CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK (Not present) CATHY CARRERÁ, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. CARRERA: THIRD ORDER. NO
16	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
17	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
8	council members have announcements at this
19	time?
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a couple.
21	There be a fundraising spaghetti dinner for
22	a former firefighter from Scranton, a good
23	friend of mine, Jim Fanning, who is
24	suffering from several medical problems and
25	it will be held at the Clarks Summit Fire

Department Hall at 321 Bedford Street on Saturday, July 31, from 1 to 4 p.m.

Admission is \$10 per adults and \$5 for children. For more information you can call Rose Costa at 815-7703.

Next Saturday, July 17, the

Fraternal Order of Eagles is having their
third annual ribfest. The doors open at

1:00 p.m., with the ribs starting at 3 until

8. Tickets are \$15 and must be bought at
the club 493 Meridian Avenue by this coming
Tuesday. There be will a DJ starting at 3
to 7 and a second DJ starting at eight at
night. There will be baskets to raffle off
and various prizes to give out. All money
will go to the American Cancer Society.
Tickets can also be bought at the door for
\$20, so it's \$15 in advance, \$20 at the
door.

And the last one is a benefit for Gene O'Malley, Saturday, August 21, 2010, at the Parker House, 12 East Parker Street, Scranton. The time is 7 to 11. Tickets are \$10, includes food, beer and music, and it will be selling chances and 50/50 tickets.

Gene grew up in the Greenridge area of
Scranton and graduated from Scranton Tech in
1985. He suffered a spinal cord injury in
April. This benefit will help with his
medical costs as he completes his
rehabilitation and regains his mobility.
That's it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Are there any other announcements?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. The Pinebrook

Neighborhood Association block party and the

Opal Lucas Memorial Softball Tournament will

be held, Saturday, August 21, at the

Pinebrook baseball field. Co-ed teams are

needed for the softball game and there will

be a \$100 team entry fee. You can contact

Megan Lucas at 241-4833 or e-mail at

MAGZ182@yahoo.com.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else? Mrs. Krake is unable to attend tonight's meeting due to a death in her family, therefore, clerk's notes will be resumed next Tuesday.

The caucus with the Pennsylvania Economy League has been reschedule for Tuesday, July 27, at 5:30, since council

members wish to pay their respects to the Krake family at tonight's viewing.

The weekly schedule for street cleaning is as follows: July 12 to July 16, Washburn Street to Elm Street in West Scranton, and July 19 to July 23, Oak Street to Wood Street in North Scranton.

Saint Peter's Lutheran Church will host a Friendly's family fun night this Thursday, July 15, at Friendly's in Dunmore to benefit three-year-old Emily Donovan. At age 20 months she was diagnosed with a cancerous brain tumor, has since undergone surgery and radiation and currently receives chemotherapy. Emily will be traveling to St. Jude's Hospital in Memphis for further treatment. Please help Emily and her family by supporting this very worthy event.

Saints Peter and Paul Russian

Orthodox Church on Washburn Street in

Scranton will hold it's annual chicken

barbecue on Sunday, July 18, from noon to 2

p.m. at the Parish Center. Takeouts are

available and donations are \$9.00.

Rolling Thunder Chapter III will

conduct it's 11th annual salute to Veteran's ride on Sunday, July 18, dedicated to the memory of Lance Corporal Larry M. Johnson, USMC, who was killed in action in Afghanistan on February 18. Larry was a wonderful young man who was also my student at Scranton High School. Registration for the ride is from 9 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. The ride itself begins at one noon in the Gino Merli Veteran's Center at the corner of Mulberry Street and Penn Avenue in Scranton. A picnic with resident veterans will follow.

The Greenridge Assembly of God
Church will hold a spaghetti dinner
fundraiser this Saturday, July 17, from 4:00
to 7:00 p.m. Proceeds from the dinner will
be used to help purchase a specially
equipped handicapped van for Ralph Vanzilli,
a 16-year-old boy with cerebral palsy.
Tickets are \$8 for adults and \$4 for
children 12 and under and can be purchased
at the event. If unable to attend, you may
mail donations to "Van for Ralphie Fund" PNC
Bank, 210 Peen Avenue, Scranton, PA, 18503.

And, finally, the annual nine-day

solemn novena to St. Ann will be conducted at St. Ann's Shrine Basilica in Scranton beginning this Saturday, July 17, through Monday, July 26, the feast day of St. Ann. Tens of thousands of the faithful will travel to this novena and the City of Scranton is blessed to be home to this international treasure, which is one of very few basilicas located worldwide, and that's it.

MS. CARRERA: FOURTH ORDER.
CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Andy Sbaragalia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians. I usually comment mostly on agenda items, but I'm going to steer a little away from it. I was reading in the paper extortion.

Articles and articles being written saying people are extorting, extortion. All taxes are a form of extortion and when people are paying more than other people that is extortion. When I have to pay taxes for a third of the city that doesn't pay taxes

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's a form of extortion. Somethings got
to be done with the nonprofits. I don't
know if we have to go all the way to
Harrisburg, but I read a lot of articles on
it down in Pittsburgh and so forth they have
a way of squeezing some money from these
nonprofits.

Now, I'm not going to say there has been not a benefit to have the University of Scranton here, okay, that would be ridiculous to say that because when I worked for the elevator company I took care of the elevators there, so that was a benefit and then, of course, there was many more people who did the same, a lot of tradesmen when we built the place and so forth and so on. Yeah, there is a benefit, but there is also a dark side to it, too. You got a positive and you also got a negative, and the negative is the city is the population of the city keeps dwindling and the nonprofits are growing. When you put them together you see a dark picture ahead for the people of Scranton. You just can't do it. People, you got to do what you can to get what you

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can from the nonprofits. Maybe through Harrisburg as being a 2-A city there may be a little push there because we are the only ones that are 2-A. Scranton itself was given that title a long time ago when we had a 120 or 130,000 people here, but we are now down to half of that now and we are still going by the same laws we did when we had all of them other people there.

Plus, as you know, the industry in Scranton has declined tremendously because of the coal mines, railroads, you can go on and on, and the problem has grown greater and greater and greater. You have to do what you can. Nobody wants to pay more than -- well, put it this way, nobody wants to pay, so they got to be forced into it. I don't want to pay my taxes, but you take my house if I don't pay my taxes. Why should they be exempt? Why should anybody be exempt from paying their fair share of the taxes within the city? I mean, it's ridiculous to sit there and say, "Well, we are a nonprofit."

They are not a nonprofit. They are

only on the books for being a nonprofit, I'm sure they are generating a lot of money and where that money is going I don't know, but they are generating money. There is no nonprofit in the city, even the hospitals aren't nonprofit. Somewhere they are paying directors, they are paying this, they are paying that. They have to generate money for it or they wouldn't be able to stay in business.

So like anything else inside of the city we all got to go -- we all got to join together, there is no question about it.

Whether you are an a person that doesn't have a nonprofit and the KOZ's, which I'm glad to see that gone, that alone will bring some of the money from the people who got the KOZ's back into the city coffers, but you can't keep spending like they were doing and looking for more places to spend and that's where we really got the problem.

The mayor built too much at once and pushed the city into where we are today. He did too many things at once that put the city where we are today. You can't go

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forward and let you go backward to understand how these problems were created.

As you know, in the very beginning we are paying a lot of the medical costs Now we are getting all of that they cried. these escalations in medical costs. Well, a lot of them was caused by the mayor itself in the very beginning, but he wouldn't He went ahead with whatever his agenda was. He went and started building all over the city, increasing borrowing, borrowing, borrowing, and to the point now where I told you we don't even know who owns the DPW site, that will change hands so many times we don't know who actually owns it anymore. God only knows, but anyway, I will continue some more. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,
Mr. Sbaraglia. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening. If I may appropriately address you, your majesty, I believe it's an appropriate title for the queen, especially one of the people that I believe you are. I believe the evil, and I will say, the black knight, Chris Kelly,

from the Times is ill-informed. I believe, also, he is not a resident of the city so, therefore, he is not subjected to what we have gone through at the hands of King Chris who has put this city in this financial condition. He couldn't settle contracts, we can't pay bills, and we haven't gone forward, but we have eroded the tax base under his ruling. He has given land away, we have given our tax base away, we have given all of that away.

I find it offensive that because you and your Court, and I will address you in that form, stood up to the University of Scranton, the profiteering carpet baggers, land grabbers that have taken from the people in this city for nothing, yet they voluntarily want to hand a few dollars. They sit here and say we gave \$441 billion to the economy by their own estimation.

Well, I don't know if anybody in here got a check from all of those people that received that money to help us pay our taxes, our heating bills or our expenses of living in the city our own wage taxes. I don't think

they shared them with anybody. I find it kind of comical that they would say that.

They pay a substantial salary to people, I have known people that have come from all over the country, Texas, Ohio, Iowa and loaded up the buckboards and they came here because they are making so much money out of a nonprofit business that could pay these fees. Well, it's time that they start paying every nonprofit.

You know, we saw King Chris give away the South Side Complex. We saw the Ice Box, for whatever they paid and they haven't paid what they owe, and I could go on and on and on. I was kind of taken back that I saw today in the paper that Mr. McGoff, our former council president, who is part of King Chris' Court, and his other council members that gave away the golf course money, they gave away South Side, they vacated streets for the University, yet they sit here and chastise your majesty because you went and took the fight where it had to be, but in their cowardly way, in a sense, they didn't represent us in the past

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

councils because they didn't really care about the people. They concerned themselves about their political aspirations and the good ole' boy club that we call cronyism. Maybe back in the day of king and queens they would been dealt with a little bit differently today, it's a different way.

So I take offense to that because I came here when Mr. McGoff was president and said create a fee, create ways to bring in money to this city. Go after professional fees, put them out for bids, start saving money in this city. None of that ever happened, yet, your chastised because you took the fight for the people and I think you are entitled to an apology from Mr. McGoff and others, because they are wrong, they were not for the people, and now finally after years and years of abuse, misleading people, we finally get a council and a leader who is actually taken the fight on behalf of the people and not for personal gain or personalized favoritism and now you are taking the heat for it.

Well, your majesty, stay on your

thrown, you are wielding the hammer, and I can assure you the people are behind you more than Mr. McGoff statements, Chris Kelly's statements, because sticks and stones could break your bones, we all know the saying, so stay fast, stay the course because we will come up with a way to make the University pay because the extortionists are them. They are extorting the people in this city. They are taking, they are not giving nothing back because we have received nothing, and we don't intend to receive anything from them because it's not their nature.

They are takers. They have been doing this since the 1800's, as we are well aware. So, we, the people, are going to get behind you and your Court and we are going to help you get where you need to be and those that are shunning you and trying to push you in the dark corner are really the evil ones of the city because they haven't done anything for us. Just look around us. We are the laughing stock of the State of Pennsylvania, probably part of the country,

and it's been at the helm of King Chris and his past Court. They were jesters. Stick with your Honor, stick were your integrity.

And, Mr. McGoff, I'd like to hear an apology because it's well deserved to her Majesty because you are under her rule, not the other way around now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank, Mr. Bolus, but I might add, I certainly don't see myself as a queen holding Court, rather throughout my lifetime I was born a serf, I was raised a serf, I have also been a serf, so I am one of the many serfs in Scranton and maybe we should take lessons from history like William Wallace and others who joined together to obtain fair treatment for the people.

MR. BOLUS: You are step above.

MS. EVANS: Thanks. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: You know, I would like to talk a little bit more about SAPA tonight, if I may. Did anybody on council

talk to the city planner about SAPA? 1 2 waiting to see if anybody is going to say 3 whether they have or not. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 4 MR. MORGAN: Anybody else? 5 MS. EVANS: 6 No. 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: No, I haven't. 8 MR. MORGAN: Have you read the plan? 9 Do you have a copy of the plan? MS. EVANS: Yes. 10 Yes. MR. MORGAN: Have you read that? 11 12 MS. EVANS: Yes, I have. 13 MR. MORGAN: Okay. I'd just like to 14 ask, where do you think it hurts the city to 15 implement SAPA? I'm just curious. 16 MS. EVANS: I believe that it is 17 irresponsible for the city to enter into any 18 agreement wherein the city does not know the 19 cost of the agreement, particularly, in 20 these financial times that you are well 21 aware of. 22 Secondly, I do not believe that any 23 business can be forced to relocate into the 24 city or to locate itself into the City of 25 Scranton because of a SAPA plan,

particularly since our neighbors of Dickson City, Throop, Taylor, etcetera, are not part of this plan and have much more to offer financially than the city does. And knowing that, I had asked back in 2005 that each of those entities be allowed into the SAPA program as well, and they were refused admission because those in charge felt that the group would become too large and unmanageable, disorganized.

I'm further concerned about the section in there regarding fair share. When you put these groups together, fair share in terms of the federal government means that every entity has to accept a certain portion of business, of homeowners, of low to moderate income developments, etcetera, but by banding together certain areas are then able to downsize what entities that they would prefer not to allow into their area and because Scranton already, as is noted in the plan, is a home to, for example, numerous low to moderate income projects, etcetera, they would be pointed more towards the City of Scranton.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Now, you know, I have read the Scranton Times like probably all of the council members did, I believe Mr. King is the city planner, and he stated that it might cost up to \$30,000 to implement this plan, and I would just like to say that when you look at existing land use and the draft for use and you look at these grids and these maps, I mean, in my own opinion I can't tell you why Dickson City wouldn't become a part of that plan because they have all of the development they can use and more. I think the person who has a problem with the development is the City of Scranton because we have lost our industrial base and we are losing our merchants.

And I really am going to be very honest with you, Mrs. Evans, if I was in Dickson City the last thing I would do is join this plan because you have nothing to offer me, okay? And I just seem to think that when you look at this plan and you look at the proposed employment centers, they are almost all in Scranton, and we have a lot of

industrial areas that are empty.

I think we need help with our infrastructure and I think we need help with our taxes and I just think that every member of this council should have a copy of this. You may have a copy of this, but there is other council members here who don't have one, at least that's what I have been lead to believe. I believe, Mr. Loscombe, did you say you have a copy of this?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. I think we all received a copy.

MS. EVANS: Everyone has a copy.

MR. MORGAN: I was under the impression that he was shaking his head no, and I just think you when really look at this and you look at these maps and these grids you find out that the only -- this is a very positive plan for this city because it moves a lot of development here and, yes, I agree with you a lot of the development would take place outside of this city, but I think that would be a development -- that would be a boon to this city because if

people are going to build manufacturing it's going to come into this city and create jobs here and evidently this does have a lot to do with zoning, okay?

But I just think that we have had our heads stuck in the sand and we have been chasing nonprofits for 20 years. We have been talking about the University of Scranton and nothing has happened, and I just think that in lieu of taxes from the nonprofits we should be really trying to send our students there for free.

The other thing I think we should try to do with the nonprofits is we should ask them to put one or two people on their payroll and then let the city use those employees to do whatever work we need do, whether it's infrastructure or whether it's taking care of vacant lots.

I think we have done a great job of condemning a lot of properties and a lot of other things and I can't -- you know,

Mrs. Evans, you have expressed a couple of thoughts here, but the one thing that I don't understand is why we are so afraid to

22

23

24

25

present it here and the people in this residence in this city should have the opportunity to hear the experts who put this plan together express it to this council because I think that some of this -- some of the members on this council may be wrong on some of these issues and by the same token I may be wrong on some of these issues. But I think it's important to bring the people who created this plan forward because really we have to try a new direction here and at any time that we care to drop out of this plan we can. It's right here in the forward to this. Each member community reserves the right to withdraw from this SAPA plan at any time.

let the people at SAPA or Mr. King come here

entitled to their opinion, but this is not a

serfdom and the people here are not serfs

the Recovery Plan, and I think that the

people that put this plan together should

and they were mislead as everybody knows by

I mean, that's the real problem I

and explain this plan to the voters of the

have because you know what everybody is

21

couldn't we just take a look at it?

There is lot of other things, the autonomy of each and every member community shall be permanently protected and maintained. It's right here in the plan.

All decisions relative to participating in this plan now and in the future are the sole domain of the elected leadership of each member's community.

I'm just wondering what are we so afraid of to bring people here and let them explain the plan? I mean, I really think that every member of council should take a look at the land use maps and see the benefit it would give this city because you know what, we need to turn this city around jobs and opportunity and we don't seem to have either and we need to do something with the tax base. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I would just add though that there have been public meetings held by SAPA --

MR. MORGAN: There have been and I agree --

MS. EVANS: -- for members of the

public in Scranton and elsewhere. I would also add that their funding was cut in the state budget. This program works through DCED, that funding was cut in 2009 and again cut further in 2010. It's not a matter of being afraid to sit down with people, each one of us has read this plan. Our fear is more debt adding to the debt that's already historical in this city and not knowing a price tag, I'm sorry, is highly irresponsible. And I think at this point, it might be Mr. Loscombe who said this to me, Scranton is the sap in SAPA and this council isn't going it allow that to happen.

The next speaker is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: Just a few issues I have tonight. A few weeks back a gentleman had approached me on the street regarding the problem with people coming in his neighborhood, I guess, and they are dropping off their garbage on the side of the curb and I don't know if they are related to the

people that they are putting this garbage out in front of their homes, but I just don't think it's right and I'm sure council would feel the same way, and he told me it's been going on for quite sometime now. I know it's one of those issues it's kind of hard to prove who exactly is doing it and when it's happening, but it is out, it's happening, and he wanted me to address it tonight and see if there is maybe anyway we can get ahold of DPW and just inform them so they can be on the lookout and maybe we can prevent this from happening.

I feel that, you know, obviously, if you are not pay taxes in the city and don't live here you obviously shouldn't come here and tax advantage and just drop off your garbage. If you are coming from Old Forge, Taylor or Moosic, I know they operate on garbage stickers, so buy your garbage sticker and contribute to your own community, and I know they are having a problem down there with people trying to reuse their garbage stickers week after week, so they have officials going around

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and actually inspecting the garbage bags to make sure it's not happening, so I guess there has been a problem and hopefully we can try and solve this problem.

This question is for Mr. Loscombe and the public safety department, I was wondering if it's possible we can send a letter to Chief Elliott regarding supplying our police officers with florescent gloves when they are directing traffic in the They may have them already, but a evening. few ago it was on Meadow Avenue and there was an officer directing traffic and with the construction going on on the bridge and he had a florescent vest on, but he didn't have the gloves on. When it's getting dark you have drivers who may have trouble seeing the hand motions and it would certainly help if there was some gloves that you could see where he is motioning and hopefully we can try to prevent, you know, any accidents.

This question is for Mr. Joyce, you had made the motions weeks back regarding the issue with I had with the speed bumps on West Locust. I belive it was you that had

asked to send a letter to DPW.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I have not heard anything back regarding the speed bumps yet, but Mrs. Carrera --

MR. MCGOFF: Didn't you receive something back from Mr. Brazil?

MS. EVANS: I don't know.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Miller, I think something was returned, I'm not sure if that was particular request, but the response was that they do not wish to put speed bumps in or on city streets. It becomes a liability for cars that may be damaged as a result of it.

MR. MILLER: The reason I bring it up again tonight is because last week we had a vehicle come flying through and there were two children on the street playing basketball and, I mean, it was this -- it was very close and, you know, something very bad could have happened. You know, I understand the city Mr. McGoff just said they don't want to get involved with speed bumps, I don't know what we can do. I mean, there is a sign there, but signs don't

really mean a whole lot when people are driving they tend to ignore them, so I don't know what we can do about it, but like I said, you know, something very, very bad is going to happen if it continues.

And just some last and final thoughts, I had the opportunity to attend the Unity Festival last Saturday at Nay Aug and I just thought it was nice to see the various cultures come together in the city and celebrate their heritage and everything. I believe there was some council members, Mr. Loscombe, I believe you were there.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Evans was there.

MR. MILLER: Mrs. Evans, okay. Just another example of the many activities we have in the city that bring everyone together. We may not all agree on where we are in the government, but we can come together and celebrate our heritage.

And I also had the opportunity to view the concerts on the square and they were also a success. I had also brought up the idea to Commissioner Washo who was there about possibly having movies on the square.

1	I know we had them at Nay Aug and I'm not
2	sure if they are going to be doing that this
3	summer again, but if not maybe we can join
4	forces with the county and look into doing
5	it on the square, and that's all tonight.
6	Thank you.
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Michael
8	Reilly.
9	MR. REILLY: My name is Michael
10	Reilly, I am a resident and taxpayer of the
11	city. Good evening, Mr. Loscombe.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
13	MR. REILLY: Mr. Joyce.
14	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
15	MR. REILLY: Her majesty.
16	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
17	MR. REILLY: And Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Good evening.
19	MR. REILLY: May I ask Mr. McGoff
20	two questions, please?
21	MS. EVANS: Yes.
22	MR. REILLY: Number one, Mr. McGoff,
23	do you feel threatened or insecure in this
24	chamber now that there is no policeman here?
25	MR. MCGOFF: No. Not tonight.

1 MR. REILLY: Do you miss the power of the gavel? 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Do I miss the power of the gavel? Sometimes. 4 MR. REILLY: It's obvious. Week 5 after week it's obvious. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. 8 MR. REILLY: There was a question a 9 few weeks ago that you feel -- you feel to 10 think that your credibility was being 11 impugned, and I want to tell you right now 12 that your credibility and your voracity were 13 destroyed a long time ago by none other than 14 yourself. I attempted to come to the podium 15 about three years ago and tell you how you 16 lied to the public and you used the gavel to 17 tell me to sit down, take a seat or I would 18 be escorted out of the chamber by the 19 policeman. Well, those days have passed now 20 in case you don't realize that, Mr. McGoff. 21 MR. MCGOFF: I wasn't the president 22 three years ago. 23 MR. REILLY: Well, two years ago --24 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. 25 MR. REILLY: -- or whenever it was.

\_ .

Your weapons were humorous, arrogance, confrontation and you used them week after week, pervasive in use. Well, I didn't have a chance to tell you how you lied to the public until tonight, but now I have the podium and you are going to listen to me.

You lied to the voters when you were first singled out for this position after the fiasco with Mr. McTiernan at the high school and, oh, who was rewarded incidentally with a new job at a higher salary, but that's not the issue here. The issue here is that you lied to the public when you were interviewed when you said that you would think for yourself. You would be your own person, you would think for yourself, decide the issues not be influenced by anyone. Well, we know that was a boldface lie. You were Chris Doherty's "Yes man" from day one and you still are.

The other lie was you said you wouldn't run again.

MR. MCGOFF: I did not.

UNKNOWN AUDIENCE MEMBERS: Yes, you

did.

2 MR. MCGOFF: No. I said I had no political aspirations at this time.

MR. REILLY: But did that happen?

Did you then politically --

MR. MCGOFF: I ran.

MR. REILLY: So you lied and I tried to tell you --

MR. MCGOFF: No, it wasn't a lie.

MR. REILLY: But I couldn't do it.

Now you must realize that you are no longer in power. You are in an empty suit.

Powerless. You don't seem to understand that you don't have the power that you once had and that you are -- you are just a bump,

a cog in the wheel of progress and you

continue to exemplify that every week.

Betty Davis had you in mind when she made that statement, that beautiful line from the movie "All about Eve" buckle your seatbelt, you're in for a bumpy ride.

I also understand that you supported Mr. Marinucci in his bid for the Recreation Authority. I was at a Recreation Authority one evening and I listened to him crucify

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the English language and so I asked him how far he went in school and he proudly told me third grade. It's refreshing to see a retired teacher supporting higher education. Thank you, Council.

MS. EVANS: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers' Association. Politics, politics, politics from womb to tomb. That's all we see in this country, this city, this county and this state. The reason why the United States is in the state it's in is because politics. The reason why the state is in the state that it's in is because of politics. The reason why the county is in the state that they're in is because of politics. The reason why the city is in the state that they are in is because of politics.

That's all it's all about. Like I said, from womb to tomb. Your entire life, each and every one of us's life is governed by politics and it's got to stop especially in the City of Scranton.

1 Mrs. Evans, can I ask Mr. McGoff a question? 2 3 MS. EVANS: Yes. MR. JACKOWITZ: Mr. McGoff, will you 4 answer it? 5 MR. MCGOFF: It depends on what the 6 7 question is. 8 MR. JACKOWITZ: Would you consider 9 an unnecessary raise in taxes extortion? 10 MR. MCGOFF: Depends on what the 11 unnecessary is. MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, your tax raise 12 13 that you voted on it was unnecessary, do you 14 consider that to be extortion? MR. MCGOFF: Your word is 15 16 unnecessary. 17 MR. JACKOWITZ: I'm asking you, do 18 you consider it to be extortion? MR. MCGOFF: No. 19 20 MR. JACKOWITZ: How about putting on 21 24 or 26 fines and fees on regular, normal 22 citizens, working citizens, if they are 23 delinquent with their taxes, but yet you are 24 in favor of nonprofits and the University 25 not paying taxes, would you consider that

extortion on the citizens and low income homeowners of the City of Scranton?

MR. MCGOFF: It's not extortion.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, I think it is, so difference of opinion. Okay, parks and Recreation Authority, on Saturday there was an article in the paper about -- well, when I went to the last Park and Recreation meeting I went to I told them, first of all, that they could not lease the zoo to Lackawanna College for a dollar a year because it was basically against federal law because it was federal money that's being used, federal grant money, which in turn turned out to be that way.

I also made a recommendation that
they turn it into a community center or a
place where people can hold barbecues and
parties and so on and so forth. Low and
behold on Saturday I read in the paper where
that's what they're thinking about doing.
And Mayor Doherty totally embraced that
idea, but yet when I brought it up to the
Parks and Recreation Authority they told me
it was the dumbest idea they ever heard, but

yet now they are going to implement it.

Again, politics, politics, politics. Aldepends upon who you know.

The last time I saw the Mayor

Doherty in these chambers was when he voted to cast the deciding vote to put Mr. McGoff on city council, and Mr. McGoff has cast every single vote in favor of Mayor Doherty, the nonprofits, and everything that Mayor Doherty has supported. The only time Mr. McGoff has gone against the mayor was when he voted to bring the cameras back into city council. That's the only time since Mr. McGoff has been sitting on council, so it comes as no surprise that he will support the University and the mayor when it comes to the nonprofits, okay?

Now, there is more nonprofits than just the University of Scranton. There is Marywood, there is Lackawanna College, there is the hospital, so on and so forth. We are never going to get any money from any of them because they don't have to pay and they never will pay. That's the said part about it all is they don't have to pay, they never

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will pay. We have allowed this to go on for too long.

You don't think Penn State helps, whatever the name of the city is there, I can't think of it where Penn State is at --

MS. EVANS: State College.

MR. JACKOWITZ: State College, thank you. You don't think they help out State College, Pennsylvania? You don't think they have help out State College, Pennsylvania? You don't think they give money. Of course they do. They all do except in Scranton because they are not required to. don't have to. Why? Because politics, politics, politics and more politics. From womb to tomb, especially in Scranton and Luzerne County, Lackawanna County, it's been the way of life since day one, it will probably be the -- when the last person turns the lights off when they leave Scranton it will still be politics, politics and more politics, and it's ashame.

Four years ago Mrs. Gatelli held nonprofit meetings right here in this chambers, right in this chair. I sat in

\_\_

that chair right there and Mayor Doherty sat right directly across from me. I asked him eyeball to eyeball, face-to-face, "Mayor Doherty, are going to support this? Are you going to support this idea to try to collect money from nonprofits?"

And he stood there -- or sat there, excuse me, and said, "Yeah, I'm going to support it."

Guess what? He never did. He never supported it. And the only way you are going to get money from any nonprofits, which I know you are never going to get, is by support of the mayor. The mayor has to and 100 percent behind it. If he is not behind it, forget it.

One second, please. Mrs. Gatelli said she was going to go door to door knocking on doors at the nonprofits to get money, that never happened either, and even if they did she would never have gotten any money anyway, so that's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council. Les Spindler, city homeowner and taxpayer. Mrs. Evans, one correction in a comment made before, PNC Bank, you said it was 210 Penn, it's 201 Penn.

MS. EVANS: Oh, thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: You're welcome.

MS. EVANS: Yes, donations for the van for Ralphie.

MR. SPINDLER: It's 201 Penn Avenue.

MS. EVANS: 201 Penn Avenue, PNC Bank. Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: You're welcome. The SAPA plan, I think it's been talked to quite enough. This is the last that it will be talked about. Mr. McGoff said last week that it had a lot of possibilities, well, Mr. McGoff, we don't need possibilities we need sure things. Our city is littered with empty possibilities. The Southern union building, 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, Jerry Donahue's building on Lackawanna Avenue which he said he was going to start fixing up against after the bridge was fixed. The bridge was done last December, so that was a lie. The two eyesores on the

corner of Penn and Wyoming, Penn and Linden and Wyoming and Linden. They were all possibilities, but they are all eyesores now.

No one will come to this city unless our taxes are lowered like Mr. Rogan has been saying for weeks, and the prime example is Mt. Pleasant. Mt. Pleasant didn't get their tax exemption, it's an empty lot now, it's going to city an empty lot because nobody wants to move here and pay the taxes in this city.

Mr. McGoff, you said it would minimal cost to this city. Well, if it's so minimal then why don't you and the other people that are for this plan pay it instead of having the city pay it?

MR. MCGOFF: It's not my responsibility.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, then don't say it's a minimal cost 'cause for any cost to the taxpayers of this city isn't minimum. We are taxed enough in this city and we don't have to pay anymore.

MR. MCGOFF: I pay them, too.

1 MR. SPINDLER: Moving on, Mrs. Evans I was wondering that \$12 million from the 2 3 tax office, I think it was \$5 1/2 million plugged into this budget that we were 4 supposed to get, did we ever receive that? 5 MS. EVANS: No. We received part of 6 7 it. 8 MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Well --9 MS. EVANS: I would say approximately 3.2 million was received. 10 11 rest, no. 12 MR. SPINDLER: Do we know where the 13 rest of it is? 14 MS. EVANS: No, we do not. MR. SPINDLER: And the rest of that 15 16 12 million that was supposed to go different 17 places, did that go where it was supposed to 18 go to? MS. EVANS: I believe we have to 19 20 talk to Mr. Courtright, the tax collector, 21 to learn where the remainder went. 22 MR. SPINDLER: This hasn't been 23 brought up in quite awhile, I think it's 24 important we deserve to get the rest of that 25 money.

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A story in the paper today about other cities getting cash for nonprofits, more than Scranton gets. Lancaster receives \$1 1/2 million from Lancaster General Hospital Franklin and Marshall. Erie gets \$680,000; Allentown gets about 250 million--\$250,000, I'm sorry, and what do we get from the University of Scranton, 160 some thousand?

MS. EVANS: We were receiving \$110,000. I'm not quite sure of what the University is proposing now. I'm going to address it a length under motions, but I can tell you just quickly that was offered to us at the meeting was a 50 percent increase of 55,000. Since then the newspaper, I believe, may have reported a figure of perhaps \$175,000 due to a previous meeting with the mayor, but that seems to open it up to questions in that I can't imagine why Father Pilarz would have offered us \$55,000 for a 50 percent increase if he had already offered more, so something doesn't fit together.

MR. SPINDLER: Even if we got what

the paper said you were asking for \$250,000, that's still a drop in the bucket for the money that they made. I mean, for the buildings that very taken off the tax rolls over the years that is nothing. They should be paying a lot more than that.

Something else in this article which caught my eye, something the mayor said was, "Mr. Doherty estimates about 32 percent of the property in this city is tax exempt."

I found that to be astonishing. No wonder we are almost bankrupt. 32 percent of the property in this city is tax exempt? How are we going to make any money like that? That's ridiculous.

And something else, the Recovery

Plan states that "The business administrator and city attorney shall aggressively pursue additional voluntary contributions or direct taxpayers from the tax exempt community to support our city services."

And in nine years Chris Doherty has been in office I don't think that has ever happened, and how could a solicitor go after nonprofits when they are busy fighting all

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the arbitration losses that the mayor is appealing?

Okay. Moving on. It's no secret I'm 100 percent behind this council majority. I voted for you, and I said this before, you were off the one week, Mrs. Evans, but when you are wrong I'm going to let you know, and I think you were wrong in replacing Mrs. Cook on the zoning board and she was a fine member, did a great job, and the article in the Doherty newsletter last week proved me right. Mr. Williams failed to get a paving permit to have his property paved. For somebody that is on the zoning board I think that's unacceptable and I think he should resign from the zoning board and Mrs. Cook should go back. just finish my thought here?

MS. EVANS: Yes. Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: And then with Mr.

Stange being on there, I think that's his name, another Republican, Mr. Stange is going to be the head of the local Republican party. Now, you people are all Democrats.

If he becomes head of the Republican party

1 he is going to look to replace all of you when you run for re-election. What were you 2 3 thinking of? MS. EVANS: I don't think we were 4 exactly making political party affiliation 5 any type of qualification or consideration 6 7 for the position. MR. SPINDLER: Well, if is he the 8 9 head of the Republican party he is going to 10 look for people to replace you. I don't 11 want that to happen, but that's going to be 12 his job; correct? 13 MS. EVANS: I would assume so. 14 MR. SPINDLER: Okay. 15 MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe the present 16 administration would also be doing the same 17 thing. 18 MR. SPINDLER: Well, as I said, I think Mrs. Cook should be reinstated. 19 20 Mr. Williams should resign. Thank you for 21 your time. 22 Thank you. Ozzie Quinn. MS. EVANS: 23 MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, president 24 of the Scranton-Lackawanna Taxpayers' 25 Incorporation. First of all, regarding

6

7

8

1

9

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SAPA, you know, we pay taxes to the county and there is a Lackawanna County Regional Planning Commission and I think what we are doing is duplication here, and I don't know whether the Lackawanna County Planning Commission is on this, I think if there is anything that they should be the one that is involved in what's going on in the county so I think you should look into that.

On behalf of the Taxpayers' Association I want to thank you, Mrs. Evans, and the supermajority for what you are doing for the taxpayers in this City of Scranton. We really appreciate it because of the fact that nobody else is looking out for them. The administration isn't and, obviously, with the way that they have been treating you, Mrs. Evans, with that extortion it's just unbelievable, and the definition of extortion out of the college library book by a writer from the Times and nothing about intent, obviously, you weren't trying to have criminal intent or try to make any anything personal or profit on it, you know, it's ridiculous.

But when you look at things that were spoken about here tonight about taxes and I think Bill hit it closely on the collection agency, NCC, you are looking at what they are doing hey are extorting money from people and they are doing it, you know, you pay an interest on your taxes, if you pay them, but then they go to NCC and you pay a tremendous fine and interest, plus they put a lien on your property and, in addition, they are forcing you to pay that or possibly you go up for tax sale. Now it that isn't extortion of money I don't know what it is.

talking here tonight there is so many things gone on in the last nine years or eight years and when -- we sat here with PEL and we saw a considerable amount of debt we are in and what's forecast for the future budget, the five million dollars deficits and, you know, we know that there has been a lot of pay to play. As a matter of fact, NCC they are owners and big financial contributors to the Doherty campaign. Yet

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Scranton Times, and I blame them, they have backed the Doherty administration, they never said there was any corruption, and I know that there has been pay to play. I give it them in black and white and they say, "Go on."

You know, so the fact is that, you know, as long as there is this one paper newspaper in the City of Scranton we are going to have a tough time trying to overcome the elitists and the Doherty administration, the University of Scranton, the Chamber of Commerce, the people like The poor guy doesn't have a chance unless this city council finally, the super majority, backs us and tries to do something because there is no doubt about it you are going to get whipped, you are going to be the whipping boy for the Scranton Times, there is no doubt about it. The Lynetts and the Dohertys are actually neighbors for years and years and they are not going to split.

So it's ashame when you say that --Mr. Doherty says that the paper that he

takes huge tasks for what he said about the University of Scranton when he let's like all of those contracts, no bid contracts go and previous councils go ahead and approve them, you know. Mr. McGoff was part of that, you know, and it's ashame and yet they have done nothing to expose that. No investigative reporting. All they do is come here and they sit here and they write down and then that's it. They don't even put the comments of the taxpayers. It's ashame and I apologize for the taxpayers of the City of Scranton for really for them taking you to task for that. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman. Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: I wish I could speak as well as Mr. Bolus and get my facts. Well, I can't. Like I say about frogs they would hit their rear ends off that little -- oh, well. He took some of the fire out of my little notes here.

I guess everybody saw the Kelly and McKenna bombarding, their usual rhetoric, you know, but these are two wanna be journalist, I guess. I got some bad words here describing them, that's why. They never make a recommendation, they never have any solutions to the nonprofits, they just make excuses for them and just keep praising them how fortunate we are to have them here. They are the extravagance that the city can't afford no more, you know, there is

just know doubt about it.

I think in Saturday's paper, yeah,
Saturday, \$310,000 off the books for
Marywood. They've got acres and acres and
acres of empty land up there and here they
bought a house for \$310,000. That just
shows that this greed will never stop from
these nonprofits, but I see a solution. I
think you need to talk to Mr. Murphy and
Mr. O'Brien and Mr. Smith and just bypass,
you know, like the middleman and have these
men go to Harrisburg and tell the
legislature this is an enormous problem we
have. A third of our property is off the

tax rolls, it will off forever, and it keeps getting worse, like \$310,000 this year alone, probably half of your salaries been taken off. Look it at all of the stuff that-- probably more than half your salaries, you know, and there is no stopping. I never seen nothing like these people.

You know, anybody that would support this would -- they'd have all of their past sins forgiven, support in Harrisburg. Good God, they would have the support probably of 90 percent of the state voters, something has got to be done and this time to go to the legislature because you are not getting anywhere with the city and the time is up. You talk to anybody, you go anywhere in this city and bring up taxes it's just reached the point you can't go to the well no more. I mean, it's just over with.

Like Mr. McGoff said last week,
these houses that are being torn down there
lots are so small and odd-shaped you can't
rebuild on them. They are useless, but they
are still all off the payrolls.

And another thing every time you have to send a police car on fire truck onto that campus for something charge them ten, 20,000 and when they start balking about it put a lien on their property like they want to do on the taxpayer property, you know. You've got to start doing somebody to show you are not afraid of them. I don't mean that you are afraid of them. You just got to -- you can't blink with them.

These people are just -- you know, one of their staunchest supporters told me on the phone the other day, we were talking about the 441 million, he said, that's rubbish. Tell them to come down here with a chart like that and stand up there like what two weeks ago when they were whining for wanting their favoritism, let them show us -- there is no way in the world that that's a figure. It's like a used car lot guy telling you how much you are getting for their trade-in and they work a pencil.

That's all this was.

This is just a blatant lie supported by this old trashy newspaper all over again.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And Pat McKenna, you know, he has access to the media where most of us don't. censor something that he finds objectionable and you don't have no recourse. If you don't print an intelligent letter that, you know, not obscene or something you are censoring it, and he censors letters. Не doesn't have enough sense to know the difference between anything and that's probably why I can't write no more. like to write letters to the editor, I see things all of the time in there that are offensive to me, but he won't print my letters, of course.

But when one of the staunchest supporters concedes that their figures aren't true it's just time to quit arguing with them and go to Harrisburg. There is no reason you can't go to Harrisburg, is there, I mean, through our representatives?

MS. EVANS: No, we can certainly do that, but I am aware that in the past, not very long ago past either, many cities throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania have banded together with this common cause

of getting a fair share payment from the nonprofits that exist within the boundaries of their towns, municipalities, boroughs, etcetera. This is a problem that isn't strictly limited to the City of Scranton.

Although, there are, as was mentioned earlier this evening, numerous cities throughout Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh notably so, who are receiving healthy contributions, fair share payments.

MR. ELLMAN: Ozzie has talked about this at our taxpayers' meeting.

MS. EVANS: And I know that, as I said, many of these communities were banding together and had approached the state legislature for their assistance with the nonprofits. Where that is currently at, I do not know, but I would imagine that there would be tremendous lobbying on the part of nonprofits toward our legislator's statewide to resist this movement.

MR. ELLMAN: Right there you just said another thing, they are using that money to, you know, to -- they are using own money against us. That's what you just

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anywhere.

You said they are going to have -- be against the legislature.

MS. EVANS: Oh, lobbyists.

MR. ELLMAN: Yeah, through

They are using our own money lobbyists. that's donated tax wise and so forth against us. They have got to put a stop to it. only truth I saw in that paper was it said 5,000 students spend \$21 a week. You know, that is nothing. I wouldn't even print such a minute sum of money to brag about. I probably spend -- most people every time you leave the house you spend ten or \$20. at the Taurus Club last night, I guarantee you that six beers and a tip was more than \$21, you know, until they put me out of the door.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: I got one other thing just real quick. I had a businessman phone me and ask, he tried to find out why that property on 1500 Court and he couldn't get

> No, actually, I did MS. EVANS:

receive, as I think other council members did, an e-mail from a resident of Court Street asking a number of questions about that issue and we have already sent out four requests to these questions. So as soon as we receive it I'm sure Mrs. Krake will be reporting the answers publically.

MR. ELLMAN: That's good. One other thing, you need to keep the mayor out of anything as far as us going to the legislature or anywhere else because all he would do is be a cog in the wheel.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: A member of the taxpayers, resident of Scranton. Well, actions speak louder than words. This is Chris Kelly's article. (Mr. Dobryzn stomps on the article.) I'll pick it up when I go. So that says it for that.

Now, in that article, of course, I feel you are slandered pretty heavily and I

wonder about this Hitchcock Court deal because a few weeks ago I also read that a Scranton resident had some kind of lawsuit filed about Hitchcock Court and the way the University was infringing on his property, so very possibly, you know, they might have decided that it was unfeasible to fight this and get their building in on time and on a timely basis and decided to just come up with some half-baked reason in trying to kill two birds with one stone.

Now, on this SAPA, we can take the very things that they recommend and do ourselves. Why in the heck do we want to get involved with somebody that's been bossing us around for years? Mostly Clarks Summit and Waverly and so forth. And, furthermore, now here is a good example: "Printer to close, shed jobs."

This plant was located on East

Mountain in 2000 our lovely Chamber of

Commerce president, Austin Burke, got a

project going to move them out for a KOZ to

Scott Industrial park and the very first

year that they were in operation up there

corporate came in and fired every CEO and officer in this company. The reason being that they are way over budget and now ten years later here is the result, KOZ is over, hasta la vista, baby. You know? So and. Of course, this does affect me in a way because my wife happened to work there since 1997 and she is stuck holding their stock, so if the company tanks due to the -- and it was definitely -- I lost the second part of the paper, unfortunately, I'll probably have to replace it at my own expense, but it was definitely Austin Burke.

And furthermore, there was where the Salvation Army is currently located there was plenty of land, it was abandoned, there were people in and out of there, small businesses and stuff, and they wouldn't stay, Mr. Mulch or something was there and it was directly adjacent to 81. I put four transmissions in my car since 19 -- or 2004, just over that hill. And, oh, by the way, it's right up by the Times which is probably also a KOZ, so they don't pay any taxes for ten years. They moved up there.

And now on this was, I noticed this week the library was cut once again and I would meet with the authority if it meant the end of the world, but please in the Fall maybe when we get some time we could meet with the board and see what kind of help they can get.

And, well, the golden parrot award of the week goes to all of the senators that want to treat unemployed people like criminals and speak of them like criminal. They are all multi-millionaires, they know who they are, and shame on them when they can't many up with a couple of billion dollars when some budgets in the federal government have doubled since 2000.

And now, I have a new award out, and that goes once again to BP, British

Petroleum, for negotiating about the

Lockerbie bomber to be released on the grounds that he has cancer, incurable cancer, and he is in Libya right now partying and living it up and the quid pro quo for it was to get drilling rights off

the shores of Bolivia, so shame on them.

They get the golden dagger in the back

award. Thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

I'd like to take a moment to thank Jeff
Brazil for his courteous, his very prompt
and excellent work along with his DPW
workers in getting me a street sign for my
driveway. It was very much appreciated. It
felt like Christmas in July. It's
wonderful.

I also -- anything I have to say now is definitely my own opinion. You know, I have to wonder, I wonder how long ago it was that Father Pilarz forgot that he was a priest, a representative of Jesus Christ on earth and became a scheming CEO and businessman. He should be a Wall Street CEO not a priest. I was reading in the paper where it said that over a month ago or so, and maybe a month ago, that Mayor Doherty and Father Pilarz agreed on \$175,000 like you said earlier. I find that hard to believe.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you were not wrong, Mrs. Evans, when you requested more money, I even think

First of all, if that were true I would think that the mayor would put that on the front page of the paper saying he got this extra money from Father Pilarz and if were true who is extorting who? He asked for money, he said he could increase his money for in lieu of taxes for the ease way, well, they also -- Mayor Doherty also said, I believe today, that this has never happened before. Well, maybe I'm wrong, but didn't he pay -- didn't the city pay -- the University of Scranton, I'm sorry, pay the city \$251,000 for the 300 block of Clay Avenue and didn't they buy, the University of Scranton, didn't they pay the city for the 900, 1000 and 1100 block of Linden Street and many other blocks in the Hill Section? So, what's the difference between that and the ease way over the Hitchcock Court, the city-owned property, so why didn't Mayor Doherty give them an easement for all of those properties instead of taking money back then.

4

1

5 6

8

9

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

it should have been more, but I thank you and the rest of the people for fighting for us. We have had enough of the University of Scranton. The Catholic church is running this city and it has to stop and you finally put your foot down, I'm glad. If I were you I wouldn't give them another thing they ever wanted. They are not paying their fair share and it has to stop.

Now, the following is something that I read, but I think it's worth reading, so I shall. Let's see here. Maybe it is time to have a review of the nonprofit laws and see if the University is in compliance with these laws regarding the purchasing of tax producing revenues and converting it into profit holdings without having to pay taxes. Maybe they really did not get delegated as nonprofit. Let's get the course in determining what the University of Scranton and other nonprofits can claim to escape tax obligations. Just because the University of Scranton purchases something does not necessarily rendered it free of tax obligations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What if, for the sake of argument, the University of Scranton purchases a convenient store like the one on Mulberry Street. Would that property then come off the tax rolls? I don't think so. So why should other profit generating properties the University buys be exempt?

I also noticed in the paper many times that Mrs. Evans and Mr. Hughes today didn't comment when the newspaper apparently must have got in touch with you. I applaud you for that. I if were you or any other council person I could never return a phone call. After what the reporters, the Scranton Times, one sitting here tonight, the way they write the articles, it's disgraceful and they should be ashamed. It's very slanted and only one-sided and I challenge the Scranton Times to write what is said here tonight. Put it in the paper, and I seriously believe, Mrs. Evans, you should write a guess editorial to tell the truth to some of the people that don't see the council meeting to let the other people know what really happened. Thank you very

much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. HARRIS: Good evening, Council members.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. HARRIS: And ladies whose names I don't know and, Attorney Hughes, whose name I do know. It is nice to stand here and look at what I always refer to as statesmen, not politicians. There is a big difference. A politician only cares about the next election and what he can control, a statesmen cares for the people that they were elected to represent, and I appreciate this city council for the work they are doing on behalf of the people of the City of Scranton, not an easy job, but so far some good.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. HARRIS: And can anyone here just give me a brief explanation of the word bribery, what it means? No. Bribery is a promise or a favor given to influence. It's an absolute shame that the people of this

2-A city must listen to members of their government accuse a very honest and concerned person, like president of the council, Mrs. Janet Evans, of bribery. Mrs. Evans, as everyone knows, does not discuss any subject or do anything that she is not thoroughly prepared for, and always has a copy of facts and figures right in front of her. Her agenda never -- has never been for anything other than what is best for the people of this city that she so proudly serves. So stop with the bribery nonsense already.

Back in August of 2004 an article appeared in the Sunday Times that was written by then president of the University of Scranton, Reverend Scott R. Pilarz, SJ, in which he said: "The University and the City of Scranton is, indeed, a partnership," and I quote that, "for the University, our abiding partnership with the city has it's roots in a principal long-embraced by the Society of Jesus, and Ignatius Loyola, saw cities as the place where the transformation of the human community takes place. He

wants the University of Scranton to be involved in this process. The University of Scranton's relationship with the City of Scranton and it's residents is, therefore, much rooted and grounded in our mission as a Jesuit University. What is perhaps most important, however, is the tremendous potential that exists to cultivate real change which can be fostered through engaging the University of Scranton's vast intellectual assets with the city, and the University rooted and grounded in the vision and the mission of Jesuit involvement with the government."

These are the silent borders
presented in 2004 by the University
president, Reverend Pilarz, SJ. Does the
word control kind of come to mind? This
city has been far more generous to the
University than it should be. Far too much
control of the University and what takes
place on the hill is -- the hill is not, in
at least my estimation, a partnership
program. Being a school, the University
president should be very much aware of what

it says in the Bible and I quote, if I may,

"It was said to Jesus -- he said onto the

people, who is the image on the

superscription of the coins? And they said

to him, "It is Caesar's."

Then he said unto them, "Render, therefore, unto Caesar the things the things that are Caesars and unto God the things that are Gods."

Caesar, in those days was the government. What is Gods is Gods. You cannot put these two things together. The University should not be looking to the city as a partner, it doesn't work. What they have to do, they must do themselves and the city must do likewise. They must remain two separate entities. One cannot control the other and that's what is happening here.

I'm sorry if I have offended anyone,
I didn't mean to, but you must remember that
the sting in any rebuke is the truth.
Somehow the word bribery in this case is out
of place, but the University does not, and
also the Pennsylvania Constitution Article
III, Section 15, says: That no money raised

for the support of public schools in the Commonwealth shall be appropriated or used for the support of any sectarian school, and shall is mandatory.

Recent articles in the newspaper have tried to make Mrs. Evans look foolish. This is always what happens when someone speaks the truth. They always think that overcharging someone they don't agree with will make them look good. Sorry, guys, the opposite happened and you lose.

Thank God for Mrs. Evans. She speaks without fear and that's the way it should be. Can all of us here say the same?

And as far as the University giving the city more money, they should. They have our fire trucks, they have our policemen, they have enough of things that belong to the City of Scranton that they can give far more than \$150,000, I'm sorry, but that's just not fair. Just not fair. But a payment to the city in lieu of taxes for services rendered, something significant to show appreciation for what the city has already done for them. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Does anyone else care to address council?

MR. TALIMINI: My name is Joe
Talimini, citizen of Scranton. I hope
you'll abide the ramblings of a senile old
man, but when I first saw the turnip truck
and got into this town after I left my cave,
I was quite impressed and I have been
impressed right along. I mean, I have seen
how democracy works and up until recently I
wasn't too pleased with it.

I also want to say I am pleased with the way democracy is working now with the four to one majority. At least we are getting some action on behalf of the citizens.

I guess maybe I'm just a little off base by hammering the Golden octopus, excuse me, the University so much, but I think if I'm not mistaken they take in \$183 million a year just in tuitions alone and, Frank, I'll have to defer to you, I think 1 percent of that would \$1.83 million and 1/2 of 1 percent would actually be \$915,000, so they are doing pretty well. I mean, my math is

probably way off, but they are doing pretty well for themselves.

MR. JOYCE: Your calculations are accurate.

MR. TALIMINI: Well, thank you, sir. Thank you. They have our firemen, they have our policemen, none of whom have a contract incidentally, they have our DPW who does an excellent job up there because it looks like the city dump when these kids leave town.

And I saw the same thing when I lived in Bethlehem and that was a friend of our mayors, I can't remember what was this mayor's name? Don Cunningham's friend.

They did the same thing down there when they sold out the city of Bethlehem to Lehigh University.

But, you know, I just don't understand this, how you people can just let this slide when these people are actually poverty stricken and in dire need. They only own 32 percent of the city right now. Of course, we have a medical college coming in here which is going to do so much more for us. They are going to acquire Midtown

apartments which means you have to displace 750 people, take that thing of the tax rolls and give to the University. They, in turn, are going to have to new property to live on because that's the rules. You can't just take those people out and drop them off at the river, they're going to have to have a place to live which means that property is going to have be acquired and come off the tax rolls, but I think that's part of Lackawanna College.

And if they acquire United House, which is probably the next venture, you are going to have to displace all of those people which means you are going to have another piece of property come off the tax rolls so you can build another high rise so those people have a place to live. And, of course, if they grab up the intermediate school that makes lot of sense, too, because since they want to close part of Pine Street and Adams Avenue that building is useless to anybody else, which means you are going to have to build another intermediate school and there is another piece of property

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's going to have to acquired.

So I think the mayor's figures might be slightly off when he said 32 percent. think it's more like the taxpayers are going to wind up with 32 percent of the property in this city and they are going to pay 100 percent of the taxes. But, of course, the administration doesn't care about that because, I mean, here is man who he had a \$500,000 mortgage, put six kids through Prep school and college, all on \$50,000 year. He is one hell of a financial genius. I don't know how you do that unless he is great, and his backers, also. I think weren't the two backers of his previous who said they would be here, they would constantly be here monitoring all of this, I haven't seen either one of them yet, but, you know, words are cheap.

As I said, just ramblings of a senile old man, so I apologize and I hope that I haven't offended of you, but all I can say is keep up the good work, but don't let them snow you. And as far as you being the queen, Mrs. Evans, I think you are much

better than Elizabeth, much better looking and a lot younger. You are not wearing your tiara tonight, but I imagine that's only for official functions. And the other thing that I can't go over is your Court. I mean, you know, you used to have a bunch of jesters up there, but thank God most of them are gone now. I say most of them.

The other thing that I was going to say, and if you'll buy me just a few more seconds here, we have an attorney here, I think the university has an attorney, Lackawanna University -- incidentally, Lackawanna doesn't cannot pay anything, do they, in lieu of taxes?

MS. EVANS: Correct.

MR. TALIMINI: So, you know, they keep gobbling up property, too. I think if our attorney got together with maybe the city attorney, and I'm sure we have one that represents the taxpayers or supposedly, and their attorneys possibly they can get together and negotiate some kind of settlement, but I think 1/2 of 1 percent of just the tuition alone, and that's not

including the perks that they get, that would be sufficient to keep the city going for awhile. If I'm not mistaken, the Housing Authority, and they are my favorite subject, the Housing Authority contributes something every year and didn't they just contribute a million dollars a couple of years ago?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. TALIMINI: Oh, okay, and they take care of the senior citizens and handicapped people. These people up here, well, they take care of mentally handicap people if you watch these kids cross the street in the dark and middle of the night, but I guess that's part of the project, too. Well, thank you for your time, and I hope I can make it back again sometime soon.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, First Amendment Rights.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ANCHERANI: Two weeks ago representatives from PEL presented part of a program in preparation of preparing a new

Recovery Plan for the City of Scranton and that's to replace the Recovery Plan that expired at the end of 2005. This is PEL, the state organization that is supposed to oversee, and I use that word jokingly, the Recovery Plan for the City of Scranton from the Act 47 distressed label. This is the 19th year that PEL has coordinated the recovery of the city from Act 47 distress label. Nineteen years distressed and PEL is the supposed saver of the city.

For 19 years the city has languished in distress, ten years under Mayor Connors and nine years under this current administration. PEL should be ashamed of themselves. Nineteen years as plan coordinator and the city is still distressed. PEL people are supposedly highly skilled in what they do. The one main thing that very have really, really succeeded in, and believe me, they have succeeded in this, is in guaranteeing that they have been paid dearly for the last 19 years and will continue to be paid. They have been paid for the last 19 years for

nothing. No success, but paid for failure to bring the city out of the distressed status.

They get paid from we, the people's, tax money. That's my opinion. They get paid for nothing. My daughter, if I had one, could do a better job. PEL did nothing when the 2003-2005 Recovery Plan was violated by this administration. You can look at page 64 as an example. Look at the director of public safety office, close to a million dollars for an office not authorized. Look at the raises and new hires over the last nine years, 25 million cumulatively, and the 300 million plus in long-term debt. Where were they all of these years?

They are here now to justify what they do. Nothing. So they be back here in front of council and I have a question, will we be able to ask questions or are they going to come just for council?

MS. EVANS: Actually, when they return on the 27th we will again have representatives of the municipal unions and

1	the Taxpayers' Association and council, of
2	course, and we are going to be asking some
3	questions.
4	MR. ANCHERANI: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Last one, Jack.
6	Always the last guy.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
8	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Jackie. Well,
9	Jack, what did you think? Are these guys
10	doing a good job downstairs or what down
11	there. The firemen, they do a good job,
12	don't they Jack?
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good job.
14	MR. SLEDENZSKI: I love them all
15	down there, especially the big cheese down
16	there. You know who I mean, right, Jack?
17	Thank you.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Don't forget, slide
19	down the pole tonight.
20	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Oh, backwards.
21	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
22	would like to address council?
23	MS. CARRERA: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
24	MOTIONS.
25	MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have

\_ .

any comments or motions at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: Please, a number of things. First, there is a precedent for the removal of a member of the zoning board for indiscretions, especially for failure to abide by zoning regulations. I believe it happened in the past resulting in the removal of a member of the zoning board and replacement. I would like to ask if council is going to seek to remove Mr. Williams from the zoning board for his failure to abide by the zoning regulations?

MS. EVANS: Well, first of all, I'm not quite sure what precedent you are citing. Since I have been seated on council we did have a zoning member resign from the zoning board of his own volition and that was due to the fact that a city resident had come to council reporting that he, as a contractor, had been installing I believe it was curbs or maybe sidewalks without permits, but being paid and, thereafter, that individual resigned.

MR. MCGOFF: Will we ask
Mr. Williams to resign?

MS. EVANS: I can say I don't condone what Mr. Williams has done, I believe he should have had permits, but again, I know when I've had work done at my own home my contractors have obtained permits from the City of Scranton before they have done the work and then, of course, that was added to my bill for services rendered and the bill was paid.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, I would just -it would be -- I would agree with
Mr. Spindler, once again, a rare occurrence,
I guess, but I would recommend that we ask
for Mr. Williams' resignation or seek to
remove him and reappoint Mrs. Cook to the
zoning board. I hope that might be
considered by council in the future.

The second thing, also, dealing with the zoning board, I would like to renew my opposition to the amendment dealing with the solicitor for the zoning board. The zoning board acted well within it's authority in it's appointment of or it's extension of Mr. -- Attorney Penetar's term. They did it in a timely fashion. His term was due to

expire, they did it within the 30 days prior to his -- the expiration of his term, which is what we have been -- we have been talking about on council. The only -- the one thing that I could find dealing with appointment of or solicitors for zoning boards was a Court case back to 1988, perhaps this is outdated, Attorney Hughes may know, but this says, this Court case determined, that a zoning board had the exclusive right to appoint own solicitor and also that it could do so without the consent of the municipality.

Also, I believe that characterizing the members of the zoning board, both the current or the returning members and the outgoing members, as having acted in bad faith in this was an insult to them. Those people have served on the board for a number of years, the outgoing people had served for a number of years and I believe that characterizing them as acting in bad faith after the service they had provided to the city on that board was, as I said, insulting and unnecessary.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The tax exempt status that we have been discussing here for the evening, I think -- I agree that we need to do something to increase payments in lieu of taxes, the bill -- I think the bill that was spoken of by someone was the Freeman Bill that was in the state legislature that sought to use money from the Johnstown flood to reimburse cities, that kind -- that languished and I believe the funding that they sought, I forgot how that went, something dealing with the Johnstown flood that money has been used and is no longer available for reimbursement to the cities, but I think the answer is not in the -- not going individually to tax exempt bodies, although, I'm not saying that we shouldn't, I think the answer is in redefining the law and that has to be done at a state level and a federal level to redefine what tax exempt is, to redefine what nonprofits are, to get a better assessment of what actually operates as nonprofit and profit within these institutions, and I mentioned that before. I think we need to look at places

like the University of Scranton, Lackawanna College, any place that uses it's facilities for profit. Those things should be taxed, and if they are not we should look in it and make sure they are. I don't know whose responsibility that would be, whether it's on the -- you know, tax collector or whether it's the city or where it goes, but I think that's one way of increasing the amount of money that we received from these nonprofits, these tax exempt bodies.

I did receive some information -- as we had talked about nonprofits in the city and tax exempt status in the city, the nine largest employers in the City of Scranton are tax exempt. It's not until we get to number -- the tenth largest employer, which is Diversified, that we find that somebody that actually is paying taxes on the property or whatever. By the way, the University of Scranton is only the ninth -- or eighth largest, excuse me, the eighth largest employer in the city, so while they may be the focal point for what we are talking about, there are other tax exempt

institutions. Now, some of them can't be taxed, obviously, the federal government we tax or we can't look for money, but there are other institutions in the city that are paying nothing in lieu of taxes and should be sought out.

As a matter of fact, out of the top

16 employers only five pay taxes in the city

and that doesn't include the Diocese of

Scranton or the new Commonwealth College, so

we have a lot of tax exempt institutions

operating.

And with that said, and I know it may be an after the fact thing, I will ask the mayor, I know that, you know, I'm often said that -- it's often said that I'm the only one that can get to speak to him, I will ask the mayor to schedule meetings with the local colleges and with the hospitals, that would include the University of Scranton, Marywood, Lackawanna, Johnson College, and the three hospitals to sit down and talk to them about the payment in lieu of taxes. I will ask him to do that before the summer is over, and hopefully he will

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and see what it brings about.

The last thing that I would like to speak to was the comments by Mr. Bolus. like to remind him that Scranton is not a monarchy. We really don't have -- and council is not ruled by a queen or a king in any way and each member -- each member of council is elected independently and is free to have an independent voice. When I was president of council and prior to the status I now have I never asked for an apology from anyone who disagreed with me, I have never asked for an apology from anyone who criticized the way I voted or the way I acted, I think that it's in disagreement that we find solutions, and so I hope that he is not disappointed in the fact that there will be no apology. I did disagree, only part of my disagreement was in the newspaper article, but I did disagree with the way in which the negotiations, if it were, were done with the University of Scranton and I still disagree and I think that it could have been handled better, and that is all I have. Thank you.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And I'm hoping that perhaps you will have a different point of view after you hear the true account this evening of the meeting.

Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. I guess I'll start off with the zoning board as well, and I know that the situation with Mr. Williams was reported in the paper, but as Mrs. Evans stated, I do not believe Mr. Williams was the contractor. I believe he paid somebody to do with the work, and I believe the responsibility would have been theirs to get the permit, but the that being said, the second thing on the zoning board with the amendment. I firmly believe the incoming members should have a say in who their solicitor is, just as when we were elected to council we were able to appoint Attorney Hughes as our solicitor and it wasn't at the last minute. When you were you in charge, you, Mrs. Gatelli and Mr. Fanucci, you didn't pick our solicitor and I don't believe the outgoing members should appoint the solicitor for the incoming members on

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the zoning board as well.

Secondly, I attended the Pinebrook
Neighborhood Association last Thursday and
I'm glad to see that they are reorganizing.
There was a great group, there were a lot of
people there from all different sections of
Pinebrook, and I have a list of some
concerns that I got from the residents
there.

The first one is regarding the homeless. I know the newspaper did a nice report when I went down to the Mineral Avenue area and they showed all the blighted houses and the people living in tents along the river, some on railroad property, some right along the river banks, and it really is -- it's a mini-tent city going there, and it's an eyesore for the people in the neighborhood and it may be a safety hazard as well. We don't know where these people came from, what their past is, if they have outstanding arrest warrants and with it being so close to the school that's another concern and I think it's when the children walk to and from school, the high school,

who knows what maybe one of these homeless may do.

And I will, Attorney Hughes, if you have some time after the meeting I would like to talk to you about possibly a law against vagrancy in the city.

Secondly, and this is something we talked about last week, is the beat police officers. And the residents of Pinebrook and all of the residents I speak to in different areas of the city they understand how great the job the police officers do, the beat officers, and we really desperately need them in our neighborhoods. You know, just the presence alone deter criminals from being in the neighborhoods, and I would hope that the Chief Elliott would reconsider and hopefully we could have them on the streets this summer because it's a safety hazard. We need the police officers on the streets.

And I also have a list of homes that are blighted in the area, and I will type this up and give these to Mrs. Krake when she comes back, and I will hold off on my comments on the agenda items until they are

up. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Loscombe, any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you,
Mrs. Evans. You know, I have always had a
deep respect for the University of Scranton.
I have had several family members who have
graduated from there and are doing fairly
well. We were involved in confidential
negotiations with the University of
Scranton. They chose to start a campaign in
the newspaper bringing the negotiations to
light and in an effort to provide a PR
blitz.

I would like to set the record straight. Several weeks ago Attorney Hughes, Mrs. Evans, and myself had the pleasure of meeting Father Pilarz in his office at the University of Scranton. Also present at this meeting were Attorney Patrick Lavelle for the University.

Attorney Hughes started the dialogue by explaining the process involved for receiving an aerial easement over Hitchcock Court to accommodate the connection of the

two buildings that the University is in the process of obtaining approval for from the various city boards.

The purpose of my attendance and Mrs. Evans' attendance was to request an increase in the University's payment in lieu of taxes. As Mrs. Evans started her conversation, Father Pilarz volunteered that he had recently had discussions with the Board of Trustees and that they had considered increasing their payment by 50 percent or an additional \$55,000 in addition to the current payment of \$110,000 for a whopping total of \$165,000. It was Father Pilarz at that point who connected the increase with the easement not Mrs. Evans.

I then produced a map, which I will show you after my presentation, that highlighted the 58 plus acres of property that the University has amassed in the Hill Section since the early 50's. I also produced the spreadsheets reflecting the current assessed value for each of the 166 parcels that make up the University property. A lengthy discussion ensued with

Attorney Hughes, Mrs. Evans, and myself trying to appeal to Father Pilarz regarding the distressed status of this city and the fact that it could not be a good selling point for the University when trying to recruit students from out of the area.

We discussed the rapid pace at which the University has been acquiring taxable properties and turning them into tax exempt properties, thereby, eroding our tax base, and the fact that this was not being looked upon too kindly by the majority of speakers who appeared before us at city council meetings.

We mentioned the fact that not only were they decreasing the tax base, but they are also driving tax paying enterprises out of the area and setting up competing businesses in their new buildings that will be tax exempt for the most part. Whereas, in Wilkes-Barre, the colleges down there have formed partnership with private business such as the book store at Barnes Noble, I believe it's Wilkes College. This is something the University should be

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looking at not driving away private business.

I mentioned to Father Pilarz that Scranton wasn't the only city in that position and that I had been in communication with officials from other municipalities who had been banding together like no time in recent history in an effort to require nonprofit entities who are not solely charitable organizations to provide some sort of payment in lieu of taxes through various methods such as tuition taxes, essential services fees in lieu of tax notices based on square foot method or bed fees to name a few. Father Pilarz's response to me was that the University would mount a legal challenge.

Attorney Hughes suggested a fee per bed added to the room rental, however, Father Pilarz responded that that would be a tax and he was unwilling to do that. After I'm done with my notes here, I will discuss the enormous amount of fees in addition to tuition that the University has no problems in collecting.

Near the end of our meeting

Mrs. Evans stated that she felt that a 50 percent increase was unacceptable, and I have to say I also agreed. Based upon the documentation that we have provided to the University, the 50 percent increase was deemed unacceptable based on that. The meeting ended amicably with Father Pilarz stating that he would discuss our concerns with the Board of Trustees and get back to us.

As we peeled ourselves from the ultra plush leather couches, being careful not to knock over any of the crystal glasses onto the imported tile flooring in Father Pilarz's office to say our goodbyes, I guess I felt confident that we had presented a good and reasonable case on behalf of the taxpayers. We were probably not even out of the building before the mayor's office was notified at that city council had the gall to approach this sacred institution and request financial help for our cash strapped distressed city.

You see, all that we were trying to

do was follow the mandate of the Recovery Plan which states that the business administrator and city attorneys shall aggressively pursue additional voluntarily contributions or direct tax payments from the tax exempt community to support city services right here highlighted from our Recovery Plan that's been in place since 2002, this is from the revised one.

We picked up where the
administration had dropped the ball and that
has been evidenced in a statement by the
mayor in this morning Times article which
states: Recently asked if he approached tax
exempt organizations to collect payments in
lieu of taxes, Mayor Chris Doherty initially
said, no. When pressed further, Mr. Doherty
added, "We ask all of the time," but he
wasn't able to provide specifics.

Yet in Saturday's editorial by the Times, which refers to us as extortionists, the editorial states: Rather than responding to Mrs. Evans extortion, the University increased it's annual contribution by 59 percent to \$175,000 in

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accordance with it's previous discussions with the Doherty administration.

Which one of these statements is accurate? I think that a discussion over something like this should be memorable.

The administration has had over nine years to perform had task that was mandated in the Recovery Plan and that he has chosen to ignore it. Only now after our meeting was brought out in the open does the newspaper try to credit the administration for negotiating with the University. are free to believe what you want, but I know what I believe. You will notice that many of the large nonprofit organizations stack the deck with influential people on their board in an effort to possibly manipulate situations such as this. many average Joes have you seen on these decision making panels? None. In the case of the University, the mayor's own wife sits on the board.

It only makes sense that the Times would mount an attack on Mrs. Evans, somehow they forgot to include me this time as the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

University is a large benefactor of the Lynett's charity.

This past Sunday the Times outdid themselves, Chris Kelly, John Cole, Pat McKenna, they must have been tripping over each other as they attempted to pin their To claim that we are vial diatribe. extortionists borders on liable. If we are extortionists, then the land grabbed by the University while the city is in a distressed status should be nothing less than highway robbery. If the University wants the rights over Hitchcock Court, then they should have to negotiate a fair value for it just as they have when they took over other streets and courts in the Hill Section.

As I have stated previously, we are not the only elected officials pursuing payments in lieu of taxes in the country, but we are the only ones in this area with the fortitude to go out and fight for our taxpayers.

The newspaper is also assuming a lot when they accuse of us picking on the University. We will be knocking on the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years they have been made their insatiable appetite for the property in the Hill Section as noticeable as a feeding frenzy at a pig trough. I would like to have all of the newspaper vending machines removed from the streets throughout the city just to see how well the Times would deal with the loss of their revenue. I guarantee they would Well, that's the circumstances not like it. that the city faces with the loss of taxable properties.

Ladies and gentlemen, before I go out in front and show you some of the charts that I have compiled, I would like to give you a basic idea of what this majority of council is trying to accomplish for you. We are not afraid to fight the society elite. Those with the country club mentality that only represent a minority of our city's population, and we are here to represent the needs of the majority of our taxpayers who have long been overlooked.

doors of all of the large nonprofits in the

next couple of months and, yes, Chris Kelly,

the Jesuits are here, but in the last ten

In order to do what we want, we seem to be at odds quite often with the powers that be. We have been accused of not accomplishing much in our first seven months. You have to be very careful when you peel the barbed wire away, but we disagree with the administration -- do we disagree with the administration? Yes, probably 95 percent of the time or more, but this is due to our frustration, and most importantly yours.

The administration policies of the last nine years have only buried us deeper in debt, raised taxes, reduced public safety, created disharmony, employee disharmony, and increased the number of blighted and vacant properties just to name a few. We are working diligently behind the scenes to reverse most of these problems and it cannot be fixed overnight. Please have patience, but given the right direction and your encouragement we will get the job done for you.

So the next time you read a slanted article in the Scranton Times, remember,

neither the prince of city hall, the king of the hill or the Waverly jesters give a damn about the conditions of our city as they hide behind a the frosted glass doors or their ivory towers, but Queen Janet is always available for the Court.

I just have a couple of charts I just wanted to show you. You know, one of the reasons we attempted to go after the University first is because, you know, we were in the process of approving some plans, but they are the biggest entity in the city that seems to be acquiring land at a pretty rapid pace and turning into tax exempts.

But if you see this map here from the county, all of that area in blue in the Hill Section, and I'm behind a little bit there is probably a few more I could fill in because that's how fast the properties are going, everything in blue is owned by the University of Scranton at this time.

I just want to show you a couple of pie charts that reflect the rapidity of the purchases of the property. Since Scranton went to distressed in 1992 the University

has purchased over 67 percent of their property, and this is the pie chart showing that. This is the purchase since we have become distressed. This is what the University owned prior to that.

This next chart shows the amount of property that the University purchased under the current administration. 52 percent of the university's property has been acquired while the current administration has been seated, 48 percent prior to that.

And this map is just a ten-year breakdown starting from 1950 and you can see how it progressively gets larger, but again, 52 percent in the last ten years, the biggest piece of this pie has been purchased in the last ten years while we have been struggling under the distressed act and we haven't received an extra penny to this point and I don't think they would even be talking today or there would be anything in the newspaper if we didn't approach them.

And again, we are putting everyone on notice that we are going door-to-door and whether the mayor approves it or not we are

working for you. We have done a lot of work on this and a lot of research, but these are the spreadsheets and if anybody wants to see them they are welcome to see them, they have the amount of taxable property, the millages, the rates and everything that we based all of this information on.

And I won't lengthen this anymore, but on top of their tuitions there are pages and pages of additional fees that the students are required to pay, parking fees, they charge their own parking tickets and stuff, but graduation fees, semester fees, anything. So Father Pilarz said we were -- our fee was going to be a tax. Well, I guess these hundreds of fees here are taxes that they charge themselves, but I would be happy to meet with anyone if they have any additional questions on this. In the interest of time, I could probably spend another hour on this, I will turn it over. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.
Mr. Joyce, any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Just a few brief

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comments. Week after week many people come up to the podium and speak about KOZ's and what will the city be getting in return once KOZ status is up. Now, initially, we did some research with the Single Tax Office as However, the Single far as 2010 numbers. Tax Office since 2010 wasn't totally complete, they were preliminary numbers so we went back to 2009, and through the help of our tax collector, Bill Courtright, as previously stated, he explained that a list had never been generated by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania showing all the KOZ properties in a taxing district, but he did inform that in 2009 the Single Tax Office abated \$447,000 in 2009 non-delinquent property taxes for the City of Scranton. So if you put two and two together this could be an indication of how much additional revenue the city may receive after certain property's KOZ statuses are up.

One citizens' request tonight has to do with 1926 Price Street. This was a recently condemned property. The grass at this property is about knee high and has

very high weeds. If we could please send a letter to Mr. Seitzinger to contact the property owner and to have the situation addressed.

Also, I was informed by some residents that one of our recent requests to repair one of the defaced stop signs at the corner of Pen-Y-Bryn and Leslie Drive had been completed and that the stop sign looks great and that the residents were very happy, so I would like to thank our DPW workers for handling that as such, and that's I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. I wish to begin by correcting the misstatements and misconceptions provided by the Scranton Times and Father Pilarz, president of the University of Scranton.

It's this simple, when Mr. Joyce, Mr. Rogan and I ran for Scranton Council we pledged to aggressively pursue payments in lieu of taxes from nonprofit organizations because the mayor, the business administrator, Stu Renda, and the city solicitor had never done so.

solicitor for Scranton City Council,

Councilman Loscombe and I met with Father

Pilarz and Attorney Lavelle, council for the

University of Scranton in June. Father

Pilarz opened by stating that he had good

news, the University was increasing it's

payment in lieu of taxes by 50 percent or

\$55,000. This would increase it's PILOT

from one \$110,000 to \$165,000.

As a result, Attorney Hughes,

Father Pilarz then stated that the University was asking for the aerial easement rights above Hitchcock Court and had decided not to request ownership of Hitchcock Court as a result.

In addition, Father Pilarz stated that the University already had two separate development plans, one which contained the aerial easement for construction of one building, and a second plan for the construction of two separate buildings.

Thus, it was Father Pilarz who sought to connect the University's voluntary contribution to the aerial easement above Hitchcock Court. In other words, it was

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Father Pilarz and not I who engaged in the alleged quid pro quo bargaining.

After listening to Father Pilarz's offer and extensive discussion among Attorney Hughes, Attorney Lavelle and Councilman Loscombe, I informed Father Pilarz that his \$55,000 offer for the sale of the air rights was unacceptable because it was not enough. As a faithful Roman Catholic, I respectfully asked him as an ordained priest of the Catholic church to understand and compassionately consider the sufferings of the people of Scranton rather than only buildings and construction projects. In meticulous detail, I presented the distressed financial status of the Scranton and it's historic debt, the imminent financial crises facing our city, and the plight of the city's poor, elderly and blue collar working families. I stated that just as he is charged with the welfare of 5,000 students and employees, as an elected councilwoman I am charged with the welfare and protection of the 72,000 Scranton residents, most of whom can no

longer afford to subsidize the University's ever growing tax exemptions for the largest parcels of city property owned by any nonprofit institution within the City of Scranton.

I noted the double digit figures for local unemployment as well as the increasing number of home foreclosures in the city.

Further, I remarked how numerous residents cannot even pay for their bills and their children's college educations in this recession. I closed by once again respectfully asking for his reconsideration and no dollar figure for an increased payment for the aerial right was stated by anyone present at this meeting. It was agreed that the University would get back to us in the future.

Following that meeting, I had no further contact with any representative of the University of Scranton, rather, Attorney Hughes, Attorney Lavelle, and another party representing the University negotiated the sale of this city asset, the aerial easement above Hitchcock Court which is owned by the

city.

A few weeks later, we had an agreement for \$250,000 for each of four years for a total of \$1 million. At the 11th hour, however, the University changed it's mind and broke the agreement and Father Pilarz proceeded to accuse me of quid pro quo bargaining despite his connecting the voluntary contribution to the aerial easement. And at this time, I ask Attorney Hughes to explain the negotiations further.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam

President. I'm going to start with the quote from Vladimir Lenon after the Russian Revolution when he said, "If you say a lie often enough to the people they will soon believe it's the truth."

It's called misinformation and that's basically what has been spread in the newspaper about the negotiations with the University of Scranton. As Jack Loscombe's map down here shows, in the last ten years the footprint, what we call the footprint, which is the land which is owned by a developer has increased, has doubled in size

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the last ten years. We have to go back to 1964 when the University of Scranton basically had the Scranton Estate, there was an agreement reached between the University of Scranton and the City of Scranton to adopt a redevelopment plan for an area in the Hill Section, it's recorded in Lackawanna County Deed Book 611, page 209, unfortunately, the maps were not recorded with the deed, but basically what happened, this was called the University Urban Redevelopment Plan named after the University of Scranton. It was to condemn various houses, which at that time were some of the major houses in the Hill Section, to condemn them, turn the land over to the University of Scranton.

From what the Redevelopment proposal says, the Redevelopment Authority was to demolish the structures and turn the land over to the University of Scranton. The area bounded by Mulberry Street, Madison Avenue, Webster, and the Scranton Estate was to become an institutional district for the University of Scranton. It was rezoned as

an institutional district, as you can do with an urban redevelopment plan, and became an institutional district.

Ironically, the height limitation in the institutional district was 40 feet. No building could be no more than 40 feet. Every building had to have a setback from the main street of at least 20 feet in the front yard. There were other controls regarding parking for student housing. For every four students there had to be one parking space. For every ten chairs in a classroom there had to be one parking space. For every ten and for every nonsecular there had to be one for every unit.

That plan was adopted, that's how the University got it's start and today that is the area where it is. If you look, this is from the zoning map, I know you probably can't see it too well, but from the city's zoning map this area right here is the institutional district bounded by Mulberry Street, Madison Avenue, Webster, the

Scranton Estate, down to Spruce Street extended the Spruce Street Expressway over to Moosic Street.

What has happened now that the University of Scranton, which I'll explain later, most of their expansion is now into residential zones. They are moving across Mulberry Street, there is a small section there which was a commercial neighborhood. Behind that, most of that is all R-2. The area behind Webster Avenue going east is R-2, and most of the area after that where the University of Scranton now is starting to expand is also a R-2 zone. It's not an institutional district.

So with most of this area around being an R-2, the University of Scranton cannot expand for it's institutional purposes and it requires variances from the zoning. Where, as Jack Loscombe has previously said, there were 166 parcels which are tax exempt, the assessed valuation just on the land is \$1,889,000. If you apply the city millage to that tax on just the assessed valuation of land only that's

almost \$195,000.

As Joe Talimini said using the University of Scranton's budget I assumed it was \$174,000 for my information, I'll use a little bit different percentages, but 1 percent of 174 million is \$1.74 million. If you take one-thousandth of 1 percent, just a thousand, point 001 of that budget, it would be \$174,000 which was more than the increased voluntary contribution in lieu of taxes that the University of Scranton wants to pay.

As numerous speakers, and I'll just highlight have said, as was reported in the paper today that 32 percent of the land value of the city of Scranton is now exempt.

To set the record straight, and I don't know who the experts were, I don't know what questions were asked of them from the legal standpoint where all of this started, the zoning board, every zoning board in Pennsylvania is an independent body. The zoning board makes it's decision on giving variances. The variance has already been granted for this apartment

. .

building.

There is a use variance, which in order to be granted there is five statutory requirements that have to be met. They are in an R-2 zone, to build a building such as this, it's going to run a whole block, assuming we have the aerial easement across Hitchcock Court or even with the two buildings now it's almost going to run one full block of Mulberry Street, to put that apartment building in there is a use variance, very stringent requirements by statute. They got a use variance.

The height variance in an R-2 zone is 35 feet. If you take a seven-story building, and I'm just using 12 feet per floor, that would be 84 feet. I believe that they requested a variance for close to 100 feet because the roof would go up in a gable.

They also had to get a variance for parking. All of these variances were granted, and as a result they also had to get planning commission approval. The planning commission's decision was final, it

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was already made, that was done. However, the University of Scranton had to buy or attempt to obtain from the city, city property, and the air space over Hitchcock Court is a city asset. It can be sold. It can be given away. There could be agreements reached regarding that.

The attorney for the University of Scranton, and I have drafted aerial easements, I have sold aerial space, I have done that before, he had part of my agreements when he talked to me to arrange the meeting, and I discussed what would be done with the aerial easement and I said it could be either an easement or it could be a conveyance in fee, to start with the lower limit in elevation and we could cap it at a higher limit in elevation and I certainly don't want them building the Empire State building over Hitchcock Court. I said I would recommend that we took an upper -- we take a lower limiting elevation and I would cap it out a 100 feet over the commencement point of the lower limit elevation.

When we did that, the University of

Scranton, of course, they came here, they put on a dog and pony show as to the building, that's generally what's it's referred to as when you come in with all of your boards and all of your experts and you just give everybody what the proposal is going to be. The arrangement, I talked with Attorney Lavelle that night, he said he would arrange the meeting, we agreed upon a date and it was the University of Scranton that approached the City of Scranton for the aerial easement or a fee conveyance.

The meeting was arranged, with

Father Pilarz and that was held on June 9

and, of course, both Mr. Loscombe and Mrs.

Evans had stated what happened at that

meeting, it would be repetitious for me to

state that.

After that meeting, about a week
later, I received a call from Attorney
Lavelle who stated that the University of
Scranton would increase it's commitment in
return for the aerial easement and pay
\$220,000 a year for the next four years.
One thing that had been stated that they did

not want to publicized to any great extent. I said that's impossible because we are a public institution, we cannot keep anything away from the public, that an agreement would have to be entered into and we then went on and I said, "I don't think that \$220,000 is sufficient," and it came back to me with an offer of \$250,000 which I said I believe that that would work and he was going to draft the agreements.

About a week later he called me and he said that there was a meeting and the University of Scranton decided to pull their offer to pay the city \$250,000 for the next four years and enter into an agreement that that would be paid.

Basically that there was absolutely no linkage, there was nothing put together to require anything with the zoning or the planning commission. They were done decisions. They could not be done.

However, right now it's going to be up to the zoning board of the City of Scranton to take a hard look at any expansion of any institution into

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

residential zones. When they come in for variances it's up to the zoning board. City of Scranton, any municipality by law, and I have been through a number of cases, where the municipality has often filed appeals to the decisions of the zoning board because they're contrary to the zoning They all involve different statutes. variances, and as a result that the way to stop the intrusion of any nonprofit that is moving into an area outside of it's institutional district or outside of it's current zoning into a residential area is for strict compliance with the zoning law and this is what would have to be done.

Council has no responsibility over that. It would be up to the administration to file an appeal of the zoning decisions to the Court of Common Pleas and take appeals up to the Commonwealth Court and ultimately if they are unhappy with that decision it would to file a petition for allowance of appeal to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania since there is no automatic right of appeal.

This is basically what happened and

I do not believe that the statements in the newspaper articles, the editorials, are accurate. Hopefully with this information they will recant some of the statements that they have made, take a better understanding as to what council tried to achieve and hopefully back council and go after some of these nonprofit corporations that have budgets in excess of hundreds of millions of dollars by the University of Scranton's budget when they include their capital budget it is well over \$200,000 a year. Thank you, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. And one question for you then, the
comments made by other sources outside of
the area, these municipality attorneys,
would their responses have been accurate or
maybe I should be saying were the proper
questions asked of them or the proper
explanation of this situation given to them
in your opinion?

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea what the questions were that were asked, but, however, the decisions of the zoning board

and the planning commission were made. They were final. Council had nothing to do with those decisions. This came solely as a result of the University of Scranton requiring or requesting the sale of a city asset and the city could have given it away. Council could have rolled over and played dead, just said, "Here, we'll give it to you, do what you want, build this building, build it seven stories high and go from that and we get nothing."

However, it was the University of Scranton that started it to say that, "Here, we want that, we'll increase the amount of money."

When we said, "We think you can do better," they came back and ultimately they are up to \$250,000.

One other -- it's my understanding, and one of the speakers alluded to this previously, is that there are appeals to the zoning board decision by residents, homeowners in that area that are affected by this project. Now, I don't know the status of those appeals, but they have appealed and

as to whether -- to try to stop the project because of the fact that these variances that were given and the intrusion and the effect it's going to have on a residential neighborhood where you can only go 35 feet now you are go to 100 feet, you are blocking out sunlight, air, all of these other items.

One thing that I did present to

Father Pilarz in the meeting was the fact
that I said one thing the University could
consider is this: Is that you could pay the
tax on the land, you could enter into an
agreement and say, "Here, we'll pay the tax
on the land."

I believe that the assessed value of that land is \$60,000, that would produce about \$6,000 in revenue. I also said that due to the fact as to what you are going to be using the first floor for, I said one thing you can consider is we can turn that into just tax the first floor as a condominium basis because when you look at the neighborhood what the University of Scranton has done you are acquiring businesses, you are forcing them out of

business and then you go into a competing business, which is tax free.

They have a convenience store on the first floor, they have a coffee shop, they have all of these other things where they are going to be selling, and there were people up there that had private businesses that were paying real estate taxes, business privilege taxes, sales tax, and other taxes to the city, employment taxes, wage taxes, they are all gone and now you are going to replace them with a competing -- with a new business that the University of Scranton is going to conduct and they are going obtain the revenue from. Whether they pay taxes on that, I don't know.

But the thing was that I gave a proposal on that and, of course, they didn't want to hear that from the standpoint that, well, now we will be paying taxes and we are tax exempt, we don't want to set a precedent. I fully understand that, but as some speakers have said I think -- I forget who it was, but the solution to this is probably going to be in Harrisburg where we

are going to have to do something and it's just not Scranton, it's every municipality across the state, but I think that Scranton is, by the article in the paper this morning, has the least amount of payment in lieu of taxes of any city of it's size.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I'd like to ask also my honorable colleagues a question, at any time during the last week's council meeting did any council member address or discuss the University of Scranton or it's payments in lieu of taxes?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MR. JOYCE: No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MS. EVANS: Were any announcements made to the public in this regard during last Tuesday's council meeting?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MR. JOYCE: No.

MS. EVANS: Nevertheless, a staff writer for and editorialist at the Scranton Times unanimously asserted that I had made an announcement to the public during our last council meeting regarding the

university's PILOT and had denounced the University at length. The articles and editorials are based on false information.

Father Pilarz and his apologists and champions at the Scranton Times have strained themselves to paint me as a strong-arming thug. When it reality, as Attorney Hughes and Councilman Loscombe can attest, I conducted myself as a professional, decent and dignified representative of the people of Scranton. In fact, Father Pilarz even complimented council and me for our strength and professionalism at the conclusion of our meeting.

I wonder if Father Pilarz while wearing his collar and priestly garments and with his right hand on the Bible would be willing to gainsay my account of our meeting. The people know that I am not a thug or an extortionist. They recognize that I am merely doing what I pledged to do in my campaign and that the mayor was failed to do as charged by the 2002 Recovery Plan seeking increased payments in lieu of taxes

from the nonprofits.

Consequently, the only purpose served by the Scranton Times' articles and editorials is to now make it nearly impossible to ask nonprofits for fair share payments in lieu of taxes. Thank you, Scranton Times.

Further, the University self-produced study that it had provides a \$411 million economic impact within the City of Scranton is preposterous. Here is the hard economic reality. The city losses well over \$500,000 in annual property tax revenue due to the excessive number of taxable properties the University of Scranton has devoured from the tax rolls within the city's environment. The Scranton School District loses at least three times that figure annually, and the county loses slightly less than the city.

In addition, the University's tall tail of economic impact is illuminated when one considers the numbers of retail stores, restaurants, and bars that have closed in downtown Scranton and in the Steamtown Mall.

In fact, the, malls vacancy rate is more than twice the national rate. University students own cars and intelligence and travel to the Crossings outlets, the Shoppes at Montage, and the Viewmont Mall and it's numerous surrounding retail businesses for many of their purchases, and still other students who are on very limited budgets bring from home the necessary supplies for dorm life as my four children did when they were in college.

Further complicating the issue, is the fact that the University has little regard for it's business neighbors and has more than once forced taxpaying city businesses to close their doors by duplicating their services, purchasing the buildings in which they are located or taking them to Court in the hopes of acquiring their property.

These are the facts and, ladies and gentlemen, facts are stubborn. You can try to bend them, twist them, and spin them, but they will always remain the facts. As your elected official, I have made and will

continue to make good on my pledge to prevent the University or any other large nonprofit institution from appropriating city assets for free and taking advantage of Scranton taxpayers, both of which have occurred during the last nine years of the Doherty administration.

I am glad that the University has increased it's voluntary contribution, but I was seeking a larger one due to the city's \$500,000 annual loss in tax revenue to the University and the fact that their offer of \$165,000 represents a mere fraction of 1 percent, a mere fraction of 1 percent of both it's \$1,063,000 annual operating budget and it's \$95 million endowment.

There was a time when the University of Scranton paid for streets that it wanted from the city, but that was before this mayor gave them away with a smile while at the same time increased your taxes by over 25 percent and drove up the city's long-term debt to over \$316 million as of the 2008 audit.

Remarkably, 50 percent of the

University's property has been purchased since 2000. Because the mayor hasn't done his job to pursue PILOTS as charged in the Recovery Plan, city council is trying to do so in conjunction with developing budgetary cuts and additional sources of revenue.

Moreover, for the record, I am and always have been an advocate for the Commonwealth Medical College despite the assertions to the contrary by the editorial staff of the Scranton Times. In fact, a few years ago these same editorialist lauded my commitment to the project despite criticism I was taking from citizens regarding the schools tax exempt status. I recognize that the medical school will help ameliorate the doctor shortage in our area and in conjunction with the field of biosciences help transform our local economy.

Next, Attorney Hughes, have you received any updates regarding the Comcast contract negotiations since last Tuesday?

MR. HUGHES: No.

MS. EVANS: Well, it certainly seems that the mayor is holding that up and

council awaits his response.

And quickly, to clear up

Mr. McGoff's earlier statement regarding
former members of the zoning board, I said
that the departing members operated in bad
faith because they were choosing a solicitor
immediately prior to the expiration of their
terms, they would not be seated members of
the board at the next meeting and that the
new member members should have an
opportunity. I never cast dispersions on
the skills, abilities, qualifications or
performance of any of the zoning board
members not last week, I don't believe since
you have been seated ever.

Number one: I received a request from - these are citizens' requests for the week now. I received requests from Mr. Longi, president of the Oakmont Neighborhood Association. Please forward them to the zoning officer and the zoning board and if appropriate to PennDOT as well.

Request a written response from the zoning officer regarding the placement of the weight restrictions for Lake Scranton

Road.

In addition, forward the request for paying of Lake Scranton Road to the appropriate party.

Two: Residents of Tripp Park report that as of July 5 graffiti was painted on the railroad bridge at the bottom of Euclid Avenue. In addition, graffiti is painted in red on the entrance to the expressway some of which spells out "TPB" which I am told stands for Tripp Park Bloods. Please remove this graffiti as soon as possible. Also, contact the police department and Assistant District Attorney Gene Talerico regarding both locations.

A letter to Mr. Oleski, there is an open dumpster placed on a property as 1124 Cottage Avenue. The dumpster is marked Bunnell Waste Removal. Neighboring home owners report that he cannot use -- or the neighboring homeowner, I'm sorry, reports that he cannot use his sidewalk and access to his porch is blocked by this dumpster. Please contact council's office to report on a solution to this problem for both

homeowners.

Residents of East Mountain request the paying of Cross Drive and the 100 and 200 blocks of Mary Lane.

At the corner of 12th Street and the 1200 block of Hampton Street there is an overgrown vacant lot. Cut the overgrowth as soon as possible.

Residents of the 12th Street and
Hampton Street report a foul odor emanating
from sewer lines. Council requests that the
Sewer Authority would investigate this
complaint.

Next, homeowners report that a streetlight has been out for over a month at the corner of Division Street and St.

Francis Cabrini Avenue. The streetlight numbered is 56127N46311. I know that I had my husband check that out today, I believe he called these numbers into MAM, but I would like it reported by council's office as well, please, just to ensure that that light repair is going to occur as soon as possible.

To DPW: Please trim the overgrowth

1 of weeds, grass and bushes at the corner of Division Street and St. Francis Cabrini 2 3 Avenue which is obstructing the vision of motorists, and that's it. 4 MS. CARRERA: 5-B. SALE OF TAX 5 DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS 6 714-716 MCKENNA COURT, SCRANTON, 7 8 PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO. 14677-030-019 TO CHRISTOPHER AND LORETTA DOHERTY, HIS WIFE, 9 10 715 MONROE AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$2,500.00. 11 12 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 13 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 14 introduced into it's proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 17 MS. EVANS: On the question? 18 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 19 just noticed the last name Doherty, is that 20 a relationship to the mayor, does anyone know? 21 22 MS. EVANS: I don't know that for 23 certain, but it is obviously not the mayor 24 himself. 25 MR. ROGAN: I saw the middle initial

was "K."

MS. EVANS: Well, and the Mrs. Doherty is also different. I believe this is not a relation, but I'm not 100 percent certain. We can find -- hope to find that out for you by next week.

MR. ROGAN: I'm going to plan on voting "yes" this week, but if it is a relation I think we have to give it a second look.

MS. EVANS: Okay. Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. CARRERA: 5-C. ENACTING FEES FOR COPIES OF FIRE, POLICE, DETECTIVE AND AMBULANCE REPORTS, EXEMPTING CRIME VICTIMS FROM PAYING FEES FOR REPORTS AND ESTABLISHING A SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT FOR DEPOSIT OF THE FEES.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

- -

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, again, I would like to thank Mr. Perry, he was in here I believe it was a little over a month ago and he had a situation where I believe it was credit card was stolen and he brought it to our attention that in order to use the fraud protection on the credit card he had to get a copy of the police report and we all agreed with him after being victimized of a crime you shouldn't be victimized again by having to pay for the police report, and I think this is -- even though it may seem small to all of us, but to a victim of a crime it really is, you know, a good thing, and I know the newspaper isn't going to give this much press, but I hope you can save a little link for it.

MS. EVANS: I might also add that the city will not be losing money. Fees for

other various police reports will be increased and council is creating a special account for the police department overseen by the city and we are hoping that the monies generated from police reports will be used for the purchase of police cars, tasers, and other equipment essential to the day-to-day functioning of our police men and women. All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. CARRERA: 5-D. APPOINTMENT OF
WAYNE EVANS, 717 ALDER STREET, SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE
ETHICS COMMISSION FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM.
MR. EVANS' TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 12,
2010. HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 12,
2015.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-D be

introduced into it's proper committee. 1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 4 5 MR. ROGAN: Yes, again. I know Mr. Evans well, I know him to be a good, 6 7 hardworking person, but again, it has been 8 our policy to ask for a resume or cover 9 letter and get in contact with us, so I 10 would hope that he would send that to us and 11 I will try to get in contact with him. MS. EVANS: 12 Okay. Thank you. And, 13 for the record, I want to state that 14 Mr. Wayne Evans is not related to me. A11 15 those in favor of introduction signify by 16 saying aye. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 18 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 20 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 21 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 22 have it and so moved. 23 MS. CARRERA: SIXTH ORDER. NO 24 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . 25 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES

1 FOR ADOPTION- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 26, 2010 -RATIFYING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 2 CITY OFFICIALS EXECUTION OF THE DECLARATION 3 OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF- WAY BETWEEN 4 HORSHAM INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL 5 DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND GF/PENNSYLVANIA 6 PROPERTIES, INC. NOW BY CHANGE OF NAME 7 PENNSYLVANIA LTC, INC., ("GRANTORS") AND THE 8 9 CITY OF SCRANTON ("GRANTEE") FOR A STRIP OF LAND TEN (10') FEET IN WIDTH AS SHOWN AND 10 11 DEPICTED ON THE "PROPOSED DRAINAGE PIPE AND DITCH EASEMENT TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON" AND 12 AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF THE DECLARATION OF 13 14 EASEMENT AND RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE RECORDER OF DEEDS OFFICE OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY. 15 16 MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the 17 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 18 passage of Item 7-A. MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 19 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 call, please? 21 22 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 24 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 25 MR. ROGAN: Yes.

1 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 2 3 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 5 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 6 7 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. MS. CARRERA: 7-B. 8 F0R 9 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION- RESOLUTION NO. 22, 2010 -10 AUTHORIZING THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE 11 CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXTEND THE CONTRACT FOR 12 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES WITH DANIEL L. 13 14 PENETAR, JR., ESQUIRE TO ACT AS ITS 15 SOLICITOR FOR A PERIOD OF TWO (2) YEARS FROM 16 JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH JULY 1, 2012 BE AMENDED 17 AS FOLLOWS: REDUCING THE PERIOD OF THE 18 CONTRACT OF TWO YEARS FROM JULY 1, 2010 TO JULY 1, 2012, TO A PERIOD OF TWO MONTHS, 19 FROM JULY 1, 2010 TO SEPTEMBER 1, 2010. 20 21 MS. EVANS: As Chair for the 22 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 23 passage of Item 7-B. 24 MR. ROGAN: Second. 25 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11

call, please? 1 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 4 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 7 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 8 9 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 10 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 11 12 Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. 13 Maybe I should make this 14 announcement prior to the reading of 7-C 15 this evening, when contacted on Wednesday, 16 July 7, by Mrs. Krake, city clerk, 17 Mr. Whittaker stated that he does not want a 18 reappointment to the Ethics Commission and 19 this is good time for him to step away, 20 those are his words, so I would ask Ms. 21 Carrera if we could please contact 22 Mr. Whittaker once again and ask him to send 23 his written decision to the mayor and 24 council and I would I believe entertain a

motion then to table this legislation.

25

MR. MCGOFF: So moved. 1 MR. LOSCOMBE: 2 Second. MS. EVANS: A motion made to table 3 4 reappointment of Mr. Stephen Whittaker and seconded, on the question? All those in 5 favor of signify by saying aye. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 10 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: 11 Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. The legislation is 12 tabled. 13 If there is no further business, 14 I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn. MS. EVANS: We're adjourned. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER