1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, July 28, 2009
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT (Not present.) MS. JANET E. EVANS MS. SHERRY FANUCCI MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of
2	reflection observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Here.
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.
7	Fanucci.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Here.
9	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
11	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Let the record
13	reflect that Mrs. Gatelli called and will
14	not be attending today's meeting due to a
15	family illness. Dispense with the reading
16	of the minutes. Any announcements or
17	Third Order, I'm sorry.
18	MS. GARVEY: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
19	FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON
20	JUNE 24, 2009.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. GARVEY: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
24	POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON
25	JUNE 24, 2009.

1		MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
2	If not,	received and filed.
3		MS. GARVEY: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
4	NON-UNIE	FORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
5	HELD ON	JUNE 24, 2009.
6		MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
7	If not,	received and filed.
8		MS. GARVEY: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE
9	NON-UNIE	FORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
10	HELD ON	JULY 22, 2009.
11		MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
12	If not,	received and filed.
13		MS. GARVEY: 3-E. TAX COLLECTION
14	COMPARIS	SON REPORTS RECEIVED FROM THE SINGLE
15	TAX OFFI	ICE JULY 22, 2009.
16		MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
17	If not,	received and filed.
18		MS. GARVEY: 3-F. CONTROLLER'S REPORT
19	FOR THE	MONTH ENDING JUNE 30, 2009.
20		MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
21	If not,	received and filed.
22		Prior to Fourth Order are there any
23	announce	ements?
24		MR. COURTRIGHT: I have one.
25		MS. EVANS: And I just have one, too.

1	You go ahead.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay. Sorry. I
3	just have one announcement, the Tripp Park
4	Neighborhood Association picnic at the Tripp
5	Park Community Center parking lot on Dorothy
6	Street will be Friday, August 24, and
7	Saturday August 22 from 6:00 p.m. until
8	10:00 p.m.
9	MS. EVANS: What was that again?
10	Friday, August
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Friday, August 21
12	and Saturday, August 22 from 6 to 10, and
13	that's going to be in the parking lot of the
14	Tripp Park Community Center on Dorothy
15	Street.
16	MS. EVANS: Please remember in your
17	prayers all those who have died this past
18	week, particularly Taevon and Michael Miles,
19	two young brothers who died in a West
20	Scranton arson fire also week and their
21	mother, Mrs. Leary and brother Nigia who
22	deeply suffer their loss.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Anything else?
24	MS. EVANS: No.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Citizens' participation.

1	Nancy Krake. Let me just because
2	Mrs. Gatelli isn't here, there is no need to
3	change the order, so we'll just go.
4	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, we had switched
5	the order.
6	MR. MCGOFF: We will just have a five
7	minutes.
8	MS. KRAKE: Good morning, Council.
9	I'm just going to be raising a few issues
10	before you recess for the summer. First
11	would be why are we demolishing Holy Cross
12	Church? It is clearly not blighted and
13	should be returned to the tax rolls
14	especially in light of the budget shortfall
15	this year.
16	Now, I guess the mayor could raise
17	taxes again while he has still has a council
18	majority. The city's insurance company paid
19	\$30,000 for Mrs. Gatelli's private lawsuit
20	and costs continued to mount. When will
21	Mrs. Gatelli reimburse the city for her
22	private lawsuit? Wouldn't a rational person
23	agree that the taxpayers, the same ones that
24	Mrs. Gatelli voted to increase their
25	property tax 27 percent, pay for this same

1 city's insurance costs? So, in essence, she

2 is suing city residents as a private citizen and using their own money, taxes, to do so. 3 Also, will Mrs. Gatelli drop her 4 5 lawsuit or pay for it herself when she leaves office or will the taxpayers continue 6 to pay for her lawsuit when she no longer 7 8 sits on council? Thank you. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Andy Sbaraglia. MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 10 11 citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians. A 12 little talk on 7-E on Holy Cross Church. 13 What is the rush to tear that church down? 14 That's the whole quirks. I mean, you are 15 giving 120 days rush order on tearing this 16 church down. What's the need for such a 17 rush? That church has been standing for near 100 years and all of a sudden now we've 18 19 got to tear it really down real fast like. 20 I have before me 20 pictures of homes that 21 are derelict homes within the city, and 22 believe me, they are worse than Holy Cross 23 Church, that's obvious. I don't understand the rush on that project. Would you -- I 24 25 guess you all see them, would you like to

1

see them?

MS. EVANS: Yes, I would. Thank you. 2 3 (Mr. Sbaraglia hands photos to Mrs. Evans.) 4 5 MR. SBARAGLIA: The city isn't 6 rushing. I live on Main Avenue. We all 7 know about that home on Main Avenue where we did it twice and they trashed it twice. 8 It's still standing. It has been standing 9 10 for years, but yet we are in a rush to tear down Holy Cross Church. I can't understand 11 12 it and there must be a reason for it, maybe 13 yous know of the reason for it. MS. FANUCCI: Because they want to 14 15 use it as a parking lot. I mean, that's the 16 reason. They want to use it for something. 17 The other church they really didn't have a 18 use for, so that is the reason. MR. SBARAGLIA: It's not a good 19 20 reason. MS. FANUCCI: Well, it might not be 21 22 a good reason, but it is the reason. 23 MR. SBARAGLIA: That's the reason 24 they gave. 25 MS. FANUCCI: You're saying that you

1 don't know what the reason is. That's the 2 reason.

3 MR. SBARAGLIA: No, that's the reason they gave. That's not necessarily in their 4 5 planning. There must be something more to 6 it than that because they wouldn't be 7 willing to spend all of that money when the 8 West Side Boy's Club calls I didn't see the mayor come up with \$50,000 or \$100,000 to 9 10 keep that open and that had a better basketball court if you are familiar with 11 12 the West Side. 13 MS. FANUCCI: But don't they have 14 property next door to the Boy's and Girl's 15 Club that they were trying to sell that we 16 could have bought as a city and then tore it 17 down. No. 18 MR. SBARAGLIA: Yes, there is if they 19 put an effort to do it. They could have. 20 MS. FANUCCI: Come on, Andy. 21 MR. SBARAGLIA: No, they could have. 22 That street has been derelict, I grew up 23 there. If they wanted to do it they could 24 have, but they didn't want to do it. Another thing is there have been basketball 25

1	games	at	that	court	where	you're	talking
2	about	for	the	past	what 20) years,	30 years?

3 MS. FANUCCI: Absolutely. 4 MR. SBARAGLIA: Now all of a sudden 5 we got to rush to tear the building down. 6 It doesn't make sense. I'll give you that. 7 Whatever reason they gave they gave. Like I 8 said, I can give a lot of reasons to build a 9 damn across from east to west mountain, too, but there is a lot of negatives that come 10 11 with it and this whole city would be 12 flooded, but I can give a lot of positives 13 about cheaper electricity, a big lake that you can use. I can give all kind of 14 15 reasons, but they are not practical reasons 16 and that's not a practical reason. Let's go to the lease agreement for 17 18 the OECD. Did the city put in a bid for 19 that by any chance? 20 MS. FANUCCI: I don't believe so. MR. SBARAGLIA: I wonder why not? 21 MS. FANUCCI: I did ask and the 22 23 answer I received was that the amount of

24 space that was available within city hall, 25

is that what you are talking, with them

1	moving OECD back here was not sufficient for
2	the office. That's the answer I received.
3	Whether or not you like it, that's what I

4 got. MR. SBARAGLIA: And that was the 5 6 reason they moved out, too, all right? 7 There wasn't enough reason --MS. FANUCCI: Right, and they have 8 100 employees now. 9 10 MR. SBARAGLIA: We have a museum in 11 the basement, don't we, where the old police station was? 12 13 MS. FANUCCI: I think that that's 14 even smaller than upstairs actually. 15 MR. SBARAGLIA: But you have two different areas. I mean, you combine all 16 17 them areas there might have been enough room 18 for that. I think they should have at least 19 looked into it. I mean, we got a museum 20 downstairs that nobody gets to see, but it's there. Oh, well, like I say, five minutes 21 22 is going to run out there. I'm not -- I don't understand "H" either, 7-H with the 23 24 zoning officer effective 6-2-09. They are 25 going back a few things, I guess that's when

1	he was hired, and they did all of a sudden
2	that they need permission to do it or what?
3	What's the reason we are going back to

April 6? 7-H.
MS. FANUCCI: Yeah. I have to read
it here.
MR. SBARAGLIA: We seem to go
backwards with the city. Same when they put
the insurance all the way back to January.
Our liability insurance for the whole city
we go back to January for it in June I think
it was. Thank you.
MR. MCGOFF: Bob Bolus.
MR. BOLUS: Good morning, Council,
Bob Bolus, Scranton. This is an issue about
7-E about Holy Cross Church, and I think we
need to really pay attention what's going on
here. Do we need a parking lot to pay the
taxpayers expense in the City of Scranton
when there is abandoned tennis courts right
down the street that could be utilized but
nobody has thought about it or said we could
use them, but yet we want to turn down this
magnificent structure that you couldn't
build today for \$1 million. You want to

1	take away the heritage. These were gateway
2	churches.
3	Mr. Early, who is the chancellor of
4	the Diocesan, authored a book about the

5 Diocese, about the history of it. Is this a turntail? What he said isn't true? What he 6 meant in his book is untrue? Is this what 7 the Diocese considers a giveaway? 8 We offered \$25,000 to the church and 9 10 they never even came back and said, "Give us a counteroffer." 11 12 And ironically when the city isn't paying attention you are rushing the 13 judgment. You are calling it blighted 14 because a tile fell off it God knows when. 15 16 What if a tile fell off the courthouse? How about city hall? Are we going to consider 17 18 it now blighted and tear it down? I don't think so. 19 Lieutenant Robson died tragically 20 21 yet you have not torn that building down 22 yet. What is your meaning of what you 23 believe is blighted in this city? Open your

24 eyes and drive around. Don't give me the
25 nonsense we are going to make this a parking

1	lot for public parking at taxpayers'
2	expenses. That's an absurdity. It's the
3	dumbest thing I have heard here in the many
4	years I have come here, and I'm outraged to

5 even hear a comment like that. When that 6 building next door, the hall, is so 7 deteriorated, needs a roof, the foundations 8 are cracked, windows are all broken around 9 the basement of it, that's what should be 10 torn down, yet you are enhancing that by tearing this church down and giving the 11 Dioceses a free parking lot at our expenses. 12 13 MS. FANUCCI: Bob, you weren't the 14 only one turned down in the sale of the building --15 16 MR. BOLUS: No, let me tell you 17 something --18 MS. FANUCCI: They won't sell the 19 building to anyone that --20 MR. BOLUS: Let me finish what I 21 have to say, Sherry, and I'll be more than 22 glad to listen to what you got to say at the 23 end, please. This Diocese sat there and 24 said, "We have a short fall of 200 and some thousand dollars," yet they turned down 25

1	\$25,000 or they could have turned \$100,000
2	down. They want us, the parishioners of the
3	City of Scranton, to continue to pay for
4	their foolishness and their foolhardy way
5	they handle money.

6 My suggestion to every Catholic in this Diocese is don't put another bloody 7 dime in the basket. It's time to remove 8 9 this Bishop once and for all and let people 10 really know what he is about. He has not 11 paid attention there is money being put in 12 the coffers and he has ignored it and yet 13 they want us to pay, and I'm outraged that the council here would even entertain taking 14 15 this on.

16 Remember, this could be under the heritage, architectural heritage, and you 17 18 are going to be stopped from doing anything 19 with this church once you take title to 20 this. This is a foolhardy rush to judgment 21 when you have more important issues in this 22 city to take care of especially with our 23 money. There is no reason you cannot table this, dwell on it, think about it as 24 intelligent people and administrators of our 25

1	money and our futures and the futures of
2	future generations. You allow millions and
3	millions of dollars to be spent on
4	Lackawanna Avenue to present what, an image
5	to the city, yet we want to tear down a

6 building like this that has use? Whether 7 it's gutted or not you could do anything 8 creative with that building you want to do. 9 People have been parking there for the last 10 100 years. Now they need a parking lot? 11 They are too darn lazy after they have ran 12 up and down the ball court playing basketball for hours to walk across to 13 14 street to the tennis court and park? 15 That's the dumbest thing I have 16 heard since I have been around this city 17 that we want to tear a building to make this 18 a parking lot and enhance the Dioceses at no 19 expense to them. That's an unjust enrichment. That's a foolhardy spending of 20 21 our money.

I took time from a business meeting I had today to come here. I could have filed litigation and played this court and played the games today, but if this council

1	and this administration is so ridiculously
2	intent on tearing this building down I don't
3	want to waste another dime in this city.
4	I'm a developer, I'm a businessman, and I
5	know the value of our heritage and you
6	cannot sit here in conscience and justify a

7 parking lot and think that was an8 intelligent thing to do.

9 This whole process smells and it 10 smells very bad. It does. It really does, 11 Mr. McGoff. It stinks. If you pass this 12 for a parking lot you have not justified 13 your position here and the best interest of 14 this people in this city and I'm glad we made some changes. You have to think about 15 16 the people.

17 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 18 MR. BOLUS: No, it isn't thank you today, Mr. McGoff, we have an issue today 19 20 and I want one second more, you are putting 21 bike racks in the city for free, let me them 22 pay. We got to pay to park our cars here, 23 let them pay to use the bike racks. Generate income, increase the tax base --24 25 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

1	MR. BOLUS: in this city. There
2	is an opportunity, let's not be bullied by
3	this bishop any further. Thank you.
4	MS. FANUCCI: All you did was show
5	irrational was, Bobby. You want them to pay
6	for bike racks?

7	MR. MCGOFF: Sherry, please.
8	MR. BOLUS: What, Sherry?
9	MS. FANUCCI: I can't.
10	MR. BOLUS: Say what, Sherry, I
11	didn't hear you?
12	MS. FANUCCI: (Inaudible.)
13	MR. BOLUS: Well, I didn't hear your
14	comment.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Ron Ellman.
16	(Inaudible comments from Mr. Bolus.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
18	MR. BOLUS: Well, I didn't appreciate
19	the comment from a council person
20	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
21	MR. BOLUS: That doesn't listen to
22	what the people have to say if they don't
23	like it.
24	MS. FANUCCI: I listened and I
25	commented on your bike rack comment.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
2	MR. BOLUS: You're an irrational
3	person in the first place, trust me on that.
4	(Inaudible comments.)
5	MR. FANUCCI: All right.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Bolus. Thank you.
7	MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman, member of

8 the Taxpayers' Association. You know, 9 sometimes you have this lunatic comes up 10 here trying to entertain the troops and you 11 give her 10 or 15 minutes before you can get rid of her, here is something important 12 13 going on you won't let him have another minute. That's not right. It wouldn't have 14 15 killed you.

MS. FANUCCI: The reason I commented 16 17 was the bike racks. I'm okay with listening 18 about the church, I honestly believe his 19 comments about the church are valid and I believe that, but when I listen to somebody 20 21 saying we should charge people for bike 22 racks, I don't believe they deserve another minute for that. No. 23

24 MR. ELLMAN: No, I understand that.25 MS. FANUCCI: No, Ronnie, really.

1	The church I understand. I believe that
2	MR. ELLMAN: I'm not being
3	argumentative about it, I just stated a
4	fact. I don't see why you are trying to buy
5	a building anyway when they can't afford it.
6	They are losing it really. It doesn't make
7	sense, you know. People go looking at a

8 house or a car you don't give them the exact 9 amount you try to dicker and get the price 10 down or something, you don't give them --11 you know, they can't hold onto the church 12 why are you talking about buying it? 13 And I did something anybody up there 14 could have done, I phoned two demolition companies during the week, both of them said 15 16 you cannot tear that building down for 17 \$50,000, and they can't give you a price 18 because they don't know how much hazardous 19 materials are in it to have to go to a 20 landfill, but they said it's impossible to 21 tear it down for \$50,000.

And from what I hear that building, I was told this by somebody that works for the city, that building is probably in better shape than the new school is because

1	the basement floods all of the time in the
2	new school and I got that from the horse's
3	mouth and nobody is supposed to know about
4	it. That basement has been flooded in the
5	new school and I heard it from two different
6	people. Well, go investigate it.
7	I would have been here last week to
8	bad mouth everybody except I went to

9 Mr. Cox's office with my papers that from 10 five or six years ago and the man spent two 11 hours trying to find where my tax money is 12 with all of his computers and everything and he cannot figure it out. I spent \$500 for 13 14 an attorney he couldn't figure it out and couldn't find nothing. I went -- I got a 15 16 free attorney at the Bureau of the Aging or whatever you call it, that man gave up. Why 17 18 can't they find my money? There is no 19 account from -- that NCC, they charged me 20 \$2,400, it's not on the computer where that money went. That whole place is such a mess 21 22 I don't know what you want the job for. I 23 spent two hours and Mr. Cox is on that 24 computer typing up a storm to no avail. 25 MS. FANUCCI: They couldn't find any

1	of your payments?
2	MR. ELLMAN: You got your job cut out
3	pardon?
4	MR. FANUCCI: They couldn't find any
5	of your payments?
6	MR. ELLMAN: Yeah, it found out, you
7	know, he'd type something up and it says
8	where my mortgage paid it, it says,

9 "Mortgage" next to it, and they fined me --I had to give them \$1,900 when my mortgage 10 11 paid it, then they turned that \$1,900 over 12 to that NCC and they and fined me \$2,400 13 because the sheriff come out there with the 14 papers on the house and all of that, and 15 Miss Rosey got all excited and ran over there and gave them \$2,4,00 and none of that 16 17 money is accounted for. You can -- I got 18 all of the paperwork. 19 MS. FANUCCI: Oh. 20 MR. ELLMAN: My bank made payments 21 and payments and payments every year. They 22 sent it, you know, it's a -- they sent me a 23 sheet.

24 MR. COURTRIGHT: Ron, what you are 25 saying, there is no record of you making

1	payment, that's what you are telling me,
2	right?
3	MR. ELLMAN: That's what Mr. Cox I
4	got all of the sheets
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: From your bank.
6	MR. ELLMAN: I'll bring them to you
7	to look at, you won't be here next week,
8	will you?
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: No. From your bank

10 though? You got them from your bank saying
11 you paid your taxes?

MR. ELLMAN: Yeah, they got the years 12 13 down, '95 and so forth, you know, what -what they paid in taxes, but it -- they have 14 15 the interest, you know, on my money, they just show a little bit of the interest where 16 17 they paid the taxes so it's different every year from the taxes. I'll bring you 18 19 everything by your business and leave it. 20 If you can figure it out you are a better 21 man that anybody over here at this tax 22 bureau because --23 MR. COURTRIGHT: Just bring them

24 here to city hall because I'll be in there
25 every day even though we're on break.

1	MR. ELLMAN: Over here?
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Right here, just to
3	our office over here.
4	MR. ELLMAN: Right over there?
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah.
6	MR. ELLMAN: Thank you.
7	MS. FANUCCI: Funny they couldn't
8	find your name when they are sending out the
9	bill though, right? Wouldn't that be nice

10 for a change? MR. ELLMAN: I don't know. 11 MS. FANUCCI: They seem to be able 12 13 to do that well. MR. ELLMAN: I should have let them 14 have the house, it would have been easier. 15 16 MS. FANUCCI: That's terrible. 17 Terrible. 18 MR. ELLMAN: Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: David Dobrzyn. 19 20 MR. DOBRZYN: Good morning, Council. 21 Dave Dobrzyn, member of the Taxpayers' 22 Association, resident of Scranton. On 7-E I 23 have a different approach and I'm going to read off our Article III of the Pennsylvania 24 25 State Constitution: All men have a natural

1	and indiffusable right to worship God
2	almighty according to the dictates of their
3	consciences. No man of right can be
4	compelled to attend, erect or support any
5	place of worship or maintain any ministry
6	against it's consent. No human authority
7	can in any case whatsoever control or
8	interfere with the rights of conscience and
9	no preferences shall ever be given by a law
10	to any religious establishments or mode of

- 11 worship." 12 And the reason I read this off is 13 because I feel that the situation is a 14 little too cozy and I think that eventually 15 it will wind up -- the property will wind up 16 back in the hands of the church at taxpayers' expense which I contend is 17 18 requiring us taxpayers to support the church 19 and the Diocese of Scranton. Thank you and 20 have a good morning. MR. MCGOFF: Nancy Bisignani. 21 22 MS. BISIGNANI: I'm Nancy Bisignani 23 with the Architectural Heritage Association
- of Northeastern Pennsylvania and I'm hereabout Holy Cross, and in our group's

1	opinion, and we don't have this opinion from
2	the state historic preservation office yet,
3	but this building is national register
4	eligible because of it's history, it's got a
5	very strong history, and it's an
6	architecturally significant building, and to
7	use federal funds to demolish it will
8	require 106 process from the National
9	Historic Preservation Act, and we would like
10	to respectfully request council to table

11 this to give us a little bit more time to 12 get some experts in here and to come up with 13 some other ideas.

14 These churches are being used all 15 over the country and the same thing is 16 happening all over the country that's 17 happening here, congregations are being combined, and they are doing very 18 interesting things with churches. They are 19 20 doing community centers with basketball courts. They are doing libraries, they are 21 22 doing restaurants, they are doing apartment 23 complexes. There is many, many creative 24 things that can be considered for this and what I'm worried about, and I'd like to ask 25

1	council this, is this says, "To execute any
2	and all other documents necessary for
3	closing this transaction," does that include
4	demolition? Is there in the sale's
5	agreement a requirement that it be
6	demolished?
7	MS. EVANS: I guess there is, that it
8	be demolished within a period of 120 days or
9	the church will revert to the ownership of
10	the Diocese.
11	MS. BISIGNANI: Well, what I would

12 like council to do is table this until your 13 fall schedule comes back up and by that time 14 we could have a little bit more preparation 15 work, and I'm speaking on behalf of the 16 Architectural Heritage Association which is 17 the only historic preservation organization in town. Thank you. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Marie Schumacher. 19

20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher, 21 city resident and taxpayer. I, too, would 22 like to ask what's the rush with respect to 23 7-E. Has anyone considered any other uses 24 for this structure? Surely if the 500 block 25 of Lackawanna Avenue is historic, this 100

1	year old church is the same.
2	Sunday afternoon WNEP news showed a
3	Wilkes-Barre library that had been displaced
4	by a fire several years before and was now
5	moving into an empty church. If Scranton
6	needs a library in the South Side area, why
7	not save a historic building and possibly
8	consider it for the library. It looks as
9	though there is a lot of space there.
10	Also, Lackawanna County advertises
11	the place where innovation starts, so let's

12 take the month of August to use some innovation and find another use for this 13 14 structure. There is an empty lot across on 15 Fifth -- across pretty much across from the 16 hall that perhaps could be used for parking. 17 And I guess that's -- well, the other thing 18 is I would like to show you pictures, if this is not a building that should be 19 condemned -- Neal, maybe you could take --20 21 these show pictures of the roof of the current building. I wouldn't let a child or 22 23 a grandchild of mine in that building with a roof in this condition and I question -- I 24 25 question the health of that structure, and

1	if the Diocese can't afford \$50,000 to
2	demolish their church without coming through
3	council then I would also think that perhaps
4	they can't afford to put a new roof on that
5	building, but that all needs to be
6	investigated plus I would like to know if
7	you have considered the added liability and
8	maintenance for the parking lot. That also
9	ads to the ongoing expenses of the city.
10	I'd also like to ask about last
11	week's 5-D. Did anyone find out if Bruce
12	Miller is certified to be a zoning officer?

13	He is? Yes, he is or
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: There is all kinds
15	of qualifications.
16	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, later, and
17	does he I notice it wasn't on today's
18	agenda, does he continue to work as a zoning
19	officer until council returns in September?
20	You can answer that one in motions.
21	And something that's troubled me
22	ever since the light went off when Nancy
23	Krake spoke of this months and months ago,
24	maybe even years ago, and that is the fines
25	that are charged property tax owners. I

1	don't understand why only property tax	
2	owners are are there any fines if a wage	
3	if a person doesn't pay their wage taxes	
4	on time? I don't think so. Any of these	
5	other fees, are there any late fees	
6	assessed? I don't think so. So why is it	
7	only the poor property owner and in many	
8	cases the elderly who are living on fixed	
9	incomes?	
10	I would like to share with you	

10 If would like to shale with you
11 something from the Fairfax County, Virginia,
12 what they do for their elderly, which is 62

13 -- or excuse me, 65 or older or if you are 14 disabled. If the gros household income is 15 \$52,000 or less you get 100 percent property 16 tax relieve. If it's between \$52,001 and 17 \$62,000 it's 50 percent. If it's between --18 if your gross household income is \$62,000 to 19 \$72,000 you get 25 percent relief, and up to \$6,500 may be subtracted from the total 20 combined income calculations for each 21 22 relative residing in the household, and there are more. You are allowed to have net 23 24 assets limits up to \$340,000, not including the value of the home and it's furnishings. 25

1	Now, I think this is what we should
2	be doing for our seniors, obviously the
3	amounts would have to be adjusted for the
4	property values here, but I think it's
5	something that should be looked at. Thank
6	you.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Mike Lapalla.
8	MR. LAPALLA: Mike Lapalla, resident
9	of North Scranton. I first want to say
10	thank you for Mr. Courtright and Ms. Garvey
11	for going out last week and getting the
12	Parks Department to come out and fix the
13	park. They put a new swing in. They fixed

14 that wire, thankfully that wasn't live. I 15 don't know why they just concreted that 16 sidewalk over the wire, but now it's gone, 17 which is good, and also they picked up the trash in the area which is even better. 18 19 The main reason I came here last week was for that house. The abandoned 20 21 house is, you know, it's a hazard there, and 22 that's the main concern that I had as well 23 as the families there in the area. I would

24 hope that this is home is razed before the25 church does. Several others also in the

1	area are deplorable and need work before
2	that church.
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: I don't know, Kay,
4	do you know the house is bad and they
5	haven't boarded up those windows that you
6	talked about0.
7	MR. LAPALLA: I went up there this
8	morning.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Kay, did they tell
10	us where that was on the list? Didn't Mark
11	Seitzinger say he was going to check it out
12	and see where it was on the list?
13	MS. GARVEY: He was go to check it

14 out and hopefully move it up wherever it 15 was, but I haven't heard anything since 16 then. 17 MR. COURTRIGHT: I got to be honest 18 with you, Mike, after we spoke and I went 19 there and then it was either that day or the 20 next day I saw Mark Seitzinger and Mr. Oleski going in that direction so --21 22 MR. LAPALLA: I'm glad because -- -23 MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll keep on that because it's bad. 24 25 MR. LAPALLA: So am I, and I'm going

33

1	to keep on it because I gave my word and I
2	don't go back on my word. The parents and
3	the families that are around there saw them
4	out there that day and they were very proud
5	of that and thankful for them coming out, so
6	I'm very happy about that.

7 But the one point I want to make 8 clear that I don't believe that the Parks 9 Department or the Department of Public Works 10 are fully responsible for the blight in the park. As a citizen, I believe it is our 11 12 right to keep the neighborhoods clean and 13 safe. Picking up trash and not littering is 14 something we can all do to make our

- 15 community better. We should not have to 16 wait for someone else to come and do 17 something that we should be doing already. 18 We should have more pride in ourselves and 19 our community. We, as citizens, should 20 stand up against those that do not take care of our community, whether that's trash, 21 22 graffiti or vandalism. 23 If we as citizens do not do anything
- or say something then it would just getbrushed under the carpet. For instance, the

1	last week the gentleman came about the grass
2	that fell on the road and complained about
3	it, but didn't pick it up. We could be kind
4	and say, "Excuse me, the grass dropped," or
5	better yet, just pick it up and throw it
6	away yourselves. If we did that, every
7	citizen in our community, our community
8	would be a lot better off.
9	I was one of those individuals that
10	was on the TV watching the city council

11 on TV, sitting on the couch reading the 12 newspaper or whatever it may be, and I 13 always said, "I wish somebody would do 14 something. I wish somebody would stand up 15 and fight for these people or try to get 16 that project done."

17	Well, I got off the couch and I'm
18	here. I'm going to fight for the people,
19	I'm going to fight for my community that I
20	love, that I just got here and became
21	adorned to. I believe in the city and I
22	want to improve it for my family and the
23	families in the future and in this
24	community. Thank you for your time and
25	thank you for listening to my concerns.

1	MS. FANUCCI: Looks like we met our
2	new councilman, huh?
3	MR. LAPALLA: No.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Any other speakers?
5	Mr. Quinn?
6	MR. QUINN: Morning. Ozzie Quinn,
7	president of the Scranton/Lackawanna County
8	Taxpayers' Association. I was hoping that
9	Mrs. Gatelli was going to be here today
10	because of the Holy Cross Church demolition
11	and the 120 day stipulation to knock it
12	down. This building is over on Cedar Avenue
13	I guess in the her South Side
14	renaissance, it's the old former Bistro.
15	It's been there vacant since 2002, okay? I

16 guess you all saw it, okay? I'll give it to 17 the news if they would like to see it, I 18 mean, there is something for ten years and 19 it's still existing.

20 The City of Scranton Administrative 21 Code states in part: At any time during the 22 budget year the business administrator shall 23 ascertain the possibility of a cash deficit 24 he shall reconsider the work program and the 25 allotments of the several office,

36

1 departments and agen	cies.
------------------------	-------

2	Upon such consideration and with the
3	approval of the mayor and council, the
4	business administrator shall revise the
5	allotments so as to forestall so far as
6	possible the making of commitments and
7	expenditures and expenses of revenue to be
8	realized during the official year.
9	The mayor is going has said he is

10going to use federal community block grant11money intended for removing blighted12properties will be used for the project.13The mayor also said city employees will be14performing the work of the demolition. The15project that would be authorized by the

16 council is a capital project though not 17 presented as an amendment to the 2009 18 capital budget which did not include this 19 project, therefore, it must constitute an 20 urgent need under the CDBG program. 21 Well, it doesn't meet that criteria

for urgent need. You really have to have
something urgent, okay? Holy Cross does not
pose a serious and immediate threat to the
health or welfare of the community. I have

1	had several calls myself from people who
2	even in the winter about the rats and the
3	snow, cleaning the snow and whatnot, okay?
4	Misusing HUD funds could draw such sanctions
5	which would reduce future CDBG allocations
6	which are critically needed to assist low/
7	moderate income residents. The revenue
8	portion of the city's budget is in jeopardy
9	due primarily to an assumed 5.5 infusion
10	from the Single Tax Office which
11	increasingly looks as though it will not
12	come to fruition at the budget amount.
13	The city is de facto increasing the
14	expenditure portion of the budget. If they
15	approve this ordinance, approval of this
16	ordinance will remove a portion of the

flexibility provided to business 17 18 administrators to control a year's end cash 19 deficit. This would likely result either in 20 a tax increase or an increased debt, both of 21 which would be determined, it would be detrimental to the taxpayers who are already 22 facing another school tax increase of 23 24 6.5 percent. 25 I urge you people to table this as

1	the member of the AHA said and consider it
2	again because when you look a picture like
3	that that's hanging around for ten years and
4	you want to knock down something in 120
5	days, as Mrs. Evans always says, it doesn't
6	pass the smell test. Thank you.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: How's the dog,
8	Jeannie?
9	MS. SUETTA: The tail is good. My
10	tail is good. My name is Jean Suetta. Bob,
11	I come up about the weeds. They did when
12	you're done talking, Bill.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Go ahead.
14	MS. SUETTA: I mean, if I'm
15	interfering just let me know.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: No at all.

17 MS. SUETTA: All right. They did the Lace Works and they did that corner. The 18 19 jungle is still across the street on Greenridge Street and Vanic VIP, 20 Incorporated, owns the lots. 21 22 MS. FANUCCI: Who does? 23 MS. SUETTA: Vanic, V-A-N-I-C. 24 MS. FANUCCI: Okay. 25 MS. SUETTA: I have the phone number

1	and the fax number for you, too.
2	MS. FANUCCI: Would you give that to
3	Neil. I hate that I mean, I know that
4	you love to do that. Give it to Neil.
5	MS. SUETTA: I know. They are not
6	doing nothing. You know what, you are
7	looking for revenue, the Cordaro owns the
8	Lace Works, I went down to Bethlehem to the
9	casino. Why don't they put a casino in the
10	Lace Works or Laminations, that's big
11	enough?
12	MS. FANUCCI: Jean, do you really
13	need a casino that close to you. Now, come
14	on.
15	MS. SUETTA: Once I get my legs
16	fixed I'll be all right, I can walk, but
17	right now I can't. But really to get the

18	revenue.
19	MS. FANUCCI: It would be revenue,
20	but it's a lot of private
21	MS. MCGOFF: You can't
22	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, you can't just
23	open a casino.
24	MS. SUETTA: No, you have to get a
25	realtor, blah, blah, blah, but rather than

1	see it sit there idle.
2	MS. FANUCCI: I do believe there is a
3	project in the works and nothing can be done
4	until the levy project is finished with that
5	building.
6	MS. SUETTA: Yeah, because now they
7	just took down the Greenridge Lanes and all
8	of the rodents from there are coming over to
9	that little patch, and if I see any rats,
10	okay, you can't arrest me for protecting
11	myself by shooting one.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Right. We will make
13	sure we get that taken care of now that we
14	know who to contact.
15	MS. SUETTA: Because it's been a
16	month already.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah.

MS. SUETTA: They had ample time. 18 19 MS. EVANS: We put the request in for 20 you. MS. SUETTA: Yeah, I know, but they 21 22 had ample time. Give them a fine. MS. FANUCCI: I agree. I totally 23 24 agree. 25 MS. SUETTA: You know. All right.

1	Leave the church there, will ya? Okay.
2	Thank you. Hey, have a good vacation.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?
4	MR. SLEDENZSKI: I'm the last one,
5	Bobby.
6	MR. MCGOFF: All right, Chris.
7	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Bill.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi.
9	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Billy, you guys have
10	a good vacation, Billy. Thank you.
11	MS. EVANS: Thanks.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans?
13	MS. EVANS: Good morning. To begin,
14	I wish to explain my absence from last
15	week's council meeting. My third grandchild
16	surprised us by arriving early at an
17	out-of-state hospital, and my son and
18	daughter-in-law, God bless them, are the

- 19proud parents of a beautiful baby girl. I20thank all who sent congratulatory cards and21e-mails.22Next, we turn our attention to
- 23 several financial matters. The 2008
 24 independent audit apparently has hit some
 25 roadblocks caused by the city administration

and the Scranton Tax Office. A memo was 1 2 sent on July 14 requesting nine pieces of 3 information and receipt of the Redevelopment Authority report. On July 21, the identical 4 5 memo was sent again requesting the same information. Clearly, council will not 6 7 receive the audit prior to it's recess 8 despite the constant and commendable efforts 9 of Rossi & Company.

10 However, I would like the complete 11 audit furnished to council before it resumes 12 regular council meetings in September. 13 Therefore, with my colleague's agreement, I 14 ask that another letter be sent to the 15 business administrator, Jeff Brazil, the Redevelopment Authority, and the Single Tax 16 Office urging each to forward the required 17 18 information to Rossi & Sons no later than

19	August 7, 2009. Is that agreeable? Thank
20	you.
21	Council also received a response
22	from Attorney McGovern, Scranton Tax Office
23	solicitor regarding the remaining
24	\$4.5 million which appears to be due to the
25	city in which the mayor and council majority

1	approved in the 2009 budget. Attorney
2	McGovern states: This was a problem that
3	took six years to accumulate and, therefore,
4	it will take substantial time to distribute
5	properly. Rest assured progress is being
6	made.

7 The disbursement of these tax 8 dollars seems, therefore, to have no 9 guaranteed timeline and it behooves the 10 mayor and business administrator who created 11 the 2009 budget and council members who 12 approved it to draft a plan now to fill the 13 potential \$4.5 million budget hole.

14In addition, council received the15July 15, 2009, tax collection numbers for16the Scranton Tax Office. Attorney McGovern17states that the numbers are down compared to182008. Further, he suspects the economy and19the fact that 2008 included several back

20 years for numerous taxpayers who had not 21 participated in tax payments may account for 22 this decline. 23 Year to date tax collections are as 24 follows: Real estate: \$11,202,240, a 25 decrease of 1.47 percent.

1	Wage tax: \$10,345,328, a decrease
2	of 13.9 percent.
3	The Local Services Tax: \$867,039,
4	an increase of 51.1 percent.
5	The Business Privilege Mercantile
6	tax: \$1,521,385, a decrease of 4.2 percent.
7	The total year-to-date tax
8	collections, \$23,935,991, with a total
9	decrease of \$1,613,939 as compared to 2008.
10	Should the decrease become a trend
11	through year-end, coupled by the ongoing
12	wasteful administrative spending and
13	possibly the \$4.5 million budget hole which
14	I addressed a minute ago, the city will find
15	itself in serious financial straights in
16	2009.
17	Next, council received a response
18	from DA Jarbola regarding it's motion for an
19	investigation of the Single Tax Office and

20	former tax collector Ken McDowell. He
21	indicated that a meeting was conducted on
22	May 19, 2009, at which the FBI, Attorney
23	John McGovern, solicitor of the Single Tax
24	Office, an auditor from Nihill and Ridley,
25	the firm who failed to conduct a forensic

1	audit or any manner of audit, and an
2	assistant US attorney as well as the DA were
3	present. He states that all parties present
4	at the meeting concluded that there is no
5	evidence that would indicate that a crime
6	occurred and as such, there would be no need
7	to conduct any further investigation into
8	the Single Tax Office by either the federal
9	or the local authorities.
10	Moreover, the Pennsylvania
11	Department of Treasury also found no
12	evidence of criminal activity.
13	DA Jarbola further adds: It appears
14	that the problems in the Single Tax Office
15	were the result of a perfect storm. There
16	was inadequate training and supervision of
16 17	was inadequate training and supervision of employees and inadequate computer software
-	
17	employees and inadequate computer software

21	concern for the \$2 million in missing taxes
22	and the numerous violations of state law
23	made by former tax collector, Ken McDowell.
24	It is a pathetic perception of local and
25	federal authority that the citizens of

1	Scranton and Lackawanna County are facing
2	and the inability and/or refusal of
3	authorities to get to the bottom of this
4	victimization of citizens and taxpayers
5	causes them to lose all faith in their
6	government which they look to for justice
7	and protection.

I have always had a very strong 8 9 sense of right and wrong and an unwavering 10 belief in government representing and working for the people and like so many of 11 12 you I find my confidence and faith in 13 authority now shaken and I understand the 14 majority of citizens who do not vote. Their 15 silence speaks volumes. I hear you and I 16 get it.

However, the only redress citizens
However, the only redress citizens
appear to be guaranteed is the right to vote
which thereby removes from office those who
failed to represent and protect them. Until

- 21 citizens find the renewed desire to exercise 22 their only recourse, a harmful and alarming 23 status quo will continue on many levels of 24 government in our state.
- 25 On a brighter note, I would like to

wish a very safe and enjoyable summer to 1 2 everyone and a tremendous start of a new school year to all of our young people. 3 4 Finally, I have citizens' requests 5 and updates for the last week: A letter to the Scranton Sewer 6 Authority: After authority workers repaired 7 8 a sewer line in the 800 block of Willow 9 Street, they restored homeowner's sidewalks 10 which had been damaged during the project. 11 However, there is one property located at 835 Willow Street that did not receive 12 13 sidewalk repairs. A Sewer Authority 14 supervisor claims that the property owner --15 or, excuse me, that the property sits on a 16 mine and thus will not be restored by the 17 Sewer Authority. However, the property owner states with certainty this is not 18 19 correct. Please repair the sidewalk of the 20 city taxpayer as soon as possible as was 21 performed for the adjacent homeowner,

22	particularly since the current status
23	suggests a perception of selectivity and
24	impropriety.
25	Please send an inspector to the area

1	of a restaurant located in West Scranton to
2	investigate the presence of smoke on
3	Lafayette Street. Homeowners complain of
4	health problems resulting from wood burning
5	equipment and are unable to open their
6	windows and screen doors during summer
7	months as a result.
8	Repair a large pothole located at
9	the corner of Greenridge Street and Dickson
10	Avenue.
11	Pave Arnold Avenue. Pothole repairs
12	have proved useless.
13	The garbage dumpster located at
14	429-431 Prescott Avenue has not been removed
15	and relocated to the back of the property
16	despite the fact that a certified letter was
17	mailed on July 6 giving the property owner
18	ten days in which to comply. I have not
19	received any response from Licensing and
20	Inspections regarding this problem and I
21	hope that the department has also contacted

22	the local apartment building manager who can
23	certainly reach the owner for instructions.
24	At this point, it seems a citation should be
25	issued. Perhaps if the owner must pay to

1	break the law, he may decide to relocate the
2	dumpster properly.
3	In the 100 block of Meadow Avenue in
4	front of the Clarion Hotel and Penn Star
5	Bank are numerous potholes, several city
6	residents and most recently a resident of
7	East Mountain have requested the paving of
8	Meadow Avenue. If this cannot occur
9	residents ask that potholes on this heavily
10	traveled road would be properly repaired by
11	the city.
12	One additional request, Kay, I had
13	spoken with Mr. McMullen last week about a
14	"No parking" sign that was removed by his
15	department on Harrison Avenue and I have in
16	my possession an ordinance passed by city
17	council in 2008 granting that "No parking"
18	sign in compliance with the regulations of
19	PennDOT and Mr. McMullen requested that if
20	we had that ordinance if we would please get
21	it to him immediately and he will then
22	repost the "No parking" sign, and my

23	apologies to Mr. O'Neill on behalf of the
24	city for any inconvenience and any grief
25	that this unfortunate situation has caused

1	you.
2	I am very happy to report that
3	progress was made on other requests made
4	this month. After many years Cooper Avenue
5	and Ward Place were paved and the residents
6	are quite pleased with the results.
7	Also, the University of Scranton has
8	agreed not to install streetlights in front
9	of a Hill Section resident's home, rather,
10	lights will be installed on either end of
11	the homeowner's property. I hope the
12	University will continue to keep direct
13	lines of communication open with their
14	residential neighbors as their projects
15	continue, and that's it.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Fanucci?
17	MS. FANUCCI: I want to speak on two
18	things: First of all, these meetings never
19	cease to amaze me. Mrs. Krake coming up and
20	speaking of the lawsuit with Mrs. Gatelli
21	for \$30,000. Funny to me that Nancy Krake,
22	who has been working with used to work in

23 the office where the money has been 24 collected for taxes didn't complain that 25 Mr. Pilcheski didn't pay his taxes for

51

years, but now is worried about the fact 1 2 that the taxpayers are paying for a lawsuit 3 in which he started and many lawsuits in which he started on behalf of himself and 4 5 you are paying for every single day within 6 the city, within state. For some reason 7 that's okay to spend the money there, but not to defend yourself against someone who 8 9 is a serial suer and downright person who 10 does not nothing but rip apart people in this city. 11 12 I think it's time that the citizens 13 start connecting the dots. One thing I 14 agree with Mrs. Evans on, it's time to 15 connect the dots. When you see Nancy Krake 16 get up here and defend someone as 17 Mr. Pilcheski and you see what they have 18 created in this city and the division that they have created and how they have gone 19 20 about going about what they believe and how 21 they wanted to obtain it and at anyone's 22 cost, I believe it's time to connect the

dots, and anyone who is not doing that and

24 not seeing what is out there you are missing 25 a very important part of what has happened

52

1 to your government. That is actually 2 appalling and if nothing else it is pulling 3 the wool over your eyes to benefit special interest groups and certain people and to 4 5 get up here and act like you are trying 6 defend the taxpayers when you are actually 7 one of the people who are duping them behind the scenes is appalling to me, so I wanted 8 9 to say that. Funny how people get here in 10 time just to make their points which are 11 totally appalling. 12 As far as Holy Cross hall, I 13 actually believe that this building is a 14 beautiful building and certainly do not want 15 to see it be demolished if it is not 16 necessary. There was some talk about 17 actually moving that and letting that be the 18 rec center, which I think would be a 19 wonderful idea, and if that is feasible then 20 the amount of money we are going to spend to demolish it maybe we can put in to making it 21 a rec center. I think that would be 22

23 wonderful and probably best use for the

24 taxpayers' money, so on behalf of that I'm
25 going to ask my colleagues that we table

1	item 7-E for now so that we can spend the
2	next four weeks over the summer, our
3	vacation, I'm going to make a motion that we
4	table 7-E and we can discuss this over the
5	summer and see if we could come to better
6	alternatives and better use for the building
7	itself.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
9	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,
11	the idea of turning the church into the
12	basketball court, I didn't know about it. I
13	got a call from a gentleman last night, I
14	wasn't able to return his call. If that's
15	feasible I would like to see that happen and
16	then maybe they could tear down the hall
17	once they have the court done and they could
18	make a parking lot there because the hall
19	needs work. I'm in favor of them having a
20	parking lot down there, there is no two-ways
21	about it. I know it's been like that for
22	years, but that doesn't make it right. They
23	need parking down there, but if they can do
24	that, if they can turn the church into the

1	would be all for it.
2	MS. FANUCCI: And I'm going to ask
3	that we keep the lines of communication open
4	and not come with two barrels loaded as
5	certain people do all the time and try to
6	come to a conclusion that's best for the
7	taxpayers instead of trying to bully people
8	into what they believe.
9	One thing to have an idea, but the
10	Diocese and the city has to come together
11	and agree, and the only which you are going
12	to do that is actually sitting down at a
13	table and deciding what's best not trying to
14	create legislation or to, you know, threaten
15	to stop progress, you need to sit down and
16	figure out a better way, and I believe we
17	have an opportunity to try to do that.
18	Whether or not it's feasible or not, you
19	know, I don't believe we should spend
20	\$200,000 to do it either, so we need to come
21	to a conclusion that is maybe better for the
22	citizens, and that's all I have on that.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Um
24	MS. EVANS: You go ahead. No, no,

you started.

1 MR. MCGOFF: I was just going to --2 for four years this church has been closed 3 and the Diocese has done nothing to take care of it other than to leave it sit there. 4 5 Attempts to sell it have proven to be a failure. There are other churches that have 6 7 been closed that the Diocese is attempting to sell with very little satisfaction. 8 9 Attempts to improve or to change the nature 10 of other churches, I know of one in particular was defeated by the or thrown out 11 12 by the zoning board. 13 Yes, these churches are great 14 structures, but it seems to me that for four 15 years somebody could have been doing 16 something and nothing was being done. Now 17 when somebody has a plan, albeit, it may not be a plan that you like, now as soon as 18 19 there is a plan all of a sudden there are 20 complaints and save the church. Where have 21 people been for the last four years in attempts to save the church? 22 23 And I also -- and maybe there are

24 people that want to see this church stay, 25 but as of now I have heard no complaints

25

1 from any of the neighbors or former 2 parishioners in that area saying that they 3 want to keep the structure there. All I have heard is from people that frequent Holy 4 5 Cross Hall that they like the idea of a parking lot being placed there, so I admire 6 7 people who, you know, want to save 8 structures and all, but at the same time, 9 again, as I said last week, there is a 10 balance that we have to look at as well and would it be of greater value to take down 11 12 the church? I don't know. Would it be of greater value to leave it there and hope 13 that somebody will do something with it? I 14 15 don't know. 16 This is something that we have to 17 question and right now there is a plan to do 18 something and people want to do something in 19 this property and it seems as though the 20 neighbors and former parishioners want to 21 see this carried through, so I personally 22 would like to -- I will vote "no" to table 23 it. MS. EVANS: I had the opportunity 24

yesterday to speak with another member of

1 the Architectural Heritage Association and 2 we both agreed that this is a magnificent 3 stone structure which is a sin to demolish, 4 and rather the church hall could be demolished and the association could 5 renovate the Holy Cross Church as it's new 6 facility. I fail to see the church hall and 7 8 a parking lot exceeding the beauty of this 9 irreplaceable church building.

Second, the church is not blighted 10 11 and to my knowledge there is no declaration 12 of blight on record just as there was no 13 declaration of blight for the church on Prescott Avenue which last summer received 14 15 first \$10,000 and then an additional funding 16 for blight elimination in it's basement for 17 temporary use by ECTV and, of course, these 18 funds came through the federal government 19 and the City of Scranton. I will not be a 20 party to inappropriate use of federal funds to demolish buildings that are not blighted. 21 22 Third, I have seen countless

23 condemned properties throughout our city on
24 my travels. Structures destroyed by fire
25 which sit within feet of other homes and

- 1 others which have sat on untouched for many, 2 many years. How did this agreement just 3 jump to the top of the priority list? And very coincidentally, Mr. Sbaraglia provided 4 council today with, well, I haven't even 5 counted the number of photos of condemned 6 7 and abandoned delipidated blighted properties in this city that have never been 8 9 taken care of, and I'm going to pass these along for my colleagues to see. 10 11 Finally, it defies logic for the financially troubled Dioceses to refuse the 12 \$25,000 sale and for the equally financially 13 14 mired city to lose realty transfer taxes and 15 annual tax revenue on a sale of this church 16 in favor of incurring costs for the 17 demolition, particularly, through the 18 inappropriate use of the federal monies. 19 Further, I would not be surprised if 20 demolition costs exceed the \$50,000 figure proposed by the mayor. This is a sound 21
- stone structure that will not fall as easily
 as wood or brick structures. Yes, Holy
 Cross Basketball Association should have a
 parking lot, but it should not occur in this

1 appropriate manner -- in this inappropriate 2 manner, I should say. 3 MR. MCGOFF: On the motion to table 4 Item 7-E. All in favor signify by saying 5 aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. 6 7 MS. FANUCCI: Aye. 8 MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Opposed? No. The ayes 10 have it and so moved. MS. FANUCCI: Actually, that is all I 11 12 have and everybody have a great summer and 13 I'm certainly going to miss being here for 14 the next few weeks, but I will manage and 15 hang out with my daughter and do all the 16 wonderful things that we should be doing in 17 the summer, and that is all I have. Thank 18 you. MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Courtright? 19 20 MR. COURTRIGHT: The summer has 21 brought several calls to me about people 22 placing calls to the police department and 23 then they are not getting there in a timely 24 fashion, and I think the only answer is 25 this, is that when they are inundated with

59

1 calls they put them in priority, and I 2 understand everyone feels their call is the 3 priority, but what happens when that happens 4 you might have a south car and north end and north end up in Minooka and by the time they 5 are getting back to their area they are 6 being sent to another call and it's just a 7 8 matter of not enough officers.

9 My understanding is that we possibly 10 could be getting three officers from a federally funded program that's going to be 11 12 coming up, I would hope that it would be 13 more than three, but my understanding is possibly three, but it's just due to the 14 15 fact that they prioritize the calls and if 16 yours seems to fall to the bottom of the priority list that's just the way it goes 17 18 because that's the answer for why it's 19 taking sometimes so long for them to get to 20 your call.

21 We did get the clippings picked up 22 on Slager Street so we are happy about that, 23 I couldn't believe it took all that to get 24 it done, but it's done.

25

I did go to Fellows Park and the one

wire that the gentleman had brought up there 1 was a wire that wasn't live, it wasn't a 2 3 wire as an electrical wire, it was a wire that was holding something together. The 4 5 other one was a wire coming out of the 6 ground that I believe might have been live, 7 it was capped, but I think there is a light on it and the light was knocked off. That 8 day my understanding is they went and they 9 10 put it in and it looks like to be like an 11 injunction box so it's safe. 12 When I was there, and I'll -- again, 13 you know, we go on break for August, but

14 believe me we all continue to work, it's just that we are not here at a meeting, I 15 16 will continue to watch that house that's behind Fellows Park and the court because it 17 18 is really bad and the thing that concerns me the most is it's next to a park where all of 19 20 the kids are playing and you know they are 21 inside of there because when I took a look 22 inside, I wouldn't even go in because the 23 porch was so bad I was afraid to step on it, 24 but you can look through because the windows 25 are all opened up and there is graffiti all

over on the inside of there, and I don't 1 2 know whether it's just kids or gangs, but there is people going in there and it's bad, 3 4 and I think that alley sometimes is 5 forgotten because I drive by there every day several times a day and, you know, you don't 6 tend to think about what's going on in the 7 8 alley, you see the park, so I will keep on 9 it and hopefully that will be one of the 10 first ones we can get torn down.

11 Also, Kay in the alley behind the 12 park when I was there some of the neighbors came out to talk to me, there is a hole that 13 14 I'm thinking is 20-foot long by about ten-foot wide and I don't now how deep it 15 16 was filled with water, but it's got to be 17 several inches deep. If the DPW could take a look and maybe they can fill that in with 18 19 something.

20I'm anxious to hear what the21Heritage Society will bring back to us about22Holy Cross Hall. I want to see a parking23lot down there one way or the other and in a24very beginning I was all for this. I was25all for tearing it down and putting the

63

parking lot there until so many issues were

2 brought forward to me. I don't know what 3 the cost would be to renovate the church and put the hall in there, if that cost would 4 5 exceed the cost of, you know, doing it the other way. I don't know if it is deemed on 6 7 the -- if the Architectural Heritage Society deems it, you know, to be a treasured 8 9 building are we able to get money to 10 preserve it and to put the hall in there, 11 but I would like to see in some way, shape 12 or form something be done down there to alleviate the parking problem and something 13 14 that I consider is a danger. I don't know if children have been 15 hit with cars down there or not, I'm not 16

17 sure. I know it might have been Andy or 18 somebody that spoke about it, maybe it was 19 Mr. Bolus that they have been there for 20 years and there has been no parking lot for 21 years, but that doesn't make it right. They 22 need something down there. I'm hoping that 23 with Mrs. Fanucci and Mrs. Evans brought up about turning the church into the basketball 24 25 hall, I'm hoping that's what would happen

64

and then I think to demolish the hall itself

2 wouldn't be anywhere near the task of 3 demolishing the church. The hall needs repairs and sometimes things jump to the 4 5 head -- you know, the head of the list and, 6 obviously, people get mad. I think that not just for -- you know, I'm not saying that 7 the church is blighted, but if it was 8 9 blighted if you are tearing down the church 10 because it was blighted, and I'm not saying 11 it is, but if you were not just the fact 12 that you would be tearing down a blighted property, but then you would helping the 13 14 neighborhood to alleviate the problems that 15 they have lived with for years in the 16 parking there and the danger of the children 17 running out.

18 So I'm hoping that this is something that -- maybe this is something that all of 19 20 us can agree on and the city and the 21 Catholic church can agree on for the good of everybody concerned, so I'll be anxious to 22 23 see what the Heritage Society brings back to us and hopefully something can be done down 24 25 there, and I believe that's all I have.

1	Thank	you.			
2		MR.	MCGOFF:	Thank	you,

3 Mr. Courtright. Just very briefly, the tax reduction plan that Mrs. Schumacher talked 4 5 about I know it was approximately two years ago when I was running for election somebody 6 7 had given me similar information about these 8 types of tax reduction programs. At that 9 time I -- rather than use it as some type of campaign material, I did give some 10 information to Mrs. Evans who was the 11 12 finance chair at the time and I think that's 13 a good idea. At the time I thought it was a good idea and something that should be 14 looked into. We didn't -- I didn't move 15 16 forward with it, but perhaps something to look into for the future. 17

18 And perhaps during this coming 19 month, and we probably shouldn't even be talking about it since it was tabled, but 20 perhaps it would be a good idea to meet with 21 22 concerned parties and see if there is some 23 other solution to the situation at Holy 24 Cross. Perhaps between the Diocese, the 25 city, the Holy Cross Association and any

1	other	interested	parties	perhaps	something
2	can be	e accomplish	ned there	e that w	ould be

3 beneficial to all, and that's all. 5-B. MS. GARVEY: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -4 AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A 5 NEW ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S 6 OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 7 ("OECD") TITLED NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION 8 9 PROGRAM ("NSP") ACCOUNT NO. 9A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS 10 RECEIVED FROM THE COMMONWEALTH OF 11 PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND 12 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ("DCED"). 13 14 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 15 16 introduced into it's proper committee. MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. 17 18 MS. FANUCCI: Second. 19 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All 20 in favor signify by saying aye. 21 MS. EVANS: Aye. 22 MS. FANUCCI: Aye. 23 MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 25 ayes have it and so moved.

1	MS. GARVEY: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
2	AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A
3	NEW ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S

4 OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 5 ("OECD") TITLED ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM ACCOUNT NO. 6 7 10A.0101 FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS RECEIVED FROM THE UNITED STATES 8 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ("DOE"). 9 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 10 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 11 introduced into it's proper committee. 12 13 MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. 14 MS. FANUCCI: Second. 15 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All in favor signify by saying aye. 16 17 MS. EVANS: Aye. 18 MS. FANUCCI: Aye. MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye. 19 20 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 21 22 MS. GARVEY: SIXTH ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 23 24 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 25 SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.

1	74,	2009	-	ТО	LIMIT	THE	TURI	NING	MOV	/EMENI
2	FOR	TRAFF	ΊC	TRA	VELING	g sot	JTHWI	EST 1	FOWA	ARD
3	MULE	BERRY	STF	REET	FROM	THE	400	BLOO	CK (OF

4	HITCHCOCK COURT, COSTELLO COURT, AND MAHON
5	COURT TO RIGHT TURN MOVEMENT ONLY.
6	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
7	recommendation of the Chair for the
8	Committee on Public Safety?
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: As Chair for the
10	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
11	final passage of Item 7-A.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
13	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
14	call, please?
15	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: Yes.
17	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.
18	Fanucci.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
20	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
22	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. GARVEY: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
2	ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 75, 2009 -
3	ESTABLISHING "NO PARKING" ZONES ALONG THE
4	SOUTHERLY AND NORTHERLY SIDES OF MULBERRY

5 STREET (S.R. 3027) FOR TURNING LANES AT DESIGNATED INTERSECTIONS BETWEEN JEFFERSON 6 AVENUE AND NORTH WEBSTER AVENUE, AS MORE 7 8 PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED ON THE PLAN ATTACHED 9 HERETO, TO ALLOW FOR SAFE SITE DISTANCE AS MANDATED BY THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF 10 TRANSPORTATION. 11 MR. MCGOFF: What is the 12 recommendation of the Chair for the 13 14 Committee on Public Safety? 15 MR. COURTRIGHT: As Chair for the 16 Committee on Public Safety, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B. 17 18 MS. FANUCCI: Second. 19 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll 20 call, please? MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 21 MS. EVANS: Yes. 22 23 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms. Fanucci. 24

70

MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
 MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare

MS. FANUCCI: Yes.

5	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MS. GARVEY: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
7	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
8	ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 76, 2009 -
9	AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 129 OF 1995,
10	(AS AMENDED), ENTITLED "ESTABLISHING FINES
11	TO BE IMPOSED FOR THE ACTIVATION OF AN ALARM
12	DEVICE WHICH IS DETERMINED TO BE A FALSE
13	ALARM BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT; AUTHORIZING
14	THE ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF SAID
15	FINES; AND PRESCRIBING PENALTIES FOR
16	VIOLATIONS", BY CHANGING CERTAIN SECTIONS TO
17	ALLOW FOR THE IMPROVED ADMINISTRATION OF THE
18	ORDINANCE, SPECIFICALLY SECTIONS 1D. AND
19	1E.; SECTION 2; SECTION 3; SECTION 4;
20	SECTION 6 AND SECTION 7.
21	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
22	recommendation of the Chair for the
23	Committee on Public Safety?
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: As Chair for the
25	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend

1	final passage of Item 7-C.
2	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
3	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
4	call, please?
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.

6	MS. EVANS: Yes.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.
8	Fanucci.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
15	MS. GARVEY: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
16	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
17	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 77, 2009 - AUTHORIZING
18	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
19	OFFICIALS TO DESIGNATE \$4,999.52 FROM
20	PROJECT NO. 09-400.41 REPAYMENTS OF URBAN
21	DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS (UDAG), FOR THE
22	PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF TEN (10) BIKE
23	RACKS ON CITY OWNED PROPERTY.
24	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
25	Committee on Rules, I recommend final

1	passage of Item 7-D.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
(.)	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
Ļ	call, please?
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.

6	MS. EVANS: Yes.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.
8	Fanucci.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
15	MS. GARVEY: 7-E has been tabled.
16	7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
17	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION -
18	RESOLUTION NO. 168, 2009 - AUTHORIZING THE
19	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
20	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A
21	LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE
22	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM,
23	PROJECT NO. 150.24 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO
24	EXCEED \$50,000.00 TO MARTINI GRILL, LLC TO
25	ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.

1	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chair for the
3	Committee on Community Development?
4	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Community Development, I
6	recommend final passage of Item 7-F.

7 MR. COURTRIGHT: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 8 9 MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question, I 10 voted to move it forward last week. I'm not 11 going to be voting for it this week, and my 12 reason is that I'm not opposed to giving them a loan, but I'm opposed to the loan 13 14 being forgiven after a couple of years because then it's not a loan, it's a grant, 15 16 so I'll be voting "no".

17 MS. EVANS: Yes. The interest rate 18 for this loan is 5 percent fixed and the monthly payment is \$530.33, however, the 19 20 first payment begins 36 months after the 21 date of closing, and as Mr. Courtright said, 22 if after three years following the date of 23 closing the borrower has strictly complied with and fully completed all conditions of 24 25 the job creations required as described in

1	Section four of the agreement, then in such
2	event the borrower shall not be required to
3	repay said loan and it shall be forgiven by
4	the city, and I don't believe the city is in
5	any financial position currently to be given
6	away money and to be promising loan

7 forgiveness. In addition, OECD failed to respond 8 to the question of any possible tax liens 9 10 against this business, so for all of these 11 reasons I'll be voting "no". MS. GARVEY: Mrs. Evans, if I could 12 13 just jump in there, I believe -- I didn't 14 get the message it might have come when I wasn't there, they did call back and say 15 16 that there wasn't a tax lien on that 17 property, so that was after I had given you 18 that information. MS. EVANS: Well, thank you for that 19 update, Kay. 20 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on the 21 question? Roll call, please? 22 23 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 24 MS. EVANS: No. MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms. 25

1	Fanucci.	
2	MS.	FANUCCI: Yes.
3	MR.	COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
4	MR.	COURTRIGHT: No.
5	MR.	COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
6	MR.	MCGOFF: Yes. Item 7-F is
7	defeated.	

8 MS. GARVEY: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION 9 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 169, 2009 - AUTHORIZING THE 10 11 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND 12 ENTER INTO A LEASE WITH SCRANTON LIFE REALTY 13 CO., INC. TO PROVIDE OFFICE SPACE FOR THE 14 CITY OF SCRANTON OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND 15 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT. 16 17 MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the

18 Committee on Rules, I recommend final

19 passage of Item 7-G.

20

25

MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.

21MR. MCGOFF: On the question?22MS. EVANS: The rent for OECD office23space will be \$4,200 per month beginning in24August 1, 2009, and ending July 31, 2012.

There is an option to extend the term for an

1	additional three years at \$4,450 per month.
2	The owner of the building is Scranton Life
3	Realty Company, president Anthony Rinaldi,
4	who was the lower of two bidders. At this
5	time there seems to be the governor's room
6	on the second floor of city hall, which is
7	currently used infrequently for job

8 interviews and luncheons that can certainly 9 be conducted elsewhere, and on the third 10 floor a lunchroom which previously was the 11 office of the executive director of OECD, 12 another room previously used by the deputy 13 director of OECD, a storage room and a 14 payroll office which used to house another OECD office. The payroll office was created 15 16 by the mayor when he halted in-house payroll 17 and contracted payroll services with ADP using additional tax dollars. Such services 18 19 were not necessary.

In the current economy and the financial distress facing Scranton, the city can ill-afford the rental cost for extravagant OECD offices and should be looking to curb it's expenditures by returning OECD to city hall, so I will be

1	voting "no."
2	MS. FANUCCI: I'd like to if you
3	have been there, and if anybody has ever
4	walked in, I'm not sure if Mrs. Evans has
5	been to the office.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes, I have.
7	MS. FANUCCI: It's certainly not
8	extravagant

9 MS. EVANS: On more than one -- oh, 10 it's lovely. I loved the magnificent 11 paintings that hang on the wall. 12 MS. FANUCCI: Well, paintings are 13 painting, they will bring them here if they 14 come, but I wouldn't call them --MS. EVANS: No, I think they were on 15 16 loan. MS. FANUCCI: I'm on my question, I 17 18 believe, to keep offices intact I do believe 19 it's important to keep them running as is 20 all to be together. To piecemeal an OECD office in this city hall would be almost 21 22 absurd, plus there is not the room even 23 putting them in coat closets or hanging them

24 off of hooks in other people's offices I25 don't believe that that would be prudent for

1	what is going on.
2	We are very proud of the fact that
3	we are developing and we are the only city
4	doing that if you look around. OECD has
5	taken on a whole new meaning in this city in
6	the last few weeks and they are doing a
7	wonderful job. There are many, many facets
8	of that office that I am excessively proud

- 9 to be even the chair or know what they have been doing in the city, so I do not believe 10 that taking them from a place where they are 11 12 being excessively productive and doing a 13 wonderful and piecemealing them around as if 14 they are nonimportant to us as a city is 15 prudent for the amount of money, but to call their offices extravagant is almost funny. 16 17 Maybe we can open up -- we could 18 throw them up in the balcony if maybe we can 19 get them somewhere else to go, but I don't 20 think that that's accurate, and that is all I have. 21 MS. EVANS: Well, despite 22 Mrs. Fanucci's ludicrous exaggerations, city 23 24 hall adequately housed OECD for many years--
- 25 MS. FANUCCI: There wasn't as many --

1	MS. EVANS: and they functioned
2	quite effectively as such, and I do the not
3	believe it is the quality of an office or a
4	building that constitutes the productivity
5	of the department, just as I do not believe
6	it is the new school building that provides
7	the excellence of quality education, rather
8	it is the teachers, the administrators and
9	the student body.

10 As such, in OECD it is the quality 11 of the workforce, of the leadership within 12 that department, that produces the admirable 13 results that Mrs. Fanucci has alluded to and 14 certainly that will not change by a movement 15 to city hall.

MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm going to speak, 16 17 I wasn't going to, but now I am. I have never been over to OECD, I don't know what 18 19 it looks like in there, whether it's nice or 20 not nice. As Mrs. Evans said, they were the 21 lower of the two bidders, I'm going to vote "yes", but what I would like to see is that 22 23 come January when there is a new council 24 sitting here that maybe they could sit down 25 with the mayor and go through this building

80

1	and try to reorganize the offices in this
2	building so that if I think there is
3	enough space to put OECD in here, but it's
4	fragmented now, so if they were to move one
5	office into another and consolidate I think
6	it could house them again and I think it
7	should be housed here again.
8	I wouldn't want to see one party

9 office on one floor and one on the other,

10 but I think it should be done and maybe it's 11 something when the new council comes in they 12 can get together with the mayor and work on 13 that.

14 MS. EVANS: Well, unfortunately, at 15 that point as much as the new council I'm 16 sure would hope to do so, the contract will 17 be signed, sealed and delivered and it would 18 be quite expensive to try to violate or 19 break that contract, so in effect the city 20 would be locked in for a minimum of the next 21 three years.

MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
MS. EVANS: No.
MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.

1	Fanucci.
2	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
8	MS. GARVEY: 7-H. FOR CONSIDERATION
9	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
10	RESOLUTION NO. 170, 2009 - APPOINTMENT OF

- BRUCE MILLER, 609 RACE STREET, SCRANTON, 11 12 PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, TO THE POSITION OF 13 ASSISTANT ZONING OFFICER OF THE CITY OF 14 SCRANTON, EFFECTIVE APRIL 6, 2009. 15 MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the 16 Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-H. 17 MR. COURTRIGHT: Second. 18 19 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll 20 call, please? MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 21 MS. EVANS: Yes. 22 23 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms. 24 Fanucci.
- 25 MS. FANUCCI: Yes.

1	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MS. GARVEY: 7-I. FOR CONSIDERATION
7	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
8	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 171, 2009 -
9	AUTHORIZING ANY AND ALL APPROPRIATE CITY
10	OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A PERMIT

11 AGREEMENT WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 12 TO APPLY FOR THE HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMITS 13 14 REQUIRED TO CONSTRUCT THE MULBERRY STREET 15 STREETSCAPE PROJECT AND AUTHORIZING ANY AND ALL APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 16 17 AND ENTER INTO AN INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON TO INDEMNIFY 18 THE CITY FROM ANY LIABILITY WITH RESPECT TO 19 VEHICULAR TIRE DAMAGE CAUSED BY THE USE OF 20 GRANITE CURBING WITH A ROUNDED LEADING EDGE 21 22 IN THE UNIVERSITY'S MULBERRY STREET STREETSCAPE PROJECT. 23 MR. MCGOFF: What is the 24 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 25

1	Committee on Public Safety?
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: As Chair for the
3	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
4	final passage of Item 7-I.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
6	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
7	call, please?
8	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
9	MS. EVANS: Yes.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.
11	Fanucci.

12 MS. FANUCCI: Yes. 13 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright. 14 MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. 15 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. 16 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-I legally and lawfully adopted. 17 MS. GARVEY: 7-J. FOR CONSIDERATION 18 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -19 20 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 172, 2009-21 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO ON 22 BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON A 23 24 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH 25 DUFFIELD ASSOCIATES, INC. TO UNDERTAKE

1	INVENTORY OF BROWNFIELD SITES WITHIN THE
2	CITY.
3	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
4	recommendation of the Chair for the
5	Committee on Community Development?
6	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Community Development, I
8	recommend final passage of Item 7-J.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
10	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?

12	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes.
14	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. Ms.
15	Fanucci.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
17	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
19	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
21	Item 7-J legally and lawfully adopted.
22	Motion to adjourn.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
24	MR. MCGOFF: See you in September.
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
4	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
5	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
6	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
7	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
8	ability.
9	
10	
11	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR
12	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER