	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, November 16, 2010
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD AFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS
16	TIME.
17	MS. EVANS: Clerk's notes, please.
18	MS. KRAKE: We had one response from
19	Lackawanna College concerning some of the
20	services that we would offer to the city in
21	lieu of taxes. It's a very long.
22	MS. EVANS: Actually, I will address
23	some of that under motions.
24	MS. KRAKE: Very good. Thank you.
25	

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We also have several Right-to-Know requests that are fast approaching the 30-day deadline. They are: Have all UDAG loans been paid in full? If not, please make a list of those that have not, the dollar amounts for UDAG and OECD -- that OECD will realize in 2010, '11, and '12.

Are there any remaining funds for prior year grants for CDBG, if so, what is the dollar amount and so forth?

In 2002, have there been any projects that were approved for funding but not implemented. If so, what are those projects and so forth, breakdown of them.

Community Development Block Grants,
Parks and Recreation, all of the items that
OECD had requested had been requested -excuse or the city had originally requested
for CDBG and we had asked for a breakdown of
each one of those with the administration
and planning costs.

MS. EVANS: And you did say that they are all close to the 30-day deadline,

have any surpassed?

MS. KRAKE: Yes. We had two more that were not received, but the one that passed was a copy of any and all permits issued to the University of Scranton that would comprise the \$2 million in revenue that was received by the city as per the administration's remarks.

MS. EVANS: If we can give that, please, to our solicitor and he can pursue that appropriately and legally.

MS. KRAKE: We received no response from business administrator Stu Renda concerning the cap on the liability insurance for the City of Scranton.

We also received no response in so far as the request what would be the required to get the city's pension fund to 50 percent, and also why hasn't our audit been completed by Robert Rossi in a timely fashion.

MS. EVANS: We'll put those into Mr. Renda's file.

MS. KRAKE: That's it for clerk's notes.

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: I just have a couple of things that were in our mail. This one is, unfortunately, November 15 and 16, so it's Pizza for Pancreatic Cancer Awareness Fundraiser being conducted by the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network. I attended an event, it was Cheers for a Cure at Whistles on Saturday night conducted by the same group. It was very well attended and it's a very worthy cause, but this one is you can order pizza, it's 50 percent of all sales at Pizza by Pappas will got to the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network, and the only remaining date is tomorrow, I guess this evening and tomorrow, but that's Pizza by Pappas on 303 North Washington Avenue.

And the second thing we had in our mail was the Sweet on the Albright.

Albright Memorial Library is conducting a fundraiser. They are selling Gertrude

Hawk's candies and posters and other things.

I imagine that any information you would be

1 able to get at the library itself. were in my mail. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Rogan, 4 any announcements? 5 MR. ROGAN: Yes, just one. Thursday, November 18, from 7 to 8 p.m. the 6 7 West Scranton Crime Watch will meet. 8 will be meeting in the basement of St. Pat's 9 Church and they will be discussing blight 10 and absentee landlords and I encourage all 11 my fellow Westsiders to attend, thank you. 12 MS. EVANS: Mr. Loscombe, any 13 announcements? 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: I have nothing this 15 evening. Thank you. 16 MS. EVANS: Mr. Joyce? MR. JOYCE: I have no announcements 17 18 either. MS. EVANS: The Scranton/Lackawanna 19 20 County Taxpayers' Association will meet this 21 Thursday, November 18, at 6:00 p.m. in 22 Scranton City Council chambers. 23 There will be a community meeting to 24 discuss the sale of Mercy Hospital tomorrow

evening, November 17, at 6:30 p.m. at St.

25

Mary's Center, 320 Mifflin Avenue in Scranton. Learn about CHS and other potential buyers and help to plan the next steps to protect the interest of patients, employees and our community. The meeting is open to everyone.

The Albright Memorial Library presents the "Little Red School House, An American Icon" with Author Jonathan Zimmerman, this Thursday, November 18, from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m. in the periodical's room located in the reference department of the library. Jonathan Zimmerman is a professor of Education and History at NYU Steinhart School, a former high school teacher and author of four books. He is also the recipient of NYU's distinguished teaching award and a frequent contributor to national newspapers and journals.

Mr. Zimmerman will ask the questions how and why the little red school house became an American icon and how across the political spectrum everyone envisioned a school house that they needed to see. For reservations call 348-3000 or register

on-line at www.Albright.org/events. 1 Sunday for Sandy, a benefit to raise 2 3 funds for Sandy Marino, a first grade teacher at Prescott School, will be held on 4 Sunday, November 28, at the Tripp Park 5 Community Center from 2 to 6 p.m. Tickets 6 7 are \$10 and are available at all Scranton 8 schools and at the door. 9 Finally, we congratulate the West Scranton High School Invaders football team 10 11 for it's crushing victory over Dallas High 12 School in Saturday's District II, Class AAA 13 semi-final game and we wish West the best of 14 luck this Saturday as they face Abington 15 Heights in the championship game. Go get 16 'em. Invaders. And that's it. 17 MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' 18 PARTICIPATION. 19 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this 20 evening is Matt McDonald. 21 MR. MCDONALD: Good evening, 22 Council. 23 MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MCDONALD: My name is Matt

MR. JOYCE:

Good evening.

24

McDonald, I'm a lifelong resident of the
City of Scranton, Scranton firefighter and
I'm vice president of Scranton Firefighters'
Local 60.

To say that I'm concerned about the recent budget proposals of the Doherty administration concerning the fire department and police department is an understatement. Like I said, I have lived in this city for 35 years, I have my family who still lives here, I have many friends and every citizen of the city I'm concerned about. I took an oath when I joined the fire department to protect the citizens of the city and I feel it's our job to come here and explain the dangerous cuts that the Doherty administration has proposed.

Let me start off by briefly
explaining the difference between the fire
apparatus that run the city. There is a
fire engine, which is the backbone of the
fire department. A fire engine carries -in the city carries 750 gallons of water,
carries supply hose, carries attack hose to
attack fires and applies zones -- excuse me

to get water from fire hydrants into the fire engine.

Now, there is on a typical fire two engine companies would be dispatched immediately, the first-in company on scene we refer to as the first-due engine company and what they do is they start the fire attack. They pull the attack line and they start fighting the fire.

The second-due engine company that's immediately dispatched that establishes the water supply, stops at a fire hydrant, when the firefighter gets out takes some of the large diameter hose which is four inch, pulls it off the back and connects it to the fire hydrant. They go down the street and they attach it to the first fire company that got there because there only 750 gallons in there and that's only a few minutes that that engine first due in company is able to put the water on the fire. It only takes a couple of minutes, two or three minutes that we have.

The second piece of apparatus is the ladder company. We currently staff two in

the city. One at fire headquarters and one over at Engine 9 on Main Avenue. Their job, they have many jobs of the fire, but one of their jobs is to do ventilation inside of the fire. We all know that most of our stuff today is made out of plastics.

Plastics burn faster, burn hotter, they release toxic chemicals into the air and we -- that needs to be ejected out of the residence.

So what they do is they either horizontally ventilate by breaking windows and letting out heat and poisonous gas and smoke escape from the building or they can also vertically ventilate, cut the roof which gets it out, also. They throw ladders so residents could escape out windows and firefighters could get in to get people, again, many jobs that they do.

The third apparatus is the rescue company. Their main job of the fire is to search for people who can't get out of the building who are either incapacitated or just disoriented in getting out. Again, talking about the new age we are in here

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with plastics and everything, people don't last as long as they used to in fires because of the poisonous gases and the heat that's generated by these fires that burn today.

So when this administration wants to close two engine companies, a ladder company, and then move a rescue -- the rescue company over to Main Avenue so you don't have to close down that firehouse, dangerous is not the -- is a word that comes to mind because all those jobs have to be done simultaneously within a few minutes of the fire coming in. We work in seconds and minutes in this job, you know, the first engine company gets on scene, the rescue company gets there, and the fire truck gets there and they have to do all of those jobs all at once. If you take one piece of that pie out, if the first due engine company gets on scene and they don't have water because the second engine company is not there or is ten minutes away in another part of town then they have pull out, the fire can progress, the rescue company can't get

in to try and see if there is people in there to get them out.

So, again, when we talk about fire I don't think people understand, you know, they see some of these movies out there where, you know, these fires they go in and they put them out. It's -- Jack understands that these jobs need to be done simultaneously within minutes of us coming in.

My point is here, I'm getting a little off track here, but my point is that in this proposal he is proposing to cut Engine 4, which is at fire headquarters, and Engine 9 which is over in Main Avenue. Engine 4 protects the entire downtown area. It protects the lower Hill Section. It's first due in the lower Hill Section, lower South Side, Pinebrook, parts of Weston Field. Engine 9 also is second due in the city -- into the downtown area.

I did a list today of over 30 residential multi-level units in the city along with nursing homes, schools, and motels and hospitals that Engine 4 is the

first due in that. If you take away Engine 4, and I understand what they are doing is they don't want to close the firehouses, but a truck company is different from an engine company, so where are these engine companies going to come from? If we have something happen at Mulberry Towers across the street here we are going to be waiting for Engine 15 to come up the hill, Engine 10 on East Mountain, and that delay is just -- it's just unacceptable. Do I have -- could I continue with what I have here? I have a few more things.

MS. EVANS: If you can finish up in a minute or two, please.

MR. MCDONALD: Surely. An interesting fact I came over today, it's actually a grim fact by the United States Fire Service it states that citizens over 65 years old are twice as likely to die in a fire. The population of Scranton of 65 or older is 20 percent, and a large chunk of that is this downtown area and these high-rise buildings.

So again, that's a grim fact that

this is a very scary situation for our citizens, the most vulnerable citizens in the city and we are taking two of the first due engine companies out of service. I have more, but I'm sorry that I kind of got off topic and just thank you for listening to me tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. John Judge.

MR. JUDGE: Good evening, Council.

My name is John Judge and I'm a Scranton firefighter and the secretary with IFF Local 60, been serving my union for about six, seven years now. I kind of have a unique take on some of the things that have happened with the budget and I think my position is a little unique because I have been on both sides of it. I was a police officer in the city before I switched over to the fire department and some of the things that I see with this most recent budget are like my -- Matt had stated are very troublesome and worry us very greatly.

If we just look at the two engines that the mayor is proposing to cut, I find

it interesting, like Matt stated, that all of the properties that we have downtown and all of the money that's been put in in the last -- Id move back home about nine or ten years ago, all of the money that's been dumped into the downtown area for revitalization projects and you can see the downtown area, new buildings everywhere, these are the two, first two pieces of apparatus that are due to any of the properties downtown. Engine 4 and Engine 9 would be vital to the overall success of the operation.

One of the things that I do across
the -- actually across the state and I have
been in Texas and Delaware, I teach for the
fire service, so I feel I have some pretty
good knowledge on how this is going to
hinder our operations the way that they are
structuring. The properties that we have
downtown with these two engines closed, what
we are going to do as a union is we are
going to put information out there. People
are going to tell you and you are going to
hear it on the news and in the newspapers

that the union is using scare tactics. This is not scare tactics. We are being realists. If the administration does not want to be responsible for the public we are going to do it for them. We are going to investigate how this is going to effect the public, we are going to investigate how this is going to effect our members.

Unfortunately, Mr. Loscombe was a firefighter back when they had 210 people. We are now down with this mayor we will be down to 110. In I believe '92, early 90's it went from 210 down to 150, now we are down to -- going to be down to 110 if the mayor gets his way on this. With that 110 number he expects to be able to keep open all of the pieces with the exception of those two engines I mentioned and one of our ladder trucks.

It's not that -- we have done the numbers, the numbers do not add up. What is going to happen is there is going to be overtime incurred because of the numbers, and the problem that we have with this is if you are going to build a house you just

don't build the house and then decide who is going to move into it. They are working backwards here. You have to work -- you have to look at see what's required of this area, 26.2 square miles the city, 70,000 residents, plus residents. The coverage area that we are responsible for.

Right now we run minimum pieces of equipment in our opinion with -- there is five engines, they run only two. Is it dangerous? Yes, it is. Unfortunately, the fire service every time we have an administration that wants to cut the fire service we learn to do more with less.

Unfortunately, this puts us in a position where we can no longer do that same job with that less -- those less numbers.

We need these firefighters on the streets to protect these citizens. What we will be doing over the next several days and weeks is we are going to look and take a realistic approach at how this is going to effect the safety of the public and we are going to disseminate that information. If it means hitting the streets, that's what we are

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to do. If it means press conferences, that's what we are going to do.

The city has done studies, you guys are in possession of the one that PEL did. If you look at that PEL study what did the city take out of that and put in their budget? Nothing. All of the recommendation that come from that study which we disagree with on several factors they are not even using any of those recommendations. are looking at it from a purely fiscal standpoint and disregarding the overall safety of the public and the members of this fire department and this union will not tolerate it and we will fight it every step of the way to ensure that every person that lives or works in the city or travels through it will be safe at all times. We will to our best to develop the information and pass it onto council as need, but just looking at these numbers that we have looked at they don't add up. It's not going to work.

Any questions that you have for us we would be more than happy to answer, meet

with council, whatever it takes, but we'll make sure that we'll be responsible for the safety of the public at this point if the administration wants to disregard it. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I have one question for you, in the budget that was sent down by the administration there was only \$100,000 allocated for overtime for firefighters, I believe. Currently as of 9-30 this year I believe there has been somewhere in the 800 to 900 thousand dollar range spent on overtime and your estimate, since I know that you are a very knowledgeable resource regarding the fire department, is this \$100,000 figure accurate and what would you say the overtime expenses would be due to such staffing reductions that the mayor is proposing?

MR. JUDGE: The numbers that we looked at, the \$100,000 -- and here is our biggest concern on that, we feel that the \$100,000 that they have put in there if something happens where we have members off injured because they are taking perfect

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

numbers in their budget with no members that are off injured. Currently we have six members that are off injured, backfilling them, our fear is that what he will do is possibly close additional companies and that's where we are seeing the numbers not working.

As to what the actual overtime numbers will be, with three of those companies closed already if you just continue to close companies we still think he is going to develop overtime and it may not be as much as what's in the budget this year, but it's going to be substantial, and if you remember everybody likes to point at all of the overtime the firefighters are making. When this happened, when they reduced the numbers back in the 90's, and it was before my time, that was kind of how this got structured. This is really structured as 165 person department at this We are running, we were running until 2008 with a 150 and the mayor through attrition has now got us down to 137 firefighters and now wants to take another

27 down. I know that Times says there is 34 positions cut, and I would assume if you looked at the budget from last year they had funded 144 firefighters, and if you take 34 from 144 that's where they are getting the 110 number, but we have had some members leave this year for different reasons.

So is the overtime number -- I don't know because we don't know what their intentions are. They are not -- they are not letting us know and I'll be honest with you, I don't think they know. Every study that we have seen we have talked to, you know, our higher ups as far as our deputy chiefs, assistant chiefs and our captains, none of our chiefs have been consulted and not even the fire chief. He is not told what's going on. He is told this is what you are going to work with and he has to implement it. Not having a say in that I think that's inappropriate and it's dangerous.

Chief Davis is responsible for our department, he should have input into how the department is structured and how it

works. We have people that are just counting beans upstairs that are making these decisions and it's not realistic, but we are going to get you the numbers. We are going to make sure the city stays safe one way or another.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$\ \operatorname{MCGOFF}: \ I'm\ sorry,\ the\ point\ of$ information there --

MR. JUDGE: Sure.

MR. MCGOFF: I know he asked it was budgeted in 2010 overtime was \$400,000 and actual as of September 30 was \$665,000 so --

MR. JUDGE: Correct.

MR. MCGOFF: -- obviously \$100,000 is not even going to come close.

MR. JUDGE: No, I would imagine not, not unless he intends on closing additional companies and that's our fear at this point that some additional companies will be closed. The way we operate now, although it's not ideal, it's known to us and we make it work. We have looked at the numbers and operating anything less than what we have now is going to be dangerous to our members and the public and we are just not going to

stand for it, it's that simple.

MR. ROGAN: I have one question as well.

MR. JUDGE: Sure.

MR. ROGAN: And actually, maybe

Councilman Loscombe you may want to chime in on this because I know you served on the fire department and worked in the insurance industry as well, over time as we see more and more cuts in fire protection in the city could homeowners see increased rates?

MR. JUDGE: I think you will bigger reductions -- or your bigger increases are going to be your businesses through the ISO rating, the Insurance Services Organization rating. I think that's who is going to end up seeing the bigger hit or the businesses, and I know from experience my family owns a business in this city, probably one of the older businesses in the city, been in business for as long as I know, my great-grandfather started it and I know one of the things that cripples his business is being able to compete with, you know, businesses outside of the city because of

the heavy mercantile tax, so then you are going to increase their insurance as well h it's going to hinder them even further. In a day like today you all know that small mom and pop businesses are being thrown to the wayside because it's tough to compete with these bigger businesses.

MR. ROGAN: And a time when we are trying to attract more businesses and more people to the city I think the last message we want to send to people outside the area who might open a business in Scranton or who might move their family to Scranton is that the government is cutting police and fire protection.

MR. JUDGE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: It think that's the last message we want to send.

MR. JUDGE: Yeah. I absolutely think it is. Like I said, we will continue to come here every Tuesday night if we have to. We will be on the streets. It's not scare tactics. Anybody that wants to challenge the information that we put out there I would be more than happy to educate

them, that's what we are trying it do. We are here to educate you. I have an obligation to my union to make sure that they stay safe when they're working. I have a 137 brothers that I'm not going to let anything happen to, it's that simple.

Nobody is going to -- nobody is going to hurt my family. That's my family, 137 brothers and nothing is going to happen to the citizens here either. I won't allow it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Judge.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Rogan, just to answer your question to make it more clear, yes, any downward change in our ISO rating will affect every homeowner and business owner in the city.

MR. ROGAN: Yes, because I remember when the initial recovery plan went to battle one of the lines the administration at the time used was we are going to face a tax increase if they don't pass it. What happened was obviously the Recovery Plan was passed, previous council and the mayor increased property taxes anyways and now he

wants to cut more police officers and more firefighters and in the end if that -- if we do cut them and the rates for fire insurance go up for homeowners in the end the homeowners pay anyway and we don't have the protection.

MR. DESTEFANO: Good evening,
Council. Gary DeStefano, Scranton
firefighter and resident. I guess I'm here
this evening to discuss with you the
ill-conceived notion that this is actually a
plan put forward by this administration to
reorganize the fire department. How anyone
can say a longer response time with less
apparatus and less manpower is going to be
more efficient for this city, I do not know.

On a personnel note, just so everyone could think of it this way, I'm a resident of the Hill Section because now there are no engines in town, Engine 4 and Engine 9 on the other side of the river Engine 15 will be your first due engine more than likely to downtown Scranton going on all of the calls, all of the alarms, everything else it involves. What happens

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the residents of the Hill Section when their engine is no longer there when it's out on a call in center city? What do we do then? Where will the next engine company be coming from for their protection?

Do you see the great hole that this created by reducing those two engine companies? Will the people in the Hill Section now have to wait for the engine in West Scranton on West Market Street to come across town or possibly South Side? that -- how is that -- how is that more efficient? I just don't understand how you could think that it isn't planned. to me it is a, oh, somebody crunched the numbers, they sat down and said, "We need to save this amount of money from the fire department," and then somebody got out a calculator and divided and they said, "Okay we now have 110 firefighters. That's all we need," with no rhyme or reason how they are going to operate. No plan really other than to save money we are now going to have 110 firefighters. That's about all I have. you have any questions?

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: No.

MR. DESTEFANO: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Jack Gaffney.

MR. GAFFNEY: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. GAFFNEY: My name is Jack Gaffney, I'm a resident of the city, I'm also a firefighter and newly elected second vice president of the Local 60 Union for the firefighters. Excuse me if I'm a little scatterbrained, our heads have been spinning for the past day or so. Just to piggyback off of a couple of things these guys said. Gary was mentioning response times. Firefighting, and Mr. Loscombe could attest to it, it's basically a job of what if. What if your house catches on fire, what are you going to do? What if your first due engine isn't there, what are you going to do? This is a job where like Matt was saying we require -- our job requires us to perform every second. Fire doubles every few seconds. When that first engine isn't there and it's coming from wherever, there

is an -- Engine 15 has to come into town now to go on all of the alarms where is that second engine coming from? If it has to come from East Mountain now it has to come all of the way down into town because it can't go over the Harrison Avenue bridge. What are you going to do?

As far as overtime, we were talking before, I never thought I'd have to say -- let me start over and say I never thought this day would come after being on the job almost eight years and I have to defend myself and the people that I work with to you people and to the citizens. It's exhausting when you run into people on a daily basis and in the back of your mind you're defending yourself before you even open your mouth. It's exhausting.

You are talking overtime. We were \$265,000 over budget by the end of September. Understandable when are you 13 men short. Scranton Times sees us as being worth \$89,000 per man. Do the math. 89 times 13, the city is getting off pretty cheap. Do we make anywhere near \$89,000? I

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't. I wish I brought my paycheck with me.

I'm watching the news last night, the 11:00 news on Channel 28 and the mayor sits there and says, "Let's be honest, each firefighter the average makes \$67,000 in the city."

Unless I make tens of thousands of dollars in the next six weeks I won't come anywhere near it. It's public record. Go check my paycheck. I don't know where they are getting these figures.

On a personal note, I live in North Scranton. Engine 8 is the first company to Second engine is Engine 9, which mv house. is one that he wants to close. The truck company that comes to my house and everyone else in North Scranton is the truck company he wants to close. How long are those guys on Engine 8 going to be at my house by themselves? I might be one of the guys. You can't fight a fire with only a couple of We require, as I said, we require for everything to happen simultaneously as Matt Everyone has a job to do, we all know said.

what to do and we are not standing here saying, you know, keep Engine 4 and keep Engine 9 open, don't get the idea we want another engine to close, we are working on a bare minimum right now. Our backs have been bending for years. For years.

Since 1992 this department is down 100 guys if the mayor gets his way January 1. A hundred men and women. We can't perform as a -- to go below the bare minimum. There is no perfect math as John was saying. It doesn't work that way. The numbers don't match.

three children that go to Neil Armstrong
School, the first two pieces of apparatus
that would arrive at Neil Armstrong School
are two of the three that he wants to close,
Engine 9 and Truck 4. There should be every
parent in here from North Scranton
complaining about that. I can't imagine
that these people would not want to get
involved. What is enough? We will not -we'll try to not let that happen. You
cannot allow that to happen. I don't know

what the price of getting out of distressed status is, but the lives of my three children isn't the price and every other parent, every other parent that has a child at Neil Armstrong School those two companies, Engine 9 and Truck 4 will not be there in the time that they would be today come January 1. That's dangerous.

I never fully understood everything, as I said, almost on eight years, I guess the wool is off my eyes now. I can see a lot clearly now and it's dangerous for the citizens, for the 137 or the 110 which ironically I would be number 110. What do I say to the guy that's right behind me? "Sorry. I feel sorry for you."

My cousin is one of the 27, what do I tell him and his kids? It's not right and it's not safe, and as I said before we will do everything that we can to not have this go through and I am asking you personally, because I know some of you personally, please do not allow this to happen not as a firefighter as a parent and a citizen you cannot allow this to happen. Thank you for

your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dave Gervasi.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi. I'm also a citizen and life-long resident of Scranton, I'm the president of the firefighters' union.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. GERVASI: There is not many more things I can say to my colleagues that aren't already broughten up because I'm proud of these guys. You can see the emotion on them the last two days and worried about our 27 firefighters that the mayor wants to knock off our rolls.

Just a few points I think that weren't made tonight that I would like to bring up, the mayor made a statement the other day that he has to get out of distressed status and he is blaming -- he is blaming cuts on the police and firefighters and the Court order that was just issued.

Let me just take everyone back when

I started speaking here years and years ago

24

25

when I said in the end what Act 47 is going to do for the citizens it's going to be raise their taxes and it's going to cut their services. You are going to get less services, less public safety for more money. Not a good deal. All we asked, the police and the firefighters, was for a cost of living adjustment because for the prior ten years we received three raises and a bonus for the prior ten years. We were only making, police and firefighters, only making 74 percent of what the comparable firefighters were making in every other city in the state, some doing well, some in distressed status, but the mayor had to spend \$3 million fighting because he wanted us to have no basis, firefighters no raises -- or police no raises in 12 years. For 12 years that's what he wanted and that's what the Pennsylvania Economy League So we lost, we can't stop the wanted. firehouses from closing now, only you and the citizens can do that because we lost that part, but we did win something after \$3 million in Court battles, we got a cost of

21

22

23

24

25

living adjustment over 12 years. That's all we got. So now apparently the mayor is so angry, he is so angry that we got a cost of living adjustment and he couldn't starve us out or a statement that he made to a former councilman that he will make us have our houses foreclosed on, he actually said that once about cops and firemen, so I guess he is so angry now that we got a cost of living adjustment that he is going to put everybody's lives in danger. He is going to lash out.

There was four studies done since one 1992, four studies by professional people, and this administration hasn't taken the advice of any of them. They have spent probably \$40,000 doing studies, hiring experts, and they haven't taken any advice, so we get the cost of living adjustment and the mayor lashes out and he decides he is going to close Engine 4, Engine 9 and Truck 4. We looked at each other and said, "This can't be possible. This is insane."

The most vulnerable of our residents, the elderly, the infirmed, the

young, and our students they closed the two companies that protect these buildings, these institutions and these residences.

Like, is he purposely trying to get people killed including my fellow firefighters who is going to be waiting at a burning building for maybe five or ten minutes before we get establish a water supply so our rescue and our truck companies can actually do their work?

know that companies have closed in the past. They have not closed any firehouse in the City of Scranton in over 50 years. There is reason for that. Because you can't.

Because you are going to decide when you close that house which neighborhood you are going to get people killed in, and I don't think anybody ever wanted to make that decision until this mayor got mad because we got a cost of living raise.

Response times are going to suffer terribly. Terribly. I'm asking you at this time to please do not let the mayor throw caution to the wind, and please don't let

23

21

22

24

25

the mayor get people hurt, please don't let the mayor get our firefighters and our police officers especially killed. police officers, I mean, has anyone looked out their window in the last ten years? things getting better in Scranton? going down in Scranton? And they want to knock off our cops, too? This is insane, and I think the mayor if he is so concerned about getting out of distressed status he ought to chop off some of the crony fat that he put in these authorities and all of these crony middle management jobs that he put in this building maybe we should chop those off first, but no, we are going to chop of the people that inspect our buildings, the people that chase the bad guys out of our back yards, and the people that rescue people when they are trapped in cars or they are trapped in their houses when it's on fires. Those are the people he is going to punish.

Please, don't let this happen. him cut or if you need to cut, cut where it doesn't matter, it doesn't matter to the

average citizen out there. Please don't let him cut our people. Please. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I'm going to talk a little about subordination, a dirty word that got us where we are now with Boscov's. For ten years I have been telling the council and the lawyer why do you support subordination? Why take a good loan that we are guaranteed to get our money back and drop it behind another loan making it almost impossible sometimes to get our money back? But it never sunk in, but I hope that it sinks in now as you see what's happening with Boscov's.

Boscov's sold the leases I believe for 20 million awhile back so he got a good chunk of money, he kept the building, but he sold the leases, that's the money that the stores get and so forth to pay. So he is out of that. But them loans, now he wants us even to subordinate them even. Well, I'm against subordination, but we are already

subordinate so we are struck. What happens if the mall closes or goes under? It ruins everything. So it's a simple problem.

Unfortunately, you got to do what you got to do, but subordination that's what you didn't have to do and them councils before you that did that put us where we are now, and we'll continue if you use that word at all it should be like a curse word because all you are doing is taking money that the people of Scranton is guaranteed to get and taking a gamble, well, it's actually throwing the dice and we all know what happened with the Hilton.

I came before you a long time ago, well, not even, but the other council when they were crying that they are going bankrupt, you know what I tell them? Let them go bankrupt. Someone else will come in. That hotel costs us \$33 million.

Someone would have took that on the bargain table and we would have been still protected on our loan, but now we have to makeup that loan and God knows how many other loans and it's a continual process.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You got to watch and protect the people of Scranton when it comes to finances anyway you can. Nelson says there is a lot of talk about police and firemen, I won't get into that, but he did mention four studies. Get them. Get them four studies and study them and see what their recommendations was at this time and then you have to let the chips fall where they may.

Now, this isn't something that was done just now. He wanted to do this back when they had the Recovery Plan and any of you that studied the plan and knew what happened at that time knew that he wanted to do this, it just took him that long to finally get the authority to do it. Whether it should have been done I don't know. I'd like to -- Brian Reap he wanted to do attrition. He said we can reduce these by attrition and there was no reason why he couldn't have done that, and as it is now he probably would have been down whether we had the protection we need. That's the sad part about it, it's the protection we need.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, Scranton is 25 square miles and we take in part of Taylor, I think and part of Dunmore and somewhere else, maybe part of Taylor, too. No, Dickson City, I think part of Dickson, but the problem is we get storms here. We all remember a few years ago when they couldn't get that piece of equipment up there off of St. Ann's Street, so that we got to watch, too. You can't just say this city is -- our road are terrible to begin with, but it can be become almost impassable and that time has to be figured into your formula. would happen during the very bad storm? How long would it take the apparatuses to get from different locations to a fire?

And that's important because I think we lost a child there if I remember right.

Whether we would have lost them or not I have no way of knowing if the roads weren't bad, but that's something you have to take into account. It's not just manpower here or manpower there. As a union representative they have to do what they do.

As a taxpayer I have to look at the total

cost for the whole system, and so do you, and I know it's a tough decision because you got to put everything in a balancing act and that's the trouble with life. Everything has to be balanced out. You got good, bad and sometimes indifference, but I'm sorry you're in this position really.

I wish somebody had listened to
Brian Reap eight years ago when they did the
Recovery Plan and we probably wouldn't have
been where we are now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers' Association. Good evening. I guess the mayor wants to drop the public safety bomb on everyone and it's ashame because of the fact that it's a vindictive move against the police and firemen and against the majority of the city council and it's so obvious it's -- you can fall over it and yet, yet please don't let them do it.

I'm not here tonight to go into that any further, but it does relate to what's going on because it's mismanagement. In March of this year there was litigation

regarding your budget by the mayor and at that time Linda Aebli got up as a witness to testify in regards to her workload and during her testifying she said she is overworked and she says there was the office of the inspector general there and doing an audit, well, that pinned our ears back, the Taxpayers' Association, and we have been watching, okay? Finally, we became aware of an audit that was just released last week, they sent it to us, and I want to give it to council and to the news media.

In essence, they are asking for 2008, 2009, and 2010, the audit three years, they are asking for \$11.7 million to be returned to HUD unless they can come up with evidence that that money was spent. They have no documentation in their files. Don't listen to me, it's -- it's not an allegation it's a fact, the findings were presented to the OECD, Mrs. Aebli and her counsel answered the findings, they weren't accepted. I am going to hand these out and speak a little more, please. Okay?

(Mr. Quinn approaches the dais.)

MR. QUINN: I want you to, you know, to look at that memo, who it's from and who it's to, and the subject is: "The City of Scranton, PA., did not administer it's Community Development Block Grant in accordance with HUD requirements."

Now, there is a lot to it. There is 33 pages, 150 applications were not approved by HUD's Office of Inspector General in Pittsburgh and it amounts to \$11.7 million and that's a lot of money, and there is no doubt about it, and if it -- and in the audit they say if they do not come up with the documentation what are you going to do, restructure the -- what they gave out? In essence they are saying, "Where did this money go? We don't know if it was stolen or what."

But the fact is that if they don't get that \$11.7 million back it's got to be city taxpayers' money, so add that to your budget, also.

Now, on page ten, it's more or less the conclusion, and of this, okay, and I just want to point out something here, in

the conclusions it says, "The Office of OECD informed us that the conditions identified in the audit occurred because responsible city employees did not fully understand all of the HUD requirements and, therefore, did not allow them. They informed us that they are going to forward to and they attempt to send the city records properly identified in CDBG funding and funding from sources other than HUD and were not separate and identified during the audit period.

Officials also agreed that they need to maintain adequate supporting documentation tied to the county records and adequately monitor their subrecipients.

Officials also stated they were hopeful that this audit would now help responsible personnel understand the importance of these requirements."

That is unbelievable. I know that the executive director, and I'm not picking on the executive director, has worked 20, 25 years over there in OECD. Don't know the regulations? To me this is tantamount to what Mayor Doherty is throwing at you in

that budget and what he has been doing since
he has been elected with this debt of
mismanagement. Total mismanagement. You
don't know where this money is. It scares
me. It frightens me.

It goes onto say, "The city could not demonstrate that it used more than \$11.7 million in CDBG funds for eligible activities that met the agenda of the program."

And then it goes on to recommendation, it says, "Provide documentation that the \$11,735,924 was used for eligible activities that met the intent of HUD approved budget item or repayed them from nonfederal funds."

No documentation, no applications, no monitoring. Now, how many times has the mayor said about economic development the jobs he has created? No monitoring.

Nothing in the files about this. This is --that's lie. That's an outright lie. It's fraud. No subrecipient agreements. Can you imagine that? Can you imagine that? I

from January to August 10 then they had an exit audit and they met with the OECD then they had OECD replied to the audit findings, six pages they wrote in here and HUD would not accept it. They are filled up to here.

Last year I tried to speak to the former majority, Mr. Doherty's majority about another audit that was going on for years after year after year, millions of dollars, and Sherry Fanucci, the councilwoman in charge of Community Development Block Grant called me a liar. She said I was living with the 1970 regulations. Well, shame on her.

You know, it's just deception. This mayor is just trying to deceive us. He is trying to deceive us with his public safety issue, and he is deceiving us with this CDBG grant and I ask you don't let him fade away -- let this fade away, please. Have a meeting with HUD or with the Inspector General's Office and get to the bottom of this, okay?

As a matter of fact, one of the findings in here, the SRA executive director

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who coincidentally said he was going to resign in December, now I know why, okay?

Why? Because he is president of the consulting firm and guess who is consulting him? His consulting firm is getting paid by the SRA \$130,000 and they say that's a conflict of the interest. You are not getting around that. Please, \$11.7, let's get to the bottom of this. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Quinn. I'm sure everyone on council will read this very carefully and I am quite surprised, particularly since I recall during the Court hearings when the Mayor of Scranton had sued Scranton City Council I believe Mrs. Aebli testified that HUD had been in and an audit had been done and OECD was -- well, OECD passed with flying colors, and I remember an article in the Scranton Times newspaper saying the same thing. I have to question where the Scranton Times is getting it's information from because just for the very few articles I have a perused as you spoke -- or sections that I should say, this is a

quite revealing and very frightening. 1 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 2 3 MR. QUINN: Yes. You know what? MS. EVANS: Thank you very much, 4 Mr. Quinn, for giving us this. 5 MR. QUINN: Certainly. I was going 6 7 to say something, I lost my train of 8 thought. The only reason I'm afraid I 9 brought that up and I didn't let anybody 10 know about this here until -- I got it 11 yesterday afternoon because of the fact that 12 they are sitting on this down there and over 13 on Spruce Street. Spruce Street? 14 sitting on this and you people are blind to 15 Blind to it. Mr. Rogan, you are the it. 16 community development director, did anybody 17 contact you on this? 18 MR. ROGAN: No. 19 MR. QUINN: I didn't think so. 20 know, and they are sitting on it and no one 21 would ever know about it unless somebody 22 brought it out. Thank you. 23 MS. EVANS: That might tie in well 24 with the fact that we are having such 25 difficulty obtaining information from

.

OECD --

MR. QUINN: Why would they give you information?

MS. EVANS: -- regarding UDAG, CDBG, etcetera.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ QUINN: They are caught with their pants down.

MS. EVANS: Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Council. I'm going to hold my budget comments until I have had a little bit more time to review the budget, but I hope we will hear tonight the date for the public hearing so we can get some more information. However, I also hope during motions we will find out the status of the audit report which is a little bit critical to evaluate the budget.

First, a couple of personal issues.

The streetlight at the corner of East

Mountain Road and Seymour Avenue pole number

57909N45267 has not functioned in over a

month. Does the contract with the Municipal

Energy specify how many days they have to

respond after a report? If not, it

certainly should because that's totally unsatisfactory. I have been calling every day and you get no place with them.

Absolutely no place.

Also, driving while on the cell phone has been banned in Harrisburg, Philadelphia, Eastern Pennsylvania Township, which includes Allentown, Erie Township, Conshohocken, Hollow Hill Township, York and Lebanon Cities. All have ordinances against driving while using a cell phone, and I think it's time that Scranton stepped up to the plate and got it's own ordinance.

I was personally affected this summer when I was taking a guest from Georgia up to McDade Park to go on the coal mine tour, got rear ended by a car between the person who hit him and went into me and I have subsequently heard so many, and I followed up to see if this person was arrested because I was upset, not because it happened, accidents do happen I realize that, but the first words out of this young woman's mouth were, "Well, I was on the phone."

Didn't ask if anybody was hurt.

Then the second thing she said was, "Do you know what this going to do to my insurance?"

And then the third thing was, "Do you know how long ago they had this vehicle?"

I mean, just -- it's got to stop.

It's just got to stop. There is just too

many -- too many, and you can do it. These

other places have done it and I would

certainly hope that you would get it done

maybe even by the end of this year, but

certainly if not first thing next year.

Next for Mr. Loscombe, back when we were discussing the Mulberry Street project, which I still hate, you had said that the part of that you believe was a change in the turning radius on the truck route from Mulberry Street to Jefferson Avenue, and it was about maybe three weeks ago at a council meeting I was coming to a council meeting, but I was going to the library first, I was in the curb lane on Jefferson Avenue, there was fortunately -- there was nobody in the left-turn lane, an empty auto carrier came

up Mulberry Street and I had to back up to let that person out. If it had been probably an hour earlier I don't know how that many people would have been -- that many vehicles would have been able to make that turn, you know, back up to let this guy make the turn, so I would like to know what happened to that? Why do we not have -- why has that turning radius not be changed? It is a truck route and it's understandable, it connects the expressway with Route 81.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll answer you after you are done.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Something needs to be done there. Next, Ice Box. It's been many, many moons since I asked for the ordinance that allowed the were deed to be filed under I would say false circumstances, and until I'm proven otherwise I still say that there never was legislation to allow what they did changing it to what, up to 99 years or 198 years? I can't even remember. I think it was 198 years. I don't know whatever happened to that, but I would

certainly be interested in knowing.

I would hope tonight you would share your plans for what you are going to do with respect to the capital budget during motions if you are going to come up with your own or how you are going to proceed? I think it's time because I'm -- and I'm concerned because I'm starting to get mixed messages, I think thought things were going to change when we got the new council. So far nothing there.

Council amended the services billing -- billing rules in September and I thought it was to get the best price, however, in the case of 7-B on last week's agenda we didn't get the best price, we took the highest price, and I'm concerned not just about that item, but I am concerned about stifling future bids. How many -- it cost money to make -- to prepare a bid and how many companies do you think are going to continue to sharpen their pencils and submit bids to the City of Scranton or any of it's authorities if, in fact, the lowest price that qualifies is not selected? I've got a

whole bunch more, I will be back. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Ms. Schumacher, a
Right-to-Know request was sent regarding
your request for the memorandum of lease 30
years and we included many of your concerns
and we received a response from Mr. Renda
which states, "Due to the extensive nature
of your request, it's under review at this
time. The city excepts to respond within
the next 30 days. Additionally, please be
advised that the cost per copies is 25 cents
per page."

And this response was received by our office on October 20 which would then I believe give Mr. Renda until November 20 to provide the response to us.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Was that on the Ice Box?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But that was -wasn't that -- I was asking for when the
ordinance passed, so wouldn't that be a
council could check the ordinances? I don't
know. We can talk later.

MS. EVANS: If you would like to see this.

 $\mbox{MS. SCHUMACHER: After the meeting.} \label{eq:ms.schumacher:ms.}$ Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Ms. Schumacher, I would also like to mention, you mentioned the new council voted for the highest bidder, I did not. I'm part of the new council, but I didn't vote for the highest bidder.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just give you some quick answers. On the Jefferson Avenue turning lane, they built that, we did receive the blueprints, they built that as it was on the prints, however, the west side on Mulberry Street is supposed to have a turning lane which is not there at this point. What they have to do is put stop strips back from the light to allow enough room for a vehicle to turn that corner as you see at other major intersections.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I thought that was why they bought that property so they could change that corner and change the radius, but whatever.

MR. LOSCOMBE: No, that was on their plans that were approved prior to us being here which was my concern, also, but they do have a turning lane shown.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That is the truck route. I don't think the truck route extends on Mulberry Street, the truck routes goes -- connects to 81 if I'm not mistaken, but I've been wrong before.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In fact, we will find out what the status is on that, why they haven't initiated that yet, that turning lane on Mulberry Street.

As far as the lights, we put a request in last week because we had a concern and I did get call that all of the requests that we put in to have the lights replaced were done, so I'm sure we will get that one taken care of this week.

And quickly on the phone ordinance,

I was looking into that last summer and we
are awaiting for the state because the state
has an ordinance I believe if you are under
18 it doesn't have a lot of teeth, but we
cannot enforce our own ordinance any

stronger than the state, so all of these cities that have it it's there, it's a token ordinance, it may scare some people, but really if they took them into Court they wouldn't get anywhere.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I don't know. I suggest you check with the City of York and the City of Lebanon. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Krake, if you could please send another request to Mr. Renda regarding the whole capital budget issue asking to please submit to us a more detailed comprehensive capital budget update, and that is all.

MS. EVANS: And I'll just quickly add that the audit has still not yet arrived, and again, this requires the cooperation of the administration and I think we have all realized quite enough about the administration in the last ten months.

MR. JOYCE: And before we begin the next speaker, if I could add what the auditor is still looking for is two attorney letters that have not been received and --

MS. SCHUMACHER: 1 Name names. MR. JOYCE: Pardon? 2 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: I said name names. Which attorneys? 4 It's not indicated. MS. EVANS: 5 MR. JOYCE: It's not specified, so I 6 7 don't want to pinpoint anyone in particular, 8 however, I do want to say that the lowest 9 bidder in the whole case of the audit also 10 did include the possibility for hidden costs 11 if time obligations were not met, so I do 12 want to say that sometimes the lowest bidder 13 isn't the most responsible bidder, and I 14 think that we all agreed upon that even 15 though we had some differences in opinion on 16 the second bidder vs. the third bidder. 17 MS. EVANS: Les Spindler. 18 MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, 19 Council. Les Spindler, city resident and 20 homeowner and taxpayer. I don't know what 21 else to say that wasn't already said 22 tonight, but this mayor I think has totally 23 lost his mind. I have said all of the time 24 I don't want to see public safety people

eliminated. He wants to eliminate 27

25

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

firefighters, ten police officers, two housing inspectors, it's -- I don't know how the mayor just doesn't care about the safety of the citizens in this city. I think he would be killing people as has been stated before if he lays off all of these people. I hope, I pray council doesn't do this. He made no cuts in management, he made no cuts in management's salaries, but he wants to lay off public safety people. have said it before he was taken what, Engine 9 on Main Avenue, that's probably about ten blocks from my house, it could be at my house in less a minute. If they close Engine 9 I was told Engine 7 from up on Luzerne Street would be the next truck there, that will be a lot longer than Engine 9 so I ask council please don't give let any of these layoffs happen. Let's make some cuts, let's cut the fat at the top, make some cuts in management and management salaries.

He wants to eliminate housing inspectors, we only have four right now.

Are we going to have two inspectors to

inspect 26 square miles of houses? I don't know how anybody could ever do that.

So I hope this council will do the right thing and don't let the mayor get away with this because if they say it's a scare tactic then you know what, I'm scared.

Because I live in an old house and I don't want to be waiting there for minutes and minutes for the fire truck to get to me.

Moving on, my sister-in-law asked me to speak about something tonight, there are, multiple traffic problems at the intersection of Wyoming and Mulberry and I'm not going to go over all of them, she has them typed up very professionally, I will give them to Cathy.

I have something else. There was an article in the paper a week or two ago, SRA awards downtown project, and it was an improvement project awarded to a Dunmore company, Herbert, Rolland and Grubick by the SRA on the corner of Wyoming and Linden for \$25,000. I wonder does anybody know what that project is? Right now it's just a hole in the ground. It would be great if

something happened there. It says the project, but \$25,000 I don't know what could happen for \$25,000, so that's something else I have written down, also.

Lastly, I brought this up a few months ago I brought it up quite often about the stolen DiBileo signs almost a year and a half ago, and I know council did send a letter to the chief, I spoke to the chief personally. Has anyone been -- was there any response yet?

MS. EVANS: We never received a response.

MR. SPINDLER: I know it's been almost two months since I spoke to the chief and he said he was going to speak to
Sergeant Martin and Sergeant Martin was one of the detectives involved in that and I haven't heard anything, and I am trying to give this chief the benefit of the doubt,
I'm hearing some goods things about him, but I see the picture in the paper this morning with the mayor that leads me to doubt that he is going to be for the people. And he said he was going to speak to the Sergeant

Martin, I don't think he did that either, and I don't think he is going to do anything about this. I hope I'm wrong because I have been told there is a two-year statute of limitations on this, which is up in May or June I think. So, like I said, I hope he proves me wrong and does something about this, but I don't think he will and I just think he is another -- I don't want to say maybe, I don't know if he is another Chief Elliott or not, but I think he listens to the mayor and the mayor is not going to let him arrest the two city workers that are identified on the videotape.

If that was me that did that I would probably be in jail already because I'm not a Doherty crony and I think he is just going to cover up for his Doherty cronies and, like I said, hopefully I'm wrong. If I'm wrong I will come here and apologize publically, but we'll see what happens. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Spindler, if I could just say something in all due respect to

some of the cuts that were made in the budget, there were a few middle management positions or coordinator positions cut out of the Parks and Rec Department and I do want to add that these are the same positions that we had taken out or similar positions that we had taken out of our amendments less than a year ago that the administration claimed would cause irreparable harm to the City of Scranton, so I guess that view must have changed downstairs on the first floor.

MS. HUMPHRIES: Praise be Jesus,
Mary, Joseph and all his holy firemen,
policemen and ambulance people.

MS. EVANS: Your name, please.

MS. HUMPHRIES: My name is Phyllis
Bernadette Fatima Yasmin, also known as
Phyllis Bernadette, Jesus and Mary of the
third order of Carmelite. I'm in allegiance
to Mary, the knights of Immaculate and I am
an Catholic, but I'm involved with
Ecumenical unity. I hope I can see this
right because my eyes are a little bit
blurry.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

Let's see, draped in a coat that can withstand the heat. With a helmet on the head, boots on his feet. He came through the perfect constellation while standing in the huge devastation. An appreciation rose in his heart. For this wild picture perfect works of art created by God and feared by most men. He considered it a treacherous sin to run away from such a beautiful chore because such a day he does alone, but a certain fire because of his size came with risk, but he more decent prize, but because he can save a live he is so close. He knew it was frail, he knew it would fail, but he put that behind him and answered the call. There was a child on the third floor somewhere veiled in smoke. Maybe he could find him there alive and well without his strength.

This was written, it's not completely finished, but I'm going to sing this song and I'm singing to everybody and I made it into a song and I hope they don't mind, and it's about our firemen. They are a perfect gentleman. There is so many fires

MS. HUMPHRIES:

council.

MS. EVANS:

could just read the lyrics rather than

singing because we really don't sing in

Well, it goes faster

Phyllis, maybe if you

and fire alarms over Mulberry Tower, my job as a volunteer was knocking at the doors when people would fall asleep and burn their food and everything, and three weeks before he died he came to my house. It's kind of like a frying pan with the Italians he knelt in front of the statute of the blessed mother.

People don't realize with this fire there is -- these men not only go with the fire helping, we terrorism is concerned like the guys that died September 11, they are kind of overlooking the whole point here, Mayor Doherty, you know what's happened and you know what's going on and I think this is wrong that you are endangering the City of Scranton and I have been in and out of his office, I tried to look at both sides and I just want to sing this one song, and please --

if you sing it. Well, we're going to sing 1 2 it. 3 (The following is sung.) When I am called to duty, God, 4 whatever flames may rage, give me the 5 strength to save a life, what ever be the 6 Let me rescue a little child before 7 name. 8 it is too late or save that no other person 9 from the harbor of that day. Enable me to 10 be alert and hear the weaker shout, and quietly and efficiently to put the fire out. 11 MS. EVANS: 12 Okay. Thank you, 13 Phyllis. 14 MS. HUMPHRIES: There is more -- I 15 will stand --16 MS. EVANS: No, actually, this is in 17 honor or Robson. 18 MS. HUMPHRIES: I want to see my 19 colleague, to give the best in me, to guard 20 my friend and neighbors and protect their property. And if I my call to duty I have 21 22 to lose my life, please best with your 23 protecting hands my child and my wife. 24 (Singing ended.) 25 Mrs. Robson and all of the fire

department families, my heart goes out about you, it's kind of tough, but we got to do it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman, member of the Taxpayers' Association. Council, you want me to sing, too?

MR. MCGOFF: Please.

MR. ELLMAN: It's very evident tonight that we need more police and more firemen, not less. If they want to delete something they ought to start with the mayor's office and those 50 people he has hired to since he's been in. You know, \$3 million or so he has padded the payroll with. That's a hard act to follow, isn't it?

Last week, somebody told me that I over stepped the boundaries of good taste, bad mouthing everybody. I don't think I did. Mr. Blake took a bribe and Al Boscov gave it to him, Paul Sorvino has beat us out of \$500,000, it's just nothing but corruption and I just can't imagine a man run for office owing taxes and they allow

it. This is terrible what I have been reading about Smith. I bet he doesn't miss a Friday getting to pick up his check, but he doesn't seem to believe in taxes.

Did you all read anything about that NEIU, the salaries? This is outrageous. The one, was it Dr. Rosetti, he is leaving office and wants a half a million dollars and the other bird is in there for 16 weeks he made \$7,500 a week salary plus his expenses, and he had \$60,000 benefits for vacation and sick time in 16 weeks. This is unheard of.

And I bet you -- I bet they got along perfect without anybody running that office. There is people out here that have the Access card that can't get any kind of medicine and any kind of pills, and here is a man got \$60,000 in 16 weeks of medical benefits. If that's not graphic corruption out of Harrisburg it's just the epitome example of it. This is terrible.

And then I caught somebody said that they are tired of listening to me crying about the University and the medical school,

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we wouldn't be in such a pinch if they hadn't taken \$50 million or so off our tax rolls the last 15 years. I ate out tonight, as you can see on my shirt, the bill was You know who paid for it? I did. That bunch from the medical school go over to eat at wherever, the bill was 400 or 500, you know who pays for that? Me and you and the people out there and these people over here, and this medical school thinks they are above us. They don't owe no excuses, they don't owe any explanation where the money is going, what they are doing with it, you know, it's time that they have a thorough investigation because it's about as corrupt an organization as there ever was.

I even think that couple of weeks ago I didn't hear it, I heard that Corbett tried to find out something from them and they told him to go to blazes.

About the sidewalks last week, I have complained about them, on the 2000 block and the 2100 block of North Main Avenue there is three or four illegal rooming houses. There is so many cars from

these rooming houses they are parked in the sidewalk, they even double park in the street at times at night. On my trip back from the Taurus Club I had noticed that and nothing is being done, you know? I have complained about it on the sidewalks where you cannot use it. These are sidewalks not parking lots. These cars need to be towed because they are all from -- half of them are from New Jersey. They don't care how many tickets you give them. It's brought a caliber of people to that area that nobody else wants in their part town.

If you sit just for a minute at a light you will see a lot of going on on Market and Main. It's degenerated, just there is break-ins and everything going on around there.

And I don't know if anybody ever reads Scott Lynett's articles in Sunday, he has a column, last week he said that the policy of his newspaper since 1954 has been not to take sides politically. Can you believe that? That's in print last week.

Go look at it if you don't believe me. Then

in the next to last paragraph he says something about they have a column for people who voice their opinion called the letters to the editor. Evidently Pat McKenna didn't know about this. He censors. When you don't print a letter from me or Marie or Ozzie Quinn or many others because they oppose what you have written in your editorial you are censoring us and censorship is an enemy of newspapers and Pat McKenna practices censorship. He told me personally not to submit any letters.

See, you ring that bell on me, you never do it on them.

MS. CARRERA: It's six minutes.

MR. ELLMAN: I'd like to say just one thing in leaving, that's about Corporal is it Gridal? This is such a tragedy. This just makes me feel so bad and I have been hearing about that bunch of depraved degenerates that go around imposing and disrupting these funerals. It's just so bad. It's just so heartbreaking. I'm so against this war and we can't win it, it's just -- one American life isn't worth that

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whole country and all of those people in it to me. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. Well, you know, I think we have got a serious dilemma in the city not a fireman, never been a fireman, but I do know that I'm not here to speak for firemen, I just want to say that I think the residents in this city pay taxes for a reason and they are entitled to services. My opinion is much different than this council, I have expressed it many times and I'm sure that a lot of you don't agree with what I say, but that's America and everybody is entitled to their opinion, but I think we have to sometimes separate opinions from facts, all right?

And the fact is that the residents of this city deserve to have a fully functional department of public works, a fully functional fire department and a fully functional police department and I just think that the city has been where it's been for a long time, you know, it's not going to

make any sense to take shots at this council or previous councils because wherever we are this is where we are. The residents of this city to their own demise voted for a Recovery Plan that I read when it was produced that in my opinion wasn't a Recovery Plan.

Now we have the PEL, my opinion is probably much different than this council, I think we need to sever our relationship with them. I wait to see what council will propose in it's only budget. There is no doubt in my opinion that this city is in trouble. There is no doubt in my mind that the mall at downtown Steamtown is in very serious trouble.

I mean, I tried to speak on the issue of what was going on with the knew Wal-Mart and the shops that are there and about what's going on outside of the city. All of the communities outside of this city are eating this city's lunch. Our tax base is continuing to diminish, our population base is continuing to diminish, I just hope and I know that council has a deaf ear to

1

5 6

4

7 8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SAPA. Nobody wants to hear about that anymore, but you know what I really hope in your heart you take one more look at that document, sit down with these people because, you know, the truth of the matter is whether we agree or not everything we are doing now is not working. We have got an awful lot of debt, but we don't have an awful of solutions, so we need a new plan.

I mean, I think we need a new start. I think the solutions on the table now are not acceptable. You know, I do understand the union came in here and they are talking about their brotherhood and protecting their jobs, but to be honest with you, you know, I think as a council that shouldn't be your concern, and that may sound like a negative statement, but I think your concern should be the residents of this city and I think they deserve that protection. I think these people who work for the city deserve a safe work place and I just can't see to continue cutting our labor force as being any kind of solution to any of our problems whatsoever, it's mismanagement.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't want to stand here today and say where it started and what all the solutions are. I'd like to hear what your I'd like to have a -- I'd like ideas are. to see a council that's more open minded and more willing to consider new ideas. what's happened nationally, this country is in an awful lot of debt and now this country is starting to say on the upper echelons of our government, Washington, that we are becoming a third class country. We are becoming a banana republic. We have borrowed a ton of money we can't pay back and now we are trying to say that all of the money we raided out of social security, well, we are going to have to make people wait longer to retire. We are going to have to hold the wrong people accountable, and I think it's time to start holding the people accountable who made the decisions or if we can't do that let's have the people making the decisions now be more open minded and maybe hopefully make better decisions.

You know what, a lot of times people will do a study, sometimes I really don't

21

22

23

24

25

1

have much good to say about a study because too often the person who is paying for it is getting the results they want, so I think that that's why it's so important to be open minded and independent and, you know, like I said, I may not agree with this council, but to be honest with you, you are a very important part of this government and so is the mayor and I'd like to see a point where the city and the police and fire can sit down at a table and come up with a solution without the Courts and maybe possibly without attorneys in a major degree and maybe we need to start over again, but the last person we need to punish are the residents of this city. Too many have left and too many aren't coming here and I hope you consider what I say. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton and
member of the Taxpayers' Association. Okay,
with all of this I just had a brain storm
with DPW, also, and ten years ago when I

lived way out in the country I paid \$2.25
per bag to have my trash removed on a
privatized basis, and I figured out what my
trash output it is here currently, and at
that rate, which is ten years ago in the
country, it would be about \$350 a year. So
DPW wasn't represented tonight but hopefully
we won't be cutting any people that help to
haul the trash either, that's recycling
included and, by the way, the recycling
there was so many scandals constantly that
occurred because the recycling went right on
the truck with the garbage.

So, in other words, they is only to two people in our house and everything gets recycled so you are looking at \$351 for two week people for a year for trash and that would be minimum.

Now, Lackawanna Junior College I read twice in the paper this week, I wish somebody over there would police their students. The man I bought my house from in Scranton once had a bar and he left it in control of his co-owner who was supposed to buy him out, well, a few years ago that bar

was torn down because of an incident, it was on Pittston Avenue, I think it was called the Frat House. You might remember that, John, because you have been around for awhile. Okay, so I wish they could find some students that could be behalf themselves instead of acting like intercity gangland punks or something. We don't need to spend our police resources chasing them around.

Now, on this fire and police, one of the things that I thought of with all of these overtime, also, is when do they get a time out? What do you do, get home from a shift at midnight and get called in at 2:00 in the morning and how does that affect your effectiveness, you have a policeman that might have to pull a gun to apprehend somebody or whatever or a fireman going into a flaming building, so I don't think anybody that conducted a study on that realizes.

I had a job one time that I worked a lot of overtime and one night I got home in the fall and somebody, my wife woke me up because somebody arrived and I jumped up and

started chewing her out because it was 7:00 and I wasn't on my way to work. It was 7:00 in the evening. I had gotten home around five and I was working from like six in the morning until five at night or sometimes six at night and I jumped up and I started screaming at her in front of these guests, "What in the -- what you are doing to me? I'm late for work."

And once again I would like to thank ECTV for at least listing the times for the different forums and stuff, but I felt that was a positive thing before the election.

And the Taxpayers' is having a meeting this Thursday and we are from what I understand we are going to be trying to round up money for food pantries and stuff at the end of the year.

And finally, the golden parrot award goes to Justice Alito who likes to attend political rallies and Mr. Hughes can holler at me about this if I'm wrong, but under the canons of jurist's behavior he is in violation of number two and five. They are not supposed to be politically orientated

people, they are not supposed to engage in politics, so bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. DOBRZYN: Oh, by the way, please resign, Mr. Alito.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident, member of the
Taxpayers' Association. I would like to
start off by thanking all of the people who
attended the Veteran's ceremony on Veteran's
Day not only at the Lackawanna County
courthouse, but all Veteran ceremonies that
were conducted and held nationwide.

I also would like to thank the six organizations responsible for the freedom that we as Americans enjoy every day. The United States Army, Navy, Marines, Air Force, Coast Guard and Merchant Marines. Most people do not realize the amount of casualties that the merchant marines sustain during combat action, especially during World War II and also the amount of POW's, prisoners of the war, that were merchant marines. Thank you to all branches of the military service.

Courage. You're a 19-year-old kid, you are critically wounded and dying in the jungle somewhere in the central highlands of Vietnam, it's November the 14th, 1965. LZ, landing zone, x-ray. Your unit is outnumbered eight to one and the enemy fire is so intense from 100 yards away that your CO, commanding officer, has ordered the Medivac helicopters to start coming in.

You are lying there listening to enemy machine guns and you know you are not getting out. Your family is half-way around the world and you will never see them again. As the world starts to fade in and out you know this is the day. Then over the machine gun fire you faintly hear the sound of a helicopter. You look up to see a "Huey" coming in, but it doesn't seem real because no Medivac markings are the helicopter.

Captain Ed Freeman is coming for you.

He is not a Medivac, so it's not his job, but he heard the radio call and he decided he is flying his helicopter down into machine gun fire anyway. He drops the helicopter in and sits there in machine gun

fire as they load three of you at a time on board. He makes ten trips and takes 29 of your buddies out of there safely. No one knew that the captain had been wounded four times in the left arm and left leg. Most would not have made it out if not for Captain Ed Freeman, Medal of Honor

Recipient.

Council President Evans, you are the captain and the four remaining council persons are the crew of the "Huey" Scranton, Pennsylvania. The landing zone is Scranton, Pennsylvania, and the casualties are the taxpayers and the citizens of Scranton. The enemy is the current administration headed by Mayor Chris Doherty.

No one other than Mayor Chris

Doherty is responsible for the financial disarray of the City of Scranton, but yet he wants to attack the citizens and taxpayers by reducing our public safety tremendously. City council has the responsibility to provide for the protection of the citizens of Scranton. Our mayor has shown over eight and a half years that he is not concerned

with the citizens, his only concerns are the real people of Scranton. Those are not my words, those are his words.

There needs to be cuts to the budget, 75 million dollars is way too high especially since the mayor wants to cut 27 firefighters, ten police officers and close fire stations. This cannot and should not happen unless the mayor makes deep cuts into his home boys and home girls who are have no show jobs and no qualifications for the current positions and high salaries.

Remember, city council, this taxpayer citizen is counting on you to do the right thing for all, not just the Doherty real people. I am going to put my faith with the 2010 Scranton City Council just like that 19-year-old soldier and the 29 other soldiers put their faith and lives in the hands of Captain Ed Freeman and his helicopter crew.

Do the right thing for the City of Scranton. Remember, a city is composed of taxpayers, residents and workers who depend upon their elected officials to provide

underqualified cabinet members will help tremendously. If anyone really wants to get an insight into the leadership of the City of Scranton read the sworn deposition of Mayor Chris Doherty, former police chief Dave Elliott, and former director of public safety Ray Hayes. No wonder why the city has been distressed for 19 years with no progress in sight. The hiring practice in this city is atrocious, but then again, qualifications and experience do not matter. The words of a past city council president and now the words of the current mayor of Scranton, "What matters he is my friend and another one of my friends recommended him for the

protection and safety for them and their families. The time has come for Mayor Chris Doherty and his real people to face the The citizens of this great city have music. done their part, now the time has come for the elected and appointed officials of the City of Scranton to do their part. Cutting administrative and police and fire are not the answer. Cutting the overpay,

24

21

22

23

25

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

job without having any management or supervisory skills whatsoever."

What a town I live in. If you don't believe me, read the sworn depositions of Mayor Christopher A. Doherty, ex-police chief David Elliot and ex-public safety director Ray Hayes if you think I'm not telling you the truth. They had no idea what qualifications these people had. Myself included have come here week after week stating that they had no qualifications, we were laughed at, we were called names, we were even called Legion of Doom, but you know what? We were right and all you got to do is read sworn depositions by the leader, the executive officer of this city, Mayor Christopher A. Doherty who has been in charge of this city for eight and a half years and didn't even know that there was a sexual harassment program in his own city. Had no idea that his human resource manager what qualifications Lisa Moran has. Had no idea what qualifications Ray Hayes had and had no idea what qualifications If you don't believe me, David Elliott had.

read the deposition. It's 110 pages long. 1 Read it. Find out for yourself. 2 This was 3 the leadership that this city has been under for eight and a half years. 4 5 Council, do not, please, remember Captain Ed Freeman, do not, do not sell the 6 citizens of Scranton short. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 9 cares to address council? 10 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Jack. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. 12 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, this week is 13 the big one. I hope we are going to win 14 this one, Jack, because the last time we 15 blew it, remember? 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 17 MR. SLEDENZSKI: This week is the 18 one. They're a good team. Everybody come 19 out. Everybody. Everyone in City of 20 Scranton. West is best, Jack, remember 21 that. 22 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris. 23 MS. HALLOCK: Good evening, how are 24 you? 25 MS. EVANS: Good evening.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HALLOCK: My name is Tracy
Hallock, I'm a taxpaying citizen, an alumni
from the University of Scranton with both my
bachelor's and my master's and a current
adjunct instructor of history at Lackawanna
College and Keystone College.

I recall when a public servant was elected by the people for the people and I don't think the Mayor Doherty is living up to his end of the bargain on that at all. He has kind of like a child not getting his way throwing a little tantrum and instead of saying, "I want your toy," he is going to layoff 27 firemen and ten police officers. I have never been one to say I want, want want, you know, to hear, I want increases in education, I want increases in transportation, but I don't want to pay the taxes. It kind of doesn't balance out there, but, I mean, I probably might get jumped outside of the building when I leave, but I have never been one to say I wouldn't pay for a raise in taxes when it comes to public safety. Safety is a major concern.

Me and my boyfriend recently just

bought a house in the St. Ann's area and that's a relatively safe area, so I thought. My car within one year has been broken into three times, mirror smashed off of my car. My neighbor's car was stolen right out of their driveway and with like -- this crime is not coming down at all. And I believe who is going to be held responsible for that when it only increases more when you are cutting police officers and who is going to respond to the fires and these lives? Are they going to hold the children and families accountable that elected him? I mean, I just think something needs to be resolved.

I'd like to be able to sleep at night knowing that I'm not going to open my door to let my dog out in the morning and there is going to be somebody that trying to invade my home. I just ask please prior to your decision think about all of the people that this is affecting, and as Andrew Jackson once said it takes no special talent to work in the government. I'd like you to prove him wrong. Thank you. That's all I have.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HALLOCK: Chris Hallock. I'm a taxpaying citizen and Scranton police officer. About a year ago, just a little over a year ago, I signed an oath to protect the city just like the firemen did and it's just -- it's scary knowing that if myself and nine other of my other brothers get laid off that the rest of my brothers are going to be out there on the street low staffed without a backup unit coming, you know, with the quick response and that scares me because when you take an oath you take an oath to protect the citizens of the city and vour brothers. They become your brothers, like the firemen stated, you work with these people, you knew these people, you are with so much and you get to meet their family and, you know, I just don't want to see one of my fellow coworkers going home -- or not going home to his family because, you know, the backup units weren't there, and as the fireman said, you know, what's one unit going to do if the other one is not there? What is one man going to do in a bar fight

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of 15 people? It's a very scary situation knowing that your backup, you know, is far away, and with these cuts it only means that backup is going to be farther away.

And I'm not saying anything that you guys don't already know, but I'm a firm believer in having a voice and I wouldn't feel right if I just sat back there and let other people speak for me.

As for the firemen, not only are the police officers my brothers, the firemen are, too. When there is a structure fire we are dispatched, we have to help them. can't close down streets, if we can protect their hoses that come from the hydrant, you know, they are in danger, too. If we arrive at the scene first, which happens many times, I mean, we are not equipped to fight fires, so I mean, if you cut -- if they cut the firemen it puts the police at danger, if they cut the police it puts the firemen in danger and the citizens I just ask that, you know, I have faith in this council that we will find a better way to save the money and work the budget out and work with the mayor.

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

I'm not going to badmouth anyone, that's not my style, I think the mayor has done great things for the safety, but I think there is better ways and there has to be a better way than putting the citizens and the workers of Scranton in danger. just we are talking about lives here. are talking about families that need their father and mother and we are not just talking about money. Money comes and goes, lives you can't put a price tag on, but thank you for your time and I have full faith that these layoffs will not happen and we will be able to resolve this in a more appropriate manner. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident, taxpayer --

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ANCHERANI: -- police officer,

First Amendment Rights. I'm also the financial secretary for the Fraternal Order of Police. I wrestled with what I was going to say tonight, but I'm going to say that

I'm here to support the fire and police, and I'll come right to the point. The 2011 budget was presented to you yesterday. I lost three of my predictions, one swoop I lost them. No tax increase, but poor PEL, you know, they are not going to get what they wanted, no multi-million dollar loan and no increase in the budget, but, hey, if the taxpayers' save money, that's good; right?

But at what price does this budget come to us? What is the price of safety? I guess that we'll find out in the near future. When I said what's the price of safety, I'm going to say what about Wilkes-Barre? We could ask about that. They went down to 60 policemen, I believe, and look at the hole that they dug themselves into. They will never get out of that. That's where we are heading if we knock off police.

The budget is now \$2.3 million less than this year's budget or the 2011 proposed, losses 6 9 positions, police lose ten, fire loses 34, and clerical they take

another big hit again.

Council, you have a big job ahead of you, it's an important one. You have to balance the budget, but not only in monetary issues, also in human issues, safety issues. You are not to blame for the unions recent Court decision, raising employee wages, but it will fall on your shoulders balancing employee and taxpayers' concerns. I know that you can do it. You can overcome the obstacles and road blocks out there. It's a big job, but we, the people, the little people, the taxpayers, the disrespected have faith in you to do what's right.

Council, get ready to be blamed for the troubles of the city's finances. Some of you have been here for 11 months and you are going to get blamed for the proposed 69 layoffs even though in the beginning of this year you tried to save \$700,000 for the taxpayers. You came no where near cutting 69 jobs in your attempt at 2010 budget amendments, but stand in line for the blame game. Police and fire have you beat. We get blamed for the point that we have to

develop thicker skin than everybody else from offenders, from taxpayers, and from our captain of the ship.

And, oh, by the way, who do we blame for the \$300 million long-term debt? Three guesses, not you, not us, so who is does that leave? I would get into the issues today right now, but we have to leave here today, sometime today. The editorial in this morning's paper from the slimes titled, "Budget brings key recovery issue to head."

It says, "Most of the controversy since the city entered the state distressed city program in 1992 has involved the public safety unions. The planned police and fire reductions surely will be the most controversial of those plans."

Yes, but the police safety union, public safety unions wages have remained the same over the years, not going up, staying static, but I don't see that it in their editorial. Not climbing, not escalating upwards like the former budgets and the 25 percent 2007 tax increase and the multi-million dollar loans.

"The proposal is, of course, not a done deal --" this goes back to the editorial, "it goes to city council members of the which regularly have condemned the administration for one-time revenue sources and occasional borrowing."

Yeah, right, occasional. Back to the editorial, "But it has had that supermajority for all of 2010 and has done little, if anything, much less layout a plan for budgetary balance that is does not include staffing reductions even though the municipal unions are a key constituency of the majority members. Personnel costs are nearly 75 percent of the overall municipal budget."

It's a service. It's not a business. It's a service. How can the ordinary people go out there and have their own police department? The city has to do it -- or fire department, the city has to do it.

Back to the editorial and then I'll finish, in order to achieve budgetary balance without the proposed position

reductions council would have to come up
with about \$4 million and since the
administration's budget would establish new
baseline it would have to find that \$4
million every year going forward."

Stop the pork. That's all. That's all we have to do is stop the pork, the unnecessary spending. The mayor wants to get out of distressed in three years. What about the 19 years behind us? Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. First, last week it was mentioned that Jeff Brazil, the Director of DPW was supposed to be at a caucus and did not attend -- or was not here. It was not because of the refusal of the mayor to allow him, I talked to Mr. Brazil during the week, he was not able to attend last week's caucus for personal reasons and I spoke to Mrs. Evans before the meeting and told her that Mr. Brazil

indicated that he would more than happy to attend in the -- you know, any caucus in the near future.

The second thing, the gentlemen that were here presenting the presentation on the mall at Steamtown, it seems like it was a little bit of a deja vu. We heard that a number of years ago and at the time I was obviously supportive of, you know, what we did, but even at that time I felt that we were being held hostage by the mall that if we didn't do something that, you know, economic doom was upon us and it just seems like that's happening again.

I do realize the need for a downtown mall is there. It is something that is kind of the backbone of the downtown economy, but I just question at this time at what expense we can continue to kind of subsidize the mall. I hope that we can come to some resolution, and he I hope that, you know, obviously hope that the mall stays operative, but it just seems as though we are kind of between that rock and a hard place again it's not a comfortable position

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to be in.

Last thing I'd like to just comment very briefly on is the budget. I heard quite a bit tonight on the -- obviously the public safety part of it, and I would just like to say that I don't know anything about, you know, the intricacies of public safety. Certainly the people that spoke know a whole lot more than I do about what it takes to respond to a fire or to a police call, but I would like to say that as this budget is now constituted there is absolutely no way that I could vote for it, but my displeasure with it is not so much public safety because I don't know what that -- as I said, I don't know what that is or how that works, but my displeasure with the social and economic impact that laying off 69 people will have on the city.

In 1975 I walked the picket line with the Scranton Federation of Teachers in the last major strike that the city had, that the school district had, raises were given, at the end of the strike 60 people were laid off, I just happened to be one of

the 60, and I kind of have a little bit of empathy for people looking at the possibility of losing their jobs. It's not a very comforting thought.

At the time I was married and had three young children and it was a struggle and I look at what this budget is doing as it was sent to us and, you know, some of the people who are likely to lose jobs and I know that they, too, are in the same position I was, you know, 35 years ago, and I hope that in the coming weeks we can do something to salvage as many of those jobs as possible, if not all of those jobs.

I don't know how we are going to do it, but ideally I just can't see voting for a budget of any kind in which this many people or anybody losses their jobs, so hopefully in these next few weeks we can work together and we can arrive at something that is acceptable and does not hurt the City of Scranton either safety wise or socially or economically, and that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Rogan, any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. other comments then I'll get into the budget. First of all, Boscov's, you know, I would agree with what Mr. McGoff said in that it does seem like we are somewhat being held hostage that they are saying if we don't go along the mall might be shut down and we'll lose our money. You know, I think the mistake was made when initially the city bailed out the mall, Boscov's. You know, we settled on the federal level last year with the bank bailouts, it doesn't work. not the government's job to be bailing out private businesses, but enough of that.

And again, my one issue every week, the Crisp Avenue bridge. It's in the newspaper it's not going to be pursued until spring now. Year after year after year this project keeps getting put off and it makes me wonder why the administration keeps pushing this off. It seems like everything from West Side keeps being put on the back burner, but we need to help the whole city, but when you look at South Scranton and we

get the OECD reports all of the neighborhood revitalization programs, cracking down on blight, they are in South Scranton. We need to spread it around and, you know, there's a lot of talk about public safety tonight.

People who live on West Mountain there is only one way up and down the certain part of that mountain now because the bridge is out.

Now, if something were to happen and we had a major snow storm or the road was closed or, you know, another accident and there was a fire, somebody needed an ambulance up there with the Crisp Avenue bridge out it makes it very difficult for somebody to get up there. I hope the administration really is going to do the project come May, but it seems they just keep pushing it off and pushing it off and we have the federal dollars. They are not even -- you know, it doesn't even come out of Scranton's budget.

But moving onto our budget, I would like to make a comment first about the budget in general and then about a quote that was in the Scranton Times. First of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all, in general I think the budget is just completely unacceptable. To cut 27 firefighters, ten police officers, housing inspectors, clerical workers, the public safety sector in our city is the backbone of the city. Without a strong police force, without fire protection, people don't want to live in an area. Why would anyone move to Scranton if they knew the government was cutting police officers, cutting fire protection? We need to send a message that we care about public safety, that we want more police officers on the street, we want neighborhood police officers like this council has pushed for. That's what we We can't afford to cut ten police officers. We are having all of these problems now, imagine with ten less guys on the road.

And I believe somebody mentioned the editorial in the Times and there was a mention that municipal unions are key constituents for the new majority. And let me be clear, I am not a union member, I will probably never be a union member, but I

support the city unions because I see what is happening under this administration and more so than supporting the unions it's supporting the people because the unionized police and fire, police and fire, are the people that are going to be at your house if there is -- if there happens to be a fire, if there is crime in your neighborhood. It doesn't matter whether it's union or nonunion, it's whether the city needs it or the city doesn't.

Mr. McGoff mentioned just before that he couldn't vote for a budget because of jobs being cut. Now, I'm all for cutting jobs, but I'm for cutting wasteful jobs, cutting the fat, cutting from the administration. For instance, in the police department, the mayor wants to cut ten police officers but the superintendent of police, the chief, is receiving a \$12,000 raise.

In the fire department the mayor wants to cut 27 firefighters, but he is giving the fire chief a \$14,447 a year raise. That doesn't make sense. As

Mrs. Evans said, you said in the past many times, we have too many chiefs and not enough engines, and that couldn't be clearer from this budget. The mayor's priorities are completely out of whack and I remember clearly the last campaign when I was running for council all of the red signs "Tell Mayor Doherty keep our fire houses open," and I believe the mayor made a pledge not to close firehouses and in this year's budget he wants to close firehouses.

But, you know, obviously we will be working on changing this budget, nothing specifically. We have only had it in our hands for a day, but that is all for now.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you, Mrs. Evans. I'm not going to elaborate tonight, but I do want to comment on the budget and I will elaborate more when I have a chance to digest this book of fiction disguised as a budget. I find it ironic, no, make that appalling that this administration dragged us before Judge Mazzoni in the spring over

our proposed budget amendments which required administrative salary reductions and a handful of administrative positions removed.

Their argument was based on the contingent that our reductions would compromise the health, safety and welfare of the our residents and Judge Mazzoni bought it. I testified, we were all there. At least four of us were taken in by the mayor.

I ask you, if this gutting of our public safety departments does not constitute a compromise of the health, safety and welfare, what does? It appears that this administration is more interested in protecting the salaries of the administrative appointments rather than to adequately protect you, the taxpayers.

If we pass this budget as it stands, I wonder how the mayor and the other architects of this budget would be able to sleep at night, and I'll have a lot more to say once we go over this. We just received it late yesterday, and that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman

Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. First, I want to start with a few citizens' requests. A resident of Dexter Avenue, which is a one block road in Scranton's west side, again, as Pat alluded to in West Side in the Keyser Valley section, a resident informed me that there are many cracks in the road, potholes, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera, and it's a causing a lot of problems for this resident as well as many of the other residents that live on this street. So, Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to Mr. Brazil and inquire whether or not this street can be paved in the near future?

Subsequently, a resident of Cayuga
Court, which is an extension of Cayuga
Street in North Scranton, has informed me
that the 300 block of this road is in
desperate need of work. The resident has
informed me that the conditions on this road
are so deplorable that the refuse collectors
will not even travel down the street to
collect garbage on garbage days and that she

is now bringing her garbage to the end of the block. With that in mind, can you please add this to the letter to Mr. Brazil.

A West Scranton resident has also informed me that the sidewalks on the West Lackawanna Avenue bridge are in poor shape and in need of repair. Various cracks and disturbance in the sidewalks are making -- they are making it very difficult to walk on. Mrs. Krake, can you please add this to the letter to Mr. Brazil asking if these sidewalks could be repaired?

I also received another letter from a resident residing within one of the Scranton Housing Authority's communities and this resident has informed me that the Housing Authority currently does not have a recycling program and asks if this is something that we can look into. The resident didn't provide a name or address unfortunately. I couldn't contact the resident back.

Unfortunately, city council does not have the authority to tell the Scranton

Housing Authority what to do, but in my

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

judgment I believe that it's a very good initiative to start a recycling program amongst Housing Authority communities. believe this could be something very good for the environment and I believe that it's something that is well needed as currently everybody else is recycling in the city. So Mrs. Krake, with that in mind, if you could please send a letter to Mr. Pelicacci asking him if this is something that they could consider in the near future, and also there is another issue with the Housing Authority that the resident forwarded to me that I will also send to you to mention to Mr. Pelicacci, but it is more of a personal matter for them that I will not say over the air pubically.

Also, an employee of Mercy Hospital has informed me that there is a vehicle that parks in front of the hospital in a "No Parking Zone" on a daily basis. I'm not going to go into describing the vehicle here, however, the resident has informed me of the make and model of the vehicle as well as the license plate number, the employee

rather, and they're a resident of the Scranton, too, so anyways with that in mind I will provide that information to Mrs.

Krake if you could please forward that over to Chief Duffy and ask him if this is something that could be looked into.

The last thing I want to talk about tonight very briefly is the budget. Like all of my colleagues, I echo some of the same concerns that they have, actually all of the same concerns. When enacting this budget, I think that in my true heart that public safety, the health, welfare, and well-being of the citizens of the Scranton is of utmost top priority and, unfortunately, I don't believe that this budget reflects that vision.

I do realize that the budget doesn't call for any tax increases nor would I ever want to see a tax increase imposed on the residents as well, and immediately when I received the budget I started examining it, I started looking through it, and I definitely see things that I would like to see changed and I will comment on that in

further weeks once this becomes an agenda item and also after the public hearing where we will have the opportunity to hear various citizens' feedback on some of the proposed changes, and that is all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Evans, I forgot one thing if I can, I would be remiss if I didn't wish my mother a happy birthday. I probably wouldn't be invited to Christmas dinner. I won't tell you how young she is, but she is the matriarch of our family, so happy birthday, Mom.

MS. EVANS: Very happy birthday, Mrs. Loscombe.

MR. JOYCE: And sorry, with that in mind I would like to apologize that I didn't wish my mother a happy birthday because her birthday was just a few weeks ago.

MS. EVANS: Happy belated birthday.

Good evening. First I have an update on payments in lieu of taxes from tax exempt organization. Scranton City Council wishes to recognize and thank Scranton Seventh Day Adventist Church for it's contribution of

\$250. According to Miss Kline, treasurer of the church, it is a small congregation, but it wants to help it's neighbors. Further, Mrs. Kline states that the Seventh Day Adventist Church hopes to be able to help again in the future.

City council is grateful for this contribution and hopes that other nonprofits will follow their example and step up to the plate during this time of financial crisis.

In addition, the Lackawanna County

College has notified us that it will make

the following in-kind contributions in place

of the payments in lieu of taxes:

Beginning in 2011, the number of fully funded scholarships for Scranton and West Scranton High School students will increase to two full scholarships per school per year for a total of four full scholarships. At the current tuition rate, these scholarships amount to more than \$84,000 in tuition benefits annually. Lackawanna College will also offer a number of discounted public memberships for Scranton residents at the Ivanish Center.

Lackawanna College states that this arrangement would require agreement of city, county and school district tax authorities in order to prevent violation of it's tax exempt status.

Finally, the Lackawanna College
librarian will contact the director of the
Albright Memorial Library to develop a
process of granting Scranton residents
access to it's Sealy library.

Scranton City Council thanks

Mr. Mark Volk, executive vice president of

Lackawanna College, for these new

contributions for the people of the City of

the Scranton.

Next, city council was notified last week by Representative Ken Smith that the City of Scranton has been awarded a low interest \$17 million loan through the Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority or Pennvest to help pay for modifications to the existing waste water system. The estimated total project cost is nearly \$35.4 million. Although, it is not a member of the SAPA, the city and it's Sewer

. .

Authority are pleased to have received one of the largest loan allocations statewide and our grateful for the assistance of our State Representative, Ken Smith.

Also, I would like to clarify briefly my comments in the Scranton Times regarding SAPA since as is usually and unfortunately the case, most of my statements were not included in the article.

In light of the immediate financial crisis and accompanying decisions faced by the City of Scranton, SAPA is not a priority for city council at this time. Further, Scranton already enjoys many items contained within this plan unlike many of the member communities. Scranton must put it's financial house in order and council asks that SAPA members and advocates understand and respect this decision.

Next, the mayor's proposed budget, of course, was submitted to the Office of Council only yesterday. Interestingly, several of the mayor's initiatives such as the merger of Parks and Recreation, the DPW and some employee cuts are the same ones

contained in council's amendments in early 2010 for which the hypocritical mayor sued city council.

City council had cut the costs of government in order to work toward lifting Scranton's distressed status.

Coincidentally, the mayor voiced that very same reason for cutting 69 employees during

I'm curious to know what Judge Robert
Mazzoni's reaction, if he had one, was to
Mayor Doherty's budget cuts.

his pseudo-event press conference yesterday.

Although, I am flattered that the mayor has modeled his pseudo event after council's Court testimony, and a portion of his budget cuts after our previous amendments, I don't believe that the mayor is being completely forthright with the public. Mayor Doherty's nine years of wasteful spending, legal maneuverings, inability to negotiate fairly and wisely with the police and fire unions, bloated bureaucracy and use of city authorities as political patron protection programs caused this financial crisis and the resulting

public safety cuts.

In addition, the Commonwealth

Court's decision regarding police and

firefighters plays a significant role in the

mayor's proposed budget.

Rather than leasing garages, parking garages, cutting management salaries and department costs, or refinancing debt, the mayor is crippling public safety, slashing services, and jeopardizing the health, welfare and safety of the people of the City of Scranton. Ironically, the mayor posited that the council's February budget amendments representing approximately \$700,000 in cuts jeopardized the health, safety, and welfare of the residents.

The mayor created this financial mess and it took him nine years to do so. How did one man inflict such damage? He had a callosus of constant assistance from his loyal apologists at the Scranton Times and his steadfast enablers in the Pennsylvania Economy League and DCED.

This council will do it's due diligence and try to help Mayor Doherty

clean up his mess without disseminating your public safety. Council will carefully examine each line item of the mayor's budget in concert with the reports presented by city departments and files that contain the 2010 work history of the department heads and develop it's final amendments to the 2011 operating budget throughout the next few weeks.

In addition, council will conduct a public hearing regarding the mayor's 2011 proposed budget in the next two to three weeks in order to gather public comment on suggestions. At all times, council remains mindful of your problems, your safety and service needs, and your financial circumstances.

Finally, tonight's agenda includes introduction in Fifth Order of legislation for the Comcast cable contract and side agreement and for creation of a special account in which Comcast grants for an EG channel administrator will be deposited and withdrawn. City council hopes to amend the Comcast cable ordinance in order to obtain a

decrease in the term from 15 years to 10 years and to retain two EG channels rather than surrendering one of our two current stations.

Thus, city council will amend the ordinance to delete the side agreement and to stop authorization by the mayor and other appropriate city officials to execute and enter into the cable franchise agreement until the contract term is reduced to ten years and the city retains two EG channels. The city will forego the \$85,000 grant in 2013 for an EG channel administrator.

Prior to next week's regularly scheduled city council meeting, a public hearing on the Comcast legislation will be held at 6:00 p.m. in city council chambers in order to hear and consider the testimony of the citizens of the Scranton. All of the aforesaid are examples of the numerous continuous efforts put forth by your alleged do nothing council. Ask yourself, who works to improve your quality of life and guard your tax dollars, the Scranton Times or City Council? Who gives you all of the facts and

who spins and omits the facts to protect and preserve the mayor? Try as it undoubtedly will, the Scranton Times cannot erase Mayor Doherty's singular responsibility for this financial tsunami, his failed leadership, and his financial mismanagement, meager incomes, lack of jobs, empty buildings, abandoned development projects, washboard-like streets, rising crime, gang infiltration, a 25 percent tax increase, broken promises and now the closing of city fire stations and cuts in police manpower.

This is the concrete evidence, the reality that the people of Scranton experience in their daily lives. A year's supply of ink and editorials from the Scranton Times will not succeed in hiding the truth. After nine years of the Doherty administration the people of the Scranton are wiser than the newspaper recognizes. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. CREATING AND
ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
02.229596 ENTITLED "COMCAST EG GRANT" FOR
THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS

	122
1	AVAILABLE TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON THROUGH
2	THE RENEWAL OF THE CABLE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT
3	WITH COMCAST.
4	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
5	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
6	introduced into it's proper committee.
7	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
8	MR. JOYCE: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
10	those in favor of introduction signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE
19	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
20	OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CABLE
21	FRANCHISE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
22	SCRANTON AND COMCAST OF
23	COLORADO/PENNSYLVANIA/WEST VIRGINIA, LLC.
24	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
25	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be

introduced into it's proper committee.

2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN:

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, ${\tt I}$

So moved.

would like to make a motion to amend the Comcast cable ordinance that the mayor and other appropriate city officials are not authorized to execute and enter into the cable franchise agreement and separate side agreement negotiated with Comcast, including all the terms and conditions contained therein, and attached to the Ordinance and marked as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference thereto until a separate side agreement negotiated with representatives of Comcast entitled "An agreement between the City of Scranton and Comcast of Colorado/Pennsylvania/West Virginia, LLC is deleted from the cable franchise agreement, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and until Article 2.2 of the franchise cable agreement, Exhibit A, is reduced from fifteen (15) years to ten (10) years and under Article 7.2, Educational and

	124
1	Governmental ("EG") channel of the cable
2	franchise agreement, Exhibit A, is increased
3	from an Educational and Governmental ("EG")
4	channel, to two (2) EG channels, one for
5	educational programming and one for
6	governmental programming.
7	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
8	floor, is there a second?
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor of the motion to amend Item
12	5-C signify by saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
16	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved. All those in favor of
19	Item 5-C, as amended, signify by saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AUTHORIZING THE 1 CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE 2 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF 3 TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND 4 OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION 5 OF S.R. 3011 KEYSER AVENUE AND S.R. 3002 6 7 (RANSOM ROAD)/CONTINENTAL STREET FOR 8 THE REHABILITATION OF KEYSER AVENUE FROM 9 JUST SOUTH OF THE INTERSECTION WITH OAK STREET IN TAYLOR BOROUGH TO THE INTERSECTION 10 WITH FERDINAND STREET IN THE CITY OF 11 SCRANTON. 12 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 13 14 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 15 introduced into it's proper committee. 16 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 18 MS. EVANS: On the question? 19 those in favor of introduction signify by 20 saying aye? 21 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 22 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 24 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 25 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

1 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-E. AUTHORIZING THE 2 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 3 TO EXECUTE THE APPLICATION TO THE 4 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF 5 TRANSPORTATION FOR BRIDGE OCCUPANCY LICENSE 6 REQUIRED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE TRAFFIC 7 8 SIGNAL AT S.R. 3002 RANSOM ROAD AND 9 CONTINENTAL STREET TO ACCOMMODATE THE PROPOSED SIGNAL CONDUIT/WIRING TO BE 10 ATTACHED TO THE ADJACENT BOX CULVERT OVER 11 12 LUCKY RUN (BMS NO. 35 3011 0120 0259). MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 13 14 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 15 introduced into it's proper committee. 16 MR. JOYCE: So moved. 17 MR. ROGAN: Second. 18 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11 19 those in favor of introduction signify by 20 saying aye. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 22 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 24 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 25 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

1 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-F. AUTHORIZING THE 2 CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE 3 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF 4 TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND 5 OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION 6 OF S.R. 3011 KEYSER AVENUE AND S.R. 3014 7 8 (DALTON STREET) AND DIVISION STREET FOR THE 9 REHABILITATION OF KEYSER AVENUE FROM JUST SOUTH OF THE INTERSECTION WITH OAK 10 STREET IN TAYLOR BOROUGH TO THE INTERSECTION 11 12 WITH FERDINAND STREET IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 13 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 15 16 introduced into it's proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 25 MR. JOYCE: Aye.

1 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 2 MS. KRAKE: 5-G. AUTHORIZING THE 3 CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION TO THE 4 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF 5 TRANSPORTATION FOR A PERMIT TO INSTALL AND 6 OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION 7 8 OF S.R. 3011 KEYSER AVENUE AND S.R. 3003 9 (JACKSON STREET)/JACKSON STREET FOR THE REHABILITATION OF KEYSER AVENUE FROM JUST 10 SOUTH OF THE INTERSECTION WITH OAK STREET IN 11 12 TAYLOR BOROUGH TO THE INTERSECTION WITH FERDINAND STREET IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 13 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-G be 15 16 introduced into it's proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: 22 Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 25 MR. JOYCE: Aye.

	125
1	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT
4	THIS TIME.
5	SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. <u>FOR</u>
6	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
7	FOR ADOPTION- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 46, 2010 -
8	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
9	CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
10	ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE
11	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY ("FEMA")
12	AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
13	("DHS").
14	MS. EVANS: What is the
15	recommendation of the Chair for the
16	Committee on Finance?
17	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
18	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
19	passage of Item 7-A.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
22	call, please?
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

	130
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
7	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
8	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
9	If there is no further business, I
10	will entertain a motion to adjourn?
11	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
12	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
13	adjourned.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER