	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	HELD:
8	Tuesday, November 23, 2010
9	
10	
11	
12	LOCATION:
13	Council Chambers
14	Scranton City Hall
15	340 North Washington Avenue
16	Scranton, Pennsylvania
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	AMELIA NICOL, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

	_
1	<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL</u> :
2	
3	JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT
4	PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT
5	ROBERT MCGOFF
6	FRANK JOYCE
7	JOHN LOSCOMBE
8	NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK
9	CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
0	BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR
11	
2	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Pledge of Allegiance) MS. EVANS: Please remain seated 2 3 for a moment of reflection for our 4 servicemen and women throughout the world and for all those who have died 5 6 in our community over the last week, 7 particularly Cecelia Carrera, aunt of 8 our assistant city clerk, Cathy 9 Carrera, and her dear family and many 10 friends she leaves behind. 11 (Moment of reflection observed.) 12 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 13 14 (No response.) 15 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Here. 16 17 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 19 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 20 MR. JOYCE: Here. 21 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. 22 Evans. 23 MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with 24 the reading of the minutes. MINUTES OF THE 25 MS. KRAKE: 3A.

1	PROPERTY REVIEW COMMITTEE
2	MEETING HELD SEPTEMBER 23, 2010.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any
4	comments?
5	If not, received and filed.
6	MS. KRAKE: 3B. MINUTES OF THE
7	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION
8	COMMISSION MEETING HELD OCTOBER 27,
9	2010.
10	MS. EVANS: Are there any
11	comments?
12	If not, received and filed.
13	MS. KRAKE: 3C. MINUTES OF THE
14	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION
15	MEETING HELD OCTOBER 27, 2010.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any
17	comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3D. MINUTES OF THE
20	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD
21	OCTOBER 27, 2010.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any
23	comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3E. MINUTES OF THE

NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION FUND 1 2 HELD OCTOBER 27, 2010. 3 MS. EVANS: Are there any 4 comments? 5 If not, received and filed. 6 Clerk notes? MS. KRAKE: We do not have 7 8 clerk's notes this evening. 9 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. 10 Krake. Do any council members have 11 comments at this time? 12 Mr. Rogan. 13 MR. ROGAN: Yes, just one. Help 14 us help Walter Little in his battle 15 against diabetes. Wally has played basketball his whole life from Tech 16 High School, Class of 1972, to Holy 17 18 Cross League, Boys Club. Since 1987 19 Wally has been solely playing defense 20 against the silent killer, diabetes. 21 He's a true inspiration to all of us 22 who know and love him. Please join us 23 in making an effort to defray his 24 medical cost from this crippling 25 disease. The benefit will be held

Saturday, November 27th, 5 o'clock to 8 o'clock at Rocky's on Jefferson Avenue. Donations are \$20 and refreshments will be served. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: That was the same one that I had. That's all I had.

MR. EVANS: Mr. Joyce, any comments?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. The 9th Annual O'Malley Free Christmas Party for Children form preschool to fifth grade will be held at Keyser Valley Community Center on December 12th from one to 3 p.m. Festivities include Santa photos, pizza from Goodfellas, a coupon from McDonald's on Keyser Avenue, candy canes, and a children's meal from the Texas Roadhouse. Please make reservations by December 9th by calling 346-1828 and leave a message with the number of children that will be attending.

Also, the Knights of Columbus

will hold an all you can eat Santa breakfast on Sunday, this Sunday from 10 a.m. till 1 p.m. at the Holy Rosary School Auditorium in North Scranton. Tickets are \$6 for adult and \$3 for children, three to ten. Children, two and under, they're free. Photos with Santa will also be available and that's all.

MS. EVANS: As a result -- or I should say really it appears we have numerous speakers this evening, and as a result, I ask that you adhere to the five-minute time limit in order that all speakers may be heard by city council.

Sunday for Sandy, a benefit to raise funds for Sandy Marino, a first grade teacher at Prescott School will be held this Sunday, November 28th, at the Tripp Park Community Center from two to 6 p.m. Tickets are \$10 and are available at all Scranton schools and at the door.

Although reports of the local,

24

25

state and national economies signal slow recoveries and ongoing financial problems bring concern, particularly here in Scranton, we have much for which we can be grateful, the love and strength of family, the loyalty and support of good friends, the freedoms enjoyed in daily life and the goodness inherent in our neighbors and in each one of us. Amid this season of Santa parades, shopping, decorating and celebrating, it's easy to forget to give thanks for most of what we still have and to share what we have abundant or meager with others who have greater need and less good fortune. Too often we ask for what we want rather than what is needed, and when it is received, we forget to give thanks and we move onto our next Throughout my service on city issue. council I've learned a priceless and simple lesson. There is no greater gift that can be given or received than to help others who are in need,

whether it be multitudes or just one person. I thank you all for the many gifts you have given me; your faith, your confidence and your support and I am very grateful to have been elected to serve and represent you. I wish you all a very happy, blessed and safe Thanksgiving.

MS. KRAKE: Fourth order, citizens participation.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is John Judge.

MR. JUDGE: Good evening, city council. My name is John Judge. I am the secretary with IFF, Local 60, Scranton firefighters and obviously a city resident.

I was here last week and spoke to you briefly, about five minutes on the proposed cuts of the Fire Department by Mayor Doherty, and last week I told you that we could start investigating how this is going to play out as far as what it's going to mean for public safety within the City of Scranton.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Over the last week several of my brothers have started to gather numbers, response times and start to plug into some different data bases, what it's effectively going to mean for the public safety in the City of Scranton. And I brought some numbers tonight and while we're still in the process of developing a comprehensive packet for city council and we're going to give it to the mayor as well and the school board, it's not finished at this point but it will be by next week and we'll present that to you hopefully beforehand so you have the ability to look it over then and if you have any questions we'll be more than happy to answer.

20 at, however, with 27 positions that
21 are being cut by Mayor Doherty in this
22 2011 budget, it seems like they're

going by numbers so what I did is I

Some of the numbers I did look

brought some numbers here tonight.

And the first number is \$401,000.

25

23

24

24

25

That number represents the amount of money that city has spent to train the 27 firefighters to get ready to take off the payroll here and are not going to be able to respond to emergencies within the city. The city firefighters attend a comprehensive training program at the Harrisburg Area Community College for eight weeks. They're brought back here, they go to the Emergency Medical Technician School, 160 hour school and then they're trained continuously. Our training is constant. We trained doing high-rise classes downtown which is ironic. This was something that was scheduled well before any of these cuts were going to be -- were told to We're downtown in a downtown business district where most of our high rises are doing training how to effectively suppress fires in those buildings. Mayor Doherty came out this week and he finally said, yes, this is going to effect response times

24

25

in the City of Scranton. But he said safety will be maintained. It will be the same level of safety will be maintained. It will more safety. What he fails to tell you is who is going to be operating more safety is us by putting three people on a piece, that's who is going to be operating more safely. We have two on some of our outlining engines and I will bump to three -- effectively the three engine companies -- the three companies that are going to close will no longer be there to assist us. of the things we're trying to do is get actual scenarios that have happened and give the times so that you guys will know what those times are going to mean, those difference in response time. I can tell you just, for instance, today I was working today on a day shift. I was working for a rescue company that is located Wyoming Avenue which he is proposing to close. We had a rollover accident

24

25

on Interstate 81 up in between the Dickson City exists, between the mall and Main Avenue. It came as a bad accident, we requested additional manpower which Engine 8 brought us two more people. We were on the road 30 seconds and a box alarm came in for a business, I believe, it might have been a business or school in the 200 block of West Market Street. So the engine that was going to be there, that would have been the first was Engine 8 -- well, Engine 8 was coming with us on this accident with injuries. The next three pieces of equipment, do you know which ones they were? All three pieces that the mayor is proposing to close. Engine 4, Engine 9 and truck four were the three pieces of equipment that would be responding to the 200 block of West Market Avenue. That's one of the problems we have here. It's a fundamental change in the way we operate and we're getting no direction

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the supposedly leadership in this city. It's a week has gone by since he's put this budget out and still we have nothing. The way we operate now in a second alarm fire, we would have all the engines with the exception of one engine to respond. That engine effectively stays in the city, stays in service, covers any additional alarms, and we wait for recall of our membership. That's no longer. You get a working house fire here, we're going to be taxed to our limit. Our one truck only that's going to be running out of headquarters, it's not going to the make it in some of the streets and the city residence. This is a larger truck that is designed for operation in the downtown area. truck over on the other side of the rive, truck four which he's proposing to the close is specifically designed for North Scranton and West Side.

We will have these numbers for you by next week. We'll get them to

you, and we're going to be out there as well. We're out there right now, downtown business district and we'll be down there throughout this. The only thing that we have is knowledge and we're going to pass that on everybody so that you guys can make an informed decision.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Judge.
Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
City of Scranton, fellow Scrantonian.
We wouldn't have all these debates if
it wasn't that the city was in a bad
financial state. For eight years -well, probably closer to ten years,
I've been coming before council and
screaming that the sky is falling.
You know, I felt that sky gets closer
and closer to falling. I'm not going
to get into anything with you unions
because I'm a union person too, a 100
percent, but we have to balance the
financial status of the city versus
the amount we can pay out. I don't

25

know how you're going to do that because how do you put a value on someone's life or property. But you're going to have to do it. I hope you're as good as some of them people in the old days Solomon who he had all that knowledge or you're going be stuck with it. Now it's the budget that's creating the problem. We all know this, the financial status of the city. The parking authority is notorious. There's all kinds of problems there. I didn't see the audit on the parking authority. I don't know if you did see the audit but somewhere along the line, I don't believe they're going to come up with the money to pay off their bonds again. That means they'll have to borrow again. I looked at some of the things from the mayor's budget, their parking revenue, they estimated it to be 1,440,000 but yet they only collected this for nine months, 997,780,000 is what they collect,

25

almost half. I don't understand that because I was told they got all kinds of money out of those parking meters. Speaking of parking meters, all the -well, you see it. They took the parking meters from the university that were on that street there. They decided that it was detracting from the U. So we lost that revenue. And in doing that down there, it's no longer -- I guess it's General Dynamics now, they stuck them there. They haven't generated I don't think a dime and I don't think they ever will. And I don't blame the people for doing it. You know, you've got meters there, you're making X amount of dollars and the city wants \$40 -- at least \$40 for working per day. Yeah, it's eight hours -- no, it's not that much. That's per week. It's a quarter -- I think a dollar an hour So it's eight dollars per day and five days is \$40. I don't know why they did that, because we're

24

25

already in it for probably for a lot of money. A lot of the people that work there are Scrantonians. Thev're people that work that is paying all these other bills, plus even the people out of town, I think they're paying \$52 bucks and that service fee and they have to pay that. So I don't know what you're going to do. My best bet is change the way you regulate taxes. Place a fee on it for Fire Department, for the Police Department and some of the other departments because I read they're doing it that somewhere because somebody had a fire and the firemen refused to go because they didn't pay their tax on it. And I think that gives you your solution. Because instead of crying for these nonprofits, they would have to pay. And that's really what you want. You want to broaden the tax base and I couldn't understand all that \$11 million till I couldn't see some of them down for 29. That's

ridiculous. Somebody in that 1 2 department should be really shaken up. 3 You should have an accurate report on 4 delinquency at all times, not from 5 1929 or 1913. That's how you come up 6 with how much money you're going to 7 get if you have delinquency like that. 8 I don't know what the answer is to 9 that but somewhere along the line 10 you've got to put your foot on his 11 neck and say bring it up-to-date so we 12 can understand it at any time, and I'm 13 really -- I wish you luck on your 14 dilemma. I don't know how I would do 15 I would have to go back and read 16 Solomon again and see if he would give 17 me the answer. Thank you. 18 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. 19 Sbaraglia. Ozzie Quinn? 20 MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie Quinn, president of the Scranton 21 22 Taxpayers Association. 23 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 24 MR. QUINN: Last Thursday evening 25 the Scranton Lackawanna Taxpayer's

24

25

Association voted unanimously to support retention of all police and firefighters and all stations, engines and trucks. This was based on what we felt was the response time even though we are working on the study now, we also know from past experience emergencies and lack of manpower. So we wish them a lot of luck. To put it in prospective. If a child was born the first week of January in 2002, Mayor Doherty took office, that child would be next January, first week, That's the last time ten-vears-old. they received a pay increase. imagine that, their families. That's unbelievable. A child could be the third, fourth grade and nothing. And yet the mayor has spent millions upon millions from taxes, mercantile taxes, property taxes, wage taxes and fines. And the good old as Mrs. Evans calls it Doherty debt. We're about 148 million -- I think you got the audit debt, did you?

MS. EVANS: Not yet.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. QUINN: Well, you know, where did all that money go and yet these gentlemen, these firefighters and police didn't get a raise and now they come up with an award and where are we going to raise the ten million. Well, Mr. Doherty should have thought about that when he was doing that refinancing and the loan meeting. You know, Mr. Connors left office on December 31st of 2001, he had a surplus of 2.1 million dollars in the operating budget. Mr. Doherty is going to be looking at probably a five million deficit in 200011 unless you people can come up with some magic here. Also at that period of time, 2001, we were \$30 million in long term debt. As I said, now we're 148 million dollars in long term debt. Ι mean, this mayor and this administration has been nothing but harmful to the firefighters, the police and the City of Scranton. A11

he cared about and spent millions, mind you, without contracts to Nay Aug park contractors, professional contracts, lawyers, forget it, and we don't forget, the taxpayers. We're the ones that end up with the burden. So I ask you, please, as Andy said, I hope you can do something about it because these guys and these women, you know, their child might ten-years-old and they still haven't got a raise. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
Scranton resident, member of the
Taxpayer Association.

Oral deposition of Mayor

Christopher A. Doherty, dated on the

14th of September, 2010. This was in

regards to the federal lawsuit that

was filed by the two female Scranton

police officers which, by the way,

they won and costing the taxpayers

\$153,000. "Christopher A. Doherty,

having been first duly sworn was

1	examined and testified as follows:
2	Question: Have you ever read the
3	lawsuit in this case, Mayor Doherty?"
4	Mayor Doherty's answer: "No, I
5	have not.
6	Question: So you don't know what
7	this lawsuit is about?"
8	Mayor Doherty's answer: "Well, I
9	know. I've read in the papers about
10	suing the city.
11	Question: So you've never
12	actually taken the time to read the
13	legal pleadings in this case?"
14	Mayor Doherty's answer: "That's
15	correct.
16	Question: First of all, can you
17	tell mean, please, what your official
18	position is with the City of
19	Scranton?"
20	Mayor Doherty's answer: "I'm the
21	mayor of the City of Scranton.
22	Question: How long have you been
23	the mayor?"
24	Mayor Doherty's answer: "Roughly
25	eight and a half years.

1 Question: When you did you first 2 become mayor?" 3 Mayor Doherty's answer: "January, 4 2010. Question: Have you ever had any 5 6 training or education in sexual 7 harassment?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "No, I 8 9 have not. 10 Question: Have you ever sought 11 any training or education in sexual harassment? 12 13 Mayor Doherty's answer: "No, I 14 have not. 15 Question: Could you tell me, 16 please, what your responsibility as the city's mayor are as you understand 17 it?" 18 19 Mayor Doherty's answer: "I'm the 20 elected leader of the city. I serve 21 for a period of time and it's my 22 charge to run the City of Scranton. 23 I'm responsible for the day to day 24 operation of the city, make sure 25 services are provided to the people of

1 our city and make sure that they're 2 provided in a timely manner and 3 hopefully provide a vision for the 4 city and to, you know, improve the 5 city during the time that I am the 6 mayor. Question: So you're the chief 7 8 executive officer of the city? 9 Mayor Doherty's answer: "Yes, I 10 am. 11 Question: And in the course of 12 performing your duties, you delegate 13 any duties?" 14 Mayor Doherty's answer: "Yes, I 15 have my department heads and they run 16 their different departments. 17 Question: And in the delegation 18 of these department heads, are they 19 made in writing or is it orally?" 20 Mayor Doherty's answer: "Orally. 21 Question: Let me just state and 22 you reach agreement on some people 23 whose names are going to pop up in the 24 course of these proceedings. Lisa Moran?" 25

the Director of Human Resources. Question: How long to the best of your knowledge has she held that position?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "That period that I've been in office so eight and a half years. Question: And do you know what her educational background was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Well I	1	Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's
of your knowledge has she held that position?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "That period that I've been in office so eight and a half years. Question: And do you know what her educational background was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	2	the Director of Human Resources.
position?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "That period that I've been in office so eight and a half years. Question: And do you know what her educational background was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	3	Question: How long to the best
Mayor Doherty's answer: "That period that I've been in office so eight and a half years. Question: And do you know what her educational background was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	4	of your knowledge has she held that
period that I've been in office so eight and a half years. Question: And do you know what her educational background was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	5	position?"
eight and a half years. Question: And do you know what her educational background was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	6	Mayor Doherty's answer: "That
Question: And do you know what her educational background was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	7	period that I've been in office so
her educational background was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	8	eight and a half years.
Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	9	Question: And do you know what
college graduate. I couldn't tell you what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	10	her educational background was?"
what college she went to. Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	11	Mayor Doherty's answer: "She's a
Question: You know what degree she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	12	college graduate. I couldn't tell you
she held? Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	13	what college she went to.
Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	14	Question: You know what degree
not, no. Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	15	she held?
Question: Do you know what her major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	16	Mayor Doherty's answer: "I do
major was?" Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	17	not, no.
Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not know. Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	18	Question: Do you know what her
21 know. 22 Question: Do you know if she had 23 any experience in training in sexual 24 harassment?"	19	major was?"
Question: Do you know if she had any experience in training in sexual harassment?"	20	Mayor Doherty's answer: "Do not
23 any experience in training in sexual 24 harassment?"	21	know.
24 harassment?"	22	Question: Do you know if she had
	23	any experience in training in sexual
Mayor Doherty's answer: "Well I	24	harassment?"
	25	Mayor Doherty's answer: "Well I

1	knew she worked at HR at the Mall at
2	Steamtown.
3	Question: She worked at the Mall
4	at Steamtown doing what?
5	Mayor Doherty's answer: "Human
6	resources. She worked in personnel.
7	Question: And did she have any
8	other experience that you know of?"
9	Mayor Doherty's answer: "Not
10	that I'm aware of.
11	Question: Okay. Incidentally,
12	what employer at the Steamtown Mall is
13	your understanding she worked for?"
14	Mayor Doherty's answer: "I'm
15	assuming she worked for Boscov's, the
16	people who own the mall.
17	Question: You assume?"
18	Mayor Doherty: "Hum.
19	Question: You don't know?"
20	Mayor Doherty: "I would say she
21	probably worked for him. They own the
22	mall.
23	Question: If she worked at
24	Boscov's at the Mall of Steamtown in
25	human relations, what was her

1	capacity? Was she Director of Human
2	Relations?"
3	Mayor Doherty's answer: "I don't
4	know.
5	Question: She was the director?"
6	Mayor Doherty: "That is my
7	understanding.
8	Question: Then beyond that, you
9	have no knowledge of what her
10	experience was in human relations?"
11	Mayor Doherty: "Right.
12	Question: And you have no
13	knowledge of what courses she's took
14	in school?"
15	Mayor Doherty's Answer: "Right."
16	Question: Who is David Elliott?"
17	Mayor Doherty: He is the chief
18	of police.
19	Question: Is he or was?"
20	Mayor Doherty: "He was the chief
21	of police.
22	Question: Did you appoint him as
23	the chief?"
24	Mayor Doherty's answer: "Yes, I
25	did.

1	Question: And could you tell me
2	at the time of his employment what
3	experience Mr. Elliott had in
4	supervision of employees?"
5	Mayor Doherty's answer: "He was
6	corporal in the department.
7	Question: Beyond being a
8	corporal, did he possess to the best
9	of your knowledge any experience in
10	the supervision of employees?"
11	Mayor Doherty's answer: "No, I
12	don't have any other knowledge besides
13	being a corporal."
14	May I finish?
15	MS. EVANS: If you can finish up,
16	please, within a minute.
17	MR. JACKOWITZ: This is briefly
18	about a minute.
19	"Question: Okay. Subsequent to
20	being appointed as chief of police, do
21	you know if Mr. Elliott sought or
22	obtained formal training in the
23	supervision of employees?"
24	Mayor Doherty's answer: "Not
25	that I am aware of.

1	Question: Do you know whether he
2	had obtained any training in
3	administration of sexual harassment
4	programs?"
5	Mayor Doherty: "Not that I'm
6	aware of.
7	Question: Now, in the scheme of
8	things, has Scranton you said that
9	there were no written delegation of
10	authority. Was Mr. Elliott a person
11	to whom you delegated responsibility?"
12	Mayor Doherty: "Yes. He was the
13	head of the Police Department.
14	Question: Okay. What
15	responsibility did you delegate to
16	him?"
17	Mayor Doherty: "He was in charge
18	of the day-to-day running of the
19	department.
20	Question: Would that include
21	supervision?"
22	Mayor Doherty: "Yes.
23	Question: All police officers?"
24	Mayor Doherty: "Yes.
25	Question: Would that include

1 supervising the plaintiffs in this 2 case?" 3 Mayor Doherty: "Yes. 4 Question: Do you know a 5 gentleman by the name Raymond Hayes 6 might be?" 7 Mayor Doherty: "Yes." 8 I will continue next week with 9 Mr. Hayes and continue on with this 10 deposition. As you can see, the 11 leader of our city had no idea who he 12 appointed to key cabinet positions and 13 it gets better. You have two more 14 installments coming. 15 MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan. 16 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, 17 council. 18 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 19 MR. MORGAN: You know, I'm glad that there's two public access 20 21 channel. I sat and listened to a lot 22 of that. And the other thing is 23 sitting there and watching the Griffin 24 Pond Animal Shelter come in here. 25 hope there will be some real

consideration given to them. I adopted a few animals from there and I know that they do a really great job. I mean, I've been in their building looking for stray ones before and I know their heart is in the right place, and I just think money is really tight. I don't know what you can do for them but, you know, I'm sure you'll try to do something if you can.

On a different issue, I think we find ourselves in a very difficult position here in regards to public safety and public services. The city does have an overwhelming debt. There can be no doubt about that. I made myself rather clear about the times that I really don't think the PEL moved in the best interest of the city. What I would like to see happen is I'd like to see three representatives of the Fire Department and three representatives of the Police Department and the same from

25

the Department of Public Work come to the Scranton Lackawanna Taxpayers' and Citizens' Association and explain to the people in this city exactly what they do. I'd like to see a little --I'd like to see the city and the mayor come together in some way if it's possible and I'd like to see this not breakdown into a -- I can't say a turf war. I just think it would really for lack of a better terminology just try to bring council and the mayor together to really sit down, and I think the major problem of the city is all the debt we have and we've given up so much. And I'm not here to speak for the unions. That's not my point My point is that the residents have a right to a certain degree of public safety, and I don't think this is a union versus the city scenario. I think this is the residents of this city having the right to have public safety being a priority here. We've given up so much before, in '92 when

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

we decided the course we were going to take and then we've given up so much and to be honest with you, I just can't see that we can absorb the loss of these firemen and policemen and you may not agree, the mayor may not agree, the people at home may not agree. But we can't allow all our services to keep diminishing. I think that when you call a fire truck or a policeman or you need infrastructure repairs done for the city, you have to have the people there to provide the service. I wouldn't want to be a fireman or policeman. I'll be really honest with you. Who wants to go running into a burning building or face some guy committing an armed robbery or -- you know, when you sleep at night, you want to know that people are patrolling the streets and when you call a fire in, somebody is coming. And I just think we have to find other solutions for our problems and they aren't too easy, we've

24

25

24

25

listened to them talk about the mall downtown. We all understand where were you are nationally. We're in a loft of trouble. I personally in my own opinion believe it's time to go the Court of Common Pleas and ask for some relief from the Court. I know everybody disagrees. I just think that we can't compromise public safety, we can't -- we can't change the place where we are. I know council is determined what they're going to do in regards to preparing their own budget. I think we'll see that when the time comes and when council has prepared their budget and present it, but I just don't think it's time to give up anymore employees. It's not about the union. It's about the people and that's all it's about. And I just think that if the people in this community had a lot better idea of what their public servants doe for them, maybe their opinions would be a little different.

24

25

But there's so much negative press out there, and I just think it's time for a reality check and that's why I hope that they would come to the Scranton Lackawanna Taxpayers' and Citizens' Association, sit down. Let's not talk about the union. Let's talk about the people who serve us. And I just hope that we can find a settlement for the union contract. I don't know if that's going to happen. It's been a long time. But I'm just going to hope that everybody in this city will be open minded. I mean, I just -- I've walked a lot of this city and they really feel they're entitled to public services and I just -- how far -- how much can we give up? You know, where is the line between fiscal digit budget constraints and safety? Where is that line? I think we've closed that line before and we've asked these people that serve us to sacrifice and I think they have. We've asked residents to sacrifice and I think

both parties have sacrificed enough and I don't know if you appreciate what I said are not but I appreciate your time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ANDERSON: Council, how are you? My name is Derrick Anderson. I am a resident of Scranton. I'm also a patrolman with the Police Department here.

Before I begin, I'd just like to present you with something, something that was created -- obviously everybody knows Facebook. There was a group that was created, keep our police and firemen. As of now there's over 720 members, all on -- as far to my knowledge city residents. So I'd like to provide to you, this is copy for you to take a look at it. Okay.

I'm not going to spend too much time up here. There's just a couple things that I feel being in a grouping where, you know, within the city there's over 30 to 40 of us in this

24

25

possible situation with these layoffs. It's a terrible situation. I wish none of us had to be in it. I'm in it as well, all of our families are in it and it's just a difficult situation. But I'd just like to express a little bit. It's a tough decision for you obviously, and you guys have a lot to Hopefully it's going to be something that is worth it for the city. I haven't been here too long. I only moved here about three years ago. I've been employed with the city for two and a half years. Within that time frame, you know, I've come to -it's my home now. You know, this is where I plan to be, this is where I want to be. I have a house. I'm sure many other people that are in the same boat as I am, just built a house, beginning families, children, just got married, and for them it's just a tough decision because they are in the same exact boat as many people are in across the county in these types of

that some people in the city here, not dealing with the administration, just people, general people and the public actually do care and, you know, show that that obviously through the web site had no problem, you know, keeping their faces out there. So thank you for everything and hope everything works out. Thank you.

situations, and I just wanted to put

it out there and, you know, just show

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, counsel. Les Spindler, city resident, Lackawanna taxpayer. Bill Jackowitz talked a little more than anybody else said tonight. Lee Morgan hit the nail right on the head, but I just want to talk a little bit about public safety. The headline in Chris Doherty's newsletter, fire cuts -- talks about public safety, the school district is behind the firefighters and the police officers. They could see that if these cuts are made, public safety will be in danger, how many lives will

20

21

22

23

24

25

be in danger. I don't know how the mayor thinks that he can do with less. It's just doesn't make any sense. these cuts are made, then everybody better get your guard noses to help the firefighters out because they're just not going to be able to handle it and our safety will be in danger. I guess they announced last week, I live very close to engine nine, if that's gone, the next engine well be back on Luzerne Street and in a fire, seconds count, every second counts, and there will be lot more damage to my house, a truck coming from Luzerne street is just over on Main Avenue. We're about a half a mile from where I live. that article Stu Renda said, "We need more money." Well, Stu if we need more money, why don't you give back some of the money that you're being That will be a start. overpaid.

Something else. Last Thursday, the West Scranton Hyde Park Crime
Watch had a meeting and Chief Duffy

24

25

was there, and he spoke about the officers being cut and he said, "A plan existed for a smaller force, and how police are deployed, more important than their number." To me that doesn't make any sense to me. Again, I don't know how you do more with less. The more firefighters and police officers we have on the street, I know I'm going to be a lot safer and I think everybody else will, too. Ι know that I have a lot more to say but since I have more time, I'm going to put a plug into my daughter and one of her classrooms are doing something for school. It was in yesterday's paper. I'll read it word for word. Cabrini and Brook Spindler will spend Thanksgiving morning walking ten miles from the Laurel Run Freight Station in Pittston to the Radisson in Lackawanna Station in Scranton. Walk Up the Line for Hunger is the pair's senior project. John and Brook are looking for donors to sponsor their walk per

mile. All the proceeds will go to the 1 2 Feed a Friend program. Pledges can be 3 sent to West Scranton High School, 4 1201 Luzerne Street, Scranton, PA, 5 18505. Checks and money orders can be 6 made payable to the high school. 7 so proud of my daughter for doing 8 that. It's a great cause. Μy 9 daughter and John -- John is our 10 neighbor. And I just hope they make 11 lots of money. It is a great cause 12 and I just want to end with wishing 13 everybody in the city a happy healthy 14 Thanksgiving. Thank you. 15 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Les. Ιf 16 you can state your name, please. 17 MS. HALLOCK: Sure. Tracy 18 Hallock, taxpaying citizen. 19 MS. EVANS: Resident of Scranton? 20 MS. HALLOCK: Resident of 21 Scranton. What Mayor Doherty may not 22 realize is that what's on paper in one 23 thing; in reality, it's quite 24 different. The drastic impact these 25 types of public safety cuts have on

25

citizen is profound. To operate the city soundly, the nationally recognized average is 2.2 police officers per 1,000 citizens. Approximately 72,000 citizens live in the City of Scranton placing that number of necessary officers at 158. Currently we have approximately 146 officers right now. How can we afford to go even below that number? Of course, to everyone hearing cuts in public safety, red flags go up and for a good reason. It saves money but at what price. Violent crimes jumped 19 percent in 2009 in addition to drugs, gang activity, increased juvenile crime and recession related crimes. At what point does it become more important to bail out Boscov's. Layoffs can hurt a police office for years to come. The younger officers are likely to lose their jobs and this creates an additional void of future leaders. And effective police presence deters and reduces crime. A

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

makers to consider both the tangible and intangible costs of reducing a city's public safety protection. Police and Fire Department personnel are an investment in the city's future. Other cities that are trying to do the same thing are seeing the effects of reduced public safety and the number are not appealing. crime rates are up already. There are some suggestions that have come -- I have across through my research that have been successful in other areas. One is a half a cent sales tax increase, half a cent. And San Diego generated 103 million dollars from a half a cent. I'm not saying that a city of our size compared to San Diego can generate the same revenue but it would be something. Another is how about 11 million dollars in back taxes that is owed to the city. Would that not be able to cover the salaries of some public employees. And perhaps

study by Rand Corp advises policy

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

maybe the federal government might want to step up to the plate instead of bailing out other countries since we are paying taxes to them. I would be willing to go around -- I know someone brought up Facebook which is a very popular social network. I would be willing to go around for city council to get signatures on people not supporting the budget and maybe getting some other suggestions from citizen that are unable to make it here to the city council meetings. your request, I would have no problem to do so. I appreciate your time and enjoy the holiday. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Before I call the next speaker, I just want to clear up one remark that Miss Hallock made.

The agreement that has been requested by the Steamtown Mall has no effect whatsoever on the city's operating budget. The monies paid are federal funds, HUD funds through Section 108 so it's -- in other words, whatever

the result, whatever the decision of the Steamtown Mall and the City of Scranton, it does not impact the city's operating budget. It impacts OECD and community development block grant funds which are given to the city by the federal government.

And next, Anthony Shields.

MR. SHIELDS: Hello.

MS. EVANS: Hello.

MR. SHIELDS: My name is Anthony
Shields and I'm a current resident and
taxpayer in the City of Scranton. I
am also a Scranton police officer.

Police and firefighter protection for citizens and business owners alike, with these proposed lay offs have a hazardous work environment would result for the officers that remain. Police will now be faced with a challenge to provide protection to others while we are going to be short staffed with officer's safety in jeopardy. Our main job is to serve and protect the public on a daily

hesitation. I'm not asking the public to address the council to voice their concerns on the proposed cuts in their public safety. Although jobs are on the line, my main concern is availability to provide service to the City of Scranton that the citizens are entitled to. Decisions are being made that may affect the safety and well being of the people and the masses. I can't stress enough the importance of the proposed budget which suffers a

major blow in public safety and I

let their invoices and concerns be

heard.

encourage the people to speak out and

basis and we do that without

One question I would like council to consider is who is effected more by the newly proposed budget, the 70 people that will be losing their jobs or the 70,000 people who live in the city that deserve to be protected. Thank you for your time.

MR. HALLOCK: My name is Chris

2

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hallock, taxpayer citizen and Scranton Police Office.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. HALLOCK: Good evening. Ιn the proposed budget, it calls for ten officers to be taken off the street, some of which have served for a year, some over three years. As for myself, I've been honored to serve the city just over a year now. During the past year I've been called onto the run into passion is where I have wasn't running away. I've been called to protect the great citizens of this city, its intruders, drugs, gangs, whatever danger may arise. I've been called on to protect the great businesses that a call Scranton home. Every shift, myself along with the nine fellow officers set to be laid off placed their life on the line to protect this great city. We all knew going into this profession that, you know, it's a dangerous one, it requires risk and sacrifice. Knowing

23

24

25

the dangers involved, we still go out there, every shift and place our lives on the line. Never have we asked for a thank you, we don't ask for an award, we don't ask for our name in the paper, we don't ask to be interviewed by the news stations. We simply along with the other fine men and women serving for this city, we just took an oath to protect the citizens and our fellow partners. But now time comes where unfortunately we have to ask the city for something. That something is just the community to support us. We need you to be the voice and united together. We need the simple message to keep our city safe. Without strong community support, the Police Department will not succeed. It's also my belief that without a strong Police Department the community will not prosper. community and Police Department need each other. If one fails, they both Recently you may have heard lose.

24

25

some people have tried saying it's not the size that's matter, it's how you use it. I think we've all heard that before. I also know the citizens of Scranton know better than to believe I ask those here at the meeting I ask those watching at home, if your house is being broken and your life and life of your loved ones are in danger, do you want one officer or y two officers come to your house or do you want three or four? Do you want one or two officers in your patrol then to deter crime and watch over your house or do you want three or four? I ask the great citizens of this city to speak out. I ask them to allow me along with the nine fellow officers to continue to protect this great city. If you believe in a better Scranton, I ask that you to come to these meetings, I ask that you write to the mayor, I ask that you write to the congressmen, I ask that you write to the governor and tell

23

24

25

them Scranton deserves its safety. Tell them you refuse to allow the life and the life of loved ones to be put in danger. This is bigger than dollars and cents. This is about safety of remaining officers to be left doing a job undermanned in unsafe working conditions. This is about the citizens that lives will be put in jeopardy. And most importantly this is about the integrity and the future of city. All I ask for the citizens is that they have a voice. If you believe in something that is worth fighting for, let's fight for what is right and I thank council and everyone here at the meeting and everyone watching at home for your time and I ask for your support to help the city, not for me, for everyone. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Charles Newcombe.

MR. NEWCOMBE: Good evening, council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. NEWCOMBE: I also want to

24

25

speak on the budget with the cuts. a taxpayer in the City of Scranton, I do not have any family members that are employed by the city. I'm just a taxpayer in the City of Scranton. have two homes in the city that we're responsible for. I pay thousands of dollars in taxes a year and I'll tell you what, like these fine police officers said before, somebody is breaking into my house or, God forbid, one of my houses is on fire, these are the people that I expect to see. don't expect to see Stu Renda and I don't expect to see any of the people on the administration. I expect to see these people first. I think what has to happen here is no cuts. We pay our business administrator \$85,000 a year, and if you remember what his salary was over the past few years that he got up to point, a ridiculous amount of increase. What I think has to be done here for some money is you have to look at, as far as I feel, the

20

21

22

23

24

25

mayor should be the CEO of the city at \$50,00 a year and that's what he makes. Everybody in his cabinet \$85,000; \$65,000, those people should be brought down right underneath the mayor's salary. Stu Renda should be cut in half to \$45,000. If he doesn't want to do it, we'll find somebody t hat will. Anybody that takes these kinds of money on the administration that are home laying on their couch watching TV when the buildings are the on fire and the people are shooting guns in the street, these are the people that we need first, not the administration. We're worried about parks, we're worried about everything else. I want to know when I lay my head down at night and I'm sleep, I want to know that my streets are covered and our houses are covered for the fires. I don't want all my money going to administrations. And we have to take into consideration of how ridiculous. There is a raise in this

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

budget from what I understand, I didn't read it, for the police chief and the fire chief.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. NEWCOMBE: Just think how stupid that sounds when you want to lay off these people and give these people a raise, sitting in an office, and I think if I'm not mistaken and I do 30 years of labor experience, if these chiefs -- two chiefs are granted a raise, I believe the retired chiefs that are home sitting on their couch, they also get half because that's the way that works in the city. So if you give somebody -- that's what happened the last time. I came to the podium and everybody said no and they investigated it, guess what, every dollar I said is going to happen, happened. So if somebody gets a \$14,000 raise, if I'm not mistaken, I could be wrong, the retired chief, one or the other gets 50 percent of that in his pension. So that the last time

24

25

this happened, I believe the retired chiefs and firemen, they got like \$600 more in their pension so take into consideration. I just think that it's totally ridiculous. We do not need these cuts. The mayor to look at, you know, we just had an election in this country and what happened was the people told the government, whoa, back up, too much spending, too much money, take a different course and that's what I think has to be done here in the city. I don't want to see any cuts in the police or firemen. don't want my insurance to go up on my homeowner's because we closed firehouses knowing that we have business administrators and everybody riding around in cars that is supplied for them making \$85,000 a year. mayor, the administration should be paid 50,000, that's what he does, I believe the Home Rule Charter, that's what his salary is set by that. But everybody underneath him, no one

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

should make more than the administration. They should make 45, \$46,000 and you could take that money from their salaries and maybe put it into the budget. But I don't want to see any cuts. These are -- like this gentleman said before, everyone that have to go in the street everyday, you know, they're not the ones that can sit in an office and somebody said, Oh, did you hear what happened in West Side? Yeah, it's terrible. They're the ones that have to come over and Green Ridge, West Side, whatever. as far as I'm concerned as a taxpayer in this city, like I said, and I'm not one of those people that owes four million dollars. That's horrendous. My taxes are all paid up. property tax on two homes, and I want to protect them. And the only people I want to protect is when you do your budget, I hope that you're going to make into consideration that there will be no cuts in public safety. I

25

22

23

24

25

don't want to see these men and women taken off the streets. But what I do want to see done is like the government just said in our last election, stop the spending, stop the waste, and you know what, do the right thing for -- this is the first time we're going to have a chance to do the right thing where everybody will get -- even if you cut these people's salaries, they're still going to have These people will not have a a job. job and their families won't be covered. Ten years, 2000, these people haven't had a raise so you can't blame from 2002 until now, you can't say it's the union fault. They haven't gotten any raises. The fault is that money is going to contractors, money going to Nay Aug Park, business administration at \$85,000, raises for your chief and fire chief, no cuts, no These are the people that I want to protect me. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Matt McDonald.

24

25

MR. MCDONALD: Good evening, council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MCDONALD: Matt McDonald. city resident and Scranton firefighter. I just wanted to follow-up with some census facts that I prepared for last week but I ran out of time. Scranton has over 35,000 residential structures in the city. Sixty-two percent of those structures were built prior to 1940, pre World War II, 62 percent. The average in Pennsylvania is 30 percent of the residential structure prior to '94 and the statistics only go back to 1940 and I would venture a guess that a large majority of that 62 percent is around the turn of the century. I pointed out we have a very old city where our homes are very close together. And there was a fire in the Hill section, I think May of 2009, and it gave a great example of what we as firefighters face when we get to a

24

25

structure fire. This fire was a very large fire for us to fight, and the news crew just happened to be in the area and they saw exactly what we saw when we pulled up. The one house was an apartment building that was fully engulfed in flames and the two structures on either side because they're literally feet apart, a lot of the homes in the City of Scranton are literally feet apart. You can stand and touch both structures. The two houses on the other side were started to burn as soon as the engine company pulled up. So just imagine that was Engine 15 first to territory. Just imagine that their second engine company downtown was not there to supply water. You cannot put a fire out like that with 750 gallons of water. You can't do it. So I would hate to see what would happen in that fire if we didn't have the structure we have right now.

So each engine company now if

25

this budget throws goes through, there will be five engine companies and each engine company will protect five square miles of the city -- over five square miles, and in those five square miles on average 15,000 residences. So that's one engine company protecting 15,000 residents in the City of Scranton. I just -- I don't know. This budget was crafted by obviously by the quotes in the paper by Stu Renda, business administrator, who, to my knowledge, doesn't have any fire experience. The mayor doesn't have any firefighting experience. They didn't rely on any studies that were done. One of the speakers last week, I believe there's been five studies done over the past few years. They didn't rely on any of those studies. As dangerous as the latest study was, this even goes a step beyond that in eliminating a third of our apparatus in the city without even doing a study or, you know, taking

24

25

into aspects the study that was done. Also laying off, in effect, a third of our Fire Department. Two years ago there was a 150 firefighters. If this budget goes through, there will be a In 1992 there was 200 firefighters. So since 1992, nearly half of the Fire Department manpower will be eliminated. I don't think the size of the city has shrunk, I don't think that many of the buildings -- I don't think half the buildings or half the residents have left the city. Again, I said it last week that I'm very concerned over these cuts, and this just goes to show you that there was no public safety taken into account when they drafted this budget. There was a quote in one of the papers stating that prior to -- could I finish. I'll be 30 seconds.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCDONALD: Prior to the recent court award that basically gives firefighter employees a cost of

living raise, they were only going to eliminate 15. Where that number comes, I don't know. Because of this and they knew that these awards were coming because of this now they have to lay off 27, it's unacceptable. It's just unacceptable. And, again, thank you for listening to me tonight and have a nice Thanksgiving.

MR. EVANS: Mr. Dobzyn?

Good evening,

MR. DOBZYN:

council. Dave Dobzyn. I'm president of the Scranton Taxpayers'
Association. I also don't support any of these cuts that are for the firemen employees. The question is if you're victimized by somebody, what measures do you have to take to prevent this stuff if there's no police around and a lot of times they can't do what they like to do without catching it before it happens. If I have to, I prefer to criminal activity yourself to repel an attack. So that's been my experience more often than not by the cops get

there, but a lot of other people don't have that option and I really don't support any cuts on either fire or police oh whatever you can do.

Last year, for instance, on

Monroe Avenue because they didn't want
to call off overtime or something,
three houses burned instead of one.

And I thought about that if it was
happening to my house, I'd probably
walk out and try to put Ray Hayes
under a citizen's arrest. You know,
it's just like, come on, get him here.
The house is catching fire and we've
got two others going on top of this so
now on Monroe Avenue, you've got one
big empty lot. Maybe one of
nonprofits would like it some day, who
knows.

Also now moving along. If under motions, what is it, 5A, B and C or B, C and D, is the to repeal some of the Northeast criminal conspiracy -- I mean, Northeast Credit Collections?

MS. EVANS: The first piece of

legislation is to repeal the ordinance of 2007. I think it was filed Council No. 82 that contained the 23 fees, costs, fines, et cetera, that will be repealed.

MR. DOBZYN: Right.

MS. EVANS: Following to augment, I believe, to replace that will be introducing it tonight, however, we'll still investigating it and in the future we may well amend it or vote it down.

MR. DOBZYN: Right. Great, great, because that probable causes more taxes to not be paid than the cost of the taxes themselves.

And finally about two weeks ago the U.S. government went into Pakistan and rescued nuclear material to build 800 atomic bombs and which is by the right next door to Chetznia and you have lots of fanatics over there and so forth, so. Jon Kyl, an Arizona senator was currently resisting the STAR treaty and he gets the golden

25

parrot award because he got everything he asked for and he's still resisting. It's just to make things look bad. So shame on him, golden parrot award. Have a good night. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening, council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MARTIN: Bob Martin, city resident, supervisor in the Police Department, FOP president. I just want to -- some of the numbers that I've been hearing kicked around. I just want to set the record straight. I spoke with the newspaper last night at length. First thing we have to remember is, and I was here in the fall or late summer and council was talking about and requesting at test be given so we can hire. We were short then. We were short six officers by the city's numbers. I'm not -- I mean, they don't always jive

24

25

with us but it was the city's number of six officers short. Now we're talking about laying ten more off. So now we're down 16. And then, of course, the 13 moving to the CommD program for the neighborhood beat patrol officers and, you know, I'm still a little skeptical about that. There's a plan on paper, I attended a staff meeting and it looks good on paper but I fear that once it gets out on the battlefield, once it gest deplored, that it's not going to work as well as we hoped. Of course, a lot of that is going to be -- a lot of that is based on the fact that that has to be monitored very, very closely by the supervisors on each shift to make sure that there's no violations of the HID agreements or the HUD grant. So I worry about that because if we're down that many, we're down ten, something happens to that funding through SIKO, the federal government and/or, you know, some errors in

24

25

calculations, what happens then? we're really stuck. Then we're really make some deep cuts. I just -- I have a whole bunch of statistics here. Ι can't follow-up any better than Miss Hallock did. She was splendid with the numbers. I mean, of course, there's, you know, the professionals and the powers to be would argue that it can be debated all day long, Kansas City study in terms of police presence and crime, the part of the study came out seven to three and there's no correlation for that but I disagree with that. You still can't do more with less. You can't do, you know, have officers answering calls. part of the plan that was presented to us, there's a number of officers, there are, there's a lot of detectives on day shift, there's a lot of other officers, special duty officers going on but somebody's going to lose focus. If you have a drug unit that is doing drug operations, all of a sudden they

have to be shifted, there's an emergency because we don't have enough people, they have to be pulled. Obviously they're going to lose focus. They're department is going to suffer. I don't care what you say. Once you start pulling people and today's crime is wide open because there's so much more opportunity with the internet, with fraud, with all these other things, and they're all specialized crime, they're difficult, they're extremely difficult to investigate and track down these perpetrators with internet, crime and fraud. Identity theft, I mean -- and that takes special training. So, I mean, and so some of the numbers that I have basically in 2003, we had 159 officers on the city working. In 2003, the last part of 2003, juvenile crime, 155. That's all juvenile crime; 2010, 519. That's a pretty big increase with never mind the correlation and, of course, now we're down to what

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

25

we're looking 2011, possibly a 138 officers. And just it continues to spiral, and we have to be very cautious here. We have to watch because once it's deteriorated, like Wilkes-Barre, Wilkes-Barre went down to 60 officers, they're still struggling to get back. I mean, that's plan and simple. All the studies in the world, you can see that. That's a plain fact. You can see that on your TV every morning when you wake up. And so I'm asking council. I won't take up too much The last thing I want to say is time. how proud I am of these officers, very professional, they come up here. I'm proud to represent them, I'm proud to be their supervisor and they're in the There's a feel for a field there. real human, the human touch, and then from a practical standpoint, look at the investment. These officers just started out. They're on one, two, three, four years. Look at the

24

25

investment that this city has made to get their careers where they are now in terms of training. They've got to go through Act 120, they go through a background investigation. What it cost the city just to run background investigations and I heard something that really tore at me this afternoon. Someone came to me, just a casual conversation in the Roll Call Room and said that some of these officers, I mean, they're at the point where they're disgusted. I said and I tell them. "Don't lose faith. We're still going down the road." And once they're laid off, they're coming back. And I said, "Whatever comes out of some of these court arguments, they may be vacancies, they're coming back. And the thing that tore my heart out was someone said, "Maybe they don't want to. They don't want to relive this next year and the year after." mean, that's the human part, that's the practical part and then you have

to think about the investment that's already made with these officers to get them where they are now and we could possibly lose that. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Anyone would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, council. Marie Shumacher, citizen and member of the taxpayer's organization.

First I'd like to take issue with a couple of things.

Mrs. Evans, last week you said that the Scranton Sewer Authority had received a PennVest loan despite not being a member of SAPA. What counts are the grants that we may or may not receive because we're not part of SAPA. Also you said that SAPA is not priority for city council at this time. I understand that. For the next three weeks I expect it's going to be budget all the time and that's the proper thing but I hope at the beginning of next year SAPA will be

22

23

24

25

I think it's important. revisited. I'm concerned about the liability of not having our ordinances up-to-date, of not have having an updated comprehensive plan. I find no funding for a new comprehensive plan in the budget so that increases our liability. I also am upset about the liability when I read -- this was the delinquent property tax article in the paper. Mr. Renda said, "Placement of liens is a subjective decision." And I think the laws are supposed to be administered equally, and I would think this city would be liable to a lawsuit if the administrator of our city as well, we just pick and chose and it's whether we place a lien is subjective. We did that study awhile back, I believe it was last year on the demolition of homes over a period of and how few had liens placed against them. I don't think we can afford anymore lawsuits. We can barely afford what we have and I think

we have to cover our behinds and in the case of the liens, I think we need a policy. We need something in an ordinance that makes it not subjective. I'm not saying that in some cases liens should not be placed but on the other hand those have to be specified and called out in ordinance to protect us taxpayers. I also do still have my checks to pay the SAPA fees here so it still will be good next year and, again, I hope that will be taken up.

I would also like to suggest that maybe this year instead of our local legislators and maybe our school board directors, instead of or maybe in addition to writing a letter to Santa Claus, write a letter to their local legislators because I don't see how you can get out of these things, some of these things without help from Harrisburg. House Bill 1275, the proposal to eliminate the school property tax would not only help free

20

21

22

23

24

25

up money to be reinvested in our community and make it easier for taxpayers to pay their county and city bills, but the other thing that it does is reduces or eliminates the local taxes so immediately our wage tax, the 3.4 that everybody looks at would go down to 2.4. So that is a big plus. Then you guys only have to work on the other 1.4 percent and get that and then we would be in equilibrium with the surrounding municipalities and maybe we can get some business. And in that regard, too, I do want to maybe take some issue with what Mrs. Evans said tonight and I hate doing it, but if we don't use our HUD money for economic development, we don't get wage taxes from jobs that are not created, and we don't get business and mercantile taxes from businesses if we don't get them here. And to the extent that one person is left or one entity is covered for by HUD monies that would

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

otherwise be spent in another area, it does effect our operating budget because that's where the taxes end up.

I also think in that letter to Santa Claus in addition to begging them to support 1275 or whatever it will be called in the next legislature, I think we need some Act 55 clarification. We currently have something like in the neighborhood, it changes obviously from day-to-day, something like a 1,100 tax exempt properties in the municipalities in Scranton. Act 55, a lot of people think tax -- being a non profit equates to be being property tax exempt but it's not. That's an IRS thing and it only applied to federal taxes. It's up to the state of who is exempt on property taxes. There's no -- if we exempt only for purely -for pure charitable acts and I think you guys need to split up the properties between the city and the school district and take a look and

see which ones will meet the Act criteria. Thank you.

MR. NEWCOMBE: Charlie Newcombe, homeowner, resident of Scranton.

I'm here to speak on the budget first. I want to thank this council, more importantly Mr. Loscombe, along with Representative Murphy for the continuing and Mr. Skeleton from the Sewer Authority for working on fixing the problems in Keyser Valley and hopefully that won't stop of what I have to say about the administration. Quick story. I'm going to condense it down to a minute.

Last Wednesday I came home and in the process I saw a group of teenagers breaking into my neighbor's vehicle.

I immediately called the Police

Department and nobody showed up.

Twenty minutes later the children or call them kids, the teenagers were trying to get into other vehicles in the neighborhood. Called the police back and nobody showed up. My

24

25

neighbor and I took care of the situation on our own and talked to the children and found out where they lived and talked to their parents. But that could have been a very bad It shouldn't have to be situation. that way. I called then an hour and 45 minutes later after no police arrived to be told that the police were too busy, and that they got pulled to an emergency that was going on at the same time, but a police officer could come over and take the report. That is completely unacceptable. It's not the Police Department's fault there's not enough men and women to defend the city, it's the administration's fault that there's not enough men and women to defend the city. When I write out my check to the city of Scranton every year to pay my taxes and I'm about to get another tax bill because I'm in the process of purchasing another home, I want the protection that I'm

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paying for. And what happened there is completely unacceptable.

The engine company that's in my neighborhood in the Keyser Valley section is Engine 7. I was -- my family and I were involved in a very bad accident almost 18 months ago and when I say in two minutes, I'm not exaggerating. It took two minutes for that engine company to get there and my wife and my two kids were stuck in the vehicle and those men were there in two minutes. That's what I want. If God forbid my house is on fire, it would take four minutes for the adequate amount of staff to get there to get my kids out of the house, four minutes. That's less time than I'm standing at this podium talking. That's what I want. Four minutes. is unacceptable to close fire stations and tell me that it's better for the residents of this city. How is it possible? My children next year will be going to the new school in Tripps

24

25

Park. The closest station to there would be Engine 9 and truck for on Main Avenue. You're telling me that you're going close, not you in particular, but the mayor is saying that he's going to close that station and put my kids at risk to wait for longer for the Fire Department to get there and other hundreds of kids, completely unacceptable. You have people that work at this administration that started off as a nuts and bolts salesman that now run the parking authority making \$85,000 a year because they knew the right people and you're telling me that you have to get rid of ten police officers and almost 30 firemen. That's wrong. Cut those people's salary below 50. If they don't like it, they can go get a job somewhere else. If this budget goes through, I want a refund in my taxes because I'm paying the same amount of money for less protection. Stu Renda, you read in the newspaper

24

25

that you need more money. It just doesn't make any sense to me that you can actually think that you are going to be able to close firehouses and save people. If you want more money, why don't you start looking at the budget, cut money, and get more police officers on the street. If you take Stu Renda's salary and cut it in half and you take Mr. Scopelliti's salary and cut it in half, that's two police officers you can put back on the street. Tell me how that doesn't make sense. It's irrational to me that people would think this way. I had the opportunity to buy a house anywhere. I chose to stay in the City of Scranton. One of the reasons that we chose to buy our house in the City Scranton is because I want the protection that I will be able to get. I have nothing against volunteer They are to be held to the firemen. same regard as everybody, actually I hold them higher, the firemen and

25

policemen in my book. But in the City of Scranton, these people will be at your house quicker than a volunteer Fire Department, and I looked at that as one of the factors in purchasing a home to know that I can get that kind of protection in the City of Scranton. And now you're telling me after I have children and after I made an investment to the city to stay here and purchase two properties, you're telling me that now you're going take that away from me. That is completely unacceptable. I ask this council to look line-by-line in this budget and get rid of all this bloated administration and their salaries. Ιf these people don't like it, they can go work somewhere else. There is not a company in the world that has a seat that the employees make more money than the CEO. This mayor had the opportunity after the last council to increase his salary and he specifically said he's fine with

\$50,000 a year. Then the people that work for you should be happy with the \$50,000 a year, too. So I ask this council, please, do not cut these men and women of the Police and Fire Department because I want those people come rescue me, not these people that sit behind a desk everyday to come and protect me, because I think we have the best police and fire Department in the country. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: If I can just one comment before the next speaker comes up.

Mr. Newcombe, I couldn't agree with what you said more. From day one when I was on council and we went to court to amend the budget, the thing that bothered me the most about the budget is that we have Mayor Doherty making \$50,000 a year, you have a business administrator making \$35,000 a year more than the mayor who is the CEO of the city. And the mayor's budget doesn't do anything to cut

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those salaries. The only cuts the mayor is making is in public safety and in the other city employees. He's not making any cuts in administration. And we have a business administrator making \$85,000 a year, yet we have to cut ten police officers, 20 some firefighters. If we dropped him to 45, that would be \$40,000 freed up in the budget. That's a job right there. I think that the people of Scranton, and I have in the last two weeks in going to neighborhood association meetings and I've spent more time on the phone and more time talking to residents in the last week than any other week is people are up in arms about this proposal. It is absolutely the wrong thing for the City of Scranton. To let these administrators keep making their excessive salaries but at the same time cut the people who keep our families safe. I have a letter and I'm going to read it later from the school district, from the

school board sent to the mayor, copy to city council opposing the cuts in public safety, and I'll comment more on it later but you really hit the nail right on the head.

Next speaker, please.

MS. SMITH: Hi. Good evening.

My name is Rachel Smith. I am not a resident of Scranton. I do attend school here in Scranton at Lackawanna College.

I don't have a prepared speech.

I just would like to speak upon the cuts. I was not always a productive member of society. Since I had my daughter, she was born now I have totally changed my life around, and I see and I have seen the drugs, the illegal drugs, the things that come into Scranton. You can't afford those cuts. I'm not saying that the police don't have it under control and, you know, if I could change the things that I've done in the past, I would and, you know, I'm sure we could have,

should have but I feel that Scranton is a filter. We have the halfway houses where people come from all over, criminals, and if you've ever heard the expression, fake it to make it, that's what a lot of people do just to get in and out of the halfway houses. And then from there they come, their families come and the drugs, the guns, the illegal activities, the robberies. Can Scranton really afford to cut officers?

As far as the Fire Department, my daughter attends a day care in Scranton. If there's a fire, do we really have to wait for fire response to come from West Side? It will be burned. Fire is instantaneous, instant. So I just speaks on behalf of, you know, my daughter and for her future because she's changed my life completely, and I hope that, you know, Scranton can see you cannot afford to cut the police officers and you cannot

afford to cut fire. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. SLEDNESZSKI: Buddy, right in the open. Remember that these firemen are friends of mine, like everyone of them are, everyone of them. Keep their jobs. Let's keep -- we need a Fire Department. No more officers, cops do, everybody. Keep it in the city. Let's keep them.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Right.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. ELLMAN: Ron Ellman. How could you start without me? I was looking for a parking space. I don't have any signed place like council does out there, signed for parking.

I have a message for some of the forgotten people in the city called taxpayers. It's about Boscov's.

People have had enough of Al Boscov.

They don't want anymore to be in the banking and loan business. You know, this company is completely mismanaged

24

25

year after year after year and it's not up to the taxpayers to keep saving it. You know, this is the same figure on almost the same problem that is at the Radisson. We gave up the lien, we lost a million dollars. You cannot give up the lien on this place. Let him go to the bank. He can go to the bank, whatever he wants to do. But we cannot support businesses no more. You loss almost a quarter million dollars in Michael's. He's going to sell \$50,000 glass frames, remember him? You know, how can anybody give a loan to someone if they don't sell \$50,000 glass frames to the City of Scranton. You've got this hot head from Denise's, hairstylist. He stood right here yelling at people. could have went to the bank but he didn't. He says he's going to have eight to ten jobs, whatever. He never hired a person. He got a crooked deal downtown with Scranton council to sitting in on that for exaggerated

25

prices to fix their hair so we're not getting nothing out of him. It's just doesn't end. And the taxpayers have had enough, you know, especially you had these guys sitting here making six Tell them to cut their figures. salaries in half and see how many want to stay with Boscov's. It would be like rats getting off the sinking ship. You know, to sum it all up, Boscov's is just a dinosaur trying to keep up against K-Mart and Walmart and Target. They can't do it. And the mall, the mall is something that it's just lived it's time. We should have sold it a couple of years ago. Like I mentioned it to the university and we wouldn't have them taking hundreds of acres of houses off the market. think sometime you people think I'm a fool when I say things. I stood right here on a Thursday and said it's time to have an investigation of Scranton Housing and Dave Baker and the next day is when they took and picked up

25

the books. A couple weeks ago I stood here and said it's time for Al Boscov to do something about the money he owed us because I knew what was coming in the paper the next day. I wouldn't own a house like I do and drive around in a Cadillac. That's a damn fool that somebody called me. It's really the handwriting that's on the wall. I told you, I talked to people working at Boscov's say they're just dying. Oh, well. You people sit there and you listen but you don't hear sometimes. The city is just crying. It's crying, I'm telling you. I know that I've aggravated myself with council tonight but my allegiance is to the people of this city, not Al Boscov. He's trying to waive 70,000 people against these guys crying about 500 jobs. I hate to see 500 jobs lost but we can't support it. You know, just passing the time of supporting a business because the loss of jobs. Before I leave, I'd like to say I've

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

passed a lot of people when we were talking and people wouldn't mind a tax increase for the fire and police. I haven't heard one person say they wouldn't mind a tax increase but if you talk about giving a tax increase to pay those 50 people that have been hired since Doherty took office and they just explode, you know, they don't want to hear that.

I'd like to say something positive before I leave. I phoned Jack Liptka the other day and I complained about this druggie house on Alpha Street and, I mean, he was over there immediately like a half hour, took the electric meter out and chased the people out. They park across the street about a block away. ruining the neighborhood. It's just a biggest bunch of undesirable filth you could have in your neighborhood he got rid of them. And I'd like to say how much I appreciate Mr. Seitzinger and Jack coming by.

And one other thing. The garbage men forgot my papers and I phoned downtown, and I'm not exaggerating, I'd say in two minutes a truck came by and got my things because I was putting a coat when I went outside, they had already gotten it. It just surprised the hell out of me that they listened to my phone call and I want to thank -- I don't know who I talked to but somebody in sanitation. That's very, very good service. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi, a resident and taxpayer. I'm president of the firefighter union. I have not much to say tonight but I just want to say a few things we may not have talked about.

My colleagues that have come up here, some of the police officers that have come up here saying that these decisions that were made for this budget, the mayor's budget, they

weren't very thoughtful in their creation, and it has to do more with numbers than it has to do with safety. What is the safety impact of some of the decision that are made in this budget. I'm hear to tell you that I've been working very closely with a whole bunch of our guys in the Fire Department and we've looking over numbers and looking over the response times, real numbers, factual information, and it's actually worst than we thought. I don't know how to prove to the people out there when the mayor makes -- just comes out and says these thoughtless statements, "Don't Everything is going to be worry. okay." That's based on what? I mean, two days ago he made a statement in the paper and I quote, "The response times will be the same." I'm assuming he meant the response time will be the same as they are now after the closures are made. Yesterday the administration official which I

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assuming it's Stu Renda, the business administrator since he seems to be calling the shots in the Fire Department these days, he made a statement, I don't know if it was him. It said the administration official. All of the lines in the article before that were him talking, and he says, "The second due apparatus, there probably will be delays." Just so you That statement makes no understand. sense whatsoever. So the mayor is saying nothing is going to change, the response time is going to remain the same. A day later the administrator official says that the second responding companies, there's going to be a delay. Well, just so you understand, folks, the second responding, you have to understand the first responding companies are no longer going to be there. So obviously the second responding companies are going to be your first responding companies and it's

21

22

23

24

25

obviously going to be a delay. of the numbers that we have worked up, we don't have our comprehensive study. It will done in a few days. We're going to supply the entire study for Real life situations for vou. sections of city and it doesn't look good. It doesn't good look pretty knowing how fast fire advances, it doesn't look good at all for many large pockets of the city, and we're going to have that done for you soon. But just to reiterate, when the administration makes these statements, I mean, we just scratch our heads and we just can't believe them. don't have a plan. They have no plan whatsoever. And I'm not going to try to scare anybody because already the mayor sympathizes, we doing scare tactics, we're going to come here and we're going to tell you exactly what's going to happen with his plan.

One of the things that we've done and I have to credit our former

24

25

president, Dave Shriver, he's real good with the stats and he has a spreadsheet put together. He had it done actually awhile ago. He updated it with the new changes that were made with the mayor and the 27 cuts. mayor says he wants to close three companies, truck company and two engine, impossible, mathematically impossible you can put us on three There's a minimum of four shifts. companies closing with a 110 guys, minimum of four. It's impossible to just close three companies. doesn't work. The numbers don't work. If any given day somebody is off injured or somebody has a flu and calls in sick, there will be five companies closed that day, five, not three, five. So, again, they don't know what they're talking about. will supply that information to you and you'll see it in black and white to a mathematical certainty.

In closing, I was home a little

24

25

while ago, I had some things to do at home, I really haven't been there much in the last three or four days since the budget came out. I sat at home and I was watching TV and I saw these young men from the Police Department come up and I thought, That's nice we can put a face on those union police officers. If you look in the back, there's four of the finest guys that I've ever worked with that run the Chapman block. Let's put faces on My friends I have here, Dick, A.J., Mike, one of the funniest guys you'll ever meet and one of the best fireman you'll ever meet, just ask him, Gary. These people are the salt of the earth. These police officers that came up before, I've worked with these guys at fire scenes. These guys with no equipment will run into a building if they get there before us in a burning building. We scream at them all the time because they shouldn't do that because they will

24

25

get themselves killed but they're brave and they care and they do it. We're going to throw these guys out on the street because the mayor didn't preplan for a cost of living for the Police and Fire Departments. haven't heard anybody come up to this podium yet or anybody say anything in the paper other than this council, why are you cutting the most essential and vital services in the city and nobody is talking about the fact -- nobody is talking about paying too much for projects that the mayor has done, nobody is talking about any of that, but they want to cut these guys. Well, I hope people take a good hard look next couple weeks at the facts, the truth, the facts and figures and hopefully you'll see more and more of these fine gentlemen that are on the Chapman block and you'll realize who, who in this city cares more about the citizens, the mayor who ran us into a 300 million dollar debt or these guys

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at any given time will run into a burning house, run into harms way for you, for old guys like me in the department. It's a shame. Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening,
Nelson Ancherani and I'm last tonight,
taxpayer, resident and city employee.
I am the recording secretary for the
FOP, first amendment rights.

This evening is the first reading of the 2011 budget presented by the city to you for your approval or to amend. Sixty-nine positions are slighted to be eliminated by the city, 69 positions that are estimated to save the city 2.3 million. only a number of months ago that county judge ruled against council when you tried amend the 2010 budget. That budget would have saved -- that amendment would have saved the city approximately 700,000 and eliminating only 11 positions. Cutting 11 positions by council was going to compromise the safety of the city and

24

25

it's residents according to the city. Remember this, May 5th, 2010, Times Tribune, Josh Mzrozinski and I'll quote, "On Monday, Judge Robert Mazzoni granted Mayor Chris Doherty request for an injunction preventing council from implementing amendments would have trimmed the 7.9 million 2010 budget by 694,986. Council's four members super majority proposed to eliminate 17 positions and reduce the pay of 13 positions by as much as 38 percent. Judge Mazzoni ruled council doesn't have the authority under the Home Rule Charter to make changes to the budget midstream. ruling also stated that the council's amendments would have a direct impact on the health, welfare and safety of the citizens of the City of Scranton. With harm resulting from the city being unable to deliver services." End of the quote. Everybody should remember that. And I'll repeat, "We'll have harm resulting from the

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city being unable to deliver services." What is the safety situation going to be when 69 positions are going to be eliminated if 44 of them are going to be public safety personnel. We're up the creek without the paddle. From the Times Leader, 11-21-10, quote from the mayor. "Renda, the architect of the budget setting that weekly with the Department of Community and Economic Development and the PA Economy League, the city's recovery plan coordinator to formulate the budget." Wow, we're shafted again. PEL, what's the price of safety? Can you put a dollar amount on safety? No. None of us Renda is trusting PEL, DCED, as I said, we're in trouble, only more trouble. PEL couldn't get out of the stress since 1992. How about that from making sure they had a paycheck. The state sanctions Connors at bequest of City Councilman Doherty and yet they allowed the city to give out

1 raises and hiring new hires spending 2 25 million in the process, 25 million, 3 no sanctions; 300 million plus long 4 term debt, no sanctions. No sanctions 5 by PEL, DCED but they got paid their 6 big salaries. How about this quote by 7 Renda, "We recognize that this is a 8 terrible year for the economy for our 9 local area. We made that commitment 10 early that we weren't going to raise 11 taxes. In order to do that we are 12 going to have to run a little leaner." 13 How about that from someone who got 14 \$46,000 more now since he started 15 working for the city in 2003. 16 started out making \$39,000 in OECD and 17 is now making \$85,000, a \$46,000 Thank you. 18 raise. 19 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else 20 who cares to address city council? 21 (No response.) 22 MS. EVANS: I thought he was. 23 Mrs. Krake? 24 MS. KRAKE: 5A. Motions. 25 MR. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you

have any motions or comments at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: Please, very quickly. Last week I did comment on the budget that was sent to us and I will reiterate what I said is that budget is not constituted. I am not in favor of it, I am not in favor of anyone being put out of a job at this -- in these economic times. is a nonissue. I don't think it's in the best interest to the city or anyone to be put out of the work, and I would hope that we can arrive at some type of resolution so that we can keep people in their jobs and also at the same time continue to find the services that are necessary to the city.

The second thing I'd like to -- I assume that Mr. Rogan is going to announce a benefit for Wally Little.

MS. EVANS: He did.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. And one of the things that I -- when these

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing are presented at council because of the publicized nature of council, very often some of these very worthy events are also publicized because of who presents them. I would just like to say that I just want to -- I've known Wally Little for quite a long time, played basketball against him with him at various times. This is a very worthy cause. He's a great guy and in need of our help and it's not a political -- this has, you know, no political overtones whatsoever and I would hope that everyone can, you know support, this benefit in some way. And I guess that goes for all of the things that are mentioned by council members. We don't do this -- we don't mention those as, you know, political They are things that we feel items. are necessary, you know, to benefit of individuals and to people in the city. So hopefully we don't read anything into it that's not supposed to be there and that's all I have. Thank

you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Loscombe, any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you.

I'll be brief in the essence of time.

Everyone knows where I stand pretty

much on the budget, and we are working

diligently day after day gathering

information to come out with the best

plan for the residents of this city.

Mr. McGoff, I did hear that

Mr. Little can still beat you on one
on one. I'm not sure.

MR. MCGOFF: Probably.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And just finally,
I had a meeting the other day with Tom
Tell, a representative from Kevin
Murphy's Office. Basically on the
flooding issues, again, they're not
just in the Keyser Valley. We have
different areas but as Charlie alluded
to before, we have been getting
cooperation from the sewer authority
on a lot of these projects and from
the state, but I would like -- we have

1 several issues that can we have 2 resolved with our own Department of 3 Public Works but we haven't been able 4 to get a meeting together with the 5 director. So I was wondering Mrs. Krake if we can pursue that again and 6 7 see if we can get Mr. Brazil along 8 with representatives from Kevin 9 Murphy's Office and our city engineer. 10 Some of them are minor issues that can 11 be taken care of right away but, you 12 know, they're just blistering, 13 dragging on for years now. And that's 14 all I have to say. Thank you. 15 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. 16 Loscombe. Mr. Joyce, any comments or 17 motions? 18 MR. JOYCE: Mr. Rogan is back so 19 if you want to do it in that order. 20 MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan? 21 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce. 22 I apologize. I was out in the hall 23 talking to somebody. I guess first 24 I'll start with a few comments on the 25 budget. We've received a letter from

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Scranton School District and I wanted to read that. It was addressed to the mayor and it was copied to city council.

"Dear Mayor: On behalf of the entire Scranton School Board of Directors, I'm writing concerned of your proposed 2001 budget which includes sizeable reductions in the number of public safety personnel, fire stations and engine companies. That concern of the board of directors is the potential negative impact that these actions can have on the city's ability to protect the health, safety and welfare of our 9,700 students and more than 1,300 employees. reducing the number of firefighters and policemen and by eliminating fire engines and closing the fire station on Wyoming Avenue, the board of directors are questioning how these reductions will impact the response time it will take to get the schools located in the city. These reductions

24

25

will undoubtedly have an impact on the city's ability to protect the health and safety and welfare of all it's citizens. As a taxing body ourselves, the board of school directors realizes its responsibility to be good stewards of city taxpayer dollars especially in these difficult economic times. However, the board of directors also realizes that the primary responsibility mission is to provided the highest quality of public education possible to the students and their care at the most economic cost. Likewise, the City of Scranton is being insured that its citizen have the highest quality of services available to protect the health and safety and welfare of the citizens and the most economical cost. On behalf of our students and employees, the board is asking you to look for alternative ways of reducing your costs without compromising the health, safety and welfare of our citizens.

Once again we realize that this is not an easy task but protecting the health, safety and welfare of our citizens must be a top priority for all of us. We appreciate your time and consideration on this important matter." Signed William F. King, Superintendent. And it was also copied to the fire chief, the police chief, the mayor and us.

Now I find it interesting how in the beginning of the year when council made amendments to cut administrative positions in the mayor's budget reducing the business administrator's salary, reducing waste at the top levels of government without cutting police and firefighters, the courts ruled that we hurt the health, safety of welfare of the residents. Now, what I think is really going to hurt the safety of the people of Scranton is the mayor's budget. And it's interesting because most issues that we deal with on council, we hear from

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

both sides. For instance, SAPA is one of the most hotter issues lately. have some people who come to council support it and some who oppose it. We receive e-mails from people on both sides of the issue. In the last eight days since the mayor's budget has come down, I have received probably a 100, between calls, e-mails and people just stopping inn on the street, a 100 people plus that have commented on the budget and out of all those people not one person said, Pass the budget the way it is. The people of Scranton do not support this budget. This budget is as far as I'm concerned can be thrown out. It's garbage. We cannot -- I've said this for few weeks now and it's something I strongly believe in and I know it's getting redundant. But the number one job of government is to keep people safe. That's truly what I believe. How Stu Renda and the mayor can send us down a budget that cuts police, cuts fire,

25

cuts the necessities the people of
Scranton need without touching the
administrative salaries which have
gone up dramatically over the past ten
years where the police and fire
haven't even seen a raise, with Stu
Renda making \$85,000 a year.
Obviously when he writes out his
budget, he's not going to cut his
salary but if I have it my way when we
do our amendments, city council will.

One final comment on the budget.

And I know that this week and when I talked to my colleagues we talked about his week's vote on the budget, we have to vote to introduce it to move it to the next step in the process. If we don't -- for instance, if we voted no this week, the mayor's budget will be dead and we wouldn't be able to put our amendments in it. So even though and I'm not speak for my colleagues but I think we're in agreement here that we do not want this budget to pass, we have to vote

yes as being a procedural vote to move it to next week so the amendments can be made. And far as the amendments go, I know I was talking to Mr. Joyce, we sat down at length on Saturday, relaxed a little bit, talked for a few hours about the budget. And it seems that we're all in the same page here with what we want to do. It's about public safety and that's it on the budget, I guess. I'm sure there will be more to come in the next two weeks.

I have few other concerns I would like to address. Last Thursday Councilman Loscombe and myself attended the Hyde Park Neighbor Watch meeting and there were many issues that were brought up. One of them was the idea of a curfew for juveniles and it was brought up by a couple members that were there, and it seemed to be something that council could consider. I wanted to mention it. And Chief Duffy seemed to be supportive of it.

So, Mrs. Krake, would you please

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

send a letter to Chief Duffy requesting a meeting with Mr. Loscombe and maybe we can go and meet with him and talk about it and we can report back to the neighborhood group what the chief says about it. He believes it would be good tool to be able to stop juveniles in the streets and, you know, stop them from getting into crime at night. A few citizens requests regarding blight. The first one is 459 Phelps Street. Windows are broken, boards are falling off the porch, the yard is a mess and the residents say small children sometimes play in the yard. Will you please forward that to licensing and inspections.

And the next four are all from the Hill Section from one resident.

I'll just read off the addresses because I have the background information. I know we're running late; 513 Shultz Court, 515 Shultz Court, in between 513 and 515 there's

a house without an address that was burnt out. And all these houses have been boarded up and for years this is persistent problem. So if we can send those as well as Mr. Seitzinger.

Could you please send a letter to the mayor, OECD and Mr. Swanson asking if there's set date for construction to begin on the Crisp Avenue Bridge project.

And finally can you please send a letter to Mr. Brazil asking him if he had considered odd and even system for streets sweeping and snow removal.

That's is another request from the Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch.

And I should have mentioned this earlier but I wanted to announce it.

The next week meeting of the West

Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch will be December 16th at 7 p.m. in the basement of St. Pat's Church. And, you know, last Thursday when we were there, it was a very nice crowd.

There was probably about 75 people

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there and it's great to see people in the community getting involved. great to see a full house here at city council. This is what democracy is about. It's about people coming and speaking their mind and you really can get an idea of what people want out of government by going to the people and, you know, the people we talk to at the neighborhood watch they're concerned about crime and they're concerned about this budget, they're concerned about response sometimes being longer for firefighters to get to their house and certainly fewer police officers on the street and somebody who lives in West Side myself, I have seen some of neighborhoods have changed just in the short amount of time since I graduated high school till now. For instance, my brother is a senior at West High. The group of people -- many of the students there now are people that have grown up in Scranton. You know, lived here their whole lives. They

have a different mind set. They come from a lot of people who are moving in from New York and New Jersey and that could be good thing if they have a sense of community that the Scrantonians have. When I was in high school and I'm sure many of you had, everybody knew everyone, even in elementary school. Everyone's family knew each other, we looked out for That's what is great each other. about our community but when we elements moving in from other areas, people involved in drugs, people involved in gangs, that's why we need police officers on our streets. guess I won't talk too much longer. That's all I have.

18

19

20

MR. EVANS: Thank you. Mr Joyce, any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE:

21

22

inform everyone of the status of four

Yes.

I want to

23

audits. We received a notice from

Rossi and Rossi, our independent

24

25

auditor, and they've informed us that

9

7

8

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

they've received responses from the attorney letters, however, they have requested additional information from city solicitor, Attorney Kelly, for approval amounts indicated and there responses for cases that have been settled in 2010 that pertains to 2009 an before. They were not provided with the necessary information to report the proper accrual by December 31st of 2009. Therefore, after receipt and review of this necessary information, the will issue a draft audit report for preparation and they will have an exit conference with the city's management. Now, everyone here from time to time speak about the audit and how late it is. Now, just to give you a potential of how this is impacting us and why do we need this in a timely fashion. Well, subsequently received in our office on November 17th we received a letter from DCED, and it states, "According to our records the Department of

24

25

Community and Economic Development, DCED, has not receive the following required filing from your municipality, the 2009 municipal audit and financial report. And the primary goal's requirement -- " as they state -- "is to make sure that the annual financial report, the information is complete and accurate for all Pennsylvania local The information is used governments. by the legislative and executive agencies for legislative policy and funding considerations as well as many outside organizations for research and comparative analysis. The fulfillment of our responsibility of filing this annual report will greatly assist our efforts in that regard and in order to strength accountability measures for the AFR filing DCED institute our police involved in fall of 2007 to place a hold on DECD grants to those municipalities who have not filed AFR. In December of 2009 municipalities

23

24

25

that have not submitted their 2008 AFRs we're notified as of January, 2010, they would be ineligible for funding assistance until such time DECD received the delinquent report. So where at point where receipt of the 2009 data is critical to complete DCED statutory on responsibilities. 2009 AFR is requested no later than December 31, 2010, at this point. absence of the 2009 data will DCED informs that they'll have to rely on prior financial information which will not accurate reflect our municipality's current financial position for legislative policy and funding considerations. 0ur municipality will also continue to be ineligible or DCED grant funding until this report is filed." So obviously you could see I'm not sitting up here every week or every other week talking about the status to be a pest or to criticize the administration like some people may want to believe. I'm up

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here saying this because this is important. This is important because it determinates a lot of different measures. It determination, for instance, just what I just described grant funding that we're currently not eligible for until we have this information in.

Another matter that was brought to my attention this week, a South Scranton resident phoned me earlier and informed me of a recurring problem that's going on in some of the apartments in the South Scranton and the University of Scranton area. short, he advised that there are more than four apartments in a building or house, the landlord is responsible for contracting private hauler for waste removal. Personally I didn't see the ordinance, yet I'm not sure if it's four, maybe five or six but anyhow te problem that lies is what happens is what he's informed me about is that some landlords actually have more than

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

required amount of units, however, they're only claiming that they have the maximum amount of units so they wouldn't have to hire a private hauler to haul away your trash. Now, one thing that I want to say, it's not fair to the residents of Scranton that this is happening because you might have a landlord in a building with seven tenants that's paying four apartment fees while you may have a household where you as a private residence are you may be in a family situation have to pay the garbage fee for one household. So anyhow I think this is worth looking into because the DPW is currently picking up trash for these landlords who has seven or eight units and claim they are four. Maybe we should look into amending that ordinance so that we bump the number up to six, eight, whatever it may be, so that these landlords could be held accountable and pay the necessary fees to the city for the amount of garbage

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that is actually being collected that they're current avoiding by paying a four unit rate. With this in mind, Mrs. Krake if you could please research this ordinance and I'll be glad to help you, and if you could place a copy of it in my mailbox and also all the other members of council, it would be greatly appreciated.

Finally, I'll comment on the budget. I'll be very short. I'll be very concise. Over the past week or so I'd say I've put in about 30 plus hours as the finance chair of this council. Looking into this budget and Lee Morgan always comes up here and states we should look at it line item by line item. I've actually looked into it further than that down to the expenses that are incurred to generate a line item down to the cost that we're paying for water coolers and coffee makers in City Hall, everything because at this point we're in a situation where the mayor has

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

compromised public safety and something needs to be done. And mark my words amendments will be made to this budget. Obviously as Pat said I'm voting for it tonight to pass it through so we could make those amendments, and I'll be speaking with all of the other members on council because this is something that as a council we have look into. serious matter. You know, a lot of the newspaper would like to say, you know, we're the union's council sitting up here. It's not about the unions. It's about the safety and it's about the wellbeing of the city. And I'll tell you what, I care too much about this city to let it go downhill. I will not let this city down by passing the budget that was put in front of us. I will do everything that I can to make the amendments necessary to do what's in the best interest of the people of this city and that's all I have for

tonight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Good evening. would like to begin with an announcement that I neglected to make The Pancreatic Cancer Action earlier. Network, Scranton/Wilkes-Barre affiliate will sponsor an Awareness Night with the Wilkes-Barre/Scranton Penguins on Saturday, November, 27, that would be this Saturday at 7:00 p.m. Tickets cost \$22.50 per person and includes red zone sitting, a meal voucher, a Penns hat and a raffle ticket. For tickets, please call Chris Sutski at 570-970-3607. a hero in the fight against pancreatic cancer.

Next, the Scranton Parking

Authority Board approved it's 2011

budget at its monthly meeting

conducted last week. According to the

2004-2006 and 2007 SPA prospectuses

and pursuant to and operating

agreement between the authority and

the city, prior to each fiscal year

1 the Scranton Parking Authority will 2 submit a budget city council for 3 approval. Although Mr. Scopelliti 4 provided a copy of the 2011 budget to 5 City Council's Office on 6 November 19th, the legislation to 7 adopt this budget has not been 8 submitted yet to city council by 9 Attorney Paul Kelly who serves as both 10 the city solicitor and the solicitor 11 of the Scranton Parking Authority and 12 whose dual employment presents a clear 13 conflict of interest. Nevertheless. 14 Attorney Kelly must submit the 15 Scranton Parking Authority 2011 budget 16 legislation to the Office of City 17 Council on/or before Monday, 18 November 29th for adoption and 19 amendment by Scranton City Council. 20 The parking authority is top heavy 21 with administration, some of whom 22 should not be paid by the City of 23 Scranton. Just as the city must cut 24 its budget, the Scranton Parking 25 Authority must also realize cuts.

Therefore, council Solicitor Hughes has notified Attorney Kelly of the requests for budget legislation in accordance with the prospectus of the 2004-2006 and 2007 bond issues and operating agreement. If Attorney Kelly in his capacity as either city solicitor or Scranton Parking Authority solicitor fails to submit the legislation, city council will advise the trustee of the guaranteed revenue bonds, J.P. Morgan Trust Company, among other pertinent parties that the parking authority is no longer in compliance with the terms of the three prospectuses. In addition, it's important to note that the prospectus in the operating agreement state that the parking authority operates the parking facilities on behalf of the city through a lease. The lease may be terminated by the city at any time upon 60 days written notice to the authority. Thus. it appears that the city may have the

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ability to terminate this lease for a better financial opportunity to lease parking garages to a private firm. This type of transaction could create substantial new revenue for the City of Scranton. Also noteworthy is council Solicitor Hughes discovery in prospectus that the city shall be entitled to set aside and transfer to the general fund as a payment in lieu of taxes an amount not to exceed \$25,000 per year. The parking authority has made no contributions during the life of these bonds. Therefore, city council will deduct \$25,000 for monies paid to the authority for fiscal year, 2011, and each year thereafter.

Next, PennDOT has invited local governments to submit applications under the Automated Red Light Enforcement Transportation Enhancement Grant's Program for which n approximately seven million dollars will be available in calendar year,

3

4

6

7

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2011, for congestion and safety related projects. Application should be submitted electronically by November 30th with a hard copy mailed to the state. Eligible enhancement projects include retiming of existing traffic control signals, upgrading modernization or improvements to traffic control signals, pedestrian safety improvements at signalized intersections such a count down timers easily accessible and quick response push buttons, crosswalks striking and pedestrian signing among numerous These projects are solely others. needed in Scranton and in light of Scranton's financial condition, the administration should aggressively seek these funds.

Mrs. Krake, please contact Mr.
Brazil and Mr. Renda to obtain a copy
of the city's application for the ARLE
Grant Program and if the city has
failed to apply, council requests an
explanation for that decision.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

In addition, the city was

notified on November 19th of critical

and high priority bridge maintenance

items by C.C. Johnson and Mel

Horteror, bridge inspector for PennDOT

engineering district 4-0. The Parker

Street Bridge over the Lackawanna

River was listed as a critical

priority where action must be taken

within seven days to post a weight of

five tons based on critical condition

of the prestressed box beams as well

as two additional measures. With

regard to the Elm Street Bridge, a

weight limiting posting of 20 tons is

required based on the serious

condition of the prestressed box beams

and also all required signage is

missing. Again, this is listed as a

critical priority which must be

addressed within seven days of

notification.

Mrs. Krake, please contact Mr.

Brazil tomorrow and forward him a

follow-up memo as well to comply with

is CJM notification. Council requests a report for its meeting of November 30th, 2010.

Fortunately I have but one citizens request this week. The streetlight on Ferdinand Street remains in need of repair and if our office could please contact Mr. Kerns of MER for an update on this issue which council will then present publicly.

Finally, council continues its
work on the mayor's 2011 proposed
budget and will present its amendments
to the public in December. The mayor
has created a monumental financial
disaster and willingly chose not to
plan for the impact of his
mismanagement and ill fated decisions.
He wished to be headed to Harrisburg
as governor or a state senator before
his actions caught up to him and long
before the distressed status could be
lifted. Because of the mayor's
financial mismanagement, his budgetary

25

cuts that jeopardize the health, safety and welfare of city residents, his lack of cuts to his political bureaucracy, his salary raises to select department heads and his shuffling of still other management positions to keep his political contributors employed by the city and municipal authorities. This council has a challenge of significant proportions that far exceeds any budget and any issue faced by prior council's in this decade. Council will amend the mayor's budget to reflect significant changes that benefit the people of Scranton. Further, Scranton City Council will conduct a public hearing in Council Chambers next Tuesday, November 30th, at 5:45 p.m. to hear and consider public testimony and suggestions regarding the mayor's 2011 proposed budget. And that's it.

Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5B. REPEALING FILE

OF THE COUNCIL NO.82, 2007 ENTITLED 1 2 "APPROVING PENALTY, INTEREST AND FEE 3 SCHEDULE FOR COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT 4 REAL ESTATE TAXES". MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 5 6 entertain a motion that Item 5B be introduced into its proper committee. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 9 MR. JOYCE: Second. 10 MS. EVANS: On the question? am extremely pleased to vote to repeal 11 12 this draconian ordinance which was 13 adopted by previous council in 2007. 14 As minority council member, I voted 15 against this ordinance at the time and 16 thereafter twice tried to amend it in 17 order to save homeowners of 23 18 penalties, interest fees and the 19 sheriff's sale of their homes. With 20 the departure of NCC we finally see 21 the lifting of these extreme measures 22 that financially benefited only NCC. 23 Is there council on the question? 24 (No response.) 25 MS. EVANS: All those in favor of

1	introduction, signify by saying aye?
2	MS. EVANS: Aye.
3	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
5	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes
8	have it and so moved.
9	MS. KRAKE: 5C. APPROVING
10	PENALTY, INTEREST AND FEE SCHEDULE FOR
11	COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE
12	TAXES AND REFUSE FEES.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5C be
15	introduced into its proper committee?
16	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
17	MR. JOYCE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? I
19	will be going in favor of introduction
20	of this legislation tonight, however,
21	because council is examining and
22	researching this ordinance, it may
23	amend or vote no at a future meeting.
24	Since delinquent tax collection will
25	be returned to the city treasurer's

1	office in 2011, the need to charge
2	exorbitant and unnecessary costs to
3	delinquent taxpayers no longer exits.
4	The vast majority of 23 penalties,
5	interest and fees imposed by the 2007
6	ordinance were paid not to the city
7	coffers but to NCC itself. Our goal
8	is aggressively delinquent taxes. It
9	is not and should never have been to
10	make it financially prohibitive or
11	impossible to pay delinquencies.
12	All those favor of introduction
13	signify by saying aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes
20	have it and so moved.
21	MS. KRAKE: 5D. APPROVING FEE
22	SCHEDULE FOR DELINQUENT TAX SEARCHES,
23	DELINQUENT AND CURRENT REFUSE
24	SEARCHES, AND LIEN/CONDEMNATION
25	SEARCHES.

1	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
2	entertain a motion that Item 5D be
3	introduced into its proper committee.
4	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
5	MR. JOYCE: Second.
6	MS. EVANS: On the question? I
7	will be voting in favor of
8	introduction of this legislation
9	tonight. Council continues to
10	research this ordinance as well and
11	will have the opportunity to amend it
12	or vote no at subsequent meetings.
13	All those in favor of
14	introduction signify by saying aye?
15	MS. EVANS: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MS. KRAKE: 5E. APPROPRIATING
23	FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY
24	GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING
25	ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2011 TO

1 AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2011 BY THE 2 ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING 3 BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2011. 4 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 5 entertain a motion that Item 5E be 6 introduced into its proper committee. 7 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 8 MR. JOYCE: Second. 9 MS. EVANS: On the question? 10 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 11 As I mentioned before, this vote is 12 not a vote in support of the mayor's 13 budget. It's simply a vote so can we 14 move to the next stage to the 15 legislative process council can amend 16 it. 17 MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to 18 add that I will be voting in favor of 19 introduction tonight only because the 20 legislation must be introduced in 21 order for it to be amended. As my 22 colleagues stated, I am not in 23 agreement with the mayor's budget and 24 this vote to introduce is merely a 25 parliamentary act.

1	Anyone else on the question?
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Just ditto.
3	MS. EVANS. All those in favor
4	signify by saying aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes
11	have it and so moved.
12	MS. KRAKE: 5F. ACCEPTING THE
13	RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
14	ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND
15	APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF
16	APPROPRIATENESS FOR REEVES AWNINGS,
17	623 LINCOLN AVENUE, JERMYN,
18	PENNSYLVANIA, FOR TWO TRADITIONAL
19	STYLE 9'+ 4" AWNINGS WITHOUT SIDES AND
20	WITHOUT VALANCE INSTALLED OVER WINDOWS
21	AT 410-412 SPRUCE STREET, SCRANTON,
22	PENNSYLVANIA.
23	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
24	entertain a motion that Item 5F be
25	introduced into its proper committee.

1	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
2	MR. JOYCE: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question?
4	Attorney Hughes, I need your advise.
5	Since I engaged Reeves Awning for the
6	setup and removal of awnings for my
7	home, do I have a conflict of interest
8	by casting a vote.
9	MR. HUGHES: I do not think that
10	would not be a conflict merely because
11	you employed them to install your
12	awnings and take them off, I don't
13	think has any bearing on this.
14	MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.
15	Anyone else on the question?
16	(No response.)
17	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
18	signify by saying aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
22	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

1	MS. KRAKE: 5G. AUTHORIZING THE
2	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
3	OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
4	CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
5	WITH PENNSYLVANIA CLAIMS SERVICES,
6	INC. FOR THE THIRD PARTY
7	ADMINISTRATION OF THE CITY'S
8	WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS.
9	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5G be
11	introduced into its proper committee.
12	MR. ROGAN: So moved
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question?
15	MR. MCGOFF: Do we know if this
16	was the lowest? I saw that there were
17	five bids. Do we know if this was the
18	lowest bid?
19	MR. MCGOFF: I do not know if it
20	was. I can research that.
21	MS. EVANS: I don't either. We
22	can find that out for you next week.
23	MR. MCGOFF: I think that that
24	would appropriate to find out what the
25	bids were and in fact is the lowest

1	bid provided.
2	MS. EVANS: Absolutely. Mrs.
3	Krake, can you follow up that this
4	week so that we're prepared for a
5	proper vote next week.
6	MS. KRAKE: Certainly.
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Anyone
8	else on the question?
9	(No response.)
10	MS. EVENS: All those in favor of
11	introduction signify by saying aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved.
19	MS. KRAKE: 6A. READING BY
20	TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 47 2010 -
21	AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND
22	ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
23	02.229596 ENTITLED "COMCAST EG GRANT"
24	FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF
25	GRANT FUNDS AVAILABLE TO THE

1	CITY OF SCRANTON THROUGH THE RENEWAL
2	OF THE CABLE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT WITH
3	COMCAST.
4	MS. EVANS: You heard reading by
5	title of Item 6A. What is your
6	pleasure?
7	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6A
8	pass written by title.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved.
19	MS. KRAKE: 6B. READING BY TITLE
20	- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 48 2010 - AN
21	ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
22	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
23	EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CABLE
24	FRANCHISE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY

1	COLORADO/PENNSYLVANIA/WEST VIRGINIA,
2	LLC.
3	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading
4	by title of Item 6B. What is your
5	pleasure?
6	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6B
7	pass written by title.
8	MR. JOYCE: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question?
10	MR. MCGOFF: This is the
11	amendment.
12	MS. EVANS: Yes.
13	MR. MCGOFF: As amended last
14	week.
15	MS. EVANS: Yes, as amended last
16	week. That is correct. Thank you.
17	Thank you very much.
18	All those in favor signify by
19	saying aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
25	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes

1 have it and so moved. 2 MS. KRAKE: 7A. FOR 3 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 4 RULES - FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 5 47, 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE CITY 6 OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE 7 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, 8 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR A 9 PERMIT TO INSTALL AND OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION OF S.R. 10 11 3011 KEYSER AVENUE AND S.R. 3002 12 (RANSOM ROAD)/CONTINENTAL STREET FOR THE REHABILITATION OF KEYSER AVENUE 13 14 FROM JUST SOUTH OF THE INTERSECTION WITH OAK STREET IN TAYLOR BOROUGH TO 15 THE INTERSECTION WITH FERDINAND STREET 16 17 IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 18 MS. EVANS: As chairman of the 19 Committee on Rules, I recommend a 20 final passage of Item 7A. 21 MR. ROGAN: Second. 22 MS. EVANS: On the question? 23 Last week an extensive discussion was 24 held with the city engineer regarding 25 possible negative impacts to adjacent

1	homeowners. City Engineer Brian
2	Swanson stated that there were no
3	reported or foreseeable issues,
4	however, the problem in the 1100 block
5	of Main Avenue of which I'm aware
6	continues to be pursued by Council's
7	Office, therefore, I would be voting
8	to approve the four pieces of
9	legislation for traffic light
10	installation on tonight's agenda.
11	Role call, please.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe?
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce?
19	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans?
21	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
22	declare Item 7A legally and lawfully
23	adopted.
24	MS. KRAKE: 7B. FOR CONSIDERATION
25	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR

1	ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 48, 2010 -
2	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
3	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
4	THE APPLICATION TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF
5	PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
6	TRANSPORTATION FOR BRIDGE OCCUPANCY
7	LICENSE REQUIRED IN CONJUNCTION WITH
8	THE TRAFFIC SIGNAL AT S.R. 3002 RANSOM
9	ROAD AND CONTINENTAL STREET TO
0	ACCOMMODATE THE PROPOSED SIGNAL
11	CONDUIT/WIRING TO BE ATTACHED TO THE
2	ADJACENT BOX CULVERT OVER LUCKY RUN
3	(BMS NO. 35 3011 0120 0259).
4	MS. EVANS: As chair for the
15	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
16	passage of Items 7B.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question?
19	(No response.)
20	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
3	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
6	declare Item 7B legally and lawfully
7	adopted.
8	MS. KRAKE: 7C. FOR CONSIDERATION
9	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR
10	ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 49, 2010 -
11	AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
12	MAKE APPLICATION TO THE COMMONWEALTH
13	OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
14	TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT
15	TO INSTALL AND OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS
16	AT THE INTERSECTION OF S.R. 3011
17	KEYSER AVENUE AND S.R. 3014
18	(DALTON STREET) AND DIVISION STREET
19	FOR THE REHABILITATION OF KEYSER
20	AVENUE FROM JUST SOUTH OF THE
21	INTERSECTION WITH OAK STREET IN TAYLOR
22	BOROUGH TO THE INTERSECTION WITH
23	FERDINAND STREET IN THE CITY OF
24	SCRANTON.
25	MS. EVANS: As chair for the

1	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
2	passage of Item 7C.
3	MR. ROGAN: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
14	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
17	declare Item 7C legally and lawfully
18	adopted.
19	MS. KRAKE: 7D. FOR CONSIDERATION
20	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR
21	ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 50, 2010 -
22	AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
23	MAKE APPLICATION TO THE COMMONWEALTH
24	OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
25	TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT

1	TO INSTALL AND OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS
2	AT THE INTERSECTION OF S.R. 3011
3	KEYSER AVENUE AND S.R. 3003
4	(JACKSON STREET)/JACKSON STREET FOR
5	THE REHABILITATION OF KEYSER AVENUE
6	FROM JUST SOUTH OF THE INTERSECTION
7	WITH OAK STREET IN TAYLOR BOROUGH TO
8	THE INTERSECTION WITH FERDINAND STREET
9	IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
10	MS. EVANS: As chair for the
11	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7D.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question?
15	(No response.)
16	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
24	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.

1	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
2	declare Item 7D legally and lawfully
3	adopted.
4	If there is no further business,
5	I will entertain a motion to adjourn.
6	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
7	MS. EVAN: Meeting adjourned.
8	(Proceedings adjourned at 9:07
9	p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2 <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Amelia Nicol Official Court Reporter