CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 8 MR. ROGAN: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 11 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 12 MR. JOYCE: Here. 13 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 14 MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the 15 reading of the minutes, please. MS. KRAKE: 3-A. TAX COMPARISON 16 17 REPORTS RECEIVED FROM THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE 18 MARCH 2, 2010. 19 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 20 21 MS. KRAKE: Clerk's note's. First 22 are responses to requests. We did receive a 23 response from Paul Kelly, the solicitor for 24 the Scranton Rec Authority. He did provide us with the answer to our Right-to-Know. 25 We

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

24

25

have all the backup in our office if anyone would like to look at it. We have it available for review and we'll be giving to our solicitor for him to go over, also.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MS. KRAKE: This letter is from Solicitor Patterson. She enclosed the following documents pursuant to our Right-to-Know. These would be a partial list of the lawsuits that we requested from 2002, and this came in box, so they are truly going forward on it. She says that we have the PMA worker's comp claims, the Lackawanna County Court of Common Pleas dockets 2002 to the present in the civil division; Lackawanna County Court of Common Pleas dockets criminal division summary appeals 2002 to the present; Excalibur claims 2004 to present; civil and worker's compensation; PMA civil cases 1 of '02 to 2010, and they say the law department is continuing to compile records and will forward these to your office.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We also got a response

22

23

24

25

from Mike Lynady. Our original request was sent to Mike Lynady, the fleet manager, however, we did also CC the Department of Public Works, the Law Department, the Business Administration and the Controller's Office and ourselves, obviously, but the reason I'm saying that is because he is the only one that answered us so far. Mr. Lynady ays he does not have the current status of the leased city owned vehicles whereas the business administrator's office pays for these payments on the DPW garbage trucks directly and the police chief's office pays the lease payments on the leased vehicles and hopes this information will be helpful to us. He also did call our office to let us know. So far he is the only one that has responded.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We have a response from Bill Courtright, tax collector, in response to our letter regarding the contract with ACC he submits the following answers: The Single Tax Office does have a contract with ACC to collect delinquent taxes. The

contract will expire on April 12th of 2010. We are not renewing their contract at this time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: This was also a letter that we sent to two different entities and we did receive a response from Comcast.

Under the terms of the franchise agreement, Comcast provides the use of the two Channels 19 and 21 for governmental and educational programming. While we provide use of the channels, the content, scheduling, administration and all other programming aspects of the channels are controlled by the city, provides designated access channel manager. Comcast does not have any authority or control over when or on which channel city council meetings are any other programs are broadcast.

They continue to say if the resident has a problem receiving one or both channels that would be something that Comcast would need to address.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: And we have now several

responses from Chief Elliott. He says, this is regarding the permit parking enforcement, "I have made the patrol shifts aware of the complaint and we are going to look into the situation and enforce the violations they observe. After reviewing the tickets issued for the past couple of months, the officers have been writing tickets for these violations."

Also, from Chief Elliott regarding the illegal parking front of 501 Lackawanna Avenue, Buona Pizza, the complaint has been sent to the shift supervisors to have their officers monitor the problem. It also been sent to the Scranton Parking Authority who can also enforce the illegal parking in the area.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Also, from Chief Elliott, this is regarding to the beat officer in the Pinebrook section of the city: "Currently is there is no officer assigned to this area. Our department currently has over 17 officers off on long-term injury or illness and vehicle

patrols must be filled first. The positions will be filled as officers return to work."

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: And, Council and Mrs. Evans, we have many nonresponses that were due by this date. I can just basically rather than read each one or if you would like me to read each one I would, I can just summarize them and say who we asked.

MS. EVANS: Yes, please.

MS. KRAKE: The first one is we were asking Mr. Timlin for a resume, and he has not responded.

The next is from Daron Northeast, the status of their business location, they have not responded.

Mark Migliore, executive director of ECTV, they have not responded. This is the one that Comcast responded to about the volume and the broadcasting of the meetings. Also, he has not responded with a list of programs to be aired on 19 and 21.

This was to the mayor concerning the playground and the Lackawanna Little League located at Dooney Avenue and Jackson Street,

he has not responded. We have also not had a response from Mark Dougher concerning the same area.

We have not had a response from Stu Renda, business administrator, concerning copies of any and all vouchers and documentation of payments for equipment purchases in the Department of Refuse, Recycling and Traffic Maintenance.

We have not had a response from
Chief Elliott concerning the potholes for
Parker Street to the Throop border and also
the speed limit.

We have not had a response from
Chief Davis concerning illegally parked cast
in the Keyser Avenue Shopping Center and
what would happen to them if they were
blocking an emergency.

We had a phone call from Ryan

McGowan, city treasurer, that he just told

us he was working on our question regarding

the owners of Washburn Street Cemetery and

if they owed any taxes. He told us that

NCC, he put a search into them, they had not

responded and would not be able to for a few

weeks.

We did not receive a response from the IT manager, Frank Sweitnicki, concerning the city's cell phone contract.

These are all non responses from Mark Seitzinger, Director of License and Inspections. This is concerning the construction of the dwelling at 1129 Albright Avenue. The next was the status of a structure on North Main and Court Street. The next was for permits and zoning approvals for a new building at Albright Avenue and Grove, Ritter's Bar. All of the issues that we brought up concerning the Washburn Street Cemetery for his department also remain unanswered. We did not get an answer concerning the sidewalks for the house on the corner of Mulberry and Harrison Avenue.

This is a concerning the door of our handicap access, we went into extensive detail. It was researched by our assistant city clerk, he has not answered that either. He also hasn't answered the letter on the status of the rental registration fee. And

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he has not answered if the truck terminal at 2627 Pittston Avenue meets all zoning and city regulations.

The next group of letters that are unanswered are from Jeff Brazil, the DPW director. The first one is about a property at 622 Pittston Avenue. The next is the status of the anti-skid material. The next request was concerning the paving of the 300 block of Hamm Court. Next was a stop sign at Quincy and Pine Street near the Moses Taylor Hospital.

Also, Mr. Brazil did not answer the hazardous situation of the handicapped ramp at Spruce and Wyoming. Corner of Glinko and Ann, has this been put on the paving list remains unanswered. Did not answer our request about the potholes that appeared at Boulevard Avenue from Parker to the Throop border. We had a second request on the inventory for the refuse, recycling and maintenance departments, and our request about the 500 block of Rebecca Avenue also remain unanswered. That's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

I'd ask that we submit a second request to Daron Northeast and to Mark Migliori of ECTV and I would ask that we indicate in the correspondence to Mr. Migliore that certainly council anticipates a review of the renewal contract for Comcast which, of course, will include peg channels shortly and so we would appreciate his response and the manner in which he intends to address these issues since Comcast is not responsible, and we would ask for that are information let's say by next Tuesday.

In terms of Mr. Renda and the requests for information that we had submitted about equipment purchases, etcetera, I would like to now submit a Right-to-Know request to Mr. Renda in place of that original letter.

And I heard something today that I found very disappointing and I was hoping that it was perhaps just let's say a weightless rumor or a weightless threat, but I did hear, as I said, this afternoon from a department head that the heads of departments will no longer cooperate with

23

24

25

city council in any of it's requests and that if council wishes to pursue requests for information or council wishes to pursue requests for the people of the city to solve their problems, it must first go through the mayor so he will now decide what information council would receive and he would decide what citizens will receive help. And so rather than -- you know, I have to say I think this is -- this is very unfortunate, but Mrs. Krake's report tonight certainly lends great credence to what I heard this afternoon. And, as I said, I believe this is most unfortunate that the mayor has chosen this course of action and so I would advise beginning tonight that all residents who have problems take them directly to the mayor at this point. And the mayor's phone number is 348--4101. 348-4101. I believe you will have to speak with the mayor and he will decide then if he is going to help you with your problems.

Now, do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I have one. Grace

23

24

25

King, a 49-year-old devoted single mother to a daughter, Tamika King, who is graduating from college in May and twin sons, Brandon and Brian, who graduated from high school in June was diagnosed with pancreatic cancer in January. Grace who is a friend to many, a stranger to some, and a friendly to all has dedicated her life to helping others while facing many struggles along the way. want to help her meet the many challenges she is going to face and we are asking you to help us by supporting this worthy cause. They will be having a fundraiser on Sunday, March 21, from 1:00 to 7:00 at the Banshee located at 320 Penn Avenue in Scranton. Tickets will be on sale Pennsylvania. starting March 1 for a donation of \$10 and will also be available at the door. donation will include food and live entertainment. Our local bands will include Double Cross, The Wanabees, Cameron Ave. Band, Indigo Six, and Maybe Sunday. There will be basket raffles, a 5/50 drawing, a bake sale and a T-shirt sale. And also if anyone would like to make a donation they

can make the donation to: Saving Grace.

Care of Old Forge Bank, 540 Main Street,

Peckville, PA, 18452.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Are there any other council members?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Mrs.

Evans. First, I can confirm what you just stated because I did call one of the department heads today to make an appointment to go over some issues and I was told I had to go to the mayor, and I will be mentioning that later in my comments.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: But to go back to my announcements here: The Dante Club in South Side has a clam chowder sale every Friday until Easter. I believe the time is 11 until 2. It's 11 a.m. to 1 or 11 a.m. until 2, and it's very good because I was there myself.

Also, St. Lucy's Church in West
Scranton continues their annual Lenten food
sale. That's also on Fridays from 3 p.m. to
6:30 p.m. featuring clam chowder, pizza and
weekly specials such as past fagioli,

broccoli and shells, pasta marinara and polenta. Walk-ins are welcome, but you could also call in an order at 342-5981.

And another repeat announcement just to remind you that the Fraternal Order of Eagles is hosting their ham and cabbage dinner on March 13 from 3:30 until 7:30.

The doors will open at 1:00 on the cost will be \$8.00. Tickets can be purchased at the door. There will be shirts available for purchase, a DJ and just remember everybody is Irish on parade day in Scranton, PA, where the party never stops. That's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Is there anything else?

MR. ROGAN: Just one additional thing, Mrs. Krake, can we ask ECTV to scroll this announcement on the TV?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I just wanted to inform everyone that the zoning board meeting will be held in council chambers tomorrow at 6:00 p.m., for anyone that is interested.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Celebrate spring by dining at Friendly's Restaurant in Dunmore tomorrow evening, March 10, from 5 to 8 p.m. Proceeds will benefit St. Francis of Assisi Food Kitchen. The Mountain View Care Center Auxiliary will conduct it's annual pasta and meatball dinner on Saturday, March 20 from 4 to 7 p.m. at Divine Mercy Parish Hall, formerly St. Joseph's Hall, at 312 Davis street in Scranton. Tickets are \$7 for adults, \$4 for children and are available at the door and at the front desk of Mountain View Care Center. Having attended this dinner for many years, I can tell you firsthand that the food is wonderful and the service is excellent. Also, take a chance on the raffle for cash prizes. It's a great way to spend a Saturday evening.

Saints Peter and Paul Roman Catholic Church, 1309 West Locust Street in Scranton will hold a pierogi, clam chowder, halushki bake sale and basket raffle the weekend of Palm Sunday, Saturday, March 27, before and after the 4 p.m. mass, and Sunday, March 28, before and after the 11 a.m. mass. To

order, please call Sandy at 342-1719.

Again, that's 342--1719.

Council would like to congratulate the Scranton High School varsity boys basketball team who won the District II Quad A basketball championship. The first state playoff game against Warwick High School of Lancaster will be held this Saturday, March 13, at Marywood University. We wish the Scranton Knights the very best in their quest for a state championship. You are a great source of the pride to your families, your school and the City of Scranton. And that's all. And Holy Cross is a wonderful source of pride, too, to their family, their city and their wonderful school which also happens to be my alma mater.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, Fellow Scrantonians. A few words on 5-C thanking council for submitting my name for that position on the

2

3

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board of the Scranton Redevelopment

Authority. It was nice of you to do it and

I hope we get some good out of it.

Now, as a member of the Taxpayers' Association, Nay Aug Pool, as you know, we always run as many times as we can for free swimming up there, but I was surprised when you read off that little notification on that bond issue that Scranton runs all of the garages in Scranton, the parking garages, we lease them. What's the possibility of us leasing back our pool from the Recreation Authority? I wish you would look into it for a lease to get our pool back because they really care little of nothing for the kids on that pool or we wouldn't have to go out there and raise money for kids to swim there. If we get it back, all of the kids, especially the kids that live in this section of the city, will finally get their pool back that was denied I don't know why it was denied them, but that's the way things go in this city. I thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Sbaraglia. Bill Jackowitz:

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could just for clarification on that, I believe it's incorrect the statement that the City of Scranton leases back the garages from Scranton Parking Authority. I think that was in that ad for the municipal bonds that were available for that firm in Miami, that is not correct to my knowledge. Scranton Parking Authority operates and maintains the garages. The function of the city is that if there is a -- if there are insufficient revenues to pay the bond holders the city must provide that money to the Parking Authority so the bonds would not be in default, so I know that that's not accurate. The city does not lease back the garages.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident, member of the
Taxpayers' Association, master sergeant
United States Air Force retired. I received
this letter in the mail on Thursday, you all
have a copy of it. "Mr. Jackowitz, I feel

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the time has come to write this letter. Your talks are starting to get a little old. We all know what title the mayor has. it up. You act like a kid who doesn't get his way. Mr. Doherty is right. There are no poor kids anymore. Open your eyes and take a long look around yourself. I wish I could afford an I-phone and a cell phone. The facts are facts, you are watching too much of Haiti. More than half the city rent, live in projects not because they are poor but because they are lowlifes. go to the welfare office you see them all. They are not poor, far from it. If you know any that know how to beat the system let me I'm a senior citizen and I pay high taxes. So, please, give me a break and get on with something useful that comes out of

And it's signed Alice McCormick, no return address. Alice, does the mayor know his title? In November he was elected to his third term as mayor. December he announced that he was running for governor. January his wife asked for support for her

your mouth."

husband for lieutenant governor. February
Mayor Doherty announced that he is running
for state senate. I am well aware of his
title of mayor. Doherty, he seems to be the
one with the problem, not me.

Now, ex-governor, ex-lieutenant governor, wannabe senator Mayor Doherty wants to sue the citizens of Scranton over minor budget cuts, appointments to authorities and the Library Authority. Who is acting like a spoiled child? How would the lame duck mayor handle the Republicans in Harrisburg, sue them I guess? By the way the senate is controlled by Republicans in Harrisburg? Sue them, I guess? By the way, the senate is controlled by Republicans in Harrisburg.

Mr. Dougher was appointed to serve all of the citizens of the Scranton, rich, middle class, if there is one, and the poor. That is the difference between the Legion of Doom and the Doherty real people. Doomers understand that.

As far as Haiti, are you saying that there was no earthquake and devastation in

Haiti? I guess it never happened in Chili either or New Orleans. Alice, you were complaining about high taxes. Talk to Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli, Ms. Fanucci, Mayor Doherty and the Scranton School Board. Sending me a letter will not help you. I have never raised anybody's taxes, the above mentioned have.

63 percent of the residents in

Scranton are renters. I have spoken on this
subject many times and have been ignored by
the Doherty three. Why so many renters,
because there are no job, but more
importantly no good paying jobs in Scranton.

Although, wannabe Senator, Mayor

Doherty created 9,000 new jobs, his words

not mine, Mayor Doherty took credit for the

medical school for at least two years until

last week when he gave the credit to a small

group of medical doctors in the area.

As far as the people who live in the projects, some have found ways to beat the system, but not all. To make a blanket statement there are no poor children in Scranton is wrong. Alice, have you ever

heard of the working poor? I invite you to drive down South Webster Avenue between 6 and 8 a.m. or any bus route. You will see people waiting for buses. They are either students or working poor going to work. Why are they waiting for a bus? Because they cannot afford to purchase a car.

Alice, take a drive down Wyoming

Avenue, check out Labor Ready around 5 or 6

a.m. The people you will see standing in the

line are the working poor looking for work.

For one day they go day by day, paid daily,

taxes taken out and the spot.

Alice, I am also a senior citizen.

When you send me another letter please include your return your address so I can become a pen pal of yours. You are already have my home address. Maybe we can meet, have a cup of coffee and discuss our differences. Alice, name calling will get you no where, you lose credibility.

When I refer to the Doherty real people, these are the mayor's words not mine. When I refer to the Fanucci my people, these are Ms. Fanucci's words, not

mine. When I address Mr. Dougher's words there are no poor children in Scranton, they all have cell phones and I-Pods, these are Mr. Dougher's words, not mine.

When the Parks and Recreation states publically that the reason for the \$3 charge at the Nay Aug Park for the pools, slides is to keep the riff-raf out, those words again are Recreation Authority words, no mine.

Alice, when you refer to the people residing in the projects as lowlifes, those are your words, not mine. Again, I hope you understand the difference.

Alice, have you ever heard of the Stockholm syndrome? That is when you are taken hostage and you start siding with the abductor. That is what happened in Scranton with Mayor Doherty and the Times-Tribune.

The Doherty people were confused and afraid of the mayor and the newspaper. That has all changed and will continue to change slowly as the power is returned back to the people where it should be.

I apologize for calling you by your first name, I was not sure if you are a Miss

or a Mrs. If you write back, please inform me. Thank you. No supposition here, just facts. The only supposition is going to be right now, I think that was written by a Doherty real person. Maybe Mr. McGoff might even know who it is. He might even know Alice. Maybe he does, maybe he doesn't.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Joe Talimini.

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, City of Scranton. I'd like to remind the council, especially the mayor, and the citizens of this community that the United States predicated on a government of the people, by the people, and for the people. Now, we have an executive branch, we have a legislative branch, and we have a judicial branch. We also have a fourth group which is called the people and they are the most important branch of government.

Now, a lot of people can't come here to these meetings, there is lot of people who wish they could. The 20 or 30 people who are here every week are here because they really are concerned. I don't know of

anybody who comes here to pat themselves on the back. If they do, I feel sorry for them. But the point of the matter is this is Scranton 2010, not Munich 1933, and I hope our mayor understands that point because he was elected mayor, not furor and if he is going to try to be furor I guarantee you we are going to bring him down without question.

Now, we know that the last election the mayor won, okay? Give him credit, he won by a small majority, but so what. You three were elected last year by a vast majority. Obviously, the people of this city were fed up to the status quo, with the mayor and his cohorts. That has changed. Thankfully it's changed very much so.

Mr. Loscombe, you were appointed to fill the seat of Mr. Doherty -- excuse me, Mr. Courtright. Mr. Courtright won by a vast margin. We hope you will also win by a vast margin next time. The reason I mention this is for the simple reason is there are a lot of people out there who watch these proceedings on television. There are a lot

of people who contact us. Consequently, we have formed a volunteer group of civic activists. We are going to call ourselves "The Scranton Watchdog and Advisory board."

Now, what we are going to do is we are going to try get to these people who can't come to these meeting. There are a myriad of problems in this city. Senior citizens have them, youth have them, varied and subject groups, ethnic groups, racial groups, etcetera. You people can't get out to them. We are going to try to get to them. We are going to try to address their problems, bring them back to you and present them in a more concise form so you don't have to go through this routine every week.

We are doing this on a volunteer basis, we are not asking for any authority, we are not asking for any additional sanction. We will represent these people.

Mr. Patilla, Mr. Jackowitz, and Charlie Matyjeski will be joining me. We have a couple of other people in mind. We are going to try and reach out to these people.

I have already made arrangements to speak to

several of the Afro-Americans and make arrangements to speak with some of the Latin groups. Senior citizens, as you know, Mrs. Evans, we had a problem a couple of years ago when I invited you and Mr. Courtright to address some of these problems you were going to go to see the senior citizens we were turned down. Not by the housing authority, we were turned down by a manager.

I met with Mr. Peliccaci on Friday and that matter has been cleared up, so you will have access to some of these people or we will have access to them, hopefully you will, too, but we are offering you our help, we are hoping you will back us up on this. We would like to contact these people and get these people together, this is a good town. It always has been a good town. It's the politicians who ruined it and now we are going to take it back from the politicians.

You were elected, not appointed.

Nobody here was anointed, including our illustrious mayor and I'm very, very disturbed with what you just mentioned. I mean, if this guy thinks he is going to

control this city as a one-man operation he is in for a very, very big surprise because you people are be bound by your jobs. We are not. We are the citizens. We are the people who pay the taxes. We are the people who pay for Mark Seitzinger. We are the people who pay for Elliott. We are the people who pay for the mayor and, damn it, we want results and we want results that are in favor the people not an elected group. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Jerry Chazin.

MR. CHAZIN: As a newbie I made a lot of mistakes, one of them was not getting to the very pithy stuff that I wanted to say to you guys, so I'm going to do it right now so I get that over with. You governing, there are either the problems or the solutions. If you are part of the problems, stand aside before you get trampled and that apparently today when you mentioned the mayor that's the first thing that came to my mind. The FBI is coming in here, if they are not already, and hopefully they will be able to

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clean up a lot of the mess like they did in Luzerne County.

Let the people like yourselves that are constructive, resourceful and vigorous in their quest for solutions step forward as you have and others as the gentleman before me so illustriously said. The lines have been drawn, the battle for the heart and the soul and the future of Scranton has been engaged on high and you may be very, very proud to be an American. This council is carrying the banner of justice and responsibility. Let us all join in prayer that you have deep, personal courage and the key ears to listen to the beats of your hearts. Let justice and common sense prevail and may God bless you and keep you, and that's what I wanted to say the first two times.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. CHAZIN: I believe Scranton should have referendums, referendums as other states have and other municipalities have. Let the people of Scranton decide what the most pressing issues are and this

21

22

23

24

25

can be done in a couple of way: One, is we can actually get the Times involved and make a list of what the top ten or 15 pressing problems are and actually let people tell us or the council can print something up and have people actually come to the city hall and actually fill these ballots out. However, in order to vote you would have to be a resident for two years. We don't want newbies and we don't want their special interests. Let's respond to the two or three most important pressing issues and then each year continue with this referendum to see how effective we are in curing the problems and also catching the new ones quickly. Obviously, gangs were one of them and we let that go and there are many other things.

I think we need to look into whether you have the power to change the law in terms of how do we vote for a mayor, and you may have that ballot. I suggest that we have a 65 percent majority for mayor and we keep working at that number until it's given. If, in fact, it's good enough to

choose a pope, I think it should be good enough to choose a mayor for Scranton. You just keep on voting until you get the 65 percent and that's done in different places.

I think we need to ask help from the universities that take so much from us in lieu of the taxes they do not give. I think we have a right, you guys have a right to ask for top notch students and top notch professors to help, number one, frame the correct questions and then look for the correct answers with their help. We need to work hand-in-hand not look at them as not paying taxes, but there may be other things they can do.

I definitely think you need to look into the American Management Association for binding arbitration which I have mentioned two weeks ago and someone had said, well, it won't work. It will work and it does work. If a mayor decides he wants to fight it then it's got to come to a head, however, you guys have the power to demand binding arbitration which means it doesn't go on a second or third time and there is no extra

costs. It's a one-time deal, you put your best foot forward and you live with it.

I would like the police chief to be appointed by council, not the mayor. Now if this means you guys have to formulate some different laws then so be it. We should keep politics away from this crucial job. The police chief should be at public forums twice a month. I believe you guys can mandate this and you should look into it.

Find out if we are computerized as to police records so that we can then utilize the policemen where they are needed. New York City did this and other cities have done this and find out where the problems were the night before, two nights before, and you just utilize more manpower in those areas. If we do not have this the schools can help us. There are several schools that offer criminology. I am sure they will help us put this together.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Chazin, could you finish up next week, please? Thank you very much for all of your suggestions. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to start off, I'd like to congratulate the West Scranton High School girls and boys team for their success this year. It seems that we have always taken a back seat to the school of champions or whatever they call themselves down there, so I would like to congratulate them on their success. They have certainly represented the city with pride.

You know, listening to a few speakers here tonight it just seems like we have gotten away from the purpose of what these meetings are for and that's to conduct city business, you know, not conduct school yard name calling and bullying, I think it's absurd.

A few weeks back I had offered my cooperation with this council by recommending a revenue task force, but it seems I may have jumped the gun on my evaluation with this council. Since then I

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have listened to many residents as I have knocked on doors in the city campaigning for other candidates conducting statewide races, and residents who supported this council expressed their disappointment with the way we are conducting business here whether it's the budget or just the overall approach has council taken.

Now, I have stated before I support opening the budget, however, with further research that I have done and by talking to people taking the action now will only cost the city thousands of dollars in litigation, and we know it's going to happen, we know it's coming, and now today in the paper we read that council will appoint it's own members to the Redevelopment Authority as well as the Parking Authority. And nothing personal with Mr. Sbaraglia, Mr. Piccolino, I have respect for them, but this is a violation of the Home Rule Charter which only gives the mayor the authority to appoint people to the set authorities. So once again, we will face another legal challenge and if you read the paper today

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the statements made by the attorney,

Mr. Hickey did state that it is coming, so
once again, you know, we see other cities
have challenged this, but they haven't
gotten too far with it and don't expect that
to happen here in Scranton.

This council is playing a game and it's a game between the mayor for control of this city and if people up here want to be the mayor then you should have ran for the seat when given the opportunity. You know, you may have the people out here fooled, the same cheerleaders that come here each week, but you don't have me fooled and you don't have a lot of the people fooled that I have talked to because they see this council what it really is. You know, we could have the motto of putting people first, but the way I look at it, it's putting ourselves first and it's typical Scranton politics as usual. You know, we hear the philosophy that that was all going to change, it was going to be open transparency, a new way of government, but it's more of the same and it's got to stop and until it does, until it stops it's

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to be the same continuous politics in Scranton and we are not going to get anywhere. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Giovanni Piccolino.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city council, and fellow Scrantonians. My name is Giovanni Piccolino.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PICCOLINO: One of the owners of Buona Pizza. I would like to come up here and personally thank you guys and the Scranton Police Department for actually enforcing the parking if front of the pizza shop, but there is one entity I did leave out there and I find it very bizarre, it's still the Scranton Parking Authority is not the people issuing parking tickets, it's our policemen. They shouldn't have to be, I'm not going to say wasting their time, but I think our police officers have more better things to do than, you know, give out parking tickets. So I'm not quite sure, I called the Authority today because there was another vehicle parked out in front for at

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

least four hours and today the excuse was someone was at a funeral. The prior time I called someone was sick. It's always some excuse and I was just wondering if you guys would know are they that understaffed where one person missing from work that day would dictate an entire few blocks? I'm not -- you know, I don't know are they that understaffed?

MS. EVANS: I really can't answer that question definitively. I know only that in past years I believe there were six citation issuers employed and as of January 2010 there are now four citation issuers employed. However, the number of the individuals overall employed by the parking authority has increased sizably over the last few years. I'm not quite certain what the duties and responsibilities of all of these individuals would be, but I would agree with you that the Parking Authority should be addressing the parking issues this downtown Scranton for longstanding and new businesses within our city.

MR. PICCOLINO: Any business. It's

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not just us. Believe me, I talk to businesses all of the time and other business owners, it's a very, very difficult and extremely, I don't even know just bad situation with the parking. It's constantly complaining and I don't even know why is our side of Lackawanna Avenue, the construction has been complete for quite awhile now there is no parking meters there whatsoever, so the few construction workers that are left over that are working there they get to park for free all day and the other citizens wherever we go we got to pay to park? You, know, I'm not quite sure. That's a loss of revenue and, you know, if you get one ticket in the 15-minute parking I believe it's around 25 or 30 dollars, I mean, that's, I'd imagine that, you know, you could handle a few of the parking authority, the meter maids or whatever, that would handle their salary. I think we should have more meter maids on, if that's what they're called, I'm not sure, but than whatever the parking authority is doing.

It's a pretty bad situation and we

are people that depend on it. We extremely, extremely depend on it a lot and there is really no parking down town at all, so that's just my thought.

And on 5-E, you know, I appreciate you guys, you know, vote of confidence with me and hopefully I can do the best I can to bring what's going in Scranton to the forefront. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler, city resident,
taxpayer and homeowner. Over the years
there have been streets named after certain
people such as Mike Munchak Way and
different buildings or rooms and building
such as the Mellow Center, I would like to
suggest that the next time a street is named
or something is named after a person if
council could do something maybe to honor
Corporal Larry Johnson, if we could use his
name on something. You know, he paid the
ultimate sacrifice for his country and I
think that would be a great idea. Okay.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: You are welcome.

Moving on, I don't know if this is something I have to take to the mayor, but on the corner of 13th Avenue and Luzerne Street there is a stop sign there, but it is faded so bad that if you really don't look for it you can't see it. Now, there was one placed on the left-hand side, but I think by law a stop sign has to be on right and a few weeks ago there was an accident on that building -- on that street involving a West Scranton student. Whether that stop sign not being noticeable had something to do with it, I don't know, but it's a dangerous situation. I pick my daughter up there all the time, I know there is a stop sign there, but if you don't know it's there it's so faded you cannot really see it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: Lately there has been a lot of talk about nonprofits and I have been doing a lot of thinking. I don't know how colleges and hospitals are considered nonprofits. Just to go to the University of

Scranton, for instance, is tremendously expensive. All of the money they make I don't know how they are considered a nonprofit, and as far as the hospitals, I know someone had surgery about a year ago and when the IV was taken out of them and put the Band-Aid on them we are charged \$100 for a Band-Aid. How is a hospital considered a nonprofit if they -- I could buy a lifetime of Band-Aids for \$100 and they are charging \$100 for one, so how they can be considered a nonprofit is beyond me.

Moving on, Mr. Loscombe, did you get a chance to speak to Chief Elliott or --

 $\label{eq:mr.loscombe} \mbox{MR. LOSCOMBE:} \quad \mbox{I'll be addressing}$ that in my comments.

MR. SPINDLER: On what I asked a few weeks ago. Thank you. I see in a news last night that the former Molly Brannigan's is opening up just for St. Patrick's Day.

That's just what we need another bar opening up so we have more drunks running around, urinating on the sidewalks, throwing up, and it's bad enough on that day, we don't need another bar opening up just for that day,

and from what I hear the mayor is behind this, he wants them to open and it's just for the day, and I don't even know if they have a liquor license, so maybe it's something the LCB could be contacted about.

I'll probably be bashed about this on the Times website tomorrow as I am and as council is many times. There is a person who called new and improved Doomer hypocrites that bashes me, he said would be here tonight for a shoe to stick in my mouth, well, here I am. I'm here all the time. But I guess as usual he will be a coward and not show up. He hides behind his computer.

I want to talk about these appointments council wants to make, one to the Redevelopment Authority, one to the Parking Authority, the mayor is threatening to take council to Court over that. Well, if that happens I think council should just vote against the mayor's nominations and maybe if you do that there will be nobody left on these authorities and we could just do away with the authorities because if

council votes against them then, you know, you can override his vetoes and that's that. He can't take you to court over that, so hopefully council will do that, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I have a copy of here of the Home Rule Charter, okay, but the first thing I'm going to ask is you know something, I'm going to ask two members of this council to sustain the mayor's veto on 7-A so that this city doesn't find itself in litigation because I think that if this city finds itself in litigation over this budget, there aren't going to be any winners here.

The first thing I'd like to say is this, okay, you know, there is a lot of rivers of illusion, all right, and I think that's what's happening here, and what it leads to is an ocean of apathy. That's why people don't want to vote. There is just -- it's just terrible, okay? The first thing I

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have here, because I wrote them down, okay, you go to 202, division of powers, it's general. Read it tonight. Take a good luck at what you are trying propose here, okay? It's separates the powers of city government and tells what any department can do especially when you go to 203, allocation of certain powers. I mean, I'm sure that everybody her on council wants change, there is no doubt in that, but I think you have to do it in a prudent way and if you start a fight and you can't win you are going to lose your credibility, and I see trying to push these appointments to these authorities as being a losing situation for this city because it's not going to happen, okay, and I'll jump a little bit back to the administrative code, okay? It's right here.

And I don't know who is doing the research for this council, I really don't, Article IV, authorities, boards and commissions. And it says, "Appointments of members to authorities, boards and commissions. Where the appointment of members to authorities, boards or

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commissions is vested in the city by statute and ordinance such members shall be appointed by the mayor."

And that's what he has done. He has sent up to you that the people he wants Now, your job is to advise and appointed. consent. Now, if this thing gets bogged down he will just go around and go right to Court and the Court will appoint who he wants on these boards if that's what it comes to. You should talk to your solicitor because consolidation of power is a very difficult thing to do and I don't think that this council can consolidate power in this city.

You know, I'm not going to, you know, people are talking about who came to the podium about Hitler the furor in 1933, well, this is America, okay, and this is what has standing in this room, okay, and now we are going to go off and we are going to play games with the budget, we are going to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars maybe in Court, it's going to take years. Instead of spending the time necessary to

break the budget down like I recommended line item by line item, you know, Mrs. Evans, can you tell me, did this council break the budget up in sections and appoint various members to different parts of the budget?

MS. EVANS: For which budget?

MR. MORGAN: To prepare for the next year's budget, the mayor's budget, to put an alternate budget in place so that when the mayor's come up you can reject it and push your own budget through?

MS. EVANS: I'm sure we will be doing that.

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Now, if you want to find out what's going on in the city government you just go to Section 312, you've got the powers to do subpoenas. What's going on here in my opinion is plain silliness, okay, and I have been through the neighborhoods with my own petitions, and I'm going to tell you that, you know, I don't see anything good coming from this and I do have to say that some people are really disgusted. I'm a Democrat who told me he

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

couldn't even Democrats to sign his petitions, and I'm going to be a Republican.

And I just have to say this, that the people in this country are tired of political gridlock not just on this level, but every level of government. And I agree with what Mr. Jackowitz said about jobs and employment. I mean, this council should be reaching out to the Chamber of Commerce and trying to create employment opportunities here, all right, and wait for the next budget to come up and then cut it by 10 percent because these little proxy wars, this city doesn't have the money to fight You have to fight the battles you can win and there is no battle when you amend the mayor's budget next time. There is nothing you can do. What's the point? we going to keep playing politics? all we know here? That's how the city got to where it is, you may not appreciate what I'm saying, but I sat in these council chambers for over 15 years and I have seen it all and I've heard it all and everybody had a new vision for this city, and the only

thing that's happened to this city is that it's continued to deteriorate over a very long period of time. And I know for an absolute fact that Mrs. Evans, Mr. Rogan and Mr. Joyce you walked this city, you didn't see the blight? Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'd like to start with two seasonal things. I, too, have been out either collecting nomination petitions signatures or driving someone around so they could collect them, and I noticed two things: One, snow and fire hydrants. Up in the Summit everybody -- well, not everybody, but many of the people actually plowed out the fire hydrants. Here there was snow piled all around the fire hydrants and I think in some cases they would have had to be shoveled out if they are really needed, so I would like to suggest, as I have in the

past, that in the upcoming budget we at least put some money in for those I don't know what you call them, but they attach to the fire hydrant and come up and have a little flag so you at least know there is a fire hydrant there. Every second counts when you are dealing with fire.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And the other thing is numbers on homes. It's really pathetic in Scranton. I know we have an ordinance that requires that and I would hope you can find a way to enforce that not just because it's an ordinance, but if an ambulance has to come to a home it would really be nice if they could find the number.

And then again anew subject, but an old subject, drugs. You can't open the newspaper without seeing articles about drug busts and I think there was one today again about a car down in Pittston or Cedar Avenue. I know that for the police to make an arrest, and they have to make arrests, that they must do surveillance for a period of time before that happens, so they know

who the buyers are, and I think it's time we start exposing some of these buyers. You don't have a market unless they are both buyers and sellers. We obviously have a lot of sellers in this area so we obviously have a lot of buyers, and I think it's time to start exposing them. If he could meet with the police department and get names, publish them in the newspapers or bring them up here if the newspaper won't publish them, but it's time we know who are really using the drugs because otherwise all of these people would not be here.

With respect to the Ice Box, I will talk again, Mr. Hughes, later because I did go back and pull the ordinances and the original one that I have was only for the first one hundred years and it has stipulations for when that \$600,000 payment was to be made, but one thing I noticed when I was going over it in every instance the whole creation of that, the lease, was for -- lists the term lease. The lessee shall use the leased land in conjunction with the operation of a skating/rental

complex and, not or, and any other such commercial purposes the lessee determines or deems necessary unless terminated at an earlier date for any reason set forth in this lease.

So since it is my understanding that the skating rental complex is no longer functioning, I wonder if this lease is now null and void. Many, many references to that skating facility being a part of it and I will talk to Mr. Hughes about the --

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Schumacher, could we also make a copy of what you have tonight before we all depart for our homes?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Sure. Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Next, I noticed that ECTV or I don't know if it's ECTV, but there is now streaming video and a city website and I thought, oh, good, you know, we can see some of these meetings that are taped, that ECTV tapes, but none of the meetings are on there. They are mostly like little commercials, mini commercials. I don't know if anybody has had an

opportunity, but if you haven't I would suggest you look at them and I would also then like to suggest that since the city now has that capability that the city council meetings be added because a lot of people who no longer have Comcast because of the costs and have converted to dishes would still be interested in watching council meetings.

And then I never did get an answer on the what happens with the fact that we had so many expenditures over revenues at the end of last year. Does that deficit carry into this year or how does it get handled? I mean, we just can't --

- MS. EVANS: I had those same questions and they remain unanswered.
- MS. SCHUMACHER: Has anyone asked PEL what happens maybe?
 - MS. EVANS: Thank you.
- $\mbox{MS. SCHUMACHER:} \quad \mbox{I have more next} \\ \mbox{week.} \quad \mbox{Thank you.} \\$
- MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Schumacher, I just wanted to touch on a couple of things, if I can. The fire hydrants, years ago the

fire department used to maintain the hydrants, they had their own hydrant inspector. That position was done away with and the city turned the hydrants over to the water company, but the flags are a good idea. The guys used to go out and clean the hydrants when we maintained them and stuff so I don't know if it's a legal issue now or what the story is, but you are totally correct on that. Most of them I saw were clear, but there are a few that were plowed in.

As far as the addresses, there is an ordinance for the addresses, and I was going to pass some information on, Mr. McGoff and Mr. Rogan are working on the rental ordinances and so they can make sure that's enforced in that also.

And one last thing is you had asked about the NIXLE program, you can go on the city website and register in that. If you see me after the meeting I will give you this here paperwork.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I tried, but when I put my zip code in it says there is no

1 database for that zip code. MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay, I'll check it 2 3 out again for you, but I'll give you this. MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 4 MR. JOYCE: Also, Mrs. Schumacher, I 5 will be in contact with PEL for next week 6 and I will find out the answers to your 7 8 questions. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. MR. JOYCE: You're welcome. 10 11 MS. EVANS: Jean Suetta. MS. SUETTA: Good evening. 12 Jean 13 Suetta, Scranton resident. 14 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 15 MS. SUETTA: Could anybody please 16 tell me the status of North Scranton Junior 17 High School? This year they didn't even 18 light the star. The clock is being ruined 19 up there, I don't know what the Goodwill 20 Industry is doing, it seems to be nothing. That's school is good. 21 22 Well, you are in trouble tonight, I 23 have glasses. I want to congratulate yous 24 on fixing that hole on Albright Avenue. Ιt 25 only took a month because I go that way

every day to go to Weston Field. It took one month to fill one hole. Now, there is something wrong someplace and it was done this Monday.

About Molly Brannigan's on parade day. Are they going to be using their liquor license or are they going to be using the new Mexican restaurant? Because if they are using Molly Brannigan's I think the proceeds should go right to the city because they owe \$650,000.

MS. EVANS: I can't answer that question because, frankly, I wasn't even aware that the restaurant was going to be in use for one day.

MS. SUETTA: Just one day and one day only.

MS. EVANS: Parade day. This is all news to me.

MS. SUETTA: Yeah, you can go down and buy a \$5 pass, a \$5 ticket, it's going to be \$5 a beer.

MS. EVANS: And this is being --

MS. SUETTA: Sold over at Molly Brannigan's.

1 MS. EVANS: Yes, but is there a new owner who is -- -2 3 MS. SUETTA: The Mexican restaurant is going to open in May, from what I 4 understand, so I don't know if it's his 5 liquor license or Molly Brannigan's, but if 6 7 it's Molly Brannigan's I think the proceeds 8 should about right towards their outstanding 9 loan that they owe the city. 10 MR. ROGAN: I agree. I will look 11 into that. I would assume it would be the 12 new owner just opening. 13 MS. SUETTA: Well, how do they get a 14 liquor license if they are not going to open 15 until May. 16 MR. ROGAN: Well, I would assume he 17 would transfer the license, but I know in 18 the past a lot of this has happened, they 19 were saying they were doing renovations. 20 MS. SUETTA: But if it's still under 21 Molly Brannigan's I think we should get the 22 proceeds. 23 MR. ROGAN: I'll check into that. 24 MS. SUETTA: All right, thank you 25 The mayor, he is a clamony very much.

__

(phonetic). He don't know what color he wants, if he wants to be governor,

lieutenant governor, senator, and he is going to make decisions for us? He can't make his own decisions. How is he going to make a decision for us? I got to call him and tell him about a pothole. You know what my chances are other than slim to none? I'm correcting myself, you know.

And another announcement I have, for the Irishmen, Shamrock Card Association. We are making corsages and boutonnieres for the hospital and all of the homes and the nursing home facilities, we need to make 4,2000 of them and we are making them on March 14, 15, and 16 down at the PNC Field in the locker room. Anybody that wants to volunteer could call Donna at 342-4944, and it's a good time. We have a lot of fun making the corsages, it's for the elderly and the sickly. If anybody wants to come we would appreciate it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SUETTA: You are welcome.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. SUETTA: You'll find out about Molly Brannigans?

MR. ROGAN: Yep.

MS. SUETTA: Hi, Bob. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Sam Patilla.

MR. PATILLA: Good evening, people's council. Sam Patilla, South Side resident and member of Scranton, citizen, Taxpayers' Association.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PATILLA: Madam President, first I'd like to commend you and your council on your selection of Items 5-C and 5-D, all Now, right to the heart of the right. matter. Your mayor has never represented me and mine and he never will, okay? I have been here almost four years and I have yet to see that man appear in this council once. From what I've gathered throughout the years he spends more time on the road than he does in that office, so if I have any questions I will continue to bring them to council as I have for the last three and a half years and I suggest everybody else do the same, but

out of respect for you, Madam President, I will pass the number along and hope they blow his phone out.

Now, also during the past three plus years other speakers along with myself have stated on numerous occasions how this mayor has violated the Home Rule Charter and the Recovery Act and I find it ludicrous that he and his supporters now come before this council complaining about violations of the Home Rule Charter, all right? It doesn't make any sense for the kettle calling the pot black. You know, honestly, I would prefer that those who actually spoke some actually paid taxes in this city before they start delving into what we can and cannot do as far as this budget is concerned.

Madam President and People's

Council, continue down the path that you are going down, all right? There was a time when your mayor had taken his ball and go home. Well, the taxpayers made their choice in the past election. Even though there might be a few who aren't happy anymore or don't things are going along the line that

they think they should be going or progressing as fast as they think or too fast, all right, they made their decisions, all right? We are behind the People's Council, all right? I don't know who is going to pay these alleged legal bills when the bills have to come before council, and I'm quite sure the council can set some type of limit on what they will and will not pay as they have been in the past in regard to taking care of the best interests of the taxpayers, so all of the bull hookie about the mayor and end ess court, the years in court is a bunch of crap bookies.

Now, I respect and love Lee Morgan, always have and we don't agree about anything, but it's kind of ridiculous for him to come before council and make these allegations about council stopping the process that they've begun in order to appease somebody who has never been in it for taxpayers as far as I'm concerned. You know, if they want to support him fine and dandy, but like I said earlier, we are taking our ball back and our ball happens to

be the City of Scranton. We pay the bills, we make the decisions. Not Doherty, not his supporters, all right? There was a time a few months back they did, now they don't. Get over it. Council, I respect your decisions, I will support you 125 percent. Continue down the path. Don't let anybody sway you.

You know, we have one of the most intelligence attorneys in the city on our side, and I'm not a big fan of attorneys so that goes a lot for me to have to say that, but I have a lot of respect for you, counsel, you know? But, Madam President, back on point. Continue down the path, just don't forget where you came from, who you are representing and everything will work out just fine. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave

Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers', resident

of Scranton. I'd also like to comment on

that we were told comment, well, the one

thing I'm not pulled about is another young

guy that runs around with a self-righteous

2

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attitude in a suit and thinks that I don't recognize it's just another dog without a bone.

And then I'd like to speak out on about nonprofits here once again. I don't like to say nasty things about them, I have always said that because it's part of a state constitution that we respect their tax exempt status, that we start to turn back to toward the states for an answer to the problem, and maybe somebody up valley or down valley won't like that and they like to site the fact that they pay a 1 percent income tax or something, municipal income tax, but it's not right and that's what is not right, not the nonprofit existing. do a lot of things and by and large they have -- their actually taxes will be higher if they charged for the services the way they could in all cases, but I'd just like people to know that, you know, you people can go in whatever direction you want to go in and for right now I just want to sit back and observe and see how you do.

And telling every department head

2

3

4

5

6 7

council?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there
anyone else that would like to address

not to cooperate with council is -- that's

made to date. Thank you and have a good

just ridiculous any mistakes you people have

MR. STUCKER: How are you doing, McGoff? We had a nice day today. yesterday, too, it was nice out. So we had lot of problems now in the city and a problem picking up our garage it's pretty None of them get back. Lot of garbage is outside. They are not picking it up the people putting garbage out, couches, chairs, refrigerators, all kinds of junk all over on Ash Street, all over. They shouldn't put garbage back out. They shouldn't be putting it out and throw it in bags, that's how are supposed to. What they are doing is putting garbage out, couches and chairs and beds and all of that and they should have a private loader for that, they don't, so.

MR. ROGAN: Well, we'll do what we can.

MR. STUCKER: And what about those houses? What about those houses on Greenridge still up yet?

MR. ROGAN: The house on the corner of Greenridge Street? I think when the weather gets better?

MR. STUCKER: Last week I talked about it, talked to him, supposed to see about taking it down, and there is one across the street from -- two of them across the street from Mercy. I think it's Ash Street, the top of the hill there, there is two of them there. I talked last month about that.

MR. ROGAN: We'll look into that for you.

MR. STUCKER: Okay. We need some more -- we need something for skateboards. They are really getting bad again. Now, it's getting warm out now, the weather is getting out there is going to be a lot of problems with skateboards on the curbs and sidewalks, all over the courthouse. Everywhere you go you see a skateboard. We have to have some place for them, maybe Nay

Aug Park or something up there and build it up there for them. That's what we need for skateboards. Too many out there. Too many of them riding on the roads.

MR. ROGAN: Well, thank you.

MR. STUCKER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Jim.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else?

MS. STULGIS: My name is Ann Marie Stulgis and I live in the city.
Unfortunately, people are still chattering about the amendments to the budget, unfortunately, it's only been words with very little fact. As far as council is concerned, Mr. McGoff appears to be the only person who objects to this and is quoted as saying that he believes it's politically motivated.

Unfortunately, I have to disagree with you. You made the same kind of -- agreed to the same kind of cuts when the mayor made them only they weren't political appointee cuts, they were cuts of union people and you never once voted against it nor could I find anywhere in past minutes

where you objected to the elimination of those jobs. As a matter of fact, you supported that.

You also expressed your dismay about the elimination of a person who writes grants for recycling, yet when the mayor proposed the elimination of the person who writes grants for the police department you didn't object. It didn't seem to be very important to you because you never uttered a word against it. I don't understand your sudden concern about a grant writer when in the past you weren't concerned at all. That is what appears to be politics.

Also, you appear to be rubber stamping, as did some of your previous council members, anything that the mayor tells you to, and I have to say that doesn't give anybody a very warm feeling. You made the statement that the Right-to-Know would be hampered and yet you didn't state why and it really doesn't appear we need a full-time person for an entire year to handle the Right-to-Know. You need one person with common sense who can make copies and make

change when people pay for the copies.

You also pointed out that it's going to cost the city a lot of money because the amendments will eliminate jobs, but you didn't worry about that when previous budgets that you supported were eliminating jobs. You were concerned about the cost of unemployment from the elimination of jobs yet in previous budgets when jobs were eliminated you weren't worried at all about unemployment, you never even brought it up.

You stated you are concerned about the cost of health care because of these jobs being eliminated. Once people leave the employ of the city they no longer have health care, so costs will go down for health care. You mentioned the cost of the Cobra. Cobra isn't a permanent thing and while I agree some of these people will be eligible for Cobra so were the people that you agreed to eliminate and you weren't worried about them getting Cobra. Of course, they weren't political appointees, they were union people.

It seems to me that that again reeks

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of politics. I certainly don't think you would believe that the people who are appointed are anymore worth caring about than the union positions that you agreed to eliminate.

I just find it amazing that you have suddenly became aware of costs of unemployment and costs of Cobra when in the past your support was always for the elimination of jobs. You also weren't happy with the \$200,000 cut in citation issuers, and may I just say that citations and tickets are two different things, but we have been giving an awful lot of money over there. As a matter of fact, just a few years ago I brought it up here because we gave \$750,000 for citation issuers which I assume would be the people who issue tickets. We had ten. They don't make \$75,000 a year, so why are we dumping money over there? Where it was really going? wasn't going to them. That was just a fake figure thrown in another fake budget just as a lot of fake figures are put into budgets.

You believe this budget is balanced,

but have you gone back and compared past budgets where revenue numbers were inflated consistently year after year after year by comparing them to what the actual figures were at the end of the year, and it's the same expenditures if you go back and look, and just one example would be police overtime. Continuously they have been very low figures when, in fact, the reality is they were every year much, much higher and no adjustment was made. The city continued to plug in low figures to give a fake balanced budget. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else that cares to address council?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening,
Council. Nelson Ancherani, First Amendment
Rights.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ANCHERANI: First off, I support council's overriding the administration's veto on the amending of the budget. You as a council can amend the budget. Connors' 2002 budget was amended by the new administration when it took office in 2002.

Favorites got raises because of the amended budgets and new hires and new positions were created at higher wages and the positions that were eliminated. I believe 19 clerical positions were eliminated in 2003. Overall, 53 positions were eliminated since 2001 and none of them were by council except by their votes accepting the administration's budgets.

Mrs. Evans, I don't recall you voting in favor of any of the budgets that--

MS. EVANS: That's correct.

MR. ANCHERANI: -- eliminated positions. I do recall you attempting to save the taxpayers money by amending the budgets, but never getting enough support to Where I must ask was the outrage do that. by the administration when positions were eliminated? That's right. There wasn't any outrage because it was the administration that eliminated the positions. I don't recall any threats of lawsuits when those positions were eliminated over the years. Do any of you?

Even though 53 positions were

25

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

eliminated, the budgets have increased by over 100 million dollars cumulatively over the years since 2001. Council, you can and should cut the fat. Our grandkids will thank you.

The mayor is threatening a lawsuit if you override his veto. He says pools won't open if you override. If he sues the sad part is it won't be settled this year, so if the pools don't open it won't be because of this council. Critics say taxpayers' money will be wasted by council and the mayor fighting each other. and said it before, the \$90,0000 that was left in the law department professional services account should be cut by \$89,000 Stop paying \$600 an hour to outside attorneys and let our legal department handle the legal cases. Let's stop paying outsiders millions to fight all of these Why do we have a legal department if cases. they are not doing the legal work?

There is something else bothering me too that I'm trying to figure out. The DPW building originally cost three million to

build, but that was underestimated and over one million was added to the cost. That would make it over four million.

Since the 2005 budget account line item 01.401.15311.4299, operation TSF to debt service, sale leaseback of DPW was initiated. Since then for every year thereafter and including 2010, this account has remained in money expended toward the debt service. In 2007, another account was initiated 01.401.15312.4299 with the same title as the first account.

The first account had series 2004 added to it and the second account had Series 2005 added to it. Since then, \$8,745,000 has been budgeted and expended to that account. That's twice what we paid to build the building. Are we leasing the DPW building? How long will we be leasing it for? Why are we leasing it? How much more are we going to spend on it?

On page 20 of the budget, intergovernmental reimbursement, and thi is quote: "The city still receives Act 1010 Recycling Grant. Since the grant has

certain restrictions, the city segregates

funds out of the operating account and into
a special city's account. What is the
special city's account and how much is it in
is?

And recently, the mayor gave his annual state of the city address and he said, "The people strive to give back to their community."

Truer words weren't spoken. With our approximately \$400,000,000 long-term debt our grandkids will be striving and struggling to give back to their community. Paying off the \$400,000,000 long-term debt because of this and administration's out of control borrowing and spending. It's up to you guys. You guys can stop it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Are there any other speakers?

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. Ronnie Ellman again.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, last week.

MS. EVANS: Your name, please and residence.

. .

MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, I didn't hear if you said it or not for Ms. Carrera.

MR. ELLMAN: Did you get it?

MS. CARRERA: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Last week I went to an auction to buy a little pedal car, an antique pedal car and there was very attractive young lady walking around and I talked to her three or four times being the rascal I am, and I was at the back of the room waiting for my little pedal car to come up and she was walking straights towards me and I'm watching and we are looking at each other, walked right up to me and she said real sort of confidentially, "Could you please tell me where the restroom is?"

See, that's what happens in old age.

Anyway, this morning Ms. Rosie had a heart attack and to see somebody in agony and you can't help them, you know, of course I phoned 911, that's why I'm saying this, and this woman I spoke to was so professional and so good and calmed me down and told me

11

12

13

14

15

forth and then the ambulance got there, and I don't know, just a couple of minutes they real quick response and I'd like to thank everybody concerned in this and we are very fortunate to have this medical team in this city because I have used them before when I used to have asthma attacks and very, very good and very professional in everything they do.

what to, you know, try to do and say and so

And now I'd like to talk about something I don't think is very professional and that's the medical school article pinpointing the problems with the city here. To me, they are the problem. They want to take 20 acres when they don't need to be and they want to displace 750 people from the project. They don't need to do that and they've taken the playground, the only one in the area that didn't need to be. just take five, ten years when they graduate a class, how many do you think will stay in this town? There's probably not a one. It's just like the University of Scranton, in 15 years I don't know how many people

25

they've graduated, but I bet you couldn't find a handful that bought a house or opened a business or stayed here that lived in the dormitory for four years and took all of the services that we had to give them.

Now, we've got a growing problem with the medical school and Lackawanna C College and Mrs. Swift has made it emphatic how much money they have cost the taxpayers of this city, now they've got two institutions starting over plus one that's going to keep going.

And it's, like I said, when I talked to my first cousin last month or two months ago he said in his whole life, and he is a professional business, he is an attorney, he has never heard of a city like this that the nonprofits have taken over. It's terrible and I really do with my time is talk to people, and I have never heard so much, just people are just so heart broken. They are losing their houses in the paper today or yesterday it was talking about foreclosures. I just find this so sad. So sad when you talk to someone that's lost a house. I have

23

24

25

talked to some, you know, everybody should have a home of some sort, and these people I heard that some people are sort of cold about the project being taken over. That's still their homes, you know, I don't care what their circumstances are financially, we are talking about people's homes, you know, and it means so much to them. Even those little apartments mean so much. I used to talk to Mr. Davis, I think he lived on the Olive Street apartments then if I'm not mistaken and, you know, he was talking about having some flowers outside and this and that, and it's just terrible. And the people of this city are just relying on you to try to help stop this drain on our taxes and the drain on the city. They are not a -- this isn't a good thing, you know, and to put it in writing, put it in black and white what they are intentions are has just made, you know, ten times as worse.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: See, I can't blame

Mr. Hughes anymore. You are going to be the

new enemy for everybody. Thank you,

Are

Bob Jones,

1 Council. MS. EVANS: 2 Thank you. 3 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello. Janet. MS. EVANS: Hev. Chris. 4 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Jack, what's 5 up, buddy. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: How are ya, Chris? MR. SLEDENZSKI: Janet, can I ask 8 9 Mr. Rogan a question? Pat at the parade 10 Saturday, right, it's the parade Saturday at 11 11:30, I want cops everywhere. I went them 12 all over the mall, outside, near the bridge, 13 Anywhere. Put them somewhere, all right? 14 Thank you. 15 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris. 16 there any other speakers? 17 MR. JONES: Hi, Council. 18 Scranton resident, member of the Lackawanna 19 Taxpayers' Association, and I figured I 20 would try my public speaking, a little 21 practice. I was reading the Times on-line 22 and I have just been thinking back to the 23 mayor's chaninigans the past nine years and 24 I feel he pretty much deserves nothing but a

vote of no confidence, and I'm thinking how

25

can we get him out of office. So I'm looking through the Home Rule Charter and all I could see was a clause involving mental incapacity. Well, there is obviously a case of schizophrenia here because he thinks he is a governor, he thinks he is a lieutenant governor, and he thinks he is a senator and probably when he goes home at night he's probably got a Napoleon suit on top of it, so there is a clear case of mental incapacity right there.

And also, just to sum up what Mr. Miller said, he seems to be blaming this council for costing the city money, but just remember who is the one who opens these court cases? This is the mayor's fault not you guys, so bring on the judges and stay the course. Thanks.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

Then, Mr. McGoff, any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. Thank you. As was mentioned, this Saturday is the St.

Patrick's Day parade. The parade association has worked very hard and

diligently to put together what should be a great event. I would hope that families from all of around the community come and enjoy the parade on Saturday. Hopefully the weather will cooperate for some of it, and please come and celebrate, but celebrate wisely and responsibly and for those who have no intention of doing that, I would ask you to please stay home. The parade is a great event and it's reputation is I don't want to say ruined, but certainly it's reputation is marred by the people who come to the city to do other things than to enjoy the parade. So please come and enjoy.

Second thing, in the Home Rule
Charter, Section 306, it says, "Council
shall deal with all administrative agencies
and employees only as a body through the
mayor."

And I'm sure that this is what the mayor is using as justification for asking department heads not to respond to council. In my three years here I don't think that that has ever been -- I don't want to say enforced, but I don't think it's ever been

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used as a practical measure and I don't believe it should be as this time either. I think that's a mistake on the mayor's part and if, in fact, he has ordered department heads not to -- to only respond through his office I will at the next opportunity go to the mayor and ask him to rescind that order. I don't think it's proper. I think that council should have access to all departments and the departments should have access to council without that intermediary.

And one thing for clarification purposes, Scranton Parking Authority has four enforcement officers. I believe those are the people that issue the citations; two enforcement specialists, if I'm not mistaken those are the people that actually collect the fines in the office, at one time I was told I think that was the difference, and they have one collector, the person that actually goes and collects the money from the meters, and also three management So that is the -- and whether positions. they are overworked when one is missing I don't know. That is the staff of the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Parking Authority at this time of the citation officers or whatever they are called, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.

Mr. Rogan, do you have any comments or

motions?

Yes, I have a few MR. ROGAN: citizens' requests, but before I get into those, I hope that it is not true that the department heads will not be answering our requests from now on. You know, I have only been on council a few months, but the majority of my requests on behalf of the citizens have been answered, not all of them, but I hope they will continue to do their jobs and to help us do our jobs to relay information because by them not communicating with us it doesn't hurt us, it hurts the citizens because the work we do on a daily basis is the citizens' work and I hope these requests get answered.

But the first one is actually from Mr. Spindler tonight. Mrs. Krake, would you please send a letter to the DPW asking them to check up on the stop sign on the corner

of 13th Avenue and Luzerne Street since it's been reported that it's faded.

I received an e-mail from a resident who hit a pothole on Nay Aug Avenue just last week and previous to hitting the pothole -- or after hitting the pothole he called the DPW and the dispatch said it would fixed and it's still not and his car was damaged, he actually has to make an appointment with a mechanic to get it fixed and he sent me some pictures so I will forward those to you and hopefully we can forward them and the DPW and can make quick work of that.

the individual if they have car damage they can also report this to the legal department. I do think, however, they would have had to have filed a police report, at least in years past when some citizens have contacted me about damages to vehicles that had hit potholes in the city streets, they had called the police, they had a copy of the police report, they went and obtained an estimate for the damages and then all of

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that information was presented in the law office and I believe then the city or the city's insurance is going to cover that. So if you should receive any other calls of that nature please direct individuals to that course of action.

MR. ROGAN: Well, thank you for that information, I'll pass that along. Ι received another e-mail from a resident from lower west side. They live right across the river from the South Side Shopping Center and they have on Tuesdays, Fridays and occasionally on Saturdays the garbage is picked up around 3:30 in the morning, the dumpsters behind the South Side Shopping Center and the dumpsters hitting the ground are waking up everyone in the neighborhood and they said this must started happening so they must have hired a new company. I don't know if there is anything we can do about it, I told them I would mention it to But, Mrs. Krake, could we send a letter to Mr. Seitzinger to see if there is anything we can do about this situation? don't know if there is, but I would still

like to try and help this person.

And I received one more request, which was more of question actually. Last year the city pool on Greenridge was closed to have a new liner put in. Could we send a letter to Mr. Dougher asking if liners were put in in any of the other city pools and if there is any plans to.

And one final note, somebody mentioned earlier about, I think it was Mr. Schumacher, about the fire hydrants throughout the city and a lot of them being snowed in, and I think it's just good to mention here because we are on TV that I would encourage all of the neighbors in their neighborhood to clean out the area around there because it may be your house where you have an emergency or one of your neighbors, so hopefully neighbors could help neighbors and I think that's what Scranton is all about. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Loscombe, any motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a few comments. Thank you, Mrs. Evans. I had

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

several issues presented to me regarding the flood program over the past several weeks and did meet last Wednesday with Mr. Paul O'Hora from the city, one of the flood coordinators, who was determined at that meeting of Mr. Brazil, the director of public works, should also meet with us. However, due to Mr. Brazil being out of his office last week a meeting has yet to be set I did speak with Mr. Brazil earlier up. today and he assured me over the phone that he would be more than willing to meet to discuss our various citizens concerns. However, he did state that approval would have to come through the mayor's office. That's where I received that indication.

So, Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to the mayor's office requesting permission of the public safety chairman for a meeting with Mr. Brazil and Mr. O'Hora to discuss the various citizens' and personal concerns regarding the flood protections, flood warning and flood coordination efforts in the city? Thank you.

And this is for Les. Les must have

left, but I do have a number of issues to go over with the police chief, but due to my schedule this past week I have been unable to coordinate a convenient time to actually sit down with the chief to discuss these issues. I will be out of town for the next week so I'll try to arrange a meeting after our next council meeting.

Chief Elliott has been responding by e-mail to most of our concerns that have been forwarded to him and for that I thank him. I will update you as to our eventual meeting. Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to the mayor requesting permission as the public safety chairman for a meeting with Chief Elliott regarding several safety issues. Thank you.

I have to put my glasses on for this. I found this buried in the tenth page ever the newspaper today: "Mayors seeking more taxing power. Mayors of small and medium-sized Pennsylvania cities said the legislature must allow them to raise new tax revenue to prevent move of them falling into financial crises. More than two dozen

mayors appeared Monday at the capital to push lawmakers to take action on bills that would help the cities get new revenue from sales taxes and reimbursements for tax exempt properties. The mayors said they aren't looking for a bailout, but need the tools to help themselves. The cities got a large portion of their income from property taxes."

This is something that we have been discussing for several weeks now how different cities in this state are jumping on a bandwagon. We are not the only once in this trouble, but the more that become involved the better it is for all of us.

I'm just curious as to whether our mayor has attended any of these meetings or has shown any interest.

And finally, this is my statement regarding the budget. I'm voting in favor of overriding the mayor's veto of city council budget amendments. I have stated my reasons for supporting our budget amendments over the past six several weeks, not the least of which is the ability to cut nearly

\$700,000 worth of expenses.

I have also heard the arguments that the mayor is willing to sue city council other over the enactment of our amended budget. That would be a waste of the taxpayers' money and eradicate any potential savings that would be realized and that we should wait until November when we likely have more opportunity and influence over the 2011 budget.

I have taken all of these objections under consideration and given the mayor's proclivity to sue or appeal nearly every situation or decision handed to him that is not within his favor, who is to say that he will not sue us over the 2011 budget? The bleeding has to stop today. I do not want the taxpayers of this city to be punished any more than they have been for the past nine years by the unchecked borrowing and spending.

We are not here to continue the business as usual attitude that has prevailed. I believe that I was appointed and my colleagues were elected to protect

the taxpayers by providing to fiscal responsibility and the accountability. The line has been drawn in the sand and if the mayor wants to represent all of the taxpayers he will subscribe to that same code of fiscal responsibility and accountability that we have pledged to provide to all Scrantonians.

If Mr. Doherty truly wants to be a strong mayor, he is more and welcome to appear here as the sixth councilman and explain to all of us why saving taxpayer money is a bad thing and worthy of a lawsuit. Mr. Mayor, stop hiding behind your lawyers and biased newspaper and debate with us in public as to your objections to reducing budget expenditures and taking another step closer to financial solvency and the removal of the distressed city's tag. That's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Mr. Joyce, any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. In 3-A as you know, the tax comparison reports were received from the Single Tax Office and I

just wanted to report on that. Just to educate the public, the tax office collects the business privilege, earned income, mercantile, LST and the real estate tax. So far in comparison to 2009 the tax office is doing very well and they are bringing more money into the city than they did last year.

To start, in 2009 up until February
23 the tax office had brought in \$1.33
million collected in real estate tax. Up to
the same point in 2010 the tax office has
brought in \$2.2 million.

As far as the wage tax is concerned, February 23, 2009, up to that point the tax office brought in \$3.48 million. In 2010, during the same time period for collection of the wage tax, the tax office has brought in \$4.93 million.

As far as the LST is concerned, the local services tax, up to February 23, 2009, the tax office brought in \$374,000. To this point in 2010, the tax office has brought in \$357,000, and that could be expected due to unemployment rates being higher, that small decrease.

Also, the business privilege mercantile taxes, up to February 23, 2009, the office brought in \$347,000. Up to this point in 2010, the office has brought in \$62,500.

Overall, up to February 23 of 2009 the tax office brought in \$5.2 million and during the same period of time in 2010 the tax office has brought in \$7.55 million for an overall increase of \$2.34 million dollars, so at this time I would just like to thank Mr. Courtright and all of the staff over at tax office for a great job they are doing and I hope they can keep it up.

Also, as far as what I have heard tonight about the mayor requesting that the department heads -- that we receive permission from him before we go to department heads, that hasn't been confirmed to me yet so I do have a few citizens' requests and I am going to be asking Mrs. Krake to send a few letters to department heads. If you could also copy the mayor's office on those requests that I will read for you in a second that would be

greatly appreciated, so at least if that is going to be the new rule that is set he could have a head's up as to what these complaints are.

To begin, I received a call from a resident explaining that there were multiple pieces of land, the road torn up on the 900 block of Meadow Avenue making it difficult for those driving on the stretch of road to maneuver. Upon visiting the street, I do share those same concerns with the resident. The road is in very rough shape. So, Mrs. Krake, would you please send a letter to Mr. Brazil asking him to have this burdensome stretch of road repaired. Along with this, instruct him in the letter to provide an expected date of completion.

Also, I received a call from a resident on the 600 block of Willow Street in Scranton South Side regarding a large pothole in the middle of the road. Upon viewing the site, I saw that the pothole is located in the later portion of the road in the area between I'd say 639 and 641 Willow, in that general vicinity. Mrs. Krake, can

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you please also add this address to the letter to Mr. Brazil.

In addition, I have been contacted by a resident on West Lackawanna Avenue, and just to give you some background, this is a lifelong Scranton resident who been living at this location for 50 years regarding a property at 914 West Lackawanna and parking issues. As the resident informed, in 2005 there were four apartments at this location, however, the building was sold to an out-of-town resident who converted the structure into seven apartments. In the back of this building there is a small lot where cars can be parked. The resident making the complaint explained that originally the owner of 914 West Lackawanna had stated that there were room for 12 cars to park in the lot when one includes the garage which adjacent to the lot. This is now a laundry room for the residents the resident informed and upon viewing the lot it can be seen that there is really not enough space for parking at this facility. The area that landlord claims is the parking

lot is roughly 80 feet, 30 of which is unusable due to a -- due to various trees and brushes growing on it by 44 feet, which 20 of it is relative unusable due to it being on a large steep hill.

A standard parking space is roughly 9 feet by 20 feet, just to inform the public. So, you know, if you do the math with this being said I would say that there is room for maybe five point some, round it down to fire cars at a maximum, so with this being said many of the residents of 914 Lackawanna are parking on the resident's sidewalk and this resident happens to live in a "No Parking" zone.

In addition to this, the resident also informed me that some of the tenants at this facility are also blocking the driveway that the resident has, which obviously that's a problem since the resident can't get in and out of their own driveway, but anyhow, the resident informed that he notified the owner of the property and really nothing has happened and, you know, it's become more of a moot point, but with

this in mind can you please send a letter to Chief Elliott and inform him of this situation and instruct him to inform the officers on patrol in that area of the situation.

In addition, could you please a send a letter to Mr. Brazil and ask him to have a "No Parking" driveway sign installed by the resident's driveway to prevent drivers from actually blocking that area. Also, on this letter please ask him to provide an expected date of completion.

been informed by a resident that the streetlight on the right-hand side of Luzerne Street next to the Family Dollar is currently out. This is making a hindrance for some at night to enter and exit the parking lot. Mrs. Krake, if you could please send a letter to Mr. Kerns, from Municipal Energy Managers asking them to have this light taken care of. The number on the light is IOK6K56789N45823, and that is all I have for this tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Can I just add something?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Krake, maybe you might want to forward something to the zoning officer because the parking has been reduced from it's original inception. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe. Good evening. Following last week's council meeting, Solicitor Hughes brought to council attention legal issues that affect the appointments to boards and authorities that the mayor presented to council recently. As a result, council will introduce legislation drafted by Solicitor Hughes to appoint two individuals tonight, Mr. Andy Sbaraglia to the Scranton Redevelopment Authority and Mr. Giovanni Piccolino to the Scranton Parking Authority in order to fill the unexpired term of Miss Kathleen Dunn. During the voting portion of our meeting, I will ask Solicitor Hughes for his opinions.

Next, Mrs. Krake, council wishes to send a Right-to-Know request to the Scranton

Redevelopment Authority to include the following: Bidding specifications and legal advertisements for the construction of the two parking garages constructed by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority and transferred to the Scranton Parking Authority. Copies of all bids, review and analysis of bids, and awarding of the bids to successful bidders. Please prepare this document and send it by certified mail return receipt and by standard mail as well before close of business tomorrow.

As you are all aware, the mayor vetoed council's legislation to amend the 2010 operating budget. In accordance with Article V, Section 504, of the Home Rule Charter, council has placed the ordinance on it's agenda for consideration at it's next regularly scheduled meeting.

Now, council's budget amendments consequently appear in Seventh Order in tonight's agenda. Council hopes that the mayor will forego litigation in the best interest of the taxpayers and join us in cutting the cost of city government.

I do have some citizens' requests for the week, Mrs. Krake, and as was indicated previously we can copy this to the mayor. A resident of East Mountain reports that drivers are speeding through the stop sign at the intersection of Snook Street and Seymour Avenue. On a daily basis he witnesses vehicles driving at excessive speeds for one-quarter mile on Seymour Street and ignoring the stop sign at the aforementioned intersection. Please address this safety issue whenever possible.

A letter to Brian Swanson, city engineer: Council requests an update on the progress of the Crisp Avenue Bridge on or before March 30, 2010. According to the schedule that Mr. Swanson had provided to council, the project should be at midpoint.

A letter to Goodwill Industries:

Please provide council with an update on the North Scranton Junior High School project.

Now, in that specific instance, Mrs. Krake, I'm sure we don't have to copy the mayor in that Goodwill Industries is a separate entity and I would think Mr. Swanson as the

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city engineer also should be able to provide responses without the permission of the mayor.

I just wanted to add one thing that came to my mind tonight as this matter was being discussed, and I do agree with what Mr. McGoff had to say about the mayor's decision to let's say suddenly apply this portion of the Home Rule Charter. years, however, I have run up against the same situation. I recall, for example, visiting the Office of Economic and Community Development and requesting information and at the time the current director of OECD was not in that position, it was rather her predecessor, who told me that she could not give me any information without the mayor's permission. And I recall saying to her that the mayor had recently been to city council and I think it may have been the issue of the 44 million that the mayor wanted to borrow and maybe some citizens will recall this instance, and the Pennsylvania Economy League was claiming if 44 million were not borrowed at that

time, there would be a 75 percent tax increase. And so the mayor had actually visited council on that occasion to request that council give it's approval for the 44 million dollars in additional borrowing.

While he was present that evening, I noted to him that I was having difficulty obtaining information from department heads and that the people's requests were not being satisfied by a department heads and I asked him if the department heads had his permission to communicate with me and, in fact, I do recall complimenting the mayor on the fact that his departments heads were indeed so loyal to him, and his response was, "Certainly, they can provide you with any information that you ask."

And so again, I told the Director of OECD the conversation, the public conversation that I had had with Mayor Doherty regarding this situation, and her response was still, "No, you may not have any information. The mayor must tell me to release this to you."

And so my visit to OECD at the time

	107
1	was in vein and that was just one example of
2	some of the instances that let's say have
3	peppered my six years on council, and that's
4	it.
5	MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER.
6	MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a
7	motion to take 5-B from the table.
8	MS. EVANS: Do we have a second.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question?
11	MR. MCGOFF: May I ask why?
12	MS. EVANS: We are placing the
13	legislation for the appointment of
14	Mr. Timlin back onto the agenda this evening
15	after tabling it last week.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Okay.
17	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
18	signify by saying aye.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
22	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
23	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
24	have it and so moved.
25	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. <u>FOR INTRODUCTION</u> -

A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF ROBERT TIMLIN,
325 WARREN STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON
REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR AN ADDITIONAL
FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. TIMLIN'S CURRENT
TERM EXPIRED ON FEBRUARY 4, 2010 AND HIS NEW
TERM WILL EXPIRE ON FEBRUARY 5, 2015.

MS. EVANS: At this time I will entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into it's this proper committee.

MR. MCGOFF: So moved.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to say that Mr. Timlin has been a member of the Redevelopment Authority, and I do hate to say this about a basketball official, but he is an honest and respectable man who has served the community well and deserving of, I believe deserving of reappointment.

MS. EVANS: Well, I think setting aside that commentary on the poor basketball officials, I would ask Solicitor Hughes at this time to give his opinion on this particular appointment to the Scranton

Redevelopment Authority.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, it is my opinion that this appointment does not conform with Resolution 24 of 2000. It was submitted late by the mayor not in accordance with the ordinance which amended the administrative code, and as such council has the authority to appoint a replacement member to the Redevelopment Authority.

This has -- there is a lot of history in this, I don't want to get too involved in it. There is basically three amendments to the administrative code, there are three different lawsuits that all resulted in this. Mayor Doherty was on council at the time when the administrative code Section 401 was amended three times, resulted in a stipulation which ended up with File of Council No. 24 of 2000 being the law right now as to appointments of members to authorities, board and commissions of the City of Scranton.

This all started back in 1998 when Mayor Connors was the mayor. There were actually seven people appointed to fill

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

three seats on the Scranton Sewer Authority. There were seven nominations made, there were actually six different people. resulted in the litigation 98 Equity of -actually it's 6,000, 60041 in 98 Equity of 60053. Judge Nealon wrote an opinion, stated that as a result the Scranton Sewer Authority did not have the right to appoint Mr. Lewis. The appointment of Mr. Lewis by Mayor Connors was invalid to replace Kevin That decision stated that whenever O'Shea. there is a vacancy created by a resignation that the only -- that the body that reappoints whenever there is a resignation on an authority board is the council, not the mayor.

Mr. Morgan cited Section 704 of the Home -- of the actual administrative code in his comments before, however, he read what was originally proposed, it was originally adopted 34 years ago, and does not have the amendments. After Judge Nealon, in fact, Judge Nealon's decision cited the Hazelton Council vs. The Hazelton Mayor case in which the Hazelton Council amended their

administrative code to virtually identical word for word of the City of Scranton and actually vested the power for the appointment of all members of authorities, boards and commissions in the council. That case there was an appeal taken to the Court of Common Pleas of Luzerne County. Luzerne County said that that was null and void. An appeal was taken to the Commonwealth Court and the Commonwealth Court said it was a valid action by the council and that all members of authorities, boards and commissions could be appointed solely by council.

Judge Nealon cites that case. He stated that the only valid appointment that was made was Frank Naughton to replace Kevin O'Shea. Council made this appointment to fill the term of the resignation of Kevin O'Shea. As a result of that, on July 31 of 2000 and -- actually of 1998, council passed Resolution 83 of -- excuse me, Ordinance 93 -- 83, I'm sorry. Let me start over. Council passed File of Council No. 83, an ordinance of 1998. That ordinance provided

that council would have the authority to appoint all board members to authorities. It was passed by a vote of four to one, Mayor Connors vetoed it, his veto was overridden by council, so at that point, council had the right to appoint all authorities members.

That was subsequently, and that was only authority members under the Municipal Authorities Act. Council then passed Resolution No. 13 of 1999 in which council expanded it's power to appoint members to all authorities and, however, gave the mayor in Subsection D the right to appoint any members to boards or commissions that was authorized by statute.

As a result of that, litigation instituted by Mayor Connors against the council. That was instituted to No. 99 -- or Year '99 Equity 17. As a result of that litigation, the stipulation was entered into before Judge Corbett. That stipulation tried to address many of the issues where appointments were not made by the mayor to authorities, boards and commissions. Also,

tried to address the fact that the council back in 1998 did not introduce the two people that had been reappointed to new terms on the Sewer Authority, let them sit for almost six or seven months and Mayor Connors then had to reappoint them again.

As a result of that, the stipulation that was entered into resulted in Ordinance, File of Council No. 24 of 2000 which we are here on tonight which is the current law of the administrative code amended, the administrative code section 401, and what it states is that the mayor would appoint members to authorities, boards and commissions, that would be appointed by resolution by the mayor. He would submit 48 hour hours prior to the next council meeting and council has 30 days to introduce that resolution of appointment and after it's introduced has 35 days to act on it.

However, to either accept or approve
the resolution if council fails to act on it
the resolution is automatically adopted.
What's critical in the appointment that's
being discussed now to the Redevelopment

Authority is that the ordinance states that,

"The mayor shall submit the appointment

resolution to council within 30 days of the

expiration of the individual's term. If the

mayor fails to submit the resolution within

that time period, the council shall fill the

vacancy by resolution."

As a result of that, the term that was proposed for Mr. Timlin expired on February 3. According to the resolution -- or according to the ordinance amending 401 of the administrative code that this should have been submitted within the 30-day time period prior to February 3. It was not submitted until February 23 until after the term of office had expired and as a result that council now has the authority pursuant to Ordinance 24 of 2000 to appoint a member to the Redevelopment Authority.

Any vacancy such as Mr. O'Shea that is by a resignation is solely within council to appoint and not the mayor pursuant to Judge Nealon's ruling in that case and as a result there is a resignation on the Parking Authority, that can only be filled by

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council according to Judge Nealon's decision. Judge Nealon in his decision stated that the council has the right to amend the Home Rule Charter so that it could take away from the mayor the right to appoint members to authorities, boards and commissions.

Council has done that by three times in this legislation all within a period of three years in 1998, '99, and in 2000. Therefore, in accordance with File of Council No. 24 of 2000, which amended Section 401 of the Administrative code that the mayor's appointment was not timely made This is what this ordinance of Mr. Timlin. tried -- this is what this ordinance the purpose of was to correct that so that it would be done before the term expired. Because was it was to the timely submitted council how has the right in accordance with File of Council 24, 2000, to vote this down, to reject it and to submit it's own nomination in accordance with the Administrative Cc ode.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Solicitor

Hughes. Anyone else on the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. It was my understanding that the mayor had 30 days from the time that the term expired in order to submit an appointment, and that would give I am up until sometime in March in order to do that which would have been within the time frame allotted. Am I incorrect?

MR. HUGHES: It's my opinion that is not correct. Looking at the case law, the decision of Judge Nealon's decision, the real problem is that if a person is not appointed at the time to take the office that the person who is there sits until his replacement is appointed and qualified. The ordinance, as it states, it would be within 30 days of the termination date which would have to be before and not after.

MS. EVANS: Now, because so much time has elapsed here in our very worthy counselor's presentation, I just want to remind members of the council that this legislation 5-B is to appoint Mr. Timlin to the Redevelopment Authority. All those in

1 favor of introduction signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Opposed? MR. ROGAN: No. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: No. 5 MR. JOYCE: No. 6 7 MS. EVANS: No. The noes have it and 8 the legislation dies. 9 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -<u>A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF ANDY</u> 10 11 SBARAGLIA, 2410 NORTH MAIN AVENUE, SCRANTON, 12 PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR A FIVE 13 14 (5) YEAR TERM TO REPLACE MR. ROBERT TIMLIN WHOSE TERM EXPIRED ON FEBRUARY 4, 2010 AND 15 MR. SBARAGLIA'S TERM WILL EXPIRE ON FEBRUARY 16 17 3, 2015. 18 MS. EVANS: At this time I will entertain a motion that 5-C be introduced 19 20 into it's proper committee. MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. 21 22 MR. ROGAN: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. As I stated 25 before, in the three years that I have been

here for all appointments we have always -it has always been an understanding -- the
understanding was that the mayor had the
ability to appoint, to send something to
council any time after the -- or 30 days
after the expiration of the person's term.
That what we have all acted -- or what I
have acted upon in the past. I see this as
just a justification for trying to take away
again the powers that are duly granted to
the mayor.

We are providing justification for something that really is outside of our venue. The mayor, in everything that is written the mayor has the power to appoint with the advice and consent of council, and all we are doing is taking that power away. I see that as, despite what Attorney Hughes says, I still see this as the usurpation of the mayor's powers and an unauthorized act on our part.

MS. EVANS: Well, I would agree to a point with Councilman McGoff. I'll extend it further, however, in my six years seated on council I was never made aware of this

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular circumstance by any previous solicitor. The solicitors basically went along with the mayor's agenda, so nothing of this nature was ever brought to light before and I have to say that I am very pleased that we now have a solicitor that is functioning in the role that he has been appointed to not only on behalf of Scranton City Council, but also on behalf of the people of this city.

And I do find it a bit curious that the mayor, for example, didn't sue Mr. McDowell when 12.5 million was discovered in undistributed tax money. Did he sue Mr. McDowell, the former tax collector, when he failed to present financial reports to the city in violation of the state law? No. Did he sue the Controller's Office for violating state law by it's failure to conduct an annual audit of the Scranton Tax Office? No. Did he sue the business administrator for plugging false numbers into the 2009 budget? Is he suing the Tax Office right now for the missing \$1.6 million? No. Yet in every

instance in which the current, and only the current city council, has drafted it's own legislation he can't get to Court quickly enough, and I believe Mr. Doherty's lawsuits against city council are purely personal and political. When council passes his legislation there are no problems. It seems the mayor believes the only role, the sole role of city council is to approve his legislation and make it law.

Now, I think the great irony though of this situation, specifically the mayor choosing to sue city council, is that he doesn't even want to be our mayor. He has demonstrated this by running for governor, then trying become a candidate for lieutenant governor, and now he is seeking the office of state senator. I have to question his true concern for his constituents for the people of this city. The people who elected him and the people who did not elect him.

Now, can council sue the mayor for his violations of the Home Rule Charter and, yes, there have been, and undoubtedly, yes,

we can. However, council chooses to work instead within it's scope of authority for the taxpayers rather than suing the mayor because we take our financial responsibilities very, very seriously and we won't play political games on the backs of the taxpayers with the a mayor who appears desperate to leave his office.

MR. MCGOFF: Might I ask why -- why we feel the need to appoint someone different than who the mayor had suggested?

MS. EVANS: Yes. Council received the resume of Mr. Sbaraglia. I do believe Mr. Timlin though contacted by phone and by mail never submitted his information.

Sbaraglia why is a long time community activists, a financial watchdog on behalf of Scranton taxpayers. I think he has established a firm record of attendance not only at city council meetings, but at municipal authority meetings as well, and I believe that with all we have witnessed this evening and for those of you who have been let us say kept abreast of happenings in city government over the last eight years

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and those of you who elected this council for change are aware, the authorities have been far less than cooperative, and I think it is time to put when council has the legal opportunity to do so, it is time to put the average person onto these authorities. time to get information from these authorities because these are the people paying the bills of the authorities, and their belief that they have absolutely no responsibility to the taxpayers or to a government body is unacceptable, and I think now we to have the an opportunity to turn things around and to begin to open the windows. let the sunshine in and let these citizens get the information from these authorities that for one reason or another they have been hiding for many years.

And probably the last thing I want to say on this, because I have gone far overboard on this, I'm sure, is that the municipal authorities, Mr. McGoff, I think have been abused in the last eight years in that they have been used to circumvent the law. Whatever the mayor cannot accomplish

directly, he would accomplish indirectly through municipal authorities and that is not their role, and you will find that nowhere in the Municipal Authorities Act I'm sure that they are to be a party to the circumvention of law, so anyway, at this point --

MR. MCGOFF: Are you intimating then that the person who was --

MS. EVANS: I have not said the individual's name, Mr. McGoff, so please don't make those accusations.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, by implication you are saying that the members of the authorities have been involved in some attempt to hide things from the public, that would include the people who are being -- the person is being reappointed. I think it's by implications you are impuning that person's character.

MS. EVANS: Well, that would be your opinion. I have never met Mr. Timlin. I wouldn't know him if he walked in tonight, so I have nothing personal against this individual, but I do have a very strong

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sense of transparency in government and accountability to the people who pay the bills and accountability to the people who elect us, and I believe sometimes in appointed positions people feel they have much more power than they actually do possess. That's a dangerous thing. So what is being done tonight I believe is not -- is not unusual. It's not a usurpation of anyone's powers. It's very simply this, we are simplifying, we are doing the right thing by law and within our scope of the authority, and we are doing the right thing and the best that we can for the people out there in West Scranton, South Scranton, North Scranton, the Plot, East Mountain, everywhere throughout the city.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to add that that last week council voted unanimously to table Mr. Timlin's appointment because he didn't submit a resume, and he still hasn't, so I wouldn't feel comfortable voting for somebody who hasn't and, obviously, he isn't that concerned about being appointed to this

board because he would have came to us with the resume. That's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: And then again, I think I'll piggyback on Mr. Rogan's comments because you just jogged my memory, that I had reached out to an appointment to the Recreation Authority within the last month or two and what was said to me is entirely different from what actually happened at a Recreation Authority meeting and I believe that is what caused this council then to request information, specifically resumes and cover letters, from all potential appointees and I can say that that information has been received in council's office from Mr. Sbaraglia and from Mr. Piccolino.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Evans, if I could comment, also, I would like to explain my vote. Based on our solicitor's opinion I would have to say that I am in favor of introducing the two new candidate's names for consideration to these authority appointments. I do not know Mr. Timlin, never met him, but I do personally know Mr.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Matyjevich and his family and consider him to be a fine young man from a great family. However, this is not to be viewed as a personal issue, but an issue of accountability and the city council has an opportunity to nominate two candidates who we believe will be accountable and answerable to everyone, not just the mayor, something that I believe neither of these authorities have injected in the last few I still do believe that we have received the requested information from either of the mayor's appointees, as was mentioned, and that would help us make a I have reviewed the resumes final decision. of both of council's candidates and do approve.

Perhaps, if the mayor took some time out from campaigning for the office of the week these appointees would have been presented to us in a more timely manner.

Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Just one last thing, I am going to abstain on this. I believe that this is an unauthorized resolution and I do

1 not want to be party to something that is I believe illegal. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, can I ask you one question though, when you say it's 4 an unauthorized item --5 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 6 7 MS. EVANS: -- what do you mean by 8 that? 9 MR. MCGOFF: I mean that I feel that 10 the justification provided by Attorney Hughes is in conflict with what I have seen 11 and I don't think that we have the power to 12 13 did this. Therefore, I believe it's 14 unauthorized by law. MS. EVANS: Oh, I see the law does 15 16 not provide --17 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 18 MS. EVANS: -- for this, even though the solicitor has cited all of the 19 20 ordinances and the legal cases and the 21 decisions of Judge Nealon. Okay. I think 22 now we will call for a vote. Anyone else on 23 the question? All those in favor of 24 introduction signify by saying aye. 25 MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
2	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
3	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
4	have it and so moved.
5	(Mr. McGoff abstained from voting.)
6	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. <u>FOR INTRODUCTION -</u>
7	<u>A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF JOSEPH</u>
8	MATYJEVICH, JR., 1318 FARR STREET, SCRANTON,
9	PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER OF THE
10	SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY. MR. MATYJEVICH
11	WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF MRS.
12	KATHLEEN MCDONNELL WHO RESIGNED FEBRUARY 17,
13	2010. MR. MATYJEVICH'S TERM WILL BE
14	EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 18, 2010 THROUGH JUNE 1,
15	2010.
16	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
18	introduced into it's proper committee.
19	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question?
22	Attorney Hughes, would you like to clarify
23	once more just this specific situation and
24	this particular appointment to the Parking
25	Authority, please?

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUGHES: Yes, Madam President.

As I had previously stated in the decision in 98 Equity 41 which was the Scranton Sewer Authority vs. The city of Scranton and the Council and also the 98 Equity 53 which was Mayor Connors vs. The City Council, there is a distinction between the appointment of a term that has expired or will expire and submitting that appointment and one where there is vacancy created by resignation or death. Judge Nealon in the consolidated cases back in '98 made that distinction, went into a lengthy decision, it runs around five to six pages on Mr. O'Shea and how council, not the mayor, has the right to appoint a person to fill the vacancy for the unexpired term. Went into a very detailed analysis that went, as I said, about six pages as to why the appointing power -- or why the appointing power for a resignation is in council and not the mayor. The person that the mayor appointed was in effectuated with the Court for that decision. appointment of Mr. Frank Naughton to fill the unexpired testimony of Mr. O'Shea was

proper, so that in this case that since there is a resignation using the decision in both of those cases, Judge Nealon's decision, that the power to appoint to fill the vacancy on any municipal authority vests in the council and not the mayor.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. Anyone else on the question? Once
again, this legislation is to appoint
Mr. Matyjevich to the Scranton Parking
Authority. All those in favor of
introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Opposed?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MR. JOYCE: No.

 $\label{eq:MS.EVANS: No. The noes have it} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{No.} & \textbf{The noes have it} \\ \textbf{And it is dead.} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{No.} & \textbf{The noes have it} \\ \textbf{And it is dead.} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{No.} & \textbf{The noes have it} \\ \textbf{And it is dead.} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{No.} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} \\ \textbf{And it is dead.} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} \\ \textbf{And it is dead.} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} \\ \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} \\ \textbf{MS.EVANS:} \\ \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{MS.EVANS:} \\ \textbf{MS.EVANS:} & \textbf{$

MS. KRAKE: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION
A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF GIOVANNI

PICCOLINO, 2639 BIRNEY AVENUE, SCRANTON,

PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE

SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY. MR. PICCOLINO

WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF MRS.

	120
1	KATHLEEN MCDONNELL WHO RESIGNED FEBRUARY 17,
2	2010. MR. PICCOLINO'S TERM WILL BE
3	EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 18, 2010 THROUGH JUNE 1,
4	2010.
5	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
6	entertain a motion that item 5-E be
7	introduced into it's proper committee.
8	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Again, my opposition is
12	that I do not believe we have this power and
13	I will abstain.
14	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.
15	All those in favor of introduction signify
16	by saying aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	(Mr. McGoff abstained from voting.)
23	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. <u>READING BY TITLE -</u>
24	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 13, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE-
25	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE

1	CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT FUNDS FROM THE BJA
2	FY 09 EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE
3	ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM LOCAL
4	SOLICITATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50,618.00 AND
5	DISBURSE SAID FUNDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
6	GRANT APPLICATION.
7	MS. EVANS: You have heard reading
8	by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
9	MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Chairman, I move
10	that Item 6-A pass reading by title.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question. All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. <u>READING BY TITLE-</u>
21	<u>FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 14, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE-</u>
22	AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY
23	OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, SECTION
24	6-14-CONTRACTS, SUBSECTION (A) TO REDUCE THE
25	AMOUNT ABOVE WHICH COMPETITIVE BIDDING IS

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REQUIRED FROM \$20,000.00 TO \$10,000.00, AND
TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT ABOVE WHICH QUOTES MUST
BE OBTAINED FROM \$5,000.00 TO \$4,000.00.

MS. EVANS: You have heard reading by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?

MR. MCGOFF: I move that Item 6-B pass reading by title.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, on the question, I know I came in at the end of the discussion last week on this. While I have no objection to the resolution or the ordinance. I do believe that we should closely monitor the effect that it has to see if, in fact, the cost of advertising is offset by the amount saved and by the increased number of people that bid. I have been told that cost for advertising can, you know, average cost for a bid is somewhere over \$1,000 and if we are not realizing any appreciable savings in the bids I believe that, you know, maybe this is something that we can, you know, go back to and see if he can't do something to realize

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

_ .

22

23

24

25

those savings.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.

Is there anyone else on? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . FOR CONSIDERATION - BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 12, 2010 (AS AMENDED) AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 97, 2009 AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED "APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2010 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2010 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2010". BY MAKING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE NAMED ACCOUNTS AND LINE ITEMS AS SET FORTH ON THE "AMENDED GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2010", WHICH IS ATTACHMENT 1 HERETO, AS

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FOLLOWS: INCREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES AS SET FORTH ON PAGE ONE (1) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET. DECREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES AS SET FORTH ON PAGE TWO (2) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, DECREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR NON DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES AS PER PAGE THREE (3) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, REINSTATING CERTAIN POSITIONS, CREATING CERTAIN POSITIONS AND INCREASING SALARIES OF CERTAIN POSITIONS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS SET FORTH ON PAGE FOUR (4) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, ELIMINATING CERTAIN POSITIONS AND DECREASING SALARIES OF CERTAIN POSITIONS AS SET FORTH ON PAGE FIVE (5) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, REDUCING VARIOUS REVENUE ITEMS AS SET FORTH ON PAGE SIX (6) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET THAT WILL REDUCE ESTIMATED REVENUES BY \$694,986 TO \$77,170,760 AND REDUCE ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES BY A NET \$694,986 TO \$77,170,760 IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN A BALANCED BUDGET.

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance?

MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend that city council overrides the mayor's veto of Item 7-A.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question.

MR. MCGOFF: Once again I'll voice my opposition based on the fact that these amendments do nothing to save the taxpayers money and also are outside of the authority of the council to do it at this time.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I strongly disagree, Mr. McGoff, I believe that cutting money out of a budget is a savings to the taxpayers and the financial situation we are in now is like a house of cards built over a tank of gasoline. Eventually, you know, something has to be done and this is a good first step towards fiscal responsibility for the city of Scranton, therefore, I will be

voting "yes". 1 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please? 2 Mr. McGoff. 3 MS. CARRERA: MR. MCGOFF: No. 4 MS. CARRERA: 5 Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 10 11 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 12 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 13 the mayor's veto of Item 7-A, File of Council No. 12, 2010, legally and lawfully 14 15 overridden. I thank you all for your 16 participation at tonight's meeting, and I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. 17 18 MR. MCGOFF: Motion to adjourn. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 20 21 22 23 24 25

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER