CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE 7 (Not present.)

JOHN LOSCOMBE

ROBERT MCGOFF

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

. .

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. Mr. Joyce.
10	Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: Third order. 3-A.
15	CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING
16	JUNE 30, 2010.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
20	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON JUNE
21	23, 2010.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not if not, received and filed. Clerk's
24	notes.
25	MS. KRAKE: Clerk's notes we just

have one, one response. We had a response from Tom Tell, who works for Representative Murphy's Office. He met with city engineer, Brian Swanson, concerning constituent complaints. 354 Lawler Street regarding standing water. They'll need a slight a trench along the curb so the water would reach the storm drain as per then Director of Public Works, George Parker's recommendation, on September 28, 2004.

1451 Bulwer Street regarding sidewalk flooding. Mr. Swanson suggested a small lip of blacktop around the handicapped sidewalk be installed directed by the runoff of water.

3248 Oak Avenue concerning basement flooding. Mr. Swanson will make contact with the person to addressed the problem and correct it if they find it is the city's responsibility.

3257 Birney Avenue regarding the flooding. This has within a longstanding problem for over 40 years according to the resident and photos of many floods throughout the years are provided. This

situation will require more in-depth research on the part of the state as well as contacting PennDOT officials, Scranton Sewer Authority, and city officials to discuss a solution. Mr. Swanson and Mr. Tell will be meeting frequently to discuss the necessary steps to find a solution.

In closing, he wants to -- Tom Tell would like to thank council members and also to make us aware that city engineer, Brian Swanson and the Department of Public Works Director Jeff Brazil have been responsive to these problems and that they have a good working relationship with them.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: That's all we have.

MS. EVANS: Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. First,
announcement it says, "Celebrate the 50th
anniversary of "To Kill a Mockingbird."
There will at Jack's Draft House on 802
Prescott Avenue, Thursday evening, July 29,
readings from "To Kill a Mockingbird" will
be performed by local people. Judge Tom

Munley will be Atticus Fitch and Wendy
Wilson as Scout. Yours truly as Jim. My
sister suggested that I be Boo Radley. Boo
is never seen and never heard, so she
thought that would be a more appropriate
part, but this is Thursday night, this
Thursday, 5:30 at Jack's Draft House.

Donations will benefit the Pages and Places
book festival which will held later in the
year.

Also, Scranton Tomorrow first annual Chalk and Walk, downtown Scranton, Friday, August 6 from 4 to 8 p.m. It says "Express yourself in chalk. Family oriented event, children's activities, chalk creations by local artists, live music and more," so if you are into chalk creations and sidewalk chalk and, you know, you're welcome to attend or just to go and enjoy.

And the last thing that I wanted to mention was I received a letter from Neighbor Works America. It says, "I am writing to inform you of the accomplishments of one of your constituents, Michael J. Hanley, of the United Neighborhood Centers.

24

25

Mr. Hanley graduated from the "Neighbor Works Achieving Excellence in Community Development Program" in collaboration with Harvard University's Kennedy School of Government. Mr. Hanley is one of 49 leaders to graduate with this achieving excellence class."

So congratulations to Mr. Hanley and all of the fine work he has done through United Neighborhood Centers. That's al.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Anyone else? MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I do. On August 14, the Fraternal Order of Eagles on Meridian Avenue is having an all-day event. There are a couple of different functions. One is a poker run and that's registration from 9 to 11 a.m. and this is to benefit the Hospice and the registration fee is \$20 per rider, \$10 per passenger, and the ride will end with a Fraternal Order of Eagles dinner. Dinner is from 4 to 7 p.m., and that's \$8 per person and there will be live entertainment at 8 p.m., and the live entertainment features war time songs, vintage dancing by Roger Munchack and

Friends. That will be a basket raffle, 50/50 plus other surprises.

Blanket and stuffed animal donations are encouraged, and 1940's Armed Services or civilian dress code is preferred. And if you need any additional information you can go on line at Leggat, L-E-G-G-A-T III@aol.com, and you will be able to receive some more details on that.

There is also a fashion show showing off various outfits from the 40's and World War II, and that will be from noon to 2 p.m. so at the Fraternal Order of Eagles on Meridian Avenue they have a full day of events on August 14, that's a Saturday.

Another one is "Enjoy a short stack for a tall cause at Applebee's. You are invited to an Applebee's flapjack fundraiser breakfast to support the West Side Falcons Youth Organization. The price is \$6 per person and that will be Saturday, July 31, from 8 a.m. to 10 a.m., and that will be at the Applebee's Neighborhood Grill and Bar at the Viewmont Mall.

A benefit for Gene O'Malley, spinal

cord injury patient, will be held on August 21, also a Saturday, at 12 East Parker Street, the Parker House, and the time is from 7 to 11, and tickets will be \$10. It includes food beer and music than they will be selling baskets chances, also. Gene grew up in the Greenridge area of Scranton and graduated from Scranton Tech in 1985. He suffered a spinal cord injury in April. This benefit will help with his medical costs as he completes his rehabilitation and regains his mobility.

And finally, a benefit Saturday,
July 31, from 1 to 4 at the Clarks Summit
Fire Department Hall for a good friend of
mine, retired firefighter Jim Fanning who is
battling several different illnesses at this
time. Again, it's at the Clarks Summit Fire
Hall, 321 Bedford Street in Clarks Summit
from 1 to 4 on Saturday. It's a spaghetti
tea dinner and the event is \$10 for adults
and \$5 for children over the age three. And
that is all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. In an effort to dispel misinformation provided by ECTV to

the public, I wish to state for the record that Scranton City Council is not pulling the plug on Channel 19, the Education and Government Channel. No such statement was ever made by any member of this council. In fact, this council adamantly supports Channel 19 and the rights of citizens to view government meetings live and uncensored. Only ECTV itself has the ability to pull the plug on Channels 19 and 21. This was an irresponsible measure on the part ECTV, an action unbefitting a professional peg channel operator.

Further, there is no written contract between Comcast and ECTV and no contract between the City of Scranton and ECTV. ECTV previously received \$100,000 through the Scranton Office of Economic and Community Development and council has proposed to award \$50,000 of a \$100,000 grant to ECTV in accordance with the new Comcast contract.

Finally, ECTV will have the option to bid for continued service as the PEG channel operator under contract.

The weekly schedule for street cleaning is as follows: July 26 through July 30, New York Street to Mineral Avenue; August 2 to August 6, Wheeler Avenue to Matthew Avenue; August 9 to 13, Cherry Street to Breck Street; August 16 to 20, Wintermantle Avenue and Moltke Avenue; August 23 to August 27, Oram Street to Land Street; and August 30 to September 3, St. Ann's Street to Rundle Street.

The annual "National Night Out" celebration will conducted on Tuesday,
August 3, from 5 to 10 p.m. at the Village
Park apartments in Scranton. Included in this event will be magicians, clowns and a DJ, and the community is invited to attend.

On Friday, August 13, there will be a CPW wrestling benefit for autism at St.

Mary's Center, 320 Mifflin Avenue. Doors open at 6:30 and bell time is 7:00. The cost is \$10 for front row and \$5 general admission. Call 955-0923 for tickets.

On Saturday, August 14, the

Northeastern Pennsylvania Minor's football

team will host the first annual "Pancreatic

Cancer Awareness Night" at Memorial Stadium to raise awareness of the disease and to help patients and their families with financial and education resources. For more information contact Tara Jones at 570-498-9488 or Coach Dan Lamana at 570-604-4438. Please support this very worthy event.

A spaghetti dinner to benefit breast cancer patient, Rebecca Barrett, will be held on Saturday, August 14 from 4 to 8 p.m. at the 20th Ward Social Club, 2028 Pittston Avenue. Tickets are \$10 for adults and \$8 for children ages eight and under. Takeouts are also available.

And on behalf of Scranton City

Council, I wish to congratulate two city

residents for their noteworthy

accomplishments. First, Michael Hanley of

United Neighborhood Centers, as was

mentioned previously by Councilman McGoff,

and Mr. Robert Schmidt, who received his PhD

from SUNY Binghamton this spring.

Congratulations on your achievements.

Finally, Scranton City Council will

be recessing for the month of August.

Regularly scheduled council meetings will resume on September 7.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: And prior to our first speaker this evening, just one more announcement, if approved by council members, council will suspend it's rules this evening to move Sixth Order agenda items into Seventh Order for final adoption. If any speakers wish to address these agenda items, please do so at this time during citizens' participation. Thank you.

Our first speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians.

Well, since you are moving it for final passage, look at your 6-D, that's your easement agreement. You realize that line is going to down the middle of the parcel of land and not exactly alongside the road?

You should have a map up there that shows it to you. That sewer line is not going alongside of the road, but through property.

2

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, if that property is ever developed that easement that you gave is going to make it very difficult to sell that parcel of land.

You got to look at these things before you just say, "Go do it," because that land belongs to the city and it could be developed at some later date, but when you got an easement there and you are going to put a foundation in and you can't break their sewer line, un-huh. That doesn't make sense, but they could put it alongside the road, dig up the road, but they don't want to spend the money to replace the road, but if they dig it. You got to look at all of these little things. Okay, that's your problem and not mine, whatever you do somebody is going to live with it, I'll be dead before that's developed anyway.

I'm not even going to get into the agenda items. It was too much with that you and PEL. As you know, we received money from the University of Scranton for the South Side Complex. We still own the South Side Complex. At what point do we have to return that money and that's another thing

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you have to look at when you are talking about all of these awards that we could be stuck with. We also got that deal, and I don't think the federal government is going to let it go.

I think they found out that when they were up there looking at the zoo and they found out there was federal money in it and they walked away. When you deal with the federal government it's not as easy to deal with it like they did down there at the South Side Complex with Mellow pushing it. That was shameful. I hope he gets reputation for it. But, anyway, it was done and what's done, but we still own it. if you notice it was on our properties that we are paying taxes on, that we are paying insurance on I should say since it's a ballpark we didn't paying taxes, but we are paying insurance on that.

The same with our little -- down there at the old Icebox, the old DPW site. We are still paying on that, too, and probably will forever ane ever. 198 years, I don't know how long these people are going

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to live, but that's how long that got a lease for and they are probably going to use it right up to it.

These things that were done, I don't blame you because you didn't sit up there. I did speak against it long before you were there when it happened because I foresaw what was happening. I hadn't no qualms that the DPW site was a wreck, okay, there was no question on that, but I still think that was a better site than the one they got now. Ιf they had just knocked down the front building, the old barn and redeveloped that area in there I think that would have been a better -- it was in the center of the city and it was right by the expressway and Providence Road, all of the roads, instead of that back alley where they have it now, but that's the way the city operated and you wanted to do something and they did it.

And where are we now? You say this, that and whatever, true. PEL sat and watched while Rome burned. They also fiddled while Rome burned. They didn't even come up to say anything about it other than

the fact is raise taxes 25, 25, 25, which is probably the scenario this time, too. That was their scenario. They didn't take the fact that Scranton has what is it 31 percent of our real estate property is tax exempt. That's one thing. They didn't take into account all of the buildings in central city. A lot of them has been reassessed lower, hence, that's less money we are getting.

All of these things added to our burden, plus, whatever else that was done. They got to the point where they are talking, but we haven't defaulted anywhere. If you would say anything a city that hasn't defaulted how could it be in distress? We are paying our bills, I admit they are large, but we are paying them and we do come up somehow with a balanced budget. Well, thank you and have a good vacation.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Melissa Russo.

MS. RUSSO: Hello. Thank you for your time and consideration. I respectfully address the council as a Scranton resident

and small business owner who deeply believes in the mission of ECTV and the work of the station staff. I have collaborated with the station for the past year independently producing a kid's television program called "Yoga Journeys," that educates our community's children about exercise, nutrition, stress management, the environment, the arts and culture.

I could not have done this for our community without the support of ECTV and it's community outreach policy which loans it's production equipment to me for this purpose.

I know that I was one of the many communities voices that spoke up in support of the station after the last council meeting. The mission of ECTV and the programs that have been produced by the community and for the community is what makes public access stations great.

I travel a lot, mostly for business, and when I'm in small cities and large cities I love finding the public access stations and all that they have to offer and

that's really where this public access station is headed.

And I just wanted to speak up on behalf of the community that really supports where the station has gone and I really hope that it continues to move that direction.

Thank you so much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.
Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers' Association. In regards to the PEL meeting tonight, in the paper today they are talking about balancing the budget and property tax increases that Mr. Rogan, Mrs. Evans, Mr. Loscombe mentioned, you know, and if they use the 2010 budget, real estate tax revenue, for close at \$5,247,604 hole projected for 2011 they would have to increase the property taxes 38 percent, so I'm glad to see that you are looking for new streams of revenue to offset any increase in taxes.

And I'm also glad to hear the fact is that, you know, you sat here and Mr.

Loscombe said for all of those years nothing

happened and nothing happened and nothing happened. Mr. McGoff was here, nothing happened, and you know, now it's just like it's so political it's unbelievable how they have from 2002 to present how we got increase that debt. It's just unbelievable and they didn't step in and put any sanctions and let them raise the salaries without any sanctions.

Well, anyway, I hope that we can find these revenue and not increase these taxes. Thank you very much for going to bat for us.

The other thing is this here, the CDBG applications are due this week, you know, and I would hope that you look at the people -- into these under nonprofits and public services to see if they would have to present financial statements, okay? They have to have a budget because I am a little leery, not all of them, but some of them might be using CDBG money for other programs while the money that they have in the bank they use them for pay increases and hiring people, and I don't like that. They should

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

_ .

22

23

24

25

be using their own money for any type of work like that, okay, and I think they are just using us as a way of smoke and mirrors.

Another thing is this here under the CDBG program I'm very much concerned with the fact a lot of people are contacting me in regards to emergency problems such as a roof leaking, they are worried about their furnace coming up in the winter, and there is no emergency program. Now, when I was with Habitat for Humanity we ran an emergency program and we spent several thousands of dollars for people especially in this area here in Scranton in the poverty range you mentioned, Mrs. Evans, earlier, and the number of elderly, you know? should be some money available when somebody's roof is failing and they don't have enough money or you need a new furnace they could come to the city and get help, okay? Whether it's a deferred loan or a lien put on their property or a grant, okay, or something. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Michael F. Reilly.

MR. REILLY: Good evening, Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. REILLY: Mr. Loscombe. Mrs.

Evans, Mr. Rogan, Mr. McGoff, Mr. Hughes, and Mrs. Krake. Congratulations to Mrs. Evans on a wonderful letter to the editor.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. REILLY: Your letter was published and that in itself was an achievement. I attempted to send a letter to the editor, but it was rejected because I was told I had no proof. What I was trying to do was to state what happened at the meeting in June with you and Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Hughes and Father Pilarz and his attorney. I supported your point of view, but I was told the letter was rejected because I had no proof.

Mr. Kelly, whom I suspect to be a MENSA reject, made those accusations yet he admittedly was not at the meeting. His only source of information was Father Pilarz and Mr. Lavelle. When it comes to credibility and voracity, my vote goes to Mrs. Evans,

Mr. Hughes, and Mr. Loscombe. I believe that Father Pilarz misrepresented the facts.

Chris Kelly's retort to Mrs. Evans'
letter reminds me of the child who was
caught with his fingers in the cookie jar.
Lashing out in a lame defense to seek
absolution. Mr. Kelly talks about quote, "A
parade of angry belligerence step into the
public microphone week after week to spit
out sentence fragments."

All right, Mr. Kelly, try these on for size. You and Father Pilarz should be castigated, excoriated and pillared in the 900 block of Mulberry Street and left in the stocks for at least a week so that you could both be properly decorated by the birds and the pigeons. Mr. Kelly's articles are not without merit. They should be saved for emergency situations like when you run out of toilet tissue.

I am asking my fellow alumni to join with me and in refusing to make any contributions to the University until such time as they increase their paltry, insulting, less than 1 percent of their

operating budget, which is \$163 million and their endowment, which is 95 million.

They also receive fire protection and police protection when and if needed.

This is a slap in the face to the taxpayers in the city. For the past ten years the University has changed the complexion of the Hill Section, buying up properties and businesses that were formerly on the tax rolls, but now it's become part of the tax free University of Scranton.

Father Pilarz is the behemoth behind this movement. He is bad for my alma mater and bad for the taxpayers of the City of Scranton. He should resign or be transferred. The bottom line is that Father Pilarz must go.

I wish all of you a happy August and we will see you back here in September.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Reilly.
Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer. Last week

Councilman Rogan made a comment about department heads not responding to requests that were sent to them and I'm not sure exactly what he said, but he said maybe when it becomes budget time maybe heads should roll and I couldn't agree more. As Councilman Rogan said, these people don't work for city council, they work for the taxpayers and if they are not going to work for the taxpayers then maybe they shouldn't have a job.

Friday I read in the Doherty
newsletter about the Appeals Court ruling
against the Scranton-Wilkes-Barre Yankees on
records case. And the Times-Tribune is
concerned how bids were awarded at the
Lackawanna County Multi-Purpose Stadium.
You know, who cares about the Yankees' bids,
what doesn't the Times-Tribune worry about
the bids that Chris Doherty is giving out.
For instance, the steel contract for the
Casey Garage that his brother-in-law got.
I'd like to know how that came about. There
is many no bid contracts that Chris Doherty
gave out, why doesn't the Doherty newsletter

look into that instead of the Scranton Wilkes-Barre Yankees.

And while we are talking about the Doherty newsletter, I, too, heard about the Chris Kelly article from a coworker at work, I don't read his articles because they are just garbage, and I heard about him calling Mrs. Evans names and the speakers that come here names and that's -- to me that's not journalism, calling people names. How long did you have to go to school to learn that? I think he owes everybody an apology. For me, I will never read an article of his because it's just junk.

And, Mr. Loscombe, I read your letter to the editor the other day and it was outstanding. It was factual and to the point and I don't know how one could argue against you, so congratulations to you on that.

Today's paper, an article about

ECTV. I, for one, think that they shouldn't

be here anymore. I think the programming of

Scranton Today was far more superior, I have

commented about this for years now. I said

unless you are fan of Betty Boop cartoons or 1 replays of people in Chicago celebrating the 2 3 White Socks winning the 2006 World Series, ECTV's programming is a terrible and I think 4 we should look elsewhere. 5 Mrs. Evans, you will be happy to 6 know the graffiti I spoke about under a 7 8 railroad bridge on Main Avenue is covered 9 up. 10 MS. EVANS: Yes. Very good. 11 MR. SPINDLER: But that is, but the 12 graffiti along the wall going down to the 13 Expressway that wasn't. Now, someone told 14 me that's PennDOT'S responsibility, I don't know if that's true or in the. 15 16 MS. EVANS: We will look into it. 17 MR. SPINDLER: Maybe a letter can be 18 sent to PennDOT. 19 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, may we do 20 that, please? 21 Yes. MS. KRAKE: In fact, if we 22 could have the exact location? 23 MR. SPINDLER: It's the entranceway 24 to the Scranton Expressway off Main Avenue. 25 MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: Next thing, today I heard about Chief Elliott is going to be leaving in September, well, for one I think this is a long time coming. He has done a terrible job and he has lawsuits galore against him, I feel bad for the city. And another thing, you know, I heard about this yesterday I was on a website if people don't know called DohertyDeceit.com and that was on there yesterday. I knew about this before the Doherty newsletter knew about it, so maybe people should go to DohertyDeceit.com and not read the newspaper.

Moving on, oh, I think it's the 300 block of Franklin Avenue where the Holiday Manor used to be there is I guess something being built there and ground was broken and I went by today and there is big pieces of machinery there, I didn't see anything being done, but there was another big hole in the ground, so I hope something is going to be built there, that's just what we need is another big hole in the ground. If we get anymore holes in the ground that Electric

City sign is pretty soon be the holey city 1 sign, and I don't mean religious holy. 2 3 Lastly, since this is the last meeting for the summer, I again want to 4 announce that the Tripp Park Neighborhood 5 Association is having a picnic August 13 and 6 14 at the Community Center parking lot on 7 8 Dorothy Street. May I finish, Mrs. Evans? MS. EVANS: Yes. 9 10 MR. SPINDLER: It's fun, food, 11 games, EJ the DJ will be there, and again, it's August 13 and 14 at the Doherty Street 12 13 Community Center parking lot. Thank you. 14 MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, what time 15 does it begin? 16 MR. SPINDLER: It's six to ten. 17 MS. EVANS: Six to ten. Thank you. 18 MR. SPINDLER: Thank you for asking, 19 I meant to say that. 20 MS. EVANS: Ray Nearwood. 21 MR. NEARWOOD: Mrs. Evans, members 22 of the council. My son came last week for a 23 Boy Scout project looking to get -- by the 24 way, it's Ray Nearwood, I'm from Cherry 25 Street in Scranton. He came last week

looking to get a merit badge in the local government, so they were here last week and I found out that you were taking up an ordinance which I had come to speak to you about in March with regard to fees for police reports where you are a crime victim, and I want to thank you for taking that up. I do hope that it will be passed this evening.

I was also surprised because I talked a little earlier to Mr. Rogan because I'm looking for someone to sit down and talk to my son as part of this project and I decided to talk to Mr. Rogan to discuss some information for a couple of the Boy Scouts. Thank you, Mr. Rogan, for agreeing to do that.

MR. ROGAN: You are welcome.

MR. NEARWOOD: I found out that the PEL was going to be here to my surprise. In 1992 I was in this room, I was a member of PEL and I spoke to council at that time. You know, we had a lot of hopes back then. Actually, I was thinking it was more like '93 that it was done, but time goes by and

memory isn't as good.

We had actually come in, there was a couple of us, three people signed in to come in here before the city went distressed to try to stop it, but the problem existed then that I see has existed for these 18 years, it's a lack of political will on the part of elected officials to deal with the problems in the city.

Mr. Loscombe, I'll tell you, we had 200 firefighters at the time, I was one of the one that said that's too many, but quite frankly, you said 137 tonight I'm not sure if that's enough, okay? We shouldn't be doing it on the backs of city workers either. They need to give, but there has to be some other things.

I want to ask you one simple question, if you were a business person from elsewhere and you wanted to start a business why would you start it in the City of Scranton? Answer that question. You will answer some of our economic problems.

I worked for a month, I went and did some education, I had to do some training in

Philadelphia. It cost me \$8.50 a day to park my car in a parking lot in center city Philadelphia. Now, I think it's \$9.50, sorry, I think that's the same rate as to park in Scranton, the same as center city Philadelphia a lot of the parking lots if you get there early.

You have parking fees that are outrageous, you've got -- certainly the Parking Authority does a heck of a job on making sure they get to the parking meters, but if I'm going to put in a business, why am I going to put it in center city? Why am I going to have my employees have to pay for the parking fees that are out there. Why am I going to deal with -- and when is somebody going to do a study and look at the City of Scranton and say, "How do we live up and do we compete with the neighbors," whether it be the Abingtons or Moscow or these other places.

You have business privilege tax, mercantile tax, you've got real estate taxes, and you have a much higher earned income tax and, by the way, the medical

college and some of the others that are moving in everybody is saying, "Hey, look at all of that money that's coming into the city."

No, it isn't. Do you think those doctors are going to live in the city that are teaching there? Do you think the professors at the colleges are living in the city? Are they paying their income taxes? Who is living in the city? It's not those fellows.

I'll tell you I have looked in the past in my career at what happens to a center city. You are lucky if you are only 31 percent, some other cities are close to 50 percent tax exempt. You are right, Mrs. Evans, tax exempt should be paying their part, they are not providing their fair share and the expansion of them that the gentleman talked about earlier, that needs to be looked at very seriously.

I made proposals at one time when I was living in Altoona and working in Altoona when they are looking at expanding the nonprofit tax status, it's got to be looked

at. Nonprofits need to pay and I will say,
I don't often --

MS. EVANS: Please finish.

MR. NEARWOOD: I don't often read the newspaper, but I did catch, for instance, the City of Lancaster which I believe is smaller and the City of Scranton gets significant more from it's nonprofits in contributions. You do need those contributions and these nonprofits need to realize that they have a responsibility.

Yes, they are providing some services, but they are not providing the services to the citizens of this city who are providing them with services. They are providing them to the suburbs, they are providing them to New York City, they are providing them all over the country, but they are not providing them here. It's time for them to step up as well.

I am sorry, I did want to get into all of that tonight, but I was sitting here listening to it and there are a lot of things that this city needs to be considering, but one is the political will.

Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. I didn't seem like that many people come up here. Well, I'd like to remind the two aspiring wannabe no talent journalists in the paper that council, all five of them, were elected to this position and it was the will of the people on election day. To me an attack on council is attack on the people of the city by the newspaper.

And quickly, I just have so much trouble controlling my potty mouth when I get mad like this, you know. The University today took two pieces of property, \$700,000. The taxes would have paid a salary here. Saturday, there was one piece of property on one page for 300 and some thousand, across of it was the article from the governor saying the schools are going to have to tighten their belt, Mr. King saying the school, you know, are just going to -- they are just out of money, they can't spend like they have in the past, and here they take a piece of property. In one week we have lost

- -

almost a million and a half dollars worth of property which was, you know, the taxes on four pieces of property to those two institutions would have paid two of your salaries.

How can you make a budget when this keeps going on every day? You know, I don't see how Mr. Doherty just has a chance at making a budget when the school keeps taking stuff every single day. You open the paper they have done something like this. They are just over greedy, you know. It's just no end to it. I don't know how you can do business with people like that. When I was in the car business I don't think I had contact with people as unscrupulous and just no integrity like this University group.

I have had several people ask me about the house at 1500 Court Street, I mean, business people that are knowledgeable, nobody could figure out why that house -- a lot of people didn't believe me and have checked on it. It was made KOZ and they want to know why and they would like to know how many more houses in the

neighborhood that happened to or in the city.

And I got a question for someone from the University, the house on that Hitchcock Court is a delipidated piece of property that's never been worth no money at all. The taxes are \$2,000 a year. I'd like to know why ten times what it was worth was paid for it by the University, you know, it's just -- that's not kosher what went on here.

And I got one other thing, somebody is not going to like. When I was trying to find out something about the taxes on this house I came across a man's name named Anthony Tarimo. I found eight pieces of property that he owes five, six years on, every one of them. Now, why hasn't he been turned over to the NC -- you know, the collection, what is it NCC? You got three watchdogs that are supposed to catch things like this, you know, one man is just a dead beat like that and nothing happens to him. I paid my taxes twice in 2005 and I still haven't gotten anywhere trying to get my

money back. I mean, they turned me over to the NCC in a matter of days when my taxes weren't paid.

I hope everybody has a nice vacation. I bet you'll miss me, huh?

MS. EVANS: Oh, yes.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Last week for the second time since council's ill-advised vote rejecting the Multi-Municipal Plan I made a reasoned appeal for council to hold a caucus with our city SAPA member and other executives of the association. I expect the transparency promised by the no longer new council majority would prevail. Instead, I received a retort from council's president with Einstein's definition of insanity, that is, doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results.

Beginning on June 14, 2007, the week

after council passed File of Council 82 of that year which approved a penalty, interest and fees schedule for collection of delinquent real estate taxes Mrs. Krake began speaking out against this legislation. As a matter of fact, Mrs. Krake spoke out about this legislation for six consecutive weeks and in the three years since this legislation passed has spoken out no less than 29 times. Was Mrs. Krake insane for this repetition of her assessment of File of Council 82 of 2007? Of course not. Many, if not most, of us agree with Mrs. Krake's assessment and applauded her persistent.

As a matter of fact, Mrs. Evans not only expressed a similar assessment of File of Council 82 of 2007 at least 18 times these past three years, she moved to amend the legislation twice, once in 2008 and again in 2009. On February 10 last year, Mrs. Krake remarked, "These are all things that I had brought up before the last two times when Mrs. Evans or this resolution came before council. I tried to explain them to everyone. I know they are true, but

I still got -- I just seem to hit a brick wall with three members of council."

I know how she felt. Change the three members of the council to four and that's how I feel now. All of the foregoing drive several questions, what is in the SAPA plan that council majority doesn't want the citizens to hear? Why is the council adamantly opposed to hearing from it's representative where the questions raised could be answered? Why -- what happened to the transparency we were promised? Is council's tolerance for persistence issue dependent?

Why hasn't council amended File of Council 82 of 2007 in the seven months they have been in office? What has changed since the two defeated amendments of 2008 and 2009?

The difference between File of
Council 82 of 2007 and the multi-municipal
comprehensive plan is File No. 82 of 2007 is
an ordinance that can be addressed and
amended at any time. SAPA is a one and done
issue, either we go forward with this

implementation or we go it on our own. SAPA will become APA and there will be no turning back. We will now be in competition instead of cooperation.

A little off topic, but also, why hasn't the lower Greenridge Daron Northeast property been rezoned as recommended by the CDC during these last seven months?

Now, to my disappointment with Mr. Loscombe who appears to value cleverness over accuracy. You see, Mr. Loscombe, Scranton is the "S" in SAPA and with Scranton out the association is forced to default to APA. If Scranton's approval of the plan was to be dependent on an implementation cost, the planning ordinance should have included in requirement, but it didn't. The three times SAPA came before council since 2005, it was approved as presented unanimously.

Mr. Loscombe laments not knowing the cost of SAPA. My response to that is a please do the right thing and bring our city's represent and the association's executive committee to an open televised

caucus where you may ask questions and we will all be able to hear the answer.

I have two maps that show the existing land use and the SAPA draft use plan and there doesn't seem to be a lot whole lot of difference in the City of Scranton to me, so I will give them to council secretary and ask she provide them to you, Mr. Loscombe.

And since we have to do agenda items on 6-E I would just like to state that 15 years is too long for a contract for a technology driven service. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mike Lapolla.

MR. LAPOLLA: Good evening. Mike Lapolla, I'm a resident of North Scranton. I'm here to lobby for SAPA as well. I have been doing some research, I went to the meeting up in the Abingtons for SAPA just to learn a little bit more about it because I want to be educated on the issues, and I had the privilege of talking to Pat Rogan. Mr. Jamison and also Mrs. Sprowl -- not

Mrs. Sprowl, I'm sorry, Mrs. Prowl.

I see where city council has their hesitancy on the plan through the implementation of updating the zoning ordinances, but since 1993 they have not been updated and it needs to be done. If you join SAPA we will be able to tap into OECD and CDBG to get that done. It's not going to come out of the city coffers to pay for it and what better way to get our zoning updated through that plan that Ms.

Schumacher has handed to you tonight.

Like I said, the initial cost is going to -- was paid for by the taxpayers already, so if we take this plan and not do it, we have taken the taxpayer money of Scranton and thrown it down the drain. I believe, and I'm hoping and lobbying to you guys here tonight to see if you could please sit down with the a group again and like Marie said and bat out the differences, ask the questions and see if we can come to a compromise.

If we don't join SAPA we are going to be in competition with not only -- right

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now we are in competition with Dickson City like Mr. Rogan said, Taylor, Moosic, but now if we don't get in the plan we are going to be in competition not only with those cities, municipalities, but also now with SAPA. We just added another hurdle to the recovery of Scranton.

If we do not -- if the City of Scranton does not take part in SAPA then I would request that city council please take a look at reducing the mercantile, the business tax and the wage tax to make it more acceptable for businesses to come in What generates more revenue for this area. the City of Scranton? Businesses. Thev hire more people, more revenue to the city, so if we are not going to join SAPA then please address the fact that we need to cut back on these taxes to make it more acceptable for businesses to come in the That's all I have and I appreciate city. you returning my call and everything else.

MR. ROGAN: No problem, and although we may disagree on SAPA, the last part reducing the taxes that right on point.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It's been our mantra for weeks now. I mean, if we had that now we would be in competition, we would be able to join SAPA. There is no guarantee, as I've stated before, that any business that is sent down from Abington to go into our industrial park is going to look at us right now until that's done, until we reduce our mercantile and business taxes. They are going to left, they are going to go right. There is no guarantee. So we have to get that step out of the way. That's our blockage right now.

MR. LAPOLLA: So it's the chicken versus the egg. Which came first.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct.

MR. LAPOLLA: I believe, in my belief, it can both be done, but that makes it more urgent for the tax cuts to come down so we can bring businesses into the city.

And also I just want to touch on another point, on the Boy Scout level I would appreciate you helping out the Boy Scouts and coming and getting that approved and I think that's fascinating how our

Scouts are taking part in the civic -- in the civic duties of the city. I think that's fantastic, but thank very much for your time.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, too. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Pat, do we have a county planning commission?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{DOBRZYN}:\ \operatorname{So}\ \operatorname{where}\ \operatorname{is}\ \operatorname{the}\ \operatorname{"R"}\ \operatorname{as}$ in redundancy.

MR. ROGAN: I agree.

MR. DOBRZYN: Everybody wants to be part of some important committee, you know, and personally I think we have too many committees looking to tell us all what to do and if you listen to them and it goes wrong they say, "Why did you let me make you do that?" So that's my opinion on SAPA. I'll have a little more to say about the news articles that have been coming out.

Now, I had an idea yesterday on this
PEG channel. I know we talked that it may
be going to Seventh Order, but I think the
University should be willing to buy the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

second PEG channel, and then if we get -- we start getting crowded on our ECTV Channel 19 then we won't have to wait until our information is dated in our meetings and so forth.

For instance, last year I asked Mr. Murphy to come when he was running for election, well, by the time I would have gotten him on the air the election would have been over. So, you know, you just can't do it, but I'm considering or right now we are -- we have got a lot of space on the single channel, but if the University would kindly buy that second channel between Scranton "U" and Marywood then somewhere down the line if we had a space problem they would have their own channel because they would have their own channel and they wouldn't have to -- and also might I point out that ECTV is probably spending money to present their presentations, also, and they are not necessarily a Comcast customer. a Comcast customer. So, you know, if I want ECTV to do something I have a small right not, you know, I don't single-handedly

support ECTV, but I have a small right to say, well, gee can't you give me a break.

Now, moving on, the Chamber of
Commerce. Once again, I don't see any
purpose in the Greater Scranton Chamber of
Commerce because I feel that they are merely
using us as a bank to track businesses up
valley and so forth, so as far as Austin
Burke is concerned, "Honey, we got to talk,
I want a divorce."

On this PEL meeting, I might -- my fire insurance went up about \$100 a year since I purchased my house in '02. Now, maybe that's just inflation, but I understand from talking with a few firemen that that is also a consideration that the robustness of the fire departments in insuring these houses, so I could be paying \$100 a year more property tax if my insurance was not raised \$100,000 a year, so it's like a rob Peter to pay Paul situation, possibly.

And on the University, there is so much that has been said, but I really wish that the University and the Times, the Times

would stop and start to recognize that you people were like aforementioned voted into office by us, we worked to get you in there, some of us harder than others, but so what, and any -- just constant, constant harassment of city hall -- the council by the newspapers is just really -- they are showing disrespect for us. We elected you to do exactly what you are doing right now. And so, in other words, the golden parrot award goes to the Scranton Times-Tribune for the week.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: For their constant balk, balk, balk.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Dave, just so everybody understands I just want to address the one thing you mentioned about the insurance. Scranton is a protection class four. It hasn't been changed, however, ISO could come in at any time and change it. There is a whole bunch of things they have to look at, but what you probably have is replacement cost policy, the value of the

coverage goes up every year, too, so therefore the increase in premium.

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah.

MR. LOSCOMBE: But as of this point, I mean, that is correct, they could come in and reclassify the city based upon fire apparatus, fire supply, stuff like that, manpower, it's a whole formula. At this point we are still at the same status that we were just so that you know.

MR. DOBRYZN: Yeah, most of the small houses in Scranton are \$125,000 policies. So, you know, maybe it's just an inflationary thing and they would like a few extra bucks in their pocket to --

MR. LOSCOMBE: You can always shop around and check other companies, too.

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah. Yeah. Well, you know, it's like I said, but eventually this could come back to bite us, maybe it'll be \$800 or \$900 box in a couple of years because our fire company can't meet it's demands. Thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Charlie Newcomb.

Good evening, Council.

A few topics I'd like to talk on. First of all, I'd just like if he is out there listening someplace a couple of questions I have for Chris Kelly. Number one is do you live in Scranton? Number two, how come in your article there wasn't any salaries listed in there of Father Pilarz, how much he makes, or all of these other tax free organizes like Allied Services, all of the CEO's up there who make six figures and there is some people at the University of Scranton that make that kind of money, so I just want to know why weren't their salaries in the paper along with yours, Mrs. Evans.

MR. NEWCOMB:

And as far as it goes I'd like to make a comment about the nonprofits and also what Mr. Kelly failed was we also have another gentleman up on council, but that's because Mr. Kelly agrees with Mr. McGoff, you know, ideas with this current administration. He also failed to tell the public that Mr. McGoff is also a retired school teacher getting taxpayers' dollars and also getting \$12,500 on city council and

that's a fact and he should have just been fair on both sides, but a lot of times people aren't fair.

As far as it goes with these nonprofits, as you know, I mean, I'm a very -- a very conservative person and I vote for who I think could do the best job. I mean, as far as I'm concerned, Mrs. Evans, I have always supported you, Mr. Rogan, Mr. Loscombe, I will be supporting you if you run again, I vote for the person who I think can do the job, but if you look in the dictionary and see the word liberal democrat that would be Mr. Doherty's administration. Tax, spend and borrow.

You four people up there on city council making all of these decisions, believe me when I tell you, the conservative community out there says that this is -- you are using common sense, you know, even though you are Democrats and Democrats and Republicans have their way of doing things, you know, you look out for the people.

And as far as it goes with the University of Scranton, I feel that the

University of Scranton, Allied Services, hospitals, synagogues, the only people that shouldn't pay the city any money is the soup kitchen and the food kitchens because they do a good job.

I ran into somebody over Tripps

Park, they were free rides coming over for these people, a guy said to me the other day, "I'm going to have to get rid of my house in Tripps Park."

I said, "Why?"

He said, "Because my mortgage is going up \$400 a month," because his taxes he estimated are going to be like \$4,800, and I said, "Well, you skated for ten years."

That's the bottom line, ten years and out, so you know what I said, "Well, somebody is going to pay it, whoever gets the house. "

So it's just about an idea that these people take what they can get, they're freeloaders and they run away and leave it up to everybody else, and I'm just going to give you a little bit of my experience what Chris Kelly put in that article, which I

think his article was horrendous, but when he put in that article about how much you make, Mrs. Evans, and he had about your salaries as a school teacher and your city council salary, and then in parentheses, you know, have and have nots, I wish I could make that kind of money, there is no other term for that but common, common, common jealously. If people aren't educated they're not going to make the money and if they don't have a trade.

And I'll give you an example, as you know, back in 90's I had a guy, this guy used to -- I was fortunate enough to have a full-time job which I worked for 31 years, and I also, you know, was a musician in this area and I got the privilege of touring with -- every band I have ever worked with was one of the top bands in this area, whether I did sound engineer work I played the drums, but anyway, I toured with that Shawn Crush, we all know he is, he is the best in the world now, so I had five years experience working with him and I was fortunate enough, I bought a new Corvette, I bought this, I

bought that, and there was a guy that used to say to me, "Oh, you got this, you got that," and I used to say to this guy, "Instead of bringing me down, bring yourself up. I do what I do because I learned how to do it, and it's not my fault," because he said to me, "You made more money in one year than I have made in three."

That's not my fault, just like it's not your fault because you chose to get educated and not be some newspaper reporter or whatever the choice may be, you choose to do what you do, just like everybody else chooses to do what they do, and if somebody gets a job people say, "People shouldn't be able to retire when they are 50," like, yeah, they should if they worked the job for 30 years and that's part of the way it works.

So by reading that article it was pure, pure, pure this guy is evil, jealous, and it was an unfair article. I believe you are 100 percent no matter what your political affiliation is you look out for the people in the City of Scranton, the four

23

24

25

1

of you do with your votes, and we are in the situation we are in with our debt because of past councils just giving the mayor everything he wanted and, fortunately, but I will tell you what in the 2011 budget it will be time hopefully that the taxpayers in the City of Scranton will finally get what's coming to them and get a break, and you could show this administration that even though you are -- you are liberal Democrats, not you but them, and you like to spend, spend, spend, well, even though we are Democrats, spend, spend, we are going to cut the budget and give the people a break. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Newcomb.

Is there anyone else who cares to address
council?

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm Tom Ungvarsky.

I see where the Scranton Times is once again attacking the city council. I, too, saw the article in Sunday's paper. I think it's

MS. EVAN

time the Scranton Times realized that there was an election and their team lost.

Two weeks ago city council explained what happened with the University of Scranton. It was in the next day's newspaper, however, it was one column and it was on page six. The following day the University of Scranton put their opinion in on how things happened that one made the front page. I think it shows just how fair the Scranton Times is.

As far as the PEG channel goes, it seems we have short memories. It seems like about seven years ago Mr. Cordaro was appointed to negotiate a contract with the New York Yankees to bring their farm team here to Scranton. We seen the problems that having one person negotiate a contract can bring. I hope city council will hold to their guns and not let just one person negotiate for the PEG channel.

And I wish to thank you and I hope you have a pleasant vacation. It doesn't look that way. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton, expressing my First Amendment Rights. I was listening to PEL and I can't even comprehend excuses. They are very quick to say raise property taxes and raise your taxes. They said, you know, have you a right to do it because you are a governing body. I mean, they were really pushing that, you must have noticed. Why didn't they say, "Stop the mayor from hiring everybody and giving them exorbitant raises, creating jobs for his friends," why didn't they once mention try to cut the mayor's staff?

I think you should get rid of PEL.

Eighteen years of trying to get rid of a

distressed city, why would they ever try to

do that? They wouldn't have a job then.

They are getting paid. Why would they ever

get rid of distressed status, they wouldn't

have jobs. I think you should work very

hard to get rid of them and you should never

have another Recovery Plan.

See, you got hoodwinked when they
voted for that other one saying if you don't
vote for it you are going to get a tax

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

increase. Well, we voted for it, didn't we, and we got a tax increase anyway, so no more Recovery Plans, please, if you have any say in it, and please try to get rid of PEL, it was a horrible display on their part.

I want to read something for the people if they want to call their state representatives, Senate Bill 1175 and House Bill 2091, Harrisburg, January 4, 2010. State Senator Wayne Fontana and Representative Timothy Sowerbay have introduced legislation that would allow for the imposition of an essential services fee on tax exempt organizations that own property within a municipality. It's in the Finance Committee now waiting for approval. Well, I called Kenny Smith today, his office, and the man there John McGurrel, said he would talk to Kenny and he called me back and he said, "Ken Smith said he doesn't know where he stands on that because he doesn't know how they figure out who should pay what, what nonprofits should pay, he doesn't know where he stands."

Well, I said he must be standing for

the nonprofits then because if he was for the people he would immediately know where he stands because that's a wonderful bill that would be able to put a fee on these nonprofits. I don't like when any state representative says he doesn't know where he stands. This is like, you have to be brain dead not to know where you stand, so I guess Kenny Smith is with the nonprofits. I gave Kevin Murphy a call, but he hasn't gotten back to me yet.

ECTV, the City of Scranton does not have a contract with them nor does Comcast yet we under no obligation whatsoever to give them \$100,000 so please do not. It was very generous of you to offer \$50,000, but I think not one penny should go to them.

There is no contract, so I should submit a bill to the city for work I'm getting done and maybe the City should pay my bills.

They have -- you mentioned,

Mrs. Evans, that they had a -- part of their bill was for \$300,000 for -- not \$300,000,

300 hours rather for carpentry work or something. It didn't say who did it, where

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Their office every time you go there on Wyoming Avenue it's locked solid to the drum, I don't think anybody has ever been there, so where is this 300 hours worth of carpentry work then, for what? If I get 300 hours of carpentry work done at my house I'd have whole new rooms built. I have had work done. It didn't take me 300 hours, I can tell you that. I'd love to have a tour. I think the City of Scranton should have a tour, 300 hours of carpentry work. I think we should really check into this. taxpayers are paying for that.

it was done.

Also, how could ECTV say the \$90,000 they got for a loan that's a grant now because it's forgiven, where is the bill for the equipment that they got? What does it state? What kind of equipment and how much of each, where is it in, and now ECTV is saying they own it, they don't have to give it back? I don't think so. That was government money, taxpayers' money. I'd like to see a law that says they could keep what taxpayers pay for if another PEG channel gets this. That equipment should be

taken over immediately by whoever is going to run this PEG channel.

All of a sudden last week when you mentioned this about the ECTV contract all of a sudden ECTV is having programs on every day, all day, every hour. Before that all you saw was a scroll of what was it then, the weather, what was going to happen, I mean, constant scrolling, you couldn't find anything on that station. Now all of a sudden they are getting nervous.

Now, I want to address something about the nonprofits. Mr. McGoff mentioned that he spoke to the mayor about getting -- the mayor was going to go after the nonprofits. Well, I haven't seen it and I haven't seen the newspaper saying all about it. How come the newspaper isn't asking the mayor to do his job and go after these nonprofits. May I finish this one paragraph, please?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. FRANUS: What boards of nonprofits does the mayor's wife maintain membership in? What nonprofit boards are

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comprised of the mayor's friends and associates? Perhaps part of the answer to declining payments lies in the mayor's ties to these nonprofits. Maybe that would explain the mayor's hands-off approach to nonprofits. And what ties do the owners of the newspaper have to some of the large nonprofits. Thank you for much and I hope that you look into everything and get Right-to-Know on ECTV and please do something with the nonprofits. And I hope everybody calls their state representatives on this bill, Senate Bill 1175 and House Bill 2191. Call your state representatives and senators. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HALLERAN: I'll be quick, I know you have been listening to people for awhile so I'll be quick. My name is Judd Halleran, I don't know if you had a signup list, I'm a property owner in the City of Scranton and prior to being a property owner I was a resident in the City of Scranton, and I'm here kind of to wear two hats, one as a resident, and just that; and two as I'm the

chair of the local group of the State
Chapter of the Sierra Club, and in that
capacity I probably speak for roughly 700
members within maybe the confines of the
city, and I'm here to strongly, and I'll say
strongly twice, encourage you to reconsider
the adoption of the SAPA plan, the
Scranton-Abington Planning Association
Regional Plan, and that's the nuts and bolts
of it, a few points that I would say that
maybe make you or to make you think about
potentially further encourage you to
reconsider that.

It's just, you know, sometimes when I travel I look at places that, you know, if you kind of have a sense of history you look at places that people get out an travel to, you know. I'll use Portland, Oregon, as an example. If you were in Portland, Oregon, 20 years ago it was a relatively distressed place, and it did a relatively good job of reinventing itself.

The point of that is there is a reason certain places are migration points and certain places are immigration points,

and I think we have the capacity to become one of those migration points, but doing that, you know, we can't continue to operate kind of as I think 20, 40, 30 fragmented municipalities that we kind of find compete against each other. I think we are wiser looking at ourselves as a collective single unit and we kind of use the infrastructure we have for the benefit of all, so that's my first point.

The second, and my more tangible, is some of the benefits of this, you know, regional kind of planning cooperation is you get things like, you know, you can migrate to kind of more public transportation. You can kind of migrate to kind of mixed use center where you have a combination of employment and/or residential and/or recreation, so that's the second point.

And I think sometimes when I look around and I look at like how Dickson City does super well, I look at how Moosic Borough does super well. They do super well, but it's really still this city that kind of is, and I agree, their heart or

their lifeblood to some degree, but they kind of exist on the side and kind of benefit, but not kind of give back. So, again, kind of an argument to the benefit of I think taking this different approach because it's only getting harder and harder to compete for jobs or employers or federal funding, and it's clear that if we can work as a unit not only are you more likely to get, you know, grant oriented funding, whether it's federal or state, but you are also to just I think going to create a better big picture kind of area for people to live and work in.

So I strongly -- I know that it was voted on a couple of weeks ago, as I understand it only three of the folks were here when it was voted on, I don't know the protocol, but if it could be reconsidered or if some people suggested we could, you know, we have come pretty far down the road to get that far down the road and say, "Hum, I'm not sure it's a good idea," I think we should be sure that it doesn't fit, and so I strongly encourage you, if you could, to

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reconsider adopting that plan. Thanks for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi, city resident and taxpayer in the City of Scranton. I have two points that I'd like to make, and two hot subjects that are going on and I just want to share my opinion with you.

First is the SAPA plan. I read that plan, the comprehensive plan in it's entirety, and it is very well-written, a very well researched comprehensive plan. Μv feelings on the whole thing is I think you shouldn't just shut the door on it, I think we should have future talks on it, but I think, Mr. Loscombe, I think you articulate it pretty well last week that until you can do regionalization, I have a little bit of experience, a little bit of knowledge of regionalization in other areas of the state that I have been talking to with my colleagues, and I think until you do some equalization of the municipalities involved

it's going to be real hard.

What I mean by that is reading the plan, and I couldn't help but notice, it seems like its' a protectionist plan for certain areas, certain municipalities, and I understand their concern, you know, they have to build schools, they have spend more money on traffic controls, they have to spend more money on public safety because people are basically leaving this urban area and going out into the suburbs.

But again, I don't think it's the right approach to -- and this is my take on it, to prohibit certain commercial/ industrial entities to prohibit them from going in certain communities and steer them toward, which would normally be Scranton and Dunmore, because they are not going to come anyway. Until businesses can move in the City of Scranton and not lose money on the mercantile and business privilege tax, which to me is the most unfair tax that there ever was, you pay on your gross receipts even if you didn't make much profit on the product you sold or the service you provided. I

think, and it's not a lot of money in the budget, I think until Scranton finally once and for all, now I think we finally have an opportunity to do it, again, with competent leadership in the tax office and bring in more revenue and a council majority that it willing to tackle the things that people have been yelling about for 50 years.

You mode to cut expenses, you need to raise, creatively raise revenues without your normal taxation that we are all getting clobbered with in the city. You need to eliminate the mercantile business privilege tax. That will even the playing field out for all businesses and then hopefully people with businesses will move into Scranton because they are not paying a penalty for moving into Scranton. They would probably would like to move into Scranton because this is where the people are. More traffic, more congestion, more people living per square mile than in other areas of the county.

And I think the other part is the wage tax. Everybody has been yelling and

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

screaming about the wage tax forever, since as long as I can remember. Something has to be done about the wage tax. I don't think people would care having the size of our police department, the size of our fire department, the closest we have to churches institutions, hospitals, libraries, I don't think people would spending an extra \$500 a year in taxes to have the convenience of living in Scranton, but they do have a problem with paying an extra \$3,000 a year when they can live in Dunmore, Dickson City, Taylor, Old Forge or the Abingtons, so I think you need to get your house, our house, in order before we start even talking about regionalization. That's my thoughts on that.

Another issue, Mrs. Evans, I haven't been here in awhile and it seems I don't have to come here anymore, it seems like there is people up there that are talking the truth for a change, the majority, and I wouldn't get upset when, you know, you are the flavor of the week I guess with the Scranton Times. I have been the flavor

22

23

24

25

numerous times, you know how I feel. get a little more than I do though. wouldn't worry about that because let's talk a couple of facts. This is the first time that anyone seriously, seriously tried to call more money out of the nonprofits. is not a problem that's just a Scranton problem. Statewide, talking to firefighters throughout the state who are in budget processes and they are having tough times in their municipalities, there has been probably to date that I know of five pieces of legislation never made it out of committee that was trying to tackle the problem of nonprofits, one was the Johnstown Liquor Tax. Anyone who has a municipality with more than 25 percent nonprofit within it's boundaries would qualify for that tax, and it never made it out of committee.

Currently I was just reading yesterday State Represent Sallabay wants to put a service tax on students, so they are trying to tackle this statewide and I think because of the lobby of the powerful institutions it's not going to be coming out

l

of committee.

So let them beat you up, Mrs. Evans, that's okay, I know you can take it and don't worry about it because everyone I ever talked to about this issue is with you. They understand that people have to pay, the nonprofits have to pay their fair share, and it's hurting ever single municipality in the State of Pennsylvania, and I think you are doing a good job, I think keep the pressure on them, and I think in the end we are going to get it from them. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

Council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident. There is 14 metropolitan areas within the State of Pennsylvania, I've talked about this for seven years now, and again, the Scranton, Wilkes-Barre, Hazelton area has the highest unemployment rate in the state. Did I mention Clarks Summit or Abington or any of these other communities that are involved in SAPA or did I just mention Scranton, Wilkes-Barre, and Hazelton?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So am I against the SAPA plan? think we need to find more out about it, and I also would recommend that council bring the people in and sit down and talk with them and see what they have to offer and see if they have anything to offer to the city. If they don't, then vote it down, but if they do have something to offer them I say let's go for it. I mean, we have been burnt, we have burnt with Wall Street West. Where is Wall Street West? 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, Brannigan's, I mean, I can go on and on and on, but let's give them an opportunity and a chance to explain themselves.

As far the University of Scranton, well, we know the University of Scranton is cheap. They are not going to help the city. They don't have to help the city. As far as Father Pilarz goes, he wrote his little letter to the editor he wrote, he wants to be a good neighbor, well, be a good neighbor and help the taxpayers out, help the senior citizens out in this city, help the people who are this unemployment line, help them

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out. Don't help yourself.

You got all of these beautiful buildings over there, that's fine. Is that an improvement for the city? Yes. But are you doing anything? You know, why don't they start their own fire department. They could do that. They could buy one fire engine, build a fire department, have their own fire department. They could also have their own police department. They have a public safety office now. All they got to do is get them certified to be a police agency, arm their guards, and they can -they don't need the city then, and they could have their own little hideaway up there up in the Hill section.

Father Pilarz, open up your wallet, give some money to the residents of the City of Scranton, quit making excuses. Scranton Times, print the truth for once, Scranton Times, seriously. Listen to both sides, don't give six page news to city council and front page to Father Pilarz just because he happens to be a Jesuit, you know, seriously.

I got to say, Mr . Loscombe and

Mrs. Evans, you guys are hit big time now.

Scranton Times, yesterday Steve Corbett on

WILK, that's big time there. Big time. You

know, all five of us listeners were calling

in.

Again, as far as SAPA goes, give it a chance. We'll see what they have to offer. Mr. Loscombe, I agreed with your letter, I thought it was very well written, I thought it was factual, but I still believe -- I believe in giving everybody a fair shake and, you know, I'm giving council a fair shake, you know. You guys got six more months left. So, you know, I'm waiting for the budget, I'm waiting for a lot of other things to happen, let's give SAPA a chance. Let's just look at it again anyway.

As far as mercantile taxes and wage taxes, talk to the people who have moved out of the City of Scranton. I work at a place where there is over 5,000 people that work up there and the majority of them lived in Scranton at one time or another. I asked them, "Why did you leave Scranton?"

"Because of taxes."

I talk to business people, "Why did you leave Scranton?"

"Because of taxes."

That's our problem. If I moved out of Scranton I would give myself a \$2,500 a year pay raise just in wage tax. That's \$2,500 a year that I could have in my pocket by moving into a community that has a 1 percent compared to the 3.4 that I'm paying now. That's the problem.

Look around, all of the food chains where are they? They are all in Dickson City. They go everywhere but Scranton because they aren't going to pay it. They are just not going to pay it, and I don't blame them, I wouldn't pay it either.

I see where the owner of
Brannigan's, you know, he might be right.
His article in today's paper, he might be right. Maybe did have to move because they just weren't making any money here. Then again it could have been bad management, I don't know, but I do know this, that building is a beautiful building, it's sitting on the corner empty. Right across

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the street from it is a whole block sitting there empty with over \$30 million of taxpayer money tied up into it. When are they coming? When are they coming, Mayor Doherty, Scranton Chamber of Commerce? When are they coming? When is the unemployment rate going to drop? Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Good evening, Council, Charlie Newcomb. Just to touch on this, I don't want to call it debate back and forth with the University of Scranton, I personally think \$250,000 is a generous offer from this city. Just to give you a plain example, when my grandmother was alive she paid over \$1,800 a year in taxes so she can stay in her home and she was fortunate enough to stay in the home until she left us, that was about 29 almost 30 percent of other income went to pay taxes. Nobody seemed to care then when she had to pay 30 percent of her income to taxes. I mean, if she didn't pay those taxes somebody would knock the door sending her a letter asking for it, so if you're a \$163 million

institution in my opinion and you are only giving off 1 percent that's greed.

As far as to go back on how many properties did they take off the tax rolls, was it about 150 did you say?

MS. EVANS: 166, but growing. It's without all of the latest developments.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: So it's \$1,000 for each piece of property that they took off the tax rolls that they are giving back, give or take a couple of dollars. That's greed.

PEL, I listened to some of what went on here, it's been now for 18 years trying to get the city out of distressed status.

If, and that's a big word, if they get the city out of distressed status they lose the income from Scranton; correct?

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Well, I think that says enough, so I can't see they would truly want to be successful in my opinion or it would have happened a long time ago.

ECTV, no contract. Doesn't take a PhD to figure out what the problem is there.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I personally, nothing is in my house, Nickelodeon more than anything else, but when I do occasionally look at their channel it's terrible, terrible programing. It's just in my opinion I think Scranton Tomorrow did one heck of a job on a bare string budget than what they are doing so I encourage city council and this city to get other offers to see what could be better. They've promised it to be on the Internet, I still haven't seen that coming to fruition. I just think it was a backdoor political deal that is still going on, and I'm glad that council is standing up and saying enough of the political deals.

As far as what other people had stated, the article in Sunday's paper, I haven't purchase the Scranton Times paper probably since 2004. Not one day in my life have I purchased that newspaper. I take advantage of their free on-line stuff when I went to read it, and I read the first couple of paragraphs of the article on Sunday that Mr. Kelly wrote as far as Mrs. Evans goes and like other people had said, jealously is

a terrible, terrible disease. I could care less if anybody on council down to the mayor makes a million dollars a year. That has no impact on what they are trying to do for this city, what you accomplish in your lifetime. Anybody can accomplish what anybody wants to if they really want to do it, whether you're poor or rich, you can do whatever you want to do. There is lot of people that have proved that.

Like I said, if there was a pill for jealously, boy, we would be rich. I see it every day. It's a terrible, terrible thing.

Another question off topic, where is the pothole machine? Remember that infamous pothole machine that we paid I think \$80,000 for.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It fell in the potholes.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: It fell into the potholes somewhere on the side streets, and also speaking of the University of Scranton, I was coming up Mulberry Street yesterday to get up here to the corner where the Houlihan-McLane Center is where they are

doing the new construction project and that intersection is not properly marked for that -- where they are doing the project on Mulberry Street for the University of Scranton. I mean, you've got three lanes of traffic, people think they should go in the turn lane to try and go straight and have the other lanes trying to merge in and, boy, there was almost a catastrophe there yesterday, so if you're not going to give us money back from your taxes maybe one of your security guards could stand out there and volunteer and direct traffic or something at the rush hour. Do something.

As far as SAPA, which is like beating a dead horse, I didn't research SAPA, I don't know much about it, I have listened to both sides of it and to me, my conclusion, I could be totally wrong, I'll admit it if I am, but as long as you have the 3.4 percent wage tax and the taxes that we have in this city there is not a businessman that I know that will come to this city. Call me stupid if you want to, but there is not a family of my age that

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have small children that will decide to move into this city with a 3.4 percent wage tax, how could you possibly get businesses to start a business like they have in Dickson City, like with Walmart, all the -- Target, who in their right mind is going to pay those taxes in this city, so if the Scranton Times and Mayor Doherty and everybody thinks that this is such a great idea why don't they focus on reducing the wage tax like the mayor stating he was going to when he first started running for office. Why don't they take some time and do an editorial on that and ask the mayor how come that hasn't been a mission of his to reduce the wage tax so maybe in the future you can have something like the SAPA plan.

And on one final note, I had asked couple of times about a stop sign on the corner of Price and Cameron, I don't know if we ever found out anything about that.

MS. EVANS: I don't believe so.

Mrs. Krake, do you recall if we received a response about the fourth stop sign that we wanted installed so that there would a

1 four-way? 2 MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: It's more of a 3 dangerous intersection now that they made it three than it was when it was two. 4 MS. KRAKE: We didn't receive a 5 response, however, I do know the city 6 7 engineer was on vacation and he is back now 8 so we looking forward to some responses that 9 we have all been Awaiting. 10 MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Thank you. Enjoy 11 your summer. 12 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 13 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, you handsome 14 devil, you. 15 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. 16 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Up, Jack, a little 17 higher? Up a little? 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's good right 19 there. 20 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, one thing I 21 had message I'm going to put on the air 22 tonight, you guys on the air tonight, all 23 you guys tonight on the air, told him I was 24 on the air, he told me to. Well, Joe, what 25 did you think, now, buddy? Well, the picnic

up at St. Joe's was great, Jack, you should have came up that night. It was packed.

Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, First Amendment Rights,
I'll be brief. During that PEL meeting I
wanted to ask him a question, I wanted to
ask him where in the 2002 plan is the
Department of Public Safety created, what
page? I did ask Mr. Cross after the meeting
and he said it's in there. I couldn't find
it. He supposedly is going to send or
contact Mrs. Krake and give her the page
number. I don't believe it's in there. I
can't find it, I've looked through it.

Also, they talked about the health care going from 7 million to 14 million. We have been asking for years for them to give us the figures, the actual figures on paper. They haven't done that at all. We are doing that for negotiations. What he may not know, Mr. Cross may not know, is that the city forced out the 100 employees, they forced them out at the end of 2002. Those

100 employees went with the full benefits.

They hired 100 employees to replace them with full benefits, so that's where we will have an increase in health care, but 7 million?

And they also forgot that that health care consultant that was hired, Sara Pickard, saved the city millions, maybe 5 million, and they fired her, so if there is an increase they should prove it, come up with the figures and give them to us then we'll know exactly what they have paid. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else that cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, please. Just to reiterate on a number of issues that have spoken on this evening. First of all, it's been described as beating a dead horse talking about SAPA, I'd prefer maybe to look at it as performing some CPR and hoping to revitalize it. We have heard from a variety

of proponents of the SAPA plan, the speakers at the podium to Mr. Barrett, who sent a letter, and Mr. Barrett from the Sewer Authority who sent a letter in support of the SAPA programs that would hopefully help them with the grants and funding for the projects that they have. We have heard from members -- a member of the Sierra Club speaking on the plan, from DCED who is in support of it for funding purposes that regionalized plans are looked on more favorably by the state, so there are large numbers of proponents to this plan.

I have been involved in coaching athletics for a number of years and one of the things that as a coach that you do is you gather information about your opponents and you formulate a game plan. The idea of formulating a game plan is to give yourself the best opportunities to be successful. It's not a guarantee. When you formulate the plan there is no guarantee that you are going to win, and I think that's what the SAPA plan is. It's a game plan. It's an attempt to enhance what the strengths of the

city in an attempt to make the city more successful. Is there a guarantee to it?

No, but it's a plan. And it's a plan that's been thought out, it's a plan that's been developed, and it's a plan that can work if given an opportunity, and think that that's what we need to do. We need to give it an opportunity.

I know the criticism that we have listened to, the one about taxes, obviously, taxes in the city, business taxes are too high. That doesn't mean that we can't implement the plan. Why can't we do both? Why can't we lower taxes and implement the plan? There is nothing saying that both of those cannot occur. Why not take the step towards implementing the SAPA plan and at the same time look into reducing mercantile taxes and others.

As far as the zoning is concerned, I know, you know, Mr. Loscombe had his little fruit pies and all to explain, but we are going to need to look at zoning in the near future anyhow. The SAPA plan does that already. It helps us. It moves us forward

a rezoning of the city. Let's update zoning by adopting the SAPA plan.

We have also heard the funding aspects of it, because there is no funding -- or because there has not been a definite amount set for funding, well, implementing the plan probably costs nothing, just adopting it there is no cost. We have already paid the cost of researching the plan and developing the plan. That money is going to waste if we just vote the plan away.

Also, DCED has already said that the state is going to look favorably upon these types of regional plans for funding.

Also, we thought, as Mr. King has said, that some of costs are maybe all of the costs could be absorbed through OECD so that that argument starts to fall apart for voting against the plan.

I think that we need to look at this again. I am going to ask that on September 7 when we return that we have a caucus with members of SAPA and hear what they have to say. I think that unless we receive --

unless we get more information that we have made a bad decision. Let's get the information that's available and once we have heard from the people at SAPA and we've heard what the plan is and we have received the information that's available to us then let us make a decision.

So I'm going to ask council, at this point in time I'm going to ask that we would set the caucus for September 7 with the representatives of SAPA, if we would seek to do that.

MS. EVANS: My feeling on it, which I have said too many times now is that the vote was already taken, the issue has been decided, and that minus those costs it's irresponsible to move ahead. I'm sorry, I have read the plan, I have spent a great deal of time on it, and I have more information over the last several years than probably most people do, unless they have all kept on this as much as I have through the years and retained all of the e-mails, etcetera, and so it really wouldn't change my opinion, though I was not present for the

vote it wouldn't be changing my opinion.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, we have already two members who voted against it say that they had not had any contact with the members of the SAPA to hear what the plan was.

MR. ROGAN: We did read the plan.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me?

MR. ROGAN: We did read the plan.

MR. MCGOFF: But you've never spoken to anybody from SAPA or involved with the plan.

MR. ROGAN: I don't have to be won over by a representative from SAPA.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm not asking you to be won over, but we conduct caucuses here and we ask for all -- many people to come in here to get information and yet we refuse to do that with this plan and I think that that's irresponsible. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Well, we do --

MR. MCGOFF: The second thing I would like to speak on is ECTV and the Comcast renewal. As I said last week, I was opposed to the amendment that was presented

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because I think the sole purpose of that amendment was to avoid paying the bills that ECTV has, bills that I feel should be paid.

When ECTV was chosen as the operator of the PEG channel it was done so by a committee, I know that we may not have agreed with the way in which it was done through that committee, but I think the implication when that was done was that ECTV would be funded through the city and other sources and I think that we are not fulfilling our commitment to ECTV by holding up the payment of the bills that they have at this time. Some of those bills being the salaries to the employees of ECTV. have performed the services that were asked of them, they have operated the PEG channels and I think that they deserve to be paid.

At the same time, we have negotiated a contract with Comcast and presented it to or put it on the agenda and nearly amended it after we had negotiated it. I think that I don't know what the response of Comcast would be to the fact that we are now amending something that they had agreed to.

Are they going to now go back and seek to, you know, review the amendments to the agreement? I just think that we have done the disservice to ECTV with this amendment and I think that we should reconsider.

Also, I did receive a number of e-mails, as I'm sure other members of council did. One from Maureen McWiggan, Deputy Director of Arts and Cultures for Lackawanna County stating that the county has, in fact, provided funding to ECTV and the PEG channel for the last three years, so the fact that it was being solely funded by the city -- the statement that it was being solely funded by the city funded by the city was incorrect.

The third thing I'd like to address is all of the talk with the University of Scranton. Should council and should the city seek out payments in lieu of taxes from the nonprofits? Absolutely. I don't think there is anyone that would disagree with that. However, I think that what we have done over the last couple of weeks is singled out the University of Scranton for one reason or another and characterized it

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as some kind of evil empire when they are the only nonprofit that offers us anything in payment of in lieu of taxes.

The University of Scranton has been, in my opinion, a good neighbor to the City Twenty years ago the Hill of Scranton. Section was a haven for drugs and prostitution and through the efforts of the University and the City of Scranton it's revitalized. It's revitalized the lower Hill Section. I think it's done quite a bit to benefit the city in many ways. I don't think that the way in which we work to encourage nonprofits such as the University to offer more in payment of lieu of taxes is to constantly demean them, I think there are better ways of achieving our purposes.

And lastly, just to respond to Mr. Newcomb, the only tax dollars that I receive are my salary. My retirement income is based solely on the money that I put into a pension plan, and that's what I am receiving, so to characterize it as I'm taking money from the taxpayers is absolutely incorrect. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Again, on SAPA, as is the first time we talk every week after Mr. McGoff, but Mr. McGoff said that SAPA is a game plan. I believe the proper game plan is to use fiscally conservative policies and reduce spending and reduce taxes to attract businesses to the city and SAPA is a zoning plan. You can zone all you want. It doesn't mean the people are going to come.

And, you know, I have heard a few people mention as well as Mr. McGoff that we could use OECD money for the implementation, but OECD money is not free money, it's still taxpayers' dollars. There is no free money, whether it's federal tax dollars or the city's tax dollars at the end of the day the taxpayers pay the bill.

Secondly, I got a lot of response from the comments I made last week about many of the department heads who have been answering our requests and it just very frustrating when each week we all read off all of our requests, we go out to neighborhood association meetings, house

calls, we go to the people who have the problems and, you know, sometimes I just feel like, you know, say it's a pothole issue, I'd just go to the DPW and fill it myself because it would be easier, but I would just like to reiterate what I said that the people we are representing are the taxpayers.

Like the woman who sent this letter to council, the elderly woman who is concerned about losing her home, she talks about not being able to afford medicine and not being able to pay her bills and this person is paying taxes and at the very least should be providing the services to those people.

And again, come budget time for the department heads that don't do their jobs it's going to steep -- there are going to be steep cuts in their department if I have it my way.

Secondly -- thirdly, the article in paper, "PEL says Scranton faces tough choice, reassessment or tax increase."

I never thought I would ever say

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

this, but I agree with what Mr. Renda said in the article. He said that everything except for a tax increase is on the table to deal with the structural deficit, and he said, "Mr. Renda declined to provide specific means of addressing the issues. He said cuts in spending are one option."

So that is definitely what we are looking for on council is for cuts in spending, creative ways to generate new revenue. One of them, which I believe was Mr. McGoff's amendment last year, was the rental registration fee. And I had a conversation with Attorney Patterson on Friday about that, I didn't hear back from her today, but again, there is something passed by council and the administration is just stalling and it's something that needs to get done because we need that revenue coming in.

I have two memos from OECD, the first one is on the Crisp Avenue bridge project, and I'm glad to report there were eight bidders, so hopefully we will be seeing this project moving very shortly.

down.

And there will be a prebid conference for the following properties that are scheduled for demolition: 521 Maple Street, 739 Maple Street, 1207 Cedar Avenue, 1208 South Webster Avenue, 616 and 813 Cedar Avenue, 921 and 923 Cedar, 229 Rear Prospect Avenue, 123 and 125 Rear Prospect Avenue.

So it will good to see these properties torn

And finally, I have some citizens' requests for the week and I hope that the department heads will get these done as soon as possible. The first one is a second request that I'm -- a blighted home at 459 Phelps Street.

The second one is from a resident who lives on the 200 block of Fourteenth Avenue. He reports that the stop sign is very difficult for drivers to see and about five times a day cars are going up the wrong way because there is a one-way sign posted, but they are not listening to the one-way sign, and he states it's getting out of hand. On Sunday this resident was riding his motorcycle and he had to swerve very

harshly to avoid going through the windshield of the oncoming car, and there are also many drugs concerns in the area. So I have these written out, I'll give them to you, Mrs. Krake, to forward them to the proper departments.

The second one is on Linden Street, the block between Adams and Jefferson, there is a situation with the lines on the street that it's confusing for some drivers, so I think that would be forwarded to PennDOT, but I'll give it to you, Mrs. Krake.

And the last one is there is a large tree in the 800 block of Quincy Avenue, and it has been tagged for removal months ago. It still hasn't been taken down and the residents report that the sidewalks are buckling, the sewer systems aren't working well and the roots from the tree are so extensive they are going into the foundations in some people's homes.

And finally, I have a list of potholes that need to be fixed: The 100 block of Meadow Avenue in front of CVS; the 200 block of Penn Avenue in front of the

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Forum Plaza; the 1600 block of Olive Street; and the 1800 block of Olive Street as well, and that is all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Mrs. Evans. First I have a couple of requests. I have had several complaints by some emergency responders regarding the Jefferson/Mulberry Street area which I had mentioned back sometime ago. They are having trouble turning that corner, and I believe it was Mr. McGoff said that, you know, they are eliminating the parking, but there was no parking on that area where the vehicles would turn and they have eliminated at least six feet of that street. I thought in the initial plans they are supposed to keep the corner area the original width and then flare it in. I was just wondering if there is any possibility, Mrs. Krake, if we could get a copy of the original approved plans and if PennDOT was involved in this approval, also? God forbid we have a tractor trailer turning up there and there

is emergency vehicle trying to go up.

I have two other ongoing issues, which I'm working on and I'll report back later on, but I had met with some people in the Anthracite Auto area, along with the mayor, to discuss some concerns there and we'll be actively working on those. And also down in the farmer's market area I'm working with a few people there who have some problems, and now in the next few weeks we will be hopefully getting these resolved.

One other thing I would like to make a motion to appoint a temporary chair for finance, Mr. Rogan, since Mr. Joyce is not here this evening.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor, do we have a second?

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor -- or excuse me, on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have it and so moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Also, I received a letter this week, it's not signed, of course, but they told me I'm a disgrace because I didn't wear a suit last week, so I apologize, I really do. I just thought the dog days of summer we could go business casual for the last two meetings, but I sincerely apologize and I will wear a suit if that's what my constituents want. even said that two persons who were watching with her at the served on council couldn't believe what they saw. So again, I'm very sorry, I mean, sincerely. I don't think my decisions are changed because I'm casual or in a suit, however, I will respect the wishes.

I do have -- I know it's been a long meeting, I apologize, but I would be remiss if I didn't make a comment on everything that's been discussed here this evening.

First, I'm going to mention ECTV.

To suggest that this council would pull the plug on our PEG channel or public access channel is ludicrous. In case you don't

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remember, the previous council along with the blessing of the current administration made every attempt possible to remove these cameras from city hall. For several months the story that council chambers was under renovations was the excuse used to keep the citizens in the dark, then at the request of one of the prior councils with the cooperation of the mayor, the cameras were removed from these chambers. Thanks to a visible protest by many residents along with the urging of Mrs. Evans and Mr. Courtright the cameras were brought back. I might add that Mr. McGoff, to his credit, also voted to bring the cameras back to council chambers.

You see, the last thing any one of us on council here would like to see is the elimination of the public access channel, which is truly our only avenue to discuss the issues of concern to you in an open, unbiased forum that we cannot realize with other forms of media which only use sound bites and have been edited and manipulated on many occasions to destroy the full story.

The real facts are as follows: We are not trying to dismantle ECTV. We are only trying to make the operator, any operator, of our public access channel accountable. Right now there is no contract between ECTV and the city. You don't think that a business of this magnitude should have a written contract for their own benefit? Let alone the fact that if there is nothing in writing how are we supposed to know what services are to be provided by ECTV.

They have stated that they would post council meetings and other issues of importance on the Internet for people like me without Comcast service, but since there is no written agreement ECTV is neither obliged to provide -- is not obliged to provide this service and so they haven't.

ECTV received a \$10,000 grant to fix up a studio in East Scranton and then they were moved out. In essence, a waste of the taxpayers' money which can never be recovered. ECTV also received a \$90,000 loan from OECD and has had the loan forgiven

before even making a single payment on it.

According to the newspaper, the county has also provided ECTV with at least \$25,000 in each of the last three years. If my memory serves me correctly, the former provider was able to maintain the public access channel for \$13,000 over a nine-year period.

When asked by a member of the PEG channel oversight committee, the member was told that ECTV only answers to the mayor.

Wrong. If ECTV is running as a nonprofit with taxpayer funding they will have to answer to all of us.

The invoices that were recently provided to us after our request were vague at best. The amendment that I proposed not only opens the door to public access channel providers to provide their services through a request for proposals, but will also provide us with a written contract to hold a successful PEG channel provider accountable and provide us all with the best bang for our buck.

I hold no animosity towards ECTV and

I would certainly hope that they would provide us with proposals to continue their programming. ECTV was offered \$50,000 of the new franchise fee with the other \$50,000 to be offered to the successful provider for start up costs or to ECTV if they were a successful candidate. This offer was rejected as was an alternate over of 60/40.

Without a written contract ECTV has taken a serious gamble and if there was a verbal contract then that person or persons should be held solely responsible.

this back and forth banter that the Times has been playing in their effort to have them change my mind on SAPA, but I do want to address their distortion of my statements that they are so adept at. No where in my letter to the editor do I denigrate the low to moderate income folks, of which I am one. Whether the Times knows it or not, they are the increase in majority of the population that this city is made up of. The fixed income, the hard working, low paid taxpayers of this city and they are the constituents

that I represent, not the other 11 communities north of us. Perhaps the revival of the commuter tax will place Scranton in a more positive position for regionalization and provide us with a more even competitive edge with our neighbors. And if you don't like that idea you are just going to have to be patient until we get our wage and business taxes reduced in this city through sound fiscal management that we are trying to provide, something this council has been attempting since January in spite of the numerous roadblocks that have been thrown at us by the administration and various forms of the media.

I will certainly entertain joining

SAPA if they can provide me with definitive

budgets and guarantee grants and financing,

not rhetoric, and I would be open to

listening to them, but I don't want them to

come here and then say they wasted their

time if we don't vote for it either. But,

you know, I'm open to listening to anybody

since I wasn't here on council at that time,

but I don't believe until we get our city

taxes in line it's going to be benefit at all.

Just one final thing, and the last subject of the evening, the U of S. Again, not wanting to continue the back and forth banter with the Times that they have been playing with us, I do have to address Mr. Chris Kelly's rant against Mrs. Evans in this Sunday's paper because in essence this is a rant against the majority of council members who support the initiative of collecting payments in lieu of taxes. That was all we have set out to do, start a dialogue with the larger nonprofits regarding their help and charity to their whole city in our time of need.

The whole hullabaloo, I believe, was started because we initiated our talks with the largest institution and the one with the strongest ties to this administration, the mayor's own wife sits on the board and the Lynett family of the Times is one of the U's biggest contributors. It is nothing more or less than seeking payments in lieu of taxes for our financially distressed city. Do not

let the Times persuade you that it is anything different. After all, it was the University's own edit piece published in the Times which state that they contribute more than \$4 million in economic benefits to the City of Scranton.

I do not nor have I ever disputed the fact that the "U" contributes to the city's well-being, but we cannot pave streets with that.

This article was then followed by an article in the Times portraying us as extortionists, among other things. You have to remember, we have been conducting ongoing conversations with the University for some time, first through personal meetings with Father Pilarz and Attorney Lavelle, and then though numerous conversations between Attorney Hughes, Attorney Lavelle, and a third party representing the University.

I was told that a gentleman's agreement had been reached and then the next thing you know the University balked and started this campaign through the news media to discredit us. Again, we had kept our

discussions confidential up to that point all the while being chastised from this podium for not going after the nonprofits, so for those of you who don't like our approach, remember who took the first sucker punch.

I noticed that just in this last week the University has spent over \$1.1 million gobbling up more taxable property that will now become tax exempt. The total combined taxes lost from the tax rolls on these properties is \$10,712.31 per year, three properties, or nearly \$110,000 over ten years.

Now, you tell me how long Scranton can survive on a shrinking tax base before your taxes are raised again as one of the only real solutions that PEL has provided us over the past 18 years? If we don't put our foot down there won't be enough anyone left here to do it, and I do have the listing the properties two of them are the addresses Semark, LLC, which appears to be the Marquis Art Shop on Center Street owns two of those buildings. The one on Hitchcock Court was

purchased for \$27,000 on May 11, 2006, and sold for \$505,000 to the University.

Let's see, the one at 918 Vine

Street was purchased on April 3rd of '06 for \$100,000 and sold for \$205,000, and then a

James and Louise Phillips sold their property at 417-419 Quincy Avenue which they purchased back in '90 for \$52,000, they sold it to the University for \$410,000, thereby eliminating, like I said, another ten thousand plus off the tax rolls this year and those charts I showed you will have to be updated again as we go along, and that's all I have to say. Thank you.

Oh, wait, I do have one announcement. I'm going to scoop the newspaper and TV here. You saw today the headline, this a county official is sued by a creditor, I'm not going to mention names, you saw it there, it was a credit card problem or whatever, I'm not endorsing anyone, but I too received a letter for a credit card that I owe some money on. I'm in the same boat as a lot of people in this town. I just took on another job to help me

pay my bills, but my first priority is my home and my family and my credit card will be paid, but they can embarrass me all the time down the road, I'm letting you know first where I stand, and that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Restore the pension.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I also paid into my pension, but I don't have it.

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah, restore the pension and you can.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. Before I begin just a few comments to some of the statements made earlier. First of all, no caucuses are held on issues that are previously voted down, at least I can't remember that having occurred during my seven years on council, and I do think we need to move forward and we have very significant pressing issues before us in the fall, just to nape two, the revised Recovery Plan and the development of a 2011 budget, and rather than living in the past and trying to revisit a vote that occurred now I

don't know how long ago, I think we need to move on.

In addition to that, Mr. McGoff, I was wondering if you know how much of the salaries of the ECTV employees would be?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know offhand.

MS. EVANS: I don't either, and that's part of the problem. That's not included in the bills that were given to us, not to mention the fact that that information along with all other financial information was never provided to city council in these two and a half years, to my knowledge was not provided to the Oversight Committee when they requested information, and as Mr. Loscombe said, they were -- they received a response of "We answer only to the mayor."

I couldn't tell you, frankly, how many employees work for ECTV or what the salary of any one of them would be, and in addition to that what I found very unusual as I was rereading through the Right-to-Know request that I had submitted and received, oh, probably about six weeks ago

approximately, very noticeably an OECD loan was granted I believe on September 25, 2010, to ECTV and that loan was forgiven within three months. The first payment, I believe, wasn't even due to be made until 2011, but according to the information that I have from the administration under that Right-to-Know request we have at least for the first time in my experience someone receiving loan forgiveness in three month's time.

Also, I do agree with Mr. McGoff, I read in the paper that ECTV received \$25,000 per year from the county, but again, that was brand new information because ECTV never provided financial information or answers to this council or to the Oversight Committee.

I might also add though that I believe Scranton Today also received funding from the county and may have received it from the school district as well.

I'm going to return to you to my original remarks. You know, each day of my seven years as a city councilwoman I have received phone calls, e-mails, and letters

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from citizens most of which are sincere requests for assistance with problems, whether they are specific to the individual's neighborhood or general to the well-being and survival of all Scranton taxpayers, and throughout these years thousands of requests have been addressed through phone calls to the department heads, through city council's office staff, and sometimes through my weekly citizens' requests, and I rarely if ever have read the original letter or e-mail publically, and ironically Mr. Rogan I both selected the same e-mail to bring to your attention tonight. You know, I'm not going to read it again, but I'm going to add what Mr. Rogan didn't read.

This was a special friendship gift of prayer. "You are being enrolled in a spiritual association and you will be remembered in the daily prayers of the sisters of Saint Benedict and praying for you that God's love and blessings may be with you," and that is contained in that plea, that desperate plea for help.

Now, the resident asks for the cities help on behalf of all citizens, not just himself or herself, the young and old who live on meager incomes and this resident prays for council to succeed in it's efforts and also prays for God's intervention in the city to put suffering taxpayers first in it's considerations, and I am deeply grateful to this residents for his or her prayers and blessings as they are the greatest, most priceless and powerful gifts that can be offered.

Since January 2010 this council has and will always put the people of Scranton first as it's demonstrated by it's repeal of a Library Authority, it's initiative to urge the administration to switch electricity providers, it's advocacy of the hybrid payment system for rate payer's sewer bills, it's partnership with State Representative Kevin Murphy to separate city tax bills and repair the Crisp Avenue bridge, it's budget amendments to cut government costs, it's support of the Lackawanna Heritage Valley Trail, it's legislation to lower the bidding

threshold and put professional services out to bid, it's legislation exempting victims of crimes from having to pay for police reports, it's support of putting more beat officers on the street and it's pursuit of fair share payments from large city nonprofits, and that's among many other actions and accomplishments of this council.

You may be certain that council will work tirelessly on your behalf to try to prevent any future tax increase and fee increases for city residents. According to the Pennsylvania Economy League, our city faces a \$5.2 million deficit in 2011 alone, and this figure doesn't include the potential multi-million dollar court award to the police and fire departments.

Based on past experience, it seems that the city's administrations and PEL's solution to this deficit will be a tax increase, maybe a garbage fee increase, property reassessment or additional borrowing or any combination thereof.

However, city council does not approve of these burdensome measures as the only or

. .

best solutions to Scranton financial problems.

Earlier tonight during council's caucus meeting with PEL, I suggested that the possible leasing of Scranton Parking garages as a significant measure for raising new revenue and addressing the 2011 budget. Therefore, with the consent of my honorable colleagues, I would like to send a letter to Mayor Doherty indicating city council's significant interest in the possibility of leasing Scranton's parking garages and requesting the issuance of requests for proposals or RFP's on or before September 10, 2010. Is that agreeable?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, definitely.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Next, Mrs. Krake,
council would like to send a letter to
Mr. William Courtright, Scranton tax
collector, requesting the following
information from the Scranton Tax Office:
Trended tax revenue receipts for 2007, 2008,
2009, and 2010. Trended unpaid taxes turned
over to NCC during these years and a

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

schedule of NCC's payments to the city during these years. Council would like to analyze this data to obtain a more accurate picture of 2011 revenues.

And that just jogged my memory about something Mrs. Schumacher had stated earlier regarding the amendment passed by the prior council that placed 23 additional fees, costs and fines on delinquent taxpayers in the City of Scranton culminating in the sheriff's sale of their property. Yes, I did bring that up, I didn't bring it up every week, we never had another caucus on We never really had another vote on it, I made amendments to it, they didn't pass. I believe I did that twice, once in one year, probably once in another year. As soon as this council took office I asked Attorney Hughes about how best this council could approach that legislation and amend or repeal it and after investigation on his part he learned that this is a very tangled web involving municipal revenue services, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority, the City of Scranton and NCC, and so it's not an

issue that has been dropped, but it's an issue we continue to look at and I know that over the recess our solicitor will be reexaming that, but again, these are the issues moving forward, these are the issues that effect the people of our city, these are the considerations for the city council.

Also, Mrs. Krake, please send a
Right-to-Know request to by certified mail
to ECTV for the following information: A
complete inventory of equipment and any and
all invoices for the purchase and payment of
all equipment with OECD funding and a
complete list of any and all sponsorships,
donations, underwriting and all other income
sources and for any and all purposes for
2008, 2009 and 2010 to include the name and
the address of each sponsor, donor, etcetera
and the dollar amount of each.

And finally I have citizens' requests for the week. A city resident reports that weeds and grass are overgrown in the Rear of 317 17th Avenue in the alley behind his vacant house. Report this complaint to Mr. Oleski.

Hill section residents report an abandoned property at 909 Ridge Avenue located between Ash Street and Myrtle Street. The property is overgrown with tall weeds and grass and the rear deck and shed are collapsing. Residents also state that their surrounding neighborhood has experienced a problem of rats and skunks that they believe are coming from this property. Please send an inspector to this location as soon as possible, and I do have two photos that I have copied that I'd like the office to send with that request, please.

Residents of West Scranton report
that dog owners are walking dogs without
leashes in the vicinity of Luzerne Street
and West Scranton Intermediate School.
Further, the owners do not clean up after
their dogs. Send a memo to the appropriate
party to keep an eye on such occurrences
when possible.

A resident requests that the 1100 block of Curtis Lane be paved. The resident already contacted the DPW because potholes

that were patched two months ago have opened and enlarged.

Residents of the 800 block of
Woodlawn Street request the installation of
a "No Trucks Permitted" sign at North
Washington Avenue and Woodlawn Street.
There is currently a sign posted at Wyoming
Avenue and Woodlawn Street which is
ineffective in stopping truck traffic. By
the time the truck driver views this sign he
is already one block onto Woodlawn Street
and no driver turns around, rather, drivers
continue down to Boulevard Avenue and
tractor trailers have yanked electrical,
phone, cable, etcetera, wires from houses on
the 800 block of Woodlawn Street.

Approximately one month ago a truck from Quebec, Canada, bound for the Recycling Center not only tor down wires, but also disconnected a meter from a home in the 800 block of Woodlawn Street. Please install this sign immediately for the safety and welfare of all Woodlawn Street residents.

Residents of Pike Street, which is located off the Morgan Highway, report that

their small street has not been paved since 1987 at which time the paving was performed by the DPW. A large portion of the street is now missing and motorists are driving on dirt in many places and dodging holes while simultaneously attempting to avoid hitting oncoming vehicles and that's a very windy stretch of road I'm told.

For the last 15 years a resident of Pike Street has asked the city to resurface the street. During that time a young resident hit a hole, fell from his bicycle and suffered a broken nose. The road is a safety hazard to pedestrians, bikers, and motorists and is traveled not only by residents, but also by contractors and employees on route to an auto parts business and billboard locations along the expressway. Also, a drainage ditch beside Pike Street is blocked causing water runoff in warm weather and icing on the road in cold weather.

Now, this is was I think is most noteworthy and probably most shameful about this situation. Residents of Pike Street

were told in the past that the street would not be paved because there are few residents and thus few voters. Whether a street has four homeowners or 44 homeowners they are all taxpayers and they all deserve the city services for their taxes. Please address both the paving of Pike Street and the drainage ditch as soon as possible and should this not occur then I'm going to know that is the philosophy of the City of Scranton how many voters live on the street.

South Side residents report that foul words were painted on new sidewalks at Connell Park about two weeks ago. If this has not already been addressed, please remove this vandalism as soon as possible.

At the corner of Breck Street and Rosen Court, which is located in the 400 block of Breck Street, there is a 12-inch deep hole which is four feet in length and parallel to that is another very deep hole, I'm going to say maybe six to eight inches and maybe five feet in length, and the distance between these holes is so narrow regarding the pavement that remains that

it's almost impossible to drive in there without your car falling -- the wheels of your car falling into these holes. Please address this situation immediately.

At 1435 Academy Street a white privacy fence was installed that the backyard in half. The half facing Division Street and 15th Avenue is overgrown. The trees are covering the one-way street sign and the stop sign at the corner of 15th and Division Street. As a result, vehicles are traveling the wrong way creating a safety hazard. Please address this problem immediately.

Finally, I received a response from a husband and wife, and this is another shameful situation, a response from a husband and wife, both senior citizens, which I found very troubling and noteworthy, the husband who suffers from a heart condition and his wife patched potholes in their street and carried heavy bags of filler. They only ask that the Department of Public Works instead of misleading people should tell citizens honestly that they have

no plans for assisting them, and I'm using the words of this senior couple. This couple waited patiently for two years believing that the DPW was going to arrive any day.

These problems should be addressed by the city and these are the services for which homeowners pay taxes. No one, particularly the elderly, should have to fill potholes in their streets. No one should have to pave their own roads, and I am ashamed of the city's lack of action and the lip service to residents and I apologize on behalf of those who misled this lovely couple and failed to do their job. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE —
FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 29, 2010 — AN ORDINANCE—
AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
TRAIL MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY
OF SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY
AUTHORITY FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP OF
THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL (THE
"TRAIL").

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 1 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 2 3 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 6 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 12 have it and so moved. 13 MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -14 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 30, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE-CLOSING FUND 02 SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT AS IT 15 IS NO LONGER NEEDED FOR THE CONDUCT OF CITY 16 17 BUSINESS AND TRANSFER ING THE FUNDS IN THIS 18 ACCOUNT TO THE GENERAL FUND. 19 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure. 20 21 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 22 pass reading by title. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 24 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 25 those in favor signify by saying aye.

	127
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MS. KRAKE: 6-C. <u>READING BY TITLE —</u>
7	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 31, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE-</u>
8	TRANSFERRING FUNDS FROM SPECIAL CITIES
9	ACCOUNTS TO GENERAL FUND ACCOUNT TO PROPERLY
10	REIMBURSE THE CITY'S GENERAL FUND.
11	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
12	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
13	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
14	pass reading by title.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. KRAKE: 6-D. <u>READING BY TITLE —</u>
24	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 32, 2010 - AN</u>
25	ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

	120
1	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
2	ENTER INTO A UTILITY EASEMENT AGREEMENT FOR
3	A SANITARY SEWER LINE OVER LANDS OF THE CITY
4	OF SCRANTON SITUATE ALONG OLYPHANT AVENUE
5	ON BEHALF OF MARYWOOD UNIVERSITY.
6	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
7	title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?
8	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D
9	pass reading by title.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
12	those in favor signify by saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 6-E. <u>READING BY TITLE -</u>
19	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 33, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE</u>
20	- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
21	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
22	ENTER INTO A CABLE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT(AS
23	AMENDED) BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND
24	COMCAST OF COLORADO/PENNSYLVANIA/WEST
25	VIRGINIA, LLC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-E, what is your pleasure?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ ROGAN: I move that Item 6-E pass reading by title.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, I would like to make a motion to amend 6-E. Should I read my amendment?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I make a motion that the ordinance, as amended, be amended so that the mayor and other appropriate city officials are not authorized to execute and enter into the cable franchise agreement negotiated with Comcast, including all of the terms and conditions contained herein, and attached to the ordinance and marked as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference thereto, until Article 7.3(1) is deleted from the cable franchise agreement, Exhibit A, and the amendment to 7.3 (1) is deleted from the cable franchise agreement, Exhibit A, and a new article 7.3 (1) and 8.5 is inserted into the cable franchise

agreement, Exhibit A, as follow:

Section 7.3. EG Grant. The sum of \$100,000 payable by check listing the payee as "City of Scranton." Such grant is to be paid by Comcast within 60 days of written notice accompanied by a certified copy of an ordinance enacted subsequent to the effective date authorizing said payment.

Section 8.5. Cable Advisory Panel.

The City reserves the right to continue and/or establish a cable advisory panel to assist the City in the regulation, oversight and/or administration of all or a portion of the provisions of the agreement in accordance with applicable law and the terms and conditions of the agreement.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor to amend Item 6-E, is there a second?

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? I just wanted to add very quickly, and if I am incorrect in any way and I require clarification I'd ask Attorney Hughes to add a few remarks, the city administration is in agreement with this amendment. I believe it

was discussed among the administration,
Attorney Cohen, who represents the city in
negotiations with Comcast and Comcast all
are aware and all are in agreement.
Attorney Hughes, if you have anything to
add?

MR. HUGHES: I'll be very brief.

After last week's meeting I talked with

Attorney Cohen, I sent him out the

amendment, he wanted to know why I didn't

send it out before it was adopted by council

and I told him that I had been out of town

and it came back and that I put that

together that Tuesday just before council

meeting what the amendment would be.

He said he would discuss it with Comcast, Comcast was a little upset that, you know, about the language because they had read it into the paper or someone had sent them what was in the paper the next day on Wednesday after council meeting, I told them that was not the case. I sent it out to him.

He discussed with Comcast, they had a conference call on Friday with the city

with these revisions. Prior to that time I also discussed with Attorney Cohen that the statement or his comparative analysis between the old contract and the new contract did not set forth what was called the Consumer Advisory Panel that that was not in the new agreement. He and I discussed that it was council's position that they wanted the Consumer Advisory Panel put back into the contract.

That was discussed with Comcast,

Comcast did agree with that, we are putting
that back in as Section 8.5, which was just
read. The EG Grant, that that would be -it's just the language has just been, when I
say massaged around a little bit, to include
that this check could be payable to the City
of Scranton within 60 days after Comcast
receives the ordinance subsequent to the
effective date, so that's the way that it
is.

It's all agreeable with Comcast to put these two items back into the -- or actually amend Section 7.3 (1) as Jack

Loscombe read it and also to reinsert the 1 cable -- we call it now the Cable Advisory 2 3 Panel instead of the Consumer Advisory Panel. It's all agreeable and from what 4 Attorney Cohen told me that it is agreeable 5 with the administration. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Thank you. It there 8 anyone else on the question? All those this 9 favor signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: This is on the motion, 10 correct? 11 12 MS. EVANS: On the motion to amend, 13 All those in favor signify by saying 14 aye. 15 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 17 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 18 MR. MCGOFF: No. 19 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 20 And if we may return to 6-E, I believe that we need to move Item 6-E, as 21 22 amended. Do we have a motion to do so? 23 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-E, as 24 amended, pass reading by title. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? 1 those in favor signify by saying aye. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: 4 Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 6 The ayes have it and so moved. At this time I'll 7 8 entertain a motion that council suspend it's 9 rules and place all items that have passed Sixth Order into Seventh Order for final 10 consideration. 11 12 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. LOSCOMBE: 13 Second. 14 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 19 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 20 21 MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR 22 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 27, 2010 -23 SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE 24 25 COMMONLY KNOWN AS 714-716 MCKENNA COURT,

	130
1	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO. 14677-
2	030-019 TO CHRISTOPHER AND LORETTA DOHERTY,
3	HIS WIFE, 715 MONROE AVENUE, SCRANTON,
4	PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, FOR THE CONSIDERATION
5	0F \$2,500.00.
6	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-A.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR
23	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 28, 2010 -
24	ENACTING FEES FOR COPIES OF FIRE, POLICE,
25	DETECTIVE AND AMBULANCE REPORTS, EXEMPTING

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

amend 7-B that all copies of the fire, police, detectives and ambulance reports,

MS. EVANS: On the question?

Yes.

I make a motion to

CRIME VICTIMS FROM PAYING FEES FOR REPORTS

AND ESTABLISHING A SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT FOR

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the

Second.

Committee on Rules, I recommend final

DEPOSIT OF THE FEES.

passage of Item 7-B.

MR. ROGAN:

MR. ROGAN:

provided in Pennsylvania Vehicle Code Title

except for motor vehicle accident reports as

75, Section 3751, reports by police, Section

(b) (2), shall be remain \$15.00 provided the

City of Scranton Police Department and the

City of Scranton Fire Department shall be

furnished for a fee of \$25.00 per report

except any person who is requesting a report

who is the victim of a crime and who

obtaining a copy of the report shall be

exempt from paying the \$25.00 fee for the

report.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the

floor.

MR. MCGOFF: Second. 1 MS. EVANS: On the question? 2 3 MR. ROGAN: On the question, this is just because of the state regulation they 4 can't be more than \$15 for a traffic report. 5 MS. EVANS: Thank you. All those in 6 favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 7 8 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MS. EVANS: Aye. Those Opposed? 12 The ayes have it and so moved. I believe 13 now we have to return to Item 7-B as 14 amended. As Chair for the Committee on 15 Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 16 7-B, as amended. 17 MR. ROGAN: Second. 18 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. ROGAN: Yes. I would like to 19 20 thank Mr. Nearwood again for bringing this situation to our attention. We really 21 22 appreciate it and we are glad we are able to 23 do something. 24 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Roll call, 25 please?

138
MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-B, as amended, legally and lawfully
adopted.
MS. KRAKE: 7-C. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
RESOLUTION NO. 25, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF
JERRY WEINBERGER, 611 NORTH WEBSTER AVENUE,
SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS A MEMBER
OF THE SCRANTON LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE
AUTHORITY FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR.
WEINBERGER'S TERM EXPIRED ON DECEMBER 31,
2009 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON
DECEMBER 31, 2014.
MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
Committee on Rules, I recommend final
passage of Item 7-C.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

1 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question, again, I do not believe we received a resume 2 3 or cover letter from this person, so I will be voting no. 4 MS. EVANS: Well, that is all 5 council has required of these individuals 6 and I think it's -- well, there is really no 7 8 reason that I can determine why someone 9 wouldn't want to send their information in. 10 Thank you, Mr. Rogan. Anyone else on the 11 question? Roll call, please. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 13 14 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: No. 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 18 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: No. I believe the 19 20 legislation dies through a tie. 21 MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION 22 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-23 RESOLUTION NO. 26, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF DAVID V. PHANEUF, 1812 ACADEMY STREET, 24 25 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER

OF THE SCRANTON LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE AUTHORITY FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM.

MR. PHANEUF'S TERM EXPIRED ON DECEMBER 31,

2009 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON

DECEMBER 31, 2014.

MS. EVANS: As chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-D.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question.

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Same as the last item, we did not receive a resume, so again, I will be voting no.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would just -- I do know this gentleman, I'm disappointed, all we asked for is a simple letter. Knowing him and knowing his quality I will be voting in the affirmative, but I do believe that they owe us what we requested and I'm a little disappointed because I know we did send a letter out from council also directing to him requesting this, but knowing his character I have no problem

	141
1	voting "yes" for this gentleman.
2	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Anyone else?
3	Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: No.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: No. I believe that 7-D,
12	therefore, dies due to a tie vote.
13	MS. KRAKE: 7-E. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
14	<u>BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR</u>
15	ADOPTION- RESOLUTION NO. 27, 2010 -
16	AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S
17	PARTICIPATION IN THE NEPA COOPERATIVE
18	PURCHASING ALLIANCE PROGRAM WITH LACKAWANNA
19	COUNTY AND THE NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA
20	ALLIANCE.
21	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
22	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
23	passage of Item 7-E.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
25	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll

1 call, please. 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 4 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 9 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 10 Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted. 11 MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -12 13 FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 28, 2010 -14 RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE 15 16 CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF 317 LINDEN, 17 LLC, TO THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT 18 OF COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE 19 PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT, 20 FOR A REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT 21 22 LOCATED AT 324/326 PENN AVENUE, SCRANTON, 23 PA. AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 24 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF

SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL

25

1	SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT
2	LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
3	TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE
4	AMOUNT OF \$472,317.00 AWARDED BY THE
5	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH
6	PROJECT.
7	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Community Development, I
9	recommend final passage of Item 7-F.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
12	call, please?
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
21	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
22	MS. KRAKE: 7-G, which was formerly
23	6-A. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON</u>
24	RULES - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
25	29, 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

	144
1	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
2	ENTER INTO A TRAIL MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT
3	BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA
4	HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY FOR THE
5	MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP OF THE LACKAWANNA
6	RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL (THE "TRAIL").
7	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
8	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-G.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question. Roll
12	call, please?
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
21	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
22	MS. KRAKE: 7-H, which was formerly
23	6-B - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
24	FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
25	<u>30, 2010 - CLOSING FUND 02 SPECIAL CITY</u>

	145
1	ACCOUNT AS IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED FOR THE
2	CONDUCT OF CITY BUSINESS AND TRANSFERRING
3	THE FUNDS IN THIS ACCOUNT TO THE GENERAL
4	FUND.
5	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
7	passage of Item 7-H.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
10	call, please?
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
18	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
19	Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted.
20	MS. KRAKE: 7-I, which was formerly
21	6-C - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
22	FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
23	<u>31, 2010 -</u> TRANSFERRING FUNDS FROM SPECIAL
24	CITIES ACCOUNTS TO GENERAL FUND ACCOUNT TO
25	PROPERLY REIMBURSE THE CITY'S GENERAL FUND.

	140
1	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
3	passage of Item 7-I.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
6	call, please?
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
14	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-I legally and lawfully adopted.
16	MS. KRAKE: 7-J, which was formerly
17	6-D, FOR CONSIDERATION FOR THE COMMITTEE ON
18	RULES - FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
19	32, 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
20	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
21	ENTER INTO A UTILITY EASEMENT AGREEMENT FOR
22	A SANITARY SEWER LINE OVER LANDS OF THE CITY
23	OF SCRANTON SITUATE ALONG OLYPHANT AVENUE
24	ON BEHALF OF MARYWOOD UNIVERSITY.
25	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the

	147
1	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
2	passage of Item 7-J.
3	MR. ROGAN: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
5	call, please?
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
9	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-J legally and lawfully adopted.
15	MS. KRAKE: 7-K, which was formerly
16	6-E - <u>FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON</u>
17	RULES - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
18	33, 2010 - AS AMENDED - AUTHORIZING THE
19	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
20	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CABLE FRANCHISE
21	AGREEMENT(AS AMENDED) BETWEEN THE CITY OF
22	SCRANTON AND COMCAST OF COLORADO/
23	PENNSYLVANIA/WEST VIRGINIA, LLC.
24	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
25	Committee on Rules, I recommend final

	140
1	passage of Item 7-K, as amend.
2	MR. ROGAN: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question?
4	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. While I have some
5	reservations about the amendments, I feel
6	that it's important to finalize this
7	agreement with Comcast and, therefore, I
8	will be voting affirmatively.
9	MS. EVANS: Anyone else? Roll call,
10	please?
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
18	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
19	Item 7-K, as amended, legally and lawfully
20	adopted.
21	I wish all of you a wonderful end of
22	summer and we'll see you in September. I'll
23	entertain a motion to adjourn.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, please.
25	MS. EVANS: Meeting adjourned.

ability.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER