operations of the Scranton Office of Economic and Community Development provided to city council by Mr. Ozzie Quinn, president of the Scranton-Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association.

In addition, I have examined the 2009 and 2010 invoices of the UDAG account which contains payments for banquet program events, tables of the ten, at local dinner events and donations to ECTV, among other wasteful spending, all of which the knowledge -- or, excuse me, all of which

lack the knowledge and approval of the city council.

In fact, this re-re account appears to function as a personnel checkbook for the mayor and OECD when these monies can and should be used for necessary and important issues.

As a result, I will be taking the following action with the agreement of my honorable colleagues: First, the regional inspector general and HUD officials will be contacted earlier in the new year to attend a caucus to discuss the results of the 2010 audit of the CDBG program as well as the loan and UDAG issues.

Second, I would like to see

legislation drafted by council to require

the approval of any and all payment

dispersed from any and all UDAG accounts by

Scranton City Council. I will not approve

any new loans through the Office of Economic

and Community Development until such time as

council meets with the Inspector General's

Office and HUD representatives and the mayor

and his administrators will no longer have

the UDAG checkbook beginning 2011.

Next, council recently selected
Rossi & Company to perform the annual
independent audit of city council for the
fiscal years 2010 through 2013 amid a
divided council vote. The annual difference
of \$3,000 between Rossi & Sons and Rainey &
Rainey was weighed against the demonstrated
experience of the auditor and continued
cooperation with city council in an
environment of administrative and municipal
authority secrecy.

The following information was recently provided by the auditor and substantiates the wisdom in council's choice of Rossi & Company. According to the auditor, Mr. Renda borrowed approximately \$2.9 million from the worker's comp fund in 2009 and transferred it to the general fund without the knowledge and approval of Scranton City Council. Neither the auditor nor city council knows for what purpose this \$2.9 million was used in 2009.

When confronted with related questions from the city clerk during a PEL/

DCED meeting, Mr. Renda said that he didn't know if this transfer needed to come before city council. However, city council must approve and adopt an annual budget in which worker's comp is included and council must be informed of all transfers of funds through the fiscal year. He also claims to have returned the borrowed money to the worker's comp fund in 2010. However, since the 2010 audit has not yet begun, the auditor cannot determine currently what transpired in 2010 and from what accounts or sources the money was taken in 2010 to return into the worker's comp fund.

It is clear, nevertheless, that the administration did not want council to be aware of it's financial maneuverings, and only the auditor was able to determine this and report it to council even before the 2009 audit is completed and released.

Consequently, council's office has been in contact with the Department of Labor and Industry and financial institutions to determine the current dollar amount in the excess fund, the worker's comp fund, the

amount of funding required by law, and any possible over funding of this account.

In addition, to Mr. Renda's covert actions, equally troubling is the apparent involvement of PEL in DCED. When Mrs. Krake posed questions to Mr. Renda regarding the withdrawal funds from the comp fund, PEL and DCED officials remained silent. They showed no surprise and asked Mr. Renda no questions about the 2.9 million, neither for what purpose it was used nor when and from what accounts the money was returned.

I must question if the Pennsylvania

Economy League and the Department of

Community and Economic Development have been acting in the best interest of the City of Scranton and it's taxpayers.

I question if the City of Scranton has purposely remained distressed in order to strengthen Act 47 distressed city status and weaken Act 111, police fire and collective bargaining in the Pennsylvania Courts, and further, what would happen to the PEL team if Scranton lifted it's distressed status? They lose their jobs

here only to scout and encourage another city to adopt distressed status.

The 18-year failed leadership of PEL has taken on a new light. It's blessings on yearly borrowing, the 25.6 percent tax increase of 2007, expanding bureaucracy, and the debilitating Doherty debt seems almost logical and predictable now. PEL never stopped this mayor's mismanagement because it seems to have been working in concert with the mayor to keep us distressed.

DCED, comrade of PEL, then

determined to use our city as it's test case
in the Pennsylvania Courts to empower a

failed Act 47 and weaken unions statewide.

Although this theory has long been floated
locally, I endeavor to maintain an open mind
and a respect for PEL and DCED in the hope
that contracts would be settled fairly and
the distressed status would be lifted.

However, PEL's knowing and purposeful silence regarding the hidden borrowing of city money lends such credence that what was once a theory now appears to be a very harsh reality.

Furthermore, the Pennsylvania

Economy League proposes debt refinancing for the 2012 budget, but it fails to recommend the same refinancing in this budget which could have helped prevent the proposed layoffs of 69 employees.

Consequently, I have no faith or confidence remaining in our PEL team and our DCED representative, both of whom are blatantly aligned with the Doherty administration, profess pride in wasteful Court cases, and have lost any modicum of objectivity in their actions.

In fact, as we learned over a month ago, DCED stood in the way of a 2008 contract settlement with the police and fire unions that would have saved this city a significant sum of money and instead took us by the hand back into the Court system.

Neither entity serves for the benefit of our city and it's residents and this unacceptable state of the affairs will be addressed by council in the new year as well.

I would like to take a moment next

to recognize and thank Doris and David
Chase, residents of Adams high-rise, for
their \$20 contribution to the public safety
manpower initiative. I would also like to
thank Mr. Watson H. Cramer, Jr. He, too, is
a resident of the Adams high-rise and he has
turned these donations as a public safety
manpower initiative.

Now, the Chase's donation represents a portion of the Chase's small monthly income, and because they are renters rather than homeowners they wish to do their part to fund public safety and prevent the crippling cuts proposed by Mayor Doherty which jeopardize the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Scranton.

Scranton City Council is deeply grateful for their meaningful and generous donation. Mr. Watson -- or, excuse me, Mr. Cramer actually wrote a letter to me, which I received tonight in my council mail, indicating that he will be making a donation of \$50 to the city later this week for the public safety manpower initiative, and I thank all of these individuals who are

concerned enough about their neighbors and about this city to take from their very small and fixed incomes in order to do their part to maintain public safety within the City of Scranton, and I think that is probably one of the greatest gifts that I have seen during my time on council.

Finally, I have a few updates regarding the citizens' requests from DPW Director Brazil. The walk light located near the Guild Studio has been repaired and the "No Left Turn" sign will be removed.

Mr. Brazil also states that the paving program has ended for the year, but Dexter Avenue and the 300 block of Cayuga Street will be placed on the 2011 paving list.

Lastly, the aerial proximity warning devices, and again, I don't believe we have the proper terminology for these pieces of equipment, are in the possession of the tree crew and Gene Reed, both of whom utilize the bucket truck daily, and that's it.

MS. CARRERA: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF A FIVE MILLION

1	(\$5,000,000.00)DOLLAR PRINCIPAL AMOUNT, TAX
2	AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE OF THE CITY OF
3	SCRANTON KNOWN AS TAN SERIES A, AWARDED TO
4	PENN SECURITY BANK AND TRUST COMPANY;
5	DETERMINING THE FORM AND TERM OF SAID NOTE;
6	AWARDING SAID NOTE; AUTHORIZING AND
7	DIRECTING THE FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS;
8	AND DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF THE
9	CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ANY AND ALL
10	OTHER ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED IN
11	CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID NOTE.
12	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
13	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
14	introduced into it's proper committee.
15	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
16	MR. JOYCE: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
18	those in favor of introduction signify by
19	saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE

ISSUANCE AND SALE OF A NINE AND ONE HALF 2 MILLION (9,500,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL 3 AMOUNT. TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE OF 4 THE CITY OF SCRANTON KNOWN AS TAN SERIES B, 5 AWARDED TO THE FIDELITY DEPOSIT AND DISCOUNT 6 BANK; DETERMINING THE FORM AND TERM OF SAID 7 8 NOTE; AWARDING SAID NOTE; AUTHORIZING AND 9 DIRECTING THE FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AND DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF THE 10 CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ANY AND ALL OTHER 11 12 ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID NOTE. 13 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 15 16 introduced into it's proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE:

Aye.

1

25

1	MS EVANS: Ava Opposed? The avec
	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. CARRERA: 5-D. AUTHORIZING THE
4	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
5	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A
6	LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE
7	COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN
8	PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.30 IN AN AMOUNT
9	NOT TO EXCEED \$89,500.00 TO AUTO BODY PLUS,
10	LLC TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
11	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
12	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
13	introduced into it's proper committee.
14	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, I
17	would like to make a motion to table 5-D at
18	this time.
19	MS. EVANS: We have motion on the
20	floor, do we have a second?
21	MR. JOYCE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question?
23	MR. MCGOFF: Purpose of tabling it?
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, a couple of
25	reasons, I think Mrs. Evans alluded to it in

her comments, based on recent audits from the OECD and, I mean, personally I don't know who these people are that are getting the loan, it has nothing to do with them, but I think we have to look over every loan at this point and every grant to make sure every "I is dotted and every "T" is crossed or we may lose more funding.

