1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, May 12, 2009
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CAMILIENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAI COUDE DEDODED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	
4	MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
5	
6	MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT
7	MS. JANET E. EVANS
9	MS. SHERRY FANUCCI
10	MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT
11	FIX. WILLIAM COOKINION
12	MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK
13	MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
14	
15	MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	(Pledge of Allegiance and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Here.
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
7	MS. GATELLI: Here.
8	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Here.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. GARVEY: There is no business at
16	this time in Third Order.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Garvey.
18	Any announcements from council? Mrs.
19	Gatelli?
20	MS. GATELLI: This Saturday evening
21	at Holy Rosary Hall there will be a benefit
22	for Carol Cavaletti. She is a North
23	Scranton resident that is battling cancer.
24	The proceeds will assist Carol to pay her
25	medical fees and any other expenses that may

arise during her illness. Tickets are
available at the door. This summer the Boys
and Girl's Club has announced a Parket
Program. It runs from June 22 to August 28,
Monday to Friday, 8 a.m. to 1:00 and it's a
playground program with arts and crafts,
games, lunch, snacks, and it will be
conducted at Bancroft Elementary School,
John Adams, McNichol's Plaza and West
Scranton High School, and it is for children
ages 6 to 18.

I wanted to talk about a book that was authored by a Clarks Summit resident and it's called "Father's Day" and it's a private eye novel and it's by Keith Gilman. Keith was a resident of the Hill Section and he was a 1977 graduate of Central High School. He will have a book signing at the Anthology Book Store on the 30th of May at 2:00. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Please remember in your prayers all those who have died this week, particularly, Olga Lucas, faithful member of the Pinebrook Neighborhood Association and downtown senior citizen center, and her

devoted family and friends she leaves behind. Also, please pray for Molly Maraski ski who passed away last night from cancer, and Molly had just loss her husband to cancer about a month and a half ago. They leave behind two sons and I ask that everyone please remember Molly, her husband, Larry, and their children and family and friends in your prayers. Saturday, May 16, is Armed Forces Day. The Armed Forces Day parade will kick off at 10:45 in downtown Scranton. Please

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come out and line the streets of our city to honor our servicemen and woman. We owe them that much.

And the Upper Hill Ecumenical Committee invites everyone to partake in the Friendly's Family Fun Night next Wednesday, May 20, from five to eight p.m. A percentage of the food receipts from those who dine at Friendly's in Dunmore will be donated to St. Francis of Assisi Kitchen, and that's it.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, I just wanted to see if I could -- I think possibly some people might speak on this and

1	maybe we can get this out of the way in the
2	beginning. I believe we all received a
3	letter from Mr. Jarbola, the District
4	Attorney, about us asking for a criminal
5	investigation into the tax office and just
6	some of the highlights he has there is that
7	he was under the impression, as we were,
8	this was a forensic audit and it wasn't and
9	I guess the disturbing thing is that he had
10	has not had one single conversation with
11	anyone from that office, so what he's saying
12	is, he can't do a criminal investigation
13	until there is audit and I would just like
14	Mr. Minora could you did you get this
15	letter or could you give us
16	MR. MINORA: I read it.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: your opinion on
18	it?
19	MR. MINORA: It was in my box, I read
20	it.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Would you willing
22	to
23	MR. MINORA: Sure. I actually took a
24	look at the analysis that they had on-line.
25	It's not a forensic audit. It's not an

far as I know, that's not an accounting term that has any kind of credibility for anything, and in order to proceed with a criminal charge, a very basic thing you have to prove in a theft is that there was something missing. This analysis doesn't say there is anything missing. I know what Mr. McGovern said in the newspaper, but if you take a look at that analysis on pages 12 through 14, which is what I looked at it, doesn't say money is missing. It says it's unaccounted for. Unaccounted for is not a theft and a Court of law would throw out a criminal charge based on that.

I read Mr. Jarbola's letter, he asked for a forensic audit, I think he and everybody else thought it was a forensic audit for a year, and a forensic audit would be the necessary basis to begin a criminal charge for a theft because it would show money. Well, it would show whether or not money was missing and if money were missing then he would have credible evidence of a theft. There is no credible evidence of a

2	it is or it isn't, but I can tell you for
3	sure there is no credible evidence in this
4	report of a theft and it would be really
5	dereliction of duty to just try and arrest
6	somebody without evidence.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: So where do we go
8	from here? What did we do?
9	MR. MINORA: Well, I think, you know,
10	the first step if there is a question of
11	criminality and, incidentally, this analysis
12	indicates there isn't any. Now, it's an
13	analysis, it's not a forensic audit, so is
14	that credible? I don't know. The first
15	step would have to be a forensic audit, and
16	I can tell you I have been in the District
17	Attorney's Office 32 years, there is no
18	Forensic Auditing Division. It's a very
19	expensive undertaking and in a situation
20	like this, I'm no expert, but it would be
21	well into the hundreds of thousands, if not
22	more. I mean, this analysis was, I don't
23	know was in the paper
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: We've already spent
25	\$130,000 and counting.

1 MR. MINORA: Right.

2 MR. COURTRIGHT: For this?

3	MR. MINORA: Right. Spent 130,000
4	for this and, I mean, I understand it
5	provides guidelines and going forward,
6	ideas, which is great because, obviously,
7	you know, going forward there needs to be
8	some things looked at, but in retrospect in
9	terms of making a criminal charge out of
-0	this, it can't be done. It can't be done.
.1	Wouldn't get past a preliminary hearing.
.2	MR. COURTRIGHT: But I think this
.3	council, and I think the people out in the
_ 4	audience and the viewing area, would like to
.5	know for sure what's happened so the only
- 6	way you are telling me that is going to
.7	happen if we get a forensic audit, which we
-8	haven't gotten yet, we paid \$130,000, we are
_9	still paying, I would suggest that we stop
20	paying. You know, what are we paying for
21	right now?
22	MR. MINORA: I don't know. But
23	without a forensic audit, frankly, you don't
24	have anything I mean, by the very name a

1 legal purposes, for a legal outcome of some
2 kind, criminal or civil, and that's the

forensic audit indicates that it is for

3	basis of a forensic audit. It looks to any
4	criminality or some fraud of some kind.
5	That's what they do and this it starts
6	out by saying it's not a forensic audit,
7	it's not a what does it say? It's not an
8	audit, it's not a review, and it's not an
9	attestation. Those are all things that
10	would be guidelines of some kind set forth
11	by, you know, the certified public
12	accountants, and will give you something,
13	but this, as I said, gives guidelines going
14	forward and I don't think it's nothing, it
15	has guidelines going forward on how to
16	handle accounts, you know, with tomorrow's
17	money and next week's money, but looking
18	backwards, no, it doesn't do any of that in
19	a very good way.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: So I guess we
21	thought we paid for a forensic audit,
22	somebody dropped the ball and we didn't get
23	a forensic audit and now the three taxing
24	bodies are going to have to decide if
25	whether they want to pay for a real forensic

1 audit in order for us to go forward; is that
2 --

3

MR. MINORA: That's exactly right.

4	MR. COURTRIGHT: forward. All
5	right.
6	MS. FANUCCI: I mean, I was under the
7	assumption that's what we were getting and
8	we feel mislead in that department.
9	MR. MINORA: I understand.
10	MS. FANUCCI: And especially the fact
11	that we waited so long to get nothing. We
12	actually received nothing in return.
13	MR. MINORA: Well, it's as far as
14	looking back and looking for criminality or
15	fraud or that kind of think, there isn't
16	anything in there that you can point to as
17	particularly helpful. As I said, it has
18	guidelines for going forward so that extent
19	if it couldn't have been done internally at
20	least they did that from an external
21	standpoint.
22	MS. FANUCCI: My next thing, and I
23	think we should send a letter finding out,
24	how did they figure out we were \$12 million
25	ahead and it was gone because it's

inaccurate. Their first assumption is

wrong. The claim in the beginning was not

accurate.

4	MR. MINORA: Well, there's a
5	MR. FANUCCI: I mean, you can't say
6	it was there if you can't find it now.
7	Where is it?
8	MR. MINORA: Well, there were a lot
9	of loose comments that really
10	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah. Exactly.
11	MR. MINORA: that had no basis I
12	think.
13	MS. FANUCCI: I'm sorry, I didn't
14	mean to take on your role, but it's
15	frustrating.
16	MR. MINORA: I didn't do it.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Thank you.
18	MR. MINORA: You are welcome.
19	MS. GATELLI: I would just like to
20	say that I attended the meeting last Friday
21	at the Commissioner's Office with the school
22	district and the county and the city
23	represented and we did discuss the matter
24	and they are going to have the solicitors
25	get together and try to all agree with the

declaratory judgment that we made last week here at this particular meeting. They all agreed that that was something that they wanted to do, but they had to go back to

5	their board and see if the school board
6	would agree with those proceedings, so that
7	the solicitors will be meeting to go forward
8	with the declaratory judgment and the next
9	meeting is next Thursday at 2:15 with all of
10	the interested parties, and that's all I
11	have to report from the meeting.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Thanks.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Citizens
14	participation. Andy Sbaraglia.
15	MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
16	citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, a
17	few words on 7 I guess it's 5-B. It
18	seems like we are going to come into
19	\$2,318,352 for some projects. There is a,
20	well you got the backup there, they did a
21	lot of different ways of doing it, but the
22	portion of it for \$916,485, which was the
23	second part of it, 300 is supposed to go for
24	roads, \$400,000 for \$300,000 for roads,
25	\$400,000 for job creation, but the

1	administration cost is \$66,485. Who
2	actually does the administration on that
3	Would that be our good office down there
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: I think so.

MR. SBARAGLIA: The SRA or down there at HUD? One of them, huh? Okay. The second is 5-C, our costs overrun on our bridge. You know what, originally, they were going to do that bridge for \$1.5 million, it then went up to \$7,025,000 so forth and so on. Now it's up to \$8,735,250 or an overrun so far of \$1,710,000. This is Lackawanna Avenue in reverse. We are going to spend \$30 million and still climbing on the 5000 block and now on the other end we are going to spend God knows how much more. All I know is something is wrong somewhere. Well, it's hear nor there.

Now, we all know, I don't really like to get into it too much, but some of the things draw you in and you got to ask questions, like Carl Greco, \$6,050 to the mayor's campaign. Now, we all know he got a job with I think with Scranton Redevelopment Authority, and then we have some more money

given Boscov's. We just gave him \$3 million now he gives back I think it's \$6,000 to the campaign. You start looking at the amount of money that was received by this man and start looking at all of the names and you

6	will s	see	a nan	nes 1	with a	a lot	of	const	ruction
7	going	on	with	the	city	tied	in	with	this.

Now, I'm not saying it's illegal,
I'm just saying something is wrong with the
way the system runs. We got to get away
from this type of a system even if the
citizens have to pay for the campaigns for
like they do for the presidential
campaigns so much money, we got to do it
here in the states I mean, in the State
of Pennsylvania because you don't know if
there is illegal or not illegal. I have no
way of knowing it. All I can say is we give
the man \$3 million, he gives back \$6,000, I
mean, it's just not quite right. Now, I'm
not saying it's illegal, I'm just saying
it's not right and the lot of these things
are people having contracts, but in the
city. That's not right. Somewhere we got
to pay for these campaigns. It's the only

way	we	are	ever	going	to	get	an	hor	nest
aone	rnn	nent	insid	de anvw	√he i	re ir	n + }	nis	age

The system that we have now is inherent corrupt, there is no question about that, because they are out there getting

money from all kind of pacts, this, that and
whatever and things are done by the amount
of money they receive or they call it an
ear. They are not bribing a politician,
they are giving money so they can lend an
ear. Now, it's semantics. There is
something wrong with semantics. You can't
call one thing one thing and another. It
just don't smell right. Like you used to
say, there is something rotten in Denmark
and there probably is a fish, and if you
keep it long enough it stinks. In politics
it's getting like that, and that's sad. We
got to turn from the way we with are doing
it to a knew way. There has got be a
different way and a better way. We don't
want to ruin democracy, but it's being
trampled on. The people are being stepped
upon in a lot of different ways and they
shouldn't be.

L	Government, like I said, for the
2	people, by the way, it should be theirs.
3	Well, I'm not going to get off the soap box
1	Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton/
Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association,

7	Incorporated. First, I'd like to say that
8	our interview with the tax collector
9	candidates, Bill Courtright and Mrs. Flynn
10	will be shown for the first time tomorrow
11	night at 9:00 p.m. on ECTV.
12	As long as I'm going to talk about
13	the tax collector and your argument before,
14	again, we are going to have to pay for that
15	forensic audit because somebody wasn't
16	paying attention down there. Somebody
17	wasn't paying attention, so there no was
18	communication. We have to pay for it, the

communication. We have to pay for it, the taxpayers. Even if the AG does it, the Attorney General, it's our money. It's ashame.

And, Mrs. Gatelli, I got five phone calls today about South Side about the mayor debate last night, they said they never saw the mayor over there in eight years.

1	Now, we are \$170 million in debt
2	principle only, all right? I hope that
3	soaks in everybody. Wage tax is 2.4 and
4	Mr. Doherty promised eight years ago to
5	decrease it. No way. Nonprofits he
6	promised, too. He didn't do a thing.

7	Now, let me speak about our lecture
8	at the church I go to. In the Catholic
9	church a lecture gets up and reads Holy
10	scriptures. Well, let me tell you
11	something, I got a piece I got a piece
12	a couple of pieces of mail that would be
13	hypocritical to get up there and read Holy
14	scriptures and call people like that, their
15	families that, obstructionist, ineffective,
16	embarrassing, destructive. How would you
17	like to have your little son or daughter
18	read that? That's terrible. And that man
19	is a lecture. He is a hypocrite and it's
20	time we vote him out. There is no
21	MR. MCGOFF: That's out of order
22	Mr. Quinn.
23	MR. QUINN: I can speak when I want
24	to speak. The lawyer will tell me when.
25	MR. MINORA: You can't advocate a

candidate from that podium. You know that.

We have had this discussion before.

MR. QUINN: What's that? What case you quoting? The clock is off now. What case are you quoting so I can look it up? Give me a citation. Can you give me the citation? You can't give me the citation.

8	You know, I went to an agency once
9	and I complained, and the guy who was head
10	of that agency said to me, "If you don't
11	like those people and the way they are doing
12	it then vote them out."
13	Let's vote them out, Mrs. Gatelli,
14	Mrs. Fanucci
15	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Quinn, you are out
16	of order.
17	MR. QUINN: Thank you.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Bob Bolus.
19	MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.
20	Bob Bolus, Scranton. Such hostilities.
21	It's so much fun to come here. On the gas
22	line, the leachate or the line going from
23	the Landfill Alliance up through Dunmore,
24	you know, I brought it up several times, and
25	I haven't heard any comments about any

emergency plans in place or we're going to charge a fee for the gas going through that, pass legislation and put some money in our coffers, and I think it's time now to act about it instead of talking about it.

You know, it was interesting last

7 night, I don't know if any of you had the

opportunity to attend the debate, I found it very refreshing, and it was educational, we actually learned a different variety of brain drain. All of us had a different version of it, but the end result is that it all comes down to the same people are leaving Scranton. No matter which way we want to classify it or which way we were criticized for even answering it. We had 9,000 jobs, I have no clue where they are. I would like to see them. We still have the nonprofits taking advantage of all of the people in the City of Scranton, the KOZ's, and nothing has been done.

You know, we sit here and we see money going out in campaigns, we see legislation passed here for grants to do something, do a study, hypothetically one on

Nay Aug, a study is done knowing full well
we have no money to build anything, yet the
good ole' boy club and the cronyism somebody
gets paid to do a study knowing full-well
the city has no money to do anything, and
that's what's got to stop. We have to go
back to the issues here of putting every
single contract, no matter what it is, out

9 to bid professional or otherwise. We have
10 lost that. We have allowed politics in this
11 city and game playing to take over the
12 people.

We get 80 some million dollars. You have the same 80 some million dollars I'll make you money, I'm not going to spend it, that's for sure, especially if it's not going to places it should, and I believe that should be the theme of everyone.

We are sitting here, and I listened to a lot of things last night and I have listened to the council debates and, you know, we all come here and we speak and why do we do this? Because we have nothing better to do? I don't think so. I think we are all concerned and we are concerned for

where is Scranton going. We have listened to all of the hype. We have listened to an individual taking credit for a medical school and how many people are going to stay from this medical school in Scranton? Just a curiosity question, how many doctors do you think we are going to have stay in the City of Scranton? A hundred, 200 are going

to stay here after they get an education?

How many people stay from the University of

Scranton or Marywood after they get their

degree or they come back and get their

master's? Do they stay here and build a

business.

And we this tremendous growth, we have this tremendous tax base that's been created, I don't know where it is, and maybe I'm looking in the wrong direction. These are the things this council or a future council or a future mayor has to look at.

We have to stop the exodus of the city, we have to stop the exodus of the money going out and we need to settle contracts and we need to stop playing games. That's the hard thing that we do here.

You know, you can go and put into a campaign one candidate had 500 people contribute, gets a grant for something, that gets better that you have a grant for four for five grand, get \$150,000 payback, it's better than going to a casino. Instead of hedging a bet you get 100 percent of your money, and that's what's happening here and we have exposed ourselves to that.

And, you know, as we go went through
a campaign, each and every one of us, we
have learned a lot about each individual and
we have learned about the little secrets,
all of the little nooks and crannies out
there that are affecting the innocent
people. That's the kids that want to stay
here. That's the individuals that are
trying to build a life here, and it's the
seniors that are being destroyed by it.
It's so important now that we look to the
present and we figure out how we are going
to change the channel. It's not going to be
one individual doing, it's got to be all of
us. That's the people sitting home, that's
the people sitting here, the people that

1	attend, this is an issue that we should
2	start holding town meetings monthly, more
3	involvement in council and administrations,
4	in the government of this city, but more
5	importantly the people. Set up committees
6	where retired people or people want to
7	volunteer their services to help this city
8	get out it's debt. So, on May 19 I'm asking
9	everyone out there, this is your city, it's

your right, don't give it up. Go out and vote, you have a lot of candidates running, you have had plenty of time to make your decision, but do what's right for yourself and do what's right for the city and get out and vote. Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Les Spindler. MR. SPINDLER: Les Spindler. City

MR. SPINDLER: Les Spindler. City resident and homeowner, taxpayer. I just want to set something straight that I said last week. In mentioning council who was in place when the South Side Complex and golf course were sold, and I mentioned Brian Reap as one of the council people, Brian Reap was the only person who voted not to sell the South Side Complex and the golf course.

1	Next thing, I want to talk about
2	this flyer that came around last week where
3	the mayor says he is working to keep our
4	city safe. Well, last Thursday on Taylor
5	Avenue two men had a home invasion, two men
6	had guns and invaded a home, three people in
7	there, and where is the beat cop? Downtown.
8	There is no crime being committed downtown
9	the crime is in the neighborhoods and Chris
10	Doherty has got the beat cop downtown.

And another thing, he says how great his statistics were about the crime in the city, well, in 2008 there was five murders in the city that were never reported to Harrisburg. That's why statistics look so good because none of the violent crimes are reported to the right parties. The next thing, Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci, the two of you should be

The next thing, Mrs. Gatelli and
Mrs. Fanucci, the two of you should be
ashamed of yourselves. Blaming the League
of Women Voter's for giving answers to Mrs.
Evans, to giving the questions to Mrs Evans,
that's why you didn't show up at the debate
last week, that's a crime. The League of
Women Voter's has been longer than the two

1	of you put together. They are a very
2	reputable group and you accused them of
3	giving questions to another person, that's
4	just outrageous. And, lastly, Mrs. Evans
5	wouldn't need the questions on what to
6	debate the two of you. And I think the two
7	of you owe an apology to the League of Women
8	Voter's, but I don't think you each have
9	enough class to do that.

Another thing, the mayor says that

.1	Business Weekly names Scranton one of the
.2	best cities to raise your children. Well,
.3	as I just said before, that's because none
_4	of the violent crimes are reported and
.5	Business Week doesn't know about them. Is
- 6	there something funny about violent crimes,
.7	Mrs. Fanucci? Maybe you wouldn't think it
-8	was funny if it happened to you.
_9	MS. FANUCCI: What are you talking
20	about, Les, move on.
21	MR. SPINDLER: As I said, five
22	murders weren't reported last year. Now,
23	last night it was reported that the
24	Brooking's Institute said the city is
25	suffering from brain drain, and brain drain

is our young children graduate from a
college are leaving this city. Now, who has
been mayor for seven and a half years now?
So I guess the Brooking's Institute is
saying Chris Doherty is the reason why we
have brain drain in this city.

And on that same subject, I put a question to this council few months ago, I said, "Can any of you up there give me one reason why a college graduate would stay in this city or a young family looking -- a

young couple looking to raise a family would
move into there," and nobody said anything.

There is nothing to keep young people in
this city contrary to what Chris Doherty
says, unless they want to get a job at
Poochie. Oh, I forgot, they closed.

Last night the mayor talked about

Last night the mayor talked about putting a library in South Scranton. The city has nothing to do with the library system. The library system falls under the county, and the county has already said the commissioners stated they are not giving any money to a new library, so where does Chris Doherty coming off to say he was wants to

build a library in the South Si	ide. There is
nothing wrong with the downtown	n here. It
has been there for years and ye	ears, it's a
beautiful building, he just war	nts to waste
more of our tax dollars, at lea	ast the
commissioners are smart enough	to know we
don't need one.	

And back to what Andy Sbaraglia said, Al Boscov came here begging for \$3 million, it's funny he needed \$3 million so bad but he had \$6,000 to give to Chris

12 Doherty's campaign. What is wrong with this 13 picture? I guess he didn't need the money too bad if he \$6,000 to throw towards Chris 14 15 Doherty. 16 And lastly, the mayor said last 17 night that the DPW workers have the most dangerous job. I almost fell out of my 18 19 chair when I heard that. To the

firefighters and police officers, I mean,
that's a slap in the face to them. These
men risk their lives every single day when
they leave their house going out on their

for somebody to say that's a more dangerous

jobs. I'm not DPW doesn't have danger, but

29

job than a police officers or a firefighter

that just -- it's just unbelievable, and I
know why the mayor said it because it's the
only union in the city that supports him.

5 Thank you.

24

1

6 MR. MCGOFF: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

8 I really appreciate the opportunity

9 opportunities I've had to watch most of the

10 candidates express what they believe is in

11 the best interest of the city and it's

12 future, and a lot of people may not like

certain candidates and, of course, that is
their prerogative, I think that they should
appreciate anybody who decides they are
going to run for an office no matter who
they may be or no whatever stand they make.

I did watch the mayor's debate
yesterday, I was there. I watched the
council debate at the taxpayer group and,
you know, of course, the one at the
University of Scranton. I'm looking forward
to watching the tax collector's debate, and
I also appreciated the opportunity to hear
what some of the candidates for school board

had to say.

could say to everybody here tonight is that on the 19th everybody should vote because that's what's going to make this city move forward one way or another and I would hope that people talk to their neighbors and their friends and ask them to come back to the system because if you don't vote we can't make the change we need, and I think that's been a problem in America for a long time because voting empowers everyone no

matter who they are, no matter their
economic status, their race, anything, and,
you know, if we are going to make change
it's going to require struggle and the
residents of this city your opportunity is
coming and I just hope, actually hope, that
everyone will vote irregardless of who you
vote for. Go to the polls, convince your
friends to go to the polls and let's move
this city forward.
MR. MCGOFF: Bill Jackowitz.
MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,

embarrassed South Scranton resident and

1	member of the Taxpayers' Association. I
2	wasn't going to speak on this, but I have
3	to, the single tax office. I heard what
4	transpired with Mr. Courtright's question
5	prior to this, we are missing the entire
6	point. The entire point is, and what the DA
7	needs to be looking at is it's been reported
8	that state law, single tax office law of
9	1929 combining 23 accounts into one account
10	and also the hiring of a solicitor is in
11	violation of state law. That is what the DA
12	need to be looking at. You need to start
13	the investigation into the violation of the

state law single tax law 1929. 15 So, Mr. Courtright, please bring 16 this back up on motions. We know that a law 17 has been broken. Let's not worry about the money, let's not worry about audits, that 18 19 will come once the investigation is started. The DA needs to investigate the violation of 20 21 single tax law 1929. It's been reported, 22 the FBI has been in contact with them and 23 they confirm that that law has been broken,

somebody look into it.

so it needs to be checked into. So, please,

14

24

25

1	Okay, I would like to thank
2	Councilwoman Evans and candidates Joyce,
3	Rogan and Miller and Morgan for showing
4	respect to me and all of the citizens who
5	showed up for the debate and for those who
6	watched the debates on television. That
7	includes the taxpayers' debates and the
8	League of Women debates. The only way we at
9	citizens have an opportunity to know where
10	our candidates stands and what they stand
11	for is for them to answer our questions or
12	answer questions that are put forward to
13	them.

We, as the single taxpayers -- or correction, the Taxpayers' Association have never, ever abused anyone who has came to us for debates. We have had Congressman

Kanjorski there, we have had Lou Barletta there, we have had school board members there, tax collectors there, mayor candidates, and we never, ever once abused anyone. So for Mrs. Gatelli to say she was afraid because she wasn't going to be get a fair shake, I have to disagree with you because we would give you the same shake

that we gave everybody else.

And as far as not showing up for the League of Women Voters, again, the League of Women Voters's have been around for a long time. They have not a partisan group. They do not endorse any candidates, they never have, and Patti Fowler deserves an apology. She is a city employee. She works. She is a city inspector, Mrs. Fanucci, and I don't think that's funny.

MS. FANUCCI: I think it's --

MR. JACKOWITZ: I think she got --

MS. FANUCCI: And I --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Excuse me, I'm

15	talking.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Well, don't ask a
17	question.
18	MR. JACKOWITZ: I am talking.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Well, you asked me a
20	question.
21	MR. JACKOWITZ: I didn't ask you a
22	question, I think you are hearing things.
23	MS. FANUCCI: No. But that's okay.
24	MR. JACKOWITZ: But she deserves an
25	apology. She is a city inspector. She is

hired by the city to go out and inspect, she 1 2 is a health inspector, and now we are going 3 to tarnish her reputation saying that she leaked questions and that's the reason why 5 we were afraid to go and talk and answer 6 questions to the be public, and that's exactly what it is. You were afraid because maybe you don't have the answers. 8 9 Okay, a trip down memory lane, 2005, 10 109 returned from Iraq sustaining seven 11 casualties. Mayor Doherty attends Syracuse 12 basketball game. No bonus for returning city employees, veterans returning from 13 Iraq. Again, a slap in the face to 14

veterans. No wage tax reduction as promised seven years ago by Mayor Doherty. No contracts, police officers, \$13,000 raise for the chiefs. No attendance at city council meetings as promised seven years ago. No town hall meetings with residents, only speaks to the Chamber of Commerce state of city address. Most of the people in the Chamber of Commerce are not even Scranton residents. \$175,000,000 deficit short-term, \$400 million long-term. 6,000 jobs that's a

joke. 8.7 percent unemployment reality. If 6,000 were created why do we have an 8.7 unemployment rate in the area? I don't understand it. Maybe someone during motions can explain it to me. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mike Dudek.

MR. DUDEK: My name is Mike Dudek,
608 Depot Street, Scranton, that's in the
Plot. I left a copy in the Union News for
everybody on city council for you to look at
at your leisure. Page six is what I would
like you to look at when you have an
opportunity. Those are the labor figures
provided by the Department of Labor on a
monthly basis to news outlets that publish

16	economic news. They pointed out the
17	unemployment rate in the area is
18	8.7 percent, for Lackawanna County it's 8.1,
19	but 12 months ago the Lackawanna County
20	unemployment rate was 5.5 percent. The
21	difference between 5.5 percent and
22	8.1 percent is 44.5 percent. That's the
23	rates of acceleration of unemployment in the
24	city.

In other words, there are no 6,000

jobs created here. For 6,000 jobs to be have been created, 10,400 jobs would have to have been lost and the city police would have been spending all day down by the unemployment office trying to direct the traffic in and out of the building with 10,400 jobs loss. The accurate numbers is more like 4,400 jobs and no jobs gained.

Now, every now and then I try to give some guidance to members of city council, and when you are a council person, you really have three things to do, you research, you vote on issues based on the research, and you are exposed to the public like you are here at this meeting in order

to explain your vote, whether it would be a meeting like this or any other type of forum, okay? The way our country's government is structured, and I don't care what state you are in, no elected official has more exposure to the voters or to the people as a city or a borough council person or Illinois in they are called aldermen.

You have more exposure to we, the people, than any other elected official. There is

no insulation between you and us. You pretty much have to sit there and take it and some of you have taken it with quite good grace.

But one thing has happened that has absolutely left me livid and left me very angry and for once in my life I am not going to pull a punch. Whenever an elected official owes an explanation to the people as was for instance last week with the debate tore city council, for people not to show up, especially incumbents to that meeting, is one of the most despicable and cowardly things I could ever see, and I don't want a coward on my city council.

That was cowardly on your part.

And, furthermore, to insult the League of Women Voters who have been around longer than the dough boys of World War I, that's just showing such a tremendous lack of integrity that I can't even begin to contain my rage about it. Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Bob Martin. MR. MARTIN: Distinguished members of Council, Bob Martin, president of the

FOP. I was away and missed some of meetings in the last couple of weeks. Some of my men and women that I represent said that there has been some numbers banting around about their salaries and their wages and their benefits and kept calling me with concerns about those numbers, so I thought maybe I better just stop by and see it I can put some perspective on these numbers. I heard numbers of 80,000, 62,000.

MS. FANUCCI: 79.

MR. MARTIN: 62, 56,000 bating around, I'm not sure, but I do know that from last year those W-2's that were submitted at that time our base wage was 40,009. We received longevity. We receive

.7	longevity the same as seven other comparable
.8	cities in the State of Pennsylvania:
.9	Allentown, Bethlehem, Harrisburg, Lancaster,
20	Reading, Wilkes-Barre, and York. They all
21	receive longevity. It's not unique to
22	Scranton. We receive 1 percent for every
23	two years of service with a cap of 10
2.4	percent.
2.5	So, with those figures in mind, and
	3

believe me, I'm really slow at this, a
little behind in school, we are at about
45,000 for base wage for a second year
patrolman, okay? It should be pretty close.
Ten percent, that would be giving

Ten percent, that would be giving benefit of the doubt if the guy has got 20 years of service in he is getting 10 percent, 1 percent for every two years. On top of that, you have to remember that this year every patrol officer received a Court award from the SIT violation from the Commonwealth Court, seven judges en banc which came through I think in the neighborhood of about \$6,000, so now we have a base wage for the year of 2008 about \$50,000.

What I want everybody to understand $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

is that anything above and beyond that is either overtime or extra duty. Now, overtime, and I know that Chief Elliott has received accolades from his supervisors and his bosses that he has kept control over the overtime, and I think he has done an extremely good job in that area, so beyond that, the only thing left is extra duty.

Extra duty is the CMC. We are hired at football games, we are hired at Friendship House, so essentially those guys that are making 59, 60, \$65,000 a year, what they are doing is they're out there working extra jobs. They are out there working a second job. No different than someone else, such as yourself, that would go to work and then come home and then go out and do another job, so those are where the numbers are coming from and that's what everybody that I represent is concerned about.

But beyond that I don't think where these numbers or why these numbers are as important as everybody thinks they are because again, we tried to negotiate, we did negotiate July 27, 2008, came to an

18	agreement, left the table, two weeks later
19	it fell apart because the city wanted to
20	continue and add management rights.
21	October 1, same thing happened at the
22	University, a month a half later management
23	rights fell back on the table and the whole
24	deal fell apart.

So the point I'm driving home here

is that money and benefits is not the
contentious issue here. It's not the issue
that's driving this away from the table or
driving this to a relatively fair and
equitable solution to this. It's not we
are not the greedy people that everybody
thinks we are. This is about the management
rights. Those rights we are not going to
give up today or any time. The city wants
to take those rights for all mandatory
subjects of bargaining, our hours, our
schedules, includes our hours, our days off,
our vacations. They are mandatory subject
to bargaining. Comp time, overtime,
mandatory subject of bargaining. The
Recovery Plan strips those statutory rights
away from us, the comp time was taken away
from us. Longevity. We negotiated for it,

19	it was in our contract, 41 of our men and
20	women lost their longevity. It was striped
21	away from them just last July 7, July 8,
22	taken away, just a pay cut. Some of them
23	lost 3 or 4 percent.
24	These are the management rights that
25	the city talks about they we are not going
	42
1	to give up. This fight is not about
2	benefits and money as much as it is
3	they're a major factor, don't misunderstand
4	me, they are major factor, but they are not
5	what this whole argument is about. Thank
6	you very much.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Stephanie Gawel.
8	MS. GAWEL: Hi, everybody, how are
9	you tonight? I strongly disagree with what
10	has been put out by the administration.
11	They have been concerned about the jobs
12	which it says, oh, we are trying to keep the
13	jobs in the city and we are trying to bring
14	them in, we know they tried to basically run
15	Buono out of business because they wouldn't
16	cow-toe to them.
17	We know that he basically put

Channel 61 out of business when it was

Scranton Today. I mean, that was Jack

Finnerty's dream, he is the one that started

it, he built it up by himself with some

help. He ran it from the library, he didn't

have to buy a building, it was 90 percent

volunteers. The only one that got paid was

the tech person. We need to thank Jack

Finnerty, Leslie Collins, Attorney Brian
Lenahan, Emily Perry, Lynn Palalino, Karen
Bazzarri, Lorainne Tallo, Annette Palutis,
Dan Chefaro, Barbara O'Malley, Scott Thomas,
Lori Cadden and anyone else I might have
forgotten for all of the services they had
given us the ten years before the TV station
got taken out from underneath them.

Mr. Doherty says he is for citizens, but 90 percent of the campaign money came from people who didn't leave here in the city, we all know that, it came from Waverly, Philly, on and on. He never talks to the people of the Scranton, never or he would have been here a couple of different times. The only time he showed up is to Mr. McGoff in office. That's it. It's the only time I have seen him in council. By the way, welcome aboard.

20 We all know he doesn't go to any of
21 the neighborhood meetings when asked and
22 doesn't -- and he has been sent letters, he
23 has been called, the whole nine yards, and
24 the man doesn't even have the manners and
25 the courtesy to say, no, I'm not coming. He

just doesn't show. He has never been to the lower Greenridge, he said last night he was at the Plot, I don't know. He has never been back at Keyser Avenue when it flooded, back at Cameron Avenue and North Garfield, that area nor has he held any of the promises as far as I know.

He says his opponents run a negative ads, he is the only I hear running the negative ads when I'm hearing the ads and the Times and the governor are right there with him and they all should be ashamed, and that's why I discontinued the Times and I will be calling the Times Leader to find out about their paper.

How many people are aware that NCC is moving to Dickson City? Why, they don't want to put the mercantile tax? Do they need more room? If they need for room we

got Southern Union that's got parking, the
whole nine yards. I think it's a good deal
for them, but they are moving to Dickson
City.

Please don't say the men and women that put their lives on the line on a daily

basis don't deserve a little more than the rest of us. They deserve a lot more. I certainly don't have the built to do some of the work they do and I don't have the bravery, I'm a woos.

Okay, 75 percent -- as for the

Recovery Plan, probably 75 percent of the
citizens didn't read the small print. We
all believe the spin that was put on it.

You believe what you hear and that's why we
are where we are at. For the mayor to say
he wants the latest crime fighting gear,
well, we probably could have had, you know,
he had computers in the cars that were never
fixed for the longest time, we still don't
have cameras in the cars that would save us
so much money and save lives it's not even
funny, but he doesn't do it. We know that
there is no defibrillators in any of the
cars, not on one side or the other side, as

Mr. Courtright had mentioned. Now, that

stuff everybody knows, again, you can do

through state grants, federal grants, I bet

if you is the Marine Corp League they would

even, you know, do a fundraiser and get some

monies together to get some of these stuff for us, so I don't know. There is too much missing. The only one playing the old school politics is the mayor and Governor Rendell. You don't have to be the smartest kid on the block to see that.

Oh, by the way, like everyone else ahead of me I would like to know where the 6,000 new jobs are. I can't figure it out. I'm not the brightest math person in the world, but I know if we have got an eight point something in unemployment we don't have 6,000 new jobs in the area. The Times reported that -- well, I already went over the contributions and truly we know he doesn't really, you know, truly shows he is not in touch with the average citizen of Scranton, ones that struggle to pay the heat bill, the water bill, the sewer bill, and most importantly, the mortgage payments.

21	Could I finish up real quick?
22	MR. MCGOFF: Quickly.
23	MS. GAWEL: Okay. As for any of the
24	former mayors who are backing Mr. Doherty,
25	shame on you. I realize Jimmy Connors' job
	47
1	may be on the line, but when the mayor
2	doesn't think we should compensate our
3	firemen and policemen who went to overseas
4	to Iraq and Kuwait, shame on him. This
5	mayor never goes to cops or a fireman's
6	funeral to say thank you to the family for
7	their years of service. Mr. Connors does,
8	Mr. Peters does, etcetera. Thank you for
9	your consideration, Mr. McGoff.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Carl Kupchunas.
11	MR. KUPCHUNAS: Good evening. My
12	name is Carl Kupchunas, I'm a lifelong
13	resident of the City of Scranton. As we all
14	know, next Tuesday, May 19, is primary
15	election day. The Bill of Rights of the
16	United States give all citizens over the age
17	of 18 the right to vote. It is the right
18	that shouldn't be taken lightly. The
19	problem in our area is voter apathy. It's
20	very important that everyone who is

registered to vote do so. I cannot and will

not tell you who to vote for. I will give
you some information that maybe will help
you make a good decision when you go to the
polls on Tuesday.

If you are in favor of wasteful projects such as the Electric City sign of Linden Street, the \$300,000 dog park, the narrowing of the 500-block of Lackawanna Avenue, the bridge to no where and the ever popular treehouse, you know what to do.

If you appreciate getting lied to by a politician who took credit for the medical college and the lower Greenridge flood control project, you know what to do. We all know Senator Mellow brought the medical college here. The flood control project was the Army Corp of Engineers project was which first proposed and started through the Connnors' administration.

If you believe a politician who is first closing the fire companies, then does a switch because it's unpopular with the citizens, you know what to do.

If you appreciate having your mailbox filled are propaganda one but seven

times, which is not only very annoying but
it's also very environmentally unfriendly by
a politician who claims to be a friend of
the environment, you know what to do.

If you are an in favor of the local biased newspapers and their recent seven days newsletter in favor of certain politician when newspaper are supposed to be fair and even in their coverage of all candidates, not just the one, then you know what to do. You can make your voice heard by canceling the subscription to this bias publication and let them now how you feel about the uneven coverage of the elections.

If you are into fantasy and the imaginary 6,000 jobs where no one seems to know where the 6,000 jobs are if they even exist when unemployment is at a record high in Scranton, you know what to do.

If you are no respect for our hardworking police and firefighters who put their lives on the line protecting the citizens of Scranton and the administration who doesn't want to give them a fair and proper wage, who had to wait seven years for a contract, that's un heard of, you know

23	what to do.	
24	If you enjoy paying the 25 percent	
25	tax increase and one of the highest wage	
		50
1	taxes in the state and possibility of more	
2		
	25 percent tax increase in these tough	
3	economic times, you know what to do.	
4	If you like having your tires	
5	damaged, your car alignment damaged by	
6	potholes which like many affect all of the	
7	city streets, you know what to do.	
8	If you are in favor of all of the	
9	empty buildings and, of course, the white	
10	elephant of the Southern Union building on	
11	Lackawanna Avenue along with the record	
12	number at homes for sale in the City of	
13	Scranton, you know what to do.	
14	However, if you want change, a	
15	change that the citizens of Scranton need	
16	and badly deserve, no more distressed city	
17	status which has been that affect for	
18	17 years you can get this chance. All it	
19	takes is a strong of the pen next Tuesday	
20	and the citizens of Scranton will have	
21	spoken. Remember, choose your candidates	

carefully and end the current rubber stamp

24	next Tuesday. Thank you for your time.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Liz Hubbard.
	51
1	MS. HUBBARD: That's a hard act to
2	follow. Just a couple of questions and a
3	couple of several weeks ago when Mr.
4	McGovern he talked about the companies that
5	had been taking the wage tax out of people's
6	salaries and not turning it over to the
7	single tax office, does anybody know if
8	that's being pursued because I would think
9	that would be illegal from my own years that
10	I worked with my husband in our business.
11	You don't deduct money from people's
12	paychecks and then keep it, you know, and if
13	that is, in fact, happening or has happened
14	what is the tax office doing about it?
15	Anybody have any idea?
16	MS. EVANS: I believe they indicated
17	that evening that they were pursuing those
18	types of cases legally.
19	MS. HUBBARD: Okay. Another thing,
20	last night at the debate the mayor made a
21	big point of saying how he was for the
22	neighborhoods, but in '06 when they had that

flood meeting, and I believe Mr. Hubbard

mentality. Make your voice heard and vote

23

1	meetings and watching a couple of comments
2	were made: One, Judy had made a comment
3	that the D.A.'s Office had told her to wand
4	the residents coming into this building.
5	Well, my question was who had ordered or
6	told the police department to do the
7	wanding?
8	Secondly, what were they searching
9	for because under Pennsylvania state law
10	this is a public building and residents are
11	allowed to carry weapons in and out of this
12	building freely under law. In Pennsylvania,
13	schools and courthouses you are not allowed
14	to carry a firearm on you. At the
15	courthouse if you go into the security check
16	point with a firearm, they do provide you
17	with a secure and safe lockbox for your
18	firearm at the security check point. So if
19	you were to wand residents in this facility,
20	which is not marked or posted anywhere in
21	the building disallowing firearms, and not
22	to mention it is a public facility, you
23	would have had to provide residents with a
24	safe and secure lockbox that night.

the potential Second and Fourth Amendment
violation by wanding residents and possibly
searching and seizing any firearms that you
would have had on them that night who gave
that order to do it because that is
protected under the state constitution and
the federal constitution. In Pennsylvania,
you actually can openly carry a firearm
without a permit in plain sight of public
in public facilities other than courthouses
and schools, so who gave the order for the
Scranton Police Department to search, in my
mind illegal search, residents coming into a
public facility for weapons that they would
have been legally allowed to carry in and
out of this facility?

Anybody have an answer? I mean, that's a pretty serious violation. You cannot just decide on one day that you are going to put up an intimidating security force at the door and wand residents at a public facility that they are by law allowed to carry firearms in and out of. And let's talk about intimidation. You know, comments were made about you know, council members

1	feel intimidated in chambers. Well,
2	Mr. Quinn was just gaveled the first time as
3	being out of order, he was not rowdy, he was
4	just told he was out of order because he had
5	been I guess campaigning. The officer
6	behind me took ten steps closer to Mr. Quinn
7	that nobody saw on camera, and you want to
8	talk I mean, you are intimidated?
9	You have a police officer in council
10	chambers who walks to the podium as soon as
11	somebody is gaveled out of order. I mean,
12	that's intimidation. That's actually very
13	intimidating to a lot of residents that want
14	to come and speak.
15	And secondly, my last point in
16	closing was under what context is it okay
17	for an elected official from her seat during
18	a public meeting to use the term Nazi in
19	reference to a resident in this city? You
20	said it. It's on the record. What's the
21	definition that you would use to defend
22	using or calling somebody a Nazi from your
23	seat on council during a public meeting?
24	There is a lot of people in this

city that are offended by that term. I

1	ived right below a lady in Tel Aviv that
W	was a survivor of the camps and just the
m	mention of that term put her in tears
b	because she lost her mother and father and
h	ner entire family and she was a sole
S	survivor, so to throw that term around in
t	these chambers in this country in this day
а	and age that loosely is embarrassing and
C	outright wrong.

And the residents of this city

deserve an apology, the Jewish community of

this city deserves an apology, and to be

honest with you I think quite honestly it's

part of your liable suit where you were

actually suing somebody for making a

reference to you using that term, so you

really don't have a grounds to sue somebody

for linking you to that term when you sit

here under your official capacity and throw

it around like it's nothing. That's a very

serious term to be used from an official

seat by an official person who is up for

reelection in this city. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Donna Dominick.

MS. DOMINICK: Donna Dominick,

homeowner and taxpayer. I am here for two
things because I'm just frustrated. As far
as these 6,000 jobs go Mrs. Schumacher last
week said a friend of hers wanted to know
about them. Everybody here is talking about
the 6,000 jobs. I haven't met anybody in
the last week and a half that doesn't want
to know about these 6,000 jobs. From where
I'm sitting, Mr. Doherty in the last few
days has had everything under the sun
printed in the Times. I think to put the
issue to rest all he has to do is have all
of those jobs, the 6,000, published in the
Times. I think that would put it to bed
once and for all. Everybody would know what
those jobs were.

Second thing, and this is like
beating a dead horse, when it comes to the
reducing firefighters or closing engine
companies or fire stations, Mr. Doherty
said, "Not in the near future," Mr. Hayes
said "Not at this time," well, you can't
expect that he would say anything other than
that, it's election time. I mean, he would
have to be a very stupid man to say anything

other than that. I don't think you can 1 2 believe anything that come out of his mouth 3 if his tongue came notarized. And I have one little four line poem 4 5 I would like it say before I leave and that 6 is: Our firefighters risk their lives day 7 and night, yet we have a mayor who continues the fight. His view of our police officers 8 9 is very, very dim, so why on earth would you 10 reelect him. Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Charlie Newcomb. 11 12 MR. NEWCOMB: Good evening, Council. 13 Just two short ones tonight. I would like to remind everybody next week to go out and 14 15 vote on Tuesday and I would just like to 16 remind everybody no matter who you are going 17 to vote for look at what these candidates stand for for city council, for mayor. Look 18 19 at the problems in Luzerne County, two 20 judges going to jail, school directors arrested, corruption. Everybody just look, 21 and you know what, the biggest thing to do 22 23 is follow the money whenever you are going 24 to vote for a candidate. 25 My opinion is, whether it's

Mr. Doherty, Mr. DiBileo or Mr. Bolus, you have to ask yourselves, people listening out there seeing all of the billboards, TV commercials or whatever you do, why would you have to spend \$1 million, and whether it's \$700,000, \$800,000, a million dollars, just think of these numbers, for a position that pays \$50,000 a year.

So, all I could say and is just listen to what they have to say, look at all of your contributors, who gives to who, who works where, who has a job here or who has a job there and just look and see how much money these people spend to get elected, because like Andy Sbaraglia said in the beginning, something has to change. That's way, way too much for anybody to be spending on any kind of candidacy. It's almost like the person with the most money wins the job.

And one other thing I would like to touch on, Mr. Martin touched on it a little bit, but Mrs. Fanucci, when Stu Renda was here last week and he gave you all of them numbers, did he give you a breakdown on like how much of those numbers were, let me think

1 of the word here, private money, for like --MS. FANUCCI: Well, it depends on 2 3 what you are saying? You mean, like what is the base salary, what is the overtime? 4 MR. NEWCOMB: I'll elaborate what I 5 6 mean by private money. It would like, in 7 other words, we are going to use a police officer, for example. Like, let's say he 8 made \$80,000, I'll just use that for a 9 10 number. 11 MS. FANUCCI: Right. MR. NEWCOMB: Okay. Well, the 12 13 people, I'm sure you know or maybe you 14 don't, but what the people out there would have to know is, see, if you have a second 15 16 job or I have a second job you get two W-2's. Like, in other words, if I work say 17 for K-Mart and I work for my company --18 MS. FANUCCI: This is the W-2, this 19 20 would be from the city. So, yeah, this is 21 from the city. 22 MR. NEWCOMB: So what I'm trying to 23 say is with any private money, what I mean 24 by private money is if they were work CMC 25 for eight hours, what has to happen is if

Ţ	it's private and that's all private money
2	they have to pay the city, and that's a
3	straight rate, and then it goes to that
4	police officer, but it's all added into
5	their W-2's.
6	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
7	MR. NEWCOMB: So what I'm trying to
8	say is somebody that makes sixty, seventy or
9	eighty thousand dollars, there could be
10	thirty to thirty-five thousand dollars of
11	that that comes from private money which
12	means if let's say you had affair at a
13	wedding or something at the Cultural Center
14	and you had to have a policeman or a fireman
15	there, well, you got to pay them a straight
16	eight hours or whatever or whoever long they
17	have to get paid, so you have to pay the
18	city. You don't pay them, you can't do that
19	anymore, you have to pay the city and then
20	the city puts it into their paychecks. So I
21	just wanted to know if you broke down
22	MR. FANUCCI: There was a breakdown
23	between overtime, which would be anything
24	above what their normal salary was.
25	MR. NEWCOMB: Right.

2	everything was broken down.
3	MR. NEWCOMB: But, I mean, he gave
4	the numbers from the private money, too?
5	MS. FANUCCI: He did. Well, he
6	didn't say it wasn't private money, it
7	was overtime. I believe it was an overtime
8	section of money that was outside of your
9	normal salary.
10	MR. NEWCOMB: Right, but I just want
11	to say overtime is if they get time and a
12	half, the employees.
13	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
14	MR. NEWCOMB: You don't you get
15	you get a straight rate for private, I just
16	wanted to know.
17	MS. FANUCCI: I don't remember seeing
18	a separate section of private.
19	MR. NEWCOMB: When you get those
20	numbers look at it because it's very
21	important. Like, if I was a policeman and I
22	worked the St. Patrick's parade extra time;
23	well, then I would get time and half.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
25	MR. NEWCOMB: But if I went tomorrow

2	House or something and I wanted to stay
3	eight hours, I get a flat right, you know,
4	like my hourly rate and you have to
5	MS. FANUCCI: We could ask them for
6	that.
7	MR. NEWCOMB: Yeah, just because,
8	you know, there is a big difference and, you
9	know, there is a big difference in the money
10	when they do that.
11	MS. FANUCCI: I definitely will ask
12	him for that, for that breakdown.
13	MR. NEWCOMB: Okay, thanks.
14	MS. FANUCCI: Sure.
15	MR. NEWCOMB: And again, I would just
16	like to say when everybody goes out to vote
17	next week just vote your conscience, vote
18	your heart and like they say just follow the
19	money and see where it goes. Thanks.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Any other speakers?
21	MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, citizen
22	of Scranton. I would like to mention a
23	couple of things. First of all, I want to
24	thank Mrs. Evans for mentioning the fact
25	that be this coming Saturday is Armed Forces

Day. If it wasn't for the Armed Forces I

can assure you that none of you be sitting

3	up here and none of us would be out there,
4	so it would be a very good idea if during
5	the course of your campaigning you manage to
6	show up for Armed Forces Day. It won't be a
7	drunk fest. There won't be any big parades,
8	big bands or anything else, but it is a
9	chance to honor the veterans.
.0	And I'd also like to mention the
.1	fact that somewhere along the line the
.2	United States I think introduced a
.3	constitution back in 1776 or 1777 or
. 4	something like that.
.5	MR. MCGOFF: 1789.
. 6	MR. TALIMINI: 1789, thank you,
.7	Mr. McGoff, and I think there was the first
.8	of the 14 amendments that were added later
.9	on, something about free speech. I think
20	the free speech applies to the citizens of
21	in this room as well as to those of you who
22	sit up there. So, Mr. McGoff, I
23	respectfully ask gavel a citizen out of

just as guilty as some of the people who
speak out, and I noticed you have been very

order that you also gavel your committee

members out of order because they, too, are

24

3	relucta	nt	to do	o that	t, bu	ut]	ask	that	you
4	please	do	that	from	now	on.			

On Friday is another day that nobody seems to know about and that's the policeman's memorial day, and it's a very important day. We have a little situation out in the hallway which should have been resolved a long time ago.

I would like to point out something that happened to me about a week ago on Saturday, and it's the first time in 58 years it's happened. I was driving into Wal-Mart, there was a car directly behind me, and when I pulled into my parking space the gentleman pulled up directly behind me and parked his car. He got out of his car and he walked up, and I happened to have purple heart license plates on there, and he asked me if I was a purple heart veteran and I said, yes, I was. He extended his hand and he shook my hand and he said he was with the Friends of the Forgotten. I had no idea who they were, but in 58 years it's the

first time anybody has acknowledged the fact that we did have a war in Korea, and I'm very pleased to say I got the gentleman's

card.	Ιt	says,	"Thank	you	for	your	servi	ce.
Friends	of	the	Forgotte	en,	North	neast	wing	of
Pennsyl	.var	nia."						

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing I would like to mention, and this is I guess it could be ruled politics, but we have an election coming up next Tuesday, Washington West has been the polling place for District 16-1, nobody has acknowledged it. I think they had a paid legal advisement in the paper on Sunday, 16-1 will not be voting at Washington West next week they will be voting instead at the United House, and I would like to point that out for all the viewers, all the seniors who can't come here, the people who don't know because unless they are advised this way a lot of people are going to be going to Washington West and when they find out they can't vote there they are going to go home. So I don't know who is derelict in this, but I would like to make a very, very specific note of

68

that. There will be no voting at Washington

West this year, it will be at United House,

and I understand it's only for one year, so

4	thank	you	very	much	floor	your	time.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. Marie Schumacher, resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. First, the big fib, the 6,000 jobs created or the claim I should say of 6,000 jobs created. Probably the easiest method of measuring job volume in the city is to use the EMS/LST amounts that are included in the budget. This EMS/LST tax is imposed at a flat amount of \$52 for every worker earning over \$12,000 annually, so it's a simple matter of dividing the amount budgeted by 52 to determine the number of worker's earning over \$12,000. Hardly a family sustaining amount in the United States.

Using this formula for 2006, the first year of this tax was imposed, you will find there were 40,385 wage earners. In 2009, the wage earner's amount to 25,547, a decline of 14,838. So we must conclude one of three things: Either the Scranton

workforce has declined by 14,838 or 14,838
workers slipped below the \$12,000 a year
threshold or there is an error in the 2009
budget.

Personally, after reading the
newspaper regularly and seeing all of the
drug arrests, I think that maybe the 6,000
jobs have been created in the underground
market and they are all drug sale's people.
Now, as to the 71 percent of the
voters being correct in vote for the

Now, as to the 71 percent of the voters being correct in vote for the Recovery Plan. My main question is what percent voted for the Recovery Plan because the mayor in June of 2002 warned there would be a tax increase if it wasn't approved?

Tonight during motions, I hope to hear Mrs. Gatelli ask Mr. Minora two things: One, Mr. Minora said -- or the analysis of the single tax office said the budget was exceeded and when they exceeded the budget they dipped into tax revenues of the city and assuming the boroughs and the county. Now, what is that called? It sounds as though it is theft from the city taxpayers to me.

1	And I would like and expect an
2	answer tonight on what the remaining road
3	blocks are before BRT must pay for the land
4	they have been using at 800 Providence Road

5	I would like to know if OECD is
6	moving back to city hall in July or not. If
7	not, what will be the cost of their monthly
8	rent where they are if they are to remain in
9	the Scranton Life building?
10	I would like know if the city is
11	still at risk for the Village of Tripp Park
12	water runoff problem or has the developer
13	come up with the solution? Has any council
14	person investigated to see how our
15	permit/inspection process has failed in this
16	endeavor? This never should have happened
17	in the first place and I would like to
18	believe somebody up there is finding out
19	what went wrong.
20	And then last night the mayor said
21	we are building housing for the community
22	medical college students. I don't recall
23	seeing this item in the capital budget or in
24	the OECD budget line item. Where does the
25	medical college housing appear in the city
	71
1	
1	budget? I'll look forward to those answers
2	during motions. Thank you.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

5 Williams, homeowner and taxpayer. Well,

4

MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening. Joanne

6	welcome to the negativity. You know, I was
7	going to come down here tonight and say a
8	few things, but once again I was approached
9	by Mrs. Hubbard and asked me why I'm here,
10	why do I come during election? Why?
11	Because I live in the city all my life and I
12	love the city and I have been here before
13	the cameras and I'm tired and they talk
14	about negative why people don't come here
15	and speak positive about the city, who would
16	want to come here in this room and take this
17	harassment? This is constant and the
18	looks by Fay Franus.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
20	MS. WILLIAMS: It's terrible. Well
21	
22	MR. MCGOFF: We are not going to use
23	names. It's out of order.
24	MS. WILLIAMS: Oh, but it's all right
25	when other people use names though, isn't

1 it? That's okay.

I just want to touch on a few

things, Mr. Bolus all of a sudden is

pro-Genesis Wildlife Center, it's amazing.

I recall when the Wildlife Center first came

6	to the park these people poked fun at the
7	park, made fun of the monkeys, the TV's,
8	said the zoo was no place to put animals.
9	Mrs. Beck talked about me last week
10	about the signs and everything, I'm glad to
11	see her back and her husband, she can put
12	100 signs in her yard it's still a false
13	political statement. The mayor is not
14	closing fire houses.
15	Each week everybody speak on crime
16	and high taxes and how we can save money,
17	I'll say it again like I said a few years
18	ago, back a few years ago when a playground
19	was being built for children by volunteers I
20	didn't see well, they say my name, Mr.
21	McGoff, like Mr. Quinn, Mr. Bolus,
22	Mr. Spindler, Mr. Beck, and I can go on and
23	on and, Mrs. Evans, you weren't there either
24	and I know you told me you had a back
25	problem. Well, I have a back and neck

problem, too, but	all I did is paint and
help serve refres	shments. I mean, where were
you people then?	You want to raise to your
children, no volu	unteers, huh, at that time.

As far as the medical school it's very, very dear and near to me. My husband

was diagnosed, my late husband, was
diagnosed with mild dysplasia syndrome in
2005, a form of leukemia. We had to go to
Philadelphia. We had to go Fox Chase in
Northeast Philadelphia. Gene's Hospital
which is supported by the students at Temple
University. Let me tell you, what a
beautiful sight it was to see those students
working with the doctors in that area and
trying to find a cure for leukemia. Yes, we
need a medical center. Why did the medical
center come here? Absolutely. The governor
helped, Senator Mellow helped, but Mayor
Chris Doherty sat down with four or five
doctors a few years back and wanted to know
what the city was like, what the people were
like, where were you going, was it a good
place to put a medical school. They saw the
vision there thanks to Chris Doherty.

And I'm going to tell you one more
thing, I'm going to say it, get out and vote
people on May 19. Get out and vote for the
people who have made the hard and tough
decisions to make this city move forward.
We know who they are, and I'm going to say

7	it, Mayor Doherty, Mrs. Gatelli and
8	Mrs. Fanucci. Thank you.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Please. Wait one
10	moment, please, I'm sorry. Once again,
11	advocating voting for or against a
12	particular person is out of order. I'm
13	simply asking you to refrain from doing
14	that. It's a simple request and one that we
15	should follow. Thank you. I'm sorry, Mr.
16	McCloe.
17	MR. MCCLOE: My name is Brett
18	McCloe, Scranton taxpayer and homeowner. I
19	was just sitting at home actually and I was
20	watching and I, too, sort of had a problem
21	with the 6,000 job number. My job I do for
22	a living totally depends on that number.
23	More so than that, what I do for a living
24	totally depends on what kind of money these
25	6,000 or whatever people make. If the

average of those jobs, if there are 6,000 at
all, which I don't really see, if the
average of those employees cannot afford to
buy the very good and services that they
sell that is a recipe for disaster.

Eight, 10 and 12 dollar an hour jobs just gets the taxes paid. Period. That is

not economic development. We need to take
the emphasis off of economic development and
put it towards developing an economy with
good paying jobs. These 6,000 jobs that he
is talking about, first of all, I don't know
where he got that number; number two, people
just aren't making that kind of money, and
even if with the medical school, I'm not
sure if I want a doctor who graduates from
this medical school who is \$200,000 in debt
then marries another person, another
doctor who is also \$200,000 in debt, then
goes and buys a \$400,000 home at Tripp's
Park or wherever, you are looking for a
person to come into the city who is almost a
million dollars in debt right off the bat.
You know, I have seen it. I have seen
people that are that deep in debt. If these

1 are our new citizens, okay.

Well, I guess I wasn't really
prepared. I was just sort of ticked off and

I don't mean to get on you, Mrs. Fanucci,

5 but --

6 MS. FANUCCI: Get on me all you 7 want. Go ahead.

MR. MCCLOE: I'm not trying to,
believe me. I'm not trying to, but it's
more for the mindset of the people of this
city. A couple of weeks ago what I found
was one of the most economically divisive
statements I ever heard was that no one has
to pay the same kind of insurance or
everybody else has to pay more insurance
than the firefighters, and not that you were
factually wrong, I'm not going to dispute
that, I don't know anything about the
mechanics of the numbers, but what that did
is psychological it just drove a wedge into
a lot of people's minds. What it did was it
made people think that, well, I pay all of
this and they don't have to pay that.

Well, guess what, People, you don't have to run into a building that's on fire.

None of you have to suck in noxious fumes.
None of you have to worry about the asbestos
that might be floating in the air and maybe
20 years down the line, oh, you have got
something, such and such. That insurance
that they get is well-deserved. For me who
waits tables, what I pay in insurance, so
what if it's more than so what it it's

9 way more than theirs? They are there to
10 save my life.

I guess that's all I have to say expect for, Folks, this is the time. Get out there and vote. The time for talking about certain things is over. We have a chance to change lot of things, not just in our city but within ourselves which will change the city. Thank you. Thank you very much.

MS. STULGIS: Ann Marie Stulgis, I
live in the City of Scranton. First off I'd
like to clarify something we have been
hearing a lot about, beat cops. Beat cops.
How great beat cops are. How the mayor is
bringing beat cops back. Well, Folks, may I
remind you that we have approximately 30

less cops than we did when this mayor came into office? Can I also remind you that he is the one that eliminated beat cops, as much as I came here and protested it happening, he is the one who eliminated them and now it's election time and beat cops are the savior of all saviors. Maybe they would have been had they stayed here and been

9 working the last seven years.

10	It all started on October of 2002
11	when he stated we already have enough cops,
12	and then in November of '02 the first eight
13	were eliminated and then it continued and
14	continued right up to December of '04 when
15	he eliminated ten more and now we have beat
16	cops. Yeah. We have a beat cop in downtown
17	Scranton. Well, what about North Scranton,
18	where is their beat cops? What about the
19	Hill Section, where is there beat cops?
20	Where are all of the beat cops? There is
21	ten federally funded OECD or COMM-D
22	officers, we don't have a ten of them out
23	there. I don't know where the federal funds
24	are going, but we could do not have ten
25	COMM-D officers on the street.

1	Now, we also over the past few years
2	have been blessed with a 25 percent property
3	tax increase, exorbitant increases in our
4	sewer bills, increases in our garbage bills.
5	We have eliminated firefighters, we have
6	eliminated police officers, we have
7	eliminated 48 clerical workers. Where did
8	the money go? Police cost less, fire costs
9	less, clerical costs less. We're sort of a

disoriented business. They are the service

people, where did the money go? What did my

money get used for if it wasn't for my own

protection and the protection of my family?

What did it get used for if? Well,

it got used for the Davis trail maybe, the

trail that got washed away and never got

it got used for the Davis trail maybe, the
trail that got washed away and never got
repaired or did it get used for, hmm, the
green slime pond where all of the fish died?
Did it gets used for that? What did it get
used for? Did it get used for the treehouse
for handicapped people that people in
wheelchairs can't get to? Did it get used
for the bridge to no where? What did it get
used for? Or did it get used for, hmm, the
glass we used on the streets instead of

cinders, did it get used for that or the
thousands of dollars for garbage cans and
flower pots, did it get used for that? What
did it get used for, I'd like to know
because the taxpayers have a right to make
sure they have enough protection.

And then we have already also heard about the Recovery Plan, oh, those dastardly unions, oh, how they won't allow the city to

Pan. Well, the mayor got his management rights and just what did he change? Did he change anything in the fire department?

Nope. Nothing. Did he change anything in the police department? Well, if you count the fact that we don't have overtime anymore, so quite often the city is being covered by four police officers, 27 square miles and have you got four police officers working, that's about the only thing that's changed is you have less protection. Other than that, nothing has changed.

The great Recovery Plan, perhaps some of you can find out for me why the

1	mayor has consistently violated his own
2	Recovery Plan. Page 63 of the Recovery Plan
3	very specifically says that no city employee
4	can have a pay increase for 2002, 4, 5 and
5	beyond. Well, by gosh, there are some city
6	employees that have received in excess of
7	\$20,000 in pay increases. I don't hear
8	anybody on council saying, wait a minute
9	well, maybe an exception or two, wait a
10	minute, he violated the Recovery Plan.

11 Those raises are in violation of the sacred 12 Recovery Plan, but he did it. 13 And, also, if you wouldn't mind, I 14 would like to know the Recovery Plan 15 mandates that our business manager go out 16 and solicit funds in lieu of taxes, I wonder 17 if you could find out just exactly how much 18 more money our business manager, Mr. Renda, has selected in lieu of taxes over what was 19 20 collected by the previous administration? 21 Thank you. MS. FOWLER: I'm patty Fowler, 22 23 otherwise known as the scapegoat. I'm 24 uncomfortable being here, but I'm here, too. 25 MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

82

At last week's council meeting, Mrs. Gatelli 1 2 requested the list of all lawsuits filed 3 against the city by the owner of a local website. It's important tonight to mention 4 5 some of those lawsuits that were filed: Number one, the sale of the South 6 7 Side Complex, a public recreational park that belonged to the citizens of the 8 9 Scranton; number two, the municipal golf 10 course, a city asset, which provided annual

revenue for the city; number three, ECTV's zoning appeal which the Courts ruled against ECTV; number four, OECD funding of the mayor's advertisements during political campaigns. It is clear that these lawsuits are filed on behalf of the people and the best interest of this city. On the other hand, Mrs. Gatelli, an elected official is using

21 lawsuit which benefits only herself.

Mrs. Gatelli further stated at last week's council meeting that she intended to pay what was billed by Wright and Reihner
Law Firm, the City of Scranton, for her

taxpayers' money to pay for her private

personal lawsuit which totals \$38,704. I
would like Mrs. Gatelli to produce a
cancelled check as evidence of her payment
for her private lawsuit because it is
difficult to take Mrs. Gatelli at her word.

For example, she promised four years ago in the Scranton Times that she would not vote for more borrowing. She lied to the taxpayers and voted for borrowing in 2006, 2007, and 2008. It appears fair to say that Mrs. Gatelli, who does not tell the truth,

12	has little room to accuse taxpayers of
13	lying. Can we, the taxpayers, afford four
14	more years of Mrs. Gatelli. Thank you.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?
16	MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman, homeowner
17	and member of the taxpayers. I'm not going
18	to Judy bash yet, you ought to be I'm
19	afraid you'll tell one of your friends or
20	something and they'll raise my sewer rate or
21	something. You remember when I told you
22	don't put nothing in print that can come
23	back and haunt you? True, wasn't it?
24	MS. FANUCCI: I don't know.
25	MR. ELLMAN: Not you, but the mayor.

1	This shouldn't have been in print. Even the
2	single tax office doesn't know about jobs
3	like that. Next they will be telling us
4	that he invented the Internet instead of Al
5	Gore.
6	You know, I know everybody has seen
7	this trashy stuff here, you know, that's
8	to me it's this political rhetoric is
9	it's just not just nonsense and distortions
10	it'sI'm trying to be nice and polite,
11	it's I don't know, to me it's a blatant

lie. It's from a man that's desperate,
that's in trouble, that's drowning, that's
grasping at straws. He didn't need to print
stuff like this right off the bat. It
backfired on him because these were given to
me by one of his supporters that said things
like that to me at my office, you know, at
lunchtime.

But, one thing Mr. Quinn has been harping about trying to save properties instead of tearing them down, there is so many houses that could be saved. I got one behind me that was a first time homeowners and the lady lost it because her husband

wouldn't work and the house was nice. Now they've striped it and the pipes are gone I understand, but it cost five, \$10,000 to tear down a house. I just don't see why if you want to keep young families here let them have the house reasonable and get them a credit at Lowe's or the department store or something to go work on them. Ms. Rosie and me put in our kitchen and our bathroom and they come out beautiful, and I didn't know nothing about it, and you can use plastic pipe now, you know, for hot water.

You know, people can do it themselves if they want to save these houses and have something. It's better than knocking them down. I know a lot of them ought to be knocked down, but there is a lot of them that can be saved. You know, I'm pretty much a moderate and I vote for the person I think is best,

You know, I'm pretty much a moderate and I vote for the person I think is best, but if Mr. Doherty was anything of a mayor like he thinks he is to me he would have been in Harrisburg a couple of years ago.

He wouldn't be here right now fighting for another term. Rendell doesn't want him and

I think that's why he is spending so much money trying to achieve, you know, his goal of making it in Harrisburg.

I know you are going to get mad, but
I can't comprehend in my wildest imagination
why an intelligent person would vote for
four more years of what we have gone through
the past eight years. Thank you.

MS. O'MALLEY: Barbara O'Malley,
Scranton resident. Last week I addressed
City Council regarding the difficulty I
experienced trying to obtain public records

13	related to ECTV's \$90,000 loan from the
14	Office of Economic and Community
15	Development. I have received a reply which
16	provided access to some, but not all, of the
17	records I requested. This is what I have
18	learned so far:
19	According to the language of the
20	agreement executed on September 25, 2008,
21	between ECTV and the city. ECTV received
22	\$90,000 in government funding to "Rejuvenate
23	the building at 933 Prescott Avenue."

The project will, "Result in the creation of new jobs as well as remodeling

1	and refurbishing the project site."
2	ECTV's applications projected budget
3	for the renovation for these bold
4	improvements was \$28,000. \$28,000 in public
5	money for carpentry work, electrical work,
6	plumbing work as well as excavating and
7	paving the parking lot at 933 Prescott
8	Avenue. A private property leased for one
9	year by ECTV. ECTV's lease is not being
10	renewed and as I reported last week there is
11	a sign on the door at 933 Prescott Avenue,
12	the project site, indicating ECTV offices

are no longer at that location. A \$90,000

14	loan for a project site that was occupied
15	for less than one year. I doubt any private
16	investors would spend that kind of money
17	given the circumstances. Why is it
18	acceptable to use public funds so
19	carelessly? Who is responsible for these
20	decisions?
21	As for the job creation clause, an
22	absolutely condition of the loan is the

As for the job creation clause, an absolutely condition of the loan is the creation of three new full-time equivalent permanent employment positions at the project site. Once ECTV fulfills this

requirement the loan is forgiven and ECTV is
no longer required to submit reports to
OECD

According to a letter from OECD dated December 30, 2008, ECTV has fulfilled this requirement with three new employees. The three employees ECTV hired are familiar to any entities or people who dealt with Scranton Today because they were employees of the ousted former operators of Channel 61, Scranton Today. Mark Migliore and Anthony George were on Scranton Today's payroll with the bids were submitted to

14 operate the new community access channel. 15 Mark resigned from Scranton Today after the 16 bid was awarded to ECTV. Anthony continued 17 to work for Scranton Tomorrow through June. 18 Heather Davis is a former employee of 19 Scranton Today who resigned prior to the contract award. 20 21 I ask you, would you call this job 22 creation or job transfers? A duplication of services perhaps? Maybe OECD's lawyers 23

89

people know when someone is trying to pull 1 the wool over their eyes.

> As I stated, by fulfilling the job creation component of the loan it is forgiven, it is now a grant. ECTV is no longer required to submit reports to OECD. In effect, ECTV has been given \$90,000 for a private building that was occupied for less than a year with employees who were already employed in the industry to do a job that Scranton Today did for ten years with a total investment of \$13,000 from the City of Scranton.

could make the case that's technically it's

job creation, but is it ethical? I think

I do intend to find out how ECTV

14

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15	pulled this off by utilizing the
16	Right-to-Know process to examine the
17	voracity of the documentation that ECTV
18	provided to OECD between September 25, '08
19	and December 3, '08.
20	In conclusion, I would like to ask
21	the three council persons, Mrs. Gatelli,
22	Mrs. Fanucci, and Mr. McGoff who voted for
23	this project to justify this boondoggle
24	during motions. Thank you.
25	MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening. Nelson

Ancherani, First Amendment Rights. Some remarks to rebut Mrs. Fanucci's motions of 5-5-09. Sherry asked, "When did we file for bankruptcy, '92?" FYI, we never filed for bankruptcy.

Sherry spoke about our health care

Sherry spoke about our health care co-pay not going up in any way until the last two pay periods and police are complaining. FYI, my health care co-pay was \$21 before the city lost an arbitration under Connors and had to hire a health care consultant. Sara Pickard was hired and she straightened out a health care disaster.

She saved the city \$2 million and my co-pay

15	drops to \$5 and the mayor fires her. He
16	puts Lisa Moran in charge of health care,
17	now supposedly health care has risen to
18	\$12 million. Why? What does Ms. Moran know
19	about health care? Remember, Sara Pickard
20	saved the city \$2 million and the city
21	raises co-pays in violation of the Court
22	opinion and says that the health care
23	committee should meet and co-pays will be
24	worked out by the committee. The committee
25	didn't agree before the new pay co-pays were

enacted. I do not mind paying my fair share as long as it's my fair share.

Mrs. Fanucci said 71 percent of the voters voted for the Recovery Plan. She should have said 71 percent of the 13,000 who voted did voted for the Recovery Plan, Recovery Plan violated from the first year.

She said, oh, wait a minute, just to add to the \$80,000 salaries, for your information extra duty is not overtime. She said 71 percent of the voters -- I'm sorry, she said, "It is my hope that after the next two weeks are over that we can sit down and start to act like civil human beings, stop using this forum constantly as a perpetual

use of information that is one-sided and sit down and actually do what we are supposed to do which is work together for the City of Scranton, realize that the taxpayers are the people who are footing these bills and to sit down and do what's best for them not just what's best for our own personal agendas."

Mrs. Fanucci, you talk about you

Mrs. Fanucci, you talk about vote counting. You are right, Ms. Fanucci, Ms.

Gatelli and Mr. McGoff, the rubber stampers all voted for the 25 percent tax increase, the 25 percent tax increase that was enacted all the while 12.2 million sat hidden in an account in the single tax office. Taxpayers are footing the 25 percent tax increase bill.

You three also voted for the 35
million Scranton Parking Authority loan. 35
million borrowed, 94 million payback. That
translates into 59 million interest. 59
million, can you believe that? Do you know
that the 59 million is four million more
than Connors' last budget in 2001. It's
more than one of our city budgets.

16	Taxpayers interest, \$300 million
17	long-term debt, our children, grandchildren
18	who will be taxpayers will be paying this
19	bill off. Taxpayers interest, 20 million in
20	raises, new hires, add in consultants,
21	attorney fees. \$950,000, another taxpayer
22	interest paid for public safety office, an
23	office eliminated under the previous
24	Recovery Plan.
25	Another taxpayer interest, 879,000
	93
1	to the Scranton Parking Authority after they
2	to the Scranton Parking Authority after they received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer
2	received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer
2	received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer interest, 5.5 million loss arbitration to
2 3 4	received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer interest, 5.5 million loss arbitration to American Anglican causing 56 percent
2 3 4 5	received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer interest, 5.5 million loss arbitration to American Anglican causing 56 percent Scranton Sewer Authority rate hike.
2 3 4 5 6	received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer interest, 5.5 million loss arbitration to American Anglican causing 56 percent Scranton Sewer Authority rate hike. Taxpayer interest, forced out 100
2 3 4 5 6 7	received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer interest, 5.5 million loss arbitration to American Anglican causing 56 percent Scranton Sewer Authority rate hike. Taxpayer interest, forced out 100 city hall workers by January 1, 2003 with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer interest, 5.5 million loss arbitration to American Anglican causing 56 percent Scranton Sewer Authority rate hike. Taxpayer interest, forced out 100 city hall workers by January 1, 2003 with full benefits, hiring 100 replacements with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	received a \$35 million loan. Taxpayer interest, 5.5 million loss arbitration to American Anglican causing 56 percent Scranton Sewer Authority rate hike. Taxpayer interest, forced out 100 city hall workers by January 1, 2003 with full benefits, hiring 100 replacements with full benefits.

office? That money has been sitting in that
accounts for a number of years and just

13

14

was plugged into the budget from the zero

balance account found in the single tax

17	discovered last year. If we had a
18	5.5 million deficit that the money from the
19	tax office was going to plug, what kind of
20	deficit will be claimed for 2010? Will the
21	claim be that we would have an 11 million
22	deficit? And if we have an 11 million
23	deficit where will that money come from.
24	More 25 percent tax increases? Thank you.
25	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Hello, Bill.
	94
1	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Chris.
2	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Hi, Judy. Oh,
3	you're leaving, huh? Here you go.
4	MS. GATELLI: We won yesterday.
5	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Look at it. Look.
6	I will give it to you now. I didn't forget
7	you. Look at it.
8	MS. GATELLI: Oh, that was so nice.
9	Thank you.
10	MR. SLEDZENSKI: You are welcome.
11	Well, Jude, we won yesterday, buddy, but we
12	have another one this week so last win it
13	all the way. Thank you.
14	MR. DOBSON: Good evening, Council.
15	Dave Dobson, a member of the Taxpayers' and

also a resident of Scranton. I don't want

to comment a lot on the election, I think
there has been enough comments, but one
comment I would like to make on money and
donations and is maybe some food for thought
in the future would be to put into the Home
Rule Charter that unless you are a resident
of Scranton please don't come to any
elections in Scranton. We don't need your
input, we are the people that have to live

here and pay the taxes and whatever and we would like to keep it that way.

On this job business, for a long time I have been talking about industry and industrial parks, and a lot of these politicians right up to the very top I think their problem is trade packs and it's causing more unemployment around this country and everybody can't be a rocket scientist or even an automative mechanic, it's a very difficult field and most of these fields are difficult, and some people really need a simple job that they could do and, for instance, I play guitar and mostly for my own entertainment, but I play it very religiously three or four hours a day, but I found in a magazine about five years back up

in the attic and it had this guitar, it's made in Korea, it was priced at \$500. Well, a couple of weeks ago I got another magazine from the same outfit and the price of that guitar, it was used by one of the Beatle's, John Lennon, that particular model, it was \$1,500, so it's coming, you know, it's not going to be cheap. The trade packs are not

going to be cheaper in the long run.

A couple of weeks ago I asked about taxes not turned over by employers, and we have -- one thing I'm happy to report and that is that it is not the policy of the single tax office to pursue those people, however, you are the people that are out if they don't turn it over, so keep that in mind. If I hear of employers not turning the money over and deducting it they are stealing off of you.

On the police business inside of council chambers, you know what, a lot of people are probably not like this comment, but you are probably better off with the policeman back there. You know, we don't have many who are on the sheet, but some day

there is liable to be a problem because

there isn't one back there. So, you know,

for what I feel they are pretty nice guys,

they don't need to get beaten up on like

that.

And, oh, my wife I mentioned about

And, oh, my wife I mentioned about the love letter I got last week via city council and my wife asked me to mention that

she prefers I don't cut my hair, and she says that's a lovely dress that you wear, Sherry, do you think they have that in my size.

One other thing I would like to talk about is Boscov's, they should change their policy. I had a -- I've got a relative a veteran's benefit for her husband's service in World War II and we have to submit checks for everything, so I send her down there to buy some clothes for the lady, she is up at St. Mary's, she can't get around and Boscov's wouldn't accept the check on Sunday, so I'm trying to put the fire out, but it's not easy because she had all of these clothes picked out, and I was wondering why Boscov's doesn't need the business, you know? She had that and for

19	some reason they just didn't need the
20	business because it was a check on a Sunday
21	That's silly. That's very silly.
22	So good luck for everybody with the
23	election and have a good night. Thank you
24	for listening.
25	MS. KRAKE: Good evening, Council.

My name is Nancy Krake. I would like to say I thought there were many good speakers here this evening and what I have to say will probably be a little repetitive for council, but I do love the fact that I have the privilege to say them.

The mayor tells us what Business
Weekly and Money magazine and the Wall
Street Journal have to say about Scranton.
The mayor's first priority should be about
what Scrantonians themselves are really
saying and what they need from their city
government. The mayor has gotten hundreds
of thousands of dollars in campaign
contributions mostly from people who don't
live in Scranton and who can't vote here.
Once again, the mayor's priority should be
taxpayers of Scranton and not how he can

give contracts to campaign contributors.

Sticking to his guns. The mayor

repeated that several times at last night's

mayoral debate. He said he is sticking to

his guns. Well, he might as well just shoot

us now rather than subject us to another

four years of moving forward. He will be

moving us forward all right with his
50 percent tax hike. That's part of his
Recovery Plan, that's the part the
Pennsylvania Economy League sat here in
council chambers and promised to level on
the residents of our city. They weren't
going to be finished until 75 percent was
the tax hike they had accomplished, so
that's what we have to look forward to in
another four years.

That's not bad enough, the mayor,
Mrs. Gatelli, Mrs. Fanucci and Mr. McGoff
voted time after time to allow NCC and
Abrahamsen, Moran and Conaboy to collect
fines on homeowners who are unable to pay
their taxes on time. These fines are
thousands of dollars compared to the
homeowner's original bills for two or three
hundred dollars. The fines go right into

20	the pockets of the collectors. Talk about
21	pay to play. Nice work if you can get three
22	people to vote for it.
23	Now it's our time to vote. Vote out
24	tax hikes, vote out pay to play, vote out
25	Northeast Credit and Collection, vote out
	100
1	Abrahamsen, Moran and Conaboy, and you now
2	how to vote them out. Thank.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, I believe
4	there was the last speaker.
5	MS. EVANS: Good evening. First a
6	few financial matters. At last week's
7	council meeting, I asked that legislation
8	requiring accountability for the use of city
9	tax collections to augment the tax office
10	operating budget be placed on tonight's
11	agenda. Attorney Minora drafted this
12	legislation, however, he has requested
13	additional time to research the legislation
14	with Attorney Patterson, city solicitor.
15	Council should have this results for our
16	next scheduled meeting.
17	Also, Council received a May 12
18	update from Robert Rossi & Company,

independent auditors of city council, to

20	date, 14 items must be addressed by the city
21	business administrator. The auditor still
22	have not received a response to our first or
23	second confirmation request from the single
24	tax office, and they have received the Sewer
25	Authority and the Parking Authority 2008
	101
1	financial statements. The Redevelopment
2	Authority report is projected to be issued
3	with their July 2009 meeting.
4	Once again, it's apparent that the
5	projected completion date of May 31, 2009,
6	which, of course, is dictated by the Home
7	Rule Charter will not be met this year.
8	Council also received it's monthly
9	report from the single tax office. On May
10	8t, check 033973 was issued to the city in
11	the amount of \$3,727,000.26. Let's break it
12	down: The year-to-date real estate tax
13	collection is \$2,024,594 and the wage tax
14	collection is \$1,702,431. The wage tax
15	collection has increased 84.7 percent over
16	2007 collections.
17	In addition, a second check was
18	issued for \$513,931. This includes the LST
19	or local services tax year-to-date

collection of \$30,072.23 and the city

21 business privilege mercantile tax
22 collections of \$483,859.
23 On the topic of city taxes, council
24 also received a letter over a week ago from

statement made at a recent council meeting.
I believe Mrs. Gatelli according to that
letter had stated that the city only
receives 17 percent of your tax dollar.
However, the city receives 46.3 percent of
real estate taxes and 70.6 percent of your
wage tax, and now I believe Mr. Renda has
jumped in the fray to assist Mrs. Gatelli
with her figures, but the fact is county
taxes are actually the smallest dollar
amount we pay, and when we add our city
property tax to our city wage tax, the city
seems to receive the largest portion of your
tax dollars.

a local elected official correcting a

I offered the chance to lower your wage tax in December 2007, unfortunately, other members of the council voted against it, thus, the working men and women of Scranton continue to pay a 3.4 percent wage tax and apparently more total tax dollars to

21 the city than to any other local taxing 22 body. 23 My letter of request for an 24 investigation of the Scranton tax office by 25 the District Attorney will be sent by 103 1 certified mail this week. According to 2 statements made in the Scranton Times, Mr. Jarbola does not appear to intend to 3 4 conduct an investigation until a new 5 forensic audit is performed, and earlier Attorney Minora, an employee of Mr. Jarbola, 6 agrees that a forensic audit must first 7 8 occur. Yet, prior to any announcement of a 9 forensic audit last year, Mr. Jarbola had 10 already decided against an investigation and 11 had stated there was no wrongdoing. Now, this decision for a new 12 13 forensic audit seems to postpone any investigation until the end of 2009 or 14 15 possibly even 2010. At the same time, the 16 current tax office solicitor conceded that 17 as much as \$2 million is missing and I am appalled that cash is missing according to 18 19 the newspaper headline and that the DA is

In addition, the DA appears to be

not interested.

22	less than concerned that state law was
23	broken. Well, ladies and gentlemen, it
24	doesn't past the smell test. Despite his
25	comments in the newspaper, I will request a

written response from the DA and should he decide, which is his prerogative, against an investigation, I will take our tax office problem to a state authority.

Next, I would like to clarify last week's League of Women Voter's council candidate debate. First, candidates were notified of the date, time, and place of this debate several weeks in advance which provided ample opportunity for all candidates to schedule time to attend.

Next, the gentleman who ran the debate together with the League of Women Voters president is a political appointee of Mrs. Fanucci, yet, despite this documents political connection, my teammates and I chose to participate in the debate because the issues at stake like 25 percent tax increases, a 3.4 percent wage tax, and wasteful spending are extremely crucial and the people of Scranton and all of your

22	neighborhoods are very important to us.
23	I don't have to hide have my voting
24	record. I have taken some very hard stands
25	and made some difficult decisions, but I
	105
1	stand by them because they are based on
2	cold, hard facts and on the priorities of
3	the people. I didn't tell you one thing and
4	then do another. And when I said, no, I
5	offered alternatives such as the three
6	budgets I produced and numerous budget cuts
7	I provided each year.
8	My colleagues state I am the
9	naysayer who says "no" simply to oppose them
10	or the mayor, but I believe that "yes" is
11	not always the right answer. In fact,
12	sometimes it can be downright harmful to the
13	best interest of the taxpayers. When other
14	council members tell me they are going to
15	raise taxes by 25 percent because they won't
16	stop runaway spending, my answer will always
17	be "no."
18	When council members tell me they
19	are going to authorize massive pay increases
20	for underqualified city officials and high
21	paid attorneys like Mr. Greco my answer will

22 always be "no."

23	And when they want to increase the	;
24	debt to over a quarter of a billion dollar	îs
25	my answer will be "no."	
		106
1	And when progress means enriching	a
2	few by taxing the many, my answer will	
3	always be "no."	
4	However, I will gladly vote "yes"	on
5	a reduction in the wage tax, cuts in	
6	wasteful spending, and an investigation in	ıto
7	the Scranton Tax Office, economic	
8	development that doesn't depend solely on	
9	taxpayer money to finance it, and a	
10	competitive wage and contract for the city	7
11	unions. And I will continue to help flood	1
12	victims with sewer bill relief, keep our	
13	neighborhood swimming pools free, feed the	÷
14	poor through food drives, expose the true	
15	city debt and most of all, tell you the	
16	truth, and you can take that to the bank.	
17	Finally, I wish to correct a	
18	statement made by Mrs. Fanucci at our last	;
19	council meeting. She stated the city had	
20	gone bankrupt but, in fact, the city did n	not
21	go bankrupt at any time.	

Also, when discussing health care

contributions made by union employees, she
failed to tell you that the health care
contributions for management and cabinet

members were not increased. Further, there is no time line set for increasing their contributions, and equally important is the fact that management employees pay significantly less, for example, for family coverage per pay than do union employees and many management positions pay far greater wages than union jobs.

As taxpayers, you have a right to the whole story, which is what I have tried to provide you tonight. Examine the voting records of your elected officials and information and pledges made by new candidates then please take the time to vote next Tuesday. Vote for yourself, vote for your family and your grandchildren because that is how far into the future this debt and this election reaches.

And, Kay, I would like a letter to the mayor requesting the location and funding of student housing for the Commonwealth Medical School. And just one final note about NCC because it became a

25

22

23

1	in the delinquent tax ordinance and those
2	are very punitive measures that include
3	additional costs, fees, fines, etcetera,
4	when an individual is unable to make his
5	city property tax payment. Now, that
6	language is not specific to any one group of
7	people. It doesn't state anywhere in the
8	ordinance that this applies only to absentee
9	landlords. This applies to everyone.
10	Granted, it should apply to absentee
11	landlords, though I haven't heard of any
12	whose properties have gone to sheriff's sale
13	yet, but the fact of the matter is when you
14	say it applies only to them that language
15	has to be contained within that ordinance
16	and it is not, and to say you will help
17	other people besides an absentee landlord as
18	much as the intention is good it's
19	discriminatory and the city could be taken
20	to Court for something like that.
21	So, council members need to be aware

of the whole picture and be very careful of

what they vote for because you can't single

it should apply to them. We need to rid our city of slumlords, but as for the elderly, the sickly, the working men and women of Scranton who have lost their jobs, that 8.7 percent that lost their jobs, do they deserve to lose their homes? Think about that, and that's it.

MS. GATELLI: First of all, I would like to tell the audience at home that once again policemen had to be called to these rooms this evening. A person was being harassed out in the hallway and three additional policemen had to be called. Spewing venom and hatred gets nothing accomplished here in these rooms, it just causes more dissension.

First, I'd like to address the reason that I did not go to the League of Women Voter's debate. I was told shortly after I filed my papers to run for city council that there would be a debate. I didn't believe that would happen because as far as I could remember city council members had interviews and they did not have a

1	city employee was the chair of the debate.
2	I had known for a fact that she had attended
3	rallies for Mr. DiBileo on several occasions
4	and also she was on Facebook on Pat Rogan's
5	Committee for Council and Mr. DiBileo's. I
6	notified the League of Women Voters about
7	this and they told me that that was not
8	allowed. That a board member of the League
9	of Women Voters was not allowed to
10	participate in political activity for
11	certain candidates.
12	I spoke to Mrs. Olgham on Monday
13	before the debate. I told her that I
14	certainly would not be attending as I had
15	received an e-mail that day after
16	Mrs. Olgham told me that Mrs. Fowler had
17	resigned, and Mrs. Fowler e-mailed me if I
18	was coming to the debate or not.
19	I feel as though the League of Women
20	Voters it is not their fault, but they were
21	not told the truth about a board member
22	participating in political activities. That
23	is not allowed, that is a no-no for the
24	League of Women Voters.

League of Women Voters.

1	master's equivalency. A master's
2	equivalency is a degree beyond your
3	bachelor's degree where you have credits
4	equal to a master's degree. I have 30
5	graduate credits from Marywood College and
6	you need 45 for a master's degree. I took
7	my other credits through the NEIU and some
8	at Keystone Junior College, so I have enough
9	credits to say that I have a master's
10	equivalency degree and that is how I am paid
11	through the Scranton School District, a
12	master's equivalency. It's a master's
13	credits, but you didn't get the actual
14	degree from Marywood University. I only
15	completed 30 graduate credits there.
16	I found it interesting that they are
17	questioning my education when other
18	candidates don't only property, they don't
19	work so they don't pay the wage tax, and
20	some of them have criminal records of which
21	people are aware and the Scranton Times is
22	aware but it's not being brought forward. I
23	urge you all to seek out the information
24	about candidates that have arrest records.
25	I think that is far more important than what

1	my master's degree is in. I am one of the
2	few candidates that has a master's
3	equivalency. You can read them, they were
4	in the paper today, exactly what everyone's
5	qualifications are educationally.
6	As far as the term Nazi that I used
7	last week, if any one of you have ever
8	watched Seinfeld they talk about the Soup
9	Nazi. It's a common word that's used for
10	things of that nature when people are very
11	strict about something. It is not meant to
12	disrespect the Jewish people in any way
13	whatsoever. The woman that watches that
14	particular area likes to make soup and we
15	always call her the Soup Nazi and she
16	watches that particular area of South
17	Scranton and reports everything to us that
18	happens in a bad way. That area has some
19	problems and she reports to the neighborhood
20	association as she sees fit, so it was not
21	meant to be disrespectful in any way and I
22	certainly do apologize if anyone took it in
23	that manner.
24	As far as the borrowing, I know
25	Mr. Ancherani talked about the borrowing and

1	I have a report here about the borrowing
2	from 2002 until 2009. In 2002, Mr. DiBileo
3	borrowed 7.7 million. In 2003, Mr. DiBileo
4	borrowed four million. In 2004,
5	Mr. Courtright and Mr. DiBileo borrowed 9
6	million. In 2005, \$14.5 million was
7	borrowed; and for the all time record of
8	borrowing, as Mr. Sbaraglia talked about it
9	approximately two weeks ago, there was a
-0	\$72 million loan and it was approved by
.1	McCormack, Gilhooley, Hazzouri, Murphy and
.2	DiBileo.
.3	So when you look to blame someone
_ 4	for borrowing money, I think that the true
.5	test has to be told about who actually did
. 6	all of the borrowing in the City of
.7	Scranton, and you will see that there were
.8	many others involved in the borrowing. It
. 9	was a way to keep the city solvent to
20	prevent bankruptcy.
21	I'd also like to comment on
22	Mr. Quinn calling people names and their
23	lectures at church and Mr. Dudek for calling
24	people cowards for not going to a debate.
25	The people that are the real cowards are the

people that harass you on the Internet. I'm
going to say it again because that is what
has happened to many people that sit up on
this dais. That is why the police were
here, that is why people were wanded,
because there were threats and that's why
that happened, not for any other reason.
And believe me, if any one was unsafe up
here I, wouldn't hesitate to ask the
district attorney again and the police chief
to please protect us. As you see, there is
two police officers here tonight because
people were harassed.

This chambers belongs to everyone in the City of Scranton. Every one, but no one will come here because they don't want to be harassed and they don't want to be spit on, and they don't want to be ridiculed. As a matter of fact today, I had one of my fellow employees come into my office to tell me that one of my opponent's supporters spit on the ground next to them today because they had my sign in their yard. If this is the way you want the City of Scranton to run, then don't vote for me 'cause I don't want

1 it to run that way. I don't think our city 2 business should be run in this fashion.

And I would just like to tell you for those of you that don't know, I have fought 28 years for the City of Scranton. I never got paid a dime. I fought the garbage trucks on Keyser Avenue with Mary Alice Burke and Jimmy Connors. I fought a plastic plant emitting smoke in our neighborhood and fought Philadelphia lawyers. I got 10,000 names with Jimmy Connors to keep my firehouse open and they are not going to close it because I won't let them. I fought against another low income housing project in our neighborhood. We already have over 500 families and we didn't need anymore.

I fought zoning issues. I still go
to the zoning board to fight for my
neighborhood to fight Charlie's Bar and
other places that don't belong in the
neighborhood. I participate in cleanups
every year. We moved illegal -- thousands
of illegal tires near the old post office on
Orchard Street when the gentleman refused to
remove them. Nancy Kay Holmes was a

councilwoman then and she help us fill
trucks and trucks full of tires.

I have advocated for neighborhood police patrols. I cleaned Connell Park once a month. I fought and closed nuisance bars, probably some of them that are in your neighborhood, Eichler's, O'Toole's, Hannah's, Chick's Western Bar. I fought zoning where commercial activities wanted to entered residential areas. I fought the EMF lines on Palm Street that caused cancer in little children.

When I worked for Mayor Connors I closed 200 homes that were unsafe. On my tenure here, 30 plus homes have been demolished in South Scranton, 15 in West Scranton and 20 throughout the city. We have worked on the Elm Street program for Cedar Avenue to make it a better place to live. Connell Park has been redone where drug dealers don't hang around anymore. The post office came down and the Orchard Street park is there. We purchased the Renaissance Center through our neighborhood association where we have our Community Justice Program.

I have been a fighter my whole life.

I fight for my neighborhood and I fight for you, and I will continue to do that as long as I am sitting here, and if I'm not sitting here I will still advocate for my neighborhood, but what goes on in these chambers is not acceptable to me and I do not condone it. Thank you.

MS. FANUCCI: Well, once again, you definitely got your money's worth for tonight's performances. I have to say that coming into these chambers tonight I was very well prepared for the intent, which is always to just spew venom, hate, and make sure division stays true in the City of Scranton.

I'm going to talk about the debate.

The debate is a major issue, which is amazing to me. I don't know why it's okay to break rules for some people. It's a simple rule. Certainly if I showed up at that debate and found Tom Welby was the one giving the debate, I would the not have been comfortable and I would have spoke up. To act and ignore rules because they benefit

L	certain	indivi	duals i	s just	as	bad,	it's
2	just as	bad as	ignori	ng ther	n.		

So is it okay for me to ignore everything that goes on here, to ignore the fact that someone wrote the rules and actually I felt bad, felt bad for the League of Women Voters. I felt they were duped to be honest because they put their trust in someone. They believed someone to say, these are the rules and you are to abide by them, but because I know in these chambers week after week rules are never kept, they can't be, it's a problem. Why? Because certain people cannot get their point across without knocking other people down, putting up facts that are not true, showing that they are not in support of anything that goes on in this city, not one thing. I can't imagine being against everything.

I am here and I'm going to stay here to fight for the people who decide that they want to have small business in the city despite of what goes on in these chambers, in spite of the people who hate where they live. I always wonder why you come here

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

decide that this is a productive event for
you. I know the people out there are smart.
I know they see what goes on here.

Scripts are handed out like paper.

People run off this podium, running in the back, having their conversations, it is amazing to me what goes on in these chambers. It is wonderful, everyone sounds very, very well prepared when the teacher hands the student the answers and the questions everyone is always prepared. That is not life. That is not tolerated. Why? And it's only to make one person look good.

Notice, everyone here is chastised every week for four years, but one stands alone.

One is always okay.

So now we get one person tonight who actually comes here because they actually like where they live, she believes in our city, she believes in us, she believes in small business, she believes in families, she believes in all of the things that maybe you don't believe in and what happens? Is she entitled to the same free speech that

her to sit and say what she wants to say, is that okay? No, it's not, because if she doesn't agree with the 20 people in this audience she is wrong.

Guess what? My hope is that most people don't agree with the 20 people in this audience. My hope is that people see what actually goes on and the motives here and the intent, that is the problem. To act and ignore it week after week then add your little sarcasm and your insinuations and innuendoes and your conspiracy theories and everything else, is it possible that every one is wrong who tries to work together? Is that possible? I'm going to say, no.

You don't have to like me, I don't have to like Mrs. Evans, she doesn't have to like me. I don't have to like the mayor, I don't have to like the governor. I don't have to like any elected officials, but what I have to do is my job which is to do what's best for Scranton to move us into a better place.

Saying "no" is a problem all the

1 time. I disagree. I am a rubber stamper.

Do you know why? Because I see the progress

3	and I see the project from start to finish
4	and I get to say what I believe and change
5	and make ideas. That's what coming together
6	is all about. I will state this from day
7	one, Mrs. Gatelli and I were on total
8	opposite ends of the spectrum from day one.
9	Are we always? Sometimes we still are.
10	Many times we are, but her challenges make
11	me a better councilwoman. They make me step
12	up and say, "You know what, she has an idea
13	that's pretty good. Mr. Courtright has an
14	idea that's pretty good."
15	So now that challenges me to come to
16	a better solution. That's the problem in
17	these chambers. It's not about solutions.
18	It's about constant complaining, constant
19	bickering, complaining, and being someone
20	who just wants to keep the venom going so
21	that they can get what they want at the end.
22	It's about special interests.

Remember, whether or not you like what goes on with our unions, it is still a special interest group. They are here to

get what they want. Do I agree that they

are not -- we are an unsafe city? It's

amazing, this is the most amazing to me, when you say we are unsafe you are actually saying that the people who do their jobs are not doing the work. Our policemen are wonderful. They are doing their jobs. They get the bad guys. Every day they get the bad guys. Is that something that's not acceptable to you? No. But to say that they are not, you know, crime is rampant you are acting like they are not doing their jobs. It's a double standard.

Do I think that everyone should get paid billions of dollars for what they do?

Absolutely. Are they heroes in my eyes.

Yes, I couldn't do what they do. I couldn't do what the firemen do, but when they get up and complain every week about the fact that they have to pay for health care insurance, that they are paying \$11 instead of five, when they complain that they haven't got a cost of living increase, but then their arbitration awards came in and it is more money, so when their figures are not correct

and they are complaining week after week

that is the difference between the DPW

union. That is the difference between the

other unions. They are not here complaining every week acting like they got gypped off, you know, people are taking their money.

That's the problem.

It's not that they don't have respect, it's the fact that they expect more and the taxpayers are the once who pay for it so there has to be a line drawn. Are you a taxpayer, are you for protaxpayer or are you going to be someone who believes the unions should have everything they want? There is a line and it's a sad line, but it's reality.

The other day I was asked, I spoke at an event and I was asked about the wage tax. If you honestly believe that there is not one elected official in this city who doesn't want to get rid of the wage tax you have to be kidding me. Do you believe that we like the fact we are in recovery, that we like the fact that we have a wage tax that's so high? Do you think we enjoy this? No.

We work hard to make sure it's not there,

but the money has to come from somewhere.

Reality is reality. If you keep dealing

4	with	nonreal	reasons	you	are	not	going	to
5	find	a soluti	ion.					

Listen, I wish I was a size six

every morning when I get up, but guess what,

when I get up I know I'm not fitting in the

size six pants. Why? Because it's reality.

I can hope and dream all I want, but

tomorrow morning it's going to be another

day. Size six, not going on.

I also want to talk a little bit about the scare tactics. I'm sorry, this is going to be a long night, but you knew it was going to be, so -- I don't find it acceptable right now that we have firemen from out of this city going door to door trying to scare our citizens into believing that for some reason the rest of us don't care if they are safe or not, that if you have a sign in your yard that is not in line with what the firemen believe that you are not safe, that you are going to have a problem. That's not acceptable to me. I'm

1	a mother, I'm a daughter, I have friends,
2	relatives, aunts, uncles, colleagues in this
3	city. To insinuate that anyone, whether
4	it's myself, the mayor, any of us here, any

5 elected official, any normal person wouldn't want to risk anyone's safety is an absurd 6 thought, and I know the taxpayers are smart and they are not going to fall for that. 8 9 It is time, time to stop the fear as 10 motive. It is time to stop all of this hate and the perpetual, perpetual constant 11 12 digging at other people and other elected officials for personal benefit. I'm sorry, 13 14 but I certainly don't want to see anyone put 15 their faith in someone who works harder 16 against than they do for the people who put them here. That is a problem. That is a 17 18 major problem. 19 So I have to say I'm afraid. I'm 20 afraid some days after four years here. I'm afraid that the people aren't going to see 21 the truth. I'm afraid that they are not 22 23 going to see these scripted meetings and very well-produced and well-spoken people in 24

126

not be mine, but they are cronies. Funny
how isn't allowed to apply on the other
hand, but it really does.

25

1

2

3

4

It is time for all talk and no show

the audience are still cronies. They might

to stop, so I'm going to ask you at home to not fall into the trap of the fear mongers, to make sure we don't lose a step backwards in time, to assure that we keep on the path we are on. You know yourself this is not a time where hate and division will bring anything but more hate and division. I want the progress to continue that we have had in the past four years. It might not be enough, it will never be enough, but we are on a good road and I want to stay on the path we are on and I will do it in spite of the people here who don't want to come along with us.

You can stay in your world of hate,
you can stay bickering back and forth with
each other and the constantly digging your
own holes and running around with each other
and not getting anywhere. You can do that,
it's okay, but the rest of us can't. We
can't afford to do that anymore. Those

1 times are gone.

So when we come back in these chambers after this election it is time to move forward and time to come in with good ideas and happy, wonderful thoughts for our

future and make us see and work together for
what is better for the citizens of Scranton
and for the taxpayers. I will work for you,
I promise I will work for you, and I will
not give credit for credit is not due. I do
not want the type of behavior that happens
in these chambers to run my city ever and I
hope you are smart enough out there to see
why it happens and know what the rest of us
are here to do our job and not just say no
and cast blame all the time. Thank you.

MR. COURTRIGHT: I would just like to say each and every year I go to the Armed Forces Parade and I don't stand in one spot, I walk the route just to see how it is every year and I'm disappointed that more people don't show up. I really ask that you come out and support that parade and our Armed Forces. It's not that much time out of your day, and I think we need to show them the

1	support. Each and every year, like I said,
2	I'm disappointed in the showing, I think we
3	need to have a much better showing.

The firepits, I don't know if I answered this, I think it was Mr. Spindler's

question, I spoke with Marty Monahan about these so-called firepits or whatever that they are that you burn in the backyard, they need to have a screen on them and a device, I forget what he said they were, and if they don't they are illegal and it comes under the open burn ordinance, so those of you that aren't, Mr. Spindler, if you get the open burn ordinance from our office you will be able to find out if your neighbor is, in fact, in violation; and I believe, I spoke to him on the way in here so I wasn't able to write down everything he told me I told him I would get with him, I believe that the police officer is able to write a citation if you are in violation of the open burn ordinance.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

And I'll be brief, it's been a long night, just one last thing and I'm not going to campaign so we all campaign in different

129

ways, but I think every one of us meet a lot of people when we are out there campaigning, and we end up in neighborhoods that we don't normally go in every day and so we see how different parts of the city and different people in the city live and what their

7 problems are and they maybe different than 8 our own neighborhood.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

I think it's an education that every one in the city should have. You campaign you learn about this city, and again, you learn things outside of your own neighborhood, we all have a tendency to be partial to our own neighborhood, that's just human nature, but my hats off to each and every candidate and each and every election that's runs. It's not easy. It's not as difficult on us as it is on our families and the people that depend on us, but I do hope that you go out and vote and you try to pick the person that you think will be best for the job, and I wish the best to everybody and everybody that's running because it's not easy. It's a difficult and hard couple of months, and people ask me, you know, what

do you do it for. I think everybody has a
different reason, but I think most of us
just want to serve the public, so best of
luck to everybody. We won't be here next
week, but we'll be here the following week.
Thank you.

7	MR. MCGOFF: Very briefly,
8	Mr. Sbaraglia brought up campaign spending
9	and contributions and one thing that I
10	thought for I thought for years is
11	something that the State of Pennsylvania
12	should consider is on a statewide basis
13	having campaigns spending limits specific to
14	each office. It's probably never going to
15	happen because people want to raise money
16	for whatever reason, but campaign spending
17	limits would alleviate a lot of the
18	problems, hopefully maybe in the future it
19	will be something that is looked into and
20	perhaps enacted.
21	Also, Mr. Jackowitz, I don't want to
22	say that some things shouldn't be
23	investigated at the tax office, but I know
24	the I don't know specifically when a
25	separate solicitor was used by the single

1	tax office, but I think, if I'm not
2	mistaken, it goes all the way back to when
3	Mr. Walsh was tax collector that they hired
4	a separate solicitor, so it's not a recent
5	event. I'm not saying it's right but
6	MR. JACKOWITZ: It's the law.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Again, I'm not

saying -- it's not something that has just
recently occurred. I just, you know, it's
something that has been going on.

And as far as the policemen in
chambers, from the time that I took the

And as far as the policemen in chambers, from the time that I took the presidency I was asked if I would continue to do that and I will provide the same answer, I believe that a policeman should be present at any public meeting. It's not for intimidation, just as you have a policeman at a basketball game, you know, a concert, whatever, I think any public meeting should have a member of the police force present just in case something does occur and I will ask that we continue to do that as long as I am president.

And the very last thing, all of the fish in the pond did not die. Some of them

did, but not all of them and, Mrs. Garvey.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, I just wanted to mention one thing to you because you addressed campaign finances reform initially, which I think is an excellent topic, I had tried myself to address that during a previous council and I ran into the

8	problem you stated which is it has to be a
9	change on the state level. However, there
10	are councils, I think Philadelphia might be
11	one of them, that actually did enact their
12	own legislation applying to their own city.
13	Now, will that hold weight according
14	to state law, very likely not, but perhaps
15	it can be something that even candidates
16	would abide by voluntarily knowing that it's
17	there, and so there would be that
18	opportunity to, you know, set a level and
19	see who will actually do the most beneficial
20	thing because it certainly does I think go a
21	long way to avoiding pay to play operations.
22	MR. MCGOFF: I agree. Mrs. Garvey.
23	MS. GARVEY: FIFTH ORDER. 5-B. FOR
24	INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE
25	OF COUNCIL NO. 123, 2007, ENTITLED, "AN

ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO
IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR
COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS
(AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME)

9	PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG)
10	PROGRAM", BY AMENDING THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN
11	AND THE 2008 ACTION PLAN TO INCLUDE NEW
12	FUNDING UNDER TITLE XII OF THE FEDERAL
13	AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF
14	2009 ("RECOVERY ACT") FOR THE HOMELESS
15	PREVENTION AND RAPID RE-HOUSING PROGRAM
16	(HPRP) AND THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK
17	GRANT-RECOVERY (CDBG-R) THAT RESULTED FROM
18	THE RECOVERY ACT.
19	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
20	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
21	introduced into it's proper committee.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
24	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
25	those in favor signify by saying aye.

1	MS. EVANS: Aye.
2	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
3	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
6	ayes have it and so moved.
7	MS. GARVEY: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
8	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND

9	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
10	AND ENTER INTO SUPPLEMENTAL REIMBURSEMENT
11	AGREEMENT NO. 040809-F WITH THE COMMONWEALTH
12	OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
13	TRANSPORTATION REGARDING THE LACKAWANNA
14	AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT FOR THE PURPOSE OF
15	INCREASING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE OF THE
16	PROJECT DUE TO CONSTRUCTION COSTS OVER-RUNS.
17	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
19	introduced into it's proper committee.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
21	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
22	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
23	those in favor signify by saying aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye.
25	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
	135
1	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
4	ayes have it and so moved.
5	MS. GARVEY: SIXTH ORDER. NO
6	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
7	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON

9 64, 2009 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 49,

FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.

10	2008, AN ORDINANCE "ENTITLED GENERAL CITY
11	OPERATING BUDGET 2009" BY TRANSFERRING
12	\$25,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.
13	01.401.13090.4299 (NON DEPARTMENTAL
14	OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT
15	NO. 01.040.00040.4190 (BUSINESS
16	ADMINISTRATION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE) TO
17	PROVIDE FUNDING TO COVER QUARTERLY PAYMENTS
18	TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRY.
19	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
20	recommendation of the Chair for the
21	Committee on Finance?
22	MS. GATELLI: As Chairperson for the
23	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
24	passage of Item 7-A.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
	136
1	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
2	call, please?
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes.
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
6	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.

MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.

10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
11	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
13	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
14	MS. GARVEY: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
15	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
16	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 65, 2009 - CREATING AND
17	ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
18	02.229592 ENTITLED "BILLY BARRETT PARK
19	GRANTS" FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF
20	GRANT FUNDS FROM VARIOUS SOURCES INCLUDING
21	BUT NOT LIMITED TO LACKAWANNA ENVIRONMENTAL
22	CONSERVATION AND OUTDOOR RECREATION
23	PARTNERSHIP ("LECOR"), DEPARTMENT OF
24	ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("DCED")
25	AND BOUNDLESS PLAYGROUND FOR
	137
1	
1	RENOVATION/REHABILITATION OF BILLY BARRETT
2	PARK.
3	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
4	recommendation of the Chair for the
5	Committee on Finance?
6	MS. GATELLI: As Chair for the
7	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-B.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
10	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll

11	call, please?
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes.
14	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
15	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
16	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
18	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
20	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
23	MS. GARVEY: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
24	- BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION
25	- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 66, 2009 - CREATING
	138
1	AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
2	02.229591 ENTITLED "JIMMY CONNORS PARK

AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.

2 02.229591 ENTITLED "JIMMY CONNORS PARK

3 GRANTS" FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF

4 GRANT FUNDS FROM VARIOUS SOURCES, INCLUDING

5 BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LACKAWANNA ENVIRONMENTAL

6 CONSERVATION AND OUTDOOR RECREATION

7 PARTNERSHIP ("LECOR"), DEPARTMENT OF

8 ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("DCED")

9 AND BOUNDLESS PLAYGROUND FOR CONNORS PARK.

10 MR. MCGOFF: What is the

11	recommendation of the Chair for the
12	Committee on Finance?
13	MS. GATELLI: As Chair for the
14	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
15	passage of 7-C.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
17	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
18	call, please?
19	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes.
21	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
22	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
23	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
25	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
	139
1	MD COUNTRY V
1	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
2	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
4	Item 7-Clegally and lawfully adopted.
5	MS. GATELLI: Motion to adjourn.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Before we adjourn, I
7	would just announce that due to Election Day
8	next week's meeting is cancelled and our
9	next meeting will be May 26, and we shall
10	adjourn.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.

	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
####		
		140
	1	CERTIFICATE
	2	
	3	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
	4	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
	5	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
	6	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
	7	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
	8	ability.
	9	
	10	
	11	

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR

12	OFFICIAL	COURT	REPORTER
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			