1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, September 23, 2008
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CAMUENE C NADDOZZI DDD COUDM DEDODMED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	
4	MD DODEDM MCCOEE DDECIDENM
5	MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
6	MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT
7	MS. JANET E. EVANS
9	MS. SHERRY FANUCCI
10	MD WILLIAM COUDTDICUT
11	MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT
12	MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK
13	MS. SUE MAGNOTTA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
14	TIO. COL TRIONOTTII, TIOCTOTTIINT OTTI OLLIN
15	MR. JOHN MINORA, SOLICITOR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2./1	

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Here.
6	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Gatelli.
7	MS. GATELLI. Here.
8	MS. MAGNOTTA: Ms. Fanucci.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Here.
10	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Courtright.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
12	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. GARVEY: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	AGENDA FOR THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
17	MEETING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 17, 2008.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. GARVEY: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE
21	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
22	TO BE HELD ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2008.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. GARVEY: 3-C. PETITION FOR A

1	STREET LIGHT IN THE 900 BLOCK OF GREENRIDGE
2	STREET.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. GARVEY: 3-D. CONTROLLER'S REPORT
6	FOR THE MONTH ENDING AUGUST 31, 2008.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
8	If not, received and filed.
9	MS. GARVEY: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
10	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON
11	AUGUST 27, 2008.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
13	If not, received and filed.
14	MS. GARVEY: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE
15	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
16	HELD ON AUGUST 27, 2008.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. GARVEY: That's it for Third
20	Order.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Any
22	announcements from council? Mrs. Evans?
23	MS. EVANS: I also ask for your
24	prayers this week or all of those who died
25	particularly, Michael F. Cummings, Sr., a

constant at St. Ann's Novena, Mary Guston

Duprey who opened her home to me when I

first sought political office, and George

Guston, a retired Scranton firefighter.

Please remember the family and friends they

leave behind as well.

Also, the Upper Hill Ecumenical
Committee comprised of Myrtle Street
Methodist Church, Immaculate Conception
Church, and St. Peter's Evangelical Lutheran
Church, will be conducting events during the
remainder of 2008 to benefit the St. Francis
of Assisi kitchen. A monthly friendly
family fun night will be held at the Dunmore
Friendly's restaurant during the hours of 5
to 8 p.m., tomorrow, September 24. You can
make a difference in the lives of the poor.
Please try to dine out once each month and
encourage others to do so as well. Thank
you.

MS. GATELLI: On Saturday evening,
September 27, there will be a benefit for
Nancy McCaan Ruether, a victim of multiple
sclerosis. It will be held at the 606 Club
in South Scranton from 2 to 7. The tickets

1	are \$12 at the door and you may call
2	342-6909 or 677-8672 for any further
3	information.
4	On October 1st at 6:00 there will an
5	evening of jazz at the Scranton Cultural
6	Center. You can get tickets by calling the
7	box office 693-4100. Also, on October 3, at
8	the Cultural Center is the play "Altar Boys"
9	and the number, again, is 69-4100. This was
10	an off-Broadway play that was very
11	successful and we are fortunate to have
12	these plays come to our cultural center.
13	Also, on October 4, they are starting
14	the children's series again at the cultural
15	center on the fourth floor and the first one
16	is at 11:00 on Saturday, October 4, and the
17	tickets are \$11, and it's Charlotte's Web.
18	Thank all I have. Thank you.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Fourth
20	Order. Citizens' participation. Andy
21	Sbaraglia.
22	MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
23	citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians,
24	I'm of course to speak on 6-B, I got the
25	amortization sheets for this loan and this

2	wrong I have no way of knowing, but I'm
3	going to read off the figures off the
4	amortization chart. Okay, they plan to
5	borrow \$6,100,000. '09 the interest will be
6	\$1,118, 333.33. Ten, 1,220,000. Eleven,
7	1.219,000. Twelve, 1,218,000. Thirteen,
8	1,217,000. Fourteen, 1,216,000. Fifteen,
9	1,215,000. Sixteen, 1,214,000. Seventeen,
10	1,213,000. Eighteen, 1,212,000. Nineteen,
11	1,210,000. Twenty, 1,208,00. Twenty-one,
12	1,206,000. Twenty-two, 1,203,000.
13	Twenty-three, 1,200,000. Twenty-four,
14	1,196,00. Twenty-five, 988,000.
15	Twenty-six, 481,000 for a grand total in
16	interest on their \$6,100,000 to be
17	\$20,830,000.55, and that's stated here.
18	Now, we also got a letter of credit
19	that went along with this piece of fiasco.
20	Common sense would tell you the city should
21	borrow the money, buy back the lease and be
22	done with it instead of playing a shell
23	game, taking money from one place and
24	putting it in another back. There was also
25	some in your legislation also a sinking fund

sense and, granted, moneywise it does not make sense. It'd make more sense for the city to take out a loan, buy back whatever we have to do with the Sewer Authority and forget about all of these transfers here, there and everywhere because the money is being lost. I read one of our letters of credit and that runs up to 8 1/2 percent in some of lines that letter of credit, because they gave a letter of credit for one time when they built the parking garage and they had a letter of credit and I read it, so what's here may not be true, which I know it isn't, but I just read it because it's official.

But the letter of credit is what you want to read. You want them to give you a cop eve that letter of credit before you do anything up there because that's where the quirks is because they get that letter of credit that's, i don't know what it would mean because I don't know, it might be up to 10,000 like the last time, I don't know. It might be 8,000, it might be 61, there is no

way for me to know it. All I know is there is a letter of credit attached. That's all

3 I got to say on that.

4	I mean, this is a joke what they
5	gave you. I wish they were more realistic
6	on the figures. You know darn well you are
7	not going to borrow \$6,100,000 and have to
8	pay \$20,839,000 in interest plus the
9	principle, that's ridiculous. Why they want
.0	it, they explained it one time before. The
.1	reason why they did it is they don't want to
.2	come back to you, you are a nuisance. You
.3	pass this off, they can take 10 percent if
. 4	they want, they can go 3 percent if they
.5	want, there is no way of knowing what they
. 6	are going to get, especially with our credit
.7	rating, and I don't think the SRA has a
.8	better one that that, and the question is we
.9	have to pay it anyway. I assume we are
20	going to have to pay the SRA for rental on
21	this garage, that would make sense. So, I
22	don't know if the rental payments is
23	anything in line with these amortization
24	numbers or not. All I know is it makes more
25	sense to borrow the money, pay off it and be

10

done with it.

2 I also read into the financial

3	statement from the controller's office,
4	okay? The controller had come out and
5	actually their audit complete. I don't know
6	where our audit is, so I'm not even going to
7	worry about it, but I do know this, this is
8	the '06, they had in a line number
9	38,000, other revenues 18,187,308.00 not
10	classified, so he that's a good thing for
11	you to look at exactly where that money was
12	and where it came from. Thank you.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
14	Mr. Sbaraglia. Bob Bolus.
15	MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council,
16	Bob Bolus, Scranton. Just on 6-B, I'm not
17	going to add anything more than Andy did, I
18	think you have to pay serious attention to
19	what's going on in this city and putting us
20	further in debt.
21	I have a couple of questions, and if
22	you can answer it I would appreciate it.
23	First of all, John McCain was in town, did
24	anyone here attend his town meeting from
25	council or were any members of council there

1 that attended it?

MR. COURTRIGHT: No.

3 MR. BOLUS: I didn't see the mayor

there, but let me tell you my opinion and my
feelings on this. This isn't political,
when everybody ran for an office, I ran for
offices in the past and so have other
people, when we ran for an office we ran
representing all of the people, not their
party affiliations or who they were voting
for or who they thought they should vote
for. We always ran as this council did, as
the mayor did, as representatives of all of
the people. I think it's disgraceful that
none of our elected officials met Mr. McCain
in this city to greet him. We are in the
national limelight and we look like a bunch
of hillbillies, and that's wrong. Your
actions, that of the mayor and other elected
officials represents us and you make us look
bad.
When you ran you are supposed to
represent us, put your politics to the side,

I don't know care what party you belong to, if you are Democrat or Republican,

Independent or you are not even there to vote, you represent taxpayers, you represent the senior citizens of this city that can't

go there. A cordial introduction and greeting someone to our city is more important than ignorance of not doing so, and on that part there I think it's just wrong and you all have to take a hard look internally to yourselves. What you vote for or who you vote for that's not important. Chris Doherty is the mayor of this city and he is a disgrace. He sat up the street with Governor Rendell doing other

city and he is a disgrace. He sat up the street with Governor Rendell doing other projects in the city yet somebody who has the potential of becoming the president of the United States is ignored. I think that's shameful on this council and on the administration.

You know, I don't like to criticize people, I always like to see something done, but I'm a mission to Iwo Jima to return a Marine who fell on that flag being raised on Mt. Suribachi that gave each and every one us in this room, in this country, the freedom we have to stand here and speak

today and you haven't honored that flag.

John McCain did his job. He gave us our freedom. You owe him respect. You owe Sara Palin. You owe Obama. You owe Joe

Biden, and Joe Biden is a very good friend of mine. During the La Fiesta Joe Biden and I embraced each other. It's not about party with me, it's about the individuals. It's been about the respect of the people that put you where you sit. Put that mayor where he sits and he is a disgrace because he humiliated each and every one of us in this city.

I want to see what you do when the next candidate shows up here. What respect you are giving us, because your actions represents who we are voting for, who we were supporting, that's not right. You can't do that to us. I saw it and I'm going to get off that because I think I have said enough about it and I hope you pay attention to it because if not I will be back here.

I didn't appreciate Judy trying to shut me up a couple of weeks ago. I fought for freedom of speech at this podium and I

1	won't tolerate it. I listen to what you
2	people have to say. When you make a comment
3	in the newspaper, I have every right as a
4	citizen to come in here and tell you about

5 it and question you on it and if you can't 6 do it, you shouldn't sit in those seats. I listened about Junior Council, I 8 supported it, Judy supported it, but a lot 9 of you failed miserably and I saw that in 10 the past to these kids. They came as interns and not one of you took them under 11 12 your wings. Judy tried to help as much as 13 she could, but they didn't want to be 14 nurses. They wanted to learn about city 15 business. You should in conscience 16 reinstate that Junior Council and give kids of the future a chance today by being mature 17 18 adults. You were educators, please do that. 19 Give these kids a chance, that's your job 20 and give the people of the City of Scranton their just due by respecting them and not 21 playing party. Thank you. 22 23 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 24 Ozzie Quinn. MR. QUINN: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn, 25

15

Taxpayers' Association. It's near budget

time and I guess you all realize that the

Scranton School District the teachers'

contract was awarded and they did pass a

resolution which they can increase the taxes

1

2

3

up to 6.4 percent and we know that the
county is \$207,000,000 in long-term and
principal debt and the city, according to a
2006 independent audit is \$108,000,000, so I
ask you to consider make sure that you try
to cut the fat out of this budget. We don't
need these people that are working within
this administration. There is too much
money involved here and there is too many
people suffering.
Now, I want to speak about 6-C on
Community Development Block Grant Program.
Under the CDBG the city is entitled
\$3,375,429.00. The Emergency Shelter Grant,
which is separate, will receive

Now, we all know the economic conditions that exist and we all know the foreclosures and we all know the homeless,

\$628,183.00 for a total of \$4,154,899.00.

\$151,287,000.00 and HOME will receive

how a lot of these people will be going into
the homeless. We also know that the
homeless, the mentally ill, those that are
not working, and so on and so on, it's
increasing. I'm not saving that the agency

has their say in that. The food pantries are empty. Now, we have nine agencies and you are receiving \$151,287,000 to divide among nine agencies. It comes out to about \$15,000. Now, that's ridiculous.

We had about a 700 billion bailout and we will are looking at a municipality getting \$151,000.00 for homeless and I know that it's going to increase. Now, I don't want to talk about libraries, please, put that on the back burner, let's talk about what's going to happen this winter. We all know it's going to be hard on the people finding shelter, and I feel sorry for these agencies, the fact none of them finding shelter the fact is the food, I don't even see St. Francis of Assisi kitchen on here and I know every homeless person -- I bet every homeless person eats their meal down there and plus others, okay?

So, I'm asking you back two years

ago you give will mayor unequivocally over

half a million dollars of UDAG funds, Urban

Development Action Grant loan to be paid, he

asked that you keep that money for his only

little nest egg, his own little

7	discretionary funds and not you, Council,
8	some of the council before approved it,
9	\$577,000.00. He can do whatever he wants
10	with that as proven.
11	Now, I'm asking you to please ask
12	him be a little compassionate this year and
13	break loose some of that money for this
14	Emergency Shelter Program so we can start
15	stocking our pantries and giving St. Francis
16	a little shot in the arm and hopefully we
17	can get help with the homeless problem
18	that's going to be resulting this winter.
19	We have some difficult times ahead of
20	us with the taxes, the debt and with the
21	economy how it is and hopefully that the
22	mayor will look at this and I hope that
23	council will look at this and give it a
24	priority when they look at the funding for
25	Community Development Block Grant funding

1	that somehow you can get some money into the
2	Emergency Shelter Grant program. Thank you.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
4	Jim Stucker.
5	MR. STUCKER: We need a light on

MR. STUCKER: We need a light on Mulberry there. I just noticed today, a

7	blinking light. We need a light there in
8	the corner, right on the corner of Mulberry.
9	The light is out coming across. There is
10	lines there, no lines that come across to
11	make it across the road on Mulberry and on
12	Adams, too. What we need are lines
13	everywhere I can think of. When need one on
14	Mulberry and on Adams and Quincy. We need
15	lines all over. We need lines, a lot of
16	them.
17	MS. EVANS: Where is the light, Jim,
18	Mulberry and what?
19	MR. STUCKER: Adams. Quincy and
20	Adams.
21	MS. EVANS: The light now that you
22	are talking that's out.
23	MR. STUCKER: Yeah, the light is
24	out, yes.
25	MS. EVANS: And Mulberry and Adams?

1	MR. STUCKER: Yeah, where they have
2	the light right across the street from the
3	where they have VA Hospital across the
4	street from that, right on Capouse, the
5	corner of Capouse and Mulberry.
6	MS. EVANS: Right, a streetlight, but
7	I was wondering if you would be able to on

8	your travels get the number
9	MR. STUCKER: I have been riding on
10	that
11	MS. EVANS: off that pole of the
12	streetlight?
13	MR. STUCKER: There is nothing on the
14	pole. Nothing. There is nothing on the
15	pole, it's right by the right there by
16	the they have it right by the soup
17	kitchen, on the same block the soup kitchen
18	is on, right on the corner of Mulberry. I
19	was over there today, there is no light
20	there. No light to turn yellow or red.
21	MS. EVANS: You are talking about a
22	traffic light -
23	MR. STUCKER: The traffic light.
24	MS. EVANS: I thought you meant the
25	streetlight.

1	MR. STUCKER: No, the traffic light.
2	There is no traffic light on the corner of
3	Mulberry across from the across the
4	street from the, what did you call it, for
5	Army guys?
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: The Veteran's
7	Center.

8	MR. STUCKER: Yeah. Yeah. Across
9	the street from there by the corner of
10	Mulberry, right there at the building, and
11	we have a lot of people parking again over
12	town right on Adams Avenue there, right by
13	the bank there, there is no way that buses
14	can park.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Jim.
16	MR. STUCKER: And there not enough
17	cops out. We need cops over by McGinty's, I
18	heard a shooting over there again last week.
19	They have been shootings all over the place,
20	South Side, everywhere you go there is
21	somebody getting shot. About two weeks ago
22	somebody got shot inside of the building,
23	inside of the house, so we got to get
24	something done.
25	MR. MCGOFF: We are trying and I'm
	2
1	sure that Scranton police are trying as
2	well.
3	MR. STUCKER: We need more people.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Jim. Yes, we
5	do.
6	MR. STUCKER: We need more out there.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, we do.

MR. STUCKER: And I talked to Andy,

7

9	Andy just ignored me. Andy, the guy across
.0	the street from Cole Muffler, he has that
.1	bar up there and he owns it, his name is
.2	Andy, I usually ignore him and he went to
.3	the power building and he just ignored me
_ 4	and he wouldn't turn around and say, here's
.5	the 20 bucks for me. He owes me money for
- 6	doing the grass in the back.
.7	MR. MCGOFF: Oh, okay.
-8	MR. STUCKER: So maybe you can send
_9	him a letter.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: I told you last
21	week, Jim, you got to get the money before
22	you do the job because they are sticking you
23	all the time, right? Tell them you want to
24	be paid up-front, got it?
25	MR. STUCKER: Yeah.

1	MR. COURTRIGHT: This way they don't
2	stick you.
3	MR. STUCKER: He gets me the money,
4	I don't care, 20 bucks I wouldn't care.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Okay.
6	MR. STUCKER: All right? The
7	garbage has been picked up a lot, so
8	MR. MCGOFF: Good. All right.

9	Thank you, Jim.
10	MR. STUCKER: And Mr. Courtright just
11	told me to ask you about the 75 from the
12	mayor? Yeah, he asked me if I would ask
13	you?
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Jim, I think the 25
15	that we got you, I think that's it. I don't
16	think you are going to see the other 75.
17	MR. STUCKER: All right. All right.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: All right.
19	MR. STUCKER: I live in a good
20	building now, I don't live up Providence
21	Square no more, I live on Adams Avenue, it's
22	a better building.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Jim. We'll
24	look into it. Mike Dudek.
25	MR. DUDEK: Mike Dudek, 608 Depot

L	Street, Scranton, PA, I live down there in
2	the plot. Two things I want to bring up,
3	about three years ago I came to the same
1	podium to ask the city to take a look at the
5	situation at the intersection of Nay Aug
5	Avenue and Albright Street. Now, since I
7	came here that was part of a large project
3	that's being finished up now, but when I
)	came here I complained that there was at

that time a big blue garbage can that was
disappearing into the street and I thought
that the street itself looked unsafe. Well,
as it turns out, the people, the men doing
the actual work, told me that the hole
underneath the street at this time was big
enough to put a fire truck into and that I
was right, it was a sink hole, and the only
reason why we didn't hear anything about it
was because the men that were on the job
that tore up the street they repaired it,
they took care of it, but, they were able to
do is quickly because they didn't have to
excavate it. They didn't have any
excavation. The water took care of that.

Now, with that thought in mind, I'm

1	going to put you onto another situation that
2	in my eye I think is worse than that
3	situation I had seen three years ago. It is
4	on Olyphant Avenue, the very last property
5	on Olyphant Avenue leading out of the city
6	towards Throop is 3440 Olyphant Avenue. I
7	came here and asked city council to see
8	about putting a storm drain in. About
9	100 feet north of that property that storm

drain was installed, installed properly and is still there.

My concern is about another 40 or 50 feet north of the storm drain the street is beginning to cave in. There is a red sawhorse, a DPW wooden sawhorse that's over the hole, but if you are driving a car, I defy you to try to find the sawhorse. You are not going to see it. It is sinking into the ground. I think you have a situation there that's far worse than the situation that existed underneath Albright and Nay Aug. I think somebody out to go up there with one of those mini-cams that's at the end of a snake and stick it down there with a light and see exactly what it is happening

to the street underneath that location. It
looks very bad. There aren't many streets
in the City of Scranton that are growing
grass, but this one is. Grass is actually
growing out of the sink hole through the
pavement obscuring this. If a bus ever hits
it or a vehicle ever hits it, we are going
to have some serious injuries and maybe even
fatalities. I'm describing a serious
situation to you and I would like you to

please send somebody up there to take a look at it and then please see if you can repair it. That's the first thing I wanted to mention.

The second thing, I had a similar take to the McCain visit that the previous speaker had, but with one exception, I don't think that as city council that you had an obligation as a councilors to be there, but I did wonder where the mayor was. Whenever a presidential candidate comes into the city the size of the City of Scranton the first contact is normally made by secret service with the local police and the local fire department to make sure all safety issues

that the secret service want to address are taken care of, that's normal procedure, but when this contact is made then normally the next person to be notified that a person is coming to town of the stature of Senator McCain or Senator Obama, the next person to be notified is the mayor and when I saw that the mayor himself wasn't there, you know, I'm beginning to think that Mayor Doherty might be the third most famous groundhog in

the State of Pennsylvania. Where is he? He
is the chief executive of the city. He had
an obligation to be there to welcome
Mr. McCain, and the same thing if Sara Palin
comes to town or Joe Biden or Barack Obama,
any of those four people, they are bringing
the potential of the presidency or the
vice-presidency to this city and I think we
as people of the City of Scranton ought to
extend to them the warmest welcome that we
can and I think we missed an opportunity
there. I think that was a good point to be
made.
I could not blame any of you as
council people for doing that because you

1	are legislative more than anything and
2	that's really an executive privilege to
3	attend meetings like that. I really was
4	kind of upset that the mayor had not decided
5	to attend or to find out because he had to
6	have been notified. He is the chief
7	executive of the city so for safety's sake
8	he had to have been notified that the visit
9	was coming. Thank you.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dudek.

Fay Franus.

12	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.
13	When I came in earlier I was talking to Mark
14	from ECTV and I asked him why they didn't
15	film the caucus last week with Stu Renda,
16	Mr. Renda, I'm sorry, and he said that
17	nobody asked him to. So, I'm asking
18	somebody on council, I don't know who would
19	be in charge, if there is going to be a
20	caucus again in this room or a public
21	hearing that's important to everybody to
22	please make sure that ECTV is asked since
23	they stood right there and they said didn't
24	do it because they weren't asked. It makes
25	no sense to me.

1	And I also would like to know why we
2	as taxpayers are supposedly going to foot
3	the bill for them when it's a private
4	business. If I were to open a business
5	tomorrow I wouldn't expect the taxpayers to
6	pay for my business. Why do they deserve
7	that right? Could somebody answer that
8	question? Judy, you are finance chair? Why
9	should the taxpayers be paying for ECTV if
10	it's a private business?
11	MS. GATELLI: I don't know, but

12	that's not the finance chair's
13	responsibility.
14	MS. FRANUS: Well, whoever, the
15	president, anybody? Does anybody know?
16	MR. MCGOFF: We chose to
17	MS. FRANUS: You chose to, but you
18	are representing the people. So basically,
19	Mr. McGoff, you are saying you chose to?
20	MR. MCGOFF: You didn't give me a
21	chance to
22	MS. FRANUS: Go ahead, I'm sorry.
23	MR. MCGOFF: The choice was made to
24	fund it so that public access television
25	would continue.

1	MS. FRANUS: Well, they are doing a
2	very poor job. Scranton Today had it's
3	filmed, we saw nothing else when it's open,
4	it's cut this half, they don't have money
5	for film, they said they're not going paid.
6	Well, if they're not getting paid quit, let
7	Scranton Today take over again. You see the
8	crappy job they are doing, but that's the
9	purpose. Mayor Doherty doesn't want this on
10	television and some members of council don't
11	want this on television because it exposes
12	you for what you are.

13	Now, last week, Mrs. Gatelli, you
14	said they are going to refinance, they are
15	not going to borrow, that's not true. You
16	are going to borrow after you said you
17	weren't going to borrow again, then you
18	asked Mr. Minora to explain that, well, you
19	know he wouldn't because that was such a
20	stupid, stupid comment.
21	You are going to borrow again, are
22	you not? Are you still claiming you are
23	going to refinance, you are not asking for
24	anymore money, is that what you're claiming?
25	MS. GATELLI: I'll answer that in
	30
1	
1	motions.
2	MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?
3	MS. GATELLI: I'll answer it in
4	motions.
5	MS. FRANUS: No, I'm asking you now.
6	MS. GATELLI: Well, I'm saving that
7	for motions.
8	MS. FRANUS: In other words, you are
9	not going to answer me whether you are going
10	to borrow after you swore you never would.
	1 1

goes --

13	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Gatelli gave you an
14	answer.
15	MS. FRANUS: No, she didn't.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, she did. She said
17	that she would answer and mention it during
18	motions.
19	MS. FRANUS: Mr. McGoff, can't she
20	speak for herself or do you have to answer
21	her questions for her.
22	MR. MCGOFF: And she did. I'm trying
23	to expedite this.
24	MS. FRANUS: Why? I have five
25	minutes, why should you expedite it.
	33
1	MS. FANUCCI: Quite frankly, the
2	rules are you that speak to the president
3	and the president would then address the
4	council members.
5	MS. FRANUS: Every time somebody
6	speaks and they ask council member a
7	question, tell me, Mr. McGoff, may I speak
8	to Judy Gatelli?
9	MS. FANUCCI: That's actually by
10	our rules that would be correct.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Franus, she gave
12	you an answer.

MS. FRANUS: I know that. The

14	answer is she doesn't want to answer the
15	people, only when it's convenient, she is
16	going to borrow again.
17	MR. MCGOFF: No, the answer was that
18	she would address it later on.
19	MS. FRANUS: Well, if she votes for
20	this refinancing, as she calls it, that is
21	borrowing and that means Judy Gatelli will
22	be borrowing again, unless she doesn't vote
23	for that, that's a different story, then I
24	will owe her an apology if she votes against
25	it. If she does, but if she does vote for

this she is borrowing again and she lied to 1 the people, and I don't want anybody to 3 forget that. MS. GATELLI: We know that. 5 MS. FRANUS: Well, we'll see when motions comes. Now, I understand, Mrs. 6 7 Gatelli, you were at the zoning board and 8 you were talking about the Latin Club that 9 you weren't going to sacrifice your neighborhood, but as a council person you 10 11 were willing to find -- put it someplace else in the City of Scranton? Not in my 12

backyard, huh, maybe mine?

14	MS. GATELLI: It's to be in a
15	commercial zone, Mrs. Franus.
16	MS. FRANUS: Yeah, but you don't
17	want it in your neighborhood.
18	MS. GATELLI: My neighbors don't want
19	it. It's an residential district.
20	MS. FRANUS: My neighbors don't want
21	it either. Neither does anybody else
22	MS. GATELLI: Well, you have every
23	right to go to the zoning board when there
24	is a hearing in your neighborhood.
25	MS. FRANUS: But you were willing to
	33
1	put it someplace else.
2	MS. GATELLI: In a commercial zone,
3	not in another neighborhood.
4	MS. FRANUS: Susan Orman was on
5	television today and she was talking about
6	the crises in the country today, she said
7	it's a financial earthquake, you know it
8	starts here. It starts with council people
9	going to the mayors, going to Congressmen,
10	
	going to the representatives, she said that
11	the people in charge are more interested in
12	money themselves than they are for the
13	people they are supposed to represent and

I'm thinking, boy, does that sound familiar.

15	Sounds just like you. The three votes up
16	there, Mrs. Gatelli, Mr. McGoff and Mrs.
17	Fanucci, not representing the people.
18	MS. FANUCCI: And my \$12,000 is
19	making the world goes around, because it
20	definitely is worth it.
21	MS. FRANUS: What are you trying to
22	point of order, Mrs. Fanucci.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Oh, for the love of
24	God.
25	MS. FRANUS: I'm trying to say that
	34
1	your votes don't reflect what the people
1 2	your votes don't reflect what the people want in this city.
2	want in this city.
2	want in this city. MS. FANUCCI: That's not what you
2 3 4	want in this city. MS. FANUCCI: That's not what you said. What you said was that we were taking
2 3 4 5	want in this city. MS. FANUCCI: That's not what you said. What you said was that we were taking money, you were talking about money.
2 3 4 5	want in this city. MS. FANUCCI: That's not what you said. What you said was that we were taking money, you were talking about money. MS. FRANUS: I said Suzy Orman said
2 3 4 5 6 7	want in this city. MS. FANUCCI: That's not what you said. What you said was that we were taking money, you were talking about money. MS. FRANUS: I said Suzy Orman said that the people at the top are more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	want in this city. MS. FANUCCI: That's not what you said. What you said was that we were taking money, you were talking about money. MS. FRANUS: I said Suzy Orman said that the people at the top are more interested in money and greed than the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	want in this city. MS. FANUCCI: That's not what you said. What you said was that we were taking money, you were talking about money. MS. FRANUS: I said Suzy Orman said that the people at the top are more interested in money and greed than the people they represent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	want in this city. MS. FANUCCI: That's not what you said. What you said was that we were taking money, you were talking about money. MS. FRANUS: I said Suzy Orman said that the people at the top are more interested in money and greed than the people they represent. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Franus.

Association, Scranton resident, of course.

On 5-F for a change, I would highly recommend approval at all haste for this grant. I would also recommend that council consults with Bernie McGurrel on mine reclamation funds for advice. It is my understanding that there are coal taxes collected on every ton of coal in this country and Pennsylvania is receiving just about nothing of it, and it's basically to improve and reclaim brownfields and culm banks and so forth and as far as the whole

Lackawanna and Wyoming Valley I think we have our share of -- we have more than enough to go around and if anybody wants them they can adopt them. How about that?

Under the Emergency Shelter Grant, 6-C

Under the Emergency Shelter Grant, 6-C, please try to find money to help these people. It's sad I do a lot of walking along rail lines and so forth and I don't know why I'm attracted to them, but I see a lot of things, a lot of people camping out, there is no reason for that in this country. It's not anybody's fault in this town, but it's happening and it should be dealt with as best as we can do it.

On 7-A I would like to point out

that once again I'm not against it, but
public money is being used for swimming pool
improvements and that all citizens should
have an equal opportunity within the
vicinity of their home for affordable for
free swimming and \$3.00 isn't necessarily,
we have to find a way to classify somebody
that's from the Hill Section or whatever and
somebody wants to come up and use the
sliding board maybe let them pay extra or

whatever, but it's really bad for the people in the Hill Section. They don't have any free swimming within their reasonable distance of their house.

And then finally on 6-B enough has been said probably, but from what I heard from Andy the general estimate of 16 2/3 percent isn't something that I would feel at ease on voting on, pubically you don't neither. Is it going to be 2 percent, 6 percent, 10 percent, 12 percent, 16 2/3 percent and at some point we might be better off tightening our belts and just packing it in as far as that type of a loan because it's not worth it. It's definitely not

worth it. We are just enriching a lot of people that already have a lot of money and it's ashame that we have to go that route. So at this point have a good night, but please your conscience and don't obligate the citizens of Scranton into anymore excessive borrowing or excessive unnecessary borrowing. Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Mr. Gervasi.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, City
Council. My name is Dave Gervasi, I'm a
city resident and a firefighter in the city.
I'm sorry I couldn't get here last week, it
was busy week for everyone, but we had a
hearing, as I guess you probably all know,
it was in the press. We had our hearing for
our contract went to Commonwealth Court and
we were all in Harrisburg, and I would just
like to make a comment on what a cabinet
member said in Harrisburg. Our president
and president of the FOP made some comments
to Channel 16, public safety director Ray
Hayes made this comment, and I quote: "At
the end of the day this is not about the
Doherty administration, this is not about

the police department or the fire

department, this is about the fiscal

responsibility of the government and about

the taxpayers of the City of Scranton."

I find it hard to believe that

Director Haves could possibly utter those

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Director Hayes could possibly utter those
words without busting out into laughter.

Maybe I should just remind Director Hayes,
since you read over and over what the city

38

says about the fire and police departments and other departments in the city, maybe Director Hayes should have been doing some oversight on his fiscal responsibility when the \$6 million dollar sewer deal debacle I call it happened which caused a 56 percent rate increase in our sewer rates, that was directly tied to the Doherty administration or maybe the \$3.4 million and counting raises, middle management hires, or maybe the \$800,000 the city has to spent over the years to the parking authority since obviously they don't seem to be making it on their own, or maybe the \$1 million they gave to the Southern Union building when they didn't show up, or maybe the \$1 million in

17	the artificial bond rating that was given or
18	nearly, and correct me if I'm wrong, Mrs.
19	Evans, the nearly \$100 million in borrowing
20	in the last seven years, I believe it's
21	approaching \$100 million at this point. I
22	know a lot of it was refinancing just to be
23	fair.
24	The approximate, what we are being

The approximate, what we are being told at this point, is approximately

\$2 million in legal fees which is more money
going to the lawyers than it would be to
give the police and fire department a cost
of living raise over the years. But there
is a reason for that in my opinion because
when you give the lawyers money they give it
back to you in your campaign donations. The
cops and firemen really didn't give Mayor
Doherty anything, so we can understand. Or
the grossly overpriced rebuilding of Nay Aug
Park with no big contracts or the selling of
our assets, the golf course, the South Side
Complex, or maybe the banners telling
Scrantonians to visit Scranton during the
summer, ten's of thousands spent on that
with the mayor's big picture on it which is
a thinly veiled pre-election campaign

literature in my opinion. And now we have police leaving in droves, moving onto greener pastures, we are hiring more police to come in, we are actually paying for their training now before they get hired and we are basically becoming a training grounds for police officers and we are losing our best and

finest, or maybe doubling the pensions,
maybe Director Hayes might have thought of
that when he doubled the pensions of
clerical retirees to make room for more
middle managers or maybe the director is
just mad because of the \$13,000 raises for
the police and the fire chief last year when
much of the director's time was spent
correcting the incompetency of these
department heads.

One thing you need to know, this is all being blamed, we have to knock off 37 firefighters because of city has a deficit, it's a lie. It's a complete boldface lie. We don't have a deficit. We have tons, mountains of debt that was created under the Doherty administration. Just last year from

18	the 2007 to 2008 budget the police force,	
19	those bad guys on the police force, cost th	.е
20	city \$18,000 less than it did the year	
21	before. Last year the bad firemen that are	:
22	costing millions of deficits cost the city	
23	\$851,048 less than it did the year before.	
24	Listen very carefully, when Mayor	
25	Doherty took office the budget was	
		/

Ţ	\$56 million. This year's budget is
2	\$84 million. It cost less for the police
3	and firefighters than it did in 2002. Where
4	did the \$26 million go? I know where it
5	went. I just read off a portion of it right
6	here. The mayor did it, and, Director
7	Hayes, if you are watching the Doherty
8	administration is solely responsible for the
9	deficits and the mountain of debt that we
10	have and not your police and firefighters
11	and, frankly, we don't want to pay for it,
12	for his mistakes. Thank you.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gervasi.
14	Any other speakers?
15	MS. HUMPHRIES: My mission is for all
16	reconciliation and repair. You are all
17	going to enjoy this. Father Gownley gave me

a lot of religious articles, so he gave me a

19	statue and finger was broken on it and I
20	repaired it, so I'm going to leave it right
21	here for everybody to see what transpired.
22	The right hand is the papal blessing. The
23	right hand five popes appeared for the
24	blessings of the City of Scranton.
25	MR. MCGOFF: No.
	42
1	MS. HUMPHRIES: I want to put that
2	there for a minute until I hold what I have.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Phyllis. Please keep
4	those.
5	MS. HUMPHRIES: I'm taking them.
6	This represents terrorism. There is
7	terrorism in the United States, outside of
8	the United States. What is terrorism? Look
9	at me and I'll tell you what happened to me
10	through terrorism, that's number one, that's
11	all denying their people religious rights
12	to speak and denying the truth of
13	constitution in the United States.
14	This is for the military, for the
15	Army, Navy, Marines, Coast Guard all the way
16	down. I need someone to help me with this.
17	I'm a spiritual artist, and this is, the

Bishop hasn't seen it yet, but it's end

terrorism inside and outside of the womb and
the blessed mother and Jesus that people are
all inside -
MR. MCGOFF: Phyllis -
MS. HUMPHRIES: -- to tell people to
love, to forgive and to keep on going on no
matter what. This is for September 11.

This is for my military and this is for the United States of America and freedom of faith, love and religion and freedom of speech. The Bishop hasn't seen it yet, but I hope you get it see it later.

Now, I want to talk about Doherty.

I tried CNN to bring the messages forward of my beliefs and my -- what has transpired with me, which everybody knows what happened to me, I'm not going to go into it. To find out the local newspaper, which I don't buy, although, people did give it me, Mayor Doherty's relative works at CNN. When I tried to call first English speaking and then call the Muslim for the middle eastern people they wouldn't contact, couldn't get through, as soon as they heard my name they hung up. Numerous people were involved which they fraudulently signed names from

the Attorney's General's Office and it's
being investigated all the way up to

Washington, D.C. It's time to cleanup, to
shape up, and get some of these people out
of their chairs that have done the evilness
what they have done to me and done to other

people. There is it innocent people in jail and the guilty are walking the streets and sitting in a lot of chairs.

All I want to say it our firemen, I love them very much and the police department, the test I went through I think we need more police department, more fire department, and it's kind of crazy, we need security. We need security in Scranton especially with Scranton's fire with me. You got to get security here, get the firemen on, get the police on and enough is enough. Doherty, loosen up the tight strings, the pocketbook, it's time to straighten up the City of Scranton. God bless you.

17 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Mr. Morgan.

18 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

19 You know, I sat up there on the second floor

there and just watched council and I just
would like to say that, you know, I think
council had a general interest in what Andy
Sbaraglia had to say, and I think his facts
are right. You know, I asked my sister to
look at the city's books, she's a -- she

worked on Wall Street, she goes back and forth, and the city is a mess. The city is absolutely a mess and this council has to decide not to borrow. There has to be other solutions that, you know, the Post, the new newspaper we have in the City of Scranton, they wrote an article where they totally agree that residents are leaving the city and putting their houses up for sale and this isn't just things that the residents say, this is, you know, the paper is reporting the widespread poverty in the city.

This weekend and last weekend, I had an opportunity to talk to Monsignor

Siconolfi I think is his name, the gentleman from the soup kitchen. The need in the city for that soup kitchen is unbelievable, it is really. The need to -- I had an opportunity to talk to the Monsignor about the amount of

21 homeless in the city, all right, Mr. Quinn
22 spoke about the homeless in the city and the
23 grant money that's available. You know,
24 that money really needs to go there and it
25 needs to really be increased. There is a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

46

lot of good work that can be done by government, but you as the council people have to that work and if you don't do that work then we are going to all fail.

And I know a local of people come here and complain, including myself, because we want action and we see inaction or the wrong action is being taken. One of the wrong actions, in my opinion that's happened recently, is the loss of Junior Council, and I agree with some of the things Mr. Bolus said that this council should have took a certain percentage of these young people under their wing and taught them something more than spineless politics and that's what these children were experiencing here. They were experiencing council people saying one thing and doing something else or doing nothing, and this city didn't get to where it is now by action. It got here by

inaction or looking the other way or
allowing somebody to do things that weren't
right because they knew somebody and these
things have to change and the only people
that can change them are the people that are

sitting in front of me and the mayor.

And going to Court isn't an option because then there we deal with politics also, only there we have people in judicial roles that play politics, so we've got a real serious situation here, and I hope the council would take it seriously.

And as far as ECTV, it's just a terrible thing what's happened there, and this council needs to put Scranton Tomorrow back. They ran this with no money basically and they did a much better job, and that's not taking a shot at ECTV, it's just a reality. They lost our tape from the citizens' group last week because evidently, in my opinion, they don't like what we saw say and we are one of the few groups that still has access. Look at all of the great programming we loss with Scranton Tomorrow. Just absolutely wonderful program, Homespun, definitely an asset to this community.

22	Definitely. Not just the council meetings,
23	the council meetings were one of the
24	smallest things we lost, but I would say
25	that the council meetings were a major

the skunks?

avenue for information for the residents an	d
they see the lack of preparation this	
council takes and the things that they spin	
through the agenda, not all council members	
and not all the time, but too often and	
where is it change come? It comes from you	
and the mayor, but we can't expect change	
from the mayor. He is a political animal	
and he likes politics, so the choice comes	
down to what do you like? Okay? We've got	
enough poverty here, we've got grinding	
poverty here. Can we change it, yes, but w	e
have to change the way we see things and th	е
only question is can you change the way you	
see things? Thank you.	
MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.	
Anyone else?	
MS. HUBBARD: Good evening, council,	
Liz Hubbard, Scranton resident, taxpayer.	Ι
have a question, did you get an answer on	

22	MS. EVANS: NO.
23	MS. GATELLI: I did. I'm working on
24	it with Kenny Smith. I just talked to him
25	before I came to the meeting. I'll tell you
	49
4	
1	later.
2	MS. HUBBARD: Could you add
3	groundhogs to the list because they are a
4	problem, too.
5	MS. GATELLI: Okay.
6	MS. HUBBARD: And a couple of people
7	mentioned that to me that they are
8	specifically having problem with woodchucks,
9	groundhogs, those little fat furry critters.
10	Okay, I have a question and this all
11	happened I believe before I moved back into
12	the city, so I'm not quite clear, but I
13	understand that PEL had told the city that
14	they did not need a public safety director,
15	okay, I need to know what has changed that
16	they told that to Mayor Connors and then
17	when Doherty got in he decided that he
18	needed one plus a deputy, plus a couple of
19	secretaries, why didn't PEL question that?
20	Sherry, you do the
21	MS. FANUCCI: I can tell you that.
22	It's not that they didn't question it, they

23	did okay it. When PEL sat down after what
24	happened with Mayor Connors that was in the
25	midst of contract negotiations, if I recall,
	50
1	and I'm going to go back to what I remember,
2	am I right, because it was in the midst of
3	contract negotiations. After the contracts
4	were signed I believe that's what they told
5	him, and I don't know, I'm looking at
6	Ancherani for some help here, but I believe
7	that's when they told him to not to get
8	rid of the deputy director; am I correct in
9	this?
10	MS. HUBBARD: I think it was the
11	whole
12	MS. FANUCCI: So after that they did
13	approve it later on for him to reinstate,
14	yes, it was not that PEL said, no, and they
15	didn't go back and revisit
16	MS. HUBBARD: Well, what you pay him
17	plus his deputy and his staff you could
18	probably hire three police officers.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Right. Yeah, no doubt.
20	MS. HUBBARD: And I think we need
21	police more than we need a director of

public safety since we have already have a

23	police chief and a fire chief. So, I mean,
24	I don't know. I was a little unclear on how
25	that happened.
	51
1	And a comment to Judy, I heard that
2	zoning and where you said you weren't going
3	to sacrifice your neighborhood but you would
4	help them find another spot, you didn't say
5	commercial, you just said another spot.
6	MS. GATELLI: Well, it's obvious
7	they go in a commercial zone, they were
8	going for a variance to be in an R-1-A zone.
9	MS. HUBBARD: Right.
10	MS. GATELLI: They can't go in an
11	R-1-A zone anywhere in the city. They need
12	to go to a commercial zone.
13	MS. HUBBARD: Well, there's a block,
14	for instance, that one side of the street is
15	commercial and the other side is
16	residential. My street
17	MS. GATELLI: They are allowed in a
18	commercial zone. They wouldn't even have to
19	go to the zoning board.
20	MS. HUBBARD: Exactly, but they would
21	say be in my neighborhood or West Side.
22	MS. GATELLI: Well, if they are in a
23	commercial zone there is nothing you can do

24	about it. There aren't too many zones left
25	that a commercial abuts a R-1-A.
	52
1	MS. HUBBARD: I think my street
2	there is a couple of designations.
3	MS. GATELLI: There aren't many.
4	And you wouldn't be able to do a thing about
5	it. If it was in a commercial zone
6	
	MS. HUBBARD: I'm sure, and I don't
7	know that
8	MS. GATELLI: You can't say anything
9	about the zone.
10	MS. HUBBARD: I don't know that I
11	would want to, I just wanted to
12	MS. GATELLI: That's why it went to
13	the zoning board because they were breaking
14	the law. That's what the zoning board is.
15	MS. HUBBARD: But it came across
16	MS. GATELLI: You go there because
17	you are breaking the law and you are asking
18	them to approve it.
19	MS. HUBBARD: It came across to
20	people that watched the meeting that you
21	didn't want them in your neighborhood, you
22	didn't specify you would find them a spot in

the commercial zone. You just said another

	53
1	for the public right now, they may not be in
2	any residential zone and every citizen has a
3	right to go to the zoning board, everyone
4	has that right to speak when someone is
5	going to go in your neighborhood. When it
6	is you will be notified because they must
7	notify the neighbors within 250 feet of a
8	zoning issue, and you can all go there and
9	speak and if anyone would like me to come
10	with them I certainly would come. I would
11	contact your neighborhood association
12	president and you all have the right to do
13	that. It belongs in a commercial zone I
14	hope everyone is watching that watched that.
15	MS. HUBBARD: Okay.
16	MS. GATELLI: I'm sorry if I offended
17	anybody. I didn't realize I caused such a
18	commotion.
19	MS. HUBBARD: I'm just telling you
20	MS. GATELLI:fighting for my
21	neighborhood.
22	MS. HUBBARD: a couple of people
23	commented, and another thing that was
24	commented on from people that have been,

MS. GATELLI: Well, let me clarify

neighborhood.

24

1	talked from the back of the room, you were
2	on that tape, Judy, you were yelling way in
3	the back.
4	MS. GATELLI: I was representing in
5	the neighbors. We didn't have an attorney.
6	MS. HUBBARD: I don't care if you
7	were representing
8	MS. GATELLI: And you're allowed to
9	object. You are allowed to object at a
10	zoning hearing.
11	MS. HUBBARD: Well, then why can't I
12	sit just sit back there and object.
13	MS. GATELLI: Because you can't do
14	that here because there is Robert's Rules
15	here, but you can object at a zoning
16	hearing.
17	MS. HUBBARD: Well, they should have
18	Robert's Rules at zoning.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Hubbard.
20	MS. GATELLI: I hope my neighbors
21	are all watching seeing me chastised for
22	representing them. I have never been
23	chastised for representing a neighborhood.
24	MS. HUBBARD: I wasn't doing that.

1	sounds like you are all. The election must
2	be starting now.
3	MS. HUBBARD: I'm not running for
4	office.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Schumacher.
6	MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher,
7	resident, member of the Taxpayers'
8	Association. First the loan, Item-6B, this
9	is a loan that is a scheduled for repayment
10	this calendar year. If you borrow more
11	money with a 18-year loan the additional
12	interest payments will only add to the
13	city's problems. With a principal amount
14	the city and it's agencies non-electoral
15	debt estimated to be over 90 percent of it's
16	limit, you may soon find any borrowing will
17	have to be approved by the voters. The
18	sheer size of the long-term debt will drive
19	the bond rating down which will in turn
20	drive the interest rates up.
21	More importantly, I fail to see why
22	a loan is necessary. Two weeks ago the
23	agenda noted that you received the 2006
24	financial report. That report, if anyone
25	bothered to read it, showed an operating

1	surplus of \$6.7 million. The controller's
2	report for August shows a year-to-date
3	operating surplus of \$7,679, 765.00, so why
4	on earth do we need to borrow more money?
5	Now to 6-C. I'm assuming this item is
6	the approval of the proposed fifth year
7	action plan which wasn't made public until
8	last Friday. The ad last Friday noted that
9	there would be a public hearing. If this
10	item is moved to Seventh Order for next week
11	the vote could occur prior to the public
12	hearing which is definitely not right. This
13	reminds me, two weeks ago I requested Mrs.
14	Fanucci explain how the responses to the
15	August public notice would be disposed.
16	This notice dealt with the budget transfers
17	that had already been passed by council
18	which per OECD's written policy puts the
19	cart before the horse. Mrs. Fanucci ignored
20	my request to two weeks ago, so I will again
21	ask how these public comments will be
22	disposed.
23	Moving onto 7-A. Was this capital
24	item added to the mayor's budget before you
25	approved the capital budget? Whether the

1	funds are from the city's budget or the
2	grant you will be voting on as 7-A tonight,
3	this capital item belongs in the capital
4	budget.
5	Now, to 5-B and "C". This is a very
6	personal item with me and a perfect example
7	of why people do not want to live in the
8	city where who you know or who you are is
9	the governing ordinance. I would now like
10	to read you a letter dated 4, April, 2001,
11	seven years ago, it was written to Mary
12	Alice Burke, who was then head of the
13	Department of Community Development.
14	"Dear Mrs. Burke, the purpose of
15	this letter is to ask when the traffic
16	signal will be installed at River Street and
17	Meadow Avenue. Almost four years ago now
18	the zoning board approved construction of
19	the mini-mart/gas station at this
20	intersection with the provision they install
21	a traffic signal within two years.
22	When two years passed and there was
23	no evidence of intent to install the traffic
24	signal required, and may I add, anticipated
25	by East Mountain residents and those who

1	care about them, I began to make inquiries.
2	Conversations with representatives of the
3	planning office and the city engineer
4	revealed enforcement of the zoning provision
5	was put on hold until the new traffic study
6	was completed.
7	Subsequent to these conversations,
8	the intersection of Meadow Avenue and Moosic
9	Street has been completely redone including
10	new traffic signals. From this I can
11	conclude the aforementioned of the traffic
12	study was completed. However, there is
13	still no sign of a traffic signal at Meadow
14	and River and this concerns me. If the
15	original now almost four years old zoning
16	board provision was merely window dressing
17	to appease public concerns with no intent of
18	enforcing the provision then I believe this
19	was deceitful.
20	Accordingly, I look forward to
21	hearing from you on the status of the
22	previously required but uninstalled traffic
23	signal at Meadow and River. Thank you in
24	advance for your prompt response."
25	It's now been 11 years. That

1	traffic signal isn't there. That road has
2	been repayed, every possible thing that
3	could be done that would have to be
4	redone because of that traffic signal study,
5	which again I might add, the city managed to
6	screw up. They got a contractor in
7	Allentown to do a study and they let it go
8	on and go on without a response, by the time
9	they got the response the federal laws had
10	changed if I may finish this thought, the
11	federal laws had changed, and the pave cuts
12	have to be different than they were before
13	so it's added more. The traffic timing sill
14	isn't done, yet along come the Pisano
15	Brothers who have received much money, much
16	free services from this city and now even
17	though they are on that same route where the
18	traffic signaling is going to be impacted,
19	we are going to drop everything and give
20	them the traffic signal they want for their
21	commercial venture. Well, I'm sorry, but
22	now you know why people don't want to be in
23	this town.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs.
25	Schumacher.

1 MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz, South 2 Scranton resident, member of the 3 Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association. 5-B about the traffic signal 4 at Front Street and Moosic Street and the 5 Pisano commercial project, I'm going to try 6 7 again, normally, my questions are not 8 answered, I have about 40 of them that have not been answered, so I'm going to try 9 10 again, I hope I get answers tonight during motions. I would like to know how much 11 12 money was given to the Pisano brothers for 13 this contract about three years ago. Secondly, I would like to know what are 14 15 they building there. I know there is a 16 parking lot being built there, and I know that there is two buildings that have been 17 18 gutted and are being remodeled, I do not 19 know what is going into those two buildings. 20 I have been told one is a laundry mat and I have been told one is apartments. I would 21 like to know specifically and beyond a doubt 22 23 what am I paying for as a taxpayer? It's

all public money that the Pisano brothers

have gotten. I would like to see the

24

Pisanos come into city council, invite them into city council and let them explain why it's taking two years or three years, however long it has been, for them to build whatever they are building there and what are they building there. Please, I hope somebody answers that. Normally you don't, but I hope tonight you do.

Okay. Now, on 5-D, authorizing the mayor and other appropriate city officials to execute and enter into a professional service agreement with Clough Harbor Associates, LLP, for additional professional engineering services for the Lackawanna Avenue Streetscape Project. What is the Lackawanna Avenue Streetscrape Project, can someone tell me, please?

MS. FANUCCI: It's part of the 500-block, first phase project.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, and that's what I thought it was. Now, do we not have \$29 million of taxpayers' money, public money, already tied up in to that? Is that \$29 million going to be used to pay for this project or are we asking for more of public

1	taxpayers' money? Can someone answer that,
2	please?
3	MS. FANUCCI: It's a long answer.
4	MR. JACKOWITZ: Pardon me?
5	MS. FANUCCI: It's a long answer, I
6	can answer you in motions. I mean, do you
7	want me to answer you right now.
8	MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, well, go ahead
9	and answer me in motions then, that's fine,
10	as long as you don't forget. Okay, on 5-E,
11	authorizing the mayor and other appropriate
12	city officials, again, we back on the 500
13	block of Lackawanna Avenue, for consulting
14	engineers and surveyors.
15	Again, \$29 million of taxpayers'
16	money has been put into the 500 block of
17	Lackawanna Avenue. Is this additional money
18	that they are asking for to pay for this
19	consultant or would this come out of the
20	\$29 million that the taxpayers' see, I
21	own the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue.
22	Every taxpayer in this city, in this state,
23	owns the 500 block of Lackawanna
24	avenue, so I think we need to have the
25	answers to these questions and if this is

why and on what grounds each and every
individual who votes for will vote for it,
because they already have \$29 million.

Okay, now, as far as 6-B, whether it's refinancing or whether it's borrowing the way I understand it, and again, if I'm wrong correct me during motions. The way I understand it we are going of borrow \$6.1 million for 18 years. We are going to pay back \$4.7 million more after the 18 years. There is no guarantee that it will be paid back for 18 years because we may have to borrow more money between now and the 18-year period of time.

To me, that's a no-brainer, that is borrowing. That is not refinancing because you are borrowing more money and you are paying back more money. That is borrowing. It's a simple, simple question and a more simple answer. It's borrowing. So, again, if are you not going to borrow anymore money, fine, don't borrow it, vote "no."

If you see nothing wrong with borrowing more money vote "yes", borrow more

2	are going to pay back 4.7 million over
3	18 years, we are already hundreds of
4	millions dollars in debt and by the way,
5	trillion, does anybody knows how many zeros
6	are in a trillion? There is 12 zeroes in a
7	trillion. Right now the federal government
8	is getting ready to go into one trillion
9	dollar debt and there is not going to be
10	anymore money for city projects and state
11	projects, sooner or later it's going to come
12	to an end and I really hope that city
13	council will take that into consideration
14	when they start making the votes, because
15	the federal government is not going to be
16	able to bail you out, we are bailing out
17	people from Ike's hurricane, we are bailing
18	out everybody. So, please, just think about
19	it, it's borrowing, it's not refinancing.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr.
21	Jackowitz.
22	MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.
23	Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer. I
24	didn't have anything prepared, so I just
25	wanted to remind you all that in less two

1 months, that's six more meetings, the budget 2 for 2009 has to be introduced to council. I

3	again, predict a record budget which will
4	mean over 85,600,000. You, Council, have a
5	chance and a duty which you owe to the
6	taxpayers to produce a budget that is fair
7	to the city and to the taxpayers.
8	Miss Evans spent a lot of time last

Miss Evans spent a lot of time last year formulating a budget and it was ignored over personalities. Frankly, I wouldn't blame her if she doesn't bother coming up with a budget for this coming year. All that has to be done is resurrect Ms. Evans' budget and put it into place as is for 2009. Simple. Save the taxpayers anymore of an increase in the long-term debt. Just as an example of saving money, stop the BS, spending and borrowing.

Over 50 plus new hires were hired since 2002. Why? Do we need three flood relocation specialists? And 803,000 for Scranton Parking Authority citation issuers? These 50 plus hires in raises increased the budget over \$15 million since 2002.

Mrs. Evans' budget pared the budget by

the 50 plus new hires. If these 50 plus
hires in raises were eliminated, that's 15

million saved. The city is a service

provider, not a business. Eliminating 38

firemen is not an option. The fire

department is a service that all taxpayers

fund and it has not cost the city any

increase since 2002.

And I know what Ms. Fanucci will say, health care increased. Why? They fired Sara Pickard who saved millions when she was hired. One-hundred city employees forced out with full benefits only to hire another 100 with full benefits, and don't forget the 50 plus new hires who also got full benefits.

I want to remind council that since 2002 the total increases of the budgets is approximately the size of this year's budget, 85 million. That's not cumulatively. We have to figure that and see how much it is. Come on, think of us taxpayers, the budget for next year should cumulatively be at least 20 million for raises and new hires, and just for the

record, none of those 50 plus new hires will
be eliminated in my opinion, but just a
thought on that borrowing, if the five

4	million was paid back on that loan by
5	December 17 of this year 5.8 million would
6	be saved and, Mrs. Fanucci, with the SIT
7	clerks I missed that part, so if you could
8	address that again?
9	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone
11	else? Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Before I begin tonight,
13	just a few items that I want to respond to
14	before I forget. With regard to Moosic
15	Street and the construction of the Pisano
16	family, I have spoken with Christopher
17	Pisano, I posed the question what will the
18	space be used for, his response was, a
19	restaurant and office space. I believe that
20	council granted the Pisano a loan through
21	OECD, however, that was a no interest loan,
22	so I hope that answers your questions at
23	least to the best of my ability at this
24	point.
25	In addition, to respond to

in addition, to respond to

68

statements made a bit earlier during

citizens' participation regarding the visit

sof Senator McCain to the City of Scranton,

when I am working I do not leave to attend political functions, not for presidential candidates or a governor or a federal senator or a state senator. I have an obligation to provide a continuum of education in my classroom and I will continue to do so. I think one the speakers also noted that it is more appropriately the position of the mayor to welcome visiting dignitaries to the city. He is the CEO and the executive officer of the city and I do agree he should be present when all individuals are visiting this city.

Also, because zoning became such an issue earlier tonight, I have a very serious concerning about the zoning on Dickson

Avenue with regard to Daron Northeast. DEP

I believe in their reports concerning that facility made a strong recommendation that at such time as Daron Northeast would vacate the premises, the municipality should change the zoning laws to prevent any business of

1	that nature from locating into that area,
2	and so I'd like to see council work toward
3	having that zoning law changed. I know that
4	Daron is scheduled to vacate by the end of

5	2008, and my concern is that we may if we
6	hesitate find another business locating
7	there that's going to cause a similar
8	problem to the residents who reside less
9	than 50 feet from that property, so if we
10	can determine the proper process to follow
11	I'd like to see this go before the zoning
12	board for a change in the zoning for that
13	area.
14	MS. GATELLI: I believe that it's
15	council that legislates zoning amendments,
16	so we can do that.
17	MS. EVANS: Then, I would like then,
18	please, Mr. Minora, if you can notify your
19	brother that council would like to draft
20	legislation to that end.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: I think, Mrs. Evans,
22	I don't know what the zoning was before they
23	moved in there, I don't know if it was
24	rezoned
25	MS. GATELLI: I think it's light

1		1		-
L	ın	aus	tria	ιL.

2 MR. COURTRIGHT: It was rezoned and I
3 think if they vacate it for a certain period
4 of time it would have to come before them

5	anyway.
6	MS. GATELLI: It would go back to the
7	zone it was.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Well, originally it
9	was I don't know what it was though
10	original, was it light industrial?
11	MS. FANUCCI: It was light
12	industrial, I think it's light industrial
13	now.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: So, it would have to
15	be rezoned for something more suitable for
16	the neighborhood.
17	MS. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. GATELLI: And then you would
19	just have to have a public hearing I think.
20	MS. EVANS: We can schedule a public
21	hearing.
22	MS. GATELLI: You will have to see
23	what you want to change it to.
24	MS. EVANS: I think we will request
25	the input of the neighbors of that area of
	71
1	lower Croonridge before propering the
2	lower Greenridge before proposing the
۷	change.

Well, tonight I am seeking my

colleague's support for House Bill 1768, a

cancer presumption bill, for statewide paid

6	and volunteer firefighters. One of the many
7	inherent dangers of firefighting is the
8	exposure to toxic environments. Exposure to
9	these toxins is not limited to battling
10	structures fires, rather, they are exposed
11	to toxins from rubbish fires, vehicle fires,
12	hazardous materials incidents and vehicle
13	accidents.
14	While firefighters routinely and
15	willingly put themselves in harm's way in
16	performance of their duties, their

While firefighters routinely and willingly put themselves in harm's way in performance of their duties, their well-being should not be a matter of political interpretation on the local level. Cancer is a very real concern for firefighters and their families, not only in terms of the debilitating medical condition and it's treatment, but also the financial hardships such a disease can case. The duties of a firefighter have changed dramatically over the last century and so

1	have advancer	ments in	synthetic	materials	and
2	chemicals, the	hus, the	danger to	firefighte	ers
3	has increased	d.			

I am asking for my colleagues' consideration of this very important issue

6	to firefighters statewide, therefore, I move
7	that Scranton City Council draft a
8	resolution supporting the House Bill 1768
9	and that such resolution following legal and
10	lawful adoption be forwarded to Senator
11	Robert Mellow.
12	MS. GATELLI: Second.
13	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
14	MS. EVANS: I also have a copy of a
15	resolution that was drafted by Hazelton in
16	support of this same House Bill, so if
17	everyone would like to take a look at it and
18	if it meets your approval then perhaps
19	Attorney Minora could use this resolution as
20	his template.
21	MR. MCGOFF: I was just going to ask
22	if you could oh, I see, okay. So while
23	we are waiting, in essence what it does is
24	it simply adds cancer to a list of other
25	MS. FANUCCI: Diseases and problems
	73
1	that again duning finafiahting
1	that occur during firefighting.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Other problems that may
3	be
4	MS. EVANS: Yes, and I understand
5	that it passed through the house.

6 MR. MCGOFF: Occupational --

7	MS. EVANS: With only two negative
8	votes and it's currently sitting in
9	committee waiting to be introduced into the
10	senate and there is a time line on this, I
11	believe it needs to reach Senator Mellow
12	probably within the next three to four weeks
13	in order to be pertinent.
14	MR. MCGOFF: I have to wait for
15	Mr. Courtright, but all in favor signify by
16	saying aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
19	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. EVANS: Thank you. This past
23	week I heard that the financial caucus
24	conducted prior to last week's council
25	meeting was never broadcast. I learned

earlier this evening the reason was that
ECTV was never requested to provide coverage
of that meeting, but I do recall this
talented young man, our cameraman, and his
camera were present and available during
this caucus and I think it is really ashame,

a disgrace in fact, that the ball was
dropped and that meeting was not broadcast
because it concerned the mayor's most recent
borrowing and the public certainly had a
right to view it and know all of the facts,
and I believe if ECTV cannot provide proper
coverage of caucuses and meetings then
Scranton Today should be authorized by the
mayor to step in.

Although, many area residents have registered their dissatisfaction with ECTV over the last three months, I have advised patience and a fair window of opportunity for ECTV and I had withheld my comments during this transition period, however, one gentleman I spoke with last week summed it up best by saying: I'm not the smartest guy in town, but in situation is easy to figure out. We had Channel 61 and Scranton Today

and had live coverage of every meeting.
They never got money. Now we have ECTV and
lousy coverage and they're supposed to get
\$100,000, and I haven't seen a meeting since
July. Somebody just doesn't want people to
see these meetings.

Well, we also agree that neither of

us were able to see the most recent school
board meeting and that never used to occur.
Therefore, I move to send a letter to the
mayor requesting that Scranton Today resume
operation of Channel 61 until such time as
ECTV provides live coverage of all local
government meetings and we ask this in order
to provide the citizens of Scranton with an
equal level of public access viewing of
their government meetings which they had
enjoyed for the previous nine years.
MR. COURTRIGHT: I will second that
then I will have a comment that I will ask
you to change your motion as soon as it's on
the question.
MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question, I

on ECTV and I have some information that
I'll share with everybody when it's my turn
to speak and I don't know, and I'll ask
Mr. Minora if we could do this, I'm going to
ask Mrs. Evans if she withdraw her motion
until what time I speak and give this
information to the rest of council, I just

did a whole lot of homework this last week

8	got it today, it might alter the way they
9	vote, all right? I'm guessing it might
10	alter them to vote in favor of your motion.
11	Would Mrs. Evans after I give my information
12	be able to speak out of turn and make a
13	motion again, Mr. Minora, is this allowed?
14	MR. MINORA: I believe so or if not
15	you could make the motion yourself.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay.
17	MS. EVANS: If not you can make the
18	motion, but I will withdraw the motion.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: And I think
20	everybody will understand once I give the
21	information that I have. I just got it
22	today so I didn't have an opportunity to put
23	it down in writing or anything like that.
24	MS. EVANS: And I know last week,
25	Mr. Courtright, I promised to ascertain some

information for you regarding ECTV, and this information comes to me from the president of Scranton Today who was one of the original founders of Channel 61 and 62 and has volunteered tirelessly with those two stations for the years. The startup funding for equipment came from the Scranton Area Foundation. I was reminded that the RFP for

9 Scranton Today and their website clearly states that. They received zero startup 10 11 dollars from the city and, in fact, only ev 12 er received \$13,000 from the city in their 13 nine years. So I hope that clarifies the issue from last week. 14 Now, because the public was deprived 15 16 of the right to see the financial caucus last week I will provide the information. 17 18 Present at the caucus were Ray Lowry and 19 Mary Ellen Cawler, representing PNC Bank. 20 Brian Kozlanski and Dave Crane, bound 21 counsel. Stu Renda, business administrator, 22 and all city council members. 23

There are actually three financial arrangements or borrowing episodes by the city involving the DPW, first, the series of

78

1	2004 for \$4 million at 5.99 percent fixed
2	interest rate, a maturity date,
3	December 2016. And according to Mr. Renda,
4	the city to date has paid 1.2 million on the
5	series of 2004.
6	The second is the series of 2005,
7	5.5 million at a 6.99 fixed interest rate

with the maturity date of December 2008.

24

9	According to Mr. Renda, the city paid
10	\$1 million in interest and \$10,000 in
11	principal to date.
12	The series of 2006 for \$10 million,
13	6.10 percent fixed interest rate, maturity
14	date November 2024, and according to Mr.
15	Renda, the city has paid \$530,000 on series
16	of 2006. And that is an interest only to
17	date. The principal for the series of 2006,
18	is payable beginning November 1, 2008, that
19	is in approximately five weeks.
20	As of today, the mayor hopes to pay
21	off the series of 2005 by borrowing
22	6.1 million, and as I said, over one million
23	in interest and principal payments were
24	already paid.
25	The interest rate for the new

borrowing is approximately 2.67 percent
variable rate, that was the rate as of last
week with a ceiling of 20 percent interest.
Maturity date is 2026. The city cannot
obtain bond insurance, so the bonds are
secured by a PNC Bank letter of credit.
In 2003, the mayor boasted of a
double or AAA bond rating which was secured,

we later learned in 2004, by \$1 million in

10 bond insurance. I would suggest that Mr. Renda research the archives of the 11 12 newspaper and perhaps find those statements. 13 Today our bond rating stands at BBB since Standard and Poor's lowered it nearly one 14 15 year ago for failure to cut costs and 16 extensive borrowing. 17 Further, this financial arrangement occurs without benefit of the 2007 audit. I 18 19 question the financial panel about the 20 wisdom of this action. Mr. Lowry said, "The 21 bank feels a comfort level with this arrangement." 22 23 I asked why 5.5 million would be 24 loaned to the city in 2005 for only a

three-year period knowing that the city ran

80

1	a structural deficit and was in dire
2	financial straights with no opportunity for
3	a payoff in three years. Mr. Lowry offered
4	that he has a vision of the future for
5	Scranton.

25

6

7

8

9

Ladies and gentlemen, in banking, an idealistic future vision and a comfy, cozy comfort level have no place. That is a sin against the taxpayers who are suffering from unemployment, fixed incomes and poverty. The DPW was built at a cost of \$3.5 million and has never been appraised, although, now it has been used four times by the city to borrow money, twice through the Sewer Authority and twice through the Redevelopment Authority. It is difficult to ascertain if the Sewer Authority or the Redevelopment Authority owns the DPW until payments in full are made some day. Why the city cannot borrow directly I don't know. In total, the combination of the 2004/2006 and let us just say we'll set aside the 2005 and consider the new 2008 borrowing against the DPW, equals \$20.1 million which will be paid back over the next 18 years. Yet, this

is not the grand total of our city's debt.
I haven't included the \$74 million borrowed
in 2003 which we will pay until the Year
2033 or the tens of millions amassed by the
city authority. Equally important, the
mayor has guaranteed all of this debt
through his ability to tax you.

The bottom line is this, the new borrowing carries a variable interest rate of 2.67 percent as of last week with an

interest rate ceiling of 20 percent. The
original interest rate was 6.99 percent
fixed. The city has already paid over a
million in interest and principal. The
original dollar amount was 5.5 million and
the new borrowing is at a maximum 6.1
million. The payment went from three years
to 18 years. The mayor is borrowing to pay
off his borrowing once again. The total
cost for the \$3.5 million DPW will now be
\$20.1 million that the taxpayers will owe.
More important, the mayor has made no
attempt to tighten his belt. He has

attempt to tighten his belt. He has expanded management, awarded hefty management raises, forced early retirement

for which we pay out double pensions, shut
down the Healthcare Cost Containment
Committee, hired unnecessary consultants and
escalated legal costs by fighting lost
arbitration cases and municipal unions for
seven years.

Both he and the business

administrator has the responsibility

throughout Home Rule Charter and the

Administrative Code not to spend more than

what a budget states, yet, each year the mayor either budgets incorrectly or engages in deficit spending. I have warned him not to borrow in 2005 and each year I was seated on council. Like a teenager who runs up credit card debt, no reasonable parent would give the teen another credit card year after year, and no responsible parent would bail out a spoiled child unless he cancelled the card and did everything possible to pay down his debt. With no good faith movement on the mayor's part to curb his spending and pay down his debt, I can't approve his latest bond issue.

The city is receiving increases in

collected wage taxes and 12.2 million sits
in Fidelity Bank. Coincidentally, the mayor
believes about \$5 million of that sum
belongs to the city, yet, once again, he is
borrowing to pay off his borrowing. Banks
enable such actions. Mr. Lowry, that is the
original sin.

And, finally, I have some requests for the past two weeks, and I do apologize for not having responded to e-mails. I am having computer problems again, I can

12 receive e-mails, but I am unable to send them and I'm hoping that within the next 13 14 week I'll send the computer out once again 15 and have that rectified. The requests are, 16 and this first one reflects a speaker 17 tonight, approximately 100 feet north of 18 3040 Olyphant Avenue, please examine and 19 repair area marked by red sawhorse. Number two, council requests a copy 20 of the letter of credit between PNC Bank and 21 22 the City of Scranton. 23 Next, a letter to Leo D'Angello, what is the location of the old and 24 25 dangerous sidewalks and lighting in downtown 84 1 Scranton for which \$300,000 in 2009 CDBG 2 funds has been applied. Please provide a 3 written response on or before September 30, 2008. 4 217-219 and 221 North Garfield 5 6 Avenue, houses are cluttered with furniture, 7 bags, clothing on railings, toys, and 8 garbage cans are on sidewalk. The neighbors 9 complain that these properties are an eyesore and a safety hazard. 10

A letter to the city engineer and

12	Army Corp or Engineers, the Parker Street
13	bridge has been closed to one lane since
14	April 2008 yet no work appears to occur.
15	Residents of Scranton wish to know a
16	timeline for this bridge. They report that
17	fights have occurred on the stretch of road
18	since drivers run the red light. This is my
19	second request, I believe the first request
20	went to Mr. Brazil with no response.
21	895 Providence Road, old Atlas Glass
22	property, that was never cleared since last
23	summer and I think Mr. Liptai handled this

update on the owner.

and perhaps we could just ask him for an

24

25

12

85

1	And I am also going to ask that our
2	office would resubmit three citizens'
3	request that were made at previous meetings
4	and written responses were requested by
5	yesterday, September 22, and, of course, no
6	responses were received, so I am going to
7	ask for those one again with council's
8	agreement, and that's it.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Evans.
10	Mrs. Gatelli.
11	MS. GATELLI: The first thing I have

is I did speak with Representative Smith as

late as about 4:30 this afternoon. He is looking into a situation for us, I forgot to discuss it with my colleagues, to put some money into OECD for that issue, probably at least 10,000, Bob, if you could add it to the block grants for next year because it is going to cost us money. They do it up in Dunmore and they had to hire someone strictly to trap the skunks, so I will be speaking with Mr. Smith further on that and hoping that he can help us with our problems here.

Someone asked a question about down

1	on the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue and
2	the only thing I could find, and maybe
3	Sherry will know more, but it says, "The
4	project is being funded through the United
5	States Department of Transportation and the
6	Federal Highway Administration through the
7	Transportation Enhancements Program," so I
8	don't think that we are spending anymore
9	money. Most of the state money and the
10	federal money that is given for this project
11	must flow the municipality, that's the
12	procedure and I believe that is what part of

this agreement is for. Another question was about the Pisano light, I don't know if Mrs. Schumacher was here last time, but we did table and it and I did try to get some information, although, it wasn't very successful. On the loan documents say that eight jobs are to be created, but I was under the impression that it was a restaurant. It doesn't really say that in the documents that I have been looking at, and I would imagine as long as he creates

1 then he satisfies his obligation.

My only problem with it is that
there had been a study done in the downtown
and it included Moosic Street and Meadow
Avenue, and I had inquired about it several
years ago before I was seated on council as
a neighborhood president because most of it
effected the South Side area and we had
fought that light on Meadow Avenue for the
last 11 years that it hasn't been there.
I'm concerned that those aren't done, the
light on Moosic and Harrison has not been
corrected, you cannot make a left-hand turn

eight jobs for low to moderate income people

going up Moosic, a left on Harrison Avenue,
without taking your life in your hands. One
of my former fellow teachers at Northeastern
Intermediate School was run over by a car
there last Christmas and it is a very, very
dangerous intersection, much more dangerous
than the one on Front and Moosic.

I have never seen any problems on

Front and Moosic. There is a donut shop, a

Chinese restaurant, La'Trattoria, you know,

there was so much commercial business there

I don't understand what's changed. You

know, Granitos was there, there was apartments in there, so I don't understand why this is being done and the rest of it is being ignored and it says in the legislation that they are going to install a traffic signal. Well, there is one there already.

So, you know, my question questions weren't answered and, you know, I'm sorry that it's back on the agenda, but all of my questions weren't answered and I will be voting "no" on that this evening for the reasons I have stated, unless you want to table it again.

As far as the of what everyone is
calling the borrowing, I guess it's being
called that so that we can start the
election campaigns for next year. I spoke
to several accountants, I spoke to other
bond counsels in Philadelphia, and they all
assured me that it is a refinancing. They
said that to default on a loan is a very
critical and dangerous thing for a
municipality to do. I believe that we have
one more week before this is final. I will
seek some more information, I don't like

that we have to pay more. I'll agree with
you I don't like that. I want the five
million from the tax office that's owed us
that we still haven't gotten that we
probably won't get for the rest of the year
because the audit wasn't started in a timely
fashion in my opinion.

We can praise them for all the money they are taking in, but that's money because people are scared now. They are scared that they are going to get caught not paying their taxes, that's what that's all about. They are not doing anymore work than the workers up there have done in the last

15	several years. The people in that office
16	always worked and they did a fine job, but
17	the people are scared now, the ones that
18	didn't pay before, and that's why it looks
19	like they are bringing in a lot more money
20	In fact, they are bringing in a lot more
21	money, but the audit should have been done
22	more expeditiously. This is putting the
23	City of Scranton at risk.
24	And as far as calling it borrowing
25	there are people seated up here that

1	borrowed money twice, two years, I believe
2	2003 and 2005 and I don't see anybody
3	questioning those people. There is a person
4	that used to sit up here and he voted for
5	borrowing, too, and I'm sure a lot of you,
6	including me, supported him in an election.
7	Nothing is said about that person, so I
8	always wonder why, why they always pick on
9	Judy. Why Judy borrows. Everyone else
10	borrows and nothing is said. Bless
11	yourself, Bill, you should bless yourself.
12	MR. JACKOWITZ: I just did.
13	MS. GATELLI: You really should. You
14	should bless yourself.

15	MR. JACKOWITZ: I just did.
16	MS. GATELLI: Because a lot of people
17	come here and they have one motive in mind
18	and it's the election of next year. I have
19	already started to receive the nasty letters
20	in the mail criticizing everything from my
21	hairdo to the way I eat my mints up here and
22	drink my coffee. Other people drink coffee
23	up here, everybody has a cup up here, but
24	Judy Gatelli got a letter in the mail
25	anonymous. Somebody must be awfully scared

that they have to threaten another council person up here.

So, you know, I find it interesting, too, that I was criticized for attending a zoning board meeting. I have attended zoning board meetings for the last 25 years, and just because I sit up here doesn't preclude me from speaking for my neighborhood. I will continue to fight for my neighborhood, and I'll continue to fight for yours if you need me, just ask. I would wouldn't want anything going in your neighborhood that didn't belong there either, and I will support Mrs. Evans on that. We will spot zone that area. We can

spot zone, we have that authority and I will do that. But to be chastised for defending my neighbors, that is just absurd. I have fought for my neighborhood longer than some of the people up here have lived in Scranton. I have lived here for 57 years. I went from Prospect Avenue up to two blocks to Connell Street. I'm in the same neighborhood I was born in. So, I do love my

city and I do love everything that goes on here and I'm happy with what's going on and I will continue to fight for everyone else.

West Side is seeing some of the demolition coming now in their neighborhood and that's the way it should be, neighborhood by neighborhood.

So, if you want to criticize me that's fine, but my record speaks for itself. You ask the people in South Scranton what I have done for 27 years. They were very happy that I came here to defend them and you can laugh and criticize me all you want. I will continue to fight for my neighborhood and even if I don't sit

up here anymore I'll be down there and I'll
be in the South Side residence until the day
of my last breath. Maybe some of you should
join and participate in cleanups and
plantings at parks, it's very rewarding.
I will find out some more about the

I will find out some more about the refinancing, but please be aware that there are other people sitting up here that voted for borrowing in the past and they have not been axed in the throat for what they did.

So, please, be patient and I will try to do what's best for all of you. Thank you.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Mrs. Gatelli, can I interrupt you for one second? I have a little bit of information about the Pisano project and if it's not enough information or if you would like to confirm it then I ask that maybe we table it rather than just voting down because we don't have enough information, Chris Pisano called me about a week ago and I returned his call and here is the information that he has given me, and maybe we could verify it before we do anything, but he is saying that they were mandated by PennDOT to do this study and that all of the costs incurred is on him,

17	that none of the costs for any of this is on
18	the city. I'm not 100 percent sure about
19	putting in a new traffic signal in there, I
20	thought the last one, the legislation read
21	that we were going to change the timing, the
22	existing timing.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: The existing signal.
25	MS. GATELLI: It says right in here
	9
1	install
1	install.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: You're right. I
3	noticed that this week, but was that the way
4	it was the previous week?
5	MS. GATELLI: Another one it says
6	erect. Erect, operate and maintain.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: So he assured me
8	that all the costs were going to be, you
9	know, his responsibility, so maybe we can
10	confirm that through the law department or
11	whatever and maybe we need to table it
12	because I had asked him, I said, you know,
13	there is other projects that have been in
14	the pipeline long before yours and people
15	are concerned that your project is getting

jumped ahead, and he said, "Absolutely not."

17	Now, I don't know Chris Pisano, I know
18	the dad a lot better than I know the son,
19	but that's who I spoke to, so maybe we could
20	table it and I'll see what the law
21	department found out exactly, what it is,
22	and if, in fact, they are paying for
23	everything I don't know how we stop them.
24	If they were being jumped ahead and the city
25	was paying then, obviously, I wouldn't go
	95
1	for that.
2	MS. GATELLI: Because it does say,
3	Bill, that the City of Scranton will install
4	and operate.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Right. Right.
6	MS. GATELLI: It doesn't say that
7	someone else is going to pay for it.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll clarify that
9	with the with law department if everybody is
10	willing to go along with
11	MS. GATELLI: Is this the last week
12	for that?
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: We're in seventh
14	order; correct?
15	MS. GARVEY: It's in Fifth Order.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Unless everybody
17	would be willing to move it forward and then

18	I'll get that information for next week.
19	MS. GATELLI: I'll do that.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: Is that all right
21	with everybody? Thank you.
22	MS. EVANS: I think, also, it was due
23	to the changes were necessary due to a
24	traffic back up on Moosic Street. They
25	wanted to change the timing of the light in
	96
1	order for one lane only, not on both
2	sides of the lane in order to facilitate
3	traffic.
4	MS. GATELLI: Does anybody know
5	what's going to be there?
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: I think a
7	restaurant. I am being told it was a
8	restaurant.
9	MS. EVANS: I said earlier it's a
10	restaurant, according to Chris Pisano, a
11	restaurant and office space.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: What I was hearing
13	also was going to go in there and now I
14	think it's not a go, I think also Magistrate
15	Russell was supposed to move there and now I
16	don't believe he is for whatever reasons,
17	because at one point in time when they were

18	giving up footage there I think the parking
19	was an issue and they were doing that so
20	that the state police or local police were
21	going to bring prisoners in you wouldn't
22	bring them in right on Moosic Street, but
23	for whatever reason I don't believe that's
24	happening. I could be wrong, so now my
25	understanding is offices and a restaurant.

I don't know what kind of restaurant, but

I'll clarify it with the law department

after this.

MS. GATELLI: Whose turn is it, I don't want to interrupt.

MS. FANUCCI: It's yours.

MS. GATELLI: If, in fact, we do
push this forward I think that we need to do
something with the city engineer and PennDOT
and ask why the signalization in downtown
and the signalization on Moosic Street isn't
being correct. I know that study was done a
few years ago, even for the downtown. You
could wait at least a minute half coming up
Washington to try and make a left on
Lackawanna.

MR. COURTRIGHT: I think it's our responsibility, when I say "our", the city

19 to maintain that timing. They are supposed to have things underground that I don't 20 21 think they have worked for years and I think 22 that's the problem. 23 MS. GATELLI: Well, they had told me 24 years ago that they were waiting for this study. 25 98 1 MR. COURTRIGHT: Oh, okay. 2 MS. GATELLI: But we need to find 3 that out, Mrs. Garvey, if you can, because 4 maybe that would answer the rest of the 5 questions of the questions. Thank you. Thank you, Bill. 6 MR. MCGOFF: Ms. Fanucci. 8 MS. FANUCCI: I wanted to answer some 9 questions about the OECD. PennDOT is paying 10 for that funding, the reason that it's being done is that PennDOT cannot evaluate, they 11 12 are not qualified to evaluate, so they had 13 to hire someone to come in and do it, they 14 will be, but they are paying all the funding 15 so that's one thing on 5-E so that we know.

Same thing with the streetscape project, that's also part of it, it's been done since the first phase and we just have

16

17

to pass the legislation to keep the money
flowing, it's not new financial thing or
financial spending it's just part of the
project from what I have been told.
I want to talk to you about,

I want to talk to you about, everyone, my colleagues, talking about it with Amil when he comes back, his stunt

double is here, maybe you can help, that I'm
thinking about the city going green,
businesses in the city and recycling and
doing something to help in that department.
Whether we can write legislation, see what
other cities have done, impose fines for
people who are not willing to do so. It's
time that we actually participate in this
and it's not something that we should take
lightly. It's time. Our impact on the
environment is huge and if we do not jump or
this now it's going to be too late. We knew
to do the right thing and it's time tore us
to be responsible. And so I would like to
do some research on that.

Also, I'd like to speak about the bond rating. The bond rating seems to come up quite often here and, Ancherani, I already feel you looking, but let me tell

you right now, the bond rating was only
raised when we were under the last
administration. The bond rating was low and
it was raised. It was raised for one reason
the Recovery Plan and the promises of having
a plan on paper. Unfortunately, the plan

has not been implemented, not due to anyone up here, I'll can tell you that, but it has not been implemented and until that happens our bond rating was lowered, so that is the only reason. The bond rating was not higher because we are doing any better or financially more solvent then, it was not lowered because we were not financial solvent. It was because of a plan that was in effect and we went to Standard and Poors and the city went and said, "Here is our plan," and they said, "Okay, we'll give you a shot."

It didn't happen because it's years later as we all know, unfortunately, with contract negotiations and our bond rating has been put back to where we were. Sad, but true. So, unfortunately, the bond rating does not effect where we are in the

20 city or who we are in the city. It is where 21 we were. I also want to talk about something 22 23 very important, very important in our city. 24 All of the people who showed up at the school board meeting worried about all of 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

101

the money that they are spending, amazing to me week after week people come here worried about the taxes that we impose, being the lowest taxing body on your tax bill, by the way, you come here week after week screaming and yelling about taxes being raised once in how many years, was it? Mrs. Gatelli, do you recall how many years it was since the last raise? Seven or --

MS. GATELLI: Eleven or 12.

MS. FANUCCI: Oh, 12 years, but yet not one person cared enough to even go and find out. You don't even now how much you are going to be paying when you open your bill you will find out, but it seems to me amazing that the same people who come here, tax groups that claim to be Scranton/ Lackawanna County Taxpayers who are worried about here and not worried about where most of their money is going just amazes me. It

also shows me exactly what the intent here
is at this meetings. I have said it from
day one and I love when I'm right, it
actually makes me feel really good at the
end of the day, but it is very telling and

unbelievable to me because you would think that you would at least try to cover your tracks and go and speak your mind at other meetings where you are spending a lot more money. A lot more money.

So, I just wanted to point that out. I would think that, you know, most of our taxpayers here, and especially our regulars, would have cared enough to have voiced their opinion on other financial institutions that they are paying a lot of money to, but they didn't. So I move on and I move on with a better understanding and being proved right again.

Also, I'd like to speak on one more thing, which is the TV. I know that we are going to talk about this later, I'm an actual of where with this, so I'm glad you got more information. People say that we are afraid to be on TV, actually, I love

21 being on TV. I live to be on TV. It's a

22 joke, but I don't care if we're live, I

23 don't care if we're not live, it doesn't

24 matter. You know, I don't try to hide what

25 happens here, in fact, I love it. I think

it's great that every part of these meetings are shown and people can see exactly what goes on here because I know how smart the taxpayers are out there and I know that they get it, so I'm okay with the meetings being on. So, people who claim that I don't, you know, that there are certain people who don't want them on, it's certainly not I because I think it's great. I love it.

So I do want to clarify that and we will see what Bill has to say and try to proceed from there. None of us want to stop your coverage out there, I mean it's I think important that you think what happens at every meeting, certainly not just city council, but other meetings that are very scarcely attended, and that is all that I have for tonight and just remember that we are here, we are doing our job whether you like the hard decisions we make.

Oh, I do want to speak on the

refinancing. Funny, when you refinance your
house at home now you found out you are
borrowing and you didn't know that so that's
a good thing to know. Refinancing is the

responsible thing to do right now. None of
us want to be in this situation, none of us
enjoy being in this situation, we don't like
to have to spend money under any
circumstances. We don't like to have to
borrow, we don't like to have to refinance,
we don't like to be where we are right now.
It is a very difficult situation, but to
make decisions based on what you have to do
is not an easy thing.

We don't take it lightly. I certainly don't take it lightly and I know none of us do where you are and how you stand on the situations. We know everyday that there is a struggle and there is a deficit and it is not easy functioning with all of that, even with the Recovery Plan and who doesn't like it and who does like it and the negotiations that go on and the arbitrations, it is not easy, but to default on a loan is the worst thing we can do. The

absolutely worst thing to do and, as I said
before, and I will stand by this, a judge
will not let us declare bankruptcy in this
city. We will go back to a new group, not

PEL, somebody else that they decide to hire and we will start from square one, so if we think we are in a bad situation after all of this time see how bad it can be when we have to start from the first page all over again. That's not the responsible thing to do. The responsible thing to do is it keep our head up, hopefully help our city and, unfortunately, refinance. And that is all I have.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, I just want to make one thing clear, I have only spoke to one employee from ECTV and that would be Mark Migliori and he has been nothing but a gentleman to me and has given me whatever information that I have asked him for, so I don't want any of this to be misconstrued that, you know, I don't like him or his employees that I have never met any of the employees other than the gentleman that is filming us right now, but while we were on the break and then especially once we came

back I had a lot of people said, you know,

aren't -- how come the meetings aren't on TV

anymore and I explained to them they are and

I explained to them what times they are and
I said, you'll see it scrolling on the TV
they will give you the times, and I asked
last week and I'll ask again this week that
ECTV play this a couple of more times
because a lot of people are just telling me
it's not a good time or they are not seeing
it.

But, anyway, I started digging into it and I spoke to Comcast today and I asked them, you know, what is the problem with this live feed, why can't we get this live feed here. My understanding was that it's just going to be a mile and a half run of cable from Prescott Avenue to here and the one question was are you sure Prescott Avenue is going to be the permanent location? I said, no, I'm not sure of that, I don't know.

The other thing was, it's not a matter of running the cable from Prescott Avenue here, it's matter of running the

cable from Duryea to Prescott Avenue to here
which would run a cost of approximately 22
to \$25,000, all right? Which really -- I

didn't know that that was the situation, I didn't know that at all. Now, they have got or they will be getting \$90,000 ECTV at some point in time. If they have to take \$25,000 of that 90, I don't know, I guess you are going to have to pay the employees sooner or later if you are not paying them now and then even if we get the live feed after they pay the \$25,000 or whatever, Mark himself has said there was an issue of having enough money to buy the equipment such as they need three cameras and a switcher, I'm not quite sure what a switcher is, I'm ignorant when it comes to that part.

This is why I ask Mrs. Evans if she would hold up on her motion because at this point in time it might be the thing we need to do. I also asked the gentleman from Comcast I said, what would happen if we decided to run it out of the library again, would that be possible? And he said it would -- we would be able to go live almost immediately. And I said, well, why is that?

He said, you know, it's only up the road and
we are right here, the cable is already run,

there is only one little piece of equipment

I guess they had taken out that could be put

back at very little cost and very fast.

So again, nothing against ECTV, but I don't know if, I'm guessing that Scranton Today would be willing to take back over until what time ECTV can get up and running again, but I think it's going to be quite awhile before we see not only live and not only Scranton City Council, but any of the programming going back to as good as it was if not better because of these things the gentleman told me today.

And then one thing he said to me,
and I didn't think of and I don't think
anyone of us thought of, why some people
want it live, and I didn't think about this,
he said to me that as a county, he said, we
need to talk to our county and see if the
county would be interested in putting in
money, and I said they are, they are
piece-mealing it out to ECTV, he said in the
event that we had a disaster here or a flood

or anything like that a live feed for the
city would be very beneficial to the people

109

in the surrounding area.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

He also let me know that their contract will be coming up soon and maybe this \$25,000 could be negotiated into the contract and I said, well, I'm not at liberty to negotiate the contract with you.

But, anyway, in my opinion I don't think we are going to see the programming get any better than what it is right now for awhile, and if I'm wrong I think Mr. Balton's vision, and I never met the guy before, well, I think he might have filmed we me one time, I think his vision just didn't work out. It just didn't work out, and my understanding is that I guess it's Mr. Darcy that is in charge of the situation now, so maybe we ask him to come in and see if what I'm saying, if he agrees, if he thinks it's going to take a long time, and if he thinks it's going to be this exorbitant amount of money before they get up and running and just have him come in and tell us because I've got to be honest with you, most of the people aren't happy with

1	with seeing the weather going across and
2	whatever else is on there. You know, they
3	were hoping for all municipality meetings
4	that they had before and they were hoping
5	for live and they were hoping for the new
6	promised shows that were going to take
7	place.
8	So again, nothing against this
9	gentleman filming us or Mark or anybody
10	involved, but it just hasn't worked out the
11	way I think that everybody had hoped it was
12	going to work out, so I think it's time to
13	you have got to do something.
14	MS. GATELLI: You want to call him
15	and see if he'll come in.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'd be more than
17	willing to call Mr. Darcy and ask him if he
18	can come in and speak with us and if I'm
19	correct what's the solution and if I'm
20	incorrect, correct me, but I'm pretty sure
21	I'm correct. This guy from Comcast knew
22	what he was talking about.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Bill, I think with the
24	address situation there was a lawsuit filed

1	zoning, so that might be why they were under
2	the impression they weren't sure where at
3	that point until they were all cleared with
4	that because I know that they were, at least
5	I don't know if they were sued, but
6	something is going on.
7	MS. EVANS: I think there was a
8	zoning appeal hearing that occurred and the
9	appeal was dismissed.
10	MS. FANUCCI: And there was a zoning
11	appeal, right.
12	MS. GATELLI: There appeal was
13	dismissed.
14	MS. FANUCCI: They are fine.
15	MS. GATELLI: I didn't know that. I
16	didn't know there was a decision on the
17	hearing.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Yeah, I think they are
19	okay and they are going to stay there, but
20	that was just recently, that was in the past
21	two weeks.
22	MS. EVANS: Honestly, I don't
23	remember.
24	MS. FANUCCI: So that would maybe
25	address the situation now.

1	MS. GATELLI: Kay, you might want to
2	ask John Wallace by next week to let us know
3	if the hearing was dismissed.
4	MS. FANUCCI: And I think it would be
5	great if he comes in and gives us some
6	insight into what's going on instead of us
7	sitting here spinning our wheels every week.
8	We don't know. I mean, we really don't
9	know. It's not, to be honest with you, we
10	aren't anymore informed than you are. We
11	know what you do.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: I know hindsight is
13	20/20, but I think when they made their plan
14	to take over they should have known about
15	this cost and difficulty in running this
16	live feed.
17	MS. GATELLI: I think it was Balton.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: And Mr. Balton
19	evidently missed the mark here, I'm sorry,
20	Mr. Balton, where you are at, but I don't
21	think you did enough homework when it came
22	to that.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Let's find out, too,
24	because at the end of the day we can write
25	every letter we want to write, but it's

1	something that is not our decision again an
2	I don't want to end up
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: I would say this,
4	if Mr. Darcy comes in here and says I'm
5	correct in what I'm saying, and if five
6	members of this council thinks it's
7	something that needs to be done, I don't
8	think the mayor would have much choice than
9	to agree to this, and maybe he would agree
10	to temporarily let Scranton Today take back
11	over or whatever, somehow resolve what's
12	going on because I don't think most of the
13	people aren't happy with the way things are
14	being run right now and so let's try to
15	correct that.
16	MS. EVANS: Mr. Courtright, that's
17	all I was asking for a temporary fix until
18	ECTV would be up and running and providing
19	an equal level of services to what's been
20	enjoyed in the past, that's all. It wasn't
21	to replace them, it was simply to
22	MS. GATELLI: No, but I think we
23	need the answer of where they are at.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: So, maybe,
25	Mrs Garvey, if you could

1	MS. FANUCCI: Call and ask them.
2	MS. GATELLI: We need to make a
3	decision after that, we need to get him in
4	here to answer questions.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: If you can maybe ask
6	for 6:00 next week and
7	MR. MCGOFF: We have to have a public
8	hearing.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Oh, we have to have
10	a public hearing.
11	MS. GATELLI: Quarter to six maybe.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Whatever time we can
13	get him I'll take from my business to get
14	here for that, if I have to close up for
15	that night I will, but I would just like to
16	get this resolved one way or the other
17	because people aren't happy with the way
18	it's being done and including myself.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Because you love being
20	on TV.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: I love being on TV,
22	and that's all I have on that and now I'll
23	continue to look into this and hopefully we
24	see him here next week. He is in charge
25	now, right, Mr. Darcy?

1	MS. EVANS: Well, from what we know.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Is he running your
3	cameraman there, were
4	THE CAMERA MAN: Is he like the
5	executive director?
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: That's wrong.
7	THE CAMERA MAN: Is Mr. Darcy above
8	him?
9	MS. EVANS: Isn't he the president of
10	the board or the chairman of the board.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm unfairly asking
12	the camera guy.
13	MS. GATELLI: Why don't we have the
14	two of them here, get the two of them here.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: And in speaking
16	with Mark I don't think he would have any
17	problem with coming in. I've never spoke to
18	Mr. Darcy in my life
19	MS. GATELLI: Have the two of them
20	here and let's get it settled.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Whatever time, if
22	it's quarter to six then I'll make it my
23	business to be here, if you could let me
24	know as soon as possible so I can make
25	arrangements? Okay.

Oh, you know, there was the article in the paper about the roads getting paved and some people obviously aren't happy that their roads aren't the ones getting paved, all right, but a lot of the people were complaining that their roads were being done up by the gas company, but you should be happy if your road is being dug up by the gas company because there is many, many roads that will get paved this year by the gas company because if they dig up a certain portion of that road they have to pave it, so --MS. GATELLI: Those were those ones, Bill, that Aker and Archbald all in this section of --MR. COURTRIGHT: Right, all the way down there on Cloverfield and that all the way Washburn Street, the whole length of Washburn Street and Garfield and Filmore, all those off of Washburn they are all being dug by the gas company so your roads will be paved, you know, compliments of the gas

company, so have a little patience there.

Why are some alleys, they are asking

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

why are some alleys being paved and other ones aren't, I don't know. I don't know the answer to that. According to what I saw in the paper they took input from city workers and that's how they decided what roads to get paved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am going to comment on what Mr. Gervasi said because, you know, I don't always agree and I don't always disagree, but one thing I agree with is, I think all of us agree with us, he is saying we spent \$2 million on lawyers fighting the police and fire and I have to agree with him. If we had settled the contracts and they were get being the raises and getting paid it probably wouldn't have cost \$2 million up-to-date, so I just urger whoever has the powers to settle the contract with these guys and let's move on. I think it's one of the things that is really holding this city back is that these two unions don't have a contract and I think it's costing us more money fighting them than it is if we settled with them.

Are we losing police officers,

absolutely, and we are losing some of the police officers that have been here for a lot of years that have the experience and the other impact it's having is a lot of them once they got the job they move out of Scranton so we lose their tax dollars, and I hate to see that happen and I think the only way it's going to stop is if we get these contracts settled.

And I know Mrs. Gatelli tried to get involved and I know we would all like to get involved, but we have very little to say when it comes to that. I don't think our input is taken too much, some less than others, but anyway, I urge the administration to please as expeditiously as possible try to do something with these contracts because the only one that is benefiting the way we are going right now is the lawyers.

MS. GATELLI: And you know, Bill, just to add in there, when these cases are decided somebody is going to lose. The city is going to lose or the municipal fire and police unions are going to lose and no

2	lose. You know, that's how I feel about it
3	and, I mean, I wish there was something else
4	that we could do and at the time when I met
5	with Mr. Jennings and some of the unions we
6	felt as though if we had enough votes on
7	council that we could settle the contract.
8	I don't know that that's ever been done in
9	the history of the city, but I think if you
10	have four votes you override the mayor in my
11	book, I don't know, but that was where we
12	were going from, Nelson, at the time, you
13	know, that's what we were thinking when I
14	met with Tom Jennings and some of the union
15	people and, you know, it just stopped
16	because I think that the animosity is there
17	and the hostility and it's hard for them to
18	get together now. If you can think of
19	anything for council to get that meeting
20	setup or whatever, every one of us up here
21	I'm sure is willing to do that. I mean, you
22	know, Janet and I were in the same
23	predicament, we hadn't had a raise for six
24	years. It's tough. It's tough. We know
25	because the morale in our building wasn't

animosity and sometimes the bridges are
burned that can't be repaired anymore
because everybody is so angry and I think
that's why a lot of it comes here. It's the
anger and we understand that and it
interferes with everything else because you
are judged by everything else because of
that.
And you know sometimes it's not

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

And, you know, sometimes it's not fair to everybody because I like you guys. I have worked with you guys for years and years in the neighborhoods and the firefighters and we all feel the same. I mean, there is not one of us sitting up here that doesn't feel the same way, so if you can figure out a way, I'm offering the olive branch again to try and help and my colleagues I'm sure will nod in agreement if they agree, that we need to settle this, because you know what, we are all going to lose if this is settled in Court. I don't think that's the way to go and I think we need to all sit down as human beings and forget what happened and settle this because

121

it's not going to a pretty day in Scranton when that happens.

3	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay. And that's
4	all I have. Thank you.
5	MS. GATELLI: I'm sorry, Bill.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: That's okay.
7	MS. FANUCCI: Can I just say one
8	thing before we move on, I really do want to
9	say one thing, I do want to speak about the
10	speakers that got up today and spoke about
11	candidates coming into town and who was here
12	and who wasn't here. To be honest with you,
13	I don't think you have any right to get up
14	and speak about candidates in this forum and
15	I know that it keeps continually happening,
16	but from now on as of today I'm going to
17	make sure that I call to rules and it
18	doesn't happen anymore. I don't care who
19	you like or who you don't like, this isn't
20	the forum, and it's not fair to anyone to
21	get up and speak about certain candidates
22	and I really I find it almost ironic that
23	it happens every other week and from now on
24	because we know what's going to happen,

ridiculous and this is how we turn into our
fun circus events that we, you know, have in

elections are coming, it's going to get

3	the past, so I'm going to make sure from now
4	on if we can all stick to the fact we will
5	stand by each and not let people get up and
6	speak about candidates from now on that we
7	will stick together on that, please, and
8	that's all I have. Thank you. Sorry. I
9	forgot.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Are you finished, Bill?
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm done.
12	MS. GATELLI: No time left, Bob.
13	MR. MCGOFF: I, too, would like to
14	comment on what Mr. Bolus had to say. I
15	think all of us have personal decisions to
16	make concerning how we spend our time, both
17	as public officials and as private
18	individuals, and to criticize us for not
19	attending a particular event I think is
20	unnecessary. Perhaps people had other
21	priorities as Mrs. Evans said, she was
22	working, other people were probably working,
23	perhaps there were things that prevented us
24	from attending that particular event. We
25	have decisions to make based on our lives

1 and our priorities.

2 Also, I don't ever remember getting 3 an invitation to attend, you know, the rally

4	or whatever you want to call it and I know
5	during the primary elections that various
6	times when candidates were in the city
7	invitations were extended and we were, you
8	know, again chose to
9	MS. EVANS: If the Governor is in
10	town.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Right, and I don't
12	remember us personally getting an invitation
13	to the McCain visit, but again, I don't
14	think it's I don't think we should be
15	criticized for our lack of attendance at a
16	particular event.
17	Also, Mr. Quinn spoke about the CDBG
18	grants and particularly mentioned St.
19	Francis, I would just for the record there
20	was no application from St. Francis for a
21	grant which is why there was no money
22	granted in the proposals to them. There was
23	just simply no application for a grant
24	through that program.
25	Also, I find it surprising that

people are now coming in and requesting that

Junior Council be reinstituted or

rejuvenated, and some of the people that are

asking for that have been the -- were the biggest critics of Junior Council and members of Junior Council and part of the reason why some of the members of council didn't return and did leave was because of the criticism that they did receive and now we want to, perhaps we are looking for, you know, scapegoats again, somebody to criticize, but I think if Junior Council is going to be rejuvenated it is going to have to be under some different guidelines and a different type of program than we had in the past.

And the thing with the traffic signals, I agree with Mr. Schumacher, I think before we do anything as far as these traffic signals are concerned the one that has been lingering the longest and the one that I think is more important than any of the others is Meadow Avenue and River Street, that's an accident waiting to happen every time a car comes to that intersection,

and I just don't see any movement and
obviously you have been involved in it
longer than I have, but, you know, I think
until something is resolved there we just

put a hold on any other type of movement on the traffic signals.

I know that there are other places in the city where that's important to be done and the synchronization and the whole thing, but I think we need to have some idea of a plan, you know, what is the plan for dealing with traffic signals in the City of Scranton? You know, is there one, or what are the priorities, at least see something before we start to vote piecemeal on traffic signals throughout the city.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, if I might inject though, my only concern, because I do agree we need answers, we have waited years and years and years, but I believe that in the case of the Pisano development and the Jay's Commons they must obtain a permit from the State of Pennsylvania and without that permit they don't intend to proceed with their projects because should they not

1	receive that permit because city council
2	would deny their ordinances or resolutions
3	their project is done, so I think you will
4	see a lack of progress at those locations

5	until the decision is made.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Maybe we can force
7	somebody's hand on the Meadow and River
8	Streets, but I understand what you are
9	saying. I would just like to see something.
10	MS. EVANS: Oh, I agree, it's like
11	two different departments. It's like
12	comparing the business administration office
13	to the DPW, they all fall under city
14	government, but they are two entirely
15	different purposes.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Right. Thank you. A
17	few other things. As far as the skunk
18	issue, I was very hurried here tonight, my
19	sister sent me an e-mail, and she may have
20	sent it to all of us on council, it had
21	potions for keeping skunks away from your
22	house, and the one that she sent to me was
23	one of Jalapeno peppers, onions and cayenne
24	pepper in water, boil it, strain it, spray
25	around the garbage cans. Now, I guess it

keeps the skunks away, but you smell like a
Mexican restaurant, so I don't know what's
the but, I know there are on-line kind of
the homemade remedies for dealing with
skunks. I know that doesn't get rid of them

6	hut I duet
6	but, I just
7	MS. HUBBARD: Fox urine, but that
8	doesn't work.
9	MS. FANUCCI: I don't want to have to
10	get that.
11	MR. MCGOFF: But, the skunk thing,
12	perhaps we can look at funding for that
13	through the blight program. I don't know if
14	that would come under any of the blight or
15	back in that.
16	Two last things, as far as last
17	week's caucus not being filmed or not being
18	recorded, I would just like to defend ECTV
19	on that, I don't remember us ever having our
20	caucus filmed unless it was specifically
21	requested, and there was no specific request
22	made to me or obviously to ECTV to do that
23	last week, so I don't think it's on them
24	that it was not recorded or that the camera
25	was not here for it just as, you know, many
	128

1	other pre-meeting events that take place are
2	not filmed, that one was not requested and,
3	therefore, they didn't.

And in keeping with that, and we talked I know a little bit about ECTV

tonight, maybe too much, I would like to see
ECTV given a fair chance to operate. I
don't think that that's happened. I think
litigation and appeals and everything else
has prevented them from actually getting to
a point where they can operate as the public
access server for Channel 61. I would like
to I would like to see them get a fair
chance to do what they have initially
proposed to do and I think that in the
coming months now that some of the
litigation and the zoning appeals have been
dealt with perhaps they can get to a point
where they can function and can fulfill the
promises that were made in the proposal and
that is I think the last thing I have to
say. Moving on.
MS. GARVEY: FIFTH ORDER. 5-B. FOR
INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING

PERMIT TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO INSTALL AND
OPERATE TRAFFIC SIGNAL AND TIMING CHANGES AT
THE INTERSECTION OF MOOSIC STREET AND FRONT
STREET TO IMPROVE THE FLOW OF TRAFFIC FOR
THE PISANO COMMERCIAL PROJECT ON MOOSIC

THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR

7	STREET.
8	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
9	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
10	introduced into it's proper committee.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
13	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
14	Anyone? All in favor signify by saying aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
17	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
20	ayes have it and so moved.
21	MS. GARVEY: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
22	A RESOLUTION - DESIGNATING BRIAN SWANSON,
23	CITY ENGINEER, AS THE CITY'S DESIGNATED
24	OFFICIAL TO APPROVE AND SIGN THE TRAFFIC
25	SIGNAL PLAN FOR THE INTERSECTION OF MOOSIC
	13

L	STREET AND FRONT STREET FOR THE PISANO
2	COMMERCIAL PROJECT ON MOOSIC STREET.
3	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
1	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
5	introduced into it's proper committee.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.

7	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
9	in favor signify by saying aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
12	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
15	ayes have it and so moved.
16	MS. GARVEY: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
17	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
18	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
19	AND HARBOUR & ASSOCIATES LLP FOR ADDITIONAL
20	PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE
21	LACKAWANNA AVENUE STREETSCAPE PROJECT.
22	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
23	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
24	introduced into it's proper committee.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
	131
1	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
_	iid. Iiiivoooi. becoiid.

1	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: I think one thing
3	everyone has forgotten is that the fact to
4	my knowledge Coney Island and Buono Pizza
5	are still not part of this streetscape
6	project, and I made a promise a long time
7	ago that until they were I wasn't going to

8	agree to any of the action on that block
9	because it's ludicrous to delete the two
10	bookends, two city anchor businesses.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: I have to I
12	mean, not that I'm not going to vote for it,
13	I had hoped that they could come to some
14	kind of amicable resolution as Mrs. Evans
15	said, there are two anchor bookends there,
16	and I don't know if there any talks being
17	between them and the two businesses in the
18	city or has it just died?
19	MS. EVANS: I don't believe there has
20	been any movement or we would have heard.
21	I'm sure, that it would be good news to
22	announce that everyone is satisfied and
23	included.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: You know, I just
25	feel sad that businesses that have paid

their taxes for all of these years through all of the bad times and now it's ended up like this. It wasn't my intent when we first came out onto council to, and we all, Mrs. Evans and I were the only ones here at the time, we voted for this project to go forward and I just had thought, maybe névé,

8	I don't know, that everyone would have been
9	included in on it and it would have been
10	good for everybody, it just hasn't turned
11	out that way, but I'm going to vote in favor
12	of this because I'm not going to stop the
13	whole project here, but I still wish that
14	something could happen.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on the
16	question? All those in favor signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
19	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
22	MS. EVANS: No.
23	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
24	moved.
25	MS. GARVEY: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
	133
1	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
2	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
_	
3	AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH MICHAEL J.
4	PASONICK, JR., INC., CONSULTING ENGINEERS &
5	SURVEYORS, 165 NORTH WILKES BARRE BOULEVARD,
6	WILKES BARRE, PENNSYLVANIA, 18702 TO INSPECT

8 THE 500 BLOCK OF LACKAWANNA AVENUE PROJECT

THE ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION WORK FOR

9	ENTITLED PARK PLAZA AND PEDESTRIAN COURT.
10	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
12	introduced into it's proper committee.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
14	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
15	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
16	in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
18	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
21	MS. EVANS: No.
22	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
23	moved.
24	MS. GARVEY: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -
25	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
	134
1	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT,
2	EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A BROWNFIELD
3	ASSESSMENT AND CLEANUP COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

ASSESSMENT AND CLEANUP COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT
WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) IN THE AMOUNT OF
\$200,000.00. THE "PROJECT" WILL BE CALLED
THE BROWNFIELDS COMMUNITY-WIDE HAZARDOUS
ASSESSMENT GRANT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON,

9	PENNSYLVANIA.
10	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
12	introduced into it's proper committee.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
14	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
15	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
16	in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
19	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
22	ayes have it and so moved.
23	MS. GARVEY: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
24	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 39,
25	2008 - AN ORDINANCE -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
	135
1	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
2	EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN
3	THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND OCWEN LOAN
4	SERVICING, LLC FOR THE TRANSFER TO TITLE TO

A PARCEL OF LAND COMMONLY KNOWN AS 810 HERTZ

COURT TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND FOR

ACCEPTANCE OF PAYMENT IN FULL FOR THE

DEMOLITION LIEN ON SAID PROPERTY AND

SATISFACTION OF THE DEMOLITION LIEN.

10	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard reading
11	by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item 6-A
13	pass reading by title.
14	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
15	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
19	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
22	ayes have it and so moved.
23	MS. GARVEY: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
24	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2008 - AN ORDINANCE
25	- AUTHORIZING THE LEASE OF CERTAIN REAL

L	PROPERTY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
2	THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA (THE
3	"AUTHORITY') PURSUANT TO A LEASE AGREEMENT;
1	AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE INCURRENCE OF
5	LEASE RENTAL DEBT IN THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL
Ô	AMOUNT OF SIX MILLION ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND
7	DOLLARS (\$6,100,000), PURSUANT TO THE ACT OF
3	THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF
)	PENNSYLVANIA, 53 PA.C.S, CHAPTERS 80-82, AS

AMENDED, KNOWN AS THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT
DEBT ACT; DETERMINING THAT SUCH DEBT SHALL
BE INCURRED UNDER THE DEBT ACT AS LEASE
RENTAL DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY A CERTAIN
SUBLEASE AND GUARANTY AGREEMENT OF THE CITY
SECURING A GUARANTEED LEASE REVENUE BOND TO
BE EXECUTED BY THE AUTHORITY TO FINANCE A
PROJECT FOR THE AUTHORITY CONSISTING OF ALL
OR ANY OF THE FOLLOWS: (1) ACQUIRING THE
AUTHORITY'S LEASEHOLD INTEREST IN SUCH REAL
PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE LEASE AGREEMENT FROM
THE CITY AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH
CURRENTLY REFUNDING THE SEWER GUARANTEED
LEASE REVENUE NOTES, SERIES OF 2005; AND (2)
PAYING THE COSTS AND EXPENSES OF FINANCING
SUCH PROJECT: BRIEFLY DESCRIBING SUCH

1	PROJECT FOR WHICH SUCH DEBT IS TO BE
2	INCURRED; DECLARING THE GUARANTY OF SAID
3	AUTHORITY'S GUARANTEED LEASE REVENUE BONDS
4	TO BE A PROJECT OF THE CITY FOR WHICH LEASE
5	RENTAL DEBT IS TO BE INCURRED; AUTHORIZING
6	CERTAIN CITY OFFICERS TO PREPARE, CERTIFY
7	AND FILE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY
8	AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT THE DEBT STATEMENT
9	REQUIRED BY SECTION 8110 OF THE LOCAL
10	GOVERNMENT UNIT DEBT ACT AND AUTHORIZING THE

11	PREPARATION OF A DEBT STATEMENT AND
12	BORROWING BASE CERTIFICATE; APPROVING THE
13	FORM OF AND AUTHORIZING, SUBJECT CERTAIN
14	CONDITIONS, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF THE
15	AFORESAID LEASE AGREEMENT AND SUBLEASE AND
16	GUARANTY AGREEMENT; SPECIFYING THE AMOUNT OF
17	THE GUARANTY OBLIGATION OF THE CITY PURSUANT
18	TO SUCH SUBLEASE AND GUARANTY AGREEMENT AND
19	THE SOURCES OF PAYMENT OF SUCH GUARANTY
20	OBLIGATION; REPEALING INCONSISTENT PRIOR
21	ORDINANCES; SETTING FORTH CERTAIN CONDITIONS
22	TO THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF THE
23	AFORESAID LEASE AGREEMENT AND SUBLEASE AND
24	GUARANTY AGREEMENT; AUTHORIZING THE PROPER
25	OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO TAKE ALL REQUIRED,

1	NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE RELATED ACTION IN
2	CONNECTION WITH SUCH PROJECT AND THE
3	EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF THE LEASE
4	AGREEMENT AND SUBLEASE AND GUARANTY
5	AGREEMENT; APPROVING THE FORM AND
6	DISTRIBUTION OF A PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL
7	STATEMENT AND AN OFFICIAL STATEMENT WITH
8	REGARD TO THE GUARANTEED LEASE REVENUE
9	BONDS; APPOINTING VARIOUS PROFESSIONALS;
10	PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS

11	ORDINANCE; AND DECLARING SAID PROJECT
12	DESIRABLE FOR THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE
13	OF THE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY.
14	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard reading
15	by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
16	MS. FANUCCI: Mr. Chairman, I move
17	that Item 6-B pass reading by title.
18	MS. GATELLI: Second.
19	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
20	MS. EVANS: Yes. I would like to
21	respond to some of the statements that were
22	made earlier. First of all, with regard to
23	or regarding the taxes of the city, yes, the
24	property taxes are lower, significantly
25	lower than those of the school district, and

1	that is designed by state law. They do not
2	provide adequate funding for the mandates
3	that they issue, hence, they place the
4	burden on the homeowner, the taxpayer, and
5	the responsibility or the financial end of
6	it is split between the city and the school
7	district, the city receiving the lesser
8	amount of taxes.

On the other hand, however, we are famous for having the second large eggs wage tax in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at

3.4 percent. The city keeps 2.4, the school district I believe receives one. We had the opportunity to lower that wage tax last year through my budget, but that did not occur. The mayor, in fact, ran on a platform of lowering the wage tax when he first sought the office of mayor in the city and that has not happened. The only thing that's we have seen happen has been an increase in taxes.

With regard to the bond rating that was obtained, it wasn't just a matter of the Recovery Plan back in 2003, I recall having watched those council meetings, in fact, I watched them so closely they served as the

incentive for my seeking this office and
replacing some of those individuals. I
remember them specifically saying they used
the 2001 audit of the city because for the
first time there was a very healthy audit
and a \$2.9 million surplus and that went a
long way to helping obtain that \$74 million
bond issue. The high level rating, as I
indicated before, was purchased through
\$1 million in bond insurance which, of
course, was added to the sum total of the

borrowing at the time. Now, as for refinancing you are right, you can refinance your house, I have done that, but I'll tell you what, I don't think I can refinance it four times, and I don't know that I can ask my neighbors if I can run my financial agreement through them. I would have to go and do that myself and $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ the city is not doing that. The city has been taking the back door here for years using city authorities and city assets in order to obtain their borrowing. I think what's most important here

I think what's most important here though is no one mentions cuts. Nobody.

Just me. And, you know, we have many
apologists, but we don't have anybody who is
really willing to do the hard thing and
that's make those cuts, and it is hard after
all of these years, you know, an
administration getting used to certain level
of operation, shall we say, but not the
mayor, not anybody on council says make him
cut the fat first.

As far as the tax office, those workers have always worked diligently, but they had to follow orders and some of those

orders included not collecting delinquent
wage and business taxes. Today they are
still working hard and they are following
orders the difference is somebody else is
giving the orders, and I would say the proof
of that is we had employees from the tax
office who were very willing to come and
speak to city council last December, but
Mr. McDowell stepped in and stopped that, so
was he instrumental in the operations of
that office? Oh, most surely he was or we
wouldn't have 12.2 million dollars in
collected taxes sitting in a bank waiting to

be dispersed long after he left that office.

It's money in, money out, in that

office and that hasn't occurred now in the

proper way until lately, and when a job is

done well I think you commend the people who

do it. Just like the fact that there is

going to be 17 streets paved in the City of

Scranton.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, we are on 6-B.

MS. EVANS: I know, but I just -- can you tell me who keeps turning my microphone

13	on and off? I'll have it on on and then it
14	goes off and then it comes back on, but
15	other people
16	MS. GATELLI: It must be me. I get
17	the blame for everything.
18	MS. EVANS: No, no, you don't have
19	the switch. You had the switch installed
20	when you were the president. Actually, I
21	think it was used a few times.
22	Anyway, what I would say in
23	conclusion is that when people do a good
24	job, like I said, it should be mentioned,
25	and paving the streets, finally, that's a
	143
1	great job, I'm thrilled over that, I want to
2	more of them paved. I'm not going to say
3	that's not a wonderful thing because it may
4	be politically incorrect. When it's good
5	it's good, when it's bad, it's rotten at
6	least in this city. That's it.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on the
8	question 6 -B? All those in favor signify
9	by saying aye.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
11	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
	1

MS. EVANS: No.

14	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
15	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
16	moved.
17	MS. GARVEY: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
18	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 41, 2008 AN
19	ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
20	APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
21	SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO
22	IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR
23	COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS
24	TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
25	BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT
	144
1	PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
2	SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM.
3	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard reading
4	by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item 6-A
6	pass reading by title.
7	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
9	those in favor signify by saying aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Aye. MS. GATELLI: Aye.
12	$MS = (-\Delta')^{\dagger} + (-1)^{\dagger} + (-1)^{\dagger} + (-1)^{\dagger}$

MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.

14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
15	ayes have it and so moved.
16	MS. GARVEY: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
17	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
18	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 36, 2008
19	- CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY
20	ACCOUNT NO. 02.229589 ENTITLED "DCNR
21	GRANT-SWIMMING POOL IMPROVEMENTS" FOR THE
22	RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS FROM
23	THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
24	AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) FOR SWIMMING
25	POOL IMPROVEMENTS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
	145
1	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
3	Committee on Finance?
4	MS. GATELLI: As Chair for the
5	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
6	passage of 7-A.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
9	call.
10	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Yes.
12	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Gatelli.
13	MS. GATELLI. Yes.

MS. MAGNOTTA: Ms. Fanucci.

15	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
16	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Courtright.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
18	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. GARVEY: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
23	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 28,2008 - AUTHORIZING
24	THE CONVEYANCE BY SEALED BID OR AUCTION OF
25	THREE PARCELS OF LAND ACQUIRED PURSUANT TO
	146
1	THE LACKAWANNA RIVER FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT,
2	AS MOR PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED ON THE
3	ATTACHED MAPS.
4	MR. MCGOFF: As the Chairperson for
5	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-B.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
9	call, please.
10	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Yes.
12	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Gatelli.
13	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
14	MS. MAGNOTTA: Ms. Fanucci.

15	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
16	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Courtright.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
18	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: I hereby declare Item
20	7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. GARVEY: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
23	ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 58, 2008
24	-APPROVING REVISION OF THE EXISTING TRAFFIC
25	SIGNAL AT THE INTERSECTION OF MAIN AVENUE
	147
1	
1	AND SWETLAND STREET AS A RESULT OF THE
2	TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY PREPARED FOR THE JAY'S
3	COMMONS PROJECT.
4	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
6	Chairperson for the Committee on Public
7	Safety?
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: As Chairperson for
9	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
10	final passage of Item 7-C.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
13	call, please.
14	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Evans.
15	MS. EVANS: Yes.

16	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Gatelli.
17	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
18	MS. MAGNOTTA: Ms. Fanucci.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
20	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Courtright.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
22	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. GARVEY: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
	148
1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION
2	-RESOLUTION NO. 59, 2008 - REAPPOINTMENT OF
3	NANCY D. BISIGNANI, 1200 PINE STREET,
4	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS A MEMBER
5	OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD.
6	MS. BISIGNANI'S TERM EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 11,
7	2007 AND HER NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER
8	11, 2012.
9	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
11	passage of Item 7-D.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
13	MR. MCGOFF: On the question. Roll
14	call, please.
15	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Evans.

16	MS. EVANS: Yes.
17	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Gatelli.
18	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
19	MS. MAGNOTTA: Ms. Fanucci.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
21	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Courtright.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
23	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
	149
1	MS. GARVEY: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
2	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
3	RESOLUTION NO. 60, 2008 - REAPPOINTMENT OF
4	JOHN MOORE, 315 THIRTEENTH AVENUE, SCRANTON,
5	PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS CHAIRMAN OF THE
6	HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD. MR.
7	MOORE'S TERM EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 11, 2007 AND
8	HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 11,
9	2012.
10	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-E.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
14	MR. MCGOFF: On the question. Roll
15	call, please.
16	MC MACNOHIA MAG Errong

MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Evans.

17	MS. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Gatelli.
19	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
20	MS. MAGNOTTA: Ms. Fanucci.
21	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
22	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Courtright.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
24	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. McGoff.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
	150
1	7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
2	MS. GARVEY: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION
3	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
4	RESOLUTION NO. 61, 2008 - REAPPOINTMENT OF
5	ELLA RAYBURN, 934 NORTH WEBSITE AVENUE,
6	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS A MEMBER
7	OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD.
8	MS. RAYBURN'S TERM EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 11,
9	2006 AND HER TERM WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 11,
10	2011.
11	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	
13	passage of Item 7-F.
13	passage of Item 7-F.

17	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Evans.
18	MS. EVANS: Yes.
19	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mrs. Gatelli.
20	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
21	MS. MAGNOTTA: Ms. Fanucci.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
23	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. Courtright.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
25	MS. MAGNOTTA: Mr. McGoff.
	151
1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
2	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted. We
3	do have someone small item before we leave,
4	I make a motion to forward the petition in
5	Third Order, which would be 3-C, to the
6	Director of DPW and engineer for their
7	review.
8	MS. GATELLI: Second.
9	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
10	in favor signify by saying aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
13	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
16	have it and so moved. Motion to adjourn?
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.

- 8	
. 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	152
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
5	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
6	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
7	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
8	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
9	ability.
- 0	
.1	
.2	
. 3	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
. 4	
. 5	
. 6	