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(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
observed.)
    MR. MCGOFF: Roll call.
    MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
    MS. EVANS: Here.
    MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
    MS. GATELLI. Here.
    MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
    MS. FANUCCI: Here.
    MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
    MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
    MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
    MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
        the reading of the minutes.
    MS. GARVEY: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
    FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON
    DECEMBER 24, 2008.
    MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
    If not, received and filed.
    MS. GARVEY: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
    SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
    HELD ON DECEMBER 17, 2008.
    MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
        If not, received and filed.
    MS. GARVEY: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
```

NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 17, 2008.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
If not, received and filed.

MS. GARVEY: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 28, 2009.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.
MS. GARVEY: That's it for third order.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Garvey. Prior to fourth order, are there any announcements from council?

MS. EVANS: Yes. Please remember in your prayers this week all those who have died, particularly, Louis Auriemma, kind, inspirational and a great lady from West Scranton and all her family, Jerry and their children, and their many friends who so deeply miss her.

Coach Tom Lewis has been a huge part of basketball in our area for many years. Tom's son, Chad, is currently battling lung cancer. To help Chad and his family during
this terrible cancer battle a benefit will be held on February 21 at Holy Rosary gym in North Scranton from 6 until 10 p.m. Tickets are $\$ 15$. If all of the men whose lives who have touched by coach during his basketball career attend this fundraiser, it will go over the top, so please support this very important cause.

The Upper Hill Ecumenical Committee invites you to dine at Friendly's in Dunmore on Wednesday, February 1, from 5 to 8 p.m. A percentage of all food receipts from 5 to 8 will be donated to the St. Francis of Assisi Kitchen to feed the poor and homeless. Enjoy a great meal and support a great cause in our community. It's a win-win situation.

The West Scranton Invader Mat Boosters will conduct a funfilled night at the races this Saturday, February 7, at Keyser Valley Community Center at 7:00. Tickets are $\$ 10$ and all proceeds benefit the West Scranton High School Wrestling Team. There will be food, beverages and the chance to win $\$ 50$ each time you bet on a race. Now, where can you get a better deal on a
night out than that? Please come out and support the Invaders.

The Humane Society of Lackawanna County is conducting a drive to benefit all animals at the Lackawanna Humane Society in Waverly. Donations of the following items are needed by February 12, things such as cat litter, dog and cat food, chew bones, puppy and kitten food, small litter pans, garbage bags of all sizes, paper towels, cloth towels and blankets, dish and laundry detergent, bleach, brooms, scrub brushes, rubber gloves, buckets, and I'm wondering, Kay, if you could ask the mayor's office tomorrow if a canister can be placed either in city hall or at the fire headquarters on Mulberry Street for public donations to be collected, and hopefully the mayor will agreeable and all of us animal lovers will be able to donate to that very worthy cause. The Northeastern Pennsylvania Chapter of the Friends of the NRA will be hosting their annual banquet on Saturday, March 29, at Genetti Manor, 1505 North Main Street, Dickson City. The event starts at

5:00. This event used to be held at

Pittston Convention Hall, but it had to be moved since they closed. Tables seat ten, tickets are $\$ 40$ each, $\$ 75$ for couples, $\$ 25$ for those under 21. Sponsored packages are also available at $\$ 295$ and they come with one dinner, one sponsor hat and the first sculpture in the new NRA series, the cape buffalo, so those of you who are members and friends of the NRA they are certainly hoping that you will attend their annual dinner, and that's it.

MS. GATELLI: I just have two announcements, also for the Chad Lewis, I don't want to repeat it, but I also have tickets if anybody here would care to purchase any for Mr. Lewis' fundraisers.

And one other announcement, tomorrow at 10:00 at West Scranton High School Eric Shrive, our star football player, will be signing his letter of intent with Penn State University where he received a full scholarship, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Just one
other just to mention, we received a -- or
the city received a check from the Harrison House Personal Care Home in the amount of $\$ 500$ for payment in lieu of taxes and a thank you to the Harrison House for their donation. Fourth order. Citizens' participation. Les Spindler. MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council. Les Spindler. City Resident, homeowner and taxpayer. First of all, I'd like to make a correction about something that was said last week. I was speaking about freedom of speech and the man in Luzerne County who had his case thrown out for having the four-letter word and the sign on his truck, and Mrs. Gatelli said the reason why is because he took out two letters from the word. That's incorrect. That night the mayor was on WILK news radio with Steve Corbett and he said himself the judge threw it out because he said under the First Amendment, which is the right of freedom of speech, that was a protected word and the man had every right to use it. I don't agree with it and I don't use the word but
that is part of freedom of speech, he is allowed to use that word on the sign.

MS. GATELLI: I don't think you can use that word here though.

MR. SPINDLER: It's right from the horse's mouth.

MS. GATELLI: I don't think you can use that word here.

MR. SPINDLER: I'm not saying you can
use it here. That's how strong the First

Amendment is, that's my point. There's a lot you can get away with. And while we are on that subject because last week Mrs. Fanucci asked the solicitor if political candidates can get up here and speak. Well, I can answer that, yes, they can. We went down that road years ago and anybody, a bum off the street can come in here and talk about almost anything they want, because you can't yell fire in a crowded theatre, but you can get up here and say almost anything you want, political candidates can speak at this podium.

And moving on, Mr. McGoff, you and Mrs. Fanucci always talk about Rules of

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Why don't you enforce
them because last week you didn't. You
didn't enforce them last week.

MR. MCGOFF: You are asking me a question, do you want a response or not?

MR. SPINDLER: Yeah, it was a
one-word answer. Last week --

MR. MCGOFF: No, it wasn't.
MR. SPINDLER: Last week when Doug
Miller left the podium unprovoked and uncalled for Mrs. Fanucci said, "Well, I guess you started your campaign, Doug."

Uncalled for. You did nothing.

When I was talking to Mrs. Gatelli that evening she put her two cents in, you did nothing. When I walked away she made some kind of silly comment about getting roses for Valentine's Day.

MS. GATELLI: No, I didn't.

MS. FANUCCI: That was me.

MS. SPINDLER: I know, that's what I'm saying, yes. Mr. McGoff, again, you did
nothing, so I think you showed partiality toward council members and I forget the word --

MS. FANUCCI: Les, I invoke my freedom of speech as well, and I certainly will do it.

MR. SPINDLER: After I'm done.
MS. FANUCCI: Wrong.
MR. SPINDLER: Mr. McGoff, would you invoke the Rules of Council, please? I'm talking now, it's my time. Oh, and anyway, you don't deserve roses, Mrs. Fanucci. The only thing you deserve is poison ivy.

The next thing, last week there was a speaker here, a speaker criticizing our police department and our fire department. She was mad at a police officer for stopping her daughter who had four children, four kids in the back seat. Well, the policeman did his job. It's illegal to have four people in the back seat of a car, and then she went onto say that a Sewer Authority worker, which I have no disrespect towards Sewer Authority worker's, they say they are dangerous as a firemen, firemen risk their
lives every day when you go and fight a fire, I never heard of a Sewer Authority worker risking their life coming down the

```
sewer.
```

```
    Next thing, about a year ago,
Scranton Ford on Franklin Avenue bought the
building next to them, tore it down, and now
they park their cars there. I wasn't going
to say anything, but I think they have a
safety hazard there. They park their cars
right to the end of the street, right --
there is no sidewalk. You can't walk on the
sidewalk there because the streets are
blocked -- the cars are blocking them. Now,
you have to walk out in the street to get
around the cars, last week I almost get hit
by a car walking there. Isn't there some
kind of an ordinance where you can't put
cars blocking the sidewalk?
    MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
    MR. SPINDLER: Can we look into
that?
    MS. GATELLI: Is that in the back?
    MR. SPINDLER: Send an inspector or
-- pardon me?
```

MS. GATELLI: Is that in the back?
MR. SPINDLER: No, it's on Franklin Avenue.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Is it where the --

MR. SPINDLER: It's where the old
fire station used to be. It was a printing shop, they park their cars right to the edge, you got to walk all the way into the street to get around them, and I almost got hit by a car. I walk by there every single day back and forth to work, and I think there is something wrong with that. That's a safety hazard.

Lastly, I have to run out of here tonight, I have a Crime Watch meeting, does anybody know when these meetings are repeated so $I$ could watch the meeting again?

MS. FANUCCI: I don't know.
MS. GATELLI: I know tomorrow morning at 9:30.

MR. SPINDLER: Oh, I work. That won't be good.

MS. GATELLI: The tomorrow night at the same exact time.

MR. SPINDLER: Tomorrow night?

MS. GAWEL: No, it's 10:00 tomorrow then $I$ think it's noon Saturday and 8:00 on Sunday.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I can give you the
exact times, it's 10 a.m. tomorrow, it's
2:30 in the afternoon on Wednesday -- or on
Thursday, and it's 12:00 on Saturday and
it's 8:00 Sunday night.
MR. SPINDLER: Thank you.
MS. GATELLI: Isn't that scrolling on
the station?
MS. GAWEL: It does scroll.
MR. MCGOFF: Joanne Williams.
MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening, Council.
Joanne Williams, taxpayer and homeowner in
this great city of Scranton. After speaking
here last week, I received a letter of
harassment from whom I considered a coward
who had no courage to sign their name. I
have made copies to present to each of you.
May I approach? Is that okay to approach,
Mr. McGoff?
If anyone has a problem as to what I
stated at this podium we should be able to
discuss it as normal adults. I want to make
firefighters heroes, as I have stated last
week, but this coward heard what he wanted
to hear. In this letter they called me foul
names, used foul language, and they informed
me never to come back to a council meeting again. A point is proven. A selective few who are here every week, it's only a few, who do not want anyone in chamber who speaks positive about our city and mayor. It's starting to become a private club meeting. Instead, by law, it's a city council
meeting open to all to speak on city issues. Let's not allow a few cowards to grandstand here weekly. Enough is enough. It's time to focus on the positive in this city. Week after week all we hear is negative and put down. I have made a police report on this letter, they said it's harassment. And, Mr. Minora, if I may ask you for your legal advice, I'm contemplating turning this letter over to the district attorney; is that possible?

MR. MCGOFF: Attorney Minora
represents council.

MS. WILLIAMS: Well, all right.

Well, I have already spoken to my lawyer
also, so this letter -- this isn't going to stop here. This has to end. The first man who came up here and spoke about the First

Amendment, I have every right to come here and speak how I feel about this city, like it or not that's the way I feel. I do feel sorry for a few of you people sitting on council. Once again, you and the mayor were elected to serve us and some of you are so disrespected it is disgusting. I'm not alone here in this. I could show you letters of praise I get and people who stop me on the street and say -- pat me on the back and say, "Good job, Joanne, keep it up."

And just in closing $I$ just want to say thank God for this great city and it's great people. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs.
Williams. Bill Jackowitz.
MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz, South

Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. I probably got
about 15 to 20 letters threatening my house is going to be burned down and everything, so I don't worry about stuff like that.

Okay, you know, there is so much to say and so little time to speak about it up here. You probably all saw the headlines

not aware of it, but yet he has the gaul to blame the people. He is saying we need to educate our people more. Well, guess what? If there is no jobs here and there is no wages here you can have three masters degrees and you are going to be flipping
burgers at McDonalds or Wendy's because there is no place to get a job, and I'm not talking about jobs I'm talking about wages, okay?

You noticed Austin Burke and nobody ever uses the word wages. It's always jobs. Well, if you have a 6 or 7 dollar an hour job you are not making enough money to feed your family, to go on vacation, to do things that you would like to do.

So, again, Council President McGoff,
I respectfully request that you invite Austin Burke, the Chamber of Commerce and Mayor Doherty to a public hearing or a public caucus so citizens can address our leaders and make them aware of the terrible, terrible situation we have in Scranton and in Lackawanna County, and this is not based upon the current national situation. It's
been this way for five decades, 50 years. Isn't it about time that someone takes the bull by the horn. Again, please, Mr. McGoff, I'm requesting that you invite Austin Burke, Chamber of Commerce, the mayor and anyone else who can help us get jobs, paying jobs in this city.

Okay, now, Al Boscov. I see they made $\$ 28$ million in the a month of December, can we send Al Boscov a letter requesting our $\$ 3$ million back? He made a profit of 28 million in one month. If we have given him the three million, $I$ don't know if we have or we haven't, but if we have given him that \$3 million can we please send Al Boscov a letter requesting the taxpayers' money back, because $\$ 28$ million in one month is a pretty good chunk of profit I think. So again, you know, what's fair is fair and what's right it right, okay?

And I'm going to predict that the unemployment rate by the end of the year, the next one will be up over 8 percent, and I'm further going to predict by June we are going to be at 10 percent. This has been
going on forever. Forever. And our Chamber of Commerce will state that they know nothing about it. We were shocked. We were blindsided. Read the paper, Courtright, that's where it's at, Buddy. You are looking at me like I'm making this up. I'm not making this up.

And speaking of the paper, I hope the Times and Tribune and the Times Leader will actually take the time to do some investigating reporting and really look into the situation and not buy into the sound bites they get from the mayor and Austin Burke. Really take the time to investigate it. See who is right. Them or me. I'm asking you, the Times-Tribune and the Times Leader, do some investigating reporting and find out for sure. We have been at the top of the unemployment list, lowest wages in the state, everything, and I'm just going to ask one request from the mayor, from the Chamber of Commerce and from Scranton City Council, fix it.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Jackowitz. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I have been coming to this podium for maybe at least ten years, but I don't know why some people get derogatory. I have never had got derogatory committed to me, nobody ever sent anything bad to me. As long as you tell the truth and you don't make up stories, and I
try to give you the truth as best as I can, but coming before you now is, I don't know, under -- I plan to bring it before you, but it's the "F" word which means fighting KOZ. North Scranton has sat idle for ten years. Now, KOZ's are being renewed and many KOZ projects nothing has been done with it other than the fact is they haven't paid taxes. The tax burden has shifted from the manufacturing and from people in the system to the people. I believe if it wasn't for a lot of our nonprofits and the KOZ's you would have no need for that 25 percent hike. When this came up I said that, many, many years before anybody -- probably any of you were even on that spot, I said all of the KOZ's are going to do in this city is raise
the taxes for the little people, and they have, and the taxes will consider when the new KOZ coming in, I left some copies, I think with the Neil. I don't know if you all got them.

MS. GATELLI: Yes.
MR. SBARAGLIA: But the importance of is it could push out the 27 years without
paying taxes. Like I said before, I would
have paid -- at the current rate I would
have paid about $\$ 50,000$, but there is lot of
people in this city who paid 100,000 or even
more. You got to reign in the KOZ's because
the law is flawed. They didn't restrict
people from moving to one area of the city
to the other area of the city to avoid
paying taxes. They never went around and
checked on if the KOZ's were doing what they
were supposed to be doing.
The guy said at a meeting, I don't
know if you were even around then, but he
said if they got the KOZ, that's it. They
didn't have to adhere to some of the points
in the law. There is one $K O Z$ in Scranton
where there is a fence around it, and that's
it. That's all that was done. A fence was put around the property, and you know what was done at the height of the Junior High School, if it wasn't for public money that was poured into that project it wouldn't even had a roof, and that's a sad part of this whole deal. Not only do they want the KOZ they want the people to fund that KOZ and when people move into that $K O Z$ they
don't pay taxes.
I don't know how much more you can put on the people of Scranton. You have really overload our backs. We are bending back real bad, we are all becoming stoop backed from the tax burden placed upon us. And what's happening with the economy is even worse, there is a lot of people that aren't going to make it and it's just sad, but it's going to happen. I already see layoffs coming from even the department stores they are starting to layoff, the papers are always talking about layoffs, and these things are happening, but no one has figured a way to lower our tax burden, and without that lowering of our tax burden a keep up their homes. If you were read about, well, the gas company, they want a big raise. It's not time to be raising our gas. It's time for the government to step up. I know some of these things you can't do, but the government should step up to the plate and do something.

You had that Comcast meeting, I didn't sit and listen to it because $I$ went through it all before for hours when we had it at the library with Spano, and it was a good one, and the questions were what she said then, we can't do nothing about the funding. You are going to pay that rate and that's it. But somewhere along the line you should request the Housing Authority that maybe they put up an antenna and because of the cost there is a lot of people would get satellite cheaper than Comcast and the city would lose money, but they should have that option like $I$ have an option. I thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn. Taxpayers' Association. Mrs. Evans, I want to thank you for bringing out the debt that is in the city, okay? You know, the taxpayers appreciate that because, actually, it was never really explained in those details and you explained it because otherwise they usually sideskirt the authorities, but you specifically said that the authorities are the responsibility of the cities, the
taxpayers.
MS. EVANS: You are welcome, and I
hope next week to have an additional comparison for you.

MR. QUINN: Thank you again. What I want to -- from what I understand was $\$ 159,340,000$ and that's what the -- that's without the 2008 refinancing and letter of credit --

MS. EVANS: And that's the principle only.

MR. QUINN: Principle only, yeah. Okay, so that is our debt, how did we get like that, you know? I'll tell you, one way I know how we get like that, okay, is during

Mayor Doherty's term he gave an incentive package to people in the clerical union to leave and what he did is doubled the pension, so if somebody was going to get a \$1,000 pension, they left -- for life, they left with $\$ 2,000$. Now, that means that that's costing the city $\$ 800,000$ a year. $\$ 800,000$ a year. That's how we go into debt by just frivolousness, not thinking and causing this problem, and you know what?

The people that are were laid off -- not laid off, that got that incentive package and got a good deal, they double dipped. They are working down the school district. They went out the back door. Out the front door here and in the back door. I mean, this is unbelievable.

I brought this to the attention of the school board last night and they didn't say anything, they agreed, you know, they never publish -- they never publish when a job was open or whatever or who gives it, you know? But anyway, the school district mand we spoke about the KOZ's, they tabled the KOZ's, thank God. We just can't afford
it. With this debt that we owe we can't afford to give anybody free taxes.

Now, this Bewitched over there, that was a KOZ, now are they going to get seven years because Kildaire's are going to go in there, an extension? How do you know?

MS. FANUCCI: How do I know? Are you
asking me?
MR. QUINN: Yeah.
MS. FANUCCI: Well, we would have to
extend per project. It's just not a full
fledge extension.
MR. QUINN: Well, they will get it, don't worry, but thank you.

MS. FANUCCI: Well, you know better than me, Oz.

MR. QUINN: Okay, you know, then they are saying 1,000 jobs and you don't know how it's going to be transferred there. It's ridiculous. You know, it's really ridiculous, and the fact is now we have like with our debt, with the school board's debt, which is 144 million some hundred odd thousand dollars, and the county 220, we are over half a million dollars in principle,
but we owe, the taxpayers. This is ridiculous. This is crazy. How are we going to pay it as Andy said? It's just -it's unheard of in a community of this size. We got to do something about it and I hope that something is done by this council, that they get a little more thrifty on things that come up before them.

Now, last night I went up to the school board meeting, they passed a resolution where they hired an association
known as the Northwest Pennsylvania
Incubator Association from Erie, and you
know what they do? They go out and they
purchase the delinquent taxes for the school
district and they do it for three years and each year it's $\$ 5,720,000$ no penalties. I
asked if there was any penalty, no
penalties. No poor soul on making $\$ 8,000$ a
year on social security is going to get
taken over to Keyser Avenue and wind up with
\$1,500 penalties. It's unbelievable. So
why don't you start trying to do that for --
look into that again, Mr. McGoff, why don't
you try to a little lead. I'm sick of the
triangle between what's going on with you
three, and actually the quadrangle, and
Mayor Doherty. It's getting out of hand, and the other fact is here. I want to speak -- oh, I do want to mention one other anyone.

The freeze $I$ saw in the paper is now lifted from DCED, lack of communication, but one thing I did learn, too, and I never knew is that in 2006 -- no, 2007, March 2007, July 2008, and August 2008, they were lacking communications then, too. There

```
    were froze then. A lack of communication or
    lack of competence.
    MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
    MR. QUINN: And the Hill
Neighborhood Association Housing Blight, one
second -- there is two --
    MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Quinn, you have five
minutes.
    MR. QUINN: Two inspectors that were
    just joined, two new housing inspectors
    joined, okay? They are going to South Side
    where Judy is again. There is the triangle
    again.
```

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Quinn.
MR. QUINN: And none are going up to
the Hill Section and we are very
disappointed that nobody else is getting it but South Side. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
Reverend Simmons.

MS. SIMMONS: Good evening, Council
members, my name is Reverend Kathryn
Simmons, and I'm a life-long Scranton resident. I have not been here in quite sometime because I believe that unless you
have something productive to say or ask for you shouldn't come. You shouldn't waste people's valuable time. Also, I spend 12 hours a day ministering to the homeless shelter, to Scranton's homeless population, to Lackawanna Prison Ministry and Florence-Midtown Crime Watch and this is down seven days per week, 12 sometimes 14 hours a day. And, no, I don't get paid for any of it except from my father above.

On January 18, 19 and 20, a great celebration took place in my church, in my community, and even in my family. I don't
believe $I$ have to describe the reason for the celebration. Unless someone was in a coma, everyone knows what took place that weekend.

On January the 19th at Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King Junior's service, I took a moment and thanked our mayor, Chris Doherty, for all that he had done this past year to help the homeless community. I don't want to hear from anybody about what he didn't do, I know what he has done because I'm out there and I work there, okay?

Afterward, I received a phone call
telling me I should never again praise the mayor, and I had no right to speak for, and I quote, the colored people. Well, I'm here tonight to say that my purpose in coming here over the years has nothing to do with politics. Never has been. I'm not a political person. Everyone or anyone who has heard me over the years knows I come to this lectern only when I feel I have something productive to say.

I'm a great supporter of the unions.

That will never change. Every time I hear a siren or alarm or I hear a school bus pull up, my thought immediately goes to the hope that my father God will find finally intercede and bring peace between the city and those are in position.

I do not seek out and nor look for a friend because we only think and or believe alike. I respect all of my fellow citizens, and this past presidential election has shown that there is no more my people, there is only our people.

I fear no one so you can tell
whatever you want to tell me because I know who protects me and I will continue to thank persons such as Scranton firefighter Dave Gervasi, Larry Moran, Scranton police officers Jill Foley and Lieutenant Leonard Numeika and, yes, even Mayor Doherty. The hungry will eat tonight, the dirty will take a shower. The naked will be able to get some clean clothes and those that are ill will get medical attention, and when the dark of night descends and there is no place left for them to wander to, there is a
building in place where they can get a cot and a blanket and all of this is done because of people such as those that I just mentioned.

I say to you tonight from this
lectern, don't ever approach me again to show hatred because $I$ am not afraid of you. Don't ever tell me again $I$ can't speak for your people. You have no people. We are all one and always have been. The love I have for my city will go on until I myself am called home.

So on February the 24th at 7:00 I ask you to show your support of the homeless
and the poor and the needy. Purchase a table at a dinner that we are having to help waylay the costs of running a shelter. There is going to be some awards given out that evening, some people will be uplifted by them. United States Senator Robert Casey will receive an award; Mr. Patrick McMann will receive an award, and our beloved Reverend Daniel O'Waters, Jr. will receive an award, but also Mayor Chris Doherty will receive an award, and if you want to know
why come on over to 716 North Washington Avenue. Any night 32 or 33 people will show you why. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Reverend
Simmons. Lee Morgan?
MR. MORGAN: Hello, Council. The
first thing I have here is I can't
understand why there is a delay with ECTV, and $I$ really think it does a disjustice, misjustice to the people in the neighborhood to like to see this live. I just can't understand why there is still technical problems. One other thing I have here is NCC. Now, today -- well, not today, two
days ago I received a bill from NCC for $\$ 249$ for a garbage fee. I have a receipt for the fee. I called them. The person I needed to talk to wanted me to leave a voicemail, but that's not the whole problem, that's just a very small part of the problem.

I called the city today about the fee, \$249, and I stated to them that I had paid in fee for the properties I owned and I received a bill for one and I paid them all at the same time. Now, the problem with the
city is they destroy their receipt book at the end of the year. Now, I mean, that's what this individual told me that as of the end of 2008 they destroy their receipt book, so if you are a person that paid your waste removal fee and you lost your receipt and NCC comes to you and they tell you you owe us $\$ 249$ and you lose your receipt then you can't prove you paid it, so then you pay it twice.

MS. FANUCCI: That's incorrect.
MR. MORAN: Well --
MS. FANUCCI: The receipt book is not destroyed at the end of the year.

MR. MCGOFF: I was told the receipt
was destroyed. I was told that today.
MS. FANUCCI: Then you received the
wrong information.
MR. MORGAN: Well, I don't know. You
know, I called the people who should know
what's going on.
MS. FANUCCI: You are wrong.
MR. MORGAN: Okay. Well, maybe I'm
wrong, but you know something, I only know
what people who tell me who work in those
departments.
MS. FANUCCI: Do you have the name
of who told you that that works in the
department?
MR. MORGAN: No. No.
MS. FANUCCI: I'm sure you don't.
Thank you.
MR. MORGAN: Well, it doesn't make
one I'm saying to be invalid, it just means
that I didn't remember the person's name.
And I said to this person, "How can you
destroy a receipt book?" "That's
procedure."
That's what I was told, okay, and
I'm really troubled by that because in my
own opinion the city is seriously
mismanaged. That's not a cheap shot at any single individual, but we have statements made from council itself, council members, and I'm not going to single out any single council member, but $I$ believe $I$ read it last week in the Scranton Times about slum lords. Give you something to think about, is it possible that the mis management of this city has lead to it's demise, and it has
very little to do with individuals who have come here and bought properties?

I have gone through South Side, I have gone through other parts of the city, we have an awful lot of rental properties condemned even across from Scranton Dog and Cat Hospital all over the place. These are people that invested in this city. I just can't understand it. There seems to be a war on people who want to invest here, but we seem to have a lot of money for special interest people, whether like Andy Sbaraglia said, KOZ's or other people who come here and they get grants, but any time there is a small investor who comes here and puts all of his assets on the line it's a terrible

> thing because they lose them all. Now, I have a house up on East Locust Street, there is five houses on that one block, maybe six for sale. One of them has been for sale for five years, and some of them, I hate to say this, but there is only five or six for sale, but some are empty. They have nobody in them. I mean, it's the most troubling thing, and my
question is as we tear these houses down, does the city maintain the lot after they tear the house down or is does the grass grow up waist length or higher?

Are there any curbs and sidewalks in this stay? What infrastructure repairs or revitalization are going on in this city? I mean, I see a lot of fees, we are talking about a franchise fee here, we are talking about taxation, but what's going to the ordinary taxpayers who own all of these homes in the city? We have come to the point where we are hiring inspectors to go in and try to in my opinion condemn as many properties at possible because the population base has actually fled the city.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Morgan. Hopefully one of the future speakers will address the receipt book problem. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council, Doug Miller, Scranton. I was driving into the city today coming off the expressway near Moosic Street, and certainly received a big wake-up call as I was greeted by one of
the Scranton big famous potholes. Certainly a nice Scranton greeting as usual, and I think we can all agree that we won't have to worry about anybody falling asleep behind the wheel as we are driving through town.

Regarding 61, some issues were raised with 61 earlier. It came to my attention and I realized we only have one camera here. I can recall in the past we had various cameras around the room that focused in on the meeting from different angles, so maybe Council can address this issue in the future, maybe I missed out on the details.

Regarding agenda Item 7-A, you know, I'd truly like to know when the city is going to receive the thousands of dollars
that were owed from the Ice Box. It certainly was a poor decision by previous council to approve the sale of this piece of land for a dollar to begin with. You know, I believe that this deal certainly begs for an investigation.

I'm certainly not here to apologize, however, I believe the statements that I
made here last week were taken out of context by this council. Regarding the issue of the raises, no matter what money was given back, and I'm not going to argue that it was certainly a good gesture, but the bottom line is the raises were on the table and I do feel that the mayor's raise should have been addressed as well as the controller's raise.

As for the University, my comments were only an add-on to all of council members to ask the University to consider expanding their project by traveling all over the city cutting trees and installing lights. Those statements were aimed at all for council, not just one member.

I opposed the cancellation of the
meetings, we only need three members from majority. Any council member can vote on legislation the way they feel is necessary, but the bottom line is they chambers should never be closed to the public. Council was elected to serve at the pleasure of the people. Those were the issues that I had with this council last week.
arguments, there is no reason to. I want to see this city move forward just as anyone else does, but if $I$ see things $I$ don't like along the way $I$ 'm going to raise the issues. I spent two years on this junior council as president, served along with other high school students. As we know, it was supposed to be an internship for each of us to work with council, but we know that never happened, and I'm still disappointed, as you know, that this council has not put in any effort to restoring this council. We see areas around us that followed our lead and here we are at this date no high school students at the table, but the experiences and observations and actions of this council is what motivated me to come before you here
and address issues that $I$ believe to be discussed openly and forthright. Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. Bob Bolus, Scranton. First off, does anyone have an answer to my questions that I raised
last week about the balance of the golf course money from the first time it was put in, wherever it went, for the $\$ 3$ million including the interest to date, and I'd ask that and I would like to really know where it is and what's it's accrued since it's been put away there, and $I$ intend to ask every chance I get where it's gone.

Next, I brought up about windmills, somebody should research about putting windmills around the area to help defray the cost of operating the city and give taxpayers a break. I also brought up about the leachate line and that will be asked time and time again.

I'd like to see you bring impact fees on Lackawanna Avenue. There is a development there, there has been traffic
tie-ups and everything, those are the realistic part about what an impact fee is. On Washington Avenue where the parking garage is there should be an impact fee. They are done everywhere else, but Scranton, we don't do it. We want the taxpayers to pay.

Thought possibly about raising the tax on liquor being sold in the city. The governor wants to raise it on cigarettes, I haven't seen anybody getting a DUI smoking a cigarette unless it was a joint, but we have seen a lot more problems with alcohol, okay? So maybe someone would want to go look at it.

Another thing I think we should do, and this is important, that we need to look at grants to possibly save the Catholic churches in this community. Marino has taken it upon himself to literally close what we have all grown up with for generations. I think it's wrong. I don't think he should have the power to do what he is doing. I believe it has to be something we have to take charge of and it should be done through council or through the city or
through the county commissioners. There are grants that are out there. We give the Connell Building away, we waived hundreds of thousands of dollars in taxes, we gave them \$4 million so these people could afford to pay the taxes and they could afford to pay
for the building. They have a place up off 81 there that is still vacant for how many years, the old Corning Building, so it's not like they were out of money. So we need to go on that part that I'm looking at.

Now, I'm going to get a little technical here tonight, it was something that happened last week that I'm going to raise this issue. On candidates, Mrs. Fanucci, are you going be a candidate this year?

MS. FANUCCI: I haven't made my
formal announcement yet, so when I do I certainly will let you know.

MR. BOLUS: Well, let see say this, the statements you made last week regarding Doug Miller, I think you were out of order with and the reason I'm --

MS. FANUCCI: Is he not running
though?
MR. BOLUS: Pardon?

MS. FANUCCI: He is running for
council? I mean, it wasn't out of order --
MR. BOLUS: Yeah, that's fine.
MS. FANUCCI: -- he came to the
podium and said something. It was already known.

MR. BOLUS: It was the way it was brought up, and I'm going to raise an issue here because I think you were out of order and saying an issue about who is running as a candidate and wether they can speak here. I want to set the record straight. Council members every time they have ran here have been on this seat, have used that forum to their own benefit to run for office right where they are sitting. Every member running for reelection is a candidate, yet you get to speak about council issues and some personal issues. Nobody faults it, but what you have to understand, people running while they are running, do we ever see council members come back here? Very rarely after they have left this seat.

MS. FANUCCI: We have one that comes
back a lot.

MR. BOLUS: Very rarely you see
them. Very, very rarely once they go they
don't come back, but this kid showed up here
two years. I'm not here defending him, I
think he could do a pretty darn good job and his own.

As far as a candidate that is running for public office coming before council and council denying them the right to speak, it has already been tried to Court and they were defeated. I was that person that defeated them, okay?

MS. FANUCCI: I wasn't looking to
stop people from coming, I was looking from
stopping it to be a quorum just for political activity constantly, and $I$ was more worried about what the law was with camera time because I knew that was something -MR. BOLUS: Well, I don't think that's even an issue and that's where I was going.

MS. FANUCCI: Well, we did figure
that out last week, so you are coming here

```
with something we already talked about.
    MR. BOLUS: Well, that's why I'm here
to set the record straight whether it was
figured out or not. It really wasn't cast
in stone, but I don't even think it was an
```

issue that should have been raised by you, and I have a lot of respect for you and every council member here, whether we are in chambers or outside of the door, but I think there is one thing here that people have to say, and I think that goes for everybody in this administration or the city or political office, and it's one $I$ was raised with and it was recommended many, many times with, it said engage the brain before putting the mouth in gear. We all need to think about what we are saying before we say it and we won't have these issues. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

Mrs. Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie
Schumacher, resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. Mrs. Gatelli, last week as I was leaving the podium after harping about the status of the resolution on the city's neighborhood stabilization
application you questioned my South Side credentials as I had spent a number of years out of town. I chose to leave Scranton to seek employment based on my God given
ability and my parents' investment in my education instead of staying in Scranton so I wouldn't have to kiss somebody's butt or sell my soul to get a decent job.

That does not mean I'm ignorant.
Mrs. Gatelli, there were several rather famous retail businesses in South Side such as Kolomark's Bakery, Goodhinz and Schumacher's Meat Market. I would expect anyone with the knowledge of South Side to know the family name is Schumachers not Schumacher.

I grew up in the heart of the Elm Street project area and stayed connected through the family business. I am well aware of what happened to South Scranton. I still worship in South Side, my auto mechanic is in South Side as our many friends, my bank and my hairdresser, and all of my personal services.

Even if I hadn't stayed connected, I
have been back in Scranton five years and it doesn't take that long to observe what has happened and why. I would love to see South Side return to the wonderful neighborhood in
which I grew up.
On October 29 of last year, I attended a meeting on the Elm Street project in South Side at which a professional firm provided the results of a survey of what people wanted done with the Elm Street funding. The results of their survey included the fact that only 2 percent of the survey responders want the library, but who is listening. They will get what others want for them as a library continues to be a priority for the mayor.

At the last library board meeting held in Scranton, it was reported that the mayor has been lobbying Senator Casey to insure the library is in the stimulus package, and the board is thinking about dipping into reserve to rush blueprints so the project is shovel ready. All of this for a project desired by 2 percent. I do believe that it is unfair to the neighborhood stabilization proposal to

```
desire to spend the entire $3 million in
South Scranton.
```

    In last year's state of the city
    address, Mr. Doherty laid out plans for West Scranton that included knocking down 30 dilapidated structures in West Side, so it would make sense to at least at a minimum share that $\$ 3$ million with West Side, if not the entire city.

The rental registration has been on the books since I believe July of 2007 , and yet it has yet to be enforced. That is something that could help the entire city. Now, to a few other loose ends and items. Last month Mayor Doherty committed -- excuse me, maybe it was December, committed $\$ 3$ million to a Section 108 loan to Boscov's NUCO. I believe city council is required to approve Section 108 loans, so I wonder when this loan will appear on the agenda. Maybe this can be addressed in motions.

Last week at the school board meeting, Scranton Chamber's Austin Burke reported the city has given $\$ 225,000$ for the

```
Mt. Pleasant Corporate Center
infrastructure. I don't believe that items
has come before council as yet either and I
```

would like to know when that might occur or when it occurred if I did miss it. I believe it was two weeks ago that Mr. Burke made his stealthy visit to a council caucus regarding extending $K O Z$ status for this project. When will that be on the agenda? And then just a few words on KOZ's and KOEZ's. For instance, the Moon Shine Theatre has had KOZ status since the beginning. They have had one production at this facility and they do not bother to show them their snow from their sidewalks. I could go down the entire list of KOZ's and KOEZ's, but I hope that you are as deadset against approving any extensions for KOZ's as I am in opposing them. There are many KOZ properties which have had nothing built on them, and would be eligible or over the ten-acre minimum and they would be eligible, and I don't know how you would say no to one or yes to one and then no to all the rest, so you are opening a Pandora's box if approve just one. There are a lot of

KOEZ's, as I say, such as the Moonshine Theatre which has had one single production,

Schumacher. Stephanie Gawel.

MS. GAWEL: Good evening, Council.

First off, I'm a happy girl. Steelers. I got to kind of pickup, I never hang around after I speak, I have to get home, I couldn't believe what I heard last week finishing watching the meeting when I got home. I can't believe we are going to have the mayor or any other untrained person manning the police and fire department. I mean, that doesn't even make sense to me. We need the police and fire. They are semi-military who serve the city with honor and sacrifice and courage. We should be thankful that they are there, and we should thank god that they are there, which I do ever day by the way. But, are they fighting this, do we know? MS. FANUCCI: I think Mr. Gervasi could probably tell you better. I'm not
sure what their plans are. I would imagine that they are going to Court with it. I
would think since that's what their
statements are in the paper and I'm sure that it's --

MS. GAWEL: Oh, it's just -- I
appreciate Mrs. Williams' opinion, and like her I would love to talk about the good things of the city which are the architecture that we keep tearing down and, you know, that's sort of stuff, but we have issues that need to be settled.

The police and firemen are in more danger on a regular basis, especially nowadays with the drug houses and the drug dealers, the houses could blowup very easily from a Meth lab. The policemen could get killed very easily with fully automatic weapons out there, so I just disagree with her a little bit.

I'd also like to get back to the safety issues that $I$ was discussing. Just could you humor me on this, Mr. Courtright, would you explain to everybody out there what a defibrillator does?

MR. COURTRIGHT: I don't know if I
could give it justice, but it shocks the
heart.

MS. GAWEL: Yes, it's a lifesaving
device, would that --
MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes, there is one right in the hall.

MS. GAWEL: Would that be fair to say
though, it's a lifesaving device?

MR. COURTRIGHT: I would say, so, yes.

MS. GAWEL: And past practice is we had one in every police car.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Not every police car. We had at least on each side of the river.

MS. GAWEL: And they functioned?
MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
MS. GAWEL: Do we have that now?

MR. COURTRIGHT: No.

MS. GAWEL: No. Do we have oxygen in the cars?

MR. COURTRIGHT: Not to my
knowledge, no.
MS. GAWEL: Again, safety device?
MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.

MS. GAWEL: Okay. Now, maybe it's
only my opinion, but I will --

MS. GATELLI: Excuse me for one minute, do we have ADD's in any fire trucks? MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm sorry, I don't know the answer to that. I don't believe we do, do we? Does rescue have one?

MR. GERVASI: We have some, I'm not sure how many are actually functioning right now.

MR. COURTRIGHT: This is certainly a legitimate complaint. We should have ADD's out there. I just got trained in it not too long ago. It's pretty simple.

MS. GAWEL: Here is my point behind this, my point is that 99.9 percent of the time the cops are there first, they are trained to give the First Aid, they are usually going to beat the fire department there, they are going to beat any ambulances there because they are in the neighborhoods, they are going to get there first, they should have these.

MR. COURTRIGHT: I agree with you, yes, and we did have them and why we don't have them now is --

MS. GATELLI: Can we find that out? MR. COURTRIGHT: What happened is -MS. GAWEL: Go ahead. MR. COURTRIGHT: I don't want to take your time. What happened, we had them, the batteries either died and some of them were damaged and we never replaced them. Some people would say that some of them were damaged purposely, I don't think we can prove that. The batteries are -- I know, I'm just telling you what $I$ was told, the batteries die and they are expensive and we didn't purchase any.

I believe there was a gentleman willing to donate one from a company, I'm not going to say his name on the air because it's somebody running for office and I will get yelled at, and $I$ think we need them and I will ask Director Hayes why we don't have them in there and if he is going to do anything about putting them in there.

MS. GAWEL: Again, I'm sure you can get these things through grants or at least, you know.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Right. I have no
good answer for you. We should have them. I apologize.

MS. GAWEL: Thank you. I would like to move onto something else. I would also like to know when and where are we going to replace the Schmidt Complex? I don't understand why this mayor could and did give away the complex. It was dedicated to the Mayor Schmidt. It was built for the children of the lower section of South Side and West Side. Is it ever getting replaced? Okay. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to -MS. GATELLI: I think it's still in litigation. That's why nothing is done I don't think. I think it's in litigation. Can you hear me?

MS. GAWEL: Yes.

MS. GATELLI: I'm sorry, I think the
voice travels around there. MS. GAWEL: I'd also like to know -okay, I'll get back to it. Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Gawel. Dave Dobson.

MS. GATELLI: You know, before he comes up, Bob, I don't know if anyone is
interested, but maybe we can set up some ADD CPR lessons for people that come here. I don't know if anybody in this room is certified, Bill and I are, I don't know how many else are, but it's a question with a lot of people here all of the time that people should be certified, so maybe if some of you are interested we can set something up one night a week or something, it only takes a few hours so.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff almost gave me a heart attack with that gavel before.

MS. GATELLI: Yeah, I think it's the first time he used it. Anyway, if anyone is interested tell us after the meeting and maybe we could set up something for ADD training, I'm sorry, Mr. Dobson.

MR. DOBSON: That's okay. Dave Dobson, resident of Scranton, associate with the Taxpayers' Association. In listening to our Comcast caucus tonight, the thought occurred to me and something council could help out with, trying to get Channel 61 and 62 finally settled on basic service. You
have to have at least intermediate service to receive this and that already puts you at about --

MR. COURTRIGHT: Excuse me, Mr. Dobson, I asked that woman that question and she said that the package that would be coming up $\$ 16$ would carry Channel 61. That's the question I asked her.

MR. DOBSON: That's not what is I was told. They are trying to do it, but there has been other issues there. That extra channel that they had to broadcast took up I think Channel 11 or something like that, so it was being announced that it was Channel 11. Well, it can't be 11 anymore, so they are working towards it, but it's something that you people could try and facilitate and expedite it would be a big help. People wouldn't have to pay as much if they would just were interested in local programming.

On our president's stimulus plan, a thought occurred to me the other day and it was mentioned by another speaker here, sewer and water separation should be part of our shovel ready economic stimulus package
eligible for money and at over $\$ 100$ million to separate these, the sewer and the drainage water, I think that would be a very meritorious cause to spend that money on and try an acquire money for 100 million dollars. Every time somebody is up here they are complaining that we have a \$100 million debt here or there, well, we are going to have over a 100,00 debt with that, and if that money comes down the pike it would be awful nice to see it spent on something that isn't going to -- the gift that keeps on giving as opposed to other things.

On this library, the one issue I have with it is that bus service does not, to the best of my knowledge, go by there. It would outrule handicapped people and people without cars. It would also there are no -- in that section of town the sidewalks are not mobile wheelchair ready at all, so they would have to be made that way, but basically it would require you getting a transfer ticket and I think the nearest place you could hop off at would be Alder
Street and Pittston Avenue, so any
consideration on that library location
should be coordinated with the Colts bus
company to get them to try and alter their
routes and make it possible for people that
lack transportation to come down.
And on these tax exempts, I had an
idea the other day, possibly colleges and
hospitals getting together with you people,
I forget what the number of the bill was,
Janet, do you still remember that?
MS. EVANS: I know what you are
talking about. I think that actually died
in the last session. It can't be brought up
once again. In other words, it wasn't
addressed and so the legislative session
ended and now if one of the legislators will
sponsor it and --
MR. DOBSON: It will have a new
number then.
MS. EVANS: -- pull the banner --
that I'm not sure.
MR. DOBSON: Because what we need is
some of the people that are benefiting from
this, well, of course, we all benefit from a
hospital or a college or whatever, but the benefits are limited. We need somebody to get -- of these people to get together short of you people finally refusing to give them or let them go tax exempt, this block or that block and get our compensation for it, and I feel it's their duty to be a little more cooperative in lobbying these legislators to get these bills passed. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. MR. DOBSON: It's involves their future as well as ours. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. MCGOFF: David Bergerhoff. MR. BERGERHOFF: Hello. David

Bergerhoff, North Scranton. First of all, I would to like thank all of for your service. Although many citizens disagree with your politics and take umbrage with your lack of success, I don't think anybody out there intended this to turn out the way it has in many a weeks.

I'm not in your position and, therefore, I'm not going to pretend to know any better than anybody up there standing on
he who knows best knows he knows too little.

Some of you made statements a few weeks ago though, and that's what I'm here for tonight because with the election not too far off $I$ wanted you to elaborate on those comments, and I hope you can be honest in the responses and you don't take this the wrong way because there is no other way for me to word these and I wish you would speak out after I concluded or when you wish to at the end of the meeting.

Mrs. Evans, you had stated that family comes first no matter what regardless of which job, even council. Although, I appreciate your love of family I ask what family issues and how serious an issue need be before you neglect the council's duties and the public interest and, therefore, all our families. After all, when by son gets sick I still have to go work and most of us still do. Where did you draw the line and how do you justify the decision?

And, finally, it has been said that you are a grandstander. I tend to agree.
getting others to join you and vote with you sand how do you explain your inability to persuade your colleagues. And it's not an insult, I'm sure you have a reason why they don't agree with you and I would love to hear your answer.

MS. GATELLI: Councilwoman Gatelli is not here, but I'm going to read this and then perhaps she will respond to it later. A few weeks ago she defended Zach Connors, and $I$ hate to bring this back up, but she did chastise the citizens for their responses. She then went on to create his biography and stated he deserved a chance. Does that mean she believed that no other candidates deserve the chance? Does she really believe that this hire wasn't simply a political move to win favor with Jim Connors and his supporters for Mayor Doherty's reelection? Does anybody up there truly believe that?

Finally, she talked about Zach's
three years of college as a resume enhancement. I would disagree and I believe
it's indication of one's inability to finish something that they had started.

Mr. McGoff, you're obviously not going to be up for reelection from what I hear, but that doesn't mean I agree you should be up there. If Mayor Doherty had a large enough right pocket, I believe you would live there. I don't trust you, but since you are council president I do have two questions directly related to city issues. One, will Goodwill complete the work on the North Scranton eyesore, the middle school; and second, when will the city complete the work on the sewer system which as discontinued dumping raw sewage directly into the Lackawanna River, a place close to my home, close to my heart and just another victim of the city's bureaucracy.

Council Courtright, you seem like a nice guy. The public seems to like you, my parents like you, you seem patient and friendly, you come across well on the television. However, you throw your hands in the air without questioned about the lack of maintenance in public areas or in a lot
steps at city hall the night of the protest. It wasn't funny. Yet you quickly jot down the name of private citizens and businesses so you can send enforcements to their homes. Where is that assertiveness when it comes to the public employees. I do not believe, as you have stated yourself, that you have the ability and I don't believe you have the temperament to get those employees to do their jobs and, therefore, perhaps you don't have the possible capabilities of doing this job.

And, finally, Mrs. Fanucci, although

I could not hear all of what's said
regarding bartenders and hairdressers a couple of weeks ago, I inferred you are one or both, I'm not sure, but I believe are professions are worthy ones and I commend anyone for earning an honest living. However, I believe the point may have been that there are different levels of professional and codes of conducts for bartenders and hairdressers and that of
council persons. You may be a good hairdresser, you may be a great bartender, God knows you serve up enough wine, but to
be blunt, you are not a good council person. The citizens at home don't get to see the expressions of council to citizen's statements, but sitting here I do. I saw the faces you made when it was suggested you may not be reelected. It appeared you could care less, and I'm glad because I hope you will not be, and although I understand you were voted to this position, I remind you that just because one has many followers does not necessarily make them a good leader. I apologize for my honesty, but not for speaking the truth, and although I do not need a response from you, Mrs. Fanucci, I can only be too sure you will have something to say.

One final note on that "F" word and freedom of speech, again, Gatelli is not here, I don't understand how the federal government has no authority over the way we speak and protected speech and yet you guys think you can pass rules that basically put
brought in front of court if it ever became necessary, because $I$ would like to see you arrest someone for it and see it really being prosecuted, because as I was shown, and $I$ read a lot of stuff on the First Amendment, I'd have to question. I didn't sign any documents besides putting my name on the list to speak here today, I don't see anything stating that I'm not legally bound to it or adhere to any rules besides the basic decorum, so therefore, I will -- I take some, you know, umbrage with the fact that she stated that that's not possible.

And I'd just like to end with something here, it's from Mother Theresa: People are often unreasonable, irrational, and self-centered; forgive them anyway. If you are kind, people may accuse you of selfish, ulterior motives; be kind anyway. If you are successful, you will win some unfaithful friends and some genuine enemies; succeed anyway. If you are honest and
sincere, people may deceive you; be honest and sincere anyway. What you spend years creating, others could destroy overnight; create anyway.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr.
Bergerhoff.
MR. BERGERHOFF: Thank you.
MR. MCGOFF: And perhaps you should look at the concept of limited rights.

MR. BERGERHOFF: Pardon me? I missed that, sir.

MR. MCGOFF: You can get on the replay. Mr. Talimini.

MR. BERGERHOFF: Please repeat it. You spoke to me I missed that.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Talimini.
MR. BERGERHOFF: Repeat that, please.
MS. FANUCCI: I love the irony of him Mother Theresa.

MR. BERGERHOFF: Well, I love the irony of --

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, Mr. Bergerhoff. Thank you.

MR. BEGERHOFF: She spoke and addressed me, I think I have a right --

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Talimini.
MS. FANUCCI: I'm not addressing you, I'm addressing the public.

MR. BERGERHOFF: I'm not surprised
by your statements, let me just put it that way.

MR. MCGOFF: Please.
MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini. Tough act to follow.

MR. MCGOFF: Not really. MR. TALIMINI: What can $I$ say. First of all, I'd like you to know a very important thing happened today on a call this morning, the passing of Mr. Miller Fuller, I don't know if any of you know who he is or not. Mr. Fuller back in 1976 along with an interracial gentleman started Habitat for Humanity in Meritus, Georgia. Miller is four years younger than I am. I know him and his wife Linda very well, and the sudden passage it's a terrible loss because I think Mr. Fuller was responsible for somewhere around 115,000 houses that Habitat for Humanity built, and it's paradoxil because he started in a little
church on Church Street in Meritus, Georgia, and that was his office to. Mr. Miller was a multi -- well, he wasn't a multi-millionaire, he was a millionaire who gave all of his money away and described
himself as a poor millionaire with a hammer and he accepted a $\$ 15,000$ a year job which he carried for six years. It's kind of a big loss. I know a lot of people in this area don't know who he is, but people around the country know who he is and he was a very, very important man and he did an awful lot by helping homeless and helping people who couldn't afford to buy homes.

I'd like to ask a question of council, I hope somebody can come up with an answer, it doesn't have to be tonight, but I'd like to know how many KoZ's we've had in the past ten years, how many of them are still in existence and just what they were supposed to accomplish.

And secondly, I would like to know how many jobs, I'm talking about new jobs that were created by these Koz's, I'm not talking about transferring from Department A
to Department B, from Building A to Building B, I'm talking about new jobs which the KOZ's are supposed to create, and I think it would be behoove Mr. Burke if he came back and he addressed this council publically and
let the rest of the city know exactly what he has in mind because I think very, very seriously we do not need another $K O Z$ in this town. We need to clamp down on the ones we had, collect the money that's owed, I'm sure there is a lot of people out there that owe money on these KOZ's, and I recall one particular business last year that was mentioned as a KOZ and some of their tenants came up here and asked for loans and they were denied, but $I$ think this is a tightening of the belts that we need to look at. We talk about blight in this city, we talk about the buildings which are being demolished, and I'm not trying to make light of it, but $I$ think we can save an awful lot of money if we can take all of these buildings which we are knocking down, make them into compost and fill the potholes we
have in the city we would save an awful lot of money, and I would like to see nothing better than DPW and Mayor Doherty out here with shovels filling these holes. We got a big one on Prospect. The street was closed down for quite while. I think it's still
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closed down; am I right?
    MS. FANUCCI: Yeah.
    MR. TALIMINI: That's a pothole
    that's gigantic. It's what, 25 feet deep
    and they are going to pour -- yeah, it's --
    MS. GATELLI: That's a mine
    subsidence. That's a mine subsidence.
    MR. TALIMINI: Yeah, well, we have an
    awful lot of mines subsidence, mines that
    are underneath us here and we've got these
    little subsidence beginning all over the
    city and nobody has done a damn thing about
    it. I have been harping on this for two
    years and, I mean, you know, we could change
    the name from the Electric City to Pothole
    City because that's exactly what it is. We
    have got more potholes than we have
    electricity in this city, and I would love
    to see something done about it. Who knows,
```

maybe we can accomplish something in this town. I doubt very much, but I would like to see it happen.

And again, I'd like to have the answer on those KOZ's. Next week is a funny time. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Talimini. Jim Stucker.

MR. STUCKER: Over where you go up the avenue, there's a bar where you go up the alleyway that would much down on the ground, it's about that much down in the road in the alleyway, and somebody is going to twist their ankle and a lot people in that Tink's and coming out of the bank. The state building and they park there and it says "No Parking" and they still park in the alley. There is sign that says "No Parking Zone." I think the girl should go around and give them tickets and they still park in the alley.

And I did a lot of walking today and there is no sidewalks never been shoveled and never been rock salted from Greenridge all the way down to the -- all the way down
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to that -- where Jilly's building there,
Jilly's sidewalk is clear, from there it
hasn't been shoveled at all.
    MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Jim, we'll
    look at it.
    MR. STUCKER: And I have this little
CD, I have a little thing on my answering
```

machine and it says Courtright -- it said something about Courtright, Gary DiBileo is on the answering machine and he says they are supposed to get me a scooter and deliver the mail, tax forms.

MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm supposed to give you a scooter, Jim, is that you were saying?

MR. STUCKER: Yeah. Yeah. Yeah.

That's what they said. I'm supposed to go
and --

MR. MCGOFF: Jim, somebody is just
playing tricks with you.
MR. STUCKER: No, it's on my
answering machine.

MR. MCGOFF: I know, but somebody is just teasing you.

MR. STUCKER: I got an answering
machine because --

MR. MCGOFF: It's not true.
MR. STUCKER: I can bring it in and
replay it.
MR. MCGOFF: I know. I'm sure that the message is there, but somebody is just place -- is just teasing you with those messages.

MR. STUCKER: Okay. Right across the street from where I live some girl, it's not the girl's fault, there was a guy walking across the street and the girl hit him with a car. He walked in front of the girl Saturday -- Saturday or Sunday, yeah.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Jim.
MR. STUCKER: And it's bad right
there on the corner and they need a light there, a traffic light.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay. We'll take a look at it, Jim.

MR. STUCKER: The cars are really going fast.

MR. COURTRIGHT: All right.
MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
MR. STUCKER: Yeah.

MR. MCGOFF: And be careful. Don't
get stuck.
MR. STUCKER: No. That's the first
time I got stuck. Thank you.
MR. MCGOFF: Others speakers? Anyone
else? Please.
MS. ROYCE: Hi. Bernie Royce, West
Side. Regarding the mayor wanting to cut firefighters, I want to say that I'm beyond
appalled that this administration continues to look at dollars ahead of lives and safety of the citizens of Scranton and the firefighters of Scranton. Yes, I realize that the city faces economic decisions mostly due to city hall's incompetence. However, if Mayor Doherty's only
consideration for cutting firefighters is
financial and, once again, the mayor's
decision is shortsided.

In 1994 there was a landmark study by J. Curtis Barone concerning fire department staffing. It indicated that four-man engines will pay for themselves with the savings from injury reduction of firefighters. The four-man staffings lead to quote: A 23.8 percent reduction in
injuries, a 25 percent reduction in time lost injuries, and a 71 percent decrease in time loss due to injury when compared to three-person staffing.

These are significant decreases that should be considered with the average firefighter overexertion injury and costing $\$ 10,000$. Obviously, when we are dealing
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    with the economics of Scranton this is
    something that we need to consider.
    When you are dealing with
    firefighter injuries in general, the
    National Institute of Standards and
    Technology averaged that annually
    firefighter injuries cost 2.7 billion to 7.8
    billion estimate. That's for 80,000
    firefighters injured every year.
    Obviously, I'm not going to tell you
    that we need to take care of firefighters
    for injuries from an ethical point of view.
    If the mayor does not want to take care of
    his firefighters certainly because it is the
    ethical thing to do, then perhaps he needs
to consider that it is the economic thing to
do, and cutting firefighters staffing is not
```

the economic smart thing to do. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?
Mr. Ellman?

MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman,
homeowner and member of the taxpayers. I think all we need to tonight was Ray Lyman and Phyllis and we would have had a full house. These are notes, I won't be here but
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15 or 20 minutes or so. This article that
was in the paper that be Mr. Boscov made
$26 million last month in December, this is
unbelievable. I think Donald Trump would be
up here studying him. In the car business
it's known as working a pencil like when you
get your trade-in and they say you are
getting thousands and you are not.
    I have talked to some business
people Saturday and they said it's an
impossibility that, you know, he just worked
a pencil or something, but if he -- let's
just assume he did. Let him just forget
about the three million dollars and let's
use it for the city where it's supposed to
be.
```

And my next attack, my next attack would be that this pompous Austin Burke. He is just a big stuffed overpaid shirt and it's ashame. It's just ashame --

MR. MCGOFF: Please no name calling.
MR. ELLMAN: Do you know how much
money Austin Burke gets? His salary is over $\$ 200,000$ plus his benefits and he gets a car and he gets -- he belongs to the country

> club all at taxpayers' expense. Yeah, how many people out here go to the country club and have a car and gasoline and insurance and what has he given this city? Now he wants to extend Koz's seven more years? This asinine. There is no excuse for it. Koz's have killed the city. They are killing us. You know, every time -- I have said it before, every time I go down Keyser Avenue to Taylor, I go once a week to take Ms. Rosie to the doctor in Taylor, I go pass the l50 houses. They got so many kids we need to build a school for them, you know, let them -- when I was in Missouri they had a volunteer fire department and they put a little number on the telephone
pole and you'd have to belong to them or they are not too enthusiastic about coming for your house for fire if you are not a member.

Let these KOZ places, you know, we got a bunch of bars and restaurants, let them pay for the police. They are not paying taxes get them another way. Let them pay for fire protection and sidewalks and streetlights.

I listened to these guys come in here and whining about basins out there, that ought to be the people that built the houses ought to be worrying about it, you know, not the city doing all of this. It's just not right.

You know, getting back to Mr. Boscov, last week Ms. Rosie wanted a new coffee pot, she wanted percolator so we went to Boscov's and they are 30 and 40 dollars for those Mr. Coffee kind of things, you know, and we went over to the Dollar Store and bought one for $\$ 10$. That's right, the Dollar Store at Keyser Oak they are $\$ 10$ over there, Mr. Coffee, with a drip down, but I
talked to two men and woman that were salespeople and all three of them are looking for jobs and all three of them told me they can see the handwriting on the wall. They are not doing the business and they are not going to make it, you know, for people to think they are going to have a career there. I don't know.

To me, our esteemed mayor the motto for Scranton ought to be, you know, the rich
get richer off the taxpayers' expense and we don't need bumper stickers saying that, but I think Mr. Doherty's legacy is going to be that he got a $\$ 200$ million debt that would be here for 30 or 40 years, when Doug Miller wants to run 10 or 20 years from now he will still be fighting with it if he gets to be the mayor, you know, his children will probably be fighting with the debt that Doherty has put us in.

His legacy is not going to be no medical school, his legacy is going to be that he was a complete failure as a mayor and a failure to the people of this city. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.
MR. GERVASI: Hi. Good evening, City Council. I'll be brief. I want to thank this young lady who come up and spoke earlier. I finally met her. I have heard all about it her, I have never actually met her, a brilliant woman, and I think what she says you should pay attention.

Just a few things that were said here tonight about ADD's. We do -- the fire department does have ADD's on board, both
truck companies, Car 21, the assistant chief's car and rescue, so we do have ADD's. They are very important. Actually, for every minute that goes by when you need to be defibrillated there is a 10 percent chance or mortality as each minute goes by, so it is very important and, Mrs. Gatelli, if you are worrying about anyone having a problem here right now down the hall there is always eight emergency medical technicians on duty so we could be here immediately with just a quick phone call, so if you really did want to get CPR trained some of our guys are CPR instructors. I'm
not sure if they do it through Red Cross anymore. I haven't been an CPR instructor since 1988 or ' 89 when the ambulance went out of business, but we do have instructors and we would be happy to instruct anybody if they would like to get certified in CPR.

And one more comment I would like to make, I mean, usually every week there is someone talking about safety or something, I would be happy to sit here, but it's not exactly my place. As you see, you know, the
city want to have sole discretion over everything in our department, apparently we are not going to be getting involved in too many things anymore because we never had control, but at least we were allowed at times when they messed something up to correct it, but, you know, I think they are just going to be calling the shots from this point on. But the point I'm trying to make is we have a chief that just got a $\$ 13,000$ last year, maybe you should have him here periodically to answer questions, you know? Where is ADD's and things like safety questions, so that's all. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gervasi. MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, City Council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky. I understand stand that ECTV is not showing this live tonight. It's going to be rebroadcast a half hour after you adjourn. Mr. Courtright, you asked several weeks ago if they could show it in prime time a few more times than what they are. They have managed to show quite a few other shows that really aren't of that much interest, but they only show ours or shows this three times I
believe it is a week. Have you got any reply as to whether they would show it in prime time?

MR. COURTRIGHT: No. What they told me they are going to do, and I believe they have done, I had asked to have it on at least once each what would be considered shift, like, first shift, second and third so that people that were working, and they complied with that and they did it on Saturday and Sunday. I don't think you are going do any more than that. I could ask again.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, Saturdays -I'm sorry.

MR. COURTRIGHT: They run it on Saturday with all of the other meetings and run it again on Sunday, but I understand you are looking for prime time, right? I'll ask again.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I would appreciate
that. Mr. McGoff, several weeks ago I asked you about EC -- I'm sorry, Seacoast, LLC, and you are going to get an answer for me. That was the fourth time that I have asked.

I have asked for the last year about once each quarter about it, and I haven't been able to get an answer as to what happened to the $\$ 70,00$ that was appropriated for Seacoast, LLC. Did you find out yet?

MR. MCGOFF: I did tell you that I had asked that question and was given an answer that they are in -- they had an office --

MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, I haven't found an office.

MR. MCGOFF: And the time between
when I got the answer and when I actually
saw you to give you the answer I do admit that $I$ forgot what exactly that building it was in. I will make that request again and find the information. As far as the last I knew they had an office and were --

MR. UNGVARSY: Well, I haven't found a listing for them and more than that I'm really interested to see what happened to the $\$ 70,000$. Did we spend it? Is it in the bank account somewhere? Did we give it to them? I'm more interested in where that -because they are not going to locate here. I haven't found a listing for them and when

I looked them up on the Internet there is no mention of Scranton and their coming here, so I would appreciate if you would look that up for me, please.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
MS. KRAKE: Good evening, Council. My name is Nancy Krake, and first of all I would like to tell everyone that the delinquent city real estate taxes are still in the Treasurer's Office until April 1. If you are able to pay them you will avoid the 25 percent that Northeast Credit is allowed
to charge, which does not go to the city, it just stays with them. I have many --

MS. GATELLI: Nancy, before you
start, do they throw the receipt book away?
MS. KRAKE: Oh, I'm sorry. I forget
to say that, pardon me. I talked to Mr. Redmond, no, we don't show the receipt books away, but the reality is it's very difficult to look them, especially now when it's busy. As you can imagine, everyone pays at once. If you don't know the date you paid or to whom you paid you are looking through perhaps 10 or 15 books, which we
will do, but we need to set time aside and a quiet time. Mr. Redmond -- Lee did tell me that he found his receipts so he is fine, he is not anxious, but the curious is thing is what NCC does when you have multiple properties and they pay they will apply it to the wrong property, we have seen this many times. It's a common error, so in his case owning several properties I'm sure that's what happened. He did, indeed, pay but it wasn't showing up on the correct property. When we research it I'm sure we
will find that.

MS. GATELLI: And I have one more
question, can I, Mr. McGoff?
MR. MCGOFF: Please.
MS. GATELLI: Before she starts her
time, she didn't even start yet. If someone didn't pay their taxes --

MS. KRAKE: Now, are you talking
about their delinquent real estate tax?
MS. GATELLI: Yes, and delinquent
garbage tax.
MS. KRAKE: Okay, garbage is not a
tax, it's a fee.
MS. GATELLI: Well, a fee.

MS. KRAKE: Okay.
MS. GATELLI: Do you have a record of that in the Treasure's Office?

MS. KRAKE: What we do, what we see, let me see it this way, what happens when the city and what happens with NCC. We never quote an NCC payoff because there is always additional fees that just accrue constantly, so to be correct for search to a lawyer we do not quote a fee, we recommend they call NCC. However, to give someone a
generalization we will do that.
MS. GATELLI: And is it public
record if someone, like someone's taxes if they haven't paid them is that a public record?

MS. KRAKE: Yes, it's all public record.

MS. GATELLI: So I can come there and ask if you paid your taxes last year?

MS. KRAKE: Yes, you may.
MS. GATELLI: And back to 2000.
MS. KRAKE: Back to 1898.
MS. GATELLI: Okay.
MS. KRAKE: Yep. Absolutely.

MS. GATELLI: Thank you.
MS. KRAKE: You are welcome. Thank
you. Actually though, there were many, many inconsistencies that council members presented as facts in your reason for not voting to amend the resolution that Mrs. Evans recommended you amend. I'm sorry you treated them as facts, they are not. I will try to give you to the best of my ability what I know to be true.

City delinquencies for 2006 were 1.7
million plus; 2007, 1.9 million plus; 2008, 2,081,000 which is not what Mrs. Fanucci apparently had been told. She said they are going down when, in fact, they have gone up.

And what I would like to ask you right now is that if you would please supply us with the total collections or disbursements to the city for wage tax for the last two years. I have very good reason for asking this question, so $I$ hope we would be able to have those answers at next week's meeting. We can see from --

MS. GATELLI: Would you repeat that?
MS. KRAKE: Yes. The total
collections or disbursements to the city for
wage tax for the last two years.
MS. GATELLI: 7 and 8, 2007 and 8?
MS. KRAKE: Yes. Now, if that's what Mrs. Fanucci was referring to, those numbers have gone up, they have gone up dramatically since the FBI was investigating the Single Tax Office.

MS. GATELLI: Yes, they have.
MS. KRAKE: People have come in
droves to pay their current year wage, not
their delinquent real estate, so those penalties you may have been assuming the money was effecting that did not.

And, Mr. McGoff, when you said it's punitive, it's hardly punitive and it's not, unfortunately, dependent on people that are slum landlords. All of that is untrue. I could go tax by tax by tax and give you a comparison, but what $I$ know working there I know those things to not be true.

For instance, you also said they have only applied one of those penalties, I have a tax bill here, they applied $\$ 75$ lien fee, $\$ 480$ for opening the file and issue of legal demand letter. We had children in the
summer who wrote those out under my direction for free. Preparing and filing of liens, that's my job and the City Treasurer's job, \$525. This is \$1,087 that the person was forced to pay on a face value tax of $\$ 246$. None of this went into the city. It's not bringing any money to us and it's certainly not stopping people from being delinquent as you can see by the figures.

I'm sorry that people aren't telling you the right things and I hope in the future you will look to amend that. I think it's very, very important to do so only because it's the right thing to do for people. They are suffering in these times.

I had much more to say, but I will continue to address all of the other things you missed last time, but I do have one thing I would like to say, with all of the Court cases and awards that have been coming down, I truly want to know why is it so difficult to fairly compensate our police and firefighters? These are the men and women who carry our greatest burden for us. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.
MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening. Nelson
Ancherani, First Amendment Rights.
Yesterday, 2009, an arbitrator handed down an opinion on the police 2008/2004 contract. It mirrors the fire arbitration award that came down an item of months ago. Strange though, not a word from the slimes. Not one word. It's my belief that the slimes will
print it now because they are been scooped by the council speakers. The slimes are professional newscasters, newspaper people and they were scoped by council speakers who so aptly named the Legion of Doom.

I believe they don't want it out because they want they don't want the administration embarrassed. If this was the Connors' administration their attempt to embarrass him would be horrendous, but that's my opinion.

Well, the opinion is it out and the city does not win this one. Granted, the city will appeal, but the arbitrators did not violated the Recovery Plan like the mayor did with his 20 million new hires and

> raises. As for the unanimous decision by the seven judges in the city apparently along with the slimes calling it a victory, as I said before, it's not over until the fat lady sings, I'm sorry, obese lady sings.
> Back to the arbitration award, the city was represented by Attorney Tim Reilly, apparently he didn't like the award so he wrote a ten-page dissent. On the previous
arbitrator's award for 2003 to 2007 that is in the Courts, he wrote a two-page dissention, but he wrote partly, "On behalf of the City of Scranton, I'm compelled to dissent from the interest arbitration award issued by arbitrators Edward J. O'Connell and Thomas W. Jennings, Esquire. The majority of our panel has advocated the responsibility at arbitrators, has ignored existing case law, including the Municipalities Financial Recovery Act," a bunch of numbers, "Act 47, the controlling order of the Court of Common Pleas of Lackawanna County dated January 15, 2008, the Court order, and the current economic condition of the financially distressed City of Scranton."

My question to him is where did they advocate their responsibility? He also said, "The City of Scranton has been operating under a revised financial Recovery Plan since May 2002. The proposed award is blatantly inconsistent and violates the Recovery Plan that was adopted by the City pursuant to Act 47. Furthermore, the award
illegal ignores the Court order that expressly directed this panel to comply with the Recovery Plan."

What about the mayor violating the Recovery Plan and now it's to the tune of approximately 20 million cumulatively since 2002 through 2009? The attorney for the city against the firefighters, Ken Jaron, has submitted bills for $\$ 259,000$. The attorney for the city against the police O'Reilly's bill has to be at least the same or if $I$ was a betting person $I$ would bet it's much higher.

What I noticed during the hold dissent by Reilly, which is 10 pages, he never once mentioned that the city violated the revised Recovery Plan that he referred
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to to the tune of that approximately
$20 million. Thank you.
    MR. MCGOFF: No other speakers? Mrs.
    Evans?
    MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening.
    The Administration Code of the City, Article
    III, Section 302-A, requires that the mayor
    shall annually report to the council and the
```

public on the work of the previous year and on the condition and requirements of the city government. Unfortunately, this has never occurred since Mr. Doherty became mayor, rather, he prefers to report to the business community at the Chamber of Commerce during daytime working hours. In his eight years as mayor, I hope that this year for the first time he chooses to follow the Administrative Code and report to council and the citizens. I offer council chambers on any evening at 7:00. I believe the people would like to see and hear their mayor beyond newspaper quotes and photos and TV commercials. They have questions and problems that only the mayor can address.

The financial state of the city is
in critical condition. Long-term Doherty
debt is $\$ 237,340,228$ or over a quarter of a
billion dollars which will not be paid in
full until the year 2039. This figure does
not even include the over $\$ 12$ million
borrowed in 2008 by the city and the Parking
Authority.

In 2009, taxpayers must decide whether to continue to increase the debt or whether to stop the borrowing and spending and pay down this debt. Over $\$ 273,000,000$ in long-term debt and over \$159,000,000 in principle debt. These are facts contained in the 2007 audit. They cannot be twisted or spun or disputed. In fact, since 2006 this council majority has helped the mayor to increase the borrowing and debt while at the same time it approved a 25.6 percent tax increase. These are facts and apologists cannot excuse them away. They are public record.

In addition, the auditing firm
forwarded on January 28, 2009, a timeline and list of required information to Mr. Renda, city business administrator, in
order to issue the 2008 audit by May 31, 2009. And so the 2008 audit has begun. On tonight's agenda Item 7-A appears for final approval. It authorizes revision of the existing traffic signal along 7th Avenue and Providence Road at the intersection with Munchak Way and Olive

Street, etcetera. The Ice Box wishes to construct a bank and possibly a Turkey Hill store on the front area of it's property. As a result, a second traffic light would be installed approximately 100 yards from the existing light on Providence Road to help with access and exit of a new driveway on Providence Road for the Ice Box. Vehicles will no longer be allowed to cross in front of traffic on Olive Street when traveling toward Capouse Avenue, but can continue to enter as well as exit the off Olive Street driveway if heading towards 7th Avenue or Providence Road.

This stretch of road is dangerously congested every day during the opening and closing of Scranton High School. Before and after those times there is little traffic. Scranton High School houses well over 2000
students, faculty, staff, and administrators. The vehicular and pedestrian traffic is already unsafe. There are many young drivers entering and exiting the high school and many students who walk or meet their parents for rides in the
immediate vicinity. Teens often dart across Providence Road towards their friends or their rides and the frustration of drivers is already unusually high. The legislation would enable three traffic lights in the distance from Scranton High School to just past the Glider Diner.

Further, I have consulted with
school officials and police officers
regarding the Ice Box proposals and all were adamantly opposed. The additional traffic light and driveway will create even greater problems than are currently experienced. I cannot jeopardize the safety of students and parents of the Scranton High School community in this way.

And, in addition, the Ice Box owner still have not paid the city the $\$ 600,000$ for the land vacated by the old DPW. As
they look to expand and continue to enjoy a KOZ, their lack of payment is shameful. Thus, I will not be approving this legislation.

I was also appalled to learn about the sewage problem on North Garfield Avenue.

It seems the good news is that the house has been condemned and, consequently, all utilities would be shut off. Also, the frozen sewage was removed by the Sewer Authority and was taken to it's plant according to Mr. Brazil.

Council did not receive a copy of a report to NEPA containing proposed projects for inclusion in the stimulus package. Mr. Brazil stated that the mayor is handling anything to do with the stimulus program, and tonight I learned from one of the council speakers that the mayor's focus appears to be the new South Side library, but I have seen too many problems in the last five years in all of the neighborhoods across this city and the answer has always been, "We can't do it, it's too expensive for the city to undertake, there is too much red tape and paperwork involved."

And so many of these problems addressed and these are road problems, infrastructure problems, and water and sewage problems and I believe that my colleagues and I should provide a list with
road infrastructure and water sewer projects to Mrs. Garvey so that a council list of proposed projects can be submitted to the mayor as soon as possible, and I would encourage city residents with serious road infrastructure, water or sewer problems, to write or call the mayor's office and insist on using an available funds from the stimulus package to be used in our city neighborhoods to address these long-standing problems, not to be used on an issue that was decided only by the mayor.

I also have citizens' requests for
the week. Oh, but, before that, I'm sorry, there is something else I wanted to report on. Council did receive an update from Goodwill Industries regarding the North Scranton Junior High School project, and I'd like to read that letter to you since so many citizens in the last year or two have
inquired about the progress of that location. "Goodwill Industries and Pennrose Properties have formed a partnership, North Scranton Partnership, to acquire and develop the building. The primary focus of the
development efforts are to stabilize the building, return the exterior to it's former elegance, and to rehabilitate the auditorium as the first phase of this development. The stabilization of the building includes replacement of the windows, an elevator shaft, and elevator canopy, electrical work and finishes.

The remediation of all unsafe and environmental conditions including the removal asbestos installation, asbestos floor tiles, asbestos caulking, lead painted windows, lead painted walls, PCV light ballasts and miscellaneous chemicals, drums, paints and inks was already completed.

The partnership endeavors to close on the remaining construction and permanent financing for this phase of the development, the rehabilitation of the auditorium of the bidding into a multiuse performance space. This will include a 500 seat theatre space

```
with backhouse space necessary for the
production and staging of theatrical and
musical performances.
    To this end, we have requested the
```

    first draw of funds from the Commonwealth's
    Commitment of Redevelopment Assistance
    Capital Program Funds. Once the state has
    released these funds, we will be able to
    finalize the design with architects and
    complete construction.
    The state is reviewing the drawdown
    submission and confirming the matching funds
    provided for this project. Specifically,
    reviewing the value of the school as the
    match and we anxiously await their response
    to our request.
    In addition to drawing down RACP
    funds, we are finalizing our agreements with
other funders for this project. PNC has
committed to act as the construction lender
and purchaser of the historic tax credits
for the project. We are eager to proceed to
closing with PNC on these funding sources.
Once the Commonwealth has released the
committed RICP funds, we will be in a

```
position to access these funding sources as
well. It is our goal to resume construction
in the first quarter of 2009."
    So, it appears as if great progress
```

has been made in terms of necessary replacements and renovations, but the remainder of the project appears to be dependent upon funding.

Now, I also had some citizens'
requests. Residents report that when
traveling from River Street to Moosic Street north on Meadow Avenue the right-hand lane to the right along the Clarion Hotel and Arc, they have not been plowed the full width. This is a highly traveled road and the snow and ice piles add to the traffic problems. Residents have phoned DPW, but nothing was done.

The right lane of the road heading towards South Side on the Harrison Avenue bridge has a very large pothole which causes drivers to veer towards the other lane of traffic. Please address this problem ASAP.

The Spruce Street Complex bridge, those lanes contain potholes that should be filled at the sidewalks need cleaning.

```
Pedestrians are witnessed walking into the
traffic lanes according to South Side
residents. Also, please pick up the tires
```

that have been dumped along Mountain Lake Road.

Citizens complain that parking at courthouse square is it very difficult due to snow and ice banks. One city resident was stuck while parked at a meter, so the resident called the DPW who directed him to call city council since employees have no overtime and, hence, no machines on the road to handle snow and ice problems. Now, again, according to Mr. Brazil the DPW does, in fact, have overtime, so if those who respond to calls at the DPW are making such statements to city residents $I$ would ask them to stop, please, and handle the problem appropriately.

I would like to thank the DPW for the great work they performed cleaning the sidewalks on the bridge on Olive Street nature Scranton High School. I know that at least two other bridge walkways were also cleaned successfully. Great job to the DPW
employees. And the condemned house at 615

Beech Street was also taken care of.
Utilities were shut off and it was being
boarded up yesterday. That's another great job done.

Now, in response to some questions posed by a speaker earlier this evening, I believe mention was made of my family, my job choice, my ability to do my job effectively due to my familial responsibilities. The truth be told, my family has been on a backburner for many, many, many years. When I made that statement $I$ was referring specifically to my mother, who is quite elderly, and I am her sole caregiver. She does not drive, even if she were capable or had a license she is physically incapable now. And my parents, my parents did everything for me family and for me. They enabled me to get my college education, to get my master's degree, they watched my children, they helped me every step of every day of my life. And I lost my father, my mother is very important to me, as I'm sure everyone's mothers are important. I'm a mother of four, I'm a
grandmother of two and, yes, my mother is important. I do my job, I feel I do them
all successfully, but my mother does come first and for that, $I$ really can't apologize.

As for my inability, I think, to persuade others to join me in many of my positions on issues or my votes on council, that's a far more difficult situation. I'm a member of the minority on city council, and as such my vote very often doesn't count. Nevertheless, I never allow that to deter me and I feel that $I$ have many accomplishments over these five years of which I'm very proud, but some of those goals that $I$ find most important for the city I have not been able to accomplish such as stopping the borrowing in the city, decreasing the wage tax, preventing a tax increase. Yes, I voted against all of those things, but I did more. I created budgets and I brought those in as an extra choice, an alternative to what the mayor was offering, and those budgets contained wage tax decreases, they never contained a tax
increase, they provided surpluses for this city, but yet they were never accepted by
the majority of the this council.
Perhaps it's because I don't work for the mayor, I work for the people. I don't really make deals. I don't have bargaining chips, and on issues that are crucial to everyone that lives in this city I can't say in good conscience, for example, I'll give you a KOZ if you will give me the-- well, let's use the example from last week when I was trying to amend the delinquent tax ordinance, and it contains 23 very punitive Draconian measures that punish the people of the city, and that was not the first time I tried to amend it, but again, I was unsuccessful, nevertheless, I am not going to stop trying.

And I think being in a minority along with solving people's problems and listening to that and respecting them, probably my other greatest responsibility is to inform the public of the truth, to research, to analyze, to do the phone calls, the e-mails, the leg work, make the visits and then report back to you because without
that minority you will only now what's
presented by the administration and it's majority, so I do feel that I serve a purpose in the city and I hope that answers your questions.

MR. BERGERHOFF: Well-spoken. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Gatelli?

MS. GATELLI: Ms. Garvey, I would ask
you to do a few things, please. The expressway lights are all out near the Keyser Valley exit on the Central Scranton Expressway. Also, if we could send a letter about the golf course, $I$ know we still had 1.5 million, but $I$ don't know where it's at or what type of an account it's in.

MS. EVANS: I think it's when last we checked it's at First National Community Bank and it's in the CD's and those CD's are all of different terms and as each retires $I$ think they have been rolled over.

MS. GATELLI: Well, I would like to thank find that out for Mr. Bolus, please.

MS. EVANS: And at this point in
time, I would say, as I'm sure everybody
would agree, the interest rate on that has
got to be very minimal.

MS. GATELLI: Also, I forgot to say
when I first started to welcome the new restaurant, "The City Cafe" it's a Mediterranean market in the old Shooky's, and I'd like to welcome them to downtown Scranton.

I got an e-mail today, interestingly enough, from Verizon that they are hiring consultants in Scranton. I don't know how you call, but $I$ have a website, Verizon.com. And I guess I do have a phone number, it's 908-559-2760. And the shifts will be Monday to Friday 8 to 8 and the pay range is $\$ 480$ a week to \$1,063 a week. There are some qualifications. Some years in retail call center experience and a college degree in communication, marketing, psychology, sociology, business management or business administration, so if anyone is interested in that they can contact Verizon for that.

The Ice Box, I'll talk about the Ice Box when it comes up later.

The KOZ, I still need to ask some questions about the $K O Z$ if we can send a
letter to Mr. Burke, unless he is going to come here again, I'd like to know if, in fact, when he does the Mt. Pleasant project if the local laborer unions will be hired because from what I have heard when projects are done through the Chamber they do not use the local labor unions, so I would want that specified by Mr. Burke what he plans for that particular project.

I also am not sure, but $I$ was told, that if we don't approve the KOZ, which I'm not in favor of at the present time, that the state can extend it anyway, so I don't know if we can find that out from DCED if that would just be an automatic even though we don't approve it because that's what someone told me. I just want to make sure. Also, there is a dumpster that has been on the 200 block of Crane Street for several months full of garbage. And another thing, I was very upset last week when Mrs. Evans talked about the lady that was so overburdened at NCC, so if you could maybe give me her name after the meeting or an address $I$ would like to check
into that. That just didn't seem right that it would go from 5,000 to 40 some thousand. MS. EVANS: Well, I'm working with her.

MS. GATELLI: Oh, okay. And you
will give us a report, I'm sure.

MS. EVANS: When we have something to report.

MS. GATELLI: Okay. Let's see what else I have. I also think that we should have a say in the stimulus package. I think that maybe we should meet earlier next week before the regular meeting and discuss various projects that we would like to see on the agenda. Yes, $I$ am in favor of the library and everyone already knows that, but I don't feel that the stimulus money should be used for that particular project. There is other funding available and before this stimulus package came out that funding was being looked into, so I don't think that we should use the stimulus money for that particular project.

Also, as far as the $\$ 3$ million all of it going to South Scranton, I wasn't
aware that all of it was going there. When we sent a letter we sent it knowing that most of it would be going to South Scranton, I did not know all of it was going there. I don't know if it's being done that way for matching money with the Elm Street Program, maybe there was a reason why it all had to go to one particular project, but I will investigate that with Mrs. Aebli and maybe sure some of that money can go to some of the other neighborhoods.

It's interesting that people seem to want to criticize that the money is going to South Scranton, particularly because I'm on city council and that's my neighborhood. Yes, it is my neighborhood and I fought there for 28 years of my life and I will continue to do so. I have said it from this podium many times, if anyone else wants to start an Elm Street Program just call and we will assist you in starting any type of rehabilitation program also in your neighborhood. So, you know, if the allegations are being made that other neighborhoods aren't
being helped, all of the neighborhoods are being helped and they will continue to be helped as long as I sit on this council. I would never deny a neighborhood from getting what they deserve. That's the heart of the city and that's where my attention would focus.

And I will continue to fight the blight, I will continue to request houses being demolished because grass is better than what lives next to most of these people in these slum houses. Just ask any person living on the block, "Do you want the house there or would you like to see tall grass?"

And I'll guarantee you every time they will say, "Put the grass there. We will cut it."

Because some of these homes cause nothing about heartaches for the neighbors, and I'm going to stick to that and that's where my focus is and always has been the blight in the neighborhoods, and that's where it will stay.

What else did I have to say? I don't want to make it too lengthy. I think
that's it.
MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Fanucci?

MS. FANUCCI: Okay, if we can write a letter one of the speakers that got up tonight and asked a few questions that I think we should look into, how many jobs were created with the $K O Z$, if we can send that to find out, and also how much money will be coming in after the first waive of the payments start? I'd like to know exactly how much money taxpayer money was created. And also when or if we do approve one project at a time I'd like to know do other projects just automatically get approval or does it have to come on a first come first serve basis and we have to go on each project because $I$ don't believe that it's a blanket, but $I$ want to make sure, and that was the first letter.

Oh, so let's talk about how fun are meetings are. No, I'm kidding. In light of Kathryn Simmons tonight and Joy Williams and people getting up here and speaking and taking over the forum and sending letters to other people claiming that this is not for
everyone to come, it's ridiculous. It's no
secret and Bill Jackowitz has been telling
us that we are in the midst of the second
worst economic crisis in the last 100 years,
and since we are quoting people I'd like to
quote, I'd like to make a quote, and funny
that I can pull this off, but I can, I think
it's time to go back to basics and as
President Roosevelt said during our first
economic crisis, there is nothing to fear
but fear itself, and to use this forum and
come up here and invoke fear and hostility
and doom the only reason you do it is to pit
citizen against citizen.
When people come up here and say
evil things and point out evil things to
anyone or anyone around it's to pit people
against people, and there's nothing we have
learned since this last election that
doesn't work anymore. Those days are over.
People are done with that. It's time to
move on and move forward and do the right
thing.
It has nothing to do with what
people do for a living or what they have
done in the past for a living. It has to do with what's going on now. I don't believe people who are educated or not educated can't come up here and sit and make good council people. Why? Because it has to do with the work and what you are doing on a daily basis. I believe moms make great decisions and I believe doctors make great decisions, but to come up here and try to create havoc and constant chaos for someone's own benefit shows me that this is -- this is where you are going. You want -- you want havoc and chaos, so if you want to reap what you sow it's time to move on from that.

I also want to say that the tactics
have no other purpose. There is no reason, you know, I have been writing these things down this whole time, choosing fear over hope is a problem and will be a problem forever. If you don't want to optimistic about what we are and where we are going and use your political or personal agendas, you are ruining the future of our city because we have a lot to offer. There is a lot
doing. I understand we have a long way to go our problems weren't created in a week and they certainly aren't going to cure themselves in a week. There is no way that unless you work hard and try to figure out solutions that you are going to get anywhere.

So next time speakers come up and, Mr. Bergerhoff, yes, I'm speaking to you directly, next time you come up here with your --

MR. BERGERHOFF: I wasn't -MS. FANUCCI: No, wait, with your tactics of attack on everyone, which I have to say it's the first speaker that has come up and actually went down the line, so it does --

MR. BERGERHOFF: That goes in -MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me. MR. BERGERHOFF: She is directing comments toward me, sir -MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me. MR. BERGERHOFF: She gets the last word I don't know?

MS. FANUCCI: Actually, you directed comments towards me and I'm actually responding to the comments you made, so if you don't want to answers don't make comments during your speech.

MR. BERGERHOFF: Well, that's fine. Make legitimate comments -(Mr. McGoff repeatedly bangs the gavel.)

MS. FANUCCI: Just keep spewing your hate and we will see how far you go. That's all I have to say. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Fanucci.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, I'm going to
try to answer some of your questions, Mr. Bergerhoff. As far as laughing about the steps in front of city hall, I know you haven't been here much, but Jeannie Suetta when she comes up to the podium, I kind of know her and I wasn't laughing about what she said, I was laughing with Jeannie. I think if you speak to her she will explain that to you. We have a -- we don't have anything going on between us, but -- I have
about that we can't get some things done, and I have said this in the past, and I'll try to explain it, either myself or anyone else here on city council we have no authority to tell a clerical worker, a DPW worker, a police officer or a firefighter what to do. We simply don't have that power.

You know, I think sometimes when we request we might get it done a little bit quicker maybe than the average person, some of us have a little bit more clout than others, and that's the way it goes, but I think that's what I meant -- or I know what's that I meant. I think it's possibly what you have might have been referring to, if not, maybe next week you can correct me if I'm wrong me, but I don't know, there must be something wrong with me, but I kind of like how you went down the line at all of us.

The ADD's, Kay, maybe if you can
send a letter to Director Hayes and ask him
what has become of the ADD's that we had in the police cars, does he have any plans on
replacing them and, if so, when? I've got
think that they don't have any plans of
replace them because $I$ think it's -- must be
about two years now since we have seen them
in there.
As far as Oxygen tanks, I don't
recall us ever having Oxygen tanks in the
police cars.
MS. GATELLI: I think it would be
dangerous if they had an accident.
MR. COURTRIGHT: So I don't know if
they would do that, but $I$ don't believe we
ever had them.

MS. GATELLI: Actually, in an
emergency with a cardiac victim nurses and
doctors don't have Oxygen.
MR. COURTRIGHT: Right.
MS. GATELLI: Because it's the heart
that has to be brought back.
MR. COURTRIGHT: I think that one
other thing, unfortunately, you know, $I$ know
Mrs. Gatelli has stated that we have a lot
of trouble in South Side, but I think you
are pushing it to West Side.
MS. GATELLI: I think so, too.
That's why I keep asking them, but nobody
seems interested.
MR. COURTRIGHT: Here's what I got, I
got a call from somebody from the Times, not
Jeremy here, you guys keeps getting new
employees there and $I$ don't know them all,
and he told me a statistic that $I$ can't
remember the exact numbers, but he said as
far as armed robberies go that West Side is
number one, you know, all of the robberies
that they have had, so he said we have far
more robberies with weapons than we had last
year at this time and that the bulk of them
were in West Scranton, and I don't want to
misquote him, I think he said out of 11
armed robberies this year eight were in West
Scranton, but that figure could be wrong.
And if he asked if I was -- you
know, how I felt about that. Am I
surprised? No. I think we have all seen
that coming that crime is rising. Am I
upset? Yes. Am I upset it's getting closer
to where I live? Absolutely. That's why
doesn't take police officers off the street.
I don't think anybody here ever has thought
that we don't we need more, more police officers, so maybe I'll asking Jeremy after the meeting to go over who this gentleman is that called me and where he got those stats from because I wasn't aware of those, and I'm usually pretty up on those, but I wasn't aware. I was aware there was an increase, but not the numbers he had told me. And I'll ask, Kay, if you can just do two things. Kay, Elm Street coming out of South Scranton onto Washington Avenue, so at the corner of Elm and Washington, I have gotten some complaints that when they are coming down Elm Street, which would be heading west on Elm Street to turn left onto Washington Avenue they can't get any cars. They are looking to see if we can ask the engineer if he can take a look and see if it's possible to get an arrow for a left turn, $I$ know that takes some doing, but if we can ask that gentlemen if he can take a look at it.
maybe tomorrow when $I$ call Mr. Brazil, at Meridian and Luzerne Street a woman blew her tire out there last night on a huge hole
right in front of the bridge, so I'll take care of that one myself. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Courtright. In response to a number of things from this evening, and Mr. Jackowitz made mention of the article, a number of people made mention of the article that was in the paper today, and I thought there were a couple of interesting things in here that were mentioned. What I thought was interesting was that the number of people who have jobs as unchanged. What's changed is the fact that we are not creating jobs for those entering the work force, and the one John Syzmanski, PhD, professor of economics at Misericordia, it's not a job loss problem it's a job creation problem. And the focus, as he seems to indicate, should be as the creation of the jobs and preparation of a work force to take those
jobs.
The other thing I thought was interesting, it says the only sector with a significant gain over the year was education
and health services. At a time nobody, you know, at one point in time teachers were, you know, doing all kinds of things, I guess maybe the education profession is now a good thing to get into, I don't know, although, I here that there are schools that are considering laying people off, and obviously health services which are needed, but I just thought that was interesting.

But, I do agree with was said that we are facing some difficult times and the more opportunity we have to create jobs and to keep people working, more significant and more important it will be.

I did receive a letter from
Mr. Brazil, Mr. Jeff Brazil from DPW, a couple of just brief comments that he made. The snow removal policy, he says, "I would like again to remind council that the school bus routes are the first to be plowed, then we plow the colts bus routes, next would be
all secondary streets and lastly the courts."

I don't know that, you know, that there is much more detail to the snow removal policy, but that is what he replied
to me.
Second thing he mentioned was that requests for stop signs, that all requests for stop signs have been sent to the city engineer for his review. That it's only after the things are reviewed by the engineer that, you know, then it's not up to DPW to determine where stop signs are -are placed and not placed, so he is just saying that, you know, any requests that they get are sent to the engineer to be looked at.

And the last thing he did mention was the snow on the bridges he said is being looked into and he, too, mentioned what somebody else did last week that the bridges in question are state-owned bridges and he claims the responsibility of PennDOT, but he says in the spirit of cooperation four of the bridges were cleared on January 31, so
that was Linden Street, Harrison Avenue, River Street and Broadway.

In response to the things on the KOZ, I would like that at next week's caucus that we do in caucus discuss what Mr. Burke
presented to us last week and at least, you know, have a discussion what we see would be anything positive and negative about the proposals.

As far as $I$ can ascertain from speaking with Mr. Burke and from his presentation, we are not -- we are not being asked to approve the extension of the KOZ's, that needs to be done at the state level. What they were asking for were letters, I guess it's semantics, letters to accompany their application for an extension for the KOZ at Mr. Pleasant and the KOEZ at Mt. Pleasant, letters saying that we are not -that we do I guess approve of them applying for the extension. It would then be up to the state to determine whether that particular extension would be granted. We as a council do not have the right or power to extend the KOZ's. And I guess that's
what we can discuss next week, what steps we want to take or do not want to take. So, I would ask that, you know, next week's caucus be somewhat devoted to that pursuit.

MS. GATELLI: And the stimulus package.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Fine. I just want to make sure we get -- we'll have a busy caucus I guess.

I would also like that.
MS. GATELLI: Maybe we should have it
at a quarter of.
MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, we could.
MS. GATELLI: We have those two things.

MR. MCGOFF: That would be fine. We'll put that on the 5:45 caucus for next week. I would like, Mrs. Evans brought up the request about the audit for 2008 , I would like us to make a request to the auditors on behalf of council that we receive monthly reports concerning the progress of the audit and any problems that are deterring their progress.

MS. EVANS: We get that already.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, somewhat, but I
would just like to make it as a formal, you know, that it's formally recognized by council and by the auditors that they are required to do that and also that then we can respond to any problems that do occur.

MS. EVANS: I don't know anything to do differently though.

MR. MCGOFF: I know.
MS. EVANS: They have already given us every month.

MS. GATELLI: I don't know that
there is anything we can do differently.
MS. EVANS: Because we are not --
MS. GATELLI: We are not in charge of all of those other people, you know, it's just -- it's just the way it is. We can't control the other entities of when they get their audit to us. You know, it's unfortunate, but we are not on all on the same fiscal calendar, etcetera.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, at least we can --
MS. GATELLI: Expedite it.
Absolutely.
MR. MCGOFF: We can expedite it, we
can place whatever pressure we need, you know, we can to encourage people to be more expeditious in their reporting.

And the last thing just in response to some things that were said, I do disagree with you, Mrs. Evans, on one point, I believe that all the votes count, whether
they are positive, negative, in the majority, in the minority, I think we on council, and I believe I speak for everyone, we all -- we all attempt to do what we think is right. What we think is right may be different and we may have differing opinions, but it's only through those differences of opinion that we can make progress, and if we all agreed and there was no disagreement then nothing would ever change, and I think that $I$ for one welcome differing perspectives and an opportunity to analyze and make judgments of things that $I$ had not considered, and while you may -- you know, people may feel that those decisions that I make aren't in their best interest, they are, as I said, based on the believe that $I$ am trying to do what $I$ think is right
and I believe that we all do that. And that is all I have. Let's get to our agenda. MS. GARVEY: FIFTH ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 60, 2009 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE SALE AND TRANSFER OF A PARCEL OF LAND ACQUIRED BY

THE CITY THROUGH THE FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT BY SEALED BIDS TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS 620 RACE STREET, SCRANTON, AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN DEED DATED JULY 21, 2004, DULY RECORDED IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS OFFICE IN RECORDS BOOK 1278, PAGE 0002. MR. MCGOFF: You have heard reading
by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item 6-A
pass reading by title.
MS. FANUCCI: Second.
MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
those in favor signify by saying aye.
MS. EVANS: Aye.
MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
MS. GATELLI: Aye.
MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. MS. GARVEY: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 102, 2009 - AUTHORIZING REVISION OF THE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALONG 7TH AVENUE/PROVIDENCE ROAD (SR 3029) AT THE INTERSECTION WITH

MUNCHAK WAY AND OLIVE STREET TO INCLUDE A NEW DRIVEWAY APPROACH FOR THE ICE BOX DRIVEWAY.

MR. MCGOFF: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works?

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Public Works, I do not recommend Item 7-A.

MS. GATELLI: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
MS. GATELLI: On the question, I would just like to set the record straight about what the Ice Box owes us. I believe it was when we first came onto council or when I first came onto council, but Mr. Burke came here and offered to pay us
$\$ 600,000$ I believe over 20 years and everyone said, no, including me. Little did I know that we don't have to require him to pay us anything and we should have taken that money, that was one of a bad decisions that I made up here. I wish I could redo it. I believe we all voted "no" in caucus, we didn't really do it in public. And then
when I asked Attorney Minora to look into it he did and we can't require him to pay us anything, so that's all $I$ have to say on that. And I will agree with Mrs. Evans, I also work at a school building and if it affected my school and my students I would feel the same way, so $I$ will be voting with Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.
MR. MCGOFF: I don't see how this traffic signal will affect traffic in any negative way. I think that the signal itself would be synchronized with the existing one. It would offer better access not only to the Ice Box, but also to riverfront, maybe that's a bias on my part since I spend sometime there, but I don't
see how -- I don't see how this is going to negatively impact traffic on Providence Road and the existing problems near the school, while there may be problems at arrival and dismissal, $I$ don't see how a light is going to alter that in any way, whether it's going to help or hinder the problems that exist.

I think that as described to me the project that they have for the area in the

Ice Box is good and that changing it, this has already been looked at by PennDOT and approved, they saw no problems, and I believe that it's a positive thing to do. MS. EVANS: Well, the only thing I could add is that $I$ think that's going to be a very tough sell to the students and their parents that are traveling there to and from their five days a week because really you drive through there and you are holding your breath and you are watching every direction as you are moving because, you know, even in some areas there aren't even sidewalks, so --

MS. GATELLI: And there is no lights either at night.

MR. MCGOFF: So another traffic light might slow down traffic and be helpful.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually, we have got a police officer who handles that one traffic light every morning and decides, you know, pretty much how long he is going to let it run, so it's not actually, you know, I don't know what they do, computerize it or
such, it's being controlled by a police officer.

MS. GATELLI: Maybe in the interim we can have someone from the school district meet with the city engineer and PennDOT. I think that several years ago, and I know I'm going off the question, Mr. McGoff, but I'll trust that you will let me speak, there were people, and I know there was one person from council and they worked on a committee about all of the problems down at Scranton High School because it is a nightmare. The traffic is horrendous in and out of school, I'm surprised someone didn't get hurt yet.

MS. EVANS: We have. There have been accidents. surprised. So maybe, Mrs. Evans, that you work there you can ask Mr. McGraw and then we'll call, you know, Mr. Swanson and maybe he can get somebody from PennDOT and they can have a meeting and see what they can do down there to help correct the problems that exist their already and maybe this can be part of it.

MS. EVANS: They already -- I know
the school district officials already met with PennDOT because they had envisioned opening up roads, giving alternative routes in and out of the high school and PennDOT refused it.

MR. MCGOFF: For the record a yes vote would defeat 7 -A or a yes vote would be to defeat 7-A, a no vote would be approval of 7-A. Roll call, please?

MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
MS. EVANS: Yes.
MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
MS. GATELLI. Yes.
MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
MS. FANUCCI: No.

MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: No. 7-A is defeated.
MS. GATELLI: Motion to adjourn.
MR. MCGOFF: So moved.