MS. EVANS: As I might add, I discussed earlier tonight I won't approve any new loans through the Office of Economic and Community Development until city council is in receipt of a clean bill of health for OECD from HUD and the regional inspector again of audits.

MR. MCGOFF: I understand the concern that council may have over the audit that was conducted at OECD, however, I don't think that it's at this time in our economic distress that we are in, I don't think that this is the time to stop development. This is a something that would create three new jobs, I realize that that's not a lot, but it's still --it's still economic development and I think that to stagnate that would be a

disservice.

MS. EVANS: And I can see your point, three jobs are very important in this economy and that is why I believe council's wish could possibly be to table this rather than voting it down. It's not a matter of trying to hold up progress or anyone's ability to move forward with businesses in this city, but because there are so many very valid issues that require examination within the Office of OECD, I think it is prudent for us to temporarily set aside any forward movement until we can clear up what has been occurring over the last year or two in the office.

MR. ROGAN: I would actually agree a little bit with each of you. You know, why not just move it along this week, have another week to look at it, and then if we still have the concerns table it in Sixth Order next week?

MS. EVANS: We won't have any answers by next week because what we intend to do is bring in the gentleman from the Office of Inspector General of Audits and

also to bring in HUD representatives to speak with us about what has been occurring in OECD, how it's being addressed and what the city needs to do to insure that. If the funding is accounted for that the programs are being monitored and managed correctly and most of all that they are not going to ever have to repay \$11 million.

MR. ROGAN: Well, I definitely agree and I think we all do about the a lot of the problems in that office, we have all read about it, but as Mr. McGoff said, I just don't think simply not lending out any money is the solution in these economic times, and we saw at the end of 2008 into 2009 one of the biggest problems in the economy was that banks weren't lending to small businesses and, you know, small businesses to get started up they need assistance.

And I don't know all of the facts on this case to be honest with you, but I think we should give it a chance, let it go to next week and if we still have concerns we can table it next week. Just like the budget, you know, I don't think any of us up

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

•

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here support the mayor's budget, but we have to vote it to next week as a procedural vote. So, you know, obviously, we -- I personally need more information.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question?

I will say this, I MR. JOYCE: personally don't feel comfortable with just moving it along especially, you know, I do agree that we need to be lending out money in certain circumstances to qualified small businesses, but because of the some of the procedures followed in the past, and I know you have read off a list of businesses that have gone bad on loans, so I think that concerns that Mr. Loscombe and Mrs. Evans have are quite valid in this circumstance and if we get the information that Councilman Loscombe originally requested or that he wants to see we would certainly have no problem with possibly suspending the rules in the future I'm sure to move it along if it's something that needs to be approved quickly.

MR. ROGAN: Well, I strongly believe

1

before we make any loan we have to make sure everything is squared away, and the bad loans that were made in the past I know myself and those of us we had nothing to do with them, you know, I believe we had a different chairperson of economic and community development and different members on council, but moving it to next week isn't going to harm anything. We have to vote for a budget that every one of us completely disagrees against us and received a procedural vote to move it along. all I'm saying is tabling it. We had a piece of legislation that's been of an appointment that's been tabled for almost two months now.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of tabling Item 5-D, please signify by saying aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so

20

18

19

21

_

22

23

24

25

moved.

MS. CARRERA: 5-E. APPOINTMENT OF
THOMAS PREAMBO, 510 DEAN STREET, SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A MEMBER OF THE CITY
PLANNING COMMISSION FOR AN ADDITIONAL FOUR
(4) YEAR TERM. MR. PREAMBO'S TERM EXPIRES ON
DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL
EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2015.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. I would like to thank Mr. Preambo for sending in a resume. I'm sure everyone received it in their mailbox.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: And I would hope that
Mr. Richardson and Mr. Hayes and Ms. Randol
will do the same. I will vote "yes" on
those three next week as we have in the past
to move it to Sixth Order and if their
resumes are not submitted I will note "no."

1 MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question? 2 3 MR. JOYCE: Yes. I, too, would also like to thank Mr. Preambo for sending in his 4 I do not know this individual 5 resume. personally, but after reading about some of 6 7 their interests and credentials I will say 8 that this is very well-qualified person and 9 I am glad to vote "yes." MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. I do know Tom 10 11 personally, and I didn't have to look at his 12 resume, although it's required. I do know 13 he is qualified. 14 MS. EVANS: All those in favor of 15 introduction signify by saying aye. 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 21 MS. CARRERA: 5-F. APPOINTMENT OF 22 23 JERRY RICHARDSON, 829 CAPOUSE AVENUE, 24 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A MEMBER OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION FOR AN 25

1	ADDITIONAL FOUR (4) YEAR TERM. MR.
2	RICHARDSON'S TERM EXPIRES ON DECEMBER 31,
3	2010 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON
4	DECEMBER 31, 2015.
5	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
7	introduced into it's proper committee.
8	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor of introduction signify by
12	saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
16	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved.
19	MS. CARRERA: 5-G. APPOINTMENT OF
20	RAYMOND HAYES, 2637 CEDAR AVENUE, SCRANTON,
21	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, TO THE BOARD OF THE
22	SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY. MR. HAYES WILL BE
23	REPLACING FRANCIS NOONEY, WHOSE TERM EXPIRES
24	ON DECEMBER 31, 2010. MR. HAYES' TERM WILL
25	EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2015.

1 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-G be 2 3 introduced into it's proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 4 MR. JOYCE: Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 6 those in favor of introduction signify by 7 8 saying aye. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 13 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 14 have it and so moved. MS. CARRERA: 5-H. APPOINTMENT OF 15 16 ELIZABETH RANDOL, 622 SOUTH WEBSTER AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS A 17 18 MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY. MS. RANDOL WILL BE REPLACING LEO 19 VERGNETTI WHOSE TERM EXPIRES ON DECEMBER 20 21 31, 2010. MS. RANDOLS' TERM WILL EXPIRE ON 22 DECEMBER 31, 2015. 23 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-G be 25 introduced into it's proper committee.

	127
1	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
2	MR. JOYCE: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
4	those in favor of introduction signify by
5	saying aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
9	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
11	have it and so moved.
12	MS. CARRERA: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
13	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 49
14	2010 - AN ORDINANCE - REPEALING FILE OF THE
15	COUNCIL NO.82, 2007 ENTITLED "APPROVING
16	PENALTY, INTEREST AND FEE SCHEDULE
17	FOR COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE
18	TAXES".
19	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
20	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
21	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
22	pass reading by title.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
25	those in favor signify by saying aye.
	II

MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 1 MR. ROGAN: 2 Aye. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: 4 Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: 6-B. <u>READING BY TITLE-</u> 8 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 50 2010 - AN ORDINANCE -9 APPROVING PENALTY, INTEREST AND FEE SCHEDULE FOR COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE 10 11 TAXES AND REFUSE FEES. 12 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 13 title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure. 14 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 15 pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: 16 Second. 17 MS. EVANS: On the question? As I 18 noted last week, city council continues to 19 research Items 6-C and 6-D and both may be amended or voted down in Seventh Order next 20 21 week. Anyone else on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 23 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MS. CARRERA: 6-C. <u>READING BY TITLE</u>
5	<u>- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 51 2010</u> - AN
6	ORDINANCE - APPROVING FEE SCHEDULE FOR
7	DELINQUENT TAX SEARCHES, DELINQUENT AND
8	CURRENT REFUSE SEARCHES, AND
9	LIEN/CONDEMNATION SEARCHES.
10	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
11	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
12	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
13	pass reading by title.
14	MR. JOYCE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. CARRERA: 6-D. <u>READING BY TITLE</u>
24	<u>- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52 2010</u> - AN ORDINANCE
25	- APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF

THE

CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2011 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2011 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2011.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D pass reading by title.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. I know our plan is for the amendments to be made next week, my only concern was if we wait until after citizens' participation to make amendments then the public that was here wouldn't have a chance to comment on council's amendments, so I would request either that, Mr. Joyce, if you would like last time when we amended the mayor's budget I know you did a very nice presentation at the beginning of the meeting -- or opening up public comment again for after the amendments are put in.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Sure. I'm in agreement with that.

MR. ROGAN: Great.

MS. EVANS: I might add though that despite I think many of the comments tonight by my honorable colleagues, council's amendments to the mayor's proposed budgets may not be ready for the December 7 meeting, may actually be presented on December 14 due to the fact that city council continues to work on the budget not only potential cuts that are occurring and reinstatements of positions, but also potential revenue sources so that council proceed at best as it possibly can under these very unusual and difficult challenges, we will perhaps give ourselves an extra week.

MR. ROGAN: Whether it's next week or the week after just so the public will have a chance to address council before the final vote. And I understand every time I go through the budget I find something new. It's a very long document.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And I believe that all

1	of us will be voting "yes" to this
2	legislation only so that it can proceed to
3	Seventh Order at which time it will, indeed,
4	be amended by city council. Anyone else on
5	the question? All those in favor signify by
6	saying aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
12	have it and so moved.
13	MS. CARRERA: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
14	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
15	FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
16	47, 2010 - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL
17	CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229596 ENTITLED "COMCAST
18	EG GRANT" FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT
19	0F
20	GRANT FUNDS AVAILABLE TO THE CITY OF
21	SCRANTON THROUGH THE RENEWAL OF THE CABLE
22	FRANCHISE AGREEMENT WITH COMCAST.
23	MS. EVANS: What is the
24	recommendation of the Chair for the
25	Committee on Finance?

100
MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
Committee on Finance, I recommend final
passage of Item 7-A.
MR. ROGAN: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
call, please?
MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
MR. JOYCE: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
MS. CARRERA: 7-B. <u>FOR</u>
CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -
FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 48, 2010
(AS AMENDED) - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
AND ENTER INTO A CABLE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND COMCAST OF
COLORADO/PENNSYLVANIA/WEST VIRGINIA, LLC.

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I really do want to see this get passed. I just wanted to ask do we have any assurance that Comcast is going to agree to this with the changes that we have made?

MS. EVANS: I think that Comcast and likely Attorney Cohen will have to discuss the changes because what council has done through it's amendment is to prevent the authorization and adoption of the contract as submitted until such time as the amendments have been included into the contract, meaning, the lowering from 15 to 10 years and maintaining two stations.

MR. MCGOFF: So we don't know if Comcast is agreeable to this or not?

MS. EVANS: No, at this point we do not.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Is there anyone else on the question? Roll call,

	133
1	please.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
5	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
9	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
12	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
13	MS. CARRERA: 7-C. <u>FOR</u>
14	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY
15	<u> DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO.</u>
16	<u>51, 2010 -</u>
17	ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE
18	HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD
19	("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF
20	APPROPRIATENESS FOR REEVES AWNINGS, 623
21	LINCOLN AVENUE, JERMYN, PENNSYLVANIA, FOR
22	TWO TRADITIONAL STYLE 9'+ 4" AWNINGS WITHOUT
23	SIDES AND WITHOUT VALANCE INSTALLED OVER
24	WINDOWS AT 410-412 SPRUCE STREET, SCRANTON,
25	PENNSYLVANIA.

	130
1	MS. EVANS: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chair for the
3	Committee on Community Development?
4	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Community Development, I
6	recommend final passage of Item 7-C.
7	MR. JOYCE: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. CARRERA: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
23	RESOLUTION NO. 52, 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE
24	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
25	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES WITH PENNSYLVANIA
CLAIMS SERVICES, INC. FOR THE THIRD PARTY
ADMINISTRATION OF THE CITY'S WORKERS'
COMPENSATION CLAIMS.

MR. LOSCOMBE: At this time I would like to make a motion to table Item 7-D.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion to table Item 7-D on the floor, is there a second?

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Again, reason?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Reason being you actually mentioned last week you wanted to know if this was the lowest responsible bidder and as we have tried to do some research, I know it was a short week with Thanksgiving and that, the people who were out of town today I guess in Harrisburg we still haven't gotten a response from some of the questions regarding that issue, so basically that's the only -- the only question I have at this time and I know there was some other things that were brought up.

24

25

1

2

3

4

MS. EVANS: I think I would be in agreement with Mr. Loscombe, we haven't been able to determine if this is, indeed, the lowest responsible bid. However, to ensure that the city is covered I did learn that the previous third party Administrator's contract expires October 1, however, they have been working for the city on a month-to-month basis since then until such time as the city selects and approves a company for a new contract, so the city worker's comp issue is covered. Anyone else on the question? This is a vote on the motion to table Item 7-D. All those in favor of tabling Item 7-D signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

If there is nothing further, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.

ability.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER