	1	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, April 27, 2010	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COURT REPORTED	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 11 MR. JOYCE: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 13 MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the 14 reading of the minutes. MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 15 3-A. MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH 16 17 AND WELFARE AUTHORITY'S REGULAR BOARD 18 MEETING HELD MARCH 18,2010. 19 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 20 If not, received and filed. Clerk's notes. 21 MS. KRAKE: Thank you. First, I 22 have responses. We have a response from 23 city forester Anthony San tolli. This is 24 concerning our letter of April 22 concerning 25 the knocking out of the electrical service

caused by tree limbs. He tells us that he would like to bring us up-to-date on vacant lot at 915 Clay Avenue. There is no house on the property there. He says PPL did state that the two trees in the middle of the property pose a potential threat to the wires in question. We are still going to proceed to have this declared a public safety hazard situation and will seek to have the trees removed with a lien placed on the property.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

that they see nothing that warrants the removal of trees from the lot next to the property at 915 Clay Avenue. PPL suggested that the complainant call the following number 1-800DIALPPL for detailed information concerning the situation. The local representative of PPL, Mr. Steve Lepchack, urged the complainant to make the call per PPL's policy. He tells us he will continue to update us on how things are proceeding.

We have several responses from Mark Seitzinger, the Director of Licensing and

Inspections. First is concerning the South Side Shopping Center and the emptying of dumpsters. Director Seitzinger says: This is something that has happened in the past in other locations and the dumpster company was receptive of our request to begin emptying the dumpsters at a later time. We will certainly speak with the South Side Shopping Center and the dumpster company to resolve this issue as soon as possible.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Also, concerning Yank's Garage, he says, "Yank's Garage seems to be the source of the problem according to our letter and there are paint fumes in the air that the citizens are concerned about there."

Director says: "I will send an inspector and also the zoning officer out to the property to make sure that the business is in full compliance with all city ordinances. These inspectors will be sent out this week and will report back to me with their findings so that council can be updated."

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: "In reference to 618 East Elm Street, since the time of our letter the property has within been razed by the City of Scranton. At this point the crews are working on the property to ensure that it is safe for the neighbors and that the brook is protected as well."

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: "Concerning 1023 Bunker Hill Street, the property is a condemned property and was condemned as unfit in June of 2008. We are have attempted to contact the owner for the property and we have been unsuccessful in doing so. The owner's listed address is also 1023 Bunker Hill Street and there is no forwarding address. Upon further investigation into the property, there were two mortgages on this, one which was satisfied and one which was not satisfied. The mortgage company who held the mortgages is no longer in existence. Even though they are not in business any longer, we have attempted to contact the parent company and they are

looking into the situation for us at this time. We are hoping for an answer from the parent company this week so we maybe able to proceed and have the demolition as soon as possible."

MS. EVANS: Very good. And,

Mrs. Krake, if they do receive responses
this week could you let me know right

away --

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: -- that the demolition is going to occur?

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We received a response from Robert Scopelliti, executive director of the Scranton Parking Authority. The first part of his response doesn't answer our question entirely, which Les had pointed out to me in the past, so I will follow-up on that, but I will read his responses: The new Vietnamese restaurant does not have an agreement in their lease to allow workers and patrons to park for free.

Number two, the Scranton Parking

Authority is currently working with the Scranton Tomorrow on a program to offer it to the downtown businesses. The program will allow downtown businesses to purchase a prepaid voucher that equates to one hour of parking in our garages. The cost is absorbed by the business. We currently have a small handful of businesses currently doing this and seem to be working well. We will announce this when all aspects are worked out.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: And we have several that were not answered by the date council had requested: One is from Director Seitzinger concerning the property on the corner of Wyoming and Linden. The other is from Director Aebli concerning the loan agreement made with The Aroma Cafe and whether that -- the status of that loan.

Next from the treasurer, Brian

McGowan, I did speak to him on the phone,

but I think we still respectfully request a

written response concerning whether

recyclables at commercial establishments are

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

picked up on the city or not and what are the rules and/or exceptions.

MS. EVANS: Okay.

MS. KRAKE: From Director Brazil we have 15 unanswered requests from council. We have one from -- actually, we have two from the Director of the Parks, Mark Dougher. The first was concerning the flooding problem at the Sloan Little League Field on the side of the Parrot Avenue, that is unanswered, and also our second request to him concerning the dismantling of the playground or any structures at the Lackawanna Little League located at Dewey Avenue and Jackson Street. And we also have one more from Director Seitzinger that's unanswered. That was concerning a vacant multi-family dwelling located at 1309 Jackson Street.

MS. EVANS: Well, I think

Mr. Seitzinger's letter we can hold because
he has indicated that he will be coming in
for a caucus and so I'm sure we can pose
those questions to him in person. We, I
believe, also asked Mr. Brazil to attend a

24

25

MS. KRAKE: We did.

MS. EVANS: And we can hold those items for that dialogue. In terms of Mrs. Aebli though, if we could please send that to her again, we would like the written response to that and if there is an issue involving that let's do a Right-to-Know.

MS. KRAKE: We will do that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements?

The West Scranton MR. ROGAN: Yes. Invaders Boy's Baseball Club will host a Night at the Races April 20, 2007, to 11 p.m. the Keyser Valley Community Center. The admission is \$10 at the door. You must be 20 years or older to attend.

MR. JOYCE: The Scranton School District Exceptional Athletes Committee, formerly known as the Scranton Special Olympics, will be holding his annual fundraising on Monday, May 17 from 7 to 10 p.m. at Stirna's Restaurant in North To provide some background on the Scranton.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Exceptional Athletes Committee, this organization is solely responsible for the development and execution of the athletic events for students with special needs who attend school in the Scranton School District from grades K through 12. raised at the fundraiser is used to purchase adapted equipment and also help to support athletic events, helps to support dances and other social events as well. Tickets for the event are \$35 per person and can be purchased the night of the event at the Light fare and beverages will be served throughout the evening, and there will be raffles for baskets as well as gift certificates. Those who are interested and want to know more information may contact Ann Genet at 348-3471 or Marilyn Graziano or Gerilynn Neserkauskis at 348-3481, and that's all.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would just like to extend my congratulations to Bishop Bambera on being elevated to the tenth bishop of our

Dioceses and hope I hope he keeps us and our city in his prayers. That's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. The weekly schedule for street cleaning is as follows:

April 26 to 30, Arthur Avenue to Taylor

Avenue and May 3 to 7 Hemlock Street to

Locust Street.

The North Scranton Rotary Club will conduct it's annual fishing derby on May 8 from 11 a.m. to 1 p.m. at South Abington Park. There will be trophies and gift certificates for children. I'd like to thank Mr. Dick Laske for his dedication to this program and many others such as the annual Lithuanian flag raising and, of course, for his homemade sauerkraut. And, Dick, my friend, you are the best.

The Scranton Lackawanna County

Taxpayers' Association will conduct a forum

for Democratic candidates seeking the

position of State Representative in the

112th District this Thursday, April 29, at

6:00 p.m. in city council chambers. We

commend the Taxpayers' Association for

hosting these events to better inform the voters of our area prior to each election and we request ECTV to air all candidate forums as often as possible before May 18, 2010.

The Cystic Fibrosis Foundation will sponsor a Great Strides Wilkes-Barre Walk this Saturday, May 1, at Kirby Park in Wilkes-Barre. Check-in time is 9:30 a.m. and the walk begins at 10:30. Jason Tomavitch, grandson of Angie Tomavitch, is one of our local children afflicted with CF. Donations will fund the CF Foundation's efforts to assure that the development of the means to cure and control Cystic Fibrosis and to improve the quality of life for those with this disease.

Finally, the Scranton Public Library proudly presents "Up dikes Pennsylvania" with journalist Frank Fitzpatrick on Thursday, May 20, in the periodical's room within the reference department at 6:30 p.m. Pulitzer Prize winner John Updike was born and bread in Pennsylvania and before his death in 2009 he published over 60 books

including "Rapid Run." His writings include fiction, short stories, poetry, literary criticism, nonfiction and exceptional sport's commentaries. He wrote regularly for the New Yorker magazine and is considered one of the most important American writers of the 20th century. Mr. Fitzpatrick has contributed stories on "Updike" in the Philadelphia Inquirer and national public radio. He is the author of three books and was a 2001 Pulitzer Prize finalist. Tickets to the event are available at the circulation desk of the Scranton Public Library, 500 Vine Street in Scranton, and that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I add one thing?
MS. EVANS: Absolutely.

MR. MCGOFF: I couldn't find it at first, and it's marked that this was just received yesterday. It's the Scranton-Wilkes-Barre Regional Dialogue on Public Education. It's going to be held tomorrow at the University of Scranton DeNaple's Center at 6:30 -- from 6:30 to 8:30. What it is, it says that it's a

23

24

25

collaborative discussion moderated by professional facilitators in order to identify issues, priorities and ideas for our public education system. Following this discussion, these will be shared with candidates for governor and state legislature and other elected and community leaders. It says bring your experience, voice and friends to make this a productive effort. I realize it's short notice, but I think one of the really important issues confronting our state and our country at this time, and it's somewhat being ignored, is public education and how it's functioning and also how the "No Child Left Behind Act" has influenced public education throughout the country. Certainly this would be a worthwhile -- if this is a concern and something that you would be interested in this seems like it would be something worthwhile. That's Regional Dialogue on Public Education tomorrow evening at the DeNaple's Center from 6:30 to 8:30.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.
Mrs. Krake?

2

3

5

7

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Bob Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening, Council. Bob Martin, citizen, FOP representative of the finest police officers in the State of Pennsylvania. I come before you tonight basically to inform you and the taxpayers of what the status of our labor relations are and maybe you can give us some assistance with this matter and I just want to begin by on April 16 we received another arbitration award in regards to Elite Brokerage, it's the health care manager for the City of Scranton and the city has been ordered to seek out a new health care manger, a more competent one, one that's more equitable and profitable to the Health Care Containment Committee which we chair, or we share a chair on that committee, "we" being the unions.

And on Friday another award was issued in our favor for the health care paycheck contributions, the city

24

25

administration levied -- raised our health care costs out of our paychecks, our paycheck contributions last March, without any regard to the contract language on how that was to applied and the procedures that were to take place in order to -- and, of, course, run it through the Health Care Cost Containment Committee, none of that was The arbitrator recognized that and handed down the decision Friday that they are to refund our health care costs paycheck contributions with interest. I have no indication from the city what they are going to do at this point, whether they are going to appeal, that seems to be the norm. As we know historically we win, they appeal, we win again, they appeal again and the spiral just continues to go upward, but the lawyers get rich and the taxpayers are bearing the burden, and I just want to read an excerpt from the -- it's part of the Commonwealth Court ruling of February 6, 2009, seven judges en banc, and the Commonwealth Court, it's from page 23 of the Court order, and it "We reject the City's contention that says:

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the specific year wage limitations and total adjustment limits remain in effect indefinitely."

Once again, "We reject the City's contention that the specific year wage limitations and total adjustment limits remains in effect indefinitely. The plain language of the provisions does not support the argument, rather, the plain language of the 2002 Recovery Plan indicates that the wage limitations and total personnel cost adjustments apply in the years 2003, 2004, and 2005 only. Because an award of wage increases of 3.5 percent in 2006, and 4 percent in 2007 does not conflict with a clear, specific provision of the 2002 Recovery Plan, the wage increases may be awarded perspectively. Citing the City of Farrell, Act 47 prohibits clear, specific limitations of the Recovery Plan from being violated, expanded or diminished.

As a result of our conclusion, the orders are amended to expressly vacate for 2003, 2004, and 2005, to vacate the 5.5 wage increase effective December 31, 2005, and to

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

make 3.5 wage increase effective the date of the award of April 7, 2006; the wage increase of 4 percent effective January 1, 2007, is confirmed."

Seven judges in the Commonwealth Court basically said there are no provisions in the Recovery Plan to stop wage increases beyond 2005. And again, we are in an appeal process, they are appealing Judge O'Brien's award or Court order of November 18. have a stay. We are probably -- our attorneys are telling us that we are probably only a couple of weeks away from the Supreme Court, that's as far as it can We are at the end of the line here and ao. it's only in regards to the stay, but we are at the end of the line here and if the stay is removed then we will move forward to the Unfair Labor Practice and the administration will lose, and at that point I'm told that the Labor Board can impose interest on those wage increases that they are now appealing.

I'm just trying to get this to the point where it keeps spiraling up, lawyers are getting rich and the taxpayers are going

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to bear the burden. All of these things are appealed, the SIT award is looming, and we gave that, we gave that to the administration in October of 2008 and those pay raises, those pay increases, were about 25 percent. We came to an agreement, this is the negotiation session at the University of Scranton with the infamous handshake.

We gave back the 1.5 million dollar -- the potential, potential for the SIT and we still have -- we have still have arbitration looming over the backpay for 2006, '7, '8, and '9. And I see the taxpayers' bearing this whole burden. some point I'm requesting assistance from you, I have no idea if you can help us or not, at least turn up the heat, get the treasure on, my bottom line is make them sit down at the table, make them negotiate so we can have a fair and equitable contract. That's our goal. We know that's the only answer, that's the only legitimate answer to this problem and appealing and appealing and appealing does not solve the problem at all it's just going to continue to spiral.

MS. EVANS: I think I hear you saying that from the union position you do not know if the administration intends to appeal the health care decision.

MR. MARTIN: We don't know whether we are going to appeal either one of these.

MS. EVANS: This is what most recently came down from the Courts.

MR. MARTIN: Yes, Friday, Friday afternoon.

MS. EVANS: I think that maybe,
Mr. Loscombe and myself, he is the chair of
the public safety, I think two of us may
want to have a discussion with the mayor and
learn what the City's intentions are with
regard to an appeal to this health care
award. I understand what you are saying,
it's an award with interest, and so every
day that we fail to act the interest is
compounding, and the citizens they can't
afford it.

MR. MARTIN: It's the taxpayers that are going to bear the burden at some point.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. RINALDI: At some point.

MS. EVANS: Because this has been put off for so many years.

MR. MARTIN: At some point we are going to have to pay the piper, it's all going to come back at some point and it's not our intention from our perspective to burden the taxpayers with this. I still think the only legitimate outcome is to sit down and negotiate a fair contract.

MS. EVANS: I agree.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Probably the amount of fees they have paid the attorneys to this point would have covered all of the awards.

It's crazy.

MR. MARTIN: Oh, it is. It is completely out of -- completely out of control.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Our council has been anticipating this since day one because the inevitable is going to happen and that's why we worked on the budget prior, went to Court over it, and I mean we see it. There is not anywhere else we can tap and it's more than well and due that you guys receive what you have coming to you. It's been nine years

now and --

MR. MARTIN: I mean, the Courts are telling us we are due. I mean, what the Courts are telling is due is beyond belief. I think the taxpayers should have to bear that burden.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree.

MR. MARTIN: Because the numbers,
Judge O'Brien, his award -- his Court order
immediately gave us 27 percent. Our
attorneys are telling us that that can grow
to 37 percent and they are confident that's
what the -- because I just read the section.
There is nothing to stop that. Nothing to
stop that in the Recovery Plan, so I don't
know what their argument is going to be when
we get before the Commonwealth, I mean,
that's still under appeal, but the pay
raises, I mean, we are asking to just give
us the O'Brien pay raises, what he offered
in his order in November 18th.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree with Mrs.

Evans that as soon as we can possibly get together with the mayor and discuss this we have to get somewhere.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTIN: These are only the more poignant ones. I mean, there is lot looming out there, you have the processing ULP coming up which is the Unfair Labor Practice, I don't know if you're aware of that, how much the public is aware of that. The processing center is run by the county. This administration entered into an agreement with the county that they would process the prisoners that we arrest. taxpayers of Scranton are paying the Scranton police officers to go out and they go out and they do an extremely good job keeping the streets safe, they make arrests, and now the county is dictating that we bring those prisoners to the processing center and they are collecting a fee.

Now, there is an argument between the fee that they are collecting. I have heard two different numbers. One is they are collecting \$150 per processing and the other figure is \$300. Now, we delivered over 225 criminal arrests to the processing center last year. If it's the \$300 figure that's three-quarters of a million dollars

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in revenue that the county is enjoying from the fruit of our labors as the police department and the citizens of Scranton. The citizens of Scranton are paying for Our argument is why are aren't we doing that? We have a facility. The city spent three and a half million dollars to build the building, a brand new building, we have all of the equipment, we have enough room in the facility to do whatever they are doing in the processing center. facility is just as big if not bigger. could hire the people if we needed a couple of extra people to run the people that are going through there. And we provide them with the -- without the support of our what our police officers provide in terms of the criminal arrests every year, the processing center is going to fail.

MS. EVANS: Well, what concerns me most about all of this is that when I questioned members of the administration about funding for these types of awards in the event the city would lose, I was told they have no plan and no preparation has

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been made and their idea in 2009 was, well, we'll just hand that over -- we will ask you, City Council, what you want to do if Now, as Mr. Loscombe stated, this happens. we went to Court so we could try right now to save some money in the event that these awards were coming down the city would have something set aside, something to offer in order to avoid these compounding interests daily until the city could determine how best to come up with the remainder of the monies that are due, but as things stand today as far as my knowledge is concerned there doesn't appear to be any money set aside for these types of awards, so this is something I think that cannot continue to be It's been postponed now for postponed. about eight or nine years and, as I said, Mr. Loscombe and I will meet with the mayor and encourage him to address this health care award.

MR. MARTIN: My main concern is as we progress through the stages of appeal, each appeal we get closer to the Supreme Court and that will be the final say. At

what point in time all of us, it's ragtag, some of it is sitting on the doorstep of the Supreme Court, but what I fear most is that as the awards, if the O'Brien award and then ultimately the 2008 award is upheld, those are extremely significant numbers and it's going to be extremely difficult to find that money to cover it and my problem is going to be when we get that close to the -- when we get that close to the end what am I going to say to the men and I represent after they have been put through what they have been put through for the last nine years how I am going to turn to them and say, "Come on, Guys, now we have to be compassionate."

We want to finally sit down and they are going to say, oh, okay, they are going to say, no. I get people coming to me, no, back off, some of my people don't want me at the podium, back off and let the Courts deal with them because it looks like we are going to come out at the end of the day. They are not going to get any more of their management rights, seven judges give them what they are going to get and I don't see

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any of that changing.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Martin, just one last question thought, you alluded to this earlier, is it still possible at this point in time, and at this let's say legal moment for both sides, to sit down and hammer out an agreement and avoid Supreme Court hearings?

MR. MARTIN: My estimation the answer to that question is an absolute yes. It's never too late. It's never too late to sit down a negotiate, and I don't know if we can stop the entire train because there is some questions now that our state rep -when I state representative, I mean, the FOP state lodge is being involved in with the -we have challenged the Act 47 constitutionality once again. It has been challenged already, it's being upheld as being constitutional, the state lawyers -the state FOP has taken that case from us. They are paying the full bill and they are telling me that there are some significant changes that they think that could probably be overturned and Act 47 found

unconstitutional. That would be a devastating blow to the citizens and taxpayers of Scranton after all of this time because it would be all thrown out.

Attorney Hughes I'm sure can allude to some of it. If that were ever to come to fruition that that would be devastating for everybody.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Martin.
MR. MARTIN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Martin, if you don't mind I just have two quick questions for you. What is the interest rate, when you mentioned the interest that the city would have to pay and the wage increases?

MR. MARTIN: Six percent would be levied by the Labor Board from my understanding.

MR. JOYCE: Okay 6 percent, and when was the agreement between the administration and the county that arrests would be processed by the city rather than the county?

MR. MARTIN: That's unclear to me.

I don't know exactly at what point. It

24

25

originally started as a trial basis and we participated, of course, we were never asked, the union was never asked to participate in any of that negotiations, we started going there. We actually had work, it was extra duty for some of our officers, I worked extra duty there and it continued good from the inception, it continued to deteriorate to the point where we weren't getting the work and we weren't getting, you know, the prisoners and the county kept taking over more and more, and if you remember the incident with the gentleman that held the courthouse and barricaded for a number of hours, of course, we severed participated in the processing center at that point, it was about a year, and then the following year in July they said, okay, we are going back in. We were ordered to start taking our prisoners there and then all of a sudden they said, well, and I had some meetings with the district attorney over it and he said we would always have work there, but once we started back the second time, and I believe it went full-time

1 in January, they told us we don't have any So none of our officers are working 2 3 there at all. At all. We don't get extra duty, we are not getting anything. Well, 4 our contract said we must be paid our 5 regular base hourly rate to work an extra 6 7 job and they refused to pay that rate, they 8 said they will pay it lesser rate and, of 9 course, we don't get any work out of it at 10 all right now. 11 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, could we try 12 to get our hands on that agreement if, 13 indeed, it does exist and if it doesn't I'd 14 like to know unequivocally that it's nothing 15 more than a verbal agreement. 16 MR. MARTIN: I have no idea. 17 MS. EVANS: For how many years has 18 this been ongoing? 19 MR. MARTIN: About four or five now. 20 Probably 2004 or early 2005. 21 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 22 MR. MARTIN: Thank you again. 23 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 24 MR. HUGHES: Madam Chairman, if I 25 could, I certainly don't want to give

25

Mr. Martin any advice, but, you know, I just heard about this decision tonight, but there is no automatic right of appeal to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, the only one that has an automatic right of appeal to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court is in a capital murder case. They would have to file a petition for allowance of appeal, the Supreme Court would have to I believe out of every hundred cases that a petition for allowance of appeal is filed there is only like three or four out of 100 that are selected to be heard, and so that depending how fast the Supreme Court would rule, if a petition for allowance of appeal is filed and how long is would take them to rule on the petition could take anywhere from six months to two years depending on the case. I mean, I have had some that were pending for close to a year and a half, some were decided within eight or nine months, I think the mall the petition for allowance of appeal that was filed by Delkowitz to go to the Supreme Court from the Commonwealth Court decision I think that came down about

six months and it was denied and there was a lot of issues involved in that because there was a collateral case from Pittsburgh that had accepted, the Supreme Court had accepted almost on the same criteria, but they denied the one involving Scranton.

And then ultimately the other case that was at the Supreme Court they had affirmed a decision, so there is no automatic right of appeal in this case, which might be more reason to have an expeditious meeting on that as you suggested.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney Hughes. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, 5-B I see looking they're for a grant for up there at Fellow's Park I guess it is.

Didn't I read something about money being allocated to that project maybe six months ago at Fellow's Park.

MS. EVANS: There had been work done previously, yes, and this I believe is going to be for a parking lot, new playground

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

equipment, they will be replacing what's there now. There is quite a number of items, street lighting, and it requires 50 percent match from the city and I believe that would be provided through OECD.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, I have nothing against fixing up that park, but to tell you the truth I found nothing wrong that park That was a beautiful park. they had all kind of affairs there and all of sudden now we find the need to really pour a lot of money into it. streetlights, I don't know it's real bright in that area there, isn't that right across from the bank? Yeah, the bank is right there. I don't quite understand it, but I guess if the money is available through a grant you can't deny it, can't say that needed more than any or project in the city? I wouldn't know. But apparently they are back at this Fellow Park again, but I just want to bring out to the people that we did spend a lot of money in that park six months ago and now we are looking for money into that park.

Nay Aug must be finished. No, I guess not, Hanlon's Grove is coming up and you know, of course, they put out bids for the greenhouse, that was done maybe two months ago or something like that, I didn't see anything else on it, I guess that's -- but I did know they put out bids for it.

Now, you say when you call up and ask them what they are they are going to do about all of these awards that's being putting on the city that they have no plans, well, obviously you don't realize the mayor has a plan. He ran for governor, lieutenant governor, now he runs for state representative, he wants to get out of the city before all of these things came down, and I don't blame him. Leave somebody else to handle the problem he created.

I came to a lot of these meetings long, long before any of you sat up there, Brian Reap was a nice man. When they were coming across with this first deal where they were going to lay off all of these policemen because they're gonna to change their way of medical care, Brian Reap said,

"Why not wait until they are 65 and they go on social security and then we do what we have to do with them," and that was a suggestion I thought that made a lot of sense. Instead, he got all of them people retired, appointed a bunch more people, instead of our medical bills being small they skyrocketed because now you got all of the them people who retired were replaced. We didn't gain nothing under that deal plus we lost a lot of experience.

No, I say the city has been mismanaged for quite a few number of years. No common sense at all. It's just too bad. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,
Mr. Sbaraglia. Giovanni Piccolino.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, City
Council. My name is Giovanni Piccolino,
business owner and homeowner in the City of
Scranton. I'm going to take a little -- as
I was sitting there I just of how ironic it
was that the first gentleman speaking up
here, Mr. Martin, you guys, me being the
owner of Buona Pizza and Mr. Sbaraglia all

are in litigation -- or, well, not

Mr. Sbaraglia, at least not yet, with the

current administration. What a massive

waste of a lot of money.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. PICCOLINO: Very, very, very sad. Any ways, on that note. I got a quick question to pose for you guys, would you not agree that probably pretty much St.

Patrick's Day is the busiest day in downtown Scranton for businesses and everything?

MS. EVANS: Absolutely.

MR. PICCOLINO: And this year was kind of a washout, but not a total washout.

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. PICCOLINO: Would you guys be happy with the fact that if you were a business owner that you did not have to pay out overtime to your employees on your busiest days of the year?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. PICCOLINO: Any ways, well, that being -- just saying that, like the Scranton Parking Authority meeting I went to, it was just very, very bizarre. I got there 15

there, the door was locked. There was a gentleman who was around -- it was about 25 after five so he was either five minutes late or -- five minutes early or ten minutes late, he was banging on the door. They eventually let him in. The same gentleman when I went to this meeting, which if the public were to attend you couldn't fit more than three or four people, I'm not even quite sure why this board exists, the same gentleman was just texting and texting the whole entire time. There was a lot of yes nods and then it was over.

I really would urge the public -oh, not to mention they did cancel the May
meeting which would be the other meeting I
was supposed to attend, so they are going to
have two June meetings for some reason right
off the bat there. So I would urge the
public to attend one of these meetings and
just to see how bizarre they are. They
don't make any sense why an authority would
even exist. You know, being a businessman
they were all thrilled of the fact that they

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

didn't even pay overtime to their employees on the busiest day of the year. I thought this was bizarre, I would be upset.

Okay, two, I'm not quite sure if there is anything to be done, our pizza shop for about the 15th time this week Thursday our hearing was continued, you know, and this is -- again, this like a big burden, I know we paid our attorney, Mr. Hughes is our attorney, to come from here, but it's a very expensive process. We are going on almost 3 1/2 years, 15 continuations, thousands of dollars later that the taxpayers are going to have to pay for what, to try to still remove us? I don't get it. And at the same time we can't even move forward because we're stuck in like amuck. I'm pretty sure we going to win, it's almost cemented, but it's just like we're stuck in a rut here. We are a long-time business and we feel this administration just keeping ignoring us and not doing anything for us.

Now, with the present council to show our gratitude to the present council for one little small thing, our parking

25

spaces in front of the pizza shop have been great for three months with the Parking Authority, the Scranton policemen and also for Coney Island the last couple of weeks. To show our appreciation, we would like to give two \$500 scholarships at the end of every year on behalf of Buona Pizza to two students that are local high school students that are going to stay in the local college that the city council members could, you know, you get to pick them. I would like to call the grant "To Stay in Stride." We want to stay in the area and stride, you know. We want to be the focal point and I urge all of the other businesses in the town, the ones that have gotten grants and loans and everything who've gotten nothing but rejection from the current administration to do the same, to try to get our kids to stay local and stay here and we need youth. You know, I look around town, everything is I walk all the time from the pizza shop, our back alley, Bogart Place, this new project, it's funny, they think they are going to rent out these spaces, there is

4 5

7

6

9

8

11

12

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS

like 12 or 15 leases available, the whole block is empty, you know, what are they going to do open up more restaurants?

Martini's Bar just closed, that was another one, and did they get money from OECD?

MS. EVANS: I don't believe so. I think that was --

MR. PICCOLINO: On Spruce Street.

MS. EVANS: -- that was 2009 and I believe that council voted against that.

MR. PICCOLINO: Right. We don't need any more coffee shops and restaurants and stuff like that, we need industry, we need good jobs. We need people to stay in the area. We don't need these baloney boards and all of these things that people aren't elected to and just appointed to because the main reason I asked that question and the thing I got out of it was I think they were happy of the fact they didn't have to pay overtime because maybe it's just more for them to keep, you know, so I don't know. Thank you very much, have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Piccolino.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Piccolino.

MS. EVANS: And thank you so much for those scholarships for our local students. It's an excellent, excellent program and it's wonderful to see business actually taking an active part in halting the brain drain. Thank you.

MR. PICCOLINO: It's nice to see some people that care about the businesses downtown.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Piccolino, I echo what Mrs. Evans said, it's great what you are doing for the people of this city, and as somebody who was younger who chose to go to school in Scranton and I'm going to stay in Scranton, you know, I think that's the big part of, you know, why your business has been there so long because businesses in our city give back and we thank you for doing that.

MR. PICCOLINO: No problem.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And I would like to commend you and your vision and on the other

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issue it's the same MO with the city between contracts and illegal issues just drag it on. Eventually, someone is going to have to pay, so I would like to see what we can do to get yours moved along also. Thank you.

MR. PICCOLINO: You're welcome.

MS. EVANS: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz. South Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers' Association. I'd also like to congratulate Mr. Piccolino, I think that's a great idea for scholarships. Mr. Morgan has brought this idea up several times, I believe that honor students and high honor students from Scranton should be offered the opportunity to get scholarships at all colleges in Scranton, Marywood University of Scranton, and Lackawanna, I think they should offer some free scholarships to Scranton students especially if they are honor students and high honor students, maybe it will keep some people in this area because when the next census comes up you are going to see a big drop again.

Also, Sergeant Martin wrapped up the

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last eight to 12 years into one big bundle tonight. This has been going on for eight to 12 years. The last three city councils, not counting this one, you know, are at The mayor, his eight years and four years in city council he is at fault. all going to come to an end and the poor people of Scranton are going to end up paying all of these awards and arbitrations. Speakers like us, the Legion of Doom, as we have been called, and hypocrites and everything else, we have been talking about this, we have been ignored, the last council wouldn't even answer our questions, they ignored us like we weren't even here and now it's all going to come to an end and it's just too bad.

Monday's editorial about Lackawanna
County was very interesting, I almost had to
feeling that they were speaking about
Scranton only in reverse order. Mayor
Doherty has sued city council for attempting
to bring fiscal responsibility back to
Scranton city government all because of few
of his campaign contributors and family

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

friends were going to lose their jobs or take a pay cut, but yet the same Mayor Doherty is campaigning on fiscal responsibility and reduction of unneeded jobs. Only in Northeastern Pennsylvania, would somebody campaign for exactly what Doherty's records shows, what he did to the City of Scranton in the last eight years.

I cannot overstate the fact that he has taking Scranton City Council to Court for the exact same reasons that he is now campaigning for senate for. Mind boggling at least. Scranton, Pennsylvania, has been among the few governments to react to economic crisis in the same manner as private sector. Scranton has done just the opposite, they have hired more people, given friends and campaign contributors pay raises even after the firefighters voted 93 percent no confidence in the fire chief a \$13,000 raise and the police chief who has embarrassed the city several times and now has possibly an appearance in federal court he also got a \$13,000 pay raise while the rank and file firefighters and police

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officers have not received any raises from the Doherty administrations in over eight years, but they are about to get them from the Court. I really believe they are going to get them from the Court and the poor citizens of Scranton are going to suffer.

The city government could save a substantial amount of money by consolidating offices into a single office. That is a what is being done in private sector and also in most states and municipalities governments with the exception of Scranton. The single department head could oversee specific aspects of the department and report to one boss not two or three. boss in the City of Scranton is Mayor He was elected to be the chief Doherty. executive for the City of Scranton. wants the power associated with being the mayor, but he will not accept the responsibility.

Mayor, when was the last time you attended a council meeting, held at town hall meeting so citizens can ask you questions about the city and the decisions

that you have made? Maybe never. As far as council, the last time was when you cast the decisive vote for Councilman McGoff.

Attorneys and authorities should not be ruling the City of Scranton. Get rid of all of them. Abolish all of the authorities unless you want your city completely under the control of nonelected political cronies who have no experience but have money for the right family name. During the court hearing all of these so-called valuable department heads and workers were sitting in the courtroom not to testify but I guess to waste the day away at taxpayers' expense.

It is always a difficult task to

tell somebody that these positions -- that

their position is no longer needed, but you

know what, that is the real word, not

Doherty fantasy world. Do the right thing,

Mayor, make the cuts, trim the budget and

actually improve the appearance of the

neighborhoods. Forget about the medical

college, you had nothing to do with the

college coming to Scranton. It will be

years before Scranton residents see the

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

benefits of the medical college.

Also, the downtown, bring in some business and family sustaining jobs, not parking garages. Unemployment has not improved under your eight years as mayor it actually has gone up several percentage points. And please, do not blame the current situation on these numbers. Thev were high before the country recession started.

Also, we all know why the mayor is running for governor, lieutenant governor and senator, he is running to get out of the City of Scranton because he knows these awards are coming down so anyone, my advice, anyone who accepts the mayor position think twice about taking it. Think twice about taking that job if by chance he happens to win. Think twice about it.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Lee Morgan.

Good evening, Council. MR. MORGAN:

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I think the first thing I would like to say tonight is that I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the medical college is probably the best thing that's ever happened to this city, but I'd like to see it expand into pharmaceuticals, maybe a dental school, maybe a school of nursing, and I'd like to see a rapid expansion, that's only my opinion. I think that would be a good thing.

I think will McGoff said something important tonight when he talked about the meeting at the DeNaple's Center tomorrow. You know, it's my understanding that there is a waiting list for kindergarten space in the Scranton School District. I think we have got a lot of problems with public I think that -- I think that if education. the people in the city would find if important enough to go there tomorrow, I think that maybe if they raise their voice maybe we could really do something. we have to find solutions to educational problems we have, and I think the only way we are going to find them is if we really start to discuss them. I pay a lot of attention maybe to silly things, but I do

1 have some questions. Are there going to be movies at Nay Aug Park this summer? 2 3 MS. EVANS: I don't know. MR. MORGAN: Could somebody find 4 out? 5 MS. EVANS: Certainly. 6 7 MR. MORGAN: Okay, the other thing I 8 have, what's going on with the Comcast 9 contract? 10 MS. EVANS: Negotiations are still 11 ongoing as far as I know because council has not received any indication that the 12 13 contract has been, let's say, agreed upon by 14 the mayor. 15 MR. MORGAN: But it would have to be 16 ratified by council. 17 MS. EVANS: Yes. I believe so, yes. 18 MR. MORGAN: Don't you think that 19 maybe the council should ask for some grants 20 from Comcast on top of the franchise fee? 21 MS. EVANS: Actually, when we spoke 22 with Attorney Cohen, who is representing the 23 city in the negotiations with Comcast, we 24 did provide him with items that we believe 25 the city and the residents of the City of

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton should receive. We don't know how successful that negotiation is going to be, but we did make those requests during our meeting.

MR. MORGAN: Well, myself and a couple of other people sat through a meeting with them and the city's board and their representatives said that they can give one or two grants on top of the franchise agreement, and that's why I'm saying that maybe we should pursue something like that. And I hope that the council would try to take that money out of the budget, like I stated before, and split it between senior citizens, the public access channel, and the youth remedial education program in the city because I really think that the funding that we receive from that contract should go to the citizens in lawful wage instead of being devoured by the budget because I think it can enhance the lives of the residents of this city and do a great benefit for the residents, so I just open that council would really consider trying to move that money out of the budget, try to convince the mayor

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

. .

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it's a good idea because I think it would really show some leadership and it would really help the residents of this city. And other than that, that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

Dave Dobrzyn. .

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Member of the taxpayers', resident of Scranton. I have a question that possibly could be answered during motions, this recent -- these recent blight inspections what would be penalties and fines and so forth, would there be initial fines or future fines for noncompliance and so forth, and I would also like to make a comment on that. You know. we have -- we have residential blight, but what about industrial blight? Are we just going to let the same old -- same old people go time and time again and, you know, I know it's not your call on all cases, so maybe we could prompt somebody to start looking into

some of these abandoned structures and so forth that -- or operating structures we have three people that are living in a certain neighborhood that's always been an issue.

Now, on that 500 block issue we have heard from Mr. Piccolino, and I agree with him, and also Coney Island they paid to have their own sidewalks, they paid to have their own building, and that guy would not fix the light and the very edge of the sidewalk, I don't know what you call that, but if you drive by there you see a big empty space and a bunch of yellow ribbons and so forth, but no work. It would seem to me for \$28 million he would be generous enough to make that look nice at least.

And one of the things I have noticed about downtown, and I don't know what could be done about it because the meter people probably go home at 5:00, but when people park down there after hours they will take up two meters. They will park right in the middle of two meters and so anything that could be done to help that out because some

days I get a space right away and -- well, he's not here anymore, but he can tell you I'm there every Friday for my pizza and other days I just wind up zooming around and zooming around and zooming around.

And I felt I hit on a really good -I had a brain storm last week, the Chamber
of Commerce. I think it's time for us to
have a Scranton Chamber of Commerce and
Greater Lackawanna County Chamber of
Commerce, and hopefully some day, you know,
you can't -- the wish lists are big and, you
know, I'm not criticizing anybody up there,
but it would be interesting and I think it
would be better to have somebody with
Scranton merchants and Scranton's interest
at large instead of having people from out
of town looking to locate. I feel that that
Chamber of Commerce is just using us as a
land bank.

I disagree with that statement where there is no available land for development, industrial development. If you go down to the end of Washington Avenue in South Side, I mean, there is plant that used to make

B-24 wings during World War II and the Murray Plant, it stands there empty and even if you have to level it, but I don't feel that the Chamber of Commerce is doing anything seriously to promote any kind of development in this town. It seems like everything is up valley or down valley or Cinram or whatever and now they are moving out anyway, so and we are getting the proverbial wrong end of the stick.

And finally, last week I kind of blasted Mitch McConnell with my golden parrot award, well this week in interest of fairness the Republicans have gotten \$51 million so far this year from the banking industry and the Democrats have gotten 65, so I hope that the Democrats are at least smart enough to take the money off of them and use it for their election and stick it So thank you and have a good to them. Next week. night.

> Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn. MS. EVANS:

MR. DOBRZYN: What's that?

MS. EVANS: We just said thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

23

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. DOBRZYN: Next week I'll address the Supreme Court and their Citizens United for the golden parrot. Thank you. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: Bob Bolus, Scranton.

I would like to

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS:

congratulate Bishop Bambera. Hopefully now maybe some of the cronyism and some of the things that have taken place with the Diocese will be put to the side and maybe people will once more proud to say they are affiliated with the Catholic church, and I believe he is the person to do it.

What brought me here tonight is an issue that I have had on East Mountain. The individuals have come here before council, Mr. Hartman and Slotterback complaining about the driveway and easements that we have there. There ws an issue over containers that we put there. Gene Hickey

was going to represent the city giving basically free legal city services to Mr. Hartman to have these containers removed through an injunction. However, we developed a major water leak that we have had up there, I haven't had water service to my home properly for the last few months because of everything that went on up there, and it's been worked on and worked on.

authority that does the leak detection if they could have access so we removed the containers voluntarily, there is no court order to do it, and lo and behold here comes Mr. Hartman in a sewer authority vehicle that he employed by, and I was at the Sewer Authority already today raising the complaint, and he comes driving down the easement that's supposed to be an easement specific to myself and it's in Court to make that determination, drives right across it, drives over it and drives into his house.

The issue I have here before council, and I have brought it up in the past, before he was issued a building permit

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city was fully aware there is a 16 1/2 foot legal deeded waterway. It's not an easement or a right-of-way, it's a legal waterway that's deeded to the city. They allowed him to build, it was part of a subdivision that was created, we challenged it, the city was aware of it, they said they did not own it.

Patterson, who is the solicitor here, I met with her personally, she said "We don't own it." Went to Jim Nasser, he said he can't do nothing about it unless the city tells him he could put it up for sale because we are looking at buying it to leave the property up that area the way it was. Well, lo and behold they give Hartman a building permit to build right over the 16 1/2 foot waterway. It goes from East Mountain Lake, it's been there in existence for over 100 years. There are two exits to the lake there to have water, one is on my property and one is this waterway. Well, he went ahead and built on it. He received prior to that a certified letter from me telling him that he builds at his own risk

and he went ahead and did it because of the cronyism in the city and people turning their head and allowing him to go do it.

In his building permit, his plans before you could have that you had to show access to be able to get into your property. Well, he had access on Birch Street, but yet they allowed him to build a house with his garage facing this easement without ever having approval or permission to be able to go on it. It's a major legal issue.

As of today, I told the Sewer
Authority he is driving down the road.

During the week I was sitting at my home,
out in the driveway with the mailman, we
were you talking and waiting for the water
company to show up and up the street comes
Hartman and this is midday, around noon,
1:00, and comes driving up real slow, drives
by his house in the Sewer Authority vehicle
that they give them and, of course, I was
done talking and I headed out. Well, of
course, I went down around East Mountain
Lake out toward Seymour Avenue over towards
Moosic Street which I went down Moosic

Street and he continued over to the Oakman Park. He called up complaining and asking the cops to come out and arrest me for following him.

Now, there is nothing more absurd than anything I have ever heard. Here is guy using a vehicle that we are paying for, they want to do a rate increase. They give him a private vehicle, they give him a building permit to build in the city across a 16 1/2 foot piece of land that had to come before this council and, Mr. Hughes, you can correct me if I'm wrong, before you can allow somebody to build on city-owned property don't they have to come before the council and get permission?

MR. HUGHES: Anything that involves a transfer of property or creating of any property rights has to come by council and has to be approved by ordinance.

MR. BOLUS: Well, it's never come here before the council and he has built this home there, and I'm asking council now to look into this situation. If he has to remove his home so be it. He has title

insurance, somebody should have paid attention what was going on, but we can no longer continue to have selective enforcement or the cronyism that's taking preys in this city, especially a guy that's threatened physical violence up there, threatened to move my vehicles off an easement. I have been up there 24 years, I didn't move into their neighborhood, they moved into mine, and I'm asking council to please look into this matter that this could be rectified because it's out of control.

And also I need to look into the ordinance of containers that are parked throughout the city that Gene Hickey raised an issue about and they are CVS where you see them with PODS on them, that's the type of business we have. We are a large supplier of rental containers or as they are called trailers without wheels, whatever they want to call them, throughout New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and we have them, we have them up there, but they were trying to make this an issue that I can't put a container on private property yet they can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be parked all throughout the city.

So there are a lot of issues with cronyism that's going on in this city and I need the city to really look into this because this matter now is out of control.

Especially violating the rights of taxpayers taking property that could have been put up for sale generating tax dollars in this city.

One more thing, if you saw everything in the city in the paper this week about the gas line that went from Alliance Landfill, it was the newspaper, we should be receiving a royalty as a host community for that gas line. It's a private enterprise and we should be receiving money there no more than we should be receiving, as I said, for the leachate line that comes from the landfills through this city as a host community and receive royalties on it that could bring millions of dollars into this city, and I think we need to start going there. And I will support you and help you in any way you need to help you get this job done, but giving land away and

doing what they are doing in this city just 1 like they are doing up on East Mountain now 2 3 is ridiculous and that's why I came here tonight. 4 MS. EVANS: Thank, Mr. Bolus. 5 MR. BOLUS: Thank you. 6 MR. JOYCE: 7 Thank you. 8 MS. EVANS: And, Mrs. Krake, maybe 9 we could see if there are any ordinances on 10 the books concerning these large containers 11 and their placement throughout the city, please. 12 MS. KRAKE: Yes. 13 14 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who would like to address council? 15 16 MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. 17 MS. EVANS: Hello. 18 MS. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman. Ι 19 thought I would enhance your evening with my 20 presence. I went to sit with a sick friend 21 today and when I got home Miss Rosie gave me 22 an ultimate putdown, she said you look worse than 40 miles of Scranton streets. 23 24 I was told last week that Scranton 25 fire trucks are answering calls in Dunmore

because of their problem with, you know,
that doesn't bother me, but it could be a
no-win situation for either place if a truck
was tied up here or there and needed
somewhere else which was what the people
that told me brought up.

And I don't know if you know it, but NCC moved out of the city. See, they could suck everybody's blood up here but they don't want to stay here and pay. Can't they be fired or something?

MS. EVANS: They have a contract.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, I knew they had a contract.

MS. EVANS: And apparently the mayor renewed that contract, it didn't come before city council for it's approval, but I believe that it's probably going to run through this year and 2011 as well.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, I have no love for them anyway. And I had a couple of people wondering what the price would be on the fire truck that's on the agenda. When you have a lease to buy something usually you are paying a whole lot more than, you

2

3

6

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, purchasing it otherwise.

And I had something here about Mr. Doherty, well, I picked it out of his article about these tens of millions of dollars. It's bright. All of this money that was supposed to be paid for building permits it says \$40.3 million. On this sheet no matter who figures it and how you figure it that's about half the money that should have been paid on 40.3 million and this ought to be investigated immediately. That's money gone from us. It's senseless, It's right here on the sheet from you know. the tax base, like I talked about a couple of months ago when I was going to go get a permit.

And the medical school they're just bragging here about getting 40 million and 20 million and 120 million and 500, all they do is brag about all of the money they are going to have and that they have presently. In the mean time, they still want to take 20 acres of houses. Twenty -- I was told this, 20 acres of houses would be, you know, let's just say 75, 80 houses approximately. If

you figure 700 to \$1,400, now this is what was told to me by somebody from the city, you could average out \$1,000 a house at the most you are talking about \$100,000 in loss revenue, why can't they pay that small amount when they are sitting here bragging about having hundreds of millions of dollars coming in in 15 years that they are talking about -- or ten years, they will have alumninot even paying them. They don't want to. They are just like the University.

The other day they are bragging about the parking lot for Lackawanna College where the old hotel Scranton used to be.

Across the street was a three-story apartment building, why don't we charge them by the bed if they want to have, you know, \$1,000 a year a bed. They want to make dormitories out of everything. You have got to do something. You got to.

Today's paper got another house off the taxes, \$67,000, you know, on cedar. You know, I said it last week the people's ability to pay to pay this tax burden it's just reached a peak. It's just not there no

more, you know, I just hear it every time I go out. It goes, "What are we going to do? What are we going to do."

You know, it's up to you people to put an end to all of this blood sucking from these organizations like NC, I paid my taxes twice I think it's five years ago I still haven't gotten nothing back. I have been all over town, I hired a lawyer, everything has been erased or it's not there. I never heard so many excuses. I could write a book about my \$2,400 I paid them people.

I was going to say something about Mr. Doherty. To me, Mr. Doherty is just a political foe and I have -- I don't mean to demean him in any other way, I said this once before, he has a lot of good attributes I wish I had, so, you know, when I'm up here bad mouthing him it's not a personal thing at all. I don't even know him. I tried to get close to him last year in a car show he kept moving away, but you couldn't hold that against him.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

Is there anyone else who would like to

address council?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, First Amendment Rights.

Well, the 16th of April is behind us. It's now 11 days since council's brief had to be into the court, still no opinion has been handed down in the mayor's lawsuit against council for amending the budget. Stay the course, hang tough. We, the people, are grateful, our wallets are grateful. Any amount of money that you save the taxpayers is great.

Mr. Joyce, excellent presentation on the professional services line items in the various city departments. \$6,014,510 has been budgeted since 2002 with the law department beating all departments with a total of \$1,905,000. Next highest is the business administration department with \$995,516, and that's since 2002.

I still can't get over the mayor's statement that he made in the slimes about him favoring trimming budgetary fat department by department. He sues city council for cutting budgetary fat and now

somehow he found fiscal responsibility. Why doesn't the mayor drop the lawsuit if he wants to trim budgetary fat? That would show the taxpayers he has their best interests at heart. Let's see if he does drop the lawsuit in favor of saving \$700,000 for the taxpayers. If I were a betting person I would bet he won't drop it.

Now, back to the budgetary fat.

Southern Union headquarters 16 million, sold for six million I believe. Aventis-Pasteur eight million. Are they sill there? The Hilton Parking Garage \$11,500,000. It's good for rooftop parties. Is that usually filled? Medallion Parkway \$8 million.

\$267,000 wasted when they started reconstruction or repairs on the Old Casey Parkway and then they declared it unsafe.

New police headquarters \$3,500,000. Floors separating, cracks in the walls, parking lot not finished, the paving is not finished. New DPW headquarters \$3,500,000 add \$1 million for construction and over \$8,745,000 paid back in leaseback for the DPW. I don't know the who, just says

leaseback, payback. Bryn Mawr Commons \$2,500,000. Was there ever any pay back on the lien for the demolition of the existing property, the first property? Ice Box, \$25 million, we are still owed \$600,000.

Okay, Nay Aug Park, \$1.9 million. I don't know, I don't see where improvements for 1.9 is, maybe for the dirt. Hanlon's Grove Amphitheater, is that the one that was damaged? Rose garden fence \$20,000, where is it? Wildlife Center, \$50,000. For four or five years we paid \$50,000 a year for the Wildlife Center only to throw them out. Approximately \$250,000 spent. Davis Trail, \$707,391 only to have raw sewage going over that trail.

I'll get back to some more. 300 million to 400 million long-term debt.

Connors' administration left \$3.3 million surplus. Borrowed \$35 million for the Scranton Parking Authority. We are going to pay back 59 million in interest. Fiscal responsibility. I said the 267 wasted on repairs to the Casey Parkway.

25 million in raises and new hires

	· ·
1	positions since this administration took
2	over. The 5.5 million American Anglican
3	arbitration loss resulting in a 56 percent
4	rate hike. Thank you.
5	MS. EVANS: Thank you,
6	Mr. Ancherani. Anyone else?
7	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Janet.
8	MS. EVANS: Hi, Chris.
9	MR. JOYCE: Chrissy.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
11	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Jackie. Janet,
12	I got a favor to ask you, just only you.
13	MS. EVANS: Oh, boy. Thank you.
14	MR. SLEDENZSKI: They're bad, Janet.
15	They're bad at South Sides, you should see
16	the roads up there. Potholes everywhere.
17	MS. EVANS: Okay, Cedar Avenue and
18	Cherry Street.
19	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, those guys
20	downstairs, Jack, they are doing a good job.
21	Thank you.
22	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Chris.
24	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
25	MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans, before you

begin 5-B motions, I forgot to read the 1 letter from the Pennsylvania Economy League 2 3 would you like that read tonight or held until next week? 4 MS. EVANS: Let's hold until next 5 6 week. MS. KRAKE: That's fine. 7 8 MS. EVANS: And then we can discuss 9 that --MS. KRAKE: Thank you. 10 11 MS. EVANS: -- at more length. Thank 12 Mr. McGoff, it is your turn. you. 13 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much. Ι 14 would like to say a number of people had 15 mentioned the installation of Bishop 16 Bambera. I was honored to be in attendance 17 at yesterday's ordination and installation 18 at the Cathedral and I have really believe 19 that Bishop Bambera is the right man for the 20 healing that needs to take place in this 21 Diocese and certainly wish him the best of 22 luck in this endeavor. 23 Secondly, O'Connor Place which has 24 been spoken about here in the last couple of 25 weeks has been cleared by the company that

was working there or using that as a site, construction site. It has been cleared, it's back in the I'll say original condition. It's a cleared lot now. It's certainly not perfect, but it was left in pretty much the condition it was when they -- when they arrived there. Everything has been removed, the land was leveled again and hopefully, you know, some improvements can be made on it.

The only thing that I think really needs to be done, and I know it's being looked at by Mr. Seitzinger and Mr. Brazil, Hamm Court is still in pretty bad condition, number of, you know, large potholes and so on from the traffic that has been through there and they are looking for a means to rectify that situation as well, but it is cleared and taken care of.

Also, prior to the meeting I had received the is the Lesses family.

MS. EVANS: Lessasi.

MR. MCGOFF: Lessasi, the plaque that they had been looking for that had been at Nay Aug at the zoo apparently was removed

by the Genesis Wildlife people when they left that building and they took some of the stuff and that plaque had been given to Mark Dougher or to Parks and Recreation and it It's now been was in his possession. returned and the city has offered since that bu idling is now closed rather than put the plaque back in a building where it will not be, you know, wouldn't be seen, the offer is that they would, you know, be more than willing to display it somewhere else, you know, at Nay Aug Park in an appropriate place where it can be seen by visitors to the park, so I assume it may be returned to the family and then we can find out what they would, you know, wish to do with it and maybe in coordination with Parks and Recreation find an appropriate place for the plaque.

MS. EVANS: Yell, I'll be in contact with them tomorrow about that. Thank you though.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. The other thing that was mentioned tonight on the was it Lackawanna Little League or the

23

21

22

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

playground at Lackawanna and the equipment that had been removed, I have been told that and the gentleman that came here and spoke about that, I forget when it was, but they apparently were given I'll say the tacit permission to remove the playground equipment and I guess some of it was -- it was removed and some of it was for want of a better term recycled and used to, you know, used for benches, tables, things around that park area, the Little League and the Missy Field and that type of thing, but it was dismantled and it was, as they had spoken that evening, with the -- somewhat with the permission of the city with the parks and recreation, but it was then again, you know, used, some of it at least, used for other purposes.

The last thing, again, I didn't -it ws brought up last week and we got into a
discussion about the voluntary pay
reductions, I did check with some people and
whatever year that was they -- you were
right in the fact that they did receive
their full pay. Some people voluntarily

then returned a portion in terms of a donation, but there was whether you want to call it a pay reduction or a voluntary reduction or just a donation to the city, but some people, Mrs. Gatelli being one at this time and I think there were some other people in the city that did the same thing, gave path of their salary and donated it back to the city. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to comment on that though, that I know that in other cases, setting aside these -- the pay increases/decreases, whenever anyone makes a donation to the city or anyone of it's departments it has to come before Scranton City Council to be accepted, I don't know if it's by -- I can't recall if it's by resolution or ordinance, but I do recall in the last seven years having voted on such legislation when donations have been made, for example, to the fire department or to the police department, so there is still kind of a lingering question about all of that, but that's neither here nor there, and it is now time for Mr. Rogan and his

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comments and motions.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Last Friday I met with Chief Elliott and several other members of the police department regarding possibly changing our sex offender law in the City of Scranton and, unfortunately, through the research that we have done it doesn't appear that we could amend the ordinance, but I'm going to give some information to Attorney Hughes to look over because our ordinance was the same as the one in Allegheny County and, as I said before, it was struck down, they said it was unconstitutional, unfortunately, the ACLU challenged their law so the police department is fearful of enforcing ours because we would not want to be get sued the ACLU as well.

But I was informed that there are approximately 80 sex offenders in the City of Scranton and the police department knows each and every one of them and they are keeping track of them, so Chief Elliott and the other officers are really -- they are really doing a good job following these guys

and making sure everyone in our neighborhood are safe.

And also regarding the sex offenders, I spoke to Tom Tell last week from Kevin Murphy's office, and I have to give him a call back so we could setup a meeting, I know Kevin Murphy is working on something as well at the state level, so that would be good.

And I also have a few citizens request for the week: I received a few pictures and an e-mail from a resident about the Washburn Street Cemetery, and I know last year it was problem and it was becoming overgrown in the spring and the summer months and he was hoping that we could, you know, do something about this now so it doesn't get overgrown as it was last year.

So, Mrs. Krake, could we please send a letter to Chief Elliott and to Mr. Seitzinger regarding the Washburn Street Cemetery.

Also to Mr. Seitzinger, the house on the corner of Wheeler and Olive Street has been abandoned for years. The residents

didn't give me an address, I'll swing by tomorrow to check before we sent out the letter, and they also say the grass hasn't been cut in previous years and there is sometimes snakes in the area and a lot of children walk through there.

MS. EVANS: Yes, that's ongoing because I know that maybe it even is the individual who reported it to you, neighbors tend to trim that grass themselves because it's become such an eyesore and I know even my husband has done that in the past when we couldn't get anyone else to do it, so if you can get that taken care of, kudos.

MR. ROGAN: Well, we will certainly be trying. And finally, could we send a letter to Mr. Brazil requesting a stop sign at the corner of Mineral Avenue and Olive Street. When I was down there, I'm sure you saw the article in the paper about the blight in that neighborhood, the residents also told me when I realized when I was pulling out that there is no stop sign for either street and they said the residents on Mineral Avenue know to stop, but if somebody

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happens to be driving by that doesn't know the area we wouldn't want an accident, and that is all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, Mrs. Just a couple of things, I can note the frustration of Sergeant Martin and I can assure you that the frustration is shared by all uniformed employees. After nine years of going to the table, coming close to an agreement only to be rejected has taken it's toll on the moral, and I can understand -and also through the constant appeals, and I can understand if he was asked if they could go to the table again, he mentioned that he would be willing to, but I can understand the reluctance after all of this time that the men in uniform would have to try to negotiate again when they have been turned away so many times. So I just hope we can come up to some quick resolution to this and I'm looking forward to my meeting with the mayor and Mrs. Evans to see if we can expedite this a little bit thereby saving

everybody some money in the long-run.

I stopped at a council office I believe it was Thursday last week, and it was myself and Mrs. Krake and I ended up having an impromptu meeting with Mark Seitzinger, he happened to stop down for some stuff, and he was gracious enough, he spent about two hours with us. We went over a lot of different issues at that time, some that were current that I was actually looking for answers to. I still didn't get the responses, so I'm going to have to stop and contact him tomorrow and just get some of that information, but he will be coming to a future caucus here, also.

And one of the most recurrent themes of the complaints received, we receive as council persons, is the abundance of condemned, vacant and blighted structures in the city and also the rapidly growing amount of rental units in nonowner occupied structures. For several years now this administration has concentrated nearly all of it's efforts, including financial resources, into the downtown. Now don't get

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

me wrong, I'm in no way against a vibrant downtown, but all of this has come at a heavy cost to the neighborhoods which have been neglected over the past several years with very few exceptions.

As you may have read in the newspaper this past week, Councilman Rogan met with the neighbors on Mineral Avenue with who have experienced an explosion of condemned, vacant and blighted properties. Although this is an unusually large neighborhood cluster of these problem properties, this situation is not limited to that one area of the city. As my fellow council persons and myself respond to citizens' concerns throughout the city on a regular basis, we have personally witnessed the neighborhood decay. Nearly every block that we have visited bears an example of blighted property. Mr. Seitzinger is quoted in the article as stating that there are more than 400 condemned properties citywide. I would venture to guess that that number may be almost doubled with all of the blighted properties included.

City council realizes that this problem has reached epidemic proportions in our city and that this is why we have been actively working to address these issues throughout ordinances such as our rental registration ordinance, our noise ordinances, open burning ordinances just to name a few. We realize that this situation has taken several years to reach this point and it will take some time to enforce the proper remedies.

In order to enforce these ordinances properly, it requires a great deal of resources and intergovernmental cooperation all while following the proper legal channels. We are not alone in this state with this situation and we have not reinvented the wheel with our ordinances.

We have and will continuously be researching similar ordinances from other municipalities and will ultimately seek to provide the best practices to be followed in Scranton both legally and ethically.

With that this mind, the Chairman on Community Development, Mr. Rogan, and myself

as Chairman on Public Safety, will be working together along with input in our other council members and creating a strong, condemned and vacant property and blight ordinance that will give our municipal departments the proper tools to revitalize our neighborhoods and make them clean, safe, and family friendly neighborhoods that Scranton was once noted for. The ordinance may provide the tools for the revitalization of some vacant property along with the ability to demolish unsafe structures in a more timely manner.

In the mean time, I would request that all of our municipal departments along with the residents make an extra effort in being vigilant to report and rectify any of these problems that they may encounter. You know, we are referred to as the electric city, but as you realize the cost of electricity is continually rising. I remember a time when we were known as the friendly city and that cost us nothing, so let us strive together to bring our city and especially or neighborhoods back to the

2

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

friendly times. That is all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.
Mr. Joyce, any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Last week I gave a brief presentation on the amount of funding allocated to fund professional services over the past nine years and in that presentation I explained that this amount was slightly in excess of six million dollars. A few weeks ago, council had asked the administration to draft legislation to put professional services out to bid and it's been one of the hopes of this council that by working together that we could draft appropriate legislation and to put as much professional services out to bid as possible, and I know that Attorney Hughes has been in conversations with Mary Theresa Patterson, who is the city's attorney. And, Attorney Hughes, I would just like to ask, are there any updates from the last week as far as your conversations with Attorney Patterson?

MR. HUGHES: Yes, Mr. Joyce. I put together a proposal that I thought to be included in the legislation, I faxed that to

21

22

23

24

25

1

Solicitor Patterson I believe it was on Thursday as to what would be included within the legislation for the bidding of the It would require the bidding of services. any services by anyone who has a license issued by Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of State or requires a license to practice, such as lawyers, we are not registered by the Department of State, but the Department of Bureau of Professionals Affairs, but we have a licenses issued by the Supreme Court and the Disciplinary Committee, so anyone that has that type of license, any of those types of services would have to be bid. She has that. I believe that that should be put into legislation to come down to council. Ι think I furnished a copy of that. Nancy, it did I send a copy of that to you?

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: Yes, I also faxed it to Mrs. Krake.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Thanks for the update. I have a few citizens' requests. I have been contacted by a resident of Frank

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Street who informed me that there are many disturbances in the 2600 block and the beginning of the 2700 block creating subpar traveling conditions for residents.

Mrs. Krake, could you please send a letter to Mr. Brazil asking him to address the situation; and in addition could you please ask him to provide a date by which council can expect work to be completed?

In addition, I have also been contacted by a Keyser Valley resident who informed that the area of Dewey Avenue behind the Lackawanna Little League field is heavily torn apart making it difficult to navigate to and from the field as well as causing damage to cars. Also, upon visiting this street, I noticed that the stop sign at the end of Dewey Avenue right before one would turn onto Jackson Street is upside It appears to have detached a bit. Mrs. Krake, could you please add this to my letter to Mr. Brazil and ask him to adequately address these two situations that I just mentioned and also to provide a date of completion.

Also, a Birch Street resident has also informed me that the stop sign on the corner of the Birch and Cedar has been knocked down and to inform --

MR. MCGOFF: Just to -- I talked to Mr. Brazil today, they had received a notice that was down and they were sending someone out to repair it.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Wonderful because I know that's a rather heavily traveled area and this resident was concerned, so I'm very happy to see that that will be addressed appropriately.

In addition, I have been contacted by a few residents residing on the 200 block of North Everett Avenue. Residents have informed me that the road conditions on this street are subpar, they are many cuts, many potholes and they have also informed me that most of the roads around them as well as subsequent blocks of their street have been paved, however, theirs has yet to be addressed. So, Mrs. Krake, if you could add to the letter to Mr. Brazil and request him to notify council if this block is scheduled

to be paved at any point in the near future.

A Cedar Avenue resident has contacted me and informed me that the property across the street from Pennswood Manor, I believe the address is 924 Cedar Avenue, had been in a fire sometime ago and, furthermore, that the windows are boarded up and this place is becoming a hangout for a lot of the local teenagers in the neighborhood which in turn is creating quite a safety issue. This resident expressed their concern and they do not wish to see any of the local teenagers end up getting hurt.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, if you could please send a letter to Mr. Seitzinger asking him to contact the owner to the determine if any repairs will be made and if repairs are not scheduled to be made could you please ask him if this is a property that is scheduled for demolition and any point in time.

Finally, I have been contacted by a Bellevue resident who had informed me that the properties at 220 and 214 Fourth Avenue

have been -- they have condemned notices on the homes and they are boarded up, they have been that way for quite sometime and since there are only five homes on this block this is creating quite an eyesore for many residents in the community considering that 40 percent of the block is condemned.

So, Mrs. Krake, if you could please add to the letter to Mr. Seitzinger asking him to contact the owner of both of these addresses and ask any repairs are scheduled to be made and if not are these properties also scheduled for demolition at some point in the future. And that's is all I have at this time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

Good evening. This afternoon I received a promising update from State Representative

Kevin Murphy concerning House Bill 1942, the separation of the City of Scranton and Scranton School District tax bills.

According to Representative Murphy,
a final vote occurred in the House of
Representatives today and the bill passed
unanimously 194 to 0. It will now go to the

State Senate for a vote and Representative Murphy will keep me updated. Representative Murphy's bill is crucial to the taxpayers of Scranton because it will allow for separate payment of your small city real estate tax as well as a payment schedule, thereby, helping to prevent the loss of your home by sheriff's sale as ordered by city law. Representative Murphy was also highly instrumental in obtaining the permit for work at the Crisp Avenue bridge, a much needed project that the city allowed to languish for years.

In addition, he worked tirelessly to address the flooding problems on North
Cameron Avenue. I applaud Representative
Murphy for this tremendous work that he has accomplished during his first term in
Harrisburg and I thank him and his staff for the many ways in which they have helped our citizens. Residents of not only the 113
legislative district, but also throughout our area are fortunate to have
Representative Murphy on our side.

Now, Representative Murphy needs our

help. When a date is scheduled for the Senate vote, I will be asking all Scrantonians to contact Senator Mellow's office and urge him to support this bill.

Further, I understand Kevin Murphy sent four or five house bills that received unanimous to very nearly unanimous bipartisan support in the House to the Senate and these bills which benefit veterans, senior citizens, and children have been stalled in the Senate for some reason. In the meantime, let's call Representative Murphy's office to thank him for his dedication and service to us all. Veterans and seniors should also ask Mr. Murphy's staff for bill numbers and then contact Senator Mellow to support these bills and get them on a fast track.

Next, regarding the legislation for bidding of professional services that

Mr. Joyce mentioned a few minutes ago, we would like to see this issue hammered out and presented to city council for approval prior to the primary election, particularly in the event that election results may cause

the mayor to change his statements that professional services should be put out to bid and to maintain his penchant for no-bid contracts.

Next, the legislation regarding the management and maintenance of the Lackawanna River Heritage Trail that was introduced in Fifth Order at last week's council meeting was pulled from tonight's agenda. The legislation contained errors made by the law kept and was are returned to it for revisions.

Now, while reviewing and questioning the aforementioned legislation, I learned that the administration failed to pay it's annual cash contributions for the last few years and as a result currently owes approximately \$18,000 to the Lackawanna Heritage Valley Authority at a rate of \$6,000 per year. I have heard that Stu Renda, business administrator, may have stated that the city has the money to pay this delinquent bill, however, I wish to know from what operating budget account or specific account the \$18,000 will be drawn.

Also, I request an explanation of payment for prior year's expenditures made in 2010 and withdrawn from the 2010 operating budget. This procedure appears to be in direct violation of the Home Rule Charter. So, Mrs. Krake, please send a memo from city council to Mr. Renda asking for written responses to those questions.

And we now turn our attention once again to Daron Northeast in lower
Greenridge. For the past several years, this business seems to have caused numerous problems for it's residential neighbors on Dickson Avenue. Many letters have been sent by council and visits were made to this location by Mr. Fiorini, former city director of Licensing, Inspections and Permits. Daron addressed some of it's problems following these measures for which neighbors were very grateful.

As time progressed, Daron Northeast relocated outside of Scranton, but continued to utilize the Dickson Avenue site for what appears to be limited operations and storage. At the same time, problems with

dirt and dust created by this facility continue without regard for residential neighbors who tell me they are unable to sell their homes because of the close proximity to this business, hence, there is no way for them to escape the problems that they have been enduring. Council has forwarded correspondence more than once to Daron and the Licensing, Inspection and Permit's Department in 2010. Nothing has been done this year.

I have recent photos of the dust and dirt blowing from this business property, and I am going to pass these to my council colleagues at this time. Imagine never opening your windows in warm weather, never having the ability to sit on your porch or enjoy your backyard. Imagine your vehicles and shrubbery and landscaping covered with a film of dust. Most of all, imagine dust clouds in your eyes and nose and on your clothes from windy weather conditions as you enter and exit your own home. No one should have to live like this.

Council requests that a

1

5

4

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

representative of LIPS contact the owner and direct him to wet down the property daily and refrain from operating forklifts prior to 7 a.m. and after 10 p.m. Having received many reports from council not only in 2010 but also throughout the past four years, another warning seems unsuitable. If the business fails to take corrective measures citations should be written and enforced. Our city is riddled with similar neighborhood problems and all must be addressed, however, the issues have Daron Northeast have a lengthier history than many others and once and for all the problem has to stop.

Finally, I have citizens' requests:

A city resident reports a water runoff
problem in Lavelle Court which damages her
property. She asks that this court be paved
to alleviate this problem. Although she
spoke to Mr. Brazil, DPW director, he wasn't
able to visit the location to address the
problems as he had promised. Please add
Lavelle Court to the 2010 paving list, and
for Chris Sledenzski we want to fill

1	potholes on Cedar Avenue and Cherry Street,
2	and that's it.
3	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -
4	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
5	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
6	EXECUTE AND APPLY FOR A GRANT THROUGH THE PA
7	DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL
8	RESOURCES (DCNR) IN THE AMOUNT OF
9	\$50,000.00; IF THE APPLICATION IS
10	SUCCESSFUL, ACCEPTING AND DISBURSING THE
11	GRANT FUNDS FOR THE RESTORATION/RENOVATION
12	OF FELLOW'S PARK IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
15	introduced into it's proper committee.
16	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER.

MS. EVANS: I know we have no business at this time, Mrs. Krake, but I would like to ask Solicitor Hughes to explain in more depth the withdrawal of the ordinance for the Lackawanna Heritage Valley Authority.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President and Council, after the question raised last week regarding the ordinance that was sent down regarding the Lackawanna Heritage Valley Authority, and your request to have Mrs. Solfanelli appear here tonight, she called me on Wednesday, I think I got back to her on Thursday, she explained that the agreement that had been submitted was not the proper agreement and we discussed the issue regarding the trail and the maintenance of it. She wanted to thank council for inviting her to council.

I went over with her, I said that
the legislation should be withdrawn, as it
was tonight. There is letter here from
Solicitor Patterson dated April 27, which is
today, it was hand-delivered requesting

withdrawal of the legislation.

The ordinance will be redrafted, submitted to council along with the proper agreement to be approved by council for the amount of maintenance fees. However, I would want to inform council from this standpoint that right now the Heritage Trail is 1.5 miles, the Authority charges \$4,000 a mile for maintenance, yearly maintenance, that's a total of \$6,000 for this year, it has not been paid for the last two years and that's the \$18,000 that was referenced.

However, they have grants to extend the trail for another 5.5 miles. That would make the total amount of mileage in Scranton seven miles which means that in the future the amount that would be in that agreement for the city to reimburse them for the maintenance would be \$28,000. When the agreement comes down, I don't know how the \$4,000 a year maintenance is figured. The reason that they are maintaining it, there is agreement between the mayor and the council to maintain it -- or, I mean the authority, is because the city never

maintained it, so the Authority of
Lackawanna Heritage Valley Authority stated
that they would maintain it for the rate of
\$4,000 per mile per year.

Once the agreement comes down I can take a look at it, but when the new trial, if they do get these grants, if the trail is extended to it's full length of seven miles the maintenance agreement would be \$28,000 per year.

MS. EVANS: And as we discussed, I would ask that perhaps you could discuss this with Attorney Patterson that council would like to have language added to that and in the event that the city's financial portion for maintenance and management of the trail would exceed whatever the set dollar amount is then those allocations or that bill would have to come to the governing body for approval. It just could not be automatically billed to the city if let us say the expenses exceed the given amount in the agreement.

MR. HUGHES: I believe that the

Authority will be drafting the agreement and

sending it to the solicitor's office. The solicitor's will then do the -- would then do the ordinance approving the agreement. I don't know what's going to go into the input for negotiating the agreement, but certainly when that agreement comes down we would review it and we could amend the legislation so that the agreement could only be executed if these amendments were put into the agreement itself.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. HUGHES: That would be the way to do it. I would could tell, you know, I don't know if the city is negotiating this agreement with the authority or if the authority is just drafting the agreement saying it's \$4,000 per mile, but certainly I think that that amount would have to be put in the budget every year as a line item which would have to come to council for approval.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: As I said, there has to be some way to determine how the \$4,000 per mile per year figure came out. I have no

	102
1	idea.
2	MS. EVANS: Nor do I. Thank you,
3	Attorney Hughes.
4	MR. HUGHES: You are welcome.
5	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. <u>FOR</u>
6	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -
7	<u>FOR ADOPTION</u> -RESOLUTION NO. 13, 2010 -
8	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
9	CITY OFFICIALS TO ENTER INTO A MUNICIPAL
10	LEASE AND OPTION AGREEMENT WITH FIRST
11	BANKERS CORPORATION FOR THE LEASE PURCHASE
12	OF A PIECE OF FIRE APPARATUS, A KME MODEL
13	PANTHER CHALLENGER CUSTOM PUMPER TRUCK, FOR
14	USE BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
15	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
16	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-A.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS CARRERA: Mr Loscombe

		103
1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.	
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.	
3	MR. JOYCE: Yes.	
4	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.	
5	MS. EVANS: I hereby declare Item	
6	7-a legally and lawfully adopted. And I	
7	will entertain a motion to adjourn.	
8	MR. MCGOFF: So moved.	
9	MS. EVANS: Meeting adjourned.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

П

ability.

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

> CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER