1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, June 2, 2009
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CAMILIENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAI COUDE DEDODED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT MS. JANET E. EVANS (Not present.) MS. SHERRY FANUCCI MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR 

1	(Pledge of	Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)	
3		MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please. Mrs.
4		Evans.
5		(Mrs. Evans not present.)
6		MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
7		(Mrs. Gatelli not present.)
8		MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
9		MS. FANUCCI: Here.
_0		MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
.1		MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
.2		MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
.3		MR. MCGOFF: Here. Let the record
_4		show that Mrs. Evans called and said that
.5		she would not be at tonight's meeting, she
. 6		had a medical appointment out of town and
.7		she did not think that she would be back in
. 8		time. Dispense with the reading of the
9		minutes. Announcements, Mr. Courtright?
20		MR. COURTRIGHT: I have two both
21		regarding both police matters. I'm just
22		reading this for the first time myself.
23		It's in response to a letter that was sent
24		from Dave Elliott from the police department
5		about the accusations I'll say that were

made here last week regarding the stolen signs and obstruction of justice and whatever else we want to call it. Again, this is -- I have not read this at all, this is the first time I'm reading it so if it's not like what you like don't shoot the messenger.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Dart council members, I received your letter dated May 29," -- again, this is from Dave Elliott from the police department, "I received your letter dated May 29, 2009, regarding the investigation of stolen/damaged political signs belonging to Gary DiBileo. The incident occurred on March 23, 2009, at 319 Harrison Avenue approximately 11:00 according to the police report. The owner of the property stated that there were surveillance tape that recorded the incident while it occurred. The police received the evidence and placed it into our evidence room. The case was turned over to Detective Mike Schultz for further investigation.

During the investigation, Detective Schultz stated that he believed that the

person of interest may be involved -- may involve city hall employees. He contacted the supervisor and asked that the investigation be turned over to another agency do to a possible conflict. At this point, Sergeant Robert Martin, Schultz's immediate' supervisor, requested that I turn over the investigation due to a possible conflict of interest because of the persons of interest.

On April 15, 2009, I was sent a copy of the letter from Gary DiBileo also requesting to turn the case over to another agency due to a possible conflict. Between April 15 and April 21 I contacted the Lackawanna County District Attorney's Office, the Pennsylvania State Police and the PA Attorney General's Office regarding the incident and asked that they would take over the investigation. All three agencies stated that they would not take the case. Once they turned down the investigation it was returned to Captain Al Leonina, commander of the criminal investigation unit, to have the case assigned back to

1	Detective	$C \sim h_{11} l + r$
	Delective	achulla.

As of the date of your letter, I
contacted Captain Leoncini to find out the
status of the case and I was informed that
the case is still active. He stated that
there are persons of interest, but has not
called them suspects. He also stated at
this point in the investigation there is no
probable cause for arrest.

If there is someone that has further investigation regarding this incident, I ask they contact the criminal investigation division directly. As for any comments regarding the coverup, we have made every attempt to identify the parties involved going as far as putting the video on television and having the public forward information. We have honored the request for other agency to look at the case, but we were denied. Since this case is still active, the Detective division cannot release any further details regarding the case."

So, for those of who might have information Dave Elliott is asking that you

and I guess that would be acting Captain Al
Leoncini, so we thank him for the response.

Again, that may or may not be what some
people wanted to hear, but that's the answer
we got.

Matter of great importance to everybody in this city right now, I think we are all aware of the fact that there was an individual that was shot and killed by Scranton police officers. I personally to not have any other information nor do I believe anybody on council has any other information other than what the general public has. At times do I tend to have a little bit more information than the average council person, probably, but at this time I don't. The state police stepped in, they were asked to step in by Scranton, which I think was the proper thing to do.

They stepped in, they are conducting the investigation and it's been extremely quiet, but I and I believe other council members have been contacted by phone, I have

questions and accusations have been told to
me. I personally don't think that, you
know, there is a person that died here, and
I don't think that we should be making any
kind of accusations whatsoever or any
assumptions or anything to that affect on
either one side of the other until the
investigation comes out and we find out what
happened. I have a lot of faith in the
state police, I believe they will
investigate it, and I believe they will do
the right thing, but some things I was
hearing, some ugly things on both sides.
Ugly things about the police officers, and
ugly things about the individual that passed
away and I just don't think that's right.
I'm assuming that some people would
be asking us tonight if we know, so I'm just
trying to tell you up front I know no more
than you do and I do not believe anybody up

here knows anymore than you do, but I just don't -- I don't think we want to start shooting out accusations on either side of the fence. I would recommend that we let

the state police do their job, let's see what they find out and hopefully justice

3	will be served in one way or the other.
4	I just thought I would like to say
5	that because of the large amount of people
6	that have a lot of interest. My
7	understanding is they are talking about on
8	the radio, on the Corbett show, I haven't
9	heard any of that myself, I don't know what
.0	they have said or haven't said, but that is
.1	just my recommendation, we see where the
.2	investigation goes before we start accusing
.3	anyone on either side, on either side, and
_ 4	that's all I have. Thank you.
.5	(Mrs. Gatelli arrived while Mr.
. 6	Courtright was speaking.)
.7	MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to add
.8	that I think that any discussion of this
. 9	matter at this time in council would be
20	inappropriate, possibly because it would be
21	injurious to the victim, to the Scranton
22	Police Department, to the officers involved,
23	and therefore, we have agreed as a council

police and I would ask that any speakers
please refrain from discussing this incident

that we will not discuss it this evening and

not until there is a report from the state

24

3	until there is adequate evidence to support
4	whatever it is that may be said, so again, I
5	would ask you to refrain from speaking about
6	it at the podium this evening.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: I think maybe
8	because this has all touched us in one way
9	or the other, I think maybe we forgot, I
10	would recommend maybe at this time we have a
11	moment of silence for the young woman that
12	lost her life.
13	(Moment of silence observed.)
14	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Other
15	announcements. Anyone?
16	MS. GATELLI: I just have two quick
17	announcements: One is to please remember in
18	your prayers my boss in the Scranton School
19	District, Mr. Patty Schrenkgaust passed away
20	on Saturday. She suffered tremendously, so
21	please remember her in your thoughts, and
22	also another good friend of mine is ill,
23	keep her in your prayers. She is a
24	neighborhood leader, Mr. Barbara Maranuchi,
25	so please try to remember her in your

prayers, also. That's all I have. Thank
you.

3 MR. MCGOFF: I was asked -- or we

4	were asked to announce a free rabies clinic
5	Saturday, June 13, from 1 to 3 p.m. at the
6	VCA Dunmore Animal Hospital, that's at 1317
7	Drinker Street, Dunmore, PA, sponsored by
8	the Scranton Animal Care Association.
9	And also I believe everyone probably
10	saw in the paper the Pennsylvania Treasurer
11	McCord has for want of a better term a task
12	force of some kind to seeking the owners of
13	unclaimed property. This is going to take
14	place on Tuesday, June 2. I guess we missed
15	it. Sorry. I did not look at the dates. I
16	just received it today.
17	MS. GATELLI: It's still in the
18	paper.
19	MR. MCGOFF: And it is in the
20	newspaper. Sorry. All of that for nothing,
21	I'm sorry. I'm not very timely I guess.
22	Anything else? Fourth order.
23	MS. GAWEL: Did you remember the
24	dates for the American Cancer Society Relay?
25	MR. MCGOFF: No.

1	M	S. GA	AWEL:	: I	thin	k it	's	next
2	Saturday,	the	13,	but	I'm	not	sur	е.

3 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, third order.

4	MS. GARVEY: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
5	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING HELD
6	ON APRIL 22, 2009.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
8	If not, received and filed.
9	MS. GARVEY: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE
10	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING HELD
11	ON MAY 27, 2009.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
13	If not, received and filed.
14	MS. GARVEY: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
15	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
16	HELD ON APRIL 22, 2009.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. GARVEY: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
20	SCRANTON FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION
21	MEETING HELD ON APRIL 22, 2009.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. GARVEY: That's it for third
25	order.

L	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Garvey
2	and please for the record show that Mrs.
3	Gatelli is present. You were not at roll
1	call before Citizens! participation Les

5	Spindler.
6	MR. SPINDLER: Finally. I'm in a
7	hurry to get out of here tonight and we are
8	late. I have a neighborhood association/
9	crime watch meeting in like five minutes.
10	First of all, Mrs. Gatelli, I would
11	like to comment on how you accepted your
12	loss last week, you were very gracious in
13	defeat congratulating all the victors. I
14	thought that was very good of you unlike
15	your counterpart who was very mean and
16	viscous and thank God in January we won't
17	have to put up with that any more.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Please, Mr. Spindler,
19	the election is over.
20	MR. SPINDLER: I understand.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Could we please move on
22	MR. SPINDLER: I didn't want to come
23	here tonight until I read two things in the
24	paper. First of all, a KOZ for the office
25	park down on Linden Street, we don't need

1	anymore KOZ's in this area. Austin Burke
2	said it's got to be a KOZ or they are not
3	going to come here. That's not true. I
4	hear something about a done deal, the people

5	are coming here, we don't need more KOZ's,
6	the people don't need anymore burdens in
7	this city, we need all of the money coming
8	in here that we can get and, Mrs. Gatelli,
9	I'm going to talk at you, maybe you can side
10	with the people this time because I know the
11	stamper over here is just going to stamp
12	away as she said last week, so please stick
13	up for the people and don't okay this KOZ.
14	MS. GATELLI: Mr. Spindler, just for
15	the record, I don't believe anybody up here
16	ever voted for a KOZ. We weren't here.
17	When they did.
18	MR. SPINDLER: Okay, well, I'm
19	asking that this council doesn't because we
20	don't need anymore in this city.
21	Second of all, the I'm not sure of
22	all of the details about the mayor giving
23	away or leasing or selling the Wildlife
24	Center to Lackawanna College and Doug Miller
25	came here awhile back and said Chris Doherty
	1

L	has got a	plan.	And,	Mrs.	Fanucci,	you	said
2	what's th	ne plan?					

MS. FANUCCI: He said restaurant.

MR. SPINDLER: That was the plan.

5 No, he said they have a plan.

3

6	MS. FANUCCI: No, he said restaurant.
7	Let's get it right. He said restaurant.
8	MR. SPINDLER: He said they have a
9	plan.
10	MS. FANUCCI: He said it was a
11	restaurant.
12	MR. SPINDLER: Mr. McGoff, would you
13	get her out of order? I'm trying to talk
14	here. I have five minutes. Use your gavel
15	on her and not just on the people.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Sorry, Les.
17	MR. SPINDLER: Thank God in January
18	we won't have you at the gavel either.
19	MS. FANUCCI: It's going to be a long
20	time before then though.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Please, let him finish.
22	MR. SPINDLER: Anyway, I have the
23	deed for Nay Aug. I don't think Lackawanna
24	College can move in. It's a free and public
25	park of. The mayor can't give public space
	16
1	away, so I think you should have your

_	away, so I think you should have your
2	solicitor look at the deed. I don't think
3	they can use that for that.

MS. FANUCCI: They can.

5 MR. SPINDLER: And these plans were

6	in the works probably months and months and
7	ago because they showed the plans on the
8	news tonight, so Mayor Doherty had a plan.
9	He threw Mrs. Miller out for a reason. He
10	is just a rotten person, that's all I have
11	to say.
12	MR. MCGOFF: That's unnecessary.
13	MR. SPINDLER: And, Mr. Courtright, I
14	think I think you know what I'm going to
15	talk about, I think we should dispel the
16	rumors, there is a rumor going around you
17	are going to leave her sometime the first.
18	I know what you are going to say, is that
19	true or not?
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: Les, at this time I
21	have no plans on leaving.
22	MR. SPINDLER: I understand that,
23	but there are rumors out there. I don't
24	know where people come up with them.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: You will have that.

MR. SPINDLER: And about the chief's
letter, I don't think that's true because I
got my information straight from Attorney
Chris Cullen who told Steven Corbett live on
the air that the police investigation is
done and the report was with the chief, Ray

7	Hayes and the mayor, Steve Corbett has a
8	copy of the report, so does WNEP, so I don't
9	think the chief is telling the truth.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Les, do you think you
11	can get that for us?
12	MR. SPINDLER: I think you can. You
13	people you are the elected officials.
14	MS. FANUCCI: I'm just saying we are
15	not aware of any report, but if you are
16	saying
17	MR. SPINDLER: I'm not well, talk
18	to maybe we should get Chris Cullen in
19	here because he is the one that said it to
20	Steve Corbett and I'm not the only one that
21	heard it live on the radio.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, he is usually
23	pretty truthful.
24	MR. SPINDLER: Steve Corbett is a lot
25	smarter than you are, Mrs. Fanucci.
	18
1	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
2	MS. FANUCCI: She is making comments,
3	too.
4	MR. MCGOFF: I'm asking you both to
5	

6 MR. SPINDLER: You are so one-sided

7	it's unbelievable.
8	MR. MCGOFF: If you have something to
9	say, please.
10	MS. FANUCCI: I was talking to him-
11	MS. GATELLI: Les, maybe we'll just
12	have Attorney Minora call Attorney Cullen.
13	MR. SPINDLER: Okay.
14	MS. GATELLI: Okay?
15	MR. SPINDLER: I would appreciate
16	that.
17	MS. GATELLI: And see if there was
18	MR. SPINDLER: Because I spoke with
19	Attorney Cullen myself.
20	MR. GATELLI: Will you do that, Mr.
21	Minora?
22	MR. SPINDLER: Lastly, there is a
23	comment section on the Scranton on the
24	Doherty newsletter, I should say on-line
25	section, and there is a coward on there that

1	won't put his name that criticizes all the
2	speakers that come in here, and last week
3	when I talked about the signs he called me a
4	sore loser. Well, first of all, I didn't
5	run for anything, I didn't lose anything. I
6	don't know what he is talking about being a
7	sore loser.

8	Second of all, the signs were
9	stolen, and that's a crime, these signs were
.0	40, 50 bucks a shot, they were 70 signs
.1	stolen, so to me that's a major crime, and
.2	as far as me being a sore loser, like I
.3	said, I didn't lose anything because I
_ 4	didn't run for any office. Thank you.
.5	MR. MCGOFF: Andy Sbaraglia.
. 6	MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
.7	citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians,
.8	it's pretty hard to come after Les, but
.9	let's get on something down here. We have
20	this these are people who have asked for
21	loans, which you are going to be voting on,
22	Gleason Custom Kitchens. I believe that
23	company has been in business for quite
24	awhile, and I assume you checked with the

any complaints against this individual or
individuals before you could vote on it.
That would be the first thing to check on.
Okay, and let's get onto this other
one, Backyard Ale House, and up there at
Gleason Custom Kitchens they say two people,
but like I said, I think they have been in

Better Business Bureau to see if there was

8	business for quite awhile so they must have
9	a staff already.
10	Okay, let's go over to the Backyard
11	Ale House, they want to borrow \$25,000. By
12	the way, these two loans are at 5 percent,
13	so just in case I don't mention it, and one
14	job. So that takes care of the other two
15	loans. Now, we get to 5-F. They want
16	85,000, but this percentage they want to
17	borrow like 1 percent. Remember, these
18	other two people got charge five, this
19	person got charged one. It struck out like
20	a sore thumb, and as far as I know I think
21	they are in the 201 Lackawanna Avenue, I
22	think that's the enterprise zone, so they

24

25

21

1	the city works. I guess our well,
2	somebody got in there anyway to tell them to
3	give them a 1 percent loan, I don't exactly
4	know why, but if I was paying 5 percent I
5	would certainly pay to the mayor.
6	MS. FANUCCI: Their job creation is
7	going to be 20 jobs within three years.
8	MR. SBARAGLIA: They are in a KOZ.

ain't even paying taxes. So they are going

to get a five-year free ride and a low

interest loan, but I guess that's the way

9	There is no way it's not a KOZ, but they
10	don't pay any taxes, they can give 100 jobs.
11	If the people don't live in Scranton that's
12	52 bucks, remember that. That's all, and
13	people don't really shop a lot in Scranton
14	or the mall wouldn't be in a lot of trouble.
15	Just remember that, too.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Okay.
17	MR. SBARAGLIA: Okay, let's go down
18	to the KOZ's. Now, this is Galdieri you are
19	talking about. They want to make that a KOZ
20	now, and if you remember they paid 2 million
21	totally this is Galdieri for him to move
22	out, this is a Slipco; right.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
24	MR. SBARAGLIA: Okay, they pay 2

That was not what they call green space or whatever the name they use for a derelict property. The other properties that they are referring to were also KOZ'd, for ten years they haven't been paying taxes on them. Now, they want you to give them another ten years, so that's going to be 20 years of a free ride. Now, where did you

million for his property to move him out.

9	come off?
10	We had an increase of 25 percent.
11	The annex tax went up to 52 percent and yet
12	you are giving free rides to corporation
13	after corporation, after corporation which
14	is nothing but a tax dodge. If they were
15	coming from New York, outside of Maryland,
16	this is people coming in from out-of-state.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Some of them are, yes.
18	MR. SBARAGLIA: No, it's not until
19	they got the building going.
20	MS. FANUCCI: That's not true, some
21	of the companies are coming in from
22	out-of-state.
23	MR. SBARAGLIA: Do have you the
24	names? No. You don't have the names because
25	they won't give it to you.
	23
1	MC FAMUCCI Obox
2	MS. FANUCCI: Okay.
3	MR. SBARAGLIA: You know what I mean
	because they won't give it to you.
4	MS. FANUCCI: Let me ask you a
5	question, seriously and I'm asking you
6	seriously.
7	MR. SBARAGLIA: Go ahead.

9 people around us, all of the other places,

8

MS. FANUCCI: If all of the other

10	Jessup, Jermyn and everybody else, did
11	approve this KOZ and now we are in the midst
12	of saying no, and saying no, we are not
13	going to approve it, you don't think that
14	these companies are just going to locate up
15	there?
16	MR. SBARAGLIA: I got news for you,
17	they go where they want.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
19	MR. SBARAGALIA: The thing is they
20	are damaging the state. We are \$3 billion
21	in debt. Any person that moves from a
22	different area of the state into Scranton,
23	and that's in Pennsylvania, is damaging the

state economy and there is no way to look at

24

25

24

1	MS. FANUCCI: Regardless
2	regardless, it's an open it's an open
3	deal. That's out there. This program is
4	out there. So, I mean, we can't stop that
5	program in the city. What I'm asking you is
6	if there is a chance to have 1,000 jobs in
7	the city or a chance for a 1,000
8	MR. SBARAGLIA: I got five minutes to
9	talk.

it. It's true.

10	MS. FANUCCI: I'm asking you a
11	question.
12	MR. SBARAGLIA: Stop my time.
13	MS. FANUCCI: I'm trying to have a
14	conversation, yeah.
15	MR. SBARAGALIA: I hope he is
16	stopping the time.
17	MS. FANUCCI: I'm asking you a
18	serious question, you don't think that
19	that's a tough sell to say, you know what, I
20	can move four blocks away or three miles
21	away to get a KOZ and within the city I
22	can't?
23	MR. SBARAGLIA: Why do you think I
24	talked about the KOZ? You weren't there.
25	When this came
	25
1	MS. FANUCCI: But
2	MR. SBARAGLIA: No. No. Hold on.
3	MS. FANUCCI: No, give him the two
4	minutes.
5	MR. SBARAGLIA: She took two minutes
6	out of my time.
7	MS. FANUCCI: No, he doesn't want an
8	exchange, he just wants his time to talk, so
9	go ahead.
	go amoda.

MR. MCGOFF: Please finish.

MR. SBARAGALIA: You weren't there
for the KOZ, I was. I fought the KOZ when
it came out. The reason I came out because
there is nothing more than a tax dodge.
Look at what was done with the KOZ's. They
fixed up buildings that they own, okay?
That's what they did. They bought a
building, they fixed them up. I, as a
property owner, I didn't ask you to give me
a KOZ to fix up my house, maybe I should.
You are better off taking a KOZ, take all of
these delinquent housing lots that are
around the city that they are ripping down
the homes, make them KOZ's and give them to
the people next door that lives next to him,

1 at least they will take care of their
2 properties.

You're pipe dreams. You ain't going to be here in ten years probably, maybe I won't either, but I can tell you one thing no one should ever get 20 years of tax free status, I don't care what they are. All you are doing is creating a surplus where the peasants in the city has to pay the taxes to the business people with little or no. Look

at the land? Did you ever look at how many lands are not developed that was KOZ'd? The KOZ was fought. It was fought when it was written, and it's fought today and it will continue to be fought. Okay, I think I used my time. MR. MCGOFF: Ozzie Quinn. MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, president of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers'

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, president of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association. I want to continue where Andy left off, KOZ, KOEZ, we have actually 207 parcels of land in the City of Scranton under this KOZ, KOEZ. Now, we have a debt of 170 million. If you extrapolate that into the future with the interest it's

1	\$273 million. 25 percent of our properties
2	are nontaxable, nonprofits. You got a whole
3	in the budget right now, in the 2009 budget,
4	that we have to fill of \$2.5 million. I
5	don't know where this money is coming from.
6	We are talking about new jobs coming in, a
7	thousand new jobs, and I never seen such a
8	blatant lie as Mayor Doherty said about the
9	6,000 jobs because I have right here a
10	document, HUD document. It's a HUD document
11	and it shows from 2001 to the present into

12	2007, it didn't accrue last year, how many
13	jobs were created or retained? 178. It's a
14	little far distance from 6,000. Stretch it
15	out there. My God, talk about who is taken
16	a cooly. Wow.
17	MS. FANUCCI: I believe
18	MR. QUINN: Uh
19	MS. FANUCCI: I know, Ozzie, but I do
20	believe that that's just for the job
21	creation for our loans.
22	MR. QUINN: Pardon me?
23	MS. FANUCCI: I believe that's just
24	for the job creation for the loans we gave
25	out. That's HUD, that's not saying 6,000
	28
1	jobs.
2	MR. QUINN: What did he do, build his
3	own place.
4	MS. FANUCCI: There is probably
5	nothing else that came here since '95.
6	MR. QUINN: What does that mean, Mrs.
7	Fanucci? Amil, excuse me, I'm sorry, Mr.
8	McGoff, what would that be.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Well, I know the
10	Enterprise Center right now there is jobs
11	there is a company there with 30, 40 jobs

12	since last year.
13	MR. QUINN: Now, well, well, well
14	MS. FANUCCI: I mean, there is places
15	all over the city, I can't give you that
16	number, but I'm saying that that information
17	probably isn't accurate right now. You are
18	claiming that that's that's a HUD action
19	document not just a job creation out of the
20	whole city.
21	MR. QUINN: This is what OECD filed
22	with HUD.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Right, but that's not
24	saying that there was all these other jobs
25	created in the city.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Please, let Mr. Quinn,
2	finish.
3	MR. QUINN: You know, a person
4	called me and said about the South Side
5	library, you know? They said the fact, and
6	I agree with them because I have gone up to
7	the library, the Albright library in the
8	afternoon, and it is packed with computers.
9	Now, people go to the libraries nowadays
10	either to do research or to pick up a book
11	to read, of course. Now, this gentleman
12	recommended or suggested that I recommended

13 or suggested that the city, a good friend of the mayor, use some of that community 14 development block grant money and put it 15 16 into the mall crossing the transit where Boscov used to have his furniture, it's 17 18 vacant in there and where the T-shirt place was, put maybe 100 computers in there, and 19 20 you don't need any new library, and I agree with this man. 21 22 I spoke with Bob Neverosky today and 23 he is a little disturbed, he is president of the Hill Neighborhood Association, I'm an 24 officer in the blight, and he never got a 25

1	letter that there was a meeting, a
2	combination meeting between Pinebrook and
3	the HNA, okay? And why wouldn't there be a
4	meeting in the Hill Section? Now, the
5	meeting is going to held, it's being held
6	right now at 829 Capouse Avenue. I don't
7	know what, is that a store or something?
8	MS. GATELLI: What, Ozzie?
9	MR. QUINN: 829 Capouse Avenue?
10	MS. GATELLI: That's the Pinebrook
11	neighborhood office.
12	MR. QUINN: It's a store?

13 MS. GATELLI: Yes, it's in there. I 14 think it's Jerry Rinaldi's store. 15 MR. QUINN: How can the people from 16 the Hill Section, you know, go down and go, 17 it's just going through the motions. If 18 they had a meeting up at the IHM Church or the Covenant Church for the people to 19 20 discuss the consolidated plan that would be 21 great, but it's obviously, again, it's just 22 skipping over the Hill Neighborhood Association. 23 24 I feel bad about everything that's going on here, and I do want to say the fact 25 31 that I do have to mention not only are we 1 2 talking about the debt in the city with 3

regards to the \$273 million, we also have to 4 bring in the school district is 120 -- I'll 5 finish up here, please? Okay? And the county debt is \$224 million, add that up. 6 7 It comes over to half a million dollars, doesn't it? Who is going to pay for it? 8 9 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. MS. GATELLI: Ozzie, I just have 10 11 question, did you go to the school board 12 meeting last night? 13 MR. QUINN: No, because it was a --

14	MS. GATELLI: Oh, a work session.
15	MR. QUINN: I make sure that I go to
16	all school board meetings, but
17	MS. GATELLI: No, I just wondered if
18	they did anything on the KOZ or not?
19	MR. QUINN: No. I assumed they are
20	going to go on vacation so they will
21	probably have it at Monday's meeting.
22	MS. GATELLI: Monday there's a
23	meeting.
24	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
25	MS. GATELLI: Okay.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Sam Patilla.
2	MR. PATILLA: Sam Patilla, Scranton
3	resident, member of the Scranton Taxpayers'
4	Association. Good evening, Mr. Courtright.
5	My condolences to the Williams' family.
6	Three years now I have been coming to the
7	city council along with other speakers
8	begging and pleading with this
9	administration to settle the contracts with
10	the fire and police unions.
11	Now, I have had quite a few
12	individuals approach me requesting that make
13	a phone call, get Al Sharpton to come here

and I tell them, no. Al Sharpton never

voted for Chris Doherty. Al Sharpton isn't

responsible for people like Stu Renda

getting \$80,000, okay?

I don't want the members of the

community to look down upon the Scranton

community to look down upon the Scranton

Police Department because there is some

great men and women on that force. I do

want them to remember that they're partially

to blame because they are the ones that

voted for Chris Doherty, okay. Had you

elected somebody who actually cared about

the citizens, who actually cared about the small business owners, who actually cared about the education of our children, the qualified and experienced police officers wouldn't have migrated out of this city to other areas. The situation of Sister Williams might have been diffused before it got to that point.

And I want you all to understand and realize that we have an election coming up.

We need people who are for the people. We don't need people who are for themselves.

We don't need people who have for corporate

America. We need people to represent the

citizens and the business owners of the City
of Scranton. You want to blame somebody,
you blame Chris Doherty. You blame the
chief of police, you blame Ray Hayes because
had they spent that time and energy to
ensure that the police were trained and had
the equipment that they needed to protect
not only the citizens of Scranton but
themselves, we wouldn't be confronted with
these type of situations, all right?
It's a heated situation. Now, I

don't want to turn racial, I don't want
people turning against the police force. I
want people to wake up and smell the coffee.
It's time to elect people who are here for
you not people who want to get in office
because they think that it will help them
leap frog to other office. We don't need
political hacks. We need concerned
individuals who are concerned about us as a
whole, all right?

I listened to the radio the night of the election 7 percent of the precinct had reported, 7 percent. This man had already giving an acceptance speech. 93 percent of

15	the precinct hadn't even reported and he is
16	already giving an acceptance speech, all
17	right? So keep in mind when this election
18	comes around here don't be voting for people
19	because you like them, vote for people that
20	are going to do the job that that position
21	entails, okay?
22	And before I go, Mr. Courtright,
23	what business is Focal, Inc., are they a
24	manufacturing business, a retail business?
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: Which one?
	35
1	MD DAUTTIA, Haral III-a and anni
1	MR. PATILLA: Focal. The one you are
2	going to give 85 grand to.
3	MR. MCGOFF: It's software.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: I believe it's for,
5	as he said, it's for software, computers.
6	MR. PATILLA: All right. They don't
7	have government contracts, do they?
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm not aware if
9	they do or not, I don't know.
10	MR. PATILLA: Okay, because just like
11	Andy said, you know, you give these
12	businesses KOZ's, then you come down here
13	and you give them 1 percent, all right?
14	Now, when our property taxes come around,

well, I'm going to get mine because my

. 6	property value is worth 20 percent less than
.7	it was when we bought that house, so I'm
.8	going to get my discount because you are not
.9	going to bill me on what I paid for that
20	house. You are going to bill me for the
21	market value is, but you come, you bring
22	this companies in here, you give them
23	freebies, you give them handouts, you give
2.4	them the resources that the citizens of
25	Scranton have broken their backs to create,

to produce and you basically spit in their 1 2 face when it comes time to looking out for their well-being. Get off the dump and 3 start taking care of the citizens. To hell 5 with these businesses. MR. MCGOFF: Jean Suetta. MS. SUETTA: How you are doing everybody? Jean Suetta. Hi, Billy. Hi, 8 9 Bob. 10 MR. MCGOFF: Good evening. 11 MS. SUETTA: It would nice if you could look at me instead of sitting there 12 like that. 13 MR. MCGOFF: I was just --14

MS. SUETTA: Judy. Sherry. Did

16	Jerry Langan call?
17	MR. MCGOFF: Concerning North
18	Scranton?
19	MS. FANUCCI: We talked about that
20	last week, didn't we?
21	MR. MCGOFF: We did get a letter from
22	him in the I think a number of letters
23	actually.
24	MS. SUETTA: Because now the word is
25	out that they don't want that Memorial on
	37
1	their grounds anymore, and they want the
2	people to move it. Do they know the expense
3	of moving that memorial? If he doesn't want
4	it there let him smucking pay for it.
5	MR. MCGOFF: We had not heard that.
6	MS. SUETTA: Don't laugh, Bill. I
7	didn't curse. I can say muck. Ask Barbara
8	Walters, she said it on TV. He wants that
9	memorial out of there. Do you know where
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: He didn't tell us
11	that, Jean.
12	MS. SUETTA: Well, could you send the
13	letter out to the person?
14	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: To who?
16	MS. SUETTA: Jerry Langan.

e can do that.
personally call
ends to do with
ht.
e your word?
did.
come down here,
38
hooting, you
o way, shape or
have been shot.
ry.
asked that we
ry.
l speculation at
re is no
re is no
re is no
re is no lets went in her.

17	speculation is. How much money did you win,
18	Sherry?
19	MS. FANUCCI: None.
20	MS. SUETTA: No? We went Sunday and
21	went down.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Did you win?
23	MS. SUETTA: 5,000.
24	MS. FANUCCI: No way?
25	MS. SUETTA: Yeah.
	39
1	MS. FANUCCI: I'm going to start
2	hanging out with you.
3	MS. SUETTA: You ain't got the money
4	to hang out with me.
5	MS. FANUCCI: I totally agree.
6	MS. SUETTA: But you don't do the
7	profession I do either. Don't laugh. You
8	are one of my customers.
9	MR. MINORA: I won't tell you.
10	MS. SUETTA: What are you looking
11	for? Don't laugh. Have a good night.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Jean.
13	MS. SUETTA: I hope the investigation
14	is good though. Yeah. See how I break you
15	people up?
16	MR. MCGOFF: It's certainly
17	interesting. Lee Morgan.

18	MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.
19	This evening I would like to ask, even
20	though they are not present here, the League
21	of Women Voters in the next debate, I think
22	it's important for the League of Women
23	Voters to hand out a little notebook to all
24	the participants in all of the upcoming
25	debates. I have a very unique opinion on

what took place at the League of Women
Voters because Mr. Miller was sitting next
to me, and if you look at the Scranton Times
newspaper you will see that they take a
picture of me drinking my water talking to
Mr. Miller. Well, Mr. Miller was under the
impression that Mr. Rogan had written down
on paper the order of the questions and the
answers and Mr. Miller leans over to me and
says, "Lee, I think Mr. Rogan has from what
I can see all of questions written down and
his answers."

And I find that very troubling.

Now, Mr. Miller was completely unnerved by this and I said to him, look at, Doug, you have been in council for two years or more as a junior council president, there isn't

anything you don't know about anything
that's occurred at council. Relax, open
your spring water, take a drink and let's
move on.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

So what I'm saying to the League of Women Voters is that I think there was a problem there and I'm comfortable with the problem, but I think that -- I really do

41

think there was a problem there.

I'd like to move on to 5-G in regards to KOZ's. I would really hope that this council would vote "no" to this legislation. You know, we are all talking about blight in the city and everybody paying their fair share of taxes and to be honest with you, I mean, I have listened to the discussion, I was here when Mr. Namey came up and spoke about KOZ's a long time ago, I think Mark Walsh was the solicitor at that time, I think Mr. Murphy was the president of council. You know, there is no real creation of jobs taking place with companies shifting from one to place to another. It's going on all over the country and what they do is they use up their time and they move somewhere else. They get a

good deal and they move somewhere else.

We have got major foreign car

manufacturers that have located to this

country because states are actually building

plants for them to build cars in, and what

I'm saying is what benefit do the ordinary

Scrantonians get from all of those KOZ's? I

mean, if you are going to come in here and you're going to build a \$35 million project and you are going to tell them you can't afford to pay the taxes on that I have a problem with that considering that when you are an ordinary working person and you are paying \$3,000 property tax on your home and you may only earn 12 or 15 thousand dollars a year before taxes and you are hard pressed to just pay your taxes, pay your heat, pay your utilities and upkeep your property and we are wondering why there is all this blight in the city?

And then we come and we look at how we are funding our schools and in my opinion, you know, we are going back to the same homeowners saying, well, this year we are going to have raise your property tax

19	five percent for school tax, and next	year
20	we are going to do the same thing and	so
21	forth and so on, and all we are doing	is we
22	are perpetuating a cycle of continual	blight
23	throughout this community.	
24	It's time for this council to	say,

look at, you have to pay your share. We

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

43

appreciate you coming here, okay, but if you can spend 60 million dollars to build a project then you should be able to pay the taxes on that or what we need to do is tell every citizen in this city that you don't have to pay your taxes. I can it's a real problem here and they are walking away from multiple layers of taxation, and sooner or later you have to realize that if they want to move to Peckville, let them go, and then our residents can drive to Peckville, be employed there and bring the money home and not be sucked dry. I mean, it's only reality that you can only go to the well so many times before there is nothing left, and there really is nothing left.

And the last comment I have is they are talking about redoing the Recovery Plan and I think that if we are going to redo a

20	Recovery Plan we have to make a complete
21	plan, we have to present it to the public,
22	and it has to go to a vote again. I think
23	it's time after all these years because
24	let's face it, okay, it's been alleged
25	that thank you.
	44
1	MR. MCGOFF: Stephanie Gawel.
2	MS. GAWEL: Good evening, Council. I
3	want to start with a couple of questions. I
4	know the one speaker that comes has asked
5	about it, but I was by the building again
6	the other day and it made me think to ask,
7	what's going on with the North Scranton, you
8	know, like the like did we figure out
9	what the Goodwill did with the \$5 million
10	because they really only did the front
11	windows as far as I can see. I know the
12	side windows are all still board and stuff.
13	MS. GATELLI: They didn't spend the
14	five million.
15	MS. GAWEL: Weren't they given a
16	grant of \$5 million?
17	MS. GATELLI: Yeah, but they didn't
18	spend it yet.

MS. GAWEL: They didn't spend it so

20	it's just sitting there do nothing?
21	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
22	MS. GAWEL: I have another question,
23	on the rental properties I know they are
24	supposed to be registered and some people
25	registered them years ago, so or, you
	45
1	
1	know, got their permits or whatever, how
2	come they don't get another bill in the mail
3	like yearly?
4	MS. GATELLI: Because the program
5	still is not functioning and I'm really not
6	happy about it.
7	MS. GAWEL: Okay. All right. I'm
8	just asking, you know.
9	MS. GATELLI: They never got they
10	were supposed to get a company hired to
11	target all of the properties and that hasn't
12	happened yet. I hope it happens soon.
13	MS. GAWEL: Okay. Mrs. Fanucci, I
14	was working on a plan, somebody took part of
15	my idea, but not all, and once in a while we
16	actually do agree with you.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
18	MS. GAWEL: I do agree with you, not
19	everybody has computers in their homes, they
20	just don't, some can't afford it, some don't

21	want it, whatever, but I don't think we need
22	the new library, I just don't, but I was
23	thinking, and I'm not being sarcastic even
24	though it might sound it, why not use some
25	of the floors of the Southern Union building
	46
1	
1	to put computer rooms in there and the
2	MS. FANUCCI: The Southern Union has
3	been purchased by someone. It is privately
4	owned now. There are businesses in there.
5	MS. GAWEL: Oh, really?
6	MS. FANUCCI: Yes. Southern Union is
7	not empty, it is functioning and, you know,
8	there is a new coffee shop in there, too,
9	you should stop by, it's very nice. But,
10	you know, Southern Union is a functioning
11	building now privately owned.
12	MS. GAWEL: All right. But, you
13	know, to find a place like they said where
14	Steve and Barns or whatever it was, you
15	know, and just set up computers for people
16	to use, you know, because it's more, you
17	know, really people don't use the books that
18	much anymore and stuff, everybody a lot
19	of people use the computers if they could

so, all right.

22	couple of weeks, how is Kenny McDowell
23	doing?
24	MR. MINORA: As soon as I get a
25	report or an order from the judge I'll let
	47
1	you know.
2	MS. GAWEL: Okay. Just didn't want
3	to let it go. All right, I'm still hearing
4	rumors that some of the firehouses may be
5	closing, could somebody double check on that
6	now that the election is basically over?
7	Okay. I'd like to mention that I got my
8	first weekend edition of the Times Leader,
9	it was very a nice paper. It was very
10	enjoyable. People might actually want to
11	start getting it in the mail. You can get
12	it on Saturdays in the mail.
13	MS. FANUCCI: But now there is going
14	to be no Scranton edition; right?
15	MS. GAWEL: It's the Scranton
16	edition.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, but I thought
18	they were stopping that.
19	MS. GAWEL: It's for Saturday only.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Oh, all right.
21	MS GAWEL It's a nice. nice

Mr. Minora, I haven't asked you in a

edition. It has the Parade section in it
that the Times just decided we didn't need
that I actually enjoyed and stuff, so I was
wondering, you know, like we are always

talking about the economic situations and
the cost of running the offices and this
that and the other thing, has anybody
thought of doing like a split schedule of
like 8 to 6 so that the people like me who
work from five in the morning until
sometimes 5:00 at night can get into the
buildings to do the business that we do?
You know, in other words, have a 6 to 8 and
have it overlapped a little bit where there
wouldn't be overtime, but it would be -
MS. FANUCCI: I'm not sure with the
union contracts we can do that, but we can
check into it.

MS. GAWEL: Because it's just a thought. It gives people more of an opportunity to take care of business that they don't have an opportunity to do during the week.

Also, Mrs. Gatelli, you seem to have a pretty good rapport with PennDOT, could

22	you see
23	MS. GATELLI: For the pedestrian
24	walkways I do.
25	MS. GAWEL: Well, I know I have
	49
1	asked with this once before, but again, I
2	wanted to bring it up. Again, the arrows on
3	the streets at Mulberry and Wyoming where
4	you have the one-lane goes left and the one
5	goes center and then the other one goes
6	straight or right, and like if it's not
7	marked, and it's not these days, you know,
8	there is a lot of chance of something
9	happening.
10	Also, Sherry, just real quick, who
11	kind of backtracks on like that place that's
12	supposed to have 30 jobs over the next three
13	years? Who keeps an eye on making sure they
14	keep up with that?
15	MS. FANUCCI: Well, the job
16	requirement actually for that business is
17	only probably two, I believe, I'd have to
18	look it up, but there is only two so they
19	are creating a lot more jobs than they are
20	asked, but the state actually checks on it
21	to make sure that the job creation is done

or they actually get penalized and have to

24	MS. GAWEL: Okay. All right. I
25	think it's for tonight.
	50
1	MO CAMPITATION IN THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT
1	MS. GATELLI: Mulberry and Wyoming?
2	MS. GAWEL: Yeah. Thank you. Have
3	a nice evening.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Brett McCloe.
5	MR. MCCLOE: Good evening. My name
6	is Bret McCloe. It's kind of hard to
7	disassociate from the events of the weekend,
8	so I'm probably going to just cut out a lot
9	of it and move on to a different subject,
10	but I just want to read something that I did
11	write, something really small: Her name, her
12	life I will not mention for I am no stranger
13	to her condition. Confusion and fear that
14	clouds the mind cause action and death to
15	coincide.
16	I just want to I hope that the
17	mayor and this council can find ways to make
18	funds available to equip and to train our
19	police force with nonlethal weaponry and
20	nonlethal solutions for the people and for
21	the police. This would be one piece of
22	legislation that I'm quite sure it deserves

pay the money back.

24	I'm going to move onto something
25	else since I'm not going to go through the
	51
1	rest of this, but as for the library, I
2	walked into the South Side bank down there
3	and I don't see this that a brand new
4	library needs to be built. Perhaps that
5	would be better suited as a branch. You
6	could probably put a lot of books in just
7	the existing building, and it looks like
8	there's a big there is a second floor,
9	but you can bring that in a little bit and
10	actually put more books in there and leave
11	it as a branch that would probably only cost
12	maybe a million dollars just to redo it as a
13	South Side branch.
14	If you truly, truly wanted another
15	library, who owns the building at 201 of
16	Lackawanna Avenue where the McCann building
17	the McCann people used to be in? Who
18	owns that building?
19	MS. GATELLI: I think the chamber.
20	MR. MCCLOE: The chamber?
21	MS. FANUCCI: Yes, the Scranton
22	Enterprise, it's the Chamber. It's the
23	incubator of the building.

a rubber stamp.

24	MR. MCCLOE: I mean, what better
25	place for a new Scranton library than a
	52
1	previous existing building? If there was
2	anyway you could talk to the Scranton
3	Chamber of Commerce and have these
4	businesses and ask them, "Are you okay? Are
5	you ready to go into our previous existing
6	buildings?"
7	MS. FANUCCI: It's already been
8	rented and it's already filled I believe.
9	MR. MCCLOE: With?
10	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, there is company
11	who was existing in the business center that
12	expanded and I believe they took most of
13	that area.
14	MR. MCCLOE: And they can't find
15	another place? They can't even build
16	another building?
17	MS. FANUCCI: Who can't?
18	MR. MCCLOE: The business that is in
19	there.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Well, I think that
21	eventually they probably will, but the whole
22	idea is to get them until they grow to a
23	certain level and then they go to a new

25	MR.	MCCLOE:	Ιt	was	just	а	thought.
----	-----	---------	----	-----	------	---	----------

53

1	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, it's a good one.
2	MR. MCCLOE: It was just thought.
3	That would have been really nice place if
4	you were going to have another Scranton
5	public library it would be right down there.
6	You would be able to, as Mr. Ozzie Quinn
7	said, slap a hundred computers somewhere in
8	there and call it an Ibrary, you know, with
9	all of the Internet hookups and you could
10	put hundreds of computers in there and the
11	books and everything else.
12	Perhaps move some of these
13	businesses over to make a deal with the
14	Dioceses. Let's fill up Bishop Hannon or
15	something, you know, just to use the
16	existing buildings that we do have instead
17	of \$16 million for something in South Side
18	that may not be needed there. It's not
19	convenient, I don't believe. I think we
20	just need to stop focusing on form and start
21	focusing on function and try to resolve and
22	reorganize our city's assets instead of keep
23	on building new ones. Thank you. That's

all I have. Thank you.

1	MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman. Hi,
2	Council. It's embarrassing for me to get up
3	here and flap my gums after so many
4	intelligent people come up here. I have my
5	usual nonsense I guess. I was down in
6	Hazelton
7	MS. GATELLI: Don't down yourself
8	like that.
9	MR. ELLMAN: I beat myself up.
10	MS. GATELLI: We enjoy you.
11	MR. ELLMAN: I went down to Hazleton
12	Friday, I am thinking about buying a new car
13	and I don't know I talked to four or five or
14	six different people and without me bringing
15	it up every single one of them brought the
16	idea for the crime down here was so bad, you
17	know. It's just from what I heard it's just
18	terrible. I guess we are more fortunate
19	than a lot of us think up here in town, you
20	know, we don't have open crime on the street
21	like they do down there. Nobody blamed the
22	mayor or the police or, you know, nobody put
23	a blame on it, they were just talking about
24	it that the streets just aren't save

1	After last week I thought maybe
2	Mr. Doherty would come and confront me after
3	all of these terrible things I said about
4	him. I guess he is just I don't know, it
5	looked like the Boy Wonder would want to
6	protect his Honor and say something about
7	his honor when somebody keeps attacking him
8	week after week. I guess I could attack the
9	newspaper because I don't like them either.
10	MS. GATELLI: I'll second that.
11	MR. ELLMAN: All this newspaper in
12	this town is good for is wrapping your
13	garbage with. I don't know, they're a
14	purveyor and an advocator of lies and
15	distortions from this election which I'm not
16	the only one. I have just heard some
17	intelligent business people have remarked
18	that was the last worst election that this
19	city ever had because of Mr. Doherty's
20	actions and accusations.
21	I sat here and I stood her a couple
22	of weeks ago and said he is running scared
23	and only somebody like that would bring it
24	to such a low point. This is the worst
25	election this city has ever had, you know,

1	from what business people at the Taurus Club
2	who I talked to them, you know. My brief
3	conversations.

I don't know. And about the KOZ's,

I think too many people forgot about that -
I don't remember what it was, it must be

20 years ago they built the Volkswagon

plant, they stayed nine years and

disappeared. My father had two motels in

Corinth, Mississippi, in the 60's and the

city built six or eight huge plants to bring

people from Massachusetts down there, the

same thing happened, they stayed six,

eight years and all, you know, left after -
when it got near time for the taxes.

The KOZ's, I have said it, we need taxpayers. Who cares if they go three miles down the road, let somebody else support them. We need taxpayers, like Andy said, if they don't want to pay taxes we just don't need them no more. A fourth of the city doesn't pay taxes. That's a lot of -- there is 40,000 -- Mr. Sunday told me there was about 40,000 taxpayers, you know, sent out ever year. We are just in terrible shape

financially and, you know, tell me how Daron Industries, how are they -- you know, they don't have 40 people working there, there is two or three cars in the parking lot unless they got some kind of superplan for bringing the people in from Ashley every day, there must be 40 of them in one car. They haven't hired nobody. You can go to the single tax office and find out who was hired and who hasn't. There is no number like you are thinking.

about on Seventh and Lackawanna, ten years
-- I think it's eight years or 13 years
whatever it is, it's a -- like I said, the
best location in the city for a business and
then they'd have to pay taxes. Let me just
finish, those 150 houses out on Keyser
Avenue how in the world did they help this
city any? We have to build a school there
is so many kids going out there. The KOZ,
you know, it's for rich people. Let Austin
Burke, you know, it's time that -- he's a
dinosaur for crying out loud, let him quit
staying and spending his day out there at

1	the country club playing golf and get out
2	here and find out what the world is really
3	like and maybe he wouldn't want a KOZ, three
4	or 400 properties around town. Thank you.
5	MS. GATELLI: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.
6	Mr. Dobson.
7	MR. DOBSON: We are going to have to
8	hold an Ozzie look alike contest. Okay, I
9	would like to have a little tiny speech
10	prepared here and it really would require
11	coordination with the state and federal
12	government, but with this economic stimulus
13	and so forth it's important that we not
L 4	spend this money on trinkets, and to
15	institute a few trinkets.
16	In this town, state and country we
17	need a return to manufacturing. Trade pacts
18	are ill-conceived, lopsided and cause the
19	decay of our employment prospects, and
20	everyone complains about GM, in fact, the
21	type of government franchise is common in
22	favor of the trade nation status countries
23	along with currency manipulation and several
24	other things.

I have stressed industrial parks to

1 little or no avail, energy is our achilles 2 heel and recently an ethanol plant was 3 discouraged before anyone heard the real discussion. Rail service was available, 4 5 could have eliminated truck traffic, wood 6 shavings instead of food substances, and to 7 my knowledge no KOZ was really requested, although, it could have been in the future 8 9 and certainly could have been refused. 10 Please begin to survey our brownfields and put the return to tax 11 12 producing job sustaining and product 13 producing companies and not just recycled 14 paper. 15 Now, here is a little extra, for 16 instance, we heard a clinic, another clinic. 17 Well, these satellite clinics are not originally supposed to be tax exempt, but 18 19 they don't have to fix anybody up that shows 20 up at their door. They could demand payment 21 upon production of the goods and services. A hospital, if I go in with a gunshot wound 22 23 to a heart attack if they don't fix me up 24 the right way even though I'm not a paying 25 customer I could sue them. Satellite

1 clinics don't have to touch me.

relation to this library proposal, I have noticed by going down the library that it's nearly impossible at times, not every day because somebody mentioned yesterday that they can get on at any time until 8:00, but you can't get near a computer, it's all college-age kids down at the Albright library in the afternoons especially, so why at 40 grand a year why these colleges can't provide better service for these students. I have to seriously criticize them.

I mean, like the shootings last year at these colleges it's ridiculous. Why can't they provide a police force and a security force and computers and so forth? It's really ashame that, you know, somebody from Lackawanna County that's paying taxes might not be able to get on a computer and a foreign exchange student can come here. What does that have to do with somebody that paid library taxes in Scranton other than denies them access, and it's really ashame that these local colleges. I mean, once

this issue with the medical college is I think really the Lackawanna Junior College should provide some computers for their kids. It's ashame.

And another thing on these foreign trade pacts, pollution. 25 percent of the air pollution in California comes imported from China, 25 percent. You have to pay for a catalytic convertor on your car, do you not, and the emission system and everything else, well, they could just spew it over and put you out after a job with cheap goods. It's really ashame, so we really do need to get away from paper industries and all they generate is paper and office space generates recycling of paper as far as I'm concerned. Have a god night. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident, member of the Scranton Taxpayers' Association. 2010 we will have three new council members, maybe four. My hope is that a new council will also mean new ideas, working together, including the residents, not excluding the residents.

Open caucuses for a public to attend not
held in caged tiny offices in the back of
the council office also televised so that
the residents at home can also be informed

Scranton was must come up with ways to raise revenue not taxes. Taxes must be reduced. Wage tax, mercantile tax, property tax, all of these taxes have been and will continue to be the downfall of Scranton.

Just ask any person who has moved from Scranton to the surrounding community why they moved or ask a small business owner why they relocated or went out of business.

Always the same answer: Taxes too high.

Just look at the past history,

population decline, business failures,

downtown vacant buildings and store fronts.

A \$16 million library is not the answer.

Yes, not everybody can afford a computer in

Scranton. Why? Could it be that

unemployment is at 8.6 percent? Minimum

family income the lowest in the state. Per

capita income the lowest in the state. No

job creation in the city that pays family

sustaining wages of 3.4 percent wage tax,

۷	that does not recover anything except more
3	debt, new hires and pay raises for the
4	selected few.
5	These are issues, not a knew library
6	in south Scranton. Many years ago South
7	Scranton had a library on Pittston Avenue,
8	Scranton population over 100,000 then.
9	Single tax office, the law has been
10	violated is continuing to be broken. Single
11	Tax Law 1929. What are you going to do
12	about that? As a taxpayer, I know as much
13	about what happened at the single tax office
14	today as I did 14 months ago. Nothing.
15	Whatever happened to fair, honest and open
16	government? Why has Kenny McDowell not been
17	required to answer any questions and give
18	explanations about his public service as tax
19	collector?
20	I have asked these questions for
21	weeks. No answers. If you are not going to
22	answer at least give me the courtesy to tell
23	me why you will not answer the questions
24	during your motions.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, you did get

2	it was just given more before. It's still
3	in the courts.
4	MR. JACKOWITZ: Exactly, but I'm not
5	talking about that, I'm talking about why
6	the district attorney has not initiated an
7	investigation, Mr. McGoff, into the crimes
8	that were broken.
9	MS. GATELLI: He answered that, too.
10	MR. JACKOWITZ: There's a violation
11	of the Single Tax Office 1929.
12	MS. GATELLI: Mr. Jackowitz, do you
13	have a copy of the letter that we got from
14	Jarbola?
15	MR. JACKOWITZ: I do not have a copy
16	of it, no, I do not.
17	MS. GATELLI: We will give you a copy
18	of that because he did answer it.
19	MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay.
20	MS. GATELLI: Mrs. Garvey will have
21	it.
22	MR. FANUCCI: And actually Attorney
23	Minora could you answer about the law in 19
24	you know, it's claiming 00.
25	MR. JACKOWITZ: The laws have been

1 violated.

2 MS. FANUCCI: He is saying the law

3	has been violated, can you explain.
4	MR. JACKOWITZ: Single Tax Law of
5	1929 and that's not a year that's the number
6	of the law 1929.
7	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
8	MR. JACKOWITZ: It's made publicized
9	and I've been informed by the Federal Bureau
10	of investigation they have informed me that
11	the law has been broken and somebody should
12	do something about it.
13	MS. GATELLI: Do you have a copy of
14	that, Bill?
15	MR. JACKOWITZ: I could probably get
16	one if I really need to, but it's not my
17	job. I'm not the district attorney.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, well, neither
19	are we, Bill.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
21	MR. JACKOWITZ: I understand that. I
22	understand that. I hope my time is not
23	being
24	MR. MCGOFF: Please continue, I'm
25	sorry.

1 MR. JACKOWITZ: No problem. Okay,
2 voting "yes" all of the time is not the

answer. Voting "no" all of the time is not
the answer either. Each vote should be
thoroughly researched and discussed before
you cast that vote. Remember, every vote
that is it taken by city council effects
every citizen of Scranton. One person,
Mayor Doherty, is not always correct. Many
of his ideas have been failures such as
borrowing to balance budgets, glass to send
us all on sand or icy snow covered roads,
downtown improvements, Recovery Plan that
has not been implemented, selling off city
assets, arbitrations and court cases,
5.5 million into the budget without having
the money available, ECTV, and most
importantly, his reluctance to appear and
speak to the residents of Scranton. He
prefers to speak with the Chamber of
Commerce.
Tonight's vote on the office park
KOZ's, can the residents afford to continue
to give away property to developers and

for the services that will be provided to
them by the Scranton taxpayers? 25 percent
of the population in Scranton do not pay

business owners who are not willing to pay

4	taxes currently.
5	Job creation is great. New business
6	in the city is also great, but is it
7	progress if they are not willing to pay
8	their fair share. Remember may I finish?
9	MR. MCGOFF: Um-hum.
10	MR. JACKOWITZ: Remember, if you
11	want to hear your band you must pay the
12	band. KOZ's have not helped the City of
13	Scranton progress in the past. If KOZ have
14	helped the city we would no longer be in the
15	distressed city status. I am not against
16	progress, I am against give-aways. You milk
17	cows, not taxpayers. City revenue is based
18	upon tax revenue. If you pay no taxes, what
19	revenue does the city have? What are we
20	promoting? Move to Scranton and pay no
21	taxes? Is that really progress? If they
22	want to move to Olyphant or Peckville, let
23	them go. We will still get the wage tax

1 MR. MCGOFF: Marie Schumacher.

there.

24

25

2 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. Marie

because Scranton residents will be working

3 Schumacher, resident and member of the

Taxpayers' Association. I was happy to read that Rip Van Pennsylvania Economy League has finally awakened. I believe this will be PEL's third attempt at a Recovery Plan. I pray Recovery plans work as does baseball, three strikes and you are out.

Now my favorite topic of late, the \$600,000 rent owed pie BRT Ice to the City of Scranton. Solicitor Minora was kind enough last week to point out that I have the incorrect agreement. I now have the correct agreement of which I will read the section on payment of rent that includes the three conditions that must be met:

"Lessee shall \$600,000 to lessor as rent for the lease premises. Lessee shall make minimum annual payments every 12 months begin beginning on the date hereof in the amount of no less than \$1 provided, however, that any unpaid rent under this paragraph shall be paid by the lessee to lessor in a lump sum within 30 days following the

1	occurrence of the last to occur of: One,
2	vacation of the lease premises by DPW in
3	total as on the date provided for hereunder;
4	or, two, certification of the lease land by

5	the City of Scranton Planning Commission as
6	within a redevelopment area as that term is
7	defined by law, approved by redevelopment
8	contract for the lease land including
9	transfer of the lease land to the lessee by
10	the SRA and approval of said redevelopment
11	contract by city council."
12	Clearly DPW has vacated the
13	premises. City planner, Don King, reports
14	that the certification has occurred leaving
15	only the final conditions. So I return to

premises. City planner, Don King, reports that the certification has occurred leaving only the final conditions. So I return to my former question, three years ago the SRA sent the agreement to council for approval and it was never placed on the agenda, so why hasn't council requested the SRA to draft an acceptable agreement so the city may see the \$600,000 rent where ordered, we are owed.

Finally, I would like to speak to the KOZ extension, which I hope will be rejected. First, if this development is to

1	be the first spinoff of benefit to the
2	Community Medical College's host community,
3	any financial benefit will be negated if all
4	taxes are suspended for seven years. The

5	benefit to a medical complex such as is
6	being advertised, but not put into a
7	contract I might add, is the proximity to
8	the medical college provided by the Scranton
9	host community, that alone should be
10	sufficient.
11	Second, the Scranton Plan, the
12	industrial marketing arm of the Greater
13	Scranton Chamber of Commerce, provides a
14	chart on it's website illustrating annual
15	savings for various scenarios for KOZ's.
16	The range of annual savings for the closest
17	scenario, a pharmaceutical company with
18	20,000 square feet and 500 employees ranges
19	from 2 million to \$2.4 million.
20	Now, if the Mt. Pleasant provides
21	the advertised 1,000 jobs that is five times
22	the savings or \$10 million to \$12 million of
23	state and local taxes that are avoided each
24	year for seven years.
25	This council failed to request

legislative support for the tax exempt
property municipal fund which would have
provided funds to help offset the loss of
property taxes from the 25 percent of
properties that are permanently off the tax

6	roles. Coincidentally, today's
7	Times-Tribune had an article about Benco
8	Dental opening a headquarters and
9	distribution center in Center Point Park in
10	Jenkins Township which is in Luzerne County.
11	The Center Point Park Center is a local
12	economic redevelopment tax assist or LERTA.
13	A LERTA that may exempt a tax exemption up
14	to the actual cost of the development. This
15	type of incentive would be far more
16	appropriate for the Mount Pleasant Park as
17	it would provide an incentive without
18	burdening the existing property and business
19	owners by forcing them to subsidize Mount
20	Pleasant for seven years. May I finish?
21	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
22	MS. SCHUMACHER: As we have been
23	told, the money must come from somewhere and
24	that somewhere turns out to be us taxpayers.
25	Vote this KOZ extension down. Borrowing via

bonds will also be expensive if it's even
possible, so we must get all of the revenue
we can and save every place we can.

And I will end with one announcement that the food down at the Greek festival at

6	the church one block away is fantastic.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Any other speakers?
9	MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city
10	council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky. Over the last
11	few years speakers at this podium have asked
12	for Mr. Austin Burke to come here and
13	explain just what the Chamber of Commerce
14	does do for this city. He has never
15	appeared. A few months ago when he wanted a
16	KOZ he did come into the office of the City
17	Council, but he could not appear here. He
18	gave the council his plan for Mount
19	Pleasant. However, unlike Mr. Boscov, who
20	was gentleman enough to come here and
21	explain what he had in store or what he
22	wanted from the city, Mr. Burke would not
23	come out here.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, that was by
25	our decision, not his.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, excuse me,
whether it's by your decision or his he
still should have been man enough to come
out here and explain to the people what he
wanted to do and what it was going to cost
us. That KOZ up there has already got

7 several million dollars to put in the 8 structural plan of it. They have gone far 9 enough of whether you vote that or not, they are going to go ahead with that project. 10 Should that project go through as a 11 12 KOZ there will be no property taxes 13 collected for another ten years. There will be no mercantile tax collected there for 14 15 another ten years and there will be no wage 16 tax collected there for another ten years. 17 That means people like myself who for the last 30 years have been paying our property 18 taxes and our fees will have to bite the 19 20 bullet and pay even more. I hope this city council comes to it's senses and votes it 21 22 down. 23 MS. ROYCE: Hi. Good evening. 24 Bernadette Royce, West Scranton. I'm going to keep this very short and very sweet this 25

74

evening. Obviously, couldn't have been here last week, I had work. My red and white firehouse sign is still present. It will stay there despite the election results and despite any cheerleaders of the mayor who want to get here and throw any temper

1

2

3

4

5

tantrums which they do every so often

complaining that I need to take my red and

white firehouse sign. My sign will stay

there until I am sure that the mayor will

not cut the firehouses by 50 percent in this

city like he has pledged to do.

I believe last week. I was able to

I believe last week, I was able to watch the city council meeting, that

Mrs. Evans said that she has yet to receive and that the council has yet to receive the mayor's letter stating what his plans are.

It has been two months since the mayor stated in the Scranton Times that he has no plans to close the fire companies. It's been two months in his office and it's just down the hall. Does it really take him two months time to walk a letter down the hall?

I don't think it should take him two months time to respond to the city council. There

is also this thing called e-mail if he
hasn't heard it. He talks about wanting to
move forward in the city, well, he can send
an e-mail then if he really wants to move
forward.

So I think we all know that he will close the firehouses when given the

8	opportunity, so my red and white sign will
9	stay there and the citizens of Scranton need
10	to be aware that the mayor needs to be
11	stopped in his plans. Thank you very much
12	and have a good evening.
13	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Judes?
14	MS. GATELLI: Hi, Chrissy.
15	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Bill.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Chris.
17	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Up, Bill?
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Pick it up, Buddy.
19	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Judy, I had enough
20	of it this week. No more Scranton, Judy.
21	I'm going back to West Scranton. I'm going
22	back home, baby.
23	MS. GATELLI: All right.
24	MR. SLEDENZSKI: I'm going back home,
25	Billy.

1	MS. DOMINICK: Donna Dominick,
2	homeowner, taxpayer. I just have one
3	question, you can answer about it, Bill. Is
4	there any particular reason why all patrol
5	cars aren't equipped with a cage for
6	transport?
7	MP COMPTTCHT. Is thore a

8	particular reason?
9	MS. DOMINICK: Yeah.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: No. There is many
11	that don't have them.
12	MS. DOMINICK: Right.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Why
14	MR. DOMINICK: It just seems that if
15	like Car 18 is tied up or other cars are on
16	call and then the guy that doesn't have a
17	cage is transporting has to wait and see if
18	somebody is available to do transport for
19	him, it would just seem like you would
20	think to make it easier across the board
21	they would all have a cage.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: You know, Donna, I
23	addressed that with Captain Graziano and he
24	had given me a sheet several months ago of
25	which cars had them and which ones didn't
	77
1	and he was trying to more cars because it's
2	a problem, you are right.
3	MS. DOMINICK: It is.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: We never have
5	transport vehicles.
6	MS. DOMINICK: Right.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll talk to Captain
•	toottittoiii. I ii cain co captain

8 Graziano again and see where we stand.

9	MR. DOMINICK: Okay. Thanks, Bill.
10	MR COURTRIGHT: All right? You are
11	welcome.
12	MS. DOMINICK: Good night.
13	MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening. Nelson
14	Ancherani, First Amendment Rights. It looks
15	look the Recovery Plan was brought to the
16	forefront again by the slimes. The state is
17	working on the plan now. Wow. We can
18	really have faith in this one. Fred
19	Reading, PA Economy League, the head,
20	finally got his head out of the sand and is
21	getting ready to write another Recovery Plan
22	to replace the one that was violated from
23	the beginning by this administration and
24	which expired in 2005.
25	PEL never did anything about the

1	violations except bury their heads in the
2	sand. The Courts proved it. It expired in
3	2006 when the Courts gave the unions raises
4	for six, seven and eight.
5	A quote from the slimes: "The state
6	will also evaluate revenue streams to target
7	more ways to generate funds."

Watch out, Scranton taxpayers.

Remember when a Mr. Cross from PEL along with the begging bankers were here at the end of 2006 and said that the city was in dire financial straights if we didn't pass the \$44 million loan and enact a 25 percent tax increase. We got the 25 percent tax increase and Ms. Fanucci and Mrs. Gatelli and Mr. McGoff passed it. Looks like my predictions are going to come true again. Another quote from the slimes: "When Mr. Doherty ran for mayor the first 

Another quote from the slimes:

"When Mr. Doherty ran for mayor the first

time, among his core promises was to repair

city finances, but the same fundamental

problem exists, Scranton spends more money

than it takes in. The structural deficit is

around \$6 million according to Mr. Renda."

The truth finally be told, that has

been said for years. BS, reckless BS,
borrow, spend. Woah, bend over taxpayers
here comes the next 25 percent tax increase
Freddy and the banking beggers wanted for
2007. How else would they plug that
deficit? Add five million to that money we
don't get from the tax office and we have an
11 million deficit. Maybe it will be a
higher tax increase or millions more in

10	borrowing. Our grandkids better have good
11	paying jobs to be able to pay back that
12	approximately 300 million in long-term debt.
13	Another quote from the slimes: "The
14	budget meanwhile swelled by 20.4 million
15	between 2002 and 2009."
16	That was for 2009, but they didn't
17	say in 2008 the budget swelled by
18	26.4 million and the total of the increases
19	of all of the budgets from 2002 through 2009
20	is 108 million. 108 million more excess
21	over the budgets themselves.
22	Another quote from the slimes:
23	"Fueled by a debt service that increased
24	158 percent," 158 percent. And now has a
25	long-term debt of approximately 300 million.
	80
1	Another quote from the slimes:
2	"Health insurance costs have spiked
3	47 percent."
4	It spiked because the mayor fired
5	the health care consultant who saved the
6	city \$5 million by straightening out of the
7	system she inherited. A hundred city

employees were forced out of their jobs at

the end of 2002 with full benefits and 100

8

10	people that replaced them are receiving full
11	benefits.
12	Another quote from the slimes:
13	"Personnel costs, including health care,
14	still make up the majority of expenses, but
15	not as much as they once did. Spending on
16	salaries is up 6.7 percent."
17	The slimes forgot to say that the
18	mayor spent 20 million on cumulative raises
19	in new hires for favorites since 2003.
20	That's where the 6.7 percent increase is,
21	not the police or fire.
22	Another quote from the slimes: "The
23	city is not a business."
24	The mayor said that. We said that
25	since he said it wasn't a business
	81
1	approximately eight years ago. We said it's
2	a service provider. Proof? Police to
3	protect and serve.
4	By the way, anyone care to guess
5	which firehouses or companies will be closed
6	now that May 19 is past? I can hear the
7	griping now, "Not my firehouse."
8	Thank you.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Gatelli?
10	MS. GATELLI: I just have a few

11	things. We did get an answer to my request
12	last week concerning the hiring of a
13	controller in the Single Tax Office. The
14	answer was that they are working on it with
15	the three taxing bodies concerning the
16	hiring of a controller. I don't think we
17	got an answer to the solicitor the
18	question about having their own solicitor.
19	MS. GARVEY: No.
20	MS. GATELLI: The rental registratio
21	program, if Kay could please send a letter

MS. GATELLI: The rental registration program, if Kay could please send a letter to the mayor concerning hiring someone to do the registrations I would appreciate that, and also to paint the arrows in the turning lanes on Mulberry and Wyoming.

1	Also, I was curious, I read an
2	article and I think it was in the Electric
3	City, they were advertising the KOZ's in
4	Keyser Terrace, and I noticed that in the
5	advertisement they have that the KOZ was in
6	effect until 2014, so I was wondering why
7	that was extended. I thought they were all
8	up in 2010, so if you could please find out
9	when all of the KOZ's are being terminated.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Judy, what was the

11	date?
12	MS. GATELLI: It said 2014.
13	MS. FANUCCI: Was it a KOEZ? Because
14	I think were longer.
15	MS. GATELLI: I'm not sure. It's for
16	housing. Keyser Terrace is all housing.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, I think it's
18	KOEZ.
19	MS. GATELLI: Well, then maybe the
20	ad was wrong, but if you could find that
21	out
22	MS. FANUCCI: That still be would
23	four years.
24	MS. GATELLI: I would appreciate
25	it that, and that's all I have. Thank you.
	8

1	MR. MCGOFF: Ms. Fanucci?
2	MS. FANUCCI: You want me to go? All
3	right then. We have three of the loans of
4	the agenda, a lot of people spoke on them
5	tonight. The only way I could you know,
6	I say this over and over again and I am I
7	sure it falls on deaf ears to some, but
8	people are putting 300 and 500 thousand
9	dollars into these businesses. We are not
10	talking about the fact that these people are
11	not putting anything in. A lot of money is

being spent out of their pocket. They are
looking for a little bit more working
capital and creating jobs to boot. Most of
them create many more than they are supposed
to create. You know, some of them are
looking at three permanent jobs as required
by the state, well, they are coming up with
30, four management positions, five
actually, five in one, five management
positions.

I understand that the impression is that because we are loaning this money out, and it's a loan, that it's sound like these people are getting a free ride. Well, if

1	you coming to me with \$500,000 and are
2	willing to put it in my city, all of our
3	city, we should be thankful right now in
4	this economy that three businesses are
5	willing to expand and put the money here. I
6	don't understand how this is a problem or an
7	issue all the time. I really don't. I
8	don't get it.
9	Is it because you have never opened

Is it because you have never opened a business and you don't understand? Is it because you can't -- you don't want other

people to come here or give a shot to
whatever their dream is or whatever they
feel they want to do? It's very sad to me
that it's not something that you can grasp
on an daily basis. People are putting money
here. Does that mean that \$85,000 we should
say, "Get lost. Take your \$500,000 and go
and Jessup or go somewhere else because we
don't want you because you want to borrow
\$85,000 and pay us back and create 30 jobs,
that's ridiculous."

I don't get it. Yes, I will always, always pass job creation and loans and encourage people to open businesses in this

city, just because it might not be a business you personally think you will use, doesn't mean that they aren't other people in the city who want to use it. So I will be, obviously, very proud to pass this legislation.

As far as the KOZ is concerned, this is another thing, I mean, we didn't create this program. Scranton didn't decide this was something we wanted to do. People say what are the benefits? We get nothing out of the KOZ. Well, you know what, you get

union jobs, first of all, let's just start
there, your unions are going to work and
building the KOZ. Not a bad deal
considering most unions are looking for work
all the time, so should we be happy about
that? I think we should.

The people when they get the payroll
want to spend it here. So, yeah, if you

The people when they get the payroll want to spend it here. So, yeah, if you want to send them somewhere else that's where they are spending their money. 1,000 people spending I don't care if it's a dollar a day at a gas station it's a dollar a day that we didn't get last time, last

year at this time.

So, yeah, is it a wash for awhile?

Probably, unless you are a business owner

and you are waiting for that guy to come in

and buy a soda or waiting in that restaurant

or somebody to come in and by what they are

eating. So, yes, maybe personally you are

not benefiting, maybe personally you are

not, but that doesn't mean that the

residents aren't benefiting.

So you need to look beyond what your personal opinions are and look about other

people who have put money into the city and believe in the city and say, well, okay, maybe not for me, but somebody is making something off of it and that's better because most people in the country right now are closing. Businesses. You think they are all billionaires right now. If you look around look at the country, sorry to say, they are hurting. Everyone is hurting. So, should we encourage them? I don't know, I think so. I would rather have 

don't know, I think so. I would rather have business than not have business. 20 percent when they move here in this city, say they

relocate, they have to expand by 20 percent
from what they relocated from, so that's
20 percent more employees than they had
previously. They have to maintain that
every single year or they don't get KOZ
status, so say they do not agree and they do
not commit and do not fulfill what they are
obligated to do, the KOZ status will be
removed, so there are checks and balances.
People say, "What did we get off of

the last KOZ's?"

Well, quite frankly, we have people here. We have houses that weren't here.

You have people where there wasn't people before living and paying and buying. That's a good thing. Eventually, which is now, 2010, we are so close, all that money is coming in in 2010 and if you honestly believe that people are packing up and leaving in mass exodus out of their homes and out of their businesses and out of their lives I don't believe it. I don't. They built their lives here just like you did and just like I did, so I don't believe they are going to be leaving.

Do I think that it's a great idea or a wonderful deal for us to have KOZ's and nonprofits everywhere? No. Quite frankly, I'd love for everybody to have to pay their share. The problem is it's a state program, it's available. Most the money comes from the state tax that they are saving so you are paying it anyway. If they go somewhere else out of your city, some other place, you are still paying the tax. You are still bailing them out. So do you want to bail them out and put them in your backyard and hope to God that your neighbor is making

some money off of them? I would I think you

would. I certainly do. I want them here.

And I'll tell you right now if the

And I'll tell you right now if the University of Scranton picked up and told me they were leaving I would fight to keep them here as far as I can. You don't want people to leave this city because of KOZ because they can go somewhere else. You don't want people to leave these city with their nonprofits because these people are the people that keep this city going. It's not just you and I. It's everyone who is at

these jobs. So do I love the fact that I have to say another freebie and taxes? No.

But you know what, if I don't say "yes" to this all of those other people did and they gone and I want them here.

As far as me agreeing with the mayor all the time and passing his legislation as a rubber stamper, I agree with him. I agree with his plan and guess what, a lot of other people do, too. He just won again. Just because you don't agree with what I agree with don't say I just do it just to do it because you are wrong. I only do what I believe in if there is nothing you have

. 5	learned from me this four years you should
. 6	know. I didn't pass legislation for that
.7	huge borrowing that he wanted to do. I
.8	didn't do it. Why? Because I didn't want
.9	to, but I do what I want and I do it for the
20	right reasons, so please don't get up here
21	week after week for the next few months of
22	my what's left for me to accomplish what
23	I need to accomplish and talk about the fact
2.4	that I only do what he wants. I believe in
25	him just like so many people in this city

do, just like you believe in Mrs. Evans and her plan or her lack thereof, whatever.

You have a choice to believe who you want to and so do I. I will be voting for any job creation in this city, I will not stop, I have done that and if you look at my record I have never not done that. Job creation is what is key to getting us to where we need to be to increase the tax base, and that is all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Courtright.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Kay, I need you to send a letter down to Mr. Brazil, you can send one letter for both things. I

asked that they did a crosswalk there at the Trinity Church on the corner of Main Avenue and Division Street because I actually did go by there on Sunday and the signs are out there, and that's great, but the people don't seem to know where to cross, so if they can put something there that would be good, and I'm going to be a pest with this one because I think it's important they still have not done anything with Forest Glenn Drive, you know, the DPW has come up 

on more than one occasion and patched it,
but it just doesn't last and needs to be
graded and paved, just the section. I'm not
asking for the whole road. I have only seen
one person so far go off the road, but there
could have been more when I wasn't there. I
think there is going to be a head-on
accident, so if you can just send that again
and maybe they get sick of me and do
something.

People ask me about, I don't even want to bring this up, but maybe I'll give a little bit of an education about can't the police use some other kind of way to stop the people other than, you know, shooting.

We do have what they call less than lethal.
It's where they shoot a beanbag more or
less, but not every officer has it with
them. They have one in every so many cars
that they carry with them and you don't
always know that you need it. You know, you
go on an incident that could be harmless
and, boom, it turns into something terrible.
You know, that's usually what happens.
Usually the calls that they go on and they

think are going to be bad aren't as bad as they think and the ones they think nothing is going to happen they escalate into something really bad.

I believe we were in the possession of tasers at the time when this incident happened, but I don't believe they were on the road yet, I don't believe the people were trained on them yet. I do believe we have some people trained on them now, I'm not 100 percent sure about that, so I'll leave it at that for now.

5-F, I have no problem with loaning that company because it's a new startup company the money, my problem is that they

are going to a KOZ and they are getting 1

percent, so I'm letting them know if you

were getting 5 percent like everybody else I

would have voted "yes." I understand that,

you know, you are planning on creating

several jobs, but they are only required to

create three, and there is no guarantee. I

hope they create several, there is no

guarantee, but the fact that they are going

into a KOZ and not end up paying taxes

coupled with the 1 percent is what is going to make me vote "no." If they were 5 percent like everyone else, they are a startup company, and they are taking advantage of the KOZ, I can't blame them for that.

I can't blame a company, I'll tell
you what, you can't blame a company for
trying to get the KOZ status. I mean, in
business you try to get the best deal you
can get for yourself. So, you know, I don't
blame the Commonwealth for trying to get the
status, it's just good business on their
part. It's available. You know, if I had a
business like that I would probably be going
after it, too, but I'm bothered by the fact

that they're going to be a KOZ plus they are
going to get the 1 percent. If by some
chance if they would change it to 5 percent
I would vote "yes". And that's all I have.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. First, in
response to someone speakers about the land

response to someone speakers about the land or the building at Nay Aug that Lackawanna College is going to be using, it is my understanding that that building is being

Lackawanna not to give it to them as their property. I'm told that is the plan. I don't know that there is any specific lease agreement yet in place, but I do know that it is -- that building is not just being given to Lackawanna College. It will remain part of Nay Aug Park and whatever title goes with it.

Secondly, in response to some comments about the Single Tax Office and not getting answers, I believe the council has made -- has exhausted itself in attempts to get information from the Single Tax Office.

We have been to the Courts, we have been to the solicitor, we have talked to the tax

collector, we have tried everything to get a response. We have been as frustrated as anyone else in our attempts to get answers.

And in terms of asking Mr. McDowell, as Attorney Minora said, we have, you know,

as Attorney Minora said, we have, you know, we have gone to Court in an attempt to get Mr. McDowell before council and it is still in the courts. We can't control what other people do. I would not -- we don't have

control over what the District Attorney's

Office does or any other police agency or

policing agency. All we can do is ask the

same questions that maybe you are asking and

hopefully get responses. When we get

responses, we have attempted to pass them

along to you. That may be frustrating, but

it's just as frustrating for us, you know,

sitting here.

And as far as Mr. Burke coming to the caucus and not coming into council chambers, he was never asked to come into council chambers. He was asked by me to come to a caucus and to meet with council in the office. He never -- he never refused to come into council chambers. I think that's a misrepresentation what Mr. Burke did. On

a number of occasions he has indicated he
would be more than willing to talk to
members of council about any of the
programs.

And, lastly, as far as the -- and

And, lastly, as far as the -- and this may be premature, but we are working towards it, the KOZ extensions I have a number of things to say on it. As Mrs.

Fanucci indicated, this is not a program that is isolated to the City of Scranton.

KOZ's are -- it's a state program and these extensions are being granted throughout the state. This is not something that we are being asked to do isolated in the City of Scranton. KOZ extensions are being granted throughout the state to any -- I shouldn't say to any, but to worthy projects and they are being granted by the state, not by the municipalities.

The county commissioners are in agreement, have offered their support to this extension program. We have a letter from the county commissioners in which they have stated their support for the extensions and have already I believe in either Jessup

or Archbald have -- that application has
already been approved.

I believe that these extensions, I

don't want to say may be necessary, in to order to keep Scranton competitive with other areas, businesses, and I am told this by any number of people, one of the first questions that business owners ask when they

are looking to move into an area or to relocate or to start a new business are there KOZ's available and if they are not available in Scranton then they will go somewhere else to find them, be it Jessup, Archbald, Hazelton or some other part of the state. I think in order for Scranton to be competitive in attracting jobs and in attracting businesses the KOZ extensions are something that we need to -- we need to approve.

Also, Mount Pleasant, the one we are looking at tonight, has produced little or no income to the city for a period of time.

To say that we are losing anything is I believe ludicrous. By granting an extension, by attracting businesses we are -- we are bringing the potential of jobs

19	and income to this city that did not exist
20	before and will not exist unless these KOZ's
21	are available, and we seem to talk about,
22	you know, what are we going to do about
23	long-term debt. Well, if we are looking to
24	deal with long-term debt then you have to
25	look at long-term as far as where we can get

revenue, and you will start to realize the
benefits of the first KOZ program very soon,
and in the long-term if we attract
businesses, as Mrs. Fanucci did say,
businesses that come and move into these
areas they are not going to just get up and
move because the KOZ has terminated.
Successful businesses are going to stay and
they are going to stay in the location where
they are been successful, and so if we are
looking for long-term benefit there you have
it. If we are looking for ways of paying
off long-term debt, there you have it.
Create long-term income. Create something
that's going to exist well into the future
and not look in the sort term.
And also, if we are looking to

reduce taxes then I think there are two

19 different ways to reduce taxes: One, create more jobs, create more income, so that we are not reliant on property taxes. And the 22 second thing is to increase -- increase the 23 tax base, and that's what KOZ's do. They increase the tax base over a long-term. Properties that are coming out of

20

21

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

99

the KOZ's in the near future, those are going to be taxable into the future. It's not just the homes that were built in Keyser Terrace, in Tripp Park and whatever other KOZ, you know, properties there are. Those are homes that are going to stay there. They are not going to disappear when the KOZ's terminate. People will pay taxes on those. People are not going to get up and move out of them, even if they do move out of them, somebody will still own them, somebody will still need to pay taxes on them. They are not going to disappear, and I think we are looking into very often we are just too sort term in what we are looking at when we talk about the KOZ's. And I know I'm kind of rambling around about some things, it's just ideas

that came to me in dealing with this. The

property at Mt. Pleasant has been a blight on this city for a number of years and now it's going to be the potential of five viable businesses. If nothing else, getting rid of that culm dump that existed there and improving that property, that alone would

for me justify extending the KOZ's, and I think it has to be understood that we are only offering support. We are passing -- we are voting on a resolution that would offer support to the application that is being made. We are not deciding to extend these KOZ's. All we are saying is that we give our support to people that are willing to spend money and willing to develop this land in the future.

Also, it does not deal with every

KOZ. The state law for the extensions of

KOZ's deals only with unoccupied,

undeveloped KOZ properties. It is not an

extension of every KOZ property in the

state, it is only on undeveloped properties.

And so again, the properties that

originally were developed under the original

KOZ program they are not affected. They are

not going to be extended. They will start
to pay taxes in the year 2010, and so again,
I think it's it needs to be understood what
it is that we are dealing with here. It's
the only extension on undeveloped KOZ
properties.

And I think if you went to some people who built homes, started businesses, under the original KOZ program I think that they would tell you that it was well worth it. How many municipal employees are living in KOZ properties and would be probably, you know, say, "Great idea," you know, "We benefited, other people should benefit."

I think that the extensions that are being asked for are worth us considering it.

I think they are worth our support. It will benefit the city initially by creating jobs, by bringing more people to the area, union jobs as Mrs. Fanucci said, and to the long -- into the future increase the tax base, increase the number of jobs and a lot allows to deal with some economic problems that may exist into the long-term, into the future. I don't think that I can stress that enough. We are looking for how we can

21	deal with things in the future. Well, I
22	think here is a program that allows us to do
23	that, and I think that we will start to see
24	the benefits of the original program and I
25	think it's worth our support to help us into

the future find dealing with KOZ extensions, and that is all I have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MS. GARVEY: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT") TO AUTHORIZE ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO PENNDOT SYSTEMS PARTICULARLY TO THE dotGRANTS ON-LINE REPORTING SYSTEM FOR THE PURPOSES OF ENTERING DATA, EXCHANGING INFORMATION AND FILING REQUIRED LIQUID FUELS FORMS ANNUALLY INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE MS-965, MS-329 AND MS-999 FORMS AND TO DESIGNATE THE CITY'S BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS, CITY ENGINEER, FINANCE MANAGER AND SENIOR ACCOUNTANT AS AUTHORIZED EMPLOYEES TO ACCESS THE SYSTEM.

21	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
22	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
23	introduced into it's proper committee.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
25	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
	103
1	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
2	in favor signify by saying aye.
3	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
4	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
7	ayes have it and so moved.
8	MS. GARVEY: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
9	A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING OWNERSHIP AND
10	MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY FOR TRAFFIC
11	SIGNAL DEVICE AT THE INTERSECTION OF KEYSER
12	AVENUE (S.R. 3011) AND DALTON STREET (S.R.
13	3014) AND DIVISION STREET AND ACCEPTING
14	TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT NO.
15	04S005 BETWEEN THE COMMONWEALTH OF
16	PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
17	(COMMONWEALTH) AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON
18	(SUBDIVISION) THAT PERTAINS THERETO AS PART
19	OF THE KEYSER AVENUE PROJECT.
20	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
21	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be

22	introduced into it's proper committee.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
25	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
	104
1	in forces at anifer has acreine are
1	in favor signify by saying aye.
2	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
3	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
6	ayes have it and so moved.
7	MS. GARVEY: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
8	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
9	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE
10	CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN
11	AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE
12	COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN
13	PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.23 IN AN AMOUNT NOT
14	TO EXCEED \$50,000.00 TO GLEASON CUSTOM
15	KITCHENS INC. TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
16	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
18	introduced into it's proper committee.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
21	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All

22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
24	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
	105
1	MD MCCOEE, Aug Opposed The
	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
2	ayes have it and so moved.
3	MS. GARVEY: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
4	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
5	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE
6	CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN
7	AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE COMMUNITY
8	DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO.
9	150.22 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$35,000.00
10	TO BACKYARD ALE HOUSE, LLC TO ASSIST AN
11	ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
12	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
13	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
14	introduced into it's proper committee.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
17	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
18	in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
20	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The

24	MS. GARVEY: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -
25	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
	106
1	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
2	AND ENTER INTO A LOAN AGREEMENT IN THE
3	AMOUNT OF \$85,000.00 BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY
4	OF SCRANTON AND LOCAL FOCAL, INC., A
5	PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION, UNDER THE
6	ENTERPRISE ZONE REVOLVING LOAN FUND PROGRAM.
7	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
8	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
9	introduced into it's proper committee.
10	MS. FANUCCI: So moved.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Second. On the
12	question?
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,
14	I'll just repeat what I said earlier, what's
15	making me vote in the negative is that the
16	fact they are going into a KOZ and plus only
17	paying the 1 percent. If they were paying
18	the 5 percent the people that other people
19	were paying I would be willing to vote
20	"yes", but I'm going to be voting "no" on
21	this.
22	MS. GATELLI: I would also like to

ayes have it and so moved.

23	make a motion to table this if this is the
24	appropriate time to see if we can't get them
25	to the 5 percent. I don't know if that's
	107
1	possible.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Could we do we
3	have to vote first, Mr. Minora, or
4	MR. MCGOFF: You can make a motion to
5	table at any time.
6	MS. GATELLI: I make a motion to
7	table this until we find out if we can
8	change the rate of the loan.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll second that.
10	MR. MCGOFF: On the question to
11	table? All in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
13	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Opposed? No. The ayes
16	have it and so moved.
17	MS. GARVEY: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION -
18	A RESOLUTION - A RESOLUTION EXTENDING THE
19	TERM OF REAL PROPERTY, EARNED INCOME TAX,
20	NET PROFITS, MERCANTILE TAX, AND BUSINESS
21	PRIVILEGE TAXES WITHIN A SPECIFIC GEOGRAPHIC
22	AREA MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS MOUNT PLEASANT
23	CORPORATE CENTER, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,

24	WHICH IS A PORTION OF THE PROPERTY CONVEYED
25	TO SCRANTON LACKAWANNA INDUSTRIAL BUILDING
	108
1	COMPANY (SLIBCO) BY DEED DATED MARCH 5,
2	2008, RECORDED IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY
3	RECORDER OF DEEDS OFFICE AS REFERENCE NO.
4	2008-05077, CONSISTING OF LOTS 2,3,4 AND 5
5	AS SHOWN ON PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLANS
6	RECORDED ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2008 IN MAP BOOK
7	6A, PAGE 6265, DESIGNATED AS A KEYSTONE
8	OPPORTUNITY ZONE IN ORDER TO FOSTER ECONOMIC
9	OPPORTUNITIES, STIMULATE INDUSTRIAL,
10	COMMERCIAL, AND RESIDENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS AND
11	PREVENT PHYSICAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE
12	DETERIORATION WITHIN THE ABOVE DESCRIBED
13	AREA OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, COMMONWEALTH
14	OF PENNSYLVANIA, UPON CERTAIN TERMS AND
15	CONDITIONS.
16	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
18	introduced into it's proper committee.
19	MS. FANUCCI: So moved.
20	MR. MCGOFF: I'll second. On the
21	question?
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,
23	again, I know a lot of people say we have

KOZ's, I really do. Yes, we will be seeing
the city will receive revenue in the near
future for some of the KOZ's, and that's a
great thing and we sorely need the money. I
think I spoke last week, when we campaign
you see the city like in a different light
than when your average every day life goes
on, and you talk to a lot more people and we
out there asking them to vote for us, so we
listen a little bit better during election
time I think, you know?

But I spoke to one individual that really made me think about that KOZ. He told me, "I'm moving as soon as this KOZ status is gone, I'm gone. I'm out of here."

He is disgusted with the development, the whole nine yards, and that bothered me and disappointed me, but I also thought there is a home there. He is not going to move without selling the home, so when he sells the home we are going to get transfer tax, right, and then whoever buys it is going to pay taxes from the KOZ house, so I believe there is good and there is bad

1	many. I don't know when the final vote
2	comes down how I'm going to vote on this.
3	I asked for some information and I
4	got that and I'm assuming I got this
5	afternoon, Kay, because it wasn't here when
6	I was here this morning and to answer some
7	of the questions, I'm going to be honest
8	with you, I think it was a few months back
9	when Mr. Burke did come in here and speak to
10	us and I don't remember some of the things
11	we talked about. I don't remember some of
12	the answers to the questions, so I wanted to
13	bring myself up to speed on that and I do
14	have another question that I wanted to look
15	into tomorrow.
16	Potentially there's a company coming
17	that, you know, and I don't know the name of
18	the company anyway, but I'm believe they are
19	going to tell us and ask us to keep it
20	confidential for reasons they have, and the
21	one company makes me think because they are
22	supposed to bring 100 jobs and they are
23	supposed to be very good paying jobs and I

would like to see that happen here. I don't

1	this went around on what the jobs were and
2	what the pay was, and I do remember they
3	gave it to me, they weren't specific enough
4	for me, so I'm going to ask that, again, I
5	don't know which way I will vote if this
6	thing passes tonight. I'm voting in the
7	negative tonight, I was hoping that we would
8	have tabled it to look at it a little bit
9	more. I know we are under time constraints,
10	I believe by the end of the month they have
11	to have their application in, but I'm going
12	to vote "no" this evening, but with mixed
13	emotions, I'm just trying to be as honest as
14	possible, I have mixed emotions on it.
15	That's all I have.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?
17	MS. GATELLI: Well, I'm just going to
18	say that I'm going to vote to introduce it
19	tonight, but that doesn't necessarily mean
20	I'm going to vote for it when it comes to
21	the final vote. I do believe in KOZ zones.
22	They have always had a tax incentive program
23	in the City of Scranton, years ago it was
24	called LERTA and they had a ten-year lease.

There were incentives all over the country

L	for businesses. Personally, I do not
2	believe in KOZ for residential areas. If
3	that came before me I would absolutely vote
1	"no". They are not for residential areas,
5	but I do think they are beneficial in
5	commercial and job creation areas.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know when I look at the resolution that was passed by the county they had no problem at all. They don't even specify a particular project as our ordinance does. Our ordinance is only for Mount Pleasant as far as I'm understanding. The county approved it for any unoccupied, undeveloped land in the whole county. I believe that they studied it, and I worked many years you know, with Mike Washo, and I don't think that Mike would have voted for something that would not be good for the county. I will be talking to Mr. Washo concerning this matter. I believe I did ask some time ago for someone to call the school district and see what their feelings were in regards to this issue. If we have a deadline I'm sure they have the same deadline and I don't see it being discussed at the school district at

1	all, and they will be the biggest loser of
2	tax revenue for the property tax, so I don't
3	understand why I'm going to say again, that
4	it's always incumbent upon us to be the ones
5	who make all of these decisions when others
6	should be in the boat with us. I think we
7	should have all met together. There should
8	be intergovernmental relations, and there
9	aren't and there never is and that's a
LO	really sad thing.
11	So I would ask that we possibly even
12	meet next week in caucus with one of the
13	commissioners and a school board solicitor
L 4	or president and we discuss the matter
L5	together intelligently and see how we all
16	feel about it.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Can I interject just a
18	second, please?
19	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
20	MR. MCGOFF: I perhaps a month or so
21	ago I did meet with the county commissioners
22	to discuss this when it was originally
23	proposed to us by Mr. Burke and they were at
24	that time making an attempt to contact the
25	school board or a member someone from the

school district to do just what you
suggested, to sit down and discuss it as one
and to make a decision on what we might want
to do and nothing ever came of that. I
don't know what happened to the actually
they were trying to they made the phone
call when I was with them and I got no
didn't get a response from the person that
they contacted.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yesterday I spoke with one of the commissioners as well regarding this matter, and again, that was suggested and the commissioners were going to attempt to contact the school board and see if they could arrange or at least get an indication of what the school board was thinking on this matter. Perhaps rather than a caucus, I will attempt to contact the commissioners tomorrow and see if they had any success in contacting the school board. I would also attempt to contact someone at the school district and perhaps before next week and as soon as possible sit down with those so that we have a -- maybe can get a consensus on what people think.

1	MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, I had
2	one more thing.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Sure. It's your time,
4	I'm sorry.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm sorry. My
6	understanding is that if all three of us
7	don't go along with it then it fails. I
8	think that's correct, do you know for sure?
9	MS. GATELLI: And we did check that
10	and that's true. We all have to agree. So
11	can't we all meet?
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: We need to met.
13	MS. GATELLI: We all met on the tax
14	office issue. All the bodies were at the
15	county commissioner's office. We were all
16	represented at that meeting, so I don't
17	understand why we can't have a meeting abou
18	this.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: I think we have to.
20	MS. GATELLI: I think we do, too.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: I don't think there
22	is any way that we could our vote is not
23	going to matter one way or the other if we
24	don't meet and decide.
25	MS. FANUCCI: Exactly.

1	MR. COURTRIGHT: So I think we need
2	to and I would hope that, it appears it is
3	going to go through 3 to 1 tonight, I would
4	vote that we don't vote on it next week
5	unless we know the school district is going
6	to do next week.
7	MS. GATELLI: Well, I think we can
8	still table it next week.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah. Absolutely.
10	MS. GATELLI: This is just
11	introduction, we can always table it again.
12	MS. FANUCCI: The problem is they are
13	under time constraints.
14	MS. GATELLI: Well, the time
15	constraints are up the street, too.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Right, but they only
17	have to pass it once.
18	MS. GATELLI: It's not just for us.
19	MS. FANUCCI: They could pass it
20	once.
21	MS. GATELLI: We can suspend the
22	rules and read it. There is always an
23	answer. We can suspend the rules and do it
24	in one night.

MR. COURTRIGHT: The problem is on

1 these issues we need to get together beforehand, not when it's in front of us. 2 MS. GATELLI: Yeah, but I did ask. 3 4 MR. COURTRIGHT: I know you tried. Why that didn't happen --5 MS. GATELLI: Well, I think it was 6 because it was election time. The election 7 is over so --8 9 MR. MCGOFF: I will attempt to setup 10 some type of meeting with the other two 11 bodies. Okay. Anyone else? 12 MS. GATELLI: No, that's all I have. MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor of 13 14 introduction signify by saying aye. 15 MS. FANUCCI: Aye. MS. GATELLI: Aye. 16 17 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? MR. COURTRIGHT: No. 18 19 MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so 20 moved. 21 MS. GARVEY: Sixth order we have a 22 motion. 23 MR. MCGOFF: 6-A we need a motion 24 to amend 6-A. I just want to make sure I 25 make the proper motion.

1	MR. MINORA: It's a motion to amend
2	the title and the body of the resolution.
3	The ordinance to remove the words "general
4	fund."
5	MR. MCGOFF: Just for information
6	sake, the words "general funds" were
7	included in the legislation, they should not
8	have been. The motion is going to be simply
9	to remove the words "general fund," from the
10	legislation, so at this point in time I
11	would like to make a motion to amend Item
12	6-A by removing the words "general fund"
13	from the legislation.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
15	MR. MCGOFF: On the question. All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
18	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. GARVEY: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -
23	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 68, 2009 - AN ORDINANCE
24	- AS AMENDED - TRANSFERRING THE FUNDS FROM
25	FIIND 03 CITY LIGHTD FHEL ACCOUNTS WHICH

2	THE CONDUCT OF CITY BUSINESS, AND ABOLISHING
3	AND CLOSING SAID ACCOUNTS AND TRANSFERRING
4	THE FUNDS REMAINING IN THESE ACCOUNTS TO THE
5	CASH LIQUID FUELS INVEST ACCOUNT.
6	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard reading
7	by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item 6-A
9	pass reading by title.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
11	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
12	those in favor signify by saying aye.
13	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
14	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
17	ayes have it and so moved.
18	MS. GARVEY: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
19	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY
20	DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL
21	NO. 67, 2009 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
22	123, 2007, ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE
23	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
24	OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE
25	ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE

2	PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS
3	AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY
4	DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME
5	INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND
6	EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY
7	AMENDING THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN AND THE 2008
8	ACTION PLAN TO INCLUDE NEW FUNDING UNDER
9	TITLE XII OF THE FEDERAL AMERICAN RECOVERY
10	AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009 ("RECOVERY
11	ACT") FOR THE HOMELESS PREVENTION AND RAPID
12	RE-HOUSING PROGRAM (HPRP) AND THE COMMUNITY
13	DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT- RECOVERY (CDBG-R)
14	THAT RESULTED FROM THE RECOVERY ACT.
15	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
16	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Community Development?
18	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
19	Committee on Community Development, I
20	recommend final passage of Item 7-A.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
22	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
23	call, please?
24	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. Mrs.
25	Gatelli.

1	MS.	GATELLI.	Yes
	MO.	GAILLLII.	162

3	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
8	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
9	MS. GARVEY: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
10	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
11	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 123, 2009 -
12	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
13	CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
14	ENTER INTO A LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN
15	FROM THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION
16	LOAN PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 009.500.4 IN AN
17	AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$25,000.00 TO D & MS,
18	LLC D/B/A CITY CAFÉ TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE
19	PROJECT.
20	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
21	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
22	Committee on Community Development?
23	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
24	Committee on Community Development, I
25	recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

1 MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.

2 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll

3	call, please?
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. Mrs.
5	Gatelli.
6	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
9	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
11	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
13	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
14	MS. GATELLI: Make a motion to
15	adjourn.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Thank you
18	for your participation.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 CERTIFICATE

2

3 I hereby certify that the proceedings and

4	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
5	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
6	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
7	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
8	ability.
9	
10	
11	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR
12	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	