	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, November 9, 2010
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATUENE C. NADDOZZI DDD. GEELGIA GOUDT DEDGETER
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
11	Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
15	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING HELD
16	ON SEPTEMBER 22, 2010.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, so received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
20	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON
21	SEPTEMBER 22, 2010.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
25	FIREMEN'S PENSION BOARD HELD ON SEPTEMBER

1	22, 2010.
2	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
3	If not, received and filed.
4	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. Minutes excuse
5	me. 3-D. MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON POLICE
6	PENSION COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON SEPTEMBER
7	22, 2010.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE
11	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING TO BE HELD
12	OCTOBER 20, 2010.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. AGENDA FOR THE
16	NONUNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING TO BE
17	HELD ON OCTOBER 27, 2010.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. AGENDA FOR THE
21	ZONING HEARING BOARD TO BE HELD NOVEMBER 20,
22	2010.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed. Clerk's notes,
25	please.

MS. KRAKE: We have some responses this week. The first is a response to a Right-to-Know request from Stu Renda and this was concerning our request where the whereabouts of two aerial proximity warning devices purchased by the city to be used by the traffic maintenance department.

Now, Stu Renda tells us that due to the extensive nature of our request it is under review and the city will respond in 30 days. However, we also sent the same letter to Jeff Brazil who tells us this, that the traffic maintenance is in possession of an aerial proximity warning device and the tree crew has the other aerial proximity warning device.

There is even more to this story.

An aerial proximity warning device if I have it correct, and maybe Mr. Loscombe would know better than I, is a device that's placed on the truck. It's an expensive item and it would tell whoever was in the truck that they were getting close to a power line.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Active power lines.

MS. KRAKE: What I think the -- or what this office was referring to were commonly called sticks where they can reach out and touch the power line to see if it's hot. So we, in fact, were calling something by the wrong title, and apparently Department of Public Works responded to that wrong title, maybe they were under the impression that's what it was called also, which is fine, so it sounds like there is two.

MS. EVANS: I do have a question though and perhaps we could easily get this rectified with DPW. As we know, the traffic maintenance department was disbanded in the 2010 budget and so it obviously would be impossible for that department to retain the device. I don't know what the name of -the correct terminology is for the device, so can he be a bit more specific and tell us, please, who then has the device. Perhaps it would be the company that was contracted to do the work in place of the traffic maintenance department, but if we can find that out, please.

MS. KRAKE: Thank you. This is in response to a request from Marie Schumacher. The Controller's Office tells us that there is no capital budget report at this time. There were no capital improvement projects for the Year 2010 and, therefore, no need to produce a report, so the Controller's Office does not have the report.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We received a response from Liz Renda at the Scranton Parking Authority concerning our request for copies of the any and all parking agreements. She tells us due to the extensive nature of our request she was wondering if we would like to narrow our scope.

MS. EVANS: I think we can narrow it down in terms of a timeline, perhaps 2002 through present. Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We also received a response from Roseanne Novembrino, city controller. We asked for copies of all vouchers for 2009 and 2010 in the UDAG repayment checking account commonly referred to as the Webly account. We do have those

in our office.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you, I have seen them.

MS. KRAKE: We received a response from Linda Aebli concerning the UDAG checkbook. We had asked if the dollar amount -- this is how we worded the request: Please provide city council with the dollar amount of any of the UDAG funds which have been utilized since the last reports have submitted to counsel. Also include information regarding their use.

Mrs. Aebli tells us that the only changes in the account was the bank charge as a result of the nonuse of the funds, and the balance has been \$4,155.62.

(Mr. Joyce enters council chambers
for the meeting.)

MS. EVANS: Perhaps we will have to rephrase our request because it seems clear that money is drawn down from some account there using UDAG funds according to the invoices that I have reviewed and so maybe tomorrow we can work on that, making the request maybe more specific in a new

direction. Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: From Bill Shane of the Scranton Redevelopment Authority, we had requested an itemized breakdown of all services and fees paid to Attorney Carl Greco from 2002 to the present. He is telling us in the course of the ongoing review of the request he is going to request the 30-day extension.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MS. KRAKE: You had requested last week or council had requested last week I should say that we contact the mayor's office concerning a tentative caucus tonight with Jeff Brazil and Brain Swanson. We did call the mayor's office and spoke with the secretary, left that message with her and that call was never returned as to say whether one way or the other that the mayor would allow Jeff Brazil to attend. And the same with Brian Swanson, we called and left a message concerning the caucus and there was no response from him either.

MS. EVANS: Well, I know that work has been ongoing in the Keyser Valley

section where all of these flooding problems are being experienced and I know that Mr. Loscombe is really on top of that situation and has been taking care of that on behalf of council, so maybe he can fill us in more and if you feel, Mr. Loscombe, that a meeting would be essential for the benefit of the neighborhood, you know, if you are incurring any problems then we can certainly try to reschedule this and perhaps get Mr. Tell in from Representative Murphy's Office, we could try for Mr. Swanson again and I think basically you are the man for this job. You have been on it and I'm going to leave that in your hands.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I appreciate it. I will address some of the issues under my comments.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to add I spoke today on the phone with the resident who initially requested the caucus and his block and the block next to it is a part of the ongoing project and that's what his concern was and he was very upset that the

mayor would not allow Mr. Brazil and Mr. Swanson to come to council for a caucus, so I will be talking to him again, and again, hopefully the mayor will change his mind, but judging by his track record that's not to likely.

MS. KRAKE: We had no response from the mayor concerning our request to know when the Civil Service Test for the hiring of new police officers would be administered. We had no response from Chief Duffy concerning illegal vehicle parked in the rear of 2801 Pittston Avenue in Hamm Court.

Next, we had sent several citizen's requests to Bob Kearns from MEM concerning lights, streetlights that have not been working properly. For the 700 block of Orchard Street and Grimes Court I spoke to Mr. Kearns today and he assured me that that light will be fixed in 24 hours.

The 300 block of Ferdinand Street, this light has a couple of issues going on. The first is we cannot get into the cabinet yet. He needs an as-built spec from

PennDOT. He told me that Jeff Brazil had told him he was working on that, so he does believe that that would happen. He also wanted to let us know that there is an old light that is still there that has not been removed, so there is some light in that area.

837 Quincy Avenue will be fixed in 24 hours, also. The original issue of a photocell was not the correct fix, so they are going back.

Dale and Vision Street, they were just notified of that today by our office and he also told us that will be fixed in 24 hours, also.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, can we just then keep our eye on the 300 of Ferdinand because it sounds as if the other locations are going to be repaired in a timely manner, but because we are involving the city in that particular issue if we can just, you know, keep a close watch on that and make sure that that's taken care of in a timely manner as well because I know that's been ongoing for over a month and the residents

of that neighborhood had contacted MEN and the mayor's office, they have taken every route possible, and council has been their last resort, so again, we have to be sure we follow-up on that.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Krake, could we please let -- the one on Parallel Drive, I know me sent a request previously. I'll give you the whole number after the meeting.

MS. KRAKE: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Actually, just to add to that, there are probably three on Parallel Drive. It is wooden so it's hard to get the pole numbers, but I'll get the information on the additional ones. And while we are speaking of it, 700 block of Birch there is few lights out there so it's really a dark -- really dark area around the Webster Avenue corner and up, so if we can add that.

MS. KRAKE: Speaking to Mr. Kearns apparently when they send the people out to fix them it's during the day because I made the remark it would be the light that's out because I know it's difficult for somebody

to get the numbers, but if at all possible he said a direct address or number does help speed up the process.

We did not get a response from Mr.

Swanson concerning the traffic signal at the corner of Lackawanna and Seventh. We did not get a response from Mr. Brazil on the stop sign at Price and North Cameron. Also no response from Mr. Brazil concerning directional arrows in line painting at the 400 block of Lackawanna or directional arrows and line paint for the traffic signal at Moosic Street and Meadow. And that's it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake, and we'll retain all of that information and I think we will continue to try to contact Mr. Swanson, however.

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. If you would bear with me, as we get to the winter season as it quickly comes upon us there are a number of charity drives that are taking

place looking for various items that would help needy people during the winter season and I would just like to make mention of a few. The Family to Family Program is sponsoring a coat drive. As it says gently used or new coats, gloves, any type of winter apparel would be greatly appreciated.

Also, the Voluntary Action Center was conducting a sock drive. It came to an end supposedly last week, but I'm sure that if items were donated they would be greatly appreciated, even at this time.

And also, Catholic Social Services is sponsoring a gently used blanket and quilt drive, again, for the needy. Any of these items that you may wish to donate or you can donate can be dropped off at -- for all of these programs can be dropped off at Marian Catholic Elementary School. That's on 638 Hemlock Street. If you have any questions you can call the school. The number is 346-9922. My wife should answer and you can get any answers from her concerning these drives.

Also, we did receive another notice

here from Elm Park United Methodist Church
who are also conducting a coat drive.

Again, coats and jackets, gloves, mittens,
scarves can be brought to Elm Park United

Methodist Church from nine to noon

Wednesdays or from ten to noon and 5 to 7

p.m. beginning October 27. If you need to
have items picked up you can call the church
office 342-8263. And that's from Elm Park

United Methodist Church as well.

Two other things not related to those, but dealing with those, I know that those organizations would be appreciative of any items that could be donated. They are greatly needed and I think it's something like 20,000 people were helped last year, something like that, with coats and so on, so please be generous if you can.

I'd also like to announce once again the Deutsch Institute Celebrity brunch which will be held this Sunday at St. Mary's Center from 9 to 1 p.m., 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. It's conducted to benefit persons with disabilities. Tickets can be purchased I'm sure at the door or if you can see

Mrs. Novembrino in the Controller's Office the tickets are available there as well.

And last, again, the Commonwealth Medical College is conducting a turkey trot 5-K race this Saturday. Proceeds will benefit Friends of the Poor. Donations of clothing and nonperishable food items can be brought there as well for the Thanksgiving season. The registration is being conducted at Lackawanna College and the start of the race is 9:00. I'm sure they are doing registrations probably an hour before, and that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just two announcements, and this one is a little outdated because we didn't have a meeting last week, but it was from Mr. Wolff at Scranton High School, and he mentioned that the Friday night football game was a pink out game for cancer awareness. The cheerleaders all wore pink shirts, the football team pink shoelaces, and the student organized the pink out section. They have sold to date \$1,925 worth of

shirts for this fundraiser and the student body would be allowed to enter the stadium wearing a pink out shirt for \$1. If you add the pink out funds with the LUW drive the Scranton High School students and faculty have raised over \$5,000 in the past few weeks, so that's very good news.

This one was just put in our mailbox so I figured I would announce it, it's from Bill Courtright from the tax collector's office and it says, "Fast, easy, secure, pay electronically at www.Scrantontaxoffice.org. You could do your real estate payment, individual earning payment, local services payment, employer holder service payment and employer earned income and business privilege and mercantile payment, so that could be convenient for some residents and I will ask that they put this on the scroll so everyone can pay their taxes. And that's all.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Just one, thank you. The Dante Literary Society will serve tripe or pasta on Saturday, November 13,

from 4 to 7 p.m. The menu will include tripe or pasta with meat sauce, salad, Italian sausage, bread and cake. Cost of the dinner is \$9.50 for tripe and \$8 for pasta with meat sauce. \$5 for children under ten. The Dante Literary Society is located at 1916 Prospect Avenue in Scranton, and to make your reservations please call 342-1770, and I'm sure walk-ins are also welcome. And that again is Saturday from 4 to 7. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I have two as well.

On Saturday, November 13, there will be a fundraiser to benefit pancreatic cancer research at Whistle's Pub and Eatery from 6 to 9 p.m. There will be live entertainment, drinks and appetizers. The cost is \$25 and can be paid at the door.

Also, the Pinebrook Neighborhood
Association is hosting a basket raffle to
raise funds. Chairperson Kathleen O'Malley
Cunningham is searching for a location for
this fundraiser, but in the mean time if you
would like to donate a basket you could

contact her at 614-4342, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. According to Attorney Hayes, solicitor, the Civil Service Commission has not authorized an entry level examination for patrol officers in the Scranton Police Department, however, a promotional written exam for the rank of corporal will be administered on Friday, December 10, 2010, at Marywood University.

Mrs. Krake, counsel would like to send a letter to Solicitor Hayes and Mayor Doherty requesting an explanation for the lack of authorization for an entry level examination, particularly since CDBG funds will be available for the hiring of approximately ten officers. Does the mayor intend to continue to understaff a police presence in low to moderate income areas or might he intend to transfer current police officers to COM-D positions and service? Please request a response on or before November 24.

The Albright Memorial Library
presents the Little Red School House, an
American icon, with author Jonathan

Zimmerman on Thursday, November 18, from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m. in the periodicals room located in the reference department of the library. Jonathan Zimmerman is a professor of education and history at NYU Steinhert School, a former high school teacher and author of four books. He is also the recipient of NYU's distinguished teaching award and a frequent contributor to national newspapers and journals. For reservations please call 348-3000 or register on-line at www.Albright.org/events.

Finally, this Thursday, November 11,
Americans will celebrate Veteran's Day, a
time for remembrance and Thanksgiving for
all our servicemen and women, past and
present, living and deceased. We praise and
thank them and their families for their
tremendous sacrifices and we keep them close
in our thoughts, prayers and actions not
only on this solemn Veteran's Day, but every
day that we each enjoy the comforts of home
and the freedoms of this great nation. And
that's it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I might add,

excuse me, Mrs. Evans, I mean, it would only make economic sense to combine the testing together since they have an arrangement with Marywood University, that's how they used to run the test, they would run several at the same time.

MS. EVANS: And again, we were informed by Mr. Martin, the President of the FOP, that Chief Duffy had also requested the administration of that examination and it -- it's just very curious as to why when we have a shortage of police officers, when we have a spike in crime, particularly juvenile violent crime, when we have the funding set aside we are not doing anything about it. So again, you know, we would like an answer to that. I gave you the date earlier, we need that in November.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Mr. Bob Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening, Council.

Bob Martin.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

ı

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MARTIN: I'm here, of course,

I'm sure everyone knows I'm here in light of the Commonwealth Court decision and I'd like to begin with some of the inaccuracies that are represented in the media in terms of the numbers. I know the numbers seem extremely astonishing and outrageous to maybe some, but the numbers of 44 percent is an inaccurate representation. It's not 44 The Commonwealth Court provided percent. provisions for those numbers. There is significantly less, 36 percent. I know that that still sounds like a very large number to most everyone, and particularly to the citizens of the Scranton because those numbers, you know, those numbers represent They don't represent just something that happened on October 29. was a culmination of 12 years and I'm here to make everyone understands that our officers need not drop their heads at all. Each officer, men and women in the Scranton Police Department not only earned these wage increases, but they deserve them.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

About six or seven weeks ago I sat in the courtroom and listened to the final hours of a trial from probably one of the most heinous crimes committed in the history of this city. Randal Rushing received three life sentences plus 42 to 84 sentence for the murder that he committed, and what brought that to swift and complete justice was your Scranton Police Department. Your police officers from the very beginning when they walked in that first call came in the morning, I received a call about quarter to 7:00 and started to respond, patrol officers were already on scene and met at the door by a woman that was in handcuffs that had been bound by Mr. Rushing, and those first initial officers, three officers, had to enter the building, enter the home, go through the carnage to ensure that the survivors were -- remaining survivors were safe.

People don't realize what the police officers do, and it's all behind the scenes, and we realize that and we accept that responsibility and we don't want any

sympathy, we don't want anybody feeling sorry for us, that's what we do and we know that.

It continued and took hours upon hours upon hours of investigative work to bring that to the culmination that happened about seven weeks ago. Diligent, dedicated, hardworking police officers day in and day out did not rest until they brought Randal Rushing to justice for whatever -- I sat in the courtroom and watched the faces, of the victims, the families, the survivors, and it's terrible.

And even more most recent about three weeks ago I sat in the courtroom and listened to the courtroom testimony under oath of the officers and the firemen that responded to Hyde Park Avenue, and another heinous crime and I sat there and listened to Firemen Cox choke back tears as he described what he did when he arrived on scene, and I listened to Patrolman Pat Gilman choke back tears as he described to the judge what he did when he arrived, climbed the ladder, got on the roof, and

coaxed and coaxed, choking on bellows of smoke coming out the window to grab Nigel off that roof, and Mrs. Leary wouldn't relent. She stayed in the window screaming for two children left in that house.

If you sat and listened and understood what these people do for a living there wouldn't be this conflict. I just can't stand aside and have people pick on our police officers and firemen and say, "This is a terrible, these pay raises are atrocious."

They are not atrocious. What these pay raises represent will receive and we will only be catching up to what every other police department, York, Wilkes-Barre, Reading, Allentown, Bethlehem, we are now where they were in 2008, and I just wanted everybody to understand that we have done all we could to negotiate a reasonable settlement, and to that I have to explain to my members that we are going to take an 8 percent, the Commonwealth Court struck our first pay raise, you know why? Because we spent three months in 2008 trying to

negotiate a settlement with the city and we are going to lose that, and we know that and we recognize that, but we tried and it's going to cost us a pay raise, a very substantial pay raise.

And I just -- I just hope everyone understands and just going forward some of the comments that you made, President Evans, is that we on the cusp, we are right on the brink. Mayor Doherty has made a decision to hire a very highly capable, highly motivated, highly mission oriented individual Chief Duffy. And I can only think in the back of my mind he's a little Dutch boy standing there with the finger in the dike.

To hobble him by not giving him the proper tools to move this department forward and to protect the citizens, and he is truly one of the first individuals that really, really in his heart has the safety and well-being of the citizens of this city in mind. I don't see any of the other style, I don't see any off the other rustling behind the scenes from him. I see true dedication,

but without the proper tools, without enough officers to put on the street that comment that he made, the request that he sent, that came from a meeting, we sat in a staff meeting and we argued, shift commanders argued over who was going to get the most personnel.

The afternoon shift, they get no rest at all. It's relentless. Call after call after call. They're backed up by the time they sit down in roll call. This afternoon before I walked out of the building an officer walked in and probably ten minutes ahead of his shift he never got to sit for roll call. Boom, he is out on the road, and that happens every day and it never ends. And in light of even the wage increases, I liken our job to shoveling water out of the ocean. It just never stops.

But to be continually under this labor pressure, I mean, we are thankful and we're hopeful that the city -- we understand the city is going to appeal, we are going to cross appeal, the litigation is going to go

2

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on, however, the stay has expired. The stay for the wage increases expired the day the Commonwealth Court handed down the decision.

So at least we can move forward from there and we'll live with that, whatever else comes down the road if they continue -but again, the interest is going to continue to compile, and I guess the one statement -the one statement that I want to make, and I don't know if everybody understands, and you are free to ask questions is that without a negotiated settlement, without a negotiated settlement this distressed status being Act 37, the super brains in DCED and PEL, it's only a temporary fix. It's only a temporary fix without a negotiated settlement. only way we can make a permanent financial stable situation in terms of our labor contracts, the public safety employees, is with a negotiated settlement because it's just going to come back later. I don't know if anybody knows that, but that's the --

MS. EVANS: I agree.

MR. MARTIN: That's the way it's going to be.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Martin, can I just ask you a quick question? Would you be able to address a statement that was made in "Go Lackawanna" which stated "They were offered many raises over the years and they turned them down, Doherty said."

I know you explained this prior on handshake deals and stuff, but we you see something like this in the newspaper they are not getting the whole --

MR. MARTIN: We had three negotiated settlements, at the very least three. The very last was at the University of Scranton and there was one at the University of Scranton just prior to that, the year -- the summer before that, actually went and ran into the fall and into November 2008. That was a negotiated settlement and the numbers there with 30 percent. We were just Court awarded 36 percent.

And also, as they said at the table, as Attorney Jennings said at the table that we agreed in that handshake we agreed to a 2 1/2 percent employee contribution to a second year patrolman. Now, to explain that

we would pay and make contribution to our health care at the rate of 2 1/2 percent that of a second year patrolman, just what they proposed about five or of weeks ago.

We made that same offer, we shook hands on that same offer at the University of Scranton, and then they come back now a year and a half later and say, oh, let's take a percentage, and those numbers were significant and there was other negotiations where their numbers were down as low as 27 or 28 percent, and I know that -- I know that the members that I represent would have accepted that. We didn't turn them down, they are the ones that turned them down.

Those are -- they speak of the management rights in the newspaper, I mean, and the numbers that are being kicked around, and I don't know how accurate they are, but they are probably relatively close to 10 million dollars. That's a lot of money to pay for some management rights that they already have. They don't really -- the management rights that they are discussing and talking about don't have any real

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fixed.

25

members that I represent they don't have to apologize for this. We have made every possibly effort and they earned and they deserve these pay raises. They work hard and they work hard every day and we won't

apologize for any of this at least in this

for the Court award. It could have been

I'm going to say is that I don't want my

I don't know what else to say.

deal. We got to have this."

MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe if the

fiduciary credibility to them. I mean, there is just -- some of them are senseless. I could pick out a half a dozen things in our contract that could probably save double what they are asking for, but they have a different agenda and the agenda is being driven by DCED and PEL. They are leading us, this city down the path of financial disaster because they are the ones that refuse to take those -- accept those offers that we have. Those two -- those three settlements. Those are the ones that they came in at the end and they said, "No. Nο

they would definitely understand. I agree with you 100 percent. They were made aware, they were made aware over several years by the police and fire departments what was going on and what's going to happen and that's all I can say. I mean, they saw it here, if they listened to Attorney Jennings that was black and white, that was reality and that's what we have to deal with.

MR. MARTIN: Well, I just hope that we -- the rumors were abound the other night just before our union meeting we got rumors that there were going to be layoffs in the police department, I don't know, I hope that they were just -- they were just intimidation tactics because in a practical sense it doesn't even make sense. We can't even begin to just barely do what we are doing now. We need those additional officers now, not a cut, not even for a short period of time.

Again, we are right on the cusp, and I tell you just wake up tomorrow morning and listen to your TV, listen to what's going on

19

20

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

in Wilkes-Barre, shootings, stabbings. have our share, but pay attention, pay close attention to what's going on Williamsport. About ten -- a little better than ten years ago Wilkes-Barre cut their police department down to less than 60 men and then once that criminal element gets in and puts a root in it's almost impossible to get rid of it, and that's a very much eminent threat is the drug culture that we work against every day and Chief Duffy that's his primary -- that's his forte and he works hard at that and he knows and he understands that once that's rooted and it's established in this city they run. They are running from us now, but I'm here to tell you without the proper tools it's not going to happen. We have these threats every day.

Again, I don't think that only applies to New York City. I hope you don't think that only applies to Philadelphia.

That applies here. Last Friday night I was called to Tobyhanna on a bomb call.

Packages that tested positive for TNT.

These things are going to happen and the

last thing you want to do is send an advertisement to the world and to Al Qeada and to the drug culture and say, "We are going to lay off officers. We are going to cut our police force," because all you're doing is putting up a neon sign pointing an arrow right to Scranton.

The mayor of Trenton yesterday he got state sanctioned cuts to cut 111 officers and he stepped up to the podium and he said, "It's not going to happen."

They had a whole program all worked out, there was 111 policemen going to go, I think 100 and some firemen were going to go, and he stepped up and he said, "Policemen are not going to go because all we are doing is we're opening the flood gates that we will never be able to shut."

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Martin, I just want to say that I agree with you that even in the toughest of financial times that the city is facing now the last thing that people can afford to lose is public safety because once the crime starts going up, once the drugs start coming in, what happens?

People leave and they go somewhere else and then they leave the city.

MR. MARTIN: And we lose that --

MR. JOYCE: And that brings down our revenue base in time, so I do want to say that I agree with you.

MR. MARTIN: I know that it's public safety and I know it's tough, it's hard, it's hard all over the country. I hear it all over the country, the public safety issue. I mean, think Hyde Park Avenue two minute response, two minute fire response and Firemen Koch got on the roof. Patrolman Gilman was done. He didn't have the proper equipment, couldn't work, couldn't work in it and he felt terrible.

Those things they're so critical they can't be sacrificed.

MR. LOSCOMBE: When I was appointed one of my promises was the commitment to provide the proper tools to all of the departments in the city and I stand by that and I know just what you are saying, and I have had several meeting with Chief Duffy and I agree 1,000 percent with your

perception of Chief Duffy, too. I mentioned that he is focused, he is looking at -- but he needs the tools.

MR. MARTIN: Yes. he does.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just like you, you need the manpower and the tools. You know, this is what people in this town are paying their taxes for, the services that are provided. Unfortunately, you know, through the years negotiations could have been handled better, they could have been -- there could have been better negotiations, but it just seems like this administration just thumbs it's nose and appeals everything and they are just prolonging the inevitable and it's costing us, the taxpayers.

And you can -- you will see in the media as time goes on it will be blamed again our problems where they blame them all of these years, yet for nine years the police and fire department specifically haven't had a penny increase in the budget. Where has all of the money gone? How could they blame them?

I might be a little biased because I

was a firefighter at one time, but I do have the sense of public safety and I live in the neighborhood that requires more public safety than anything, it's a little more excluded and, you know, fire response is very important, but I do believe that the people in this city have read enough stories in the newspaper to know what the truth is now, they have seen it live here, they have heard the gentleman from the different departments come here over the past several years and I know Mr. Martin doesn't want to say, you know, "I told you so," but that's exactly what's happened.

We have a heavy task ahead of us to try and work on a budget that's going to provide all of this and, trust me, we are going to provide you with the public safety that you deserve. That's our utmost goal. It's going to be a heavy task, but we have been looking forward to it from day one. You remember we fought in Court last year to try and save a little money to put aside because we knew these awards were going to be coming down the pike, but we are defeated

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in that, so we are that much farther behind.

But we have a lot of good people out there, a lot of good city employees, and I just hear to tell you I'm standing with you I will do what I can to provide you with the tools.

I would just like to MR. ROGAN: echo on Councilman Loscombe and Councilman Joyce and Councilwoman Evans said, I firmly believe that if government is only going to do one thing and one thing only that is the government has to keep people safe, and that's the most important thing. And while campaigning and going through the neighborhoods people said exactly what you mentioned about Wilkes-Barre. They said they do not want Scranton to become like Wilkes-Barre because every day when you turn on the news a shooting, a stabbing and it's all drug related.

And when I met with Chief Duffy a few weeks ago he really did seem committed to cracking down on the drugs in Scranton and, you know, I had a list of requests from myself and other members of the council and,

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, as soon as I mentioned it to him he said, "Oh, I'm already working on it."

He really seems on the ball and we need more officers on the streets and when you go to -- I go to the neighborhood organizations that's what they ask for, they ask for more police officers on the streets because it's just the presence alone. When criminals see police everywhere they go they are not going to stay here, they will go somewhere else, and that's -- we don't want them in Scranton. We need our neighborhoods to stay safe, and they are relatively safe, but you can see where -- which way the trend is going and crime is moving up from Philadelphia, Allentown, Hazelton up to Wilkes-Barre and, you know the next stop in line is Scranton.

MR. MARTIN: Right.

MR. ROGAN: And we have to stop them from coming here.

MR. MARTIN: They look for the weakest, and we have interrogated prisoners that told us they actually waited for Chief Duffy to take a day off before they came to

Scranton. I can tell you he is mission oriented and he is not going to let us down, but he still have has to have the proper tools to be able to function properly and carry that job off.

MS. EVANS: Well, thank you, Mr. Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you. I'm sorry I ran over my time.

MS. EVANS: In the interest of time I'll hold my comments -- in the interest of time I'm going to hold my comments until motions. Our next speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I have been hearing this problem for the last eight years -- no, probably nine years. You mentioned -- you mentioned 10 million, I can understand that, that the firemen and policemen haven't got a raise, haven't got raise on the budget, but they all had gotten longevity pay. That was pointed out by Mrs. Fanucci that you did get your longevity pay, but that's beside the point.

The point is we have lawsuits coming down, 10 million. How are we going to come up with 10 million? Not only that, that's only one. He was talking about the stream Court, another millions and millions. Now we are talking about almost a quarter of the budget just going out on these things. I don't know if we can prorate them out over a period of time or we have to come up with the money all at once. If we do, we are in trouble. Deep, deep trouble.

You never mentioned how much the two policewomen got from the city from that deal with our old police chief. I don't know why nobody ever mentioned the dollar amount. That should have been of interest to the people, not that they are against it, I probably would have sued, too.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Sbaraglia, I actually have that on my sheet, it was a request to Mr. Renda asking how much that cost the city.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Because that's interesting, too, because that really didn't have to happen. I mean, certain things

happen because of stubbornness, you all know the reason for all of the shenanigans was they wanted control over the fire department and the police department, the manning they could do whatever they want with that department. We all know that. We all know that a lot of these arbitrations were that the firemen and the policemen didn't want that.

I don't know what we are going to do because we will never come up with that kind of money without raising taxes. Where else are we going to get it? You can only borrow so much more and we are pretty much up in debt on the borrowing. I don't envy you. I really don't because people are going to blame you for whatever taxes go up because that's all they got to blame is you, and you are going to take it on the chin for what you had no fault in doing.

It was a dictatorship, I told you a long time ago. He wanted a dictatorship in Scranton. He wanted everything what he wanted and that's what he tried to do. He

tried to ram what he wanted down everyone else's throat and here we are going to pay for this.

Like I said, I don't quite understand the people of Scranton really how they can look at what was happening and close their eyes at election time. I don't understand it, I never will. I support the people of Scranton and I always did, but I still don't understand how they can do that and they knew what was happening.

And the same thing when they come out with that they are going to pass, you know, we voted on it, the Recovery Plan.

That Recovery Plan was passed because he said if you don't pass the Recovery Plan they would have to raise taxes. That's what was said, and the truth is where he did raise taxes, but now we are at a point where we are going to have to raise taxes whether we want to or not. There is only so much you can do with that budget.

And as far as the audit goes, I have been sitting here for a long, long time and that audit was never made and the reason why

it was this department, that department, this authority, that authority, and there was a whole bunch of things where you said they never got the information. In fact, I think one time they said we guessed at some of the stuff when you got the audit because the information never came by the time it come in.

audit is not going be up-to-date, the revenue sources are not going to be up to where they should be, and I tell you one thing the people are very dissatisfied with the parking fees. They are. They are not happy with spending a dollar for an hour parking at our meters and then turn around and know that the Connell bidding and the hotel got cart blanche parking, and they are paying for it and that's a sad thing.

People have nothing to do with the Connell building or the hotel, but yet we have to pay a higher parking fee because of them, and you also know our parking revenue is mortgaged to the bank. That was done, oh, maybe two councils ago when they passed

it for all of these streets, so where are we going? Really. We are like lost children in the world today. I'm sorry this happened. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers' Association. Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: I want to pick up where we were talking about the budget, in the proposed PEL budget they have a deficit of 7.1 million for 2011, if you recall when Mr. Doherty took over it was a 2.9 surplus and now we are going into a 7.1 deficit. That's on page two of the Recovery Plan as proposed by PEL.

On page eight they have the interest and debt service, that's without the TANS and coincidentally it's the same thing, 7.1 million dollars, so that is indicative of the debt that Mr. Doherty has placed upon the taxpayers of the City of Scranton. If we didn't have that debt, \$7.1 million that we have to pay back we probably would have a balanced budget, it's as simple as that.

23

24

25

But now he is spending and spending and spending and the way the situation now, as you know the economy, the real estate and the real estate revenue that you are going to be coming in in 2011 I would anticipate that a lot of people are not going to be able to pay their real estate taxes, and I don't know if that revenue is going to be there as projected, so with that in mind the Taxpayers' Association, as the president, I would like to recommend something, if we could possibly, and I would be remiss if I just sat here and moaned about what's going on if I didn't have a remedy, and the remedy is this here, I researched this, all right, and I researched it with infrastructure groups throughout the United States, all right, and as Andy said the Parking Authority, you know, had to make loans to pay off the debt service, you know? are an albatross around our neck, you know?

So what I researched, and I would like to have a caucus with a group of our Taxpayers' Association with the council and if we could bring in an infrastructure group

personnel to possibly lease the three parking garages. The City of Harrisburg is now in a situation where they are having a study done to see how much money they could bring in. I know Pittsburgh is now compromising, the mayor wanted it and the council didn't, and now they are compromising as of today to bring that in, also.

But I was told today that literally there is thousands of municipalities that -- ways of looking at revenue is through leasing their parking garages, and I can't see any other way. We haven't got anything from the nonprofits that puts a dent into what we are doing and we will not put a dent in that debt, okay, or the deficit. The only way is to find more revenue and cut the fat, no doubt about it, you know?

And you know, like I said, I would be remiss if I didn't bring this up in regards to possibly finding a solution. I would like to possibly if we can consider meeting with a couple of us from the Taxpayers' group and discuss this and I

would like to have someone come in and explain the process of what is done to actually lease these parking garages. All they are doing now is perpetrating salaries, that's all they are doing, and I think it's a good source of way of gaining revenue.

Harrisburg, of course, they have nine garages and they are looking at \$215 million as revenue to lease these garages.

So I would appreciate it, Mrs. Evans, if you would consider that?

MS. EVANS: Yes. In fact, I'm sure you are aware, I brought the idea before PEL quite awhile back of leasing Scranton parking garages and though they seem to agree that it can be a solution they didn't feel that it was something that could occur very quickly, that it would take a number of years, and I do understand that in Pittsburgh it was a multi-year process that the mayor of Pittsburgh initiated, and I understand what you are saying, yes, council had turned it down, but now council is faced with a state takeover of their pension fund and now they are willing to do something

with those garages and that is something that we should be doing, so we will make arrangements for that.

MR. QUINN: Fine. Fine. Appreciate that very much. As a matter of fact, the person from Harrisburg, Victor Hughes, I was talking to today on the status of the Harrisburg garages and he told me that right now the new study to see how much income and how much, you know -- the pluses and minus of it and he told me that PEL is in there now trying to negotiate them to go to a distressed city, and I says, "My, God," and I says, "Please call someone in Scranton fast before you get yourself into a bind."

And when I told him that we were in distress since 1992, he says, "What?"

He couldn't believe it. But he is going to get back us, I think on that one.

The second thing is you were talking about the UDAG, Mrs. Krake, and you said how much is in the -- Mr. Doherty's stash on UDAG repayments, do you know?

MS. KRAKE: The amount that Ms.

Aebli told us was in the account was just

22

23

24

25

over \$4,000.

MR. QUINN: On repayments for millions of dollars?

MS. KRAKE: Unfortunately, her answer is very vague.

MR. QUINN: Well, I recall last year or the year before the former majority of city council voted to give Mr. Doherty a cart blanch ticket to use that stash, that UDAG repayment money, for whatever projects he wanted to without coming back to council and I was hoping to come to council tonight and I was hoping to look at -- hopefully I was going to find a higher figure because I thought that, you know, maybe we could be a little bit ultraistic here and use some of that UDAG money for some home repairs for low income people or for some food pantries, you know, but \$4,000 that doesn't seem realistic, you know? Okay.

MS. EVANS: I agree. We are going to be looking into that much more closely.

MR. QUINN: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill

Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening, city council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers' Association.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JACKOWITZ: First of all, the primary function of any elected official is for the safety and the protection of their citizens, and I don't think that's happening here in Scranton.

Okay, Scranton Times' article 9

August and 10 August. January to August of 2009, the parking meter revenues \$476,610.23. By comparison, 2010 parking meter revenues January to August 2010, \$608,944.00 for an increase of \$132,334.23.

January 2010 the parking rates were increased from 25 cents per half hour to 50 cents per half hour for a 100 percent increase in parking rates. Also, parking fines were increased 100 percent from \$10 to \$20. Just using the parking meters as an example, the revenue should have doubled because the meters rates doubled, which they did not. So the revenue that should have

been generated should have been \$853,220.46.

So as you can see by these figures less people are parking downtown and not more.

Furthermore, how much taxpayer money was spent to install the parking meters adjacent to the Chamberlain plant? To my knowledge the parking meters have generated a grand total of \$1 in revenue. Again, another example of poor decision making by Scranton elected and appointed officials.

Now they want to talk about parking permits in the neighborhoods to park in front of your home own. I would like to suggest that we place parking meters in every restaurant parking lot within the city limits considering that the number one business within city limits are restaurants. Substantial revenue could be generated by putting parking meters in restaurant parking lots.

Latest unemployment figures,

Scranton/Wilkes-Barre/Hazelton again has the highest unemployment in the state, 9.9 percent. When will it end? We know that this is not an accurate -- we know this is

not inaccurate. There are more unemployed workers in the area than 9.9 percent they just no longer are counted.

What is the latest news on the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue? I see where they are still are no tenants and Molly Brannigan's is still vacant. I see where the Coney Island building is currently occupied by a church. Funny, did the City of Scranton try not to take over Coney Island eminent domain? Although the tenant probably will not be paying taxes as a church, at least somebody moved into the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue. When can the taxpayers expect the remaining buildings to be occupied? This year I hope.

Where is the developer, Mr. Rinaldi? When will he be invited to attend a caucus again? He been a no-show. I would like to hear his answers and I'm sure other citizens would also like to hear.

Forbe's magazines rates worst cities for jobs this fall. A real nice picture of the vacant 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue is featured in the article. "Extreme

uncertainty in state government may result in thousand of city and municipal jobs and the city and state are both seeking federal aid."

What city are they speaking about?

A manpower and employment service firm asked more than 18,000 employers in 50 states and 100 metropolitan areas how you anticipate total employment at your location to change in the three months to the end of December 2010 as compared to the current quarter.

Using the data that resulted

Manpower conducted a quarterly assessment of
employment outlook across the United States,
whereas in 2009 employers expressed
pessimistic hiring across the board, 2010
has brought four straight quarters of a
generally positive outlook.

Here are the cities that have the worst hiring outlook of all: Scranton, Pennsylvania, minus two. Not a very good outlook. Right from the top ten worst cities to find a job. Now you have the answers. They were talking about Scranton, Pennsylvania. You can find this information

at Comcast.net/finance.

Scranton audit nearly six months

past due charter date. Where is the story?

This happens every year in Scranton. Again,

taxpayers never get a break, but then again

we pay high wages to political appointees

because we only hire the best, although

qualifications do not matter, a quote from a

past city council president.

Finally, how much will it cost

Scranton taxpayers in the latest lawsuit

brought against the city and police athletic

league for the skateboard park in West

Scranton for failure to pay contractors?

Again, citizens loss.

And finally, I would like to remind everyone that Thursday is Veteran's Day.

There will be a Veteran's ceremony, the annual Veteran's Day ceremony starts at 10:30 a.m. at Lackawanna County Courthouse for the flag raising ceremony, at 11:00 the ceremony itself actually starts. I would like to see as many people attend this Veteran's Day ceremony on Thursday, especially those of you who get the day off

because of the veterans who gave their lives and their freedoms to go out and protect your lives and your freedom. So, please, attend the ceremony at 10:30 a.m. or at least 11:00 on Thursday. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Jackowitz, I just wanted to explain, unfortunately, I cannot attend the ceremony this Thursday, I will be working at that time, but if I did have the day off I would be there.

To comment on some of the issues you raised about jobs I just wanted to bring up a fact. Recently council received information from PEL and in 2007 the United States Economic Census identified 1,482 businesses establishments within the City of Scranton. These companies employed 29,700 employees. In the 2000 census it was identified that \$41,764 individuals worked inside of the City of Scranton, so we could obviously see that there has been a job loss here over the duration of time.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, we made the

top ten.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Council, Ronnie Ellman, member of the Taxpayers' Association. I'd like to make a comment or two about that election. I think Mr. Kanjorski's speech, his statement when he lost was very good. It made me think a little more highly of him because I didn't vote for him and this Mike LaPolla that ran I met several times, he's a very good man and I hope a young person like him stays in politics.

That brings me down to Mr. Blake.

To me, Mr. Blake has just no credibility and no integrity taking that belated bribe, that's all it was. It was a thank you from Mr. Boscov. It was shameful. And I knew about it the day before or two weeks ago at the meeting, it's time to Mr. Boscov paid the city and the taxpayers of the state some money back and quit -- he makes Paul Sorvino look like an amateur with 500,000. Both of these men to me they are just a show of blatant -- just arrogance and just deliberate indifference to the people of the

city or the state that supported them.

Oh, my. You know, I voted for Frank Savvo. I think he can run circles around Mr. Blake and he is an honest man besides. I can't understand Mr. Blake is the kind of person going back to Harrisburg that Mr. Corbett and the FBI is trying to rid the place of. Now, I hope he answers me. Somebody told me he might that I was talking to.

And I have heard a bunch of people whining about Mr. Marino and Mr. Carney losing, it's going to come out sooner or later Mr. Marino didn't use nearly the ammunition he had on Mr. Carney, and I would have personally.

And, Mr. Hughes, I wanted to say this last time, I was told by a member that they loss their nonprofit status, but if they didn't I'm certainly appreciative of them paying taxes when they don't have to, but the last couple of years they haven't paid them, you can check yourself, that's what I was -- I know that, but I think it's -- I wish we could get -- squeeze some

money out of everybody like that.

You know, in today's paper, the university -- the medical school bought nine -- well, eight properties, isn't it? Eight properties for \$425,000. They don't have any plans to build right now, but that property nobody else can buy it, it's gone forever. This is going to be a ghost town and they are going to start fulfilling their promise of taking an acre of houses away. It's senseless to allow them. It's just like Mr. Mansour sitting there for 13 years not paying any taxes on any of that property he got.

There's no way the city can survive. It's just impossible. I don't know. Nobody seems to listen or care anyway anymore. I think that people in this city are just getting beaten down like the political people want. You know that in just less than a year the University, the medical school and Marywood have taken millions of dollars in property off that would pay the mayor's salary and all five of your salaries in less than a year. That means that

2

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taxpayers had to make this up somehow or are going to. Where is -- you know, I said this 20 times where are we supposed to get the money? It just -- it's just terrible. A bunch of greedy parasites in these schools. They are a bunch of phoney nonprofits.

And I'd like to say one thing before I go, I'm ready to go. I see on the news these people in Haiti living in makeshift tents, we collected I heard \$800 million, where is this money? This is terrible for the -- the American people are just chumps. I gave some money, not a whole lot, but I didn't give it for the Red Cross to keep in a bank account. I gave it for those people to have something, and that's what American people and people all over the world did and all you see is continuously nothing has been done over there. There is big pieces of concrete and crushed cars and diseases, you know, it just makes you -- next time somebody needs something you won't help them.

You know, like I said, the Red Cross says their money is in the bank they are

waiting for something. I heard that on one of the news programs. Well, I don't know what they are waiting for when people are just over there, there is 400 of them dying a day from Cholera, it's just terrible.

Anyway, thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Anyone else who would like address council?

Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers',
resident of Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Okay, I'm also concerned about these audits and it's only my personal opinion as a citizen, but I think if somebody can't turn in an audit within 30 days late they have no business being a department head, and I don't care what the reason is. They have to be able to run their department and supervise and they have to know how the money is being spent and where it's going and they should start right now preparing the audit for next year because every year it's like this and it's

not council's fault, it's only your fault when you say, "Well, that's okay."

So if you don't do anything about it some day it will be your fault. This is your first year, so -- but personally I think if I -- I worked all my life in the private sector and if I didn't get a job done on time I heard about it and if I didn't get enough jobs done on time I got told I was no longer a necessary fixture.

And it's really ashame, these parking fees are a real problem for downtown people, I have -- like I mentioned buy clothes and stuff downtown and they are not happy with it. You have to have too many quarters, it's not even the money, you have to have too many quarters. In the case of down there on Mattes Avenue, yeah, maybe we should sell them a permit and charge them a seriously reduced fee because nobody is parking down there. They are parking in all of the neighborhoods.

And Ozzie also mentioned something these buildings that are being torn down for the developers, it would be interesting to

know whether -- who is paying for that, is it the city or is it the developer, and it would be very interesting. It's almost like handing somebody free money if they are owning these properties and having them torn down at city's expense.

And okay, before the election we did several interviews and forums with candidates and I'd like to at least thank ECTV, too, for listing the times. I put the television on and the times were on that they were to be played and I feel that they deserve a little bit of thanks there, it's a considerable advantage for somebody that turned the television on and know they can watch something or opt out, whatever, but they don't have to watch it all day to see what times something is on.

And now, we had our second rock -or music concert for WFT at the Irish Wolf.

I would like to thank those people on Linden
Street for providing the place, we provide
the music, we get volunteers and the next
one will be at Magnotta's -- or Heil's. I'm
still thinking 40 years ago, that shows how

senile I'm getting, Heil's Place in the Sunday after this Sunday, next Sunday.

And then the golden parrot goes to Antonio Scalia and Justice Thomas for attending junkets thrown by the US Chamber of Commerce we complained about no jobs, well, they are actually providing -- providing seminars on how to outsource your employment to other countries, so please consider retirement. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. You, too.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie. Well,

Jack, what do you think this week, Buddy?

The playoffs start this week. We got to win
this one, Jack, you know, we're done, Buddy,
you know that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Should we call McGoin back in?

MR. SLEDENZSKI: You know something, Jack, he is the best quarterback in the league. Everybody remembers that, too.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Definitely.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: And he is a good kid. I wish him luck at Penn State and good career. Guys, let's win this game and bring it back to Scranton where it belongs. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Keep your spikes.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: I will, Jack.

MS. HUMPHRIES: I want to put this by Mr. Loscombe because he worked in the fire department, I don't know, you have to bring it down here. This the hard and it's emotional for me. You know, President Kennedy says don't ask what your country can do for you, but what you can do for your country. A lot of times I came here frazzled, I spoke my truth.

I want to Honor and send my condolences out to the boy who died on Sunday. My heart is with him and I'm going to read some names, if I could see, my sugar gets a little high. Marina Ambrosia, the Air Force; Leanne Matisko, the Navy; Michael I, the Army; Michael II and III, the Air Force; James Matisko, the Army; Gale, she is deceased she died three weeks before her

marriage, the Air Force; Joseph Vadella, I, he fought December 7, and his son and Gail and Joseph, they served in the Air Force, Vadellas. Patrick Vadella, Carmen served at the battle of the bulge. Anthony, they were all double purple hearts. Dominic Paul Vadella, Angelo, Ronnie Matisko, of the Air Force, Henry Matisko, George Matisko, Father McDonnelly and all of the chaplains. I send my thank you, my heartfelt for serving the country. James Rasalovitch he died, he asked me to marry him again, by helicopter.

Three nurses were killed and they are honoring one of the them of the many at Melrose. I forgot what day it is, I am going to have to check so we could bring together a beautiful honorable statute for them. The nurses and all, the people, did they forget that served at our command? Please try to support and I want to thank the Lackawanna Ambulance, and the police department we really to get straightened out. And I am indebted to the fire department here and in Syracuse.

When we look at everything and we

24

25

look at the world today I heard a program, Corbett, and he was speaking and soldiers were calling in asking why are they in Afghanistan and Iraq. They says when they come out with is kill and survive. I want to uplift them and just tell them one thing, when they look at their children they leave their children and yet they have these other children in other countries they are really trying to protect. I give thanks to you. I'm sorry for the loss and I ask Obama to please now it's time start bringing our soldiers back because what I know and was told to me would be incomprehensible to give normally human being was has happened to me and so I ask everybody to be brave, to have faith in God, and try to the best to protect your country and to bring us back so we could protect our own country because this truly we need a lot of firemen, we need a lot of policemen and we need a lot of money and we don't need to be sending it to places where they are killing us and turning against us. We don't need to teach people how to shoot or they are going to turn them

onto us and this is wrong.

God bless you alls, I hope you all have happy Thanksgiving and God will prevail. It lights up into dark. I want to take it from Philadelphia to New York to Washington. If somebody would donate a trailer and we need a driver I'm still working and I have a statute representing the United States and I wish would somebody would come and try to help me with this because I am doing it with the help of God. God bless yous and thank you for assisting us with everything. God bless you. I'll leave it there for now and get it later.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council, Marie Schumacher, taxpayer, citizen
and member of the Taxpayers' organization.
First, I would like to thank our vets and
special tribute to two of my first cousins,
both of whom served in World War II that
passed away during this last year, Ralph
Scott and Fred Volce.

In the caucuses of the last several weeks and at least one of the articles that

appeared in the newspaper, Attorney Jennings has been strongly hinting that the City of Scranton be held harmless in what's going on because of DCED and PEL's intervention in the Scranton business. I would like to know if there is any way you can answer during motions if that is -- if there is any possibility at all in that happening and if you all are doing anything to pursue that and get an answer, particularly in light of the fact that the mayor's budget will be out next Monday.

Also, I'm a little bit concerned, I did hear a few of the questions that I had asked on the street paving or marking of the streets, but I did not hear answers to two questions that I submitted in writing two weeks ago, one dealing with what it would take to achieve the 50 percent level required for pensions for nondistressed municipalities, I'm still interested in that figure, and I still would like to know if we have a liability cap similar to Madison Township, and if we do what that cap is and I think every taxpayer should be interested

 in that.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Schumacher, the letters were sent out, we didn't receive an answer yet.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, I didn't hear that when Mrs. Krake read the -- she may have --

MS. EVANS: Well, it's probably because we didn't get the response.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But there were a number -- but anyway, yeah, okay, but I just want you to know I'm still interested in those certainly.

And also, Mrs. Evans, two weeks ago you noted that part of your opposition to the Scranton Abington Planning Association plan was that the capital budget was contingent upon SAPA, and that is not true. I went back and checked and there were -- that was changed in November of '09 and there were addendums in the front of the binders, so again, there is no other cost unless council decides to spend and, of course, I do believe there will be costs for a consolidated plan if SAPA is not -- if a

separate comprehensive plan is not voted on, and just a reminder, my check is still here, I bring it with me every week and I'm ready to turn it over at any time.

I also have -- I had requested that you all on the capital budget go to Mrs. Novembrino for a company of her capital budget, and I received word from Mr. Joyce that that was -- that there wasn't anything to provide because there are no capital improvement projects for the Year 2010.

With due respect to Mrs. Novembrino, the Home Rule Charter calls for a five-year budget and we are in I don't know, I didn't take the time to pull them, but we are either in year three or four of a current five-year budget. And just sitting I came up with these we have this year, Nay Aug pavilion was let out, a contract was let for that; purchase of three aerial proximity warning devices for the fire department; acceptance of \$300,000 Weinberg grant for Weston Field; the Crisp Avenue bridge. Today's paper had the Police Athletic Association, there have been several

2

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

articles in the paper stating that that was a \$135,000 project. All of these are this year I might add.

Also, I'd like to know where the money is the city has to put in to buy the Colfax Reserve Center, and HUD requirements for that were that there have to be matching-- the city had to come up with matching money and I would like -- that would be a capital budget item. Howard Gardner School was very interested in this facility but they couldn't get it because they didn't have -- they weren't able to come with the matching funds, and I would like to know where our matching funds are, if I may just continue this list. DPW trucks even though they were paid for by the landfill they still should be in the capital budget; 15-foot high recycling waterfall in Hanlon's Grove and plus grills, tables, landings and 250 pound concrete turtles. Those were all capital projects and I believe they should be in a budget.

MS. EVANS: I agree with you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That might be a

good start if you don't have it and I have many things, but I guess they'll have to hold until next week.

MS. EVANS: The only thing I want to add, Mrs. Schumacher, is the fact that the business administrator still has not sent to council the adjusted capital budget.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I understand that. That's why I suggested you go to Mrs. Novembrino because she is typically kept a five-year, but to say there is -- there are no 2010 capital budgets expenditures when --

MS. EVANS: Oh, I agree with you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- just sitting and going through a few of my files I came up with what, that's close to a dozen.

MS. EVANS: Well, that validates what we were saying regarding the capital budget and why we could not approve it because it is in violation of the Home Rule Charter, nevertheless, the administration has ignored that and apparently is content to remain in violation while the city has no capital budget.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But you all have

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the opportunity, it's a new budget, I mean, it's part of the new budget for the year so you all have the opportunity to develop your own. Otherwise, we are going to -- it's going to be December 15 before we know it and no budget is going to be approved and it's just plain not right. So lots more, but no time, so maybe next week. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. LANGAN: I'm Dan Langan, you'll have to excuse me, I'm a city council virgin so if I stray just let me know and I'll get back on-line. There is really only two things that I'm concerned about, one even though I'm fat I'm a runner, I like to run on the sidewalks of Scranton. There is cars parked all over the streets -- all over the sidewalks. I don't know what -- how much money was spent on Moosic Street all of the way up, you guys made a wheelchair accessible, the only problem is they are not wheelchair accessible because there is cars parked right in the middle of the sidewalks. I know it's illegal, I know there's an alley

behind it, I know half of those cars that are parked on Moosic Street have driveways, still they choose to park on the sidewalk.

Down here straight down five blocks at Sharon's Place, it's a little diner, all of the cops go there at lunch, everybody parks on the sidewalk. Every time I stop a police officer and I say I know it's illegal, nine times out of ten they say, "What do you want me to do about it?"

I want them to write up a ticket. I want the cars off the sidewalks for me, who is old and fat, for the wheelchairs, for the strollers. If you go down Wyoming Avenue around Prep all of the car dealers they all park right on the sidewalk. The one, a block and a half from Prep not only parks on the sidewalk he parks up against a fire hydrant. I know that the law does not say 20 feet from the fire hydrant unless you are on the sidewalk, you know? That's my big complaint.

My other complaint is coming up as we go into the winter season, it's going to be shoveling the sidewalks, you know. If

you go up on Meadow Avenue, Gerrity's, the
Dollar Store, none of those people not only
don't they plow, don't they shovel their
sidewalk but they plow their driveway, their
parking lot onto the sidewalk. I know it's
illegal.

If I don't shovel my sidewalk in the winter, you know, I could get a ticket, I could get fined. I think it should hold true for everybody.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LANGAN: Like I say, that's my only complaints is the sidewalks. You know, we had 2000 people just do a marathon here, Scranton could be a healthy, fit city or it do be where everybody parks on the sidewalk and nobody can get from one block to the next. I appreciate your time. Have a good day.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. Just a couple

of comments on some things that were said this evening. Certainly looking at the lease of the parking garages and the lease of the parking meters throughout the city is something that I am glad that Mrs. Evans had looked into and now we see some information from I guess it's from PEL that we got that report?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Dealing with or how other cities have dealt with the situation. I realize again, as Mrs. Evans said, that it's not something that we can do overnight, but certainly it's something to look into and something to seriously consider for the immediate future, although, it may not be beneficial in the long-term, certainly we need to look at some -- some things that can be beneficial in a short term.

Secondly, for those of us in the South Side area in the East Mountain area the River Street bridge is finally completed, traffic lanes at least are completed and drivable without great fear of accident or injury. I hope now that perhaps

we can start to look at perhaps the traffic light at the corner of River Street and Meadow Avenue which is long, long overdue, a very dangerous intersection and one that needs -- that desperately needs a traffic light, and I know we have spoken about it for years, but now that the one project is complete perhaps we can move on to the second project.

And lastly, Veteran's Day I would like to my father and all World War II veterans especially, they are a dwindling national treasure and I think that it's something that we really need to say thank you to. I know that they have been described as the greatest generation and they are slowly leaving us, and I think we should do something to really commemorate those people, and to all veterans thank you for what you have done for us and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, I just wanted to add that I think the PennDOT signalization program is going to begin in 2011 so maybe that light will be addressed

1 somehow through that? MR. MCGOFF: I'm not sure that 2 that's included. 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: It is. 4 MR. MCGOFF: It is. 5 Mrs. Schumacher --6 7 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 8 MR. MCGOFF: -- has been on top of 9 this for awhile. Hopefully it is. 10 under the impression that most of the 11 signalization was for -- limited to the pretty much the downtown area, but 12 13 hopefully --14 MS. EVANS: Yes. 15 MR. MCGOFF: -- something can be done 16 at that intersection in the near future. 17 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Rogan, 18 any comments or motions? 19 MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. First, I would like to thank all of the veterans in 20 21 our community. I have family members and 22 friends who are veterans and without them we 23 wouldn't be here today with the rights that 24 we have to say what we want to say and we 25 thank them.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Secondly, I would like to thank everyone who ran in the election. There were a lot of good candidates that ran, fortunately, the elections were a little more negative than they normal are, but we are glad that's behind us and I would like to congratulate our new congressman, Lou Barletta. I'm sure he will do a great job for our community.

While at the polls on election day a few residents brought some different concerns to me and one of them that one of my neighbors brought up, and I know it was in the paper maybe about three weeks ago, about a proposed new park and, you know, in the state that we are in especially after listening to Mr. Martin today when, you know, the mayor is considering cutting police and fire, DPW, but yet at the same time he wants to build a new park in North Scranton to me it just doesn't seem to make We need it to have our any sense. priorities straight and we need to watch every penny and we need to spend the tax dollars wisely. Public safety is the most

important job of government just like I mentioned before, and we need to keep our neighborhoods safe and we need to have a strong fire department with good equipment to protect the residents and to protect the firefighters.

But moving on from that, I have a few requests for residents and one a couple of people actually asked me, Mr. Sbaraglia brought this up earlier, Mrs. Krake, could we please send a letter to Mr. Renda asking how much money Chief Elliott's comment about the two female police officers is going to cost the city?

I received a letter, an e-mail today actually, and I know that it's been an ongoing issue where people will be parked the wrong way on many streets, but the person who sent this today alone he had two cars pull out of a parking spot going against the correct flow of traffic that nearly hit him while driving and he said on Lafayette Street from Main Avenue to Van Buren there were 27 cars parked going against the direct flow of traffic, and I

know that in the past a lot of times people will call the police and nothing will be done because I know you can't just -- the police won't just ticket one car they have to go all of the way up and down the street and ticket each one, but could we please forward that to Chief Duffy.

As well as while we are at it similar with cars parked on the sidewalks as well, and I believe it was on Moosic Street and by Scranton Prep.

And finally, once again the Crisp

Avenue bridge seems to be held up again. I

received a letter, an e-mail from another

resident who said he talked to Tom Tell at

Mr. Murphy's Office and from the information

he received that it's in the hands of Paul

Kelly, assistant solicitor for the city, and

they are just sitting on it. So could we

please see anything we can find out about

that and, you know, this -- he concludes his

e-mail by saying, "So close, yet so far,"

and that's really how it feels. It feels

like every week, you know, something -
something of good news comes to us about the

Crisp Avenue project and then the next week another bit of bad news that seems there is another setback, and I will hold my comments on the audit for seventh order.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe, any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, a couple. Thank you. Mr. Rogan, I just happen to notice in the paper this week in the legals an advisement on the Crisp Avenue bridge I guess an impact statement which allows people a certain amount of time, too. I mean, I believe that could have been done far prior to now, but I don't know if that's a hold-up or what, but if we can again checkup on that, also, for the Crisp Avenue bridge.

I, too, would like to honor all our veterans. As the son of a veteran, son-in-law of veterans, a lot of veterans in my family, again, we wouldn't be here speaking to you if it wasn't for our veterans that put their lives on the line for us past and present, so from the bottom of my heart I thank you all.

This morning's paper there was an article regarding our city audit, late nearly six months, just a couple of statements from here. "The annual independent audit for Scranton is nearly six months past due drawing the ire of some city officials. The Home Rule Charter says the City must have it's audit which is being completed by Robert Rossi & Company completed by May 31, but the audit has not been completed as of Monday business administrator Stu Renda said."

"There is no one to blame, Mr. Renda said, explaining that an audit is a time-consuming process."

They have had nine years to practice this process and it just seems this year it's even extended a little bit longer. I mean, each department should be prepared, should know by this time when the information is requested to have it available. It's nothing new. They have been doing the same thing for the last nine years. If it was a new administration I could see. To say it's somebody ire, it's

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us up here and probably more so than anybody Mrs. Krake who through her staff has to send countless letters out. I do have to say the Rossi Company has been sending us updates continuously on information as requested.

This was one of the letters we sent out on October 27, Mr. Stu Renda, business administrator, third request, "Dear Mr. Renda, has the information requested by Robert Rossi & Company to complete the audit been forwarded to them, and if not when do you anticipate having your department provide the auditors with the necessary information so that the audit can be completed? According to the Home Rule Charter, the audit is to be done by May 31, the audit is now over four months overdue. Please give this request your immediate attention and please provide council with a written response on or before November 5, 2010."

I received a letter from Rossi & and Company, which is a pretty regular occurrence here, if they charged us just for the paper they used it would cost a lot,

but, "Dear Stu, this is an updated list as of November 8, 2010, of open items needed to complete the audit for the year ending December 31, 2009. We are still awaiting for responses from the three attorney letters. After receipt of attorney letters, we will issue a draft of the audit report for preparation of the city's management and discussion and analysis section of the audit report and scheduling an exit conference."

I can imagine the frustration for any company that has to deal with us, first of all, but again, us trying to work with budgets and stuff like that, it's bringing our frustration level up, also, but the blame is not to be placed on the auditor, the blame is not to be placed here. We have been doing our due diligence and trying to pursue this information, the blame comes from downstairs, that's all I can say. And I'm disappointed, I mean, I have seen several letters already just requesting the attorney letters.

MS. EVANS: Yes, I think there was a letter that we received November 3

2

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

requesting those letters, so our updates are very, very regular and it's quite clear that the cooperation is lacking.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct. And I believe in the paper he mentioned that there were two letters they are awaiting for, but this is dated the 8th from Rossi and it states three letters. So it is frustrating and I don't know what the consequences are going to be.

And also the last item I just wanted to update on the flooding issues and stuff like that, I did speak to Gene Skeleton from the Sewer Authority, they have been working diligently at the 15th Street and Luzerne Street intersection to prevent the flooding They have done quite a bit of work, there. replacing pipes underground, they have actually run a drainage ditch across the road because it's a flat road and everything was running down the hill. They figured that will resolve most of the problems there, but there is lot of extensive work there and Gene did tell me he had gotten the maps for the Keyser Avenue renovations from

the state and we are going to reconvene a meeting with Kevin Murphy's office and hopefully Jeff Brazil, our city engineer, also, and get going on that because before they start to project there have to be some things that are done to prevent the flooding in the Keyser Valley area, otherwise, you would be tearing up the good -- the new stuff to replace it, so we have to coordinate that and it was Gene that did contact me and told me he had the maps and we'll get on that very shortly because the state will be bidding that out next month.

And again, we do have other pockets of areas that they are working on, but we are taking them one step at a time and eventually hopefully we will solve all of the problems as far as water runoff due to storm, and that's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Mr. Rogan asked if he might speak once again
because he neglected to mention something
very important.

MR. ROGAN: I apologize, I had this tucked to the side. We received from the

energy audit from Pure Energy Consultants about two weeks ago and I just wanted to list some of the items that were on there. These are the -- I'm going to list the upgrade, the cost, the savings and how many years it will take for the investment to pay it back.

The first item is the lighting upgrade, the projected cost will be \$135,000. We will save around \$17,000 a year and it will be paid back in 7.9 years.

Weatherization, \$14,000 cost, the savings will be around \$2,800 and it will be paid back at 5.1 years.

Steam trap replacement, the cost will be \$4,320, annual savings will be \$1,341 and the improvement will pay for itself in around 3.2 years.

Domestic hot water loop control at \$4,200. Annual savings \$1,300. It will be paid back in 3.3 years.

Vending machine controls, \$1,520 is the cost, the annual savings is \$1,084 so we will receive a return on our investment in 1.4 years.

The PC system management, cost of \$16,250. The savings annually will be \$2,236, so it will take 7.3 years to receive a return on our investment.

The solar pool heating, \$22,000, annual savings would be \$2,298 and we will receive a return on investment in 9.6 years.

Now, there is one left that I didn't mention and all of the ones I mentioned so far seemed pretty reasonable that we will be spending some of the money upfront and within ten years we would be saving money annually on all of those improvements, but this one caught me off guard a little bit: Window replacement, \$220,000 with an annual savings of \$1,723. Year it will pay for itself 127.9 years.

MR. MCGOFF: They will be broken by then.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah, that's exactly what I was thinking in 128 years obviously none of us will be here, but we will never receive our return on investment at this rate, but with the exception of the window replacement, you know, I think there are

some good ideas in here, I think that \$220,000 could be spent much better than that though, and that's all.

MR. LOSCOMBE: We'll still be paying our current debt at that time, too.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah, unfortunately.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Joyce, do you have any comments or motions at this time?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, a few. First thing I wanted to mention, as you know Veteran's Day is approaching and I just wanted to take the time to thank all of the veterans out there for the service that they have provided to us and what all of my council colleagues have mentioned, the basic rights of the Americans as well as us sitting up here it wouldn't be possibly to if it weren't for you.

Secondly, tonight we will be voting on the selection of Rossi & Rossi as the independent auditor. As everyone knows there were three bids that were submitted for the four-year contract. Also, as everyone knows the firms that bid on the audit were Jones and Kohanski, Bonita &

Rainey and Rossi & Rossi.

about the pros and cons of each firm. After speaking I felt as though there was a consensus amongst everyone that choosing Jones & Kohanski was a very risky decision as in their bid row proposal they stated the fees proposed by this auditor assumes that no unexpected circumstances will not ben encountered during the audit and that if additional time is necessary the auditor will discuss it with us and provide a new fee estimate.

After voting last week after this occurred though I felt there was a consensus that Jones & Kohanski wasn't necessarily the best choice, I did not feel that there was a consensus that Rossi & Rossi was the best choice judging by the dissenting votes of Councilman McGoff and Councilman Rogan.

Councilman Rogan felt that Bonita & Rainey was the best choice.

With this in mind, let's just look at some of the facts that were indicated in Bonita & Rainey's bid. Number one, in their

bid package they did not indicate that they had performed an audit for a municipality the size of Scranton in their prior work.

Number two, their timeline states
that their work is to begin on May 15 of
each year. With this in mind, if they were
selected that would mean that they are
already six months behind schedule according
to their work plan.

Number three, they submitted their bid a month after their work plan was set to be begin. If there were truly a responsible bidder, they would not submit a bid suggesting their starting date for the upcoming audit begin on a date that was in the past.

Also, from their work plan Bonita & Rainey indicated that it takes a year to complete each audit. If Bonita & Rainey were selected, after indicating that they will have a one-year work plan to complete the audit, essentially we may be voting to violate the Home Rule Charter if it does, in fact, take them one year being that the audit is supposed to be done by May 31.

one year to complete the audit as indicated by their work plan does this mean that their work plan for the next year will begin later than May 15? For instance, if the BA's Office is late in providing them information as they are with Rossi & Rossi, our current auditor, would they be starting next year later than their work plan or would they be working on two audits simultaneously and if that would be the case we run the risk for quality control issues.

When push comes to shove, Bonita &

And fifth, if Bonita & Rainey take

Rainey's bid is not exactly purely responsibility. How can one deem that their bid is responsible when they are submitting a plan to complete a job that was supposed to start even before they had the job that they would have the job in the first place?

We have already seen that Jones &
Kohanski could present the possibility of
additional costs if the city is late in
providing the information. Now, from what I
previously stated we could see that Bonita &
Rainey did not exactly present a responsible

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bid being that they stated that they were going to start their work plan at a date that occurred prior to even submitting the bid.

It is shocking that one could choose this auditor being that it's irresponsible in my eyes. Submitting a plan that would begin prior to the date that you have actually submitted the plan and would even have the possibility to get the job is just an irresponsible bid to me. And though Bonita & Rainey's bid is \$3,000 per year lower than Rossi & Rossi, and that's approximately .00338 percent of the city's annual operating budget, we must ask ourselves would voting for them be worth the risk? Would it be worth the risk of voting to essentially violate the Home Rule Charter if, in fact, it does take them one year to complete the audit as indicated in the time plan?

Should we take the risk of voting for a bidder who submitted a bid that we should question whether or not it's responsible since their work plan was

scheduled to start prior to the date that was even submitted in their bid?

Is it worth the risk of running into quality control issues if they are working on the 2010 audit that is not completed on time and we begin working on an audit for 2011 on May 15 of next year before the previous audit would have been submitted?

Is it worth the risk of risking transparency which has been exemplified by Rossi & Rossi and their continuous updates on information that's needed from the city in order to complete the audit in a timely fashion? In fact, we just received another one in our mailbox that they are still waiting on two attorneys letters to complete the audit.

So to sum it up, with all of the points that I just made would it be worth it to save .0038 percent of the city's annual operating budget with taking the risk of not choosing Rossi & Rossi who has been tried and true, who has done an excellent job with keeping us informed, and who has done an excellent job of preparing our audits over

the past eight years.

To me, I'm voting Rossi & Rossi because I think it's worth it to maybe spend a little bit more. I know \$3,000 is a lot of money to a lot of people, but in the course of the city budget in order to maintain the level of transparency that we have and in order to receive a completed audit given some of the struggles that we have in the administration I think it's worth it to spend that extra \$3,000.

And on another note, Mr. Renda in the newspaper is quoted and in the Times saying that there is no one to blame for this audit being late for this year, and that audits do take a lot of time.

I do agree that audits do take time, but I beg to different that there is no one to blame. One, it's the business administrator's responsibility to provide the auditor the information needed to complete the annual audit in a timely fashion. In the past under the Doherty administration information was provided to Rossi & Rossi in a more timely manner and we

did not have this problem. Just from doing some research, in prior years the completed audit was done by an earlier date. For instance, in 2002 council received a completed audit on June 6th of 2003. In 2003, council received a completed audit on July 24th of 2004. For the 2004 audit, council received a completed audit on June 17th of 2005. So as we can see it has been done where it wasn't that late in the past.

However, starting in 2006 it appears that there -- or for the 2006 audit that there has been a trend of excessive lateness. For instance, the 2006 completed audit report wasn't submitted until December 3 of '07. The 2007 audit report wasn't completed and submitted until December 31st of 2008, and the 2008 audit report wasn't finalized and submitted until October 20th of 2009.

For some reason in the early 2000's our audit was completed on time or at least no longer than a month or two late. Now, for some reasons the independent audit is taking longer and longer to complete due to

the city not providing the auditor with adequate information by the projected time line.

It is the responsibility of the BA's Office with providing this information to the auditor in a timely manner and Rossi & Rossi continuously sends updates asking for that information through the Court of the year in addition to council asking numerous times what is the status of some of these open items, what is the time line, when do we expect to have a completed audit, none of these questions that we ever sent over to the BA's Office were ever answered; correct, Mrs. Krake? I don't believe one of our requests was ever answered.

Therefore, the BA statement saying that no one is to blame and that audits take time is technically incorrect. Yes, audits do take time, but the blame of the information not being provided to the auditor is the fault of the BA's Office and not the auditor. And if department heads are late on getting information to the BA as specified in the Home Rule Charter, many of

the department heads, I believe actually most of the department heads report to the BA, so I do believe that the Business Administrator's Office is at fault at least to some extent for not getting this information to the auditor in a timely manner.

And now that that's complete I have one request, Mrs. Krake. On November 1 we received a memo from PEL and they sent us an advisory study for Recovery Plan provision. On page four they breakdown some of the jobs and industry that currently exists in the City of Scranton. If could please send a request to Mr. Cross and ask him out of all of these jobs in Scranton which totals 29,700 approximately how many of them are jobs that are in private sector versus nonprofit.

And my last comment that I wanted to make tonight is about what Mr. Martin said about public safety and, you know, we are in some pretty tough financial times here and we definitely have problems with crime in the city. We have gang related violence, we

23

24

25

1

have vandalism, we could go on and on and I think some of the reasons why we failed over some of the past years is, you know, we did put a lot of money into building and renovating parks, however, our police and firefighters haven't received a raise or very little was offered to them in my eyes, and while I think that it's necessary to revitalize parks, it's necessary to revitalize the downtown area, all of these things are necessary. However, I think there needs to be a very better balance because we could build all the parks that we want in the city, but what good is having a park if we are going to deplete the safety forces that keep us safe in those parks, and that's all I have to say here tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

MR. ROGAN: If I could just respond real quick about the audit.

MS. EVANS: Could we -- Mr. Rogan, I'm sorry, could we hold that until we get to the actual vote?

MR. ROGAN: Sure we could.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Since city council was not in evening. session on November 2 I'd like to comment on the few issues that arose within the last The mayor has proposed the two weeks. construction of a park on Perry Avenue in North Scranton. As council president I, too, support this park and I'm sure my honorable colleagues support it as well. However, in light of the significant financial problems facing our city, specifically the 2011 projected deficit and the recent Commonwealth Court decisions, the taxpayers cannot afford to fund the park, so city officials must try to find other ways in which to bring the park to fruition.

After visiting the location on Perry
Avenue, I spoke with State Representative
Kevin Murphy to explain the city's current
financial problems and to seek his help.
Representative Murphy had fond memories of
playing on that property as a child and he
agreed that he would be very pleased to see
a neighborhood park on Perry Avenue. He
discussed the tremendous cuts that occurred
in the state budget to services for

veterans, the elderly and the poor among many others. I told him that council will support state and federal funding of the park and we would appreciate any help he would be able to offer.

Further, I understand that the mayor has also reached out to state and federal officials for funding. As much as the council the mayor and the area residents would like to convert this vacant lot to a park, it can only occur with state and/or federal assistance and private donations.

Next, the announcement by the
Lackawanna County Tax Committee to remove
wage tax collections from the Scranton
Single Tax Office was unfortunate. Although
the Single Tax Office had earned a poor
reputation in the past, under the current
leadership of Mr. Courtright the tax office
has rebounded as evidenced by the increased
tax revenue distributed timely and
efficiently to the city.

Mr. Courtright and his staff have also provided for credit/debit card tax payments and on-line electronic payments in

less than one year during his first term in office. Because of these significant improvements and the increased workload that will occur in 2011 due to the transition to Bernheimer, the tax office should remain mostly intact for the 2011 budget year with deeper staffing cuts occurring in 2012, the first year in which the Single Tax office will no longer collect wage taxes.

Mr. Courtright and his employees have been doing a very good job and the city can ill-afford to significantly cut tax office staff in a year of financial crisis.

Next, the Commonwealth Court decisions regarding awards from 2008 through 2014 for police and fire municipal unions was handed down over a week ago. Everyone is aware of what the mayor has done, how he has treated the police and the fire unions and the nine-year history of this financial monster. The contracts should have been settled years ago and COLAS paid to union employees for the last nine years. The last best offer occurred in 2008, but the mayor and DCED walked away from an agreement that

would have cost significantly less than what we now must pay.

I have also heard that DCED with the mayor's consent will appeal these decisions thereby growing these monetary awards into financial titans. Let there be no mistake about this, the mayor, DCED, and our 18-year failed financial team the Pennsylvania Economy League are responsible for this financial monster and it's time to pay the piper. Blame won't solve the problem, however, it only creates divisiveness. The people of Scranton can ill-afford division at this time.

Generating solutions begins with the acceptance of responsibility. Those who are responsibile must take ownership and then decide how best to address the financial crisis. The mayor should rely less on DCED and PEL and work with city council to meet the city's financial responsibilities.

In addition, he should sit down with union representatives to determine a way to mitigate the Court awards. The mayor, DCED and PEL must recognize that they cannot

raise taxes and fees to pay for their mistakes because local government is already bleeding the taxpayers to death. One out of every four Scrantonian lives in poverty.

Unemployment remains high and senior citizens' fixed incomes are staggering.

Large nonprofits have ignored their responsibilities for draining Scranton's tax base. It's time to crunch government. Cuts in administrative costs and spending are long overdue.

Interestingly, I have heard that the mayor intends to cut police and fire as his answer to cutting government. That is not the solution. The social reality on the ground dictates, for example, the need for more police not less. Crime is increasing in Scranton, especially juvenile crime.

Once again postponing the problem by appealing it for another three years and hoping he gets away before Scranton mirrors the crime rates of the city of Reading only creates another monster.

The financial house of cards is crumbling. Because he failed to plan for

these Court awards, the mayor should immediately investigate and support council's suggestion of the lease of the parking garages and perhaps the mayor should consider a refinancing of the Scranton Housing Authority. Even if the mayor appeals these Court decisions, he must at the same time prepare to fund Court awards by taking such measures.

Now, on a more positive note, real estate tax revenue should see an increase in 2011 due to the expiration of KOZ's citywide. Unfortunately, I cannot provide any figures for this increased revenue because Mr. Renda, business administrator, said no when asked for a copy of the information by Mrs. Krake.

In addition, the mayor will likely sell delinquent taxes to the Scranton Redevelopment Authority and Municipal Revenue Services as he has done for the last several years in order to increase revenue in the budget. Council reached out to the mayor on more than one occasion this year regarding these constant Court cases. Even

during the time that the mayor sued city council for cutting government costs, men like Mayor Doherty and Mr. Renda are some of the reasons for Scranton's financial problems.

The mayor ignored our advice, input, and actions and Mr. Renda refused to provide financial information, yet council remains prepared to assist the mayor if he so desires. The people of Scranton don't care who solves the problem and who gets the credit, they want a solution not another three years of procrastination and attorney fees.

Mr. Mayor, we can't bleed the taxpayers to death and we won't allow crime to spike. Other solutions must be implemented.

Like my colleagues, I also would like to thank my Uncle Donald Reach, who served in the Army during World War II, and my late Uncle Alfred Reach who served in the Navy during the Korean War.

And finally, I have citizens' requests for the week: Residents of Depot

Street report that their street was milled for resurfacing over three months ago, but the DPW has not returned to finish the project. As a result, water pools in front of the homeowners' properties which will cause increased problems during the winter months. The DPW has been contacted numerous times by a resident but he received no return phone call. Please address immediately.

Fill potholes in the following
locations: In the front of the Medical Arts
Building by the handicap parking meter, the
300 block of Meadow Avenue, South Washington
Avenue in front of the police headquarters,
the intersection of River Street and South
Washington Avenue, and Lackawanna Avenue in
front of Boscov's and in front of the post
office. Fill potholes in Smith Place
located behind Watson Street as son as
possible.

A letter to Mr. Brazil: Please provide city council with a complete list of all streets, alleys and courts that were paved in 2010.

And finally, I have received several calls in the last week regarding leaf pickup protocol. Can leaves be bagged and swept to the curb as in prior years? Is there a schedule for leaf pickup? I know that the leaf truck has been around my neighborhood on more than one occasion, for that I'm sure all of my neighbors are quite grateful, but I didn't notice that there was a citywide schedule, I could be wrong, but I haven't seen anything and as a result city residents are wondering, you know, when this is going to occur before the snow flies. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 46 2010 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY ("FEMA") AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY ("DHS").

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

1	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
2	pass reading by title.
3	MR. JOYCE: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
5	those in favor signify by saying aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
9	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
11	have it and so moved.
12	MS. KRAKE: 7-A. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
13	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION-
14	RESOLUTION NO. 45, 2010 - ACCEPTING A TWO
15	HUNDRED FIFTY (\$250.00) DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION
16	FROM MCDONALD'S/MARY JOAN CORPORATION
17	PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON POLICE
18	DEPARTMENT.
19	MS. EVANS: What is the
20	recommendation of the Chair for the
21	Committee on Finance?
22	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
23	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
24	passage of Item 7-A.
25	MR. ROGAN: Second.

1 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 2 call, please. 3 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 5 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 6 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 7 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 9 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 10 11 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 12 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 13 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 14 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 15 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION-16 RESOLUTION NO. 46, 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE 17 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 18 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES WITH THE CERTIFIED 19 PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF ROBERT ROSSI & CO. 20 21 FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S INDEPENDENT POST 22 AUDIT FOR THE YEARS ENDING 12-31-2010, 12-31-2011, 12-31-2012, AND 12-31-2013 PER 23 24 THE ATTACHED BID PROPOSAL AND SPECIFICATIONS 25 FOR A LUMP SUM OF \$192,000.00.

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Finance?

MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.

After listening to Mr. Joyce you would think that everything with the audit is going just fine, which isn't the case. As we saw in the newspaper today the audit is once again months behind and where to place the blame I don't know, I'm sure the administration will share a large part of the blame. My reason for wanting Rainey to be the auditor is more based on finances than based on which company is going to go -- because I'm sure by reading through they both have qualified staff, they both would do a good job of doing the audit, but Mr. Joyce mentioned that it's a very small percentage, was it .0038 --

MR. JOYCE: Yeah.

MR. ROGAN: -- percent of the budget, but the savings of \$12,000 between Rossi and Rainey, Rossi's bid is \$192,000 and Rainey's bid was \$180,000, Mr. McGoff mentioned last week it's around 6 percent, so if we can save 6 percent on each item in the budget that would come out to the end of the year to a very substantial savings.

Secondly, at the beginning of the year myself, Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Joyce and Mrs. Evans went to Court against the administration to save less than 1 percent. It's not about how much money it is, it's because it's the right thing to do. We need to save every cent of taxpayer dollars and it's very easy to say, well, it's only \$3,000 a year, but the fact is that the difference in bids is \$12,000 which is \$500 less than one of our salaries for a full year.

And I really think that, you know, from hearing Mr. Martin and having PEL in here and having Mr. Jennings in here for the unions we are not in a situation to sneeze at \$3,000 per year, \$12,000 total. It's not

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a local lot of money to a city that's spending millions of dollars, but to taxpayers it is a lot of money, and when I ran I pledged to watch every cent and I will continue to do so. I will be voting no.

MR. MCGOFF: I'd also like to comment, I know Mr. Joyce made a great deal about the timeline presented by Bonita & Rainey, Rossi & Rossi offered no timeline for completing the audit and we know in the past that for whatever reason the audit has not been able to be completed on time, so no matter which way we would vote we are probably voting for a company, you know, that potentially may not have the audit done according to the Home Rule Charter, so this whole idea of, you know, are we going to risk something by voting for Bonita & Rainey I think is just creating fears that really don't exist.

And the second point that Mr. Joyce made concerning -- and he said the fact that Bonita & Rainey had never done an audit the size of, you know, the City of Scranton, that may be true. Since the formation of

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bonita & Rainey a number of years ago they have not, but the individual members, as I mentioned last week, have worked on audits for the State of Delaware and the City of Philadelphia, so I'm sure that they are experienced with audits not only for something the size of the City of Scranton, but also larger, and again, I will go with Mr. Rogan said I think the fiscally responsible thing to do is to accept the lowest responsible bid. And again, I'll agree with Mr. Joyce that the lowest bid was not responsible and so the next bid I think would be the lowest responsible bid, the one submitted by Bonita & Rainey, and therefore, I, too, will be vote no against tonight's motion.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just comment?

MR. JOYCE: You could go first.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Rossi & Rossi,

Johnson & Johnson, Smith and Wesson, the

name has nothing to do with it to me because

I don't know any of these auditing firms. I

trust our accountant on the board here with

his ideas and I have reviewed them myself, but just in seeing what I have seen the obstacles that have been thrown in front of this auditing firm and their experience in dealing with this administration I think trumps the money at this point.

And speaking of the money at this point, I think Mr. Joyce made a good case here against the business administrator who is probably I believe the highest paid administrator in the city and at \$85,000 I think that a solution may be to reduce his salary by \$12,000 to pay for the offset because of the trouble we have had getting information from his office. I think it's time to be -- we shouldn't be pennywise and dollar foolish at this time.

Under different circumstances a different administration I could see going for the savings at this point, but when we are dealing with two other companies that do not have the experience dealing with what we have to deal with on a daily basis or the administration does I think that's a bigger consideration to me, and I will be voting

for it.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Loscombe, I agree with you on cutting the business administrator's salary, personally I think it should be brought to under \$50,000 the cost of the mayor, and I'm sure that's something that we will agree upon come budget time, but the savings on cutting the business administrator on top of the \$12,000 saving here will be much more effective than just cutting the business administrator.

MR. JOYCE: If I could just add my two cents to this discussion. One thing about Rossi & Rossi I know that Mr. McGoff you said that they didn't have a timeline, I believe in their audit package they did provide a timeline of December to May as when they would start and when they would complete the audit, obviously, assuming that everything would go as planned which, of course, we know hasn't happened for quite sometime.

In an ideal situation where the city turned over all of the information that needed to be turned over to the auditor on

3

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kohanski would be the lowest responsible bidder if that were the situation we were facing, but we are not facing that situation. We are facing a situation where we are excessively late, we are excessively late for the past three or four years, and late but maybe not excessively late for three years prior to that.

With that being said, you know, I don't agree that Bonita & Rainey is the best choice in this case. Like Mr. Rogan said, yes, \$3,000 is lot of money, it is a lot of money to people out there. Maybe it does seem small in terms of how large the city budget is, but going with the firm that has a year timeline and maybe select, say, if we were to select them they would already be six months behind schedule, so I just don't think that's the most responsible thing to I think the responsible thing to do is do. go with who we know, go with who we know will provide us updates, will be transparent to let us know what needs to be turned in and will keep us abreast of everything

that's going on in the process so we could review and keep the public informed of what's going on, and the negligence that has been displayed by the BA's Office in providing the information to the auditor on time.

And that's just my comment on it and that's the way I personally feel about it, so that's why I will be voting "yes" for Rossi & Rossi.

MS. EVANS: I'm just going to add very quickly, I'm a senior member of council, I have been seated here longer than any of my colleagues, and I have been on your side all of these years and you know that. I have been on your side by myself for a very long, long time until this year.

Now, we have a mayor, for example, who tonight basically and directly stopped a caucus from occurring to help a section of the city and some city residents who have been suffering from flooding for I don't know how many years now, and I'm not talking about basement flooding I'm talking about their whole property floods and you are

wading out to your knees to try to get into your car.

Mr. Brazil no answer, and we all know for this council anyway, not the previous councils with whom I have sat, but this council we must have the mayor's permission to speak to any department head. That's wrong.

Then we have Mr. Renda who on Monday said when asked for the KOZ information for 2011 can we have it? "No."

This is what I have been up against for all of these years, but I fought on and fought the good fight for you and I'm telling you tonight when you are fighting that kind of battle and when you look at a city that is in a financial wasteland right now you can't put a price of \$3,000 on the financial picture and this battle. You can't put a price on transparency. You have got to have the information and the tools to be able to do your job effectively, and I do greatly fear that that is something we will lose. Anyone else on the issue?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. One final comment.

I, too, am for transparency, but I don't see how switching from one reputable firm to another would hurt transparency in city government. They would be hired to do the audit for the City of Scranton. If they didn't, they would be breaking the contract.

MS. EVANS: Oh, I'm sure they would do the audit and they will do it according to rules and regulations, but again, and I don't want to belabor this tonight because it's something I would rather wait until next week to talk about further, but again, it's taken years and years and years to get information and some of the information that I have read in the last few weeks about the way in which your money is being spent would disturb all of you.

And, as I said, I have been here seven years and it took me seven years to get there. But I wonder if you are interested in spending tax dollars on putting ads in programs. I wonder if you are interested in spending tax dollars on buying tables at dinners, ten seats a piece. I wonder if you are interested in paying

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ECTV with your tax dollars that you didn't even know about it. There is plenty, and again, all I can say is you cannot put a dollar -- a price tag on what's been going on over these last my seven years and these nine years. We need a big broom here, a very big broom to sweep this city hall clean and the authorities as well.

MR. ROGAN: I agree we do need a very big broom, but the issues of, you know, you mentioned the flooding and Mr. Brazil not coming and Mr. Renda not responding to our requests, any members of the administration not responding to our requests, that doesn't have to do with which firm conducts the audit. I am just upset as all of us on here are that Mr. Brazil wasn't in here tonight. I believe he is overpaid and underworked. I believe the same for half of the people that work in the city with the exception of the public servants, police, fire and some of the DPW, but saving \$12,000, \$12,000 is \$12,000. Both auditing firms, as Mr. Joyce said last week, have a very professional staff and are very capable

1 of doing the job. MS. EVANS: Okay. And I think the 2 3 only last thing we want to consider here is you may have a possible violation of the 4 Home Rule Charter, but for different 5 Can we take a roll call now unless 6 reasons. 7 someone else has something to add? Okay. 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 9 MR. MCGOFF: No. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: No. 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 13 14 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 15 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 16 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 17 18 Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. there is no other business I will entertain 19 20 a motion to adjourn? MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn. 21 22 MS. EVANS: This meeting is 23 adjourned. 24

25

. .

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{R}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{A}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true

and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER