	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, February 23, 2010
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATUENE C. NADDOZZI DDD. GEELGIAL GOUDT DEDGOTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

CATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MR. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MR. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MR. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	APPLICATIONS AND DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE
17	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD ON
18	FEBRUARY 18, 2010.
19	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE
22	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO BE HELD ON
23	MARCH 10, 2010.
24	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed. Before we begin

20

21

22

23

24

25

clerk's notes, I just want to welcome this evening Mr. Cathy Carrera officially to our city council team and city council office.

She is replacing Mr. Neil Coolican who did an excellent job for council during my tenure and we are so happy to have you,

Kathy, and welcome aboard. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: Yes. Our first letter is one that we are just sending out even though the request came awhile ago. I would like to read what it is since it did take us awhile to get this one out. This is resident's requests concerning the cemetery, the Washburn Street Cemetery, excuse me. They are asking who is in charge of the citing of the property owner for blighted property, what is the current ordinance in the city regarding overgrown grass, weeds, etcetera, on the property. What, if any, is the fine if someone is cited for overgrowth. Will the Washburn Cemetery owners be cited if the problem with overgrowth rises this year, and who owns the Washburn Street Cemetery, do they reside in Scranton? the owner of the cemetery pay taxes on the

property, and if so how much are those taxes annually and are they current?

Ms. Carrera did send that letter out to today, but I'm following up because this person requested again the status of it. He pointed out to me that in the past groups were organized and these were the families of the deceased buried there that they themselves took it upon the group to come and clean the cemetery and I guess they are anticipating this happening again this year especially with spring coming and things starting to grow again, so we told them we would follow up on this as best we can.

MS. EVANS: Yes, please to, and I do admire the efforts of all of those volunteers. It was very kind of them to do the work that they do, but it's certainly unacceptable for the owner to ignore his responsibilities by law.

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: So, yes, if we keep following through on that.

MS. KRAKE: We will. The next are responses that we received. This is from

Donald J. Rinaldi from the 500 Lackawanna

Development Company. He is writing in

response to our letter of January 22. Now,

last week we reported that he had not

received this in a timely fashion. In fact,

we did open this letter on Wednesday morning

at our office and we did have it by the

correct date, so I would like to apologize

to Mr. Rinaldi for that, and we are glad

that he answered in a timely fashion.

He says, "Construction on this multi-phase project is ongoing and all phases with the exception of new building construction on the corner of North Washington and Lackawanna Avenues are scheduled to be completed in the early Spring of 2010. The completion of construction of these phases will allow us to begin our formal sales and marketing process to secure tenants for all of the space. Based upon presale's activity it is our expectation that all of the space will be leased within a six-month period. This has been a very complex project involving hundreds of people. We could not have been

successful on this important project without the support of city council and we thank you for your continued support."

MS. EVANS: Thank you. But it appears, I think I hear you saying that there are no tenants currently.

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And the project is still incomplete.

MS. KRAKE: Exactly. There are no tenants now and we asked them to list them, obviously he wasn't able to do that, and his target date for full occupancy is six months, so we will keep our eye on that, also.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Very good.

This is very

MS. KRAKE:

interesting. Mrs. Evans, Council, the traffic maintenance department that has been eliminated in the mayor's budget basically took it upon themselves before they left to make sure that the parking -- "No Parking" signs were taking care of at the intersection of Harrison and Pine. We had asked about this quite awhile ago and then

we did a second response. We did receive a response from Director Brazil. He says,
"Harrison Avenue is a state roadway and marked in accordance to state requirements."

That's his response, but the traffic maintenance department completed the adjustment that we requested which was making the distance of "No Parking" farther from the corner, the way it was explained to me, and I hope this makes sense, is that the normal distance is 25 feet on the one side of the street, he made it 50 and on the other side he made it 40 to increase the visibility.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MS. KRAKE: This was done by our traffic maintenance who was told by the director that we had the leeway to do this, so that's completed yet we received a letter from public works saying that it meets state requirements. I guess the bottom line is it's done, but it's a little confusing.

MS. EVANS: That certainly is, but I am very grateful to the traffic maintenance department for their excellent work and it

is this council's decision that they continue working because their services are vital to the people of Scranton on a daily basis.

MS. KRAKE: I agree. Our next response is also from Director Brazil. We had asked about the street sweeper on East Mountain and we asked that in good weather all streets that are heavily cindered be swept. Also, that picnic table and a bench be removed from the ice at Mountain Lake and we also asked them to list which streets the sweeper had been directed to prior to the last snow storm.

The response is: "In response to your request that all streets be swept in good weather, please note that the street sweeper is not out every day."

And then he says, "The table and picnic bench has been removed from the ice on Mountain Lake," and that's all that he has responded.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: This one also is a puzzle. We asked Mr. Brazil how the city

actively enforces the illegal collection by the DPW of privately owned and operated businesses in the city. If this is not currently being enforced, please state the reason why.

His answer is, "We do not pick up privately owned businesses. If you have information to the contrary, please supply me with this so I may address the situation."

MS. EVANS: Well, from this point forward any complaints that we receive from citizens regarding this matter, if we can keep close track of that and we will certainly provide that to him.

MS. KRAKE: Yes. This letter is also in response from Mr. Brazil. This is our ongoing story about the handicapped accessible curb cut on Spruce and Wyoming. He tells us that when weather permits we will look at repairing the handicap accessible curb at that intersection.

However, we did send out, once again, another letter at Mr. Loscombe's request because he had a picture of the

area, so even though we got this written response we thought that would drive the matter home since it really shows -- emphasizes the need for immediate repair there.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: And now we have some letters that we do not have a response from. The first is to Attorney Kelly, council requested a letter be sent to him regarding police promotions and request a list of the all of the police officers who are currently on the list for possible promotion. Also, what is the minimum written oral score necessary for promotion.

MS. EVANS: If you would resend that with a deadline of Friday, please.

MS. KRAKE: We also did not receive a response from Mr. Seitzinger on our request for him to attend a public caucus on March 9 at 5:45 p.m.

MS. EVANS: Well, let's contact him again tomorrow, and I think it will be important for us to find out whether the mayor has given him the permission to meet

with us or not.

MS. KRAKE: Then we had a rather extensive letter, so I will just sum it up. It was regarding the federal and state stimulus money. We had received no response from Mr. Renda on that. This letter also included a request about the upkeep of the Lackawanna County Library if that, indeed, happened and if the city camera mounted in the library area was working, and these were all directed to Mr. Renda who did not respond.

MS. EVANS: I'd like you to keep a file on each of the departments, please, and letters that are sent to the department heads and I'd like that to include not only the responses that we gratefully receive, but also, and maybe just as importantly, the responses that are ignored. I think council needs to take a hard look at job performance and patterns that develop from now through December and then departments can be addressed as necessary for the forthcoming budgets.

MS. KRAKE: Yes. We have no

response from Attorney Kelly regarding the Nay Aug Park Wildlife Center. This was a resident's request at how can the Recreation Authority justify the lease agreement with Lackawanna College and the Wildlife Center for \$1 a year.

MS. EVANS: We can send that again, but we might also begin some files on the authorities as well, each one of the five.

MS. KRAKE: And lastly, we have no response from Chief Elliott concerning the 30 minute parking in front of the 900 block of -- excuse me, Buona Pizza at 504 Lackawanna Avenue. Now, I realize that the issue with Buona Pizza is mostly during the day, but it would be nice to get a response from the chief.

MS. EVANS: Yes. So, let's submit that again with the Friday deadline and I'm hoping that these department heads will be cooperative and that they are not reacting in a vindictive manner toward council's decision.

MS. KRAKE: That's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. FOURTH

ORDER? CITIZENS PARTICIPATION. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Madam President, I request a minute to a minute half additional time tonight, if possible.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Can we hold

Mr. Jackowitz's time temporarily until I

tell you to begin the time, please?

MR. HUGHES: Sure.

MR. JACKOWITZ: You will know when the time starts. Bill Jackowitz, Master Sergeant, United States Air Force, retired. Lance Corporal Johnson, United States Marine Corp, you fulfilled your obligation to your country and your enlistment both up to and including that blank check that you signed when you became a marine including your life.

All present at council meetings
please if you would, please rise, including
council members. The people at home who are
watching this at home please rise in your
house, if you would, please. The honorably
discharged veterans in the audience please
pay attention to my commends, if you would,

please and also the commands who are -- also the people who are watching at home.

Veterans, active duty military personnel, national guard and reserve members, attention. Present arms. Order arms.

Thank you to the Johnson family for raising such a fine son who was willing to give his life for his country.

Thank you. You can start the time now and thank you for your cooperation.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,
Mr. Jackowitz, for honoring Larry in that
way.

MR. JACKOWITZ: What a surprise, lame duck mayor/ex governor/ex lieutenant governor/ State Senator Doherty did not appear in front of Scranton City Council. Instead, they requested council president and vice president to come to him. Mayor, why are you so afraid to face the citizens of your city that you were elected to lead not run from.

The last time that the mayor showed up at city council was when he mysteriously showed up to cast the deciding vote to

. .

appoint Mr. McGoff to city council.

Mr. McGoff has voted to put the city into a \$400 million debt, long-term debt ever since. Plus, Mr. McGoff made the motion and voted in favor of removing \$1.5 million from the golf course sale and squandered the money on the Doherty program. Good job, Councilman.

Doherty pledges to forego financial perks if elected to Senate. Doherty pledges to be sixth councilman, lower wage taxes by 1/10 of a percentage point every year while serving as mayor of Scranton. Never happened. He promised to rebuild the downtown. We have emptier store fronts now, fewer businesses in downtown, Steamtown Mall 40 percent vacancy rate. I invite the citizens of Scranton to walk downtown or better yet drive downtown, just make sure you avoid the potholes and cave-ins.

If you decide to walk, look up at all of the empty office spaces located on the upper floors of the buildings downtown.

If lame duck mayor/ex governor/ex lieutenant governor/state senator Doherty created 9,000

1

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

jobs in Scranton single handedly, why does he not present these jobs to the public? Instead, he goes to the Times-Tribune without any proof of job creation and they print the story anyhow. Why does Scranton have either the first, second or third highest unemployment rate in the state since Mayor Doherty has been mayor of Scranton? The only jobs that he created were in city hall and the authorities for his friends and campaign contributors. Mr. McGoff, possibly in motions you would be so kind as to explain why the wage tax collection has decreased not increased. I will be very interesting to hear your response with the new 9,000 jobs the mayor created. Why has wage taxes decreased, Mr. McGoff?

Some day I hope the Times-Tribune will ask the king to question Taylor, Old Forge, Moosic, Duryea, ask that question. When Doherty comes to your door to sign a petition for the king and ask for your vote. The mayor takes credit for the medical school. Mayor, you had nothing to do with the medical school coming to Scranton. As a

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

-

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

matter of fact you almost blew it.

Remember? I do.

I see where 15 people showed up for your press conference and supposedly 200 showed up at Kildaire's. I hope nobody got DUI on your way home from Kildaire's.

I also see lame duck mayor/regiven/ex lieutenant governor, senator Doherty, you patted yourself on the back when you are interviewed by WNEP. TV you stated that you did a good job. Ιf you have to pat yourself on the back that usually means that you have done a bad job. If you did such a good job why is the city still distressed -- accomplishments, the city is still distressed, taxes were raised, fines and penalties assessed to the delinquent taxpayers. When Lackawanna County has an office available to collect delinquent taxes and the money stays in the county. If you read today's paper you will see how much money the county collected in the state -- in the country, not to a bunch of lawyers who own a private collection agency that charges 23 fines and fees thanks

to Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci.

\$350,000 dog park while the rest of the country pays approximately \$80,000 for a dog park. A tree house, bridge to nowhere, \$3 swimming fee for kids and leasing the Wildlife Center for a dollar a year for up to 150 years. By the way, the taxpayers must pay for any repairs or roof replacements.

As far as the million dollars the college would spend, they would have to have had to have to spend that money anyways. It was a zoo and wildlife center.

When was the last time Chief Elliott made a traffic stop, a drug bust or went out on any police calls? How will reducing his salary hinder public safety? If you do not like your salary, quit. When was the last time Chief Davis went into a burning building or saved someone or hooked up a hose or setup a ladder in a fire? Again, how will reducing his salary hurt public safety? If you do not like your salary, Chief Davis, quit your job.

Chief Elliott and Chief Davis have been middle managers to help them, captains, lieutenants, sergeants and so on while the smaller communities do not have that luxury. The police chiefs are patrolling and fire chiefs are fighting fires. Again, I say until the rank and file officers and firefighters get their raises, the chiefs should give back their raises.

Mr. McGoff, you can try and spin all you want. It was a bad deal for the taxpayers, the Wildlife Center and the zoo. We could have leased that building, we could have rented that building or we could have sold that building to Lackawanna College. They are a multimillion dollar nonprofit organization. So you your spin last week went absolutely no where. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Maury Tamarelli.

MR. TAMARELLI: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening, Council.

Janet, I want to thank you first for announcing the Veteran's meeting that we had

over at the University. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Oh, you are welcome.

MR. TAMARELLI: And I also want to thank all of the veterans here in Lackawanna County that backed me up for over 3,000 senators signatures that I'm fighting the governor today for the outreach for Mrs. Ruth Gonzalez. I have Ken Smith, Kevin Murphy and the rest of the representatives in Harrisburg now and I'm fighting to keep this outreach going.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. TAMARELLI: And I'm going to Harrisburg the 5th of March to meet the governor probably, I don't know, and we are doing all we can. He closed five offices down in the State of Pennsylvania with no warning. He was appropriated the money from the federal government and he put it in different projects, so that's what we want to find out why, so I want to thank the veterans that backed me up on this. This is the only way I could thank them through this council and I thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you Mr. Tamarelli.

Mrs. Krake, I'm wondering with the

permission of my colleagues, if there is

anyone who objects you can let me know, we

could write a letter to Governor Rendell

expressing Scranton's City Council support

for the efforts of Mr. Tamarelli and his

group and that we would that these Veteran

outreach centers would continue in

operation. Is that agreeable? Thank you.

MR. TAMARELLI: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: You're welcome. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, well, I see we got under 5-B our dear insurance came up again on our swimming pool, okay. And, of course, a disclaimer is in the policy again, of course, because it's all this -- I guess Knowells has no responsibility at all for the pool other than to be a negotiator in this contract. Geez, somewhere along the line there must be a company in Pennsylvania that offers insurance for something like that. There

has got to be. Pennsylvania is -- with all our amusements parks that we have are they all out of state or would they consider all the state are they national, like, All-State which is, of course, everywhere. Maybe I'm not saying that they cover that, but I would like to really know if there is any insurance company in Pennsylvania that really would cover something like this, but as you notice they have to go back for -- so they can open the pool. We know why it's being done, maybe it's not the best reason for being done, but it's being done for that reason. The pool has to open and they've got to have insurance.

Okay, the South Side complex, and I know it was brought up again, where -- are we liable should the federal government refuse to let that complex go? Who is liable for the money the mayor collected for that complex and who has to give it back should that fall through? This man spent the money supposedly for a lot of things, you know, the field that we never got, this, that and whatever, it's just impossible for

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a man to spend money like that and what did you get out of it? I think he spent it up at Nay Aug, somewhere up at Nay Aug in I imagine Nay Aug, they sent out bids, of course, for the greenhouse that's on the agenda up there, too. You won't see anything like it, but the bids went out for the greenhouse.

But up at Nay Aug, all of the Nay Aug buildings are liabilities. You build something it becomes a liability. You got to keep it up, so it's a liability. spent all that money on Nay Aug, all we did is increase more and more liabilities. original deed for that calls for amenities. That's all they wanted up there, the amenities with that stand and being able to bring up the stand. I don't know what the liability insurance could cover on that stand. Are we covering liability just for people around the stand or if they got bad food or stuck with them suing us for bad food out of that stand. I don't know what the liability requirements are, I assume you will look into it, maybe you can vote on it

and see exactly what liabilities we incur at that stand.

And as far as a lot of other things go, I don't know. As far as where we stand financially, we are never going to get out of this hole we are in and we are going to sink deeper and deeper into the abyss because there is really no way out of it. He put us in such debt that there is no way we can get out of this debt other than use tax hikes which we really don't want.

But you know what, maybe we can ask Harrisburg to come to our aid, our legislature, and allow 2-A cities to expand their area. If we can expand out, you know, we tried this before, it probably won't go no where, I don't blame it, but to take in our surrounding communities. You know, if we took in Dunmore, Taylor, Dickson City, Moosic, and so forth our population would be great enough that we could warrant that 2-A status. You know we should be really be a third class city. Any common sense would tell you that population wide, but that's the only way we are ever going to get out of

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Marie

Schumacher.

our hole, we have to spend out. And like you keep spending they use our services. Everybody in our surrounding area uses our services, so maybe they should come a port of it and maybe we'd get a lot more complaints that are a lot more in tune as to what is actually happening because that's the only way we are going to get out of this debt and when PEL comes with their requirements if I read the earlier what some them wanted, some of the fees they wanted to raise, and I hate to see what's going to happen to the people. I really am sorry for them.

At least in my point in my life if I want to leave I can. When you hit my age you can do almost anything and you can leave quite a few ways, but my home is already here, and like I said before, I'll always be in Scranton no matter what. If I live to be a 100 or whatever, my home is already at the Cathedral and that's where I'll be going, so I'm well set, and I thank you for listening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: First, I'd like to call attention to the change date for the Scranton Sewer Authority meeting which normally would have been tonight. They will now be meeting next Tuesday. Hopefully the \$24,000 Ganat-Flemming rate study results will be presented next week so I hope those who attended the January meeting will also attend next Tuesday.

Second, the recent Times-Tribune article on the Mexican black tar heroine sellers that target cities with many young white people. I would ask that Mr. Loscombe inquire whether this scourge has arrived in Scranton and so advise us next week or --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- as soon as you can get that, and now I apologize that the budget is in Seventh Order and I'm here tonight with more questions than answers, but as you know I wasn't here last week.

Two weeks ago though I did note that

the full faith and credit of we taxpayers is behind Scranton Parking Authority. Their bonds have reportedly come close to default and I had inquired whether or not we are in a pay me now or pay me later situation in the Parking Authority of what is being cut from the budget, I believe it was \$200,000 roughly if that was going cause them to default on a bond which was cheaper that to pay now or to pay later and if there is an answer to that I would like to hear that during the motions.

Then also I attended the February 4 hearing on HB-1942. During Bill Courtright's testimony there was a question and answer period, and Mr. Courtright during that question and answer period had suggested that the tax office could let the cities delinquent taxes, I believe it was the cities because the county collects everybody else's, but a legislative panelist asked how many more people will be required and the response, which surprised me, was none and, of course, the way my mind works I thought well, then, maybe they don't have

enough to do, so I don't understand why if the Single Tax Office has the resources to collect the delinquent taxes with the staff they have now why we are adding more, I believe it was four additional employees, was that? Yeah. So I would like to know why that is being done.

And then the 2010 controller's budget was almost the same as that for 2009 which did not include the fund to audit the single tax office, so why then is this budget revision unless there is going to be another waive of revisions, why does the budget not include funding for the single tax audit as we all witnesses what can happen when the Single Tax Office is not audited?

And then the IT function I believe there was also a cutback there and I would like to know what functions will not be continued because of that and will it effect putting all of the backup to the agenda items onto the website by that reduction because I really would like to see that happen and it would seem to me it's as

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

simple as requesting anybody this has an input for the agenda to it electronically and then it can go right onto the agenda, so I'm looking forward to the day when all of that backup except, of course, when there is blueprints, of course, that would be impossible.

And then the DPW position, I went back to I believe it was July 12, 2007, when there was a colloquy, Mrs. Evans, between you and Mr. Courtright on the fact that the salary of \$61,700 at this point in time for the director was -- in essence was too much because Mr. Parker had been dual-hatted and he was also the engineer and then this brought up another question. Mr. Courtright during that conversation said that he knew that the actual functions had been reduced, that the Traffic Maintenance Bureau had been moved under Chief Elliott yet it still appears in the budget as DPW, so it would be interesting to find out where that department really does reside. But I don't know why we did not go back on that DPW, and nothing against Jeff, I like Jeff and I

think is he doing a fine job, but if everybody else is being taken back I don't know why that one should be a different -- should be different especially since he did start off with a double salary.

See you next week maybe and maybe not, I may be at the Sewer Authority.

MS. EVANS: Maybe just quickly I can respond to you though, we'll start with the DPW director and I know that he received a slight salary decrease based on the formula, but keeping in mind what you said, we are including the duties of the director of Parks and Recreation into the job description for the DPW director. So, in other words, there are positions in parks and rec that have been eliminated.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Positions? More than one?

MS. EVANS: Yes. And Mr. Brazil
would be asked to wear both hats. DPW
Director and Director of Parks and
Recreation as is done in many other cities
in Pennsylvania, so that is why you didn't
see a significant salary reduction that

reflects the fact that, yes, he is not a city engineer and, yes, the city is paying I believe \$50,000 annually to Mr. Swanson for his services. But, as I said, we are asking Mr. Brazil to wear more than one hat now.

In addition, the Single Tax Office audit, I had questioned that myself during the meeting I had with Mr. Courtright and Mr. Renda and Mr. Renda stated at this time that the audit would be performed this year and it would be performed by McGrail, Merkel and Quinn, the firm that had previously audited the Single Tax Office because, as you said, I asked about placing money into controller's report --

MS. SCHUMACHER: There is no money in there for it.

MS. EVANS: -- in order for them to be able to perform these duties as they should be doing, but Mr. Renda's answer was that the audit will be conducted by McGrail, Merkle and Quinn.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But where are the funds though?

MS. EVANS: Where are the funds for

that?

MS. SCHUMACHER: I mean, if that's a controller's function shouldn't they be in the controller's budget? I'm sorry, I shouldn't be asking --

MS. EVANS: Well, it's not in the controller's budget, that I'm aware of, but we can certainly ask Mr. Renda and see if he will answer that question for us.

And as far as reinstating the tax office positions, I know that the Scranton School District funds 50 percent of those salaries and the school district passed their budget in December, they did not wish to see those positions cut. I have spoken with Mr. Courtright at length about the situation, he does not want to see those positions cut because he feels that they are imperative to the full and effective operation of the Single Tax Office and since that has been a calamity over the last several years, I think it's very important that the tax office is running efficiently and cost effectively for the benefit of all of the taxpayers and each governing body

23

24

25

particularly so that we are receiving the proper amount of financial dispersement on a monthly basis.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So if I may then, you are not adding more people you are restoring four jobs that would have been cut otherwise?

MS. EVANS: Yes. They are being reinstated. Now, I believe they are currently or they continue to currently work at the Single Tax Office and, as I said, the school district has been funding it's share and so this is simply a reinstatement on the city's part for our portion of those employees.

MR. MCGOFF: May I interject?

 ${\tt MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.}$

MR. MCGOFF: I was told that those four positions weren't eliminated -- or can't be eliminated at this time, that under a prior tax collector an agreement was made between the union and the tax collector that as long as they were outsourcing a collection -- a certain, I forget what tax it was that was going to be collected, that

they agreed that they would not -- they could not eliminate any positions at the tax office until the contract with that private contractor was completed.

MS. EVANS: Do we know when that would be?

MR. MCGOFF: I believe it's sometime I'm going to say April, but it's this year. Sometime this year. So those four positions are still there.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, I thought they were four in addition to what that was there now --

MS. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- just from the notes I took three weeks ago, so thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: You're welcome. Mrs.

Krake, could we send a letter to

Mr. Courtright asking him when that contract expires and if he anticipates renewal and I think that will be helpful in terms of a budget for next year so that we know exactly where we stand legally n that office. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, Scranton resident, homeowner and taxpayer. Mrs. Evans, I would like to follow-up on three questions that I submitted a few weeks ago and I haven't gotten an answer yet unless I missed it again. The one is about the playground, the Lackawanna Little League, did the mayor and Mark Dougher actually authorize that being dismantled? Was there in answer to that one? I submitted it in writing.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: Mr. Spindler, I did review your letter as of today, we don't have the answers yet. Some of them -- I don't want to take up his time, some of them have been answered in other ways. If you would be kind enough to call our office tomorrow I would be able to explain it in full detail.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay.

MS. KRAKE: And we will pursue it further, also, if you want to with each level.

MR. SPINDLER: Another was about the

500 block of North Rebecca, that's two-ways and the rest of the street is one-way, I was just wondering why.

And ECTV, they don't have it on both channels live, it's just on Channel 19 live.

That was my last one.

You mentioned the tax office, Mrs. Evans, I have a story about that. Someone that I know went to pay their bill yesterday and they stood in line a half an hour and they said the line was out the door and down the ramp, so for these people to go on-line in the Doherty newsletter and bash city council for restoring those four jobs they don't know what they are talking about. Those four jobs should be restored, there were two people working this gentleman told me yesterday and the line was out the door and down the ramp, so they cannot lose these jobs.

Another thing, I read in the Doherty newsletter last week about the Library Authority had their meeting, is council taking steps to stop this?

MS. EVANS: Currently Solicitor

Hughes is in negotiations with Attorney
O'Brien, whom I'm assuming must represent
the Board of Trustees/Library Authority and
we should have an update for you at next
week's council meeting. It's ongoing.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you.

Mr. Rogan, I have a question for you, last week you said you are going to make a motion --

MR. HUGHES: If I could,

Mr. Spindler, let me stop the clock, okay? I repeatedly stated it, that any meetings or action taken by the authority unless to dissolve or what's in legal principles known as ultra vires, which means they are of no consequence and as a result I don't see them really taking any actions, whatever actions that they would take, legally they have no right to act other than to dissolve the authority. As Mrs. Evans said, hopefully I will have a much more update report next week.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you.

Mr. Rogan, last week you said you were going to make a motion to restore the recycling

foreman's job. Are you still going to do that?

MR. ROGAN: I spoke with the members of council and there is not support for that.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. As was mentioned before, Mayor Doherty is now going to run for Senate, he said he is dropping out of the governor's race, well, he didn't drop out of the governor's race, the state democratic committee more or less told them they don't want them. When it came time for endorsements, Chris Doherty didn't get one vote for governor, and it turns out a gentleman from Philadelphia who didn't even have his name in for governor got 44 votes, so what does that tell you?

And he said he is running on his record of job creation. What job creation has he -- if he created all of these jobs why didn't he make his announcement at one of these places where he created all the jobs? As Mr. Jackowitz said, he did not bring the medical school here, Senator Mellow did, and I'm not a Senator Mellow fan

but you have to give credit where credit is due. And Chris Doherty says, "Just walk around downtown Scranton and what do you see?"

Nothing. You see empty store fronts, there is no people shopping. If he is running a job creation I think he needs a lot of help.

Now, yesterday's headline about
Chief Elliott if his pay is cut he will be
making as much as the chief of Tunkhannock?
Well, I'll bet the chief of Tunkhannock
isn't an incompetent sexist because Chief
Elliott has one lawsuit against him for
calling two females officers a name which I
don't want to repeat, and these people he
called these names are wives and mothers and
didn't deserve to be treated like that. He
has another age discrimination lawsuit
against him, that's two lawsuits that I know
of.

He has ignored a police report, he is covering it up that I brought up a long time ago that ID's two Doherty cronies who are city employees that stole Gary DiBileo's

25

signs and threw them in the river. week Mrs. Stulgis was here and said about a few weeks ago 13 police cars were off the road at one time. How could this man let this happen? Now, I think this is the best I have it on very, very good information from a very reliable source that we are down a lot of police officers. We are supposed to have ten beat cops in the city and we have three. Mr. Loscombe, since you are public safety chair you might want to listen to this, we have three beat cops, should have ten, and Chief Elliott is not giving the test. Now, this guy shouldn't only have his pay cut, he shouldn't have a This man should have been fired a long time ago. I spoke to a postal worker today and said if he called somebody a name at the post office that Chief Elliott called these two women they have zero tolerance. would have been fired on the spot. This man is an sexist, he is incompetent, he is putting the city in danger, he is not putting police officers on the force and this is after a report came out that our

violent crime is up 19 percent. This man, like I said, he doesn't not only deserve a pay cut, he shouldn't have a job and thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Spindler. Mr. Loscombe, if you are able could you arrange a meeting with Chief Elliott regarding these beat patrol officers and what we have heard this evening regarding the test, that the test has not been given and then perhaps you can report to council next week.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Definitely.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Jean Suetta.

MS. SUETTA: Jean Suetta. Hi, Cathy, welcome aboard.

MS. CARRERA: Thank you.

MS. SUETTA: I requested last week about a depression on Albright Avenue right at the beginning going onto the new bridge, the depression is still there. They filled it halfway up and left it and now it's getting worse.

And Chief Elliott, he is getting \$48,000 a year. You mean to tell me he

can't live on that? He wants a raise when none of our patrolman got a raise in the last nine years or our fire. I had a lady call me up today and tell me she made a complaint to Mr. Elliott about drug dealings over in South Side and when she called on the phone he harassed her very bad and the drug house is 1350 Prescott --

MS. EVANS: Prospect Avenue.

MS. SUETTA: -- Prospect Avenue, second floor, and he has done nothing. Why? \$48,000 a year. Do you know how much money that is?

MS. EVANS: Well, I did think --

MS. SUETTA: I worked hard on the back of a garbage truck and didn't make half that and I worked. I didn't sit in an office. I loaded garbage and he is garbage and he should be loaded on a truck for what he is doing. Don't be saying nothing.

MS. EVANS: Well, I believe from what I have read in the newspaper concerning the 19 percent rise in violent crime in the city, that the chief was very anxious to have citizens' contact him with any types of

complaints, reports or leads and so this certainly isn't the way to encourage the public to make those reports if what you are saying is true.

MS. SUETTA: Yeah. She's a senior citizen yet.

MS. EVANS: So, Mr. Loscombe --

MS. SUETTA: And he treated her like a piece of shit.

MS. EVANS: -- if you would bring our concern about that as well. Please, Ms. Suetta.

MS. SUETTA: All right.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SUETTA: Well, I get very upset when somebody picks on a senior citizen because I also am one.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SUETTA: And this morning I pick up the toilet paper to read, right, and I read about Kevin Murphy and Frank Shimkus is saying Kevin Murphy done nothing? He is full of malarky because Kevin Murphy is a good man. He didn't lie about where he lived or anything. He helps the senior

citizens. That's all. 1 2 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 3 MS. SUETTA: My heart goes out to the Thompson family. I know what it's like 4 to have an officer knock on the door. I had 5 three brothers that I lost in the war. 6 7 MS. EVANS: I'm sorry. 8 MS. SUETTA: You know, and my heart 9 goes out to them. All right. Thank you 10 very much. 11 Thank you. Brian Mills. MS. EVANS: Ron Ellman. 12 No? 13 MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. Ron 14 Ellman, member of the Taxpayers' Association. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 17 MR. ELLMAN: You all miss me last 18 week? MS. EVANS: Yes. 19 MS. ELLMAN: Well, we had a -- my 20 21 mother-in-law's dog died and it's a big 22 sadness for us and it died of old age, you 23 know, he is 19, but I still felt bad then 24 that afternoon Ms. Rosie and me got thrown 25 out of a Chinese dollar store and I was in a

bad mood about that, and I won't go into
that. It's an interesting story. Now,
tonight I'm sitting here and everybody has
stolen my thunder so far. I can't believe I
was paralleling so many people in my notes,
but, I remember the week before last
Mr. Doherty was singing the praises of
Mr. Joyce's apartments downtown. He said
this is where the city is going. Did you
read the article?

MS. EVANS: No, I didn't.

MR. ELLMAN: Everybody in the city probably end up with those two and three thousand dollars apartments some day. That seems to be his wish. This man is just so far out of a tune with the people of this city. I don't know. Somebody told me he is a fool. He is not a fool. The fool is the people that didn't vote this year. That's why we have bad government.

You know, I'm not that bright, but I wouldn't be afraid to debate our beloved mayor, boss Doherty. I wouldn't debate you. I wouldn't debate Mrs. Fanucci, a lot of people, but he wouldn't worry me. It's not

that he is not an intelligent person, it's just I wouldn't be -- have no fear of talking to him if you could get him out in public. It seems like he's a coward. He doesn't want to come to the people. You know, he should come around us once in awhile and see things.

I got another question is -- the old building that the college got at Nay Aug, is that a done deal? Is there a loophole that we can get that building back? I hear people complain about that crooked deal left and right?

MS. EVANS: Our solicitor is working on that.

MR. ELLMAN: That's good. That will make some people happy because I never think of that myself because I don't go there, but that's a shameful disgrace to have that done with the people of this city.

To change the subject real quick, do you -- at your school do they have a way of testing people for dyslexia like I got?

MS. EVANS: I'm certain they do.

I'm certain every school district employs

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school psychologists who run batteries of diagnostic and prescriptive tests.

MR. ELLMAN: Mine is getting problematic instead of better in my old age. I can't -- I just can't see how I went through almost three-quarters of my life and didn't know it. I went through school -- I went through Memphis State University, the University of Tennessee and I never knew it. I thought everybody had to read things backward and turn them around my whole life. I guess that's why I wasn't an "A" student.

But I had somebody ask me that a couple of days ago when we were talking. Ι said nobody ever tested me. That's, vou know, I read things -- I was down at Edwardsville today trying to find an address and I was looking at everything in my head it's all backwards. I got lost and ended out there in the old part of Edwardsville where the restaurant used to be. I forget the name of it. Anyway, I was supposed to in the other way, but I see these numbers wrong and I feel sorry for people that have It's a -- it's not that to go through this.

serious, I guess, but it's -- I had something else here in all of this crap.

Oh, our debt that Andy mentioned, there is no may this city can support a debt like we got and you people need to start as quick as you can because time flies so fast to see if we can get some state intervention or something with all of these nonprofits and these three colleges just sucking the life blood out of this city. It's just a terrible thing when the student can afford a \$3,000 a month apartment and the college is pleading for money and buying property and not doing their share. It's just not right, you know.

I was talking to a boy today. He was walking past the house and he asked me some directions, he is going down town. I told him where the soup kitchen was and where he can city at night I guess, you know, I told him I'm not familiar with those things, but it's a -- it's another great sadness to see people like this. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.
Charlie Newcomb.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NEWCOMB: Good evening, council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. NEWCOMB: I just have two topics The first one I want to talk about toniaht. is something that it really affects me a lot because I know I see a lot of them in the city, but especially this home. There is a home at 340 Horatio Avenue up in the Keyser Valley section, and why I know this address very well is because this was my family's homestead, my mother and favor built this home from scratch in 1965. If you drive by there, there has been I don't know how many orange tags, one taken off, they go back I'm sure for a couple of years they have been There is still a tenant in condemned. there, and I'll tell you what, there is home about three homes up for sale and it was for sale in the \$300,000 price range, I don't know what it is now. But anyway, this home is deplorable. As I drove by today aluminum siding is blowing off, it's laying on the ground. There is junk on the porch. place makes Sanford and Son look like the Taj Maj Hall. I'm going to tell you, it's

very deplorable and I do think you have to -- and I understand people, you know, sometimes people have trouble with their properties, but we all have a responsibility in our neighborhoods and the city to keep this -- you know, to keep our properties up. And I'm sure, you know, if some of us have properties that look like this we would be in more trouble than others, so I would appreciate if you would check into, you know, 340 North Horatio Avenue, just drive-by yourself and take a look because if I lived in that neighborhood the blight hotline would be out of tape because it's terrible.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, could we contact Mr. Seitzinger tomorrow and apprise him of what Mr. Newcomb has said and then we would like a written report from him as to how the problem is going to be addressed. Thank you.

MR. NEWCOMB: You're welcome. I also talked to Mr. Seitzinger and he did admit that the tag was on there. And the second thing I would like to talk about it

is, I know it's in Seventh Order tonight, I believe, but the budget. I heard a lot of talk here the last few weeks, and I'm just going to give you my opinion on the budget. As you know, it's no secret, I'll tell you what, I'm a very conservative person. I call myself a fiscal conservative. If I was running the city, county, state or whatever, I would run it like I do my own household. If you make \$4,000 a month, you can't spend \$4,100. It's as simple as that, okay?

I go by myself, I'll use myself as an example. Knock on wood, you know, all I own is -- I have a home and one car payment and under \$100 worth of credit card debt. That's because I choose to live conservatively, don't live off everybody else and be responsible to take care of me. So I'm going to he will you what, as far as the budget goes I know, you know, in this day and age a lot of people are talking about giving up salaries, but I'm sure it wasn't a personal decision, you looked at what had to be cut, so I'll tell you I was really -- everybody up there ran and won an

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

election as a Democrat and when I sat home and listened to some of these I'll tell you what I'm very proud of what four of you anyways, Mr. Rogan, Mrs. Evans, Mr. Joyce and Mr. Loscombe, even though, you know, you ran on a Democratic ticket you used common sense, fiscal common sense, regardless of what your party is.

Unfortunately, Mr. McGoff, the old way of doing business where across our nation right now on a national scale you see what's happening between up in Massachusetts and everything with Republicans and Democrats, but all I have to say is if you are going to save us seven hundred and some dollars this year and maybe whatever next year, hopefully 10 percent, maybe it will be \$7 million, but all I could say is there is one person out there, and I know she gets -they really pick on her because they don't like her, but I give her credit for saying what she has to say and that's Sara Palin and Sara Palin made the comment when she was here and she makes it all the time about the Drill, baby, drill. oil situation: That's

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a statement. Well, all I can say when the budget time comes, stick to your guns and just remember, cut, cut, cut. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Newcomb.

Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dobrzyn, member of the taxpayers', resident of Scranton. In the Times the other day there is an interesting article, very critical of you people up there, you know, vengeful attacks and when I got to the end of the it I noticed that the person is from Clarks Summit, so I have a proposal for you, maybe you can make an ordinance that if somebody wants to pay a commuter tax to our city you people can give them the right to vote here and exclusively in local elections and maybe that will help things out a little bit.

Okay, it was mentioned about Nay Aug tonight and I don't know if it's true or not, it's something I heard, but I heard that the concession stand pays absolutely no rent or utilities at Nay Aug Park, so it might be something to keep under your hat

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and think about.

On the tax office, a few years ago or a year or two ago, I proposed that the City before they hand over these tax delinquents to NCC there should at least be some last ditch attempt at seeing what you could do with these people. Maybe they are confused, maybe they are broke and maybe they could qualify for reverse mortgage and pay their local taxes and get out from under it and use their money wisely and we would have this problem and the how somebody is going to pay and \$800 tax when they just paid off \$800 from last year or \$1,000 and #2,300 piled on top of that when they have no money, we should have kind of counseling and some type of stop measure as a last ditch attempt before they just get handed over to Northeast Criminal Conspiracy -- I mean, NCC.

And on chief -- I don't want to be critical of him, but -- or anybody, but in a case where the reporting of drugs it might pay to have a little patience because I think something like that has to be

22

23

24

25

investigated as to the logic of it, you I don't know if I would appreciate getting in an argument with a neighbor over a parking space and have him sell me off to the chief as a French connection and SWAT team coming through my door, I don't know if I would appreciate that either, and a lot of those hotlines and so forth are semi-anonymous so you wouldn't even know where it came from. You would be suspecting, but you know, like they would look in an investigation for excessive comings and goings and things like that, short visits and so forth, and maybe that's what they are doing as opposed to just barging into somebody's house and slamming the door in with the SWAT team because that's how the drug offenses are addressed in the city. So thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Keep that in mind about the about the -- keep that in mind about the commuter tax, I mean, maybe we need to state that you donate money to campaign in another

city you should be rewarded with a commuter tax.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Sam Patilla.

MR. PATILLA: Good evening, people's

council. Sam Patilla, Scranton resident,
member of the Scranton/Lackawanna Taxpayers'
Association.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. PATILLA: Madam President, I constantly inquired over the past few weeks and months from the council solicitor the actual power and/or lack thereof of the Library Authority just to drive home the point that they are powerless and I don't know where my friend Les was at that time, but I know, I think ad nauseam the council solicitor was like getting a little perturbed why do you keep asking if I already answered it, but that's why so that we would know that they can meet. We can't stop them from meeting, but they are powerless, we are not going to pay their bills.

And in reference to what Jean had

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

stated earlier, all right, I received a message from the same person and I really tried to advise her not to put that information out in the public because now people know where the house is and if the chief of police is watching it they know who made that phone call, all right? I went by the house, Madam President, I made phone calls to police authorities with and without Scranton. I gave them all of the information I get from the tenants and that I picked up on my own and I just hope that that case isn't blown now because you don't put that type of information out over the air.

Now, in reference to the tax

positions being eliminated by the Single Tax

Office, I'm getting kind of old and I don't

know if it's because I'm Bill Jackowitz's

secretary or not because my hearing is kind

of limited, and the thing that struck out

for me is if those positions couldn't be

eliminated due to either the language within

the contract or some type of personal

agreement why was it placed in the budget to

begin with? Wasn't that information available when that budget was being comprised? Why wait until we make these amendments now to bring that up. I mean, that information should have been brought to the table when the original budget was designed.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: And I don't want you guys to get too upset because Marie often calls me to the carpet as well, and she likes me, you know, and I don't get offended by it, I don't get hurt by it, she is sharp as a whip and I have nothing but respect for her, so in closing that's basically it. You know, I'm kind of disappointed that that information about that house was put out there because we did make calls to the correct officials to handle that problem and now if those people listen to or they know anybody that listens to council meeting they are going to up close up shop. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else that would like to address council?

MR. NEWCOMB: Good evening, city

council. Can I approach with --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. NEWCOMB: -- something and I'll talk about it in a minute.

(Mr. Newcomb approaches the dais.)

MR. NEWCOMB: First and foremost, let me say that --

MS. EVANS: Your name, please.

MR. NEWCOMB: I'm sorry, Charlie
Newcomb, Scranton homeowner, taxpayer.
First and foremost, let me send out my
sincere condolences to the Johnson family.
The definition of a true hero to me
personally is somebody that puts their lives
on the line and fights for this country and
pays the ultimate sacrifice.

I don't have anything against the DPW director, he has actually been in the neighborhood a couple of times to look at our flooding problem. Don't have any problem with the DPW workers, they're fantastic when it comes to picking up our garbage. I was actually going to come to a meeting and say that the last snow storm our streets were very well taken care of.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This past weekend I took -- my daughter for Christmas received a big Jeep to drive around and we were out in the alley and as we are driving around there was rocks all within the alley and I thought to myself, well, somebody might have just come and spilled them, you know what I mean, from a landscaping truck or so on, and I was looked into it further those rocks that you see in front of you are were over the city on the side of the road, and I made a couple of phone calls and it has been said to me, and I ask you to investigate because nothing surprises me. I stood before this council six or seven years ago with a bag of glass and I handed it over and at the time Mr. Pocius and Mr. Hazzouri looked at me and made fun of me and said, "There is nothing wrong with using glass on the street."

I said, "Well, in bigger cities where they have brains that run the operations they use glass, but it's like sand, not corrosive pieces of glass that I can read what type of beer was made out of the glass."

And so they mocked me or whatever, so now we are using rocks to mix in with our rock salt on our city streets. And a couple of weeks ago you had the director of the Sewer Authority sit here before you, and I received a letter in the mail to tell me that our sewer rates interest have to increase because they have to maintain the sewer stuff, so my question is where does all of that stuff go? It goes down the

MS. EVANS: Yes.

sewer drains.

MR. NEWCOMB: So it just doesn't make any sense to me that all this -- when you use salt, I checked with PennDOT, they use a mixture of salt and sand that they said basically disintegrates over a few weeks to a couple of months period. These rocks aren't going to disintegrate, and if you come around with the street cleaner and clean them where are they going to go then? Don't they drain the street cleaners down the sewer? I mean, it's just amazing to me that this kind of stuff is happening. I could be wrong, hopefully they only used it

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

once, but I don't know if that's the case so
I ask you to look into that.

I also asked that if you get Mr. Seitzinger here on March 9 that you ask him about -- if he doesn't respond to the letter ask him personally about the Washburn Street Cemetery. And also I would like to know, and I'll put this in writing and send it to you about Daron Northeast what the status is of that because that seems to be like the big secret that nobody wants to answer why they are still in operation. They were supposed to be closed as of December 31. The old homestead is my grandmothers which my brother resides in now and there is trucks going in and out of there every day and it's a true, disgusting mess.

I also see on the agenda that 7-C there is a donation of five AED's for the fire department, I need to commend the people of any past year that donated these to the city because that can only benefit the people. Hopefully this will be a start to a QRS system which has gone ignored for

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years and years in this city which is another amazing thing to me, but I would also put in writing I would like to know what happened to the ones that were in the police cars. I know they are nonfunctioning, but I want to know how much it cost and I put that in writing to find out to operate them because they were used in the past to actually save lives. was told a few years ago, we got a letter from Chief Elliott said that they were too expensive to buy the pads for and at that time they were \$1,200 to \$1,400 bucks for pads and you could use them maybe five or six times. So I think that's -- I'd like to see you pay \$1,200 or \$1,400 to be able to save somebody that is in need for it.

I would also like to ask how do you go about to get a stop sign on a certain street? Is there a petition that you have to get? Never asked about that before?

MR. MCGOFF: They have to do a traffic study.

MS. EVANS: Yes. They have to do a traffic study, but I think if you leave all

of the information with us, with our office, we will look into that this week and get the ball rolling.

MR. NEWCOMB: It would be North
Cameron and Price Street. I was involved -it's only a block away from my house, we
were involved in a very bad accident there
on July because it's only a two-way stop
sign coming east and west and north and
south there is no stop sign there and I
can't tell you how many people think there
is no stop sign either way. We were in a
bad accident in July and there has been two
since then. It's a very bad intersection
and it's a little neighborhood, you wouldn't
think that there is a problem.

And one last think I also wanted to say that I commend this council from what I have seen in the last couple of weeks watching it on TV that I personally feel that you have gained for steam in the last five or six weeks that you have been here than the last council did in four years, and I'd like to commend Mr. Hughes. I think you have talked more in the past couple of weeks

to the citizens to give them answers than the last guy did the four or five years that he was here, so I commend council and the staff. And I would really like to know about the rocks because it just amazes me that if we are using stuff like that that's acceptable.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. NEWCOMB: Amazing.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Newcomb.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Charlie, you beat me to the punch. I brought my rocks in tonight, too. I noticed the same thing.

MS. HUMPHRIES: Thee is the rock and the rock. This is very emotional for me.

Carl Rigala, Holy Father, I'm going to send this to them. First I want to tell you first a beautiful thing. We have a new bishop, I'm sure of you read. It's Father Bambera. His Excellency, Father Bambera, I hope you are watching. I hope that the city of council, the mayor, we all work together not for the destruction of life, but for the support of life and the support of the City of Scranton.

I also want to send my sympathy out to Father Muldowny's -- Father Muldowny his mother died, just passed away, their funeral is tomorrow.

I'm very dedicated to my church. I got to got to confession. Thank God we got a priest, thank God. I want to speak, number one, of Pro-Life. I many Pro-Life and also being Pro-Life it's note only saving of unborn, I am involved with environmental, I am a member of the environmental n New York City. A member which I hope everybody, they are going to be in May exploding and trying to break through the ice for the gas, the oil or whatever it is, and lot of our beautiful animals are going to die sooner or later with the oil spills and what have you.

The polar bear is beautiful, even the wolves are beautiful. I would ask everybody to try in the City of Scranton to help Norfake, New York, to stop this. We have enough destruction of the unborn on our country. I am allergic to pesticides and they still where I have live being

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pesticides from another place. I want them to evaluate and I want to like to meet with them what I have and what is being given to us. Yes, I know, people have bugs and whatever, yep. I don't have bed bugs. Bugs were put in there months ago for something else which I'm facing a Court case right now, but I know God is going to reign over this matter. I will be leaving this area.

My mission from God was to investigate, to investigate and to see the way the people are which they already know where they watch, God is watching everybody. Last week I brought pictures up, they were miraculous pictures. They went into -- I met with Mayor Doherty a few weeks ago. And like I says, I'm doing a split right now. promised him I would bring the pictures, and he says he is thinking on it. We got to get the Planned Parenthood Organization out of the United States of America because when we are speaking to other countries that a lot of countries do the believe in this own even though it's in their country, I'm going to point to the United States of America, we

got to stop killing these children. What the terrorist are doing while they are wrapping bomb around kids and people, they are actually mirroring and mimicking what the United States and other countries are doing. It's got to stop. You want peace, the true peace will come when Jesus is, but I'm a staunch person for the unborn. The damages, the religious damages and what they have done to me is I held off, but I will be arresting these people. The police department had to hold off on some of these investigations, but I'm not going to cover for everybody. They'll all have to face what they did.

I'm presenting the City of Scranton with pictures because the mayor said he would take them and think about it. And, Mrs. Evans is gone and I'm going to come forward because this paralleled our new Bishop. (Ms. Humphries approaches the dais.) This is our United States veterans and the divine mercy of the blood of Jesus Christ and the sovereign that protect. You've seen these pictures. They go in a circular

motion. We have to turn around and straighten out what's happened, they're all in a circular in motion. I'm gifted, it's through the pictures, God is sending a message to this world. Mayor Doherty, wherever you are you said you would get on your knees and kneel in your bedroom, I hope you have changed your ways. I forgive you and I hope everyone here will forgive him and try to straighten out everything that has to be straightened out. We have to give forgiveness no matter what. It's in -- the key is forgiveness that ever one of yous are going to move forward.

We can't look back. God bless you and thank you. Oh, and the tobacco we got to get tobacco right out. I have a daughter that's dying of tobacco. We got to get it out of the United States. Enough is enough. Enough is enough.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. HUMPHRIES: God bless yas.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any other

speakers?

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DURKIN: Hi.

MR. ROGAN: How are you doing?

MR. DURKIN: My name is Jessica

Durkin, I'm at North Webster Avenue,

Scranton resident. I'm actually -- I'm a

Fellow with the New America Foundation so

I'm just coming to announce a meeting I'm

organizing next week, March 3, which I

believe is a Wednesday, 7:00 p.m. at the

DeNaple's Center and I'll tell you what I'm

doing. This meeting is going to be about --

based around a report by the Night

Commission on the information needs of

communities in a Democracy and -- by the

way, New American Foundation is a

Washington, D.C. think tank and I'm part of

the media policy initiative, I'm a fellow

with that group, and so we are studying --

we are studying sort of the health of local

news on the community level to kind of see

if, you know, in Scranton. I don't want to

say it's a test case, but we are taking a

look at Scranton and sort of just seeing,

you know, what the needs might be for, you

MS. DURKIN:

MR. ROGAN:

Sure. I got some stuff

I can hand you guys.

know, to better information venues and that type of thing. So, yeah.

And the report that I would be presenting, and I'm going to present the whole report, but some of the highlights of it, some of the findings and recommendations of the Night Commission's report is informing communities, sustaining Democracy in a digital age. So, you know, a lot of people got together a few years, people from the Aspen Institute and a lot of other sort of thinkers and from a whole bunch of different areas to kind of asses where the country was with respect to the media.

And, you know, so there is some findings and recommendations so I will be going over those and everyone is invited.

You know, public meeting, so I encourage officials and the public to turn out, yeah, so I'll make sure I send the date and time to the offices here so you guys can post it and stuff.

Thank you.

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Ms. Durkin approaches the dais.)

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. STULGIS: My name is Ann Marie Stulgis. I got a call right before I left and I would like reiterate what Les mentioned earlier. We are funded for ten COM-D police officers, and I didn't hear that we had three, I was just told that there are two, and since there is no more minimum staffing and since the city won the right to put police officers wherever, I am wondering why we have grant money coming in to pay for seven, according to my source eight, more police officers out there on beats why we are just letting that money sit idle or are we? Is it being probably used? Makes one wonder.

I also want to congratulate you, each of you, for the restraint and the courtesy that you showed last week in holding off moving the amendments to the budget. You could have done it in Seventh Order last week and you were -- the four of you were respectful enough to invite the

3

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mayor here since he chose to go to the media instead of coming to you first, I thought it was very gracious of the group of you to do that.

Unfortunately, true to form our mayor, who used to call himself the sixth councilman, failed to take the opportunity to come here as he promised he would do. Excuse me, I should put my glasses on. He has done that -- promised to be the sixth councilman and he broke that promise just as he has continued to break his other campaign If you remember, he promised to promises. reduce the wage tax and here we are eight years and counting and he certainly hasn't Promised to lift the distressed to that. status. When he promised that we were about 23 million dollars in debt. Now we are over \$300 million in debt. That's more than a 13 fold increase. He has no intention of lifting the distressed status because he has learned something. He has learned to use that as a tool. He uses it to get his own way. He uses it to punish people. He has learned to use the distressed status and as

long as he has it to use for himself he is going to continue to keep us distressed.

He has kept absolutely no promises.

We are in debt up to our ears. We have a 19 percent increase in violent crime. We have overpaid administrators who are not qualified, have no education for the positions that they are holding. We have a public safety department where morale has ceased to exist yet pay to play is running rampant in the City of Scranton thanks to you are your mayor. Yet knowing all of this, you were each gracious enough to give him the opportunity to come and really be the sixth councilman.

Unfortunately, it's no surprise to me that he chose not to do that the right thing. You see, I believe our mayor can't handle himself when he is asked direct questions. He doesn't have the ability to face people face-to-face and I don't make that statement lightly, I make that from experience because in his eight years that mayor has never once shown up at any labor relations board hearings regarding the

1

4

3

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Public Safety Department. The mayor has never once shown his face at any of the grievance arbitration hearings in the eight years he was here, and the mayor has never once shown himself in any of those Court hearings. That's over 100 opportunities he had to show his face and answer directly to the people that he supposed to represent and he failed to do so. Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. I just wants to express a few of my thoughts here. Each year, Mayor Doherty presents city council with a capital budget and each year it's pointed out in that capital budget that it's incomplete and it violates the Home Rule Charter. Yet, no council has ever sued the mayor over these very clear violations. It seems the mayor -- it really seems he has a lot of issues. He is either suing over every action that he can't control in order to take care of making his army of lawyers fat and happy. Either that or he completely thinks it's either his way or the highway. These are situations that's hurting this city's financial progress.

In time, if he wins this seat for Senate I hope he understands that he is going to be one loan vote in a ruling legislature amongst many experienced and qualified Senators. Whose house will he threaten to burn down then. Blow down I should say, like the wolf. Is he going to sue the state senate if they don't comply with his needs or they don't give into his wish list?

I don't see this mayor negotiating or compromising with anybody, with anybody that tries to negotiate unless, of course, it's in a back room or there is no taxpayers in sight for 50 miles. Thank you.

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini. I think it's pretty obvious what's going on with the mayor and the council. I would like to stress that I don't think the city is fighting the mayor, I don't think this council is fighting the mayor, I think the mayor is fighting the city and he is fighting the council and he is fighting himself.

Yeah, I think it's unreal that this

21

22

23

24

25

man has no idea what's going on within the confines of this city and he wants to compare the salaries of the police chief and the fire chief with surrounding communities. He doesn't even know what's going on in his own community, so how is he going to equate these salaries with the salaries paid in Allentown or in Reading or Bethlehem or Easton or any place else. I don't think he has ever been to those towns and if he has it's been for a photo op, I can guarantee vou that. Because I can tell you right now I lived in Bethlehem for awhile and I saw what the fire chief did in Bethlehem and I saw what the police chief did in Bethlehem and they worked hard. They worked very, very hard, but there is also an economic base in Bethlehem, there is an economic base in Allentown, there is nothing in Scranton so to equate them with these towns it's ridiculous.

I would implore this council to stick to your guns, fight this man tooth and nail, and that includes any of his backers as well. But it boils down to a very simple

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing, you represent the citizens and the taxpayers of this city. That's what you were elected to do, that's what he was elected to do, but unfortunately, he doesn't understand that part of it. Now, I don't know who I would have to see at OECD if I wanted to sell incense to go alongside his snake oil stand, but I'm sure that somewhere along the line he could find some backing.

I just find it incomprehensible that this man can continue on the path he is continuing on alleging to be an intelligent leader. I haven't seen any leadership, I hate to point out that in the newsletter this week you will find there are letters from people who are very, very interested in this city including one gentleman who lives in Hamburg, Germany, and works for the United States government in a very sensitive He comes home to Scranton every position. once in awhile. The man is a retired Army Major and he said the streets of this town resemble tank trails. There are better streets in Europe and small communities in Europe and they don't have the economic

power that we are supposed to have. There are people in Europe who control their governments, they are concerned about their citizens, they listen to their citizens.

This is the United States. I don't think this man realizes that Scranton is still a part of the United States. It is not a separate entity and if it is let's just succeed to the damn union and say so because that's the path we are taking right now.

We had a previous council that completely ignored the citizens of this community. They ridiculed the taxpayers, they ridiculed the speakers here. Unfortunately, they got media backing. Well, I would like to say that once again this council is user friendly, this council has changed the atmosphere in this building, it's changed the atmosphere in these chambers and I applaud you for that because right now it is user friendly council and I hope you will stay that way and I hope you will continue to support the citizens regardless of what this man wants to do. Thank you very much.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, First Amendment Rights.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ANCHERANI: To start, on the agenda, 7-B, I support amending the budget saving \$700,000 this year, 700,000 may not seem like much out of a \$77 million budget, but it's a start. For the last the nine years raises and new hires have cost the city cumulatively 25 million dollars, including this year. This does not include police and fire whose budgets have remained fairly even over the past nine years. 25 million also does not include the numerous attorneys, that's the outside attorneys, the city uses to fight the police and fire unions. Add them up and you can add millions more to the 25 million.

Scaling back in the salaries of the raises and new hires to 2002 rates and adding 7.5 percent rate back on is a fair way to treat city employees. I have said many times that if no raises were given out to any city employee favorite, and since

none were given to police or fire personnel it would be fair and balanced. Fair is fair. Amend the budget and pass 7-B.

If the mayor decides he wants to sue, let him. He appeals everything he is unable to control and a lawsuit by him will get him the publicity he needs to show the residents of this state, county and city what he thinks of saving money. Let him sue.

In one slime's article the mayor says, "The Home Rule Charter allows or permits council to reopen the budget only for new revenue or to address an emergency."

That's total BS. He reopened the 2002 budget that the Connors' administration enacted. There was no emergency there.

Remember, the mayor himself violated his own Recovery Plan when more money was spent on raises than was allowed for 2003, four and five, and you can see page 64 of the Recovery Plan for that.

Now I would like to comment on the comment that I quote from the slimes: "He," meaning Mr. Doherty, "also maintains the

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unions," meaning the police, "would have seen increases sooner if a 2008 proposal hadn't been rejected by the union."

Let me set that notion straight because that again is total bull. I, I was on the police negotiating team with other police union officials I know. over the period of months at the Hilton and got to a point where the last meeting was going to be an initialing of the proposed pages of what was going to be brought back to the membership. We had signed confidentiality papers, but somehow one of those things ended up on Vine Street prior -- or after we had signed those papers. was like, see this, this is what your union is turning down, but the meeting nose dived and talks broke down when the \$85,000 a year salary Stu Renda presented 17 new pages saying that that was the way DCED said it had to be written. We, the police, did not cause the talks to breakdown, the city did by attempting to take rights away from us. That's what they did. They attempted to take our rights.

MR. SLEDZENSKI: Hello, Janet.

sessions.

MS. EVANS: Hi, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDZENSKI: Hi, Jack.

A second set of meetings at the University of Scranton got to the point where hands were shaken. We shook hands over a tentative agreement to be taken back to the unions. All sides shook hands, but the city again caused the talks to break down.

A third of set of talks at the
University of Scranton only made it half a
day when the city insulted the unions by
offering a 21 percent raise which would
equate to approximately one and three
quarters percent per year for 12 years.
This was after the mayor had already
budgeted pay raises and paid new hires at
least 20 million cumulatively by that year.
And just for a side note, state mediators
were there as witnesses for all three

I told my side, the mayor tells his, you people decide who is telling the truth.

I know I am. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: What's up, Chris?

MR. SLEDZENSKI: I'll I got to say tonight, Jack, those guys are downstairs right now they are doing a good job down there, aren't they Jack? All of them are. Especially Dave Gervasi, I'll tell you. He is a good looking fireman in the City of Scranton right now and right now a friend to me. He is a good man down there. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris. Is there anyone else who would care to address council? Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. Mr. Ellman, I just wanted to -- I did a little research, there is a website that famous people with a gift of dyslexia and listed among those are Alexander Graham Bell, Thomas Edison, Stonewall Jackson, George Patton, Winston Churchill, Thomas Jefferson, George Washington and Woodrow Wilson, Walt Disney and Henry Ford so you are in a good company.

The only motion I would like to make, I would like to make a motion to table

7-B until a meeting between council and the mayor can be agreed upon and held.

MS. EVANS: Is there a second on that motion?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Then I assume that we will vote on 7-B this evening and I will wait until that time to make comments on the budget amendments. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. A few weeks ago a speaker asked me what the current status of our surveillance cameras were and I received an e-mail from Chief Elliott last week saying that the Scranton Police Department surveillance cameras are still in operation and are on monitored by the desk officers where the monitor is located, and they are also capable of researching incidents where the cameras are located in the city.

I have received multiple citizens' requests this week. The first one is the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stop sign on Quincy and Pine on the side of the Moses Taylor Hospital by the emergency room is almost on the ground. Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to the DPW asking them to repair this sign as soon as possible because it's an ongoing safety concern?

Secondly, I received a letter from Minooka residents complaining about a recently open trucking terminal at 278 Pittston Avenue. The letter reads as follows: "Recently, a trucking terminal opened at 2627 Pittston Avenue, Scranton. We are experiencing trucking noise throughout the night. What is even worse, the fumes are entering our households through windows and doors. As the warm weather approaches this will only get worst. We checked the zoning and determined Scranton has various types of commercials This particular property does not zones. permit a trucking depot this in zone, so we are asking you to please have the authorities enforce the law and safeguard our health and safety. Sincerely, Minooka

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

residents."

Mrs. Krake, can you please a send letter to Licensing and Inspections as well as the zoning board asking if this trucking terminal is properly zoned and if it is following all city regulations.

I also received an e-mail from a South Scranton resident who was concerned about the 300 block of Hamm Court. report the 300 block of Hamm Court is a block which is overcome from the O'Connor Plaza Apartment buildings which were appreciatively torn down in 2007. After the buildings were torn down, the lot was left empty and some debris and rocks left behind. The empty lot is currently serving as grounds for the Lynn Corporation in which they are currently dirt and equipment. is currently replacing the gas lines for our This may be an exceptional idea for the company to store their needed items there, but it is an extreme eyesore for the The machines have increased and community. made worse the poor condition of the street and the dirt flows into open windows and the

noise is very disturbing.

Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to the DPW asking them if there were any plans to first pave the 300 block of Hamm Court and if there Court is not currently on a paving list I would request that somebody from the DPW should take a drive by and see the terrible condition it is currently in.

Also, Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to the proper department to determine who currently owns the vacant lot in the 300 block of Hamm Court?

MR. MCGOFF: I actually know that some of the property is owned by the city and they're still awaiting ownership of all of the lots, so if they could then look to sell it as one.

MR. ROGAN: So right know it's owned by multiple people?

MR. MCGOFF: I believe that the last time there were two of lots that were still owned by the private individuals, you know, by --

MR. ROGAN: And the rest is owned by

the city.

MR. MCGOFF: I believe the rest was under the ownership of the city.

MR. ROGAN: Great, I'll report that back to them. I have comments on the budget as well, but I will hold off until we get to that point. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe.

MR. ROGAN: Actually, I'm sorry.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry.

MR. ROGAN: I received an article from a resident who wanted me to read it as council and some of the highlights are there was a legislative hearing in York and it was supposed to be about the state and smaller cities can foster economic development and create jobs, but it ended up being a plea from had four mayors to the state to help keep their cities from falling off a financial cliff. York, Lancaster and Harrisburg face the possibility of having to file for distress label under Pennsylvania's Act 47, the Municipal Financial Recovery Act which involved state control. Reading has

filed for distress status, the last stop before cities can file for bankruptcy within the federal court's.

During Thursday's hearing at

Sovereign Bank Stadium, the House Majority
policy committee heard from York's new mayor

Kim Bracey, who urged representatives to
give city such as York options to increase
revenue to avoid falling into distressed
status. She suggested that those would
include a local sales tax, an alcoholic
drink tax, a revamp of tax exempt property
guidelines so nonprofits could pay taxes on
part of the assessed values of the
properties, and a split of the earned income
tax between a person's home town and work so
communities can contribute while they work.

The basic gist of it is that the problems we are facing in Scranton are not just in Scranton, they are all across the state and many cities I'm sure has seen what is happening in Scranton and we have been distressed for multiple years, over -- almost as long as I have been alive actually, and we have haven't gotten

anything.

You know, looking at alternative ways of revenue are the right way to approach things these others things are looking at it and, you know, some of the things, new taxes I would oppose, but I think that we should as they are looking for the nonprofits to increase payments in lieu of taxes, and that is all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Mrs.

Evans. Just to reiterate what Pat said,
there is a movement out there now to look at
taxing PILOTS and it's -- we are not alone
here, so it's starting to mushroom a little
bit more so hopefully down the road there
will be some legislation to back us up.

I do have a couple of announcements.

First, I would like to wish my sister, Mary

Ellen, a happy/AOE birthday. She is

catching up to me now.

St. Lucy's Church, 949 Scranton

Street, West Scranton, they have their

annual Lenten food sale every Friday until

March 26 from 3:00 to 6:30 and the special bake sale on March 19, walk-ins are welcome.

This is another repeat also because the date hasn't come up yet, but on March 13 the Fraternal Order of Eagles, Area 314 on Meridian Avenue is hosting a ham and cabbage dinner. The doors open at 1 p.m. and the doors will be from 3:30 to 7:30. The cost is \$8 and tickets can be bought at the door. There will be DJ there, and they may play some Irish music.

I received another piece of mail from Bethel AME temporary emergency shelter. The shelter sees and goose by quickly each year and is now another date for fundraising celebration, music and awards. On February 24, 2010, a night for honoring persons and/or organizations who have helped the Bethel AME temporary emergency shelter maintain it's ability to stay active in offerings it's services to the homeless population of this wonderful city called Scranton.

All cities, towns and villages have problems these days with homeless persons

and hidden families. What makes one area stand out from another is how they treat those in need. Scranton is a leader in this exhibition of love, compassion and mercy for those inside it's perimeters needing support.

Because of the willingness to continue extending the hand of Bethel AME temporary emergency shelter thus acknowledging a belief in the work we do, never allowing race, religion or economic status to be an interference between us. In this day and age this in itself are reasons for honoring a fellow human being or foundation.

Therefore, on the evening of
February 24, 2010, at our annual fundraising
dinner, the Hands of Hope will be presented
to: The Margaret Brigg's Foundation, the
Lackawanna Neighbors, Incorporated, Mr. Ryan
Lecki, WNEP Channel 16, Mr. James Ryan of
the Commonwealth Medical College.

For the dignity and compassion and support of those of Bethel AME temporary emergency shelter, to our fellow neighbors

. .

thus allowing the ability to teach the true meaning of the hand extended with hope, the dinner will be held on February 24 at the Hilton Conference Center, 100 Adams Avenue from 6 to 9 p.m. All finances raised through the sales of the tables and seats will be used for the sole purpose of keeping the shelter doors active, thus allowing full service to the homeless population.

The cost is as follows: \$40 per seat. Table of ten \$400. The night includes buffet-style dinner, open bar, music, valet parking and unforgettable memories. All checks will be made out to Bethel AME shelter dinner and for further information you can contact Reverend Catherine Simmons, shelter administrator.

Right now I have a grant that was awarded us from FEMA, and I'll read right from the grant paper and just give a little description of it. "Dear Mrs. Carrera, on behalf of the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the Department of Homeland Security, I am pleased to inform you that your grant application submitted under the

fiscal year 2009, assistance to firefighters grant, has been approved. FEMA's grant program directorate in consultation with the US Fire Administration carries out the federal responsibilities of administering your grant.

The approved project cost total \$24,000. The federal share is 80 percent or \$19,200 of the approved amount and your share of the cost is 20 percent or \$4,800. As part of your award package, you will find grant agreement articles. Please make sure you read and understand the articles as we outline the terms of the conditions of your grant award. Maintain a copy of these documents for your official file.

You establish acceptance of the grant and grant agreement articles when you request and receive any of the federal grant awards -- any of the federal grant funds awarded to you. By accepting the grant, you agree not to deviate from the approved scope of work without prior written approval by FEMA.

If your SF-1199-A has been reviewed

and approved you will be able to request payments on-line. Remember, you should request funds when you have an immediate cash need.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding the awards or how to request your grant funds please call the help desk. Sincerely, Timothy Manning, Deputy Administrator for National Preparedness and Protection.

What this grant is going to enable us to do is the purchase of three ASD proximity warning devices, one for each of the two active area ladder trucks and one for the backup truck. For those of you who d not know what these are, proximity warning devices are used to detect the electrostatic field of any alternating current power line. They provide both audible and visual warning signals to alert the operator and attempt or ground personnel when the boom comes within a proximity of an energized high voltage line. These devices can help verify that lines aren't deenergized. These devices were recommended by NIOSH of which I have a

complete report here to prevent any serious accidents in the future, such as the one that took my dear friend, Captain Jim Robson's life, on January 6, 2008.

I applaud the fire department and Cathy Carrera for pursuing this grant as another tool for enhancing the public safety of everyone and I thank FEMA and the Department of Homeland Security for their vision by providing grants for fire departments in need.

My final comments. Yesterday's

Scranton Times' article drew a very limited or targeted comparison between Scranton and some of it's Pennsylvania neighbors. It's primary focus was to contrast salaries of one position in particular, police chief.

However, it failed to address the loss of many good experienced Scranton police officers because of low salaries and even lower morale.

Coincidentally, the article omitted
the questionable job performance of
Scranton's police chief regarding reports to
the Department of Justice and his treatment

20

21

22

23

24

25

of female officers. I invite the newspaper to research the various police chiefs cited in Monday's newspaper for similar conduct. The newspaper also presented a limited comparison between Scranton and Reading, two distressed status cities. However, while Scranton has been distressed for 18 years, Reading was recently declared distressed in the Fall of 2009. Reading's population is slightly larger and approximately 80,000 compared to Scranton's 72,000. It has a 2010 budget of \$120 million as compared to Scranton's budget of \$77 million. budget difference of \$43 million explains the significantly high salaries for the Reading police chief and police department. Reading's bloated salaries are part of the problems that lead to it's recent demise into a distressed status.

It might be more informative to research the total budget figures, debt services and PILOTS of other Pennsylvania cities. For example, the city of Erie has a population of 103,000, which is 31,000 more than Scranton. It's budget stands at \$58

million compared to Scranton's \$77 million.

Also, Erie's debt service is less than \$4

million which put it at less than half of

Scranton's debt service.

In addition, Erie collects \$950,000 in payments in lieu of taxes from nonprofits compared to Scranton's anticipated \$118,250.

These are just a few examples of many that I can provide you, but in the interest of time I will not belabor this point. Why doesn't the Scranton Times write an honest analysis of the mayor's 2010 budget as well as those dating back to 2002 to see that these budgets were unbalanced? They won't because once a budget is shown as being unbalanced the mayor must declare this to council and the Home Rule Charter allows council to reduce appropriations.

The mayor has no problem violating the Home Rule Charter through his purposely fudged operating budget and his incomplete capital budgets. Although, he has given each seated council under his tenure grounds to begin litigation against him, no council has ever done so.

I thought

Although the savings that we have proposed in the budget may seem minor to some, I believe that the mere \$700,000 savings will be significant in the long run. Just think of it as reducing your debt by paying off one credit card at a time.

As far as a contract deal in 20008, Mr. Ancherani referred to it and I have some information from others that were there, I was told that the unions were, in fact, close to a deal and had a handshake agreement with the negotiators. However, the mayor's own words were that, "There was no deal until I shake their hands and that has yet to happen."

I have personally known our chiefs and their families for a long time. Our budget amendments are in no way a personal vendetta. It is rather a move to pure, fiscal accountable. Great employees don't always make great administrators. A real captain of a ship makes sure that all of his men are accounted for before he jumps into the life boat.

I thought that was the last one, but

I do have one small note I have to mention, this was passed onto me. Someone had heard on a national news today that there were 1357 massive layoffs in the U.S.A. in January, just this month, in January. Massive layoffs consist of 50 or more. Mayor Doherty wants to sue this City of Scranton for the few cuts that we are making. That's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.
Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I have a few comments tonight. As you know, tonight in Seventh Order council will be voting to make several amendments to the 2010 budget. At this point in time, I still feel that there are two primary misconceptions that are held by some of the members of the public in regard to the amendments being made and tonight I just want to alleviate some of those misconceptions.

The first misconception that I've heard from some members of the public through their feedback is that the amended budget reduces the amount of actual

firefighters and police officers that are protecting the city along with their salaries. To explain, there were no changes made to the amount of staff employed in the fire and police department or the actual union members salaries as they positions were under contract and cannot be reduced. The only changes that were made were changes to the fire and police chiefs salaries and that was a reduction in both.

As far as the staff reductions are concerned, reduction in the amount of firefighters and police officers protecting us in the city actually occurred in previous budgets that were passed. To provide a brief snapshot, there were approximately 157 firefighters and 158 police officers in 2004, in the 2010 budget that was passed there are 144 firefighters and 146 police officers.

So at this point to elaborate on the salary of the chiefs, as far as the reductions are concerned, for the chiefs, the reasoning is very justifiable. Though some critics of this budget like to point

out about the salary of the police chief and the fire chief will be lower than other communities if this budget were to pass, they also fail to point out that many of the other communities that they mentioned are typically not in distressed status. And furthermore, that the salaries projected for both the fire and the police chief under the amended budget would still be higher than what they were in 2007.

Some members of the administration criticize the cuts to the salaries of the chiefs as being a potential issue regarding attracting and maintaining quality personnel to fulfill these positions and sustaining them. I do not see while all of a sudden this argument is being posed and, you know, I cannot see why some might think it's a battle coming from the same administration that set the salary of both chiefs to be lower than neighboring communities in 2002, 2002, 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007.

If there would be a major issue with retaining both chiefs by reducing their salary, why do they not look for employment

in other areas during the first six years of the administration when their salaries were actually lower than what is being proposed in the amended budget?

Also, equally important to mention is that the salaries of both chiefs were both calculated by using a system analogous to what was used to determine the pay of their subordinates being their 20001 salary and factoring a 7 1/2 percent pay increase. Therefore, their salaries in the amended budget were increased from 2001in direct proportion to how they are subordinate salaries were.

A second misconception that some may have, and that I have from some members of the public, is that the amended budget will create new union positions. To start, there were never any newly created job titles in the budget that were not in the previous budgets in regard to the union positions, therefore, there were any never any newly created union jobs that weren't there before.

Regarding union jobs that were

restored, originally there was a restoration of a secretary in the city council's office, under careful consideration this position was removed from the amended budget in a motion made last week which I believe some may have missed.

In addition, no additional union positions were added to the budget that were not present in the 2009 budget.

Finally, in regard to the budget, it is easily seen that the major objectors of this budget claim that the cuts being made to the administrative positions are purely political. This is unfortunate because thi is really not the case. It is evident being fiscally conservative with city finances is mandatory being that Scranton has been in distressed status for over a decade and a half.

To add to this, current issues regarding revenue shortfalls are simply not unique to Scranton. These are issues that are existent on a national level. As everyone knows, not just the city, but the country as a whole is approaching the later

stages of the worst economic downturn since the great depression. As quoted in a Wall Street Journal, some mayors described the current state of the economy as a once in a generation fiscal crisis. It has been projected by the National League of Cities that the municipal sector will likely face a fiscal shortfall of between 56 and 83 billion dollars from 2010 to 2012 which is stated by them to be chiefly due to the declining tax revenues.

Therefore, to prevent us from experiencing an increase to our structural deficit action was taken to reduce the amount of revenues in the budget in the wage tax and real estate categories. The amount of revenue that was deducted from each category was calculated first by determining the total revenue from the three tax categories believed to be overestimated in the property tax, delinquent property tax and wage tax. At this stage, the individual categories were then divided by the sum of three to determine a percentage that contributes to the sum -- or that each

category contributes to the sum rather.

Those percentages are then multiplied by the amounts saved in the amended budget to determine how much revenue to deduct from each category.

In response to the decline in economic conditions as the National League of Cities reports in a recent research study in over 300 America cities, over 90 percent of cities made spending reductions in 2009 and over 80 percent made spending reductions in 2010. The amended budget is simply following along with the response other municipalities are taking towards addressing the problems that Scranton and many other cities are facing nationwide.

The major portion of the cost savings that are being proposed in the amended budget is due to work force reductions. In the National League of Cities research study, it was reported that 67 percent of the cities that were polled out of the 300 some that responded, reduced spending by using the same method being the elimination of positions and the reduction

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of salaries.

In addition to this, 14 percent of the cities made cuts to public safety services being police, fire, and other emergency services. As one can see, the percentage that made cuts to some of the public safety services it was rather low.

In response to not making cuts to the actual amount of firefighters and police officers out there on the streets and fighting fires every day, you know, other than the chiefs it could be easily seen, you know, these cuts already happened as I described before from 2004 until now there has been a combined reduction of 25 firefighters and police officers. Therefore, cuts directed towards those position that were not previously cut, you know, other union positions were not eliminated due to the fact that they have also been cut in previous budgets. The same concept is true for both the clerical and DPW union as well.

In addition, none of the union positions salaries, as I mentioned before,

could be lowered since they are all under contract.

In addition to some of the cuts in spending that other cities made, 62 percent of the cities that were surveyed also eliminated or reduced funding for capital infrastructure projects which some may see as hindering progress, and I would just like to mention that this was not done in the proposed amendments that will be voted on tonight.

Finally, the cuts that are being proposed tonight are not as deep as many other cities have resorted to. For instance, Boise City, Louisiana which has a budget of 50.3 million dollars, which is much smaller than ours, eliminated 117 positions. East Providence, Rhode Island, which has a population of 49,000, much smaller than Scranton in size also decided to eliminate 11 non fire and police positions.

Springfield, Illinois, a city larger than Scranton's size by about 20 or 30,000, predicts eliminating up to 192 positions

next year.

Dover, Delaware, a city
approximately half the size of Scranton
decided to reduce expenditures by requiring
employees to take two and a half weeks
unpaid time off in addition to ceasing
funding towards many city improvements
projects.

Even the larger cities out there have been effected by the economy. Larger cities such as San Francisco have reduced expenditures in each department by 25 percent.

In conclusion, I would just like to reiterate that the amendments -- or the amendment being proposed tonight in Seventh Order that will be voted onto the budget, you know, this is simply an initial step in attempting to return Scranton to fiscal health. What is on the agenda tonight in final passage is in no way political. It is what is called being fiscally responsible. The amendment on the agenda tonight is what I see as protecting the best interest of the residents of Scranton in the tough economic

times to prevent our structural deficit from growing, and that's really all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

Good evening. First, we wish to recognize

West Scranton High School Junior basketball

players Tyler Hughes, Tim Langan and John

Lipowitz who raised over \$3, 700 for the

American Cancer Society in fulfillment of

their senior graduation project. These

young gentlemen are to be commended for this

tremendous project.

Also, the West Scranton basketball team also been chosen as the recipient of the sportsmanship award by the District II PIAA officials. West Scranton was selected over 25 other basketball teams in other area and will receive it's award on March 7. This prestigious honor proves that these young men were a credit to their parents, their high school and the West Scranton community.

In response to citizens' questions regarding the \$600.000 owed by the Ice Box, I will defer now to Attorney Hughes who has

researched the issue.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam
President. After a meeting last week I
reviewed all of the recordings in the
Recorder of Deeds Office regarding the Ice
Box, commonly the Ice Box, it's BRT Limited
Partnership. There are 26 documents filed
back to 2002. There was a lease executed by
the City of Scranton and BRT on July 23,
2002, however, that lease was not recorded,
a memorandum of lease consisting of two
pages was recorded in 2006.

In reviewing the lease the actual question that came up was, is the City of Scranton paying the insurances on that property? Pursuant to Section 602 of the lease, it's the lessee's responsibility, BRT, to pay all of the insurances, property damage in \$1 million, general liability of \$1.5 million per accident per person and \$1.5 million for a total accident coverage.

This is a ground lease for 99 years.

It actually renews for another 99 years so when it was executed in 2002 this ground lease goes to the Year 2020. The

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consideration is \$1 per year. There is a total due in the year 2020 of \$600.000.

However, when the ground lease was recorded or the memorandum of ground lease was recorded, there is a realty transfer tax for any lease that's over 30 years, that's 1 percent state, it's a half a percent to the school district and 2 1/2 percent to the It was not paid on \$600.000. actuarial firm in Scranton by the name of Rainey and Rainey, what's done it's a reverse cash flow. They went out to the year 2200 with the payment to the city of \$600.000. What would it take in current -what was that value today -- not today, but To determine that, they would take in 2002. an assume rate of interest, and if you put the money in the bank at an assumed rate in 199 years what would give you \$600.000. assumed rate of interest was 5.75 percent for the next 198 years which reduced the \$600.000 to a value of \$24 in the Year 2000.

From a bit of history, I guess

Scranton looked at that when they executed this ground lease for 198 years because in

1636 when the Dutch bought Manhattan island they brought it for \$24 in trinkets and beads, so what happened is the City of Scranton sold the Scranton Transit Complex down there consisting of approximately six acres for \$24 in 2002.

Whether that will be paid, the \$600,000 in the Year 2020 -- I mean, 2200 I don't think any of us will ever know, but the actual question was and the answer to the question is the city responsible for paying the insurances on that property and the answer is no.

The only thing that I could say that in addition was that the Ice Box pursuant to the ground lease demolished the buildings. There was a cost to that. I'm very familiar with that real estate property down there, but they did have to pay for the demolition of buildings and not the city, but it comes down to the fact that that's the cost of doing business and the \$600.000 not being paid for 198 years having a current value of \$24 in 2002. That's pretty much the summary of that property.

- '

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Solicitor
Hughes. It's certainly very inciteful, very
interesting, when you learn what's really
been going on behind the scenes over the
years and this is only one example.

MR. HUGHES: I would add that no members of council were there in 2002 and it was one negative vote and that was by Gary DiBileo. The other four councilmen voted for that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: That's on the ordinance.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. On Friday, afternoon, February 19, a letter from the mayor was delivered to council's office. In short, the mayor declines council's invitation to discuss it's budget amendments and the financial emergency in our city in a public forum while simultaneously assuring council that he will take legal action against it which he firmly believes will be successful.

At this time, I wish to briefly address several stunning falsehoods

contained in the mayor's letter:

First, on December 1, 2009, I was absent from a council meeting due to illness.

Two, I did not propose amendments to the mayor's 2:010 budget because they would not have been seriously considered by the lame duck council. In four prior years, I presented alternative budgets and amendments to city council which were ignored.

Three, nevertheless, I did in fact submit numerous questions regarding the 2010 budget to Mr. Renda between November 13 and December 1, 2009. However, since many of his responses were either vague or incomplete, Mr. Renda attended a caucus of city council.

Following the mayor's leadership example, Mr. Renda refused to appear in council chambers preferring rather to meet in the back office of city council away from the public. I asked Mr. Renda my original questions and as well as new ones in preparation for the drafting of the council amendments to the new year. Again,

_ .

Mr. Renda at times responded in an allusive manner or had no answers for me at all.

Four, since November 3, 2009, council members have met with the mayor and members of his administration on numerous occasions.

Number one, Mr. Joyce, Mr. Rogan and I met with the mayor in November.

Incidentally, it was after this meeting that the mayor pushed for the new library in South Side with us.

Number two, Mr. Rogan met with Ms.

Aebli, OECD director, in January.

Number three, Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Rogan met with PEL on February 1, 2010.

Number four, Mr. Joyce contacted
Mr. Seitzinger, Director of LIPS, and
Mr. Sweitnicki, director of IT, several
times to discuss their assuming
responsibilities and duties as a result of
council's positional eliminations in their
respective departments, but to my knowledge
his calls were not returned.

Next, Mr. Loscombe met with Mr. Dougher, Director of Parks and

Recreation, and Mrs. Sandy Obshinski, recreation specialist, to discuss council's budget amendments. Mr. Loscombe also met with DPW representatives and members of the traffic maintenance department.

Mr. Joyce and I met with Mr. Renda for 40 minutes in his office on February 13 to discuss financial matters and he provided little in the way of responses to our budget questions.

Mr. Doherty chose not to be in the sixth councilman as he pledged he would be and refuses to speak at a public caucus. He does not support transparency in government. Rather, he invited Mr. Rogan and I to a backroom discussion of financial matters in his office.

You have just heard the numerous times at which council has engaged in financial discussions with this administration. The time has now come for a public discussion of the taxpayers' dollars with the mayor. Council chooses not to operate behind closed doors. We stand before the public, answer questions and

provide justification for our actions every Tuesday.

The Home Rule Charter charges the mayor to address the deficit and Scranton's deficit has become a perennial beast. The fact that the mayor failed to detect a deficit or failed to take action to reduce a deficit, does not erase the fact that a real deficit exists. The mayor's failure to perform his executive duties should in no way prevent council from performing it's duties to take corrective action to minimize the deficit and balance the budget.

In fact, nothing in the Home Rule
Charter prohibits council from amending the
legislation that has already been enacted or
signed into law by the mayor. It expressly
gives council the ability to amend and
repeal ordinances.

Mr. McGoff and the mayor point out that council's budget amendments do not lower taxes. This is correct. Council passed the tax rates for the city at it's first three meetings. How can council lower taxes when there is a \$6 million structural

deficit and an unbalanced budget? That would be irresponsible and no matter how many times the mayor, Mr. McGoff, and the Scranton Times assert that the budget is balanced, it doesn't make it so.

At last week's meeting I pointed out three items that demonstrate the mayor's budget is unbalanced: First, there is \$1,6 million missing from the tax office. Two, the mayor has not funded the positions he cut in his budget yet these employees continue to work; and three, overtime for the Single Tax Office employees is underfunded.

Since that, I have learned three additional things: First, the city controller's yearly overtime report states that the overtime for various departments significantly exceeded what was provided for in the 2009 budget by \$691,855.24.

Second, \$1.2 million in health care costs wasn't covered in the 2009 budget because the BA's Office failed to post health care payments to the account.

Third, Mrs. Moran, the Human

Resources Director, offered of late voluntary layoffs to employees. I now question if the 2010 allocations for unemployment will reflect payments to those who take advantage of her offer.

To date, these items have not been addressed by Mr. McGoff, the administration and the Scranton Times, rather, they have been brushed aside and the rallying cry of a balanced budget continues unabated.

It is also important to note that council eliminated it's two positions of clerk in council's office and administrative assistant in the BA's Office in response to the mayor's concerns. Thus, council has not created any new jobs in it's budget amendments. It has also not restored seven positions in the fire department and one in the police department.

My fellow Scrantonians, council is not usurping the power of the mayor, rather, it is exercising it's powers set forth in the Home Rule Charter. This city council unlike the mayor and the previous council is addressing the structural deficit and is

addressing an unbalanced budget.

Moreover, council's budget
amendments are a first step to reducing the
size of the government and our ongoing
structural deficit. When the deficit is
reduced and the 18 year distressed status is
lifted, only then can the mayor and council
consider tax decreases. Today, one good
action council can take is to help prevent
future tax increases for the residents of
Scranton by cutting the high costs of this
administration.

Next, the mayor pulled 31 delinquent properties from the county's judicial sale by is bringing in significant sums of money, particularly for the school district, who I was told may have realized close to a million dollars from those sales.

Now, as I said, the mayor pulled our properties out of that process so we realized nothing. This sale does not collect all delinquent taxes due, but properties are purchased and returned to the tax rolls. By removing the properties from the judicial sales, the city has enabled NCC

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to continue to collect the 23 additional costs and fees attached to a tax delinquency, thus, keeping NCC satisfied rather than collecting much needed tax revenue. So if collection quotas appear short, the city is responsible to make payments on the loans taken out by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority to purchase the city's delinquent taxes. In other words, if the delinquent tax revenue may fall short, the taxpayers will be holding the bag. This is yet greater justification for the adjustments made to revenue by council budget amendments.

And finally, I have requests for the week. Please forward a complaint regarding permit parking from the Hill Neighborhood Association to the Scranton Police Department. Council requests that it be addressed as soon as possible. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION
A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND

OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO

TERMINATE THE EXISTING NAUTILUS INSURANCE

1	POLICY AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A NEW		
2	CONTRACT WITH KNOWLES ASSOCIATES L.L.C FOR		
3	INSURANCE WITH NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY		
4	FOR COVERAGE FOR THE PERIOD FEBRUARY 1, 2010		
5	THROUGH JANUARY 1, 2011 IN ORDER TO CONFORM		
6	WITH THE CITY'S OTHER INSURANCE POLICIES AS		
7	WELL AS PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF		
8	AGRICULTURE REGULATIONS FOR CERTIFICATION OF		
9	THE WATERSLIDES AND POOL COMPLEX AT NAY AUG		
10	PARK.		
11	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll		
12	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be		
13	introduced into it's proper committee.		
14	MR. ROGAN: So moved.		
15	MR JOYCE: Second.		
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? All		
17	those in favor of introduction signify by		
18	saying aye.		
19	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.		
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.		
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.		
22	MR. JOYCE: Aye.		
23	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes		
24	have it and so moved.		
25	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. NO		

1	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
2	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
3	<u>COMMUNITY</u> DE <u>VELOPMENT FOR ADOPTION -</u> FILE OF
4	COUNCIL NO. 11, 2010 -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
5	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
6	EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN EASEMENT AGREEMENT
7	BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA
8	HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY FOR A SECTION OF
9	THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL SITUATE
10	IN SOUTH SCRANTON.
11	MS. EVANS: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
13	Committee on Community Development?
14	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Community Development, I
16	recommend final passage of Item 7-A.
17	MR. JOYCE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
19	call, please?
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

INCREASING

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 1 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 2 3 MR. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: I hereby declare Item 4 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. 5 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 6 7 - BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION 8 - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 12, 2010 (AS AMENDED) AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 97, 2009 AN 9 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED 10 "APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE 11 CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON 12 13 THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2010 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2010 BY THE ADOPTION 14 OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE 15 YEAR 2010". BY MAKING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE 16 17 NAMED ACCOUNTS AND LINE ITEMS AS SET FORTH 18 ON THE "AMENDED GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2010", WHICH IS 19 ATTACHMENT 1 HERETO, AS FOLLOWS: 20 APPROPRIATIONS FOR DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES 21 22 AS SET FORTH ON PAGE ONE (1) OF ATTACHMENT 23 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, DECREASING 24 APPROPRIATIONS FOR DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES 25 AS SET FORTH ON PAGE TWO (2) OF ATTACHMENT

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, DECREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR NON DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES AS PER PAGE THREE (3) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, REINSTATING CERTAIN POSITIONS, CREATING CERTAIN POSITIONS AND INCREASING SALARIES OF CERTAIN POSITIONS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS SET FORTH ON PAGE FOUR (4) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, ELIMINATING CERTAIN POSITIONS AND DECREASING SALARIES OF CERTAIN POSITIONS AS SET FORTH ON PAGE FIVE (5) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, REDUCING VARIOUS REVENUE ITEMS AS SET FORTH ON PAGE SIX (6) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET THAT WILL REDUCE ESTIMATED REVENUES BY \$701,486 TO \$77,164,260 AND REDUCE ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES BY A NET \$701,486 TO \$77,164,260 IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN

MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to amend 7-B as per the following adjustments in Attachment 1, the amended 2010 budget: Reinstating, creating and increasing certain positions, Page 4 of Attachment 1, by increasing DPW refuse, standard salary for

A BALANCED BUDGET.

the first two months of the year for \$6,500 and reducing the various revenue items, Page 6 of the Attachment 1, in the amount of \$6,500, thereby reducing estimated revenues by \$694,986 to \$77,170,076 and expenditures by a net of \$694,986 to \$77,170,076.

MS. EVANS: A motion has made to amend Item 7-B, is there a second?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: May I see what you read? I'm not much of an audible --

MR. JOYCE: I have one.

MS. EVANS: Would you like to explain it?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, just to clarify for the recycling coordinator position, this is to add in two months salary so it's adequately reflected in the operating budget that this position would be funded. I made a motion to do this for a number of positions last week, but I did leave this one out after I reviewed everything after the meeting.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on

the question? I would now ask for a vote on 1 the motion of 7-B, as amended. All those in 2 3 favor signify by saying aye. MR. ROGAN: 4 Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 5 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 8 MR. MCGOFF: No. 9 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 10 What is the recommendation of the moved. 11 Chairperson for the Committee on Finance? 12 MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for the 13 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 14 passage of Item 7-B. MR. ROGAN: 15 Second. MS. EVANS: 16 On the question? 17 MR. MCGOFF: I guess I'll start. 18 I've give you something to shoot at, I 19 guess. First of all, I would just like to 20 again express my opposition to these 21 amendments, and again, although in 2009 when 22 the budget was presented -- when the 23 original budget was presented there were no 24 objections to it being unbalanced and the 25 believe that it is balanced budget -- or I

still believe that it is a balanced budget and does not need to be amended.

Also, as we review some of the issues, the authority for amending the budget is still unclear, does the Home Rule Charter and Administrative Code provide council with the power to open the budget? Obviously, if this is voted in tonight it has been -- that it will end up in Court, so rather than meet with the mayor and discuss it we would rather bring it to -- bring it into the courts where a judge will determine what is proper.

The justification for the budget, for opening the budget, was that revenues were reduced because of over -- or revenues were being reduced because the business administrator had overestimated what the revenues would be, but then a week later we increased those revenues by \$70,000, close to \$70,000. Obviously, the estimations aren't perfect and, you know, what are the real or what are the estimates that we can follow.

The rational given for the emergency

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, the 2010 budget dealt with the structural deficit by reducing expenditures and by increasing revenues the structural deficit would be reduced. That is how it takes place. These amendments, while they may reduce the structural deficit, they are merely compounding what was already done in the 2010 budget. I believe the estimates from PEL have shown that the structural deficit has decreased. I'm not sure what those estimates -- I believe they were supposed to be given this morning, did they -- I don't know if anybody attended the PEL meeting, but I was told that those estimates or the estimates for the

was that there is a structural deficit.

Now, the amendments that were being made, while it's being said that they demonstrated fiscal responsibility, some of them just prove to be illogical. A reduction of \$200,000 in the SPA citation issuers, returning it back to I believe it was the Year 2002, but the increase in this amount since 2002 was because there was an

structural deficit had, in fact, gone down.

increased amount of revenue due to the amount of the number of meters that were in the city, revenues from the Parking Authority had, in fact, increased, and the 10 percent management fee had increased with the increased revenues.

Also, additional workers were hired to monitor the meters, the additional meters that were in the city. With these reductions, the number of -- the amount of revenue will ultimately decrease. It will probably result in at least two people being removed from the Parking Authority, two people that currently work to collect that revenue. At a time when we are looking to increase revenue, this amendment will decrease the amount of revenue to the city.

Also, expenditures that were in the budget, some of them have already been paid. These are not addressed at all by the amendments. And eliminated positions, all of the positions that are being eliminated in the budget are appointed positions, and the removal of these appointed positions will seriously cripple the city's ability to

manage the departments that they are in.

Parks and Recreation, we are removing three people, three people from management. These are people that have operated the various programs through Parks and Recreation.

These are the people that have provided for

grants to the city for Parks and Recreation. The coordination of all of the programs for the city will be seriously hampered by their removal. What we are leaving is one person who is a foreman, a work foreman. All of the other programs -- or all of the other people were the ones that actually coordinated and ran the programs through the Parks and Recreation.

Who is going to direct the pools?

We have nine pools, 140 lifeguards and yet we are not going to have anybody to monitor that program. It's likely that many of those pools will not open, and while we complain about paying for swimming at Nay Aug, that might be the only pool that's open.

Programs at Weston Field will be eliminated. Nay Aug events, eliminated.

Concerts, movies and again, grants.

OECD has absolutely no effect on the budget but we are going to remove five positions. It will seriously cripple the ability of OECD to deal with the small business programs and also the stimulus fund monitoring. Both of these are job creation departments and yet we want to eliminate them. Also, it will require a new action plan to be submitted to HUD which will probably result in decreased funding to OECD. So, again, when we are looking for revenue we are going to reduce it.

In LIPS, we are eliminating personnel that are responsible for the removal of blight. Again, something that enhances communities we want to remove it.

DPW, we are taking away the coordination of all of the services by removing people from the foreman positions, refuse, recyclables, snow removal, all compromised by these amendments.

The ability of the law department to do it's job will also be compromised by these reductions. At a time when such

things as frivolous lawsuits, Right-To-Know letters increasing, we are going to remove the people that need to deal with these.

In human resources, we'll reduce the salaries of those people, maybe it's coincidental the one person whose salary will be reduced significantly is the PEL coordinator.

I do want to note that with these removals that all of these people will be eligible for unemployment benefits for 90 weeks which must be paid by the city since we are self-insured. That doesn't seem like fiscal responsibility. Also, health care benefits, COBRA benefits, up to 65 percent of the benefits will be paid to these people by the city or must be made by the city. When reducing the health care costs in these amendments, I don't believe that this was accounted for. And so again, the amendments don't provide even close to a balanced budget in the end.

The reduction of salaries, we use the formula and while I may at least understand the formula used for reducing the

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

salaries of the police chief and the fire chief, I can see some logic to it, I don't agree with it, but I can at least see the logic since those unions had not received wage increases, why are we using the same rational for other departments where the union workers have negotiated contracts and have received raises through the years?

The reinstated positions, we have talked about some of them. I know it's been mentioned that some of these positions are being paid for or some of these people are being paid in the current positions, this was being done through a bumping process which is required by the unions was completed which I believe was completed These people will be funded from the today. positions that they bumped into. It will end up with the reduction of three people. Ultimately, these three people will collect unemployment or have two have opted to collect unemployment rather than bump into other positions, one was a forcible unemployment, but as of now only three people on unemployment are being paid

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unemployment by the city.

These amendments do not provide
fiscal responsibility. They create an
unbalanced budget, they do nothing to reduce
the structural deficit or very little and,
in fact, are usurping the authority of the
mayor. There is no where in the Home Rule
Charter where council has this authority.

Also, council has assumed management rights which it does not have under the Home Rule Charter. By announcing that certain people will be assuming certain positions, those are management rights. Those are not things that council has the right or the authority to do, and as Mrs. Evans has said in the past and I said before, this just doesn't pass the smell test. It is political. As much as people want to say that it's not political retribution, isn't it ironic that the three things that the mayor has touted as his successes are the ones that are being attacked in these budget amendments? Parks, economic development and blight are the three areas that are hit hardest.

This does nothing to help -- these amendments do nothing help the city. They destroy a balanced budget that has no tax increases, no increased debt, it destroys a fiscally responsible plan for the city with an irresponsible attempt to control city government.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff, what you are saying is you support the status quo over the last few decades?

MR. MCGOFF: What I'm saying is that I do not support these amendments.

MR. ROGAN: Understood. I would like to start off by saying I don't agree with 100 percent of what is in these amendments either, but I think 95 percent of it is good and it's moving in the right direction towards fiscal responsibility toward the city. And, you know, you present a good argument going through position by position, but, you know, when you mentioned the unemployment, we would have to pay their unemployment, but if they are employed we have to pay their full salaries.

Eventually, you know, these will work their

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

way out and we will reap the benefit of these savings.

Also, you talked about looking for revenue and you have made it clear in your years on council when you looked for revenue you like to raise taxes because you already voted once to raise taxes, but as I said in the first reading of these amendments, I believe that these cuts are good start towards returning Scranton towards fiscally conservative policies. Although, I would prefer even steeper cuts in salaries and positions, this legislation will effectively get the ball rolling towards eliminating all wasteful spending in city government. And as much Mr. Joyce said before, other cities are making cuts that are much steeper these than we are considering today. But, as I said, this is a good start. When it comes time for the 2011 budget towards the end of the year I hope to work with council and the mayor to make even further cuts.

And it was reported in the paper that Mayor Doherty did send a letter to council asking us to meet with him, and

normally I would be willing to meet with anyone, but when you get a letter one business day prior to the final vote it makes it very difficult to setup a time to meet. We received this letter Friday leaving only one day in-between for the vote which Saturday and Sunday city hall is closed. And, you know, if the mayor was really sincere about wanting to meet with us he would have approached us much earlier in the process than right before the vote.

Also, I would just like to address a letter to the editor that was printed, and I don't want to make a habit of doing this, but this run really struck me the wrong way. It was entitled, "Reshuffling wealth" and it basically compared what we are doing on council to President Obama promoting the redistribution of wealth and it went on to say that us cutting jobs is some sort of socialism. I would say that what we are doing is exactly the opposite of socialism, we are working to reduce the size of government and I think we need to do continue to do that to get Scranton back on

a fiscally conservative track and eventually keep working to reduce or debt and hopefully reduced taxes in the future. So, therefore, I will be voting "yes." And that is it.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? Well, I might just add that, Mr. McGoff, you have never voted once against the mayor's budget, you have never voted once against the mayor's borrowing.

In fact, you have never voted against any agenda that the mayor has presented, and so I feel if you are told a budget is balanced, you will unquestionably believe that. And in 2009 you are correct there were no objections to it's being unbalanced because what would that have changed? You would still protest, as would your majority council colleagues, oh, this is balanced.

You site that the mayor has increased revenue. Well, I would like to know what they are? I don't see that. And I think --

MR. MCGOFF: Parking and permits.

MS. EVANS: The what?

MR. MCGOFF: Parking and permits?

MS. EVANS: Parking and permits, that's right. Thank you. Now, I'll quote -- well, actually before I do that, I know you were discussing the Scranton Parking Authority, it's also very true that quite a significant number of parking meters have been eliminated throughout the City of Scranton, whether it be for hospitals, whether it be for universities, we are down on those numbers.

Now, you say that positions will be cut in the Scranton Parking Authority, are you specifically stating that citation issuers will be cut or will the administration over there which has grown over the past few years, will two of those individuals be cut?

MR. MCGOFF: I would say I don't know, but the reduced amount for the Parking Authority will probably result in at least two positions being removed.

MS. EVANS: Well, that I think could be very effective in terms of their top heavy management. I'm going to quote Mayor Doherty, "Government isn't an employment

agency." Though he doesn't always apply that philosophy particularly during his election campaigns. That is when we see typically rises in numbers in an authority employees, creations, job creations within city hall, and I think if anyone really took an interest in any campaign finance reports you would see a remarkable correlation between the contributors and the jobs that we are talking about here which could very likely explain why the mayor is willing to take council and the taxpayers of Scranton to Court over his campaign contributors.

As I noted earlier, the HR Director has been offering voluntarily layoffs as a choice and alternative to bumping and that actually is not reflected in the budget.

And I think that's probably all I have right now in response to your comments,

Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I just add one?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. MCGOFF: In addition to voting for a tax increase, we also put into the budget last year increased revenue from our

rental registration, so we did add. 1 MS. EVANS: We did, and I hope we 2 3 are getting that, but --MR. MCGOFF: I hope so, too. 4 MS. EVANS: But if the truth be 5 6 told, we put that money in there to cover 7 the tax office positions for the I believe 8 it was three individuals Mr. Courtright had 9 asked you to consider, and that's why that 10 particular revenue line was bumped up. 11 money had to balance out from somewhere, so 12 let's tell the truth. 13 MR. MCGOFF: Well, that's fine. 14 It's still an increase in revenue. 15 MS. EVANS: Well, I'm hoping it's 16 going to be, yes, this year and even more so 17 in the future because I do know that 18 Mr. McGoff and Mr. Rogan are going to be 19 working on this together with probably LIPS 20 and the law department. Anyone else on the 21 question? Last call. Roll call, please. 22 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 23 MR. MCGOFF: No. 24 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 25 MR. ROGAN: Yes.

	146
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
8	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION</u>
9	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
10	<u> ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 7, 2010 - </u>
11	ACCEPTING THE DONATION OF FIVE (5) AUTOMATIC
12	EXTERNAL DEFIBRILLATOR'S ("AED'S) FROM
13	SANOFI PASTEUR PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF
14	SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
15	MS. EVANS: What is the
16	recommendation of the Chair for the
17	Committee on Public Safety?
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for
19	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
20	final passage of Item 7-C.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question. Roll
23	call, please.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

		147
1	MS.	CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
2	MR.	ROGAN: Yes.
3	MS.	CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
4	MR.	LOSCOMBE: Yes.
5	MS.	CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
6	MR.	JOYCE: Yes.
7	MS.	CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
8	MS.	EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
9	7-C legally	and lawfully adopted. I thank
10	you all for	your participation in tonight's
11	meeting and	I'll entertain a motion to
12	adjourn.	
13	MR.	MCGOFF: So moved.
14	MR.	LOSCOMBE: Second.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

I

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER