	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, October 19, 2010
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATUENE C. NADDOZZI DDD. GEELGIAL GOUDT DEDGOTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 11 MR. JOYCE: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 13 MS. EVANS: Here. Roll call, please 14 dispense with the read of the minutes. MS. KRAKE: 15 THIRD ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 16 17 MS. EVANS: Clerk's notes, please. MS. KRAKE: We received a response 18 19 from OECD. We received a response on 20 October 13 and per Director Aebli she said 21 she is in receipt of letters dated September 22 22, 27, 29th of 2010 requesting a breakdown 23 of program delivery costs for each grant 24 from January 2004 to October 18, 2010 and

the administration and planning costs for

25

2011 proposed funding for specific projects.

She says, "I have provided you with information under one correspondence since all subjects overlap each other."

However, this response was very general and vague. She did not respond to the questions posed in city council's letters. Our office followed up with a phone call October 15 and a letter requesting specific information and that council would like to have these provided to our office by October 20.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We did not receive a response from OECD from a letter was sent September 22 concerning the detailed breakdown of program delivery costs for each grant to include the CDBG funding and so forth.

We did not receive a response -- oh, excuse me, those referred to that letter,

I'm sorry. We did not receive a response from our letter of September 30 concerning all UDAG loans and breakdowns thereof.

We did not receive a response from a

letter September 30 asking if any projects that were approved for funding were not implemented.

We did not receive a response from a letter sent October 1 with questions concerning the 2011 proposed funding applications. Are there remaining funds for prior year grants for CDBG, HOME and ESG, if so, what are the total dollar amounts? How is the 15 percent public service amount determined? How is the 20 percent administration loan determined? What income was realized for each grant, interest, income, payments, etcetera.

MS. EVANS: This is causing problems and delays for city council in that we asked for information approximately a month ago and it has not been received, and so I believe representatives of council will meet with Mrs. Aebli as soon as possible and in addition to that, Mrs. Krake, if another question could be posed at the time of this business meeting what is the dollar amount, if any, of UDAG funds utilized since the previous report and for what purpose were

they used?

MS. KRAKE: Okay.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We received a response from Marywood University concerning payments in lieu of taxes. We received a response from Joseph Arvey, vice president of business affairs and treasurer. He tells us the University's position is that it will not provide a cash payment in lieu of tax to any municipality or taxing authority.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We did not receive a response from Director Seitzinger concerning a condemned house next to the lot at the intersection of Meadow Avenue and River Street.

We did not receive a response from
Director Seitzinger for a request on 521
Maple Street, and our fifth request on
Meadow Avenue and River Street remains
unanswered. Also, our request on 403
Stafford Avenue also is unanswered.

MS. EVANS: And those will go into the files --

24

25

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: -- of the appropriate department heads, please.

MS. KRAKE: Next, we did not receive a response from Director Brazil concerning the streets Greenbush and Reese Streets that have not paved in over 30 years.

We did receive 13 responses from Director Brazil for a variety of letters we sent over the past month and a half. If you would like, I would read the responses quickly?

MS. EVANS: Yes. Please.

MS. KRAKE: Okay. This is in answer concerning the flap gates. He tells us when the river rises these gates close to order to stop the river from backing up into these areas. This is the purpose residential flooding will occur at these locations. not really sure what that means, but that's how he wrote it.

Let's get further MS. EVANS: clarification on that because it's clearly ambiguous.

> MS. KRAKE: Clogged storm drains on

Mulberry Street and catch basins in East

Mountain area he tells us these were taken

of on October 13.

He tells us he will contact the contractors regarding the road conditions in the 900 and 1400 blocks of South Webster Avenue.

The cracks in the surface at 115

Pequest Drive will be addressed this week,
that being the week of October 15.

The potholes at various locations will be addressed this week, that's the same week. We had mentioned Medical Arts, the handicapped parking on the corner on River and South Washington and corner of Cedar and Birch and the 600 block of Orchard.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MS. KRAKE: Traffic signal at

Mulberry and Harrison Avenue has been fixed.

MS. EVANS: Well, that was fixed many weeks ago and I'm sure everyone on council and everyone who travels through that intersection in the City of Scranton is well aware that that was fixed long ago.

MS. KRAKE: We asked Penman and

O'Neill Street be paved, he tells us this 1 had been paved. 2 3 Replacing the sign at Cosmo Iacavazzi Way, he tells us this has been 4 take care of. 5 He tells us overgrowth and garbage 6 7 would be referred to LIPS, License and 8 Inspections, however, we sent this letter to 9 him about the garbage that is there. MS. EVANS: If we can resubmit that 10 11 to him so that he can respond to the DPW's 12 responsibility, and the other issues can go to LIPS. 13 14 MS. KRAKE: We did a send a letter. 15 MS. EVANS: Very good. 16 MS. KRAKE: This is permit parking 17 signs need to be replaced. He told us that 18 was taken care of on October 4. 19 Replace the stop sign at the corner of Hemlock and Stafford. He tells us this 20 21 is taken care of. Our request that catch basins be 22 23 cleared of debris, he tells us they 24 continuously keep an eye on these and clean 25 the basins.

1 And finally, the dead end sign at North Boulevard and Lafayette, he tells us 2 3 this sign was installed on October 1, 2010. MS. EVANS: Very good. 4 MS. KRAKE: And that's all we have. 5 MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Krake, if you could 6 please reforward the requests about 7 8 Greenbush and Reese Street as well. 9 MS. KRAKE: Yes. 10 MR. JOYCE: Perhaps he just missed 11 that since he replied to the other requests. 12 Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: Do any council members 14 have announcements at this time? 15 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a couple. 16 The West Side Falcons are hosting a 17 Halloween bash on Friday, October 29, from 18 7:00 p.m. to 10 p.m. It will be a \$10 19 donation per person. That will be held at 20 Haggerty's Tavern, 421 North Main Avenue, 21 Scranton, Pa, and they said wear your 22 costumes. If you don't have one don't worry 23 about it. 24 They are also looking for volunteers 25 to help out with setting up the West Side

Falcons field and working the stand during their playoff game that they're hosting at their field on Saturday, October 23.

"Make a Difference Day Breakfast".

It will be a pancake, sausage breakfast donated by Friendly's in Dunmore and it benefits the St. Francis of Assissi Kitchen.

This will be held on October 23 from 8 a.m. to 12 noon at St. Peter's Lutheran Church Social Hall at Ash Street and Taylor Avenue.

It is handicap accessible. Tickets are \$5 for adults, children under three \$3 and the phone number is 346-9294 or 344-2701.

And lastly, the Dante Literary

Society will hold it's annual fall rigatoni
and meatball dinner on Saturday, October 23

from 4 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. The cost of the
dinner is \$8.50 for adults, and \$5 for
children under ten. The menu for the dinner
includes rigatoni and homemade sauce,
homemade meatballs, salad, bread and cake.

The Dante Literary Society is

located at 1916 Prospect Avenue and you can
reach them by telephone at 342-1770. They
hope to see everyone Saturday, October 23,

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for a delicious meal shared with family and friends, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry that I was not able to attend last week's meeting. would just like to offer congratulations to the Steamtown Marathon Committee, Bill King, Jack Marks, John McGovern, Jim Cummings, the officers of the Steamtown Marathon Committee and also the countless volunteers that worked the race. It was a beautiful day, a great race. Two records were set that day, one in the women's and one in the men's division. The women's division winner, if you didn't read, was Heidi Peoples from Scranton who took about almost five minutes off of the previous record, and a great race. A number of people -- a number of city people qualified for the Boston Marathon, so all in all it was a great race and these people should be commended for the great work that they do. And all of the work that is done by the Steamtown committee is volunteer. All of the proceeds go directly to any -- any profits or any

proceeds go directly to St. Joseph's

Children's Hospital. I was at the dinner

prior to the race and they presented a check

for I think it was \$26,000 to St. Joseph's.

So, again, congratulations to those people,

and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Does anyone else have announcements?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Scranton School
Director Patrick O'Malley will be having his
tenth annual Halloween party for children
from grades preschool through fifth grade
this Sunday from 1 to 3 at McDade Park.
Festivities include food, candy and pictures
with the Wicked Witch of the West. To
attend this event please RSVP by calling
346-1828 and they ask that you leave a
message specifying the number of children
you will be bringing, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Prior to this evening's council meeting, a public caucus had been scheduled with Mr. Robert Markowski, director of facilities management of Community Medical Center in Scranton to discuss permanent parking arrangements on

public streets surrounding CMC.

Mr. Markowski did not appear for that public caucus and because of the schedule of Scranton City Council over the next two months it will be unable to reschedule with Mr. Markowski until the new year.

The Scranton/Lackawanna Taxpayers'
Association will host a 115th Legislative
District Candidate's Forum this Thursday,
October 21st at 6:00 p.m. in Scranton City
Council chambers. Incumbent Representative
Edward Staback and challenger Theresa Kane
will attend. Tune into Channels 19 and 21
for future broadcasts of this forum prior to
November 2, general election day.

The Deutsch Institute will hot it's annual celebrity champagne brunch on Sunday, November 14, 2010, at St. Mary's Center in Scranton from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. Tickets are \$20 for adults, \$10 for children 12 and under, and free for children five and under. Tickets are available at the door and checks may be made payable to the Deutsch Institute Foundation.

The Scranton-Wilkes-Barre affiliate

of the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network will hold it's "Cheers to a Cure" fundraiser on Saturday, November 13,2010, at Whistle's Pub and Eatery in Scranton beginning at 6 p.m.

Tickets are \$25 and are available at the door or in advance by calling Taran Jones at 570-498-9488. "Cheers to a Cure" is an evening filled with live music, food, drinks, basket raffles, prizes and a silent auction. Proceeds will benefit pancreatic cancer research and awareness, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Bob Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening, Council.

Bob Martin, president of the FOP, taxpayer.

I come tonight just to inform council and the taxpayers, especially in view of our caucus this last week that we attended with PEL and listened to their presentation, I would just like to say the next day, the following day on Wednesday, the 13th, we won another grievance arbitration which involved

the SIT clerks. If you remember the SIT clerks -- now, don't confuse us, there is a lot of things flying around out there.

There is one SIT clerk that's sitting on the

There is one SIT clerk that's sitting on the Labor Board, before the Labor Board awaiting a decision. This was the arbitrator's decision on our grievance of the two SIT clerk positions that were cut from the 2010 budget, and the arbitrator ruled in favor of the union and said that these positions need to be reinstated. And basically, the city the administration has done that when we filed for arbitration they took the steps to immediately -- they hired those individuals and put them back in place.

The impact in terms of the financial shouldn't be that significant, I mean, even though any -- at this point as far as I'm concerned any financial impact is significant to the taxpayers of Scranton, especially giving the lengthy of the litigation that we have been in for the last nine years. It just keep mounting and mounting and I don't have any idea what the intentions. I wanted all

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

~-

25

week, I didn't hear anything from any of
the -- from the city, it wasn't in the
newspaper, I don't know if no one has picked
up on it or not.

I feel obligated to keep everybody informed, especially as this culminates towards the Supreme Court on November 30 and, of course, with our caucus with PEL, so with that, you know, even the city at this point, even though they replaced those individuals we still have some -- we still have some issues with the fact that they are not utilizing them properly. positions are SIT, which is the strategic implementation team, were put in there to replace the 17 officers that we gave up on the contract years ago, and they were put in there to do their duties of making the reports, typing the reports and putting them out and the city just put them in there and they are actually performing other work, so I just wanted to inform you that there may be additional litigation.

I spoke to Chief Duffy this afternoon and he was interested in sitting

down, he and I will discuss that, but where we go from there. He is down there, I give him a lot of credit, he is doing a really good job, he is working hard. He has been dealt an awful hand to deal with it, but he is dealing with it as best as he can.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Martin, if I could ask you a quick question, please. Could you tell me what is the number, if any, of retirement or resignations in the police department in the last year?

MR. MARTIN: Combined retirements and resignations in the last year?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MARTIN: I'm not really sure.

Probably I would have to guess probably four or five. So, I mean, we are down in numbers significantly in those terms, and we met this afternoon, our bid board will be posted November 15, it's posted annually, and we have had two meetings with the chief and, as I said, he is doing the best he can with what he is dealt with.

We sat down and he is trying to shift manpower around. We are extremely

short. We have -- we are short at least six. I have asked him about the COM-D positions if he could consider putting those up as we did with the previous agreement with Chief Elliott and he said that he would and we would consider that anyway. I didn't get any definitive answers, again, they're dealing with a lot of issues, and again, you know, at that meeting the FOP protested the bid board for 20111 because we are significantly short personnel.

And we did it based on that and based on the fact for of the 2008 arbitration award that came down. We aggrieved it that way, and I just wanted to reiterate there are protests.

THE COURT: Mr. Martin, would you per chance know when the Civil Service Police test will be given?

MR. MARTIN: The previous meeting today on the bid board with Chief Duffy he indicated to us that he was sending a remember to the Civil Service requesting that a corporal's exam be given and also an entrance exam be given and I think he

mentioned this afternoon that he had done that, and I'm not sure what the response was, but he had done that.

MS. EVANS: Okay. I would imagine that letter would have been sent to very likely the mayor and the Civil Service Commission, but it is an issue that council has been following and asking about since early summer and we have been stonewalled on an answer up to this point.

MR. MARTIN: Well, I can say that he may -- he told me this afternoon, I believe it was mentioned at this meeting, that he made the request and where it goes from there I don't know.

MS. EVANS: Very good. And that does not -- I should clarify, that does not include Chief Duffy, but in terms of the Civil Service Commission and the administration we have been stonewalled.

MR. MARTIN: We are basically six officers short just on a regular roster in terms of overall manpower and, of course, we're short the COM-D positions, also, as well. I mean, those are very significant

shortages given those COM-D because they are very important officers on the beat, and we are just going to post them on the bid board and we didn't -- the FOP didn't really agree, but being under protest that those positions would be filled when the manpower became available, but short of that that's when we had the discussion very short and brief if he would consider possibly offering those up as the previous agreement of Chief Elliott in terms of overtime just get the officers on the street until the hiring process has been completed.

MS. EVANS: I understand that following the administration of the tests those who have been qualified go through approximately a six-month preparation period before they are actually active on the police force and placed on the street?

MR. MARTIN: It's an exhaustive adventure to hire personnel because first the test, the written and the oral test have to be given, and then there's an agility test, a physical -- you know, make sure they have the physical capabilities, and then

psychological and all of those testing they have to go through and then, of course, ultimately there's a background investigation that's completed. Once that's completed then, of course, if the officers are -- the individuals or the candidates have been hired are they Act 120 certificate, if they are not Act 120 certified then the city is obligated to send them to Act 120 certification, and that takes six months in itself, and depending upon how that comes out and what officers we have at the end of that, that's when they would be hired.

I mean, my best guess would be, look and see, we are at the end of the October, the time the process went through we are looking into early spring, April, May. It's a possibility that some of those officers could really hit the street and be put to work.

MS. EVANS: And if the test had been administered in September, as council had hoped, we could have had these officers on the street in the beginning of the new year?

MR. MARTIN: Yes. That's possible.

You know, if it had been moved up, but I

know that I believe that the request was

made. I don't know where it went from

there. That's all.

MS. EVANS: No where.

MR. MARTIN: Well, I don't know.

The request was made today or at least last week it was indicated to me. Which again, we are trying to deal with extreme shortages in terms of personnel and we allowed shifting -- our contract, you know, says that there are only so many officers to be on each shift and there has been shifting of personnel to address the peak hours.

MS. EVANS: Well, I am pleased to know, thank you, that the new police chief has made that request for the testing.

That's certainly good news for all of us.

MR. MARTIN: That he has. That's basically all I have, you know, in light of the two arbitrations that they spoke about last week at the caucuses that, you know, they went to Common Pleas Court, Common Plea Court refused to vacate the order, the

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arbitration award so, again, everyone knows, and again, these arbitrations that keep adding up and I am just -- I am so afraid what's going to happen with the Supreme Court in terms of the taxpayers in the City of Scranton. They are going to bear the burden and it's going to be an unbearable burden, and it doesn't even have to be a total loss for the city.

I mean, if we even come out of that with some of the -- some of the things and I just see a prudent Court looking at some of the things that this administration and PEL and DCED are looking to achieve, I just don't see a prudent Court approving all of that. You know, I could be wrong. losses won't be near as dear as it will be to the taxpayers because, I mean, the backpay, the backpay arbitration the briefs were due, I had my phone went off the other day I believe they were due like on the 6th of October. So, you know, sometime in the 30 day, 45-day period from the 6th of October we are going to be looking at a decision from the arbitrator on the 2006,

2007 backpay which in those just initial numbers for police and police only were close to \$3 million.

And I don't know that -- I didn't see any provisions at all from PEL about any of these things, whether they are small, big, large or in the middle. There was no provisions that I saw that they presented that if they -- I mean, they just -- nobody is --nobody is looking at the consequence of this if there is failure, nobody is looking at the overall picture, you know, and that's my question. What if? If this administration fails even on the small scale in the Supreme Court where is the provisions and where is this money going to come from?

MS. EVANS: Well, that's why I believe it is best to postpone the revised Recovery Plan until we have those answers because the impact, as you stated, will be highly significant. I posed the questions regarding the number of returns and resignations to you because it's my understanding that between those numbers within the police department and additional

numbers that are even higher within the fire department for 2010, those numbers -- well, actually, numbers that appeared in the 2010 budget were used for PEL's projections and it turns out those numbers are inaccurate because they did not take into account the retirements, resignations, etcetera, that occurred in 2010. So, you know, at this point in time those figures themselves aren't even accurate.

MR. MARTIN: And that said, we are already six officers overall short and, of course, PEL's proposal was to -- five more. I'm telling you, it's an awful struggle on the shifts to get everybody out there on the street to keep all of these basis covered. I mean, it gets harder and harder every day. If you could attend some of these meetings that we this afternoon, you know, the shift commanders they are struggling to get manpower and, I mean, the calls just keep coming. It's like shoveling water out of the ocean, and we have to have the proper manpower to sustain what we are sustaining now.

I mean, it's in the front page news
Chief Duffy has rightfully so targeted to go
after some of these problems areas and, of
course, it is drugs and, I mean, that's
tough to target and it's a tough fight and
it can't be done with just a few people, it
has to be done organized and with enough
people to do it safely.

MS. EVANS: Yes, I agree. Thank you, Mr. Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Krake, based on what Mr. Martin has said, I was wondering if we can send a letter to the Civil Service Commission and the mayor based on the latest information to respond as to the request of the police chief and when they are going to be giving these Civil Service Exams to let us know at their earliest convenience. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Jean Suetta.

MS. SUETTA: How you are doing?

Jean Suetta, Scranton, Pennsylvania. I'm
here.

MS. EVANS: Welcome.

MS. SUETTA: You know, I died and almost went to hell. The devil and God had a little party there and the devils says you can't come down here because he didn't want no competition. My God said my work isn't finished on earth, so when are we going to get the Lace Works cleaned up?

MS. EVANS: We can look into that for you. I think right now --

MS. SUETTA: They are going to dedicate this flood thing and you got that jungle over there.

MS. EVANS: Oh, I agree. I agree. I think the project at the Lace Works is currently on hold, however, because the city could not -- or the city would not release funds for that particular project until the completion of the flood project and since I know it's close to closure, but we haven't reached that stage yet. There really hasn't been any movement on that renovations of the Lace Works, but I think what I hear you asking me for would be a clean up?

MS. SUETTA: Yeah, why can't we take

the guys from the jail, they will go up and clean it just to get out and get fresh air. They have crews like that. It ain't going to cost the city nothing. Get the county, you know, the rapists, the murderers, I don't give a shit, just clean it up. Why not? They work at the recycling place. Why can't they come out and clean the Lace Works up?

MS. EVANS: Well, I would imagine that the county would have to become involved in any type of arrangement like that, we don't oversee the county prison.

MS. SUETTA: I don't care who gets involved. I'm sick at looking at the jungle now that I'm better, you know?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SUETTA: I want to thank my friend Sue Healey for getting me to the hospital when she did or I would have been dead four hours, a great thanks. And Dee Gavin for taking my dogs and Joe Lucas for letting them out and Bob Gavern and Bev for watching my house while I was in the hospital. And they got wienerschnitzel up

1 at Cooper's, I had lunch with Jack this afternoon. And Greenbush and Reese, those 2 3 streets are like Ho Chi Minh. They are worse than Albright Avenue and that's pretty 4 bad. 5 MR. JOYCE: 6 I agree with you. have heard various complaints from residents 7 8 and also employees that at the Career 9 Technology Center about those streets countless times other and over. 10 11 MS. SUETTA: Well, my friend lives on Reese and she has a disabled boy and she 12 13 as a hard time going up and down the street. 14 They haven't been paved since Moby Dick was a minnow. Anything else? 15 I'm in an 16 argumentive mood. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks for dessert 18 Jean bought me dessert at Simon's on Market Street. 19 20 MS. SUETTA: You got to go to 21 Simons. They have the best food. MR. LOSCOMBE: 22 Thanks. Jean. 23 MS. SUETTA: No problem. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's why she mentioned the wienerschnizel because we were 25

1 talking about it. Oh, I see. 2 MS. EVANS: 3 MS. SUETTA: And coming down I seen they have it Cooper's. I got watch they're 4 going to be dinging and dinging me over 5 Thanks everybody that prayed for me. 6 there. 7 MS. EVANS: Yes. All of us did. 8 MS. SUETTA: And good thanks to 9 Julie Yevitch, too. All right, I'm done. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 11 MS. SUETTA: Lace Works. Prisoners. 12 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, if we could 13 send a letter to the DPW asking them for a 14 cleanup of the area surrounding the old Lace 15 Works. Thank you. Next speaker is Les 16 Spindler. 17 MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, 18 Council, Les Spindler, city resident, 19 homeowner and taxpayer. 20 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 21 MR. SPINDLER: Last Thursday on 22 Channel 16 news they talked about 23 Wilkes-Barre mayor Tom Layton presenting his 24 2011 budget which included no tax hikes, no 25 layoffs, and just recently they hired six

police officers, new police officers.

Meanwhile, PEL wants the City of Scranton to layoff police officers, layoff firefighters, layoff DPW workers, hit us with a 21 percent tax hike, raise the garbage fee, raise the parking fees, all I hear is how bad off Wilkes-Barre is they are much worse than us. From the facts I just stated, it sure doesn't sound like that. Maybe we could switch mayors and get Tom Layton to come up and run our city and Wilkes-Barre hasn't been distressed for 18 years.

Moving on, the corner of Lackawanna Avenue and Seventh Avenue coming over the West Lackawanna Avenue bridge into the city, I was wondering if council could look whoever it would be, I don't know if that's city or PennDOT, putting a traffic light up there with a left-turn signal. I was at that light last week, there was five or six cars in front of me and it took four light changes until I was able to make a left turn. It's impossible to make a left turn coming into the City from West Scranton on that bridge, and I think it would be a great

thing because traffic gets backed all the way up over that bridge. It's terrible. I think that definitely needs a left-turn arrow.

MS. EVANS: If you can provide that information.

MR. SPINDLER: I have written.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. SPINDLER: I got to get my glasses on, I can't read my own writing. A few months ago there was a gentleman here, he lives on the 500 block of Quincy, and he asked about a permit parking sign. I bumped into him today and he said it was supposed to have been put in two weeks ago and it wasn't put in yet. He asked me to mention that tonight.

MS. EVANS: We'll look into that.

MR. SPINDLER: Does anybody know what's being built on the 300 block of Franklin Avenue, it used to be the old Holiday Manor? Something is being built there. It's not very important, but --

MR. LOSCOMBE: As far as I know it's something to do with the Tobyhanna Army

Depot bank or credit union.

MR. SPINDLER: Oh, okay. Thank you.

At least something is being built not another hole in the ground.

Okay, a few weeks ago, there was a new dog park opened in the Abingtons, the cost of that dog park was \$7,000. It's one acre. Our dog park in South Side was \$350,000. How do you have such a disparity? I think something made a lot of money on this deal. A \$7,000 dog park compared to \$350,000. Something wrong with this picture.

That's about all I have to say, but while I have some more time I would like to give a little plug to the West Scranton football team. I'm going to steal Chrissy's thunder tonight. There's a huge game Friday at Memorial Stadium, they are playing Abington Heights. They are both undefeated in the conference and the winner of that game will have a leg up on winning that conference, so I want to ask all my fellow West Siders to show up at that game and let's pack Memorial Stadium and root the

Invaders onto victory.

MS. EVANS: Absolutely. West is best. Thank you, Mr. Spindler. Is there anyone else who cares to address city council?

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.
Bob Bolus, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: You know, it's kind of sad to hear the police department tonight about issues that are going on, but what is more disappointing to me today was seeing the news about the big drug busts the Attorney General just brought out. These drugs have been going into the street for three, four years, millions and millions of dollars. My question to the AG,
Mr. Corbett, is why did you wait this long to take the drugs off the street? How many families have been destroyed for political reasons that two weeks before a campaign you come forward and announce this major drug

bust that you have known about for three

years out of Newark, everywhere else.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Credit cards have been stolen, families have been destroyed, God knows how many thefts the Scranton Police Department had to deal with and other issues related to these drugs. Why didn't they take them off the street two years ago. You are looking for the big fish.

Come on, let's get realistic. This is the real world. The people they took off the street today will be replaced by the same clowns tomorrow. It's not changing. Why do we have politicians wait until the last minute to say they are doing so much for us people when it's only for their own sole benefit. That's disturbing to know how many kids, babies, their lives have been destroyed by deformities and other medical issues because Tom Corbett let the drugs stay on the street or other police agencies let these drugs stay on the street. And I would hope people really take a hard look at who should run the state and the real reason they should run it, and that's for the people not for themselves.

You know, we listened to the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

donations and everywhere else and they can't make it. You go an ER and your arm is hanging off, remember, your head is laying on the other stretcher, they still want to know what insurance you have before they even put you in the room. They are guaranteed their money no mater where it comes from. We in the business community have to go out and make things happen. You do in your own homes. You don't have grants or people donating to you every day. Why are they sitting here crying and blaming the economy and everything else for their own mismanagement, especially the Catholic

hospital about the hospitals. We listened

to about the way medicine has changed and

here is fiscal mismanagement. We had the

sanitarium, it closed. We have hospitals

they have all of the free rides, they get

grants, they get all kind of money from

that have had problems, they're tax exempt,

in the City of Scranton. It closed.

the government and stuff, but the real issue

West Side hospital, many of you may remember

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hospitals.

If you recall, I came in here and purchased the Holy Cross church for \$35,000. They are giving this away, the complex over there for \$3. That's mismanagement of funds, mismanagement of assets and that's happening in these hospitals. The whole organization whether it's Catholic or it's civil is mismanaging the funds. It's all of the people at the top that are making hundreds of thousands of dollars and forgetting about the worker bees at the bottom that really make the money happen, and that's why they are broke, that's why they are in trouble, and I don't think people should feel sympathy for them, they should go make the changes that are necessary like Tobyhanna just did. works is slowing down 150 people take a hike.

Businesses merge every day, read the Wall Street Journal and pay attention to the real community out there. There is merges of a daily basis. What changes for the hospitals? Not a darn thing, but their

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mismanagement of funds and they can't come here crying, they are getting their free ride.

Just like the University, they are a free ride, but they need more money they raise tuition. They are getting everything they need, it's for free.

Which brings up another issue, I was in Philadelphia last week and I met with an attorney down there and I brought out the issue of what's going on here in the city and other cities with KOZ's and nonprofits and how do you resolve the problem? this attorney has been involved in solving problems, and I came here before council tonight to tell you that there is a hope out there and there is a crusader who is not motivated by Scranton politics, he is not controlled buy Scranton politics or any other politics and would be willing to come and sit with this council if you are interested in him coming up here, and if you are that's why I came here tonight, tomorrow I can supply the phone number and the attorney's name and you can make that

decision. From what I gained from him, I 2 think it would in our best interest to have 3 them come here, whatever it costs to bring him here and listen to what he has to say, 4 because he was a well of information and I 5 6 believe he may be the path that we need to

and for all.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've harped about KOZ's, we've harped about nonprofits, and they just thumb their nose at us. Well, I think we could end that now or it's going to be the other way around where we don't have to worry about where our money is coming from or are they going to pay their fair, it's time. It's been long overdue.

take to resolve our issues in this city once

And I think candidates that are all running, whether it's in Scranton or any other township or municipality should go before the councils. Stop telling people want you think you are going to do or you are going to come up with this fabricated idea how are you going to change the world, you are only one person. Go there and talk to the councils, ask them what they think a

representative or a congressman or anybody else should do to help the people in this community. That's where they need to go to get their information. Not out of a Cracker Jack box and think people are just going to believe what comes out of their mouth. It's not going to happen. You need to come to the people and let the people tell you what their problems are and then go do something about it if you want to a political official or whatever it is and represent the people. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

Does anyone else care to address council?

MS. HUMPHRIES: Thank you for these papers. I am a citizen of Scranton and citizens of the United States. You don't know if they are going to shoot you here with hugs and kisses. My name is Phyllis Bernadette Fatima Yasmin Humphries, third order of Jesus and Mary called Israel, too. Last week when I spoke it's true what he said, congratulations for wherever he is here, he is a wonderful speaker, he should be running the place here, I thank you so

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

much for bringing these to me because I am of an eighth grade education, but I am gifted. It says the word "citizen" it doesn't say "citizen of Scranton."

I'm a United States citizen. I'm a survivor of violent abuses especially in the City of Scranton. One of the things comes out and says do not insult. If I ever insulted you in any way I apologize, but I have invited everyone to my house, even previous council, to sit rationally as a human with blood running through your veins and your heart to sit and talk to me to see what's really going on. I have been exonerated from a mental disorder, but I'm posttraumatic. What I have to say is I have to weed everybody out in the political arena after September 11 because I was entrusting my country and numerous people to get the people out of the buildings, I'm not a terrorist, I'm gifted.

In the process they waterlogged me mentally, about two weeks ago I went to get my papers released for the citizens of the City of Scranton and government officials

and church which would invade my privacy, but I don't care because I have don't have nothing to hide. When I got there, I'm handicapped, the places were blocked, the cars --only one veteran's thing is on the car at the CMC Hospital, and I sat for two hours with my sister's car which she needed and I had my brother's walker in the car, he is disabled.

So when I went in there was nobody to avail to check anything, but then they all flew out around me, they were probably at Dunkin Donuts or something, God only knows what in the emergency room, and the next thing I know I asked them to get an administrator come so I could speak because she doesn't have eyes all over her body and she doesn't know what's going on through my life and what I know what has happened to numerous people, not only me, to speak for these people.

The next thing you see is the security guards coming. They hold me in Room 29. They wouldn't let me get my food out in the car, I'm a diabetic, for eight or

nine hours. In the meantime my brother went to another hospital. I finally got -- they called the Scranton Counseling Center, which I'm suing them, the guy was Russian Orthodox and laughing, but then somebody expected me to shut down silently, I will never shout down silently when they have done something to me that's bad and to other people.

By the time I got out of there

Dr. DeNaples was there, he didn't even know what was going on. I think they are trying blackball Dr. DeNaples to tell you the honest to God's truth. Did DeNaple's asked me, he said, "Why don't they give you when you went the medical records?"

And he was like confused with the situation, so he said, well, Scranton Counseling Center is going to come and they got you on a 302. Well, guess what, I evaluated everything. I'm the big investigator, they called me the female Dick Tracy. There was no Court order, there was nothing from any of the District Attorney's Offices, I was held against my will because the Virgin Mother appears to me, she is

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

imprinted in my x-rays that exonerates me anything, I think that what they really should do, I went to the DA's Office today I wanted to get PFA's, it's like a joke, right?

In the process of I was sent from one place to another, I don't want to tell you. I met a beautiful -- where I live the Dickson City is the most a beautiful people there that are my kind of people, not saying nothing of the City of Scranton and insult, but you know and I know what's going on. They are supposed to investigate the buildings. There is people dying, there is fungus and mold, I brought the documents down to Mayor Doherty. In all of my striving I always say and my mother would always say, "Keep on going and forgive no matter what."

Well, you find out your family are your enemies, you found out your neighbors are your enemies and you find out the government is your enemies. I have actually went up, and everyone that I sent papers to even with President Bush and when the Air

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Force One came and when I told them what had to be done and I showed them my medical records I was escorted by eight military police to Mulberry Towers. A letter was sent to President Bush, and I got a beautiful letter back, then the second one I show revealed I wasn't crazy.

What happened there was I did a whole history of my life from 16 on, what transpired with me. I have been a victim of the government, I feel as a manipulative, as a rat in a maze because the gifts I have as God again the people that I know that are running the country and the town are going It's two weeks before, I am to be exposed. not a vengeful person, but I think when you put your hand on the Bible, Koran, whatever you want to call it and you are taking a stand as like a parent to us, to protect us, to do well for our country, you better believe you should walk straight, hold your head up high and pray to whoever your God its and abide by the commandments of the God.

God has been trying to be taken out

of our church, our country, it's communistic. I was supposed to go to one more place today, but you know what? I gave up. I gave up. I called Interpol and I told them everything and you know what they told me? Leave the country, which would be possibly treason, leave the country and file suit against your own country, which I will die for my country.

So I would like invite you to people to make a date, me come back with 13 books this high, look at my records and I want you, Mrs. Evans, as the lady here, publically, which is a verbal contract, send a letter to these places. Clarks Summit State Hospital --

MS. EVANS: Phyllis.

MS. HUMPHRIES: CMC Hospital, Moses
Taylor Hospital, Bellevue Hospital, Court
ordered out by a judge with paper I have
that they should never, ever put me in a
hospital again. This is never going to
happen again. There is people that are in
these places and they being held for their
own --

1	MS. EVANS: Phyllis?
2	MS. HUMPHRIES: It's wrong.
3	MS. EVANS: Phyllis thank you.
4	MS. HUMPHRIES: And I loves you all
5	and that's the way it's done. Please do
6	what you could. I'm giving you full force,
7	Mrs. Evans, and this city to get my records
8	released, get them out here and I'm going to
9	get to Turkey here to stuff and you are
10	going see what's really going on. It's got
11	to end in morality, rapes, attacks and
12	corruption.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Phyllis.
14	MS. HUMPHRIES: God bless ya, and
15	thank you. Thank you for the paper.
16	Citizen. I'm an American citizen. Remember
17	that.
18	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
19	cares to address council? Could.
20	MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.
21	Dave Dobrzyn
22	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
23	MR. DOBRZYN: resident of
24	Scranton. Last week I heard something about
25	parking permits. Did that have anything to

do with the people who live in neighborhoods 1 in front of my house or your house or with 2 3 PEL? MR. LOSCOMBE: At the PEL meeting? 4 MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah. I didn't fully 5 understand that. I was curious about that. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: I didn't get all of 8 details on that aspect of it at the meeting. I don't know. 9 MR. DOBRZYN: Okay, I'll keep it in 10 11 mind and try to find out about it. I'd just like to say that their attitude was totally 12 13 unacceptable and with --14 MR. LOSCOMBE: If you are talking --I'm sorry to interrupt you, if you are 15 16 talking about where they said \$15 parking 17 fee or something I believe that was the rate 18 now there's a fee per parking in parking 19 with the parking garages have to pay the city. 20 21 MR. DOBRZYN: Oh, I see. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe they are 23 looking at increasing that. That's what I 24 understood from that if that's what you are 25 saying. Sorry.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah. That's comforting at least. Their proposed tax increases are crazy and you can't have all of these bills going up 10 percent every year, it just can't go on. So many people are losing their jobs due to trade packs and stuff that's -- as far as I'm concerned that's the biggest problem in the country and it's a national problem and it's not for here, but therein again, all politics aren't local.

I was thinking when Sergeant Martin was talking, what's the worst case -- does anybody know what the worst case scenario would be and probably changes every couple of months, but for the fire and if they won every arbitration and on these raises and so forth, what would be the worse case, is it like \$5 million, \$10 million or \$3 million or --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't think we have a final number. It's still tough to determine, but 00.

MR. DOBRZYN: It grows every --

MR. LOSCOMBE: You're probably

looking at 4 to 5 million dollars.

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah, it grows every year. I was interested in maybe somebody could -- or the unions could sit down with the calculator and figure out what's going on so we don't get hit surprise, surprise. We are talking about a 10 percent now we need a 20 just for -- okay, I'm going to keep it short tonight. A little advice with voting, follow the money, vote for the guy with the most attack ads against him and you can't go wrong because you know he is rubbing somebody the wrong way. Have a good night.

MR. LOSCOMBE: No award tonight, Dave?

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Oh, the Golden Parrot.

MR. JOYCE: Prior to that,

Mrs. Krake.

MR. DOBRZYN: We have a lot of senators that are criticizing the stimulus, but they are passing it out amongst their peers and stuff and one or the other,

please. They get the golden parrot. Bawk, bawk, please.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks. I'm sorry.

 $\label{eq:MR.JOYCE: That's okay, I'll save} % \begin{center} \be$

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council, Marie Schumacher.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I guess I have a lot of little things tonight so I'm going to start with the drugs as well because I cannot understand how much -- I do understand that there has to be a long period of surveillance before an arrests is made, therefore, we know that the police also know who the buyers are. If there were no buyers there would be no sellers and I don't know is it city policy that we don't arrest drug buyers, just sellers? really -- I think that has to change. have got to start arresting and pushing the buyers as well as the sellers.

MR. ROGAN: I agree with you 100 percent, Mrs. Schumacher. You mentioned this when -- probably about three or four

1 months ago and now that we have a new chief 2 maybe that will change, and I will bring 3 that up. MS. SCHUMACHER: 4 Thank you. 5 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. MS. SCHUMACHER: I certainly hope 6 7 SO. Then I guess I didn't here anything 8 tonight, no response yet from the legal 9 department on the BRT Ice contract with 10 substantiating data? 11 MS. KRAKE: Nο 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 13 action on the capital revised budget? 14 MR. JOYCE: No, not at this time. 15 was actually going to bring that up later as 16 well. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: A couple of weeks 18 ago I suggested you at least get something from the controller because I know in the 19 20 past the controller has also had a capital 21 budget. Did anybody do that? 22 MR. JOYCE: Not at this time. I'11 23 take that into consideration. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: And then last year 25 it was the former council, but I believe the

vote, maybe Mr. McGoff will remember, to review the Home Rule Charter since the five years had long well-passed, but nothing was ever done. I believe the council has to vote to put place it on the ballot and I would like to ask, obviously, it's certainly too late for the general election, but I would hope that that would get onto the ballot for the primary election next year because I think there are some things that I have observed could be changed.

And then I would like to congratulated Mr. McGoff on finishing in such a good time at the Steamtown Marathon and also what it did for our city. I did read a letter to the editor from an out-of-towner who was obviously so impressed that they took the time to write that letter congratulating all of the volunteers and saying what a special event it was, so I thought that was a plus for the city.

And another plus for the city, I
think we welcomed a new company that started
Mac computer store down on Wyoming Avenue,
I'm sure all Mac users, including all of our

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

students will be happy to see that store.

A couple of other things on painting and lines, the 400 block of Lackawanna Avenue as you go towards the 500 block I have already had my automobile accident for this year, so I would like to avoid the second one and there is a faint -- I did notice it the other day in the lane closest to the curb there is a faint right-turn arrow, but nothing in the left lane that I could see. I think that's totally worn away, but people, and you can't blame the people, some of them around the state, some are local going straight from that right turn over only lane, and something needs to be done whether it's something overhead, I think, I don't know how the light is down there, but that is sorely in need of a right-turn arrow and a straight arrow so people know when they are -- when they are traversing there.

And then another similar incident is Meadow, again, Meadow Avenue at Moosic Street. There are three lanes when you are coming whatever direction that is, I guess

that be would be east sort of, on Meadow
Avenue, there is a right-turn only, there is
a straight and there is a left turn lane.
All of those have gone away as well as the
dividing line, there is only a single lane
going the opposite direction toward River
Street, and there used to be yellow lines
there so you knew and now it's sort of a
crap shoot. You know, you just point your
car and go wherever you want because all of
that paints long worn off, and I think that
needs some attention.

And I was noticing today or yesterday on grassroots.com and article from I believe it was maybe Allegheny -- or Pittsburgh, that's where it was, it was the City of Pittsburgh saying they had some -- he had a proposal to get money into the pension fund because it turns out they are going to be less than 50 percent at the end of the year, and if you are not 50 percent at the end of the year the state takes the pension fund over, so I wondered coming -- I know we are protected or at least it's my belief that he are protected because of our

distressed city status, but does anybody know in dollars and cents what it would take for us to get to 50 percent?

MR. ROGAN: I'm not sure. I think I believe I read that article as well. Was that rejected by their council or maybe I read about something?

MR. LOSCOMBE: They were going to lease the garages.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, he wanted to lease the garages and they turned that down and they have their own -- city council has their own scheme. I mean, we will see how it place out, but that's -- what I would like to know is how much that it would take for Scranton to get to the 50 percent level because, obviously.

And then one other quick one, and I'm looking forward to the public hearing next week, I assume that's still on with PEL or it is PEL, right? A bunch of questions there, but I hope when you people are looking at the budget I know you have said in most recently in rejecting the SAPA plan that the city's problem in attracting jobs

Is there

1 is our taxes, so I certainly hope to see 2 that you all put your money where your mouth 3 is and at least start reducing those mercantile and business taxes or the wage 4 tax, whatever you feel is holding business 5 back, so that we can see if it goes down and 6 7 business does come in or if there is 8 something else, and the rest I will hold for 9 Thank you. next week. 10 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. else who like to address council? 11 12 MR. JOYCE: Also, before you leave, Mrs. Schumacher, I wrote down your request 13 14 about the 400 to the 500 block of --MS. SCHUMACHER: Lackawanna. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: Lackawanna Avenue, yes. 17 I didn't -- I wasn't able to write down the 18 other one fast enough if you could please 19 provide them to Mrs. Carrera. 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: If she can provide 21 me a piece of paper I can. 22 MR. JOYCE: Yes, and we will send 23 those out and hopefully they are will be 24 addressed. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Hey, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: What's up, Frank.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Jack, this week, Buddy, you think we can win this one or what? We better win this one.

 $\label{eq:mr.loscombe} \text{MR. Loscombe:} \quad \text{You better suit up} \\ \text{this week.}$

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Oh, I am going to suit up real good this time. Well, guys, good luck Friday. Let's win this one.
Bring it back to West Side where it belongs.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: Many years ago when I was a little Ronnie in Memphis they had a football game around Thanksgiving every year called the blind game. At halftime they turned out the lights and everybody in the stadium, I guess it was four or five thousand like our stadium, everybody there would light a wooden match and you can't believe how illuminated it would get from all of these single matches.

What I'm getting at is how

significant each of us is in making up the whole, you know, the rest of us. My two boys don't want to vote. They say one vote is not important. I hear this all the time. One vote is so important. Everybody's vote is important, you know? I think it was Towanda or somewhere I saw where somebody won by one vote a couple of years ago when I was watching that on television, but it's -- you know, people die for people so we can vote and it's just taken for granted, you know, it's shameful. I would like to see everybody get out and vote or more people.

And I don't want to see young people listen to endorsements. They are just opinions like all of us got, you know. I was listening to that WLIK yesterday when I walked the dog I was listening to that over the hill journalist I guess he calls himself Corbett, and he gets mad if you don't agree with him, you know, he is not knowledgeable enough to have rebuttals, so he yells and screams and tells it's time for a commercial, but he is endorsing that complacent dinosaur and he gets mad if

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

someone calls in saying something about

Barletta. That shouldn't be. He should -
I remember Andy saying it's wrong to take
these signs off during election, and I agree
with him whether, you know, he is going to
vote for him or not. That's enough.

Last week I was whining about Paul Mansour having 16 pieces of property and nothing being done except it's investment property evidently. He sold one last week \$175,000. I'd like to know what proof there is that money is going back into this phoney Lackawanna County Institute and what it's going to be used for, but I can't find out Nobody will tell me a thing. from nobody. This is like the medical school, they don't think they owe any explanations where they spend money, what salaries are, I never seen such, you know, I tried to -- like I said, I have tried to find out things here in town, nothing, you know, it's not hidden they just don't tell you. What are you going to do?

You know, right there you've got a fine attorney sitting there, it seems to me if the ACLU can get -- find loopholes to get

people off murder row and out of prisons

Mr. Hughes can find some loopholes to close
these phoney people like Paul Mansour and
all of the rest of them. We got a third of
the taxable properties gone, there is a way,
right there is the easiest way in the world
start terminating, start challenging these
nonprofits that they are not nonprofits.

Nobody 13 or 14 years sitting there with property that's doubled and what are you going to do when he closes shops and disappears with a million dollars or something of taxpayers', you know, we haven't gotten a penny and Paul Mansour is getting rich off of it. It's not right.

You know, I don't know the man and I wouldn't know him if I saw him, but he shares one thing with the University of Scranton and that's this outrageous delivered indifference to the people of the city, you know, of what's best for the city. He is sharing it with destruction of our tax base. These properties need to be put back on the payroll. There is no reason in the world, you know, tell that poor lady that

you talked about last week that she has to have a fundraiser to help pay her house and I think she was 78 years old. That shouldn't be in this country, in this city. We shouldn't have to have fundraisers to save someone's home.

What did you do that for? Well, please, you know, when I get up here I'm not very articulate, is that the right word, but I have people all week long I just chatter to them all week long and I hear all of these things, and like little messages. I don't make these things up. These people tell me, and people like the council, but they just haven't seen nothing.

MS. EVANS: Well, thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ ELLMAN: Everybody is on your side.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: There isn't time. You know, there isn't time to put something on your agenda, we got to have something about taxes and now. I mean, it's just out of hand. Next month or two months there is a lot of people that don't have the money to

1 pay taxes because I have talked to them, you 2 know, it's just around the corner. 3 MS. EVANS: We know. Thank you, Mr. Ellman. 4 MR. ELLMAN: Help us. 5 MS. EVANS: We are doing our level 6 best, believe me. 7 8 MR. ELLMAN: Oh, I believe that. 9 The city doesn't, you know, like I said, 10 this lady she didn't have any time left, all 11 of those people in the newspaper they didn't 12 have any time left and people like Paul 13 Mansour they are getting rich. They are 14 laughing at you and me and the people out there and council and that's ashame. 15 16 just ashame that he is allowed to do this. 17 MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you. 18 MR. ELLMAN: Thank you. 19 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 20 would like to address council? 21 MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening. 22 Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer, 23 First Amendment Rights. In less than a 24 month the proposed 2011 budget is due to be 25 presented to council by the city.

prediction is that PEL's proposed 21 percent real estate tax increase will be incorporated by the city into the budget. It's my belief that PEL was laying the groundwork for the city to put it in the budget. To balance the budget because of the 5.2 million deficit a loan will have be secured. That's prediction number one two. Number three, a record budget.

I hope I'm wrong and the taxpayers are up the creek without a paddle unless council comes to the rescue. You can do it. You can present a 60 million budget that pays for city services and the long-term debt. Long-term debt that will carry over to our children and grandchildren.

We have to remember that the city is a service, not a business. You have the power to stop the pork spending, like a \$350,000 dog park, especially when one Borough in the Abingtons just spent less than \$8,000 for a dog park. What could the city have done with \$342,000 savings? You can stop the bleeding and have mercy on the taxpayers. You can role back the raises to

favorites from 2002 through 2009 to a 7.5 percent level. Level the playing field. We, the people, are counting and you.

You saved the city over \$700,000 only to get sued by the administration.

Don't get disillusioned, keep working for the taxpayers. It will be tough, but you can do it. The 25 million that was given out in raises and new hires that's why we're over the damn now.

What about the editorial in the slimes on Sunday? The unnamed editorialist who called council speakers names.

Halloween will be here at the end of the month, so I guess it is fitting for them to hide behind their masks and not put their names to their fantasy editorials. The days of journalistic integrity disappeared when the Scranton Tribune closed it's doors for the final time and we ended up with one tabloid.

They said council recoiled in horror, that's what the editorialist said.

Did I miss something? Did I miss a recoil?

But at least you are not hiding behind an

editorial page. I'm going to quote, "PEL, unlike the council majority, is not convinced that doing nothing and complaining about the mayor will produce recovery."

That's the end quote. PEL did nothing for 19 years except collect paychecks and if the city comes out of distress PEL goes into distress.

"The PEL proposal notes that 73
percent of the city's expenses, more than 48
million are from employees."

That doesn't convince me. We have to remember, the city is a service. The city supports police service, fire service, DPW service and clerical backup. The city is not a business. We are not in retail or manufacturing. The city provides services for the people, we, the people, who pay the bills through whatever taxes or fees we paid.

If you use percentages, what was the percentage of the green or black fish pond that cost \$1.2 million? How much has that fish pond raised for the city in the last eight years?

How about the paying some outside attorneys fighting the unions \$600 an hour. How much are of a percentage have outside attorneys costs us?

Another quote, "The bigger problem, however, is that council has resisted the administration's longstanding Recovery Plan while protecting it's favorite constituency without proposing anything better. The current budget season not next year is the time to tackle it."

and we remember your budget last year that saved over \$700,000. Anyway, where was PEL when the city violated the Recovery Plan from 2002? Council, stay the course, don't cave in. We, the people of the City of Scranton, are counting on you to do the right thing. Thanks.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. GERVASI: I came down to observe tonight, but after Nelson's speech I thought

25

of a few things I wanted to say last week at the caucus and I didn't get a chance to say because of time constraints. I would like to focus your attention on last week, it was during PEL's presentation when they said, "Here is the whole pie of the city's budget -- " I'm sorry, "The city's expenditures," and they showed what was approximately three-quarters of the pie was salaries, and then they showed you the two little parts of the pie that were nonemployee, nondepartmental expenses and regular nondepartmental expenses and I believe those two small parts of the pie calculated to, according to their records, 13 percent and 14 percent of the entire budget.

I think what you need to understand about the presentation is, number one, if you look at the salary part of that pie, the salary part of that pie is no different than any other municipality in any country in the civilized world. It's no different. What you need to do when you look at that salary is you need to breakdown the components of

that salary piece of the pie. You need to look at the fire department nine years ago and look at it today, what it costs today and you will find out it's been static. You need to look at the police department portion of that big part of the pie and look at what it was ten years ago and what it is today. Static. And you need to look at unionized clerical workers of the City of Scranton what it was ten years ago and you will find out that today it's down probably 33 percent from what it was ten years ago.

If you look at the DPW, that's actually less except for the DPW administration which there is a huge boost in the last eight years, but if you break all of that down you will find out that the same amount of money, approximately the same amount of money or actually a little bit more was actually going to that big part of the pie in salary, but if you break it all down you will find where it's going to.

It's going to created jobs in middle management positions and increased salaries for cabinet members and small raises from

20

21

22 23

24

25

DPW and clerical and no raises until last year for police and firefighters. Then when you look at those two small pieces of the pie I believe, I'm not positive about this, but I believe that one pie of the pie, nondepartmental expenses used to be about 3 or 4 percent, now it's 14 and nonsalary, nondepartmental expenses I believe used to be 7 percent and now it's 13, so when you look at the difference between 9 years ago and today you will find out where all of the money went because fees have been raised, municipal services tax came into effect two years ago, 26 percent tax increase, the mayor raised the people's taxes, it was unnecessary, and we have proven that in arbitration over and over again.

All of this extra revenue came in and you got to look at where it went and when you are looking to save money you need to go and find out what departments are lean and mean, meaning look at what departments have been bloated and have not increased and ballooned in the last nine years, and there I would suggest you will find out where your

24

25

1

2

savings should be because a lot of those position were not there and there is lot less of a positions of the public service that were there that were no longer there and I think, I would hope that you would contemplate that as you going through the budget process because, frankly, it's a sad thing that the fire department is down 13 people from what it used to be and the police department -- police officers are literally leaving, they are just leaving for better jobs because everybody else pays more and it's a sad legacy from this administration and I would hope you would contemplate that when you are doing your That's where the money is budget process. and that's where the money went, and if you are going to look for cuts I would hope you make cuts in those areas. That's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Mr. McGoff, any comments or motions?

J

MR. MCGOFF: Please. First, I received this in our mail about a property at 521 Maple Street. Have we been in contact with LIPS or anyone on that or have we received any information?

MS. KRAKE: Yes. We did send a letter and they did not respond to the letter.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay, I'll try and look into that tomorrow and see what the current status is and what the city is planning to do with that property or what -- if there any plans with that.

Secondly, it's on our agenda tonight for the reappointment of someone to HARB I believe.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: I would like with council's permission, I know we have gone over this idea of submission of resumes and all and it's become -- I think that it's a reasonable request to be made. I know I voted for the appointments despite the fact that many of them had not submitted things. I would like with our approval, everyone's

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

approval, to send a request to all of the authorities and boards in the city that all current members of the authorities and boards submit a brief resume to council or to the city so that it can be kept on file so that everyone is aware of who is on these particular authorities or boards and what their qualifications are and then when a reappointment dose come before council the information is already there and we don't have to go through this whole process of, you know, searching out a resume. I just think it's a good idea. First of all, if that meets with everyone's approval, I would ask that --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: -- Mrs. Krake, if we could send, you know, something of that nature to, you know, the proper authorities and boards.

MS. KRAKE: Sure.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm not looking to do this with any contingencies attached that, you know, if you don't submit it, you know, I'm going to somehow vote to remove you or

anything, I just think it's a good idea and it's something that probably should have been done, you know, in the past and hopefully we will get a decent response on that.

Also, the third thing I'm looking at a paper that we received for the audit contract for fiscal years 2010 through 2013. Has that gone before council and been voted on, those contracts?

MS. EVANS: No, it has not.

MR. MCGOFF: Does it need to be?

MS. EVANS: We can certainly look into that, but in the meantime there have been problems with the contract between the administration and their selection. In fact, Attorney Hughes, perhaps, you could provide briefly with an update or a briefing on where the contract for the new auditor stands -- or the new audit contract, I should say.

MR. HUGHES: Yes. I didn't bring the letter that I sent today, but last night around 4:00 I received a 50-page fax from the Solicitor's Office regarding the

contract with Rossi. I reviewed it today, I sent -- there was major differences in the contract with Rossi, and the one that had been previously signed. There were two -- actually, three new clauses, two of which were really applicable to Rossi and stricken out of the agreement, basically due to breach, and he had stricken that out and the Solicitor's Office said that they would not agree to that.

However, the way that it was drafted it's unilaterally drafted that if Rossi doesn't perform they're in breach of the agreement. It's my understanding that if Rossi did not submit the audit by May 31 of this year as required because various city departments did not give Rossi sufficient information.

I put this in a letter to Attorney
Kelly and, of course, it just didn't appear
right and unilateral that they could
terminate it and then if he was in default
there was a clause that he would be
responsible for paying various expenses of
the nonbreaching party, which would be the

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city, which would really breech them if they are not furnishing them the proper information.

Attorney Kelly responded to, you know, to my letter late this afternoon. It's going to be up to Rossi. I certainly think that he can cover himself that when he sends letters out to various departments of the city that he would give them the date as to which to respond timely so that he could complete his audit, but that's his decision. I called Mr. Rossi. They were in meetings with -- the entire staff were in a firm meeting, so he didn't have to time to discuss that with him. but I'm not advocating a position. It's certainly up to Mr. Rossi, but I don't think any professional or anybody wants to enter into a contract that it appears that the language could immediately -- could be utilized against you to be breached, to be in breach, when you really aren't in breach because of the other party's failure to provide the required information.

I know how I would handled it. I

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mean, he could certainly have various letters requesting documentation by a certain time, if it's not there just send another letter, so the real fault would be on the department of the city that's not furnishing the information timely. Council -- let me would be his decision. put it this way, the contracts are drafted by the law department, not by council, we are a legislative body only, not an executive body. Those contracts are drafted by the solicitor's office and these -- there were three new clauses that weren't in the previous contract and I can understand why Rossi when he signed the contract put a line through them and then put his initials there that he wanted these deleted.

That's going to be up to them, but I certainly think that it's council's prerogative and actually under the Home Rule Charter council hires the auditor not the administration and this is exactly, you know, where we are right now.

MR. MCGOFF: There are -- excuse me, there are two things that -- first of all, I

was unaware that we had chosen Rossi to do
the next three years. I know Mr. Joyce
attempted to contact me at one time and to
speak about it, but we never completed that
conversation. If my memory severs me there
were three bids and Rossi was the highest of
the three bids. I was just wondering if
someone this evening during motions or in
the future could explain the process for,
you know, the selection of Rossi to perform
the audit and why the other two -- the two
lower bids were rejected.

Also, I know in the past we had talked about the idea that a firm couldn't do an audit over -- or a second time and I know that we somehow chose Rossi because they were the lowest bidder I guess it was three years ago. I was wondering if that was something that we had -- that was still in place, also.

So I just I guess what I'm asking for is just an explanation of how we came to choose Rossi Certified Accountants for the audit, and that would be all I have. Thank you.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: If I might quickly respond to some of what Mr. McGoff has remarked. We can certainly do so more specifically next week when we have all of the audits in front of us, however, the administration attempted to choose and auditor for the city in violation of the Home Rule Charter, and at the very same time that council was providing it's selection to the legal department, the legal department informed city council it's already been selected and it was not the same firm chosen by Scranton City Council. At that point I informed Mrs. Krake and others that the administration was in violation of the charter and it had no right to make this selection.

At that point I know that I had had conversations with Mr. Joyce, I believe Mr. Joyce told me that he was calling every member of council to discuss the firm that would be selected by council and that Mr. Joyce wasn't able to speak with you, that he had called you.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I said that.

MS. EVANS: And you were supposed to call him back and you never did.

MR. JOYCE: No.

MS. EVANS: Well, okay, you can jump in at that point, but we selected Rossi & Company for a number of very good reasons. They have been conducting this audit for many years and to I think maybe piggyback on what Attorney Hughes had said, this audit not only has not been completed in a timely manner this year, in my seven years on city council it has never come in by May 31 and it has never been the fault of the auditor.

The auditor, and I'm not quite sure if Attorney Hughes is aware of this, the auditor provides a timeline to the administration each year before the auditor begins his work and that timeline is particularly to be followed by the business administrator, and the reports that we receive not only monthly, many times bimonthly indicate to us and to the mayor and to the business administrator that the requirements of that timeline and the requests for those pieces of information

have not been met.

Now, I am very appreciative of the fact that we have an auditor who is so meticulous and who doesn't take no for an answer and who at all times keeps Scranton City Council in the financial loop. That's something I don't know that council is guaranteed with any other firm. I didn't see anything pertaining to that regarding any of the other firms.

In addition to that, the lowest bidder who was selected by the administration their bid contained hidden costs. There was no true definite cost. For example, I do have one of their statements, "The proposed fee assumes that unexpected circumstances will not be encountered during the audit. If significant additional time is necessary, we will discuss it with you and arrive at a new fee estimate before we incur additional costs."

So, in fact, there is no defined cost per year unless the audit comes in by May 31, that would be my understanding of

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it, and we all know that that does not happen and so the costs incurred beyond that are anybody's guess. And the fact of the matter is between I believe the bid of Rossi & Company and the bid in the middle of there is a \$3,000 difference and I think for \$3,000 it's very important to have a high quality of services, especially in terms of this administration. I am wary of undefined costs and I'm also wary of those who do not have experience with cities of this size and who have no experience with this administration and their tactics of prolonging the release of information for ten months into a year. Today is October 19 and there is still no audit and Rossi's report tells us that they are awaiting seven letters from attorneys before they can even move ahead with the preliminary financial reports and an exit conference.

And this is, as I said, not the fault of the auditor. The auditor is very meticulous. The auditor complies with GASB Standards. The stalemate here, once again, is with the administration even more so now

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extra money it's going to cost all of us when that audit doesn't come in by May 31.

And number two, my concern is accountability

that:

than any of the authorities, but there are

Redevelopment Authority, for example, that

that hasn't changed in all of these years,

so I don't know how the administration's

choice for the auditor would be able to

improve on that, but what concerns me is

and transparency, and I know in my opinion

that we have that with Rossi & Company

because they provide constant updates to

Number one, I don't know how much

does not provide an audit until July perhaps

Now, obviously, that's not changing,

authorities, I think it might be the

or August to Scranton City Council.

this council and to all previous council's about information that is required, that is submitted, that has failed to be submitted.

And those will are things I believe the city can ill-afford, the taxpayers of this city can ill-afford to put into jeopardy.

MR. MCGOFF: Just there is a \$12,000 difference between Rossi and the middle

2

3

5

6

8

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bidder.

MS. EVANS: That would be over the four-year period.

MR. MCGOFF: Right.

MS. EVANS: Are there any other questions?

 $\label{eq:MR.MCGOFF:No,that's fine.} \label{eq:MR.MCGOFF:No,that's fine.}$ That's fine.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. Today right before the meeting I received a monthly OECD report, I didn't have time to fully review it, but I will give a summary next week on the changes from last month to this month and Mrs. Aebli from OECD asked Mrs. Krake, our clerk, why we receive the report and I don't read the entire report, and the reason is because much of the information is redundant. It's the same thing month after month. For instance, the loan portfolio and the multi-year loans each month, it's often the same thing and if at there are changes I will obviously mention them so the public will now, and if Ms.

1

4

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Aebli would like to come and read the report she we would be more than welcome to come during citizens' participation to read the entire report, but as for my part on council I will always keep the public -- keep them informed about changes that are happening in the office.

Next, I would just like to make some comments about the proposed Recovery Plan and a few articles that were placed in the The first one is there is Scranton Times. an editorial, I think it was an editorial in this week they called council the "Do nothing council." In the last ten months this council has went to Court fighting for the taxpayers to save them money. We have decreased the bidding threshold to save the taxpayers' money to make doing business with the city more competitive for other businesses. We make police reports free for victims of crimes. We have setup a special account for the purchase of police cars. We have answered hundreds off citizens' requests and attended many neighborhood meetings throughout the area and all of this

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is without the help of department heads.

Now, I would ask the Scranton Times to ask what the past council has done besides rubber stamp everything through, including tax increases and overinflated budgets.

In that same article they pretty much support PELS's proposed Recovery Plan Now, this plan should for Scranton. basically just be called a tax plan. Times says in a different article that there will be a 21 percent tax increase, but, in fact, over four years it would be nearly a 50 percent tax increase. Yes, in the 2011 proposed Recovery Plan there would be a 21 percent tax increase, followed by a 9 percent tax increase the next year, followed by a 9.9 percent tax increase the next year and followed by a 9.3 percent tax increase the next year. When you add them all up it comes to almost 50 percent year over year and the Scranton Times keeps using the 21 percent number which is incorrect.

Obviously the people of Scranton can't afford it. Unemployment is extremely

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

high, the previous council did increase taxes and it's shown that that's not the solution to our problems. We need to find creative ways to save money. We need to cut the fat from the budget. We don't need to keep cutting police and fire protection for our neighborhoods. That is one of the most important jobs of government, to keep the people safe.

The administration they had I believe it was just 15 percent in cuts, and that's where we have seeing most of the growth in the budget over the past ten years was in administration, and the article goes onto say that all this council does is bash Mayor Doherty. That's not true. If Mayor Doherty comes with a proposal that will help the citizens I will be all for it and I'm sure my colleagues would. It's about policies. It's not about the people and I just hope the people of Scranton don't buy into what the Scranton Times is selling because it's not -- it's not what's going on, 21 percent one year, 9 percent the next, 9.9 the next, 9.3 the next. People can't

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

afford this. Senior citizens are losing their homes. People are out of work and PEL comes in and says, well, this is the solution to your financial problems raising taxes and racing fees? It's just completely unacceptable and I don't understand why the Times is buying into it, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, Mrs. I really didn't have anything prepared, but I would be remiss if I didn't discuss the editorial in Sunday's paper, For lack of not repeating a lot of also. it, basically there were a few things in that article that struck me. Down on the third paragraph, "After being presented with the proposal last week members of the "Do Nothing Council,"" that hit me in the heart because any one that watches this council meeting knows we have done quite a bit in the ten months that we have been here. don't have to report that in the paper, the people out there know it, I run into people

on the street every day, a lot of people know we are working with them on issues, we may not resolve them overnight. Some of them have taken months, but we stick with it and we are working on it and I think a lot more has been done in this last year than has ever been done in four years, so I really resented that, and what point I must see is, obviously, the editorialists never watch council meeting. They must only read that one-line sentence that the reporter puts in the paper about the council meeting the next day because if you don't watch these meetings you wouldn't know what happened at these meetings based on what's reported in the newspaper.

There has been many issues that we have discussed of importance here that have been totally obliviated from the newspaper. Some irrelevant news has been put in there in place of it. I don't know what's behind that, but I do believe, and I run into people on the street every day and I tell you if you run into me in the grocery store, in an restaurant, walking down the street,

21

22

23

24

25

don't hesitate to approach me. That's why I'm out there, that's why they're out there. We are out there to listen to your concerns and if you have a concern you bring it right to me personally or my colleagues here. are not afraid. We are not hiding from you we are here every week facing you, and so don't believe what you read, believe what This is a live. This is factual. you see.

Let's see here. Also, it says, "City council recoiled in horror."

I think it was more we recoiled in hidden laughter because we knew exactly the fill in the blank plan they were going to do, raise the taxes "X" amount, raise these fees "X" amount. It's a carbon copy of every plan they've had everywhere they go. They are paid to fill in that blank. All they need is a rubber stamp, and that's basically that end of it.

"Since the city first slipped into distress in 1992 a lack of political will by elected city officials has been the principal reason for the failure to emerge from it."

Well, a lot of us haven't been here since 1992 on this panel, but I'll tell you something we have been working hard this year and things are going to be changing.

Trust me.

Let's see here, just a couple of more. Also, the fact that they keep putting in, "The municipal unions are a primary political constituency of the current council just as they have been a primary constituency in the past administrations."

Our primary constituency is you, the taxpayers. You are our number one constituency. We are up here working for you. Yes, labor deserves a fair shake, the city and the budget, and they claim 73 percent of the budget is based on municipal salaries. Like the speaker mentioned before, that is -- that's what a city is. It's based upon service to you, the taxpayers. It's not a profit situation. It's not a company that's out there making money. We are here to provide you with the best services and I think our employees in this city have given 120 percent, every one

22

23

24

25

of them, in spite of what's been going on over the last several years, in spite of the lack of morale that's befalling them, and I applaud them for doing the job they do. They haven't slacked off, they have given 120 percent and they will continue to do it, but they deserve respect, too. But to state that the municipal unions are our primary political constituency that's incorrect. Our primary constituency are you, the taxpayers, and the employees are taxpayers because they have to reside in the city unlike some government entities where you can live anywhere you want and work. city employees are required to stay in the city and pay taxes, but their base salaries have gone down, down, down. And in the meant time it's all about raising taxes.

They have never been creative. If it wasn't for this council to take the initiative to go after the nonprofits for payments in lieu of taxes it wouldn't have happened, but naturally, you know, according to you read articles in the newspaper that, you know, other people have been out there

doing it on their own now, we didn't start it. And then they try the he said/she said thing again just like we had at the University, now I noticed another article the other day in the paper about our city solicitor and what Mr. Hughes had said. And believe me, I was with Mr. Hughes at the University of the Scranton and I know what went on there, so I have my full faith, I wasn't any part to this conversation, but I have my full faith that Mr. Hughes would not have made that public here if it wasn't true.

So if you want to come -- instead of saying in this newspaper come to this podium and tell the public here and then we'll discuss it. But it's just ashame that the one newspaper, one daily newspaper we have cannot produce the full facts. Years ago when I was growing up I remember reporters were investigative, they were wrote stories, they didn't have to get things off the national press or just throw in some fluff stories that they have or, you know, cover somebody's back here.

You know, I mean, PEL alone with the Times as their accomplice have been the enablers to the violations of the recovery Plan and they have stood by and done nothing and reported nothing. I believe that the "Do nothing" phrase relates more closely to the Scranton Times and the PEL, not this council, and that's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Just a few. All save my comments for the editorial a little bit later and start with some business.

First off, capital budget. Awhile back council had asked for a status report of all projects from the original capital spending plan that was passed back in 2007 which became effective in 2008. At this point in time council has yet to receive any updates and it's now significantly past the two-week time frame that is customarily provided of council's request. With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can we please resubmit this request?

I also have a few citizens; requests

that I would like to bring up tonight. I have been informed that there are two condemned homes at the end of Meadow Avenue. Residents are reporting that they see people entering their homes -- or they see people entering these homes on a frequent basis and fear that the homes may be a haven for suspicious activities since residents report finding drug paraphernalia on the street right outside of these residences.

Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to Chief Duffy regarding this matter and request that our blight control officer can look into this situation. In addition, you if you could please copy Mr. Seitzinger I would greatly appreciate it as well.

Secondly, I have been informed that there is an abandoned home on the corner of Ruane and Stanton Street in North Scranton. Residents report that this home has been abandoned for over three years. The grass is never cut, and furthermore, that it's becoming an eyesore in the neighborhood.

Mrs. Krake, can you please notify
Mr. Seitzinger of this property and ask that

he handle this accordingly.

In addition, this is more of an ongoing problem, I have been informed by some residents of Saginaw Street that a neighborhood garbage and debris has been coming into the yards due to wind and heavy rains due to the fact that the residents in the Hilltop housing area are not required to have garbage cans.

Mrs. Krake, if you could please send a letter or phone Mr. Peliccaci again? I know that he said he was looking into long-term solutions the last time this came up, and if we just get an update on any solutions that may be in the works to satisfy this.

Also, a North Scranton resident has informed me that the property on the corner of North Keyser and West Market Street, which was formerly a restaurant, has become quite a problem in the neighborhood. It's high winds are causing roofing and other debris to fly onto the road. Mrs. Krake, if could please include this property into the letter to Mr. Seitzinger.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

written, I really didn't read much of it to be honest with you, and I didn't look too much into it. I speak to many residents in the City of Scranton and I go by what they say and what their concerns are and those are the true people that I represent, everyone in this city, not the opinion of a newspaper, not the opinion of another elected official and in my tenure when I'm on this council that's my pledge. I pledge to represent you in my decision making, not the opinion of the Pennsylvania Economy League, not the opinion of the mayor and sometimes not the opinion of the majority of my council colleagues. I am looking out for your best interest and if the Scranton Times thinks that we should go along and adopt PEL's Recovery Plan, so be it. That's their decision. That's why we live in America we each have an opinion.

And about the editorial that was

But personally I do not think it's in the best interest when I see people that can barely toward to pay the taxes on their homes, that live paycheck to paycheck each

week that struggle. I don't think in my best interest and in my true heart and in my true judgment that these people can afford these tax increases and that's why I have the comments I have to say about PEL's Recovery Plan, and that's all I have to say about that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. First, city council acknowledges and thanks the Scranton Housing Authority for it's payment in lieu of taxes in the amount of \$20,213.97. Council hopes that other tax exempt organizations will follow the example set by the Housing Authority, Lutherwood and others and will step up to the plate to contribute their fair share to our financially distressed city.

Next, I wish to clarify a statement made by Mayor Doherty in the October 17 edition of the Sunday Times. An article entitled, "Feud over ECTV deepens," states that, "Mr. Doherty, who believes ECTV should be paid by the city, said the ball is in council's court. We sent the legislation down and they haven't voted on it. That's

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their job to vote."

And that those words are his direct auote. The mayor should check the facts Following the mayor's before he speaks. veto of the Comcast contract in August 2010, no formal legislation for a contract was sent to council. Instead, the legal department provided council with copies of a cable franchise agreement which contains none of council's stipulations under Section VII, services to the community, aside agreement designed by the administration to give up one EG channel in return for an \$85,000 grant and a model ordinance for the aforementioned items.

Formal legislation for a Comcast franchise agreement and for an EG channel franchise agreement in compliance with all other legislation given to council's office has not been sent to city council since the mayor's veto, and very likely because the administration is aware that city council will not approve the contract dictated by the mayor.

City council insists on full

disclosure of ECTV's financial records, invoices and equipment. A fair RFP for potential bidders, a contract for an EG channel administrator, Comcast grants for a new EG channel manager, the return of the second EG channel, and a reduction in the term of contract from 15 to 10 years.

The mayor has taken a stand opposed to city council he is against financial accountability and transparency from ECTV and wishes to give Comcast grants to ECTV despite the facts that he himself previously said ECTV would receive no city funding.

ECTV had no contract and he ECTV refuses to disclose it's financial records.

Mr. Doherty wants a 15-year contract, only one EG channel rather than the current two channels, and according to his proposed agreement he wants to control what is broadcast on the EG channel.

Section 7.2 of the mayor's proposed agreement states: "The city shall have complete control over the content, scheduling and administration and all other programming aspects of the EG channel and

may delegate such functions or a portion of such functions to appropriate designee."

I wonder if the Times Shamrock media monopoly or any media outlet would enjoy taking orders regarding the content, scheduling and administration and all other programming aspects from any mayor or any elected official?

The mayor's efforts to protect ECTV, control broadcasting and shortchange the Comcast subscribers are not acceptable to this council. When the mayor and his legal department decide to send legislation for a Comcast franchise agreement to council for it's consideration and adoption it must contain city council's stipulations.

The mayor's philosophy of my way or the highway will not produce a new franchise agreement since, indeed, as he says, it's our job to vote and for the first time in nine years he has only one vote to help him control the television channel and lock subscribers into an imprudent deal.

As I first stated in the newspaper article, the ball is in the mayor's court.

Council cannot vote on legislation it doesn't have and council will only approve legislation that includes it's stipulations for accountability and transparency.

Next, I would like to enumerate the accomplishments of this council and respond to the insatiable desire and predictable proclivity of the Scranton Times to ignore facts and jump to conclusions.

The transparent process selection in the swearing in of a new council member.

Development of state legislation sponsored by Representative Murphy to separate the city tax bill to save city resident's homes.

Strong opposition to the proposed flat fee Sewer Authority rate increase which lead to a hybrid rate. The repeal of legislation creating a Library Authority, amendments to the 2010 budget which cut government costs by \$700,000 as required in a Recovery Plan and for which the mayor sued city council. Aggressive pursuit of the Crisp Avenue bridge project. Bidding of professional services. Free police reports for victims of crimes. Establishment of a

special police account to purchase vehicles and equipment. Pursuit of nonprofits for payments in lieu of taxes which caused the mayor, in turn, to seek PILOTS for the very first time as required by the Recovery Plan.

An agreement with the Lackawanna
Valley Heritage Association for back
payments the mayor had ignored and
maintenance of the city's portion of the
Lackawanna Valley Heritage Trail.
Restoration of respect and decorum to city
council meetings.

Public transparent meetings with the Pennsylvania Economy League and DCED for the first time among numerous others.

Meetings with state officials to solve city blight and flooding problems.

Investigation and approval of economic developments such as the Connell building, the United Neighborhood Center corporation, South Side Revitalization and the Penn Avenue project. A regional purchasing agreement with Lackawanna County. A constant and steadfast investigations into the financial records of the city and it's

authorities. The discovery that the Parking Authority budget must be approved and can be amended by Scranton City Council. Solutions to hundreds of problems brought to council's attention by city residents, among numerous others.

This being said, in our response to the revised Recovery Plan city council and the mayor will develop a 2011 budget that makes necessary cuts without draining public services.

In addition, city council will await the Supreme Court decision regarding police and fire contracts for the years 2002 through 2007 which will have a significant impact on the city's operating budgets and structural deficits from 2011 through 2014 and also will provide a fact based holistic picture of the city's financial status. The newspaper omits this and other pertinent facts from it's Sunday editorial. Very few cities have emerged from Act 47 distressed city status and over three times that number remain distressed, some for longer than Scranton.

The mayor's comment to the Wall

Street journal is on target, and I quote,

"The state's distressed city program is like
a roach motel. You can check in, but you
can't check out."

However, at the same time last week amid increasingly weaker financial forecasts from PEL incredibly he told the Scranton Times that he thinks we are headed in the direction of petitioning the state for termination from Act 37, yet two contradictory statements in the same week went unnoticed by the editorialist.

In addition, while the Scranton

Times lays the blame for 18 years of
distressed status at the feet of the elected
officials, it never mentioned the 18 years
failed leadership of the Pennsylvania

Economy League. It neglects to report the
\$2.9 million surplus in 2002 and the \$316
million long-term debt as of 2008 because
that's the only audit that we have, the
latest audit, I should say, that Scranton
City Council has.

This historical debt was amassed by

the Doherty administration with PEL's annual silence and blessing. It hides millions spent on job creations, management salary increases, and attorney fees throughout the nine years of the Doherty administration.

At the same time, the newspaper conveniently omits council's efforts to cut government costs and it suggestions to lease parking garages and replace free parking garages spaces with paid parking.

Council has additional plans for generating new revenue which it has researched and developed through 2010 and which it will be pursue in 2011 when legal requirements can be met. The postponement of the revised Recovery Plan until 2011 is just the first step toward these accomplishments which council hopes will receive the full assistance of the Pennsylvania Economy League and state officials.

It's all too easy and predictable for the Scranton Times to mistakenly opine that council recoiled in horror at the revised Recovery Plan and will do nothing.

In truth, as was said earlier, past proposed tax increases were no the surprise since they were suggested in 2007 and the mayor, and his three council enablers, raised taxes 25.6 percent of the 75 percent proposed by PEL and that occurred in 2008.

Simply put, PEL now seeks the other 50 percent over the next four years. PEL's recommendations to increase garbage fees and parking meter rates were also anticipated because it offered such suggestions in the past.

May I suggest, that the Scranton Times editorialist does his homework.

Opinions that are not supported by facts fail to pursue any informed reader and defeat the intended purpose.

This brilliant editorialist and the astute Pennsylvania Economy League have recommended merely the very obvious and easy solutions. Raise taxes, raise fees, and continue to do so for many years.

Interestingly, however, the Scranton
Times does not pay the same taxes as other
businesses located in the down town and

. .

throughout the city. Although, it's plant it located in Scott Township, and it's business offices are in Scranton, the Scranton Times does not pay both the business privilege and mercantile taxes because it's termed a manufacturer. There are other large institutions in Scranton who enjoy the same privileges and these revenue losses need to be addressed.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we need to change the status quo and determine new revenue sources that won't further burden the little guy, both of which are the solutions that Scranton City Council has been diligently working on throughout this year.

Apparently, we are the only ones concerned by your unemployment, poverty, lack of social security increases, low wages and fixed incomes. We are standing up and fighting for you and if you will give us the necessary time and your faith and support we will do our very best to try to steer this ship without 50 percent tax increases and increases in garbage fees and parking

meters.

Next, city residents request that the DPW would provide garbage collection announcements to the Scranton Times for publication preceding holidays on which collections will not occur.

And finally, I found it interesting tonight that Councilman McGoff was so concerned with a \$3,000 annual difference in an audit between two companies when he is the very same councilman who voted for your 25.6 percent tax increase. Where was the concern then?

And all of the actions concerning the audit occurred today, late today, well, throughout the day and then late today I found out about it. I didn't even have time to notify my council colleagues of what was occurring with this audit, yet curiously after about two months of silence coincidentally Mr. McGoff asks about an audit tonight.

And so, Mr. McGoff, I have a question for you, who contacted you today and told you about the conditions of the

1 audit? 2 MR. MCGOFF: It was in my mail 3 received October 6, 2010, in the clerk's office I believe and in my mail. If you 4 would like to read it. 5 MS. EVANS: And this was received 6 7 October 6 and it's October 19, why today, 8 Mr. McGoff? Who did you talk to today? 9 MR. MCGOFF: I didn't talk to 10 anyone. This is the first I read it. MS. EVANS: Oh, that's the first you 11 12 read it? MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Are you 13 14 questioning my voracity? 15 MS. EVANS: It's highly coincidental 16 that's all. Highly coincidental. 17 MR. MCGOFF: There is nothing 18 coincidental at all. MS. EVANS: Well, indeed it is, 19 because, as I said, all of the actions 20 21 regarding that audit were at a standstill 22 for a very long time until this afternoon 23 when it just seemed --24 MR. MCGOFF: Apparently these 25 contracts were set prior to today, so it

1 didn't all happen today. MS. EVANS: 2 No, but --MR. MCGOFF: Okay, and that's all I 3 questioned. 4 MS. EVANS: -- the revelation --5 MR. MCGOFF: All I questioned was 6 7 the choice. 8 MS. EVANS: Yes. 9 MR. MCGOFF: It had nothing to do 10 about any legal issues at all. That was not 11 involved in any of the questions that I 12 asked of you, was it? MS. EVANS: Well, I just find it 13 14 very curious that no one else on this 15 council raised that issue, just you on the 16 very day within hours of the developments 17 with the audit. I think -- I think the 18 public is certainly smart enough to read between those lines. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me? 20 21 MS. EVANS: And that's it. MR. MCGOFF: No, excuse me. Are you 22 23 questioning my voracity? 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. I am. 25 MR. MCGOFF: I think that that's

1 very unprofessional. I told you the truth, I showed you where I got the information, 2 3 there is no reason to question what I had -what I have said tonight. 4 MS. EVANS: Well, the information, 5 that information you showed me, as I said, 6 7 from October 16. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Correct. 9 MS. EVANS: Today is the 19th. How 10 many days is --11 MR. MCGOFF: And so everyone else on this council had knowledge of it. Was the 12 13 rest of council involved in sending the 14 contracts to Rossi? Was your decision -- -MS. EVANS: No one on council was 15 involved in that. 16 17 MR. MCGOFF: There was no decision 18 made by Council to use Rossi --MS. EVANS: The decision was made to 19 20 choose Rossi at exactly the same time as the 21 administration chose Jones Kohanski, and 22 they had no right to do so. They are, 23 however, still pitching for Jones Kohanski. 24 Fifth Order. 25 MS. KRAKE: 5-B. ACCEPTING A TWO

HUNDRED FIFTY (\$250.00) DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION 1 FROM MCDONALD'S/MARY JOAN CORPORATION 2 PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE 3 DEPARTMENT. 4 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 5 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 6 7 introduced into it's proper committee. 8 MR. JOYCE: So moved. 9 MR. ROGAN: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 10 11 those in favor of introduction signify by 12 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 13 14 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 15 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 16 17 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 18 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-C. APPOINTMENT OF 19 DAVID G. SMITH, PE PLS, 1606 PINE STREET, 20 21 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS A MEMBER OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD 22 23 FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR 24 SMITH'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRES ON OCTOBER 11, 25 2010 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER

11, 2015. 1 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 2 3 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into it's proper committee. 4 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 5 MR. JOYCE: Second. 6 7 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 9 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 13 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 14 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AUTHORIZING THE 16 17 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 18 TO EXECUTE THE PURCHASE OPTION BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND DEERE CREDIT, INC. FOR 19 THE BUYOUT OF EQUIPMENT TO AID IN THE 20 21 DEMOLITION OF BLIGHTED PROPERTY. 22 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 23 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 24 introduced into it's proper committee. 25 MR. ROGAN: So moved.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 2 3 those in favor of introduction signify by 4 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: 6 Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 7 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 8 9 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 10 11 MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -12 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 43, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE 13 14 COMMONLY KNOWN AS 225 REAR ST. FRANCIS CABRINI AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX 15 MAP NO. 14518-070-03701 TO SHAWN SMITH, 16 17 224-226 ST. FRANCIS CABRINI AVENUE, 18 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$2,600.00. 19 20 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 21 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 22 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 23 pass reading by title. 24 MR. JOYCE: Second. 25 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11

those in favor signify by saying aye. 1 2 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 4 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 6 have it and so moved. 7 8 MS. KRAKE: 6-B. <u>READING BY TITLE -</u> 9 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 44, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 67, 2009 10 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF 11 COUNCIL NO. 123, 2007, ENTITLED, "AN 12 ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 13 14 OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO 15 IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR 16 17 COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS 18 (AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE 19 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) 20 PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) 21 PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) 22 PROGRAM", BY AMENDING THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN 23 AND THE 2008 ACTION PLAN TO INCLUDE NEW 24 FUNDING UNDER TITLE XII OF THE FEDERAL 25 AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF

1	2009 ("RECOVERY ACT") FOR THE HOMELESS
2	PREVENTION AND RAPID RE-HOUSING PROGRAM
3	(HPRP) AND THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK
4	GRANT-RECOVERY (CDBG-R) THAT RESULTED
5	FROM THE RECOVERY ACT" IN ORDER TO TRANSFER
6	FUNDS FROM THE CDBG-R ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
7	PROJECT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$37,500.00 TO THE
8	CDBG-R CRISP AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT.
9	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
10	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
11	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
12	pass reading by title.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MS. KRAKE: 6-C. <u>READING BY TITLE -</u>
23	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 45 2010 - AN ORDINANCE -</u>
24	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
25	CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO

ENTER INTO GRANT CONTRACT NUMBER C000047525 1 WITH THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF 2 3 COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ("DCED") THROUGH THE ECONOMIC ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM TO 4 ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS FOR THE CITY 5 OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT. 6 7 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 8 title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure? 9 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C 10 pass reading by title. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 12 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11 13 those in favor signify by saying aye. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 15 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 17 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 18 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. If there is no 19 further business I will entertain a motion 20 21 to adjourn? MR. LOSCOMBE: 22 Second. 23 MS. EVANS: And there is no business 24 at this time in Seventh Order, and this

meeting is adjourned.

25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER