CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: 6 Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 11 MR. JOYCE: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. Before we proceed 13 MS. EVANS: Here. 14 with the reading of the minutes we have a 15 special proclamation that city council 16 wishes to present this evening. It goes to 17 a student of Scranton High School and 18 Scranton High School, of course, is knowing 19 as the Campus of Champions and wonderful 20 things, great accomplishments are made at 21 this city high school every day. Gentlemen? 22 WHEREAS, the COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF 23 SCRANTON is desirous of honoring "TERRY 24 TURNER", son of Terry and Felicia Turner and 25 brother of sisters Terika, Nashira, and

25

Alicia, all Scranton High School graduates, and WHEREAS "TERRY" is a sophomore at Scranton High School, and a 6'5" member of the basketball team, and WHEREAS, "TERRY" is a Lackawanna Division 1 First-Team Coaches All-Star, was named to the All-Regional Team and Third Team All-State, and WHEREAS, "TERRY" averaged 19.6 points with 23 - 3 pointers in leading Scranton High to a 22-7 record and the program's first District 2 Class AAAA title, and WHEREAS, "TERRY" is the area's top scorer averaging 21.1 points per games, and WHEREAS, "TERRY" averaged 18.3 points and 5.7 rebounds per game in the PIAA playoffs, and WHEREAS, "TERRY" is a two-year starter who has scored 949 points and is beginning to attract interest from St. Joseph's, Temple, Penn State, and LaSalle Universities, and WHEREAS, "TERRY" was coached by Head Coach Tony Battaglia and assistant coaches Tim Wolff, Paul Hughes, and Chris Lazor, and WHEREAS, "TERRY", upon completing high school, would like to attend college and study communications, and WHEREAS, "TERRY" would also like to play

professional basketball, and NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on Tuesday, May 4, 2010, Scranton City Council wishes to congratulate "TERRY TURNER", his Family, Coaches and Teachers for their outstanding achievements and extend our best wishes for success in all their future endeavors. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Proclamation be made a permanent part of the Minutes of this Council, as lasting tribute to "TERRY TURNER."

Would you like to say anything,
Mr. Turner? Coach Battaglia?

MR. BATTAGLIA: No, just thank you very much on behalf of Terry. Appreciate it.

MS. EVANS: Terry, you are certainly a great credit not only to your family, but to Scranton High School, the Scranton School District and to all of the City of Scranton. Thank you.

Dispense with the reading of the minutes, please.

MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.

AGENDA FOR THE ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING

TO BE HELD MAY 12, 2010. 1 2 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 3 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES FOR THE 4 FIREMAN'S PENSION COMMISSION HELD ON 5 MARCH 24, 2010. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 8 If not, received and filed. 9 MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES FOR THE NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING 10 11 HELD ON APRIL 28, 2010. 12 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 13 If not, received and filed. 14 MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES FOR THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON 15 16 MARCH 24, 2010. 17 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 18 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: I believe I read that as 19 20 3-D, that should have been 3-E, so I need to 21 go back to 3-D. 22 MS. EVANS: Certainly. 23 MS. KRAKE: Thank you. MINUTES FOR 24 THE SCRANTON POLICE COMMISSION MEETING HELD 25 ON MARCH 24, 2010.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Clerk's notes,
please.

MS. KRAKE: For clerk's notes we have a couple of responses. Mr. McGoff brought the plaque for Mr. Lassesi into your office and the Lassesi family knows we have it for safe keeping.

Also, we received a reply from Linda Aebli, executive director of OECD, this is in reply to our letter off April 22 concerning the Aroma Cafe. She tells us that this office is very well aware of situation and the deputy director has spoken personally with the owner, Mrs. Patricia Petroziello. She said, "This office has received confirmation the University has purchased the property where the business is located and plans for demolition and future development of the site. Mrs. Petroziello was provided notice by the University of their intentions, however, they extended the offer for the business to remain through June 30. Mrs. Petroziello confirmed that she is actively seeking an alternate

location for the cafe within the city, specifically in the downtown area. Prior to relocation the owner will provide to this office the written request of notice according to the terms of the loan and/or security agreement setting forth the effective date of such change which will enable Aroma Cafe to relocate the business in the city.

At that time, this office will take all necessary steps to file and amend to the UCC Financial statement reflecting the new address of the project's site.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We have some requests that have not been answered. The first one was sent to Mayor Doherty. We asked him for permission to have Jeff Brazil attend the public caucus and that has not been answered.

We also have a second request to

Director Seitzinger concerning Meadow Avenue

and River Street and the overgrowth there

and that has not been answered.

Also, to Director Seitzinger our

concerns about Daron Northeast and that has not been answered either.

And finally, also to Director

Seitzinger, the lack of maintenance on the property -- or, excuse me, the Washburn

Street cemetery.

MS. EVANS: Again, we'll keep those requests for Mr. Seitzinger when we see him we will ask him about those publically, but I think in terms of Mr. Brazil perhaps we might ask Mr. McGoff, who is the chair of DPW, if you could please take these requests that have gone unanswered and any that may arise tonight and have a discussion with Mr. Brazil as to what direction he is going to take on all of these problems that would be a great help.

MR. MCGOFF: No problem.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: That's all we have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

Do any council members have announcements at this time? No?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. On Sunday, May 16, from 1 to 5 p.m. there is a spaghetti and

meatball dinner benefit for Harold Baldrick at the Villa Marie I located on 1259 Bryn Mawr Street in Scranton's West Side. To tell you a little bit about Harold, Harold was involved in a head-on collision back on March 27 where he suffered a severely broken hip, a torn spleen and eight broken ribs. Because of his injuries, both he and his family are going through a lot of struggles both in medical bills and also to let you know he is the sole provider for his family.

The cost of the dinner is \$10 if you would like to attend. Takeouts are also available and there will be a 50/50 and a basket raffle at the event. If you wish to attend or donate a basket please contact Amy Anderson at 352-5374. You can also request tickets by e-mailing: BenefitforHarold4@ yahoo.com.

Also, the Scranton School District
Exceptional Athletes Committee formerly
known as Scranton's Special Olympics will be
holding it's annual fundraiser on May 17
from 7 to 10 at Stirna's Restaurant in North
Scranton. To provide some more background

19 20

2122

23

24

25

on the Exceptional Athletes Committee, this organization is solely responsible for the development and execution of athletic events for students with special needs who attend school in the Scranton School District from grades K through 12. Money raised at the fundraiser is used to purchase adapted equipment and help support athletic events and other social events. Tickets for the event are \$35 per person and can be purchased the night of the event at the door. Light fare and beverages will be served throughout the evening and there will also be raffles for baskets and gift certificates. Those who wish to know more information can contact Ann Jeanette at 348-3471; Marilyn Graziano or Gerilynn Veserkoskis at 348-3481.

Also, St. Joseph's Malachite Church located at 130 South Francis Cabrini Avenue in West Scranton will be holding a Mother's Day pancake and sausage breakfast from 9:30 to noon. Tickets for the event are \$5 and you can pay that at the door if you would like to attend.

Also, as some of you may know, today, May 4, is National Teacher Day. With this in mind, I would just like to take a moment and thank all of the teachers that I had in the area both at Francis Willard Elementary, St. Patrick's and at Scranton Prep and all of the teachers in the area who dedicate their entire career to providing such a great service with educating our community, and that's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

The weekly schedule for street cleaning is as follows: May 3 to May 7 Hemlock Street to Locust Street and May 10 to 14 East Mountain Drive to Cherry Street.

The North Scranton Rotary Club will conduct it's annual fishing derby on May 8 from 11 a.m. to 1 p.m. at South Abington Park. There will be trophies and gift certificates for children.

The Scranton Public Library proudly presents Updike's Pennsylvania with journalist Frank Fitzpatrick on Thursday, May 20, in the periodicals room within the reference department at 6:30 p.m.

Mr. Fitzpatrick has contributed stories on Updike in the Philadelphia Inquirer and the national public radio. He is the author of three books and was a 2001 Pulitzer Prize finalist. Tickets to this event are available at the circulation desk of the Scranton public library, 500 Vine Street, Scranton.

The McNamera family of North
Scranton is conducting a fundraiser
Blessings for Bob Stott, Sr., on Saturday,
May 22, from 6 to 10 p.m. at Holy Rosary
Center, West Market Street in Scranton.
Food and music will be provided and tickets
are \$10. If you are unable to attend,
donations may be mailed to Joyce McNamera,
915 West Market Street, Scranton,
Pennsylvania, 19508. Please include your
name and return address and reference the
fundraiser. Proceeds will help to offset
the heavy financial burden of Bob Stott's
medical procedures.

Also, we wish to recognize the nurses in our community during National Nurse's week which begins tomorrow for their

tireless work and tremendous dedication.

They are very often overworked, underpaid and not properly appreciated. Thank you for your compassion, patience and meticulous care. And a special shutout to Mrs.

Loscombe and her colleagues at Community Medical Center and to my good friend Jean Marie.

Finally, I'd like to wish a very
Happy Mother's Day to all. Friends,
relatives, even spouses and partners may
come and go, but we only have one mother.
She is irreplaceable and a precious gift
from God. My mother is one of my blessings.
Happy Mother's Day, mom, and I love you
dearly, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS
PARTICIPATION. Our first speaker tonight is
Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I know we're selling a lot of lots on the agenda today and they are all being sold to one person. Am I to assume that this is a trap this man is buying that was subdivided

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by somebody else from out of state to be sold off?

MS. EVANS: I would believe so, and the intent to simply to purchase and maintain the property.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, if you saw the photos of the property there is nothing to maintain, it's a jungle. It's undeveloped land.

MS. EVANS: Right.

MR. SBARAGLIA: So chances are that's how it's going to remain until he can sell it. The question is all of the prices he added on there, okay, 3,000, 6,000 and so forth and so on, the question is when you take the acreage of all of the lots that are being sold what does it add up to be? Are we selling five acres, ten acres for 30 or \$40,000 in a 1-A zone. Your job is to make sure that the city gets as much as we can for all -- whatever we are selling. We are in financial problems. The giveaways are over, so I wish you would look into it, add up all of the lot sizes and come out and see exactly what they have.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Excuse me, I think these may have been tax delinquent properties and the back taxes I believe would have been paid, but even more importantly this land, all seven parcels, will be returned to the tax rolls again which is I believe very great financial boon.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Nobody is denying We just want bucks for the buck. want as much money as we can for these parcel. The give-aways are over. There is no question about it. You are going to come before us and ask us to increase our taxes. There is no question about that. Anybody that don't realize it hs their head in the sand, but the only way you can slow this thing down is make sure we get bucks for our bucks, and I realize, too, that when this comes before the judge if somebody else wants to buy the properties they will be in that place, too, and they can bid against whatever these prices are, I understand that, but the people should realize exactly what is being sold and how much is being sold for.

I never forgot the golf course. We got 3 1/2 million and what do we got to show for it? The golf course money we used to get for it, it's gone. And this is how it's been being done in this city. Our assets are being sold off, given away or whatever you want to call it. We got to stop it and the only people that could stop it is you. The buck stops at your desk not the mayor's anymore. It comes before you. You have the power that was given you.

Now, nobody wants to stop

development, even I know that, and the

question is even when these lots are sold to

this man what are they going to be appraised

at, that is another thing, so how much will

you get in taxes? And were any grants, the

mayor has a habit of doing things without

council knowing it, all kinds of abatements

are being given out and you don't know about

it, but it's being done.

You didn't know when they were building the hotel that he was going to give 120 spaces away in the garage, Casey garage, but he did it. Of course, it came before

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council, so actually council give them away and now you know the status of the parking authority as well as I do, they are on the skinny edge, they can collapse at any time and when they do collapse who bears the burden? The taxpayers. So you got to look at everything that way.

When it came before council the last time that we were going to take the fixtures, the beds and everything, the deal with the hotel, I said let it go under and when it went under the man still would have bought it back and he did buy back it and we would still have got our money. But now we don't have our money plus we give all kind of abatements to that hotel plus the free parking forever. That's the part I don't like, forever. And you stood before council and did that and I came and spoke many, many times before council on these things, but it falls on deaf ears. And then you got to wonder, why would it fall on deaf ears? What could possibly save a hotel that makes us so -- to the city. It's nice to have it, it's an advantage, but we got other hotels,

too, and they are in trouble because of what we gave other hotels. So you got to deal with things in a fair hand and an open hand and you got to be as open as you can or God knows, like I said before, you are going to be in battles, I don't know doubt it. You are in a war. You are in a war to save the city from collapse or into bankruptcy. That's the war you got to fight and you got to do it in every front you can find to fight with, that's all I got to say. Thank you.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Sbaraglia, this is just up for introduction this evening, but I know in the mean time we will doing some more research on it for you.

> MR. SBARAGLIA: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: And also, Mr. Sbaraglia, you mentioned proceeds from the sale of the golf course, and I don't know exactly where all of the money has gone from that, however, I do know that \$1.8 million of those proceeds were used to balance the 2010 operating budget.

MR. SBARAGLIA: And the following year before 1.5 million was taken out to balance that budget.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. Last week when Mrs. Evans was talking about a plan to Dickson Street about a month ago I stopped by Avana's one day, oh, I couldn't have been there no where near an hour, when I got in my car there was so much fine dust on my windshield I put the wipers on. What I'm saying this cannot be good for a person, you know, this just can't be. That place just doesn't belong in the city, but that's not what I was going to talk about anyway.

Last year, November 14 Mr. Renda when council was doing their budget November 14, in black and white Mr. Renda got here that the administration could generate an extra 600 to \$700,000 through an increase in various permit fees. We not only didn't get an increase, we're short half of it. We got \$250,000 and this money should be found and recovered for the city from the people that

the mayor gave leniency to. It's not right. You know, two or \$300,000 that was short plus what they estimate we could have gotten extra.

That's like everybody still waiting about the \$300,000 for the wage tax from the 6,000 jobs, I don't know, I wish they would pay it already so it would help the city plenty.

I saw Mr. Seitzinger. His office doesn't -- he just never received it, you know, he seems awful conscientious and out and about doing his job. It's too bad the mayor doesn't have as much enthusiasm and dedication as Mr. Seitzinger seems to have.

wanted to say real quick, I don't know, last year sometime I wanted to say something about Brenda Williams real quick. A lady told me a little story one of her neighbors told me a little story about Miss Williams and Sunday when I was walking Slugo by the car washing another lady called me over for a minute and she told me almost the same story about this poor soul, that the neighbors were taking

1

5

4

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

care of her, that the family completely turned their back on her, that nobody would help her. I mean, it's just the same story on and on and it's such ashame that this had to happen, but this wasn't the neighbor's obligation, it was the family's. Now all of a sudden the family is coming out the woodworks to sue the city and they don't deserve a penny. If there is anything, you know, given to somebody worthy that could I listened to Sue Henry one day and help. she was talking about our obligations to help family, and as I remember she has somebody in the nursing home or something she said had to go see, didn't have to go see, that she kept up with. These are obligations, you know, that families are supposed to do.

My preacher in Meadow Rock told me a story, but I said something very derogatory about something one day, I don't remember how it went, it's just a sentence or two, but he said in World War I a soldier jumped over the trenches and shot up and they would run back and recuperate the trenches, some

soldiers out there were crying for help and a couple of shoulders said they volunteered to go get him, and the sergeant said, "No, nobody can get up, you stay here," and two or three guys said, "I got to go him," and he said, "No, stay here."

And this one guy jumps up, runs over there, gets the solider and drags him back and he gets shot, so he is laying in the trench with other guy dying and the sergeant said, "Why in the world did you do that?"

And he said, "Because he was my brother and he asked for my help."

And it's very evident that Brenda
Williams asked for help from her family and
they turned their back on her because I have
heard this twice from neighbors. Just a
second, I think that Mr. Doherty is on the
right step with this new administration or
new office to help people like this, I think
that's a good move and I certainly hope it's
successful. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,

South Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers' Association. I would like to take this opportunity to congratulate Mayor Doherty and Attorneys Patterson and Kelly, Honorable Judge Mazzoni for making a decision on the Doherty vs. City Council. Although I do not agree with the decision, it must be abided by. It was ruled by a judge. I also do not agree with the OJ Simpson verdict, but then again, it's the law and the judge made the decision, so much we should abide by it.

Mayor Connors should have taken city council to Court. He would have won his case also back in the 90's, but Jimmy didn't do that. It was my pleasure to watch the Court system at work and listen to the testimony and also watch city employees waste their day in the courtroom for no apparent reason. I guess they had no annual leave to use instead they decided to waste the taxpayers' money sitting around instead of working for the taxpayers.

The city will remain distressed for many more years to come. Why? Because

there is no leadership but more importantly the chief executive of the city is more concerned about his political appointees than he is the taxpayers and citizens of Scranton. Again, I will state how can reduction in the IT Department and OECD have any effect on the safety of the citizens of the Scranton? While during the same period of time there had been in a reduction of manpower in both the fire department and the police department. Again, I keep forgetting that I now live in Scranton, Pennsylvania.

It is nice no hear that Mayor

Doherty does care more about the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania than the citizens in Scranton. I guess he has no friends yet working for the state. He will fight to cut unnecessary jobs in the Commonwealth if elected to the Senate seat.

I also would like to thank the four members of the city council would have the courage to go to Court and also Attorney Hughes with overwhelming odds against him for victory. Keep up the good fight, 2011 is not far away, do not let one minor

setback change your course to bring Scranton back to fiscal responsibility and by all means do not accept the mayor's job if it should become vacant. That is a no-win, all blank situation at best for anyone who does take that job if it does become vacant.

Attorney Patterson, Kelly and Hughes are already on the city payroll, so no additional costs were incurred by the taxpayers except for all city workers that were in the courtroom for no apparent reason. Now they cost the taxpayers some money for their salaries for the four days they were in the courtroom.

Thursday night the Taxpayers'
Association interviewed three candidates for the 112th district. Representative Smith,
Candidate Keeler and Candidate Hamlin,
Candidate Lesh did not attend. It was very informative and professionally conducted.
It can be viewed on Channel 19 ECTV for those voters who are in interested on where their candidates stands on issues in the 112th.

Also, Monday night I attended the

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

debate at the University of Scranton with the seven candidates who are seeking the state senator's job for the 22nd district.

Again, I invite interested voters to watch the debate on ECTV Channel 19.

On Wednesday night the League of Women's Voters will be interviewing the candidates for 112th District at 7:00 p.m. in Room 228 of Brennan Hall. Again, I invite interested voters to attend.

Also, on Thursday, WVIA Channel 44 will be interviewing the Senate candidates again for the 22nd Senatorial district. Not sure on the time, but I believe that it starts at either 6:00 p.m. or 6:30 p.m. All personnel who are interested in their community and in their state should take the time to either attend one of these debates, watch them on ECTV or watch it on WVIA. They are very interesting and you get a lot of information about your candidate. are interested on what issues your candidate stands for then I strongly recommend that you watch and listen to all of the debates unless you are voting strictly for friends,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

neighbors, and who will give you a job.

Finally, to the Tripp Parer, nice to hear from another Packer backer. New improved Doomer hypocrite, it was annual leave, not sick leave. Get your facts straight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dobrzyn, member of the taxpayers', resident of Scranton. And I also would like to comment on the Court case that I wasn't in our favor, but, well, what can we expect. have been wailing about Clarks Summit and Moscow and the rest of the county all knows how our town should be run, and what did you expect from a judge that's probably from some other town. They just love to keep us as a land bank for their nonprofits and so forth and that's all they are interested in us, so hopefully in the future we will be able to do things for ourselves and mitigate some of this stuff.

And on these nonprofits, I hear all of these politicians and these various

21

22

23

24

25

interviews and forums talking about how they generate so much economic activity. you know, if I was a fireman or a policeman I would have to live in town. If I was professor at a University or an employee or something like that I could live wherever I want and I don't have to stop at a business in town, I don't have to patronize anybody from town, I don't have to have anything to do with Scranton, so when we lose property tax we probably are possibly just about lose everything else involved, so they don't even -- they don't have to buy their food for their commissary here, they don't have to buy their books from Scranton, they don't have to buy the bricks from the buildings from Scranton, they don't have to have a construction company based in Scranton to build their buildings.

So the point is that, yeah, maybe it generates economic opportunity for a lot of people, but it doesn't necessarily benefit Scranton as a whole, especially in view of the lost property taxes, so I would like to continue let's keep the heat on our state

legislators to get compensation for nonprofits and especially in cities where there is a disproportionate amount of nonprofits, county seats and so forth because otherwise we are just going to have to suffer with poorer service than people from other cities in the county or in the area or in the state.

And, Mr. McGoff, I think we -- I showed you about the traffic lights over on the corner so just so it's explained and hopefully, you know, we can make some kind of progress on them in the future.

And, finally, I'm going to address the golden parrot of the week award and that goes to our Supreme Court for allowing industry and corporations to donate money in the political campaigns. Under the 14th Amendment of the 1800's we devised that to give former slaves the rights of citizenship. Well, there was about 19 cases decided by the Supreme Court on the rights of citizenship of former slaves between 19 -- 1890 and about 1910 or so, and there was something like well over 90 cases

23

24

25

decided to give corporations the rights of an individual, and even worse yet, if an individual commits a crime they go to jail. If a corporation commits a crime, they get what? A fine. Ooh. Ooh. You know? It's a real, real shame, so not only does my golden parrot award for this week go to our current Supreme Court for their citizens united allowing corporate money to poor into politics, but all of the former Supreme Courts for allowing this to happen and giving a corporation the rights of the human being, so thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: I don't want to say a lot about what the judge decided because like I say, from the podium here that was decided the day that council took this vote and you didn't have a chance to win that case, and I tried to explain that to you, but you know what, that's not important.

What's important now is what direction you are going to take. I think that the council should forget making explanations for what's happened and it needs to take the budget apart line item by line item. We all understand now that the governor said the State of Pennsylvania is over a billion dollars in debt, so we have to make some smart choices. We can't live by what happened yesterday, we have to decide what we are going to do today so we can control our future tomorrow.

Mr. Jackowitz came to the podium and talked about leadership. In my opinion I'm kind of old 50's, but I remember the 60's and we lost all of our civil rights leaders in the 60's whether it was the two Kennedys or Malcolm X or Martin Luther King and in my opinion I just don't think that we have any civil rights leaders in this country anymore. People may think we do, but I just think we have prepacked corporate people who come forward and run for elections and lead us absolutely no where.

Mr. Sbaraglia talked about the golf

18 19

17

21

20

22

23

24

25

course money. Absolutely it disappeared eaten into the budget. I mean, now it's time to make some real smart choices, and I think the most legitimate right a person has is to have a good job, and that's why it was so important when Mr. Austin Burke came here because I think it's time for this council to move in a new direction. I think it's time for somebody on council to decide to be the point person and meet with Mr. Burke and try to forge a partnership between business and government and create jobs and bring them back from wherever they are. the only real answer to the city and to the state and to the country. You can only tax people so long until they have nothing left and that's where we were.

I mean, you know, like I think it's very important for the city to take this budget apart and cut it by \$7 million. I think it's totally possible, I think that it can be done. I think we have to stop playing to the cameras. The cameras don't mean anything, people's lives do, and I think we is have an obligation to try and

21

22

23

24

25

empower people and we are just not doing that. I mean, you can see by all of the money that's handed out here that it's just not working. We've got to try new solutions to old problems and we've got to think and that's what you were elected for, and the mayor. Somebody has got to go down and there and get a partnership with this mayor and this council. We are all counting on you and it's time to do it and it's time to come up with -- we got to stop coming up with excuses while we can't move forward. Ι mean, I have spent my whole life here and we have all talked about how we are going to change the city and it just hasn't happened. The city has just continually diminished in size and the burden just can't continue and the excuses can't continue, so I just hope you would consider it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to address counsel?

MR. MCGOFF: Just very briefly, since it seems as though the Court decision is the primary topic for the evening I'd just like to say that I believe that it's

perhaps time to move on from that decision. I agree with what a number of the speakers have said. It's time to start looking at the 2011 budget. If, in fact, we want to make changes and if, in fact, there are things that need to be done to the budget now is the time to start looking at the 2011 budget, looking in areas where we can make some cuts, looking at cuts that will not effect the services to the city, but yet will give some relief to the taxpayers in the city. I think it's time for, again, just council to move onto it's primary duties instead of looking backwards, and that's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff. Councilman Rogan, any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Also about the budget cuts, obviously disappointed that the judge ruled against city council, but in the media it's been portrayed as a loss, that city council lost, but we didn't lose, it's the citizens that lost. We fought to save the money, you know, and the newspaper reported that it's only -- it's less than 1

percent, but that's a start, and we need to start saving money and I believe one of the speakers mentioned before how the state is in debt, the city is in debt, the counties, federal government, we need to start saving money because we can't keep spending and spending and spending.

And there is a few things about the budget cuts that I would like to touch on. The first one is the jobs in OECD, the judge said because they are federally funded that there wouldn't be any savings by eliminating the positions. I would first like to say that federal dollars are taxpayer dollars as well. There is no free money. And second of all, many of these jobs were recently created, they are not jobs that have been there for 20 or 30 years that we were cutting. One of them was just put in the budget I believe last year.

MS. EVANS: Correct.

MR. ROGAN: And these cuts were not public safety related. We made sure not to cut police or fire because keeping the people save is government's first

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

responsibility.

Secondly, it was mentioned that the unemployment costs weren't covered in our budget amendments, but it's been past practice to take unemployment compensation out of the contingency fund, which was the plan, and now come next year's budget the cuts will be much deeper because we have to makeup for what we didn't cut this year and we won't realize the full savings next year because there will be some unemployment costs. If these cuts went through the unemployment costs would have been paid and next year we would have realized the full savings of these budget cuts. And we as a council have to look, like Mr. Morgan said, line item by line item and cut everywhere we could because at the end of the day it's your money, it's not our money, and it's our job to make sure every penny is spent wisely.

I also have some citizens' requests for the week, actually, a pretty long list of them. The first one: Could we send a letter to Chief Elliott making him aware

that residents are complaining about speeding on Cedar Avenue between Smith's Restaurant and Davis Street.

Also to Chief Elliott, what is the Scranton Police Department's protocol for handling suspected illegal immigrants that are pulled over in traffic stops as well as illegal immigrants that are arrested for other crimes?

Can we please send a letter to Mr.

Brazil asking the DPW to prepare potholes in the 200 block of Marian Street as well as considering putting the 200 through 500 blocks of Marian Street on the paving list?

Can we please get an update on the flooding project for the 200 block of North Cameron Ave? I received an e-mail from a resident who was flooded and he said a few weeks ago the city began doing work on the 400 block, but his home was still flooded up.

Could we please send a letter to

Mr. Seitzinger and Chief Elliott making them

aware that there is a used tire store in the

400 block of Willow Street that is stacking

excess tires on sidewalks and it forces pedestrians to walk on the street.

Also, as chairperson for OECD, in the past I was -- the first couple of months I was on council I received a monthly report. I have not received one in three -- I believe it was three months, so could we ask Linda Aebli to please send all back reports and that would be a standing request from here forward asking for it monthly.

I received a letter from a resident who lives on 1212 1/2 Rundell Street, he states that he called the Sewer Authority about a ditch in front of his house that's on the list to be paved, but nothing has been done yet. Could you please send a follow-up on that?

And also I received a letter that there is a property on 1221 South Main Avenue that has garbage in the yard and they say it's extremely unsightly and unsanitary, so could we please make Mr. Seitzinger as well as Mr. Brazil aware of that situation. And I have all of those written down and I will give you those at the end of the

. 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Loscombe, any motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, I, too, would like to comment Mrs. Evans. on the budget case. The loss of our lawsuit regarding the budget amendments I am disappointed, as I'm sure most of my colleagues are on city council. I believe it would have been a good immediate step towards some fiscal responsibility that has been seriously lacking in this administration. You have to first realize that this budget was passed by a lame duck council majority. As I said, I am disappointed, but I'm not surprised by the decision.

City council was not traveling down a new road when these budget amendments were undertaken. It was proven at trial that our budget amendment was not the first time that action has been taken to amend the city's budget after it had been adopted. In fact, budgets have been amended after adoption which created positions, eliminated

positions, and made adjustments to revenue and expenses. Nothing that we were doing any differently, however, this is the first time that the amendments to the budget after adoption have been challenged. Ironically by the same person who as councilman and Chairman of the Finance Committee amended the budgets themselves after their adoption.

I'm totally unconvinced that the argument that the budget amendments would effect the health, safety and welfare of the public, especially coming from an administration that has currently reduced the fire department by 11 with a stated goal of 38 less firefighters, has left three police openings unfilled along with eight neighborhood patrol positions vacant. The highway safety department who is responsible for street signs, traffic lights, and street markings has also been eliminated this year by the administration.

I find it hard to believe that reduced administrative salaries and the positions that were eliminated under council's amended budget would even come

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

close to compromising your health, safety and welfare. I certainly would not have voted for the amendments if I even had the slightest belief this would be the case.

I do feel strongly that cost cutting

measures were in order and that this is not only a loss for us, but a loss for you, the taxpayers. If I had to do it all over again, would I? You are damn right. Contrary to many misstatements, this lawsuit has cost the taxpayers nothing but our time and the efforts of the council solicitor and the city solicitor's office. However, the mayor was quoted in the newspaper regarding the lawsuit that he, regarding the lawsuit that he filed, remember that, we didn't file it, as stating it distracted the administration from doing our tasks. guess I don't know how important those tasks are, but several members of the administration spent a large amount of time in the courthouse as observers. Perhaps he meant it was a distraction from campaigning.

As quoted in the newspaper, my exact statement was that I do not speak for the

rest of city council, but I do believe that we will respect Judge Mazzoni's opinion and not file an appeal. That may be a win for the people in itself given the history of appealing legal decisions not in favor of this administration.

We may have lost this opportunity to help bring our city on a path toward financial responsibility, but we are still in the early stages of our service to you, the taxpayers. Thank you. That's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. As you know, Judge Mazzoni did not side in council's favor regarding amendments to the 2010 operating budget that council previously made. The reasoning Judge Mazzoni provided was that the spirit of the law, the writers of the Home Rule Charter, did not intend for a budget to be reopened by the creators -- the creation of Section 9 of the Home Rule Charter which pertains particularly to

budgets. Though specifically, in Section 5 of the Home Rule Charter it is stated in the letter of the law that council has the right to amend any ordinance which is what the original passing of the budget was considered, an ordinance.

I respect the opinion of Judge

Mazzoni and like Mr. Loscombe I do not feel

that it is in the best interest of council

to appeal this decision merely due to the

fact that by the time it would take to reach

another decision most of the cuts that were

made would already be spent.

One thing I do want to reiterate about the entire hearing, there were no outside attorneys that were used. All of the attorneys involved in the hearing were city paid employees, therefore, there were no additional costs to taxpayers, and I repeat, there were no additional costs to taxpayers for outside attorney fees.

One of the reasons that council felt it was in the city's best interest to start saving money now is to prepare for the future, and like Mr. McGoff stated, looking

would be facing a shortfall of \$5.2 million in the upcoming year. This projected shortfall that the city is expected to face is due to the fact that projected pension contributions for the city are expected to increase, coupled with the fact that in the 2010 budget there are two one-time revenue sources, one \$500,000 in delinquent tax fees and \$1.8 million which are proceeds from the sale of the municipal golf course. Obviously, this is a concern that needs to be addressed since the city will need to generate roughly \$5.27 million in new revenue in the next year in order to balance the budget, and with this in mind in order to start preparing for this, Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to Mr. Renda asking him how the city plans to

address the shortfall that was projected in

forward into 2011 as per the guidance of the

PEL it is predicted that the current -- that

current union contracts and considering that

police or administration employees, the city

under the current circumstances given the

no pay raises would be granted to fire,

25

2011, and also if you could also ask him to list all of the one-time revenue sources, if any, that it are still available to the city.

With this being said, I have a few citizens' requests and, Mrs. Krake, if you can also please send a letter to Mr. Brazil and inform him of the following requests that I'm about to make, and in your letter please ask Mr. Brazil to provide a date by which the following requests can be addressed:

First, I have been contacted by residents of Bird Street who have informed me that there are various disturbances in the 26000 block close in proximity to the stop sign. The resident is requesting that the area be patched up in order to provide less wear and tear on his car and the other vehicles in that general area.

Secondly, I have been contacted by a resident of Sanderson Avenue who explained that in the 1300 block of Sanderson there is a large area in the middle of the road where it appears that some work has been done,

however, this area has never been patched back up and filled.

Third, I have been contacted by a resident of Euclid Avenue in West Scranton who informed me that there are many cuts in the road particularly in the area of the stop sign where Euclid Avenue and Bulware Street connect. Also, there are many cuts in the road in this section of Euclid Avenue leading up to a Tripp Park development. If you could also add this to the list.

And fourth, I have been contacted by a resident of Dorothy Street over in the Tripp Park Avenue who informed that close to the stop sign on the 1700 block there are two holes in the road and they would like those disturbances to be filled as it's causing a lot of travel problems for the residents of that neighborhood, and that is all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

Good evening. We are all aware that Judge

Mazzoni rendered his decision in favor of

Chris Doherty, and as a result no reductions

to government spending will be made in 2010.

I wish to make my statements directly to you, the taxpayers, tonight.

I am disappointed in Judge Mazzoni's ruling, particularly since the city budget has been opened and amended many times by previous city councils, one of which included then Councilman Chris Doherty in the Year 2000 as recognized in Judge Mazzoni's ruling, and since prior state court cases have demonstrated that government has a vested interest in creating greater efficiency and cutting manpower.

The taxpayers of Scranton who overwhelming elected this new council wanted to cut costs in early 2010 in the midst of the climbing unemployment and the greatest recession in memory. We heard you and council did what it was charged to do. Unfortunately, this decision, as has been said many times this evening, is rendered against not only city council, but more importantly against the taxpayers of Scranton.

At no time did council ever place the citizens of Scranton in harm's way with

our amendments. In fact, these amendments included more DPW workers than the mayor's budget and made no additional reductions in police and fire departments.

Further, I spent the last seven years protecting the tax dollars, safety and services of the people of Scranton. In addition, I look forward to council's amendments to the mayor's 2011 budget in six months time which will cut fat and increase revenues and such amendments will likely include stronger measures in order to makeup for the lack of cuts in 2010.

In the interim, council continues to monitor departments and department heads in performance, efficiency and finances and to develop it's 2011 budget amendments.

It is troubling, however, that the judge's decision does not appear to address the still unanswered questions regarding the 2009 and 2010 budget. \$5.5 million was included in revenue from the Single Tax Office in the mayor's 2009 budget.

Approximately three million was realized leaving a gaping 2009 budget hole which was

not accounted for in 2010.

Also, employees worked for two months in 2010 whose positions were funded at zero dollars and tax office employees whose jobs were cut and funded again at zero dollars in the 2010 budget continue to work and receive salaries.

In addition, as Mr. Joyce mentioned a moment ago, the city faces a potential \$5.2 million increase in pension payments in 2011 and a potential multi-million dollar payment to public safety unions for wage, health care and SIT Court decisions which can come at any time now or in the future. No financial preparations have been made by this administration.

On the other hand, council proposed to establish a special account into which all savings realized in the 2010 budget year would be placed in order to address these financial hits in it's negotiations with the mayor toward a settlement of this court case. In return, council restored positions except for those which were vacant and were newly created in the 2010 budget. That

proposal was rejected.

Thus, the city remains in dangerous financial waters in 2010 and faces financial crisis in 2011 with no plan, no preparation having been made other than a gubernatorial and senate campaign which have daily distracted the administration for over a year.

Last Friday, Councilman Loscombe, Chair of the Public Safety Committee, and I met with Mayor Doherty, City Solicitor Patterson, and Business Administration Stu Renda to discuss labor-related issues. informed the mayor that city council does not wish to take an appeal of the most recent health care decisions. Also, we asked and encouraged both the mayor and the president of the police and fire unions to negotiate in good faith and fairness for the benefit of Scranton taxpayers. Ultimately, these nine years of litigation will end in an irreversible decision creating a winner and a loser and eliminating any possibility of a fair financial and management rights compromise.

25

1

2

What should be ever present in the mind set of management and labor is the impact such a Court decision will have not just on DCED, distressed municipalities throughout Pennsylvania, Act 47 or union membership, but on each and every Scranton resident and taxpayer the people stand to be the winners or losers at the end of the day.

Thus, with the agreement of my honorable colleagues, city council wishes to send a letter to the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development stating it's opposition to the city taking an appeal of the April 16, 2010, ruling of arbitrator Charles D. Long, Jr., in which the city is required to retain the services of health care administrator to monitor the city's experience with it's health care manager, and the April 21, 2010, decision by arbitrator Stanley L. Agis which stated that the city had acted in bad faith with respect to health care charges for the city's public safety unions.

Next, council received a response from the Pennsylvania Economy League to it's

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

request to hold public meetings with stakeholders of the revised Recovery Plan. The Pennsylvania Economy League prefers to conduct private meetings with individual council members to develop a revised plan and following completion of the document to present it to the public. As president of Scranton City Council, I firmly believe that the taxpayers of Scranton who must live with this revised Recovery Plan's impact on their finances and their quality of life have the right to witness the input, insights and advice of city council members, the mayor and his cabinet, municipal union representatives as well as Pennsylvania Economy League members.

However, I am but one council member and at this time I ask each of my honorable colleagues to express his belief and preference for either private, individual meetings at the DCED Northeast Regional Office or public group meetings conducted in council chambers and televised by ECTV.

And, Mr. McGoff, what is your preference?

MR. MCGOFF: I believe you can do

members should meet with PEL at their 2 3 offices to discuss the possibilities or what the new Recovery Plan will look like, and 4 after those discussions have a caucus with 5 PEL to go over what has been discussed, but 6 I think it's -- I think it's in the best 7 8 interest of everyone to meet as many times 9 as possible in as many venues as possible. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff. 11 Mr. Rogan? 12 MR. ROGAN: I believe meeting in 13 public would be best. From day one when we 14 had to fill Mr. Courtright's seat we did the 15 proceedings in public and, you know, I think 16 it worked out a lot better that way so 17 people could see what was going on, what the 18 government is doing, so I would be 19 completely in favor of public. 20 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan. 21 Mr. Loscombe? 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Like you, 23 Mrs. Joyce -- yeah, Mrs. Evans. 24 MS. EVANS: I'm not his mother, but 25 I wouldn't mind being.

both and I think that initially council

1

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Like you, Mrs. Evans, I believe that the stakeholders are the taxpayers and the employees of the city along with the elected officials and as such I believe that any discussions on a revised plan should include all stakeholders in an open forum where they can see what's discussed.

Again, I have a few reasons, one of the reasons is I believe that the last plan was voted on by the city residents without full knowledge or understanding of the plan or it's components. I do not feel that appearing at a PEL Recovery Plan revision meeting individually would accomplish anything, and I say this from experience. Right after I was appointed I did attend a PEL meeting, nothing against the gentlemen that are members of the PEL, however, it just appears I asked them if they had any ideas for revenue enhancement, something we sorely need here, the only answers: Raise taxes, increase fees.

I have personally been disappointed with the success or lack of success by PEL

22

23

24

25

since it has run the city recovery under the Distressed Cities Act. It has sanctioned a former mayor for violating the Recovery Plan while idly standing by and letting another mayor violate the plan without any If a public forum is not sanctions. acceptable, I do not believe that I would attend a private meeting because I don't think my input would mean anything based on that initial meeting, but I think the time for the public to see it is in it's initial stages of putting the components of the plan together not after it's already revised and completed. That's all I have to say. you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.
Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: I would be in favor of public meetings as well. I am a full believer in transparency and the taxpayers have the right to know what we are discussing because this is something that's going to effect them and effect them for quite awhile.

Also, with the current fiscal

situation and the fiscal situation that lies ahead, we have documentation from PEL that states we are going to be experiencing a significant shortfall next year. I think it would be very beneficial for us to all meet together and work together to generate ideas, to discuss the Recovery Plan and have this all available for the public to see in the public eye. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I just add one comment, I'm sorry, after hearing -- PEL has been reaching out to council since November of 2009 to meet with members of council both the old council and current council, and I think any refusal to meet with them would be detrimental. I don't think that there is anything wrong with meeting with PEL at their offices and then also, as I said before, in public. What is wrong with doing both.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake, as a result of this discussion please notify Mr. Gerald Cross, executive director of the Pennsylvania Economy League, of each council

member's decision regarding future meetings and discussions related to the revised Recovery plan. I believe that only Mr. McGoff intends to participate in private meetings and the remainder of the council wishes to engage in public televised meetings with all stakeholders present and during those meetings the plan can be developed and discussed and revised.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week: A second request, in 2009 city council requested that "No Parking" signs be installed on Moosic Street since the signs had been removed previously. Residents of South Side report that these signs to date have not been replaced and installed. Please address this safety issue for pedestrians and drivers as soon as possible.

A handicapped curb cut is needed at Duffy Park located at the corner of Harrison and Crown Avenue. Two prior requests were made by council in 2009.

Pave the unit block of Crown Avenue.

In 2009 Mr. Brazil indicated that this block

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would be placed on the top of the 2010 paving list since this block has not been paved in decades.

And prior to the opening of the next school year in August 2010, please paint school crosswalks as necessary citywide.

Council requests that the Scranton/ Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association 112th District Candidates Forum is broadcast repeatedly throughout these final two weeks prior to May 18, 2010, primary election day.

In addition, council requests that all public debates and forums among state candidates be broadcast repeatedly during the same time period and that a program schedule of these events be regularly presented by ECTV in order to inform and educate the voters of the Channel 19 area.

And please forward the Hill Neighborhood Association's letter to both Blight Officer Herchik and Mr. Seitzinger requesting immediate attention to this problem. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE - SALE OF TAX

4	DELINGUENT DRODERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AC
1	DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS
2	FROUDE AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX
3	MAP NO. 16809-040-009 TO ROBERT KOLANKOSKI,
4	254 MAIN STREET, DUPONT, PENNSYLVANIA,
5	18641, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$8,000.00.
6	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
8	introduced into it's property committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
12	those in favor signify by saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
16	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved.
19	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. <u>FOR INTRODUCTION -</u>
20	<u>AN ORDINANCE</u> - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT
21	PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS SIEBECKER
22	AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP
23	NO.16809-040-011 TO ROBERT KOLANKOSKI, 254
24	MAIN STREET, DUPONT, PENNSYLVANIA, 18641,
25	FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$6,500.00.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 1 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 2 3 introduced into it's proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 4 MR. MCGOFF Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 6 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 8 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 The ayes MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 13 have it and so moved. 14 MS. KRAKE: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT 15 PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS SIEBECKER 16 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP 17 18 NO.16809-050-005 TO ROBERT KOLANKOSKI, 254 19 MAIN STREET, DUPONT, PENNSYLVANIA, 18641, 20 FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$6,500.00. MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 21 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 23 introduced into it's proper committee. 24 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 25 MR. JOYCE: Second.

	02
1	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
2	those in favor signify by saying aye.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
6	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
8	have it and so moved.
9	MS. KRAKE: 5-E. <u>FOR INTRODUCTION —</u>
10	<u>AN ORDINANCE</u> - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT
11	PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS ROLLIN
12	AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO.
13	16809-050-007 TO ROBERT KOLANKOSKI, 254 MAIN
14	STREET, DUPONT, PENNSYLVANIA, 18641, FOR THE
15	CONSIDERATION OF \$5,000.00.
16	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
18	introduced into it's proper committee.
19	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
	II

1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MS. KRAKE: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION —
5	<u>AN ORDINANCE</u> - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT
6	PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS MILLER
7	AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO.
8	16810-010-024 TO ROBERT KOLANKOSKI, 254 MAIN
9	STREET, DUPONT, PENNSYLVANIA, 18641, FOR THE
10	CONSIDERATION OF \$6,000.00.
11	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
12	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
13	introduced into it's proper committee.
14	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
15	MR. ROGAN: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved.
24	MS. KRAKE: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION —
25	<u>AN ORDINANCE</u> - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT

1	PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS KERR STREET,
2	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO.
3	16810-010-025 TO ROBERT KOLANKOSKI, 254 MAIN
4	STREET, DUPONT, PENNSYLVANIA, 18641, FOR THE
5	CONSIDERATION OF \$7,000.00.
6	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
8	introduced into it's proper committee.
9	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
12	those in favor signify by saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
16	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved.
19	MS. KRAKE: 5-H. <u>FOR INTRODUCTION -</u>
20	<u>AN ORDINANCE -</u> SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT
21	PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS KERR STREET,
22	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO.
23	16810-010-026 TO ROBERT KOLANKOSKI, 254 MAIN
24	STREET, DUPONT, PENNSYLVANIA, 18641, FOR THE
25	

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 1 entertain a motion that Item 5-H be 2 3 introduced into it's proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 4 MR. JOYCE: Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 6 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 8 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 13 have it and so moved. 14 MS. KRAKE: 5-I. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT 15 PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS ROLLIN 16 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO. 17 18 16810-010-027 TO ROBERT KOLANKOSKI, 254 MAIN STREET, DUPONT, PENNSYLVANIA, 18641, FOR THE 19 CONSIDERATION OF \$3,000.00. 20 21 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-I be 23 introduced into it's proper committee. 24 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 25 MR. JOYCE: Second.

1	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
2	those in favor signify by saying aye.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
6	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
8	have it and so moved.
9	MS. KRAKE: 5-J. <u>FOR INTRODUCTION —</u>
10	<u>A RESOLUTION</u> - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
11	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE
12	CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO
13	AN INVESTMENT GRADE ENERGY AUDIT AGREEMENT
14	WITH COMMONWEALTH CONSULTING SERVICES, LLC
15	FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF ENERGY AUDITS ON
16	CITY BUILDINGS.
17	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-J be
19	introduced into it's proper committee.
20	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
21	MR. JOYCE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question?
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes. After reading this
24	over this seems to be a very good idea for
25	the city. From what I understand it's going

to be federal money that's paying for it. 1 MS. EVANS: Yes. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Not only could we save money on energy costs in the future, it will 4 5 also help the environment as well by cutting 6 back on our consumption. We concur. Anyone else 7 MS. EVANS: 8 on the question? All those in favor of 9 introduction signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 13 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 14 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 16 NO. 17 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 18 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR 19 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -20 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 14, 2010 -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 21 22 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND APPLY FOR A 23 GRANT THROUGH THE PA DEPARTMENT OF 24 CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) 25 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50,000.00; IF THE

	i i
1	APPLICATION IS SUCCESSFUL, ACCEPTING AND
2	DISBURSING THE GRANT FUNDS FOR THE
3	RESTORATION/RENOVATION OF FELLOW'S
4	PARK IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
5	MS. EVANS: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chair for the
7	Committee on Finance?
8	MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for the
9	Committee on the Finance, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-A.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
13	call, please.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. I
25	will entertain a motion to adjourn.

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER