1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, January 27, 2009
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CAMUENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAI COUDE DEDODED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	
4	MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
5	
6	MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT
7	MS. JANET E. EVANS
9	MS. SHERRY FANUCCI
10	MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT
11	FIX. WILLIAM COOKINION
12	MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK
13	MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
14	
15	MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Here.
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
7	MS. GATELLI. Here.
8	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Here.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes. Third Order.
15	MS. GARVEY: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
16	HEALTH AND WELFARE AUTHORITY REGULAR BOARD
17	MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 18, 2008.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. GARVEY: 3-B. CONTROLLER'S report
21	FOR THE MONTH ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2008.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. GARVEY: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
25	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON

1	DECEMBER 17, 2008.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
3	If not, received and filed.
4	MS. GARVEY: That's it for third
5	order.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Garvey.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: I just have one
8	thing, if you don't mind, Mr. McGoff.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: I received an
11	e-mail today about a benefit for Steven
12	Granville. It's going to be January 31 at
13	Whistle's Pub and Eatery on Franklin Street,
14	downtown Scranton from 7 until 10 p.m., and
15	tickets are \$15 and can be purchased at the
16	night of the event at Whistle's. This
17	includes beverages, appetizers and desserts,
18	and they will also be raffling off gift
19	baskets and you need to be 21 or older to
20	attend, and that came from Julia Miller, so
21	if you can be so kind as to support this
22	benefit they certainly appreciate it. Thank
23	you.
24	MS. GATELLI: Mr. McGoff, I just a
25	few things. First, if we could just have a

moment of reflection for one of our firemen.

He had a very serious operation and he is recovering, Paul Mackrel, so if we can just have a moment of silence to remember him in his recovery. (Moment of silence observed.)

Thank you.

There will be a benefit for Chad

Lewis. He is 37 years old from North

Scranton and he is braving cancer. The

proceeds will assist him and his family to

pay medical bills. The price is \$15 a

person. It will be at Holy Rosary Hall on

Market Street from 6 to 10 on February 21,

and I do have tickets with me if anyone is

interested, otherwise, you can get them at

door. That's Tommy's son.

The other benefit is for Joseph Noone's, and he also is a cancer victim. His is February 28 at Wise Crackers Comedy Club. The door is open at seven and the show begins at eight, it's \$12 a person, cash bar and there will be baskets and a 50/50. You can call Barb Kelk, K-E-L-K 941-9640.

I would just like to recognize the

1	basketball coach at West Scranton High
2	School, I don't know if you saw the article
3	in the paper, but our couch had prostate
4	cancer and I would like to send him a letter
5	on behalf of council congratulating him for
6	being a survivor and for being such an
7	excellent coach. We have very proud of him
8	and all of us up here know him very well,
9	and he is an excellent coach and part of his
10	philosophy I'm sure is what's helping him
11	battle his cancer, so I'd just like to
12	recognize him.
13	I don't know if anyone got in this
14	the mail, but I got this in the mail last
15	week and it was four pages long, and it
16	says, "Make \$250,000 in weeks. Seen on
17	Oprah and 20/20. Highly respected TV and
18	radio programs. It's legal. This is not a
19	pyramid scam."
20	MS. FANUCCI: What are you still
21	doing here, Judy?
22	MS. GATELLI: I said to my husband,
23	"You know, it's not a bad idea."
24	You only had to invest 200 bucks and
25	you send for a list of people and you would

2	and I thought, you know, it sounds like
3	it sounded legit to me. I had Joe
4	investigate it. He is retired now so he has
5	a lot of spare time, and he went to Oprah
6	and he went to 20/20 and it's a scam, so I
7	wanted to make sure people aware that if
8	they do get this in the mail it is a scam.
9	MS. FANUCCI: You are not getting
10	\$200,000.
11	MS. GATELLI: It has been
12	investigated and it sounds real good, but I

MS. GATELLI: It has been investigated and it sounds real good, but I guess if it sounds good then it's not good. So I just wanted you to be aware of that.

And I wanted to tell you the reason that I wasn't here last week, I was invited to go to the inauguration of the new president of the United States, Barack

Obama. I had asked for tickets before he even won because I wanted to be there and I wanted to be part of the historical moment, so I was fortunate enough to get tickets, and my daughter came with me. My husband has bad knees so he wasn't able to come, and it was a wonderful experience. I do have

2	a photo, one for each of them, of Barack
3	Obama making his inauguration speech. It
4	was very momentous, very touching. When you
5	looked back in the crowd and saw 1.8 million
6	people it was absolutely awesome.
7	I have only been to one other one, I
8	have been to Bill Clinton's first
9	inauguration as president of the United
10	States. If you have never been to one it
11	certainly is worthwhile to attend at least
12	one in your lifetime. It's something that
13	you can't even describe and I'm very happy
14	that I was able to be part of it and I made
15	everyone a photo and you can save it.
16	MS. EVANS: Oh, I will. Thank you.
17	I think that's the closest I'm ever going to
18	come to an inauguration.
19	MS. GATELLI: The camera did great
20	and you can see him pretty well and you can
21	save it for posterity. And, Neil, I made
22	one for you and Kay and Sue, too. I thought
23	it would be nice for you to hang.
24	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
25	MS. GATELLI: And if anyone else

would like one just let me know and I'll
make you a copy, and you guys can look at

3	them in the audience later if you would like
4	to. They are really nice. And that's all I
5	have for now. Thank you.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs Evans?
7	MS. EVANS: Yes. The Coaches vs.
8	Cancer celebration party will be held at
9	Stirna's restaurant on Market Street in
10	North Scranton this Saturday, January 31,
11	starting at 8:00. The food will be
12	complimentary courtesy of Stirna's owner,
13	Kevin Gavin, and there will be a cash bar,
14	and all of you are invited to attend. There
15	will be raffles and gift baskets and it's
16	for a very worthy cause. There will also be
17	a celebrity guest that evening and if you
18	are available come out to Stirna's and
19	celebrate with the coaches and referees in
20	their effort to fight cancer.
21	Also, in light of the expiration of
22	the cable franchise contract this year and
23	the ongoing discussions conducted between
24	the mayor and Comcast, I had requested a

director of Comcast. The caucus will be
conducted on Tuesday, February 3, in council

council caucus with Mr. Sterner, senior

3	chambers and televised to provide the public
4	with access to this discussion. I have
5	received numerous e-mails and heard
6	countless complaint from Comcast customers,
7	particularly the elderly, and I know that
8	each of my colleagues has fielded numerous
9	complaints as well. Consequently, it is
10	beneficial to keep the public fully informed
11	of this important capable TV issue. And
12	that's all I have.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Fourth
14	order. Citizens' participation.
15	MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
16	citizen of Scranton and fellow Scrantonians.
17	I'm going to start off by asking the
18	questions I asked last week, is the theatre
19	up on their loan, their government loan?
20	Are they current?
21	MS. FANUCCI: I'm still waiting for a
22	response on that myself. I put that in and
23	I'm not sure I looked tonight on my
24	e-mail before I got here to see if I got it
25	and I did not receive it as of yet.

1 MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, don't worry
2 about it. I'll put in a Right-To-Know and
3 they'll handle it. That's the best way.

4	MS. FANUCCI: You should.
5	MR. SBARAGLIA: That's the best way
6	to get it.
7	MS. FANUCCI: All right. Whatever.
8	MR. SBARAGLIA: The other was I asked
9	about Boscov's, why are we paying their 108
10	loan, did you find out why, what it's for?
11	MS. FANUCCI: As of right now
12	everything on the Boscov deal has not been
13	sealed yet, so until I think the we don't
14	know exactly what's going on yet with the
15	Boscov loan, but what you are asking
16	MR. SBARAGLIA: We have been paying
17	for three years, probably four years on the
18	loan, on a 108 loan. That is quite fixed.
19	I'm not talking about the three million.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Oh, then I was
21	mistaken.
22	MR. SBARAGLIA: I'm talking about the
23	original loan that comes before council
24	saying we are paying two deadbeat loans off,
25	one by Boscov and one with the hotel, and I

guess there is -- never mind, I'll probably find out. It's easier for me to find out -- MS. FANUCCI: Good.

4	MR. SBARAGLIA: than it is for you
5	to find out.
6	MS. FANUCCI: Good. Makes it easier.
7	MR. SBARAGLIA: Let's go to a new
8	subject. Our legislature in their wisdom
9	passed a new KOZ law, so here is a little
10	something on it: The new law allows to the
11	Department of Community and Economic
12	Development to designate up to 15 new KOZ's.
13	Okay? All the KOZ's we got they are going
14	to add on 15 more. Okay, the tax referred
15	to in the KOZ may be reduced to zero, well,
16	we all know that, except the deductions made
17	and credits for most state and local taxes.
18	Who pays it? The taxpayer. We pay it all.
19	Okay. Under the new KOZ status on
20	unoccupied parcels, in other words, they
21	haven't been able to do with these possibly
22	for the last ten years, so our legislature
23	said, well, let's give them another seven.
24	So now we are going from ten years to
25	17 years on these parcels that they didn't

1 do nothing with.

Now, there is another thing in here,

DCED may approve up to -- may approve up to

15 additional KOZ's, entitled as a KOZ tax

beginning January 1, 2010. In other words, when they expire they are going to get KOZ's.

Existing parcels it in the zone, but there is another little stipulation, if for some reason or other after they get that seven years extension if a company occupies a parcel before December 31, 2015, they may receive a KOZ benefit for another ten years, so we are talking about 25 years of KOZ's and that time I would pay \$50,000 in taxes without raises that may be coming to the city, to the school district and to the county and these people would have paid nothing. Do you realize how much a burden the KOZ's have put on the people of Scranton for very limited resources?

Now, from what I heard when we are in that -- I guess for that project over there down off of the highway, I forget what they call it, the Mt. Pleasant project, they

took a tax paying piece of parcel and bought it and ripped everything down and I bet they are going to ask for a KOZ for that parcel.

So not only are they taking money out of our

5	pockets they are going to build on that	
6	parcel, and the only reason the only way	7
7	they could build is if they get a KOZ, so	
8	you are going to turn around and give them	a
9	KOZ to build another project that was a tax	
10	paying project before they acquired it.	
11	And if you notice down here at the	
12	old DPW site there was a little article in	
13	the paper about a gas station wanting to	
14	move in there. Well, there is no sense	
15	getting into it. Thank you.	
16	THE COURT: Thank you,	
17	Mr. Sbaraglia.	
18	MR. MCGOFF: Jean Suetta.	
19	MS. SUETTA: Jean Suetta, Scranton.	
20	Bill, the bridge got cleaned today, Bill.	
21	The bridge got cleaned. The sidewalks are	
22	cleaned at city hall. Do I have a fatal	
23	disease I don't know about? I come to say	
24	thank you.	
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: You got more clout	
		15
1	than we do, Jeannie.	
2	MS. SUETTA: I didn't get the	
3	number.	

4 MR. COURTRIGHT: I have the number.

5 MS. SUETTA: You never called me with

6	the number.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: I have the number
8	right here. You told me you were coming
9	last week.
10	MS. SUETTA: Well, I hurt myself.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: You didn't show up
12	last week.
13	MS. SUETTA: I hurt myself. Well, I
14	don't have to account to you. Sherry,
15	anything going on over there, the Sheraton?
16	No bids? No nothing? The money is still in
17	escrow?
18	MS. FANUCCI: I'll let you know as
19	soon I hear.
20	MS. SUETTA: Judy, and you thought
21	that was a scam and you are on city council?
22	MS. GATELLI: And I was going to go
23	for it.
24	MS. SUETTA: And you're leading us?
25	MS. GATELLI: I was going to go for
	16

1 it.

MS. SUETTA: What's going on with 2

North Scranton Junior High? 3

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Suetta, we have a

letter sent to Mr. Langan, I believe, the

6	executive director of Good Will asking for
7	an update on that property and we are
8	awaiting his response. As soon as it's
9	received, council will
10	MS. SUETTA: Because they are not
11	doing nothing up there. They are keeping
12	the sidewalks cleaned though, I do give them
13	credit there.
14	MS. EVANS: Well, that's a good
15	thing.
16	MS. SUETTA: But can I say
17	something? One of the DPW workers have been
18	plowing and salting Jilly's parking lot.
19	I'm giving them fair warning, I know who he
20	is, don't do it again because I never
21	snitched on anybody, but I will tell. He is
22	doing the parking lot in South Side, and I
23	know who he is, and it's not right. He
24	could have did the Greenridge Street with
25	the salting and the plowing he did down
	17

1	there, and then I wouldn't have to come down
2	here and complain, but I give him fair
3	warning. I'll call you, Mr. McGoff, with
4	his name if he plows it tomorrow or Billy.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: All right, Jean.
6	MS. SUETTA: Everyone have a good

/	night.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Thank you, Jean.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Doug Miller.
10	MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.
11	Doug Miller, Scranton. I'd like to respond
12	to council regarding the University of
13	Scranton tree trimming project. I raised
14	the issue a few weeks ago. Council said
15	they are doing us such a huge favor, well,
16	maybe they wouldn't mind doing us an even
17	bigger favor and travel around the whole
18	city and cut trees and install
19	streetlighting, you know, at least we would
20	be getting something from them other than
21	their self-serving project on the hill.
22	Three weeks ago, council requested a
23	list of cancelled meetings from the past
24	year, but quite frankly, we shouldn't even
25	he addressing this issue to begin with

because there is no reason that this council
should be cancelling meetings. I strongly
opposed the cancellation of the last three
meetings of the year, as I did state in the
past. I believe you had no reason to do
this other than to give yourself an extended

7	paid Christmas break. The taxpayers have
8	the right to speak at this forum whether you
9	have agenda or not and you violated our
10	rights by not giving us the opportunity to
11	come here.
12	You know, during your wasted time
13	off you could have discussed issues such as
14	creating impact fees on KOZ's, nonprofits or
15	any other developer that wants to come into
16	this city. I believe this city is losing
17	out on millions of dollars because this
18	council fails to get creative.
19	Also, we could have spent time
20	addressing the police and fire contracts.
21	You know, I don't understand why this

Also, we could have spent time addressing the police and fire contracts.

You know, I don't understand why this council is so afraid to address this issue.

You know, what if President Obama came to Scranton and one of our schools and businesses had a major fire with a potential

1	loss of life, but we didn't have any fireman
2	to put the fire out or police officers for
3	traffic control for security, you know, how
4	good would that look in the national
5	spotlight?

I think we need to liken this to a teacher's strike. When this occurs the

teachers hold the students hostage. Well, this council needs to holds the mayor's legislation hostage until these contracts are negotiated the right away. You know, we are a distressed city and we look foolish because our elected officials don't know how to lead the right way. Moving on to the raises. I totally oppose any raises to this council. Truthfully, I don't know understand what

oppose any raises to this council.

Truthfully, I don't know understand what even possessed you to even entertain the thought. You know, Mr. McGoff stated two weeks ago, and I quote, "It was only open for discussion. Nothing was set in stone."

Well, then my question is then if this was only being discussed then why was it on your agenda? There is a big difference between discussion and voting on

legislation. Your questionable actions were certainly a big slap in the face to our police and firemen and the taxpayers. It's time that this council starts listening to the taxpayers' concerns because right now you don't feel you need to. I think you all need to remember how you got here because

8	apparently some of you have short memories.
9	Finally, to the taxpayers here, we
10	have been imposed a five-minute time limit
11	here to address you. You know, we are
12	consistently ignored and stifled, you know,
13	maybe a creative idea would be that we give
14	council a five-minute limit when you speak
15	outside of motions and ramble on. What's
16	god for the goose is good for the gander.
17	Thank you.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Looks like your
19	campaign started, Doug, huh?
20	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Miller.
21	MS. FANUCCI: Campaign season, huh?
22	MR. MCGOFF: Bob Bolus.
23	MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council,
24	Bob Bolus, Scranton. It's nice to come back
25	here again and see such a cheerful group,

and some of the issues that are facing our
city is money. We are squandering money and
wasting money. We have no regard for
taxpayers in this city. You've kind of
given the mayor free reign to do what he
wants, so why don't we start listening to
the people and look at some ideas. There is
a lot of creativity around here. I brought

up many issues over the years, not that I'm most creative guy in the city, but I am one of the most practical ones. I know what is to have to work hard for a buck. Nobody gives us anything. I can't pass legislation and force taxpayers to pay my expenses. Our people have to work for a living and there is no free rides in our companies.

Let's look at the leachate line that came from Dunmore to Scranton out of the landfill. I brought this up many times throughout the Doherty administration and prior to the Connors' administration changing the agreement. There is a leachate line that goes from Dunmore down to hear. I have also said it, we could be a host community to that line even those it's

treated through the sewage authority or sewer authority. We could charge so much per gallon for every gallon of flow through that comes through that line as a host community. You need to pass legislation. You need to start paying attention to it. They could bring in millions of dollars to the city coffers, not only in the city, it

9 could also bring it into Dunmore that's on 10 the verge of bankruptcy. They problem up there is they made 11 12 be owned by the individual that owns the 13 landfill because he gave them a police 14 station and a DPW garage and they don't want 15 to take this legislation, but they are a partner with the City of Scranton and you 16 17 need to start looking at these issues. The golf course money, I don't know 18 where it is, but I would like to know where 19 it is now and what the interest is that's 20 accrued from the first million and a half 21 22 dollars that was given away to what's left of the money right now. I think we are 23 24 entitled to know that.

25

Look around the city, look at the

1	valley we live in. The surrounding area,
2	everywhere you read people are putting in
3	windmills. Why aren't we looking at
4	entertaining windmills on the mountain
5	sides. We've got all of West Mountain. We
6	have East Mountain, we could cut our costs
7	tremendously to the taxpayers in the city by
8	electricity. Simple thing. God gave us the
9	nature. He gave us wind. People are

getting an advantage. It's being sent to

Florida and everywhere else. Let's use our
heads and do it here. There is grants,
there is everything out here to do it that
benefit the city. That's creativity.

That's using our head outside of the box,
not just giving the mayor \$80 million every
year to run a business.

And, remember, the mayor on raises, yeah, you need to give a mayor a raise in the city, you are an \$80 million a year business. You need somebody capable or competent that's going to give up something and come here and run it. Sure, Chris Doherty doesn't care if he gets the money, why? Because Chris Doherty has a private

1	business he is involved in. A business I
2	believe is based outside of the State of
3	Pennsylvania even though it has an office
4	here in Scranton, so he doesn't need the
5	money, so he doesn't need the pay, but if
6	you are to put a bone out in front of
7	somebody and dangle it then dangle it so you
8	get competent candidates that come forward
9	who want to work and run this city to give

the city a better future than the handful of politicians that continue to destroy the city. You know, we could go on and on and on. I brought out impact fees. I brought out KOZ's, I brought out the nonprofits, but you are the ones who got to get creative. I was going to do it a long time ago, I did it once and then said, geez, Bob why don't you get on boards again. Well, I'm not going to do that. I don't get paid to do it. I pay

job to do it.

Create the legislation that gives the taxpayers the benefit against your impact fees, your KOZ's and nonprofits. You

taxes in the city. I live here, it's your

need to start increasing the tax base,

decrease the tax rate, tax the burden off

the taxpayers. That's where we need to go.

We are in the limelight of the nation and it's disgraceful to all of us, every Scrantonian to sit here and see everywhere you look that we are distressed, we can't run our city and we haven't been able to run our city. Why? Because we are politicians we are looking out for our next

11	seed. We are looking out for our next step
12	in the political world. Well, that's not
13	what you are here for or anybody else in
14	this administration. You are here to do the
15	job for the four years or two years or
16	whatever it is you are appointed to do.
17	Worry about the rest later, it will take
18	care of itself if, in fact, you have done
19	your jobs.
20	People aren't stupid, but they are
21	hurting right now and you need to take the
22	bull by the horn here and change the course
23	of what Scranton is, that it's a financially
24	secure city not an electric city. Thank
25	you.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
2	Jim.
3	MR. STUCKER: How are you doing,
4	Mr. Courtright?
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Jim.
6	MR. STUCKER: One of my friends, I
7	don't know who he was, he is friend of mine
8	and he knows Sammy Deano, he knows his
9	brother, and he was telling me, when I was

at the Circle flea market, and he was

11	telling me that none of yous should have no
12	raise. None at all. None of you don't
13	deserve a raise.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay. We are not
15	getting one, Jim.
16	MR. STUCKER: And I was up at the
17	Circle Sunday, up the flea market and I seen
18	a scooter. I seen it up there Sunday and
19	I'm going to get it, 75 bucks. It was brand
20	new.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Jim, just
22	remember what happened the last time, all
23	right? You can't ride it on the street.
24	That's why you had to get rid of it.
25	MR. STUCKER: It's not licensed.
	27
1	
1	It's electric.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Right.
3	MR. STUCKER: If it's electric I can
4	ride it. Yeah. Yeah. And one of my
5	buddy's friends, a lady, it was in the
6	paper, her name is Sally, she just passed
7	away Friday.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Sorry to hear that,
9	Jim.
10	MR. STUCKER: She had her leg taken

off and she passed away Friday, and I'm

	still looking for a little bit of work. Not
13	much.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Jim, before I forget
15	the guy you used to cut his grass, Bubba, he
16	came to the other day
17	MR. STUCKER: Who?
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Bubba from back on
19	Keyser Valley, he said when the weather
20	breaks he will let you cut his grass again,
21	all right? So I'll let you know after the
22	meeting, he came up to me and told me I
23	forgot to tell me.
24	MR. STUCKER: You want my number?
25	THE COURT: You give it to Neil, all
	28
1	
1	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim?
1 2	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim? Don't we have that number? Just don't touch
_	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim?
2	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim? Don't we have that number? Just don't touch
2	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim? Don't we have that number? Just don't touch his hair like Jeannie did. When the weather
2 3 4	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim? Don't we have that number? Just don't touch his hair like Jeannie did. When the weather gets good, Jim, he said you can do the
2 3 4 5	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim? Don't we have that number? Just don't touch his hair like Jeannie did. When the weather gets good, Jim, he said you can do the grass, all right?
2 3 4 5	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim? Don't we have that number? Just don't touch his hair like Jeannie did. When the weather gets good, Jim, he said you can do the grass, all right? MR. STUCKER: I was working for
2 3 4 5 6	right? What do you got, cards there, Jim? Don't we have that number? Just don't touch his hair like Jeannie did. When the weather gets good, Jim, he said you can do the grass, all right? MR. STUCKER: I was working for Sammy, too, the guy that makes the flower

MR. COURTRIGHT: Very good.

12	MR. MCGOFF: Thanks, Jim.
13	MR. STUCKER: And if I get a scooter
14	I will be happy.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: I bet you will.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Lee Morgan.
17	MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.
18	The first thing I have here is, you know,
19	I'm really happy there is going to be a
20	discussion in council about the franchise
21	fee. I'm of the opinion that there
22	possibility should be a modified franchise
23	fee granting access to cable companies
24	outside of the area to transmit across those
25	lines. They can transmit in my opinion on

1	different frequencies, and have the
2	converter box separate the signal and that
3	way what we could do is we can create
4	competition locally. Instead of letting
5	Comcast run rough shot over the community so
6	that if another maybe Adams or somebody else
7	can make an agreement in this franchise fee
8	to let them transmit on a different
9	frequency and that way the box separates the
10	both signals and whosoever service you pay
11	for that's the signal you will get through
12	that box, and that way all of the residents

much this city aren't prisoners to one company.

The other major problem we have is that, you know, I'm all for a percentage of the franchise fee going to public access television, okay? I'm also of the opinion that we need to increase the amount of diversity that there is on that channel. I think that -- I think those are some major problems we have and I think that they should be addressed, but I also think that another part of that franchise fee should go to create a playground program in this city.

I think that the residents of this city have a right to have this franchise fee used in a way that enhances the lives of the citizens who live here instead of letting it get sucked into the budget and disappear. I think we need to target this to do the greatest amount of good we can for the community.

And on a separate issue, on the stimulus that's going to allegedly come out of Washington that Mr. Obama is trying to craft, when that money comes here we should

use that money wisely in the neighborhoods, not in town, not to build a library, not to -- you know, there is so many discussions that allegedly we are going to use this money because we have this project allegedly shovel ready for the new library. Well, we've got real problems in the neighborhoods. We have no curbs, we have no sidewalks. We need major infrastructure repairs in this city.

Now, President Obama has said, and people in Congress and the Senate have said that this is not the last stimulus, that

there will be others, so let's chain this money together. I mean, we talk about 800 and some billion dollars, and there is going to be others it means that we are going to incur an awful lot of debt, and if we are going to do that maybe we should spend it in our communities for the benefits of the residents in this community, like maybe making homes more energy efficient, coming up with a partnership between the laborers or the carpenters union or whatever and start pouring sidewalks and starting an apprentice program in the trades. I mean,

we could do very creative things that can benefit this city, but we have got to stop the mayor or anyone else for that matter who is going to squander this money in the pay to play department, okay? I mean, you know, I'm not trying to call people crooked, I'm just saying that, you know, we have got to do something for the people in this community and I think that it's time for council to stand up and say, Mr. Mayor, you know, this is our vision for the city.

ago you introduced something in regards to a pay raise, so evidently you do have the authority to put something on the agenda, okay? So it's time to put something on the agenda. It's time to put something on the agenda for the residents of this city, and I agree with things that Mr. Bolus has said here, that he said many times before in some regards as far as fees, but I really think the most important thing we can do for this city is get rid of the 2.4 percent wage tax.

Mr. McGoff, last week or two weeks

Let's be realistic here. I mean, we have terrible blight in the neighborhoods

and why? Because we have allowed the city
inspectors to run through the neighborhoods
at the mayor's orders and absolutely
decimate the neighborhoods. People have
been in these homes for 50 years and now, of
course, council passed the rental ordinance
and we decide we are just going to tear
everything down, okay? You know what, it's
not their fault that they invested in the
city and there aren't people to move into
those apartments because they fled outside
of the city.

1	So now they have been left with an
2	investment with no one they can rent to and
3	the city comes down behind them and says,
4	well, we are going to use this ordinance to
5	come in your house whether we should or not
6	and then we are going to allow people to
7	kick doors, act very immature, and condemn
8	people's properties for absolutely no
9	reasons or steal their zoning. It's
10	terrible. Thank you.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.
12	Ozzie Quinn.
13	MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn. I'm here

tonight as the chairman of the Hill

Neighborhood Association Housing and Blight
Committee. You know, the Hill Neighborhood
Association has been around since the 70's.
It's the only 501-C-3 nonprofit neighborhood
organization throughout the city, and it has
done a lot of good things for the Hill
Section. Bob Neverosky is the president and
he asked me to attend tonight because of the
fact that he sent a letter to the OECD
regarding the neighborhood stabilization
program. A couple of weeks ago the county

had listed the foreclosures through the
sheriff's office and 16 percent of them was
from the Hill Section. Now, why is
everything going to South Side? You know,
you have the neighborhood stabilization
plan, you have the Elm Street plan over
there and here you have the Hill Section,
North Scranton, all of the other sections
are being left out and we really resent
that, okay? We have over 1,500 members and
there is a letter going out shortly, a
newsletter going out, regarding what's going
on here. It's a political football
everything that comes into this city that's

a grant. It's used for political purposes. Now, Mr. McGoff, last week you spoke about the University of Scranton, you visited up there and you spoke about the \$1.3 million they are going to invest of their money into the campus along Mulberry Street? Well, you know, I'd like to suggest again from the Housing and Blight Committee, all right, in the late 90's there was a million dollar grant that came into the City of Scranton under former state legislator

Fred Belardi for the 1200 and 1300 block,

1400 block of Mulberry Street for new

sidewalks, replace the curbs and provide

trees and lighting all through there.

Now, the thing what they had to do is they had to match it with \$1 million.

Now, nobody knows where that million dollars is, but I'm sure it's still in the city, all right? Now, I would recommend from the Hill Neighborhood Association, okay, and Bob that we use that match of the University of Scranton with that million dollars to improve those three blocks of Mulberry Street in the Hill Section. Do you understand?

16	MR. MCGOFF: What was the year?
17	MR. QUINN: It came in in the late
18	it was around '99 I believe.
19	MR. MCGOFF: '99.
20	MR. QUINN: Yeah, it was a \$1 million
21	grant from DCED and it was with a match, all
22	right, but nobody came up with a match, and
23	it's still around there someplace, but it's
24	a good opportunity to get, you know
25	MR. MCGOFF: And you said it was for

1 the 12, 14 and 14 --

MR. QUINN: 1400 blocks of Mulberry

Street. There was also -- PennDOT was going to come in, also, but moneywise they couldn't come in. They were also going to get involved in it. However, that had nothing to do with the grant.

Now, we have a master plan which is also, you know, a comprehensive plan done around 1993 or '94 and it's a very, very much involved plan for every neighborhood in the City of Scranton, and it was a detailed plan on how to remedy some of problems all through the neighborhood and we never used that plan. I'll bet you -- I'll bet you

none -- maybe Judy, she worked up there,
ever looked through that master plan. Now,
it's 15 years old it probably has to be
updated, but the neighborhood stuff can
still be used. And one example we can use
it from with the president's stimulus plan,
in South Side - not South Side, East
Mountain on the end of Cherry Street in that
neighborhood there was a proposal to open up
100 acres of land west of Cherry Street

going down to Montage for housing, okay?

There was a loop street recommended to come in and open that area up, okay, and if we are on our toes we could do something like that. We could do something by going to that master plan now, pulling out what was recommended, what we paid for for infrastructure in the neighborhoods and use that plan such as that as an example. We've got to get ahead this year. We can't be waiting around, and again, we were very satisfied with that neighborhood stabilization program, but we do hope that you will pick up on that \$1 million grant. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.

17 Les Spindler.

18	MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council.
19	Les Spindler, city resident, homeowner and
20	taxpayer. Mrs. Gatelli, two weeks ago we
21	were talking about meetings being cancelled
22	and you brought up in the Summer of 2005
23	that council worked right through the summer
24	and didn't cancel their meetings and you
25	said that was funny because it was an

election year? The real reason they worked,
Mrs. Gatelli, is because the Hilton was
having serious financial problems that
summer and they needed help and the council
worked through the summer. They worked with
the mayor all summer and Mr. Courtright and
Mr. Evans can verify this, and they came up
with a plan to bail out the Hilton or there
may not have been a Hilton right now, so it
had nothing to do with the election, it was
just financial trouble that the Hilton was
in, and if you didn't walk out when I was
speaking two weeks ago and I spoke about
that you would have heard it.

Next thing, two weeks ago I had mentioned about Scartelli's plowing the DPW

17	parking lot during that last snow storm and,
18	Mrs. Gatelli, I think you were the one that
19	said there was a snow emergency declared?
20	MS. GATELLI: No. I said maybe
21	there was.
22	MR. SPINDLER: Well, there wasn't. I
23	was watching the news all night that night
24	and there was no snow emergency. The real
25	reason is Scartelli as a matter of fact is a
	39
1	major contributor to Chris Doherty's
1	
2	campaign and he is just repaying the favor,
3	and I think that's probably criminal and
4	that should be investigated. We have our
5	own DPW to plow roads, why does Scartelli
6	have to be hired to do it? It's outrageous.
7	And that's why this city is in such
8	financial difficulty because Chris Doherty
9	is taking care of his friends, and that's
10	wrong.
11	Mr. McGoff can I approach council
12	with these things?
13	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
14	MR. SPINDLER: Okay. For awhile now
15	I have spoken about Pit Bulls being

dangerous. I got this off of Fox.com,

Foxnews.com. "City is mulling Pit Bull bans

16

18	in wake of dog attacks."
19	I'm not going to read the whole
20	article, on the back I have a little
21	paragraph highlighted, "While most dog laws
22	are local, Ohio has a 1987 state law
23	requiring owners to confine purebred Pit
24	Bulls as viscous dogs and buy at least
25	\$100,000 in liability insurance."
	40
1	And then it was an to see House
1	And then it goes on to say, "Twelve
2	states prohibit breed specific restriction
3	on bans."
4	Now, when I brought this up before
5	your solicitor said we can't be breed
6	specific. Is Pennsylvania one of these 12
7	states? We are not?
8	MS. GATELLI: No, Pennsylvania does
9	not have a breed specific
10	MR. SPINDLER: Well, when I brought
11	this before your attorney said we can't be
12	breed specific. Why can't we if Ohio can if
13	we are not under these 12 states?
14	MS. GATELLI: We can, but it has to
15	be the state that does it, not the city.
16	MR. SPINDLER: Why can't the city do

it? Because if there is --

18	MS. GATELLI: For the same reason we
19	couldn't do the smoking ban, Les. The same
20	exact reason.
21	MR. SPINDLER: But there's another
22	paragraph down below where the City of South
23	Milwaukee did their own they made a ban
24	on Pit Bulls, also. I think we can do it,
25	you people just don't want to do it. If
	41
1	Chris Doherty asked you to put a Pit Bull
2	ban in you would do it.
3	MS. GATELLI: I'm having
4	MR. SPINDLER: But when citizens come
5	and ask for things to get done it's not
6	done. This is a safety issue.
7	MS. GATELLI: Excuse me.
8	MR. SPINDLER: I'm speaking now.
9	MS. GATELLI: I'll let you have your
10	time. If you would, Mr. McGoff. I told you
11	before that Mrs. Thursby is working on this
12	along with Tom Preamo. She should have it
13	ready within the next few weeks, and I asked
14	you if you wanted to participate and you
15	didn't want to participate.
16	MS. SPINDLER: But they are not
17	working on a breed specific ordinance.
18	MS. GATELLI: No, but they are

19	working on a more stringent dog law for
20	Scranton.
21	MR. SPINDLER: Well, I'll believe it
22	when I see it.
23	MS. FANUCCI: If you worked with them
24	maybe you would have given reasons to do
25	that.
	42
1	MS. GATELLI: Yeah, you were asked.
2	MR. SPINDLER: I'm not talking to
3	you, Mrs. Fanucci.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Thank you.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Every time you address,
6	Council, by the way, you are talking to me.
7	MR. SPINDLER: Mr. McGoff, would you
8	please control her?
9	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me. That's
10	unnecessary.
11	MS. SPINDLER: Hey, it's unnecessary
12	for her to interrupt when I'm talking.
13	MR. MCGOFF: You asked for a
14	response
15	MR. SPINDLER: Not from her.
16	MR. MCGOFF: She was giving it to
17	you.
18	MR. SPINDLER: I was talking to Mrs.

19	Gatelli. That's ignorant for her to
20	interrupt.
21	MR. MCGOFF: You were speaking to
22	council.
23	MR. SPINDLER: Lastly, yesterday was
24	a great deal for the little guy finally in
25	two ways, two corrupt judges in Luzerne
	43
1	County were arrested, and I hope when the
2	Feds are done down there they come up here
3	in Lackawanna County and arrest some corrupt
4	officials up here and they could start right
5	here in city hall.
6	Next, about freedom of speech, a
7	gentleman had a four-letter word on his
8	truck down there and his court case was
9	thrown out of court yesterday and that's a
10	victory for the people's freedom of speech.
11	So, Mr. McGoff, I suggest next time you go
12	to gavel somebody out of order you better be
13	careful because the First Amendment is very
14	strong about freedom of speech, and people
15	can come up here and say just about anything
16	they want. Thank you.
17	MR. MCGOFF: No, they can't, but,
18	that's okay.

MS. GATELLI: And just to correct

the record, I read that story also about the guy down there that had the "F" word on his truck, the case was dismissed because he took it off the truck, that's why the case was dismissed. Mr. Nardone, maybe you can check that out for us, but I know he took at

least two or three letters off and he had to.

MS. FANUCCI: And I know that I wasn't addressed yet, but I would like to say something, the only people who are mad about rules and regulations in any aspect are the people who are only intending on breaking them, so if there are rules in this chamber and you can't abide by them the only reason you are upset is because you certainly can't abide by them.

So, yes, you are incorrect, freedom of speech is something that is definitely heard here, but we still have council rules and you need to stick with them. And, Les, my mom told me if somebody always picks on me it's because they really like me, so I think you will be sending me Valentine roses or something so --

20	THE COURT: Joanne Williams.
21	MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening, Council,
22	Joanne Williams. I really don't know where
23	to begin tonight. I see much hasn't
24	changed. The reason why I'm here tonight,
25	I'm a homeowner and a taxpayer. I came to

speak on a few different subjects. Today my daughter was on her way to beauty school, a friend of her transports her and other girls to Empire Beauty School. A police car stopped them almost right in front of our house and told them there were too many passengers in the car, there were four girls in the back and two in the front. So, my daughter had to walk back into the house and miss a day of school.

Now, I do imagine the police officer was right, okay, and I really -- I think the law is you need a seatbelt for every passenger, but what gets me is that, you know, he picked on six girls who are furthering their education, who were not doing drugs, who were not doing anything wrong, well, maybe illegally not a seatbelt and told Carly to get out of the car or he would fine the driver \$100.

21 Well, I lived in the Hill Section
22 all my life and still reside in the home
23 where I'm at where me and my husband bought
24 15 years ago, and as Mrs. Gatelli knows we
25 had a nuisance neighbor up there for many

years, and I'm wishing the police would
enforce other laws that are broken in the
city, and within the past year I had two
occasions where the police were called to my
neighborhood due to the certain nuisance
family in our neighborhood, that had
disrupted many families. Well, I had to
actually ask one of the officers to leave my
home as he stated to me and started
complaining to me about his contract and not
enough police officers, you know, whatever.
And then on the other occasion I was at a
neighbor's home on Harrison Avenue where the
police officer stated that he was working
overtime and he would be rather spending
time with his children.

Now, I wasn't going to come here because I thought what kind of professionals are these, but I have kept quiet but sometimes silence needs to be broken.

21 Also, the negativity here weekly is 22 overwhelming. They speak of the economy. 23 Well, it's a problem. I'm a widow now. My 24 income is under \$28,000 a year, okay? They 25 speak of how the mayor picks his

administration, Well, hello, the president pick their cabinets. But what always gets to me is why people don't come and speak about the positive of the city. They speak of the positives, and let's take Nay Aug Park, I know it's a trouble spot for some people, and I know -- oh, I'm used to it, believe me. And, you know, Mrs. Fanucci, i have to say to you, I admire what you said to the camera a few weeks ago that this council chambers doesn't only belong to a few, it belongs to everyone, okay?

Let's take Nay Aug Park, Christmas
light display, thousands who drove through
the park to take in the beauty of the
season, cars lined up down to Turkey Hill on
Mulberry Street. Let's take the Fourth of
July fireworks. Once again, hundreds attend
this marvelous light display. The Italian
Festival, First Night, I could go on, but
yet here we continually hear the negativity

of this city. Potholes, how much light
displays cost. I mean, it could go on and
on and on, okay? Scranton isn't the only
one with these problems.

Also, I have to say when I speak of
firefighters, I was always taught very young
to respect a firefighter and a police
officer, firefighters do a dangerous job and
they are heroes in my eyes, but my late
husband worked for the Scranton Sewer
Authority for over 20 years and many a time
he crawled down into sewer drains, he worked
outside in the heavy equipment, another
dangerous job, and I would often worry about
what could happen down there. After my
husband's death in 2006, me and my daughter
received health care for only one month and
then it was gone. In my eyes every job has
a risk.

And now to get to another thing, as to Mr. Talimino, I don't know if I'm saying his name right, if I heard him correctly homemakers and beauticians— if I could just have a few minutes, I took it personally because my daughter is currently enrolled in

22	beauty school and is enjoying a beautiful
23	and great and she is being educated. I
24	love this city, I have loved it good and bad
25	times, I give credit to Chris Doherty for
	49
1	moving the city forward. Well, that's fine.
2	Mr. Talimino, you don't seem to like
3	Scranton so why don't you just leave and
4	take a few of the doomers with you.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Please don't
6	MS. WILLIAMS: And in closing, just
7	closing quickly, you know, we talk about
8	Mayor Doherty who he put here and who put
9	there and his cronies, but Mrs. Evans this
10	for you, okay, just quick question.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Please. Mrs. Williams
12	
13	MS. WILLIAMS: I'm almost done. I'm
14	almost done. Did you sit on the school
15	board at one time?
16	MS. EVANS: Yes.
17	MS. WILLIAMS: And after you resigned
18	from the school board is that when you
19	received your job?
20	MS. EVANS: Yes.
21	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs.

24	MS. GAWEL: Good evening, Council.
25	How are you tonight?
	50
1	MS. EVANS: Good.
2	MS. GAWEL: Mr. Minora is back and
3	I'd like to ask him how are we making out
4	with Mr. McDowell?
5	MR. MINORA: We are waiting for an
6	opinion still from the Court. What happened
7	was the Court issued an order, just a two or
8	three sentence order. When you take an
9	appeal a judge is then required under the
10	appellate rules to file an opinion. An
11	opinion is his reasoning and case law, he
12	has hasn't.
13	MS. GAWEL: Thank you. All right.
14	I am going to pick on the city. I don't
15	like to, but you have to bring up the
16	negativity to fix the negative to get the
17	positives, it's as simple as that. I know
18	there has been like a lot of grievances
19	between the tax office, the police
20	department, the fire department, do any of
21	them ever get resolved? I mean, like I hear
22	nothing about when they get resolved or if

23 Williams. Stephanie Gawel.

23	they are ever, you know, taken care of, you
24	know, like all of those that were against
25	Mr. McDowell as far as not showing up for
	51
1	work and, you know, that sort of stuff, are
2	any of these grievances ever could we
3	check into it, please? Thank you. You
4	know, because it's getting to be such an
5	issue that like it seems like everything
6	that's swept under the rug and we need a
7	bigger rug at this point.
8	I understand that the police cars
9	are not up to par, the ones that we do own,
10	like a lot of them don't have the
11	defibrillators in them anymore, they don't
12	have the oxygen in them anymore, I'm not
13	even sure they have their flares and their
14	First Aid kits. These are the necessities
15	to help the citizens. I mean, again, what
16	do we do about these issues?
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: The defibrillators
18	we haven't had for quite awhile, and we
19	should.
20	MS. GAWEL: Yeah. And I understand,
21	also, like if the computers break in the
22	cars they are not getting fixed. Again,

this is --

work correctly.

24	MS. GAWEL: Okay. Also, it was
25	mentioned, and I think it was Mrs.
	53
1	Gatellier, and I could be wrong and I don't
2	think she meant it badly, but I don't think
3	maybe it was thought through all the way, we
4	are talking about the beat cops and you said
5	at one point you had two in South Side and
6	you thought you should be sharing it, cops
7	should not be out there by themselves. They
8	should be two together at all times.
9	MS. GATELLI: When they are riding in
10	a police car they are by themselves.
11	MS. GAWEL: Yeah, okay.
12	MS. GATELLI: Whenever we had a beat
13	cop they were alone, and the bike cop was
14	alone, too.
15	MS. GAWEL: See, they shouldn't be
16	because, you know, like
17	MS. GATELLI: Well, I'm going to
18	disagree with you.
19	MS. GAWEL: Well, maybe in the cars
20	but not when they are walking because if
21	some drug dealer comes up, you know, comes
22	after the one cop he is by himself.
23	MS. GATELLI: He is prepared for

that, and on a bicycle, too, we never had

1	MS. GAWEL: Well, and I don't know
2	why we are doing the parking garages. And
3	I'm sure if you ask the women up here on the
4	board they would agree with me. The reason
5	parking garages don't work that well is we
6	as women don't like to be in them alone at
7	night. They are very scary, they are very
8	unsafe, and they are very uncomfortable.
9	Women will not use parking garages for the
10	most part. They will park five blocks away
11	and walk before they park in a parking
12	garage. So, why does this city insist on
13	going after the parking garages? You might
14	have somebody rethink the issue and
15	understand why we can't get the monies out
16	of the parking garages because girls aren't
17	using them, and there is more girls than
18	there are boys. Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Gawel.
20	David Dobson.
21	MR. DOBSON: Good evening, Council.
22	Dave Dobson, member of the Taxpayers',
23	resident of Scranton. On 7-B I can't
24	understand why we don't just go with a

there and see what's up and get the
information they need and not bother any
city officials, that way we wouldn't have to
have it in writing and you wouldn't have to
be a pain in the neck to anybody, so I think
that's a better recommendation than throwing
railroad ties into the middle of the
information highway here.

On this up and coming stimulus

package from the federal government, as far

as KOZ's and office space if we are

overbuilt with anything in the city we are

probably overbuilt with office space. We

have a lot of empty office space and we are

looking to build more and give 10, 15,

20 years or whatever the heck it is to more

tax incentives, and it just seems strange to

me that with all of the empty office space

and so forth we have why we are doing this.

It just doesn't add up.

And once again, last week I asked about snow tossed on the streets, I think I just asked on a personal basis, but if somebody could run it in the paper what the ordinance is and snow gets tossed in the

streets and in the courts and so forth it

might be convenient for a few people that

are removing it, but it's a pain in the neck

for people that have to negotiate around it,

and if these people knew that they were

breaking the law maybe they would change

their ways. Hopefully.

Also, it really shouldn't be done, a couple of years ago we moved snow with four people tag teaming onto snow blowers and cleared down an entire court and the DPW came by and there is a three-foot wall of ice in front of the court that we had just cleared, so there was another day of work down the tubes, but the main problem is that some people just insist on pushing the snow down into a court or something and they are being inconsiderate. I don't want to argue with neighbors, that goes nowhere, so if the city just announces it hopefully somebody will see the light. Thank you and have a good night.

Oh, one other thing, instead of KOZ's or office space how about some industrial parks, please?

1	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dobson.
2	Any other speakers?
3	MS. HUMPHRIES: You know, I use
4	visual art. Nothing like beautiful art.
5	Starbucks. I want you to listen to this
6	when I was down and out for everybody
7	because I think it's kind of for everybody,
8	especially people that died of cancer, that
9	suffer. The way I see it number 299, 29
10	for the angle, nine for the Virgin Mother,
11	"There is a subtle difference between a
12	mission and a promise. A mission is
13	something you strive to accomplish. A
14	promise is something you are compelled to
15	keep. One is individual, the other is
16	shared. When a mission and a promise are
17	one in the same that's when mountains are
18	moved and races are won."
19	It was said by Jim Donald, President
20	and CEO, and Susan G. Koman For the Cure.
21	April is child abuse prevention
22	month. Wear your blue ribbons, pin each day
23	to show you care about kids and support
24	parents as they do, the toughest job is
25	raising a family, and I feel the toughest

1	job	is	changing	the	nation	and	the	world	to
2	the	be]	iefs.						

Your Excellency, I got my love

letter. That will go with the documents.

I'm going to cry. I want to hand something

to you to pass. I support everyone, and

this is going to go traveling with this

white coffin representing the unborn, but

everybody that ever died in a violent car

accident. I attempted to get it to where it

had to go, God allowed me to be someplace

else. Sometimes it's important, I put God

first, my family second, I have to say it,

with my mission.

The American vets and American veterans we support our flag. The active support of the Pennsylvania Fraternal Order of Police, the Pennsylvania Chief of Police Support Association. This one isn't on yet. This is -- I'm going to cry, the purple support, the military order of the Purple Heart, I'm in the Purple Heart in a special way, so I'm going to pass this document with American Indians. I'm going to pass this by and then read something and then I'm going

1 to get off.

I have to get another glass, and I want you to listen tentatively. For this reason, before the father from every family in heaven and on earth is named that he may grant you in accord with the riches of his glory to be strengthened with power and inner self and that Christ may dwell in your hearts, through faith may have strength to comprehend with all of the Holy ones. What is the breath and the length and the height and depth, and to know the love of Christ that's surpasses knowledge so that you may be filled with all of the fullness.

I sent this to everyone around the world and everyone that's in office. To feel doubtfulness of God. Now, to him that is able and accomplished far more than all we ask or imagined by the power at work within us. To him be Glory in the church and in Christ Jesus. To all generations forever and ever. Amen. My mission is for peace. My mission is for love. My mission is for reconciliation, but also my mission is for justice but also divine mercy.

1 I'm attempting to go to the United 2 Nations and then go directly into 3 Washington, but something else occurred. 4 Where I got dropped off the people were afraid that I would be thrown in the 5 psychiatric ward, I had Court orders out of 6 there because I went or --it's so funny, the 7 8 life we go through, right? And so I was 9 held in one place, but in the process 10 someone evidently, because the threats on my life ran my daughter off the street, her car 11 12 was totaled up. It was a violent wreck, but 13 my daughter crawled out without a scratch. Now, this work comes in with the 14 15 police department, Mayor Doherty, I have a 16 lot of documents and they are going to we revealed. I interceded and I kind of like 17 18 sifted everybody out --19 MR. MCGOFF: Phyllis --20 MS. HUMPHRIES: No, they are going to hear this. So, therefore, what I'm asking 21 22 you is I -- Mrs. Evans, I need your help. 23 I'm asking you to come to my house this 24 week. I want to get this coffin 25 representing the unborn --

1	MR. MCGOFF: Phyllis.
2	MS. HUMPHRIES: send it to where
3	it's got to go, would you please be kind,
4	and the people that are outside in Scranton
5	that love me come and help me with this.
6	It's a joint affair for the City of Scranton
7	to put us right on the map.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Please
9	MS. HUMPHRIES: Not to pull us down.
10	.that's all I have to say.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
12	MS. HUMPHRIES: God bless you. And
13	Mayor Doherty could pray for the protection
14	for me.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
16	MS. HUMPHRIES: I'm getting off the
17	cross and I'm giving it to somebody else.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
19	MR. GERVASI: Good evening, City
20	Council. My name is Dave Gervasi. I'm a
21	city homeowner, resident and proud member of
22	our firefighters. There was a lot of calls,
23	it's been a heck of a couple of days since
24	the Court decision came down so I want to
25	just provide some factual information and

our perspective on some of the things that happened in that Court decision.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

It seems to me the media portrayed what happened in our Court case, they basically focused on retiring health care and overtime and our raises, our so-called raises. I would just like to provide some factual information on that. Our raises for the period covered in that contract hearing was for 2003 we received zero, and for 2004 we received zero; and for 2005 we received zero; and for 2006 for half the year we received a 3.5 percent raise, and for 2007 we were given a 4 percent raise. Sounds okay, but for that three-year period that averages out to 1.5 percent a year, which is much -- is s far below the cost of living, just a cost of living adjustment.

Relative to inflation for that time period we actually lost in buying power 6.93 percent so we went backwards with those raises, just on inflation. A few of my members are here, the three of them are part of this group, the bottom 40 firefighters also had their longevity pay eliminated

approximately a 1,200 cut this year. The bottom 45 police officers are taking on the average of a 1,300 cut this year. You compound that with the problem with health care. Our health care, we now have large deductibles. Our contributions stood basically the same, our copays have doubled or tripled. If you have just our increases one doctor visit, one hospital visit, and one prescription during the year our raises are gone. It's a wash. So we really didn't get raises. I guess it could have been worse, we could have gotten nothing and really went backwards.

The decision also said our normal every day labor rights like seniority, things like that, set us back about 40 years even though those issues have nothing to do with the financial recovery of the city.

Everyone was talking about retirement health care. Well, 111 of us current firefighters does not have retirement health care. We lost that in 1995. 103 of the current 158 police officers do not have retiring health

2	remaining top older guys in the fire and
3	police department will now have no health
4	care in the 11th hour of our career where we
5	never had an opportunity to save and have
6	medical accounts, so the remaining older
7	firefighters have lost it.
8	My question now, just my personal
9	question is if a police officer is shot in
10	the line of duty and seriously injured or a
11	roof collapses on one of our firefighters
12	what happens to them when they can no longer
13	work?
14	The 21 or 22,000 dollar huge
15	pensions that we do earn, one is that health
16	care, maybe lost mostly to health care costs
17	if we have to buy our own health care at
18	that point if we could coverage at all
19	because of preexisting conditions that
20	retired us, but maybe they could sell their
21	homes or sign up for assistance or lose
22	their savings all because they simply did
23	their job, but I know most people don't care

But now what you are going to get is

about that.

24

65

1 the mayor, a religious article salesman and
2 a retired state trooper, is going to

determine the level of fire safety in the
City of Scranton. You are going to have to
have neighborhood firehouse closures because
after seven years he finally admitted it,
response times are going to be longer and
it's not going to be what it is right now.
They want a 26 percent tax increase, you are
paying higher taxes for lesser services and
I have been preaching that since at least
2001.
And keep in mind, keep in mind not
the debt that Mayor Doherty inherited, which

And keep in mind, keep in mind not the debt that Mayor Doherty inherited, which the payments at that time were \$3.5 million a year, the debt that he created, the payments each year on the debt that Mayor Doherty created cost the city more than it is to run the entire police department.

One more thing, if I may, he made a statement on Friday during the day talking about overtime and he said in the Channel 16 camera at his desk he said, and I quote, "We have to worry about overtime."

He said, "Almost a third of the

firefighters working right now are working

vertime because of a fixed shift."

3	Here is the daily for that day, one
4	firefighter was working overtime on the 27th
5	that day. That's the truth. Thank you.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gervasi.
7	Mr. Jackowitz.
8	MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
9	Council, Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton
10	resident and member of the Taxpayers'
11	Association. Last week I asked about the
12	\$5.5 million money that was coming from the
13	Taxpayers' office, I hope I'll get an answer
14	this evening in regards to a backup plan in
15	case we do not get that 5.5 million. Has
16	anybody have we heard anything yet,
17	Councilwoman Gatelli?
18	MS. GATELLI: I heard that we will
19	know within the next two weeks with the
20	audit.
21	MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. So in two
22	weeks possibly we will now. Possibly.
23	MS. GATELLI: Well, I hope so. It's
24	been a year.
25	MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, the word is

possibly; right? We don't know for sure so ti's got to be possibly; right? Okay. The long-term debt, Councilwoman Evans, did we

4	get any information on what the long-term
5	debt is it because we know it's more than 95
6	million. Will you be talking about that
7	during motions?
8	MS. EVANS: Yes.
9	MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. Thank you very
10	much. Okay, now, in regards to,
11	Councilwoman Gatelli, will you be getting
12	paid for last week's meeting?
13	MS. GATELLI: Yes, I will.
14	MR. JACKOWITZ: Can I ask why since
15	you were in Washington? I mean, I would
16	have liked to have gone and seen President
17	Obama myself, but
18	MS. GATELLI: And all the other
19	times
20	MR. JACKOWITZ: I had to go to work
21	
22	MS. GATELLI: Excuse me.
23	MR. JACKOWITZ: Can I finish my
24	question, please?
25	MS. GATELLI: No, you can't. You

1 asked me a question and I was -- -

2 MR. JACKOWITZ: I didn't finish my

3 question. I had to go to work last Tuesday,

4	I would have loved to see President Obama.
5	If I would have taken a day off I would not
6	have gotten paid. That's my question. Now,
7	you can go ahead and answer.
8	MS. GATELLI: Yes, I am getting paid.
9	MR. JACKOWITZ: That's all I wanted.
10	MS. GATELLI: I've already donated
11	\$625 of my pay back to the city. Thank you.
12	MR. JACKOWITZ: I understand that,
13	and in the last three years we've also had
14	19 meetings cancelled, and I have the dates
15	here and I'm not talking about August, and
16	MS. GATELLI: No one else on this
17	dais
18	MR. JACKOWITZ: Please be polite,
19	please, and do not interrupt, please.
20	MS. GATELLI: did not give any
21	back.
22	MR. JACKOWITZ: Please. Thank you.
23	Yes, you gave back \$625, that's possibly two
24	or three meetings. But, anyway, I didn't
25	come here to argue with you.
	69

1	MS. GATELLI: You didn't?
2	MR. JACKOWITZ: Scranton no, I did
3	no. I came here to get answers. It's my
4	right as a veteran, a 20-year honorably

5	discharged veteran, Mrs. Fanucci.
6	MS. FANUCCI: I didn't say that.
7	MR. JACKOWITZ: I'm just saying, and
8	Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Evans and Mr. McGoff,
9	and Mr. Courtright.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Why are you saying
11	MR. JACKOWITZ: So I'm entitled to
12	ask questions, and as far as Joe Decker with
13	his truck, the court ruling came out and
14	said he could have left that four-letter
15	word on there, he chose not to. He was on
16	Corbett yesterday explaining the whole
17	situation. He chose not to, so it
18	MS. GATELLI: I don't think so.
19	MR. JACKOWITZ: was a First
20	Amendment right to have that. Okay, so then
21	we are entitled to basically say whatever we
22	want to say.
23	MS. GATELLI: No.
24	MR. JACKOWITZ: You know, Scranton is
25	very unique. I mean the rest of the country

is suffering economic short falls, economic problems, but yet Scranton we don't seem to have those problems because we try to give people raises for no other reason expect

they are politically connected or they were elected. You know, no contracts for the fire and police for seven years, but that's finally going to get resolved. I mean, I read in the paper today where it says -- "In addition, the mayor foresees reduced man hours through scheduling and layoffs. The mayor is anticipating elimination of 8 to 12 firefighters right off the bat for potential savings of \$960,000."

I believe our debt is much higher than \$960,000, so let's hope that the firefighters are not going to -- and the police officers is not going to be paid off their backs.

Also, in regards to "Towns have high hopes for stimulus by President Obama," you know, President Obama ran on the campaign promise of change. I hope and pray that we get change, and right now I really haven't seen much change locally, you know, we still

have people putting their personal likes and
dislikes before that of the community. They
were elected to represent the City of
Scranton and part representing the City of
Scranton is attending meetings. It's as

6	simple as that. I'm not going to say no
7	more on that, but he says in today's paper
8	you want to be in front of the person who is
9	making decisions, Mr. Doherty said, and he
10	is referring to Senator Bob Casey. I hope
11	change, change, you no longer want to be in
12	front of the person who is making the
13	decisions. You want the person who is
14	making the decisions to make the right
15	decisions, not the politically connect
16	decisions, not the favoritism decisions that
17	have been made in this country for years and
18	years and years and in this city for the
19	entirety of the entire life of this city.
20	Let's hope President Obama is true
21	and is telling the truth when he says he

Let's hope President Obama is true and is telling the truth when he says he wants change, and change means if you are paid to do a job and you are elected to do a job you do that job irregardless of your personal wants or your personal rights or

72

dislikes

22

23

24

- 2 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
- 3 Mr. Jackowitz.
- MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. Marie
 Schumacher, resident and member of the

6	Taxpayers' Organization. I will not be
7	presenting my state of the city report this
8	evening as the full audit report was not
9	received in the clerk's office until
10	yesterday nor has the findings of the
11	forensic audit of the Single Tax Office's
12	1.2 million dollar mystery account promised
13	by the end of this month, as I recall, been
14	made public. However, I am able to report
15	general fund expenditures for last year
16	exceeded revenues by \$3,295,979.53 which is,
17	indeed, troubling.
18	I was also somewhat perplexed by a
19	\$5,000 US Postal services charge in the
20	business administration office for the month
21	of December. That seems awfully steep for
22	an administrative office. Can any council
23	members share what mailing resulted in that
24	\$5,000 charge or must I file another
25	Right-To-Know? Mrs

1	MS. GATELLI: Excuse me, I think
2	that possibly the Pitney Bowes machine is in
3	there and that's used for
4	MS. SCHUMACHER: This is postal
5	service not
6	MS. GATELLI: I'm sorry.

7	MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll do a
8	Right-To-Know. Thank you. Mrs. Gatelli, in
9	your absence last week I requested you and
10	as finance chair to get PEL here within the
11	next six weeks to provide their perspective
12	and answer questions. The question I would
13	like PEL to answer is what happened? PEL's
14	letter transmitting the revised and updated
15	Act 47 Recovery Plan states, in part, "These
16	deficits can be avoided and future
17	operations can be balanced if the city
18	promptly adopts and implements a revised and
19	updated Recovery Plan. To that end,
20	attached is the city's proposed revised and
21	updated Recovery Plan covering the remainder
22	of 2002 and the period 2003 through 2005."
23	The voters passed the Recovery Plan,
24	so what happened? What have they been doing
25	since that plan expired three years ago?

1	They owe the taxpayers an answer. So,
2	please, Mrs. Gatelli, bring PEL here and
3	allow us to question them.
4	Now, I would like to switch gears
5	and move to the Mt. Pleasant Corporate
6	Center issue. I didn't think it was

possible to keep a secret in Scranton, but
council and the Chamber of Commerce managed
a caucus prior to last week's council
meeting that flew in under the public's
radar. While I did not arrive here last
week in time to hear the presentation, I
assume it was similar to the one I heard
last night at the Scranton School District
meeting. Last July, the Pennsylvania
Legislature passed a law allowing for KOZ
and KOEZ extensions. Slipco and the Chamber
are requesting extensions for the Mt.
Pleasant development across from the high
school. I was most disappointed in their
presentation.
First, they claim the project to
being a no-go without the extension. Next,

they say they have been working on this project for four years. For three of those

1	four years the KOZ expiration was known to
2	be 2010. So why did they continue with this
3	project if the KOZ is a necessity? To me,
4	it says KOZ is not a necessity.

Also, the Chamber people said it is a seven-year extension, however, what they didn't reveal is what was stated earlier,

and that is if the company occupies a parcel before 1215 -- 2015, they may receive KOZ benefits for ten years, and the ten-year clock doesn't start ticking until the date of occupation, so much of this project could be KOZ until 2025.

City taxpayers cannot afford to underwrite this venture especially when the majority of jobs, also revealed last night, may not be newly created, but rather relocated. Further, one of the potential tenants will be moving out of a Scranton business which is currently not in a KOZ into this KOZ zone or proposed KOZ zone so we lose twice. I think that's wrong.

Also, I'm somewhat perplexed as to why there is not an agenda item on the Neighborhood Revitalization Act. As I

understand it, the RFP stated that council,
city council, had to approve or endorse the
application and I would think a resolution
should have been here because last time I
looked at that it was supposed to have gone
in on the 25th of January with awards by the
31st, so why no why no resolution,

8	because I do have several comments on
9	that and I may just read my letter next
10	week, but I don't know what's holding that
11	up.
12	MS. GATELLI: I don't know about the
13	resolution, but I know we made a motion
14	approving the revitalization project.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: You did that before
16	you even wrote it. How could you approve
17	something you had never even seen yet?
18	MS. GATELLI: How could you refuse
19	\$3 million for housing? I would never do
20	that.
21	MS. SCHUMACHER: I wouldn't either,
22	but it's it is how the money is proposed
23	to be spent, that's the issue.
24	MS. GATELLI: It's going to be spent
25	on helping for foreclosures, redoing
	77
1	
1	apartments, redoing homes.
2	MS. SCHUMACHER: All in South Side
3	according to the application.
4	MS. GATELLI: No, not
5	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes.
6	MS. GATELLI: Well, that's where the
7	big problems are in case you didn't realize,

that, Mrs. Schumacher. You haven't lived

9	here for your whole life so maybe you didn't
10	realize that.
11	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank God.
12	MS. GATELLI: That South Scranton is
13	in dire straights. You might not have
14	realized that. Same as the Hill was years
15	ago, right, Ozzie?
16	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, and you moved
17	the problems to South Side.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Ungvarsky.
19	MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, City
20	Council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky and I'm also a
21	member of the Scranton/Lackawanna County
22	Taxpayers. Last week you passed a grant for
23	the Connell building. Apparently no one on
24	city council or in the administration knows
25	of Gresham's law which states that if you

chase good money after bad money the good
money becomes bad money. Right now we are
chasing \$30 million down the 500 block of
Lackawanna Avenue and the chances are we
will never see any of it back.
We now put another \$4 million into
the Connell building. We reduced their

taxes, we built them a garage, and probably

9	never see any of that money back. It seems
10	we have money for wealthy developers,
11	however, we cannot settle a contract with
12	police and firemen. It's speaks a lot for
13	this administration.
14	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Bill.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Chris.
16	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Janet.
17	MS. EVANS: Hi, Chris.
18	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Janet, close your
19	eyes. You can't see. You can't see it.
20	Not you three, just Janet. You can't see
21	it. Don't look. Don't look. Is she
22	looking?
23	MS. GATELLI: She is not looking.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Tell her to open her
25	eyes up.
	7
1	MD CLEDEN/CUT. Onco
1	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Open your eyes,

1	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Open your eyes,
2	Janet, open your eyes.
3	MS. EVANS: Oh, thank you. Very
4	handsome you are.
5	MR. SLEDENZSKI: I told you. I take
6	after your husband, Janet.
7	MS. EVANS: I know.
8	MR. SLEDENZSKI: One thing, Billy,

these firemen are doing a good job

10	downstairs. Keep it up, Boys, I'm proud of
11	yous. Thank you.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Ellman?
13	MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman. I wasn't
14	going to talk, but I wrote a couple of notes
15	down. Boy, the police have been busy this
16	week, haven't they? They have done a good
17	job, I seen it in the paper. I stopped to
18	get a lottery ticket a couple of days ago at
19	the Convenience Store on North Main and
20	there is a fellow in the wheelchair and he
21	said he is going down the sidewalk on the
22	2800 block of North Main, there is a little
23	garage, and they put a concrete island up to
24	where he can't use it across the sidewalk
25	and, I don't think that's right. I didn't

1	see it myself, he was telling me about it,
2	but I don't think you can block a sidewalk
3	for whatever reason there was. There was
4	something like that on the 2,500 block of
5	North Main there is a big house with four or
6	five, six cars there all of the time and
7	they completely block the sidewalk, which
8	doesn't bother me, because I don't walk down
9	there because my dog Lump died last year, in

fact, a year ago today, so I don't walk down
there, but the little preschoolers are
coming and going from the bus and they are
on North Main Avenue, which is like a
racetrack, and it's a dangerous situation
because they are always playing when they
get off the bus and that's, you know, four
or five cars is a couple of hundred feet and
when it shows they never clean the sidewalk
anyway, the kids are in the street, so I
wish something could be done about it and I
would like to I got an absentee landlord
next door to me who just doesn't care what
caliber of people he rents his house to and
what conditions it's kept in and I phoned
Mr. Liptai and I have talked to Mr. Wallace

1	and a couple of people and I would like to
2	say how much cooperation I got, I couldn't
3	believe it. I mean, just immediate they
4	came over there, the man has a bogus trailer
5	and he has been running a business out of
6	the house and they did a fine job. It
7	surprised me. And I'd say in a half hour
8	they were at the house after I phoned to see
9	what they could do. It seemed like people
10	like this are just what ruins the

neighborhood. I got a Section 8t house up
the street, the person that got it
originally has moved and there is someone
else in there, and it just -- it's just a
bad situation. They ruined the whole
neighborhood and it looks like they ought to
lose their status as a Section 8 house.

And I come to my favorite subject,
Mr. Doherty. Oh, I don't know, in '77, to
get off the subject for a second, I bought a
new Camero up at AJ Chevrolet and my sons, I
think they were 14 and 16 or something, they
went to Atlanta for Christmas to see their
momma and they ran into a bridge with my new
Camero, and they fixed it. That's when I

was working for Mr. DeNaples, and they fixed
the car so they could get back up here and
the car would only turn left. This is the
truth. The wheel would go straight and turn
left, it wouldn't turn right because they
bent the frame and everything, and it took a
lot of planning when you wanted to figure
out where you were going to go this way and
this way and crossing streets and then if
you missed a parking place you were really,

you know. It just reminds me of all of the
circles that Mr. Doherty is going in this
city, you know, he is just a luxury we can't
afford, you know, and keeps -- this week in
the paper they were talking about extending
the KOZ seven years or something.

You know, if Mr. Doherty and

You know, if Mr. Doherty and
Mr. O'Malley were on the ball years ago they
would have sold KOZ's because everybody in
the city would have bought one, I certainly
would have bought one, but extending them
it's like I complained about this KOZ on
Lackawanna, one of the best pieces of
property, I have talked to some other people
who have mentioned it, one of the finest

1	pieces of property is KOZ in the county,
2	that big car lot, you know where I mean, on
3	I think it's 7th and Lackawanna there across
4	from the U-Haul, but KOZ's have killed us,
5	you know. How many KOZ's how did this
6	help us out there with those 150 houses on
7	Keyser Avenue, you know, it's an abomination
8	to go by there and see that sign KOZ. Now
9	they want to extend this seven years?
10	Mr. Doherty or whoever is in office next is
11	going to the well and it's going to be

12	empty. You just cannot keep sucking the
13	blood out of the people in this city. Thank
14	you.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.
16	Anyone else? Mrs. Evans?
17	MR. STUCKER: What about them
18	skateboards?
19	MR. MCGOFF: You can't, Jim. Thank
20	you. Mrs. Evans.
21	MS. EVANS: Good evening. The 2007
22	independent audit was received yesterday.
23	This appears to be financial state of the
24	city as of December 31, 2007. The total
25	principal debt for general obligation bonds,

1	the Scranton Redevelopment Authority,
2	Scranton Parking Authority, Sewer Authority
3	and capitalized leases is \$159,162,385. The
4	audit states that the city guaranteed the
5	bonded debt of each authority, and that the
6	Redevelopment Authority is financially
7	dependent upon the city.
8	The total long-term Doherty debt is
9	\$2,073,340,228. That is over a quarter of a
10	billion dollars which will not be paid in
11	full until the Year 2039. Keep in mind,

12	these figures do not include the
13	\$11.1 million borrowed by the city in 2008,
14	and the \$1 million line of credit with
15	Pennstar back used by the Parking Authority
16	in 2008 to cover a budget hole in 2009.
17	Thus, the 2008 figures will increase
18	by another 12 million in principle alone.
19	In my six years as councilwoman I have told
20	you the truth, these are the financial
21	facts, and we as residents and taxpayers of
22	Scranton must decide in 2009 whether to
23	increase this debt or to stop the borrowing
24	and spending and pay down the debt.
25	This behemoth has been allowed to

feed and grow for seven years. The Doherty
debt has been glamorized, sanitized,
justified, apologized, and spun by this
administration and a local newspaper for too
many years. Ask yourself, have you finally
had enough? Is your daily life better from
the \$273 million Doherty debt? And then do
something about it for your parents, for
your children and for yourself.
Now, for the last two weeks I asked

my colleagues to consider an amendment to

File of Council No. 82 of 2007 which was

provided to each of them. The original
ordinance approves penalty, interest, and
fee schedules for collection of delinquent
real estate taxes. Now, I believe that
every property owner must pay his fair share
and I firmly believe that delinquent taxes
must be aggressively pursued and collected,
however, I do not believe in doubling or
tripling the cost of delinquent property
taxes owed to make Northeast Credit and
Collections wealthy, and I do not believe in
taking a family home by sheriff's sale for
delinquent taxes that have increased so

significantly due to this 2007 ordinance that a person cannot beg, borrow or steal enough money to save his home from a sheriff's sale.

Research demonstrates that
homeowners fall delinquent because of
employment losses, health care crises, and
that is the number one reason, meager fixed
incomes and the costs of college educations
for their children, and they cannot dig
themselves out when an original delinquent
tax of say 500 balloons into 1,500 or more.

When this ordinance was passed in

June of 2007 by the council majority, it was
a great worry to me, but it has become
increasingly dangerous in today's free
falling economy, particularly, in light of
the unemployment recession and cutbacks that
are suffered by the working families and
senior citizens of our city. I therefore
proposed this amendment to eliminate the
sheriff's sale of homes and any penalties,
interest and fee schedules related to the
sheriff's sale process. I also decreased
the costs of three provisions of the

original ordinance because they had been significantly increased from what was charged prior to the enactment of this ordinance.

An equally important note, is the fact that the city does not receive the money collected from these penalties, interest and fees. NCC pockets this money. Again, the city must aggressively collect delinquent taxes, but it's purpose should not be to fill the coffers of collection agencies and to possibly take people's homes in this recession, and so this amendment was

14 born. It will save the delinquent taxpayer 15 a minimum of \$1,735 and save his home. 16 Therefore, I move to amend File of 17 Council No. 82, 2007, to approve penalty, interest and fee schedules for the 18 19 collection of delinquent real estate taxes by striking: 3(j) Eight Hundred and No/100 20 Dollars for the preparation and filing of a 21 22 Writ of Execution for Sheriff Sale. 23 3(k) The actual filing, service, execution and poundage fees, if any, for 24 25 service and execution by the Office of the

1	Sheriff of the County which are in effect at
2	the time of such filing, service and
3	execution as established by the Office of
4	the Sheriff of the County or such other
5	instrumentality of government as shall be
6	charged with the establishment of such fee.
7	3(L) One Hundred Seventy-five
8	Dollars for the preparation, filing, and
9	conduct of proceedings to effect service of
10	process.
11	3(m) Thirty and no dollars for costs
12	occurred in connection with the reissuance
13	of Writs.

14	3(p) Twenty-five and no/100 dollars
15	for the preparation and filing of any
16	praecipe, petition or motion to continue a
17	judicial or sheriff's sale.
18	3(q) Four Hundred and no/100 dollars
19	for attendance by legal council counsel at a
20	Judicial, Sheriff or Tax Sale.
21	And by striking the following dollar
22	amounts:
23	3(a) Twenty-Five and No/100 dollars
24	per lien;
25	3(b) One-Hundred Sixty and No/100
	89
1	1.11
1	dollars;
2	3(c) Thirty-Five and No/100 dollars;
3	3(u) The portion of the statement as
4	follows: And no more than \$225.00 per hour
5	commensurate with the attorney's normal
6	hourly. And inserting in their place:
7	3(a) Ten dollars;
8	3(b) Forty Dollars;
9	3(c) Thirty dollars.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
11	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
12	MR COURTRIGHT: On the question,
13	Mr. McGoff, I didn't vote for this ordinance

when it came down the first time and when I

looked at -- for the most part when I looked at Mrs. Evans' motion most of the things appear to be things that would come up for a sheriff's sale. So, therefore, I'll be voting in affirmative. I didn't vote for it the first time around, but I would just like people to understand that I don't want anybody to misunderstand the fact that, yeah, you have to pay your taxes and I don't think anybody up here, and I don't think Mrs. Evans' motion is that, you get away

1	with it, it's just the fees and the fines
2	attached to if you find yourself, you know,
3	behind the eight-ball, again, with the way
4	the economy is I don't believe that people
5	aren't paying their taxes because they just
6	don't want to, it's because they can't and
7	most of them for legitimate reasons, and so
8	I'm not opposed to having a collection
9	agency collect the taxes. When this
10	ordinance came through I was opposed to the
11	fees that were attached to it, and so I'll
12	be voting in the affirmative for that
13	reason.

MR. MCGOFF: I would like to comment,

when this ordinance was originally presented I felt that there were two purposes to it, one was to act as a deterrent to chronic delinquents, in other words, it forced people to pay their taxes on time. The second purpose I thought was punitive and directed primarily at absentee landlords, that if people were not going to pay, were not going to -- were refusing to pay taxes that there should be some punitive damages for those people. The amendments that are

being proposed, of those the only one that has been assessed to the present time is 3(1), the \$175 for the preparation, filing and conduct of proceedings to effect service of process. That was assessed against taxpayers who were delinquent 2003 -- between 2003 and 2006.

I would say that that was supposed to act as a deterrent. That was supposed to encourage people to pay their taxes. The first three months after this was enacted the number -- the amount of money in the that went directly to the city treasury was \$130,000. People were deterred from not paying their taxes and went and paid them.

This was an increase over previous years.

I think to that effect that it has acted as a deterrent, that it has encouraged people to pay taxes. The other thing is that the number of delinquent taxpayers for 2008 is going to be significantly lower than those in 2007, 2006. Again, it has -- it has encouraged people to pay their taxes on time. The other measures that are in here, as Mr. Courtright said, have to do with

sheriff's sale. I would say that these have never been assessed. There has not been a shifts sale in the City of Scranton, and that these costs that would go along with the sheriff's sale are to be incurred by the delinquent taxpayer. If we remove these and the city were for some reason to ask to execute a sheriff's sale these fees would still -- these court costs would still have to be paid and they would then devolve to the taxpayer. The city would have to pay these fees, and I for one do not want to pay for the fees for delinquent taxpayers.

By removing these what we do is we would take the teeth out of the law and

16 really end of protecting chronic delinquent 17 taxpayers and place a further burden on the people who do legitimately pay their taxes. 18 19 I think the ordinance was meant to 20 be punitive. It was meant to be a 21 deterrent. There has not been a sheriff's sale, nobody's home have been taken. Nobody 22 has lost their home because of these 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

93

prayed upon, you know, prayed upon people's fears that their home could be taken when, in fact, you know, nothing has been done to do that. This ordinance to me has been effective, it has not burdened the people who pay their taxes on time. What it has been a burden to are those people who are chronically late and those people who refuse to pay their taxes, and I think it's a necessary item and I think that it has, as I said, proven to be effective.

penalties or fees. To me, what we have done

since this went into effect is pretty much

MS. EVANS: Go ahead.

MS. GATELLI: I just have several comments. I was in favor of this ordinance when this first came down and I am going to continue to be in favor of it. I think that when you spend enough time in the neighborhoods you realize that the slum lords try to take over. They do not pay their taxes. When I worked upstairs for Jimmy Connors, Ozzie Quinn worked up there with me, I don't know if he will recall, but he did a study on landlords that didn't pay their city tax. They did pay their county and they paid their school district because

they have remedies of which to punish them.

They would have a sheriff's sale. The

county has already had several I believe

sheriff's sales already of which the city

was accused of taking homes it was, indeed,

the county that had some tax sales within

the last several months, and when we did the

study there were landlords that paid all of

their bills except city tax.

There was one gentleman, I won't mention his name because he has since passed away, and he was one of the largest slum lords in South Scranton and he had numerous properties, none of which city tax was paid. So, that is why I will be voting in favor of this ordinance. It for the slum lords, the

slum lords that are deteriorating your neighborhoods. They are all out of town, most of them, and they don't care about the citizens of this community, and it's time that they pay their fair share. I have not had anyone -- I shouldn't say that. I had two people since this ordinance passed call me with some problems and the problems were addressed. One gentleman had problems with

having cancer and that was one of the reasons that he wasn't able to pay his taxes on time. We certainly will oblige people that have an unnecessary hardship. I don't think is adverse to that.

Another gentleman it was a garbage fee and the bill was being sent to the wrong address, so that also was rectified, but I have not received any other calls except those two on I do think the absentee landlords are learning now that they must respect our community and they must pay for the properties that they have in the City of Scranton, and the rental registration, also, with that but that's off the question.

MR. QUINN: Mrs. Gatelli, I never performed any kind of a study you were

18	talking about.
19	MS. GATELLI: Well, I knew you would
20	say that, Ozzie.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.
22	MS. GATELLI: But, in fact, there was
23	a study, maybe it wasn't you, but someone in
24	the office. There were 300 landlords that
25	weren't paying city taxes.
	96
1	MS. QUINN: I'd like to have a copy
2	of that because I was not involved.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Quinn, please.
4	MS. GATELLI: Go ahead. Who else is
5	next?
6	MS. EVANS: Mrs. Fanucci, if you want
7	to speak first because I already spoke one
8	time, so take
9	MS. FANUCCI: Oh, okay, we are taking
10	our turns. I'm definitely going to support
11	this again and not support the motion to
12	remove any of these fees. This to me is,
13	again, being used as a scare tactic to our
14	seniors. The way that it is being discussed
15	and talked about is not accurate to what
16	actually goes on. If you have a problem and

you are behind in your taxes and you are

having financial difficult you call them from day one and they setup and help you through it. It's not that they are coming to take your house.

But, we still have worry about the taxpayers, the people who are out there paying their taxes and keeping this city going. They still have rights, too. You

know, you have people living next door as, you know, our buddy, Mr. Ellman got up today and said, you know, you have people living next door to you who are destroying our neighborhoods. They are not pulling their weight. They are not taking care of — they are not paying for your firemen and your policemen. They are not doing anything, and that's the problem. We for so long have been in that cycle of letting that part go.

Now, the tax office claims, oh, we had a lot of taxes this year, you are darn right we did. You know why? Because this went out. And when it went out the money started coming in. We can trace it right back. We are not in phase 20 where people are losing their homes. None of that has happened, and I find it almost sick that

19 it's being used as a scare tactic.

20 We want you to pay your taxes,

21 obviously, we need you to pay your taxes.

22 Right now we need our taxes more than we

23 need, I mean, that's our problem, we need

24 the money. I think it's sad that you have

25 to do things like this. I think it's sad

that you have to pass this type of
legislation, but everyone doesn't go out and
voluntarily do this, and as Mrs. Gatelli
said, that was the nor. People were paying
their county, paying their school tax and
letting us just ride, and that's not fair.
We still have to function because we provide
those services. We do as the city, not
everyone else. We get the least chunk of
tax and yet we are the ones that are
providing your safety, your police, your
firemen, cleaning up your city.
So, no, I think it's okay. It's
okay that we have to do this. Sad that we
do, but I certainly am going to support the
fact that we need our taxes. And to the

little, you know, elderly people that they

are claiming are losing all of this, if you

are having a problem call. We will take

care of it. We will help you. We are not

out to get rid of you. We are not out to

scare you out of our city. That's people

using that because you have to remember now

election time is coming, so saddle up, but

I'm telling you right now this is a good

thing because we need money and the people who are paying their taxes deserve to know that they are not footing the bill for everyone else who is not paying their taxes, and I will be standing by my decision to -- I will not be voting for this, so that's all.

MS. EVANS: Slum lords, I agree every city has them, however, I look over the ordinance as it was originally drafted and passed, nowhere in it does it contain the word slum lord, exceptions for illness, or other mitigating circumstances, there are no exceptions written into this ordinance.

Twenty-three penalties, fines, costs. I wouldn't call that a deterrent, I would call that Draconian.

Now, everyone is saying this a scare tactic because it's an election year. Well,

tonight, very coincidentally as I was

walking in here, because other than council

no one really had any knowledge of what this

ordinance contained or what would transpire

at this time, but I was stopped by a woman

in tears who told me, and she didn't want

her child to hear this, that she had a delinquency of \$8,000 on the home in which she lives currently. She is divorced and as part of that settlement her husband was to have kept up on the taxes. She got a letter this last week stating that the \$8,000 was due, but now that \$8,000 has become \$40,000, and she is in tears and crying to me and asking me, "Mrs. Evans, what am I going to do? I'm going to lose my house."

MS. FANUCCI: That happened in one year? From 8,000 to 40,000 in one year?

She had no knowledge previous to this?

That's amazing.

MS. EVANS: No, no, no. This is what has brought it up. Now, I suppose I could go to NCC and intervene for her, and I will try, but I do know that I think what we are saying here tonight is if we are connected

20	in some way to NCC we can go down there and
21	try to help out our people.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Oh, that's sick. First
23	of all, that's sick.
24	MS. EVANS: But you know what
25	MS. FANUCCI: That is so un I
	103
1	can't believe how unprofessional and that
2	you would you always put those
3	insinuations out there. It's almost
4	ludicrous. No. Anyone can call NCC. If
5	you are in financial difficulty you don't
6	need to be connected. You need a phone.
7	You pick up and say if you let something
8	go from \$8,000 to \$40,000, that's a problem.
9	Pick up the phone. Don't come here because
10	guess what, all we are going to do is pick
11	up the phone and call, but you don't have to
12	be connected. Another political problem
13	that goes on, but go back to her because I'm
14	-
	sure she has more for you. Ridiculous.
15	MS. GATELLI: First of all
16	MS. EVANS: No, excuse me, I was
17	talking, but that's okay. I know that
18	Mrs. Fanucci finds it very difficult to
19	abide by the Rules of Council or to show
20	respect to her colleagues or to audience

respect to her colleagues or to audience

21	members.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Was that respectful to
23	sat
24	MS. EVANS: Go ahead.
25	MS. FANUCCI: Was that respectful to
	102
1	me
2	MR. MCGOFF: Please. Mrs. Fanucci
3	MS. FANUCCI: Or to anyone up here.
4	Oh, I know I respect people
5	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
6	MS. FANUCCI: respect on my side,
7	not hers.
8	MS. EVANS: Again, case in point.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah.
10	MS. EVANS: And it's not deserving of
11	acknowledgment, but the facts are the facts,
12	and people don't come here making up
13	stories. When this was passed in 2007 we
14	are not in a recession, but obviously, as I
15	said before, Scranton is a recession proof
16	city, and we are all so well off that we
17	chose not to pay our taxes, and if we take
18	let's say an \$8,000 and make it into a
19	\$40,000 that will cause us to scurry right

down to NCC and make our payment payments.

21	I do think basically what it comes
22	down to is this, if you're really serious
23	about collecting delinquent taxes and
24	getting the money the city is owed and you
25	know you can't get blood from a stone and if
	103
1	you are really sincere become extending a
2	helping hand in a difficult financial time
3	or in a difficult medical time then you are
4	going to vote to approve this amendment.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on the
6	question?
7	MS. HUMPHRIES: I have a question?
8	MR. MCGOFF: No, Phyllis
9	MS. HUMPHRIES: About taxes.
10	MR. MCGOFF: No. All those in favor
11	of the amendment to File of Council No. 82,
12	2007, signify by saying aye.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Opposed?
16	MS. FANUCCI: No.
17	MS. GATELLI: No.
18	MR. MCGOFF: No motion defeated.
19	MS. EVANS: On a related matter,
20	council received a parking lot report of
21	fees paid and owed for 2007, 8 and 9, two

hospitals, two private lots and an elderly
high-rise owe 2009 fees only. The only
delinquency for 2007, 8 and 9, is the Forum
Garage. Fees for the Forum are listed as

the \$4,755 per year for a total of \$14,265.

Apparently, no penalty or interest has been added to the delinquency according to the invoices. This figure also seems to be a great difference from the original amount reported to have been owed. Since the report does not contain years prior to 2007, I don't know if the Forum gave possible additional delinquencies, but I would like to know. So, Kay, please send a request to Licensing, Inspections and Permits for the years 1998 to 2006.

Also, council would like to know what procedures are followed to collect parking lot delinquent fees.

A Court order -- or rather a Court ruling last Friday, awards pay raises to firefighters for 2006 and 7, and management rights to the mayor. According to the mayor, the raises could immediately cost the city about \$360,000. The mayor's 2009

22	budget allocates \$1,440,450 for Court
23	awards, so it seems the cost of this award,
24	as well as the forthcoming police ruling,
25	has been foreseen and covered.

1	Further, the city's year-end cash
2	position, and this should be interesting I
3	think to some of in the audience who
4	mentioned expenditures exceeding revenues at
5	the end of the 2008, the city's year-end
6	cash position was approximately \$3 million
7	after repayment of the 2008 TAN-B. After
8	about \$1.5 million in year-end payables, the
9	city had 1.5 million to carry over in 2009.
10	I have to wonder if the Court reviewed such
11	recent financial information or if the Court
12	used outdated city audits to arrive at their
13	decisions? Does the Court approve the
14	numerous violations of the Recovery Plan
15	made by this administration, specifically,
16	unprecedented raises for management and job
17	creations? Does it approve of Doherty debt
18	that has ballooned to hundreds of millions
19	of dollars since 2003? Perhaps the creation
20	of the massive Doherty debt for Nay Aug, a
21	treehouse, a park, bridge and trail, park
22	landscaping and fish ponds, garages,

23	buildings, management pay raises,
24	consultants, lobbyists, high powered
25	attorneys, etcetera, isn't pertinent.

We live in Scranton and we have a
bird's-eye view of what has transpired, and
I have a better view of the finances than
does the average guy. I know the municipal
unions did not cause the budget, borrowing
and debt to spiral out of control since
2002. They have very little, if any, impact
on the debt of these last seven years, and
more important, management rights will not
cure the Doherty debt. The money that can
be realized from management rights is like
one corn stalk in a 5,000 acre corn field of
debt and the mayor isn't finished. He is
building a third garage, a library in South
Side, a greenhouse at Nay Aug and all in
2009. He doesn't seem to be too worried
about controlling costs in this recession,
does he?
It's the dollars and cents that

matter most to the taxpayers. We don't view safety as a waste of our tax dollars and many of us don't want our money spent on

23	this mayor's	personal ag	genda. It is
24	preposterous	to believe	that management
25	rights alone	will end a	structural deficit

1 and pay off the Doherty debt.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Here is a slice of reality, the next

six to seven administrations and our

children and grandchildren will pay the

Doherty debt.

Further, without an independent public safety study, I do not feel comfortable giving our mayor the power to decide the manpower of our fire and police departments and which fire stations will close. Even without full control prior to this Court ruling, the mayor and his safety director managed to take beat cops off the streets and now we should hand him the reigns? No mayor in my memory has had the required knowledge, skill, and experience to adequately run these departments. Can we afford inexperience and politics in our fire and police departments in this day and age? It's a bigger gamble than any you could take in Las Vegas.

And, finally, I have requests for the week, for Mr. Brazil I would like to

24	resubmit the following: A third request for
25	a copy of the snow removal policy. We have
	108
1	hoon thuring to obtain this information since
1	been trying to obtain this information since
2	November 8, 2008.
3	Also, residents in the Plot wish to
4	know when the streets will be paved and
5	residents also requested the installation of
6	stop signs at Dorothy Street and North
7	Garfield Avenue as well as Rebecca Avenue
8	and Hawthorne Street or Rebecca and
9	Bulworth. Responses have been awaited on
10	these issues since October 28.
11	In addition, the report on road or
12	infrastructure projects with the city which
13	have been proposed to NEPA for potential
14	funding from the stimulus program is due to
15	city council on or before February 1.
16	For Mrs. Moran, in Human Resources,
17	the second request for printed copies of
18	both the acceptable usage policy and the
19	acceptable usage guideline of the City of
20	Scranton's information technology resources,
21	involving the internet and electronic mail.
22	A letter to Mr. Seitzinger, 615

Beech Street, the house was condemned, but

tenants were seen entering and exiting the
house on several occasions. The front door
is broken in. Please turn off utilities and
address the front door as soon as possible
and provide council with a written update on
or before February 9.

City residents report, and I think you might have talked about this last week,
Mr. Courtright, lights out from Krispe Kreme on Moosic Street to the Radisson Hotel.

MS. EVANS: No, I'm talking about the lights that -- the streetlights, yeah, and he says there are operational during the

MR. MCGOFF: The hot donut signs?

this current winter they are not.

summer months, but during last winter and

And then residents complain that snow removal is not performed on the River Street and Harrison Avenue bridges sidewalks. They called the city for help, but the city told them it's the state's responsibility. They then phoned PennDOT and PennDOT claims it's the responsibility of the city. I'd like to see the bridge

1	storms. I agree the roads come first, but
2	the job of snow removal doesn't end there.
3	And that's it.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Evans.
5	Mrs. Gatelli.
6	MS. GATELLI: I would just like to
7	report that the property at 1101 Cedar
8	Avenue where the Bistro used to be is going
9	to be rehabilitated. The gentleman is going
10	to the zoning board in February for a
11	variance to put in four apartments. There
12	was an owner of a little over a year ago
13	that did receive a variance to put
14	apartments in there, but that variance has
15	lapsed, so they will be seeking a variance.
16	Also, there are someone complained
17	about a boat parked near South Scranton
18	Intermediate School, I did mention
19	previously that boats were in a lot of the
20	neighborhood streets and obstructing vision,
21	however, they are legal if they have a
22	licensed plate on the trailer and a current
23	inspection sticker, so the boat near South
24	Scranton Intermediate School is, indeed.

I sent one of the inspectors to the

2	corner of Cherry Street and South Washington
3	Avenue. If anyone is familiar there, I
4	received complaints from many of the
5	business owners there, particularly
6	Mr. Lomma, about the railroad cars that are
7	parked on the railroad tracks in that area.
8	Mr. Cortez did, indeed, go out and to the
9	site, I have pictures. All of the cars are
10	covered in graffiti, they are rusted, and
11	they are in deplorable condition, so he
12	wrote up a report, sent it to the
13	Delaware-Lackawanna Railroad, they
14	apparently seem to want to correct the
15	problem.
16	We got a response from the attorney
17	in New York for the railroad and he is
18	claiming that it's an Interstate Commerce
19	Facility, the vehicles are rail freight
20	cars, etcetera, and they are defined under
21	federal law. With the concurrence of my
22	colleagues, I would like to send this
23	information to Congressman Kanjorski being
24	that it's a federal issue, and see if he
25	can't help us in some way. I think that we

1	are not going to get anywhere trying to
2	approach this with the letter that we got.
3	MS. EVANS: You know what, that's
4	true, because that's been brought up for two
5	or three years now.
6	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
7	MS. EVANS: And obviously
8	MS. GATELLI: It's still there.
9	MS. EVANS: Right.
10	MS. GATELLI: And, you know, if
11	anyone needs to take a ride over there to
12	see it, it really is deplorable, and it
13	affects the neighborhood and there are
14	several businesses there, also, so with the
15	consent of my colleagues I would like
16	Mrs. Garvey to forward this to Congressman
17	Kanjorski and see if he can't help us solve
18	this important issue. Thank you.
19	Also, I met with Representative Ken
20	Smith concerning the cell phone, the
21	handheld cell phone ban. He is a cosponsor
22	of a bill in Harrisburg, and he gave me a
23	copy of it, and I would like to also with
24	the consent of our colleagues if they would
25	send a letter to Representative Smith and

1	Representative Murphy supporting House Bill
2	No. 8227 of 2007 that is cosponsored by
3	Representative Smith. Would you all be in
4	agreement with that? Thank you.
5	T also got a conv of the parking lot

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also got a copy of the parking lot study of who has paid and who hasn't paid. I have contacted the law department and I contacted Mr. Seitzinger, I know that it's only from 2007, however, they are delinquent as far as I know from at least 1995. I know that because that's when I worked there and when I sent the bill shortly thereafter I was presented with the lawsuit concerning the matter, so I told Sally -- I believe her name is Sally in the law department, they were trying to look it up on the docket down at the Courthouse. They had no record of it in the law department, so she is trying to look up the docket at the courthouse, and I will keep the public and my colleagues updated as I get some information on it, but it is delinquent since at least then. Mr. Seitzinger thought it would be upwards of 60 some thousand dollars that is probably owed on that, so I'll keep you up-to-date on

that.

2	I'd like to thank Mr. Brazil for
3	filling the potholes on the viaduct. There
4	was one dandy one there that could swallow
5	you right up.
6	Zoning. I have contacted Attorney
7	Penetar, who is the zoning board solicitor,
8	I had some concerns about recent
9	construction of garages in residential
10	neighborhoods. If any of you have seen any
11	of the garages they are more like pole barns
12	and many people seem to be putting them up
13	as accessory structures, so I was concerned
14	about reducing the size of these accessory
15	structures and I asked Mr. Penetar or
16	Attorney Penetar, and you can change
17	amend the zoning ordinance by two ways, by a
18	motion of council or by a written request of
19	any person, entity or the planning
20	commission, and then once it's introduced
21	you must go through the municipal planning
22	code, etcetera. So I asked him to look into
23	the pole barns.
24	Also the windmills, I know somebody
25	brought up windmills tonight, and Attorney

Penetar and I talked about windmills and 1 2 getting them zoned for the City of Scranton, 3 and he is going to ask the zoning board and I would like to ask anyone, including my 4 5 colleagues, if there are any ordinances relating to zoning that you think are a 6 7 problem and you would like to see amended 8 please get them to the office to Mrs. Garvey 9 at your earliest convenience because I do 10 intend to make a motion to amend the zoning ordinance and at least these two items will 11 12 be included, the size of the garages in 13 neighborhoods and the windmills, so if anybody has any other interests please bring 14 15 them forward. MS. EVANS: Daron Northeast. 16 17 MS. GATELLI: Yes, that's good one. I just have a letter I'd like to read that I 18 have submitted to the Scranton Times as a 19 20 letter to the editor. I wanted to read it 21 because I know not all people get the Scranton Times, but there were three letters 22 23 recently from residents very concerned about 24 why I don't give my pay back and why we

don't meet in August, so I'd like to read my

1 response:

"This is in response to three letters that appeared in your newspaper from Gina Olivetti on January 19 and Rob Sequin and Bill McAndrew on 1-24. Apparently their comments are in reference to statements made verbally at a recent council meeting. However, they failed to report all of the information, whether intentional or not felt necessary to tell.

Recently, speakers at council were stating that since the current majority has been in control, a recess of meetings in August of each year and several weeks in December has occurred and is unacceptable.

I asked the city clerk, Mrs. Garvey, to look back a few years to check on those allegations. She provided the information to me from 1999 to the present. In all of those, city council recessed for the month of August except 2005, a mayor campaign year, how coincidental, and early in December.

Some of the current council members have been on council since 2002 and no one

ever questioned them. The writer's asked
why don't I donate my salary from council
for those times. Why would they single out
one council member when there are five?
Politics?

And for information purposes, I am the only council member to donate a portion of my salary back to the city. I along with the mayor and administration gave 5 percent of our salary back in 2007. I gave back exactly \$625.

I once heard a saying, "Never let the facts interfere with the good story." I guess that's what the letters were about.

It's election time and appears the mistruths and misrepresentations will be bountiful.

What is stated or written doesn't have to be truthful as long as it's hurtful.

Any one who thinks a council person only works on Tuesday night is very mistaken and they have no concept of a council person's duties and obligations. Dozens of e-mails, letters, phone calls, stops at the grocery store and the mall take place constantly.

1	Please be aware that none of this
2	will interfere with the way I feel about my
3	city and especially my neighborhood. I am
4	beginning my 28th year as a neighborhood
5	activist of which I have never received
6	payment other than self-reward. My hope is
7	that my city and my neighborhood will be a
8	better place to live. I will continue to
9	fight neighborhood blight, abandoned houses,
10	drug houses and zoning problems, and
11	absentee landlords and keeping cops on the
12	streets of our neighborhoods and keeping our
13	neighborhood fire stations open. Thank you
14	for listening."
15	That's all I have.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Gatelli.
17	Mrs. Fanucci.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Well, as always, I'd
19	like to piggyback on my other two council
20	women. Once I get here it's like, oh, well,
21	there is nothing for me to say, but I do
22	want to talk about the money and the job.
23	Be careful what you wish for. If you want
24	to start gauging us by the meetings, which
25	we are only here to pass legislation, the

you want to pay us and believe that	this is
our job, you might just get people w	vho
actually only work the three hours w	we are
here a night once a week, which is	
ridiculous.	

You have no clue, and it's okay.

The people who get up here and claim that we are getting paid for our meetings don't understand, and I don't blame them they don't understand because they don't have the job, but it is -- you want to pay us for just this then do you want a council person actually just come here and legislate and leave and not worry about anything else all week long? I personally would love it. It would be the best job I would ever have because none of the work I do actually happens here, all I do is legislate here.

All week long I make phone calls. I talk to people, I help them, I make connections between people who need help, need this, it's what we do all week long and there isn't one of us up here who doesn't do it. It's our job. This is just here to

are mad and angry because they can't come
here to complain, so maybe the problem is
more of a grandstanding than it is actually
worrying about what happens in their city
and the legislation that takes place. Maybe
they should get their own show. Maybe 61
could get them their own show and they can
still go and complain often and then they
won't feel like they miss out. That is not
why I'm here. I listen to what happens, I
try to help the city, I legislate. I leave
her and I work all week long as everyone
does.
So, it is sort of amusing that they
want to pay us per meeting and, you know,
oh, the three hours you were here, the four
hours. It's almost ridiculous, so I
certainly won't even entertain that
discussion. To me, it's just more
grandstanding and something to complain

I did have a good suggestion, people that were up here last week and they were

about which is, you know, par for the

course.

saying that there aren't any jobs, and I got
numerous e-mails from hairstylists saying,

"Goo to beauty school because we are making about 50 and 60,000 dollars a year and we are in small salons."

So I found that very ironic and fun considering that people were up here bashing them, so maybe it is you need to go and find jobs that, you know, are something that maybe you can enjoy, so the people who are here complaining maybe, you know, they won't complain about hairstylists so much when they realize they are actually, you know, making some money for themselves.

Also, I want to talk about what goes on here. I'm sure, and this is going to include you, Amil, I'm not sure what we can do, but election time is coming and, you know, as we are a haven for every elected person with every type of -- we have enough political posturing that goes on daily in this chamber, so is it possible that there is something we can do once someone decides they are running, you know, like we had our buddy up here tonight, he is running for

council, is he going to come up here every
week, make his speeches and grandstand to

3	the public and it's like a free
4	informercial, which I don't think it's our
5	place to have to entertain that, so I'm
6	wondering is there anything we can do?
7	MR. MINORA: I think the answer to
8	that has to do more with the content of what
9	he says rather than the fact that he or she
10	is on the podium. In other words, if they
11	are talking about city business they
12	certainly, whoever they are have a right to
13	do that, when they are fostering their own
14	candidacy I think that's outside of our
15	rules. This isn't a podium, and you've
16	enforced that in the past, not to put forth
17	somebody else's campaign or your own
18	campaign or your own running for a
19	particular office, so I think you can stop
20	that, but as long as someone is speaking on
21	city business I think they have a right to
22	do that. I don't think you can do that as
23	long as
24	MS. FANUCCI: The air time was what
25	I was concerned. I remember when I was

running there was an equal air time act that you cannot have one candidate on with visual air time without equal time for another

4	candidate, so I'm wondering how that
5	postures in with us. I mean, does that have
6	anything to do
7	MR. MINORA: That gets into whether
8	or not they are promoting their own campaign
9	as opposed to making public comment on a
10	public issue within the city. If they are
11	promoting their own campaign that's the
12	reason why they should be gaveled down
13	because then we would be under an obligation
14	to invite his or her opponent
15	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, every time they
16	would speak; right?
17	MR. MINORA: Well, it's a
18	unfortunately, the president has a very fine
19	line to walk on.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Right. Maybe if we can
21	just state every time somebody stands up who
22	is actually running for office before they
23	speak they are running for office or
24	something that people know, I mean, to me it
25	is just it's going to be a circus, I

mean, not any more than it normally is, but

it could get out of control. If we all

remember the last election, mayoral election

it was -- it was a down right circus and I
would love to just try to stop that if it's
possible. But, of course, we won't, but I
was just saying it would probably be a great
idea.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as a lot of legislation we spoke about tonight, and the way it actually is something I have to speak on, the NCC deal, I'm sorry, Bill, I'm sorry. Bill, he gets a little restless, but constantly insinuation, innuendoes, you know, oh, maybe the good old boy network, this, that. There is no place for that here. It's almost absurd that it has to be brought up constantly. I'm not going to be a part of it, I'm not going to let it be said because it's not true. It's to make you nervous. They want you to be nervous about it. Oh, I'm not part of the group. Oh, I'm not -no, we are not up here sitting for our own health, we are up here for you, and these people right here one, two, three, four,

1	five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten are all
2	here for whatever reason they have to be
3	here, but our position is to be here for
4	you. Does that mean I'm going to agree with

him? No. Not absolutely. You know what
they say, well, you are here to speak with
the taxpayers. Well, all taxpayers don't
think alike. All taxpayers don't believe
what's being said. All taxpayers have
different views, and just because I don't
believe that we shouldn't have development
downtown or I don't believe that big
developers would be invited to Scranton so
they can develop and that they could bring
the money to our city, I believe all of
that. I love the infrastructure. I love
the fact that we are building downtown. I
love new businesses, I love small
businesses, so just because I don't agree
that we shouldn't let them come in, doesn't
mean you don't have a places here, and I'm
going to say that every single week, every
single week. This is your venue, not ten
people, and that's all I have.

1 Fanucci. Mr. Courtright.

2 MR. COURTRIGHT: Geez, I wish I had
3 that much to say like everybody else, but I
4 don't have a lot to say tonight. I would

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs.

like to I noticed today a very deep hole
that DPW filled it in and I thank them for
that. Mrs. Gatelli brought up about the 100
block of Cedar Avenue, I'm so happy to see
something being done there, and I'm not
going to mention the gentleman's name, but I
have seen other properties that he has done
over and he does a really nice job, so I
think the people that live in that
neighborhood in South Scranton are going to
be very pleased with what this guy does, and
I have seen the type of tenants that he puts
in his place afterwards. He doesn't invest
all of this money and let people come in and
destroy his property, he maintains them
very, very well, so I think the people who
live over there will be pleased with him,
I'm very happy that it's him that is taking
over that property.
T ivet went to semment on T think

I just want to comment on, I think it was Mrs. Gatelli brought it up, about the

1	fundraiser on February 21 for Tommy Lewis'
2	son. Tommy is a very good friend of mine
3	and I would love to see everybody come out
4	there and support his son with this
5	terrible, terrible thing that's happened.

And one other thing, I believe that
when we go live we had many more viewers,
and I've always believed that, and since we
have been live the letters and the calls are
coming in more to me than we were when we
weren't live and for the last two or
three weeks I received a letter from I'm
going to say a gentleman, it could be a
woman, but patriotic. The letter has the
flag on it, and then they put stamps on the
back of the letter that they are patriotic
and I think there were some there for
they are very, very affectionate toward they
like dogs I believe it was, but they have
asked me questions about the bridges, they
have asked me about smoking in downtown
bars, and I can't answer you back because
you don't put your name on there, you don't
put your address on there, you don't put any
phone number, I have to way to answer the

questions that you are asking me.

I know the one week you asked me why
I gave Jeannie Suetta a phone number or why
I was going to get her the phone number for
the DPW and I didn't call them myself, and

6	the answer to that is that she asked me for
7	the phone number so I was getting it for
8	her.
9	But I have no problem answering your
10	questions is you give me some way of
11	communicating back with you because what I
12	can't do is, and you might not like me
13	saying this, I get a lot of calls and a lot
14	of things left on my machine and a lot of
15	letters, if I were to sit here every week
16	and answer all of those questions on the air
17	we would be here even longer than what we
18	are now, but I would love to answer your
19	questions so give me some way of
20	communicating back to you.
21	If you chose for me not to mention
22	your name or tell anybody you communicate
23	with me, I will certainly, you know, make
24	sure that happens. I never say anybody's
25	name here or talk to anybody else if you
	129
1	want it confidential I will keep it
2	confidential, but I would be speaking longer

confidential, but I would be speaking longer than everybody combined if I were to answer every single letter and phone call on the

air here mand that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,

6

3

4

Mr. Courtright. First, I meant to mention
this before as an announcement, I received a
letter from Reverend Kathryn Simmons
concerning the Bethel AME temporary
emergency shelter, apparently they are
having dinner to honor three people who have
contributed to the center and also to serve
as a fundraiser for the emergency shelter.
The dinner is February 24, at 7:00 p.m., at
Genetti Manor in Dickson City. It says here
tables are being sold at \$500 per table, 10
persons at a table, which if my grade school
math works out I guess to \$50 per person. I
don't know if they are doing individual
people, but I will give you the number of
the I'd assume it's the shelter, it's
570-335-2765, and if anybody has interest in
attending I'm sure that Reverend Simmons
will entertain your calls.

1	The other thing, Mr. Quinn mentioned
2	the grant from 1999 for the 12 to
3	1400 blocks of Mulberry Street,
4	coincidentally, I had received a phone call
5	during the week from the gentleman about the
6	same thing and I will look into that for

$\operatorname{Mr.}$ Quinn and for the gentlemen that called
and find out what the status of that money
is or what the status of that grant, and if
it can be used in conjunction with the
University project in some way, and the
caucus that we had with the Chamber of
Commerce last week was at least the
information was given to the members of the
council I wanted to say two weeks before
they appeared, but if not two weeks,
certainly at least one week in advance, so
there was no it wasn't a secret caucus by
any means, and what was what we are being
asked to do was to consider a letter
being a letter of approval being sent, we
cannot extend the KOZ's. What Mr. Burke
told us that they would like to apply for ar
extension and have the approval of the
taxing bodies that would be affected by the

1	extension of the KOZ. We have not we as
2	a council have not had an opportunity to
3	discuss that and I would suggest that
4	perhaps that during next week's caucus what
5	that would be something that we can address
6	in some way and at least get an idea about
7	what is taking place, and I also would like

to make contact with the Scranton School
Board to get an idea of what they may be
thinking as far as the extension is
concerned, so I will attempt to contact the
president of the school board and find out,
you know, what discussions they may have
had.

As far as the county is concerned, the county has already sent a letter of approval concerning a KOZ, I'm going to say in Jessup or somewhere in the Valley View School District, so I would assume that the county would if they have approved or sent a letter of approval for one extension that they would probably do so for, you know, for others, but it is something that we need to

And perhaps the last thing, I'm

looking at some notes, just a response to

last week when I mentioned the things that

the University of Scranton was proposing, I

believe that development from anyone is good

for the city, and James Madison way back

when the constitution was being drafted made

discuss as a council and hopefully next week

we can address that during caucus.

the statement that self-interest properly
motivated would be what runs the country,
and I think this is a case of it.

You know, certainly the University
has a self-interest in improvements that an
made along Mulberry Street. That does not

has a self-interest in improvements that are made along Mulberry Street. That does not mean that it's detrimental to the city in any way, and I think that their motivation to do this is of great benefit to the city.

And as far as the comment that

Mr. Miller made about discussing raises

being a slap in the face to the fire and

policemen, firemen and policemen, we as a

council have caucus with the head of the

firemen's union and police union and allow

them to discuss the status of contract

negotiations which essentially had to do

with, you know, discussing raises for them.

The attempt to have a discussion on raises
was not intended to be disrespectful to
anyone, it was merely doing what we had done
for others and, you know, simply offering
the opportunity for discussion and certainly
a chance to say, no. Nobody said that these
things were going to be approved, but it
certainly would have given people an

9	opportunity to say no, and I think that
10	would be all I have.
11	MR. MINORA: May I be allowed a
12	personal comment?
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MR. MINORA: A week or two ago one of
15	the speakers made a disparaging remark about
16	hairdressers. My mother was a hairdresser
17	and making money as a hairdresser she raised
18	four boys, three of which are now lawyers
19	and one a doctor, and one of the lawyers was
20	elected judge along the way. She taught us
21	the value of hard work and honesty, and so I
22	would like to at least say a positive
23	comment about hairdressers because I have a
24	soft spot in my heart for them.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Attorney
	134
1	Minora.
-	
2	MR. MINORA: Thank you.
3	MS. GARVEY: FIFTH ORDER. 5-B. FOR
4	INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING
5	THE SALE AND TRANSFER OF A PARCEL OF LAND
6	ACQUIRED BY THE CITY THROUGH THE FLOOD

CONTROL PROJECT BY SEALED BIDS TO THE

HIGHEST BIDDER, PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN

9	AS 620 RACE STREET, SCRANTON, AND MORE FULLY
10	DESCRIBED IN DEED DATED JULY 21, 2004, DULY
11	RECORDED IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER
12	OF DEEDS OFFICE IN RECORDS BOOK 1278, PAGE
13	0002.
14	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
15	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
16	introduced into it's proper committee.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
19	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
20	in favor signify by saying aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
23	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
	133
1	
1	ayes have it and so moved.
	MS. GARVEY: SIXTH ORDER. NO
3	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
4	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
5	FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
6	59, 2009 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
7	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO MAKE A
8	SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION FROM THE

CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT TO INCREASE THE SALARY

10	OF THE DEPUTY CITY CONTROLLER TO INCLUDE
11	\$4,814.00 TO BE PAID AT REGULAR SALARY
12	INTERVALS BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2009.
13	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
14	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Finance.
16	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-A.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
20	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes.
24	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
25	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
	136
1	MS. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
2	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. Just
8	for procedural sake, should we make the

motion to amend prior to reading or after

10	it's read?
11	MR. MINORA: Prior to that.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Prior to?
13	MR. MINORA: Yes.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Prior to the reading of
15	7-B, I would like to a make a motion to
16	amend 7-B to read: PURSUANT TO SECTION 702
17	OF THE RIGHT-TO-KNOW LAW, THE CITY OF
18	SCRANTON, AS AN AGENCY OF THE COMMONWEALTH,
19	IS NOT REQUIRED TO FULFILL ANONYMOUS
20	REQUESTS FOR ACCESS TO RECORDS; AS SUCH, THE
21	CITY OF SCRANTON OPEN'S RECORDS OFFICER WILL
22	ACCEPT WRITTEN OR VERBAL REQUESTS FOR ACCESS
23	TO RECORDS THAT ARE ACCOMPANIED BY NAME,
24	MAILING ADDRESS AND CONTACT TELEPHONE NUMBER
25	OF PERSON MAKING THE REQUEST.
	137
1	MC CAMELLY, Illl googned that
2	MS. GATELLI: I'll second that.
3	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All in
	favor of the amendment signify by saying
4	aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye.
6	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
7	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The

10 ayes have it 7-B is amended.

11	MS. GARVEY: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
12	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
13	RESOLUTION NO. 101, 2009 - AS AMENDED -
14	PURSUANT TO SECTION 702 OF THE RIGHT-TO-KNOW
15	LAW, THE CITY OF SCRANTON, AS AN AGENCY OF
16	THE COMMONWEALTH, IS NOT REQUIRED TO FULFILL
17	ANONYMOUS VERBAL REQUESTS FOR ACCESS TO
18	RECORDS; AS SUCH, THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S
19	OPEN RECORDS OFFICER WILL ACCEPT WRITTEN OR
20	VERBAL REQUESTS FOR ACCESS TO RECORDS THAT
21	ARE ACCOMPANIED BY NAME, MAILING ADDRESS AND
22	CONTACT TELEPHONE NUMBER FOR THE PERSON
23	MAKING THE REQUEST.
24	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
25	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
	138
1	passage of Item 7-B.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
3	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
4	call, please.
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
8	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
9	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
~	111. 00011011. 110. 1 dilucol.

MS. FANUCCI: Yes.

11	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
13	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
16	Thank you. Motion to adjourn.
17	MS. GATELLI: So moved.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you for your
20	participation.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	139
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATE
7	
8	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
9	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
10	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the

11 above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true

12	and correct transcript of the same to the best of m
13	ability.
14	
15	
16	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR
17	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	