	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, June 8, 2010
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATUENE & NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and 1 moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 Mr. Rogan. 5 MR. ROGAN: Here. 6 Mr. Loscombe. 7 MS. CARRERA: 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. Mrs. Evans. 10 11 MS. EVANS: Here. 12 MS. EVANS: Dispense with the 13 reading of the minutes. 14 MS. KRAKE: We now have a 15 proclamation for the O'Malley family. 16 MS. EVANS: WHEREAS, MARGIE HOLODNAK 17 DAVIS, daughter of Ann Marie Holodnak and 18 the late Edward Holodnak, was only two years 19 old and sister Rosie, eight months old, when 20 their father Edward died. Her mother then 21 married Paul "Hook" O'Malley, and they added 22 for more children to their family, Paulie 23 Mary Theresa, Matthew and Patrick. "Margie", 24 the oldest of the six children, was like a 25 mother to her younger siblings, and WHEREAS,

24

25

"MARGIE" graduated from St. Patrick's High School class of 1971 and went on to earn her nursing degree, and WHEREAS, as a nurse at Allied Services, "MARGIES" everyday beautiful smile and compassion brightened the lives of her patients, and WHEREAS, "MARGIE" was a lifelong member of St. Patrick's Church, the Holy Name Society, Catholic Daughters organization, and the treasurer of the Head Start Day Care Parents' Association, and WHEREAS, "MARGIE" was a daughter, sister, mother, aunt, godmother, and the greatest loves of her life were her children who gave her much joy and happiness, and WHEREAS, on June 9, 1980, "MARGIE'S" estranged husband David Davis, took her life. Her children Jeffrey and Allison were only 5 and 2 at the time of her death. Her mother Ann Marie and siblings believe that "MARGIE" lives on in their hearts and everyday actions, and WHEREAS, "MARGIE'S" family firmly believes that no woman or child should fear their home. therefore, the O'Malley family has been involved with the Women's Resource Center

21

22

23

24

25

1

for decades. They have raised funds for the Resource Center and have an annual memorial award named the "MARGIE AWARD" whose last recipient was Judge Tina Gartley, and NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that on Tuesday, June 8, 2010, the Scranton City Council will make its final vote to name the 2100 block of Jackson Street, "MARGIE STREET." This is the street where "MARGIE" grew up and represents the happier times in her life. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the governing body of the City of Scranton hereby proclaims June 9, 2010, as "MARGIE DAY" in the City of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Would you like to say anything?

MR. O'MALLEY: On behalf of the O'Malley/Holodnak families we would like to thank city council, and especially the Office of City Council, the Clerk's Office, they did a tremendous job in getting this proclamation stuff. And a special thanks to Janet Evans, president of council, and Jackie Loscombe and Patrick Rogan, who is a very close friend of mine.

It seems like yesterday, it's horrible to say, but 30 years have gone by as of tomorrow, and the loss of Margie is in our heart like it was today. We know in our hearts that she lives on and that's why we were are involved with the Women's Resource Center like Mrs. Evans was actually was talking about for the proclamation, and as long as we are here we are going to be working with the Women's Resource Center to make that sure no woman or child fear their homes.

And I'd just like to thank everyone for the opportunity to have our street, the 2100 block of Jackson Street named Margie Street because it was a happier time for Margie, and she was a mother to all of us and she was my godmother. She was 16 years old when I was born and she left this earth when I was 11 and I'll never forget the last conversation I had with her. I was asking her about going to Hershey Park a couple of days after finishing third or fourth grade at the time, and needless to say, we never made it to Hershey Park, but we are here

today to Honor her memory and what she would have been. She would have done a lot of great things and she is in our hearts every day. Thank you very much.

MRS. O'Malley. It still hurts to even talk about it. Patrick is the youngest of my children, I have six children, but I have five now, but it will always be six, but what happened to Margie wasn't fair. I mean, he broke in in the middle of the night, chased her outside, chased her around-- she had her own apartment with her two babies, chased her around the parking lot, got her in an arm lock, put the gun to her neck and that was the end. That's what happened.

And we'll never, never really smile, we laugh, but we'll never be the same, and Margie is gone and she was a happy person.

She was Patrick's godmother because she was about -- what was she, Patrick, when I had you?

MR. O'MALLEY: Sixteen.

MRS. O'MALLEY: Sixteen. Fifteen, sixteen, and she took him every place with

her, when she went out with her girlfriends or whatever, and all of the other kids we had a big yard and we all always had a pool and every kid in the neighborhood because we were the only ones that had a pool in the yard, which was fine with me because then I knew where my kids were. And then I would make pitchers of Kool-aid because we couldn't afford a ton of soda for them, pitchers of Kool-Aid, peanut butter and jelly sandwiches and they were all happy campers and they came ever day and I knew where mine were, where all of the other kids.

MS. EVANS: Well, thank you so much.

Mrs. O'Malley.

MRS. O'MALLEY: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you for all you've done these 30 years for the Women's Resource Center and keeping other women and children alive and safe.

MR. O'MALLEY: Frank, thank you, too. Thank you so much. Thank you very much for the opportunity.

MR. JOYCE: You're welcome.

(During the proclamation Mr. Joyce entered chambers.)

MS. EVANS: THIRD ORDER.

MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

MS. EVANS: Clerk's notes.

MS. KRAKE: Our first response is -came from phone calls from our office since
a resident was having trouble getting ahold
of License and Inspections, so we actually
got a call back from Mr. Oleski. He tells
us that at 1402 South Irving they went out
today to condemn the property and that will
start the process of contacting the owner
and then possibly obeying the mortgages
involved. If they are involved then the
city will step in to keep up the property
and until the issue is resolved between the
bank and the property owner which then the
burden would fall on the bank.

The other complaint that those residents had was concerning 1502 South Irving where the city had put a stop work order on the property. They told us that today they contacted the owner who is in the

rehab the property. He will be also there to cut the grass at the property today.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MS. KRAKE: We have responses from Chief Elliott regarding several letters that were sent to him and to Officer Burgett.

101-103 Prospect Avenue was cited for ordinance violations. 107 Prospect Avenue was cited also for ordinance violations. 612 Moosic Street also cited for ordinance violations. 723-27 Saginaw Street was forwarded to the city inspectors to follow-up on. 706-708 West Elm was forwarded to the DPW, and that's a city block.

Chief Elliott has requested patrols to enforce the legal parking the Nay Aug

Park and special attention for motorists parking in the grass area.

Regarding our letter concerning the officer at the CMC hospital, an officer is assigned there from 8 p.m. to 5 a.m. on a daily basis. This is through the city, but it is actually the CMC who is hiring the

off-duty officer and they have done so for the past 15 years, so the cost for that officer is picked up by the CMC.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MS. KRAKE: We have several non-responses here. We did not receive a response back from William King, superintendent of schools concerning Kennedy school issues. I do know that's ongoing though, it was in the newspaper today, and also from Paul O'Malley, president of the school district, the same issue. I look forward to a response from them when that's resolved.

We did not receive a response from the city solicitor concerning legislation for the request for equipment from Keystone Landfill and amending the prior legislation to reflect the current landfill tipping fee.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, I noticed that bids will be open for the purchase of a new DPW vehicle, we received that information in the mail.

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And if we can please

determine is that purchase being made through the \$100,000 donations of Keystone Landfill.

MS. KRAKE: Yes. We did not receive a response from Chief Elliott concerning the speeding in the 600 block of West Elm.

We did receive a response, this
letter was sent to Mayor Doherty, Linda
Aebli and Chief Elliott concerning the
hiring of COM-D or beat patrol officers.

We did not receive a response yet from the city engineer, Brian Swanson concerning a stop sign in the 500 block of West Elm and Fifth Avenue.

MS. EVANS: Can we send a second request to the city engineer, please?

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And as for the other department heads who have failed to respond we will keep that information in their files.

MS. KRAKE: Yes. I actually spoke to Gary Pelicacci, the acting director of the Scranton Housing Authority, last Wednesday per council's direction. I did

inform him-- and we also did follow-up a

letter of the issue of the trash around

Hilltop Manor. He did say he was going to

begin working on getting metal dumpsters for

Hilltop Manor, but he has yet to answer us

in writing.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MS. KRAKE: We have five that were not responded to from Mark Seitzinger, license and inspections director, and seven that were not responded to by Jeff Brazil, director of the DPW.

MS. EVANS: As I stated, into the folders for each of the department heads.

MS. KRAKE: And that's all we have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. The fourth annual "Kids Swim Free" at Nay Aug Park will be held this year for kids between six and 15 years old. It is being sponsored by the Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association and they are actively seeking donations. The checks can be made out to "Kids Swim Free"

and sent to 1416 South Webster Avenue,
Scranton, Pennsylvania, 18505, and I'm going
to give a copy of this to ECTV and ask them
to put it on the TV as well.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
The weekly schedule for street cleaning is as follows: June 7 to 11, Plot section,
Deacon Street to Finn Street and June 14 to 18 North Webster Avenue to Madison Avenue.

The Lackawanna County Medical
Society Health Fair will be held this
Saturday, June 12, beginning at 8 a.m. at
Nay Aug Park across from the CMC and the
Everhart Museum. This free event features
screenings, information and medical
presentations. For more information contact
344-3616.

A benefit for Patsy McHugh-Gilbride will be conducted this Saturday, June 12, from 6 to 10 p.m. at Holy Rosary Center in Scranton. Patsy, wife of Blacky Gilbride, has battled cancer for the past four years. Donations are \$10 and may be paid at the door or by contacting Kay Garvey at 561-8601 or 346-9754, and that's it.

At this time, we are going to as a council move directly into motions followed by the voting portion of this evening's meeting and then finally citizens' participation. I have asked my colleagues for their agreement to rearrange tonight's meeting because my husband had surgery and I need to leave early this evening.

Mr. Rogan, any motions or comments at this time?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. The first thing is it's been every week we have been talking about this again, the Crisp Avenue Bridge. It still has not been put out to bid. Mrs. Krake, could you please send a letter to Linda Aebli and the city engineer, Brian Swanson, asking when the project will be put out to bid and what holdups -- why has it been held up so long.

Secondly, I received the monthly report from May 2010 from OECD, and I'll read off some highlights. The Homebuyer's program there was one application received, three approved and two closed. The Housing Rehabilitation Program there has been one

bid opening, 19 contacted for verification, one approved for a total of six projects under rehab right now.

The Lackawanna Neighbors Home

Program at 208 Pittston. This home is now

approximately 80 percent completed and

renovations should hopefully be done in June

of 2010.

The CDBG-R paving \$300,000 is currently underway using this funding and, Mrs. Krake, could we please send a letter to Linda Aebli asking for the list of what streets are going to be paved and how that list was compiled, and I know they have to be -- the paving has to be in low income areas using this money, but I would still like to know why certain streets would take priorities over others. I hope it's based on need not based on anything else.

Moving on, the sidewalks for various rectifications for the reconstruction of sidewalks on North Washington Avenue and Linden Street. The requested bidders will appear in the Scranton Times on June 3 and 4 and a mandatory prebid meeting is scheduled

for June 15 and the opening of the bids at council chambers is scheduled for June 22.

A prebid conference for both firefighter and police memorials were held on May 27. The bid opening is scheduled for June 19 in council chambers.

The neighborhood police patrol, they received, reviewed and approved the parole, daily log sheet and prepared a voucher to reimburse the city.

The new tree planting project entitled "Tree Vitalize" will begin on June 12. This project is made available through a grant from the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources.

I have attached to this report a letter from HUD, and I'm not going to read the whole letter, it's over three pages, but some of the highlights are they congratulate the city on it's many accomplishments during this program year and based on this review they have concluded that Scranton has the capacity to carry out it's programs and has met it's reporting requirements, so that's good news from HUD.

And finally, there was a list of the loans for 2002 to present and there is a very -- there is a long list here, but when it comes to status it either says,

"Satisfied, open or in litigation."

And the majority of them are listed as open, but could we please send a letter to Linda Aebli asking for more clarification on what is meant by open. Are they open in that they are paid up-to-date or are they open and back on payments? I would just like a little more clarification on that, and that is all.

MS. EVANS: And, Mrs. Krake, if we might attach the previous letters to the letter that you will be sending to Mr. Aebli regarding paving list I know that we had inquired about that previously and we didn't get the information and so I think if we put it together as a package perhaps we will have A better opportunity now.

And, Mr. Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Mrs.

Evans. I guess I would like to reflect on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the front page article in the newspaper today. Let me preface that first by stating that none of my colleagues here knew anything about my filing of that lawsuit, so I'm sure it came as a surprise to them as anyone else who read it today. The story will be spun by the city administration and it's newspaper to it's benefit. Although on the advice of my attorney I'm not at liberty to discuss the specifics of my case, I do want to assure you and make it clear that I have not filed had complaint as a reason to personally benefit myself at anyone's expense. I am only seeking to have the benefit that I have earned and contributed If that is done, I to be reinstated. receive my rightfully-earned pension, pay my attorney, and there is no cost to anyone. If the administration wishes to fight the case, it is their decision and their decision alone to spend any taxpayer funds on the ultimate result.

Contrary to public opinion, I was not aware of a 1964 ordinance language until the day after I was sworn in. Upon further

research, it was determined that the Home Rule Charter prevented me from having the ability to reject my city council salary and maintain my pension benefit. The real story is that this lawsuit has been brought to challenge the inequities of the laws that this city has adopted as just mentioned and to see if they hold up to current federal discrimination or civil rights laws.

Just because someone chooses to be a firefighter or a police officer in this city shouldn't make it punishable for them in the future when other municipalities don't infringe on the rights of their retired uniformed officers and oppress their rights to serve their community once retired.

Other retired municipal employees in Scranton are allowed to be in employment in other city authorities and departments while maintaining their current benefits.

I have been contact by many people, some with legal expertise, who believe what happened was not right. No one ever brought this argument to the courts before and I decided if, in fact, that if this action was

a violation of the people's rights I felt an obligation to correct or at least clarify once and for all.

This believed constitutional inequity in the city code -- if in fact the courts find there was no violation of my rights, I will gladly accept their decision as I have in the past and continue to serve the citizens without my pension. At least everyone will know in the future what is right and what is wrong so that this question will not have to be raised again. I am simply going by the advice of my attorney and the recommendations of the numerous people who have felt violated over the years that something is not right.

I have stated at previous meetings that I'm not about to bail out and that I have no doubt that I can overcome any obstacle and I am still committed to those statements. I have also stated that I did not take this position to see what personal benefits I could receive from it and I also hold true to those words. I don't believe receiving something that I have already

earned and trying to provide an opportunity for the many fine uniformed employees the ability to continue their service to the citizens of the Scranton in the future without making additional sacrifice is uniquely self-serving.

Before any judgments are made upon me for this action, I would pray that those of you whom I serve would give me the opportunity the balance of my current term to prove to you my dedication to you and our fine city. That is all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Mr. Joyce, do you have any comments or

motions at this time?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I have a few.

Mrs. Krake, I did not hear in the clerk's notes, I didn't hear you mention anything of a letter that I sent to Mr. Renda two weeks ago regarding some of the outstanding items that need to be submitted to Rossi & Rossi in order to complete our audit. Has he provided a response on that?

MS. KRAKE: No, he has not.

MR. JOYCE: Would you be able to

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, I have a few citizens'

please resend that letter because I believe that, you know, it's really important or audit is running late and this is something that needs to be addressed.

Also, for those of you who may know, last Thursday Representative Murphy and Representative Smith along with council members and Mr. Oleski from the administration met in council chambers to discuss blight issues and creating and drafting stiffer blight regulations on the state level, and I just wanted to publically thank all of the folks who attended as well as Representative Murphy and Representative Smith for their dedication and their willingness to help the city get through some of the blight issues that we do have and this is a problem that affects all Scranton residents. It decreases property value, it creates a lot of burdens on our community, it opens the door to crime and I just -- I'm really pleased that we received the cooperation of our city representatives on that matter.

requests: A south Scranton resident as informed me that the plot of land behind the LaSalle suit store on the 600 block of Beach Street has overgrown gras and weeds that have become over two feet high. The resident informed that last year when this happened he contacted Mr. Seitzinger and he did resolve the situation. So, Mrs. Krake, if you could please send a letter to Mr. Seitzinger and informing him of this situation and asking him to handle this appropriately.

Another South Scranton resident has informed me that the plot of land adjacent to the Speed Wash Laundry Mat on the Pittston Avenue 600 block has overgrown grass that is a few feet high. In addition, this resident has informed that the adjacent laundry mat has not been kept up to par. Mrs. Krake, if you could please add that to this letter as well.

And a West Scranton resident has informed me that the grass in the vacant lot at the corner of Sixth Avenue and Hampton Street contains overgrown weeds and grass

_

that's the about five feet high at this point. I actually took the time to visit this location and the condition is very subpar. A few of the neighbors are complaining that various rodents and skunks are becoming a problem in the neighborhood due to these circumstances, so if we could please add this -- this situation to that letter as well.

And finally, I know that you mentioned the lot between 721 and 729

Saginaw Street and I was speaking with this resident and I understand from what she had advised that there was a letter from the Public Safety Department that went to the owner of this property and if you could please request a copy of this letter as the resident would like to see it and I would like to see it as well.

And in your correspondence with Mr. Pelicacci regarding the area up there on Saginaw Street, did he happen to provide any time line for when we were expect to obtain dumpsters for Hilltop?

MS. KRAKE: No, he did not give us a

time line and, in fact, his response in writing is tardy to us as of this day.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. In that case, if you would please be able to send him a letter or contact him via phone and ask him what exactly this time line is for handling this situation up there because it's not just one resident that is complaining at this point it's several residents and I believe that everyone should be entitled to yards without trash blowing in their neighboring plots of land, so that's all I have as far as citizens' requests.

And I would like to make a motion tonight, and I would like to make a motion that city council waive the original bidding restriction in the 2007 RFP for accountants performing city audit for the years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 which would prevent the bidder of the prior independent audit from participating in the new audit bid for the years 2010 through 2013.

Basically, the purpose why I'm making this motion is so to allow Rossi & Rossi the opportunity along with other

bidders to be considered for the new audit 1 2 beginning in 2010 through 2013. 3 MS. EVANS: The motion has been made, do we have a second? 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? 6 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 10 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 11 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 12 have it and so moved. Is that all, Mr. Joyce? 13 14 MR. JOYCE: Yes, that is it. MS. EVANS: 15 Thank you. Good 16 evening. At this time I would like to 17 announce that the summer meetings of 18 Scranton City Council will continue to be 19 held at 6:30 p.m. through June and July 2010. 20 21 Since early 2010 council members 22 have worked on the development of budget 23 amendments to the 2011 budget in conjunction 24 with it's staff. Council members have 25 researched numerous departments and

collected information and our staff keeps an ongoing file and record on each department head.

In order to examine a complete record of each city department, council is requesting the following information from each department head on or before October 1, 2010:

- (1) A complete job description for each employee in the department including the department head.
- (2) A specific detailed report of each department budget for every account and line item contained in the 2010 operating budget for fiscal year 2010. Provide documentation of each expenditure in order to justify the money spent in 2010. For example, professional services, list every expenditure, the name of the individual and/or company, firm, vendor, dates of work and payment, copies of the paid invoices.

Another example, dues and subscriptions. List the organizations, dollar amount of dues, term of membership covered by 2010 payment, purpose of

membership, name of subscriptions. Paid invoices for subscriptions and purpose or value of each subscription.

- (3) Each account and line item within every department budget must be specifically and completely accounted for and justified by the required supporting documentation.
- (4) For the months of October,

 November and December 2010, provide a

 complete and detailed list of all

 anticipated expenditures and justification
 and documentation for each.

If council does not receive this required information on or before October 2, 2010, it will make budget cuts based on information it has gathered independently. Council is providing nearly four months to each department head in which to prepare a detailed report and submit it to council.

In October and November council will prepare it's final 2011 budget amendments with or without the cooperation and reports of the department heads. Council also hopes to identify new revenue sources which will

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

require the assistance of the administration.

To date, the administration has moved forward on nothing that council has requested. To survive the financial juggernauts on the 2011 horizon, our city and it's taxpayers need the administration to work cooperatively with council.

Next, I have been hearing from city employees that there are problems at the Nay Aug Park pool, that other pools may not open on time, if at all, and summer workers and services were sharply cut. The employees tell me that the parks department and the mayor intend to blame these problems on city council. Here is the truth. I mentioned this last Tuesday, but I will say it again this evening because it merits repetition. The Recreation Authority has control over the Nay Aug Pool not Scranton city council. The mayor cut the parks and recreation budget by 31 percent in 2010, not city council. In fact, council did not change or cut even one penny of the 2010 budget as a result of Judge Mazzoni's decision.

sincerely hope that what I have been told is nothing more than rumor. Should any of the statements prove accurate whatever pools, jobs and services that are gone this summer are strictly the responsibility of the mayor and the Recreation Authority.

At the same time, in fact, just within the last hour I received some very disturbing information. At the free concerts at Nay Aug Park on Sunday, June 6, the Tony Costa band had performed. I have been told that the singer with that band made an announcement to those present, actually made this announcement twice, that everyone seated at the concert needed to call Janet Evans because they received word that council was going to stop the free concerts.

Now, I don't imagine that the band or the singer would be to blame because I cannot imagine their fabricating such information. It seems only logical that someone else had to have provided this information and asked this singer to make that announcement. The announcement is

absolutely untrue. City council is not stopping any summer concerts, Janet Evans is not stopping any summer concerts. If, indeed, as I said, that occurs, this is the action of Mayor Doherty and the Scranton Parks and Recreation Department.

I would hope, however, that at next Sunday's concert the performers would make this announcement and make very clear that what false information was purveyed to the public by whatever source it may have been, perhaps within the administration, that this is absolutely false, that this is disturbing and that such actions are unacceptable and repulsive.

Mrs. Krake, please send a memo tomorrow to Mr. Jeff Brazil, PennDOT has been waiting for two months for the report produced from underground camera shots in order to reroute pipes from Keyser Avenue and prevent additional flooding in the area of the North Cameron Avenue. Te receipt of the report from Mr. Brazil is holding up the entire project. We would like him to provide that information this week.

I also have citizens' requests for the week. 104 Yesu Lane numerous neighbors and residents of East Mountain report garbage in the driveway, on the porch and sometimes in the yard of white pebbles as well as very large holes on the edge of the driveway. Similar issues were addressed last summer by an inspector and this is the second request of 2010.

abandoned structures that were condemned years ago. According to neighbors as soon as the condemnation signs are posted, they are torn down the following day. Neighbors also report that vagrants often take up residents in these structures and there is a stench of raw sewage and a urine that permeates the properties. Please provide council with a written update on the status of these properties.

919-921 Quincy Avenue, neighbors ask that a city inspector would determine if the apartment building is blighted. It houses several units on each side which are occupied. When a tenant moves huge piles of

garbage are left in the front of the building for several days until regular garbage collection occurs.

Council received an e-mail regarding the uneven and hazardous conditions of sidewalks on Linden Street in front of the Judge and Jury Bar and JC Lunchbox Restaurant. An elderly gentleman recently fell on these sidewalks. Please contact the appropriate departments to try to address this problem.

Sidewalks bordering bridges remain covered with cinders and debris from winter months. This is the second request.

Residents of East Mountain report that traveling from Yesu Lane to East Mountain Road has become a problem and a minor accident occurred recently. The bushes, grass, etcetera at the corner of Yesu Lane have grown very high and drivers must pull out onto East Mountain Road to avoid a blind spot. This area needs to be cut by the city or the landowner as soon as possible to prevent additional accidents.

Bushes and grass are grown over onto

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

East Mountain Road and Mountain Lake Road.

Please trim. Residents who attended Friday

First Night report that the "Do Not Walk"

sign on Lackawanna County by Buona Pizza is

not working. They waited through four

traffic lights and the sign never changed.

As a result, they had to stop traffic as a

group in order to cross the street. Please

repair this traffic signs immediately.

And, you know, again, many of these problems were issues that had been taken care of in previous years almost immediately, at most within a matter of hours, whether it was traffic lights that went out or these "Do Not Walk" signs, etcetera. This was taken care of by the Traffic Maintenance Department of the City of Scranton which, of course, no longer exists, and I'm not quite sure what company, what private company, has been taking over for them if that company has an actual contract. I know the contract was never approved by city council and let us hope that they are certified to do the work that they have been hired to do.

The overgrown property at the intersection of River Street and Meadow Avenue has not been addressed. I think this might be the third request.

Neighbors report a property on East
Mountain Road with overgrown grass and a
recycling can lying in the yard for several
weeks. There are no address numbers on this
house. They tell me the neighbors, it's the
third house on the right heading east past
the railroad tracks.

And 375 Grove Street, an apartment house is owned by an out-of-town landlord, possibly from California, neighbors report that over the last few months the police have been called to the building several times, most recently it was raided for drugs by county agents and I'm told the Scranton Swat team, according to neighbors. Please notify Mr. Seitzinger to investigate regarding the possibility of closing down this building, and that's it.

(Mr. McGoff enters council chambers
and joins the meeting during Mrs. Evans'
motions.)

1	MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. NO
2	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SIXTH ORDER. NO
3	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER.
4	7-A. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE</u>
5	<u>COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION</u> -
6	RESOLUTION NO. 16, 2010 - A RESOLUTION
7	ADOPTING THE BI-COUNTY HAZARD MITIGATION
8	PLAN FOR THE COUNTIES OF LACKAWANNA AND
9	LUZERNE, PENNSYLVANIA AS THE HAZARD
10	MITIGATION PLAN FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
11	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
12	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
13	passage of Item 7-A.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
16	call, please?
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
24	MR. JOYCE: Yes.

1 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 2 3 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -4 RESOLUTION NO. 17, 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE 5 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 6 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO SUPPLEMENTAL 7 8 REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT NO. 040809-G 9 WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGARDING THE 10 LACKAWANNA AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT FOR THE 11 PURPOSE OF INCREASING THE CONSTRUCTION 12 AND UTILITY ACTIVITIES ON THE PROJECT FOR 13 14 PAYMENT OF FINAL INVOICES. MS. EVANS: What is the 15 recommendation of the Chair for the 16 17 Committee on Finance? 18 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final 19 20 passage of Item 7-B. 21 MR. ROGAN: Second. 22 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 23 call, please? 24 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

	39
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
2	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
6	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
8	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
9	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
10	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
11	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
12	RESOLUTION NO. 18, 2010 - DEDICATING THE
13	2100 BLOCK OF JACKSON STREET AS "MARGIE
14	STREET".
15	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
16	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-C.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 2 3 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. 6 7 MS. KRAKE: 7-D. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u> 8 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-9 RESOLUTION NO. 19, 2010 - APPOINTING LANCE J. STANGE, JR., 117 ARNOLD AVENUE, SCRANTON, 10 PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE 11 BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF 12 SCRANTON. MR. STANGE WILL REPLACE ROBERT 13 14 SHUMAKER, WHOSE TERM EXPIRES ON JULY 1, 2010. MR. STANGE'S TERM WILL COMMENCE ON 15 16 JULY 2, 2010 AND EXPIRE ON JULY 1, 2013. 17 MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final 18 19 passage of Item 7-D. 20 MR. ROGAN: Second. 21 MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll call, please? 22 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

	4
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
7	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
8	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
9	MS. KRAKE: 7-E. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
10	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
11	RESOLUTION NO. 20, 2010 - APPOINTING JAMES
12	WILLIAMS, 601 LOOP AVENUE, SCRANTON,
13	PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE
14	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF
15	SCRANTON. MR. WILLIAMS WILL REPLACE HELEN
16	COOK, WHOSE TERM EXPIRES ON JULY 1, 2010.
17	MR. WILLIAMS' TERM WILL COMMENCE ON JULY 2,
18	2010 AND EXPIRE ON JULY 1, 2013.
19	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
20	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-E.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question?
24	MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to
25	ask if anybody had considered reappointing

Cook to that position? 1 Mrs. MS. EVANS: Yes, we received her 2 3 letter and I certainly considered it. MR. MCGOFF: Because she has served 4 on the zoning board for a number of years 5 and never missed a meeting and I thought she 6 7 would have been worthy to reappointment. 8 MS. EVANS: And I believe she 9 certainly is. She did a wonderful job and 10 we are very, very grateful for all of her 11 service and perhaps at another point in the 12 future she can return. Is there anyone else 13 on the question? Roll call, please? 14 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 17 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 18 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 19 20 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 21 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 22 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 23 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 24 Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted. 25 MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
2	RESOLUTION NO. 21, 2010 - APPOINTING STEVEN
3	BARTNICKI, 425 PRESCOTT AVENUE, SCRANTON,
4	PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS ALTERNATE NO.2 ON
5	THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF
6	SCRANTON. MR. BARTNICKI WILL REPLACE
7	SUZANNE ANDRES, WHOSE TERM EXPIRES ON JUNE
8	1, 2010. MR. BARTNICKI'S TERM WILL COMMENCE
9	ON JUNE 2, 2010 AND EXPIRE ON JUNE 1, 2013.
10	MR. JOYCE: As Chair for the
11	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-F.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
23	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
25	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare

Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is this evening is Bob Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening, council.

I come before you again with some very, very serious news and I'm hoping to portray to the public, the taxpayers and the council some of the recent Court decisions that have come down and hopefully paint some kind of picture as to just how dire the consequences are could be.

As everyone knows in the paper, we received notice that the Supreme Court is going to hear our case. As Attorney Hughes pointed out to me the last time we came to the podium, the Supreme Court only hears 1 percent of every case of the applications that are presented to them. That tells us that there something that they see that they really want to look at. Now, we could be wrong, but I just -- it's so important that everybody understands the outcome of what the impact is going to have on the taxpayers

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if this administration fails at it's present agenda.

I mean, everybody knows that the points of interest that the Courts are looking at are the Sections 252, interest arbitration awards, if it applies. application to Act 47 against Act 11, if any of these points prevail, I mean, we are going to go all of the way back to 2002. That means that the unions would enjoy both arbitration awards, the one from 2003 to 2007 and 2008 to 2014. The numbers would be astronomical. They're astronomical. pay raises would be 37 percent with backpay, Just think of all of the hours overtime. and years of overtime. The 2003 award had bonus for the first three years and so on.

The health care costs, the 2008 has a 20 and out pension benefit with 70 percent, and I just want everybody to understand that we have been in this fight from the beginning for nine years, and all we're doing, my job is all I'm doing is protecting our members and their families. All we want is a decent wage.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want everybody to understand that we have a willingness, a burning willingness to settle this issue and that responsibility lies with the administration. I was here last time, spoke about the possibility that this could be heard and I hadn't heard from anyone. No one has contacted me to sit down, talk, see it we could work this out. I mean, the odds this went up, and I'm not going to be able to stop this train when I say that, I'm not going to be able to convince my members that we need to negotiate. If I don't walk away from this podium and I don't get impeached tonight it's going to be a miracle. My members are hardened, they have been put through a lot for nine years and just to add the icing to the cake just moments ago I was handed from the newspaper the city lost again. The SIT award just came down to the tune of about \$800,000 plus interest just this afternoon. The city was notified, we weren't. I haven't seen it. I don't have a fresh document to review, but we will comment on it tomorrow in detail.

know is that just compounds the burden on the taxpayers.

You need to know that we negotiated that. At one point in the negotiations we sat down and said, we will -- my members didn't even know that, we will give you this, give us a fair contract, and they are going to say, oh, we are offered you, we have made you offers and you walked away and we have. We have had at least three and we settled on wages, we have settled on benefits, but in each instance at the end of that the city would walk in with DCED, sit down with the Recovery Plan in front of us and say, "Now you have to adopt this into your contract."

That is not going to happen. Those are the points of law, they won't negotiate. They won't negotiate. They won't negotiate. They sat it down, they said, "This is it, you have to --" they won't negotiate those points of law. Those are mandatory subjects of bargaining under state law that they are requesting us to give up. Our days off, our vacations and our shifts. They are all mandatory subject

to bargaining. The Recovery Plan says we are going to change your shift within 14 days, with 14 days notice. I challenge them to please come forward and to show me the significant savings to the taxpayers they would accomplish by being able to do that.

Maybe on the short days, two to three runs a day, they might be able to save a little overtime, but at the end of the week we are going to have to bump up against 40 hours and you're going to have to pay that individual overtime anyway. If overtime is such an issue and they should look at this and see that there is a possibility that they are running short of personnel. That's what happens. That's what overtime is for to fill in in the short-term.

I just want everybody to know, I know where I am, they know how to get ahold of me. I want everybody to know that we have a willingness to settle this and, I mean, we are going to want it back because they have taken a lot of away from us. We really don't have a lot more to lose, they

can't take much more away from us going into the Supreme Court, and there are some huge issues there and I doubt seriously that the state is going to allow him to do that, but our taxpayers are, you know, they are going to bear that burden to prove a point to the rest of the state whether we win or loss.

So if anybody has any questions, but I just want everybody to understand just how dire this is, how big the numbers will be at the end of the day if the Supreme Court rules in the favor of the unions.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Martin, we are talking I'm assuming here multi-millions.

MR. MARTIN: The '06, the '07 back pay that we were arguing over and we have a hearing date for that in August and eight and nine combined is about \$4 million. You know, I mean, and then there will be interest on it if they continue to appeal. They can appeal -- they can appeal the SIT decision this afternoon, that is collecting 6 percent interest from the time of the violation, from the date it was due it is collecting 6 percent interest and they can

T'm sure

file an exception within 20 days; correct? 1 But if they do the interest will continue to 2 3 run. MS. EVANS: Well, what concerns me 4 is that as has been discussed previously at 5 city council meetings, the Pennsylvania 6 7 Economy League forecasts a \$5.2 million 8 pension hit in 2011 alone and now we are 9 looking at a possibly Supreme Court decision 10 that could cost the taxpayers of the City--11 MR. MARTIN: Even more. 12 that they didn't take into consideration the 13 improvements that were in the 2008 award. 14 MS. EVANS: Yes, so it's more from 15 what you have said tonight. MR. MARTIN: 16 Possibly. 17 MS. EVANS: Much more than just back 18 pay. 19 MR. MARTIN: Oh, yes, it could be. 20 It very well could be. 21 MS. EVANS: And that's what I meant when I mentioned earlier this evening that 22 23 we are facing a financial juggernaut and 24 there has been no preparation, no financial 25 preparation made by the City of Scranton.

Now, the pension payments, I don't know that there is going to be very much that the administration can do to change the amount due for 2011, '12 and '13, but it can do something -- it can do something about this potential Armageddon by sitting down and negotiating now, and I think it's time for the mayor to decide what's going to be more important, the future of the City of Scranton and the residents of the City of Scranton, their financial survival, and the financial survival of all of our city or are management rights more important.

And, unfortunately, that isn't a decision that's left up to Scranton City Council. It is not within our scope of authority to negotiate any contract. That power, that ability, lies solely with the mayor and now I'm sure DCED has quite a vested interest since they have made us their financial experiment in Harrisburg. They won't pay for this, you will pay for this, everyone seated up here will pay for this, and I believe if there is any possibility of preventing this from

happening the mayor needs to set everything else aside, whatever has been occurring for the last nine years, set it all aside and sit down and negotiate a fair contract before it's too late, and I thank you, Mr. Martin.

MR. MCGOFF: May I ask Mr. Martin a question?

MR. MARTIN: Sure.

MR. MCGOFF: Is the union willing to drop the appeal to negotiate a contract?

MR. MARTIN: It would depend upon the negotiated end. I would say that the answer to that question would be yes. If we came out with a substantial, fair contract the answer to that would be yes. Some of the benefits that have already been impinged, I mean, those we expect to see in return from the state.

MR. MCGOFF: Hasn't it been stated in the past that you would not?

MR. MARTIN: Would not what?

MR. MCGOFF: That were offers that if the appeal were dropped that they would -- that there would be negotiations

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and that on the advice of your attorney refused to drop the appeal?

MR. MARTIN: I would have to say it would depend on the outcome of the If the outcome of the negotiations. negotiations were fair we would back away from that litigation. I know they have requested to back away from those litigations. As they said, the litigation for the SIT, we rolled that into a contract offer at the University of the Scranton. addition to that, I mean, we are all aware that this award came down this afternoon that on June 1 they violated that agreement again and now the meter is running again on that.

MS. EVANS: Is the city able to appeal?

MR. MARTIN: But I guess the answer to your question is we would absolutely consider that if we got the fair and equitable contract that we have been asking for.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Martin, do you know is the city able to appeal today's ruling?

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTIN: Yes, they can file an exception within 20 days which would appeal it to the Labor Board, the full Labor Board and then they'll hear it. The error is in the calculations of the original order. That's what the decision is today.

MS. EVANS: I shouldn't be surprised if that would happen. I hope not. I hope we are going to stop spending all of the money that we could have been paying employees for nine years on attorneys' fees because at some point in time this is all going to come to an end through the Supreme Court case because there is no where else you can go after that and there will be a winner and there will be a loser and there isn't going to be any in-between. There will nothing in-between, as I said, except a winner and a loser and I really -- I don't -- I don't believe the people of the city can afford what's going on here because we are not a city that's comprised typically of one or even 10 percent of the nations top wage earners, so I believe the unions deserve a fair contract after all of these

years. I also believe the people of Scranton, the taxpayers, they deserve a fair contract here, too, but adding to the Supreme Court, like I said, is a big monumental gamble. And we are gambling the future of Scranton and we are gambling the futures of people like these young councilmen, and that young gentleman in the office who is going to be paying for this until it's my age or longer, so I wish the mayor would do the right thing. Thank you, Mr. Martin.

MR. MARTIN: If I could respond to the Supreme question, the Supreme Court wasn't an issue until the last 24 months or so. We hadn't appealed in the previous negation sessions.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I echo everything

Mrs. Evans said. We had a meeting with the mayor several weeks ago, asked him not to appeal those last awards, felt a little bit confident coming out of there, but obviously we didn't get anywhere with him and we discussed the same thing. I mean, it's time for him to sit down and negotiate fairly and

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know he keeps throwing the same plan out, same plan out, so there has got to be some give and take. I know the guys for nine, ten years now have had a lot of take and made a lot of sacrifice and I know, like you said, they are residents of this city the police and firefighters. Their hearts are in this city, they serve you, and they willing to work out something that's fair for everyone because they are in the same boat, they are taxpayers here and everything. But, you know, there has to be some movement on the administrative side, and I agree that they have to sit down, the mayor has to open the door and get some discussions going or we are in dire straights.

MR. ROGAN: The most frustrating part about this is when it started it was done in the name of fiscal responsibility, but in the end the only people who are making any money on this are the attorneys, and the taxpayers are the ones who are going to lose out in the end and it's so unfortunate that the mayor chose this course

from the beginning when he took over.

MS. EVANS: But, again, maybe I'm the eternal optimist, I would rather consider myself a realist if there is any possibility left and I think there still is until the moment that you walk into the Supreme Court chambers there is always that possibility.

MR. MARTIN: It's going to get harder and harder for us though --

MS. EVANS: I understand that.

MR. MARTIN -- to sway my members. I mean -- a number of them are -- they don't want me here tonight.

MS. EVANS: The clock is ticking. I do understand. I do understand. And if the -- it appears anyway that if the police and fire were to sit down right now in so doing that's a huge step on their part because it does appear the fact that the Supreme Court is willing to hear this and has combined your cases into one is saying something. Something --

MR. MARTIN: Exactly.

MS. EVANS: -- is heading our way,

so I wish it were easier than that. 1 I wish 2 council had the ability to convince the 3 mayor otherwise. I have spoken to him about it already, Mr. Loscombe has spoken to him 4 about it, he has listened to us, but, as I 5 said, the ball is his Court. He is the one 6 7 person that can make the difference and so 8 he is also going to be then the one person 9 who is going to have to bear the 10 responsibility. Thank you. 11 MR. MARTIN: Thank you. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, 13 Mr. Martin. 14 (Mrs. Evans leaves the meeting for the night.) 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Les Spindler. 17 MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council. Les Spindler, city homeowner and 18 19 taxpayer. 20 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 21 MR. SPINDLER: Councilman Rogan, you 22 stole my thunder on the first thing I was 23 going to talk about tonight the Crisp Avenue 24 Bridge. MR. ROGAN: It's unfortunate. 25 It's

unfortunate that we have to talk about it.

MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ ROGAN: It should have been done by now.

MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely. This was talked about -- I guess they said it was going to be fixed quite awhile ago, years ago it was supposed to be done, and I guess awhile ago in the paper they said they were finally going to do it, you know. As you said, I recently heard, too, that it wasn't put out to bid yet and that's unfortunate, but I'm glad you are looking into it.

MR. ROGAN: We are trying our best.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. Last week I read the paper that the Hilton is for sale, but it's not for lack of making a profit. They said they are making a profit. It's not too often that you hear people that they are selling businesses that are making a profit. They must think we are very naive. I think the Hilton is losing money and that's why it's for sale. You can see Mohegan Sun making money, they are not looking to sell that, are they? So I don't

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe the Hilton is making a profit and they want to sell it.

There is a lot of changes going on recently in city hall, it was in the Doherty newsletter on Saturday, different people taking different positions. Kevin Mitchell from OECD is leaving the administration and going to a different job and he said he did everything that the mayor asked him to do. Well, that's like everybody else in this administration. Chris Doherty tells them all what to do and they listen, and that's why the City is in the shape it's in, \$300 million in debt, no money sustaining jobs, and it's just keeps get worse. Now with the police officers winning another appeal, that will probably be appealed by the administration again. It just never ends. It just going to keep costing the taxpayers money.

Next, Mr. Loscombe, I did read about you in the paper this morning and I'm behind you 100 percent. I think this is a big example of discrimination. On previous councils that were two previous councilmen

that were taken to Court for collecting a pension while sitting on council and these council people were told they could collect their pension, so I don't see any reason why you can't. What's good for the goose is good for the gander. I don't see why you can't collect it and I wish you luck.

It's no secret that I voted for this council majority and I have been 100 percent in support of this council majority, but what I read recently and how you vote tonight replacing Mrs. Cook on the zoning board I think is a mistake. Mrs. Cook was a very good member of the zoning board. A long-standing citizen of this city, she did a great job, and I just think -- I thought we were doing away with this kind of politics when we voted this majority in and I thank you made a mistake in replacing Mrs. Cook. Was there is a reason you voted somebody into her position?

MR. ROGAN: Well, we take turns
making selections and it was President
Evans, myself and Mr. Joyce and we made our
selections based on the applications we

1

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

received.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, I can't see anybody being more qualified than Mrs. Cook since she was on there for so long and it looks like just the same kind of politics that we voted people in to try to get rid of. I'm sorry to say that, but that's my belief and I hope this doesn't continue. Thank you.

> MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council, Doug Miller, I'd just like to begin tonight by apologizing for a statement that I made a few weeks back regarding the Court costs dealing with the budget battle. statements that I made were not factual knowledge and I apologize for not having my facts that week. There is and old adage that I have learned and that is to engage the brain before putting the mouth in gear, and it's something I will remember for the future and I would hope that other people coming up will remember it as well.

And just lastly tonight, because I'm going to be brief, now that the elections

19

18

21

20

2223

24

25

are over I'm hoping that both of our governing bodies can stop the infighting and put politics aside and work for the betterment of the city and to try to find solutions to solve our many problems, and as I brought up a few weeks ago, I suggested to council that we look into town hall meetings and I hope you are still considering that because I really think it would be a unique opportunity to gain input from residents who can't make it here, many of whom are afraid to come and speak before council being on TV and other things, and I think it would be worth council's time to gain input from residents with issues regarding the budget and other issues in the city and I'm hoping that you will definitely take that serious. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. What I heard from

Mr. Martin here I feel like I'm standing her

buying a ticket on the Titanic in the City

of Scranton. It's sad to see where we are

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

heading because some people just don't want to pay attention. As far as Jack goes, I know he walked out the door there, but I think, Jack, you should stay the course, you have the support of many people. As I just said, I felt like I was buying a ticket on the Titanic standing here after listening to Mr. Martin tonight.

As far as the landfill goes, I think the city should buy what they need and then go to Keystone Landfill or DeNaples or whatever and then get the check for what we You know, they could go out and buy what they want at a discounted price, let's say hypothetically they are putting a value of 100,000 on, but they could buy if for \$75,000, so the city should turn around, do their own buying and then just go to them for the check. You get more bang for your buck instead of letting them just give you what they think they want in hypothetical and I think you should put that in the form of a motion, that's the way you should do business here in the city. Let's spend our money the way we think it should be spent

for our own purchasing rather than somebody just adding a pound of bananas when saying they are worth \$10 when they bought them for three.

In the city here, you know, I have had an issue going on with the way the resources of the city were used, Gene Hickey used his law department to raise issues over the containers and he proved that the containers belong in the city, can be in the city, and he was totally wrong, but he was using taxpayer dollars to defend a private citizen in the litigation we had. I couldn't be happier to see that he is gone because I just felt he was a bully on a political scene using our money against us.

The issue we have, and I'm asking council to look into it to try and resolve it because it's a city-owned piece of property, it's not a property I own, it's not a property Hartman owns or anybody else owns, it's a deeded waterway to the City of Scranton, whether it's used or not is immaterial. The city turned around, issued a building permit based on the deception.

They knew, the builder knew, the surveyors knew, the person building the house was fully aware that that 16 1/2 foot right-of-way was owned by the City of Scranton. He received a certified letter that he knew about yet they went and built and the City allowed it to happen, the law department allowed it to happen and they were fully informed and denied ownership. Well, now they have accepted ownership and allowed somebody to build on our property. It's taxpayer property.

The property should be put up for sale, and it starts at East Mountain, the dam, it goes right down through the city.

People all along have built on this, as Mr.

Hartman said, everybody has built there.

Well, that's money that is sitting there and revenue to the city. Any of that land that they built on, they have surveyors, they have title insurance, they know full well they were getting something for free.

Well, I think that has to end in the city. There has been too many free rides.

The land should be put out for bid to the

highest bidder and if their house gets torn down on them, somebody outbids it. So be it. You knew about it. You were deceptive about what you did, now you got to pay the piper and the piper is the City of Scranton, it's the taxpayers.

When you heard the millions of dollars we are facing in a deficit right now in awards we need every penny, not every dollar, and I would ask a motion be brought forward to the law department to set down legislation putting these properties up for sale. The people are aware of it and if they lose they could go to their title insurance company, they could go to their surveyors, they can go to the people who told them they could build on something that they don't own, and that's where I'm at with all of this.

We have blighted properties in the city, let's start tearing them down, let's start putting them out on the auction block. You saw some of the revenue derived from our tax sale last week. Had you put those nine lots or nine acres up for auction I think

you would have got a heck of a lot more money than just selling them at the tax sale, so that's something you need to start looking. They were bought on East Mountain last week all of the lots, you approved them, if that was revenue in an auction you can probably triple that because people weren't aware of it. You have to do your research, you could find a deal everywhere and that was a heck of a deal.

The University of Scranton, if I may --

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

MR. BOLUS: They kicked the kids off the Lacrosse team, the junior kids that played in the Lackawanna Lacrosse, they were using the playing field right here where you come into the city, you told them they couldn't use it anymore. Well, during the week, last week, I was awarded a plaque from them because I turned around when they couldn't play anymore and they came to me and I gave them the use of our playing field in the old Jessup dome I gave it to them for free because kids should be able to do

something in the city and they gave me a plaque thanking me for what I did, but the thank you that I really enjoyed ws seeing these little kids running around playing.

And I fault the University, you have had a free ride on the taxpayers in this city, you are asking for more free rides. You are asking us to give up more real estate and you haven't paid a bloody dime in taxes yet you kicked these kids off the field. That's a disgrace because you really don't care about the taxpayers. You only care about their bottom line and we need a to draw the University in to become accountable and the longer we sit back we have a problem.

And finally, Corbett had on I guess everybody has been talking about the explosions in the Marcellus Shale. We have the gas line going from the Alliance Landfill all the way to Taylor and Scranton Dunmore and Throop. This is a mine subsidence area. What plans are in place for the disaster that could take place if that line blows? They put it through permit

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wise they just went right ahead, right up the railroad spur, laid in a gas line, they could care less, the city should be getting revenue, but more importantly we should have in place one heck of an evacuation plan if that line blows because it's through a residential area, it's not out in the boondocks. Thank you, gentlemen.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, no matter who sits as president of that council I find it offensive when you vote on before you listen to the people. done before, previous council, I spoke against it then and I've spoke it against it now or in the future. I think it's a bad practice to vote on something before you hear the people speak, even if -- no matter what and we went through that over and over and over again. I would have more respect for Mrs. Evans if she just excused herself. I'll it needs is three members of council to be there and you can vote legitimately, but

since it was done it was done, but I had to speak on it.

Okay, 7-B, with this darn bridge again. Originally when it came before I don't know, you weren't there, but anyway, it was like \$1.7 million to fix the bridge then they decided to go up to seven point some million, but the main thing is they said when this bridge was finished it came underbid, that's what they said. It was underbid. That means they would have money left over.

Now, maybe four months ago this same piece of legislation came before council.

Now this is the second time it came, so whether they got money on the first time and then they had to come back a second time, I don't know. I don't know if it's the same piece of legislation or somebody just needed more money, but anyway, that bridge went from being repaired to what it is now and it keeps rising in cost, and right we have to pay for it. It's just too bad that someone really don't get into these things when it it's done, well, they had a lot of people

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that voted on it, but why it went from 1.7 to replace the whole bridge I don't know, but anyway it's done.

And the same now you are talking about the unions. Well, I was there when they did all of this. I spoke, Brian Reap spoke, it fell upon deaf areas then, it's going to fall on deaf ears now, but the thing is the money is being paid for by the international. In other words, this isn't just Scranton, this is the whole darn state, every firefighter or policeman in the state is in on this. I don't think they can negotiate, I think it's going to have to stay the course. There is too much money already invested and besides that I guess it affects a lot more municipalities besides Scranton, so it's going to go no matter what, no matter how the cards fall we going to be struck and there is nothing we can do about it.

It's just too bad in the very beginning when this was done nobody listened to Brian Reap. Brian Reap was a voice of compromise and it fell upon deaf ears there.

23

24

25

He sat often on council. He resigned for one reason or the other, I'm not going to get into the reason why he resigned, but he was one person that if they listened to you wouldn't have all of those problems today because he was a fair person. He believed in fairness to the union and to the city and it's just too bad. Where we stand now is because they just wanted to do what they It didn't make any sense to force all of them people to retire and then rehire all new replacements. No, it didn't make sense. That's what Brain Reap said, let's work out some way where they can get their medical up to 65 and that's what he wanted, and instead of free forever and ever, he wanted to make it up to 65 when they went on Medicare and so be it, and I think they would accept it back then, but instead we stand where we are now it's because people wouldn't listen and that's where we are going to be because people can't listen and you can't change it It's too far gone.

There is no more point for compromise and there is no more compromise

when this mayor, by council, I don't care what you say, you cannot compromise when this mayor. He definitely doesn't listen. He has done whatever he wants he is going to do it or try to do it. I mean, that stupid remark about -- up there at the park that was offensive. To blame council for a lousy -- I guess it was a concert. Why blame council for a concert? You had nothing to do with it. In fact, anybody with brains knew that you lost. You lost with the judge so everything that the mayor did. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton
resident, member of the Taxpayers'
Association. First I would like to remind
Attorney Patterson, Mayor Doherty and
Scranton City Council and all elected and
appointed officials that you work for the
taxpayers and the citizens of Scranton.
Attorney Patterson, you do not work for
Mayor Chris Doherty. Look at your paycheck.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It comes from the City of Scranton which is a community composed of citizens and taxpayers so I recommend that you start working for the taxpayers not the mayor.

Mr. Mitchell, you also did not work for the mayor, you work for the citizens of the City of Scranton.

Hanlon's Grove, has anyone on council been up to Nay Aug Park to look at the Grove?

MR. MCGOFF: Yep.

MR. JACKOWITZ: You have,

Mr. McGoff?

grills.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

You have five concrete slabs with two picnic tables on each slab, and two grills on each slab for a total of 10 picnic tables and ten

MR. JACKOWITZ: It is very nice.

There is only one very important

ingredient that is missing. Shade. Has

anyone ever been on a flight line? For

example, at an air show or maybe you work

with blacktop or concrete on the street or

highway repair on days when the temperature

is between 85 and 95 degrees and the

humidity is high? The temperature at those picnic tables will be way over 100 degrees. Why would anyone picnic at the Grove when they can be in the shade or at one of the pavilion plus in order to cool off they would have to pay \$3 to use the pool or slide at Nay Aug park. Whoever made these plans forgot the shade. Another good project poorly designed and thought out. Someone should look into that. some small trees that are planted that are going to take 20 years to grow. They need to put some shade in Hanlon's Grove, other than that it's beautiful. It's a beautiful picnic area, but we need shade.

Council, I would like to thank you for doing your research and your job. It seems to me that all five of you are trying to work off the same page. Now if we can only get some cooperation from the mayor and his department heads the city just might start to make some progress. Still waiting for all of Mayor Doherty's visions to come to light. Projects are never completed, only started. When the few are completed

they have flaws.

Unemployment, 10 percent, highest in the state. How can that be since Mayor Doherty created 9,000 jobs in Scranton alone. Maybe the mayor can attend the council meeting and explain how this could happen. Keep up the good work, council, the majority of the residents are backing you. Do not let us down.

I am somewhat disappointed to hear that council will not be meeting in August.

Maybe a meeting is not necessary every week, but I do believe that the budget work session should conducted and citizens' participation should be welcomed.

Also, have all of the departments complied with the 31 May 2010 deadline?

Mr. Joyce already answered that question for me, they have not for the audit.

Also, I am also happy to see that the July meetings will be the 6:30. I think it's a good decision, also.

I see where a company from Jessup is suing the city for nonpayment, Sardoni also is suing, Mr. Bolus has threatened to sue,

Mr. Hartman is threatening to sue,

Councilman Loscombe is suing the city, all

of these suits must stop. We are losing

arbitrations, we are losing Court battles,

they have to stop. You know, this must

stop, otherwise, you can put a fork in the

City of Scranton it is well done.

Finally is there any truth in the rumor that none of the companies, none of the companies that did work and the 500 block of Lackawanna County have been paid? That's one of the reasons Sardoni was suing because they haven't been paid for the work they have done. Okay, can you check into that? I have been told that none of the companies have opinion paid forth work. If so, why not? What happened to all of the federal and state grant money that was given to the developer? We need to bring this person into council to explain if these bills have not been paid.

Again, I have been told that they have not been paid. We have one person suing for nonpayment now. He worked on the park on the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue.

We need to find this out immediately if not sooner even if it means that we have to subpoena the developer, whatever it takes to get him in here and find out for sure.

Where are the tenants? There is still no tenants and from my understanding there are no tenants applying for those new buildings on the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue. Maybe it's because they found out the contractors haven't been paid. That's just my assumption no more than that. But more importantly, where did the grant money go. We need to find out where did that grant money go. We got \$30 million plus of taxpayers' money tied up. Where is that money and who has it.

And finally, "Kids Swim Free," six years old to 15 years old. Anyone can make a donation. All donations will be accepted. Make checks/money orders out to "Kids Swim Free", mail it to 1416 South Webster Avenue, Scranton, Pennsylvania, 18505.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GONZALEZ: Good evening, Council and all in attendance. My name is Pedro Gonzalez, city resident and taxpayer. First off, I would like to -- I couldn't hold my tongue and I have to make my mention of article on the placement of council member of -- excuse me, the zoning board member 7-E&F. I understand Mr. McGoff and Mr. Spindler may feel the same way, but there is two sides to every coin. We feel that you guys made a great choice. ourselves, the minority community, have been attacked by these individuals simply because of our support that we have shown for the majority council. And, please, don't allow us to be put back on the firing line by considering to repoint someone there. Again, I know everybody has their own opinion, there is two sides to every coin, that's ours in the minority community opinion simply because of our show of support for the majority council we were attacked. It wasn't a good feeling and we don't want that again.

So moving on, we have two events

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coming up, one July the 24th is the Day for the Lord event. It's our annual church or house of worship picnic that we do at Nay It's a free event for all houses Aug Park. of worship, for all churches or ministerial, anyone who would like to involved. the grassy knoll section of Nay Aug Park. That's the park all the way in the back end of the park. Again, it's going to be from 1 to 7, July 24, 2010, in the grassy knoll section of Nay Aug Park. We welcome all houses of worship, communities, cities and towns to this one-day picnic event filled with food, entertainment and activities for the whole family.

Again, it is a picnic so please bring your own covered dish or picnic that we are allowed to grill out there. The show mobile will be out, we will be providing entertainment and that's also going to be free for all who attend.

Next, will be the August event.

This is our fifth year, August 14 and 15 is our Latin Pride weekend, we have three months to go. We have many individuals that

come out and attend this every year, it's growing every single year. We are so happy with the progressive -- positive progressiveness that's come about from this event. I have a letter of intent and participation form for council. I also gave one to Mayor Doherty. Our hopes are that you guys participate in some, shape or form, the same with the mayor and his administration in some shape or form.

This year we have a carnival coming. We were allowed by the commissioners, we took over the Linden Street section of the courthouse last year. This year we were given permission to bring a carnival, and again, the progressiveness of this, who knows what it's going to turn into ten years from now. One of the commissioners made mention that the Italian festival started with four vendors on a corner of one the courthouse squares about 30 some odd years ago, so who knows where we are going to be in 30 years and I pray that I'm still alive and that I'm still kicking and it is positive and progressive at the same time.

So I'm going to leave this here with this lovely young lady and they she can give it to Councilwoman Evans and hopefully you guys can relay the messages that I have set forth here for this Council to her.

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

MR. GONZALEZ: And, of course, our constant support for this majority council. And as always, you guys are doing a great job, keep up the good work, everyone here and, you know, we love it that we have to participation and, you know -- when the zoning issue there was an article written in the Times-Tribune which got no response from any of them and such is life, we move on, and that will be the last that I will mention that because there are bigger and better things to do in life than to keep getting fried by a city zoning board, and I thank God that there is that other options out there.

So with that said, my name is Pedro Gonzalez. God bless you all and thank you you for allowing me to express myself.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone else that would like to address council?

MR. NEWCOMB: Good evening, Council, Charlie Newcomb. I came here tonight to speak on the issue in Keyser Valley with the underground camera report, but Mrs. Evans touched on it before. The holdup on the Keyser Valley project that is going to be brought in through PennDOT is this report. I mean, Representative Murphy's office, Mr. Tell, has been asking the city of for this report as well as PennDOT for nearly two months now since we had a meeting and they need to do where the lines from the city goes so they can tie in with the state. The 400 and 500 block of Cameron Avenue the project I think was completed today, now they need to move down to us to stop us -me from having lake front property.

I asked a couple of times about a stop sign on the corner of Price and North Cameron and they put a stop sign shortly after I first asked about it, but like I think I told you before they put it in the wrong direction, it needs to be coming I

guess it would be north from Lafayette

Street coming up because literally if you

clock people coming up that street to take a

shortcut from Keyser two telephone polls are

200 feet wide, you will get people going 55,

60 miles and it's not going to be a good

thing if my children step out in front of

those cars, so --

MR. LOSCOMBE: That was forwarded.

MR. ROGAN: We sent that to Mr. Brazil.

MR. NEWCOMB: As the summer continues and the baseball fields gets busier it's becoming a major issue. As you're coming up, I don't come to the meetings often, no offense I would rather be home, but I came because of a water problem, but while I was sitting back there I just jotted down two budget ideas that I think you should consider for your upcoming budget and I had stated in here at the podium awhile back, one would be to charge Rescue 1 for accidents. I mean, Rescue 1, maybe, Mr. Loscombe, you would know how much times they go out on a call on a daily basis and

probably the majority of these people don't even live in the city so it's not like the residents would be double dipping, we are offering that service. If they are going out there and providing a service we should charge for it just like the ambulance companies or anybody else would.

And also a cardboard program. I mean, I for one know the amount of cardboard that I throw out in my house on a yearly basis is astonishing, so I can only include that.

And finally, as you know, I have been an avid supporter of this city council and, Mr. McGoff, write this date down because I wholeheartedly agree with what you said earlier about Mrs. Cook, I'm very disappointed in this council not reappointing Mrs. Cook to the zoning board. I've never had an issue with the zoning board, I never had to go before the zoning board, but occasionally I watched a couple of the zoning board hearings and I found Mrs. Cook to be very informative as far as what went before her. I don't know what

happened, if politics were at play or what, I don't know. I know Mr. Williams, I know Mr. Stange, they are both very good people, I have nothing against them. I just don't know what happened in this particular instance, but I really believe Mrs. Cook should be reappointed to the board, and this comes from somebody that doesn't like politicians or appointed people being in positions of power for a long period of time, but if you are good at your job then I really think you should be given the opportunity to stay there, so I just wanted to put that on the record. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Charlie, I just wanted to let you know on Rescue 1 when that was put into service back in '91 I believe it was, that was the original intention.

MR. NEWCOMB: Yep.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Because the insurance companies were paying for auto accidents and stuff like that, but subsequent administrations have missed the ball on doing the actual billing on that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remember why I'm here, I was involved in a very bad accident in the city in 1997 and Rescue 1 responded and had to extricate me and the other person. I remember my insurance company specifically being charged for that fee, I mean, through the whole process, and I think at that time it was like \$350 or \$450 and I never knew that that went away. I mean, add that up on a daily basis, I mean, and then the insurance company is responsible to pay that and, I mean, they are not going to stop from paying because it happens in surrounding communities that you charge for that service.

MR. NEWCOMB: I specifically

Oh, and just on a final note with the Crisp Avenue bridge, I don't want to see our project for five years now since this Crisp Avenue project being on hold because people can't get their act together. I mean, it's completely -- there is no excuse for it. I mean, it's not going to cost the city anything. It really blows my mind, so thank you.

MR. ROGAN: 1 Thank you. MR. JOYCE: 2 Thank you. 3 MR. SLEDENZSKI: I got a surprise for you, Jack. I got a surprise for you, 4 buddy. You can't see this. I made a copy 5 for you. Look at you, you handsome devil 6 7 you. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's a bad mug 9 shot. 10 MR. SLEDENZSKI: You look good. 11 look good. Okay, Pat, make an announcement 12 for Sunday. MR. ROGAN: 13 Sure. 14 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jazz festival, 5 to 15 7, June 13, Sunday, stop by for music. All 16 I'm saying it's going to be good. 17 you. 18 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 19 MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, City of Scranton. First of all, Mr. Loscombe, I'd 20 21 like to applaud you because it's about time somebody stood up to the First and Fourth 22 23 and Fourteenth Amendments of the 24 Constitution. Unfortunately, the people of 25 Scranton don't realize that we are under the

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

constitution of the United States, and I'm happy to see you filed the suit, and I hope you will get justice out of this thing.

There's an awful lot of people behind you on this and it might open their eyes to see that we do have rights in this city regardless of what the city administration and the state -- or, excuse me, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania think.

Now, with regards to what I call a golden octopus, which is the University of Scranton, I believe I was told not too long ago that there would be no impediment of traffic on Mulberry Street because they were only widening a sidewalk. Well, I can get you a half a dozen retired masons who could have done that sidewalk inside of a week. It's going on for over a month now and I'd like to know exactly what is going on with the expansion of that sidewalk. Not only that, but there was a sidewalk on Jefferson Street which was taken care of as well. There was a gentleman out there directing traffic, they had Mulberry Street down to one lane while they are doing work. I don't

know if anything came up along those lines either or was brought up before this council, but they are going to be coming up here and I hope you people will take into consideration the impact that's going to have on Mulberry Street when that heavy equipment comes in and starts building up there because it's going to be a lot more than just a temporary discomfort for the citizens.

That is very heavily traveled road. It is an access road for all three hospitals, ambulances are coming in on a constant basis, fire trucks, police cars, there are a lot of emergencies up there, not only during the construction, but what happens when those kids start crossing the DeNaples Center back and forth they don't know where the cross walk is to begin with. They will constantly walk back and forth and I want to see what's going to happen. I hope you do a real close study on the impact it's going to have on traffic before you approve it.

And I know the administration is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to have a fit because we're saying something like this, but facts are facts. You cannot keep giving away the City of Scranton to the University. As it is right now, they are just gobbling up everything in sight, and as I pointed out once before they took in \$183 million a year and I think they gave back \$100,000 in lieu of taxes. It's It's absolutely ridiculous. ridiculous. have nothing against education, but when it infringes upon the rights of the citizens of this city and they are just gobbling up taxpayers' property, uh-huh. Something is rotten in Denmark.

And speaking of Denmark, before you start spending anymore money, I would hope that you are studying what's going on with the world economy because we just don't have the resources anymore. We don't have the standing in the world community anymore. You are taking nations in Europe which are going under because they did the same thing the City of Scranton has been doing for years. They have overspent and didn't have the money coming in. Absolutely ridiculous.

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So before you start spending anymore money and before Mrs. Aebli gives away anymore of OECD funds, I would hope that you would take a good close look at what's going on. There are hundreds of thousands of dollars, if not millions, that are owed to this city by developers who keep coming back for more.

Now if you are going to be in the handout business I'm going to be next in line and I want \$250,000 in cash with a promise that I'll pay it back when I reach 193, but not before. And I can claim nonprofit status as well because I'm sure as hell don't make a profit with what I'm doing So I'd only ask you to use good common sense, which is something that's been lacking in this city council for many, many years, and now that we had a chance to look at it forget the politics and forget who you owe any favors to because those days are gone, and believe me, we are going to hold your feet to the fire because you are the elected representatives now and I would hope that you have that in mind before this budget comes up and cut everything you can

write down to the bare essentials until this city gets back on it's feet. Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie shy maker. City resident and taxpayer, member of the Taxpayers' Association. First thing I would like to know is what is the status of the PEL Recovery Plan? I think we have been without a Recovery Plan longer than the Recovery Plan itself was in effect at this point and is there going to be one, is there not going to be one?

MR. ROGAN: I think they are working on it now. I know they requested to meet with us, but they wanted to meet privately and we wanted a public meeting and I know when we first got sworn in Councilman Loscombe and myself we had a meeting with PEL regarding that and we haven't heard anything from them.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, when you met with them when did they say this was going

MR. ROGAN: Do you remember,

MR. LOSCOMBE: They were -originally when we met with them I believe
they said March and April, but here we are.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Did they say which year?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah. I don't know what the tie up is this time. I believe Mrs. Evans even mentioned they were supposed to come and present the newer plan, but we haven't heard anything.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I would suggest somebody touch base with them because that could have a significant impact on the budget for next year, so I would like to see that.

And speaking of the budget, I think there is a couple of things we could look at. Number one, I think if we charged the University of Scranton students 25 bucks for jaywalking, and maybe everybody else who jaywalks, we might be out of the hole in nothing flat. I really do think that's

something that should be considered because it's especially frustrating when you go up Mulberry Street and they have crosswalks at both sides of the corner and not just one and they still insist on cutting across, and when the classes get out I don't know what building that is down in the I guess it would be the 100 block or 200 block of Jefferson and class gets out you go around that corner, you know, they just don't care, and I think \$25 jaywalking fine would put some manners on them fast.

The other thing is do you know, I guess Mr. Joyce, how much will our property taxes decrease next year due to the expiration of the KOZ status at the end of this year?

MR. JOYCE: How much will property taxes increase are you asking?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Increase our revenues, what would be the increase in revenues?

MR. JOYCE: At this point I'm not 100 percent sure, but I will find that out for you. I will look into that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And then just a little -- I would like to request that when you schedule caucus meetings that they also be posted on the city council website so we don't have to call and bother the office every week.

Regarding the blight, I guess maybe I missed something, but I distinctly heard Mr. Smith say it was his intent if this -- if any blight legislation that's developed is going to pass quickly that it has to be specific to a two-way city in which Scranton is the only one, if it's going to go through fast. If it's going to effect everybody in the whole state it would take a whole lot longer.

Then last week somebody mentioned a 10 or 15 year contract with Comcast and I would hope that's not true. I don't know of anybody on the council has made any contact with Verizon, but Verizon has been doing their own fiber optics and they would be a big competitor should they get their fiber link, so I would think before anything is approved with Comcast that you find out when

Scranton area is on the Verizon schedule for their fiber project.

Another thing, I have asked this before and nothing has happened and maybe it's not possible, I don't know, but I don't know why it should be impossible and that is that you request that all of the authorities send copies of their minutes both to the city council office and to the Albright library reference department so everybody can read those as it's convenient for them and they will be available without bouncing and making appointments at every single authority.

I think the questions that were raised tonight on the status of whether pools are going to be opened or what's happening should certainly be in the Rec Authority minutes.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Krake, could we send that request?

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then a couple of things, questions on Crisp Avenue Bridge.

I'd like to go back to a letter that

Councilman Courtright read on the 9th of

September 2008. It says, "We are corresponding regarding the schedule for replacement of the Crisp Avenue bridge. In November 2007, the city bid and subsequently awarded a contract to design and permit the replacement of the Crisp Avenue culvert damaged by the 2006 flooding. The resultant construction project was to completed by August 23, 2008, and approximately eight months start to finish schedule. During the course of the design and replacement of structure --"

May I finish this?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. "The city's designed consultant discovered discrepancies between the existing site conditions and the FEMA flood study. The Pennsylvania Department of Environment Protection indicated that the general permit originally contemplated for this project may not be issued because the regulatory flood elevations cannot be met. The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection requires that the difference with the FEMA

study and the existing conditions be reconciled prior to measure permitting and replacement. They are also requesting a more complex permit be obtained satisfying the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection requirements necessitates a much longer permit time frame than that which was originally contemplated.

As the Pennsylvania Emergency
Management Agency had a hard deadline of
August 23 with no permit from the
Pennsylvania Department of Environmental
Protection, we asked for a one-year
extension to complete the project. Further
funding is a potential issue due to the
change in the permitting scope. Both PEMA
and the DEP are exploring supplementing the
existing project fund.

Now, since the Crisp Avenue bridge is a FEMA fund that means they only pay 75 percent and the municipality or the state is responsible for the other 25 percent.

MR. ROGAN: I think we have money from the state for the 25 percent.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You think or you

I'11

1 know? MR. ROGAN: I'm not exactly sure on 2 3 that, but I'm pretty sure -- well, the whole project is being financed without Scranton 4 5 taxpayers' dollars. MS. SCHUMACHER: You are positive of 6 7 that? 8 MR. ROGAN: 95 percent sure. 9 find out for sure next week. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, and I know 11 there was \$150,000 put in the Recovery Plan 12 CDBG budget, but I don't it that's still 13 there because that was supposed to have been 14 spent within the -- the rules said 120 days 15 and that would have been the end of last 16 calendar year. 17 MR. ROGAN: That's the concern that 18 we are going to lose the money that that we 19 have for this project and when we finally --20 Mr. Courtright's letter was from what year? 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: 2008. September 9, 22 2008. MR. ROGAN: 23 2008, and we are almost 24 two years later and we just got the DEP 25 approval and I think it was two months.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Do you know what DEP approved? Did they do a redesign to make that compatible with the flood --

MR. ROGAN: I'm not exactly sure. I just know that we got the approval.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I just hope it's the correct approval.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And I would hope -there aren't that many projects, that I
think you could follow-up a lot closer on
these and not wait, you know, here we are
several years away. Thank you for the extra
time.

MR. JOYCE: Before the next speaker comes up, Mrs. Krake, can you send a letter to Mr. Courtright and ask him how much tax revenue we will generate from the KOZ properties when they expire next year?

Also, in regard to the Comcast agreement, I know Mr. McGoff mentioned that it would be wise to speak with the attorneys before we move forward, correct, and that's something that we have agreed that I personally would like to speak to the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attorneys about that handling the negotiations and before we move forward with anything that's something that we are all hoping to do.

MR. ROGAN: Agreed.

MR. ANCHERANI:

Nelson Ancherani, First Amendment Rights.

Good evening.

For the last nine years, police and fire unions have been getting bad mouthed by this administration for being the cause of the city being in the financial straights they are in. I just want to remind council and the people out there that is not the police and fire unions that have put this city in it's 300 to 400 million dollar long-term debt, a debt that will be paid by our children and grandchildren. I have been saying for years that our police and fire budgets have remained fairly static since 2002, when in administration took over. This administration has not given out one raise to the police or fire personnel, that's for nine years.

Speaking of raises, the city will have paid out cumulatively 25 million in

raises and new hires over the last nine years while police and fire personnel got nothina. So, who do we blame? Not the fire or the police. You heard a little while ago tonight from the president of the FOP when he spoke about the State Supreme Court's decision to hear the police and fire cases. For nine years now, numerous arbitrations brought about by the unions and one by the unions have been appealed by the city. police and fire contracts were violated so many times by the city a road map is needed to keep us and track for what cases are coming up for hearings, appeals, arbitrations or PLRB hearings.

Outside attorneys have been paid well over a million dollars fighting the unions with as much \$600 an hour being paid by the city for some of them. I will ask again if anyone knows how many times the city has filed grievances against the unions? I'll answer you. None. We have to abide by the contracts. The city just violates them. When it comes time to pay Peter, when he is at the door, and it looks

_ .

like he is coming soon, we are in deep manure. The taxpayers again are going to suffer.

At this point the unions have been kicked in the head for the last nine years and see a dim light at the end of the tunnel that the light can be seen. The unions know there are no guarantees s to which way the Courts will decide, but at this point if the Courts take anything away from us at least we won't be giving it away to this administration. I know I can live with that.

The only winners are here are the attorneys that have been filing appeals. For nine years the city had deep pockets, that's coming to an end. With next year's budget things are going to be stormy once again. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else that would like to address council? Entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. MCGOFF: So moved.

					106
1	MR.	ROGAN:	Meeting	adjourned.	
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Ш

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER