CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

| 1  | (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection |
|----|--|
| 2  | observed.)   |
| 3  | MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.                          |
| 4  | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.                              |
| 5  | MR. MCGOFF: Here.                                      |
| 6  | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.                               |
| 7  | MR. ROGAN: Here.                                       |
| 8  | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.                            |
| 9  | MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.                                    |
| 10 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.                          |
| 11 | Evans.   |
| 12 | MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the                     |
| 13 | reading of the minutes.                                |
| 14 | MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.                           |
| 15 | APPLICATIONS WITH THE DECISIONS RENDERED BY            |
| 16 | THE ZONING HEARING BOARD ON, JULY 7, 2010.             |
| 17 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?                     |
| 18 | If not, received and filed.                            |
| 19 | MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE                         |
| 20 | REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF                      |
| 21 | SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD ON JUNE 14,            |
| 22 | 2010.  |
| 23 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?                     |
| 24 | If not, received and filed.                            |
| 25 | MS. KRAKE: 3-C. AGENDA FOR THE CITY                    |

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING TO BE HELD JULY 21, 2010.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Clerk's notes,
please.

MS. KRAKE: I apologize that it's extra long tonight. First, we have our responses. The first is from the Director of OECD, Linda Aebli. She is answering our request concerning Molly Brannigan's, and she outlines several things there and I'll try to shorten them. First, is concerning the litigation. There is confession of a judgment entered in favor of the city.

Second, another confession of judgment entered in favor of the city -- well, the first, excuse was for \$615,479, the second \$307,739.

The third confession of judgment entered in favor of the city, that's for \$307,739. Regarding Molly Brannigan's and McWilliams writs of execution that have been issued by the Court of Common Pleas and directed to the sheriff of Erie County for judgment or entering a judgment with the

Prothonotary's Office of Erie County and seeking attachment and levy on property owned by the defendants that is capable of being attached and levied under the Rules of Civil Procedure to sell their interest therein to pay said judgments. Regarding Mr. Mellody, his new address has recently been returned, and he is in the process of being served required notice.

On our second question of the request, what was the financial agreement made with the business which operated Molly Brannigan's on the date of the St. Patrick's parade in 2010? Mrs. Aebli tells us this office was not involved with any financial agreement made with business which operated Molly Brannigan's on that date.

(Mr. Joyce takes the dais for the council meeting.)

MS. EVANS: Did we send any follow-up letters to the Parking Authority on this matter?

MS. KRAKE: As a matter of fact, we did send a letter simultaneously to the Parking Authority and they did not respond.

MS. EVANS: Perhaps we can send a Right-to-Know request regarding that information.

MS. KRAKE: Thank you. Next, by phone I received a response from Stu Renda, business administrator, concerning the question of how will the city pay for the salaries, health care benefits and pensions of the SIT clerks that they have been -- that have been reinstated for an award won by the FOP. He told me that they will be paying the money out now even though there is no money allocated for them in the budget and in November they will doing transfers.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: This is from PennDOT,
Keith Williams, district traffic engineer,
concerning the Harrison Avenue and Gibson
Street multi-way stop sign request. As a
result of the review and based on the number
of crashes occurring at this intersection,
the department will install a stop and
four-way signs on Harrison Avenue on both
approaches to the East Gibson Street
intersection. They will also install Stop

"R" slash lines on Harrison Avenue for added emphasis. And then they tell us further, that in order to inform motorists of this change they will issue a press release two weeks prior to the day of installation.

Furthermore, temporary red flashers will be installed above the new stop sign for a period of 30 days.

Finally, temporary "Stop Ahead" signs with yellow flashers will also be installed on Harrison Avenue on both approaches to East Gibson for a period of 30 days while motorists become accustom to the new traffic controls.

MS. EVANS: Very good. Thank you.

And may we also send a thank you to PennDOT

for their prompt attention to this matter.

MS. KRAKE: Thank you. We received a response from William Courtright, collector of taxes, this is a concerning the KOZ information we requested. As previously stated, a list has never been generated by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania showing all KOZ properties in a taxing district. During 2009 the Single Tax Office abated \$447,000

in 2009 nondelinquent property tax for the 1 the City of Scranton. 2 MS. EVANS: 3 Thank you. And just so I have the correct figure, you said the city 4 abated \$447,000 in 2009? 5 MS. KRAKE: Yes. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 8 MS. KRAKE: And as we reported in Third Order, the Scranton Housing Authority 9 10 did forward their minutes to us, so they 11 were the only authority that -- well, the second authority that have responded and 12 13 they are the only authority to comply with 14 our request, so they will be sending us a copy of their minutes and we do have them in 15 16 our office for review for the public. 17 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 18 MS. KRAKE: We did receive a resume 19 from Wayne Evans, and all council persons 20 have gotten a copy of that. MS. EVANS: Yes, we did. 21 22 MS. KRAKE: From Don King, the city 23 planner, he sends a letter to Mrs. Snee, 24 transportation planner, of Luzerne and 25 Lackawanna County. This is in regards to

the traffic signal installation at the corner of Providence Road and Diamond Avenue, so that request has been submitted and we will be anticipating a response from them.

MS. EVANS: Very good. Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We also received a response from Anthony Santolli, district forester. The tree at Monroe Avenue is a large maple tree and was marked for trimming of all dead and dying branches. He tells us there is no need to remove this tree at this time. They are marked on the tree as a red horizontal paint line which signifies trimming work.

The tree at 209 Colfax Avenue was examined and determined that it should be removed. It is very large white oak which has been dead branches and it has four spots on it which signify the tree should be removed. He tells us he can't give us a timetable as to when they are be able to perform the necessary work on both trees. He has a backlog of tree work, but he assures us that is will be placed on a work

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

order list.

MS. EVANS: And may we send a thank you to Mr. Santolli as well for his fine He is a volunteer and he always work. responds immediately to any requests of our citizens.

MS. KRAKE: And we have a response from Mark Dougher, Director of Parks, and I believe council has copies of the signs that are posted at the gorge for "No Trespassing" and "No Swimming."

> MS. EVANS: Yes

MS. KRAKE:

We have a response from Mike Wallace, the zoning officer. It's a copy of an e-mail that he sent responding to a resident's request. This group home is allowed under the 1992 Federal Fair Housing Act -- or, excuse me, this is concerning the group home on Court Street and Euchlid The group home is allowed under the Avenue. 1992 Federal Fair Housing Act in all city residential zones. No zoning action was required. The city does not enforce development covenants. The city allows four unrelated residents per dwelling.

1 Appropriate building permits have also been issued on this group home. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Thank you. MS. KRAKE: We have a response from 4 5 Linda Aebli in our request to the Jersey Central Railroad Station building. 6 tells us that this office does not have a 7 8 status update on the progress and plans for 9 the former New Jersey Railroad Station 10 building they do not have either. 11 MS. EVANS: Have we sent a request 12 to Mr. Donahue? 13 MS. KRAKE: Yes, and he did not 14 respond. 15 MS. EVANS: Okay. If I can just 16 back pedal quickly for one second, the 17 response received from Mr. Wallace regarding 18 the group home on Court Street, if we can 19 set that aside, please, a copy of that for Mr. Ellman. 20 21 MS. KRAKE: Sure. 22 MS. EVANS: I know that this was a 23 matter of concern to him and I had promised 24 that as soon as we received a response I 25 would turn them over to him.

1 MS. KRAKE: Certainly. MS. EVANS: 2 Thank you. 3 MS. KRAKE: This is in response to our request on the open status of the loans 4 with OECD and it's from Linda Aebli. 5 she tells us that she is in contact with 6 7 their solicitor and that's how they will be 8 responding through him. 9 I had noticed, unless my MS. EVANS: 10 copy was different from those of other 11 council members, that once again the 12 accounting for the loans was not included in 13 the last report, and again, I am asking that 14 that is included as it always has been. We'll send a letter. 15 MS. KRAKE: MS. EVANS: 16 Thank you. 17 MS. KRAKE: Now, these are the non 18 responses. We did not receive a response 19 from the Redevelopment Authority, the Sewer 20 Authority or the Parking Authority 21 concerning our requests for a transcript of 22 the minutes. 23 MS. EVANS: Then let's send a 24 Right-to-Know. 25 MS. KRAKE: We have nine letters

that were sent to Mr. Seitzinger, Director of License and Inspections, that he has not responded to.

MS. EVANS: And we will add those to the file.

MS. KRAKE: Yes. And we have 12 requests that were not responded to by Mr. Brazil, Director of the DPW.

MS. EVANS: Again, the same.

MS. KRAKE: And these are individuals that did not respond: No response from Mr. Rinaldi concerning the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, and no response from Mr. Donahue concerning the New Jersey Central Railroad Station building.

No response from the mayor concerning our request of the first right of refusal to neighbors who interested in purchasing blighted properties in the neighborhood. No response from the mayor concerning police patrolling the Scranton neighborhoods this summer.

No response from Mr. Swanson concerning the 1300 block of Powell Court and Birney Avenue nor from Gene Barret,

Director of the Sewer Authority, for the same area.

MS. EVANS: If you would, please, contact Mr. Barrett and Mr. Swanson tomorrow, remind them of our request and that we are awaiting update.

MS. KRAKE: Yes. And also no response from Mr. Swanson concerning the vacant lot in the corner of Jackson Street and South Hyde Park.

MS. EVANS: And while we have him on the phone, we can include this matter as well.

MS. KRAKE: No response from Stu
Renda, Business Administrator, concerning
the purchase of a new pavilion for Nay Aug
Park.

MS. EVANS: I did notice, though, since we sent that request that of all of the bids submitted none were accepted. I don't know if they intend to rebid or if they are simply going to drop the project, so we may send him another memo indicating that we are aware of the process and what direction are they taking at this point and

I think we should also send that to Mr. Dougher as well.

MS. KRAKE: And to Chief Elliott, we received no request for three items, a three-family home at 1406 West Gibson Street, Birney Avenue, a complaint about speeding motorists, and the cars parked on the sidewalk of the 2000 block of North Main Avenue.

MS. EVANS: Well, let's submit that again. I know that most often Chief Elliott responds and perhaps he will provide these responses to us for next week's council meeting.

MS. KRAKE: And finally, there is no response from the pave cut inspector concerning the pave cut pothole at the intersection of Hickory Street and Earth Court.

MS. EVANS: Let's send that again.

MS. KRAKE: That's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I do have to add though that I feel it is very unfortunate that some of our city developers will not respond to city council and to the

public when questioned about their economic development projects. That, as I said, is most unfortunate.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I do. Enjoy a short stack for a tall cause. You are invited to an Applebee's flapjack fundraiser breakfast to support the West Scranton Falcon's Youth Organization. It's \$6 per person, Saturday, July 31, from 8 a.m. to 10 a.m., at Applebee's Neighborhood Grill and Bar, 74 Viewmont Mall, Scranton, PA. That's Saturday, July 31.

A benefit for Gene O'Malley, spinal cord injury patient, this is going to be at the Parker House on August 21, from 7 to 11 and the price is \$10, and I'll mention it again at the next meeting.

There is also a fundraiser for a former firefighter who is battling many health ailments, Jim Fanning, and that's going to be the Clarks Summit Fire Department Hall at 321 Bedford Street, Clarks Summit, on Saturday, July 31, from 1

to 4 p.m. It's a spaghetti dinner and admission is \$10 per adults and \$5 for children over the age of three. And that is all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

The second public caucus with the

Pennsylvania Economy League will be

conducted Tuesday, July 27, 2010 at 5:30

p.m. in Scranton City Council chambers.

The weekly schedule for street cleaning as follows: July 19 to July 23 Oak Street to Wood Street in North Scranton, and July 26 through July 30, New York Street to Mineral Avenue.

The Scranton Lackawanna County
Taxpayers' Association will meet this
Thursday, July 22, 2010, at 6:00 p.m. in
city council chambers.

A fundraiser to benefit Heather

Geiswhite-May will be held this Friday, July
23, from 5 to 8 p.m. at the Backyard Ale

House, 523 Linden Street in Scranton.

Heather is a young mother who is battling

breast cancer. Live entertainment by Tom

Graham, food, and raffle prizes will be

provided. Please help Heather.

The annual National Night Out celebration will be conducted on Tuesday, August 3, from 5 to 10 p.m. at the Village Park Apartments in Scranton. Included in this event will be magicians, clowns and a DJ. The community is invited to attend.

And, finally, I wish my beautiful granddaughter, Cara Evans, a very happy first birthday and many, many more, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Tonight I'm going to ask that the residents of Scranton would call Scranton City

Council, I can give the number 348-4113 or to write to Scranton City Council at 340

North Washington Avenue, Scranton,

Pennsylvania, 18503, in support of the SAPA plan.

I think this is a very, very important piece of legislation. For some

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reasons I just can't understand why council has -- unless you have, invited the people who put this plan together to meet with council in chambers and have it televised, so that I believe people could become more enlightened as to the SAPA plan.

I would also like to say that this week I had an opportunity to talk to Mr. King, the city planner. I have a letter here that sent to city council on June 29, 2010. When I had an opportunity to talk to Mr. King he thought the SAPA plan was an excellent plan for the city. I think this letter basically states that. He brought up the question of the cost of implementation of the plan. He has called consultants. They believe the plan might cost as little as \$3,000 to implement. He also stated that CDBG funds could be -- OECD funds could be used to implement this plan. He believes that our ordinances are generally in line with the goals of SAPA.

I mean, I'm just trying to understand where this council is to be quite honest, my opinion is that I'm not sure that

really council has a real grasp on what the SAPA plan would give the city. I think that some of the speakers who spoke here last week against it really should have been speaking for it. Some of them talked about all the buildings in the city that are empty, whether they are industrial or whether they are residential. I think we have to realize, now, I did attend a meeting of SAPA in Dalton, and Cindy Campbell was there from DCED, I had an opportunity to ask her questions about SAPA.

You know, it was very enlightening listening to this lady speak about all of the communities that are involved in similar plans, and I just think that where the city is financially, we have got a \$5.5 million structural deficit in this city. We have talked about doing a lot of things. It's not an easy road to take to make changes. Change is hard. I just think it's time really for this council to consider everything. I think it's time for you to relook at this plan. I think it's time to put a back on the agenda and vote. I'm

really sorry that all five members weren't here on the last vote, but, you know, the greatest thing of all is, and, I mean, as many times as we shoot at the Scranton Times, their editorial on Sunday was just fantastic. I think they caught it all, okay?

We are talking about funding the Scranton Sewer Authority. We are talking about PennVest loans at 1 percent. We are talking about how they're tying it to regional planning and even here they acknowledge that Scranton would really flourish under this plan considering that a lot of the commercial residential development would be steered toward the city and we have talked about jobs for a long time.

I mean, Mrs. Campbell talked about how the state in the future is going to tie funding to plans like this, and I always thought that Scranton City Council would try to make the City of Scranton a progressive city. We have talked about it a lot, we really have. I mean, don't the residents of

this city desire family jobs, I mean, to sustain their family, high wage jobs? Isn't that what we want? Don't we want to develop the downtown area and all of the industrial areas in the city that are -- everybody has fled. They fled here from overtaxation. We have to address those problems. It's not going to be easy. We have got to do something with the wage tax, we have a lot of problems here.

I mean, we looked at PEL came here now, and PEL is coming here for the second time. We have been involved with them for a very, very long time, okay? We have used one-time fixes. We haven't found any solutions. What could it actually hurt to allow SAPA to come here? What would it hurt to put this back on the agenda? I mean, I think Mr. King is right, I think the Scranton Times is right and I hope that you would reconsider. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Morgan, I just wanted to, you said Mr. King said it may be as low as \$3,000, but he is also on the

record stating it may be as high as 28 to 30 thousand dollars, too.

MR. MORGAN: But the other thing he says here, with all due respect to you, is that we can get funding from OECD for this and there may be only \$3,000, and don't you think it's a good investment to invest \$30,000 in the residents of this city, sir?

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Morgan Natalie Solfanelli.

MS. SOLFANELLI: Good evening. I'm
Natalie Solfanelli. I'm the executive
director the Lackawanna Heritage Valley
Authority and with me is Mr. Tom Welby, who
is the chairman of the board of the
Lackawanna County Heritage Valley Authority,
and I believe Mr. -- - you want to?

MR. WELBY: Go ahead.

MS. SOLFANELLI: I know that tonight you are considering legislation regarding the maintenance of our Lackawanna River Heritage Trail. Maybe you with aware that we just opened the downtown riverfront last week and we are very excited about that. That was a project that's been in the making

for about five years, probably more like six or seven years. We had a lot of obstacles to overcome, but we are thrilled and we are thrilled to get feedback that people are really using that trail.

This is not the first section of the trail to be opened in Scranton. The first section of the trail, the first section of the entire trail was in Scranton and it still is between he Elm Street and Seventh Avenue, and that section of the trail is already been resurfaced one time. That was the very first section that was on the ground for us. We also have some sections of trail developed in the Mid Valley.

We are here because we taken on the task of maintaining the trail and we would like to do it in a consistent way because eventually it is going to be 40 miles along the entire Lackawanna River, and the municipality owns the trail once it's developed, but it would have to be in the budget to maintain it and, unfortunately, when we don't do it sometimes it doesn't get done.

2

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what we have done is arranged with the other municipalities, but we want to have a direct arrangement with the City of Scranton, to charge \$4,000 per year per developed mile of trail for us to maintain the trail, and we are hoping that you will feel that that's a good investment because I just want to tell you I have just some numbers, I'm not going to go through a lot, but so far we have invested in the trail in the City of Scranton \$2,487,000, close to \$2 1/2 million, and before the next year and a half or two years are over hopefully as soon as the flood control project is finished we will invest another almost \$5 million. \$4,886,000. That's just for the Scranton section.

And part of that cost is for the C & J part of the trail which will extend from Elm Street to Taylor, Depot Street in Taylor, about a third of that is in the City of Scranton, so right now you have about three miles of trail. You have been paying -- well, we have been charging you, we haven't been paid, but we have been

charging you for the mile and a half between Elm Street and Seventh Avenue. That's 6,000 a year. We are waiving the rest of this year for the riverfront or the downtown river walk, but by next year that will add another mile and a half, so close to it.

Next year the cost will be \$10,000, and after that as we develop the trail eventually it will be seven miles of trail in the City of Scranton, and I'll let Mr. Welby tell you some of the benefits of the trail.

MR. WELBY: First, thank you for the support you have given us in the trail and that we have an established already that we just opened and what we hope we'll receive council's approval for us to go ahead and seek some optional funding that's very difficult to get, very competitive, to do the rest of the City of Scranton.

We need not only your financial support, but your legislative and lobbying support as well. The demand for the few dollars that are out there for this kind of quality of life improvement that communities

are looking for, whether they are establishing trails along the rivers and in natural areas that a trail would exist, whether it be a former path through a mountainside or former rail path, the monies that are out there or they very, very few dollars that are out there and the demand for them is just incredible as you might imagine.

Every single community across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania wants these monies, whether it's Dickson City, the Abingtons or Pittsburgh and Philadelphia, in the entire state every community in the state is looking for these dollars, and there is so few dollars out there. It's very difficult to get them, and what we need is your lobbying support to be able to get more dollars to finish the trails in Scranton and investments that we have made so far is just a small amount that we are going to make.

But the area that I want to see complete is the levy area. It will then connect over by the Ice Box, through the

Plot Section out into Greenridge and all of the way out to Dickson City.

From 1980 through '89 I lived in Wilkes-Barre right along the river and there is a levy system in the Kingston Forty-Fort, Wilkes-Barre area that borders Kirby Park that has added so much to the quality of life in the Kingston, Wilkes-Barre, Forty Fort area. It's just a wonderful area where you see people all the time walking, riding, walking their dogs, walking along with their children, and it's added so much to the quality of life in that Luzerne County area and I think we deserve nothing less. We deserve at least that, if not more, with all due respect.

And with the volunteers that we have helping us and the partners that we have had helping us, including DCNR and Lackawanna River Corridor Association, the Lackawanna River has changed so much in the last 50 years. When I was quite a bit younger, the Lackawanna was not a place that you would want your kids hanging out, and at the ribbon cutting we had one of our speakers

mention that he had a sister that fell in the Lackawanna and at that time in the 60's or '70's she had to be taken over the Scranton State General Hospital for a tetanus shot. And we all remember how the Lackawanna was and what it has come to now, and now we have a fish in there, we have crayfish, and it's something where you are proud to go down there again, and we want to continue that and we need not just your financial support, which we ask for tonight, but for your lobbying and legislative support as well.

MS. EVANS: I would ask then if you would remain in contact with the city council so that when the opportunity arises we are aware, and we would certainly be very happy to lobby on behalf of the project.

MS. SOLFANELLI: Thank you very much, Mrs. Evans. We really appreciate that and we appreciate the opportunity to be here and get the public awareness about the trail so people will use it. It's wonderful. I have brought some information for all of you regarding LHVA and the trail so I'll --

should I leave it with --

MS. EVANS: You can give it to Jamie and she will give to each one of us.

MS. SOLFANELLI: Thank you so much and thank you for allowing us to speak.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Can I ask you a quick question?

MS. SOLFANELLI: Sure.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It's probably a sore subject, but I noticed in the newspaper that there is section in our city that there is a little trouble acquiring or getting the rights to at this point? What do you foresee with that area or do you have any -- the Jersey Central?

MS. SOLFANELLI: We have worked on that for about the last six years. I will have been at LHVA six years this August and we have been working on that since day and, unfortunately, we have been unable to acquire the easement, it's in private ownership, and finally after about three years of negotiations, all of the state agencies, DCNR, DEP, DCED, and I missed one, PennDOT, all had an interest in getting this

trail done and they basically said just go around it.

But, fortunately, Mr. Donahue, who owns the property and is not interested in granting us an easement, has allowed us de facto for people to traverse the trail, so it's not paved and it's not an official part of our trail, but for now anyway it hasn't been posted as a "No Trespassing", so as long as he is allowing the citizens to use it we are grateful for that.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If that becomes the case would there be a loop or something?

MS. SOLFANELLI: Well, originally we thought we were going to have to do that and that we were going to have to go to redesign with our engineers and that would have cost about \$70,000 for people to get off the trail at Lackawanna Avenue and then have to walk on the sidewalks around Seventh Avenue to get up to the Linden Street section. We are hoping that never happens and we'll cross that bridge when we come to it, sort of literally and figuratively. Thank you.

MR. WELBY: To be clear, Mr. Donahue

is allowing access over that area, and perhaps that's an area where council can act on our behalf as well, and talk to Mr. Donahue.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. WELBY: But he does allow access right now.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: We'll be happy to do so.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Welby, Ms.

Solfanelli, I just wanted to take this time, also, to thank you. About two months ago -- or one to two months ago we sent a letter to the Authority notifying you of a fallen down street that was blocking the walking path and that was handled very promptly and I want to thank you very much for your consideration in doing so.

MS. SOLFANELLI: Thank you,
Mr. Joyce, and that's what we will continue
to do and we very much appreciate your
interest. We will keep you posted all
along. We have e-mails going out for
everybody and we'd love to build that list,
so we will certainly keep you in the loop.

Thank you.

MR. WELBY: And we appreciate that feedback. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: You are both to be commended for the very fine work you are doing on behalf of all of our citizens by improving our quality of life.

MR. WELBY: Thank you. Thank you for saying that, and also if we then can thank all of the volunteers that make this possible. Our board is 100 percent volunteers and there have been so many volunteers and volunteer groups that have helped out in cleaning up that river and happy birthday to your granddaughter.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SOLFANELLI: I have one thing
I'd like to say, and maybe I shouldn't say
it, but this trail has been I think the one
topic that everybody agrees on across the
political spectrum. It seems to be
something that brings us all together and as
much as this trail connects the people to
each other, it connects the communities to
each, Scranton is the keystone, I think the

it connects all of the people together because it's one thing we all agree on. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I just want to quickly respond to Mr. Welby and Mrs. Solfanelli.
You know, I do support the plan. Like they stated, it just goes to show how we can all come together and we may disagree on a lot of things politically, but as I talked about last week, the Unity Festival, the concerts on the square, an example of things that go on in the community that at the end of the day bring us together and what's taking place with the trails along the river is just another of how we can come together. And I, too, hope that council will support this mayor on the agenda tonight.

I, too, would like to discuss SAPA briefly. Mr. Morgan pretty much said a lot of the same comments that I'm going to make.

I, too, had the opportunity to attend the meeting in Dalton last week because I wanted to gain some more information on this plan myself and pretty much the meeting revolved around the City of Scranton and council trying to get council to join this plan. We were told that numerous contacts had been made with city council and that no responses were made.

I personally asked members of the SAPA board if they had heard from any members of council and I was told at that Mr. McGoff was the only member that personally made the attempt to contact SAPA.

During the course of the meeting,

SAPA went on to make a motion to give

council another chance to reconsider. I

believe they might give you until September,

I forget exactly when, but you do have some

time, and I just feel that council needs to

invite SAPA here to chambers in front of the

TV cameras, and just give the public the

opportunity to hear what they have to say.

I think before you go ahead and make a vote

a lot of the public and yourselves need to

gain more information. I know you stated already that you read the plan, and that's great, but before you go ahead and make a final decision I would just ask as a citizen that you allow them to come in here and listen to them yourselves, allow the people to listen, a lot of people listen to what they have to say and then make a decision that you feel is in the best interest of the public.

You know, I just personally, this is no disrespect and I'm not trying to bring this across as being disrespectful of council, but I just feel that I think you made a decision based on little knowledge at the time and I think that if you just allow them to come in here and present their argument, give the people a chance to hear it, and make a decision that's in the best interest. You know, I just feel that this plan has a lot to offer the community as far as creating jobs, generating revenue, tax revenue in the city, and we need to think about that moving forward.

You know, this council has a lot of

tough decisions to make and one of the biggest decisions, tough decisions you have to make, is how am I going to create jobs, how am I going to bring in revenue to the city and how do we improve the quality of life for everyone.

And I, too, would just urge residents to contact council and send letters, letters to the editor, wherever you need to do it, and offer your support of this plan because at the end of the day council needs to make decisions based on what the public wants not what they want for themselves, so that's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I want to talk about the University of Scranton a little bit again. Just one thing, I know how hard you are trying to get them to contribute their

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fair share to this city and if they continue not to do that I think council should consider any further legislation that comes before council having to do with the University of Scranton you should vote it down until they contribute their fair share and that's all I have to say about that.

I walked over the new Lackawanna Avenue bridge today for the first time and I was shocked to see that almost every few feet as I walked on the sidewalk there were cracks in the sidewalk already. That was finished in December, now it's July, and it's eight months and some of those cracks you can see went right down onto the deck of the bridge, so if there is cracks already in the eight months what's it going to be a few years from now. I can't believe that. mean, I'm no engineer, but I don't think there should be cracks in any concrete after I don't know if it's the eight months. city's responsibility or if it's a joint effort with the state, but I'd like to hear what their response would be to that.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, maybe we

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could bring that to the attention of Brian Swanson, city engineer, for his evaluation.

MR. SPINDLER: Moving on, Mrs. Evans, last week you mentioned about graffiti under a railroad bridge on Main Avenue near Euchlid Avenue, well, Saturday as I drove by, because I go by there every single day, there is more graffiti added. To the red letters that had "TPB" there was a black swastika over the "TPB", and underneath it said "WSBK", and I figured out "WS" was West Scranton, and it took me awhile to figure out what the "BK" was, I mean, I'm not an expert, but I think it was blood killers, so it looks like we might have a territorial battle on our hands there and we can't get police on the streets, which leads me to another story.

A few weeks ago at a church picnic I bumped into an off-duty Scranton police officer and they told me they were on a day shift, the one day that week they had police officers on days to cover the whole city.

So we have 26 miles of roads in this city and five police officers covering this whole

city and we have gangs. That doesn't make 1 me feel safe. 2 3 MS. EVANS: I agree. MR. SPINDLER: We have to get many 4 5 more police officers on the streets. MS. EVANS: I agree, and you know 6 7 that that's something I have been --8 MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely. 9 MS. EVANS: --- pursuing on all 10 summer. 11 MR. SPINDLER: I know that. 12 MS. EVANS: The mayor is not 13 considering it, unfortunately. 14 MR. SPINDLER: When I see this 15 graffiti on walls like that I know for a 16 fact there are gangs in the area and that's 17 scary. 18 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Spindler, on my way 19 over here actually I noticed there is more 20 graffiti if you turn off Main Avenue onto 21 the expressway. 22 MR. SPINDLER: Yeah, I stated that 23 last week. 24 MR. ROGAN: There is even more under 25 the bridge, so it keeps getting worse.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPINDLER: Yeah.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, maybe if we contact tomorrow ADA Gene Talerico, I know that he was part of perhaps a task force that was responding to graffiti in the county, and I know they have stated for the record that they wanted to be informed about it immediately and they intended to remove it immediately, and I know that we did send correspondence to them, but I don't believe we received a response as yet. So if we can make that contact tomorrow that council would appreciate the removal of that graffiti in these areas and if he is unable to provide that assistance to us, can he then please direct us to the party who will address the situation as soon as possible.

MR. SPINDLER: Next thing, the old
Scranton Ford building on the corner of
Franklin and Linden, on the Linden side of
the street there was a customer parking lot,
I'm sorry, an employee parking lot there,
right by their service entrance in the back
corner of that lot are maybe 40 or 50 old
tires thrown there. I mean, that seems like

a safety hazard. Somebody could easily catch that on fire. They have been there for quite a long time, I keep forgetting to bring it up, but I walk by there all the time.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, if we could send maybe a memo to Mr. Oleski regarding that location because I'm sure it isn't legal to be storing old tires in this manner.

MR. SPINDLER: I know Northeast Eye owns that property now and they brought that whole area, they bought the parking lot and the whole building, and they are probably even unaware of those tires.

MS. EVANS: Northeast Auto?

MR. SPINDLER: Northeast Eye.

MS. EVANS: Oh, Northeast Eye. Yes. Okay. Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay, last thing I
have, an announcement, the Tripp Park
Neighborhood Association is having a picnic
August 13 and 14, it's a Friday and
Saturday, at the Tripp Park Community Center
parking lot on Dorothy Street. There is

going to be fun and games and good food for everybody and entertainment is going to be by EJ the DJ, and he is very good. He was there last year. He really got the kids going and got them dancing and everything, so I hope to see everybody there.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: I'll come and announce it next week, also. Next week is the last meeting for the summer?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Thank you for the time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Jim Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Council.

My name is Jim Williams, resident and property owner in the City of Scranton. I'm also a member of the Scranton Zoning Board of Appeals and president of Abbey Gale's Pub, Incorporated. I'm here tonight to explain to this council the paving situation that was on the third page and commented on here last week at a council meeting.

I hired a bona fide contractor in

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the City of Scranton, licensed paving contractor, to look at that. He said it could be skim coated, patched, but did not need to be ripped up. He did not get a permit because he said one was not required.

Then apparently someone from zoning or the Scranton Times, whoever, discovered I didn't need a permit, which had to be bogus, so they saved that until my first meeting on the zoning board. Then they decided to put that on the third page. The zoning board -or the zoning officer of the City of Scranton knew prior to that meeting there was a problem and it was paved and the Scranton Times knew that, so common sense tells us that someone in that office also knew because they had to call the Times I had no permit, but yet they saved that for my first seating on the zoning board. Not nice at all, but acceptable.

I did everything I was supposed to do. I told the zoning officer I would get a permit that night after the zoning meeting, he said he couldn't give me a permit, I'm not a paving contractor. He also told me

that I couldn't do anymore work because he didn't know if I had to be a licensed contractor put up a fence, so the next morning he hung a stop work order.

I complied with the City of Scranton and my duties as a new zoning officer. I broke no laws. I tried to get cut no corners. Yes, it was paved, it was skim coated. The strip mall across the street from me on Oak Street has two pieces of pavement patchwork that was done both in excess of 2000 square feet, mine was 900, no permit required.

The parking lot for which I rent in the strip mall, also, large quantities of patchwork this summer, again, no permits required. Keyser Oak Shopping Center behind me has strips, excess of 10,000 square feet, no permits required. Three different contractors, four including mine, says no permit required for patchwork.

I couldn't get a permit, it was up to the contractor to get the permit, they would not give me one. Just like they would not give me one for the fence, I had to hire

a licensed contractor again in the city to construct my fence. They said if it was at my home I could put up the fence, I can't put it up at Abbey Gale's Pub.

I think that last week someone, a council member, Mr. McGoff, said that Scranton City Council should ask for my resignation. I think the wiser thing would have been to ask Jim Williams what happened since we only took the version of the Scranton Times. It was the Scranton Times found out it was paved without a permit, therefore, the zoning officer knew, the officer zoning officer should have came to me then and did not.

This is just the situation of politics, what goes around comes around and it was a fowl ball. City business should not be conducted this day. If I was breaking the law, I was constructing something without a permit that I had to have then it should have been stopped right then and there. It should have been held over for me to sit at a zoning board for the first time and then to appear in the

3

4 5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton Times the next morning on the third page.

And if councilmen read that article in the newspaper, it had -- the building permits and inspections said he didn't see an issue here. He ended it with that quote in the Times, but everybody else seems to have an issue with it.

With all due respect, I will not now or in the future resign my seat on the Scranton Zoning Board of Appeals. nothing wrong here. No one did anything wrong here that I can see, but everybody jumped to conclusions and for some reason Jim Williams is on the hit parade list. It's a new sitcom or something and I'm the star, and that's unfortunate, but I'll be the star, I have a tough skin, but I wanted to bring my answers to city council and tonight I will answer any questions that any of you might have or what happened there. If I can clear up anymore that's on your mind I would be more than happy to, but I think that it needs to go to sleep.

Nothing was -- no laws were broken

and nothing was wrong. I wanted to get a permit, was told I can't, I'm not a licensed contractor, licensed contractor said he didn't need one. It was his obligation to get one.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, may I --

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. MCGOFF: -- talk to Mr. William. I understand that in talking to some other people, also, that this was a misunderstanding on your part and not negligence and, therefore, I offer my personal apology for bringing this up last week and asking for a resignation.

MR. WILLIAMS: I accept that wholeheartedly. Thank you. Anyone else? If I can answer anything?

MR. ROGAN: No, but I would like to thank you for coming to council and putting this up.

MR. WILLIAMS: I appreciate it. I'd like to thank council for giving me the opportunity to answer for what was in the Scranton Times, I appreciate that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you for coming.

Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident
and member of the Taxpayers' group.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: A couple of quick items first, and I request answers during motions. First, as a drove up 81 this morning I was astounded to see the number of cars in the Nay Aug lower parking lot. Do we have an answer on whether Nay Aug is being compensated for these parking spaces being used by CMC employees?

Now, I noticed a legal notice soliciting legal services of a special construction litigation counsel for the city of Scranton. Is anyone able to share the need driving this solicitation? It sounds rather ominous to me.

Third, I'm hoping Mr. Rogan will be sharing information on the OECD loan payments, payments progress. Now, Mrs. Krake did a report on that tonight, so I hope that will be forthcoming, and I think

it would also be appropriate to ask for UDAG, the balance of the UDAG accounts that we were consolidated.

And then Thursday it will be six weeks since the special blight caucus, and excuse me, I lost a bond from my tooth and I have a little bit of a lisp, hopefully the dentist will be in tomorrow, but during that caucus Representative Smith said this, and I quote, "This needs to be addressed in real time, today, not tomorrow."

As Representative Murphy had not yet arrived as he was quite tardy, I don't recall a similar urgency from him, but I hope we will get a status report during motions tonight on the progress our legislators have made on the blight legislation.

The blight caucus is a good segue to my next topic as the blight caucus was hold on a Thursday as a stand alone meeting. As PEL is scheduled back for next Tuesday, the final meeting I'm assuming prior to the August recess of city council, I ask that you arrange a similar stand alone caucus to

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hear from Scranton's representatives, too, and other representatives of the Scranton Abington Planning Association.

To be honest, I must admit to being somewhat embarrassed for my city having voted down the SAPA plan without publically interacting with this group. The wave of the future motivated I'm sure by tight budgets is towards regionalization. of my prior residents, Los Angeles County, California, Fairfax County, Virginia, the county with minute exceptions was the government and it seemed to work well. The state has adopted Act 31 and one of the representatives from Burks County has legislation in process for the county to absorb the municipalities, so regionalization is definitely going.

Now, there have been three instances, one in late 2005, February of 2006, and the June/July 2008 time period when SAPA was voted upon with nary a concern raised by council. Then after five years of hard work you have dismissed the plan without a public caucus. What have we

accomplished?

Well, for starters, we have proved we are an unreliable partner in regionalization.

Second, council's last minute pullout imposes additional time and monetary expense on our former partners with no guarantee that updating our comprehensive -- our stand alone comprehensive plan and zoning regs, which were last update in 1993 at a cost of about \$100,000, will not cost more than implementing the SAPA plan.

I didn't have time to research the update of our fair share housing plan, but if that's also too old that we could jeopardize our HUD CDBG funds. While it's true the SAPA plan targets industrial components into Scranton and Dunmore, I thought growth of industry and the jobs they bring was a major goal of the city.

While it's true we will still be competing with contiguous communities such as Moosic, Dickson City, Taylor, that shows not no participate in a multi-municipal plan, we will now also be competing with the

1 former SAPA partners, both for revitalization dollars and industry. 2 According to demographics included in the 3 plan, there were 4,738 vacant housing units 4 in the SAPA plan. We are sorely in need of 5 revitalization. Thank you. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Would I be able to 9 ask a question? MS. EVANS: Yes. 10 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Certainly. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't know, I think 13 we have tried to explain this before and 14 maybe one of us doesn't understand, but you 15 are stating that industry would come to 16 Scranton, what guarantee do we have with our 17 taxes the way they are that they are not 18 going to just -- there is no guarantee for 19 them to come to Scranton. 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: That's true. We 21 still have to compete, but now we --22 MR. LOSCOMBE: And we can't do that 23 until our taxes are -- -24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, if I may 25 answer.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: We still have to compete with Dickson City, Taylor, all of those surrounding communities that have the lower taxes, that's true, but now with a rejection of this plan you are also going to have to now compete about the Abingtons because they are going to have to go back and put the industrialization components that they gave to Scranton and Dunmore back into their own communities because that's what the law is.

You have to -- you have to have all of those components of zoning, so now in addition to competing with those right around us we are going to be competing with those above us that are growth areas, have great school district, and so we have just added what, nine competitors? It doesn't make sense to me.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It doesn't appear they really want those businesses, and again --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I don't think they do, but if it works both of our

advantages, they get what they want, we get not only the businesses, but we also get their support in low interest -- in the low interest loans and putting the dollars into revitalizing Scranton rather than putting new infrastructure and creating additional sprawl in those other membership committees. And I really do ask that you -- you give a special night just as you did to blight, which seems to be stalled, and bring this matter before the public in a televised meeting. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: If I may add, I know that in the plan that you're saying it's going to bring businesses into Scranton and that is the goal that we all want, businesses in Scranton, but as I said for the last three or four weeks now, I do not see why they would could come here because --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, that's your problem. I mean, that's your problem and the administration's problem to solve. Yes, we've got problems, but why on God's green

earth do you not understand would you want to add additional competitors? It doesn't guarantee the jobs comes it just puts the jobs in Scranton of that whole geographic region.

MR. ROGAN: I believe we already are competing with them as we stand now.

MS. EVANS: Well, we are.

MS. SCHUMACHER: The plan has not been implemented. Yes, we are competing with them and will continue to and why not take it up --

MS. EVANS: Well, maybe as you are mentioning all of these communities, again, I'll say and this is probably the fourth or fifth time I have said it because, you know, the issue was voted on, it was not approved, and basically we are just I think perpetuating the definition of insanity by going over and over and over this again and again and again and expecting a different result, but the point is initially in 2005 I asked them to invite Dickson City, Throop, Taylor, Old Forge, because I foresaw that if these other surrounding areas were not

\_

included Scranton would be at a distinct disadvantage because of it's tax structure, but they refused to allow those communities into this plan. By and large it involved the Abington area.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Because -- okay, I need to respond to that, because that is where the advantage comes. They are rural and they have the capacity to accomplish their goals and our goals at the same time. All 40, back when this began, all 40 municipalities within Lackawanna County were invited, some were followed up with. They chose not to. You can't force people. I mean, Scranton wasn't forced, but they participated for five years and then wouldn't even hear from the group or their own employee who gave five years of effort.

MS. EVANS: Well, it doesn't make sense, Mrs. Schumacher, to say everyone was invited when, again, I said, "Why are they not included? Have they been invited."

"No, they haven't."

MS. SCHUMACHER: I can --

MS. EVANS: And it was because of

our discussion at this time that Dunmore was allowed in. That seemed to be the one concession that SAPA made to me to actually invite Dunmore into it, but I think minus those two communities we have no level playing field. The advantage goes to the Abingtons in this plan primarily and the disadvantages go to Scranton.

MS. SCHUMACHER: (A), I don't think
you are seeing that. Dunmore wanted to go
in, but the way the laws were set the
communities had could contiguous and so when
Scranton signed on and Dunmore was allowed
to sign on, and I will bring you proof next
week and I will --

MS. EVANS: No, I agree, but Taylor is contiguous --

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- bring evidence that the letters went out to all 40 communities and you choose to accept that or not.

MS. EVANS: Well, then I can't understand the responses to me because, you know, they are talking out of both sides of their mouths.

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: I don't know. I think --

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You are talking to the wrong person. You should be talking to them. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Does anyone else care to address council?

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus. I'd just like to stand here today and say that I hope that you pass legislation on the Heritage trail. I go there every day and it's absolutely breathtaking. It's shaded, you are not in the sun all of the time, you get wonderful exercise. You take your bikes. The senior citizens, it's just amazing. The small children. I take my dog every day. It's just like the best exercise in the world. It's beautiful. It's kept up tremendously, and it's really an asset and I can't wait to get the rest of it so I can get some more miles in. It's just wonderful.

And ever day there is more and more people and it's becoming quite the place to

be and I really hope that you do this and give it as much money as they need as much as they need because this is one worthwhile cause. You can't even imagine. You should actually go there and see it yourself if you haven't. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave
Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers', resident
of Scranton. I'd also like to express a
little support for 5-B, however, we can
intensify our attempts to resolve this issue
with Mr. Donahue, I think that our city has
been tolerant and supporting enough of him
and he could extend himself a little bit,
even if he had to put a fence in the trail
so to preclude any other further intrusions
on his property or whatever. We don't need
any graffiti artists down there or something
like that.

I'd also now secondly, once again, I would like to thank the Chamber of Commerce and Austin Burke for moving a tax paying

business out of Scranton to Scott Township causing financial hardship and eventually failure and withdrawal of this corporation from the area. And one of the things I've noticed about the Chamber of Commerce is that they seem to be all for locating the nonpaying institutions into our town and moving the paying institutions out.

Furthermore, it's time we just said, we have to talk, time for a divorce,
Scranton Chamber of Commerce, and let everybody else go their own way, whatever way that may be.

Now, on Scranton University and the development I think that the current situation on Mulberry Street is intolerable. I wish they would speed up their work and stop asking for any more property and to shave down Mulberry Street, which is a major artery on the routes to our hospitals, and for a bunch of ornamentation I'm sorry, it just wasn't worth it.

Also, I would like to see, and I have before mentioned many times, that the state has to be approached and I'm tired of

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the cheapskates from other areas that benefit from all of these institutions in our town and don't want to pay a few extra state dollars in taxes to help us support these institutions. It's not that these institutions are terrible or bad, but basically we can't afford all of them and that's just the facts. That's why we are not competitive.

I support tax cuts only when the deficits are paid. We can't, like our federal government, I heard over the weekend news station, well, we could cut the taxes and we don't have to worry about the deficit. As long as we cut the taxes that's That's -- well, if you sit down and in these new station's mentality have like CNN and MSNBC have shown clearly that these tax cuts are basically just chopped in and built a massive deficit that we can't seem to overcome, and if somebody doesn't want to pay their taxes then fine, move to some narco country where they are going to wind up kidnaped and they could spend their money -- their money in lieu of taxes on

2

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ransom or something, I don't care.

Volunteers, I see a lot of people around volunteering for different things and sometimes they are not even asked, like, there is a couple down by the trolley town and they mow the lawn by the trolley town on the South Side of Scranton by Stafford Avenue, and I see them take lot of care and spending a lot of time, and they had two lawnmowers going, and what I'd like to see is possibly a certificate of appreciation or something that may be people could issue from council to these people and, you know, when you see something like that, like a really -- they are will extending themselves and spending their time and their money to keep it and it is a nice little area down there that they cut, and so it would be in the future maybe you could get some kind of certificate of appreciation or citizen's award for people like this that just do something like, for instance, I cut the island in front of my house all of the way down the whole block and the reason I do it is because my philosophy, well, if my

neighbors don't like me maybe they'll just 1 leave me the heck alone. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Well, thank you, 4 Mr. Dobrzyn. City pools, I would 5 MR. DOBRZYN: like to see those open. That's -- I wish 6 7 they'd get them open and the golden parrot 8 award goes to those senators that don't 9 think that massive tax cuts don't equal 10 deficit. We can't run a deficit anymore in 11 any form of government. The tax cuts have 12 to come after the deficits are paid. Thank 13 you. 14 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 15 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi. Janet. 16 MS. EVANS: Hi, Chris. 17 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, ya, handsome. RM. JOYCE: Chrissy. 18 19 JR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, I told you. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: What's up, Chrissy. MR. SLEDENZSKI: 21 Jack, it's ten 22 months I want to say hi to my best friend. 23 Those two aren't here. He was my best 24 friend, those two aren't here, he's my best 25 friend, right, Jack? Can I say hi tonight

2

3

5

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to him? Hey, Joe Spindler, you handsome devil, you.

MR. OSBORNE: Good evening. I'm Mike Osborne, city residents and council's appointee to the cable advisory panel. I just wanted to address 5-F, the renewal of the franchise agreement with Comcast. I just glanced over the agreement quickly since I just had a couple of things.

Number one, under Section 2.2, the term of franchise, it's listed as 15 years. I have spoke on this before, I just feel 15 years is just too long of a time. If you look back over the last franchise agreement it was also for 15 years, and a majority of the contract that was outside of the typical things that you would see in, you know, as specified by the FCC, the majority of it dealt with the rebuild of the system that was going on at that time. Well, within seven years that rebuild was obsolete and they were all replacing it, I mean, the technology changes that quick, so to tie us into a 15-year contract I just think it's I would think something along excessive.

the lines of seven years would be good or if it has to be 15 perhaps there could be some sort of a renewal clause in there that accommodates for any significant changes in the technology or for the offering of the cable company that would allow the city to open up the franchise agreement to renegotiate it earlier than the 15 years.

The second point would be the educational and governmental channel. The last contract specified a very, not complex, but there was a formula in there for how many channels we were allowed, which was probably very excessive, but I noticed in this one it only really mentions one channel, so I'm not sure if that means that we're losing a channel.

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. OSBORNE: We are?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. OSBORNE: I mean, I can see like if there is not a program to support it, but again, over the length of 15 years to not have any room for expansion I just think is boxing ourselves in, and in one of the other

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

subsections of that section there is also, and this is just a small thing, but it caught my eye, the return line, which is I quess the feed from the PEG channel back to Comcast, and it says we are allowed to relocate once during the term of the franchise. I'm not sure what the cost is to relocate it, but I know we've moved it twice within a year, so I'm not sure what we are locking ourselves into, especially since at the moment we really don't have any control over where the operator of the PEG channel operates from. I mean, they got forced out of their last home, I have to guess they are renting space now, who is to say how often that might move or if someone else were to run it where they would relocate. So once every 15 years also seems kind of minor, and I would think we should do something to increase that.

The next section is 7.3, which ws the grant, which I was happy to see, I think that was a good thing and, of course, I will go back to the 15 years ago again. It's like, you know, the PEG channel I don't

believe Scranton Today started operating until after the last franchise agreement was signed, you know, so we had to wait 15 years before we had the chance to negotiate a grant, so again, I just feel that's -- I mean, for that amount of money, I mean, it's great, but over 15 years it's kind of a small sum to have to wait until 15 years to possibly negotiate another grant.

I have a couple of other questions on that. I'm just wondering if there is going to be a separate ordinance to govern how that money is distributed and what it's used for. I talk about this in the meetings past is what is the protection for the city for the money that we are investing in the channel or are we going to own the equipment?

MS. EVANS: Actually, Mr. Osborne, all of the points that you have enumerated this evening have been issues for negotiation between council and the mayor's office, and as council president I have presented all of those issues. I will at length address the contract later this

evening under the voting portion. I also have a list of the changes in the contract, the proposed contract, versus the prior contract and if you are interested we can make a copy for you following tonight's meeting.

MR. OSBORNE: I'd appreciate that.

MS. EVANS: So you can examine that.

I will read it aloud, but I think it would advantageous for you to have your own copy.

 $\label{eq:MR.OSBORNE: Okay, I appreciate} \mbox{ that.}$ 

MS. EVANS: And the contract I would say is not complete at this point. Tonight is the first reading and it will have to be read again next week, so at this point in time council has not yet given it it's approval.

MR. OSBORNE: I understand that.

Just two other points, and I mentioned this earlier this evening, is there have -- I have researched a number of other cities that do have an ordinance that governs how a PEG channel is operated, and again, I'll suggest the city should look into that and

2

3

5

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

should really draft their own so --

MS. EVANS: Yes, again --

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  OSBORNE: -- both the city and the station knows what the guidelines are.

MS. EVANS: We have asked that the special counsel, Attorney Cohen, out of Pittsburgh who is retained by the city would actually draft specifications for an RFP. also brought to his attention that our PEG channel operator has never had a contract with the city and he found that outlandish, to be frank, because he has handled many cities throughout the Commonwealth and throughout the United States and he has never encountered this type of situation before, and so he was in agreement, there must be a contract and within the contract the provisions must be clearly spelled out, clearly defined in language that's going to be agreeable to the city and to the operator.

MR. OSBORNE: The final thing I have was the cable advisory panel was created as part of the last franchise agreement and I don't see any mention of it the next

agreement, so I was curious is it being disbanded or are there -- is there going to be another panel through maybe the ordinance governing how the channel is operated?

MS. EVANS: That I do not know. I can look into that for you. I know or I would assume that the cable advisory board was appointed by a mayor of Scranton.

MR. OSBORNE: There was all different -- council -- -I don't remember the exact breakdown. It was council has two picks, the mayor I think has two picks, Marywood has one, University of Scranton has one, the council neighborhoods have one and there is a few others, but I forget even now. I think there might be 11 members, but that's all specified in the last franchise agreement, the makeup of it, and who gets the appointments, what the duties are, and that's why I was curious I didn't see it in this franchise agreement so I wasn't sure what the future.

MS. EVANS: We'll look into that for you and have the answer and we'll get in touch with you as soon as we obtain that

information.

MR. OSBORNE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. Ronnie Ellman. I think I owe everybody an apology for rushing you so much in the past trying to get answers, but, see, time is an option I don't have. You know, Mr. Rogan and Mr. Joyce got a lot of time, I don't have any time like they do for, you know, to sit around here and wait for something.

And, Miss Janet, I got a suggestion for you, next time you have to deal with this bunch at the University take a stenographer because they have no credibility whatsoever. I got a bunch of words down here I won't call them, and like a couple of weeks ago Mr. Bolus said that the University was I think he said a commercial enterprise or something. If you look under the meaning in the dictionary of nonprofit, it says plainly that they are not to be organized or maintained for profit. Here we are talking about a 100 million

dollar entity. It's not right for the taxpayers of this city to be supporting this University with a bunch of, you know, that sit over here at the train station or something eating lunch. You just don't know how much it hurts people because I have talked to them, that's all I do. I had a guy tell me today that I'm full of baloney and I should never come up here when I was at Redner's -- I mean, at Price Rite, but he didn't hurt my feelings. He didn't -- he lived in Dunmore anyway so he can't come down here and talk.

Yet it seems like all of these people got something to tell us how fortunate we are. Last week some guy named Morgan in South Abington wrote a letter how we should sacrifice. I don't know how people can sign their name on such stupidity, you know, like they do.

I know I've made a lot of enemies coming up here because I run into them now and then, but it seems to me that it's very apparent that the University is trying to become the victim and the people of the city

1

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are the lynch mob. You know, they have free access to the paper I guess when Pat McKenna to do their bidding for them and this seemed like the only place to get facts out is in here, but they're just enough facts coming out and how bad things are with them and these other nonprofits.

They use the word extortion, to me it's survival of the city and that's not my -- I didn't come up with that somebody told me that. I just thought it was good. You know, last week, like I said, Marywood took a \$310,000 piece of property off the tax rolls, if they want this new sewer system or something let them pay for it, for crying out loud. You just can't keep letting these places go. I don't know how to stop them, but there is not one person that keeps telling us how good it is to keep giving and giving has come up with a solution. You know, Pat McKenna has never come in here and told us how we are supposed to make, you know, makeup for the school board's \$50 million or whatever was lost. Nobody has anybody idea expect we are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supposed to keep sacrificing. The people of this city just can't sacrifice no more.

It's over with.

Today was the sale of property, wasn't it or is it tomorrow? Tomorrow?

MS. EVANS: Today.

MR. ELLMAN: That is just so heartbreaking because I have talked to eight or ten of those people, the man across from me lost his house and it was paid for. the expenses, you know, the insurance and so forth they couldn't keep it no more. This is such a common story. I don't know how I keep mine sometimes. You know, six, seven years ago my insurance was \$292 a year and now it's over \$1,000. You know, with car insurance and everything and just living expenses that so many people can't make it that I talk to and it's just -- I don't know, it just makes me feel mad. Sometimes I feel guilty for, you know, having an antique car and all, but you know, I want to buy some more time.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Ellman, no can do.

MR. ELLMAN: You won't take a bribe.

Bye-bye.

1 You won't go anywhere in this state in politics, that's for sure. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. MR. ELLMAN: Yes, ma'am. 4 MS. EVANS: Goodnight. Does anyone 5 else care to address council? 6 Mr. McGoff, you have any comments or 7 8 motions? 9 10 11 12 citizens. 13 14 15 16 17 sent? I'm not sure. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 week. 25

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Very briefly. First of all, two responses to things that I have -- e-mails I received from some One may have been -- one of the things that -- one of the letters that was sent to Mr. Brazil concerning the paving and resulting flooding problem on Windfield Avenue, was that one of the letters that we MS. KRAKE: I'm not sure myself. MR. MCGOFF: Okay, but anyhow, if it was I was in contact with the citizen that sent the e-mail and also with Mr. Brazil and they are addressing the problem and hopefully it will be resolved sometime this The second was, again, I think the

citizen contacted me by e-mail and wanted me to thank the police department for prompt action taken in response to a robbery, things taken from a person's home. They were -- the perpetrators were found very quickly, items returned to the victim, even some items that they were not even aware had been taken, and I know in the past I've been asked by members of the police department not to mention names, but she would like to at least extend an appreciation and a thank you to the police department for their prompt action.

And the other thing, I said last week that I would talk to the mayor about perhaps scheduling meetings with the nonprofit organizations, at least the four colleges and the three hospitals, and in speaking with him he has agreed that he will meet with them to discuss the PILOT situation and that will be done as expeditiously as possible, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Rogan, any comments or motions?

21 22

24

25

23

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. I have a few citizens' requests and a few quick comments. The first one is the 800 block of There is a home that's under Ash Street. renovation and the neighbors have contacted me saying that a dumpster is placed on the sidewalk and people have to walk on the street to walk around, so could we please forward that to licensing and inspections?

Secondly, there is a vacant lot on the corner of Wheeler and Vine Street that was torn down a few years ago following a fire and the weeds are getting high and there are lots of animals in there as well. Would you please forward that to licensing and inspections as well as the blight officer.

Could we please request from Mr. Aebli the UDAG account balances as well as the loan updates they weren't included in the last report.

Mr. McGoff already spoke about Windfield Avenue.

And the residents report the smell of smoke from Anthracite Auto on Locust

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

Street, West Locust Street and Fifth Avenue, and this was a location of a fire on June 15 and residents report they may be operating an incinerator in the junk yard, and they also said that there are tires nearby where the area of the incinerator was and since the property is located in a neighborhood and in West Scranton, residents are requesting that the city inspect this business and enforce all laws that pertain to the safe operation and they also included some pictures. I know on TV you probably can't see them, they are small, but you can clearly see that they are burning something in there, so could we please forward this letter as well as the pictures to licensing and inspections.

And, finally, just a few brief comments, just something that's been bothering me for the last few week's actually. Each week Mrs. Krake reads off the clerk's notes and it seems there is more and more nonresponses from department heads and, you know, a lot of times we have been on the majority for six months and people

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will see me out and say, "Oh, how do you like being on council?"

And I say, "I enjoy trying to help people, but it's often times very frustrating," because when we send a request to a department head for something to be done for a resident we are not asking for ourselves, we are public servants and we are asking for the people, and I would just hope that, you know, this is for all of the department heads, there are a few that have been very prompt with their responses, with their information or for requests, but come the end of the year come budget time if the department heads don't want to work for the people of Scranton there are going to be severe cuts and I would just hope that they would do their jobs for the people, and that is all.

And one last point, I was out of town the last few days and I have some phone calls to return and people will be hearing from me in the next few days. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe, any comments or motions?

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you,

Mrs. Evans. Just a couple of quick comments

regarding some news articles this week.

First, last Wednesday after our meeting there was an article finger pointing based on our discussion with the University of Scranton. I just want to tell you, I stand by my statement and I stand by Mrs. Evans' and Mr. Hughes' statements that were made live on TV here, our explanation of the meeting and we did it in person, we didn't go through a spokesman, but we are here to answer questions and we answered and discussed it truthfully and honestly and that's all I have to say on that because, you know, the University is a great institution and we don't want to get into a back and forth. We went there for a reason and the reason is to help a distressed city out which would benefit them greatly, also, and that's basically that.

The next item is an article in the editorial this Sunday, "Flushing Opportunities Down the Drain." Again, not trying to beat a dead horse, but this is

also on SAPA. Ladies and gentlemen, yet again the Scranton Times is using it's power of the editorial by distorting facts and utilizing heavy-handed scare tactics by trying to link this council's rejection of SAPA to the potential loss of millions of dollars to Scranton and Dunmore residents.

You see, now through a letter written to city council president Janet Evans, my very good friend Gene Barrett, executive director of the Scranton Sewer Authority, explains some facts that we have already previously discussed here in a public caucus. That the Sewer Authority must be in compliance with the Chesapeake Bay project by May of 2013. He goes onto state that the estimated cost of the project is \$30 million depending on the best available technology that is compatible with our treatment plant.

The Times editorial states: The
Authority plans to seek 20 million in low
interest financing from PennVest. PennVest
interest rates are as low as 1 percent for
the large amounts needed for major

infrastructure projects, even marginally higher rates would result in substantial extra costs for the authority.

Mr. Barrett's letter states:

Typically, the interest rates for funds
drawn down during the construction period is
a little over 1 percent. The takeout for
permanent financing is about 2 to 3 percent
depending on the community's affordability.

It sounds to me like a distressed
municipality should be considered in that
statement.

The Times editorial states: In a letter last week to council, Scranton Sewer Authority executive and director, Eugene Barrett, noted that the state government has made participation in regional planning a component of formulas it uses to approve low interest loans and grants.

Mr. Barrett's letter states: In a recent planning consultation with state officials, the authority was told that participation by Scranton in a regional planning entity such as SAPA is looked upon favorably during their review of the

application, and I ironically this was in the newspaper before I received my copy of the letter Monday. They were placed in the boxes late Friday, it was addressed to Mrs. Evans. And I didn't see the cc to the Scranton Times, so I'm curious as to who sent it there.

Beyond that, it's amazing how an editorialist can change a few words and the impact of the statement also changes. I do not envy Mr. Barrett as he has a very daunting task ahead of him and I know that he has the ability to perform the task and council will be there with him all along the way.

The Scranton Sewer Authority has successfully applied for and was granted PennVest loans in the past without our participation in any regional planning entity. The Scranton Sewer Authority has also missed opportunities in the past to apply for available grants. It is ironic that the state supports these regional planning efforts in theory, but not in action as they have cut founding for these

programs in 2009 and 2010. This is another attempt by the state to transfer the burden onto the local municipalities.

According to the Pennsylvania

Economy League, the City of Scranton is

facing a deficit in excess of \$5 million

over the next three years. And, Folks, the

Pennsylvania Economy League has been here

for 18 years and they sold us the same bill

goods, they are going to straighten the city

out and they are going to be in better

shape. I don't see it, so how could I take

a leap of faith that SAPA is going to do

anything different because we're paying the

freight. This is some of the reasons behind

my decisions.

In this economic climate, we just watch how every dollar is spent. I and my colleagues I am sure support regional planning and cooperation to save redundancy in government services. We don't know the cost of SAPA, however, we do know that our taxes scare developers to the neighboring communities, just as we have explained many times. Let's SAPA provide city council with

a definitive membership cost and let us work together to lower our taxes in Scranton so that we can attract commercial industrial development in Scranton instead of steering it to our competitors. Until that time, we will just be the sap in SAPA. That's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Mr. Joyce, do you have any comments or

motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Just a few brief comments. The Single Tax Office passed onto city council the city funds distributed comparison from 2009 to 2010, and just to give you an idea of where we are as far as tax collections, at this time in 2009 real estate taxes we had collected \$10.6 million, this year roughly for the same time period \$11.25 million for an increase of \$650,000.

Earned income tax from last year to this point \$9.4 million, this year \$12 million for an increase of \$2.6 million.

LST/EMS tax \$827,000 at this point last year, \$819,000 this year for a slight decrease of about \$8,000.

Business privilege mercantile tax \$1.5 million at this point last year, at this point this year \$1.61 million for an increase of \$110,000.

Doing some simple mat, we could calculate that overall the tax office has brought in 3.37 million more dollars this year according to these comparisons that in a comparable period last year.

Also, one citizens' requests or citizen's requests rather that would be sense it's singular, the Capouse Avenue pool, Mrs. Krake, if we could send a letter to Mr. Dougher and ask him if this pool will be opening this summer and also if a new liner will be installed.

Also, has Mr. Renda replied back yet regarding the status of the audit?

MS. KRAKE: No, he has not.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Would you be able to please send that request again and that is all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

MR. ROGAN: If I could echo what Mr. Joyce said, Mr. Courtright must be doing a

great job over at the tax office if he is bringing in that much more money that his predecessor did in the midst of this horrible economic time he is still getting the money in so we thank him for that.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. I'll hold my comments on agenda items until the voting portion of our meeting. Notably absent from council's final agenda, however, is legislation for the competitive bidding of professional services and for the city rental registration program, both of which were to be drafted by the administration over four months ago.

However, I learned late this afternoon that a draft of professional services was finally submitted to Attorney Boyd Hughes and council hopes to include that in it's agenda the near future.

The rental registration program has the potential to significantly increase revenue and to hold absentee landlords accountable for their apartment dwellings citywide. Although, legislation was recommended by the business administrator,

once again, the administration seemingly has no intention to generate much needed revenue and to tighten the reigns on out-of-town landlords.

The 2010 budget contains a \$120,000 figure for rental registration fees which will most likely go uncollected this year.

Not collecting these fees creates an additional hole in the already unbalanced 2010 budget and a burden on the 2011 budget. This is an example of a lack of cooperation council receives from the mayor and his administrators to the detriment of the citizens and taxpayers of Scranton.

I was glad to learn, however, that the administration has finally switched electricity providers to Glacial Providers for a savings of roughly \$60,000. City council had been proposing to and urging the administration to switch electricity providers since January. This is an example of the results that can be achieved when the administration works with city council.

Next, I have citizens' requests for the week: A city resident has questions

regarding parking facilities for the apartment complex on Linden Street and the Commonwealth Medical College. Please forward her questions to Mr. Wallac, zoning officer, and Attorney Penetar, zoning board solicitor for written responses.

Neighbors of 895 Providence Road, the old Atlas Glass building, are requesting for the third time this summer that the property is cleared of overgrown grass and weeds. The property was recently condemned, by the way, but LIPS was given the name and phone number of the realtor who is handling the sale of the property. Please contact Mr. Oleski and ask what the problem seems to be that causes the eyesore to continue.

Despite the presence of a "Do Not Enter" sign, DPW garbage trucks continue to enter the street at the intersection of Cooper and Ward Place. Residents have contacted DPW to complain and to request the replacement of the curb broken off by the DPW truck. Please contact Mr. Brazil tomorrow regarding this problem. The street was paved last year after decades and the

residents are livid about the ongoing destruction of the street.

In the 900 block of Greenridge

Street between Penn Avenue and Capouse

Avenue, there are holes in each traffic

light caused by the utility company who

performed work at that location, perhaps for

a homeowner. Please contact the pave cut

inspector to address this problem.

Residents complain of loud noises made by

vehicles that constantly hit these holes.

Residents reports that the corner of Orchard Street and Pittston Avenue is overgrown. Please cut overgrowth as soon as possible.

403 Stafford Avenue, neighbors of the area have contacted Mr. Brazil,
Mr. Seitzinger, and the mayor's office regarding this blighted property without any positive results. Please notify Chief Elliott so that he can direct the blight officer, Officer Herchick, to address this property.

A city resident wishes to know the number of police and firefighters who are

currently off duty on work-related injuries.

If we could please contact the appropriate parties to obtain this information.

Request an update on the overgrowth at the intersection of Meadow Avenue and River Street from Mr. Oleski and Mr. Brazil. City residents have registered complaints about this problem for two years and asked why the city ordinance is not applied to the property owner.

And to the residents of the Park Gardens, your complaints regarding the parking problems on Housing Authority property were sent to Mr. Gary Pelicacci, executive director of the Scranton Housing Authority, and council awaits his response. When that is received I will announce that publically.

And to respond to some of the concerns Ms. Schumacher enumerated earlier regarding the Nay Aug lower parking lot, we requested that information from the business administrator, Mr. Renda, he failed to respond. As a result, we sent a Right-to-Know request for that information

and at this point in time I don't believe that the time limitations have expired and so when and if we should receive that information we will be very happy to share that publically.

Also, Miss Schumacher raised an issue that I share with her, and that is the bidding of special construction litigation attorney. I would like to know exactly the necessity, the purpose for this bid. It isn't something with which I'm familiar. I can't recall having seen this throughout my seven years on council and so I would like more information on that, please.

Regarding the blight caucus that was held approximately six weeks ago with Representative Smith and Murphy, actually, progress is occurring. Mr. Murphy is in the process of drafting a bill for the State House. He has requested the input of all council members. I know that I have been drawing up my own list of suggestions that I am going to forward to his chief of staff. I know in addition to that, Mr. Quinn, who formerly worked in OECD's Office and who is

also president of the Scranton/Lackawanna
County Taxpayers' Association has forwarded
to me his suggestions and let us say input
for inclusion into the legislation, so it
is, indeed, moving along. Granted, it is
not moving as quickly as you would like, but
there has been progress and this is being
pursued. In other words, no one is going to
drop the ball on this legislation and
Mr. Murphy is very, very anxious to have
this ready, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A TRAIL
MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY
AUTHORITY FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP OF
THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL (THE
"TRAIL").

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I would like to say that I wholeheartedly support this effort and I would also, as was said before, commend Mrs. Solfanelli and the Authority for their efforts in putting this together. River walks and trails of this nature are a benefit to a great many communities throughout the United States and I think it will be a great benefit to the City of Scranton as well.

MR. ROGAN: I don't get to say this often, but I agree with Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: It's getting cold somewhere, I think.

MS. EVANS: And I'd like to particularly thank Miss Solfanelli for her assistance with this legislation. She and her staff were always available and it was a pleasure to work with them. When this legislation was first presented, I had questions which ultimately determined that the legislation was inaccurate and after much work on the part of many individuals tonight's legislation is correct I'm pleased to note.

For reasons unknown, the city failed to pay for maintenance of it's portion of the trail in fiscal years 2008, 2009, and 2010. The city will pay a total of \$18,000 for those three past due years.

In addition, the city now has an agreement to pay \$4,000 per mile of trial located within Scranton per year from this point in time through December 31, 2015.

During those years, it is the Authority's and Council's hope that the trail in our city will expand. If and when that should occur, the city will be charged a set rate of \$4,000 per mile per year. Thus, our costs are locked in for the next five years.

And, you know, council faces many difficult decisions now and in the future as a result of mounting debt, structural deficit, increased pension payments and the potential Court awards to municipal unions. Although all projects have merit, council must select from among them those which have the greatest benefit to our city residents at an affordable cots, and I might add a determined cost. The Lackawanna Heritage

Valley Trail promotes the health, welfare, 1 and enjoyment of all of our citizens and I 2 3 believe this is a good investment of tax dollars. Anyone else on the question? 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: You said it all. 5 MR. JOYCE: I think everybody said 6 7 I think this is a -- I am, too, in 8 favor of this and I would like to thank Miss 9 Solfanelli and Mr. Welby for coming in to 10 speak on behalf of the Authority. 11 MS. EVANS: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 12 13 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 14 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 15 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 16 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 17 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 18 have it and so moved. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, now that we 20 have voted on it, might I suggest that 21 perhaps next week we could possibly if we 22 vote on this in Sixth Order that we can move 23 it to Seventh Order as well. 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. In fact, I believe 25 we will be doing just that with more than

1 one piece of legislation. MR. MCGOFF: 2 Thank you. 3 MS. EVANS: You are welcome. MS. KRAKE: 5-C. CLOSING FUND 02 4 SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT AS IT IS NO LONGER 5 NEEDED FOR THE CONDUCT OF CITY BUSINESS AND 6 TRANSFERRING THE FUNDS IN THIS ACCOUNT TO 7 8 THE GENERAL FUNDS. 9 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 10 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 11 introduced into it's proper committee. 12 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. MCGOFF: 13 Second. 14 MS. EVANS: On the question? piece of legislation I believe closes out 15 16 the East Market Street Bridge account since 17 the project was completed and the funds are 18 no longer necessary for this purpose. The 19 remaining funds are being transferred into 20 an active account. All those in favor of introduction 21 22 signify by saying aye. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE:

Aye.

25

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 3 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-D. TRANSFERRING FUNDS 4 FROM SPECIAL CITIES ACCOUNTS TO GENERAL FUND 5 ACCOUNT TO PROPERLY REIMBURSE THE CITY'S 6 7 GENERAL FUND. 8 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 9 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be introduced into it's proper committee. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 12 MR. JOYCE: Second. 13 MS. EVANS: On the question? This 14 transfer was recommended by the independent 15 city auditors. The issue at hand dates back 16 to 2009. Apparently, invoices were 17 improperly paid through the 2009 operating 18 budget which possibly should have been paid 19 through various special accounts. Now those 20 accounts are being used to reimburse the 21 operating budget. 22 MR. MCGOFF: This is -- I believe a 23 total of \$127,000. 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you. 25 those in favor of introduction signify by

1 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 4 5 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 6 The ayes have it and so moved. 7 MS. KRAKE: 5-E. AUTHORIZING THE 8 9 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A UTILITY EASEMENT 10 AGREEMENT FOR A SANITARY SEWER LINE OVER 11 LANDS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON SITUATE ALONG 12 OLYPHANT AVENUE ON BEHALF OF MARYWOOD 13 14 UNIVERSITY. MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 15 16 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be introduced into it's proper committee. 17 18 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? When 21 representatives of council went to the 22 University of Scranton for an increased fair 23 share payment in lieu of taxes, Father 24 Pilarz connected his voluntary contribution 25 increase of 50 percent to the aerial

easement rights. He admitted to having two different plans for construction, the first which included one large building with a bridge connecting each side; the second which included two separate buildings. Since his offer was unacceptable when considering the over 166 tax paying properties the University has removed from the tax rolls, evidently, he chose to pursue Plan B, the construction of two separate buildings. Legislation for the aerial easement over Hitchcock Court was never presented to city council.

Council began it's visits to the nonprofits with the University of Scranton because it has the largest footprint of tax exempt properties in Scranton. Council also hopes to talk to Marywood and other nonprofits regarding a fair share contribution in lieu of taxes, but our talks with Marywood are exclusive of an easement for it's installation of a sewer line.

In addition, Marywood University has no history of putting taxpaying businesses out of business or purchasing 166 plus

The ayes

residential and business properties and 1 2 removing them from the tax rolls. 3 contrary, Marywood is expanding on it's own property. It's construction project began 4 weeks ago and the installation and 5 maintenance of the sewer line will be the 6 7 sole financial responsibility of Marywood 8 University. 9 By granting this easement for the 10 sewer line, the Sewer Authority in turn will 11 receive increased revenue which helps to 12 lessen the burden on all sewer rate pairs. 13 All those in favor of introduction 14 signify by saying aye. 15 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 16 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 18 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. 19 Opposed? have it and so moved. 20 21 MS. KRAKE: 5-F. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY 22 OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CABLE 23 24 FRANCHISE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF 25 SCRANTON AND COMCAST OF

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COLORADO/PENNSYLVANIA/WEST VIRGINIA, LLC.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sorry. I would like to make a motion that the ordinance be amended so that the mayor and other appropriate city officials are not authorized to execute and enter into the cable franchise agreement and separate side agreement negotiated with Comcast, including all of the terms and conditions contained therein, and attached to the ordinance and marked as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference thereto, until Article 7.3 (1) is deleted from the cable franchise agreement, Exhibit A, and a new Article 7.3(1) is inserted into the cable franchise agreement, Exhibit A, as follows:

7.3 (1) The sum of \$100,000 shall be payable by Comcast to the City only after the passage of an ordinance by the City subsequent to the effective date authorizing the (\$100,000.00) payment to be made by Comcast to the City.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the

floor to amend Item 5-F, do we have a 1 second? 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 4 5 MR. MCGOFF: Do we have a copy of the motion, I know we said we would do that. 6 7 And what is the purpose of this, 8 Mr. Loscombe, if you could give me a brief 9 summary? 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: I think maybe our 11 attorney can explain it a little bit better 12 more in detail. 13 MS. EVANS: Actually, if you want to 14 wait one minute I can jump in with some 15 things that I wanted to say that may help 16 you with this, and then Attorney Hughes can 17 clarify further. 18 Approximately one year prior to the 19 expiration of the Comcast cable contract in 20 December 2009, proposals were sought by 21 council from additional cable companies. 22 Unfortunately, the only company interested 23 in a cable franchise agreement was Comcast. 24 As a result, the specialized 25 Attorney, Daniel Cohen, was hired to

represent the city in cable negotiations after the position was put out for bid.

The new contract has both advantages and disadvantages, and I would like to read for you what's been gained in the new contract. First of all, this is a nonexclusive contract. The previous contract, of course, was exclusive to the cable franchise provider.

A franchise fee percentage remains at 5 percent as per FCC regulations.

Definition of gross revenues: In the previous agreement we have a limited definition with eight enumerated revenue sources. The new agreement comprehensive definition 22 enumerated revenue sources that will increase revenue to the city.

Penalties for franchise fee underpayments, there were none, now 10 percent of underpayment. If franchise fees are underpaid by 5 percent or more then Comcast must pay the total cost of an audit.

Protection from reduced franchise fees due to bundled service, there was no protection in the previous agreement, we do

now have that protection.

A franchise grant. There had been none in the previous agreement, in this particular contract there is an allotment of \$285,000 in three installments: \$100,000 within 30 days, \$100,000 within one year, and finally, \$85,000 within two years.

Complimentary Internet service.

Previously, none. In this contract free service to 18 city facilities, eight business class connections including static IP addresses, and ten residential class connections. Estimated value \$16,536 per year or \$248,040 over the term of the agreement.

Complimentary cable service.

Previously, free basic level service to city facilities and schools. Currently -- or I should say in the new contract free expanded basic level service to city facilities, schools and libraries.

Cable systems specifications.

Previously required bandwidth of 550 MHZ and 75 channels. In the new contract required bandwidth of 750 MHZ, 150 channels,

Internet, video on demand.

Next, state of the art requirements to ensure city residents received advance services on time. Previously, this was not included. In the new contract, it is.

Educational government channels.

Previously, one initial channel with possibility of the additional channels, and we do know that that came to fruition.

There have been two PEG channels. In the new contract only one PEG channel.

Requirement that PEG channel be cable cast to all customers. Previously, no. In the new contract, yes.

Requirement that cable operator maintain PEG channel return line. In the previous agreement no. In the proposed contract, yes.

Free relocation of PEG channel return line. In the previous contract no.

And as was mentioned earlier this evening, in the proposed contract, yes, but only one time.

Technical quality requirement for PEG channel. Previous contract, no. And

the proposed contract, yes.

PEG channel reassignment restrictions. Previous contract, no. Proposed contract, yes.

Customer service standards. In the previous agreement they were limited. In the proposed agreement they are comprehensive.

Repair and restoration requirements for public and private property. There were none in the previous agreement. They are included in the proposed agreement.

Right-of-way protections including for public safety. There had been none.

Now there are right-of-way protections.

Reporting requirements. In the previous agreement there was only a franchise fee report. In the proposed agreement franchise fee reports, customer complaint reports, FCC reports, and regulatory violation reports.

Monetary fines for violations of franchise agreement. One fine, this is previously, one fine for cable system up grade violations and in the new agreement,

20 categories of fines for 20 separate violations.

Insurance coverage. Previously \$500,000 for injury to one person, 1 million for injury to two or more persons. 500,000 dollars for property damage. No automobile coverage requirement. In the new agreement, 1 million for bodily injury and property damage, 3 million umbrella coverage, 1 million for automobile liability.

Performance bond had been previously \$500,000. In the new agreement \$100,000. Right to conduct compliance review of cable operator. Previously, we had no right to do so. In the new contract, yes, the city now has that right.

The length of franchise term, previously 15 years. The proposed agreement is 15 years.

And I ended with the bad news, and this is news with which council is not pleased. We were told by Attorney Cohen that in order to obtain the aforementioned benefits and upgrades, the city must agree to a term of 15 years. Should we press

further for a ten-year contract, according to Attorney Cohen, we may lost many of the benefits and upgrades we have been offered.

Among the other proposals I presented, I requested a senior citizen discount which Comcast denied.

The final stalemate, however, occurred between the mayor and council over the operations of the public education and government channel or PEG Channels 19 and 21.

First, council demanded a contract between the PEG channel operator and the city. Having presented -- or, excuse me, having represented numerous cities throughout the United States in cable negotiations, Attorney Cohen was shocked to learn that Scranton has no contract with the PEG channel operator and agreed this must occur.

Second, council urged that requests for proposal for a PEG channel operator be advertised after specifications for submission of proposal are designed by Attorney Cohen. The process will be open to

all, including ECTV. The operator of the PEG channel will be selected by the mayor, but it must receive the approval of city council through legislation. If council does not approve the mayor's selection then council will chose the PEG channel operator.

Once approved by council, the operator will receive a contract with the city. Council felt it necessary to put management of the PEG channel out for bid because it has received constant complaints about ECTV's poor programming and failure to live up to it's proposal.

Third, all equipment purchased by

ECTV is the property of the City of Scranton
since it was purchased with \$90,000 of
government funds through the Office of
Economic and Community Development less than
two years ago. The equipment must be
returned in excellent working condition.

Fourth, a total of \$285,000 is to be given by Comcast to the PEG channel operator over the period of two years according to the new contract. \$100,000, as I mentioned a few years ago, will be given within 30

days of the effective date of the new contract, another \$100,000 will be distributed 12 months later, and the final \$85,000 will be distributed at the end of the 24 months.

The mayor demands that ECTV be given the first \$100,000 for payment of overdue bills it incurred and salaries dating back to October 2009. Council takes issue with this demand for the following reasons:

One, there is no contract between the city and ECTV, hence, there is nothing in writing that states the city is responsible for the bills of this organization. It seems we can only assume that the mayor may have made verbal promises to Mr. Darcy, president of the ECTV.

Two, ECTV failed to conduct regular fundraisers to raise money for it's operations as it done by other PEG channel operators.

Three, ECTV requests funding solely from the City of Scranton, although it broadcasts governmental meetings of the school board and county commissioners. The

prior operator received funding from the county and possibly the school board.

Four, ECTV received \$10,000 from the East Scranton Business Association of which Mr. Darcy is an officer. The same \$10,000 was given to the East Scranton Business Association by OECD.

Five, ECTV received a \$90,000 loan from OECD, all of which was forgiven within 12 months so no payments were ever made.

Six, the prior PEG channel operator, Scranton today, received a total of \$13,000 from the City of Scranton for a period of nine years work.

Seven, ECTV has failed to fully abide by the proposal it submitted to the City of Scranton for selection as a PEG channel operator in 2008.

Eight, countless complaints have been registered by Comcast subscribers regarding ECTV's poor performance.

Nine, ECTV has failed to be accountable and transparent to city council and the PEG Channel Oversight Committee.

Most often refusing to answer questions and

provide financial information from 2008 through 2010.

Ten, the first and only financial snapshot of ECTV was just provided in July 2010. Vague invoices for salaries and over 300 hours of carpentry work, for example, were submitted absent job descriptions, salaries per person, services provided, etcetera.

In an effort to compromise with the mayor, council offered \$50,000 to be paid by Comcast to ECTV for outstanding bills as it's forth proposal, and the remaining \$50,000 to be paid to a new PEG channel operator as startup funding by contract. This was a reasonable offer since there is no written contract stating that of the city is responsible for any of ECTV's bills.

Thereafter, the new operator will receive an additional \$100,000 at the conclusion of 12 months and the final \$85,000 at the conclusion of 24 months. For the remaining term of the contract with the cable channel operator, a set dollar amount will be paid to the PEG channel operator

from the city's operating budget.

The mayor has refused this proposal and demands the initial \$100,000 be given to ECTV for payment of it's sometimes vague, outstanding bills. If this were to occur, how then will ECTV operate from July 2010 and into the future 12 months with no money?

Equally important, who will bid on PEG channel operations and management when there will be no funding available for the first 12 months of operation?

While refusing council's proposal the mayor offered no answers to these crucial questions. Once again, it's simply his way or the highway. Therefore, council is amending this contract to prevent the release of the first \$100,000 to ECTV. By amendment, the money can only be released by council legislation and without this amendment council and the people of Scranton have no guarantee that the mayor will keep his word to request proposals for a new PEG channel operator, to select a responsible operator and to enter into a contract with an operator.

As follow-up action I believe council will draft additional legislation in the near future, but for now Attorney Hughes, Attorney Cohen and I have tried to negotiate this portion of the contract for nearly two months and the stalemate must be probing broken through this amendment in favor of accountability and in the best interest of the people.

Attorney Hughes, do you have anything to add to that?

MR. HUGHES: I probably should say, no.

MS. EVANS: Not at all.

MR. HUGHES: No, the only thing I would add is that looking at the PEG channel that the one thing that came up is that, as you stated, Attorney Cohen was pretty amazed that the city did not have a contract with the operator and didn't have any control over the operator and what it's content could be, and that's how all this came about and you have put it very succinctly as to, you know, what we have tried to accomplish.

We cannot accomplish that through an

amendment to this ordinance because it does not involve Comcast. Comcast only makes the channel possible. There is two channels, the city has agreed to give up one of the channels for \$85,000, and as a result if the ordinance is passed as proposed, Article 7.3.1, actually it's paren one, end paren, that that money that would come in and could come into the city's budget, council would have no control over the money and it would probably go to ECTV without any -- without them even having contract.

So as a result, what the amendment will do is that Comcast will keep the money, the \$100,000, which it owes the city, and that it will be paid to the city only upon further legislation from council authorizing the release of that money either into a special city account or into an escrow account or upon agreement with a subsequent ordinance that the award of the PEG channel operator or administrator would be the cities giving them a franchise agreement for them to operate the channel, such as in this agreement we have given a franchise

agreement to Comcast to operate the cable TV channel, so that this would be, again, a contract not quite for professional services, but very similar to it like the legislation that we have gotten today.

And that's why this legislation was drafted so that \$100,000 will not be paid immediately to the city -- it will be paid to the city so that then the city can determine how it's going to be paid out either part of it to ECTV as was proposed to pay their, you know, outstanding bills, whether none of it will be paid to them and all of it will go to the big operator, and that's the purpose of the amendment.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just add that I would hope as a result of this our meetings do not go dark now until this is resolved or we will know why.

MR. HUGHES: Pull the plug.

MS. EVANS: Let us hope that doesn't occur.

MR. HUGHES: From a procedural standpoint, I don't know if the actual

1 Motion E was introduced or was made and seconded. The proper procedure for Robert's 2 3 Rule of order would be to adopt, it would be to have a motion and second on that. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Yes. We had the motion, we had the second, and we were on the 6 7 question. 8 MR. HUGHES: Okay, then it would be 9 the motion to amend and then vote on the motion to amend first. 10 11 MS. EVANS: Well, that's what we are 12 doing. 13 MR. HUGHES: I didn't hear that. 14 Thank you. MS. EVANS: You are welcome. 15 MR. MCGOFF: Just to -- this 16 17 amendment then would hold up the funds so 18 that the bills presented by ECTV could not 19 be paid unless authorized by council? that be the effect of the --20 21 MS. EVANS: Attorney Hughes? 22 MR. HUGHES: That could be one of 23 the effects, yes. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. I guess what 25 I -- ECTV has been operating this channel,

two channels. They are here every week as proof that they are. I'm assuming that it's reasonable to assume that in doing so they are incurring expenses and that the city would be liable for those expenses since they are operating under the auspices of the city. Whether there is a written contract or not, they were granted the right to operate the channel. It's, again, I think a reasonable assumption that they, you know, would be paid according to whatever, you know, agreement for those services.

MS. EVANS: Well, they actually have received more money than their previous operator, as I stated in all of my comments.

MR. MCGOFF: I understand that.

MS. EVANS: They received \$100,000.

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:} \text{MR. MCGOFF:} \quad \text{I understand all of} \\ \text{that.}$ 

MS. EVANS: And Scranton Today over a nine-year period received \$13,000 and it wasn't for lack of asking the city for money, the city refused them, and as a result, they held fundraisers, they received funding from the county, I believe, and

possibly the school board. But more than that, you know, you were informed of all of these proposals by myself, by Attorney Hughes and at the time you agreed with your council colleagues and you told me you were going to speak to the mayor about it and now tonight you oppose it.

MR. MCGOFF: I didn't say I opposed anything. I'm merely trying to ask questions.

MS. EVANS: And you have the answers to the questions.

 $\label{eq:MR.MCGOFF:Well,I'm receiving} % \begin{center} \begin{$ 

MS. EVANS: Well, Scranton --

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry that I'm upsetting you by asking questions about this but --

MS. EVANS: I'm not upset at all, but what you are refusing to see is that you had the exact situation that your decrying now is precisely what went on for nine years. They performed services for nine years.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm not decrying

everything.

MS. EVANS year after year after year, and they were never paid. Would it not have been reasonable to expect that they should have been paid, but they were not.

Perhaps --

MR. MCGOFF: All I'm asking is, is that ECTV has presented bills to the city for services provided. If they are not paid, at some point in time I would think that they would seek some type of judgment to have these bills paid, and if it can be shown, if it can be shown that there was, even if it's a verbal agreement that they would be paid for these services, that we are going to end up paying them one way or another, and that's my only point on this that I don't know that we are going to avoid paying the cost of ECTV.

MS. EVANS: Well, you know, as I mentioned, I offered a reasonable compromise and that reasonable compromise has been rejected and, in fact, I believe Attorney Hughes spoke to me about this and in a last ditch effort if was increased to \$60,000

with -- or, excuse me, yes, \$60,000 with \$40,000 remaining as startup costs because, in fact, if you pay the entire \$100,000 to ECTV I question how they can continue to functioning because they have no money and they claim they have \$115,000 in unpaid bills as of June 2010.

Now, going further there, how do you put something out to bid and say to any other potential bidders, "We are offering you nothing for the first 12 months. That was not done in this case because in this case ECTV came right in with money. So, in fact, if you give ECTV \$100,000 what you are doing then is locking the city into ECTV and preventing the request process, preventing, you know, anyone else from having the opportunity to take over this station, etcetera.

MR. MCGOFF: I believe you said that the part of the negotiations with the mayor included providing -- or an RFP for a new provider, could the second hundred plus or part two of that go along with the second provider as, you know, a compromise.

~-

MS. EVANS: I know what you are saying, but that's 12 months from now according to Comcast.

MR. MCGOFF: It says on or before.

MS. EVANS: Well, from what I understand from Attorney Cohen it would be 12 months later and Comcast is providing this money as a grant, if you will, to the city as one of the perks for a 15-year contract, but they also or someone as part of that arrangement for the grant eliminated the second peg channel so that there will now be only one rather than two.

MR. MCGOFF: Understood.

MS. EVANS: So, you know, I just -I don't see how this is to the city's
advantage to be paying these bills minus, as
I said, minus a written contract, minus
bills that are specific and then locking
itself in because it has nothing to offer
any other PEG channel operator who might
wish to submit a proposal based on Attorney
Cohen's specifications.

In other words, it's an entirely different process than has ever been

followed before. It's going to be done very professionally, and because he has handled these types of things for other cities he knows exactly what should go in those requests for proposals. He knows what a very professional contract with a PEG channel operator should include, and those are the types of things we are looking for, but we are not going to achieve much of this by just giving everything away and taking the mayor's word for it that he is going to live up to the things -- live up to these conditions after the \$100,000 is given away. I can't trust in that, I'm sorry.

MR. MCGOFF: Then I -- well, maybe I would -- I guess my suggestion is that -- would be to, you know, pay the bills, put out an RFP for a new provider and move on.

MS. EVANS: Well, council can't put out the RFP. That has to be done by the administration and so we know how that goes. We don't have that rental registration.

MR. MCGOFF: I would have -- I would look into that because I do fear that eventually we will pay these bills one way

or the another.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? Once again, we had a motion on the floor to amend Item 5-F. The motion was seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so moved. Now, we will return to the original piece of legislation "F" as read by

Mrs. Krake. I'll entertain a motion that

Item 5-F, as amended, be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question.

MR. MCGOFF: I would like to see this agreement be passed. I think there is a need to get this done. I obviously said that -- opposed to the motion that was made, but I am in favor of the overall contract.

| 1  | MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there              |
|----|---|
| 2  | anyone else on the question? All those in   |
| 3  | favor signify by saying aye.                |
| 4  | MR. MCGOFF: Aye.                            |
| 5  | MR. ROGAN: Aye.                             |
| 6  | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.                          |
| 7  | MR. JOYCE: Aye.                             |
| 8  | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes           |
| 9  | have it and so moved.                       |
| 10 | MS. KRAKE: 5-G. APPOINTMENT OF              |
| 11 | JERRY WEINBERGER, 611 NORTH WEBSTER AVENUE, |
| 12 | SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS A MEMBER  |
| 13 | OF THE SCRANTON LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE |
| 14 | AUTHORITY FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR.     |
| 15 | WEINBERGER'S TERM EXPIRED ON DECEMBER       |
| 16 | 31, 2009 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON    |
| 17 | DECEMBER 31, 2014.                          |
| 18 | MS. EVANS: At this time I'll                |
| 19 | entertain a motion that Item 5-G be         |
| 20 | introduced into it's proper committee.      |
| 21 | MR. ROGAN: So moved.                        |
| 22 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.                       |
| 23 | MS. EVANS: On the question?                 |
| 24 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. As with all of the          |
| 25 | other appointments first we are going to    |

|    | , -  |
|----|--|
| 1  | ask, but we would like a resume and cover    |
| 2  | letter for next week's reading.              |
| 3  | MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there               |
| 4  | anyone else on the question? All those in    |
| 5  | favor signify by saying aye.                 |
| 6  | MR. MCGOFF: Aye.                             |
| 7  | MR. ROGAN: Aye.                              |
| 8  | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.                           |
| 9  | MR. JOYCE: Aye.                              |
| 10 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes            |
| 11 | have it and so moved.                        |
| 12 | MS. KRAKE: 5-H. APPOINTMENT OF               |
| 13 | DAVID V. PHANEUF, 1812 ACADEMY STREET,       |
| 14 | SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER   |
| 15 | OF THE SCRANTON LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE  |
| 16 | AUTHORITY FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR.      |
| 17 | PHANEUF'S TERM EXPIRED ON DECEMBER 31, 2009  |
| 18 | AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, |
| 19 | 2014.  |
| 20 | MS. EVANS: At this time I'll                 |
| 21 | entertain a motion that Item 5-H be          |
| 22 | introduced into it's proper committee.       |
| 23 | MR. JOYCE: So moved.                         |
| 24 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.                        |
| 25 | MS. EVANS: On the question.                  |
|    |  |

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. I'd just like 1 to -- Mr. Rogan mentioned before about a 2 3 resume, but I do know Mr. Phaneuf and his family personally and he has been in this 4 position prior, I guess this is just 5 renewal, but I'm sure he will have his 6 7 letter and his resume in here, but I do know 8 him personally and he is very well 9 qualified. Thank you. MS. EVANS: All those in favor of 10 11 introduction signify by saying aye. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 17 have it and so moved. 18 MS. KRAKE: 5-I. AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S PARTICIPATION IN THE 19 NEPA COOPERATIVE PURCHASING ALLIANCE PROGRAM 20 21 WITH LACKAWANNA COUNTY AND THE NORTHEAST 22 PENNSYLVANIA ALLIANCE. 23 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-I be 25 introduced into it's proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. JOYCE: 2 Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 3 A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 4 5 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 6 MR. ROGAN: 7 Aye. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 9 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 10 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 11 12 MS. KRAKE: 5-J. RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION 13 14 OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF 317 LINDEN, LLC, TO 15 THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY 16 17 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR A LOCAL SHARE 18 ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT, FOR A REAL 19 ESTATE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT LOCATED AT 20 21 324/326 PENN AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 22 CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 23 24 EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT 25 GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH

THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$472,317.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-J be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? After having done research on this type of state grant and having spoken publically with Ms. Aebli and Mr. Daniel Joyce, I am confident that this grant cannot be used by the city for any other project. Should council reject it, the money will be used elsewhere in Lackawanna County, outside the City of Scranton, for another economic development project.

Further, this is a three-month project from beginning to end of construction and renovations and a formerly deteriorated unsafe area of downtown Scranton.

Since the grant cannot be used for

| 1  | public safety purposes or for our                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | neighborhoods in calendar year, we should            |
| 3  | gladly accept it for use on Penn Avenue.             |
| 4  | All those in favor signify by saying aye.            |
| 5  | MR. MCGOFF: Aye.                                     |
| 6  | MR. ROGAN: Aye.                                      |
| 7  | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.                                   |
| 8  | MR. JOYCE: Aye.                                      |
| 9  | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes                    |
| 10 | have it and so moved.                                |
| 11 | MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, might I                      |
| 12 | suggest that this is, too, be considered for         |
| 13 | two readings next week?                              |
| 14 | MS. EVANS: Absolutely. Yes. Thank                    |
| 15 | you. Mrs. Krake.                                     |
| 16 | MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.                         |
| 17 | READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 27,           |
| 18 | <u> 2010 - AN ORDINANCE</u> - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT |
| 19 | PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS 714-716              |
| 20 | MCKENNA COURT, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,               |
| 21 | TAX MAP NO. 14677-030-019 TO CHRISTOPHER AND         |
| 22 | LORETTA DOHERTY, HIS WIFE, 715 MONROE                |
| 23 | AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, FOR           |
| 24 | THE CONSIDERATION OF \$2,500.00.                     |
| 25 | MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by                   |

| 1  | title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?          |
|----|--|
| 2  | MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A                    |
| 3  | pass reading by title.                             |
| 4  | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.                              |
| 5  | MS. EVANS: On the question?                        |
| 6  | MR. ROGAN: Yes. On the question,                   |
| 7  | last week I brought up the name happened to        |
| 8  | be Chris Doherty, and we looked into it, it        |
| 9  | was no relation.                                   |
| 10 | MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.                   |
| 11 | All those in favor signify by saying aye.          |
| 12 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye.                                   |
| 13 | MR. ROGAN: Aye.                                    |
| 14 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.                                 |
| 15 | MR. JOYCE: Aye.                                    |
| 16 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes                  |
| 17 | have it and so moved.                              |
| 18 | MS. KRAKE: 6-B. <u>READING BY TITLE -</u>          |
| 19 | <u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 28, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE</u> |
| 20 | - ENACTING FEES FOR COPIES OF FIRE, POLICE,        |
| 21 | DETECTIVE AND AMBULANCE REPORTS, EXEMPTING         |
| 22 | CRIME VICTIMS FROM PAYING FEES FOR REPORTS         |
| 23 | AND ESTABLISHING A SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT FOR        |
| 24 | DEPOSIT OF THE FEES.                               |
| 25 | MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by                 |
|    |  |

title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?

I move that Item 6-B

pass reading by title.

MR. ROGAN:

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. As I stated last week, this is an excellent piece of legislation, something that all of us at council should be proud of, and I hope that Mayor Doherty will sign it into law after it's passed next week and to protect the victims of crime.

MS. EVANS: And I do understand the mayor's comments that were made today that none of the money collected prior to our legislation would be designated for the purchase of police cars or equipment for the police department, but I would expect that from the effective date or the adoption of the formal adoption of this ordinance going forward all of those monies will be put into that special account.

MR. MCGOFF: In speaking with the mayor, he was in favor of the legislation.

MR. ROGAN: Good.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff. 1 All those in favor signify by saying aye. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: 4 Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 5 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 8 have it and so moved. 9 MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -10 11 FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 24, 2010 -12 APPOINTMENT OF WAYNE EVANS, 717 ALDER STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A 13 14 MEMBER OF THE ETHICS COMMISSION FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. EVANS' TERM WILL EXPIRE 15 16 ON JULY 12, 2010. HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 12, 2015. 17 18 MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the 19 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 20 passage of 7-A. 21 MR. ROGAN: Second. 22 MS. EVANS: On the question? As was 23 noted earlier, Mr. Wayne Evans had submitted 24 a data sheet to city council and his 25 reappointment has occurred in a timely

fashion according to ordinance, and I do 1 know Mr. Evans, although he and I are not 2 3 related. He does a fine job in any position in which he is appointed. 4 5 MR. ROGAN: And I would just agree with what you said. I know Mr. Evans as 6 7 well and he seems to be a very hardworking 8 person and I know he has been very active in 9 the neighborhood organizations in South Scranton. 10 MS. EVANS: Yes. 11 12 MR. ROGAN: We thank him for supplying this information as well. 13 14 MS. EVANS: Yes. indeed we do. 15 everyone has submitted that information and 16 that has been noted by council. Roll call, 17 please? 18 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 19 20 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 21 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 22 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 24 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 25 MR. JOYCE: Yes.

|    | 137                                    |
|----|--|
| 1  | MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.              |
| 2  | MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare       |
| 3  | Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. |
| 4  | I'll entertain a motion for            |
| 5  | adjournment.                           |
| 6  | MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.          |
| 7  | MS. EVANS: This meeting is             |
| 8  | adjourned.                             |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
|    |  |

I

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER