		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, January 26, 2010	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD GEIGIAL COURT BERGETER	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Third order.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING
16	DECEMBER 31, 2009.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
20	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON
21	DECEMBER 16, 2009.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. KRAKE: Do you have any
25	announcements this evening, Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: Well, we do, but let us proceed with clerk's report.

MS. KRAKE: Hopefully, this is our first time and hopefully all of the requests from January 12 and January 19 to the best of our ability were submitted to the appropriate department heads and some of those have been answered.

The first was a request by

Councilman Rogan about vehicles parked in

the from 920 to 926 Greenridge Street. That

was sent to Chief Elliott and he told us

that e-mailed Councilman Rogan directly on

that.

The next letter was to Stu Renda, business administrator, that was dated January 15 regarding a resident's inquiry into refuse disposal. His response was Scranton pays 4725 per ton for refuse at Keystone Sanitary Landfill. He says Scranton realized approximately 4.3 million from current and delinquent refuse disposal fee.

However, our solicitor pointed out that we had also asked for a breakdown of

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that. Our original letter said, "Council would like to know what is the cost per ton for refuse disposal and how much of the revenue for the waste disposal fee that the city received 2009 went to the sanitary landfill tipping."

So we only received a partial response to that.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, if we could send a second request for the missing information, please, on behalf of all of council.

MS. KRAKE: The next letter went to George Robert, district executive of PennDOT. He is responding to council's letter of January 15 regarding the Scranton CBD signal project. It says, "The department is currently waiting on the decision of the Federal Highway Administration on approving a time extension to continue using federal funding on this Once this decision has been project. rendered, the department will arrange a meeting with the City of Scranton to discuss this decision and plan out that this project

moving forward. The most current plans include the intersection of River and Meadow and it will be included in the final seven."

Our next issue was the property on Cedar Avenue and Elm, it's 1101 Cedar. The history of this property goes back several years. It's been vacant, it's been in deplorable condition and council has been sent many letters of behalf of residents. I believe all of council received in their box a letter from Janet Curry, from Lancaster, PA, and she had also sent this to the mayor and Mark Seitzinger saying that they were unable to sell a property next door because of the condition of this building.

Now, our letter that we sent to Mark Seitzinger, the director of License and Inspections, addressed all of these issues, remarked on how long the issue had been ongoing, and the only response we got was that the gentleman did pull the permits to start the work and he would be doing so immediately, but that's been over a week and a half now and in the mean time when we got that letter, which was very serious, and the

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

only thing that was addressed was the shoving of the sidewalks, so what is your pleasure, Madame Chairwoman?

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake. I believe that it would have been perhaps a year ago that we would told that that property was going to be renovated and it has certainly been more than a week and a half since this owner indicated he would be making renovations, and if we could please send a copy of Mrs. Curry's letter to Mr. Seitzinger, advise him that we have already heard this story, it was approximately a year ago, and there are no more excuses that can be accepted for the deterioration of this particular property. It's hazardous and it's an eyesore to that neighborhood and to the entire city. been ongoing for several years and the lack of action on the part of the owner is unacceptable and what process could be followed by the city for demolition of that property.

MS. KRAKE: The next three letters are first was from Councilman Rogan

concerning Keystone Business Products and we believe that was anticipated appropriately in last week's council. The next was concerned the Albright Avenue flood project which was answered this evening; and thirdly, we asked Chief Elliott to no longer send a police officer, which it's obvious he hasn't again this week. So these are the letters that were answered.

Next, we have some that have not been answered by the January 22 date the council requested a response by. The first is regarding an abandoned home at 1023
Bunker Hill Street. Residents state the house was gutted by fire, the roof collapsed and a lot of garbage was on the property.

They also cited that several letters had been sent and they had had a meeting seven months ago with the city concerning this.

We had a January 22 deadline and we have heard nothing from Mark Seitzinger, Director of License and Inspections.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, if we would send him a reminder memo and that council expects a request by Monday, February 1.

Should we not receive a request then I think we may have to invite Mr. Seitzinger in for a public caucus in order that we would be able to discuss the progress or lack thereof on many of these hazardous structures that remain standing throughout the city.

MS. KRAKE: Our next request wasn't answered in a timely fashion was also to Mr. Seitzinger, Director of Licensing and Inspections. This is regarding an abandoned home at the corner of Wheeler Avenue and Olive Street. Councilwoman Evans stated in warm weather the grass and weeds were never cut and in cold weather snow and ice removal never occurs.

MS. EVANS: And a second memo, please with a response date of Monday, February 1.

MS. KRAKE: Some letters have not yet gone beyond the response deadline, so we will report on them as they move along in the process.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: You're welcome.

MS. EVANS: Do any council members

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

_ (

24

25

have announcements at this time? Yes?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. The Friends of the Poor and Sister Mary Alice Jacquinot are looking for winter clothing, especially jackets for adult workers, people who are working out in the outdoors that are not adequately dressed for the season. have anything that you would like to donate to the Friends of the Poor you can bring it to their office on I guess it's Jackson Street, below the Jackson Height's housing. There are signs that designate or that say Friends of the Poor, but I dropped some things up there today that were collected through our parish and they are still in need of, as I said, winter items, especially jackets and hats, gloves, that type of thing. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: For both men and women?

MR. MCGOFF: I would say yes. I'm not sure. The stuff that I brought over was male, so I'm not sure.

MS. EVANS: Is that all?

MR. MCGOFF: That's it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Anyone else?

Once again, I request all speakers who address council during citizens' participation do put in writing all requests for information and problems that require attention. Please include your name on your request as well and give to the assistant city clerk who is seated to the right of the podium. This council is willing and anxious to try to answer your questions and address your problems. We need your help to better serve you.

Attention, Veterans. There will be will a very important informational meeting to discuss the closing of the Governor's Outreach office in Pittston on Friday, February 5, 2010, at 9 a.m. The meeting will be held at the DeNaple's bu idling on the University of Scranton campus and speakers will include state representatives Kevin Murphy and Ken Smith as well as other representatives from Harrisburg. Again, it is very important that local veterans attend this meeting.

The fifth annual pet supply drive to benefit the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter will

be conducted from Monday, February 1, through Friday, February 12. This animal shelter exists solely on donations from the community and receives no government funds. Last years drive exceed 10,000 pounds of donations. Locally, both West Scranton and Scranton High Schools participated and several students used the drive as a senior graduation project fr community service work. We hope their active participation will continue.

The mayor granted his permission for receptacles to be placed on the first floor of Scranton City Hall for pet supply donations beginning on February 1. I encourage all city employees and city residents to contribute to this very worthy cause in order to save the lives of so many precious animals. The animal shelter wish list includes the following items: Cat litter, cat and kitten food, used ink jet cartridges, copier paper, aluminum cans for recycling. Large durable chew bones, dog and puppy food. Collars and leashes. Cat toys and small litter pans. Hamster, rabbit

and guinea pig food. Garbage bags, all sizes. Paper towels, cloth towels and blankets. Dish and laundry detergent.

Bleach, scrub brushes and rubber gloves.

Brooms, buckets and insulated hose and nozzles. Have a heart humane traps.

So, please, if you are able you can drop those donations off beginning on February 1, on a first floor of Scranton City Hall.

The FF Joseph Flannery Division V

Ancient Order of Hibernians will hold a

benefit ham dinner on January 30, 2010, from

4 p.m. to 10 p.m. at the Keyser Valley

Community Center. Donations are \$10 and all

proceeds go to the Donachi family who lost

their home to fire at Thanksgiving time.

There will be entertainment, raffles, prizes

and refreshments and anyone who cannot make

the fundraiser you may, of course, make a

donation by contacting Frank Dodge at the

following phone number: 687-0826.

Finally, the Scranton affiliate of the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network will conduct it's first meeting of the new year

on Tuesday, February 2, at 6:30 p.m. at the Clarion Hotel on Meadow Avenue in Scranton.

All members and volunteers should attend as well as anyone who is interested in supporting this important cause. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, 5-C, I believe something like this came up maybe four months ago or five months ago dealing with the bridge. I thought that was all taken care of. You have another resolution on the same order as this one.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SBARAGLIA: And they said we turned the money in because of the cost overruns that wasn't quite that big. This one I think is requested funds be turned back for some reason or another. I just wonder if you -- for your own inference you should read them and see how they correspond

with each other.

Okay, this is the first chance in a long time we got to talk about the letters of credit. There is two letters of credit, if I remember, outstanding, one with the Redevelopment Authority and the other with the Scranton Parking Authority, both of them were granted awhile ago. Now, I remember on the Parking Authority, I believe the collateral is the revenue from our parking meters, and as you know we just increased the revenue from our parking meters. how does that affect that letter of credit. I don't know, and maybe it was the bank that insisted upon it because for some reason or other it was sort of odd that they both came up at the same time, the Parking Authority raised their rates and the city raised their rates on, of course, the parking meters which is, of course, mortgaged to the bank.

The other is you don't hear about it too much, the Scranton Redevelopment

Authority's letter of credit. For your own information you should find out exactly how much is left on them letters of credit, how

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

much have they drawn on it and the interest rate they are paying on it. I think you will find out it's probably cheaper to have bonds than it was the letters of credit. but this is the first opportunity that we had that we can actually find out something about them and really find out how much is out there, how much is still able to be borrowed on, and the interest rate, of course, that we are paying on them. I read one time a long time ago and that was, of course, the interest rates are a lot higher at that point, so I assume they are paying a good bundle on the money they are borrowing. And that's all. Very easy. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Sbaraglia, do you know what year that would have occurred with the Scranton Recreation Authority? 2007?

 $\mbox{MR. SBARAGLIA:} \ \ \mbox{No, the} \\ \mbox{Redevelopment.} \\$

 $\mbox{MS. EVANS:} \quad \mbox{Or the Redevelopment,} \\ \mbox{I'm sorry.} \label{eq:ms.evans}$

MR. SBARAGLIA: When they were doing the -- it had the deal with the garages.

You remember we owned -- they owned the

3

5

4

6

7 8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

garage at one time, Scranton did, at that time.

MS. EVANS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SBARAGLIA: That's where I think they got the money to what they did. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: May I?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Sbaraglia, I don't know if this answers your initial question, in the backup there is letter from a Charles Ruther, district assistant liaison engineer and it says, "Attached is a sheet from the reimbursement agreement that needed to be Our office noted a math error and revised. also requested a sentence to be added to one of the paragraphs. I included a copy of the old agreement page and also highlighted a copy of the new sheet showing the changes. The costs of the project have not changed since the revision sent to you back in August, just the amount showed in the body of the agreement was incorrect."

I believe what's happened -- the only thing that was changed, this is not a

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

new, what do I want to say, a new agreement, it's just amending the agreement that was approved in August and what it changes is the amount -- the total amount of the reimbursement that is allowed and not the cost of the project.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Okay.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.
Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening, Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton Council. resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. I read on-line the Times-Tribune report on the meeting held last Tuesday at the Lackawanna Station by the Power of Scranton Young Business Association. The Power of Scranton are the residents, taxpayers and voters. Just look at Massachusetts, not some young business people who do live in the City of Scranton. They reside either out-of-state or in outlying communities. Most of them are located in tax exempt KOZ properties thank to Mr. Austin Burke and previous city councils, but yet Mayor/Governor Doherty,

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Washo and

Commissioner/Congressman O'Brien chose to attend the meeting at the Radisson instead of attending the Scranton City Council meeting where the taxpayers and citizens and voters were at or watching the meeting on ECTV Channel 19. Proof, the results of the last city election.

The City of Scranton and Lackawanna County are overloaded with debt, vacant houses, high unemployment, unpaved streets and roads, gangs, crime on the rise, no contracts for police and fire, Scranton in distressed status for 18, business moving away from the city, a ghost town mall and higher parking rates downtown chase more people away, but yet the Mayor/Governor Doherty and County Commissioner/Congressman O'Brien and Commissioner Washo are speaking at the Radisson Hotel who, by the way, have been given plenty of taxpayer dollars just to stay afloat. It shows you where these elected officials really stand. They stand with the young business owners, not the senior citizens and working class people of

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton and Lackawanna County.

Oh, and by the way, Mr. Austin
Burke, president and chamber of Commerce was
also at the Radisson with the Power of
Scranton. He refuses to attend a Scranton
City Council meeting and speak directly to
the citizens and taxpayers, the real power
of Scranton.

On a brighter note, it is great to be an American citizen where I have the right of freedom of speech. I am proud that I am a veteran and now I can say that I am proud to be a Scranton resident. I was awav for 30 years living in other states and cities and foreign countries. Upon my return to Scranton, I could not believe how far the city had deteriorated. Now we have a city council that is beginning to work for the people, not the Mayor/Governor Doherty and his appointed authorities, you know, the people who lease the old Wildlife Center Zoo to Lackawanna College for \$1 a year for 25 years for a total of \$25 for 25 years. forgot to mention last week that the college has four more 25 years options on the

building, so they could lease the building for a total of 125 years for \$125.

That is what authorities do for the residents and taxpayers, but yet the same Recreation Authority continues to charge children from the age of six to 15 \$3 a day to swim and use the slide at the same park. Unbelievable. And we cannot forget about the Sewer Authority who sent out letters to all ratepayers and voted four to one to raise the rates by \$90 a quarter without having all of the information. Those are the words of Mr. Barrett who is the head of the Sewer Authority.

Mr. McGoff wants to create another authority. Possibly they can lease Albright Library to Lackawanna College for \$1 a year for another 125 years. But now we have hope because there is a council in place who are willing to fight the fight for the citizens of Scranton. Mayor/Governor Doherty, on the other hand, he is in Pittsburg and other Pennsylvania cities telling everybody how great a job he has done. As of the last report, his popularity is 3 percent of the

state vote. There is still plenty of time for Mayor/Governor Doherty to improve on that percentage. For \$5,000 as a host, \$1,000 as a sponsor, or a measly \$500 as a friend, you can attend a fundraiser for Mayor/Governor Doherty on 2 February 2010 right here in Scranton, you know, the distressed city.

The 6,000 people who received the 6,000 jobs Mayor/Governor Doherty created in the last four years should at least be a friend for \$500, that's not asking too much considering he created your new job in the City of Scranton, all 6,000 of them.

I invite more citizens to attend the city council meetings. They are informative and there is a relaxed atmosphere at the meetings. Power to the citizens not the young business owners. They have failed for at least the last eight years that I know of. The state of the city address which will probably be held in the Scranton Chamber of Commerce building, the Mayor/Governor Doherty and the young business entrepreneurs will say the same

thing again. Mr. Austin Burke, retire already. Take a look at the ghost town mall and vacant store fronts including the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue. Oh, by the way, let's not forget about Southern Union. By all means, Scrantonians, donate \$5,000 to Mayor/Governor Doherty, that is if you make \$5,000 a year in the City of Scranton.

The names that appear in the host
list are all attorneys who for the most part
work for the City of Scranton. These are
some of the pledgers who pledged \$5,000:
Rob Farrell, Richard Goldburg, Carl Greco,
Gene Hickey, Paul Kelly, Sean McDonough, Tom
Nealon, Joe O'Brien, Todd O'Malley, Mary
Theresa and Paul Patterson, Judy Price, Joe
Wright. Any of those names sound familiar?
I believe they all have contracts, most of
them with the City of Scranton.

MR. HUGHES: Madame President, if I could just make one remark?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. HUGHES: There is a small error that Mr. Jackowitz made. The way that this lease, and I'm just seeing it for the first

time tonight, it is for 150 years.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, I was off.

MR. HUGHES: No, sir, what it is, it's a 25 year lease, then when you go further down in the lease there is five, 25 year options, so this lease could conceivably right now go out to what, 2160? It's being looked into. This is the first time I have seen it tonight as to the legality of this lease and whether council could take action on it. Thank you, Madame President.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Jackowitz, last week I believe you asked about the city's surveillance cameras. We sent out a letter to the police department and we still haven't put back, but when we do we'll let you know what the response was.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Our next speaker is Mike Dudek.

MR. DUDEK: Good evening. My name is
Mike Dudek, 608 Depot Street, Scranton. I
love down there in the Plot. Back in
November I stood here and tried to get the

last city council, the previous council, to please pay attention and not put us in the position of having a Library Authority because I pointed out that once it's created council doesn't have the power to destroy it. Well, I'm looking at 6-A, I certainly hope your motion carried and I certainly hope that it does prevail and I hope I'm wrong on this one, okay? Really do.

But if it does not work there is a fallback position I think you might want to look into. In Pennsylvania, authorities have to have a revenue stream. instance, a Housing Authority's revenue stream would be in the rents that they charge people. The Parking Authority has the parking garages and parking meters. The Sewer Authority has the sewer bills they send out, but with a Library Authority the only two or actually three areas of revenue streams I could see for a Library Authority would be library fines would be one, this humongous bake sale, which would be a second one, and as far as the third one is concerned they would have to do what WVIA

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

does hold a telethon. In other words, there is no revenue stream and I'm pretty certain that under the Pennsylvania Municipal Act, you know, governing authorities, you cannot create a authority where there is no revenue stream.

You know, I keep comparing our city to New York City in terms of governmental structure and it really amazes me how we have governmental agencies and governmental functions in this city that do not exist in the city of seven and a half million people. You don't have a Library Authority, you have a library board, you have a library. have a water commissioner, you have a sewer commissioner, but they are under the public works, you know, under the public works there is no separate authority. Whenever you create a separate authority you are creating a separate level of taxation that's going to be totally unnecessary and that's why I kept pounding away for this.

For as much as I want the library I want it in the right way. I want our governmental officials to stand up for it or

against it, but to stand up as officeholders, not to hide behind an authority, so I applaud you for the -- you know, for the attempt to try to overthrow it and I certainly hope that you can do it by rescinding it and I hope I am wrong. I hope I am wrong, but I think there is a fallback position if it turns out that I'm right and I wanted to, you know, to bring that to your attention. And that's you all I wanted to mention tonight. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dudek.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Carl Kupchunas.

MR. KUPCHUNAS: Good evening. My name is a Carl Kupchunas. I'm a life-long resident of the lower Greenridge section of Scranton. First of all, I would like to congratulate the new council and thank them for the work that -- for the people they have been doing so far. I also appreciate the council having Mr. Garbarino from the Army Corp here this evening to give us an update on the flood control project and answer some of the questions the residents

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of our neighborhood have, which the projects has been at a standstill for over a month. Having been flooded out three times, I was very nervous on Monday's rainstorm. Luckily, the river crested below flood stage.

One of the reasons I'm here this evening is to reinforce through council how unfair the flat sewer rate approved by Mr. Barrett is for a single homeowners like myself. I use ess water than households with more people and with the new rate schedule I will be paying the same as families who use much more water. In manv instances higher consumption users will see their sewer rate go down. All utilities with the exception of cable TV are based on consumption and the sewer rate should also be based on consumption. When Mr. Barrett appears in caucus, it would be appreciated if he was aware of how unfair the flat rate is to single homeowners and to senior citizens, many of which are on a fixed income. Also, I would like to know if the public caucus is still scheduled for next

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tuesday night, February 2.

The other reason I'm here this evening, I'm not sure if anyone had ever brought this up to city council or not, also I don't know if there is a city ordinance about this, but it creates a very dangerous situation. Living in lower Greenridge, many of the streets in lower Greenridge and North Scranton are very narrow and if cars are parked on the side of the street it's difficult to have two vehicles pass at the same time. I noticed that in the past year or so many more pedestrians are walking in the middle of the street instead of using the sidewalks. This creates a very dangerous situation for both the pedestrians In the daytime at least and the motorists. you can see the pedestrians walking on the street well in advance.

At night it can be difficult to see
the people walking on the street from a
distance especially because most do not wear
reflective clothing or light clothing. I
have experienced groups of teenagers walking
up the middle of Theodore Street three

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

abreast and they refuse to the side to allow vehicles to pass and walk on the sidewalk. If there isn't an ordinance preventing pedestrians from walking in the middle of the street, I'd appreciate if the police department when they encounter people walking on the street inform them how dangerous it is to be walking there. By somehow educating the people who do walk in the streets that they should be walking on the sidewalk, this could prevent a vehicular accident because there wouldn't be enough room for two cars to pass or even worse if a pedestrian was hit because a motorist could not see them, especially at night.

If pedestrians walk on the sidewalk where they should be, it would be safer for everyone in the city. I appreciate your time and thank you very much on that matter.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Kupchunas, before you leave, the meeting of the Scranton Sewer Authority I believe is occurring as we speak and certainly the flat rate increase is on their agenda and will be discussed among the board members and I'm sure with members of

the public who would potentially be paying those rate increases. Council has invited, formally invited, Mr. Barrett, the executive director of the Scranton Sewer Authority to a caucus, as you said, tentatively for next week. At this point in time, I don't believe we received his response, did we, Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: No, we have not.

MS. EVANS: And I fully hope that following this evening's meeting the Sewer Authority is going to have a better handle on the direction it's going to take in terms of any rate increases. And it's my hope, once again, that Mr. Barrett will join us in caucus next Tuesday. If for any reason he is unavailable, we will reschedule with him as soon as possible on a Tuesday evening prior to the regular council meeting. I would say that should be scheduled at 5:30 and I will keep you abreast of that.

MR. KUPCHUNAS: Yes, you do have my e-mail address, I'd appreciate if you would keep my informed of that.

MS. EVANS: Certainly. Thank you.

Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer. Mrs. Evans, may I
approach council, please?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

(Mr. Spindler approached the dais.)

MR. SPINDLER: I want to speak about this Library Authority. Mr. McGoff has said that there is nothing in the legislation for the Library Authority that says there is going to be a new library built. Well, on the Doherty newsletter of December 16 the headline was: "City council creates Library Authority with eye on new library." Well, it might not be in the legislation, but I think everybody and their brother knows that if this Library Authority is formed a new library is going to be built and if anybody doesn't think so then they are very naive.

And last week in the Doherty
newsletter Mr. McGoff made a comment, I'm
going to read it, "There is nothing in the
legislation that would saddle the city with
any debt, Mr. McGoff said."

Well, in the highlighted area on the sheet I gave you there, Mr. Doherty said he continues to scour the state and federal sources for funding, but acknowledged construction would require local funding and borrowing money. Well, borrowing money sure sounds like putting the city in more debt, Mr. McGoff, and in the financial situation in this city is in, Mr. McGoff, do you really think this city could borrow anymore money? Do you want to answer the question?

MR. MCGOFF: I didn't say that the

MR. SPINDLER: But the mayor did and you said that the city wouldn't be saddled with any debt. If we borrow money, we are sure going to be saddled with more debt.

city was going to borrow anymore money.

MR. MCGOFF: Again, we are talking about supposition.

MR. SPINDLER: No, it's in black and white what the mayor said, that we are going to have to borrow money.

Moving on, that's all about that subject. I brought this up quite awhile ago, Mrs. Evans. North Rebecca Avenue, it's

a one-way street going north, it ends at west Gibson Street then it becomes two ways. The 500 block of North Rebecca is two ways and it's a hill going up and you can't fit two cars and at the top of the hill there is a stop sign, also, so if it's snowing or icy you have to stop at that stop sign or stop in the middle of the hill to let someone else down, it's -- you are going to get stuck, and I do have this in writing, Mrs. Evans, so you don't have to write everything down.

I brought this up when

Mr. Courtright was here and he said the
people on that block called him and said
they wanted it that way, but I never got an
answer why is it like that. I mean, it
doesn't seem like a safe situation.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Because there is a stop sign at the top of the hill and there is a stop sign at the cross street, so I don't know why there wouldn't be a stop sign at the top of the hill there and I don't know that one block would be two ways when

1 the whole street is one-way. MS. EVANS: Well, we can certainly 2 3 look into that for you. MR. SPINDLER: I will give this to 4 Neil. 5 MS. EVANS: But I have been told by 6 members of the traffic maintenance 7 8 department that stop sign aren't typically 9 installed unless there has been a traffic 10 study conducted prior to their installation, 11 so it may very well be that the study 12 indicated the necessity of the sign. 13 MR. JOYCE: Excuse me, if I can ask, 14 is the part of the street where it becomes a two-way street, is that the part that 15 16 empties right by the Cathedral cemetery? 17 MR. SPINDLER: No, it's further 18 down. 19 MR. JOYCE: It's further down, okay. 20 MR. SPINDLER: I think Oram Street 21 is where Cathedral Cemetery is. West Gibson is a little further. It's in Tripp Park. 22 23 MR. JOYCE: Okay. 24 MR. SPINDLER: The next thing, I 25 brought up last week again about the DiBileo

signs being stolen and mentioned about

Attorney Cullen, did Attorney Hughes have a chance to speak to him yet, do you know, about the report that he has, the police report?

MS. EVANS: I don't believe he has,
Mr. Spindler. I've been keeping Attorney
Hughes very, very busy on some vital issues,
monumental issues that are the people's
overall priorities and I apologize for that,
that I neglected to mention that to him in
our discussions of so many other issues
including, for example, the zoo and --

MR. SPINDLER: I understand, but this was a crime committed.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: And when two city employees are identified in that police report.

MS. EVANS: I'm certain we will discuss it, and again, you have my apologies.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. That's okay. I'm going to bring this up again about the parking fees, Mrs. Evans, I

brought it up the last couple of weeks, more and more I find more empty parking spaces downtown. I mentioned Mifflin Avenue and Franklin Avenue are empty and I work in the 200 block of Penn Avenue, normally before the new parking fees were instituted you couldn't get a parking spot on that block. Now, you can basically get a spot there any time during the day and this new -- these new fees are definitely affecting how many people are coming downtown and I see it as a big decrease people parking all over.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Spindler, I was speaking Mrs. Krake the other day and many people in the city don't know that tokens can be purchased for the meters and I believe it was \$10 worth for \$8, so it's not a lot of savings, but every little bit helps.

MR. SPINDLER: Oh, sure.

MR. ROGAN: And they can be purchased, which office was it in?

MS. KRAKE: In the Treasurer's Office.

MR. ROGAN: In the Treasurer's

Office so if you come in with a roll of 1 quarters you'll get tokens for \$8. 2 3 MR. SPINDLER: I know about that. Personally I don't pay to park, I walk over 4 the Linden Street Bridge because I think 5 6 these fees are outrageous. It's a little cold in the winter walking over that bridge, 7 8 but I won't pay these parking fees. 9 Basically that's all, I just want to 10 say that I think this council is doing a 11 great job. I hope they continue to Do a 12 great job and I'm sure they will and I find 13 that i probably won't even have to come here 14 every week to keep an eye on you guys, not like the last council, but I'll be watching. 15 16 Thank you. 17 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 18 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Ron Ellman. 19 MS. EVANS: 20 MR. ELLMAN: Hello, council. 21 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 22 MR. ELLMAN: As usual, I'm not 23 prepared and don't know what I'm going to 24 talk about. I didn't read the paper until 12:00. 25 I went to Wilkes-Barre and I was at

25

Barber Ford and I saw this little article about the colleges. I guess it's their febile attempt to excuse their obscene intentions, as far as I'm concerned. This is terrible. You people have got to -- you have got to do something, put them in the zone or something. They are destroying the tax base of the city. I got a cousin lives in Florida and this guy is so smart he -- I mean, he retired when he was 47 years old, he was a lawyer in Chicago, moved to Florida and I talk to him once a week and he keeps up with Scranton. He says he has never in his life seen anything of what goes on in this town, you know, a fourth of it not paying taxes. It's just -- you know, this is bad.

Right there the University of Scranton got a gate and cost everybody you people go by this thing it cost you \$100 a week in loss taxes for that lousy gate. That's their intentions for the whole city.

Well, I was going to say something else about our news media here or lack of You know, I really -- I know the heart it.

25

of this city, I talk to people every place I I was talking to a fellow down at Barber Ford today about Scranton that, you know, he seen this program and we don't have any news media here. Channel 16 talks about places 150 miles from here, they got the worst news bureau humanly possible, you know, it's just terrible. The newspaper is real biased, you can't read anything adverse to this administration. And I guess the only radio station is WILK and, you know, they got this fool in the morning that doesn't like Scranton, Kevin Lynn, he should have been fired years ago with his mouth and I listen to Corbett when I walk the dog, you know, alls he seems interested in he find a subject that he just -- he just squeezes it to death for weeks and weeks. Yesterday for a few minutes he is still talking about DeNaples getting his permit to be in the gaming, you know, that's a year ago. don't know what goes on in this city unless you talk to a cab driver like I do all of the time. We have no media here. We are fortunate, I shouldn't say we don't have

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

none, we have got Joe's little newsletter, I got more of this when I read it.

It's just the city is going to pot and nobody wants to believe it. I'm not Chicken Little. I listen to people. a little boy, a couple of days ago, I don't know like five years old with his mother he is telling me -- he said, "The rich white kids took our playground." You know? That's a bad attitude. That's what he hears at the house, but now the rich white kids are going to take his house away. It's in the paper, their intentions. They are going to take the projects. Then they are going to take 20 acres of land that there is buildings on. You got to stop them somehow, whether it's the state or whatever you have to do. You can't -- a city can't survive with a fourth of it not paying taxes, you know, we have gone through this, but it's just impossible.

You know, I don't know what the solution is because I'm not that bright and my first cousin told me he wouldn't know what to do if he lived here except he got

24

more sense to live here, but you talk to an utter stranger and they talk about the tax It's -- all you got to do is see what we lose every year and what our obligations are and multiply it by 15 years from now which it seems like everybody is using the Sewer Authority and you got over a half --500, million dollars, plus the other 500 million dollars we are already behind. how in the world do you think anybody is going to stay in a city like that? The houses are going to be 100 years old, three-quarters of them. A young person wouldn't want a hundred year old house. know, you got to start thinking now for the people like Mr. Miller's age that is going to inherit everything. My house was built in 35 and it's cost me a fortune since I lived there. Last year we put a roof and a new furnace in, you know. Think, these people aren't going to buy a hundred year old house and have to pay taxes be outrageous, you know, I don't know what the world it would be. It's up, huh?

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you,

Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: All right. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I just have two questions I'm going to integrate them into one. If council finds itself at odds with what's happened to the zoo at Nay Aug or let's say that the council tries to get control of any of the authorities whether it's the Sewer Authority or whoever or maybe trying to stop the Library Authority, how are you going to litigate? I know the city will use probably it's attorneys, but how will council itself litigate to defend the residents of this city?

MS. EVANS: Attorney Hughes, would you like to respond?

MR. HUGHES: I really haven't looked into that issue yet, but there are ways that council will be able to do certain things to enforce it's legislation, just let me leave it at that. I don't want to discuss

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

litigation. As you know, under the Sunshine Law council could adjourn to go into executive session to address litigation. think let's take it it one step at a time and see what happens and what the Library Authority would do because once the ordinance is passed the law states that the authority shall reconvey all of it's property, that's a mandatory word in statutory construction. It's not may, so once the authority is terminated, if any properties is transferred to it, it's incumbent on that board to transfer it back to the city immediately, all of it's property. I'll just let it go at that, but that's my position legally is to the -- as to the statute that this council has authority to terminate that authority.

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Thank you. I understood what you said. I have two questions left if I have an opportunity to ask them, has council thought about putting all of their information on the web? I mean, I know, Mrs. Evans, you discussed how much work it would be to do that, but I

really think, you know, that it would benefit the residents and maybe one of the council members could put that information and all of the backup on the Internet. I think there is lot of people who have a lot of interest in what happens here. Not everybody sits at home and laughs about what happens here, and I do have to say that that does happen, and it's a really ashame because really the joke is on them because how long can you laugh at yourself until you realize we are in the situation we are in today?

And I did have an opportunity tonight to attend the Sewer Authority meeting prior to coming here, and I do understand that the residents are angry --well, some of the residents are angry about the rate change, and I do have to say that I don't believe seniors are conserving, I think that they are trying to survive, and I think some solutions have to be found to the problems there and maybe it's a two-tier system because, like I said when I was there, you know, this is a very, very

complex issue because I'm sure the Sewer Authority has to have revenue to meet it's obligations to the federal government to modernize and to upkeep of the system, but by the same token how can you tell somebody with a young family with seven or eight children that they are going to pay \$100 sewer bill every two months and then expect young families to move into the city, so I just hope that maybe council would offer it's opinions to Mr. Barrett when he comes because I do believe Mr. Barrett will come to these council chambers.

I just think everybody really has to work together and it's easy, and I'm not saying council is doing this, but you know it's easy to not understand what somebody is trying to do and I don't find the Sewer Authority to be like any other authority in the city and I was opposed to the increase in their charter, but with the federal mandates they are under and with the economy where it is at this point, I mean, solutions have to be found to problems and I just hope that maybe cooler minds will prevail and the

five council members here will have some input which will have a positive effect on the rates, and I just -- I do see that the Sewer Authority does care. They did listen to the people that I saw present arguments to them on their situations, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Morgan, just to address one of your first questions.

Council will be asking the IT Department of the City if they can possibly get the agenda backup onto the website weekly. I do agree with you, I think there are many people who are be interested in reading the backup who would have questions about it and so we are going to pursue it with them.

 $\label{eq:MR.MORGAN:} \textbf{MR. MORGAN:} \quad \textbf{I appreciate that.}$ Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Sam Patilla.

MR. PATILLA: Sam Patilla, Scranton resident and Scranton taxpayer and member of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association. Good evening, Madame President, Councilman Joyce, Councilman Rogan, Councilman Loscombe.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PATILLA: I gave Neil a copy of some questions and requests that I have.

I'm not sure if council got them, but I apologize in advance for the poor copy.

Part of the membership of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association are attending this meeting and the other half at the Sewer Authority meeting. So request number one on my list was actually penned by Marie Schumacher, and she requests that the solicitor review the Home Rule Charter, Section 502, to determine whether numbers 1 through 17 are valid and enforceable or whether there is any part of the Municipal Authorities Act that render any or all of these null and void with respect to the action of city authorities.

Number two, requests Scranton City

Council review the financial, personal,

political and/or business affiliation of

members of the various authorities and/or

boards within the City of Scranton,

specifically, the Scranton Sewer Authority,

the Scranton Parking Authority, the Scranton

DPW Department, the Scranton Parks and Recreation Authority, the Scranton Housing Authority, the Scranton Library Authority, and the other various Scranton based authorities not listed above with each other along with the affiliations both personally of a business nature, political and/or otherwise in any manner, financially or otherwise advantageous with members of the aforementioned various authorities and boards.

In addition, the affiliations, both personally of a business nature, political and/or otherwise in any manner, financial or otherwise advantageous with the executive and judicial branches of the Scranton governments, specifically with the current mayor of the City of Scranton.

Number three: Requests financial information in regards to the compensation received by the administrative members of the Scranton Sewer Authority, the Scranton Parking Authority, the Scranton Park and Recreation Authority, the Scranton Housing Authority, the Scranton DPW Department, and

other Scranton-based authorities and boards not listed above.

Scrantonians have a right to know the salaries of the members of these various boards and the benefit packages they receive, just want information on what they are being paid in regards to the jobs that they are held accountable for.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Patilla, I'm sorry to interrupt you, is this request for members of the boards and commissions or authorities, department heads? There seem to be a mixture.

MR. PATILLA: Not so much the -well, not so much the department heads, even
though it would be nice to include them, we
really want to know the board members, the
authorities, the leaders, the ones making
the decisions.

MS. EVANS: The authority members?

MR. PATILLA: The authority members.

Number four: Request information pertaining to the hiring practices in regards to family members, political affiliates, financial and/or otherwise business affiliated or

associated individuals and/or businesses of the Scranton Sewer Authority, the Scranton Parking Authority, the Scranton Park and Recreation Authority, the Scranton Housing Authority, and the Scranton DPW Department, and other Scranton based authorities and boards not listed above.

Number five: Request a financial breakdown of the current status of the January 26, 2010, all state and/or federally mandated improvement that are funded in it's entirety or partially by the State of Pennsylvania and/or federal government as required by law from the Scranton Sewer Authority, the Scranton Parking Authority, the Scranton Park and Recreation Authority, the Scranton Housing Authority, the Scranton DPW Department and/or the Scranton based authorities and/or all boards that receive public funding that are not listed above.

Okay? We want to know where our money is going. If these people are actually doing what they are supposed to be doing and what they are telling us they are doing. We need some type of backup that we

can look at and say, okay, they are actually doing what they are saying they are doing.

Now, in closing, I was approached by three different individuals at last council meeting who voiced their concerns in regards to Council member McGoff not giving those speaking his full attention. Case in point, is not hearing what Councilman Joyce had to say in regards to council forwarding the concern of the taxpayers to those most qualified to answer those questions and/or concerns. You know, in order to get respect one first has to give it.

Now, I sat back here, I'm getting kind of old, I don't hear that well, but I heard, you know, what Councilman Joyce had to say in regards to forwarding that information along and for a council person not 20 feet away from him to stand up here and argue on something that he evidently didn't hear is a disgrace and a slap in the face. You know, you asked us for decorum you ask us for respect and all we ask that you do the same thing. If somebody has something to say, these concerns are

important to us. They might not be important to you, but they are important to us if and we can't show you that respect and give you that decorum we just ask for the same in return. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dan Hubbard.

MR. HUBBARD: Good evening, Council.

Daniel Hubbard, lower Greenridge. It was refreshing tonight to hear Mr. Garbarino the projected dates for the completion of the flood project. It is ahead of schedule since the contracts were put into inception, but we are four years behind schedule so it is nice to see that.

Unfortunately, you know, we did touch upon in the caucus the removing of the trees and he did inform me that they are going to be diligent with making sure that they don't remove all of the trees along the river like they did between Market Street and Greenridge Street. It's a fine line between, you know, I would like the flood protection. Every resident in lower Greenridge would like flood project, but there is a lot of people in the city that do

23

24

25

see the river as a resource and they are trying to develop it as such. Lackawanna River Corridor Association, the Lackawanna River Heritage Trail, you know, these are all going to be along river and the river festival they hold. We are trying to develop the river as a resource in the city which it can be and, unfortunately, removing the vast amount of trees will greatly affect the native trout and the Pennsylvania -- the brown trout and the brook trout and the rainbow trout because they are dependent upon water temperature. And, unfortunately, when you do remove shade the shade downstream from where it is removed will not lower the water temperature, it will only maintain it, so shade doesn't lower the temperature of the water it just maintains it so as the water passes through these vast stretches of river that have been de-treed, it will increase in temperature during low water conditions and it won't be lowered as it goes downstream.

So hopefully they will be a little bit more diligent upon that to protect the

river, to protect us and protect the river because I don't want to see an environment like the river. It is a native trout river, it is a Class A trophy trout fishery in the State of Pennsylvania and there are certain sections and rivers upstream of Scranton that are designated such by the state because they do hold trophy-size trout.

So it is good to see that they will be a little bit more diligent with removing the trees along the river because I would like to see Scranton be able to use this as a resource as we clean up the banks of the river and give access to the river. To more fisherman. It's obviously can be a resource to people that come in the area and use the river, so that is a good thing.

I did inform Mr. O'Hora that there is currently a logjam, although, yesterday after yesterday's rain I don't know if it's still there, but the Popular Street bridge does have a logjam currently in it and he informed me they will look at it and see if he can manually remove it or the have the DPW go in there and remove that, so I'd just

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like to make sure that council is aware of that and you can follow-up on that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUBBARD: Also, I'd like it see that if council -- or working with the residents along the river we can be a little bit more diligent with keep Mr. O'Hora's department and/or the DPW on top of removing a lot of the silt that is front of the flood gates. The gates inside of the river open and allow the storm drain water to go out, but a lot of them are silted up in the openings and I know they are required to clear that, but I don't think they are as diligent as they can be, and I understand that they have a limited crew, so that is something that hopefully we can be more diligent on, to keep the residual water backup and going.

Unfortunately, it was saddening to hear that the funding for the pump station is not there, although, it was there at one point and it ended up in the Steamtown Mall, and let's just say that at this point I think a pump station in lower Greenridge

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would be a little bit more productive entity in the City of Scranton than the Steamtown Mall currently is, so we would be the only protected neighborhood without a pumping station to remove the residual backup. It is an issue.

The river in 2004 did not actually reach the riverbank. The flooding in lower Greenridge in 2004 was caused by residual storm drain backup that started at the intersection of Albright and Nay Aug Avenue and continued to build because the storm drains will not open. If the river level gest to a certain point the pressure that gets to those gates won't allow them to open, so it backs up. In 2004 the majority of the flooding in lower Greenridge in the 1400 of Albright and Gardner Avenue and Nay Aug Avenue at that time were caused by residual rainwater backup due to the pressure on the river keeping the gates closed.

So the pump station is important.

Park Place has a pump station and so does
the Plot. We will not have one, so possibly

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know if that's something that council could work towards in grant writing or something in the future to help obtain funding with the federal government to put that in there. I would hate to see it become a litigation issue later on.

Also, you know, we touched on Gordan Avenue. This is the last section of Scranton that will not be protected by a When the levy is done in lower Greenridge it will stop at Popular Street and below Popular and above Olive there are no houses on the -- going down the river on the left-hand side that would be affected by any high water conditions, so any channeling and raising of the water level created by the levy system in Scranton will hit Olive Street and in a high water event will flood Gordan Street. They were flooded in 2006 and by finishing the levies all the way through Popular Street we are going to force the water levels up even hire, similar to what's going on on Parker Street now, and then the residents of Gordan Avenue will be receiving the full brunt of the Lackawanna

River, where in the past a lot of the spill off happened into lower Greenridge and such, they will receive the full brunt of that.

So hopefully the Army Corp will realize that after this project is completed they will be able to extend is past Olive Street in order to protect the residents of Gordan Avenue in that neighborhood because they are the last piece of the puzzle and once they are protected every single resident in the City of Scranton along the Lackawanna River Corridor will have a levy in place.

The South Side flats have their levies in place for a long time and there is no residential communities that's affected between Olive Street and the South Side complex, for example, so Gordan Street is not a big neighborhood, but yet it will be drastically affected by the flood waters in any high water event, so that is something that I think council could try to be diligent on behalf the city or the residents moving forward to help protect those residents because I would hate to see them have to receive the full blunt of the

Lackawanna River while the rest of us are enjoying protection. So thank you, I appreciate the caucus tonight.

MS. EVANS: You are welcome.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. MCCLOE: Good evening. My name is Brett McCloe, taxpayer and homeowner. I just have a couple of questions and a little observations, things that went through my mind since I made some statements last week. I was wondering if I could ask Attorney Hughes a question? Can I?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCCLOE: Is it possible, is it legal to have possibly a loss of revenue tax based on someone selling off taxable property to a nontaxable entity because in my mind I equate it to the death tax. I mean, once somebody dies they are no longer paying taxes so the government does take what they want out of the deal, so in my mind death of a property, that property has been taken off, can't -- is it possible to

create such a legislation?

MR. HUGHES: I thought it was a very intriguing statement, however, the general rule is that any municipality and political subdivision in the Commonwealth can only enact taxes that the legislature authorizes it to enact, and the subject matter of the taxation has to be allowed and also the city could impose a tax on that depending on the tax legislation.

Death taxes are something different, that's a federal government, that's state government.

 $MR.\ MCCLOE:\ Yes,\ I\ understand.$

MR. HUGHES: Whether the city right now I doubt, and I really haven't had time to look at that and I can really only investigate things that council authorizes me to, but my general reaction is that that type of tax would not allowed under the enabling statutes, but it was an intriguing concept.

MR. MCCLOE: Oh, okay. I was just
-- we are looking as council and, you know,
the city is looking for new avenues of

revenue, I'm just trying to figure it out.

Not that a lot of property owners even sell every year, but that's just that one property this year, maybe four properties next year, you know. I just thought it would be interesting.

Also, another thing that went through my mind is in reference to like the large parking garages of the hospitals and University of Scranton, I see those as amenities and those are also covered under that nontaxable realm, and can you tax amenities is what I'm asking, also. Like, it's not germane to the operation of the school.

MS. EVANS: In other words, what I think I hear you saying is nonprofits --

MR. MCCCLOE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: -- that would own --

MR. MCCLOE: Large parking garages.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Yes. Property or let us say businesses from which they are deriving a profit --

MR. MCCLOE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: -- can those types of

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

properties be taxed or fees set against them, but I did think that the city was receiving money for the garages, hospital garages, for example.

MR. MCCLOE: Oh, really.

MS. EVANS: I'm not certain about the University of Scranton or Marywood University, however.

MR. MCCLOE: Okay. Those are just things that just went through my head, you know, as I read the newspaper and they were talking about that meeting that they had and I guess it was yesterday and they were talking about coming together and improving education levels and starting to do things for this city, but I just don't -- they are going to plan on improving education and all of things they talked about at the University of Scranton, I believe you guys should have a major role in that. there's not a school board thing improving the neighborhoods and things like that, I believe you guys should be the once directed to them. Just not the neighborhoods in front of their property, they should go to

places where it's not so seen maybe, you know, just being citizens instead of private. It takes a lot to be a citizen and they act in a way more like a civilian, and there is a difference and I had wrotten -- I had written, excuse me, a large thing about the difference between a citizen and a civilian and I'll get into that some other It will be one of the big long boring long speeches I always make, but they're following civilian suits and they need to act a little bit more as if they are citizens of this city, scholastic education of citizens of this city, they need to do a little bit more.

But as far as the other questions I asked, I was just trying get things straight in my head so maybe I can sit around and brain storm up something else and scribble all over pieces of paper and throw them behind me. All right. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Madame Chairman, if I could from what Mr. McCloe said, I think

22

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

that maybe council should ask the solicitor's office, I know at one time there was an ordinance in affect that every commercial parking facility, be it the ground or a structure, that there was a fee to the city per space per year if they leaseD it commercially. The Parking Authority I don't believe pays it because they would be exempt as an authority, however, the other structures such as hospitals, the University of Scranton where they have a commercial facility in charge, I don't know if that ordinance is still on the I don't know what the price was per space, but the city used to impose that tax. It wasn't a tax it was service fee on the stall itself, and I think that to follow-up on that I don't believe that council should look into that or that I should, I think that would be up to the solicitor's office to see, number one, if that ordinance is still on the books if it's being charged to private surface parking and if it is that is it applicable to the University of Scranton garages, to the Parking Authority garages or

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone else, any other really misonary institution, charitable organization that owns a parking lot and leases it to students and that might be it's not a tax.

I remember when I was involved with the mall that Mr. Boscov was charging and you had to pick up a ticket, you had to wait -- you had three hours free parking if it was validated at Boscov's, The Globe Store had the same thing, Oppenheim's had the same thing. If you didn't you were charged. The city went down I believe Boscov's might have had 1,800 to 2,000 parking spaces, somehow I believe that the fee might have been \$10, Mr. Boscov refused to pay it and he just opened the garage and polices it internally and there is source of revenue that the city had lost, and I don't know if he was the only one, but I believe that an inquiry would be in order to the solicitor's office to determine that. don't believe we should look at it.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, if we could send that letter, please. And, in addition, I would like to know which garages are

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contributing and in what annual amount and which garages are not contributing or are delinquent in those fees.

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, city of First of all, I'd like to thank Scranton. Mr. Ellman for the kinds words, and I can assure that there are a lot more people who read the newsletter than just Mr. Ellman as evidenced by the fact that MSNBC this week is taking off on things that I have been saying for the past three years. Two years ago I was working with a few people down in the Plot section with the flooding thing, we had some pictures in there, and contrary to popular belief, the improvement in the media is noticeable with Roger and Boris, they have been doing an excellent job. I can't say the same thing for management because they are still doing their thing, but the media is improving in this community.

And, Mr. Hughes, I'd like to compliment you, it's nice to have a user-friendly solicitor.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you. I'm glad to be in Scranton.

MR. TALIMINI: Well, it's been very unusual in this community. Several things I would like to mention with some of these nonprofits, I mentioned last week that under 501 (c) (3) there are provisions that unless it is absolutely vital to the operation of the institution or the one that's been granted the 501 (c) (3), they are taxable. Like the IRS has already determined that; am I correct, sir?

MR. HUGHES: Yes. I've done it many times, I'm familiar with the procedure.

MR. TALIMINI: Consequently, I do believe there is plenty of room for you to hit up these nonprofits. The University is just absorbing, as I said before and I said it a couple of years ago, it's an octopus, it's growing and growing and growing and we are feeding it more and more, and now with the medical college, I don't know where they are getting all of their information or who they are getting their approval from and everything else, but advocating grabbing up all of these low incoming housing projects I think the next step would probably be they

are going to try the United House which is also low income and handicap. They have already taken up playground to the kids.

Another thing probably in the future would be the acquisition of the intermediate school which means then again we are going to be get whacked with building another new school and there is no question Lackawanna College and Medical College has had their eye on Washington Plaza which is beginning to undergo renovations at a considerable cost incidentally, of course. Everybody can say, well, it's federal government money, but it's also our money because it comes out of our pockets one way or the other.

I don't believe they can grab off Washington West because it is a dedicated senior citizen project and some of the Housing Authority, but you might want to check into that.

There are so many things going on in this city and I would like to tell you one thing, I had three calls this week from national media who suddenly are finding out that Scranton is not the greatest place in

the world and any of you who are on-line can check with MSN and MSNBC you will find out that Lackawanna County and Scranton have been taking a shot this week and, as I said, I'd like to take a little credit for because I have been doing for three years now and I'm going to continue to do it. Hopefully, we will be back in print form again first of March. That depends on how things go, but I keep getting calls from people who kept saying, "When are you going to put out the newsletter, Joe?"

Well, we are working on it that's all I can tell you. And, Mrs. Evans, I would like to get some more information about the animal shelter, if I could, and I have some ideas about that. Thank you very much for your time, and again, my compliments. It's a user-friendly council.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any more speakers?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, First Amendment Rights.

First off, it appears that the Connell building reconstruction has finally gotten back on track. The 23 million dollar project is progressing. My only hope is that the delay does not translate into more taxpayer money being requested to complete the job.

On the agenda, 6-A, legislation repealing File of Council No. 95, 2000, as amended, etcetera, that's the library legislation. Last week I mentioned that I felt that the main library needed more space. By more space I don't mean building a \$16 million building to accomplish that end. I'm talking about an existing building. This would cut the cost of construction by millions and still accomplish having more room for the library.

I mentioned the former Bishop Hannon building in the 300 block of Wyoming Avenue. There is plenty of room and the building appears to be in good shape. There is plenty of parking as there is an adjacent parking lot that served as parking for the former school. This building is centrally

located and would serve the public well, not to mention saving the taxpayers millions. I believe currently that the building is owned by the Scranton Catholic Diocese and what would it hurt to approach the Diocese and ask them to donate the building to be used only as a branch of the main library? This would also make use of the vacant building. It's something to consider.

I would also like to say that there are petitions around asking for the library subject to be put on the ballot for the electorate to vote on. I say let the voters decide whether or not they want a \$16 million library built when they could save millions by using an existing building. I would ask that the council consider asking for a referendum to have the issue put on the ballot if the petition effort failed.

Please have your solicitor look into whether or not council can make the request for a referendum. Let the people, we the people, decide whether or not we want to spend millions when we could save millions especially in these economically distressed

times. Just as an example of these trying times, on page 12 of the 2010 operating budget for the city, there is a one million decrease in the wage tax revenues and the quote from page 13 of the same budget reads: Revenue from current wage tax decreased due to economic conditions in 2009 whereas the Scranton area as realized a rising unemployment. These figures have an inverse relationship with our wage tax revenue.

Maybe someone can explain to me that if the 6,000 individuals were hired in the first four years of the current administration and 3,000 more were hired in the last four years of this current administration how can we have a decrease of one million dollars in the wage tax? I did pretty good in math in school, but this had me perplexed.

Also, I'm going to ask council to amend a budget to take the bull by the horns, make the hard decisions, you will have the taxpayers' interests and the backing by them. There was 20 million cumulatively up to 2009 in raises and new

hires. That's something I'd ask you to look at, if you can. If no one got a raise in the city I would have no gripe, I couldn't complain at all, but since favorites were given raises I do have a gripe.

The court award gave raises to the police and fire. We are not getting the raises. I just want to let everybody know that interest is going to be compounding on those raises that are not being paid. The taxpayers are going to suffer again. Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, member of the Taxpayers' Association. A few days ago I read an article about graffiti vigilantes in South Side, we had a second-time victim and he was fined \$680 for not removing the graffiti on a timely basis. I would suggest that whoever those graffiti vigilantes are consider that they are not fighting for their neighborhood, they are fighting with them. Hopefully you people can do something about that, that somebody is not fined after a crime has been committed, you know, they

can't stop the graffiti, they shouldn't be penalized for it excessively.

And this is just basically a statement, park leases. Is this how our learning institutions are helping us? Excuse me, I had a great education in Scranton High School with a teacher named Cathy and that was back around '72 and she taught objective reading and part of the course was make a statement like 100 percent of all people who ate baked potatoes are going to die. Well, it's a true statement, right, but is it reasonable, well -- or worthwhile, no?

So, you know, at a dollar a year or whatever and there and now they are going to eat up Nay Aug Park and it's not going to be for the people it's going to be for parking spaces and traffic that -- traffic that the public isn't really supposed to drive around that area, now, we will have traffic in that area and so forth, and I maybe some day we could just turn it into a big parking lot.

A suggestion for and a question, we all know that there is big project to

relocate our military institutions up around North Scranton somewhere, anybody hear about that? I'm wondering what the status of the Wattress Army is going to be some day. Now, that's in our neighborhood and I would suggest that if they want to move in a town and take up more acres at least take up acres that somebody else has no longer a use for.

And a little thing I noticed in passing, I get down on the corner of Lackawanna and Washington and constantly, even after it's fixed, a tractor-trailer comes by and whacks the cross sign and it's pointed entirely in the wrong direction, the walk and don't walk sign.

MS. EVANS: Where is this?

MR. DOBRZYN: Down on Lackawanna and Washington. On the mall corner it's constantly getting whacked even though it get straightened out from month to month or after a couple of months, well, I come by two or three weeks later and it got whacked again and it's pointing down into South Side or something it's supposed to be to cross

sign may be from the 500 block to whatever the next -- the mall block is. What is that, a 400 block or something like that, the block where the mall starts on? I think it's 400, but anyway. Also, the one on the bank corner, what is it, Liberty, is that the Liberty Bank?

MS. EVANS: Yes. I believe so.

MR. DOBRZYN: That walk sign, don't walk and the walk absolutely doesn't work on it, possibly on an intermittent basis and it would be helpful in our developer shoveled those sidewalks seeing as he owns them on that corner. Last year nothing was ever done with them.

And finally on compensation for nonprofits, I know the people are telling you don't have a chance -- didn't even have a chance to warm your seats up, but in the future make we could get something on it and let's not give up because it's the state constitution that locks you into this and these institutions are looking for places to settle, but, you know, how much philanthropy can we afford with five colleges in a town,

it's just not reasonable and we can't keep 1 having land going off the tax base, so if 2 3 the state wants to tell us what to do with our land maybe they can some day provide a 4 little compensation for what we have to do 5 with our land. Thank you and have a good 6 7 night. 8 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 9 MR. SLEDZENSKI: Janet, I'm the last 10 Always the last one, Janet you know one. 11 that. 12 MS. EVANS: Save the best for last. 13 MR. SLEDZENSKI: I didn't forget 14 you, you know that. I don't forget nobody? 15 I don't forget nobody, do I? 16 MS. EVANS: No, you don't. 17 MR. SLEDZENSKI: Well, guys I'll say 18 it right now, this is it. Dave, let's keep 19 it up. All the firemen down there, 20 everybody, keep it up down there. I'm proud 21 of you guys. Thank you. 22 Anyone else? MS. EVANS: 23 MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 24 INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, 25 APPOINTMENTS AND REAPPOINTMENTS TO BOARD AND

COMMISSIONS, MOTIONS AND REPORTS OF THE 1 COMMITTEES. 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - AN 2 3 ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS --4 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, we have to go 5 through motions first. 6 7 MS. KRAKE: Oh, I'm sorry. 8 MS. EVANS: That's okay. Mr. McGoff, 9 do you have any motions or comments? 10 MR. MCGOFF: Please. A couple of, 11 things. First, my confusion with 12 Mr. Joyce's motion last week was not a 13 manner of listening, although, my hearing is 14 getting worse with my advancing age, it was 15 more of an eyesight issue. I read it 16 quickly without my glasses on and failed to 17 see part of it and my bad. Apology if there 18 was, you know --19 MR. JOYCE: That's okay. Your 20 apology is accepted. 21 MR. MCGOFF: -- any insult. I would 22 say though that even it did not alter my 23 objection to the motion that was made so. 24 But I'm most -- I'm glad to see that 25 Mr. Patilla finally recognized my presence

on council. Most evenings he comes in and addresses all of the other members of council, so for that I am quite pleased and I do agree with him respect is a two-way street and hopefully we can practice that.

And one response to last week a little bit, I have been in the Scranton area for most of the 60 years, I have taught in the area, I have coached in the area for more than 35 years, I belong to many organizations in the community, I participated in a number of civic activities and certainly in the past three years as a member of the council I have had the opportunity to interact with any number of city residents. While I didn't canvas the city for votes in 2009, I believe that my experiences and interactions with people do provide me with some credibility for a perspective audience in the city.

In addition, I, too, won an election to this seat as some people may remember, and therefore, I believe that that gives me again some credibility and some connection to the people of the City of Scranton.

Winning an election doesn't give you an exclusive grasp on the truth. It gives you an opportunity to present a perspective for people to consider and the insinuation that was made last week that somehow I was out of touch and didn't have that right I thought it was somewhat unprofessional and somewhat insulting.

And the last thing, a copy of the rental registration ordinance was in our mailboxes, is this an indication that we are ready to appoint --

MS. EVANS: That would be --

MR. MCGOFF: -- a coordinator?

MS. EVANS: We are ready to take a look at the ordinance and see what changes need to be made so that an election can occur and have consequences to failure to meet requirements.

MR. MCGOFF: Has any movement been made on an RFP or, you know, any consideration of hiring somebody for the post that was created?

MS. EVANS: No, not at this time.

That was --

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: That was the first step in that. I had asked Mrs. Krake to provide every council member with a copy of the ordinance so that you can examine it for the changes that you requested should be made.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. And the last thing, comments on the Library Authority I guess I will save again until legislation. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan, any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: A few. First, I would like to start off by responding to what Mr. McGoff said. Last week Mr. McGoff made the comment to me that -- well, to the whole council that we should talk to the members on the Library Authority, and I actually did put in two phone calls that were not returned, but the comment I made was that he should speak to some of the other residents in the city who weren't on the Library Authority, and from my time campaigning and my time on council only one person has written me in support of this authority.

There have been dozens of people who have written to me at council and sent e-mails who are afraid of what's going to become of this Library Authority, not to mention all of the people out during day-to-day activities that will stop you at places and say, "I really like what you are guys are doing to get rid of the Library Authority." That's all that that comment was, but moving on.

I mentioned this earlier on in the meeting, but I would like to mention it again because it's worth repeating. I would like to inform all of the residents of the this city that tokens can be purchased for the city's parking meters at a discounted rate from the city treasurer's office. Ten dollars worth of tokens can be purchased for just \$8.

Last week I met with Linda Aebli,
who is the director of our Office of
Economic and Community Development. We
spoke at length about many of the ongoing
projects that her office is overseeing, and
I asked Mrs. Aebli to send council monthly

updates from her office so council can be better informed of all ongoing projects, future projects and outstanding loans.

Mrs. Aebli agreed to my request and council should be receiving January's report within a few weeks.

This week, Mr. Loscombe, Mrs. Krake and myself met with several people from Pennsylvania Economy League and the city's administration. We spoke at length about the city's finances and our large debt. Mr. Cross informed me that PEL will be sending the city a proposed Recovery Plan between four to six weeks. Unfortunately, nobody from the Pennsylvania Economy League offered any details on what might be included in the revised Recovery Plan. As soon as I learn any details on what is in the plan, I will be sure to notify my colleagues on council as well as the public.

This week I received a few complaints regarding the handicapped ramp on the corner of Spruce Street and Wyoming Avenue. Residents complain that the concrete ramp is crumbling and is becoming

impassable. Mrs. Krake, could you please send a letter to the DPW asking them to look into repairing this ramp?

And finally, I would like to extend my condolences to Mr. Loscombe and his family for their loss of two beloved family members this past week, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Loscombe, any motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Not really. I have a few citizens' concerns that I have to put together and I'll get them to Mrs. Krake and Mr. Rogan already explained about the meeting, so he took my thunder on that one.

MR. ROGAN: Sorry about that.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's okay. It's a hectic week so I really didn't have a lot to get together. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Joyce, any motions or comments?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Last week, I requested that a letter be sent to MEM, Municipal Energy Managers to a repair a streetlight on the corner of 10th Avenue and Luzerne, and I'm very happy to say that I

have been informed from Mr. Kerns from MEM that that streetlight has been repaired and is up and running, so I would like to recognize him and thank him for a having this light repaired so quickly as it was out of service for quite sometime and it started to become quite concern to some of the residents that live around that area.

A few citizens' requests. I received a request from a citizen earlier this week who resides on the 300 block of Cayuga Street in North Scranton regarding the amount and the depth of the potholes on her block. Her along with other residents on this block have been complaining about the wear and tear that the has been taking on their automobiles, and with this in mind, Mrs. Krake, if you could send a letter on behalf of council to Mr. Brazil asking him to assess the situation and repair the potholes accordingly.

Also, council's office received a request from the man who frequents the downtown area and he uses an electric wheelchair. He had pointed out that the

curb on the corner of Spruce and Wyoming is broken up making it very difficult for him along with many of the others seniors who may use wheelchairs downtown to maneuver about the downtown area. So, Mrs. Krake, if you could also please send out a letter to Mr. Brazil asking him to repair this, that would be greatly appreciated and that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce. I think the request was already made, but I am very pleased to see that councilmen are so anxious to help the people of this city, so not one, but two requests, and I commend all of you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, before -sorry, could I just add one thing I forgot.
The River Street bridge work that's being
done, I know Mrs. Schumacher has, you know,
talked about the lights and things that have
been going on there, I'm not sure if that's
a city or a PennDOT project, but it would
be -- I think it would be nice to find out
when that is going to be completed. It's
been a mess now for quite a long while.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Two years.

MR. MCGOFF: Two years. Hopefully that's -- I would hope that that's incorrect, but I'll ask Mrs. Krake if she could send something to PennDOT and I'll put it in writing to get an update on that project. And I'm sorry to interrupt.

MS. EVANS: No, not at all.

MR. JOYCE: I'm sorry, I had one more comment. I know -- I'm sorry. I know last week Mrs. Schumacher had asked if the city was going to post my e-mail address to the website and I'm not sure if they currently are. I did put -- okay. I have elected to use my own private e-mail address to take citizens comments and to complaints. It's my name, FrankJoyce@live.com. L-I-V-E.com I have put in a request that it it be posted to the website, but I'm not sure if they will be able to Honor my request since it's not a Scrantonpa.gov e-mail address, and that's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening.

Council will advance the ordinance which

repeals the Library Authority to it's final

reading tonight. It's possible that the mayor will veto this legislation. Should the mayor exercise his veto, the legislation will return to council for an override vote hopefully by a supermajority. At that time the ordinance will become law, and as such the city has the responsibility to enforce it if necessary.

Should the members of the newborn
Library Authority and/or the city
administration choose to oppose the law and
city council, council will stand firm. At
this time, I would like to defer to Attorney
Hughes for his comments regarding the
Library Authority article that was published
last week.

MR. HUGHES: Yes, Madame Chairman, Council, the public. When I was instructed to draft the ordinance for consideration tonight repealing File of Council No. 9, 2010, one of the first things I did was to check the Department of State website to see if the Scranton Public Library Authority was formed. As of Thursday, that would have been Thursday, January 14, there was nothing

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the website. I also checked LANDEX which has all of the Lackawanna County deeds recorded on it, there were no deeds recorded. Because there is a lag time between the Department of State Corporate Bureau in obtaining documents and the posting them on the website, I called the corporate bureau in Harrisburg. I talked with Bill at that time and he told me that they had received the application to create the authority, it was logged in on January 14, had been rejected because it was incomplete and for that reason, as you know, the city ordinance I used the term that had it had been rejected.

Last week I then called back again after they said on the 15th that it had been filed, I thought there might have been a lag time knowing how corporate -- how the state government works, sometimes it's by form, they just send it out in the mail that night and sometimes it's by letter.

However, I talked with Diana on

January 21 about 9:30 in the morning. She

told me that the Scranton Public Library

Authority had been rejected. At the time it is rejected it is not given an entity number, however, on the 15th the documents had been resubmitted, they could have been my fax, I don't know, she is only looking at the television screen just to see what's up there, and it had been given an entity number sometime on the 15th. I don't know -- there is nothing on the screen to say exactly how the city was informed that it had been rejected, whether it was by fax or whether it was by form letter received on Saturday -- on Friday morning on the 15th and then returned by the time I checked there was nothing there.

It had been assigned an entity number on the 15th, so that that would be the date. What happens is that when the rejection letter was sent back, when you complete your filing it would then go back into the date that -- to either the date that it was submitted or the next day. That clarifies all of the information that we had and from the notes of my telephone conversation the ordinance as submitted that

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said that it had been rejected was correct.

If you want me to comment on anything else on the resolution I will. Ι know there is some comment in the Times, they cited a different section. There is a case that, first of all, the case that has been --it's a Commonwealth Court case, a very interesting case, it's Township of Forks vs. Forks Township Municipal Sewer Authority. That was decided under the former Municipal Authorities Act under Section 321. There has been a codification of Municipal Authorities Act, it is now in 53 of Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes Section 5602 is a virtual Section 5601. reenactment of the old Section 321. checked them word for word. It's virtually the same statute, but the Commonwealth Court said the Township of Forks had created the Forks Township Municipal Sewer Authority, the Municipal Sewer Authority had financed the construction of the sewer plant and leased it back to the municipality. Township of Forks operated the Sewer Authority, collected the revenues, gave the

money to the authority to pay off the bond issue.

There came a point years later when the township decided that there was no need for the authority, they ended up drafting an ordinance, passing the ordinance to dissolve the Authority under Section 321, ordered the Authority to payback all of the -- pay off it's bonds, to convey all of the property to the township and also all reserve funds.

The Authority refused. The township took them to Court, the Commonwealth Court ruled that pursuant to the Municipal Authorities Act the township could dissolve the Authority.

Using that case, we have appellate—what we call -- we lawyers call appellate decision that under the Municipal Authorities Act that the governing body, the City of Scranton through this ordinance can repeal the former ordinance that created the authority. At this point I don't think anybody knows as to whether it is taken on -- if anything has been transferred or if it has any monies to operate. However, what

the statute says is that upon the Authority being terminated it shall, as I previously stated, it shall reconvey all of it's properties to the municipality. There is no wishy-washy words. Shall, under statute interpretation, is the equivalent of must. There is no discretion involved. If the word was "may" the Authority could continue to hold the property, but the word is "shall."

As a result, with the adoption of the ordinance, the Authority would be terminated. Whether the Authority could ignore the ordinance and continue to operate it would be what we call an ultravirus act which means it is acting without authority. It's legal existence would have been terminated and really should not continue to operate. And what would happen is that in the event that any of the real estate, the real property has been transferred to the authority it would have to be transferred back. The board of trustees that was created almost 120 years ago and has operated the library for 120 years would

continue to operate the library, so the library would still exist, however, it would be operated by the trustees, the board of trustees, as is provided by a city ordinance going -- that was enacted back in 1889 when the Albrights conveyed the Albright Library to the City of Scranton.

I have nothing further on that unless any member of council has a question.

MS. EVANS: Any questions?

MR. MCGOFF: If we are discussing it now I guess that the two questions that I had before. Can I ask --

MR. HUGHES: If I could, Mr. McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes,

MR. HUGHES: I believe that I was just asked to comment. I believe that that would be in accordance with Robert's Rules of Order is that there should -- the ordinance should be introduced and on the floor before there is discussion on it. I believe I was just asked to comment from a historical standpoint. I'm not commenting on the ordinance as council members would, but there should be an ordinance introduced,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seconded, be on the floor and then entertain all questions.

MR. MCGOFF: That's fine.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. While council has a staff of three employees and one part-time solicitor, the mayor has a staff of hundreds and feeds a battalion of attorneys both within and well beyond the city's legal department. With this in mind, council hopes the administration will refrain from wasting taxpayers' dollars on law firms to oppose legally adopted legislation. The mayor is an elected official of strong will, resolve and vision, all admirable leadership qualities except when transformed into obstinacy and exercised against the will of the people he serves.

Your new council majority is equally strong willed and is resolved to stand firm for the people and their priorities. Among other things, this council majority ran on a platform of providing a system of checks and balances to the mayor. He has been overindulged by former councils and it is

now time for a council diet. The mayor must learn to work with rather than dictate to council.

Since the new council session opened on January 4, council has accepted all agenda items from the mayor and approved them. Council has demonstrated it's cooperation and desire to keep the city moving forward. It now behooves the mayor and the members of the Library Authority to cooperate not only with Scranton City Council, but more importantly, with the taxpayers and residents of Scranton who oppose the Library Authority and the construction of the new facility.

Countless residents fear the unchecked power of the municipal authorities and cannot afford a new library at this time or any time in the near future. Such taxpayers do not agree to a small select group dictating their needs, making decisions for them and then handing them the bag of bills. Consequently, when the people of Scranton's needs and desires are at stake, we are unwavering and we will pursue

this issue on their behalf as long and as far as we are able. The new council shares your vision for a responsible financial future.

Next, Councilman Loscombe, Joyce, and Rogan have agreed to serve as the council representatives to the Pennsylvania Economy League. They will alternate their attendance in order that only two council members will be present at any given meeting and I thank these gentlemen for stepping up to the plate.

Next, council has invited Mr. Austin Burke, President of the Greater Scranton Chamber of Commerce, Attorney Richard Bishop, Chairman of the Chambers Government Affairs Committee, and Mr. Jeffery Rubel, vice-president to a public caucus of Scranton City Council in February to discuss economic and job growth in Scranton.

Council is currently awaiting their reply.

Also, I received a request from a resident to explain the \$52 tax levied by the city. This tax is known as the local services tax or LST. The total tax levied

remains limited to \$52. Anyone whose total earned income and net profit from all sources within the political subdivision is \$12,000 or more annually is subject to the tax. It is withheld from your earnings by your employer and must be assessed and collected on a prorated basis, determined by the number of payroll periods established by the employer for a calendar year.

Also, taxpayers are not subject to payment of the LST at more than one place of employment during a payroll period. For self-employed individuals the tax should be prorated and paid on a quarterly basis to the tax collector for 30 days after the end each calendar quarter.

Finally, the law requires
municipalities to use at least 25 percent of
the local services tax for emergency
services including police, fire, and
ambulance protection. The remainder must be
used for road construction and maintenance
or reduction of property taxes through the
implementation of a homestead or farmstead
exemption. Those who earn less than \$12,000

annually should visit the state government LST website to learn how to obtain an exemption.

And finally, I have a few citizens' request: I received reports from residents of East Mountain that the street sweeper was hard at work in their neighborhood a few days ago. Council is pleased by that work and asks that in good weather all streets that have been heavily cindered will be swept.

A table and picnic bench were placed on the ice at Mountain Lake. If it has not already submerged into the water, please remove it before an accident may occur.

Citizens sent me information
regarding a program called CARE, call
reassurance to provide well-being checks and
medical and general reminders for senior
citizens and checks on Latchkey children
offered through Database System Corporation.
Perhaps, we could fax this information to
the County Area on Aging and the school
district for their possible review, and I
brought that along this evening, Mrs. Krake.

I received a letter from an East

Mountain resident regarding his suggestions

for the opening of the operating budget. I

want him to know they are valid suggestions

and many will be included in council's

amendment and I thank him for his

assistance.

Residents of the Grove Street area report a junk vehicle, a camper, dirt piles, pipes, etcetera are located in a field on Grove Street. They complain these vehicles and debris have created an eyesore in their neighborhood and ask that a city inspector would review these issues. Hopefully the inspector can speak with the owner of the vehicles and make appropriate arrangements for their removing or relocation. And that's all.

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-B. FOR

INTRODUCTION — AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING

THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY

OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN

AGREEMENT OF CLARIFICATION TO LAND USE

RESTRICTIONS AND EXCEPTIONS MADE IN

ACCORDANCE WITH FEDERAL AND COMMONWEALTH

1	REQUIREMENTS MADE AMONG THE CITY OF SCRANTON
2	("THE CITY"), THE COMMONWEALTH OF
3	PENNSYLVANIA ("THE COMMONWEALTH"), AND THE
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH THE
5	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
6	("FEMA") IN REFERENCE TO THE REAL PROPERTY
7	` '
	("PROPERTY") HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS THE
8	"HAZARD MITIGATION LAND" IN ORDER TO CLARIFY
9	THAT THE DEED RESTRICTIONS DO NOT PROHIBIT
10	THE CITY FROM ERECTING A FLOOD LEVEE UPON
11	THE HAZARD MITIGATION LAND DESCRIBED MORE
12	FULLY IN EXHIBIT "A" OF THE AGREEMENT.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
15	introduced into it's proper committee.
16	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 173, 2009 ENTITLED "AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 122. 2009 ENTITLED 'AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO SUPPLEMENTAL REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT NO. 040809-F WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGARDING THE LACKAWANNA AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT FOR THE PURPOSE OF INCREASING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE OF THE PROJECT DUE TO CONSTRUCTION COSTS OVERRUNS' TO REFLECT LOWER CONSTRUCTION COSTS AS SET FORTH ON EXHIBIT 'AAAAAAA' PROJECT COSTS APPENDED TO SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT NO. 040809-F" BY MAKING FURTHER REVISIONS PURSUANT TO CORRESPONDENCE DATED NOVEMBER

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into it's proper committee.

13, 2009 FROM PENNDOT.

MR. JOYCE: So moved.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6 - A . 7 8 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 9, 9 2010 - AN ORDINANCE - REPEALING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 95, 2009 (AS AMENDED) AN 10 ORDINANCE ENTITLED "SIGNIFYING THE 11 INTENTION AND DESIRE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE 12 CITY OF SCRANTON TO ORGANIZE AN AUTHORITY TO 13 14 BE KNOWN AS THE SCRANTON PUBLIC LIBRARY AUTHORITY UNDER PROVISIONS OF THE ACT OF THE 15 16 GENERAL ASSEMBLY, KNOWN AS THE MUNICIPALITY 17 AUTHORITIES ACT, 53 PA. C.S. 56; SETTING 18 FORTH AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION; APPOINTING AND FIXING THE 19 TERMS OF THE FIRST MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF 20 SUCH AUTHORITY; AND UPON ORGANIZATION 21 CONVEYING TITLE TO THE AUTHORITY FOR THE 22 23 PROPERTIES ON WHICH THE ALBRIGHT MEMORIAL 24 LIBRARY, THE GREEN RIDGE LIBRARY AND 25 THE SILKMAN HOUSE ARE LOCATED; AUTHORIZING

ALL OTHER NECESSARY ACTION: AND REPEALING 1 ALL INCONSISTENT ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF 2 3 ORDINANCES. RESOLUTIONS OR PARTS OF RESOLUTIONS." 4 MS. EVANS: You have heard reading by 5 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 6 MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a 7 8 motion that we suspend the Rules of Council 9 and move Item 6-A to seventh --10 MS. EVANS: Right now we want to vote 11 on this motion and then you can make a 12 second motion. 13 MS. JOYCE: I'm sorry. Okay. 14 MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Chairman. I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title. 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 17 MS. EVANS: On the question? 18 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. If I may ask 19 Attorney Hughes two questions? 20 MS. EVANS: Certainly. 21 MR. MCGOFF: I believe that you may 22 have answered these prior, but just for 23 reaffirmation sake and for my sake. First 24 one, does the facts that the authority was 25 incorporated on Friday, January 15, prior to

the introduction of this ordinance, does that in any way affect the legislation?

MR. HUGHES: No, it doesn't because the Authorities Act and the case that I previously cited was the existing authority for over ten years, the local governmental agency and the City of Scranton in this situation can at any time move to dissolve the authority. You do not have to move to dissolve it before it is actually filed.

The statute under the Municipal Authorities Act contemplates that the Authority had already been formed and is in existence, so the section of the statute that I cited 5622 authorizes, you know, authorizes that the repeal of the ordinance and that once the authority is -- that it's purpose is that it's repealed it then ceases legally to exist and has to convey all of it's property back to the city.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. I guess you probably just answered my second question as well, does repealing the ordinance creating a Library Authority automatically abrogate the articles of incorporation?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUGHES: No. The Authority would have been incorporated. However, it's been incorporated, but then it has to dissolve. I have never dissolved an authority, I have been solicitor for several authorities, I have never been involved in anything that's ever been dissolved. I have never looked into that where they would have to -ordinarily a corporation could file articles of dissolution whether an authority would do that on ceasing to exist, I haven't looked into that, I will look into that for the next meeting, but once it's authority to -once it's existence terminates by the statute that created it being repealed and it's order to turn over all it's property back to the City of Scranton, it no longer can legally exist.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much. I would just like to reaffirm my opposition to the ordinance based on not the supposed building of the new library, but based on the presentations made by members of the library board who -- and others who feel -- felt that the creation of the authority was

1 a proper way to ensure that the continued 2 operation of the library as we know it and 3 hopefully at some point in the not necessarily near future, but sometime in the 4 5 future to expand and to improve the library system, and that is why I felt that the 6 7 authority was a worthwhile venture. 8 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on 9 the question? All those in favor signify by 10 saying aye. 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 13 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 14 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: No. 15 16 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 17 moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Okay. Now, I would like 19 to make a motion that we suspend the Rules of Council and move Item 6-A into 7-K for 20 21 final passage. 22 MS. EVANS: Second. On the question? 23 All those in favor signify by saying aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: No. MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 4 5 moved. MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R 6 7 <u>CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -</u> 8 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 1, 2010 -9 AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING 10 A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES ON THE 11 12 TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING 13 14 THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH TAX: CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES 15 UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING 16 17 PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE 18 REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND FIVE TENTHS 19 PERCENT (2.5%) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2010. 20 MS. EVANS: What is the 21 recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance? 22 23 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 25 passage of Item 7-A.

1

1 MR. ROGAN: Second. 2 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 3 call, please? MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 5 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 7 8 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce. 10 11 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 12 13 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 14 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 15 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 16 THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -17 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 2, 2010 - AMENDING FILE 18 OF THE COUNCIL NO. 7, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A MERCANTILE 19 LICENSE TAX OF 2 MILLS FOR THE YEAR 1976 AND 20 21 ANNUALLY THEREAFTER UPON PERSONS ENGAGING IN 22 CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND BUSINESSES THEREIN; PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY AND COLLECTION AND 23 24 FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MERCANTILE LICENSES; 25 CONFERRING AND IMPOSING POWERS AND DUTIES

	'''
1	UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR OF THE CITY OF
2	SCRANTON; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY
3	IMPOSING THE MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX AT ONE
4	(1) MILL FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2010.
5	MS. EVANS: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Finance?
8	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-B.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION BY</u>

25

THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 3, 2010 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE RATE OF TWO (2) MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS RECEIPTS THEREFROM: REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE TAX AS CONDITION TO THE CONDUCTING OF SUCH BUSINESS; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX; PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS AND RECORDS; CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR: AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE BUSINESS PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF ONE (1) MILL FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2010.

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance?

MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-C.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

1 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 call, please? 2 3 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 4 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan. 5 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 6 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe. 7 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 9 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 10 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 13 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. 14 MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -15 16 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 4, 2010 - AMENDING FILE 17 OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 18 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE 19 20 AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, 21 22 INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO 23 ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR 24 NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR 25 WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS

1	CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
2	REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS
3	SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO
4	COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE
5	ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT
6	OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR
7	THE VIOLATIONS", BY IMPOSING THE WAGE
8	TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT (2.4%) ON
9	EARNED INCOME FOR THE YEAR 2010 FOR
10	RESIDENTS.
11	MS. EVANS: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
13	Committee on Finance?
14	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
16	passage of Item 7-D.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
19	call, please?
20	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

	115
1	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MS. KRAKE: 7-E. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION BY</u>
7	THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
8	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 5, 2010 - AMENDING FILE
9	OF THE COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN
10	ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND
11	SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY
12	SETTING THE MILLAGE LAND .103145; BUILDINGS
13	.022432 FOR THE YEAR 2010.
14	MS. EVANS: What is the
15	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
16	Committee on Finance?
17	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
18	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
19	passage of Item 7-E.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
22	call, please?
23	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
25	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.

1 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 2 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce. 4 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 5 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 6 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 7 8 Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted. 9 MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 10 THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 6, 2010 - AMENDING FILE 11 OF THE COUNCIL NO. 17, 1994 ENTITLED "AN 12 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE 13 14 GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENACT 'A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION 15 FEE' FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING REVENUE TO 16 17 COVER THE WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR 18 THE DISPOSAL OF REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE 19 DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE OF \$178.00 20 FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2010. 21 22 MS. EVANS: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Finance? 25 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the

	117
1	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
2	passage of Item 7-F.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
5	call, please?
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
8	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.
9	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce.
13	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
14	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
15	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
16	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
17	MS. KRAKE: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
18	THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
19	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 7, 2010 - AMENDING FILE
20	OF THE COUNCIL NO. 145 OF 2007 ENTITLED "AN
21	ORDINANCE RENAMING THE EMERGENCY AND
22	MUNICIPAL SERVICES TAX ("EMST") TO LOCAL
23	SERVICE TAX ("LST") AND BY IMPOSING A
24	WITHHOLDING OF \$52.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR
25	2010.

	118
1	MS. EVANS: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
3	Committee on Finance?
4	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-G.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-H. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION BY</u>
22	<u>THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION</u> -
23	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 8, 2010 - AMENDING FILE
24	OF COUNCIL NO. 49, 2008, AN ORDINANCE
25	ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET

	113
1	2009" BY TRANSFERRING \$1,410.00 FROM ACCOUNT
2	NO. 01.011.00011.4470 (TRAINING &
3	CERTIFICATION) TO ACCOUNT NO.
4	01.011.00011.4101 (UNIFORM ALLOWANCE-
5	MILEAGE) TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO REIMBURSE
6	EMPLOYEES IN THE PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT
7	FOR MILEAGE.
8	MS. EVANS: What is the
9	recommendation of the Chair for the
10	Committee on Finance?
11	MR. JOYCE: As Chair for the
12	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
13	passage of Item 7-H.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
16	call, please?
17	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
23	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce.
24	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
25	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-I. FOR CONSIDERATION

BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION
RESOLUTION NO. 4, 2010 - APPOINTMENT OF MRS.

COLLEEN GLEASON, 2104 CAPOUSE AVENUE,

SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509 AS A MEMBER OF

THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION AUTHORITY

TO SERVE THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF JOSEPH S.

GUIDO THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2012.

MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-I.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? I had indicated at last week's meeting that I had made several attempts to speak with Mrs. Gleason. I was able to do so several days ago. I had asked her if she believed Recreation Authority meetings should be held in council chambers and televised so that the people of Scranton who are affected by the decisions of the Recreation Authority can be better informed and I was very pleased to hear that Ms. Gleason agreed to

that. She indicated she, of course, would have to discuss this matter with her fellow board members. I believe their next meeting will be held on Monday evening, February 1, at Weston Field, and again, it was very refreshing to hear a board member in agreement with the people that meetings should be held in a place where it is accessible to the public and in a place where it can be televised thereby informing fully the public.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to add, I did not speak to Mrs. Gleason, but I spoke to people who know her very closely and they said that, you know, she is very active in the community and does a great job in everything that she puts her mind to so I'm confident she will make a great addition to the board as well.

MS. EVANS: And we wish her well.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question? Roll call, please?

MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

	122
1	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.
2	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce.
6	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
8	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
9	Item 7-I legally and lawfully adopted.
10	MS. KRAKE: 7-J. <u>FOR CONSIDERATION BY</u>
11	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
12	RESOLUTION NO. 5, 2010 - AUTHORIZING THE
13	DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
14	TO ACT AS AGENT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR
15	EMERGENCY AND DISASTER RELIEF PURSUANT TO
16	THE ROBERT T. STAFFORD DISASTER RELIEF
17	AND EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE ACT.
18	MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
19	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
20	passage of Item 7-J.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
23	call, please?
24	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

1 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 2 3 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 4 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce. 5 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 6 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 9 Item 7-J legally and lawfully adopted. before Mrs. Krake reads our addition 10 11 tonight, 7-K, Library Authority legislation, is there anyone in attendance this evening 12 13 who wishes to speak on 7, formerly 6-A, File 14 of Council No. 920-10, the Library Authority 15 legislation? Please come forward. 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. Marie 17 Schumacher, resident and member of the 18 Taxpayers' Association. I am in favor of 19 the repeal, but I do have a couple of 20 questions. As I understand the legislation 21 does not become final until ten days have 22 passed from the transaction by council 23 unless it's either signed or vetoed? 24 MS. EVANS: The mayor has 10 days in

which to sign or veto a piece of

25

legislation. At that time it's returned to council at their next regular meeting it's placed on the agenda or an override vote.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So what I did see a legal notice in the paper that the Library Authority is holding it's first meeting at 1:00 p.m., I believe this Thursday. What does that do to that authority meeting? Are they -- when this passes are they still an authority, do they still meet and transact business until such time as this becomes law?

MS. EVANS: Attorney Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: I feel like the answer man. The authority has been created, the authority in my opinion can meet. With the adoption of this ordinance tonight, the mayor would have ten days to either sign it or to veto it. If it's vetoed by the mayor it's then going to come back to council and the council has to overtake other action to override the mayor's veto and it requires four votes, so that the process could take another two to three weeks.

In the mean time, they have a

meeting.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And then as I didn't bring my library file tonight, but as you may recall last year I noted that the Silkman House deed specified that it must be at least partially used as a library and that is something that hasn't happened for a number of years and I am wondering who has the responsibility for adhering to that deed of trust?

MS. EVANS: The board of trustees?

MS. SCHUMACHER: The answer man.

MS. HUGHES: I have never looked at the deed for any of the libraries, often there are two ways that when that grant is made and there is what we call a restrictive covenant in the deed which means that it cannot be conveyed or that it can only be used for a specific purpose. There is two ways, there is an automatic power of termination there could be in the deed so that if that were never used again for that purpose but for another purpose it would automatically revert to the heirs of the grantor. There

is also what's called a power of termination where you could put a covenant in the deed, however, the heirs of the grantor would have to come in, exercise their power to terminate the current use and then revest title back into the heirs of who conveyed it.

I have never looked at the Albright Memorial deed as to what's required there. It could be possible that if there were an attempted, let's put it this way, I do not have the answers to whether the heirs of -- the Albright heirs when that was transferred to the city 120 years ago if that deed required city ownership forever with the board of trustees, so that is it possible that a conveyance by the city to the authority could result in the termination of the library so that it would revert to the Albright heirs, I don't know the answer to that.

I certainly think that before anyone would transfer -- would issue a deed from the City of Scranton to the Library

Authority for the Albright Memorial Library

somebody should do an in-depth title search to determine what the actual language is. I mean, it's probably a handwritten -- I don't know if it's a handwritten deed back then.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It is.

MR. HUGHES: I have read many
handwritten deeds with coal clauses going
back before the time of the Civil War. I
think somebody before -- it's not council's
duty to look at it, is there a possibility
back then that there could have been a
restriction so that the property could never
be conveyed by the city, that's possible.

There was a recent case, I don't believe it's in -- in fact, I think it is in Pennsylvania, it might be up in Honesdale where it was the property was to be used as park there was a conveyance of it, the heirs came in and terminated it and the Court ruled that the heirs to the property reverted to the heirs. I hope that somebody really looks at that deed and gets an opinion. I don't know the answer to that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I think you have answered my questions. I thank you and

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I look forward to the vote. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowtiz, South Scranton resident. I also am in favor of the repeal of the Library Authority. We have seen what the authorities have done in the City of Scranton. You know, for years and years and years the firemen and the policemen unions were blamed for destroying Scranton and raping the citizens of Scranton. Well, now it's the authorities proven by the Recreation Authority and thank you for correcting me, Mr. Hughes, that it's 150 years, not 125 years. For \$150 the Recreation Authority sold citizens' property, leased citizens' property to a college for 150 years or \$150.

The Parking Authority are raising rates, they are in debt, they are over their head in debt. The Housing Authority, the head of the Housing Authority has 16 federal indictments against him and we want to create another authority? For what? So that the so-called Doherty real people and

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Fanucci my people can continue to control the citizens of this city?

We just had a meeting over at the Sewer Authority, again, trying to take away from the working people of this city, the poor people, the students, the people who actually get up at 5:00 in the morning and drive 25 miles to work or 50 miles to work while some Sewer Authority guy is making 80,000, 90,000 a year or some Recreation Authority, some attorney by the name of Kelly signs over property that belongs to me as a life-long resident and born in the City of Scranton. I was born in Scranton State Hospital, that's not right, that's wrong and anybody who is in favor of any authority is not in favor of the citizens of Scranton. Please vote to repeal it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini. I also am in favor of repealing this ordinance. As I recall a commissioner came in not too long ago, mentioned the library and he said the county could not afford the 20 -- or the ten, 12 or whatever it was, and they not

anvon

only could not afford it, but they would not contribute to it. I would like to remind everybody that the county library is of the county library system and the citizens of the county pay a library tax; am I correct?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. TALIMINI: And that is what actually funds the library system. And I resent the fact that any ten people in this community, all of whom are appointed by the powers that be, are going to represent me or any other citizens of this town or this county who uses that library system.

Now, there are probably 100,000 people in this community, library community I'm talking about. I don't think those ten people should outvote the 100,000 people, so until you ask the citizens of this community and the citizens of this county whether or not they want a new ordinance creating another authority which we need like a second hole in the head, this thing should be repealed, and thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DUDEK: Mike Dudek, 608 Depot Street from the Plot. When I went to the University of Scranton in the days when they actually had Jesuit priests in the classroom teaching theology and philosophy, Father Brady, my ethics professor I think expressed it best. When you have a complex issue subject it to three ethical tests: The good and the bad, the right and the wrong, and the proper and improper of human action. we take a look at the library everybody in this town who has ever heard me here knows I believe we require in this town a first class library. My question is do we require a Library Authority?

As far as the authority is concerned, the action is bad, it is wrong and it is improper. Mr. Jackowitz at the end of his message said it best, any person who supports an authority obviously he is opposed to the interest of the taxpayers. We need a library, but the mechanism of an authority is the absolute wrong way to go. Mr. Jackowitz gave so many wonderful reasons why and you do not need it. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Dave Dobrzyn once again, resident of the Scranton, member of the Taxpayers'. I, too, am opposed to the formation of the authority because I don't feel that it was done in the right way at the right time. And, furthermore, I would just like to state that some problems were pointed out about the city owning it or whatever, the insurance issues and so forth, so in the future maybe we could plan on also addressing those problems like if we had a fire it was stated that they can only get 2 million dollars and it's worth 10 million or whatever, so that's okay and the authority should be voted down, however, we need to go in a direction of figuring out how we could work around the negative aspects of not having an authority as small as they may be. Thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Next.

MR. PATILLA: Sam Patilla, Scranton resident and Scranton taxpayer. I just have two questions. The first one is why can't

the monies that will be used to create and form this Library Authority be used to renovate and update the current properties? You know, why do we have to go to 16 million dollars in debt to buy, purchase and build a building when we can use those fundraising skills to get the money that we need to just renovate the library.

Now, over the course of this

legislation, you know, we have heard

numerous suggestions that we as taxpayers

and residents of this city can use to uplift

the library and the present properties. We

can't afford it as was stated before.

Now, I also have one other question in regards to what Marie and the city solicitor, council solicitor stated earlier in terms of time. You know, I know that it's a ten-day period between the mayor and city council, but is there a grace period between the time that that authority votes on whatever it's going to vote on and it becomes factual or actual or once they vote on it is it a done deal? Do we have any leeway and play time?

MR. HUGHES: Once they vote on what?

MR. PATILLA: Whatever actions they want to vote on, you know. We can use for example and this suggestion a deed.

MR. HUGHES: The authority, I'll start with this supposition and I believe that right now the authority has been created. Everything that's been filed with the Department of State to create the authority has been accepted, so the authority is created that it can act until it's existence is terminated. So tonight is the first day, I mean, if it's voted on right and if it's approved that would be -- well, you could exclude today, but tomorrow would start the first day of 10 days that the mayor has to sign or veto the ordinance.

If he vetoes it, it's going to come back here, we are going to have to have another meeting and determine whether council will have to vote on it again to override the mayor's veto. If there is four votes, his veto is overridden and this ordinance now becomes law, so what whatever the authority did in that time period --

MR. PATILLA: Can be reversed.

MR. HUGHES: -- would be binding.

However, what the repeal ordinance states is that they are to convey everything back to the city, probably all of the monies that they have received to the board of trustees because the board of trustees would now come back in as they have been in existence for the last 120 years and have run the library for the last 120 years.

So the answer, yeah, it's -- the
Forks Township case that authority was
formed in 1969. Forks Township dissolved it
in I think it was 1998, so it was in
existence for over 30 years. I mean, I'm
sorry, in 2008, so it was in existence for
over 40 years. The township says let's
dissolve it under the Municipal Authorities
Act Section 321 which is now 5622, so that
the Commonwealth Court says that the
township had the right to dissolve it, order
that all of the bonds be paid, convey all of
the assets back to the township and any
reserve funds. Of course, you had a sinking
fund, you had a bond, so there were sinking

funds. When was done all of the sinking funds to pay off the bonds had to come back to the municipality, back to Forks Township. So there, that was there for close to 40 years. We are talking about something that might only be a couple of weeks.

MR. PATILLA: A couple of weeks, okay. Put my fears to rest. Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening again,
Nelson Ancherani. This is a first step in
giving back to the people, the taxpayers by
saving them 16 million dollars. I commend
you for your stand and should the desire to
expand exist in the future, I would ask that
an existing building be looked into. The
taxpayers, we the people, thank you and stay
strong, repeal the authority and I have a
question, could the authority execute a
contract within an architectural firm to
design a new library and what would the
consequences be?

MR. HUGHES: Is that for me?

MR. ANCHERANI: Yeah.

MR. HUGHES: I'll just give my opinion and this is Boyd Hughes' opinion,

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that could they execute a contract? Yes.

How could they pay for it? Now. I assume that they have a very tight budget, that if they did execute the contract it would have to be some source of funds to pay for it.

People talk about a 16 million dollar library, I mean, we all know what's going on in this area, in the country, in the world the financial situation. way that I believe that the library could ever look to fund a new library would be to come back to the city and have the city guarantee the bond with the full taxing power. So that if they went to the county could the county do that without the city? Sure, with the full taxing power behind them because it's not a revenue authority such as the Parking Authority or the Sewer Authority, the only way they could ever get financed because of the uncertainty -- well, first of all, because of the rating in order to sell the bonds, they came to the city, the city put it's full pledge, it's full taxing power behind those authorities so they could get a better rating on the bonds

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they could never default, so they have a much better rate.

The prime case of that is the West Virginia Turnpike, revenue bonds was never backed by the state of West Virginia. US government builds an interstate right next to the turnpike, West Virginia turnpike bonds tanked, they probably went down to ten cents on the dollar, there was no revenue. They defaulted. I mean, so you can look at unless somebody donates 16 million dollars to the Library Authority unless the county had come in and pledge it's full taxing power behind them they have to come back to the city and that's going to be up to council and if they said, no, there is no financing.

MR. ANCHERANI: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: 7-K, which was formerly
6-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON

RULES - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.

9, 2010 - AN ORDINANCE - REPEALING FILE OF

THE COUNCIL NO. 95, 2009 (AS AMENDED) AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "SIGNIFYING THE INTENTION AND DESIRE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ORGANIZE AN AUTHORITY TO BE KNOWN AS THE SCRANTON PUBLIC LIBRARY AUTHORITY UNDER PROVISIONS OF THE ACT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, KNOWN AS THE MUNICIPALITY AUTHORITIES ACT, 53 PA. C.S. 56; SETTING FORTH AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION; APPOINTING AND FIXING THE TERMS OF THE FIRST MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUCH AUTHORITY; AND UPON ORGANIZATION CONVEYING TITLE TO THE AUTHORITY FOR THE PROPERTIES ON WHICH THE ALBRIGHT MEMORIAL LIBRARY. THE GREEN RIDGE LIBRARY AND THE SILKMAN HOUSE ARE LOCATED; AUTHORIZING ALL OTHER NECESSARY ACTION; AND REPEALING ALL INCONSISTENT ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS OR PARTS OF RESOLUTIONS."

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-K.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

24

21

22

23

25

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. More questions for Attorney Hughes, give you some more air time. First, if this is, in fact, repealed, does the Library Authority that has been created have the right to appeal it's dissolution?

MR. HUGHES: No.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I have just one question as well. If this legislation passes in a moment and knowing the process that we are probably going to have to follow over the next two or three weeks, in the interim period is the Library Authority on notice, if you will, that legislation has been passed, legislation is ongoing and so it would not be in their best interest or the best interest of the people of the city to pursue any type of financial issues meaning, you know --

MR. HUGHES: I could break that answer up into two parts? The first is very simple, it's "yes." They are on notice at least that there is going to be a vote or that assuming that it's adopted, I don't

know what the vote is going to be, but whatever the vote is; and secondly, as I said before, I don't know if anyone has ever really done an in-depth, it doesn't -- let's say, I'll say an in-depth complete title search of the deed to determine exactly what it says and as to whether the city has the right to transfer that property not only to the authority, but to anyone to be used as a library. I don't know the answer to that.

I know that and I could -- this would only be an educated guess on my part that when the Albrights constructed a library and donated that to the city I bet you a dollar to a doughnut that there is a restrictive covenant in there. I don't know what it says, I don't know how it's structured. I have been through enough of them that I know that somebody should take a good hard cold look at that and for any deed that's executed to the authority, so that the ownership of the Albright public library by the City of Scranton would never be jeopardized.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: And that's my opinion as a resident of this city, as an attorney in private practice and also to this council.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to say once again that what speaks loudest about this legislation is the fact that the people that have contacted myself and my colleagues on council, the responses were overwhelming in favor of repealing this authority and two weeks ago the Scranton Times wrote an article that stated we were looking into it and the past two weeks not one person has come to council that was against what we are doing.

And finally, the most important reason why I support repealing the Library Authority is I'm not in favor of giving a blank check to anyone to deal with the taxpayers' dollars. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to echo what Mr. Rogan said and add to that. I mean, we cannot saddle our taxpayers with another large debt. I don't think any of us

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are against the library, but there is plenty of time down the road to look at it, get our finances in order and then we can pursue that route, but right now I'm in favor of voting to repeal this.

MR. JOYCE: I'll add a few comments. At this time I think that the city is in a significant amount of debt and we simply cannot afford to create an authority which may result in more debt for the city and personally I'm not a big fan of authorities. They have a lot of power, a lot of free reign and they have the ability to make decisions before or pretty much circumventing right through city council, so really I'm not in favor of this authority or the creation of any new authorities for that matter.

MS. EVANS: Roll call, please?

MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

	144
1	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-K legally and lawfully adopted. I'll
6	entertain a motion for adjournment.
7	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
8	MR. JOYCE: Second.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

П

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

J

. .

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER