		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, October 20, 2009	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	OATUENE O NABBOTTI BBB OFFICIE COMPT DESCRIPTION	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

I

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT (Not present) MS. JANET E. EVANS MS. SHERRY FANUCCI (Not present) MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 1 2 observed.) 3 MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please? MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 4 MS. EVANS: Here. 5 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms. 6 7 Fanucci. Mr. Courtright. 8 MR. COURTRIGHT: Here. 9 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Here. Let the record 10 reflect that Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci 11 12 called and said they would probably not be 13 here. Dispense with the reading of the 14 minutes. Third order. MS. GARVEY: 3-A. A. INDEPENDENT 15 AUDITOR'S REPORT OF THE SCRANTON SEWER 16 17 AUTHORITY FOR THE YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 18 2009. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 20 21 MS. GARVEY: 3-B. APPLICATIONS AND DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING 22 23 HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD ON OCTOBER 14, 24 2009. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. GARVEY: 3-C. CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING SEPTEMBER 30. 2009.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. GARVEY: That's it for Third Order.

MR. MCGOFF: Any announcements from council? Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: Please remember in your prayers this week all those who have died, particularly Michael Barrett and his dear family and friends he leaves behind. He is the brother-in-law of Andy Sbaraglia, a regular speaker at Scranton City Council meetings, and one of the kindest most generous gentlemen I know.

The Women's Society of St. Joseph
Malachite Church will sponsor a spaghetti
dinner this Thursday, October 22, from 5 to
8 p.m. at the church hall located at North
Street and St. Francis Cabrini Avenue.
Takeouts are available at 4 p.m. and ticket
costs are \$8.00 for adults and \$4.00 for

children under ten and are available at the door.

Providence United Methodist Church will conduct it's annual turkey dinner and bazar on Saturday, October 24, that's this Saturday, from 5 to 7 p.m. Takeouts are available from 4 to 5. Tickets are \$9.50 per adults and \$5 for children ages 5 through 12 and are available at the door. Don't miss this delicious pre-Thanksgiving dinner.

The Make a Difference Day Breakfast benefiting St. Francis of Assisi kitchen will be held this Saturday morning October 24, from 8 a.m. until 12 noon at the social hall of St. Peter's Lutheran Church. Tickets are \$5 for adults and \$3 for children under 12. St. Peter's church hall is also handicapped accessible. In addition, a bake sale will be held at the same time to benefit the Elm Park Emergency Food Pantry.

The Dante Literary Society will conduct it's annual fall dinner of rigatoni and meatballs on Saturday, October 24, this

Saturday, from 5 to 7 p.m. at the club rooms at 1916 Prospect Avenue, Scranton. Tickets are \$8.50 for adults and \$4.00 for children and are available at the door. Their sauce is the best in town, made from a secret recipe that the cooks will not give me, although I have been trying to pry it out of them now for years.

The Knights of Columbus and the

The Knights of Columbus and the Ladies Auxiliary of St. Pat's Church will hold their annual family pancake breakfast this Sunday, October 25, from 8 a.m. to noon at All Saints Academy School auditorium in West Scranton. This is an all you can eat pancakes or scrambled eggs bonanza together with sausage, juice, sweet rolls and beverages. Tickets are \$7.00 for adults and \$3.00 for children under 12 and may be purchased at the door. It's a great way to start your Sunday.

Also, on Sunday, October 25, at 12:30 p.m. St. Stanislas Polish National Catholic Cathedral will conduct it's pre-holiday homestyle turkey dinner at their youth center located at 530 East Elm Street

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Takeouts are available from in Scranton 10:30 to noon and ticket costs are \$10 for adults, and \$5 for children under 12. a delicious Thanksgiving meal in October and give mom a day off from cooking this Sunday.

There will be a CPW wrestling show at St. Mary's Center on Mifflin Avenue, Scranton, on Friday, October 30, to benefit a Scranton High School student Deanna Medina who is suffering from leukemia. Doors open at 6:30 and belt time is 7:00 p.m. Tickets are \$10 for adults and children ages five and under are free. Please call 570-955-0923 for tickets or visit their website at WWW.CPW2.webs.com. And that's all.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Courtright, anything?

> I'm good. MR. COURTRIGHT:

MR. MCGOFF: I just did want to say the exit conference for the independent audit with held today. Their report was a clean opinion which I am told means, and I'm quoting them, that the figures are free of any material misstatement. Bound copies of

the audit should be available tomorrow or the next day.

MS. GARVEY: Tomorrow.

MR. MCGOFF: Tomorrow for council members. Also, I neglected last week when I mentioned the Steamtown Marathon, Paul O'Hora, who works in the flood control office, was the first Scranton finisher with a time of somewhere around two hours and 40 some minutes, so congratulations to him.

And lastly, I don't do this often, but I would like to say Happy Birthday to my granddaughter, who was nine on Sunday, and my grandson will be 16 tomorrow, so Happy Birthday to them, and that is all.

MR. MCGOFF: Citizens' participation.

Mike Dudek.

MR. DUDEK: Good evening, Council.

My name is a Mike Dudek, 608 Depot Street,

Scranton, down there in the Plot. I have

some good news and also to temper that good

news a bit of an explanation. The good news

is that a multi-million dollar homeless

shelter being built in downtown Scranton is

on track and ready for completion, it should

1

8

9

7

11

10

13

12

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be done within the year, but we should temper that with the fact that the general contractor for the project is the Department of Transportation and the shelter I'm referring to is the new Lackawanna County bridge going across Lackawanna Avenue and over the river.

Now, Pax Christie, an organization that really is a whistle-blower organization in Mr. Dwyer has pointed out that we have a tremendous problem here and I think we really better take a good look at this because when we look at Scranton's unemployment rate we hear numbers nine, nine and a half, maybe even close to 10 percent, it's really closer to 20 to 22 percent. reporting regulations were changed in the Regan administration to eliminate people from the report if they quote/unquote stop seeking work, but the numbers are still there and talking the numbers of human beings are still there, and the numbers of unemployed are still there and the numbers are homeless are growing and the problems are a growing problem.

. .

I think city council could use one of it's two powers here for good. Not the legislative powers described in the Home Rule Charter, I'm talking about kind of a bully pulpit power. We are talking about adults, we are talking about city council people as adults, why don't we get all of the players that be and have them come in and sit down and take a good look at this problem and see what could be done here.

I think what could be done is that Bishop Hannon High School be used for this. The Scranton Catholic Diocese owns that building and right now the Scranton Catholic Diocese would probably be very receptive to doing something like this. The Diocese has had six years of shall we say trauma. Very difficult times without being too specific about that we all know what we are talking about here. The Diocese has had a really rough time, but now that that period is over, I think now the Diocese just may look at this as something that could be done. The mission of the Catholic church is to the poor and the homeless. This fits hand and

glove, they have that facility. It would be very easy to modify that facility. It is one diagonal block away from the soup kitchen that the church already runs, and the church along with the state government is already greatly involved in social projects.

St. James Place is something that -is a place where prisoners coming
out-of-state prison go through there and get
assimilated into society so the church and
the social issues works with state
government.

I think that we have an opportunity here to bring together the players to be, social workers the University and Marywood social sociology departments could help.

The Reverend Catherine Simmons knows ten million times more about this than I do, she would certainly be a welcome player to this. I think we should do something to take care of this problem and take care of it once and for all because we have a marvelous facility to do it with, where there are probably going to be a lot of people around that

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would help with this. Why don't we investigate this and with city council being the catalyst to bring people together and to investigate it.

I think we could wipe this problem out forever if we simply take a good look at it and once we do this with the school, it's something that has been done in this country many times before. In the 1930's in particular cities where you thought they had no money at all started building soup kitchens and homeless shelters and they managed to do it with very, very little in the way of financing, and I think we are running into the city same situation as a nation, and I don't think we should ignore our history of helping people in the time of a depression. Let's face it, the recession may be over for the economists, but the depression is just beginning for a lot of people here. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz, South
Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. I will repeat

myself from last week, in the local metropolitan area 5.7 percent of mortgage payments are 90 days or more delinquent. This figure is up 48 percent from 2008, which was only last year. Metropolitan area is Scranton, Wilkes-Barre and Hazleton. Residents will be forced to make a decision do I pay my taxes or do I pay my mortgage.

We will see some of this in 2010 and a much larger percentage in 2011. Along with taxes being raised, all the utility bills are being raised, this will cause a problem for a large portion of the Scranton population. Elected officials must pay attention to this before they vote on any issue. When the citizens fall behind on their taxes and the collection agency starts coming after them for the tax money and the fines and the fees, my belief is Scranton will become a ghost town or just another stop on the Interstate.

Unless Mr. Austin Burke finds some good paying jobs for the area and the city government rescinds that ridiculous law that includes all of those ridiculous fines and

- '

penalties, remember, the citizens and taxpayers are not responsible for the debt. The elected officials and appointed officials are. The citizens are only responsible for paying off that debt and paying the fines and penalties.

It was nice to hear about Princeton Review Incorporated purchasing Scranton based Penn-Foster Education Group. Now we wait and see how many jobs and what the salary will be. Hopefully Scranton residents will be hired. I'll be looking at that very closely.

Times-Tribune, 15 October 2009, had Mr. Austin Burke as their guest columnist. He stated in the article, "Community business education partnerships provide another impetus for improvement. Teachers, students and parents can learn about the availability of good careers in Northeastern Pennsylvania, programs such as Career First, job shadowing, facility tours and academic performance in the real world success."

The next day, Times-Tribune, 16
October 2009, "Job fair attendees seek

anything. Anything with a recurring theme in this year's Northeast Job Fair."

A 22-year-old stated, "I live at home. I'm anxious to work and leave the area, but still attended the job fair looking for anything."

By the way, this person has two degrees, one in marketing and mathematics. Who did you believe, Mr. Burke or the people who are out there looking for a job?

Times-Tribune, 20 October 2009,

"Jobs might not come back economists say,
recession may have permanently altered the
US economy."

Another good reason to get rid of the collection agency and those ridiculous fines and penalties.

Also, I would like for city council tonight to possibly pass an amendment to the OECD and try to get some free swimming in there for the Nay Aug Park for kids 15 years old and under. I believe tonight is the last vote on that. If we can get an amendment because the local citizens and businessmen and woman have contributed for

the last three years and we have sponsored over 12 events which was paid for by the generosity of the Scranton citizens and business people, so if council would be kind enough to amend that and see if we can get some OECD money for the Free Swim Program at Nay Aug Park. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonian. I listened to Mr. Barrett's figures on the Scranton Sewer Authority, but you didn't bring up American Anglican, the one they rushed to get rid of, I don't know why. Wouldn't we have been much better off if we let the Germans run the Sewer authority than what we got now.

If you remember, the mayor had to come up with \$5 million and that's why you can't vote on any raises. I don't know if you've checked with your lawyer that he read the contract and give you an opinion if what the mayor does was legal, because he took power away from you and I don't believe you should have sat there and took. I believe

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you should have fought for it.

And the man was referring to over capacity, but he didn't say anything about the leachate line coming from Dunmore. Ι wish Mr. Bolus was here because that's his pet project. How much that's putting in and he also mentioned something about phosphorous and fertilizer. How much is that coming because all that stuff coming from New York they don't differentiate like we do. We try to recycle, they don't, so that stuff is coming into the landfill, God knows what, and maybe a lot of them problems that we have with that Sewer Authority is caused by that leachate line, and you sat by and you watched them take part in Moosic and add them to our system, so we are in effect paying for some Moosic's leachate and so forth, and they are not paying our rate, they are paying their rate like as if we didn't exist, so in hence we are out there kissing the people of Moosic and we are paying part of their sewer bill and God knows what we are going to be paying for that sewer bill.

Nobody really looks ahead except the mayor. He saw 200 million dollars of contracts. That is the main reason why American Anglican got thrown out of there. Contracts. The heart of the system. If you want to run for anything you need money and nothing gives you more money than contracts. I always said before, the whole system depends on two things contracts and patronage and the poor people on the outside they are not on the inside, they suffer and they will continue to suffer until they wake up and realize don't vote bipartisan, don't

vote by name, vote with your brain. Look at

That's your only hope for a

the candidates and understand the

better society anyway and we lack it in

We do lack it.

candidates.

Scranton.

As they said before, too many of us are Democrats and we vote straight. That's not the way to do it. You got to vote with your brain if you hope for a better future. There is lot of things on the agenda, but I thought the sewer system being that it was brought up would be a good topic to speak

about. I have been following some of them for years. I have been following some things they may do to correct it.

And there is also a system where they said they can put classic pipe in the liners throughout the system and they also told me, I used to talk to some of the people that used to be on the board of the Sewer Authority, and they said a lot of the pipes in Scranton are loaded with sediments and whatever runs, dirt and so forth and so on, and that sewer authority slows some of the water down, so I don't know what happened if we ever get nice clean pipes that water would through like crazy in the places. Well, enough on the Sewer Authority. We are stuck with what we got until it can be changed. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Lynn Bloom.

MS. BLOOM: Good evening, Council.

I'm going to start with a little old joke, I probably won't tell it well, forgive me. A young woman was getting married and her mother was teaching her how to make her grandmother's potroast. So she said, "You

cut the potroast in half and you put 1/2 in this pan and 1/2 in the other and you bake it at 350 for two and half hours."

One day the young bride said,
"Grandma, why do I cut the potroast in half
and put it in two separate pans?"

The grandmother replied, "I don't know why you are doing it, I don't have a pan big enough to fit the whole potroast."

That's kind of the feeling I got from the comments from one of the council members last week, and I'm quoting from the Times so if I misspeak blame the Times. I brought up guns in the audience, I suggested change of venue, it doesn't cost much, it doesn't have to worry about anybody being sued. The response was: "Council has met here for I don't know how long and probably will continue to meet her for the foreseeable future."

Why? Safety is an issue. Just because you have always done something the same way does not make it appropriate in the here and now. The same could be said about women's right to vote, slavery, just because

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you do something the same way doesn't make it okay. I'm not going to belabor the point, I was just somewhat offended by the inane comment that I read in the paper the next day.

One of the council members mentioned lawsuits. This is a democracy. We have limited recourse when we do not agree with our government. I don't want to live through a coo or a riot, so suing whether we like to admit it or not is the American way. We have a Sewer Authority answering lawsuits from the federal government, that is the problem with lawsuits in this city. private citizens sticking to their beliefs and challenging a government that they feel is inaccurate, nonresponsive, unfriendly and sometimes downright hostile and they -- I have seen it -- I have been watching you folks for two and a half years.

You are elected officials. You are held to a higher standard than your constituents. If you can't be held to a higher standard don't run for office. It's not tit-for-tat. You are on a dais, I'm at

a podium. We are not equal from the moment I start speaking. That is there for a reason. That's to display power, this is to place subjectivity. You don't need to beat people up, you don't need to make facetious comments. My mother always told me, don't lower yourselves to other people's level, you rise them up to yours.

My last point. I really wanted to be a one hit wonder last week, honest to God I did, but it's not going to happen in this city. It's not. One voice isn't good enough. Comments that I read in the paper yesterday prove that no matter how factual or reality based an argument might be an opinion on this council seems to carry more weight.

NCC. Ms. Fanucci said, "We have to get our bills paid."

Yes, the city does need the bills paid, but we also have acts going through Congress and laws coming into effect because creditors were raping the American public. You want to tack on fees, fine. There is late fees. You want to tack on exorbitant

fees for people that can't already make ends meet, what's the point? Do you want a solution or do you want what you want?

From my perspective, a lot of this city is run by "I want what I want and I want it now." Not what's good for the constituents, not what is good for the long-term future and not what is good for my child. I brought him here. I have a vested interest in giving him the best I can. I think you all should think about that because we are all going to God willing before our offspring and our grandchildren. They will be the ones paying the price for what you all decide today. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Joe Dwyer.

MR. DWYER: Joe Dwyer, taxpayer, disgruntled taxpayer. Last week
Mr. Courtright made a couple -- or asked a couple of questions of me last week, I'm sorry, I don't know why I'm nervous, but I am. And I want to apologize for saying that you weren't compassionate. I appreciate you taking the time and trying to help that

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

woman and her children, but because she didn't want to accept your help please don't take that one and say that the other ones don't.

When I was coming home from work the other morning I noticed somebody was sleeping in their car as well, and at 5:30 in the morning I wanted to go and approach them, but I feared that I would upset more than I would be able to help them so I let them go. I went back later on in the day they were gone, but this weekend I had the opportunity to spend a whole day with somebody was hopeless and he has been homeless for eight years. And we were down at the mall and then we went up to Old Country Buffet for a bite to eat, and as we went about our day I just observed how people were receiving him, and I compared him to and I compared me.

This gentleman comes from a fine family in the community. His brother asked me to get him out of the woods, and I can't get him out. I got him into my home, but he wouldn't stay. This man dresses up in

garbage bags and covers them with a jacket.

He wouldn't even accept a jacket from me, he is that proud. But the looks from the people most were how can I help, but didn't know how to go about asking how to help.

It's the same response I feel I'm getting from you. I appreciate your sincerity in what you are trying to do. I appreciate your wanting to help. We need to do something to help. Unfortunately, this administration under Mayor Doherty has been a roadblock to people who have been trying to help. Reverend Bill Schultz from the Scranton Rescue Mission had a grandiose plan of how he could house the homeless and how he can put people to work. He was rebuffed time and time again here. He did the project down in Wilkes-Barre.

We did the same project called the Dream Center, the House of Hope in Mississippi after Hurricane Katrina, and we put people to work. It was like a -- it is like a University setting where the people live and work in the same place, and they graduate with a certificate or a some kind

of a diploma. The same thing can happen here. We are doing it with the Goodwill, we are doing it with Allied Services, but when we see -- when we step up it's like we are stepping on somebody's protected turf and as soon as we go about doing something we get all of the black against us and people just go out of their way to destroy whatever we are trying to do. That isn't right.

Acquiring blighted properties, and there's lots of money through President Obama's Homeless Initiative Program, there is lots of money available. We went to Representative Murphy and Smith. They were gung-ho to help us. First they had a check with Mayor Doherty, the door was closed. It was.

When the gentleman was here from the Sewer Authority he talked about rooftop gardening. Those are viable projects in Portland, Oregon, New York City, Washington D.C., and there is money available for that. It's called rooftop gardening and a lot of people are doing it across the country and it's one way of saving the rain water from

going into the sewer. We wanted to do that again, we wanted to do that here and we were turned down.

There is so many things, so many people who want to help in this community, but every time we step out the door is slammed in our face. I'm just here as a concerned citizen, concerned not only the people who are the working poor and the homeless, but you as well because all of this is falling back on the taxpayers' pocket. We are overtaxed, underserved and we need a lot of help and there is help available and there is hands that are willing to be put forth.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. DWYER: Thank you very much for your time.

MR. MCGOFF: Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Hello. Good evening, Council. Marie Schumacher, resident and member of the Taxpayers'
Association. I think I gained five pounds just listening to Mrs. Evans' announcements tonight. That was a lot of food.

I'd like to start off tonight, I noticed that the people who are angry citizens and oppose guns are getting press, so I thought if you have to come to the podium to say that you are not intimidated by open carrying persons I'm here to make that my first comment tonight. I was not offended and I do not have a problem. I actually felt safer when people are -- responsible people are carrying.

Next, I just wanted to comment again on another article that was in the Times-Tribune. I noticed that a community group in South Abington is trying to raise \$40,000 to build a dog park in their own community. They have a one-acre lot and theirs is \$40,000. Anybody recall how much ours cost? A magnitude of difference. We immediate to tighten our belt in everything we do.

Next, for Mr. Courtright I have a question, also in the paper was firefighters, and this happens to be Dunmore asking for no more cuts and saying they are cutting back. Is it not true that we in

Scranton have an agreement with Dunmore?

MR. COURTRIGHT: We have a reciprocal agreement, yes. If there is a fire here and we need help they come and vice versa.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I know they have -what about -- is there any compensation
given and what is it going to do to
Scranton's safety if Dunmore cuts back to
solve their problems and our firefighters
are deployed to Dunmore and we are
uncovered? Is anybody talking with the
Borough of Dunmore about how this could
impact Scranton?

MR. COURTRIGHT: I don't think there is any money exchanged and if they cut back to save themselves, then I think we are going to have to step in and say something. We can't continually fight their fires for free, if that's what's going to happen.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It's just not just the money, it's our own public safety. We are paying for these firefighters and, you know, we could use some more of our own and if our public safety is going to be impacted I think the time to talk is now. They are

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

announcing the fact of what they are doing, we shouldn't wait until we have a real problem or maybe even a tragedy in our own city because of actions they have taken if we, in fact, have these cooperative agreement.

Next, the action 7-A tonight, again, I have spoken on this several times. The first item that I believe can be cut, I don't know if any of you took the time to walk down the street one block and walk that corner from around the Parking Authority's original structure, on North Washington and Linden, even one of the really bad pavements has been repaired. I think maybe it might have been damaged by somebody moving in or out, but one of those has already been repaired and that's a good place to cut way, way back because if you took the time to go down you will see some minor repairs are required.

I saw some pictures on the Doherty

Deceit website of the parking -- or

the pavement in front of our almost brand

new police headquarters and it looks far

_

worse than what it is down here at the corner of North Washington and Linden, so I think some money could be taken away from that project, \$150,000, and used better.

And, of course, one of the things I would like to see it used for is transferred some to the Kids Swim Free Project, and also there is no funding this year that I was able to identify that deals with the emergency utility bill help in the past. I believe the Salvation Army received funds, I don't know whether they didn't apply this year, but I think we are going to need that kind of money and I will be back next week with some more items, God Lord willing. Thank you.

Oh, one more, may I? Next -- in two weeks we are going to be having an election and I do hope since it's getting to be budget time that that meeting, I assume you won't meet on election day, but that will be reschedule to maybe Thursday night that meeting? Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Jim Stucker?

MR. STUCKER: How are you doing,

1	Courtright?
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Jim, how are
3	you?
4	MR. STUCKER: I got a new bike.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: You got a new one?
6	Good. Lock this one up so they don't steal
7	it.
8	MR. STUCKER: Mental Health got it
9	for me.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Who?
11	MR. STUCKER: Mental Health.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Oh, that's good.
13	MR. STUCKER: They got me the money
14	for it.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: That's good, Jim.
16	MR. STUCKER: Okay, on the
17	Greenridge, there is a house there now, the
18	red one, they are supposed to tear it down,
19	somebody took the board off.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: They took the
21	boards off?
22	MR. STUCKER: They took the one
23	board off.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay. We'll tell
25	them to put that back up, Jim.

MR. STUCKER: Hamm Court, people is complaining. There is garbage out there and the people that lives in the alley they are throwing garbage all over and they won't pick it up and put it in the barrels, and the city won't do it. The city won't take it and pick it up and put it in the barrels of the truck.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Just give Neil the address and we'll have them look at that.

MR. STUCKER: Hamm court, that's all.

MR. COURTRIGHT: We need to know what block of Hamm Court, Jim.

 $\label{eq:mr.stucker} \text{MR. STUCKER: It's the whole block,}$ the Hamm Court.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay. All right.

MR. STUCKER: And I don't know why you don't take the old Salvation Army in South Side and make that a place for the people that sleep under the bridge, a lot of them do, I seen them. Salvation Army in South Side, they should take that building and make a place for the people to sleep up underneath the bridge. Wintertime is coming, they should take the school up in

1 North Scranton and take that old school and put beds in there, and a couple of windows 2 3 or paint them and put people in there to sleep. They should do that. Why can't did 4 they do it? 5 MR. COURTRIGHT: I think they could, 6 7 Jim. 8 MR. STUCKER: They got to put their mind to it because I feel sorry for some of 9 these people that sleep out underneath the 10 11 bridge. Fourteen, 15, 16, 17 year old girls 12 underneath the bridge with these guys. 13 That's no good. 14 MR. COURTRIGHT: I know, Jim, you are 15 right. 16 MR. STUCKER: And I give Mr. McGoff 17 a note. 18 MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah, he showed me. 19 MR. STUCKER: They want that house tore down bad. 20 21 MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay. 22 MR. STUCKER: Real, real bad. 23 MR. COURTRIGHT: We'll be on it. 24 MR. STUCKER: And our place has been 25 good where I live. Now, where the alley is,

1	it's not the alley, but I can't think.
2	Right by the courthouse square, that road
3	has never been paved. And why can't they
4	take the money for this bridge and use it
5	for the roads? They should take half of
6	that money and repair the roads. The roads
7	are bad, Hyde Park is bad, Parrot Avenue is
8	bad.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: They paved Parrot,
10	Jim.
11	MR. STUCKER: Well, Greenridge is
12	bad.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: It took 6 years,
14	Jim, but they paved it.
15	MR. STUCKER: Because Greenridge is
16	real bad. Real, real bad. They got to do
17	something with these roads, they are going
18	to bust tires. Pat had to get new tires
19	today and he told me to tell you,
20	Courtright, it's his birthday Sunday.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Pat's birthday is
22	Sunday?
23	MR. STUCKER : Yeah.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: He is having a
25	party?

1	MR. STUCKER: He did already.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: He did.
3	MR. STUCKER: Yeah.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: You wait to tell me
5	after the fact.
6	MR. STUCKER: He never told me. He
7	wanted to keep a secret party, so
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay.
9	MR. STUCKER: Everybody is
10	complaining about you having the bike.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: What's that?
12	MR. STUCKER: Everybody is telling me
13	you have the bike.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: No. You got a new
15	bike.
16	MR. STUCKER: I said every time I
17	turn around they yelling and bitching about
18	it.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll see you at the
20	Christmas party, all right?
21	MR. STUCKER: Where.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: You know where.
23	MR. STUCKER: Last year they threw me
24	out the door, the back door.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: That's Ronnie. We
۷,	III. COUNTRION. INAC 3 NOMINE. WE

1	are going to tell Ronnie to behave himself.
2	MR. STUCKER: Ronnie did.
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: Ronnie did, I know.
4	He will behave this year.
5	MR. STUCKER: Are you going to Mayor
6	Doherty's not Doherty, are you going to
7	Gary DiBileo's party?
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Sure.
9	MR. STUCKER: Win this election for
10	us.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: What is what?
12	MR. STUCKER: When this election for
13	us?
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: It's on a Tuesday,
15	election day? Tuesday, November 3.
16	MR. STUCKER: I have been going I
17	have been going up Market Street at the fire
18	station. I don't know why they can't change
19	my address to Scranton here.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: You have to change,
21	Jim, because you moved downtown.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Okay, Jim. Thank you.
23	MR. STUCKER: Thank you.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Les Spindler.
25	MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council.

Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. Last week Ms. Fanucci asked the solicitor to look into drawing up legislation to prevent people from suing. Council has no authority to prevent people from suing. Anybody was a right to sue. It's up to the Courts to stop somebody from suing. If Chris Doherty didn't break contracts, didn't sell city assets people wouldn't have any reason to sue the city.

Mr. Courtright, something you said last week really bothered me. You said that the city they can condemn a house and tear it down without taking possession. That's unbelievable. That's really disconcerting to me.

MR. COURTRIGHT: If it's a danger, you know. If it's a safety danger.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, there was an example where they -- somebody wasn't in the Doherty click, and I'll say it was Lee Morgan had a property, they condemned it because he is not in the click and Lee took it to Court and did win his case, so that's scares me that if you're not in the click

you could have your house condemned and possibly torn down.

Every week there's a person on the Doherty newsletter online that makes comments about council speakers, and last week he said I was a hypocrite for talking about Mayor Doherty and who wants to run for Governor. He said why I don't go to the county commissioner meetings and criticize Corey O'Brien for running for Congress. Well, the reason I can't go to the commissioner meetings is that they are ten in the morning and I have a daytime job, so that makes it impossible for me to go to the commissioner meetings, you jerk.

MR. MCGOFF: Please.

MR. SPINDLER: Last Friday a
Republican candidate for council called
Steve Corbett and he said Mayor Doherty is
supporting him. Corbett couldn't believe
it. He said, "Wait a minute, a Democratic
mayor is supporting a Republican candidate
for council, and a Democratic mayor that
wants to run for Governor."

I find that hard to believe that a

Democratic mayor can support a Republican candidate and I hope the Democratic Committee and the state Democratic Committee take a good look at that when Chris Doherty wants to run for governor.

Last weekend, I think it might have been Saturday night, a city employee flipped his car on East Mountain Road, had a female companion in the car, when the police and ambulance got there the car was on it's side, when they got the person out of the car the employee had a nine-millimeter Glock on him, the police took him away in handcuffs, nothing in the Doherty newsletter about it, nothing on TV, it's just another case of this administration sweeping things under the carpet that they don't want put out in public.

Just like the two people that are on tape stealing Gary DiBileo's signs, Chief Elliott and Ray Hayes are sitting on that. They are sitting on it. This person is back to work, he is on the job, he should be in jail. If that was me I would be in jail and that's fact, and this is a fact, but it's

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

even possible that they said that person might have been drinking.

But it just goes to show that this is the most corrupt administration that we have ever had in this city and I hope something is done about it.

While I have a little more time, I just want to talk about something Mr. Barrett said from the Sewer Authority. He said they are going to defend the lawsuits against the EPA. All I have to say is what defense is there dumping a billion gallons of raw sewage in the Lackawanna River? To me there is no defense in that.

Lastly, we have two weeks to go until the election and, like I said, the past couple of weeks Mayor Doherty doesn't want to be mayor anymore so let's write somebody in that wants to be mayor and only mayor and let's do the right thing and write in the right person on election day. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Joe Talimini.

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini and for once I find I'm agreeing with council.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

applaud Mr. Barrett for showing up here.

would love to see the other authority

directors showing up if they have the guts

to do so, but I doubt it very much.

I would like to point out something that Mr. Barrett said. He is doing a study, a comparison to Portland, Oregon, if that's going to be the case I hope you people realize something, Portland, Oregon is probably 15 times the size of Scranton, population of approximately 1 million. Ιt is a deep river port. As a matter of fact, every June they have 21 warships from around the world who come into the Willamette River, which is a tributary of the Columbian river, and they park right in the center of The Willamette river separates the town. north and south of Portland, so it's a deep port, deep river port.

They also have some fantastic proposals up there. I mean, they use not only hindsight but foresight as opposed to this administration where these people, past administrations, can't make up their mind which is hindsight and which is foresight,

but Portland is an excellent place to study, however, I would imagine that you will take into consideration that the cost up there is probably far more excessive than our cost would be here, however, it is also a major industrial port.

It is a major city between San Francisco and Seattle, the only major city between San Francisco and Seattle so they account for just about the entire state of Oregon, lower half of Washington and the other half the California, so just take into consideration those things when Mr. Barrett offers his proposals.

I noticed on the agenda tonight, I guess it's 7-B, 7-C and 7-D we are talking about the existing traffic signal on West Linden for the Mt. Pleasant Corporate Center project, Mt. Pleasant Corporate Center Project, Mt. Pleasant Corporate Center Project. If there are three proposals on here for modifications then I still don't understand why you people cannot enact legislation to the modification of the light at Mulberry and Wyoming.

Now, just last week you had a very, very serious accident there which could have been a very tragic accident. That is a case right there of public safety. I don't think Mt. Pleasant Corporate Center traffic light situation is a matter of public safety right now so I would beg you to use some common sense for a change and approach this subject properly.

Mulberry and Wyoming is a very, very dangerous intersection. Yes, you are talking about two or three years in the future. If you can afford to spend the time and the effort now, you sure can afford to spend the time and the effort on Mulberry and Wyoming before somebody gets killed. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Ron Ellman, member of the Taxpayers'. I never look to see whose name above me that's why I'm sitting there like that. Last week I started to say something and then I said I'd probably get somebody alienated and I didn't say it, but I will get it off my chest tonight. It's

about my favorite mayor. I just sort of when I walk the dog at night I've just been comparing the similarities between Mr. Rendell and Mr. Doherty and whether some people appreciate me saying it or not I think Mr. Doherty is just a shoe-in for governor after comparing the two of them.

Now, listen, both of them are the absolute mayors their respective cities ever had, bar none. Both of them are just -- they're just not qualified for such a high position. Both of them just show nothing but disdain and contempt for the lower income people, you can see it just in all of their actions and I think they are just both just on the state gravy train for their own financial and political enhancement.

They both just protect the wealthy from KOZ's and tax exemptions and so on, but I do see a light at the end of the tunnel. I find Mr. Rendell personable, friendly, you know, he looks like he's alive when you talk to him and see him on TV, but Mr. Doherty is devoid of these attributes. He is just unfriendly, aloof, arrogant and he thinks

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

eating a hot dog once a month or once a year with Joe Snedeker on television makes him one of the people and he will never be one of the people, thank goodness.

And now that I've many alienated everybody let me say something. talking to a couple today at Redner's that live at the Midtown apartments and they tell me they have a fear of losing them, and I said I agree with them. I think Mr. Doherty's plan is to take those apartments away and scatter them to the winds just like he took away their playground and just like he took away the apartments across the street waiting to gain to say they are too deteriorated and we need These people need to get to tear them down. on a vote for a good honest, new government. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Joanne Williams.

MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening. Joanne
Williams, city resident. First, I'd like to
say someone came up here and spoke of
some -- Mayor's Doherty supporting a
Republican candidate. Let's remember, Mayor

Doherty won the primary on both the Democrat and Republican side.

Also, another thing, too, I don't think Mayor Doherty has ever shut the door on homeless people. He is not that kind of individual or man, he is a caring man.

Now, on October 27, next Tuesday, was to be a debate for candidates running for city council scheduled at Brennan Hall by the Women League of Voters, however, I heard it has been cancelled due to the fact that Mrs. Evans will not attend due to her Tuesday night council meeting and not only Mrs. Evans, but also her two team members would not show up for this debate.

I don't understand this, Mrs. Evans. I know you have missed council meetings in the past. I feel this debate should be a high priority for you. Why are you a no show with your team and why can't your two team members debate without you? The three of yous are really -- that's really showing leadership. I mean, people need to hear a debate. I was just wondering, you know, do the other two need you there to debate? You

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can you answer later at your time.

Also, last Thursday I attended the taxpayers' group interviewing candidates. Mrs. Evans while were -- I heard you were so upset that I was sitting in these chambers and that I was taking notes. I heard you were appalled that I was sitting in the chair you are sitting in, but there was also other people sitting in those chairs so they could face candidates. Mr. Quinn asked me to leave the meeting because he thought I was Mr. Miller's campaign manager. I told him that that was not true, so I was able to These chambers have been taken over remain. not by city taxpayers and the people of Scranton who believe, you know, and have positives, there is negative, too, positive Scrantonians don't want to be associated with the fiasco here every week. I really don't want to be here either to be honest with you.

The next night a campaign sign was stolen from my front yard, but you see I have cameras all around my home and I saw who did this to no surprise. I am letting

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that person think hard about trespassing on my property and the consequences that will come with this because truly only me and the shadow knows who took it. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Ozzie Quinn.

Ozzie Quinn, president MR. QUINN: I would be of the Scranton taxpayers. remiss it I didn't say that Joanne Williams is trying to make an issue out of a nonissue. It's ashame that somebody can come up here and so blatantly accuse Democrats, you know, of doing something or Republicans of doing something and she is prancing all around the city with a Republican trying to get the vote for what's his name, Dougy, who sat here as a junior councilman. I hate to see him up there as a councilman, I really do and with all due respect to the kid. I don't think he can handle the job.

MR. MCGOFF: Please.

MR. QUINN: I want to speak a little bit about the Sewer Authority was here and Mr. Barrett. He didn't mention the fact that last year Mr. Doherty hired 38

individuals down at the Sewer Authority with the lowest wage at \$35,000. That's around a million dollars he could have saved the taxpayers. He won't mention things like that. He didn't mention how he circumvented the council here, as Andy Sbaraglia said, for that \$5 million contract with American Water. He circumvented an ordinance just so you people wouldn't have anything to do with them raising us 56 percent.

Now, you take, Mr. McGoff, you said up front that you said that the 2008 audit is finished and clean; right?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. QUINN: The exit audit, right?

If it's clean, what's the debt? When you say the debt is clean?

MR. MCGOFF: No, they offered what is called a clean opinion.

MR. QUINN: What's the debt?

MR. MCGOFF: I explained what it meant. I'll try and find -- I don't want to be misquoted.

MR. QUINN: I want your definition of clean.

MR. MCGOFF: A clean opinion.

MR. QUINN: Clean opinion.

MR. MCGOFF: The figures presented to them are free of any material misstatement.

MR. QUINN: I'm not asking that, I want to know the debt.

MR. MCGOFF: You asked me what a clean opinion meant and I gave you what that definition was.

MR. QUINN: I also asked -- I want to know the debt. Do have you it there?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't have it.

MR. QUINN: Well, in 2007 we were in the hole \$107 million principle, but we were long-term debt a quarter of a billion dollars, can you imagine that, and we are going to go into this year's budget and Mr. Doherty is going to come before this council and try to pass a budget, and I don't know if they filled the hole in the budget for 2009, did he? Anybody know? I mean, we still have a hole in the 2009 budget.

Well, you know, how can we do a budget in 2010 when we don't have an audit,

we have 2008 budget with a big deficit and here the fact is we have bonds that are comparable to junk bonds, you know, it's just ridiculous and he won't -- he wants to make loans, he won't make loans because there won't be able to be loans, but he will try to refinance the debt and if it gets a -- if he gets his way he will get his way like he did on the last budget, what he's doing, he is an actually paying off one debt with a credit card thinking that that credit card will pay off the debt, and that's A common eighth -- a common kid in eighth grade would know that that's bizarre. You can't do it and yet you have people come on up here and praise this man.

I mean, we are going to get taxed by the school board and maybe, you know,

Mr. Doherty, my taxes, of course, it's after the election so we might have -- the Sewer Authority obviously millions of dollars, we are talking millions of dollars they throw it around like it's nickles, and the fact is that we are going to get a rate hike. How the heck is the people going to do it? You

know, if they don't do it they go to NCC and they'll get it.

My gosh, you know, you got to start looking out for the people. You know, Mr. Doherty's debt did not happen during the recession. That's a point of interest. It happened prior to the recession. He made a comment about the recession or Stu Renda did and that doesn't hold any water, okay. I know that was the 2007 audit and when did the recession start?

So I'm asking you please hold the line on the 2010 budget, cut the fat, hold the taxes, if we have to go into a skeleton crew we have to do it. That's the only way we are going to do it, and how can you face your neighbors if you can -- if you don't do something and do something about the budget and lower the taxes. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: David Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave

Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton and member of
the Taxpayers'. I'd also like to comment on
that business in here with the prior
speaker. I mean, it was played up like it

was a big hoopla and really, I mean, it's to be broadcast sometime in the near future, so I don't know what the secret is about it, but I can understand why somebody would be concerned about someone sitting there taking notes and whatever, it's just maybe our mistake we didn't close the meeting, maybe we should just close the meeting to anybody but the taxpayers when candidates are involved.

I do have a message to all candidates, and it's a personal thing with me, and I feel that one person up there that's running did say what I wanted to hear, pay bills before tax cuts. We can't run around and promise tax cuts to the very same people that maybe voted the wrong way and allowed these conditions to prevail.

On this road repair business, we really need, and I was debated about it, but 90 percent of the problems I see with Scranton roads are caused by Scranton utility companies which are private entities and they are doing severely inadequate repairs and then they externalize their

liabilities on us. We have to go behind them and pave our roads or get somebody to patch it right and pay out of the taxpayers' pocket.

Also, downtown many of these intersections, and it was mentioned last week that we have a person hit on Washington and Lackawanna, well, we need a four-way stop. People just speed around the corner and assume that they can turn on the red or whatever and it's really getting bad. I go down there almost every week to visit a business and you have to run for your life to get across that corner.

And I'm kind of just following a few things that happened the last few weeks. On the gun issue, the only thing I like it remind people at one tote a gun openly or concealed is basically the mafia says if you wind up in court you lost already, so if you shoot somebody and have to defend yourself in a Court of law your life is basically over. You are looking at a quarter of a million dollars in defense fees probably and who could pay that out of an average person.

Your life would be ruined if you used that gun, so it's silly to run around and carry it in the first place.

This NCC business, I really would like to see some of these penalties and fees lowered. You can't have people just say, "I can't pay the taxes."

You don't know what they are doing, maybe they don't have the money, they probably don't, maybe they are taking too much trips down to Pocono Downs down there or something, but I'd really like to see the fees lowered when it's 300 percent more than what is owed, certainly taxes got to be collected, but that's not a big help. That just make it all the tougher to pay these taxes.

And, Bill, you have a real job as are aware, I'm sure you are aware that the tax office is bought and sold, Single Tax Office has been sold.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah.

MR. DOBRZYN: You know. Hopefully, somebody from council can help you out and get after the commissioners. Do they have

any kind of location?

MR. COURTRIGHT: I believe the county commissioners are doing a study of every department and I think their goal is to try and house as many of them in the county-owned buildings if not long-term leases to be--

MR. DOBRZYN: Because all I seen in that building that they moved out of for the last four years is a bunch of magazines racks, you know, so --

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dobzryn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Somebody needed a place to rent it out, but, okay, I had hoped to get to homeless and trade packs, but try to support some of these people with these homeless issues as best you can and --

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: And we really need to lobby, lobby, lobby people higher up in government because it's not all of our problem, although, they are here and they human beings. Have a good nigh.

MR. MCGOFF: Any other speakers? Please.

MS. HUMPHRIES: I want to try to go down this fast, as best I know how. Praise be Jesus, Mary, Joseph. Hello, City of Scranton, all of city council. Last week the man had said he wanted to get land and he wanted to help the homeless, and I asked him, listen, I have a lot to offer. I would like to know where these homeless people are to help them. I know what it's like to be homeless. I know what it's like to be hungry I know what it's like to be rejected and God opened my eyes to the crippling situations here. He refused and said, "No way."

When a person says, "No way," when you want a helping hand and he is asking for land and all, I think that situation is in question at that point. We've got to come together. There is homeless -- you know where it is, if you would help me I would like to try to help them, that's number one.

Number two, right now Casey,

Doherty, Rendell, Hillary Clinton, faxes

were sent and documents to them, medical

documents and all to show what has happened

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to me and to know avail did they help me while they are helping in other countries.

They got to get back here and help us people here, that's what I say about that deal.

I stopped at Kanjorski's office today and I told him that I thought, too. You know what they say, sometimes talk, talk, there is a little joke about that.

Mulberry Towers, they're constant -the elevators have been breaking down, they fixed them and they break down. This is a serious situation. I want to know why the inspectors are not over there and closing that place up. There is no -- the elderly are walking up the back steps with their wheelchairs and all, which I got pictures of It's time whoever the inspectors are that. get over to Mulberry Towers, get security guards over there and why when these people have these buildings from out of the state, out of the state area, what they do is they don't use our garbage disposal people, they use somebody else that they want. me is wrong. It's wrong. They should use our City of Scranton garbage people and pay

them. Enough is enough with these people coming in. That's the next thing; right.

The other thing, the Woman's Resource Center, I'm going to leave this area to go to Washington because of the situation here, but I came back. In the process I asked them to go to Court here and they said, oh, I can't. Well, while are they getting all of this money and they have volunteers on the phone, where is the money going there? Why don't they have cars to take these women, these children or these men to the court to protect them? We need to look into that, also. That's another thing.

trying my best to try and get everything in real fast. God. There is the parking lot over there is like 200 some people and in the process -- in the front where the fire lane is whoever they don't like they tow the cars away. How are the people going to get out to get their groceries or if they put their groceries they park the car, the groceries are stolen. That's wrong. This

is what's going on in Mulberry Towers.

I wrote to the man, I called him,
Laurie and Brandon stopped me from a
situation where he was there, then she tells
me because I am a garbage picker. I am a
recycler and the other thing about the bugs
and all of this stuff they she said she
would evict me out of there, the next thing
you know they are selling, Al Rich is
selling plastic covers, 60 to \$100 for 20.
Listen, go to Boscov's, you can get them for
\$12.

They got to stop praying on us elderly people and our poor people and this man that owns this place and the people that are running it I don't care if they like it or not they ripped articles off my walls, religious articles, they damage my apartment every week to get my out of there, guess what? I am here, I am going to go for city council, at least I could say I tried and to make it a better place for everybody. That's about what's happening here.

And recycling, I came over here and I got Mayor Doherty's garbage, I just want

1 to show you. Homeland Security. MR. MCGOFF: Why don't you save that 2 3 next week. MS. HUMPHRIES: DiBileo, vote for 4 DiBileo for mayor. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Phyllis, thank you. 6 MS. HUMPHRIES: I love you. 7 Take 8 care. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? 10 MS. HUMPHRIES: I'm getting better 11 and I'm learning. 12 MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening. Nelson 13 Ancherani, First Amendment Rights. Still no 14 work being done at the Connell building but we can't forget Ms. Aebli said, "Please be 15 16 assured that the Connell building is still an active ongoing project. This office is 17 18 in communication with Scranton Connell, LP, 19 on a regular basis." 20 Is there any word on when Scranton 21 LP will restart the project and how much 22 money they are short? The project was a 23 23 million project and it appears as far as 24 they can progress is only working on gutting the building. There is a dumpster in front 25

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, don't worry, that \$2,267,000

of the building and the street is partially blocked, but the \$35 million parking garage constructions is progressing in a normal fashion.

If it costs \$23 million to only gut the building, how much more would be needed to finish it? What is going to happen to that building when the freezing weather gets The building is open to the elements as many windows are missing or open. opened to the elements during the freezing weather will really play havoc with that building. Oh, I forgot, how silly of me. We could have someone from the city after the money was spent starting gutting the building come in and say the building is unsafe, it's structurally unsound and it needs to be torn down. Just like the Casey Parkway was torn down after 267,000 was spent renovating it; 267,000 wasted and thrown into the black hole of the weigh station along with the \$2 million loss through accounting errors at the Single Tax Office under their last administration.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has lots of company in the wasted money pot. Don't forget the \$5.5 million arbitration loss of American Anglican resulting in a 56 percent rate hike for us taxpayers because of it. That's 7,767,000 wasted, but when you think about it, if the Connell building was torn down, we could build another parking lot.

Two more council meetings and the 2010 budget will be presented to council. Lights in city hall run at night while the administration burns the midnight oil working on the budget. I predict a record budget. Another 25 percent tax increase and another multi-million dollar loan. We will need the loan so we can pump more money into the Connell building project and also to fill the five million gap from the money that we don't get from the tax office that was anticipated for this year's budget. thing to remember is that the 25 percent tax increase was passed by Ms. Fanucci, Ms. Gatelli and Mr. McGoff and he keeps going into every succeeding year just like the Energizer bunny or rabbit. I wonder how

much the Parking Scranton Authority will get for citation issuers. They got \$879,000 this year on top of the 35 million loan in 2007, and still are having trouble making their bond payments.

What amount of raises will the favor get and how many new positions will be created? Will the director of public safety get the \$10,000 raise that was denied to him last year or will it be \$20,000 to make up for last year? Will there be layoffs in the clerical union, the lowest paid employees in the city to make it possible for the 10,000 raises to be given out? How much cumulatively will the raises total this year? Last year raises and new hires totaled cumulatively 2003 -- 2002 through 2009 twenty million.

So be on the alert taxpayers, budget time is fast approaching. All your people out there get to the council meetings and speak up. Your money is as important for you to watch over as it is important to them to spend. Be alert. We already have a \$300 million debt to pay off. The only

conciliation is that our grandkids will help us pay it back, and I say that sarcastically. It is ashame that we are saddling our grandkids with our bills.

Ashame. Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Good evening, Council. In response to a council member's request for information regarding frivolous lawsuits it seems that Mr. Pilchesksi's lawsuits are for the benefit of the taxpayers. For example, I'd like to cite one filed last month at Docket No. 09-5908. It's Joe Pilcheski versus Judy Gatelli, Foxco Insurance Management Services, Knowells Associates, Housing Redevelopment Insurance Exchange, Wright & Reihner law firm and the City of Scranton.

The lawsuit was filed over the \$30,000 that Housing and Redevelopment Insurance Exchange paid to the Wright and Reihner Law Firm for Mrs. Gatelli's legal costs. I find it odd that the Scranton Times-Tribune did not print a story on this since it has so much public interest considering \$30,000 is involved.

At any rate, this lawsuit clearly spells out that the city's insurance company was wrong in issuing a check for Wright and Reihner for \$30,000 since most of it was for paying for legal services to Mrs. Gatelli's private gain. The lawsuit demands the return of the \$30,000.

In response to the lawsuit, the lawyer for Housing and Redevelopment Insurance Exchange, Attorney Tom Ratchford, filed an answer and in that answer he was unable to cite any part of the insurance policy where it permitted to pay for legal services for the private benefit of a public official, this being Mrs. Judy Gatelli.

In other words, he was unable to dispute that the \$30,000 paid to Wright & Reihner on behalf of Mrs. Gatelli was improper. In fact, just last Friday morning there was a hearing before Judge Mazzoni in motion Court called for by Joe Pilcheski. I attended that hearing. Mr. Pilcheski asked Judge Mazzoni to issue an order to stop the insurance company from paying anymore legal bills for Mrs. Gatelli's private lawsuit.

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Mazzoni asked Attorney Ratchford in open court if the insurance policy provides coverage for advancing a private claim and Attorney Ratchford responded that it does not.

In open court, Attorney George Reihner, Mrs. Gatelli's lawyer, told Judge Mazzoni that he was hired only to defend Mrs. Gatelli in the liable lawsuit against her. After Judge Mazzoni heard everyone's position on the matter, he decided that the Court does not have the authority to stop an insurance company from spending it's own However, once the money is spent the money. legitimacy of the expenditure can be challenged, which is what Joe Pilcheski's latest lawsuit is doing, trying to get back \$30,000 that was wrongfully spent on Mrs. Gatelli's private and personal benefit is hardly frivolous, but I ask if Joe Pilcheski was not doing it who would be doing it?

Upon a Right-to-Know request of Joe Pilcheski, Housing and Redevelopment Insurance Exchange produced a check for \$30,000, but when is Mrs. Gatelli going to

1	produce her cancelled checks to prove that
2	she paid for all of her legal bills and not
3	the taxpayers?
4	And if I might ask, Mr. McGoff,
5	could you please tell me why Mrs. Gatelli is
6	not here tonight?
7	MR. MCGOFF: I do not know.
8	MS. FRANUS: They don't have to give
9	a reason as to why they are not coming?
10	MR. MCGOFF: No.
11	MS. FRANUS: Do you know why
12	Mrs. Fanucci is not here either?
13	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me?
14	MS. FRANUS: Do you not know why Ms.
15	Fanucci is not here either?
16	MR. MCGOFF: I was just told that she
17	was not going to be here.
18	MS. FRANUS: Thank you very much.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?
20	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Hello, Bill.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Chris.
22	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Bill, up.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: Pick it up.
24	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Good luck. I them
25	next week, promise?

MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Buddy.

MR. SLEDZENSKI: Billy, I'm going to tell you right now, the game last week, we went up there and we pounded them so this week, good luck, team. Good luck. Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: Good evening, Council.

My name is Nancy Krake. I do believe the dog park, our dog park cost nearly \$300,000. It's a vast difference from \$40,000 that is about to be spent in the Abingtons and I bet when there is a Recovery Plan vote or a budget vote Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci will be here.

Mayor Doherty has changed his position on abortion. Now that he is running for governor he is Pro Choice. To change core values when it deals with life and death in order to further his political career is utterly reprehensible. To use Mrs. Fanucci's words to me and others, thrown under the bus. Mr. Doherty has thrown the job of mayor and, therefore, all of the citizens of Scranton under the bus as he goes around the state stumping for the

job of governor. And he has thrown his character under the bus by selling his own beliefs about abortion to the highest bidder, that is, the gubernatorial political arena.

How can anyone -- excuse me, how is he able to continue to sell his religious articles to the Catholic Church and how can anyone ever trust this man with their city, their homes or their families? Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: Good evening. Council will give it's final consideration and vote to the 2010 CDBG allocations tonight. On October 4 I submitted a list of 12 editions to the mayor's and OECD's proposed allocations and I see that only one of those 12 editions was partially funded.

I also submitted a list of eight total and partial cuts to the mayor's and OECD's allocations, and all of those suggestions received partial cuts. However, the dollars that were freed by these cuts were given to some projects that do not

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appear to be a priority or a necessity in this economy, such as funding for the Scranton Cultural Center.

Generally, speaking, the administration allocated large sums to the downtown, city parks, OECD, and repayment of Section 108 loans for the Hilton Hotel and the Steamtown Mall. In addition, they chose to fund some county organizations and others which in part serve a population of those who reside outside of city limits, and I have no objections to funding organizations who serve such populations, but I do have concerns when the administration uses the very same reason to deny funding to county organizations like Bread Basket of Northeastern, Pennsylvania, and Meals on Wheels and Telespond Adult DayCare Program.

I am very disappointed to report that the administration refused to increase funding to the Scranton Fire Department, neighborhood police patrols, and paving of the city streets to include curb cuts.

Obviously, there are many 2010 allocations with which I could not agree.

This legislation is far from fully appropriate, but there are also funds such as for police patrols, the fire department and street paving that have received at least partial monies and I do not wish to prevent even these partial funds from reaching such projects that are solely needed. Therefore, I will vote to approve the legislation and I will remain hopeful that a new council will be able to better fund the people's priorities and the people's necessity in 2010.

I have recently heard that all distressed cities will be phased out of Act 47 within the next two to three years. According to the Times Leader, in fact, the City of Nanticoke may lose some funds approved under Act 47 as soon as next year. Further, the recently passed state budget significantly cut the State Department of Community and Economic Development which may have repercussions for Act 47. I find these revelations to be very interesting and relevant to Scranton.

First, council only today,

October 20, received meeting summaries from the Pennsylvania Economy League which had been due since August 10, 2009. Further, PEL intends to present a new revised recovery plan for the City of Scranton in November according to the Scranton Times. If one reads the requirements for the lifting of Act 47, you will immediately recognize that Scranton very likely can't meet such requirements either now or within the next two to three years because of the significant debt that has been amassed in the last eight years by both the administration, certain council members and city authorities.

Downsizing police and firefighters and controlling their management rights will likely not equal the new structural deficit and cannot put a dent in the city debt. A sideswipe perhaps, but a dent, certainly not. As the years progress, annual debt payments will continue to sore into the year 2039. It seems that the State Department of Community and Economic Development and the Pennsylvania Economy League have much

explaining to do regarding both the future of Act 47 and a new recovery plan for Scranton.

And finally, I wanted to address some statements made earlier by a council speaker. I just wanted to state that I won't go to a debate to campaign for city council when I should be at city council doing the job I was elected by the people to perform. And further, I haven't been able to campaign during this election season and, in fact, I have been unable to work because of a serious back injury.

And I can say with regard to another statement that was made, I have never participated in an interview where the public was allowed to attend, certainly at debates the public is encouraged to attend and to listen carefully to a discussion or debate of the issues, but as for interviews, no, that was a highly unusual circumstance.

And finally, I have just a few citizens' requests for tonight. First, a letter to Chief Elliott and First Assistant District Attorney Talerico. Although,

presentations have occurred in the past, numerous residents have requested additional public information circumstances regarding gangs in light of the revelations made in the press during the past few months. Also, residents ask that an announcement of public information sessions would be offered in a news media release.

Next, Mr. Oleski, reports that city inspectors and a representative of the fire department visited the restaurant on North Main Avenue in West Scranton and found no violations of any kind. In addition, Mr. Oleski spoke with city zoning officer Mike Wallace who indicated that there are no zoning laws regarding the type of ovens used by that restaurant. Mr. Oleski states that nothing can be done by the city since the restaurant is in compliance with all city codes and laws, thus, he cannot close the restaurant.

In addition, since the recent chimney fire at this particular location, the owner has purchased a chimney sweep contract in order that the chimney will be

checked on a monthly basis and as recommended by a representation of the Scranton Fire Department.

Finally, Mr. Oleski states that the restaurant owner is more than happy to speak with the neighbors himself and so he suggests that the residents of the neighboring houses should talk directly to the owner regarding their problems.

I also received a response regarding the utility pave cuts that were mentioned by a council speaker. Mullberry Street is a state road. The pave cut inspector has spoken to both the gas company and PennDOT and reports that PennDOT wants concrete in the cuts. This restoration will occur before winter according to PennDOT, but the paving won't occur until spring or summer because PennDOT has another project on Pittston Avenue in Scranton and wishes to perform both jobs in the same time period.

Also, Mr. Seitzinger reports that he will be talking to Daron Northeast regarding the trucks and if they are idling outside of the property he will contact the police and

have Daron Northeast or the truck drivers cited because there are signs posted and these trucks are in the violation of those ordinances and so that was a response for the residents of Dickson Avenue.

And finally I would like, Kay, a letter to Mr. Barrett, executive director of the Scranton Sewer Authority, I heard a question mentioned earlier this evening by one of tonight's speakers and I think it's one worth following up, what was the number of employees, both union and management, in 2004, 2006, 2008 and 2009 at the Scranton Sewer Authority? And that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Courtright?

MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. First, off, one of the speakers spoke about the light on Linden Street, the reason that project went through as fast as it did was the city didn't pay for that study. That study was paid for by the developer and in order for the project to go ahead PennDOT had to give it's okay and have their engineers go out there. That's how it went through faster than some projects you received because it

was paid for by the developer.

I think that they were talking about Mulberry and was it Washington Avenue -- Wyoming Avenue, I think we have been done this road before, I believe that it's in the works for a turn -- a turning lane to eliminate some of the problems there, but that wasn't even being paid for by a private developer and that's probably why it's taking so long.

OECD funding, every year we ask for certain things and we get some, we don't get some. We always ask for and seem to always get the money for police patrols, but I think we have seen in the last couple of years, just about every one of us up here, disappointed in the fact that we don't have the amount of people on the street that we have the funding for, so I only hope that this money that we give them going in the year forward is utilized and utilized to the fullest to get as many police on the street as possible.

I have always been a little skeptical about this downtown sidewalks when

we get ready for that because I'm not quite sure how do you get the sidewalks done in your particular place, and I'm going to ask how do they determine who gets these downtown sidewalks because what I'm seeing there is two, there is one for \$150,000 for the Parking Authority and then there is I believe another \$100,000, all it says is downtown sidewalks, it doesn't specify any project, so I'd like to know if they have one in mind. If not, how did you get chosen for that?

MS. EVANS: Mr. Courtright, I asked that question last year because if you remember the same funding was awarded not in this amount, but it was a significant amount, hundreds off thousands of dollars was awarded for sidewalk paving or new sidewalks in the downtown in last year's allocations and, as I said, I wanted to know specifically where those sidewalks were and the answer was they didn't even know yet. They were just -- they just wanted the money there. Now, again they are saying that, you know, according to what we discussed earlier

tonight that HUD wants to see the money distributed to new entities rather than a repeat performance of a prior year which is why, for example, well, it's another reason why they have chosen not to support Meals on Wheels which is so desperately needed.

Well, if we funded sidewalks in the downtown last year, and I saw it this fall, that went out for bid, the bid proposals were coming in to be read at city council, why then are we funding it again next year?

MR. COURTRIGHT: I agree.

MS. EVANS: Someone is getting an awful lot for nothing here, but it's always in the downtown and no where else.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Right. And as you said, you mentioned that they didn't want to keep funding the same projects year after year and I one of the projects that I was interested in asked for \$200,000 in funding, I told them that I didn't think we could give them \$200,000, we dropped it back to \$100,000 and it's under a name that doesn't specify the project and that bothered me, so I called Kevin Mitchell today and I asked

him, and I'm going to be very honest with you, what I said to him he gave me his word and I said, "No offense, but if I'm gone in January are these people going to get --"
I'll try not to use the word I used on the phone, not get their funding, let me put it that way, after I'm gone, and he told me, no. And I said, well, I'm going to have the people call you. And he said, fine, I gave him the cell phone number and they called him and he promised them they would get their funding so I hope that holds true.

You know, you would like to take people at their word, but after six years here of, you know, eating a lot of words and not too many people keeping them you are skeptical, and when it's a project that you believe in and that you think they deserve, you know, you try to do the best you could for them. And unfortunately, every year I have been here I think they have cut back on the dollar amount that we're allowed to spend and a lot of good projects didn't get money. I know one project I see here is reduced from 5,000 to nothing and I truly

believe it was because we have given them money in the past and they wanted to give it to a different project.

But it's not perfect, it never is.

I'll be voting "yes", but one thing we don't want to do is vote it down because then we don't get anything, so those of you that didn't get your money this year, hopefully when the new council comes in next year they will be able to help you out and hopefully there will be more money to give.

And I believe -- oh, I wanted to ask this question, I kind of wish, Mr. McGoff, and I think you know the answer and I don't know if Mr. McGoff doesn't know if Mr. Minora would know, the swimming for the children at Nay Aug that they applied for OECD funding is that eligible? Would that be an eligible project for OECD? Would they be able to get funds for that? I'm not sure of the answer to that.

MR. MINORA: I honestly don't know that. That would be a federal reg I'm assuming.

MR. MCGOFF: I will give you the

answer that I received. There were a number of -- actually, there was a number of reasons they said that it wouldn't be funded, but that the one that made the most sense was that federal funds can't be used to pay a municipal fee. What would happen would be that the fee to swim would be -- is being paid by funds from CDBG which is basically illegal and unethical.

The reason it's there is that if
we -- if we wanted or if someone wanted they
could charge \$10 to swim and then ask for
\$100,000 because all of that money would
then go back to the city and so it's kind of
like, you know, paying yourself --

MR. COURTRIGHT: Right.

MR. MCGOFF: -- you know, through the funding and so they said that was the primary reason why it was not included.

MR. COURTRIGHT: And I thought there was something like that, and I don't know what the dollar amount was they asked for, was it \$5,000 they asked for for swimming?

MS. EVANS: Seven, I think, because it was included among the 12 editions that I

20

21

22

23

24

25

to all of council the reasons for acceptances of some projects and the denial for others, they listed only as a reason against the Kids Swim Free Program that it was located in Nay Aug Park which is not within a low to moderate income area, but at the same time in that same paperwork that provided reasoning as for allocations versus cuts, it also funded the Everhart Museum and had no problem with that which, of course, is also located in Nay Aug Park and it would seem that a decision needs to be made whether it is or it isn't in a low to moderate income area, so I understand what Mr. McGoff is saying and it makes very good sense, but I do question why that was not included as the original reason for denying the project.

had proposed, but I know when OECD submitted

MR. COURTRIGHT: Your guess is as good as mine.

MR. MCGOFF: Actually --

MS. EVANS: And it's also hard to believe that people paying a fee to go to the cultural center to see beautiful plays

and listen to the Northeastern Philharmonic that the Cultural Center receives a significant grant and on more than one occasion.

MR. MCGOFF: It's not municipal fee.

MS. EVANS: No, it's a -- it's going directly to kind of a private institution, so I don't know how that falls under serving the low to moderate income at all times.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, I thought we were talking about something else.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry.

MR. COURTRIGHT: What I'll --

MS. EVANS: Those are just, you know, a tiny bit of the discrepancies that I noticed in the explanations provided to Scranton City Council by the Office of Economic and Community Development.

MR. COURTRIGHT: What I would like to see also happen in the next year is the fees there at Nay Aug and some believe it should be and some believe it shouldn't, but I'd like to see that, I know they sold vouchers or whatever you want to call them at one time, but I'd like to see for the children

1617

18

15

1920

21

22

23

24

25

that can't afford it if they -- you could prove they are low to moderate income to give them at least five or six times a year a free pass so they could go in at any time of their choice because, unfortunately, and I think it's great that they have the children free swim, however you say it, you say 12 of them and that's terrific, I thought if possible if they were given some kind of voucher on a day that was convenient for them to go on a day that was really super hot you can go, you guys have a designated day, again, I think it's great you did it for them, but I'm talking about the city hand out something to these children.

I understand you have to pay for things in this world and I understand you have to pay for the slide and you go out and you work and I did the whole nine yards with the soda battles collecting them and I understand all of that stuff, but man I'm looking at a kid in 90 degree weather and they can't understand that they can't go swimming bothers me, you know, it just

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really bothersome to me so I hope the city could find somehow next year to at least give them some relief, I understand they are not going to allow them to swim.

And maybe if I'm not on this council anymore maybe I'll attend one of the Parker Recreation Meetings, you know, I had a tendency not to go to some of them because, and I'll make this brief, when I very first got on council there was another meeting going on in the room next to here and I walked in because I was early here and immediately everybody got alarmed. I think they thought I was there to cause trouble, and I wasn't. I didn't want to speak, I just wanted to hear what was going on, they asked me if I wanted to speak, and I said no. Are you sure you don't want to speak? I said, "No."

And then as the time went on they talked about something and I did want to speak and I said, "Well, I'll speak if I still could," and they said, "Yes," and that made everybody all kinds of nervous, they thought I had an ulterior motive for being

there when I just happened to be there, so I held back from going to some of these, but maybe I'll step up to the plate after January and see if I can't help these kids out, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Just very briefly. Then I'll go back to the Kids Swim Free very quickly, I was given a number of reasons why with the low to moderate being one and the ethical issue being the fourth or fifth thing that was said, so I don't know why they included just that in the explanation that was first sent to us, I'm not sure.

I would like also to since you brought it up that the Everhart Museum was eventually cut from the funding, so maybe it's one less area, that was all.

And to respond to one person's comment about why we don't move the meeting somewhere else and they were a little upset with me saying that meetings have always been here and probably will be into the foreseeable future, Mr. Courtright just kind of offhandedly reminded me that it's in the Home Rule Charter that council meetings will

1	be held in council chambers, so that's why
2	you don't see too much changes of venue for
3	the meetings, and that is all. And Fifth
4	Order.
5	MS. GARVEY: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -
6	AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
7	49, 2008, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL
8	CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2009" BY TRANSFERRING
9	\$17,100.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.
10	01.040.00040.4201 (BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION-
11	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO ACCOUNT NO.
12	01.040.00040.4190 (BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION-
13	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE) TO PROVIDE FUNDING
14	TO COVER QUARTERLY PAYMENTS TO THE
15	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRY.
16	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
18	introduced into it's proper committee.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
20	MS. EVANS: Second.
21	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
22	in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MS. EVANS: Aye.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The

	•
1	ayes have it and so moved. Prior to Seventh
2	Order, I'd like to make a motion to appoint
3	Mr. Courtright as the temporary chair for
4	the Committee on Community Development.
5	MS. EVANS: Second.
6	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
7	in favor signify by saying aye.
8	MS. EVANS: Aye.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
11	ayes have it and so moved.
12	MS. GARVEY: SIXTH ORDER. NO
13	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. Now, 7-A.
14	MR. MCGOFF: I guess at this time we
15	need to make a motion to amend 7-A as read
16	by Attorney Minora.
17	MS. EVANS: Second.
18	MR. MINORA: We are amending
19	MR. MCGOFF: Should we vote on the
20	motion to amend?
21	MR. MINORA: I'm sorry.
22	MR. MCGOFF: All in favor signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 2 ayes have it and so moved. Thank you, 3 Mr. Minora. MR. MINORA: The applicant is EOTC of 4 5 Northeastern Pennsylvania, the Adult Literacy Remediation project, amount funded 6 7 is zero, it's now funded at \$10,0000. 8 The Dante Literary Society, 9 Rehabilitated Bocce Court and grounds, from none to \$10,000. It is now funded at 10 11 \$10,000. 12 The Bulls' Head Association, 13 Restoration of former North End Lumber 14 building, from \$15,000 down to \$10,000. 15 United Neighborhood Centers 16 Community Development Corporation, South 17 Side Neighborhood Housing Revitalization Initiative from \$75,000 down to zero. 18 19 Bethel A.M.E. Independent heating 20 system from zero to \$5,000. 21 United Neighborhood centers and 22 Community Development Corporation, Project 23 Hope from \$60,000 to \$50,000. 24 The Everhart Museum Capital 25 Improvements to the Everhart Museum Facility

from \$10,000 to zero.

Deutsch Institute of Inclusion

Activities at City Sponsored Recreation for

Disabled from \$25,000 to \$20,000.

Downtown Senior Center Refinish
Walls and Pave Parking Lot from \$5,000 to
zero.

Scranton Tomorrow, Main Street
Facade Improvement Program from zero to
\$10,000.

Telespond Senior Services and
Programming, Public facilities and
Improvement projects from zero to \$5,000.

Scranton Cultural Center

Accessibility and Public Safety Improvements
to the SCC Entrance from \$100,000 down to

\$50,000.

Scranton Neighbors, Incorporated,
Scattered Site Housing Acquisition and
Rehabilitation Program from \$50,000 to zero.

City of Scranton, Sidewalks in downtown Scranton from \$175,000 to \$150,000.

City of Scranton Contingency from \$125,000 to \$100,000.

City of Scranton Facade Grant

1 Program from \$100,000 to \$50,000. 2 City of Scranton Park Enhancements 3 from \$250,000 to \$200,000. City of Scranton Park Enhancements 4 5 for West Side Neighborhoods from \$60,000 to \$55,000. 6 City of Scranton Administration of 7 8 CDBG \$675,000 down to \$660,000. 9 Catholic Social Services Homeless 10 Veterans Supportive Housing Program from 11 \$5,000 to zero. Catholic Social Services Permanent 12 13 Supportive Housing Program from \$5,000 to 14 zero. 15 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. You were 16 probably right, I probably should have 17 waited until they were read before we voted 18 on including them. We don't need to make another motion do that. 19 MR. MINORA: Unless somebody has an 20 21 objection. 22 MS. EVANS: I don't object. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Any objections to what 24 was read? MR. COURTRIGHT: No. 25

1 MS. EVANS: No. MS. GARVEY: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION 2 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -3 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 83, 2009 4 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 5 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE 6 ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE 7 8 CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY 9 PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK 10 11 GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT 12 PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY 13 SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM. 14 MR. MCGOFF: What is the 15 recommendation of the temporary Chair for 16 the Committee on Community Development? 17 MR. COURTRIGHT: As temporary Chair 18 for the Committee on Community Development, 19 I recommend final passage of Item 7-A. MS. EVANS: Second. 20 21 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Ro11 22 call, please? MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 23 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. 25 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.

1 Fanucci. Mr. Courtright. 2 MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare 4 5 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. MS. GARVEY: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 6 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR 7 8 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 182, 2009 -9 APPROVING THE MODIFICATION OF THE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL ON WEST LINDEN STREET 10 (S.R.3020) AND SEVENTH AVENUE (S.R.3029) AS 11 PART OF THE MOUNT PLEASANT CORPORATE CENTER 12 PROJECT. 13 14 MR. MCGOFF: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 15 16 Committee on Public Safety? 17 MR. COURTRIGHT: As Chairperson for 18 the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend 19 final passage of Item 7-B. MS. EVANS: Second. 20 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 21 Ro11 22 call, please? MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 23 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. 25 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.

1 Fanucci. Mr. Courtright. 2 MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare 4 5 Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. MS. GARVEY: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 6 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR 7 8 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 183, 2009 -9 APPROVING THE MODIFICATION OF THE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL ON SEVENTH AVENUE (S.R.3029) 10 AND ROUTE 11 NORTHBOUND RAMPS A&B 11 (S.R.8027) AS PART OF THE MOUNT PLEASANT 12 CORPORATE CENTER PROJECT. 13 14 MR. MCGOFF: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 15 16 Committee on Public Safety? 17 MR. COURTRIGHT: As Chairperson for 18 the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend 19 final passage of Item 7-C. MS. EVANS: Second. 20 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 21 Ro11 22 call, please? MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 23 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. 25 MR. COLLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.

1 Fanucci. Mr. Courtright. 2 MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare 4 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. 5 MS. GARVEY: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION 6 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR 7 8 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 184, 2009 -9 APPROVING THE MODIFICATION OF THE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL ON SEVENTH AVENUE (S.R.3029) 10 11 AND ROUTE 11 SOUTHBOUND RAMPS C&D (S.R.8027/ACCESS) AS PART OF THE MOUNT 12 PLEASANT CORPORATE CENTER PROJECT. 13 14 MR. MCGOFF: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the 15 16 Committee on Public Safety? 17 MR. COURTRIGHT: As Chairperson for 18 the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend 19 final passage of Item 7-D. MS. EVANS: Second. 20 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 21 Ro11 22 call, please? MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 23 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. 25 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.

1 Fanucci. Mr. Courtright. MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. 2 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare 4 Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. 5 MS. GARVEY: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION 6 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -7 8 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 185, 2009 -9 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 10 ENTER INTO A LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN 11 FROM THE COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING 12 LOAN PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.25 IN 13 14 AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$25,000.00 TO FITNESS 53. INC. TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE 15 16 PROJECT. 17 MR. MCGOFF: What is the 18 recommendation of the temporary Chair for 19 the Committee on Community Development? 20 MR. COURTRIGHT: As temporary Chair 21 for the Committee on Community Development, 22 I recommend final passage of Item 7-E. 23 MS. EVANS: Second. 24 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Ro11 25 call, please?

	100
1	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
2	MS. EVANS: Yes.
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.
4	Fanucci. Mr. Courtright.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
8	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Motion to adjourn.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Thank you
11	for your participation.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER