	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Tuesday, March 2, 2010
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	,

I

3 <u>C</u>

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
5	Rogan .
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here.
13	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
14	MINUTES OF THE FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION
15	MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 27, 2010.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
19	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON
20	JANUARY 27, 2010.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
24	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
25	HELD ON JANUARY 27, 2010.

1 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 2 3 MS. KRAKE: 3-D. CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING JANUARY 31, 4 2010. 5 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 6 If not, received and filed. 7 8 MS. KRAKE: Clerk's notes. 9 MS. EVANS: Yes. 10 MS. KRAKE: We have responses first. The first is from Chief Elliott in regards 11 12 to illegal parking. This is in response to 13 our request to enforce illegal parking at 14 the Keyser Oak Shopping Center. This issue has been brought up in the past and we have 15 16 been told that the shopping center is 17 private property. In order for the police 18 to enforce the illegal parking, we must 19 receive a request from the property owners. 20 The chief says we would be happy to enforce 21 the illegal parking once the request has 22 been received. 23 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 24 MS. KRAKE: We have several 25 responses from Mark Seitzinger, the Director

of License, Inspections and Permits. The first is in regard to Wheeler Avenue and Olive Street. He thanks us for our letter regarding this address and he tells us the city inspectors will be made aware of this situation and corrective action will be taken. Sidewalks must be cleared by snow or debris no more than 24 hours after the snow fall occurred.

As for the gras and weeds, Section 303.4 of the Property Maintenance Code states that the grass or weeds must not exceed ten inches in growth. As the weather breaks and this becomes an issue in the spring and summer, corrective action will being taken.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MS. KRAKE: Also, from Director
Seitzinger, this is in regard to Boulevard
Avenue. "Regarding the condition of the
roads specifically on Boulevard from Parker
to the Throop border, we will investigate
this further and contact the construction
company as they have to make sure that the
roads are not in deplorable condition from

the construction site. As we have done in the past with other construction companies, we will require them to have the roads cleaned on a daily basis so that dirt and soot are not covering the roadway."

And then he references requests about the speed limit and tells us that the police department will need to handle that part. We did not receive a response from them yet.

MS. EVANS: Okay, thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Also, from Director
Seitzinger on 914-916 Eynon, he tells us
city inspectors will be out photographing
the property so that we can move forward in
the process for demolition. At the time of
condemnation, the property owner is
different from what it is now so we will
notify the owner of the property through
certified mail and regular mail as to the
condition of the property. I know that's
the first step and I'm sure they will go
from there.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Our next response is on

736-738 Orchard Street. He tells the city inspectors will be out photographing this property as well so they can move forward in the process. This letter is pretty identical saying that at the time of condemnation the property owner was different from what it is now so will notify the property owner through certified mail and regular mail.

I do have one question, Mrs. Evans, that occurred to me as I was reading this, that the properties are being sold even though they are condemned. That's a little unusual.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Perhaps we could ask Mr. Seitzinger for an explanation of that particular circumstance and what the procedure is.

MS. KRAKE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: This is on 459 Phelps
Street from Director Seitzinger: "City
inspectors will out photographing the
property so they can move forward in the
demolition process. As you know, the

process dose take some time but, we will move forward with this property to remove the hazardous situation from the neighborhood."

We have an additional response from the law department, Solicitor Patterson, in regards to the Murray Insurance parking lot. This is a follow-up to her February 10 correspondence: "After sending my letter to you, I was informed that the Murray Insurance commercial parking lot was utilized as a staging area during the construction of the new Scranton Parking Authority Garage on North Washington Avenue. Mr. Robert Scopelitti, executive director of the Scranton Parking Authority, confirmed the Murray Insurance parking lot was used as a staging area for this construction during 2009 through February 2, 2010."

She further states that the parking lot was not used for the intended purpose during this period and should not have been billed the parking space fee. Therefore, it appears that the city is not owed any parking fees from Murray Insurance through

February of 2010. Now, when she responded to us originally she was not aware of this and she consulted billing of licensing an inspections and apologizes for any inconvenience it may have caused.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Also, from Solicitor

Patterson, she is working on our request as
to all lawsuits that have happened during
this administration. It is a voluminous
amount of work. She is going to meet with
our office next week, which is when the
deadline is, but she wanted to keep us
apprised of the situation and hopes that we
can work together so that as we correlate
all of this information it will make sense
in the very end, you know, where -- who,
what, where, when and why.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Very good. Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: We have also response from Solicitor Patterson about the insurance coverage for 800 Providence Road. Now, the city has coverage for a piece of vacant land at 800 Providence Road. This vacant land is

where the old DPW building was located. The policy provides limits each occurrence 1 million dollars aggregate limit 2 million and deductible each claim 50,000. That's the city's part and if council would know they received all of this backup in your mail, so they can review it. If the citizens would like to see it we do have it available in the office.

MS. EVANS: Yes, and I'd also like
Attorney Hughes to receive copies of that as
well, please.

MS. KRAKE: Yes. The second part of her response is concerning Robert Burke, president of BRT Ice, L.P. "Enclosed is a certificate of insurance from Wells-Fargo detailing the limits of liability on the parcel leased from the City of Scranton and naming the City of Scranton as an additional insured."

I'd also like to read Mr. Burke's letter to the solicitor. "They are closing herewith a certificate of insurance from our insurance company detailing the limits of liability we have on the parcel leased from

the City of Scranton and indicating the city as an additional named insured. The aggregate of all other policies which includes 5 million umbrella coverage far exceeds the required coverage of the lease."

That is from Mr. Burke and those are two responses on the insurance at Providence Road.

MS. EVANS: Excellent.

MS. KRAKE: And we do have a few that we do not have a response from. We asked for a response on Horatio Avenue, a written response. Director Seitzinger did bring us up-to-date verbally, he doesn't have a written response now, he will by the next meeting. He knows we are going to mention it tonight and they are currently working on that.

MS. EVANS: That's fine.

MS. KRAKE: We still have no response from Attorney Kelly concerning the police promotions. We had asked for that by February 26. Also, no response from Attorney Kelly concerning the Nay Aug Park Wildlife Center. That was requested by

February 26, also.

2 3

council's Right-to-Know?

4

MS. KRAKE: No. that wasn't.

5

6 7

8

9

10

directed.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Actually, I believe to be on the safe side for the 30 days since February is 28 days long that I think we perhaps say the 30-day would be tomorrow and I did give that information to Attorney Boyd Hughes as

MS. EVANS: And is that to include

MS. EVANS: Yes, I would suggest then for the other two requests that were made to Attorney Kelly, council should proceed by means of a Right-to-Know request in order to obtain the information.

MS. KRAKE: Thank you. I have one more and this is concerning the public caucus that we requested Director Seitzinger to attend. We still have no response on that.

MS. EVANS: Perhaps we could contact Mr. Seitzinger by Thursday and if he believes that the mayor will not allow him to participate in a caucus then perhaps we can schedule one of the individuals who is

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

waiting to speak to council by means of caucus in that time slot.

MS. KRAKE: Okay. And still no response from Chief Elliott concerning the parking at Buona Pizza and the enforcement of the 15-minute parking only. That's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, I think we are going to --

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if could just in response to what Mrs. Krake said from Knowel's Insurance, last week we commented on the lease and said that the tenant was responsible for paying all of the insurances and naming the city as an additional insured on their policies. Ιn reviewing this, it seems the city also has a policy. Why, I really don't know on that at least for the liability end because as was stated by Mr. Burke, their policy naming the city as an additional insured exceeds the limits and is a 5 million dollar umbrella. I'll give Solicitor Patterson a call tomorrow and find out why the city is also carrying insurance on that when the tenant

has not only met their obligations, but has exceeded it and if there really is a need for the city to have this policy.

MS. EVANS: Excellent. Thank you.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just one. Ι received a letter last week from Comcast regarding a few channel changes. Three HD channels will be added to the digital starter lineup. Spike high definition will be Channel 827. Lifetime high definition will be Channel 835, and in this NICK high definition will be channel 879. Also, Fox news will remain on expanded basic service, but will move from Channel 64 to Channel 60. PCN will remain on expanded basic service, but will move from Channel 60 to Channel 186 and it will require a digital box to be viewed. Digital converter is included with expanded basic service at no additional charge.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

 $MR.\ LOSCOMBE:\ Unfortunately,\ I\ left$

25

22

23

24

mine on my desk, so I'll have to bring them up next week. Sorry.

MS. EVANS: I just have two brief announcements. The Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association will meet this Thursday, March 5 at 6 p.m. in council chambers.

In addition to making tax payments at the Scranton Single Tax Office, taxpayers can also make payments at Fidelity Bank which may alleviate the lines at the tax office, and that's all.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, well, we brought up the old DPW site again. You took care of one aspect of it, but the other aspect is a lot more important, the length of the lease. I come to believe like I always thought that the other council when they tacked on that \$600.000 payment also deleted 98 years off that piece, but

apparently they didn't. I have come to believe that he probably does have a lease for 198 years for \$1 a year. Now, somewhere along the line we should get this into Court and see if we can cut the length of that lease down. I don't care if you had a 20 year lease at a \$1 a year that's more something, but 198 years. Does that make sense? It doesn't pay taxes on this.

Now, he is talking about all kinds of improvements. Now, of course, I don't know if we can tax the improvements, but we can tax the land and if you look at your taxes where is the biggest hit, on the land not on the improvements, so somewhere along the line we got to bring this lease more in line with reality, not a giveaway like it I fought that from the very beginning, I thought it stunk then and they tell me how great this was, I didn't see any greatness in it because I saw all of these things and the original lease was even worse yet, the \$600,000 wasn't in it when I did complain, so they can throw that in to make it smell a little better, but it stunk then and it

stinks now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay, let's take a look at this snow He cited the poor taxpayers, but problem. have you seen how much snow was on the bridges? Have you tried to walk through the properties that were owned by the SRA, their sidewalks? They are not clear. They are easy to attack the citizens, but the liability of the city is being overlooked. They are supposed to keep them sidewalks clean on properties they own. They don't, so it falls and that's it. You attack the citizens, but you don't attack yourself. That's where the city is in the wrong there. I think if you are going to fine somebody you should fine yourselves first.

Now, the first thing that -- glimmer of hope I see was the change of the bidding process. As you know, we have been fighting this for a lot of years. I don't know what Roseanne -- I never approved of Roseanne saying she agreed with the old team when they upped it to \$20,000 saying it was -- this was good, that was it, but she agreed with it and didn't find any fault with it,

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but as a taxpayer I found fault all the way This is ridiculous -- to double the bid so I'm glad you are bringing it back in line with the school and a lot of other institutions within government and I think that's the best thing you can do.

Like I said before, you got to start with the past to move onto the future. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Giovanni Piccolino.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city council Giovanni Piccolino, taxpayer, one of the owners of Buona Pizza. I'm coming in reference to this parking situation in front of our place. I called the Parking Authority four times today. There was a blue Honda Pilot, I'm not going to give the license plate, I just wrote it down for the heck of it, but they are were parked, I go there around like 9 a.m. and I start making pizzas, they were there from 9 a.m. until 12:30 without a ticket and the guy came and just got in his car and left.

Now, we don't open until 10:30, so I

can't care, I don't know say nothing.

Around 10:30 I made my first call, they are the rudest people I have ever spoken to in my life. They make it seem like I'm the bad guy. When I call, they are like, "All right, we'll send someone right over."

They never send someone over. Now, I think I spoke to Mr. Rogan maybe like a month ago they gave out two tickets and that was it. Ever since then you could park there all day, all night and you are not going to get a ticket. And even behind our place there are certain times, we are going on 45 years there. We can't even get into our own garage with construction vehicles and stuff there. This is like a joke. It's going on almost four years, you know, and every single time I call the Parking Authority they're just, "We'll be right there."

They are not. I don't know, do they have that much money where they don't want to give tickets out because they could make a fortune in front of the pizza place, they really could. There is construction

vehicles, I'll just call the side of them, their numbers and like, "Listen, if you don't move just to save us a spot you are going to get a ticket."

And they will move because I call the number, but if I call the Parking Authority it's like nothing, you know, and what Mr. Sbaraglia was saying the SRA that vacant park lot next door to us, Alexander's, there was one gentleman who fell and came in and was complaining to us. I said, "That's not even ours."

That's never been plowed in any snow storm, the sidewalk that comes up alongside of it, nothing. It's like, I don't know. I don't know if you guys can do something. I was under the assumption that you guys did send a letter and they gave two tickets out and since then that's it. When I call it's like I'm like -- I try to be as nice as possible and, you know, and then when they do I'm like, "Thank you for doing your job," and they don't like that. It's amazing.

That's my only thing. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake,

I'd like to send another letter that's going to be as a follow-up though, however, I'll give the Parking Authority a call tomorrow myself, but I would like the letter sent to emphasize the fact that this is an ongoing problem and the citation issuers need to make sure they are in that area daily.

Thank you. Sam Patilla.

MR. PATILLA: Good evening, Madam
President, City Council. Sam Patilla,
Scranton resident, taxpayer, member of the
Scranton Taxpayers and Citizens'
Association. Madam President, last night
Mr. Jackowitz and I attended the Park and
Recreation Authority meeting and in light of
Item 5-D, all right, my comments are going
to be in regards to the term limits of the
authorities and the various board within the
city.

I think that eventually, I know your plate is heavy, city council has a lot to do, but eventually we have to introduce an amendment that will expand and/or broaden the input of the city council into not only the selection process of those who are --

who sit on these various authorities and boards, but in regards to limit the term, term of service.

Okay, one of the problems that we have, and I'm going to use what Andy just spoke about as an example, these dollar a year leases for 200 years, all right, I have been here for a number of years and every time I see an appointment to one of these authorities it's the same individual.

Now, I don't know if the process is open via the media, which in this city is very limited, namely, the newspaper, but I think that it should be included on the city's website. Every time there is an opening for one of these authorities, one of these boards, that information should be posted on one of those websites because I'm quite sure that they will reach more people, more of the taxpaying base, more of the residents via the website than they will with that newspaper. I have been here four years now, I haven't read that paper in three years, you know, so if the citizens are going to be made aware of what's going

on in the city then they should be on the website.

And like I said, every time I look at one of these things all I see are the same old people and part of the problem that we have now is that we continue to recycle the same old tired way of thinking, and this isn't an attack on an individual, it's the way our mindset, the way of our thinking. This is the 2010. It's not 1960, it's not 1930. Things that worked back then majority wise don't work today, so the old ideas that we have festering on these authorities and these boards have to be removed.

Authority after all of the yelling and screaming, that rather than rent that place out, lease that place out for \$1 a year, okay, go ahead and attract somebody that's going to pay you four or five thousand dollars. Now, I know that just like I said three years ago that an honest business or an individual isn't going to come in this city with that administration the way it is because they are fearful for their

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

employees, they are fearful for the taxpayers, so they aren't going to do that, but once we shake things up and rearrange things then we can get people in here. You know, we can't tell them -- I can't tell them how to spend the money or where to spend their money, but I can make suggestions. For the most part they do listen, because for the most part it's for the children and the senior citizens and they have no problem helping them. are wealthy people, they have no problem investing their money, but they are not going to invest in Doherty. They are not going to invest in his policy. They are not going to invest in the people he has on these various boards and authorities.

The thing is, like I said, we have to find a new approach. We have to go a different route. The city has been distressed 20 years. It's time to shake it up and do things a different way. That's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Patilla. Dave Dobrzyn.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council Dave Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton. On the tax office, three is one little thing about the lines there, if you pay your taxes through per se an escrow and you are there just to get verification because you do have to keep track of the banks, it makes it kind of hard, and I noticed they did have two additional pay stands with nobody in attendance at them. So, I mean, maybe it would require some flexibility out of the unions, but it might help them to inquire on that, you know, to get -- if they see a big crowd there maybe we can get two additional people up there just to get rid of the crowd.

I'd also like to comment on the bridges and that lot at 502 Lackawanna Avenue. I was over the Harrison Avenue bridge the other day and I had to walk out in the street, And finally, well, the PPL is asking for another raise, so as we gain momentum maybe we can, you know, right them

another nasty letter or something.

And lastly, a few weeks ago I mentioned that I finally got rid of the usurpers at NCC off of my credit rating, Well, I also forgot to mention that I called about something with a credit card company the very next day or a day or two later, they lowered my credit by -- rating by a few points, you know, as far as reducing my interest rate is what I'm trying to sa. So, you know, it's not like they don't cost us money and keep costing. The gift that keeps on taking NCC. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

Is there anyone else who would like to

address council?

MR. UNGVARSKI: Good evening, city council, I'm Tom Ungvarski.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKI: I'm a member of the Scranton Taxpayers' Association. I'd like to commend city council. It's a pleasure to get up here at this podium and not be laughed at or interrupted. Congratulations.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKI: I had intended to attend the Sewer Authority meeting tonight, but I couldn't make that one because I had a couple of questions that I would have liked to have asked them. Perhaps city council can answer them. Did anybody from the city council get the number of employees they hired since they took over from America Anglican?

MS. EVANS: No, we haven't received that information yet, but Mr. Barrett has remained in contact with our office and he has stated that we will be supplied with all of that information prior to next week's meeting.

MR. UNGVARSKI: That's from the time they took over?

MS. EVANS: Well, according to my request I went back many years, yes.

MR. UNGVARSKI: Yes. Okay. We know that they have to comply with the ordinances for the Chesapeake Bay, and I was just kind of wondering if perhaps the reason that the City of Scranton brought back from America

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anglican was to bail out American Anglican from having to make all of the improvements down there to comply with all of the laws for the Chesapeake Bay. Could you find that out for me, please?

MS. EVANS: In other words, I think
I hear you saying that you believed the turn
over from American Anglican to the Scranton
Sewer Authority was due to the mandates of
the Chesapeake Bay project?

MR. UNGVARSKI: That is correct.

MS. EVANS: I will certainly ask that question, but I can weigh in on it. don't believe that was the sole concern at the time. I believe that there was dissatisfaction on some people's parts, not particularly the City of Scranton, but it may have been Dunmore's part and perhaps the Sewer Authority Board, dissatisfaction with the work of American Anglican, and so it was there purposeful desire to take that operation back in-house. The City of Scranton never played a part in that, I'm sorry, Mr. Ungvarski, because only two of the three bodies were needed to grant

approval for that turnover. It was given by Dunmore and it was given by the Sewer Authority, so the city actually played no role in that turnover. And I do recall at the time having asked Mr. Barrett and councilmen from Dunmore and members of the Sewer Authority Board and Dunmore solicitor if this had been put out to bid.

MR. UNGVARSKI: Yeah. No --

MS. EVANS: If we were looking at other worldwide conglomerates to come in and manage the operation. And the answer I received after a long discussion was, no, that was never done. They just brought it back in-house.

MR. UNGVARSKI: Well, it seems quite convenient that American Anglican got out from having to know put in all new dedicated sewer lines and all, but thank you for the information.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually they were up for a contract renewal, Mr. Ungvarski, at the time and they were not granted that by two of the three bodies which is why the contract was broken after the first

24

25

five-year period. And I believe it was originally, I wasn't on council at the time, but I believer there was perhaps a 20-year contract between the City and Dunmore and American Anglican, and every five years it would come up for renewal or termination and the American Anglican had provided both Dunmore and the City, but particularly the City of Scranton I believe, with millions of dollars up front because the city was experiencing dire financial problems at the time. It was unable to meet payrolls and so because the agreement was not extended for another five years, let us say, or even the remaining 15 years, the city then had to repay those fees. If the city had complied with the contract, in other words --

MR. UNGVARSKI: If we renewed it.

MS. EVANS: -- we renewed it for the 20 years, the City would have owed nothing, but because the Sewer Authority and Dunmore pulled out early that caused Dunmore and Scranton to owe them money.

MR. UNGVARKSI: Had they renewed the contract would American Anglican been

responsible for the improvements?

MS. EVANS: Would they have been responsible?

MR. UNGVARSKI: Correct.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. UNGVARSKI: Okay, thank you.

And just one more thing, I believe that you can still get your discount on your property tax up to the end of this week, and thank you.

MS. EVANS: Oh, thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. STULGIS: My name is Ann Marie Stulgis. I just want to comment on the fact that there has been quite a bit of criticism and rhetoric about the recent amending of the city budget and I noticed that it was, in fact, rhetoric and a few people presented facts as to why the acts taken shouldn't have been taken. And I'd like to bring up just a couple of things and maybe correct a few of the misleading statements.

Unfortunately, Mr. McGoff isn't here because

Unfortunately, Mr. McGoff isn't here because most of it is directed to him.

Mr. McGoff claimed several times, in fact, that the action being taken was action taken by the council majority and that it was politically motivated. He claimed that much of the action was taken because it was targeted at things that the mayor touted. That's interesting because when the mayor cut jobs they were union jobs and the mayor was being fiscally responsible. When city council cut jobs, cut jobs by the way that were mostly created by this mayor, they were being political.

whatever jobs that the mayor wanted cut and balked when council wanted to eliminate made up jobs that were primary patronage jobs.

He expressed his dismay about the elimination of the person who writes recycling grants. He felt such a move was counterproductive. Yet, when made aware some time ago that the administration intended to eliminate the grant writer for the police department, he wasn't the least bit upset. Why the sudden concern about losing a grant writer for one department and

not even caring about the elimination of a grant writer for another department? Is recycling more important to Mr. McGoff than our police department? One has to wonder or was he just following in the footsteps of those who came before him rubber stamping whatever the mayor told him to rubber stamp.

He also said that the Right-to-Know would be hampered, but he failed to say how. I tried to figure out how the elimination of jobs could hamper because we certainly don't need one full-time person dedicated to do just Right-to-Know. What we really need is somebody hired who knows what they are doing and can make copies.

Mr. McGoff also pointed out that it will cost the city a lot of money for those jobs that are eliminated. He listed several warnings in that area. He mentioned that we would have to pay unemployment, that's why he said he was dead set against it. It's interesting that never entered his mind when the mayor was busy eliminating jobs and he voted to eliminate those jobs that we would have to pay unemployment to them, too. I

guess it wasn't important then.

As a matter of fact, he even voted to eliminate jobs as recently as December and didn't care about unemployment costs to the city then. He was upset about having to pay health care for the people who were leaving whose jobs would be eliminated. That isn't the case. Once you leave the employment of the city, the city doesn't give you health care. He was concerned about Cobra. Cobra isn't a permanent thing, there's a time limit on it and the jobs that he voted to eliminate would also be eligible for Cobra. He wasn't upset about that.

It's interesting that all of the jobs he never uttered a word about eliminating were union jobs. They were the only ones he seemed to care about. He also warned about multiple lawsuits that council would cause by eliminating jobs. Again, he didn't worry about any lawsuits when he voted to eliminate union jobs. It appears to me that either suddenly Mr. McGoff had an epiphany from December to now or Mr. McGoff was the one playing politics.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini. I believe this is my third year with this particular complaint. Mrs. Krake, I believe you had a visit from one of our handicapped people last week, and I know you have heard from quite a few of them, but it seems that whenever we have snow they just can't seem to remove snow from the front of these buildings.

Now, I don't know if you are aware of it, but I live at Washington West, we have one handicapped spot which was a drop off and pick up point and we have two spaces there, one which covers a handicap ramp, ambulances, police cars, they have no place to get in there, no way to get in there. We had a person who passed away suddenly, of course, the snow was still there, they hadn't removed the snow from the street yet. The maintenance men in our building do an excellent job of keeping the sidewalks and the handicap ramps cleared. They have a lot of territory to cover.

Last year we had the same situation.

21

22

23

24

25

I believe it was three or four days between we had a snow plow come through to clean up that area. There are also several people in our building who need caregivers day and There is no place for these caregivers to park. They have got to park at a metered spot. If, per chance, somebody doesn't show up to relieve them in the morning we have had two of them who have gotten tickets because they are parked Now, you know, I mean these people there. are in dire need of caregivers, that's why they have them. They don't do just do this as a lark.

But the handicapped ramp situation in the city is terrible, it always has been. The snow removal is terrible. I know last year we had one of our city council persons who was questioned because she and her friends had their driveways cleared out and their street area cleared out. In the mean time we had snow almost two feet deep out there and an ambulance could not get in and out of the building. It's sad.

Now, it's not only ours I believe

21

22

23

24

25

that it's Adam's Avenue, we've got three on Adam's Avenue, down Mulberry Towers and if they can't plow these streets but they can do it for a council member or a council woman and her friends, there is something radically wrong, and I think it would behoove this council to get on somebody's case about this because it is a very, very hazardous situation. And as I say, we have a lot of elderly people in there and they succumb many -- we have an ambulance visit probably three times a week and if the ambulance can't get in there they can't get a gurney in there and can't get to somebody then there is something radically wrong and I think it's imperative that we look at this overall problem. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. DURKIN: Good evening, Council.

Jessica Durkin, North Webster Avenue,

Scranton. I just wanted to remind councils

and officials and residents of Scranton and

greater Scranton that tomorrow will be the

public forum that New America Foundation is

hosting, and I'm a representative from New

America Foundation. I'm with the media 1 policy initiative, so the forum is called 2 3 "Informing Scranton, gauging the community, communities news and information needs," so 4 I just urge all of you to attend and for the 5 public to attend, too. So, thank you. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 8 MS. DURKIN: I forgot. Sorry. 9 MS. EVANS: That's okay, you didn't 10 use your five minutes. 11 MS. DURKIN: I'm sorry about that. Most important, 7:00 p.m., University of 12 13 Scranton, fourth floor, McIlhenny ballroom. 14 I think that's it. 7:00 p.m., University of Scranton, DeNaples Center. There you go. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie 18 Schumacher. Resident and member of the 19 Taxpayers' Association. 20 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 21 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: First I'd like to 23 ask if we know, and I guess this would be 24 Mr. Loscombe's purview, do we know yet when 25 the NIXLE system which is advertised on the

city website as, "A service that allows you to receive trusted up to the minute neighborhood information on police logs and crime patterns."

When will that be functional? And also, did we receive a grant for this NIXLE program, and if so are we -- if the system is still not operational, which it isn't because I checked it today -- or yesterday on-line, are we in compliance with the grant that we received?

I would also like to know the \$60,000 First Night contribution that was authorized in December of last year and a check was cut in January or February of this year, was that to cover -- was that authorized to cover shortfalls in the January 1, 2010, budget or was it meant to kick off the funding for the 2011 January 1 event?

MS. EVANS: I believe it's 2010, but I will double check that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So they were running in the hole and the city came to their rescue, instead of the mayor helping

2

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

them to raise money he just give them our tax dollars --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: To cover their Nice. I also would like to know losses. that we complain about nonprofits not paying their fair share and yet we fund them. mean, First Night is a nonprofit, they just incorporated I believe this year, so we give them \$60,000. Last year we gave \$50,000 to Lackawanna Leadership for the -- I believe it's Lackawanna Leadership for the Hanlon's Grove Project. Now, I thought the purpose of Lackawanna Leadership was to raise the money and do these civic projects, I mean, if we are going give them our money, we could have just done it through the capital budget, and I think that's sort of asinine and I would hope that's a practice that's curtailed shortly.

The wage tax issue, I brought this up during the budget process last year and I noted that because the wage tax is of course the school gets 1 percent and the city gets the 2.4 percent that you would think during

the budget process that if you multiply what the school put in by 2.4 you could get what the city's budget amount was, I mean, that's only logical. And that was pretty much true for 2008 and 2009 was really only \$16,000 off, but in 2010 it jumped to about three and a half -- close to three and a half million dollars where it would appear if the -- if the school district is correct then we have -- we are going to be underfunded by over 3 million dollars and --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I wonder if this is being tracked on a regular basis to see if we are tracking them last year so we know whether we are going to have another 3 1/2 million dollar hole and I still don't have the answer on what happened to that six or 5.5 that we ended up with expenses over revenue last year. Where does that magic money appear from? Excuse me, I don't mean to end that with a preposition.

MS. EVANS: We will be receiving reports from the tax office as we did last year, but you raised a very good point which

I believe even further justifies council's concern with the revenues for 2010 and why council in it's budget amendments had decreased the estimates of allocations because we do believe that the dollar figures plugged in by the administration are inflated.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, hopefully, I know a young man who has put together a plan for the rental income properties that's very low cost and should have a high pay off and I'm sure one of these weeks he will be here to make that presentation.

Now, I'd like to go back to reading something, because I was very distressed by what Mr. Hughes said last week, back to something I said in June 16, 2009: "The current agreement with the Ice Box says that the term of the lease is 99 years, \$600.000 rent due when several conditions are met.

The resolution to extend the KOZ incorporates an increase in the term of the lease. In the transmittal letter, it says the term of the agreement of the lease goes from 99 years to 198 years at \$1 a year with

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the \$600.000 not due until the end of the lease. That significant change is deceptive and an outright insult to all taxpayers."

 $\label{thm:complete} That's \ \mbox{what I said last June, but,}$ and if I may complete the thought?

MS. EVANS: Yes, please finish.

If the -- I would MS. SCHUMACHER: assume that the resolution to extend the KOZ would be null and void because it took all three taxing bodies to agree, so without that authorization how could another lease have been -- have been negotiated that extended -- that doubled it and kept the payment out to -- extended the payment to the end of the lease period instead of as it was by the two previous ordinances that met and said certain criteria had to be met on the city's part and then it was due and, in fact, the Redevelopment Authority had said that ordinance to council and it got tabled and, I mean, the way the ordinances read they owe us the \$600,000 now and I don't understand that lease, how that could be legal at all.

MS. EVANS: Well, we will have our

solicitor take another look at it, but --

MS. SCHUMACHER: He is not happy.

MS. EVANS: No, Mrs. -- or excuse me, Ms. Schumacher is correct in that, for example, I believe it was just last year, last summer, that Mr. Burke was among the 20 some individuals who was asking for a KOZ or KOEZ extension to their properties in the City of Scranton, and as you know, the City and the school district declined all of those applications with the exception of one which I believe was for the Greenridge --

MR. HUGHES: Nursing home.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Yes. And that was the only approved extension, so perhaps we could take another look into that and see if that does have any bearing.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would certainly appreciate it because before that it was one year and it was due when the conditions were met. The conditions were met, the ordinance was forwarded and I don't know what reason it was not voted on. That was when I was otherwise occupied.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: I reviewed the ground lease and just going from memory, it was executed in October of I believe 2002 and the ground lease that was executed was for 99 years with renewal for another 99 years and the payment of \$600,000 in the year 2020.

The memorandum of lease was not recorded, which is only a page and a half document which is really all that it has to be, it doesn't even have to be that long, setting forth the terms, significant terms of the lease. At that time, as I stated last week, the accounting firm of Rainey & Rainey determined that the payment of \$600,000 in the year of 2020 had an equivalent value in 2002 of \$25, a little bit less than \$25. And when the memorandum -- any time you record a lease for over 30 years there is a realty transfer tax imposed on the lease by law.

What Rainey did when the memorandum of lease was recorded stating that it was \$25, the recording fee on that was I think -- recording fee on that for the realty

transfer tax was the state got a quarter, that's 1 percent, and 25 cents is what they got when I say a quarter on the recording, the city got 50 some cents and I think the school district got 15 cents on recording the lease. That was -- any time real estate is transferred there is this statute -- there is the state recording fee and a local recording fee, so I think the city got about 50 cents, the Commonwealth got 25 cents and the school district I think got 15 cents.

But that are lease, even though the KOZ -- if the KOZ was turned down then that property would be taxable, however, pursuant to the ground lease since it is owned by the City of Scranton there is no real estate taxes on the land. However, any improvements would be taxed.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But last week you sailed it was because he the extension to 198 years made it the \$600,000 brought back to now was the \$24, and you compared it to Manhattan --

MR. HUGHES: The Manhattan, when the natives sold Manhattan to the Dutch.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But you said it was only a 99 year lease with the \$600.000 due at the end of 20 years, but maybe I can meet with --

MR. HUGHES: No, no, no. The \$600,000 due is at the and of 198 years.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But that's only with the extension by this resolution that was null and void. That's what -- I'd be happy to --

MS. EVANS: If you want to stay after maybe you can discuss this privately with Attorney Hughes. Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. The state of the
city address presented at the Scranton
Chamber of Commerce again or better known as
Scranton Power. The moderator started off
by apologizing for interrupting happy hour
for the attendees. What a way to start the
state of the city address.

Why is Mr. Doherty afraid of the citizens of Scranton who elected him three

times to govern the city? Quotes: We have fought the good fight. He is talking about the city firefighters and the police officers with the exception of the two chiefs.

Business Week article referenced the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue project:
This project has been going for four years, not completed, no tenants expect four businesses that have been in business for years before the project. Coney Island, The Gallery, Leiderkranz and Buona Pizza. I'm glad to see Giovanni is here tonight. Two of these businesses Mr. Doherty attempted to take away with eminent domain. Remember that?

MR. PICCOLINO: Still trying to take it.

MR. JACKOWITZ: New park in South Scranton. I was surprised that there was no mention of the tree house, bridge to nowhere, \$350,00 dog park or the 9,000 jobs that Mr. Doherty single handily created.

Mr. Doherty finally gave credit to the small group of local doctors who

actually were responsible for the medical school all along with Senator Mellow.

Mr. Doherty, you changed your tune. All of this time you have been saying over and over you were responsible for the medical college.

Mr. Doherty also spoke about the heroes monument at Nay Aug Park where he stated he would be adding the seventh monument in honor of Lance Corporal Johnson.

Doomer critics criticize us for never mentioning anything positive about the City of Scranton. I just read you Mr. Doherty's accomplishments for eight years as mayor with a friendly council supporting his every move. As you can see, it was a very impressive list of accomplishments. The city is distressed, he is fighting with the fire department and police department, his own words, he built a new park in South Scranton and he continues to use one Business Weekly article. Why not talk about the violent crime on the rise 19.6 percent in the city? Just read page three left-hand column of the Times-Tribune

on a daily basis. Drugs on every street corner, lease of the Wildlife Center a dollar a year for up to 150 years for a total of \$150. \$24 possible lease to the Ice Box for 198 years, higher taxes, unreasonable fines, penalties on delinquent taxpayers, unpaved roads, oh, I forgot, I should be positive.

Not truthful. Almost forgot about the Newsweek article where Mr. Daniel Gross did his research about Scranton,
Pennsylvania. This was documented by Chris Kelly's Sunday Times-Tribune. He finally wrote a fair and honest commentary. By the way, Chris Kelly, you gave us the name Legion of Doom.

I guess Secretary Sam is not doing his job. Secretary Sam, we need to start writing about visions. When we meet at Pat's Pizza on Saturday night like, oh, never mind, want to be governor, lieutenant governor, state senator, out of Scranton Doherty. Mr. Doherty, your visions and your ideas along with Mr. Burke have been a failure. Look around and take a real good

look at the city you have been elected to govern, that is if you were not too busy running for anything that will get you out of the city that you say you love. Just move to Maryland where your family business is located.

Everything that I have said tonight is fact, not supposition, with the exception of Secretary Sam and myself meeting at Pat's Pizza. That was an outright lie. At least I will tell you, the people, when I am lying to you.

Authority meeting to ask about the lease of the Wildlife Center, swimming for the children six to 15, how much rent was paid by the concession stand is \$10,000 a year and why the authority would not hold their meetings in city council chambers. I was told by the Rec Authority that we have no poor children in Scranton. Those were the exact words I was told. We have no poor children in Scranton. I was told that they all have cell phones and Ipods so they cannot be poor. That came straight from

Mr. Dougher.

As I was speaking I was continually interrupted. They asked why council members were not at the Rec Authority meeting and, Mrs. Krake, they told me to tell you that they only meet once a week -- can I finish?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: They told me to tell you they only meet once a month and they don't really have much time to be answering all of your questions. That's what they said. At this time, I would like to relinquish my time to the next speaker who is the new and improved doomer/hypocrite. He or she will be speaking about the News Week magazine article about Scranton, Pennsylvania. They must have left. They are not behind me. I wonder where they can be. It might be Mayor Doherty, he doesn't like speaking to the people either.

MS. EVANS: Any other speakers?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Over the last week

e-mails from residents complaining about sidewalks not being cleaned and business owners not cleaning their sidewalks and I would just like to remind everything once again that if they are not cleaned within 24 hours you can be cited and the business owners must clean the sidewalks on their entire property not just the area right out in front.

I have received a lot of phone calls and

I am glad that the snowstorm the roads were cleaned much better than they were on Valentine's Day snowstorm a few years ago, but that being said there still is a lot of work to be done and over the weekend I received calls from people from East Mountain and Minooka who were complaining that their roads weren't cleaned properly and I was wondering, Mrs. Krake, if you could spend a letter to the DPW asking them what the protocol is for what order the roads get plowed in, and I would hope it would be we would start with our busiest roads and roads where we have firehouses and EMS and then work out into the

neighborhoods, and as well what is the current protocol for cleaning bridges and sidewalks on city-owned properties?

I also received a report of a vacant car in front of 1318 South Irving Avenue.

It has not moved for over a month and the tags are expired. I have the license plate number, which I will give to you after the meeting, I don't want to say it over the air, and I hope we can send a letter to the police department to deal with that.

I have a memo here, a resident came into the office today complaining about the terrible road conditions on Curtis Lane in North Scranton. He states that the potholes are huge and unavoidable and he fears damage to his car. Mrs. Krake, can we send a letter to the DPW regarding that as well.

And finally, over the last two months of being on city council I have noticed that weekly we get hundreds of pages of memos and many of them are from within this building, and I would also like to send a letter to Mr. Renda and to the IT Department wondering if they ever looked

into going paperless. Going paperless would not only help the environment by using less paper, it would save the city money in the long run on printing costs, and that is it for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Loscombe, do you have any comments or

motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, Mrs. Evans. Ms. Durkin, I'm glad you appeared here this evening because I was going to read your e-mail and I misplaced it tonight so I'm glad you were able to remind everyone of the meeting tomorrow.

Like Mr. Rogan said, we get a lot of citizens' concerns pretty much on a daily basis and that's what we are here for. I think this council has been active in answering a lot of issues and creating a lot of paperwork as Mr. Rogan has stated, but that's what we are here for and I know myself and rest of us go out on a pretty much daily basis to visually observe these concerns and try and come up with answers and bring them to the appropriate bodies.

Again, we won't have the snow concerns in a couple of months hopefully, but that was a pretty much of a major concern that I have a request for. A lot of it, basically some commercial areas pushing the snow across the street on neighbor's sidewalks which causes a concern for elderly people walking up the street or school children trying to get to the corner they are forced to go out in the street.

Where I live I don't know how the DPW operates, but long before I moved there, long before I was a council person I have to say our area has been well taken care of, so I think it depends on the area and who is in charge of that area or whatever, but we will get more information on that.

And Mr. Sbaraglia mentioned the situation with the bridges and stuff like that, that's why it's hard to go out and enforce these ordinances that require 24 hours when our own public entities aren't policing themselves on this situation, but it's an unfortunate spot. I hope we can get this resolved.

23

24

25

And I had several concerns and most of my concerns I just pass onto Mrs. Krake, but with some of them are repeat concerns and that's why I wanted to mention them in case anyone is watching perhaps, you know, it will help them out in the future. Convenient Store at River and Meadow, we received a lot of complaints about people exiting onto the River Street side which is just an entrance and there has been many near accidents in that area, I wouldn't be surprised if there weren't any actual accidents, but it's just something to be aware of and speeding issues. You know, our city streets have 25 mile an hour speed limits on a lot of side streets and people are just zipping through, everybody is in a hurry today, but that brings me onto the next issue, and this is for Mrs. Schumacher. I have to apologize, we had a short week this week with the snow and that, but I had intended on getting together with Chief Elliott and going over a list of concerns along with the ones you mentioned today. will be contacting him tomorrow and

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hopefully before the end of the week I will get together with him and answer your concerns, but we have a whole list of items anyway.

We've had a lot of questions and previously we had the flood people here and everything, but I have gotten some more calls regarding the alarms going off intermittently, no rhyme or reason. believe there is a whole plan. I think the neighbors in the flood areas have to know what's going on, what to do, it should be a coordinated effort with police, fire, DPW, whoever is involved, so what I have done is I have contacted Mr. O'Hora and I wasn't able to get ahold of Mr. Brazil today, I actually had a wrong number I guess, but I will be contacting him tomorrow because I do have an appointment Thursday morning with Mr. O'Hora and I would like to get a lot of this resolved and especially to put the people at ease in the flood areas as to when the alarm goes off how they can expect that if it's a false alarm or whatever and just some of the programs and information that

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you would require, so I don't really believe that's been handled, maybe like a community meeting of that area at some point once we get the information together.

Let's see here, I just wrote notes,

I don't make a lot of stuff tonight. I know there was an article in Nonprofits. the paper regarding Mrs. Evans and in my interview and in my belief I do believe the condition the city is in we have to rely on the nonprofits to help us out here. Now, at what route do we take, you know, the Times put an editorial in that tried to direct us, as they usually do, but I don't think we have any answer yet. This is not a single This -- the whole problem in Scranton. state is suffering now. Many of the cities that are county seats and hold a lot of nonprofits are in the same position we are in and I think more now than ever there is a rumbling across the state and I believe Mr. Rogan read an article last week regarding that, and so we are going to look at this methodically and do the right thing, but I think they have to help us out if they

or stuff like that. I mean, in my mind I'm looking at the nonprofits that actually have -- that are competing with profitable businesses, housing, parking, restaurants, just to name a few, but those are just some ideas, but it's going to be a long road but it's something we definitely have to look at.

want to succeed here too, otherwise, if we

go downhill they go downhill. And I'm not

saying, you know, places like the Red Cross

And the last item I have, and I'm not an expert on bonds or anything, but I received a mailing from someone, a neighbor of my mother's had actually received it and passed it onto me, and it's an advertisement in a Florida newspaper and the advertisement reads: "Tax free municipal bonds, double barrel backed revenue general obligation 5.8 percent yield to maturity. The City of Scranton, Pennsylvania, Parking Authority revenue bonds guaranteed by the City of Scranton.

The Parking Authority leases it's parking facilities to the city, the

Authority operates the facilities, garages and lots for the city. Parking authority officers enforce meter and city parking violations by issuing citations to offending persons and vehicles. If in any year revenues are insufficient, the City guarantees to pay any deficiency by including the entire amount of such deficiency in it's annual budget."

I was shocked when I saw this. It appears to be a new bond issue. Now, they have a website here. I went on their website and there is nothing listed or no amount for this here. I was just wondering if we can send a letter to the Parking Authority and ask them what they are looking for and what kind of bond and the amount?

And while I was on the website I
just happened to find a couple of other
bonds that they have issued to the city.
Right now there is two active Parking
Authority bonds. One issued in September
12th of 2007 in the amount of \$15,945,000.
Another Parking Authority bond, and it's
issued the say day, September 12, 2007, for

\$2,570,000. Scranton, Pennsylvania, government bond issued July 1, 2003, \$9,705,000. Sewer Authority, August 1, 2007, this company was busy in 2007, the summer of 2007, \$7,305,000. It just seems like we are borrowing and borrowing, you know. I would just like to get some additional information on this and see what this requires.

I believe it was, let's see here, just bear with me one second, Mr. Piccolino had mentioned parking meters, parking tickets. Well, if we are going to pay off these bonds we better give out a lot of tickets and a lot of parking violations. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I just want to piggyback on that because I had also received that information from Mr. Loscombe and I did receive actually a bit more information from the company involved, and I'm not going to read the whole thing, but just some interesting statements under strengths of this municipal bond update. "There is no legal limit on the amount of property and

personal taxes the city may levy allowing for an increase in taxes should additional revenues be necessary."

That's a frightening statement.

Maybe the challenges at the end would also be of interest to the residents. "The City of Scranton is rated BB stable by S & P to reflect budgetary balances cured through deficit financing. Scranton's largest source of revenue in it's governmental activity fund is the wage tax. In 2008 the city suffer a loss of 6 million in it's governmental activity fund."

And then in parentheses that is defined, public safety, public works, community development, and administration and cultural and recreation.

"The loss was partially offset by
the \$3 million profit earned in the business
type activity," and in parentheses again,
defining business-type activity, the Parking
Authority and water and sewer operations.

So it seems that the city has received \$3 million from the Parking Authority, the Sewer Authority and perhaps

the water company, but I certainly wasn't aware of any of this.

"The city's assets of \$318,000,000 exceed it's liabilities of \$269,000,000 for a net asset or net worth of nearly \$49,000,000 at year end December 31, 2008."

One has to wonder how that 25

percent tax increase played into all of

this, but just one more item, Mr. Loscombe,

since you indicated you would be meeting

with the chief?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: I was going to have a letter sent on this, but I think it would be better for you to discuss it with him personally. I have learned some very upsetting news regarding the police department and that is that up to ten cars, police cars, are out of service and that they have been sitting for quite awhile awaiting repair evidently at the DPW. I think also it might be helpful to talk with Mr. Lynady to learn what the issue is and why it's been taking so very long for these police cars to be repaired and put back on

the road.

I'm hearing that officers are doubling up in cars then because there are not enough cars available and this is making for I think a very dangerous situation for the public and for our police officers and I believe we need an answer on this as soon as possible and what the police department and the fleet managers solutions are going to be to this tremendous problem. It isn't something I think they can just continue to postpone. Thank you. Oh, did you want to say anything, Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree with you totally.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Mr. Joyce, do you have any comments or
concerns?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Excuse me, I thought you had something else to say.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I failed to mention one thing that I waned to, when I mentioned we are open to any suggestions, any problems you may have and all of that, if you have

access to the Internet just go on the city council website, most of our e-mail addresses are there. We get forwarded to those who are not, but please, that's how we get a lot of our requests. Also, you can call the city council office at 348-4113. We are here for you.

And one last thing gentlemen, ladies and gentlemen, in your mailbox you might have received something today regarding the zoning issue with the garage, I just want to let you know I have taken care of that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Last week questions were raised in regard to unemployment benefits with the amended the budget and that there may not have been ample amount of funding allocated to specific accounts where those funds would be drawn out of. In response to this I just want to point out that there is \$90,000 allocated in the budget for unemployment. If the employees affected in the amended

budget are out of work until December 31, the amount of funding that would be needed to cover their unemployment benefits would be roughly about \$200,000. This is a very high estimate might I add considering that in order for this amount to be spent all employees that would be laid off would have to be out of work for the rest of the year.

With this in mind, currently we do have over \$268,000 in our contingency fund. In my research of past legislation, money has been drawn out of the contingency fund in various cases where there was shortfalls. In a worst case scenario there would be \$110,000 or so drawn out of the contingency fund if none of the employees that would be laid off in the amended budget were able to find other employment.

It should be noted that drawing funding from the contingency to cover unemployment costs has been a practice that was used in past budgets, and might I add, to far greater of an extent that would be used in the amended budget if the worst case scenario occurred.

In fact, in Mayor Doherty's first term over \$900,000 was drawn from the contingency fund for unemployment purposes amongst other matters.

In regard to the bidding threshold, which is Item 5-C in the agenda, though I realize that there would be an increase in advertising costs along with some extra labor hours associated with lowering the bidding threshold, I do believe that the positive aspects of lowering the threshold will outweigh the costs of increased advertising.

There are many benefits of public bidding. One of those benefits is transparency, as transparent competitive bidding can withstand public scrutiny and, therefore, limit accusations of fowl play. Therefore, by lowering the bidding threshold there would be an increase in transparency because more items would be required to go out for bid.

A second benefit of competitive bidding is obtaining lower prices for services because competitive bidding can

attract several qualified bidders, the process most often obtains the lowest costa private company can charge while achieving it's own objectives. Therefore, by lowering the bidding threshold we can obtain better prices for items in the 10,000 to 20,0000 range which can offset the prices that would be required to advertise.

A third benefit of competitive bidding is obtaining better information as competitive bidders can produce more accurate information on the conditions and value of assets. Therefore, by lowering the competitive -- the bidding threshold we can obtain various points of view from outside suppliers, therefore, not one specific business will are have authority to dictate the value of goods and services.

A fourth benefit of competitive bidding is curbing monopolies. When a competitive bidding process is properly executed and bids are rewarded on the basis of lowest price combined with high quality an outside company cannot exploit it's monopoly to impose high prices. Therefore,

by lowering the bidding threshold, it can allow more opportunity for smaller businesses to compete in providing the city with services and materials.

Overall, I see lowering the bidding threshold as an opportunity to obtain more cost effective suppliers. In addition, by lowering the bidding threshold, the city can have a better ability to make cost quality comparisons with the suppliers that we already deal with. Lowering the bidding threshold will also give businesses a chance to compete and the allow some smaller businesses who may have not have done business with the city in prior times actually get a foot in the door while providing the services to the city at a lower price.

Though lowering the bidding threshold can create some more work for us, we must realize that when a single business or select group of businesses that we customary use are always getting the order it can create an environment of unfair and imprudent allotment of public procurement.

This can negatively impact the local economy and lead to overspending on the city's behalf.

Businesses are in business to generate a profit, that's no surprise, and the government must make purchases from these businesses to carry out the services that the residents need in order to provide for their well-being. It should be the objective of government to ensure that it's procurement process maintains transparency, reflects the interest of the people and represents the best economic return.

I believe that lowering the bidding threshold will help accomplish just that and that's why I personally will be voting "yes" to this in Sixth Order.

In addition to this, I have a few citizens' requests that I would like to address tonight. To begin, I received numerous calls from Minooka residents regarding the condition of Kane Street.

Upon taking the time to view this street, I noticed that the street is in poor condition, I'd say at very best. Much of

the road is torn up. In particularly, much the road appears to be damaged on Kane Street right before the stop sign on Kane and Stafford where the roads intersect a well as by areas where Kane and Monterey intersect. Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, can you please send a letter to Mr. Brazil asking him to repair these portions of the road that are of major concern and also ask him to provide a date of the completion when this can be expected.

Along with this, I received a call from a resident living on 115 Peqwest Drive regarding the road condition in front of their driveway. Upon visiting the problematic area, I did notice that the area in front of the their driveway was torn up. Since the resident is an elderly person whom uses a wheelchair, they explained that the torn up areas often make it difficult to maneuver in and out of the driveway, meaning that the wheelchair often gets stuck in the potholes that are in front of their house. With this in mind, can you also -- well, add to the letter asking them to address this

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problematic area in front of this resident's driveway and also to ask for a date of completion as well.

I also received a request from a South Scranton resident who lives on Cedar Avenue between the area of Smith Restaurant and Birney Avenue. The resident explained that cars appear to be driving very aggressively on this area of Cedar and also on the initial portion of Birney Avenue which the resident claims has almost caused several accidents for him when his family and -- or when him and his family are trying to turn into their driveway. With this in mind, can we please send a letter to Chief Elliott making him aware of the situation and ask him to handle the situation the best way that he sees fit.

I have also been informed by a resident that the 400 block of South Edwards Court is in very much rough shape. The resident that I spoke to informed that large potholes on in the court has lead to some damage to their automobile. If you could please add to the letter to Mr. Brazil

asking him to address this.

And in addition to this, I received one last citizen request regarding a stop sign on East Park and Amherst Street. The resident that I spoke to explained that the stop sign is barely readable and that most of the paint is worn off. If you could also add this to the letter to Mr. Brazil asking him to have this stop sign taken care of and also to provide a date of completion, and this is all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.
Good evening. To begin, I have two business items to address. I would like a
Right-to-Know request to the Scranton
Parking Authority, please, for all
prospectuses issued by the Scranton Parking
Authority for the Years 2007, 2008, and 2009
for the financing of any new SPA projects
for parking garages, for refinancing of
existing debt, and for the issuance of
municipal bonds done in conjunction with the
transfer of the parking garages from the
Scranton Redevelopment Authority to the

any of the financing from January 1, 2007, to December 31, 2009, was guaranteed by the City of Scranton.

Also, Mrs. Krake, council wishes to know if the Scranton Sewer Authority applied for funding from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. If so, what is the dollar amount of funding received? If not, why did the Sewer Authority fail to apply or if it had applied why did the SSA receive no funding according to the state website? You can just fax these questions to Mr. Barrett, please, so that he could add them to the information that we are awaiting before next Tuesday.

Next --

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: On the letter to the Parking Authority, I mean, after all of the comments that were made tonight, I believe there is probably quite a few bonds or at least some bond issues before 2007 that the city has guaranteed, so I think that --

25

well, your request is specifically for the new garages, when you look at the existing garage the Parking Authority has on Washington Avenue, the addition that was put on out to Mulberry, you also have the garage, the old Globe Store garage that they purchased, and the theatre garage, they were all before 2007, I think that, I would recommend that they furnish council all bond issues that are guaranteed by the city. These were the new bond issues and I think that the ad Mr. Loscombe talked become specifically mentioned the new bond -- the newer bond issues, but I have no idea how much debt and bonds that the Parking Authority has issued, but if these bonds that were referenced amount to 20 million there might be another 25 million before 2007 that the city would be responsible for on the double barrel bond, but these are really -- the revenue bonds issued by the Parking Authority guaranteed by the full taxing -- the full taxing ability of the City of Scranton in the event there is a default that they don't have sufficient

funds from the revenue to pay the bond interest or principle, the City of Scranton then would have to -- if they don't have the money would have to raise the taxes to make that payment good because they would be considered general obligation bonds so they could never default.

MS. EVANS: Correct.

MR. HUGHES: And I think so that you could have a better handle just not for the new ones like when they built the Medallion garage, that was built by the Redevelopment Authority when it was completed, the construction financing for that for the Redevelopment Authority, the Parking Authority then bought it and paid off those bonds and the title was transferred from the Redevelopment Authority to the Parking Authority.

MS. EVANS: And what would and the benefit have been for running that originally through the Redevelopment Authority and then doing this purchase slash transfer to the Parking Authority.

MR. HUGHES: In my opinion? I

24

25

believe if that were looked into everything is being run through the Scranton Redevelopment Authority because it does not have to bid. It's the only state agency that does not have any bidding requirements for any amount and I think if that were looked into that the garages were built without any bids, they were negotiated contracts, because if the Parking Authority did it they would have to be bid. probably what happened, and this is I won't say conjecture, I'll say it's an educated opinion from me, that -- and I could never figure it out until I looked into it why the Parking Authority -- why the Redevelopment Authority was in the parking business, but it was only in the construction business and that that was so that it would not have to The Parking Authority could award -- the Redevelopment Authority could award the contracts to whomever they wanted without bid. When the project was completed, the Redevelopment Authority would have had to have construction financing in order to get the \$12 million I believe that

was for the garage, the Parking Authority
then floated the bonds in September I
believe of 2007 or '08, obtained \$12 1/2
million, paid that money to the
Redevelopment Authority, the Redevelopment
Authority then conveyed the parking garage
to the Scranton Parking Authority who now
owns it and those bonds, of course, were
guaranteed by council and I believe that
you, Madam President, voted against that.

The reason the City of Scranton guarantees it is because revenue bonds are extremely risky. In the event the revenue is not there there would be a default. The interest rate to be much higher than it is. I believe that the ad that Mr. Loscombe had was those bonds were floated at 5 and quarter percent and they are now selling about 92 which means \$920 on a \$1,000 bond to give a note of maturity of 5.8 percent. That with the city's guarantee of it's taxing power to back those bonds so they could never default, the interest rate would be lower.

A classic example is the West

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Virginia Turnpike bonds. When the interstate highway was put in, an interstate went right next to the West Virginia turnpike. Those bonds were defaulted and virtually worthless. Nobody goes on the Turnpike because they can go on the interstate down to West Virginia that runs parallel to it for nothing, and that's why -- that was a strict revenue bond, they are virtually worthless.

MS. EVANS: I see. Thank you very much. Next, I wish to allay any fears caused by Mr. McGoff's scare tactics at last week's meeting regarding council budget There is money allocated in the amendments. mayor's 2010 budget for the opening of pools, for pool chemicals which, in fact, were already put out for bid, and for lifeguards at every city pool. City council did not touch those allocations. If for any reason the city pools fail to open this summer, it will be due only to the administration's vindictiveness.

As I stated, the money is in place and all workers as well as the project

administrator, the park and recreations specialist and the secretary are actively employed. Until council cut three management positions from parks and rec, there were five management positions to oversee nine employees.

It is very important to note one significant change made by Mr. Doherty and Mr. Renda to the Parks and Recreation Department in the 2010 budget. Again, this change was made by the administration.

Account number 01.100.00000.4040 entitled, "Other salary miscellaneous," was cut by 31 percent from \$415,722 in 2009 to \$285,000 in 2010.

Page 62 of the 2010 budget includes the following statement: "Other salary, which primarily represents casual employees hired in the summertime to run the pools decreased compared to the prior year."

So it appears that that has been very likely the mayor's intention all along. Council did not make this change. Again, since council did not reduce the budget allocation for city swimming pools and

lifeguards, the mayor must take full responsibility if even one of our city pools does not open this coming June.

Ladies and gentlemen, the scare tactics and suppositions used by Mr. McGoff are stunningly false. If he wished to offer accurate information, Mr. McGoff would have cited the cuts to summer casual workers and lifeguards already made by the mayor. He didn't mention that at budget time and he purposefully didn't mention that during his comments throughout the last three weeks. It does seem he may be hoping to shift the blame from the mayor to this council.

In addition, contrary to what

Mr. McGoff stated, programs at Weston Field

and Nay Aug will not be cut. Funding for

these programs remains the same. Again,

should these programs change in any way it

will be the administration's fault and a

spiteful blow to the citizens of Scranton.

Regarding OECD, the mayor himself
has made cuts to this department in the past
years. As Mr. Doherty says, government is
not an employment agency and these salaries

are paid with federal tax dollars so it is still waste.

Mr. McGoff also stated that the DPW and law department functions will be compromised. Some of these positions were job creations made by the mayor. For example, in the DPW, the mayor cut the traffic maintenance foreman, but then created a new position for the same individual as foreman number two in the DPW highways departments. Who did that work before the mayor created this job?

In the legal department, the assistance solicitor's position was created in 2004 in order to give a job to the attorney who had just been terminated as city council solicitor. Under council budget amendments, the legal department will continue to employ the city solicitor, one full-time assistant city solicitor, one part-time city solicitor, one part-time city solicitor, one part-time city solicitor, one paralegal and one confidential secretary for a staff of five employees with a compliment of Attorney Carl Greco and a myriad of law firms to whom work is outsourced. The allegation that

. –

either the DPW or legal departments are compromised is spectacularly false.

Mr. McGoff also asked why the 7.5
percent formula was applied to all
departments. Well, there apparently was no
formula used for management raises since
2007. Furthermore, the voter approved
Recovery Plan which was adamantly supported
by the mayor outlawed such raises. Council
is following the Recovery Plan for the
mayor.

In addition, Mr. McGoff explanations of 0 percent funding provided for positions which have been filled since January 1 doesn't seem logical. When employees bump into other positions the people who would bumped were still being paid as they remained in the jobs in the new year while zero dollars were allocated to pay the traffic maintenance bureau as those employees continued to work.

Further, council is not exercising management rights. It is simply cutting positions and decreasing salaries in it's amendments. As Mr. McGoff stated, the

mayor's 2010 budget does, in fact, raise revenue in parking permit and rental registration fees. However, there is still a structural deficit and his budget isn't balanced.

Consequently, Mr. McGoff comments regarding council's budget amendments smack of supposition and scare tactics. In short, it doesn't pass the smell test. I believe the taxpayers are wise enough to recognize who stands up and works for them and who doesn't.

requests for the week. A city resident reports that the block of Jefferson Avenue in which the Mercy Hospital is located has "No Parking" signs on both sides of the street, nevertheless, there are cars parked on both sides which narrows a very busy street. The resident feels these cars should be ticketed to increase safety and parking ticket revenue.

A city resident reports that the sidewalks of the former Tom and Jerry's Restaurant in South Side are covered with

snow and ice. Please inform the owners of the building to clear the sidewalks in accordance with city ordinance.

In response to Minooka residents complaints, letters have been sent to the business involved in the trucking operations and the post office to inform them of the problems created by idling trucks and requesting that as good neighbors each will work for the benefit of the homeowners of the area to address the problems.

And, Mrs. Krake, if you would please locate the noise and air pollution city ordinances for council and distribute them to each member just in the event we may need them for future reference.

A city resident reported yesterday that the Mulberry Street sidewalk next to city hall was not properly cleared of snow. Only a path was cleared, thereby preventing wheelchair accessibility. In addition, the handicapped accessible curbs throughout the downtown were not properly cleared. Please address these issues ASAP and in future snow events clear these areas for the safety of

our disabled residents. In addition, please clear all city bridges.

A letter to the ECTV: Council has received complaints that ECTV has failed to implement the streaming of government meetings on a website. Residents state that they no longer can afford cable television and resort to the Internet as a substitute. Please provide a date by which ECTV will provide this service promised in it's proposal to the city. Council requests a written response on or before March 24, 2010.

A letter to the mayor and business administrator: Please notify the television and radio stations of all city hall closings so that citizens will not venture out to perform their city business at such time.

A letter to Mr. Brazil: Residents of East Mountain and Bellevue complained over the last several days that their garbage has not been collected. Please provide council with a schedule of garbage pickup following snow events.

And finally, a letter to the

Scranton Housing Authority and the DPW 1 asking them to clear the snow from public 2 3 housing areas, particularly those areas that provide shelter for our senior citizens, and 4 that's it. 5 MS. KRAKE: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -6 AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 7 8 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT 9 FUNDS FROM THE BJA FY 09 EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) 10 11 PROGRAM LOCAL SOLICITATION IN THE AMOUNT OF 12 \$50,618.00 AND DISBURSE SAID FUNDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GRANT APPLICATION. 13 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 15 16 introduced into it's proper committee. 17 MR. JOYCE: So moved. 18 MR. ROGAN: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. 22 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 24 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 25 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION
AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE

CODE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,

SECTION 6-14-CONTRACTS, SUBSECTION (A) TO

REDUCE THE AMOUNT ABOVE WHICH COMPETITIVE

BIDDING IS REQUIRED FROM \$20,000.00 TO

\$10,000.00, AND TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT ABOVE

WHICH QUOTES MUST BE OBTAINED FROM \$5,000.00

TO \$4,000.00.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. This piece of legislation just seems to make complete sense to me. You know, by reducing the amount from 20,000 to 10,000, which must be competitively bid, we not only -- it is not only pro taxpayer, but it's probusiness as well. You know, the way this is currently done, you know, smaller businesses don't always have the chance to compete for these

contracts and with doing this not only do we give other businesses a chance to compete with the larger businesses, we also have the opportunity to save a lot of money for the city when we have three or four or five or however many companies bidding on a proposal, you know, they are going to try -- they're obviously going to try and go as low as they can to beat out the other companies. So, therefore, I will be voting "yes" to save the taxpayers money and to help businesses in this area.

(Whereupon Mr. McGoff enters council chambers and takes the dais.)

MS. EVANS: I think I'll add to that, this legislation was drafted by Solicitor Hughes at the request of council. In an effort to save money and prevent fractured bidding, council intends to lower the bidding threshold from \$20,000 to \$10,0000.

Further, additional funding has been allocated in council's budget amendments for the increased cost of advertising for bids.

Also, advertising for bids not only opens

The ayes

A11

1 the process to more businesses and places 2 all on a level playing field, but also 3 offers the city a larger pool of potential bidders which directly affects competition 4 among bidders. And as all of my council 5 6 colleagues have indicated, the more light we shed on the bidding process the more savings 7 8 to the city and city taxpayers. Anyone else 9 on the question? All those in favor signify 10 by saying aye. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 12 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 14 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 15 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 16 have it and so moved. 17 MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a 18 motion to schedule a public hearing on this 19 piece of legislation March 9, 2010, at 6:00, 20 and to authorize the city clerk to place the 21 necessary ad into the Scranton Times. 22 MS. EVANS: A motion has been made, 23 we need a second. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS:

On the question?

25

1	those in favor signify by saying aye.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
3	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
5	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
6	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
7	have it and so moved.
8	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. <u>FOR INTRODUCTION -</u>
9	<u>A RESOLUTION</u> - APPOINTMENT OF ROBERT
10	TIMLIN, 325 WARREN STREET, SCRANTON,
11	PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE
12	SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR AN
13	ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. TIMLIN'S
14	CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON FEBRUARY 4, 2010 AND
15	HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON FEBRUARY 5,
16	2015.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Evans, I would
18	like to make a motion to table 5-D.
19	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
20	floor, is there a second?
21	MR. JOYCE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question?
23	MR. MCGOFF: Reason for tabling?
24	MS. EVANS: Yes. Council office has
25	contacted Mr. Timlin by phone without any

success, therefore, Mr. Timlin will receive a letter this week requesting a resume and a cover letter and when council receives this information will place the legislation back on the agenda and certainly we are hoping that Mr. Timlin will reply in a timely manner to enable the process to move forward.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'd like to add, I mean, I don't know Mr. Timlin personally, but our new council has instituted this program where anyone appointed to boards, I realize he apparently is already a member but he is looking for a renewed five years, that goes for new appointees or renewals, we are looking for information, as Mrs. Evans has mentioned, and that's why I'm tabling it.

MR. ROGAN: I agree with

Mr. Loscombe as well. I have never met

Mr. Timlin and I wouldn't feel comfortable

voting "yes" without all of the information.

MS. EVANS: All those this favor signify by saying aye. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 1 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 7 NO 8 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . 9 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION RESOLUTION NO. 8, 2010 -10 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 11 CITY OFFICIALS TO TERMINATE THE EXISTING 12 NAUTILUS INSURANCE POLICY AND EXECUTE AND 13 14 ENTER INTO A NEW CONTRACT WITH KNOWLES ASSOCIATES L.L.C FOR INSURANCE WITH NAUTILUS 15 INSURANCE COMPANY FOR COVERAGE FOR THE 16 PERIOD FEBRUARY 1, 2010 THROUGH JANUARY 1, 17 18 2011 IN ORDER TO CONFORM WITH THE CITY'S OTHER INSURANCE POLICIES AS WELL AS 19 PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 20 21 REGULATIONS FOR CERTIFICATION OF THE WATERSLIDES AND POOL COMPLEX AT NAY AUG 22 PARK. 23 24 MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the 25 Committee on Rules, I recommend final

	95
1	passage of Item 7-A.
2	MR. ROGAN: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
4	call, please.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
12	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
14	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. I
16	will entertain a motion to adjourn?
17	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans?
18	MS. EVANS: Yes.
19	MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry to be late,
20	did anybody mention the benefit via the
21	dinner for the Blasi family?
22	MS. EVANS: No, but please do.
23	MR. MCGOFF: There is a benefit
24	spaghetti for the Dominick and Marilyn Blasi
25	family. They were burned out of their home,

a house fire on February 15 of this year. The takeouts spaghetti dinner benefit is at Villa Marie II on March 7 from noon to five p.m. and the cost is \$10. That's Villa Marie II, March 7, noon to five p.m. and the cost is \$10. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. McGoff. MS. EVANS: I thank all of you for your participation as well and now I will entertain a motion to adjourn. Motion to adjourn. MR. MCGOFF: MS. EVANS: Meeting adjourned.

_

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER