	1	
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, February 16, 2010	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

SUE MAGNOTTA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	
2	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
3	observed.)
4	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
5	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
6	Rogan .
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. NO
16	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
17	MS. EVANS: Do we have clerk's note
18	for this evening, please, Mrs. Krake.
19	MS. KRAKE: Yes. First, I would
20	like to say the Councilman McGoff will be
21	joining us, he is just slightly delayed.
22	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
23	MS. KRAKE: We have many responses
24	this week. The first is from Charles
25	Jefferson. He is a partner in the Scranton

Connell, L.P., Incorporation. He is in receipt of our letter of January 21 regarding the dumpsters in front of the Connell building. He tells us that the dumpsters are currently in use and have been since the beginning of January. He anticipates that the demolition work will continue into March. If we have any further questions we can contact him.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Our next response is a part of the ongoing story about the handicap accessible curb cuts. Even though we reported last week PennDOT's response we since received a different response from a different department at PennDOT. The gentleman that answered is Dennis Giordono, assistant district executive of maintenance. He is a answering two parts here actually. First he says, "Regarding the status of the River Street overpass project that project has a completion date of July 22, 2010."

In regards to the handicap
accessible curb and sidewalk at Spruce and
Wyoming, he tells us that the maintenance of

the curb and sidewalks is the responsibility of the municipality. He also says the Department of Transportation may replace noncompliant ADA curb and sidewalks in conjunction with a roadway and construction project, but once again, maintenance of such remains with the municipality.

And also, part of our complaint that the gentleman -- the handicapped gentleman told our office was that the handicap entrance at the city -- that the city has in the alley need to be addressed. The operation of the door and the signs posted do not correlate with each other and this has been going on for well over a year.

MS. EVANS: Well, Mrs. Krake, can we please then send a letter to the appropriate department of city government to address that issue and to the DPW as well to get to work done on the handicapped portions of the curbs and if you would attach our responses received from PennDOT --

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: -- to that letter so that they know we have confirmed with the state

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it is not a state responsibility, it is our responsibility that needs to be addressed immediately.

MS. KRAKE: The next response is from the Scranton Parking Authority. sent them a letter about illegal parking. They tell us that the 50 minute parking spot at 504 Lackawanna Avenue is theirs to enforce and they have issued tickets there. They also tell us that the 900 block of Prescott Avenue, which was parking in front of the business for 30 minutes -- or, excuse me, a few vehicles parked in those three spots all day and they're 30-minute parking, that that is not enforced by the Parking Authority, but at the same time we sent this letter we sent one to the police who they recommend we send it to and we have not heard a response from Chief Elliott concerning that.

MS. EVANS: I think we need to send a second reminder to the chief that council requests a response to this and action on it.

MS. KRAKE: Our next two responses

are from the Stu Renda, business administrator, this letter is in response to our letter of January 13 regarding deregulation of public electricity rates for 2010 as well as a follow-up from another question of December 30.

It says, "Currently a request for proposals is being drafted with the intention to seek competitive proposals by the end of February, 2010. It is premature to provide an advertisement date of this moment. We will forward a copy of all pertinent documents and dates," to us, "to provide full disclosure and corporation."

Next, he says, "Based on Scranton's PPL electric service invoice for the traffic signals, the distribution charge, transmission charge and generation charge is based on .64 per watt, .002 per watt and . 073 per watt respectively."

I know we asked him what the cost was, but I don't know that we had said specifically for traffic signals.

MS. EVANS: That is correct, although, certainly it's useful to receive

that information, my original concern was the utility costs for city hall and the other buildings owned by the city and so I would like him now to provide that cost and if we get from him a report as soon as he has done all of the work that he is outlined for the end of the month of February I just want to double check that that indeed is happening because as we -- as we tarry, we are losing money.

MS. KRAKE: The next response is in reference to our request about Rossi's audit. He tells us that Robert Rossi & Company, this is Stu Renda once again, has provided a detailed outline concerning the timely completion of the report aside from any unforeseen events and permanent staffing reductions, it appears as though this timeline will be adhered to.

MS. EVANS: Just so that everyone understands, Rossi & Sons, the accounting firm hired by Scranton City Council to produce an annual independent audit of the City of Scranton, begins it's work for the upcoming audit usually in December and that

was the case this year. They were up and on the job late December 2009. Each year they also provide the business administrator with a timeline for information to be submitted to them from not only the business administrator, but from the various departments of city government and the authorities of the City of Scranton. That timeline is usually May 31 in keeping with the city's Home Rule Charter, however, we have never received an audit by that date.

Now, in the six years that I have served on council, we have received audits typically in the month of September and in the last two years we are not received these audits until November and that is inexcusable, then it has very little impact on budget planning throughout the year, and so the mere fact that the business administrator might suggest or imply that his ability to meet the requests of the auditor might be hindered by a reduction in staff is laughable because he has yet to produce that audit to cooperate with the auditors in a timely fashion when he has had

quite a contingency of workers in his office.

MS. KRAKE: Our next response is from Attorney Patterson. Our original letter asked an update on the status of the money the city is owed by the Ice Box for the property once used by the DPW on Providence Road. This is their reply: "Pursuant to File of Council No. 67, 2010, the city entered into a ground lease with BRT Ice, LP, for the property situated along Providence Road and West Olive Street now known as the Ice Box Complex.

By correspondence dated July 18,
2006, BRT Ice forwarded a check to the city
for \$198 representing an advance payment of
the lease amount due under the lease
including the applicable option period. On
July 6, 2006, legislation regarding a
redevelopment contract between the
Redevelopment Authority of the city and BRT
Ice was introduced by Scranton City Council.
This legislation was held by council and no
further action has been taken on that
contract.

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

According to BRT counsel, the above-referenced check was sent after the legislation was held by council."

And our office did verify that it was still in our records as held and that was for purchase.

MS. EVANS: And I do recall that was held because the owner of the Ice Box has not provided the \$600,000 in a timely He wanted to break his payments into manageable portions for himself over an extended period of time, meanwhile, the city is in financial difficulty and has remained distressed for 18 years. It's certainly \$600,000 for such a sizable portion of prime city property is a bargain, to say the least, and so if our solicitor, Solicitor Hughes, might take a look at that agreement and any other correspondences and transactions that had occurred since the date of the original agreement and see if there is some way in which the city can finally now receive it's \$600.000.

MR. HUGHES: I'll have all of the documents on this pulled by Mrs. Krake and

.

review them for the next meeting.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Our next response is also from Attorney Patterson. This is in reference to our question about the Murray Insurance commercial parking lot. Their response is: "Murray Insurance currently owes the parking fee for the 2009. Murray Insurance's parking lot is 40 spaces at \$15 per space they owe the city \$600 for 2009.

The 2010 notices for payment lots -for commercial parking lots will be mailed
shortly."

I did call the solicitor on this because I thought -- I know that the law department in the past handled any claims for bankruptcy that came to the city and that perhaps this would be part of that and the monies that are owed to the city, I know delinquent taxes and liens are, however, she indicated she didn't believe so under the new bankruptcy laws, so it looks like perhaps that wouldn't apply to the bankruptcy timeline.

MS. EVANS: So we are going to lose

that money and at the same time it was the previous council that agreed to subordinate it's position on a loan for Mr. Murray and that agency, and so the city has extended to him many courtesies, but now the city doesn't intend to pursue money that's owed to it by him.

MS. KRAKE: I believe if I understood her correctly that as far as the bankruptcy aspect of it she may not be able to, but they certainly are sending him the bills.

MS. EVANS: Well, I know that I had read that anyone -- any creditor, for example, that would have been owed by the gentleman in this case had a deadline by which to I guess file for payment and that would be considered in this bankruptcy case and so it's a little difficult for me to understand why the city wouldn't wish to ask for what it's owed. Perhaps the city solicitor can provide us with evidence that the city has no right to collect what was owed under a bankruptcy case and, Attorney Hughes, if you might also just look into

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that for us as well, what your opinion would be.

MR. HUGHES: It would depend on who the owner is and who filed the bankruptcy I don't know who owns that land. petition. If it's included within the Murray bankruptcy then, of course, it would depend on what our claim is. There is priority claims, that would be a general creditor. I don't know if the \$15 tax that the city has a right to lien it. If they could they could lien the real estate, but I'm not familiar with that, but in accordance with the bankruptcy the city is a general creditor, we would the last to be paid and probably would be a pro rate share depending on if it was the Murray Insurance Agency the filed the bankruptcy if they don't own the land, Mr. Murray in some other capacity might own it. I will have to look at look that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Our next response is from Mark Seitzinger, the Director of License and Inspections. He is respond to

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

go our request about the Grove Street. tells us inspectors have been sent out to the location and he has personally seen the complaint during a driveway inspection. has also called Shay Demolition regarding the machinery that is located on the site. He also tells me they are further investigating this and they will continue to apprise us of the situation.

MS. KRAKE: And the next response is also interest Director Seitzinger. We asked him at council about a vacant lot at 622 Pittston Avenue and as frequently happens the address of a property may not be the address in the assessment records, so he brought us the -- they went out and took a look, they could see if -- there is a vacant lot a 624 Pittston Avenue. He is pretty confident that this is the one that is everyone is referring to, you know, it wasn't the exact address, but he was right to respond in this way. He wouldn't want to accuse someone incorrectly of this, so he tells us as soon as the snow melts they will

be cited for garbage, the current owner of this property, and he will also post the signs and everything else that we have requested.

Next response is also from Director Seitzinger, this is in response to the property at 1023 Bunker Hill Street. He also states that the property is in deplorable condition and demolition notices have been sent to the property owner. All notices have been sent through certified and regular mail and all will come back to the city.

This is a common occurrence. It happened to me when I worked in the Treasurer's Office, so this is all part of the process that the city must do. Included in the letter sent to the owners, Sara Boyinski, was a demolition letter. That, too, was returned back to the City of Scranton with no acceptance of service from the owner. He does have the property slated for demolition and he will coordinate with Jeff Brazil, director of DPW, for said demolition.

He also wanted me to say that there is a legal process and timeline that the city must adhere to for demolition. Now, if the mayor -- we did request Mr. Seitzinger to come to a public caucus, and now that has to be at the pleasure of the mayor since he is under him, so if the mayor allows

Mr. Seitzinger to come to a public caucus I think he would be able to explain at that process fully and any question anyone would have because it is very detailed.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: He also has agreed to meet weekly in our office to go over any of the requests that citizens have, but I know, Mrs. Evans, you have also had me specify that we still would require a written response from him.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: Our final response is from Paul O'Hora, the flood control project coordinator. Our original letter was in reference to the storm drain needs. Because of the problems with silt and log jams, the city is required to maintain these gates, so

we asked a lot of specific questions in reference to this, so this is his answer: He says, "There are four to six drainage structures throughout the south Scranton, Albright, Plot and Greenridge. These structures are required to be routinely maintained which includes cleaning, lubrication and annual exorcising as well as inspections before, during and after the high water events to ensure that they are properly maintained.

Note that the section of the
Greenridge project between the Market Street
Bridge and Poplar Street is still under
construction and has not yet and turned over
to the city for maintenance. This section
contains an additional 18 drainage
structures. With regard to the current
maintenance status, all drainage structures
have recently been exorcised and although
some cleaning has either been performed or
is in the process of being performed they
are all functioning as required.

As you are aware, the flap gates are located on the river side of the levy and

are subject to the random accumulation of sediment and debris. However, there are no instances where the drainage structures have not functioned as required due to issues with sediment or debris.

With regard to a map of the drainage structures, note that all of the structures are shown on the original drawings, however, due to a number of sheets that were required with production, we can do these drawings at Weston Field."

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: And, Mrs. President, I'll leave it up to you, I do have several nonresponses, but I don't know if you feel too much time has been dedicated to this already. Would you like me to continue?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: First, we have no response from Chief Elliott from a letter sent January 20 requesting what the status was of the citywide public surveillance cameras that are located throughout the city. We have no written response.

MS. EVANS: That can be sent to him

again and we are asking for a response now by this Friday, and you can enjoin that with the previous requests for another reminder to him that I made earlier.

MS. KRAKE: We have not received a response in writing concerning the intersection of Harrison Avenue and Pine Street. There is numerous accidents at this section in the past few years due to parked cars. The resident ask DPW if there signage could be larger, and I think what they mean by that would be a longer section designated "No Parking" on the corner to increase visibility, and also we'll do the final --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: This was a letter to
Mr. Renda: "Is the city --" this is
concerning Olive Street and Gordon Avenue.
They may have answered some of this at the
caucus, but I think we also might want
something in writing.

MS. EVANS: Yes, please.

MS. KRAKE: Is investigating funding sources for the future flood protection program.

•

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: And this was a letter was sent to Mr. Rinaldi, 500 Lackawanna
Development Company, January 22, 2010. We wanted answers to the following questions:
Is the 500 Lackawanna project completed? If not, when will it be done? Are there any tenants? If so, please list each of them and do they very a target date for full occupancy. Nothing in writing for this either.

MS. EVANS: I think we'll resubmit that and should we not receive a response, I think we will follow-up by inviting

Mr. Rinaldi then to a public caucus.

MS. KRAKE: This was a letter sent to Mr. Brazil, January 29, "Council received reports from residents of East Mountain that the street sweeper was hard at work in their neighborhood. Council asks that in good weather all streets that are cindered should also be swept.

And it was reported to council that a table and picnic bench were placed on the ice at Mountain Lake. Council asked that if

has not already been submerged please remove it before an accident occurs. We have nothing in writing on this either.

MS. EVANS: Let's just resubmit that to Mr. Brazil, please, with an answer by Friday as to what streets were taken care of prior to the most recent snow fall.

MS. KRAKE: And one last letter, this was a two-page -- three-page letter, a very poignant letter from a concerned citizen and it was showing their frustration with the Recreation Authority, and I don't want to read the entire letter, they state their case very strongly as many people have done at the podium, and I know from past experience that if you do not word your letter correctly as far as Right-to-Know you will not get an answer.

So this office has done a
Right-to-Know concerning the Recreation
Authority and we did send that on January
26. Now, they did not respond in five days
to say they would need the extension, I'm
assuming that's what they have intended, but
we haven't heard from them, so would you

1 like us to follow-up and see why they haven't? 2 3 MS. EVANS: Yes. And if they are not within their 30-day time limit then he 4 would ask Attorney Hughes to step in and 5 make sure that the Right-to-Know request 6 7 information is supplied to city council. 8 MR. HUGHES: In accordance with the 9 Right-to-Know Act they would have to make a 10 response within five days and request the 11 extra 30 days. Right now they are in 12 default. They are in violation of the 13 Right-to-Know law. I do not know if they 14 have a solicitor. 15 MS. EVANS: Yes, they do. 16 MS. HUGHES: Who is the solicitor? 17 MS. EVANS: I believe it's Attorney 18 Paul Kelly. And perhaps we should notify 19 them of what Attorney Hughes just stated, 20 that they are currently in violation and they would expect the information to be 21 22 received on the legally dictated date. 23 MS. HUGHES: Well, that's passed, 24 hasn't it? 25 Five days have passed, MS. KRAKE:

Do any

they haven't requested the extension as of 1 the five days. 2 3 MR. HUGHES: Then it's too late to request the extension. Tell them that they 4 must submit the documentation forthwith or 5 we are going to -- whether we can go to 6 7 Court to enforce that or we would have to 8 request the solicitor's office to do it, I 9 believe Mr. Kelly is an assistant solicitor. 10 MS. EVANS: He should certainly be 11 aware. MR. HUGHES: He would have to go sue 12 13 himself. 14 MS. KRAKE: That's all we have. 15 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 16 council members have announcements at this 17 time? 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, Mrs. The annual Lenten food sale at St. 19 20 Lucy's Church, 949 Scranton Street, West 21 Scranton, Fridays through February 19 to 22 March 26 from three to 6:30 p.m., clam 23 chowder, pizza and weekly specials such as 24 pasta fagioli, broccoli and shells, pasta 25 marinara and polenta. There will be a

special bake sale on March 19. For orders you can call 342-5981 and walk-ins are most welcome.

On March 13 the Area 314 Fraternal Order of Eagles is having a ham and cabbage dinner. The doors open at 1 p.m. and the dinner will be from 3:30 to 7:30. The cost will be \$8 and tickets can be bought at the door. T-shirts will be on sale that day various sizes and styles will be available, also. At 8 p.m. DJ "G-Daddy" will be playing various tunes, karaoke and maybe some Irish music. Just remember, everybody is Irish on parade day in Scranton where the party never stops. Hope to see you there. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Are there any other announcements? A pasta fundraiser will be held in Friday, February 19 from 5 to 9 p.m. at the Eagle Hose Company, Eagle Lane, Dickson City to benefit the Blaskovic family who lost their home and possessions to fire on January 5. Tickets are \$10 for adults, \$5 for children and those 12 years of age and under are free. Enjoy a delicious pasta

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dinner and help a family in need.

Finally, council asks for the cooperation of all speakers this evening. During citizens' participation, we ask that each of you be brief in order that council members can meet in executive session following Seventh Order to discuss cable franchise contract negotiations. Attorney Cohen has traveled from Pittsburgh to participate in this discussion and update This discussion cannot be council. conducted publically since it may jeopardize the city's position in the negotiation process and all discuss must remain confidential. We would appreciate your understanding and assistance by shortening your remarks tonight, and that's it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Evans, I'm sorry, I missed one.

MS. EVANS: Absolutely.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I received an e-mail on this, the city planning commission meeting, looks like the date has been changed to February 24, at 7:00 p.m. in the city council chambers. On the agenda under

new business is the review of preliminary major subdivision plan by Oakwood Homes of Scranton for the development of 51 lots known as Oakwood Estates Phase III.

The second item on the agenda is the review of the land development plan for construction of a major convenience store by BRT, Inc., at the Ice Box Complex, Providence Road and Olive Street. This is an open meeting. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'

PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is Mike Dudek.

MR. DUDEK: My name is Mike Dudek, 608 Depot Street, Scranton. I live down there in the Plot. Last week's council meeting probably was the most productive and the most informative city council meeting I have ever seen. It was run wonderfully. Part of the meeting involved Mr. McGoff in presenting the case for the city as far as the budget was concerned and it took about 90 minutes for that portion of the meeting

to take place, by the time that meeting was over, that portion of it was over, that 90 minutes, it kind of -- it kind of reminded me of a participant in a world cup soccer match, not as a participating player, but by the time it was over he kind of reminded me of what the ball might have looked like. I have never seen anything quite like this council being so prepared and so knowledgeable as to what is going on with the city budget.

Now, with the mayor, he's really beginning to come apart of the seams. I mean, here's a man who in October was running for mayor while he was running for governor, he had two separate campaign committees. Then with his popularity vote was only 3 percent in a poll that had a plus or minus 4 percent error ratio, he now tries to run for lieutenant governor and Mr.

Onatorio didn't want him, now he is running for state senate and Mr. Cochran already told him he might as well get out of the race, just sit down, shut up and be a good boy.

Now, he is trying to sue council.

He is having a very busy week and I'm

beginning to wonder if the wheels aren't

coming off of Mr. Doherty. To me he is

acting like somebody who is aching to become

a member of the rubber room Bellevue-bound

Looney Tune nut bin. There is something

wrong with the man. He is behaving

extremely erratic, and this is going to put

pressure on you four as a council because

now you are going to have to look at your

job in a completely different way. If he is

becoming delusional it may occur that you as

a council may have to take over a bit more

The Scranton Times today in their editorial calls our form of government the city's strong mayor form of the government.

No, no, absolutely no. It is a strong mayor, strong council form of government and if you have to use your power to veto or legislate our whatever and you constantly vote together that's the proof of it. A strong mayor, strong council government.

That's what we have here.

of the work.

_ .

Now, as to the integrity of today's editorial, and this has to be pointed out, I'm going to read word for word what it says. "Now, the same politicians and their handful of followers who have whined incessantly about the city's legal costs for pursuing a badly needed Recovery Plan, they are referring to you, Les Spindler, me and most of these people, will instigate a protracted legal battle over the budget that could well eliminate even the marginal savings the costs would produce."

They are saying that Council
President Evans wants to sue Council
President Evans when in yesterday's paper it
says that Chris Doherty is going to
institute a lawsuit against City Council
President Evans. I can't make this stuff
up. It's in today's paper. Absolutely
ridiculous.

The point that I want to make is that things are beginning to happen in this city at that aren't right. The mayor is beginning to embarrass both himself and this city with his behavior and it may become

incumbent upon all of you to hang together, to work together, and I hope you are not going to find yourselves having a full-time job doing this, but it's a remarkable time in our city's history and I hope that you are ready and you keep on being prepared.

And again, Mr. Joyce, I want to again commend you for what you did last week.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MR. DUDEK: That was phenomenal.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I'll keep my remarks short. The Ice Box, we brought that up again. The first contract there was no money involved, the 198 years was in there. That \$198 that he sent is for 198 years for that parcel of land. The problem is the liability. Why should we take any responsibility for a liability if that man has the lease? It should be his responsibility for his liability on that

piece of property, not ours, so I wish you could put, you know, the little things, especially if they are going to build on it. Are you going -- are we going to respond, pull from next door if somebody gets hurt in the parking lot? This is ridiculous. Well, the whole thing was ridiculous. I don't want to get into it because -- I still boil when I think about it.

Okay, Lackawanna Avenue being that was brought up again, there is no office building. How could the project be complete without the office building that was in the original plans? You got to remember, he promised an office bidding and it's not there.

And as far as Mr. Doherty going to sue, well, that makes sense. He would like an injunction to prevent this from -- his -- how does he put it, his administrators to get a decrease in salary. I understood why he is asking for an injunction, I can understand that, but you should have realized he was going to do it anyway because he sued the police, he sued the

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

firemen, why not you? Bye.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz. South Scranton resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. What I am going to say this evening is fact not supposition. Moses Taylor Hospital starts layoff of emergency room personnel. These people save lives, treat your children and you when there is an emergency, but they are being laid off starting today. Governor Rendell states that the state government runs more efficiently since the Commonwealth laid off 5.6 percent of their work force. Throughout the United States workers are being laid off, all 50 states have cut their budgets, cities and townships throughout the United States have made cuts in their budgets and laid workers off, reduced salaries to administrative workers.

"Mayor to sue council. Doherty will go right to Court if budget cut passes." Council, pass the budget cuts. Do not back down. We have a lame duck mayor. If this

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

situation was not so serious it would be laughable. The executive branch is suing the legislative branch of a distressed city that has been distressed for 18 years. In other words, the mayor is going to sue the taxpayers who pay the legal bills unless the mayor will open up his wallet and pay the legal bills. Whatever happened to negotiations and talking between government bodies?

Mr. Mayor, the last time you appeared at a city council was when you mysteriously showed up to cast the deciding vote to appoint Mr. McGoff as a city council Since then you have been a no-show. member. Mr. McGoff has repaid you many times when his votes that have hurt the people of Scranton. I understand that you feel uncomfortable in city council chambers because you are not capable of defending your policies and records as mayor for eight That is why you go to the Times-Tribune. They will write anything you say whether it is accurate or not.

Roger and Pat McKenna, you must tell

both sides. Tomorrow's headline should be,
Pat McKenna and Roger, "Mayor sues
taxpayers." That should be tomorrow's
headline. But, yet, Mayor Doherty, you
wanted to be governor and lieutenant
governor, now state senator. Harrisburg
sent you packing back to Scranton. No
endorsement votes for either governor or
lieutenant governor. You can and try to say
that you withdrew, but you have no
credibility.

Remember, the sixth council member, 9,000 invisible jobs and wage cuts, wage tax cuts. I find it very immature on the mayor's part to immediately suggest suing. This sounds to me like a little spoiled boy who is not chosen by any team to play and takes his ball and bat home crying.

Mr. Mayor, you are the chief executive of a distressed city. Remember the Recovery Plan, PEL, and 25 percent tax hikes, the fines and penalties applied to the delinquent taxpayers? Mr. Mayor, Mr. McGoff, and the other two ex-Doherty three, were you aware of the fact that

Lackawanna County has a department setup to collect delinquent taxes? Their collection rates are much less than the collection agency and the money goes back to the county. The only municipality in Lackawanna County who does not use the county agency is the City of Scranton. I wonder why.

Mr. Mayor, do you remember saying if you pay your taxes you do not have to worry about the fines? Well, I say to you, Mr. Mayor, if you use the county agency you do not have to pay the fines either. The mayor and Doherty three hurt the people of Scranton with the passage of this legislation.

"I created 9,000 new jobs in Scranton in my eight years of mayor."

Mr. McGoff, you were wrong last week when you stated that Mr. Brazil was Superman. Mayor/ex-Governor, Lieutenant Governor, State Senator Doherty is Superman. He created 9,000 invisible, is running for three state offices and still finding time to sue the city council. Now, that's Superman.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Doherty.

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I am not going to allow them to hurt the people." What people are you speaking about, the eight Doherty real people who were given jobs without qualifications? Ex councilwoman Gatelli once said, "Qualifications do not matter. Well, Scranton and Mayor Doherty follows that rule. How about the 100 city workers you were forced into early retirement. they not people? How about the firefighters and police officers who have not received a raise from you in eight years, are they not people? The fire chief and police chief received a \$13,000 a year raise two years ago while their workers received nothing. Take it back, Council, until the rank and file get their raise for Mayor/ Ex-Governor/Lieutenant/State Senator

Scranton police officer and firefighters are the lowest paid full-time departments. The people who reside in the district pay attention to Mayor Doherty's record, but more importantly take a good look at the fact that he ran straight

through the Court system without any negotiations or talks. No wonder why Harrisburg sent him packing. Mayor/State Senator Doherty, you are a lame duck politician at the very least and have no leadership, management abilities whatsoever. Take it to Court and hurt the citizens of the Scranton even more.

Mr. Joyce, some constructive criticism. Why did you not ask Mr. McGoff if he was aware of the importance of the work, who the workers were and did he check with the department heads sooner. It would have saved a lot of time. If you notice, Mr. McGoff's microphone was off when he weakly answered "No," but his facial expression said it all. What an embarrassment. He was caught in his own trap.

Mr. Mayor, you believe Nay Aug
Park-- you love Nay Aug Park. Why did you
allow the Park and Recreation Authority and
Mr. Dougher to lease the Wildlife Center to
Lackawanna College for \$1 a year for 25
years with the option of five more 25 year

leases for a total of 150 years for \$150, but yet Mr. Dougher and the Authority sees nothing wrong with charging kids 6 to 15 years old \$3 a day to swim. They also want to charge the children of the poor \$3, but I understand, Mr. Mayor, that you and Mr. Dougher and the Park and Recreation Authority do not believe that there are any poor people in Scranton.

Again, this is why you were sent packing by Harrisburg and the district will do the same. You have embarrassed Scranton once again. Why do you think the majority council is elected and the Doherty two were defeated. Number three is next if he decides to seek re-election.

(Mr. McGoff entered council chambers
and took the dais while Bill Jackowitz was
speaking.)

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Just one quick bit of information that I think will piggyback on your original statements concerning Moses Taylor Hospital, I was contacted today by Mr. Michael Costello, one of the officials of Moses Taylor Hospital to

apprise me of the situation. He told me another article will appear in tomorrow's paper. There will be a total of 45 individuals laid off at Moses Taylor Hospital and quite a number of other individuals who will be reassigned to other job duties and responsibilities. This is due in part to the current state of the economy.

And I did tell Mr. Costello that I was very sorry to hear this news, but that I did understand since business and all levels of the government are sharing that pain, and I thought it was just important for all of the public to know that positions are being cut in places other than city government. They are being cut, as you know, on the county level, on the state level and within business and industry, and very sadly it's now even affecting our health institutions in this city. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, Scranton resident

and homeowner and taxpayer. Mrs. Evans, can

I ask Attorney Hughes a question?

.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Attorney Hughes, last week after council voted to veto the Library Authority you said the Library Authority must cease now, what if they don't? What's the next course of action?

MR HUGHES: The next course of action that I would recommend is that council would pass a resolution to have the solicitor's office take action against the Library board to compel them to file a dissolution of papers with the Secretary of State. Council cannot file the action on behalf of the city, that would have to be up the solicitor's office. Right now the Library Authority really has no legal basis to conduct any business in my opinion.

MR. SPINDLER: I was just curious.

MR. HUGHES: Or there could be taxpayers' actions against the authority because the ordinance that created the authority has now been overruled, you know, has now been rescinded so the authority board, while it's still there, has no legal authority to function.

MR. SPINDLER: Being the mayor wants the authority I have no confidence they are going to cease. I was just curious. Thank you.

I brought this up about four years ago, Mrs. Evans, you might remember when my dog was attacked by two pit bulls about four years. Thank God she was okay, and I asked for council to look into maybe a dangerous dog legislation, well, Mrs. Gatelli looked into it and there was supposed to be meetings and I guess Beth Thursby was involved, to this date nothing has been done. I would respectfully ask council if maybe they could look into maybe starting something so I could get a dangerous dog legislation started?

MS. EVANS: We'll take that under advisement, Mr. Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. I, too, want to talk about the mayor saying he was going to sue city council. In essence, I guess he is suing the city that he is supposed to running. Notice I said supposed to be running because he is too busy doing

other things, but for eight years this mayor had his own way because he had his rubber stampers on city council. Now that he has a city council that's going to hold his feet to the fire he is like a spoiled brat that's not getting his own way so is taking his ball and running home with it.

And I want to read something from the Doherty newsletter. Mayor Doherty said, "I'm not going to allow them to hurt the people of our city."

Well, as a matter of fact, Mayor, you have hurt this city in many years ways and one is to this day you cost the taxpayers \$1.4 four million in loss arbitration appeals and now he is going to cost the taxpayers more money suing city council, so where does it stop with this man? He just doesn't want to hurt the people, but he is really doing it. He doesn't know what he is doing.

And something else, he says talking about the fire chief and police chief having their salaries cut. It says, "Mr. Doherty took particular exception to the cuts

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affecting the police and fire chiefs who would be making significantly less than their counterparts of many surrounding communities. We are one of the safest cities in the state."

Well, that's funny. I could just remember I think it was maybe two Sundays ago the headline in the paper was "Violent crime up 19 percent in Scranton" so if that's a safe city I think I'm going to move somewhere else. And, Mrs. Evans, when -- if this does goes to Court and he holds his promise, I would bring up about how Mayor Doherty violates his own Recovery Plan hiring cronies, giving the police chief and the fire chief raises where the Recovery Plan plainly calls for no raises after 2002, so I hope this brought up if it does go to Court because I have read the Recovery Plan and I know what it says. That's all I have to say. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Jean Suetta.

Mr. Patilla, I am so sorry. I just noticed
thanks to vice-president Rogan that I
skipped over your name and so when Ms.

Suetta finishes please proceed to the podium.

MS. SUETTA: Jean Suetta. I have a couple of questions to ask you. You know, the University of Scranton owns half of the Hill and they put all their students and they rent them out to the students. once the University of Scranton owns the building it's tax exempt. How come we are not getting taxes from them? Now, Duquesne University tried to pull a -- say the right word, Jeannie, don't curse, to the people out there, they bought a complex next door and they were renting the complex to the students, Duquesne, the city, sued the University and they won and now they are back on the tax rolls. Why can't we do something like that?

MS. EVANS: Well it's certainly something we can look into.

MS. SUETTA: You know, because they own half the city. And, Mr. McGoff, how are you?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm fine, Jean. Yourself?

25

24

MS. SUETTA: Pretty good. I have a little problem over on Albright Avenue, when you first come up to new bridge -- up to the wall on Albright Avenue, there is like a hole. When you hit it you think you are going down into the mines and then when you go around the bend and you go down Albright Avenue you are on Ho Chi Minh Trail. It's bad over there, really bad, but that one spot is real bad.

Janet, the University of Scranton don't pay no taxes. Now, they have a state-of-the-art library. Are us peons allowed to go up there and use it?

MS. EVANS: I would think so, yes.

MS. SUETTA: At any given time or are we limited to certain hours?

MS. EVANS: I would think the library has established hours of operation and I think if you spoke with the individual on duty at the circulation desk explaining that, of course, you are not a student rather a resident of Scranton I'm sure they can provide you with answers to all of your questions.

1 MS. SUETTA: Oh, all right. It is open to the 2 MR. ROGAN: 3 public. MS. SUETTA: It is up open to the 4 5 public? MR. ROGAN: Yes. 6 7 MS. SUETTA: And I'd like to say 8 hello to Ziggy Levandowski and Bobby 9 Szymanski. Bobby is home not feeling good, but other than that I'm making it short 10 11 tonight. Bob, you are going to look into 12 Albright Avenue? He is looking down at 13 nothing. 14 MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff? UNKNOWN AUDIENCE MEMBER: 15 He is 16 ignoring you. 17 MS. SUETTA: Yeah, I know he is 18 ignoring me. Oh, and I want to thank Kevin 19 Murphy's office again. They were very 20 helpful. Anybody has any questions go see 21 Kevin Murphy, and now that you've got me up 22 and here and I'm talking what the hell. I'm 23 sorry. What about our mayor? He is like a 24 cockroach. He wants to be a governor, he wants to be the lieutenant governor, now he 25

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wants to be a senator, he is like slippery
Sam, don't know what he wants, and he wants
to run our city?

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Suetta.

MS. SUETTA: All right. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Patilla.

MR. PATILLA: Sam Patilla, South Side resident, member of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association. Madame President, the City of Scranton finally has something they didn't have eight years. They have a strong taxpayer base and they voted overwhelming to seat the majority of Scranton City Council, The only people that Chris all right? Doherty was trying to save is the minority because the majority of the people in this city voted for this city council to lead us back on the road of recovery. The only thing I can recall Chris Doherty doing is 25, 25, 25, 56. A dollar a year for 68 years; a dollar a year for 25 years for language that stipulates it can be extended for another one 25 years, all right?

As I've stated in the past, I have nothing against the "U", I have nothing against Lackawanna College, all right, but both of those institutions, for example, are expanding. They have money. There is nothing that could prevent this city from entering into an honest lease agreement with them where we are getting market value for properties that they are using.

You know, I kind of find it kind of difficult for somebody who is running for all of these different offices to say that he has the best interest of anybody at heart other than himself. You are spending -- he spends too much time on road. You might not even be fully aware of the implications that his decision and his past administration have had on the taxpayers in this city. We cannot stand it.

Like was stated earlier, the county is cutting back, the state is cutting back, the federal government is cutting back, all right? I suggest that the council move Item 6-B to the Seventh Order tonight because we as taxpayers, we're behind you. The small

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

business owners are behind you. You know, a couple of weeks ago or a couple of months, whenever it was, when the taxpayers were receiving those fraudulent notices from NCC in regards to the sewer bills, you know, he didn't run to the Courts to see them and protect those citizens. I had a gentleman I spoke with a couple of days ago, who is having a the same type of problem, but only this time instead of the sewer bill it's his mortgage, you know, where they are not doing their work to insuring that these payments are being received, the mortgage company and escrow company they are saying the payments have been made, here's the proof, front, back, check, what else do you want, it's here.

You know, so evidently the problem
lies within that company and I just strongly
want to get behind the council and do not,
do not back away, all right? These people
are faltering, okay, and was stated by
Mr. Jackowitz earlier and some of the other
speakers, the state doesn't want him.
Harrisburg doesn't want him. The majority

the citizens of the Scranton do not want the way he has been leading us for the last year, that tax, borrow spend, tax, borrow, spend policy. It's not the way to go. We all realize that because we are affected by it.

You know, don't back away from him.

You know, stand your ground, do what you got
to do, we are behind you 125 percent.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.
Bob Bolus, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: I was out of town, I was down south, and it was interesting to read in one of the Florida newspapers about the Commonwealth college and a kid trying to get into 30 schools and they found Commonwealth College here in Scranton, Pennsylvania, so it was written up in one of the papers down there and I found it a little bit amusing.

But what it did tell me is that if you have the money to get into a college that college should be able to pay taxes or pay it's fair share in the community it's

located in. Which brings up the same issues that I brought up here for the last eight, nine years. I know council has a task before them, but one of the issues you need to do is finally create a fee not just in lieu of taxes or how much token money do you want to give us, I think Mr. Hughes will agree with me in order to impose a fee it has to go across the board from the lowest paying citizen to the highest paying business in the city so it's fair and equitable, and each one pays that percentage. That's where you need to be, that's where it needs to get done.

We don't have to keep pushing to be the ground upon plate here all day long. We know what we need to do. There is a leachate line I brought up for years, you need to work on it, but you need to get it done. There is millions and millions of dollars available to the people of this city. Past councils and past administrations because of the political atmosphere here have ignored it because who owns it, who controls who.

What I have seen in this council, and I haven't been here, I have watched a little, I have been traveling quite a bit, but what I have seen so far has impressed me and I'm willing to support this council. I have seen you take the bull by the horn, but the idea is hang onto the bull and that's where you need to be. You know, you are going to hear criticism one way the other, if you believe everything you read in the Scranton Times you would really wonder what goes on in the city.

where you are going. I will support this council. I have an issue that I've always had and that was the golf course money, \$3 million plus the interest that was taken from that fund and squandered on the mayor's pet projects in this city to balance the budget. I would like to have council's commitment that whether it comes from fees, whether it comes from the leachate line or the gas line or wherever we get this extra money that comes in here that that money is replaced. It didn't belong to the

administration, the council or anybody else. It belonged to the people and future generations of this city for parks and recreation where only the interest was to be used and to replace that money. It's a duty, it's a responsibility and it's an obligation that needs to be done back to the kids of the future when we are not here to guard them or help them and I would like to see that happen with council.

You know, where we are heading in this city, it hasn't been fun. I have an issue on East Mountain which everybody is aware of. I'm charged with assaulting a 17-year-old kid who I never laid a hand on. I was called in Florida and told it was in the newspaper. I have been charged on my own property because this issue grew out of proportion because of the laxity on the city's solicitor who was told by our attorneys the city owned the piece of property. It's a water right-of-way that goes from the dam on East Mountain, that went through a subdivision. People were allowed to build their homes on this

property that's owned by the City of Scranton. It's illegal. They couldn't do what they did, but it was ignored by the solicitor.

You have budget cuts here you are looking at. Let me tell you, that's one budget cut I'd like to see happen is to the solicitor because of her actions by not enforcing what was there and the harassment we have gone through the invasion of my privacy on that mountain, she has cost me thousands of dollars in litigation right now and it's going to continue.

To protect my property I had to put containers there because signs didn't mean anything. They were torn down. The police didn't do the arrest that they should have did, so we've had a lot of issues there and they are going to continue, but I'm the last guy that's going to back down on walk away from bullies that think they can infringe on people's privacy and their right.

Photographing me in my driveway, invading my privacy, yeah, I'm going to take it to the task and I'm going to take it to the mat,

but it started because the solicitor did not do her job. She ignored it. That's city owned property, they should have never been issued a building permit and if they were there should have been rescinded until this litigation was resolved. You can't take city-owned property, build on it and not put it up for sale by public bid or public auction to get the highest value for the city as we have in other vacant land in the city.

We saw the issue that went on with Holy Cross Church where the city was going to spend a dollar and tear something down for 380 something there.

The Sewer Authority, I have a question for them why the individuals are allowed to use Sewer Authority vehicles for their own personal use, and that we are paying it out of tax dollars yet they want tax increases.

As I said, I will support this council as long as you go in the right direction. I think it was time that the mayor was put in his place and I applaud you

for doing that, but don't waiver, stay the course, and I believe Scranton at one point will stop being distressed and be a place we're proud to call home because everything is paid for. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening. Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to address the budget tonight, Agenda Item 6-B, you know, we take a look at the million of dollars spent on the administration salaries and unnecessary projects across this city and now we find out that the administration wants to sue council. You know, who is giving away assets in this city? It's gone on for years and a prime example is up Nay Aug Park. We are giving away the zoo, an asset to this city to Lackawanna College for nothing. I mean, it's a joke and, you know, now the administration wants to come in and sue council.

You know, for the first time in

eight years the administration is being held accountable and I applaud this council for taking the appropriate action. We need to keep looking into ways to alleviate the tax burden placed on our citizens. We must create a fee on KOZ's and nonprofits, and I have brought this up before. I brought it up to the previous council and it went in one ear and out the other like many things did, but we need to create a fee that holds everyone accountable just like the garbage fee. Everyone needs to pay their fair share around here. Enough is enough already.

You know, critics argue that only a small percentage of money was saved, however, what they failed to mention is that this council has stated on numerous occasions that this measure is only the first of many efforts to improve Scranton's financial woes.

I have sat back and I have observed this council for the last few weeks and I have become very impressed by your leadership and the bold actions you have taken to protect the taxpayers. You know,

we may have ran against each other in the election and things may have gotten personal at times, but we move on, and I stand here tonight ready to work with this council in any capacity and last week I proposed the idea of a revenue task force and, Council President Evans, with your consent I would like to lead the efforts to make this group a reality and I believe it's time that every concerned resident who believes in good and honest open government stand up and get involved because this council can't do it on it's own. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

Good evening, Council.

I am glad to see that there are going to be some discussions today on the franchise agreement and I just like to say that I think that that franchise agreement needs to be removed from the budget and the money needs to be divided between Channel 61 to fund that remedial education and youth programs in the city and a third to go to senior citizens' centers located inside of the city to help them meet their funding

MR. MORGAN:

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

requirements for meals and whatever outreach they do for seniors.

Now, you know, I think my opinion on 6-B is probably totally different from everyone in this room, but that shouldn't surprise anyone to be honest. I am of the opinion that it should be tabled and I have a lot of reasons for that. The first one being that I think we don't want to spin ourselves into litigation, I think it's counterproductive. I think the next budget will be in ten months or less. I think -- I really think what should happen here, and I don't know if the five members of council have actually broken the budget into five sections or if the five council members have decided to work together to look at the budget, restructure the budget, I mean, it's possible to cut the mayor's budget by 10 percent next time. I don't see any real legitimate reason to chase \$700,000 and litigate against ourselves. It just doesn't make any sense to me whatsoever.

I think the most -- I mean, when we get ourselves into litigation there is going

6

7

5

4

1

8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to be a continuance possibly, there is going to be appeals, there is going to be all kind of legal things, the judges are going to decide what's relevant and what's not. It's going to leave your hands and it may not be settled in a year.

And then you have to realize one thing, the cost to the taxpayers. There is no doubt that this council wants to move in the best interest of the taxpayers, but is it possible that the best interest of the taxpayers lays in waiting for the mayor to submit his next budget and be prepared at that time to make a cut of 10 percent to that budget? A seven million dollar cut instead of a \$700,000 cut? I mean, it only makes common sense. Why fight amongst ourselves because the truth of the matter is the people that are counting on you, are the people that are walking through these neighborhoods. A lot of them have no job and have no money. They are struggling day-to-day. Do we want to take their hard earned money to fight against our own government?

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it's counter productive. think the best possible thing is to function inside of the parameters that you can function within and that's to cut the next budget by 10 percent, seven million dollars instead of taking us into litigation. Litigation serves no one and this mayor is not afraid to go into litigation, he has done it many times, and I just like to say we need to take a very good close look at the amount of litigation we have spun in regards to the fire department and the police department and is that where this council wants to take us? It makes no sense at all and whether anybody here agrees with me or not I really don't care. I have been coming a long time and I have seen a lot and I think if you use that charter to your advantage you can win.

I could see opening the budget to restore four or five jobs in the traffic department and a sign writer, okay, but even if that's not possible wait until the next budget and break the budget down amongst yourselves and figure out what road you are

2

4

6

7

5

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to take because the important thing here is this a new beginning or the same rhetoric.

We need reality here and the reality is we need smart decisions that aren't going to lead us into litigation because when it goes into litigation it's out of your hands, it's in the judge's hands and after it leaves that judge it goes to another judge. How many judges do we have to see? the police and fire union have seen plenty How many millions of dollars of of judges. litigation did they suffer through? much did it cost the taxpayers? You know, it's time to use common sense, that's what you were elected for. You know, it's one thing to be informed, but you have to decide where you are going to put your assets and where you are going to fight. Anybody can fight in a circle, it leads no where. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Morgan, I just
wanted to say this council is about
solutions and if you do have any solutions
to the structural deficit --

MR. MORGAN: I just gave you one.

MS. EVANS: -- we'll listen and try to work with you, but when you state you would cut 10 percent from the budget --

MR. MORGAN: I would cut 10 percent.

MS. EVANS: -- that would be approximately 7.7 --

MR. MORGAN: Seven million dollars.

MS. EVANS: -- dollars --

MR. MORGAN: Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: -- and yet I know that I think perhaps last week you were opposed to cutting positions and you were looking toward creating new programs. I don't see how --

MR. MORGAN: No, I'm against -- let me be quite clear here. I think that if departments aren't functioning the way you think personally, in my opinion, you can't have the district attorney and people running out talking about gang activity when you are going to go down to Weston Field and just roll rough shot over parks and recreation. I think that really what we need to do is decide where we are going to

put our assets and decide how we are going to direct and restructure our government. It's an absolute fact that we can cut ten million -- we can cut more than ten million dollars out of this budget, but you know what it takes? You have to break that budget down and know where you are going, okay?

Now, we listen to all of this, I don't know, grandstanding about the money from the golf course and in the end it never got into a trust it was spent by this council, so you know what --

MS. EVANS: No, it wasn't spent by this council.

MR. MORGAN: Well, not this council, but by council itself and by this administration and the truth of the matter the money was interjected into the budgets and it never should have happened, so you know what, when we are going to talk about things let's speak in reality.

MS. EVANS: Exactly, so what I'm asking you to do though because, as I said, we are about solutions, when you have

specific solutions we would be very anxious to entertain those.

MR. MORGAN: I have no problem with that, but you know what, my whole theory here is this, this council has come forward and talked about saving \$700,000 when in truth you can cut the next budget by 10 percent and I think that's in the best interest of the citizens of this city because I think you need to realize that we don't all make \$80,0000, Mrs. Evans. There are people here living on \$600 a month and if we spin ourselves into litigation how does that help them?

We need to look at the blight in this city, the amount of empty apartment houses because people don't live here because of the wage tax, we have a lot of problems and the last thing we need is council fighting with the mayor, going in spending tons of money on litigation. We saw what happened with the fire and police, tat hasn't lead us anywhere.

Now, if council decides to cut the mayor's next budget by 10 percent you can do

that by law. That's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, President of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association. Good evening, Madame President, members of the council. Since the mayor took office he has received almost between 35 and 40 million dollars in Community Development Block Grant funds and he has compromised the neighborhoods. Our neighborhoods have gone to pot. There is no doubt about it. He has spent a lot -- all of this money on revitalization of downtown and his commercial loans while just neglecting the neighborhoods.

Now, these commercial loans he claims he's got thousands of jobs and,
Mr. Rogan, you should look into that. You should go over to OECD and ask them to pull out the records and see where these jobs are because I think what he is doing a lot of hyperbole and he is going around from the state government for the lieutenant government to governor, to the secretary, to the senator and actually I think the posse

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is after him and he's running, so I'm a little worried about the fact.

So what I would like to do, I'm concerned about the neighborhoods, about the people in the neighborhoods, about the people who have to get up every day and if they have a job to get their lunch pail and they have to get to that bus and have to go to work, okay?

And you will see their homes being run down because they can't keep their homes You'll see their furnaces breaking down, they can't keep their furnaces fixed. It's just a terrible situation, and what I'd like to do with -- I'm inviting you to come on a tour with the Taxpayers' Association officers in April, at our expense, we'll tour the neighborhoods in the City of Scranton so you can get a good luck at these neighborhoods and how the mayor has compromised these neighborhoods for what he says is economic development, and economic development doesn't exist in the City of Scranton. And I'd appreciate it if sometime in April you'll set a date that you can

count out for two or three hours, we'll tour the city, look at the neighborhoods and just see what can be done in the next CDBG application. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Witnoski.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Quinn, I would love to take you up on that offer. We could definitely talk after the meeting about that.

> MR. WITNOSKI: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. WITNOSKI: My name is Jack Witnoski, long lifetime resident here in Scranton. When I was little kid there wasn't too many choices like they are today on TV or what to watch, but one of my favorite programs I remember was called the Untouchables. These were good guys. real easy to see that from the first episode, but I was still a little confused by why were they called by that name until a little later on I realized that this group no matter how many times they were approached with bribes, I mean, good bribes.

A lot of money, jobs, good things, and when that didn't work they were threatened, and they were threatened with their lives, their family's lives and even though this happened they couldn't be scared off and they couldn't be bought. Mr. Hughes,
Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Joyce, Mr. Rogan and Mrs.
Evans, you are the good guys. Thank God and thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Jerry Chazan.

MR. CHAZAN: I apologize for being here, I didn't know you had a time limit.

Last week was my first one. I just want to finish a few thoughts.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Please address council though rather than the audience.

MR. CHAZAN: Sorry. A couple of answers to some of the problems that we had. One is let's use arbitration rather than through the lawyers or through the judges. If you are going for binding arbitration it's finished, it's done with, you don't necessarily have to have this present venue, you can go out and get the American

Management Association and they will be more than happy to give you people that you can pick from and whoever is on the other side can pick from them as well and it will save a lot of money all of the way around.

Another way to save money is by using volunteerism. There is lot of people that are retired that would probably love to work with kids. I understand part of the deficits that -- part of the people that we laid off were parks people and toward the recreation department. If we could take some of these people that are retired that love kids and take three, four, five per park, number one, we'd have parks open; and, number two, we could cut down on lot of the garbage that's around there. Anybody that lives in the city that's either fireman or a policeman, and that should be mandatory they live in the city should --

MS. EVANS: It is.

MR. CHAZAN: Excuse, it is

mandatory?

MR. EVANS: Yes, it is.

MR. CHAZAN: Well, then they need to

work 24 hours, 24/7 because if they are all over the place we wouldn't have as much of the stuff that we do have going on because on the block that I happen to own four apartments there is a policeman, but things still happen and it really shouldn't.

The last five days I received three calls from various people about apartments.

Now, two of them were for a sister and a brother from Puerto Rico coming into Scranton. Now, that really peaked my interest to be quite honest with you is why someone from Scranton should be asking their brothers or sisters to come in here when there aren't enough jobs by itself.

Now, I would like the council, first I thought an ad was appropriate, but then it's not. All you guys got to do is pass a resolution and the Times has to basically publish it. The resolution would say something like this: We do not have enough local jobs, let alone extra jobs for others not presently here. Our own people are struggling to make ends meet. Please do not come here unless you have jobs waiting for

you. We are no longer the open Scranton that we were. And there is nothing illegal about saying that. We are a distressed city. We don't want people coming from all over and asking for freebies.

One of the things that I'd like to get off my chest, I looked at what the word justice and fairness is according to Webster's dictionary and in the city I found that very hard to correlate with what Webster's said. Webster's says justice and fairness are being righteous, being fair, being truthfulness, reward or punishment as deserved, impartiality, even handedness as it ought to be, the use of an authority to uphold what is just, and then the last four words really got to me, it's poetic, unblemished and clean, attractive and beautiful.

Do these words describe our laws or the intent of our laws in the city? Do these words describe our region as it's being governed? And I understand you guys can only do so much. I think it's time to take back the sense of justice, truthfulness

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and common sense. Let us protect the victims, not the perpetrators. Let us make Scranton a better place to life even if it means cutting down to 50,000 people rather than the 75 or 85, but whoever will be here will have a better place to live.

Starting today, right now, let's make politicians care more about their responsibilities than enriching their own pockets. Let us again become a government of the taxpayer, for the taxpayer, and by the taxpayers.

Urine tests are required for many, many jobs in the public field as well as some in state government. What I would like to know is why the Scranton Housing Authority's apartments are not subjecting their tenants, quote/unquote, to drug testing. Now, if these people fail the drug testing then it should be publicized so that apartment owners like myself are forewarned who we are taking in as tenants. use --

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. CHAZAN: -- or selling is a

criminal offense and it's destroying this city. It is a cancer. Lackawanna College needs to be investigated. This is a disgrace and it's right across from a public library.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, sir.

MR. CHAZAN: Okay.

MS. EVANS: You can come back next week and continue. Thank you. Sir? Jerry? Could you come back please and provide your name to Mrs. Magnotta.

MR. CHAZAN: Jerry Chazan,

C-H-A-Z-A-N. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council,
Dave Dobrzyn. Member of the taxpayers,
resident of the Scranton, so forth. I would
like to question also on this deal at Nay
Aug if that could at all be rescinded in the
future. I feel that too many of these
public buildings are being -- plans are
being -- wrestling them away from the public
and it's not right. We spent a lot of money
up there and basically I could say I support

green space in the town, so, you know, I could give the mayor a pass on that, but if we are going to pass it out to private concerns and let people walk off with it then that's not right, so anything you people can do on that deal with the zoo, if anybody thinks that we don't see through the fact that people connected with Lackawanna Junior College started to complain about the zoo and all of a sudden we have use for it and so forth, I mean, it doesn't make take the Pink Panther to figure that out.

Also, I would like to mention

Mr. Murphy, Representative Murphy on ECTV

with the hearings, if it's aired at all

please watch out for it and offer your

support on that issue because it's a very

important issue, like we said before, we

have one town that collects taxes in this

way and if somebody gets stuck with \$2,300

over a 100 remaining bill how are they going

to pay their taxes next year. You know,

we're actually shooting ourselves in the

foot, so lucky we don't all have rights to

gun ownership. I mean, you know.

It's senseless to have people be charged this.

And I would like to announce finally that after six years NCC had the decency to get a black mark off of my record when they had mailed to the wrong address for two years they -- so I finally have a AAA credit rating instead --

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. DOBRZYN: -- after six years, so
I'll let the rest go for tonight, I know you
have that meeting and catch you next week.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

Is there anything else who wishes to address council?

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini,

Scranton. I only have one thing to say and
it will be very brief, I have it on higher
authority and, Roger, you can quote me on
this, our mayor will not be running for Pope
this year. Thank you very much.

MS. STULGIS: I'm Ann Marie Stulgis and I'm a resident of the city. I'd love to be able to watch council meetings at home, however, I don't have Comcast and like a lot

of other residents ECTV has failed to provide the stream with computers as they had originally promised, so anyone with an antenna or dish doesn't get to see this and that's most unfortunate, and I do understand that one of the primary reasons they were chosen was because they promised to have the computer streaming which, of course, they don't have.

Also, I don't know if you are aware of it, and I'm sure our illustrious police chief will deny it in the paper, but are you aware that less than two weeks ago there was 13 police patrol cars out of service at the same time? Thirteen cars out of service and they wonder why we have crime.

In the interest of time I'm just going to touch on a few things, but primarily I think it's important for people to realize that we are over \$300 million in debt and our debt service including the TANS is \$23 million. That's just shy of the total of what it cost to run the police and fire departments combined. That's for paying debt service. We could have the

twice the size of the fire department and the twice the size of the police department if we don't have this ridiculous debt service and it's an embarrassment and it's a shame and it's certainly unfair to the taxpayers what's been done it them.

We have been in distressed status for 18 years and PEL has absolutely no intention of ever taking us out. They have let this mayor in eight years multiply the debt over and over and over again while they sat by and collected terrific salaries on the back of all of the state taxpayers because they are paid by state tax money, so we're paying them to keep us distressed so the mayor can continue to beat the devil out of us with more and more taxes and more 25 percent tax increases and it's absolutely ridiculous.

As for the elimination of jobs, and I'll only say a little bit of what I had prepared to say, those are jobs that the city for the most part got along with just fine and dandy since time and eternity.

They aren't necessary positions, they aren't

positions that anybody is going to suffer from the loss of. They were created specifically to take care of people and that's the bottom line.

As for the mayor suing you, may I say that binding arbitration doesn't work because the police and firefighters did go to binding arbitration which is and unappealable to get a contract in 2003. They still don't have that contract, so you can see how quick binding arbitration is in the Court system, it simply doesn't work.

Now, as for the salary cuts, we have heard a lot of whining and reasons why the salaries shouldn't be cut, and I like the mayor's reasoning most especially because he says if you cut these salaries you will put the people -- the safety of the citizens of the City of Scranton in jeopardy. I question how cutting Stu Renda's salary is going to put anybody in jeopardy. I question how cutting the salary of the police and fire chief is going to put anybody in jeopardy to put anybody in jeopardy and, in fact, the mayor himself has proved that isn't a fact because

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years ago when the city was cutting police and firefighters and many of us stood up here and said, "Don't do it, crime will rise, you will have more problems with crime and there are gangs in the city and there are drug problems in the city," and the mayor and the police chief came forward and said, "Absolutely not. There are no gangs. There are no crime. This is a safe city."

So we were a safe city back then and then the police chief got a great big, fat pay raise and what happened? Our crime went up 19 percent. So perhaps if we cut the chief's salary back to where it was crime will go away. Thank you.

MS. HUMPHRIES: City council, Cardinals and priests, the City of Scranton, and the Mulberry Tower, God bless you's and may the peace of Jesus Christ be with you through the righteousness that we deserve in the City of Scranton and in our apartment complexes.

I'm on another side of the landlord deal here, everybody is getting their papers, I got my papers. I will not be

1

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intimidated by anyone. Anyone. When there was corruption, when you are being ran out for your faith and your religious beliefs, in the commandments there is a -- the ten commandments, five is honor of a God, five is honor of a man. Slander to me is when you lie. You lie. It's considered slander. I will not be intimidated by saying I slandered, I brought bugs into the place, I slandered Mulberry Tower.

What has happened here is people aren't getting their money. People are getting rich and this is how it works, okay, here it goes. You get the court fed bugs and you put them in the person's apartment and they start piling up. You ask them to come in, it takes three months, but you are also allergic to the poisonous, I'm an environmentalist. Then you get plastic covers are coming. Yeah, buy our plastic bed covers, 69 to \$125, Boscov's got them for 25. Then they start providing the mattresses for you, they throw out your mattresses, they check to see what you got, if you got if from the Salvation Army or any

other place before you even go inside of the buildings or and then when they start selling mattresses. Yeah. The landlords are selling mattresses. Then when the bugs come in the mattress, guess what? They put elderly women out on the street and men, which is dangerous with shunts in their head that are disintegrated not knowing they have relatives from way, way back from the police and the detective department. Isn't that interesting. Isn't that interesting.

I was told when I spoke at this public forum, one of the persons, I won't say her name, and she ran underneath the table and hid herself. Well, guess what? I will not hide myself, I will go to Court, and this is where also it goes. I want this to be checked.

I brought documents, pictures and all, I am a smart cookie and a hillbilly.

Yes. Our government is in a financial disaster. We do have to cut corners. Well, where does it come to landlords that are ripping off these people then pulling them in the streets. I have been evicted but

when it came to the eviction I went and I spoke in front of Mrs. Farrell. I said I would go in any own accord. My life, my mental, physical and psychological health is in danger.

Here is a fork. This isn't delusional. Mayor Connor -- or Mayor Doherty I spoke to him, he does this listen I have to say. I am doing a split between the Republicans and the Democrats. Where you want to cut corners, this is what they have done. They have broke numerous -- almost every week to make me look like paranoid schizophrenic. Bent these. Now, I'm walking down the street, I have a stopper and I come out getting a telephone copying card and in the process there is another one.

So I went to Abe's, he is wonderful man, and I went to his restaurant there, and in the process he had the same silverware.

In the knew -- in the Jewish religion if something is desecrated it is not broughten back into a restaurant or Kosher, so I thought what I would do is we'll put --

1 where can we put these? MS. EVANS: You know, just leave 2 3 them on the desk there. MS. HUMPHRIES: I would like these 4 to be put here. Some of the -- a lot of the 5 police are wonderful, the firemen, because 6 7 of what I am entailing to do very soon I 8 said I would go to the United Nations. 9 People have blocked me from breaking and 10 damaging cars, destroying -- right now I 11 have a window in a Jeep it's in honor of the 12 fire department in New York City. 13 MS. EVANS: Yes. 14 MS. HUMPHRIES: And I don't know if 15 it's going go or what, but I will tell you, 16 I will help on a bus Gus and I will speak. 17 I want to --18 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Phyllis. 19 MS. HUMPHRIES: -- thank you, Mr. 20 Jack Loscombe, sounds French. I want to 21 thank you and every one of yous. We have 22 the good, the bad and the ugly, I am so 23 proud to see this here. 24 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Phyllis. 25 MS. HUMPHRIES: And I have one more

thing before the thank you. Ashes is on Wednesday and I am leaving this here. I know this is city business, but this is city business because we want to be God's business.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Next.

MS. HUMPHRIES: I wish you expose this, and this and this, and please come to my home to see the truth because the truth will set us all free.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. HUMPHRIES: God bless you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Next.

The mayor stated last week that in order for the council to open the budget it had to have an emergency. Well, I don't know who in their right mind would think that the mayor would allow this council, one that's opposed to taking the mayor's marching orders, that he would allow you to go into his office and open his budget and examine it to make the necessary cuts in his political base. It's not going to happen, so I don't know what it's going to take for

the mayor to realize that we are where we are and in a financial mess, but by the time he realizes it the ship is going to be at the bottom of the see.

I'll give you a couple of examples of why we are in a financial mess. There is a six million dollar annual infrastructure deficit. We are in 360 million long-term debt, 24 percent poverty level, okay? There is \$1.6 million from the tax office that the mayor put in the budget but we didn't get that money yet, so how does he put the money in a budget and how does he plan on spending that money that we don't have? I mean, I don't know where that's going to come from. Putting money in the budget for the tax office 1.6 million that we never even got yet.

There is low revenue come into this city, lots of foreclosures, a lot of unemployment, 18 years in distressed city status. I just don't get it. PEL is keeping on begging to raise the taxes around here. The mayor already indulged them in 2007 and gave the city a 25 percent tax

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

increase. I don't know what could be worse.

The mayor would rather bite off his nose

despite his face than let you guys open the

budget. I just don't get it.

But I guess his grandiose visions and his state senator campaigning I guess he can't see the financial mess that we are in. He is too busy campaigning, he should stay in the city and pay attention to the bills.

The city, the taxpayers, they saw the emergency, they declared a state of emergency on November 3 when they went out and voted. They voted the supermajority in to take over the city and put it back in good financial straights and to override the mayor's vetoes and to stand up for the people and do what's right for the people. The people spoke. That should be loud and clear, that's why you are there. You are finally here after eight years of the abuse we have taken. We finally have someone to care for the people and I hope you stand your ground.

Mrs. Evans, I know you have taken a lot of flack about going against the

23

24

25

administration and being in favor of all of the unions, well, that's not true and the reason I say that is because not that you are against the unions, but not that you are for them either. Your hands are tied. unions are under contract. You can't make cuts as far as the unions, so I hope the people are aware of that, not that you wouldn't if there was a place to do it, but your hands are tied, so it's not like you are just favoring one over the other, it's not true. And even with the cuts that you made, my own family was affected by the cuts that you made, but that's fine. I mean, certain people are just going to have to take it, it's going to affect me even though people won't understand that, but I know it, but you are doing the right thing, so I'm not going to sit here and complain about it. I can't because we all have to tighten our belts and we have to trust you to know what you're doing.

That's why we elected you. We finally have a chance to get back to where -- it's going to take time, but not don't

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

give in. Just don't give in and we appreciate all you are doing. It's about time. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, please. Sorry for being tardy, I had another engagement to attend prior to the meeting. Just one very brief comment, there has been things said about the Nay Aug Zoo and/or what was the Nay Aug Zoo, the building and it's lease to Lackawanna College, I think it should also be mentioned that Lackawanna College has agreed to make I think it's over \$1 million in improvements in the building the parking lots around the building. They are also responsible for the yearly maintenance and operational costs of the building and when it is completed it will be open to the public as an educational venue, so I think that there are is benefit to the lease of

the building to the school and benefit to the city and to the general public. And that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.

Mr. Rogan, do you have any comments or

motions at this time?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Last week, I received January's report from the Office of Economic and Community Development and I would like to provide my colleagues and the public at home with some of the highlights from the report. The homebuyer's program from the month of January four applications were received, three were approved and two were closed.

Lackawanna Neighbors, the HOME
Program, Lackawanna Neighbors, Incorporated,
purchased 208 Pittston Avenue on November 6,
2009. This home is being funded with an
HOME grant in order to continue the
rehabilitation of homes in the South
Scranton revitalization area. The lead
paint testing was performed on November 2009
and the bid notice was advertised also in
November of 2009. The pre bid conference

was held in December with five contractors attending. The bid was opening was held on December 16, 2009, with four contractors submitting bids. After completions of renovations, this home will be sold to an eligible participant in the City of Scranton Homebuyer's program.

Homeless Prevention and Rapid
Rehousing Program. The Homeless Prevention
and Rapid Rehousing Program agreement
between the United States Department of
Housing and Urban development, HUD, and the
City of Scranton was executed on September
2, 2009, in the amount of \$1,401,868. This
funding is part of the American Recovery and
Reinvestment Act of 2009.

The Community Development Block
Grants. The Community Development Grant
Recovery between the US Department of
Housing and Urban Development and the City
of Scranton was executed on September 1,
2009, in the amount of \$916,485. The
funding is part of the American Recovery and
Reinvestment Act of 2009.

The legal ad for CDBG-R paving was

advertised in the Scranton Times on
September 14 and September 15, 2009. A pre
bid conference was held on September 21,
2009, at OECD and the bid openings was held
in city council chambers on September 29,
2009. The bids were reviewed by OECD
solicitor for accuracy and compliance. A
contract was executed on November 18, 2009,
with Locust Ridge Construction. Due to the
time of year it was necessary to delay
payment until the Spring of 2010, so we will
be looking forward to seeing more roads in
the neighborhoods paved.

The Castle Deli, LCC was provided with \$25,000 of CDBG-R funding. The closing took place on December 23, 2009.

And Lackawanna Neighbors are a sub recipient for the NSP funding purchased their first foreclosed property at 718 Cedar Avenue on January 20, 2010. The environmental and SHEO clearance application were sent to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and received clearances on January 27, 2010. The lead paint testing was performed on January 25 and

rehabilitation specifics will be prepared very shortly. Rehabilitation work will begin once the begin notice is advertised and the pre bid conference is held. The house is already gutted and needs everything.

Additionally, environmentally friendly building materials and energy conservation and official products will be used for this rehabilitation.

Hyde Park senior baseball parking
lot has been paved and water retention has
been solved. Also, wall hanging units were
installed on the South Scranton Little
League to prevent pipes from freezing and an
eight-foot gate was installed to let the
mowing tractor enter the field.

In other business, Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to the Licensing Department asking them what the current process is it for landlords to come to city hall to pay the rental registration fee?

And that is all I have for today.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

And, Mr. Loscombe, do you have any motions

or comments?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Mrs. Evans. Thank you. First thing, we will be introducing legislation this evening accepting the donation of five AED's or automatic external defibrillators. those who don't know what that is, that's the heart thing with the paddles to give you a shock if you have a heart attack, okay? These were donated to the Scranton Fire Department by Sanofi-Pasteur and this donation was possible through one of the firefighter's connections with an employee of Sanofi-Pasteur and the agreement by the city to provide any necessary repairs that the units might incur through their use.

I would hope that the city would also work with the firefighters in an effort to enhance the public safety of our residents by coming to some sort of agreement to allow COM Center to dispatch the closest available unit in the event of a cardiac incident. Again, I would like to thank the firefighters for taking the initiative on this and if it's okay with the

council I would like to ask Mrs. Krake if she can send a letter to Sanofi-Pasteur on our behalf --

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: -- thanking them for their generous donations.

Next is budget cuts. Pretty much I'll repeat what I said last week regarding the budget cuts. Again, this is -- it's a hard decision for us. It's probably one of the hardest decisions when we have to perform as councilmen to cut anybody's job or salaries. I do know and admire many of the personnel that will be affected by the decisions, also. And contrary to what the printed media would have you believe, we are not out to hurt anyone. Our decisions are not personal or politically motivated.

In my interview for my appointment I made a commitment to open a budget if there was an opportunity for additional cost savings. I believe that a cost savings is possible and necessary in these economic times. If city council does not start tightening the budget belt immediately, we

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are only going to prolong the inevitable. There is little that we can do today but look at the areas that have increased in cost and personnel over the last eight years. It was mentioned tonight about the Moses Taylor Hospital. The state government and the county government in our own backyard here have taken measurements that have made tough decisions to bring financial stability to their respective governments and it's time for the city through city council with or without the mayor's cooperation at this point to attempt to bring financial stability to Scranton and this is, once again, our first step toward recovery.

Next, I just have a couple of questions for Mr. McGoff, I wasn't on council prior to this here, but you had asked a few questions last week and it got peaking my interest in a few things here.

Since the time you are appointed to city council you voted in favor of the 2007, '8, '9 and '10 budgets. The mayor's 2007 budget cut department director's salaries by 5

1 percent, but also awarded raises to nonunion 2 employees. I'm sorry, in the mayor's 2008 3 budget he not only restored those raises, but he also awarded raises to the nonunion 4 5 employees in middle management whose salaries had not been decreased. 6 7 have a few questions related to these 8 budgets. 9 In the 2007 budget the mayor had six 10 SIT clerks and deleted six cops ahead from 11 the police department. Can you justify 12 those additions and deletions, please, and 13 what are the duties and responsibilities and 14 the SIT clerks and members of the Cops Ahead Program. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sure I was here for 17 that budget. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: For 2008, seven and 19 eight. MS. EVANS: 20 Uh-hum. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Was I? At this point 22 in time, I don't remember. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Do you know how many 24 SIT clerks the city current employees? 25 MR. MCGOFF: I do not.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2007 budget the mayor eliminated the real estate coordinator and the KOZ coordinator loan assistant from OECD. How are the OECD employees able to absorb the duties and responsibilities of those eliminated positions?

MR. MCGOFF: I have no idea.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2007 budget the mayor deleted the BOCA review enforcement officer. How are the duties and responsibilities of this position absorbed by the Department of Licensing, Inspection and permits?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2007 budget, the contingency fund was increased from \$395,361 to \$504,634 for a total increase of \$109,272. This is the contingency fund. Do you know where the additional funds came from and for what purpose it was used?

MR. MCGOFF: That's three years ago, I don't know.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget the mayor increased the salary of his

confidential secretary from \$29,000 to \$32,000 while at the same time the position of clerical administrative assistant paid only \$23,946. Do you know what formula was used in the justification for this salary increase?

MR. MCGOFF: I would guess that it was to put it into some congruence with union salaries, but I again, you are asking me something that happened --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just have a few more here.

MR. MCGOFF: -- two years ago. I think two years ago, aren't we?

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget the mayor increased the salaries of the business administrator from \$80,750 to \$85,000; the finance manager from \$40,000 to \$44,000; the senior accountant from \$34,000 to \$37,400; and the financial analyst from \$34,000 to \$35,0000. What was the basis used to determine their raises and the justification for each and do you know what the duties and responsibilities are for each of those positions?

MR. MCGOFF: Business administrator, 1 What were the other two? 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: The finance manager 3 and the senior accountant? 4 MR. MCGOFF: They assist the 5 business administrator. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: The financial 8 analyst? 9 MR. MCGOFF: They assist the business administrator. 10 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget the mayor increased the salaries of the 12 13 human resources director from \$47,500 to 14 \$50,000 and the confidential secretary from \$29,000 to \$32,000. Is it the same basis 15 16 used for these raises or what was the 17 justification? 18 MR. MCGOFF: I don't know why that 19 amount was chosen, but they had not received 20 any raises in the past. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget 22 the salaries of the information technology 23 manager increased from \$47,500 to \$55,000. 24 The computer support specialist increased 25 from \$35,000 to \$40,000 and the network

systems manager increased from \$40,000 to \$45,000, all of which are jobs created by the mayor. What was the basis used to determine these raises and what duties increased the merit of these raises?

MR. MCGOFF: Again, you are asking something that took place, you know, two years ago.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget the mayor eliminated the accounts receivable clerk in the Treasurer's Office. This position was created by PEL in the Recovery Plan. Why was this position --

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know.

MR. LOSCOMBE: At the same time the mayor increased the salary of the Treasurer from 40 to 48,0000 dollars and the same base -- what was the same basis to determine the raise on that one?

MR. MCGOFF: I imagine that they had not received a raise or that position had not received a raise in a number of years.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And why was the clerk eliminated and who absorbed those duties?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm not sure.

MR LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget the mayor eliminated the positions of housing rehab management supervisor of ADA, one program manager, and one labor standard officer construction coordinator, ADA compliance. Do you know why these positions were eliminated?

MR. MCGOFF: No, I do not.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And at the same time he added a director of community planning and development, a director of housing and ADAA compliance and an environmental project coordinator. Do you know what the duties of the environment project coordinator are?

MR. MCGOFF: At this time, I don't.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget the salaries of the city solicitor increased from \$66,500 to \$70,000. One assistant city solicitor increased from \$32,900 to \$36,900; and the confidential secretary increased from \$29,000 to \$32,000. Same question, what was the justification for these raises?

MR. MCGOFF: Probably additional duties.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget

the mayor increased the salary of the DPW director from \$61,750 to \$65,000. At the same time the mayor added a position of city engineer at a salary of \$50,000. Since the DPW director did not fill the two positions of director and city engineer like it's predecessor, Mr. George Parker, what was the basis to determine this raise and why was this salary never decreased in correlation to the decreased duties and responsibilities? And the mayor also added an administrative assistant to this department at a salary of \$23,946.

In the 2008 budget the mayor increased the salaries of DPW highway foreman, the refuse foreman, the traffic maintenance foreman and the recycling foreman from \$36,200 to \$39,000. At the same time he increased the salary of the fleet manager to \$37,000 to \$39,000. How did the duties and responsibilities of each of these positions increase to merit a pay hike?

MR. MCGOFF: You are asking me asking something that happened two years ago

and at this point in time I don't have an answer for you. I will say that some -- the raises that were given were to -- many of them were to positions that had not received raises in a period of time and it was deemed that they were -- that that should be done.

MR. LOSCOMBE: A lot of these were newly created positions only a year or two old, too, so let's see here. In the Department of Parks and Recreation the mayor increased the salaries of the following position: Director from \$47,500 to \$50,000. Parks and recreation specialist from \$35,000 to \$38,000. Recreation specialist from \$35,000 to \$38,000 and a program manager from 35 to 38,000. The last three were job creations under this mayor and what was the basis used to determine the increases for these job creation?

MR. MCGOFF: Again, you are asking me something that happened two years ago and I don't have that knowledge at this time.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the 2008 budget the mayor increased the line item entitled "Other salary, miscellaneous in the parks

and recreation department" from \$372,025 to \$400,300 for a total increase of \$28,274.

Could you explain that line item and the funding increase or some reason.

MR. MCGOFF: You can continue to ask about the 2008 budget, you are going to get the same response. If you want me to go back and examine the 2008 budget and inquire as to why these things were done I'll do that for you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay. Well, in consideration of time constraints I'll refrain from questioning the 2009 and 2010 budgets, Mr. McGoff's knowledge of these budgets may likely mirror his previous responses.

It seems evident that no particular formula appears to have been used to determine raises and that all of the salary increases I question tonight apply solely to management positions. Throughout the last eight years, it is only management that has been benefited from job creations and salary increases.

Further, many management and cabinet

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

positions over the last eight years have been filled by individuals who lack appropriate credentials and/or professional experience in their fields. Consequently, many raises and job creations cannot be justified in a satisfactory manner. Unfortunately, the newspaper has failed to report these issues for whatever it's reason with the exception of the fiasco involving the creation of the assistant zoning officer position and the mayor's appointment of an unqualified applicant to that position. This incident should have served as a red flag to the newspaper and additional investigation into other positions was However, the newspaper chose to merited. drop the ball. And that is all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Mr. Joyce, do you have any motions or

comments?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Over the past week
I have received various types of feedback
from the public regarding the proposed
budget cuts. Much of the feedback that I
received was positive, however, there were

those who questioned whether or not I know the strain these proposed cuts may cause on some of the folks who had reductions in pay or some of the folks who had their jobs eliminated.

To answer that question that some of my critics may have, I do realize the strain that is caused by cuts, however, these cuts are what are in the best interest of the city in order to prevent Scranton from incurring more debt.

To share my own personal story, I myself received an approximate \$7,000 reduction in pay in 2009 in comparison to my salary from 2008. The company I worked for decided to make pay cuts in order to respond to a debt that was incurred as the company was losing money during recent economic struggles.

In addition to the \$7,000 salary reduction, I was also required to pay more for my health insurance which resulted in an additional cost of approximately \$1,000 per year. In addition to that, the company I worked for also removed the company match on

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our 401-K plan.

Overall, when factoring everything in I myself received a reduction in salary and benefits of over \$10,000 which is a figure that is I would say more on the conservative side. Therefore, I do understand the strain that salary reductions and cuts cause.

Also, I am not in a union and never have been. The company that I work for in this company there were no reductions made to union employees for the simple fact that they can't since they are under union contract. Though some would like to argue you that budget is being created with union favoritism in mind they are incorrect. regard to the budget, being in the union has it's benefits, but sometimes being in management does, too. Union positions are under contract, as I said before, and generally receive a set pay increase on the timely basis expect in the case of the Scranton fire and police department, of course, who did not see a pay increase for a seven-year period.

In addition, union salaries cannot be touched in light of cost savings initiatives. Being in management as opposed being in a union has it's benefits, too.

One may receive greater pay increases when there is financial times. A typical example of this may be the 61 percent pay increase that the business administrator received in 2000 and the additional 12 percent pay increase that this position received in 2002.

Was there some strain that was placed on me for my own pay cut? Yes. But you know what, at the end of the day I see why it was done and I am happy to be gainfully employed. Companies must earn a profit to please their shareholders to ensure that they are not losing money by lowering the value of their investment just as we as a city must protect taxpayers by not incurring more debt and placing ourselves in a bigger hole than we are already in. The larger our debt grows translates into the harder it is to provide any type of relief to the taxpayer. It is

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

already unfortunate enough that many people avoid moving to Scranton due to lower taxes in neighboring communities and that many people move out of Scranton due to lack of opportunity here. Taking all of this into account, not incurring my debt serves as pretty good emergency to me.

Though critics of this council decision in regard to the budget describe the cost savings that were taken as political, I do not see how they can do so when the same critics never referred to some of the management pay increases that were made in the past as political. Some of these examples include the 26.6 percent pay increase that the police chief received in 2008; the 26.6 percent pay increase that the fire chief received in 2008; the 20 percent pay increase that the city treasurer received in 2008; the 25 percent pay increase that the HR director received in 2003; the 19.2 percent pay increase that the city treasurer received in 2003; the 11.5 percent pay increase that the city solicitor received in 2002; and the 63.3 percent pay

increase that the DPW director received in 2002. Not to mention that all of these pay increases were given during a time when our structural deficit was growing.

In addition, the budget that was proposed uses a uniform system to generate the salaries of administrative department heads. How is that political? If any one was -- anyone here was interested in playing politics would any one of us actually vote to reduce the salary a person that we all voted to appoint, our own city clerk?

Also, what critics fail to mention is that under the system that was used some of the mayor's appointments received pay increases. Again, the same critics say -- this is political, fail to mention that in 2007 most administrative salaries were reduced by varying amounts, however, not all were reduced. For instance, in 2007 the city treasurer's salary along with the fire and police chief's salary were not reduced when all other department heads were reduced by 5 percent. I must ask, when some of the department heads saw a salary reduction in

the same year that others did not was that political? Was the administration playing favorites? Why was the same system not used for all department heads? The system proposed in counsel's budget does.

Along with this some may argue that the salaries of the police and fire chief are lower than in neighboring cities and other cities comparable in size to Scranton. Though this is true, the salaries of the police officers and firefighters are also lower. Under the new budget plan, the salaries of firefighters and police officers are proportional in regard to what an employee makes versus what the chief makes.

To further explain this, the base salary of an entry level firefighter now in town is roughly about 77 percent of the chief's salary. Under the new proposed budget, the base salary of an entry level firefighter would be roughly about 76 or 77 percent of the base salary of the chief as well.

It is unfortunate that the mayor wishes to sue council and waste taxpayer

dollars if this budget is passed. It appears that -- - you know, it appears as if the mayor is simply trying to take the proposed budget to Court for the simple fact that he doesn't agree with it. He must realize that our votes count and that those who may vote for this budget are integral part of city government as well. The attempt to sue council would be a blatant disregard for the taxpayer money. This is especially true considering that we already paid millions of dollars a year towards debt services and have a structural deficit of roughly \$6 million. Wasting taxpayer money

In contrast, we should all consider working together to focus our attention on generating more revenue for the city such as by generating more revenue from nonprofits along with being behind in salaries, we are also behind in PILOT contributions as the City of Erie receives nearly \$1 million from PILOTS as compared to our approximate amount of \$120,000 on annual basis.

is something that we simply cannot afford.

In conclusion tonight, I would just

like to reiterate the fact that the cuts being made are in no way political in any nature. Through sharing my own personal story and explaining tonight how a uniform system was used to readjust salaries, I do not see how some critics can argue preventing a city from increasing a structural deficit is in no way, shape or form a destructive measure. All this budget is trying to do is prevent the city from following deeper into a hole of debt, a hole that has been growing deeper and deeper as time has progressed, and that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: If I may add, I'm sorry, I did receive a citizens' request right before the meeting. Before the meeting I was informed that there was a rather large pothole right in front of the fire station on Mulberry Street. Nancy, if you would be able to send a letter to the DPW asking them to review this and see if they could patch that up. Thanks, and that's all I have for tonight.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good

evening. First, I have received numerous complaints throughout the last four months regarding the audio quality of the ECTV, operator of Channels 19 and 21. Viewers report that they experience difficult hearing the Scranton City Council meetings. Some portions of the meeting are audible while others are not. Many viewers must turn volume to it's highest point in order to receive any sound. Mrs. Krake, please contact Mr. Migliori of ECTV by phone and letter and notify him that city council requests that he correct this ongoing problem as soon as possible if it is occurring on ECTV's end.

As reported in yesterday's edition of the Scranton Times, the mayor plans to take city council to Court over it's amendments to his 2010 budget. The mayor asserts that city council cannot amend his budget unless he declares an emergency to council. The mayor will have people believe there is no financial emergency in Scranton. This is a spectacular falsehood. There has

been a financial emergency for decades. The sirens of distressed status have been wailing since 1992. The mayor heard the sirens as a city councilman when he made cuts and closely scrutinized the budgets of Mayor Connors. However, he inserted some earplugs in 2002 when he became mayor, reversed course and drove the city debt up to over \$316 million in part by creating numerous nonessential jobs in city hall and city authorities and by awarding management raises in the name of political patronage.

Perhaps he did hear the sirens briefly in 2007, but addressed it by raising property taxes by 25 percent and the realty transfer tax rather than making necessary cuts. The council's budget amendments removed many of the aforesaid positions and many of those raises. As I stated last week, council's amendments to the mayor's budget are a first small step to addressing past and current financial emergencies, specifically, the \$6 million annual structural deficit as well as the mayor's 2010 unbalanced budget.

Regarding the mayor's 2010 budget, first, \$1.6 million from the tax office was not received by the city. Although, \$5.5 million was plugged into the 2009 budget for tax office revenue, this dollar amount was not provided by the tax office to the city. The \$1.6 million hole is not addressed either in the 2010 budget.

The budget allocations for the Single Tax Office are incorrect and underfunded by approximately \$50,000.

Mr. Renda provided no funding for tax office employees who must work overtime on Saturdays for their collective bargaining agreements. There is no funding allocated for the positions eliminated by the mayor in his 2010 budget, yet these same employees continue to work throughout the months of January and February. How has the administration funded these positions while including no dollar amount for them in the 2010 budget?

Regarding the ongoing \$6 million structural deficit, Article 9, Section 909 of the Home Rule Charter states that: The

mayor shall make recommendations to minimize the deficit and for that purpose council may reduce other appropriations. It appears that the mayor has violated the Home Rule Charter throughout his terms as mayor by failing to reduce the structural deficit of Scranton.

Also, it appears that the mayor has violated the city's Recovery Plan by failing to abide by set employee salaries and failing to aggressively seek payments in lieu of taxes from nonprofits among other things. Council is legally attempting to address the structural deficits in a modest way by eliminating unnecessary positions and reducing appropriations in the mayor's budget.

The Pennsylvania Economy League's solution to the structural deficit and this unbalanced budget, is to raise taxes. This council will exhaust all avenues before it considers any tax increase. While the mayor insists there is no financial emergency in Scranton and continues to ignore the ongoing structural deficit and threaten city council

with legal action for addressing that deficit, let us all be mindful of the facts that costly Court awards to the police and fire unions are pending and the mayor is not prepared to address them.

Also, as some speakers noted earlier this evening, 24 percent of Scrantonians live at the poverty level. Nearly 10 percent of Scrantonians are currently unemployed. Foreclosures are up, home sales are down. Consequently, the mayor's estimated tax revenues are most likely inflated and council has addressed this by reducing the revenues with savings realized from job and salary cuts in council's amendments.

If actual tax revenues exceed council's estimates by year's end, these monies will be used in the 2011 budget to further decrease the structural deficit in conjunction with the total savings realized from job elimination and salaries cuts.

Mr. McGoff, the mayor, and the editorial staff of the Scranton Times have posited that these budget amendments have

been made at the behest of the police and fire unions, which is another spectacular falsehood. I reiterate that seven positions in the fire department and one in the police department have not been restored. There were more cuts to the fire department than to any other in the mayor's budget. I am also eager to hear the mayor explain how a decrease in this salaries of the fire and police chiefs will lead to a decrease in public safety.

As reported in the Scranton Times prior to the announcement of the budget amendments, violent crime in Scranton has significantly increased by 19 percent despite the prior raise awarded to the police chief. I state your unequivocally that these budget amendments are based upon financial decisions and formulas, not politics, not personalities. The budget amendments do not create any positions and they provide for a balanced budget. The cuts will not affect vital daily services for the residents of Scranton. That is why council restored the positions to the Single

Tax Office and the traffic maintenance department. The Single Tax Office serves as one of the financial watchdogs of the city and the traffic maintenance department provides daily services to the public.

The mayor's feckless response to sound financial action is to take city council to Court which, in fact, is equivalent to taking the people of Scranton to Court who charged city council to do this work. However, this is not surprising since the mayor has a record of court cases and appeals to every circumstance that he cannot dictate. It is disgraceful that Mayor Doherty raised taxes by 25 percent, but refuses to cut government spending as has occurred in state and county government.

I hope the taxpayers of Senator

Mellow's district and the throughout

Pennsylvania are watching and listening to

this professional politician who has no

desire to cut government spending, no

empathy or compassion for the taxpayers, and

no realistic grasp on this current economy.

Even if the mayor successfully wages battle

against council's budget amendments he will lose the war over the 2011 budget which will eliminate positions and cut salary raises that violated his Recovery Plan. He will use the taxpayers dollars to fight city council for doing what the overwhelming majority of voters told us to do.

Further, it will be interesting to follow how the insurance company will cover the cost of the executive branch suing the legislative while it will simultaneously pay to defend the legislative branch. The city must defend council in any litigation creating yet another quagmire for the cunning skills of the mayor and his army of the attorneys.

Although the finance chair and I met with the business administrator over the weekend, to date the mayor has never contacted council regarding his concerns and rather chose to discuss them and threaten council in the newspaper. Final passage of the budget amendments will occur at next week's meeting providing the mayor with one last opportunity to communicate with council

directly. Perhaps, he could come to council chambers. We would welcome constructive comments from the sixth council person and we will have questions and constructive comments for him as well.

requests for the week. Residents report that potholes have opened in Boulevard Avenue from Parker Street to the Throop line. In addition, trucks traveling to and from the development of the culm dump on Boulevard Avenue are covering the road with dirt and debris and vehicles speed across the stretch of road between Throop and Scranton on a daily basis. Send letters to the DPW and the police department regarding these problems.

Residents request that a police officer when on duty in the area patrol and ticket the vehicles parked in the fire lane at the Keyser Avenue shopping center.

Children have darted into the parking lot between parked cars and into the path of drivers entering and exiting the shopping center. This type of illegal parking

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

creates a demonstrated safety hazard.

A letter to the legal department: Council requests copies of all lawsuits filed against any and all departments of Scranton City government, employees of Scranton City government, and the City of Scranton beginning January 1, 2002, and up to and including February 2010 and/or before March 9, 2010.

A letter to the DPW: Council requests a complete inventory of any and all equipment for the refuse, recycling and traffic maintenance department on or before March 9, 2010.

A letter to the business administrator: Council requests a copy of any and all vouchers and documentation of payment for all equipment purchases made by the Departments of Refuse, Recycling and Traffic Maintenance beginning January 1, 2006, and up to and including February 28, 2010. These copies are to be provided to council on or before March 9, 2010. that's it.

> MS. KRAKE: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -

1	A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE DONATION OF
2	FIVE (5) AUTOMATIC EXTERNAL DEFIBRILLATOR'S
3	("AED'S) FROM SANOFI PASTEUR PRESENTED TO
4	THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
5	MS. EVANS: At this time, I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
7	introduced into it's proper committee.
8	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
19	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 11,
20	2010 - AN ORDINANCE – AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
21	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
22	EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN EASEMENT
23	AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND
24	LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY FOR A
25	SECTION OF THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE

TRAIL SITUATE IN SOUTH SCRANTON. 1 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 2 3 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Chairman. I move 4 that Item 6-A pass reading by title. 5 MR. JOYCE: Second. 6 7 MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 12 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 13 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 14 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -15 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 12, 2010 - AN 16 17 ORDINANCE - (AS AMENDED) AMENDING FILE OF 18 THE COUNCIL NO. 97, 2009 AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED "APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR 19 THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE 20 PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF 21 22 JANUARY, 2010 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2010 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY 23 24 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2010". BY 25 MAKING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE NAMED ACCOUNTS AND

14

13

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LINE ITEMS AS SET FORTH ON THE "AMENDED GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2010", WHICH IS ATTACHMENT 1 HERETO, AS FOLLOWS: INCREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES AS SET FORTH ON PAGE ONE (1) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, DECREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES AS SET FORTH ON PAGE TWO (2) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, DECREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR NON DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES AS PER PAGE THREE (3) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, REINSTATING CERTAIN POSITIONS, CREATING CERTAIN POSITIONS AND INCREASING SALARIES OF CERTAIN POSITIONS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS SET FORTH ON PAGE FOUR (4) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, ELIMINATING CERTAIN POSITIONS AND DECREASING SALARIES OF CERTAIN POSITIONS AS SET FORTH ON PAGE FIVE (5) OF ATTACHMENT 1, THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET, REDUCING VARIOUS REVENUE ITEMS AS SET FORTH ON PAGE SIX (6) OF ATTACHMENT 1. THE AMENDED 2010 BUDGET THAT WILL REDUCE ESTIMATED REVENUES BY \$676,882 TO \$77,188,864 AND REDUCE

2

4

6

5

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES BY A NET \$676,882 TO \$77,188,864 IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN A BALANCED BUDGET.

MR. JOYCE: I move to amend 6-B as per the following adjustments in Attachment 1 to the amended 2010 budgets: Increasing appropriations for departmental expenditures, Page 1 of Attachment 1, Single Tax Office health insurance account in the amount of \$45,217 and the clerical union health insurance account in the amount of \$11,304. Decreasing appropriations for departmental expenditures, Page 2 of Attachment 1. nonunion health insurance in the amount of \$86,538 reinstating and creating and increasing certain positions, Page 4 of Attachment 1, eliminating standard salaries, city council account in the amount of \$30,520, and business administration, administrative assistant II, in the amount of \$26,538; increasing the various departments standard salary accounts for the first two months of the year, information technology \$6,667; OECD, \$22,577; licensing, inspections and permits, \$4,544; law

1	\$17,928; parks and recreation, \$20,999; DPW
2	highway, \$6,500; business administration,
3	\$5,833. And reducing various revenue items,
4	Page 6 of Attachment 1, in the amount of
5	\$24,604 and reducing estimated revenues by
6	\$701,487 to \$77,142,947 and expenditures by
7	a net \$701,487 to \$77,142,947.
8	MS. EVANS: A motion has been made
9	to amend 6-B, is there a second?
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
12	signify by saying aye?
13	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
14	MS. EVANS: On the question we can
15	go when we return to the original amendment.
16	All those in favor?
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
21	(Mr. McGoff did not give an audible
22	response for the vote.)
23	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
24	moved. I will now return to our original
25	piece of legislation. You have heard

Reading by Title of Item 6-B, as amended, what is your pleasure? Is there a council person who is going to move it?

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Chairman, I move that Item 6-B, as amended, pass Reading by Title.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? .

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I just wanted to inform Mr. McGoff of the motion -- or what I just brought up. As far as the motion is concerned, the amendments being made are to allocate funding for all positions that were eliminated into a specific salary counts to fund these positions for the first two months of the year.

In addition to this, under this motion adjustments were being made accordingly to the health insurance accounts to account for the additional costs associated with health insurance and the Single Tax Office, clerical union and nonunion insurance. Insurance rates were added and subtracted from the various insurance accounts that they affect. The

rate that was used for the nonunion positions was roughly \$11,500 per employee. This was the amount that was calculated after providing the total insurance costs by the original number of employees and the 2010 budget for each account.

Also, in addition to this, this motion is being made to reduce the amount of funding in the city council standard salary account due to the removal of the additional position that was originally put in in the amended 2010 budget.

In addition to this, in addition to the city clerk's office position removal, this motion also adjusted the standard salary of the BA's Office to remove the administrative assistant that was made in the original amended budget. Over the weekend, Mr. Renda and I discussed this position, he explained to me that the function of the assistant was primary to handle some of the Right-to-know requests and compose some letters and answer e-mails on occasion. Mr. Renda indicating that last year the person who filled this position

took another position in the Scranton School District and since it's been vacant Mr. Renda also, you know, he specified that he handles the Right-to-Know requests right now and he also writes his own letters and e-mails, and therefore, he doesn't think that this position was required in his department.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Just one question on the amendments, to the amendments, the reinstating standard salary DPW Bureau of Refuse, that was for?

MS. EVANS: Did you read that?

MR. ROGAN: We talked about that position earlier and I have been doing research into that position as well as others throughout the last week and I'm not done with all of my research yet, but I most likely will be making a motion next week to rein state that position.

MR. MCGOFF: So that wasn't read?

MS. EVANS: No, that not read this evening because no decision has been made.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Yes, I would like to on the question once again express my opposition to the budget changes that are being proposed. Once again, I stated that we do have a balanced budget that was passed in 2009 for 2010. It had no -- it did not increase debt, it did not increase taxes, and actually it reduced the budget from the year before and, therefore, I saw little reason for opening the budget and changing what was a balanced budget.

Also, right now there is questionable legality as to whether the budget can be opened by council without an emergency being declared which will now put if, in fact, this is passed next week it will put the City of Scranton back into the courts fighting one another in the courts over what I think -- what again, I believe is something that is totally unnecessary.

The justification for opening the budget was because our estimates, council's estimates were better than the business administrator's estimates. That doesn't -- to me that doesn't constitute any type of

emergency. We are playing with numbers, we are playing with estimates, and if Mr. Joyce was quoted properly by the newspaper he admitted that estimates are not perfect, but yet we will put the city into the courts because we feel that our estimates are better than theirs.

Also, we talked about financial or fiscal responsibility. The amended budget does nothing to save money for the taxpayer. There is no reduction in taxes. Again, it's -- it does nothing other than change estimates and reduce the number of jobs.

Also, when we talk about fiscal responsibility we are looking at the possibility of paying unemployment to over 90 weeks to the people who are dismissed. I don't know that we are prepared to do that or we are ready to do that. For those who don't know, the city is self-insured. Unemployment claims go directly to the city and under the Obama legislation dealing with unemployment, unemployment has been increased to 90 weeks and so anybody dismissed from the job is eligible for 90

weeks of unemployment that's going to be paid by the city.

Also, if I'm not mistaken, and I'll have to check on this, I believe anybody whose salaries are reduced by a significant amount are also eligible for a certain amount of unemployment compensation. We are also looking at the possibility if these jobs are eliminated there is already talk of wrongful termination suits against the city again placing the city in jeopardy, and again, as has been said so often in the past when we deal with these types of things we are going to make attorneys wealthy because there will be -- there will be Court cases.

And the effect of some of these -of losing some of these job, some of the
jobs that are being taken away are people
that contribute to the city. We have
already looked at and we have spoken about
the, you know, grants being written by the
recycling coordinator that have helped the
city. In the Parks and Recreation
Department, one of the persons that's being
removed is a person who has also provided

money for grants to the city. It just doesn't make sense to take these jobs away and put them in the hands of people who are inexperienced at doing what's -- doing those jobs.

We are also taking away positions from OECD. These are positions that have absolutely no effect on the budget and if these -- the jobs that were lost, the salaries that are lost, that money is not going to go to any other place other than HUD will reduce the amount of money that's given to the city because these positions have been eliminated.

I think that what we are doing here is unwise, it's irresponsible, I think it's usurping the authority of the mayor, I think it's putting the city in jeopardy of numerous lawsuits, and personally I see no justification for doing this at this time.

As was suggested by one of the speakers, there is, you know -- if these are things that we need to do there is a 2011 budget in which they can be done. Right now we have a balanced budget, it's a workable

budget and it should remain intact. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Well, that was certainly remarkable justification for the hope of retaining positions and salary increases. It's certainly more than I have ever heard described by Mr. McGoff in terms of any budget that he approved. In addition, I do want to remind Mr. McGoff that the budget, the mayor's budget is not balanced. It appears to be balanced because we are plugging in phony numbers, but it is not balanced. He has never covered the wages and health care of the individuals who have continued to work for a period of two months.

MR. MCGOFF: What positions are they?

MS. EVANS: What positions are they?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. EVANS: If you look at the legislation you will see. It was in last week's packet all of those positions that are being eliminated.

MR. MCGOFF: No, you are saying that

1 there were positions that have been --MS. EVANS: Oh, oh, oh, I'm sorry, 2 3 I'm sorry. I'm talking about the traffic maintenance department. The traffic 4 maintenance department, if you look at the 5 2010 budget you will notice that under 2010 6 there is a dash and next to that you will 7 8 see within parentheses a minus 100 9 percent --MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 10 MS. EVANS: -- of funding. 11 MR. MCGOFF: And all of those 12 13 traffic maintenance positions are now --14 have been paid through -- are being paid in January and February? 15 Those individuals 16 MS. EVANS: 17 continue to work daily to date. 18 MR. MCGOFF: The members of the traffic maintenance? 19 MS. EVANS: Yes. 20 21 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. They are union 22 positions? 23 MS. EVANS: Yes, I believe they are. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. 25 MS. EVANS: And in addition to that,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have a significant shortfall from the 2009 budget, 5.5 million was plugged in by the mayor and his business administrator and that never materialized in totality. We are currently awaiting the final portion, which is our \$750,000, from the new tax collector and that will be our final distribution of There is \$1.6 million out of that funds. \$5.5 million that remains missing and it's not been covered in 2009. I have sat on this council and that gapping hole was never addressed. I searched through the 2010 budget and that gapping hole is not I don't know if it has addressed. dematerialized or disappeared, but there are serious questions here about having a balanced budget.

Finally, I think the only thing I can add is that council is not taking this case to Court. Council is not suing anyone. The mayor will decide if it's taken to Court because he is the one threatening to do just that, just as he does in every other event where he losses the ability to dictate the results of the situation. I think it will

be a travesty if he chooses to, in fact,
take the people of this city to Court for
council doing it's job that it was directed
to do by the those people.

And so as much as the opposition might hope to spin this issue, I can tell you, you can only take semantics so far. If anyone sues it will be the mayor making that decision to sue council. It is not city council deciding to sue anyone, but I can tell you this, city council will continue to fight hard for the taxpayers of this city and represent you and do what you have elected us to do.

And you know, I'm sorry, but this just occurred to me, for all of the numerous job creations that have occurred in city government at the hands of the Mayor Doherty since January of 2002, I would like to know what services improved as a result of these job creations that justified the millions in extra costs for salaries and raises over a eight-year period? What services to each taxpayer have improved to justify millions of dollars spent in job creations for

	142
1	political supporters? I think that the only
2	tangible result of that action was the 25
3	percent tax increase you got a year or two
4	ago, and that's it.
5	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. NO
6	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
7	MS. EVANS: No, we, Mrs Krake I'm
8	sorry.
9	MS. KRAKE: I'm sorry.
10	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
11	signify by saying aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
16	MR. ROGAN: No.
17	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
18	moved.
19	MS. KRAKE: My apologies.
20	MS. EVANS: I'm sorry. No, no, no,
21	no. I'm the one who is sorry.
22	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. NO
23	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
24	MR. ROGAN: I make a motion that
25	council break for executive session to

	143
1	discuss Comcast negotiations and litigation.
2	MR. JOYCE: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
4	those in favor signify by saying aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and so moved. At this time council
11	will conduct a recess in order to discuss
12	confident negotiations involving the Comcast
13	cable franchise fee.
14	(Council recesses to executive
15	session.)
16	(Mr. Rogan, Mr. Joyce and Mr. McGoff
17	return to the dais and proceed as follows:)
18	MR MCGOFF: Motion to adjourn.
19	MR. JOYCE: Second.
20	MR. ROGAN: Meeting adjourned.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER