		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, March 16, 2010	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	CATHENE 3. NANDUZZI, NEN - UFFICIAL COUNT REFURTER	
25		

I

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT (Not present)

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF (Not present)

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. Mr. 4 Rogan. 5 MR. ROGAN: Here. 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 7 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Here. 10 11 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 12 MR. ROGAN: Just for the record, I received a call from Mrs. Evans who was 13 14 unable to the attend the meeting tonight due 15 to physical limitations. And I also 16 received a note from the Mr. McGoff who has 17 a family illness and will also not attend 18 today. Please dispense with the reading of the minutes. 19 MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 20 21 ZONING HEARING BOARD DECISIONS RENDERED AT 22 THE MEETING HELD MARCH 10, 2010. 23 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 24 If not, received and filed. Mrs. Krake, do 25 we have clerk's notes this week?

MS. KRAKE: Yes, we do. We have several responses. Some of them are very lengthy, so I'll just give a brief summary of them, but they will available in the clerk's office and council does have a copy of all of them.

The first response is from Electric City Television. In response to our questions regarding program guide they tell us the audio program guide runs in ten-minute intervals on our community calendar. Currently the guide displays the next four programs in the cue. We are working with Televue to develop an enhanced on-air program guide that will display the next 24 hours of programming. We will also feature an extend two to three-day program grid on our website.

Regarding our life web streaming and video on demand. In March 2009, Electric City TV applied and was subsequently approved for a state grant to purchase the necessary equipment to encode and hot video content on other website. At the time of this letter, we are still waiting to receive

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the funds from the state to implement life web streaming of all our programming.

Regarding the audio of Scranton City Council meetings, Scranton Council meetings are monitored from the live broadcast on Channel 19. Audio is taken from the in-house sound system, fed to our mixer and goes out directly over the air. Adjustments are made for individuals who speak either too close or too far from the microphone. However, if a speaker has their microphone completely turned off we must rely on the signal from the nearest open mic causing sound levels to be lower and often times distorted. Every effort is made to keep the audio level as even as possible across the nine microphone setup. This is from Mark Migliore, executive director of ECTV.

Our next answer is from Attorney
Paul Kelly who represents the Civil Service
Commission. At the Civil Service
Commission's March 10 meeting, the
commission directed him to respond. He did
call our office on March 8 and he did tell
us that the commission did not meet in

MR. ROGAN: Okay, great.

February due to closure of city hall on the 10th and lack of quorum on the reconvene date of February 16, hence the delay in this response.

Further, he has been directed by the commission to advise you that any future requests for information that is plainly posted on the Commission's bulletin board located in city hall across from the fire chief's office will be responded to by advising the requester to retrieve the information itself from the bulletin board.

So, I think he is saying that if we are going to ask for something that's on the board we should first look at the board, however, I don't know how we would know what's on the board, but enclosed he has the current active police promotion list and a copy of the commission's August 8, 2008, resolution setting the minimum passing scores for both written and oral examinations. So we do have all of this backup here, which we are not going to read this evening.

MS. KRAKE: Our next response is from Chief Elliott: "Today I received a copy of the letter Mayor Doherty requesting a meeting with me. I am willing to sit down and discuss any concerns you have regarding public safety. Please contact his secretary to setup a meeting."

This was are cc'd to John Loscombe and Stephanie Walker, who is the mayor's secretary.

Our next response is also from chief Elliott. This is subject is an abandoned vehicle. He says, "I received your letter dated March 5, 2010, regarding a reported abandoned vehicle in front of the 1318 South Irving Avenue. There is a procedure in place for handling abandoned vehicles and I will have officers follow the procedures/law regarding such rather than be given an order of what to do by council. Thank you for the information. Chief."

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  ROGAN: Well, I hope that the chief would address the problem.

MS. KRAKE: Yes. Our next response is from the Scranton Parking Authority.

This is concerning Buona Pizza. He is instructed -- this is from, excuse me, Robert Scopelitti, the executive director of the Parking Authority. He says he has instructed his enforcement officers to monitor, as they always have, and he is enclosed a list of tickets issued in that area and a log sheet. Please be advised that we are down to four enforcement officers and they cover the downtown area along with the three hospitals. When Buona Pizza calls he wants them there immediately and that's not always possible. We will continue to monitor this problem.

MR. ROGAN: Okay.

MS. KRAKE: Our next request -- or excuse me, our next response is from the Parking Authority and they are letting us know that due to the extensive nature of our request concerning the prospectuses on the bond issue that they will expect to respond within the next 30 days.

MR. ROGAN: Thirty days?

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Okay.

MS. KRAKE: And actually they name the date. "Please expect a response by April 5, "and advising us that we will have a cost for copies of 25 cents per page.

Northeast. Daron Northeast is no longer manufacturing the product out of the Scranton location. All of the manufacturing equipment has been relocated. The company had a great deal of inventory in Scranton, therefore, it has taken quite a bit of time to arrange that material and transport it.

As evidence of the significant decrease in product at the present time that process is still going on and we will continue to work to remove the remaining items out of the location. And that's signed by Daron Northeast Ownership.

MR. ROGAN: Okay.

MS. KRAKE: Finally, we have a response from the Scranton Sewer Authority that I will very briefly describe. Actually they answered our letter very clearly and very precisely, and as you can see provided a lot of backup, and this will be in the

council office for whoever would like to look at it.

The first point of interest, they tell us there are two major projects being undertaken by the Scranton Sewer Authority in both the short and long-term. The first project is the Chesapeake Bay project, which they go onto describe. Next, is the second project -- excuse me, the second project is the combined sewer overflow strategy, which also they go and to describe in length.

They are responding to our second point of the interest, which was the number of employees. They say two employees were hired in 2002; one in 2003; two in 2004; ten in 2005; 13 in 2006; 13 in 2007; 12 in 2008 and 12 in 2009. There have not been any employees hired during 2010. During the same period 20 employees left the employment of the Scranton Sewer Authority. And he goes onto describe some of those issues there.

Also, when they say the Scranton

Sewer Authority has been unable -- or has
been able to undertake much the work

in-house because of the increase in manpower and capital equipment additions.

Lastly, a civil suit was filed by
the EPA against the Scranton Sewer Authority
on September 29, 2009. The Sewer Authority
intends to aggressively defend itself
against this lawsuit and this effort is
underway.

And that's all we have.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.
FOURTH ORDER. The first speaker is Andy
Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, we are up to 7-B I guess is on the agenda for final passage. I was here originally and I stood at this podium hen they actually went from ten 10,000 to 20,000. As I stated before, since that time our findings has deteriorated in this city. This is the first step and one of the first steps to get us out of distressed status, to keep track of where our money is going. Like I always said, it's like your house. You gotta try to keep it within your means. The city has

not done that. I am glad you are finally getting around to trying to correct some of it.

You mentioned the Sewer Authority, when does an authority become a public utility? As you know, when Mr. Barrett was here he said that we took in a lot of sewage from a lot of different areas outside of the city, outside of Dunmore. I was just wondering, when does the authority become a utility? I mean, if you taking in quite a bit from everywhere else you are no longer Scranton Sewer Authority, you may be a public utility. I wish somebody would look into that and see what it is if we get the status of that. Are they an authority or are they a utility?

There is lot of things you could say about the city, but like I said before, things are starting to go in the right direction. That's the best thing I can say. It's been a long time coming. I mean, I've went through a lot, a lot of councils, and I have watched what happens in the city, I watched give-aways. We sold our golf course

that was generating money for the city, and the money is gone. All that money they got for the golf course has been gone. What did they do with it, they made some improvements up at Nay Aug, but them improvements are not going to last. They got to be kept up and that means you have a liability up at Nay Aug and you are going to have to spend a lot of money just to keep that park like it is, but the money could have came from the golf course, that money was generated they told me up to a quarter of a million dollars, sometimes even more into the city coffers. Why they sold it, I'll never know.

There is a lot of other things that went up. Have you been noticing our government has been selling off a lot of public assets which I strictly am against. I know you can't do anything about the county, and you can't do a lot about what was done in the city because it's been done and you weren't there, but when you sell off a public asset you are taking money from the people of Scranton that they have put their life into, their savings into it, and

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

selling it off and a lot of it was a give away. Central was a give away, but like I say, you had nothing to do with that, but still it falls back on the taxpayers. No matter what you give away eventually it falls back on the taxpayers and it's just ashame that I watched a lot of the assets of the city be squandered, but I thank you anyway.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mike Murphy.

MR. MURPHY: Hi. My name is Mike Murphy, I'm a resident of Scranton. appeared before council last year to promote the idea of community gardens and appeal to the public for their participation in support of the same. Here tonight I would like to publically acknowledge and thank the people and parties that made two such gardens possible last year and very quickly. For land, Catholic Social Services, Monsignor Joe Kelly and Steve Monsilla. For a garden at Valley View Terrace, Scranton Housing Authority. We had three entities contributing money, Citizen's, Gerrity's and Penn Security for a total of \$375, a \$175

was spent and 200 left over that we hope to use for seed money for this year.

For materials everything from fertilizer to flowers, from potting soil to seed, I'd lake to thank the following:
Blooming Idiots, Eckle's Farm, Floral Haven, Flower Tent, Flowers by Betty, Georgetti's, the Mulberry Bush, and the Greenridge
Assembly of God.

People responsible for the logistics and support of that kind of progress coordinating the all of the contributors in the efforts I'd like to thank Sister Mary Alice Jacquinot of the Friends of the Poor and Sister Carlene Boulder.

For actual labor done for anything from tilling the soil to planting I'd like to thank Craig Evans of Clarity Sound Protections who tilted one of our plots that were made available and then the Career Link students and volunteers at Valley View, especially Bill Hoffer, for their contributions.

I'd especially like to thank three individuals that were instrumental in all of

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this, everything from soliciting the funds to those three previous entities I mentioned to garnering contributions of flowers and plants to actual planting and all of the physical labor involved and those are Susan Conaboy, Mary Beth Webber and Cathy Schneider, so I'd like to offer my thanks to them and offer to join me in applauding those people for their civic contributions.

If I could just say a couple of more words about community gardens real quick. That term has come to denote most familiarly to people the idea of taking a large track of land and subdividing it into parcels that are then either leased or rented to individuals usually to garnish some sort of income, and I think that's a wonderful use of land especially for city-owned or tax delinquent properties, the revenues of which could be then applied to outstanding tax delinquencies. However, our focus is more on families, groups and organizations, and say that you are a homeowner or you and a couple of your neighbors want to augment your grocery list by putting in a garden,

give us a call, we'll help you with the site assessment, soil, sampling and the actual planting and all of the incidentals that go along with the garden.

If you are a group or organization we are also planning on offering seedling workshops where you would grow your seedlings for later transplanting into the garden, avoiding the costs and also enjoying the process of that, satisfaction of that process.

I think this would be particularly appealing to anybody, teachers or schools that have land available because it could integrate a lot of the principals that goes into gardens, the botany involved, the seed development and plant development or biology, along to the math aspects needed to consider in plotting out your garden with plant size and spacing, to the environmental sensibility or sensitivity we are hoping to incorporate the kids.

If you have a church, you are a member of a church and you would like to have a garden for the benefit of your

congregation or if you could like to donate some of it to area food kitchens, we would be glad to be involved in those processes.

Bottom line is any organization, there is plenty of schools that kids need to do community service. If you affiliated with a nursing home the benefits of horticultural therapy are incalculable. If are a Girl Scout Troop, Boy Scout Troop, if you -- what our goal here is to take what is generally considered unproductive areas, teach people responsible organic gardening principals and stewardship and transforming them hopefully into areas, plots and projects that we could be proud of here in Scranton.

There is a lot of movement towards this green stuff and there is a lot of grants available. What I'm hoping to do is move this to and invite people to take initiative. If you want a seedling workshop get --

MR. ROGAN: You can finish up.

MR. MURPHY: Can I use council as far as some place to contact, that's the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN:

Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

only thing I'm --

MR. MURPHY: -- reluctant to give my number out, but any parties that are interested in a community garden of this nature to contact council and then I'll call numbers from you guys. Thanks for your time.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Sounds good. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner. First of all, I want to echo what Andy said about council. You are doing a great job no matter what your critics are saying on-line. I have been coming here for nine years. This is the first council that's really doing things for the taxpayer and not doing things for what Mayor Doherty and his rubber stampers want to. It will take time from what's been done in the last eight years, but keep up the good work. You are doing a great job and good luck in court.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: As a taxpayer I was very disheartened to hear what Mayor Doherty said last week about all citizens' requests have to go through him. As someone that's running for an important seat like State Senator, to me that's not very voter friendly. You'd think in his position he would be voter friendly and doing what's right for the taxpayers, but that doesn't sound like he wants to do that. So on election day I hope everybody in this city remembers that and doesn't vote for him for Senator.

Moving on, something Mayor Doherty said in the paper last week about the budget cuts that council wants to do. He said -- suggesting the changes could risk the health, safety and welfare of the city's residents. After eight years of our police and firefighters not having a raise, all of a sudden Chris Doherty is concerned about the safety of the citizens of this city? He wasn't too concerned for the last eight

years. Now that council wants to cut the budget, he is concerned about safety.

Also, he hired an incompetent police chief that let's 13 police cars go out of commission and not do anything about it.

That doesn't sound like the mayor's concerned about safety. Also, the police chief doesn't give the test to hire seven beat officers which we are down now, we were supposed to have 10, and we only have three. Again, that doesn't sound like the mayor is too concerned about safety.

I don't know what he taking about in the newspaper. What council is doing isn't go to hurt the safety of the citizens of this city. They are more concerned with saving the taxpayers money, and it will not hurt the safety of the citizens. So, as I said, keep up the good work, you are doing a great job, and that's all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Les.

MR. ROGAN: Jean Suetta.

MS. SUETTA: Jean Suetta. How you

are doing?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Hi.

MR. ROGAN: Good.

MS. SUETTA: Did anybody find out the status of North Scranton?

MR. ROGAN: No, but I did find out about Kildaire's for you. Not Kildaire's, Molly Brannigan's.

MS. SUETTA: I read that in the paper on page four and they answered my question for your. Another thing, Cathy Gavern that owns Stirna bought the building next door to her, oh, maybe two years ago and we ripped it down for her with the condition that she was supposed to pave the parking lot. Now, there is no paving of any parking lot up there. I know Chief Elliott is her son-in-law, but that is neither here nor there.

Another thing I want to -- you know the holes they fixed on Albright Avenue?

Boy, did they come back with a vengeance.

Now they twice as big and twice as deep.

That's how good of a job they did.

MR. ROGAN: I think we'll send

1 another letter to the DPW. 2 MS. SUETTA: Well, to the head? 3 They ain't gonna answer. You got to send it to Doherty. 4 5 MR. ROGAN: We'll send it to Mayor Doherty as well. 6 MS. SUETTA: 7 I'll sign it. 8 MR. ROGAN: Okay. 9 MS. SUETTA: Another thing, I want 10 to thank everybody that come out to the Irish Heart Association down at Lackawanna 11 12 County Stadium and help us make the 13 boutonnieres and corsages for the people 14 that are in the hospitals and the nursing 15 homes, we finished way ahead of time, so 16 maybe I did some good last week because 17 there was a lot of volunteers that come 18 down. 19 MR. ROGAN: That's good. 20 MS. SUETTA: And I want to say thank 21 you to all of them. 22 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 23 MS. SUETTA: Have a good one. 24 MR. ROGAN: Ron Ellman. 25 MR. ELLMAN: See, it goes nice and

24

25

smooth until I get up here. In Sunday's paper, I don't know if everybody saw it, the little article about the Right-to-Know law, and the editorial. A couple of months ago or a month and a half ago I asked Mr. Renda on the Right-to-Know law about the building permits, I have been here once, I phoned three or four times and they refused to give me any information on the building permits because the mayor is very fearful of this knowledge it seems. I got a sheet that tells you, you know, how much a building permit is and so forth and there is a considerable amount of money involved in building permits that he has deleted year after year. I think something ought to be done, I think he doesn't have -- it's just time to terminate his power of deleting building permits, you know, I don't know if they have given him -- what he is doing with it, between if he can't give them a KOZ I guess he forgives a building permit, but this money ought to be recovered. terrible. If anybody investigates it you will find out very easily. He deletes it

for his friends and associates.

And I'd also like to say something about Brenda Williams, because it's going to involve taxpayers. When this happened I heard like everybody, you know, when it was the topic of conversation and an immediate neighbor came over to me and said when Brenda Williams would get moody and aggressive they tried to get the family involved and they absolutely refused to have anything in the world to do with this poor woman. You know, now they are coming out of the woodwork with all of this bleeding heart stuff and it's going to take the taxpayers for another ride.

Something has to be done, you know, the city is in such bad shape. I parked my car at the mall last week and walked over to the post office and then back. You know, local businesses are there the heart of our community if they haven't been KOZ's by the mayor downtown and, you know, they pay our -- they pay taxes and all we hear about it padded payrolls around here. You try to get something done at the Sewer Authority, I

phoned them yesterday. I didn't get no where. I was just asking about my bill. The lady got mad and said she is going to mail me the sheet. I asked a simple question about my bill, you know. All of that the help it looked like somebody could send something intelligent.

I don't know. The city is going to pot you know, and I wouldn't want you guy's job, I'll tell you. But on this Right-to-Know law I guarantee you that office upstairs will not -- you can't squeeze something out of them. It shouldn't be like this. You ought to go in there and find out and they should tell you something. You shouldn't have to be told to write a letter to the Attorney General's Office in Harrisburg and blah, blah, blah. That information it's open except, you know, when you have something to hide.

I have been telling you for two
years, I sure welcome an investigation of
this city. It's about time the graft and
corruption, it's terrible and it's no
secret. You know, people just been talking

This

1 openly for years about how bad it is here. 2 I had somebody ask me about my 3 bride, Ms. Rosie, and I think she is feeling good because I took her up to the corner 4 today to get a quart of beer and a pack of 5 cigarettes, so I figure she is on the road 6 7 back to good health, and if you would like 8 I'd like to give you this, Mr. Joyce. 9 is a sheet that they gave me. 10 MR. JOYCE: Yes, you can bring it 11 up. 12 MR. ELLMAN: You are talking about a 13 building permit is very expensive. You can 14 have that. I really don't need that. He is 15 not going to do nothing for me. 16 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 17 MR. ELLMAN: If you just glance, you 18 are talking about a considerable amount of 19 money that's been dissolved, it's gone. 20 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman, could you 21 please go back to the podium so those at 22 home could hear? 23 MR. ELLMAN: Sir? 24 MR. ROGAN: Could you go back to the 25 podium so folks at home can hear.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton and member of the Taxpayers' Association. I am going to start varying from the general team. As I said last week, I'm leaving you guys to do it. You want to do without the benefit of my advice unless you ask for it. I'm sure you can do it. I sure you can.

But anyway, I have been -- I do quite a bit of walking around town and on Stafford and Meadow we have the bridge project over the brook, well, Stafford and Meadow are getting torn up like, it looks like somebody took a plow to it, so whatever you can do to contact the state officials as long as they are running tri axles over our street and tearing them up maybe they should feel tree to pave them once they are done with most of their work.

And I took a little walk the other day, I have a map if you can't understand it, but back by the Salvation Army on River

7 8

6

10

9

12

11

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Street there's a the Salvation Army Store and there is no access that I could see from another direction except possibly off of Route 307, but there is a huge dump site at the back of that property where the bridge -- the old Erie Railroad Bridge goes over Route 307, and it looks like about four medium size dump trucks full of singles, sinks, boards, parts of boards.

MR. ROGAN: Is that behind the building?

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah. Yeah. It's -you actually have to follow the Erie Bridge back, back to the old Erie line, there is an old railroad line goes back through there and I walked through there, and you back right to where the bridge crosses over 307. It's a beautiful view of the towns, but now there is an area there it must be almost as big as this area here that's piled up with singles and boards and scrap lumber and old -- there is a sink laying there, some tires, and what have you, and from what I can determine the most likely route is that -is straight through the parking lot at

Salvation Army.

Now, they do have a fence around that and if they are not locking their gates at night they really should be contacted and asked to please do so, because it's horrendous. There is quite a pile and it's getting bigger every week, so somebody is sneaking in there and dumping all -- I'll give you this here, just point out the dump site.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

(Mr. Dobrzyn approaches the dais and confers with Mr. Loscombe about the map.)

MR. DOBRZYN: One other comment that just came to mind once again, you people aren't the favorites up in Clarks Summit because there is another letter from Clarks Summit worried about what council is doing, so maybe they would like to pay taxes and come down here and vote, you know. Lots of houses for sale. Cheaper, too. Have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Good

. 

evening, Council.

MR. ROGAN: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I hate to belabor the point. My name is Marie Schumacher, I'm sorry, but back to BRT Ice and the agreement that the city has with them. I did go on-line after my conversation with Mr. Hughes last week and I went on the county website to their LANDEX system and I did find a recording of a memorandum, and as a matter of fact, the memorandum is titled, "Memorandum of Lease, 30 years, 20 November '02." And it was recorded on August 14, 2006.

The memorandum states, which is dated the 10th of July, 2006, states in point six: "This memorandum is intended for recording purposes only and does not modify, supercede, diminish, add to or change all or any of the terms off the lease in any respect."

Yet the only lease that I have been able to find is that -- the one of 2002, Resolution No. 67 of 2002, and it was only

23

24

25

for 99 years. It said, and I will read it again, I have read it before, but the rent portion says: "This lease provides for payment of rent as follows: Lessee shall pay \$600,000 to lease or as rent for the lease premises. Lessee shall make minimum annual payments every 12 months beginning on the date hereof in the amount of no less than \$1. Provided, however, that any unpaid rent under this Paragraph A shall be paid to lessee to lessor in a lump sum within 30 days following the occurrence of the last to occur of: One, vacation of the leased premises by DPW in total as on the date provided for hereunder; or, two, certification of the leased land by the City of Scranton Planning Commission as with the Redevelopment area as that term is defined by law, approval of Redevelopment contract for the leased land including transfer of the leased land through lessee by the SRA and approval of said Redevelopment contract by the Scranton City Council."

Now, again, that was 2002. In 2006, at some point, and I was unable to find when

23

24

25

this is a resolution, no number, it was 4-C on an agenda, but I went through all of the 2006 agendas and I could not find -- it says at the top it was tabled and never introduced, so apparently it was on an agenda, but I can't -- again, I can't find it. I did go through every single one early this morning and that is the one that said it changed the terms that it said, "The lump sum, the purchase price subject to all of the terms, covenants and conditions of this agreement, the Authority agrees to sell the property to the Redeveloper for, and the Redeveloper agrees to purchase the property from the Authority and pay, therefore, the amount of \$600,000 to be paid in 20 yearly installments of \$30,000 per year at no interest beginning the date of closing herein referred to as the purchase price."

This was referred through the legal department from the Scranton Redevelopment Authority. Now, because I don't know the date of this, I don't know how it coincides with the filing of that agreement which even though it said it made no material changes

in the -- or respect to the earlier lease, does have a memorandum. Point four of that memorandum states, "Under the lease, lessee is granted an option to purchase the leased land upon the occurrence of specific terms and conditions provided for in the lease which option is exercisable by the lessee according to the specific and unambiguous terms of the lease.

There is then a small addendum to that reality tax statement. It says, "This is a transfer of property subject to a ground lease for 198 years which is payable--" if I may complete this?

MR ROGAN: Yes, please.

MS. SCHUMACHER: "Which is payable at \$1 per year and \$600,000 at the end of the term. According to the present value calculation of Thomas P. Rainey, CPA, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, the present value is \$23.89," which is what Mr. Hughes said. But I would like to ask council if they could make a search to find out it there was, in fact, another resolution or an ordinance that

between 2002 to 2006. I can't believe anybody would have voted for that and even though the documentation states that there were no changes made it appears that an entirely different lease was substituted when the filing was made, and if so I think it's probably something criminal and if you can't find any action by the council other than the two items that I have here I would like to know to what state authority I take this for further action.

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I definitely think it's something council should look into.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

Council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton
resident, member of the Taxpayers'

Association. Saturday Molly Brannigan's was
open and from my understanding, correct me
if I'm wrong, but from my understanding they
used their own license for the one day; is
that correct? Does anybody know?

MR. ROGAN: It was Molly Brannigan's location, but it is owned by the same owner as Kildaire's.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, that's who is going to own it, but my understanding is they used Molly Brannigan's license for one day. That's what -- the way I interpreted the story in the newspaper. Now, I could have misinterpreted it.

MR. HUGHES: If I could, I would suggest that you call the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board. From what I understand, they had stocked it with beer on Friday, they did not have the license, they had to remove everything. The license was not issued by the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board until Saturday morning at 8:00 at which time they brought all of the beer back in, the beer distributors did.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Whose license did they use?

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea. I
think it would be -- I would call the
enforcement division of the Pennsylvania
Liquor Control Board and think they would

probably give you the information.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, because my point is that if they used Molly Brannigan's license then I think Molly Brannigan's should repay the city out of the profits that they made, the money that they owe us for past utility bills and rents. If it was used by Kildaire's license then that's a totally different subject, but we really need to find that out.

Home Depot is creating 250 jobs in
Pittston with competitive salaries. Lame
duck mayor Doherty created 9,000 new jobs in
Scranton with no salaries. Lie. Why you
may ask, because no jobs were created.

Okay, 100 employees laid off at the Taylor; 82 employees laid off in Jessup, which is very close to the Scranton area, some of those people that probably work in those areas are Scranton residents. Cinram DVD to layoff more employees. Again, I suggest that you contact Mayor Doherty. He will show you how to go about suing Scranton citizens or maybe give you a no show job with the City of Scranton. Then again, he

may hire you to one of the 9,000 jobs that he created in Scranton which no one has been able to find so far.

Mr. Hughes, I have a question for you, if you don't mind, I know you had a busy day today, so have I. When the Scranton Redevelopment Authority sells the completed garage project to the Scranton Parking Authority, do they sell at the construction cost or do they add to it and make the profit?

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Is there any way we can find that out?

MR. HUGHES: The only way would be in the deed from the Redevelopment Authority to the Scranton Parking Authority. If there is consideration recorded it would have been exempt under the Pennsylvania Realty Transfer Tax as conveyance between two municipal -- well actually, between two -- between two authorities so it would be no tax paid, they could have put a dollar in there, I have no idea --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay.

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUGHES: -- what that would be.

Because I believe MR. JACKOWITZ: that Scranton Redevelopment Authority can sell properties for redevelopment, so that is a source of income for them which I guess allows them to do bonds on their own. is just my interpretation. If a profit is made on the sale of the Scranton Parking Authority, then the taxpayers pay to have the garage built, taxpayers pay to buy it from themselves, and also paid the Scranton Redevelopment Authority a profit so they can have an income to go out and get more loans and bonds. So we really need to look into that because if that's happening, I'm not sure if it is, but I really would request that someone would look into that.

Mr. Baker of the Housing Authority threatens to sue the City of Scranton. He has 16 federal charges against him, one in my case I believe would be too many. The mayor is suing the city and there is a case going on right now, there is a couple more. Only in the doomed City of Scranton could legislative branches and executive branches

and authorities sue each other. I mean, this is crazy. This is totally crazy. We are in a distressed city. People are losing their jobs, unemployment is high, people are losing their properties and we are going around suing each other. I mean, I don't know. I just don't believe it.

We have to eliminate the authorities. The authorities need to be eliminated because they are actually hurting the city in my belief and they need to be done away with as quickly as possible.

Also, I'd like to ask Mr. Doherty one more time, maybe one of these days I might actually get an answer, Mayor Doherty why is your family business in Maryland. If Scranton is such a good place to do business and Pennsylvania is such a good state to do business, why are you doing business in Maryland? Why are you denying citizens of Scranton the opportunity to make a living working for the Doherty business? I visited a website called -- can I finish?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I finished a website

called Spurling's best places. Scranton,
Pennsylvania, stay away, this was posted
1-21-2006. A declining town run by good old
boys network. I have to agree with that.

6-10-2009, Scranton, PA, no opportunity. Bad city. Lived in Scranton four months could not find an average income job. Told by the locals it all depends on who you know. I also agree with that.

6-1-2008. Only visit Scranton if you are a fan of the "Office." Other than visiting the landmarks on the show, there is nothing else to do in Scranton. Good place to visit, but not the most exciting place to live.

And per capita income in Scranton as I was on there today looking at it is \$16,194. We are ranked 1,954 in Pennsylvania out of 2,800 cities, so that's not a very good ranking. These are all facts, no supposition. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anything else that would like to address council?

MR. TALIMINI: Good evening,

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council. Joe Talimini, City of Scranton.

First of all, very unpleasant task. I'd

like to thank you, Mr. Rogan and also Mr

Joyce, Mr. Hughes, and Mrs. Krake for a

very, very long and tedious day in Court. I

was along with you in county court, federal

court, county court, federal court, and you

earned your money today, there is no

question about that and I'm sure you are

going to earn it tomorrow as well.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

MR. TALIMINI: I would like to mention something because it's very, very pertinent and we have a young people here tonight and I hope they would pay particular attention to this. Today a federal grand jury handed down 40 indictments against two Lackawanna County Commissioners. Those indictments include fraud, racketeering, tax invasion, conspiracy to commit fraud, engaging in monetary transactions which are illegal, mail fraud, wire fraud, conspiracy to commit theft or bribery, bribery concerning any programs receiving federal funds and a wealth of other things.

I would like to just wrap it up by telling you one thing that was mentioned by the attorney for the federal government and that is that a civic servant is appointed or elected to serve the public not himself, and this is what's been going on for a long We made very much light of the fact that Luzerne County was under severe investigation, well, now it's hit home and I think it's incumbent upon everybody, our television viewers, people in the audience and especially you young people to be aware of something. When you commit the crime, and these are only alleged crimes, but when you commit the crime be prepared to do the time.

And to give you an example, one gentleman who was indicted faces a total maximum of 364 years in prison and \$7,750,000 in fines. The other gentleman faces 193 years in prison and \$3,250,000 in fines. This is in addition to \$450,000 that each is expected to pay up to the federal government in the case of forfeiture, so it's something that will be in the

newspapers tomorrow.

We have an ongoing situation in the county court where the mayor is suing city council, and this is most distressing. I have been laboring this fact for three almost four years now, and finally somebody has to pay attention, and whether the voters and the citizens of this city pay attention or not, these are the facts. You will read about them in tomorrow's paper, I'm sure.

Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. NEARHOOD: Good evening, members of council. My name is Ray Nearhood. I live on Cherry Street in Scranton. I'm here tonight not over a big issue, it's very small issue, but it was very aggravating to me today. Yesterday I was a victim of a crime. Someone got my credit card and debit card information and made purchases on-line.

Now, the on-line retailer contacted me. Now, in order for them to have discussions with our police department here in Scranton they need a police report. So I went to the police department and I said,

"Look, I need a police report to send to WalMart."

They said that will be, "Fifteen dollars."

I said, "What?" I said, "I'm a crime victim and you are charging me \$15 for a police report so I can send it to that retailer so that retailer can discuss my information with your investigators."

"Fifteen dollars."

You know, I sat and I started thinking, if by some miracle this person is arrested, God knows why they wouldn't be and they would have to give a delivery address and name as to where they were delivering it, and this person gets arrested and the defense attorney goes to the police department and says, "I want a copy of the police report," does the defense attorney and the criminal have to pay \$15? You know they don't, and I think Mr. Hughes will tell you that; right?

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea. I don't practice criminal law.

MR. NEARHOOD: Okay. You know that

if the criminal asks for a copy of the police report you have to turn that over to them and you can't charge them for it, but me as the victim of a crime I get charged, and every other victim of crimes get charged to get a police report.

You know, we are victimized not only by the perpetrator of the crime, but we are being victimized by the city. And you have an ordinance, I went down to see the mayor, by the way, earlier, he wasn't there, but I did talk to his secretary and they said, "Well, this is a council problem, council deals with this, you know, the mayor has nothing to do with it."

I beg to differ because the mayor voted for this ordinance and also has signed here as a committee finance reports favorably on the ordinance from 1999. Your File of council, File 30 1999 ordinance.

And what I'm asking council to do is something very simple, give the victim of a crime a copy of the police report if they so request it just as you would give it to the criminal if the criminal lawyer asks for it.

2

4

6

7

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, I don't think that I'm asking for a lot.

MR. ROGAN: What was the number on that ordinance again?

MR. NEARHOOD: File of Council No. 30, 1999, an ordinance amending the File of Council No. 1980 of 1994; okay? I mean, I understand with an accident report, hey, you are in an accident, you pay the \$15 bucks, your insurance company reimburses you for it, okay? If you want to do that, fine, I understand that. Nobody is reimbursing me, okay? And truthfully I'm going to get my money back even though I read the police report and it says that nothing was stolen from me, the \$1,350 is out of my account. I will get it back, yes, but, you know -- so I'm really not the victim because I think under criminal law the victim isn't the victim, actually the victim is the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, so if you want the 15 bucks, ask the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for it not me.

So it's not something -- you know, it's not something huge, I'm not going to

come in and throwing all kinds of aspersions about people and everything, I just think that out of the common decency and common fairness we allow the victim access to the incident report, and I'm hoping that maybe I'll get to talk to a detective once it gets assigned it a detective. So that's all.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I do agree with you.

I mean, if it's a motor vehicle accident
that's one thing. This is the first time I
think -- I know we are made aware of this.

I don't know it was ever brought up before,
but according to Mrs. Krake I do have
approval to meet with Chief Elliott now, the
mayor gave me approval, so I will present
that to him, also.

MR. NEARHOOD: Okay. Like I said, it wasn't a big thing, but it was aggravating, you know?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I understand under the circumstances definitely, without a doubt. I hope we get this straightened out for you.

MR. ROGAN: You don't want to victimized twice. That's basically what it

is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

17

20

21

22

MR. NEARHOOD: Right. Hey, I know the city needs money, okay? I understand But, you know, I watched this health that. care debate going on and I keep thinking, you know, one of the taxes they are looking at putting on is if you need a hip replacement they are going to tax you. Like, wait a minute, you are taxing the victim here? You know, so that's all I'm asking. Please look into this ordinance and look at changing it. I understand if some 12 13 third party comes in and wants a copy of the incident report then charge them, fine, not a big deal, but if victim comes in and says, 16 "Look, I have been victimized. I'm the victim of this crime, I need and I should 18 have access to a copy of this report." 19

That's all.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MR. NEARHOOD: Without having to pay for it.

I'll personally look MR. JOYCE: into this ordinance and also look into the ordinance that you recall is File of Council

23 24

25

30, 1999, and what that actually amended to 1 see if we had a more friendly procedure for 2 3 victims of crimes in the past. MR. NEARHOOD: I mean, really all 4 you need to do is amend it to simply say 5 that the victim of a crime is entitled to a 6 single --7 8 MR. ROGAN: You can finish. 9 The victim named in MR. NEARHOOD: 10 the report or victims have access to and can 11 receive a copy of the incident report. 12 However, third parties or others, you know, let them pay. That's fine. 13 14 MR. ROGAN: Right. I agree. 15 MR. NEARHOOD: That's all, you know. 16 0kay? 17 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 18 MR. NEARHOOD: Thank you. 19 MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening. 20 Nelson Ancherani, First Amendment Rights. 21 First off, I'm going to comment about the 22 lawsuit the mayor filed in response to 23 council overriding his veto of the amending 24 of the 2010 budget. The slimes reported in an article titled, "Judge held Scranton City 25

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council's cuts as mayor sues."

Roger -- it's by Roger DePugh.

Roger writes about the mayor and I quote,

"He goes onto say, 'It would illegal

terminate 12 employees and will illegally

reduce the salaries of 14.'"

I have here a breakdown of the city employees, no names, just positions from 2001 through 2010. This council comes no where near eliminating positions in this budget as the mayor eliminated in his last nine budgets. Between your amended budget and the mayor's budget, there is a net loss of one position. Did he forget that he eliminated the traffic maintenance division this year which consisted of five employees plus five Single Tax Office employees? Traffic maintenance employees repair traffic signals, they do signs, they paint the intersection and crosswalks and other traffic related duties. We'll spend more money to contract their work out to private entities.

Where is the reduction of salaries in 2002 illegal? Police and fire are still

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at 2002 pay rate levels and if it wasn't for the courts we wouldn't have the 7 1/2 percent raise that the Courts gave us.

By reducing the salaries of the favored to 2002 rates, and giving the 7 1/2 percent raise, that puts the favorite employees on the level playing field with the police and fire.

In the past, prior to this administration, management raises amounts were equal to what the other unions got. Since 2002, positions were eliminated or lost by this administration. In 2002, there were 141 regular and 29 federal grant police This year, there are 145 regular officers. and school resource officers. There are supposed to be ten COM-D officers and there are only two of them assigned to work. reason the other eight aren't working, but yet are funded by the federal government, is supposedly because we supposedly because we have 17 police officers off injured or on Why don't we utilize the regular officers and put more officers on the street? Is it because you will have to pay

overtime for regular officers?

One of the mayor's points in the lawsuit: "The city's response to fire, police and weather-related emergencies will suffer."

Hire regular officers for COM-D.

That eliminate one of those supposedly problems. Point of interest, we are now down to two federally funded police officers from 29 in 2002. In the police budget in 2002 there were 191 police officers and miscellaneous employees. This year there are 161 total. So when the mayor says this council will illegally terminate 12 employees, were those 40, 40 other positions lost since 2002 illegally terminated?

Among the mayor's other points he claims council's amendment would result in immediate and irreparable harm to those who positions or salaries were cut. Immediate and irreparable harm. What about the city employees who were never given a raise by this mayor? At least the employees who had their salaries cut aren't being asked to give back the money they already received.

Oh, and I know the argument that will brought up, the police were given an offer that they didn't bring back to their members. And I say to that, because I was on the negotiating team, that it wasn't the unions who sabotaged the talks. At one point all parties shook hands and the talks stalled when the mayor didn't respond. He left his negotiating team high and dry.

"The law department staff will be cut and it's ability to hire outside council will be limited including council for labor issues."

Yeah, so he won't be able to hire \$600 an hour outside law firms to fight the unions. He spent approximately 1 million on one attorney alone. Council, you should have taken the full amount of professional services from the law department instead of just 95,000. When I said 40 positions that's 40 less positions that we have now in 2010 than we had in 2002. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council? FIFTH ORDER.

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. Motions.

MR. ROGAN: Yes. As some you know, today myself, Mr. Joyce, Mrs. Evans, Mr. Hughes and Mrs. Krake were in Court for approximately seven hours, so needless to say it was a very long day so I'll keep my comments very brief tonight.

I received many citizens' request this week, I'm sorry if I haven't gotten back to you right away, we have been preparing for court all week, and the only one I want to mention is I received numerous calls about the Crisp Avenue Bridge in West Scranton and I know it's something that Mrs. Evans was working on and so was Mr. Courtright when he was on council, so I will talking to them this week along with Linda Aebli from OECD to see what the status of the bridge is. And that is all for today. Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you,
Mr. Rogan. I was out of town all week so I
have very few. Just a couple of
announcements. Just a remainder again, all

- -

through lent St. Lucy's parish at 949
Scranton Street on Fridays from 3:00 to 6:30
p.m. have clam chowder, pizza and certain
weekly specials available. You could call
the orders in, walk-ins are most welcome,
and there is a special bake sale this
Friday.

The Dante Club at 1916 Prospect

Avenue has a clam chowder sale on Fridays
through lent. I believe the time is from 11
a.m. to 2 p.m.

And there will a benefit dinner for pancreatic cancer in loving memory of Angela Price held by Angie's Angels at the Fraternal Order of Eagle's hall at 493 Meridian Avenue in Scranton. Dinner will be five to seven and there will be entertainment by DJ Daddy from seven to ten. Tickets are \$10 and can be purchased at the door. There will be basket raffles and ribbon pops are for sale. All proceeds will be going to the American Cancer Society. If you have any questions you can call Bill Leggett at 383-1788.

And just a couple of quick comments,

Mr. Jackowitz was mentioning the lawsuit earlier with the city and the city council and a few others, I just wanted to clarify for the viewers and for those that understand, it is the mayor of the city who is suing city council for a budget that we overrode where we were saving nearly \$700,000. We are not suing anyone. I just wanted to clarify that.

I received a call this afternoon right after I got back from Mr. Brazil. He got the approval to have me meet with him, so I did setup an appointment for this Friday morning, I will be meeting with Mr. Brazil, DPW director and Paul O'Hora to go over several of the flood issues and I will be able to report that meeting next Tuesday.

The other thing just as we are sitting here, Mrs. Krake was reading some of the responses before. It appears to me that our responses to citizens' requests are being met with an air of arrogance all of a sudden by some of the responders. I hope that they realize they are working for the

people, too, and that they don't stimey us in our effort to answer your questions and get help for whatever you need. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

Thank you. Mr. Joyce? MR. ROGAN: MR. JOYCE: Yes, just a few quick comments. Tonight on item 7-B we will be voting on possibly lowering the bidding threshold and I just wanted to reiterate my support and my continuing support for Item You know, this is a good action in my opinion for both government and business, I see a lot of benefits to this, and one of the most important benefits is that it really provides transparency in addition to possibly saving the city costs in the long-run for being able to obtain better prices for services and I think that it could really benefit a lot more local businesses in their ability to get involved with the government process, and that's all I really have for tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.
Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT

2425

23

THIS TIME. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 1 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Krake. I would like to make a motion to appoint Mr. Rogan 4 5 as temporary chairman for the Committee on Rules before you read 7-6 MR. JOYCE: Second. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Motion has been made and 9 On the question? All those in seconded. 10 favor signify by saying aye? 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 12 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? Motion 14 is approved. 15 MS. KRAKE: Thank you. 7-A. F0R 16 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 13, 2010-17 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 18 CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT FUNDS FROM THE BJA 19 FY 09 EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE 20 21 ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM LOCAL 22 SOLICITATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50,618.00 AND DISBURSE SAID FUNDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 23 24 GRANT APPLICATION. 25 MR. ROGAN: What is the

	60
1	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Finance?
3	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
5	passage of Item 7-A.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
7	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
8	call, please?
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
14	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
15	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item
16	7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
17	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION BY</u>
18	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION - FILE
19	OF COUNCIL NO. 14, 2010 - AMENDING THE
20	ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
21	PENNSYLVANIA, SECTION 6-14-CONTRACTS,
22	SUBSECTION (A) TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT ABOVE
23	WHICH COMPETITIVE BIDDING IS REQUIRED FROM
24	\$20,000.00 TO \$10,000.00, AND TO REDUCE THE
25	AMOUNT ABOVE WHICH QUOTES MUST BE OBTAINED

1 FROM \$5,000.00 TO \$4,000.00. MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chairperson 2 3 for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B. 4 MR. JOYCE: 5 Second. MR. ROGAN: On the question? 6 7 would just like to reiterate my support once 8 again. I believe that this will be make 9 government more open. It will be, as I said 10 before, both pro taxpayer and pro small 11 business, and I urge the mayor to sign this 12 into law. Roll call, please? 13 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 14 MR. ROGAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 17 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 18 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 19 MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. 20 MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 21 22 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 9, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF ANDY 23 24 SBARAGLIA, 2410 NORTH MAIN AVENUE, SCRANTON, 25 PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE

1	SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR A FIVE
2	(5) YEAR TERM TO REPLACE MR. ROBERT TIMLIN
3	WHOSE TERM EXPIRED ON FEBRUARY 4, 2010 AND
4	MR. SBARAGLIA'S TERM WILL EXPIRE ON FEBRUARY
5	3, 2015.
6	MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chairperson
7	for the Committee on Rules, I recommend
8	final passage of Item 7-C.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
10	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item
19	7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
20	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
21	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
22	RESOLUTION NO. 10, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF
23	GIOVANNI PICCOLINO, 2639 BIRNEY AVENUE,
24	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER
25	OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY. MR.

1	PICCOLINO WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF
2	MRS. KATHLEEN MCDONNELL WHO RESIGNED
3	FEBRUARY 17, 2010. MR. PICCOLINO'S TERM
4	WILL BE EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 18, 2010 THROUGH
5	JUNE 1, 2010.
6	MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chairperson
7	for the Committee on Rules, I recommend
8	final passage of Item 7-D.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
10	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item
19	7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
20	MR. JOYCE: At this time I would
21	like to make a motion to adjourn.
22	MR. ROGAN: Adjourned.
23	
24	
25	

	64
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ability.

## $\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{R}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{A}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER