1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, July 8, 2008
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CAMILENE C MADDORRI DDD ARRIGIAI GOUDE DEDODERD
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

1		
2	CITY	Y OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3		
4	MR	ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
5	MIX.	ROBERT REGERT, TRESTBENT
6	MS.	JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT
7	MS.	JANET E. EVANS
9	MS.	SHERRY FANUCCI
10 11	MR.	WILLIAM COURTRIGHT
12	MS.	KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK (NOT PRESENT)
13	MR.	NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
14		
15	MR.	AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE, SOLICITOR (NOT PRESENT)
16 17	MR.	JOHN WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE, SOLICITOR
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Here.
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
7	MS. GATELLI. Here.
8	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Here.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes, and just for the
15	record, City Clerk, Kay Garvey, is not with
16	us today and also Attorney John Williams is
17	acting as solicitor in the absence of
18	Attorney Minora. Third Order.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: THREE. REPORTS AND
20	COMMUNICATIONS WITH MAYOR AND HEADS OF
21	DEPARTMENTS AND INTERESTED PARTIES AND CITY
22	CLERK'S NOTES: NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Any
24	announcements?
25	MS. EVANS: Yes. The Lackawanna

1 County Taxpayers' Association will sponsor a 2 day of free swimming at Nay Aug Park Swim 3 Complex on Wednesday, July 9, for Sister 4 Adrian Barrett's group of children Friends of the Poor, and on Saturday and Sunday July 5 12 and 13 from 12 to 6 p.m. for all children 6 7 15 years of change and under. Mr. Bill 8 Jackowitz and Mr. Andy Sbaraglia have generously spearheaded the Kids Swim Free 9 10 Program each year. Checks can be made payable to "Kids Swim Free" and all 11 12 donations can be mailed to Mr. William 13 Jackowitz, 1416 South Webster Avenue, 14 Scranton, PA, 18505. Please help this 15 worthy cause. And I hope the children of 16 Scranton will enjoy a wonderful weekend of free swimming. 17 Also, on Sunday, July 13, St. Peter 18 19 and Paul Russian Orthodox church will 20 sponsor a chicken barbecue from 12 to 2. It's one of the best summer dinners in our 21 22 area and takeouts will also be available. 23 On Saturday, July 26, there will be a benefit for Kelly Osborne from 1 to 6 p.m. 24 25 at the Minooka Legion. Kelly, a graduate of

1 Scranton high school and a wonderful young woman, is in need of our help to pay for 2 3 serious medical expenses. Tickets are \$10 and can be purchased at the door or from 4 members of the Minooka Lion's Club. Please 5 6 come out and help Kelly and her family on Saturday, July 26. 7 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? 8 MS. EVANS: One more item, I'm sorry. 9 10 I announced this last week, but there is an 11 update on this, in regards to the 2004 Windstar van that Mr. Allen Rubenstein would 12 like to donate to a local nonprofit. The 13 14 transmission work will not be necessary. I'm going to provide that for the vehicle, 15 16 so if there is any nonprofit who is in need 17 of transportation please contact the office of city council for the donation of a 200418 Ford Windstar van. 19 20 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Citizens' 21 participation. Andy Sbaraglia. 22 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 23 citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians,

somebody dropped off I guess it was a report

probably from the federal government on

24

1	Daron Industries up there, which I read, but
2	there was one glaring oversight in that
3	whole piece of I guess you could call it
4	legislation, well, it wasn't legislation,
5	but results of their findings, one was there
6	was no long-term soil samples taken for the
7	length that business has been up there or
8	there was no long-term air quality given to
9	this project up there at all when the
10	complaints came in, that's the first thing
11	that should have been done. They should
12	have had air quality monitoring and they
13	should have had soil monitoring and the
14	report also states that if you get cancer it
15	takes from 10 to 20 years for it to occur
16	with this type of pollution, so somewhere
17	along the line that site should be monitored
18	after Daron leaves in 2008 to make sure that
19	there is no contamination to the soil or to
20	the surrounding soil around that site.
21	That's important to the people that live
22	there. It's, unfortunate if you want to
23	protect your children.
24	If it was my child I would be out
25	there getting somebody to give me some kind

and every piece of dust that fell on my car, on my window sill, would be placed in an envelope and marked when it is so you have something to fall back on 10 or 20 years from now when people in that area may be getting cancer or may not be getting cancer, but at least you have some evidence that went on at that site.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

Okay, your "C", 5-C. It has to do with you appointing an advisory capacity. Now, if I'm wrong don't we have a cable advisory board somewhere along the line which Mr. Spano held? How come that's not brought in at all? They wanted to -actually, they were cut out of the whole deal. I remember Mr. Spano saying when you want to look for this new TV station to read these proposals that they wanted to be involved in it, but they weren't. This is Doherty's TV station. He is going to give them the money, he is going to do whatever it takes to get that station up. Why? I don't know why, maybe he has political ambitions. What better way to propose your

2	own TV station putting up the skill, but
3	that's going to happen.
4	Okay, I'm not going to get into the
5	\$90,000 because I think that's a done deal.
6	I think it was a done deal when Mr. Doherty
7	says, "I'm looking for someone else to
8	provide TV service. I believe it was a done
9	deal then, I believe it's a done deal now,
10	and nothing that happened afterwards changed
11	my mind. In fact, it's certain. With the
12	\$10,000 what went up around and back again
13	or this other \$90,000, this is Doherty TV
14	station is he going to use it for political
15	spiel more than anything else. He don't
16	like the negatives and this city is full of
17	negatives, not that we want to be negative,
18	we love this city, we wouldn't be here.
19	I lived here all my life, I never
20	wanted to live anywhere else. I love
21	Scranton, I love the hills, I love the area
22	and I love the people, but I don't like to
23	see what's happening to the people. You are
24	driving them out of their homes.

You don't even know what to look

9

forward to when these people have to pay

4.00 or \$4.50 a gallon for oil. They use

about 1,000 gallons of oil in the heat assistance I guess in this area, that's like four to 5,000 bucks. A lot of elderly aren't going to be able to do it, but you already made it so when that happens they lose their home because we already got all of these other penalties that's going to be pushed on them and you don't believe this was contrived?

I don't know. I believe you follow the dots. They used to say follow the money trail, I say follow the dots. People know what's going to happen, I knew what was going to happen so they knew what was going to happen. When you sold off them delinquent notes all the way along the line you knew a lot of people were going to suffer, but you care little about it. You did it anyway. So it's going to fall in and I don't know what's going to happen to those people, especially what's happening down in Wilkes-Barre even with taxes. The taxes are going sky high down in Luzerne County and if

1 you follow what happened and they are the
2 same people that did Scranton. I thank you

3	very much.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
5	Mr. Sbaraglia. Ozzie Quinn.
6	MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers'
7	Association. Thank you. Mrs. Evans, I
8	wanted to thank you for that donation and
9	anybody else that gave a donation to the
.0	Kids Swim for Free, I know that Mrs. Evans
.1	just gave it that's why I noted her
.2	contribution and I do want to mention the
.3	fact that I appreciate you announcing those
_4	dates, but if you didn't get it you can go
.5	to ScrantonTaxpayers.org and you can get the
. 6	address from there, okay?
.7	Now, I just want to mention the
.8	facts that we are talking about, I read this
.9	morning about the Recovery Plan and about
20	the mayor is going to cut jobs and so on,
21	now, let's not fool ourselves, this mayor is
22	circumventing what's going on here. I have
23	here for the Sewer Authority they have over
24	\$1 million in salaries put on their since

instance, the managers, top manager is

2 82,640. Next, 70,000, 59,000, 59,000,

59,000, 40,000, 48,000. This one is a

Mayor Doherty has taken office. For

4	school board director, 47,500. 39,000.
5	38,000. Chief plant operator, 46,000, I'm
6	rounding them out. Pool system capacity
7	technician, 48,000. Master electrician,
8	42,000. Senior laboratory technician,
9	41,000. Assistant plant operator/utility
10	operator, 41,000. Equipment operator,
11	41,000. Equipment operator, 41,000.
12	Operator A, 41,000. Laborer, 40,000.
13	Senior laboratory techniques, 40,000.
14	Vehicle mechanic, 40,000. Chief cashier,
15	40,000. Assistant plant operator, utility
16	operator, 38,000. Equipment operator
17	collection line, 38,000. Equipment operator
18	collection line, 38,000. Equipment operator
19	"B", 38,000. Laboratory assistance, 38,000.
20	Laborer, 38,000. Laborer, 38,000.
21	Assistant chief cashier, and I believe this
22	one is the school board's wife or sister,
23	38,000. Groundskeeper/inventory clerk,

1 36,000. Laborer, 36,000. Laborer, 46,000.

37,000. Laborer, 37,000. Laborer, 37,000.

Laborer, 37,000. Laborer, 37,000. Janitor

2 Laborer, 46,000. Laborer, 36,000. Laborer

and collection line, 36,000. Labor and

24

4	collection line, 37,000. Assistant vehicle
5	mechanic, 37,000. Lab assistant, 36.000.
6	Laborer, 36,000. Laborer, 36,000.
7	You know, you know where that money
8	comes from, your sewer rate increase and
9	this is what happened back in May of 2007
10	when Mayor Doherty with Anglican-American
11	circumvented the Home Rule Charter and went
12	down and gave the Sewer Authority the
13	autonomy to raise the rates of the sewer
14	of the homeowners, the property owners in
15	the City of Scranton without coming before
16	city council. The results over \$1 million
17	without health benefits, I didn't include
18	that, and there he is making a big deal in
19	the Scranton Times this morning that he is
20	going to have a Recovery Plan, well, we now
21	that he is circumventing everything.
22	Now, let's go back, this was on
23	WILK, okay, where I got some of this
24	information on the radio, let's go back to
25	March 9, '06: "MRS. GARVEY: FOR

CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE OF COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT," this is verbatim off of your
okay, on-line, "FOR ADOPTION, FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 20, 2006, AN ORDINANCE,

5	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
6	CITY OFFICIALS TO ALLOCATE AMOUNTS NOT TO
7	EXCEED \$577,000 FROM ACCOUNT 91400,
8	REPAYMENT OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS
9	GRANTS FOR VARIOUS ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.
10	MS. GATELLI: What is the
11	recommendation of the chairman on community
12	development?
13	MRS. NEALON-FANUCCI: As chairman for
14	the committee on community development, I
15	recommend final passage of Item 7-B.
16	MR. MCTIERNAN: Second."
17	Now, he took that \$10,000 out of
18	that you UDAG money that you see here, okay,
19	and he gave it the East Scranton Business
20	Association. He laundered it down to ECTV
21	without coming before city council. Should
22	he? Let me read it verbatim. I wanted to
23	see okay, this here, "MR. MCTIERNAN:
24	Attorney Minora, can I ask you a question,
25	please, and I think I know that" may I

L	finish	this,	it's	only	two	seconds?
2		MR.	MCGOFI	F: Ple	ease.	
3		MR.	QUINN:	: Than	nk yo	ou.

------ <u>*</u>------- 1---

4 "But, I'll ask it.

5	MR. MINORA: Shoot.
6	MR. MCTIERNAN: After this is
7	approved and there are projects that are
8	worthy projects of this, do these loans or
9	projects have to come before council for
10	final approval?
11	MRS. GATELLI: Yes, they do.
12	MR. MINORA: They do.
13	MR. MCTIERNAN: So none of this money
14	will be spent without our approval down the
15	road?
16	MRS. GATELLI: No.
17	MR. MINORA: That's correct."
18	Now, something has gone amiss some
19	place. Thank you very much.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
21	Barb O'Malley.
22	MS. O'MALLEY: Barbara O'Malley. At
23	the July 1 council meeting it was revealed
24	that the East Scranton Business Association
25	gifted ECTV \$10,000. The source of the
	1

1	money according to the East Scranton
2	Business Association was OECD. It is
3	unclear how the money was approved until the
4	mayor said he provided the money from
5	\$577,000 that council approved for his usage

6	on March 9, 2006.
7	At that meeting council approved the
8	\$577,000 after a detailed discussion of what
9	the money could be used for and if any
10	projects or loans needed further approval
11	from the Scranton City Council. The
12	transcript reads: "MR. MCTIERNAN: Attorney
13	Minora, can I ask you a question please and
14	I think the I know the answer but I will ask
15	it anyhow.
16	MR. MINORA: Shoot.
17	MR. MCTIERNAN: After if this is
18	approved and there are projects that are
19	worthy of projects of this do those loans
20	and projects have to come before council for
21	final approval?
22	MRS. GATELLI: Yes, they do.
23	MR. MINORA: They do.
24	MR. MCTIERNAN: So, there is none of
25	this money will be spent without our
	16

1	approval down	n the road?
2	MRS.	GATELLI: No.

MR. MINORA: That's correct." 3

After further discussion the

ordinance passed legally and lawfully

6	adopted. So, here we are down the road and
7	the mayor, according to the Scranton Times
8	in a radio interview, says the \$577,000 was
9	approved for his use and he decided that the
10	\$10,000 was needed to eliminate blight at
11	the church ECTV is using for it's studios.
12	Did Attorney Minora, then council president
13	Mrs. Gatelli, and Councilmen McTiernan
14	provide incorrect information thus
15	misleading their fellow council members and
16	the public or did Mr. Doherty just do his
17	own thing and bypass city council? I hope
18	this quandary will be addressed by
19	Mrs. Gatelli and Attorney Minora, but,
20	unfortunately, he is not here.
21	How did Mr. Doherty get \$10,000 into
22	the hands of ECTV without council's
23	approval? Apparently, through the East
24	Scranton Business Association. The money
25	that the association gifted to ECTV came

from UDAG	funds,	taxpayers'	money.	How	did
the mayor	transfe	er money to	the East	Ē.	
Scranton	Business	s Association	on to EC	rv?	Is
there a p	aper tra	ail open to	the publ	lic?	
I	t is my	understand	ing that	fede	eral
funds can	not be h	nanded out 1	by OECD (or th	ne

7	mayor without an application stating what it
8	would be used for and proper documentation.
9	Did the East Scranton Business
10	Association file an application with OECD
11	stating the money would be used to eliminate
12	blight at the church at 933 Prescott Avenue?
13	At the March 9, 2006, meeting Mrs. Gatelli
14	referenced her former employment at OECD
15	when discussing the OECD funds in question.
16	The transcript reads: "MRS. GATELLI: When
17	you work in OECD and you have a pool of
18	money you don't know what the projects are
19	until the people come and ask you for the
20	money. It's not like you have money and
21	say, oh, I've got this money, now I'm going
22	to give it to Janet Evans, Sherry Fanucci,
23	Judy and Bill and Bob because they are my
24	friends and they are going to do a project.
25	It doesn't work that way."

1	Well, it certainly appears to me
2	that in the case of ECTV that is exactly how
3	it worked.
4	Now, onto 933 Prescott Avenue the

reported blighted property where ECTV has

it's studios. This property, a stately

well-maintained brick church was purchased in January 2007 for \$250,000 by Lackawanna Institute. Lackawanna Institute and it's principal are very well-known for the acquisition, improvement and upkeep of properties throughout the Hill Section. It seems highly unlikely that Lackawanna Institute would request funds from the East Scranton Business Association for blight removal from this property or any other for that matter.

According to my research, HUD
provides federal funding for eligible
projects that address blight on a spot basis
if the rehab is limited to those conditions
which are detrimental to public health and
safety. The church at 933 Prescott Avenue
is not and never was blighted by this
definition or any other definition. I urge

all of you to take a ride to the former
church at 933 Prescott Avenue then compare
it to the deteriorating building at say
Cedar and Elm in South Scranton, now that's
blight. Again, the red flags are abundant
when it comes to ECTV from the mayor
disregarding council's authority to the

8	trumped up blight case. Why is it so
9	important for Mayor Doherty to personally
10	ensure Scranton Today's demise and ECTV's
11	success? Does anybody really believe that
12	the selection funding of ECTV has been an
13	above board process? I urge you to end this
14	charade by not approving the additional
15	\$90,000 for the mayor's deal.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
17	Mrs. O'Malley. Sam Patilla.
18	MR. PATILLA: Good afternoon, Mrs.
19	Evans and Mr. Courtright. I, too, want to
20	speak on Channel 61 ECTV. You know, me
21	personally, this is just my opinion, okay,
22	that it is as stated earlier the mayor's TV
23	station, okay? When I first started
24	appearing before council, you know, I had to
25	listen to taxpayers being called the Legion

of Doom and yada, yada, yada and everything
else underneath the sun, as a bunch of
malcontents. Well, evidently these
malcontents have been speaking the truth
because one time the cameras in this
chambers were removed. Now, we have an
organization that wouldn't cow-tow down to

8 this mayor and a blatant attempt to control 9 what the taxpayers have access to in regards to this city council. 10 11 Now, as I stated before, I don't 12 know whether the owner of ECTV has liens 13 against him present or past or whatever the case may be, but I do know that this 14 15 administration has a history of taking 16 moneys that can be used to assist our children, our elderly, and our poor. I 17 18 haven't gotten all of the information on the 19 Friendship House yet, but I guarantee you that that \$90,000 could be put better to use 20 21

by what they intended to use that money for than an organization that came to city

council with an empty hands requesting city

taxpayer money. 24

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

You know, I just can't understand

this. I know personally that this thing is going to get passed, I know it, so do 98 percent of the people viewing this and those in the audience, we already know this, but that doesn't make it right. You know, people don't take into consideration when a government officials, what are the effects, the economy outside of that municipality,

outside of the locale that's going to have on your residents? When that \$300,000 was used in that dog park nobody foresaw the increases in oil, in gas. This \$90,000 used to take over that television station nobody is taking into consideration when the cap comes off PPL, all right? This city, this area is predominantly seniors and low to moderate income people and children, all right? You don't have a lot of rich people in this city because if you did you wouldn't be taking money from poor people and the seniors, you wouldn't have to, you would use your own money.

You know, Daddy Warbucks here, all right, every time I turn around he is taking money from the poor, from the kids, from the

1	elderly, it's sickening. You know,
2	sometimes you just have to stand up and say
3	enough is enough. I'm not going to be a
4	party to that. I don't care what the
5	repercussions are I have values, I have
6	morals, I'm not going to do it. Now, like I
7	said, I haven't gotten the backup on this
8	Friendship House yet, but I guarantee you

9	that they have a better use and a better
_0	purpose for that money than somebody that
.1	can't pay their own bills. I thank you for
.2	your time.
.3	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Patilla.
_ 4	Rich Gilroy.
.5	MR. GILROY: Rich Gilroy, resident of
. 6	Scranton. I'm here because my mom is a
.7	homeowner up in North Scranton and she
.8	shares her house with a Village Park
.9	Apartments, it's a housing development.
20	There is a dumpsters there with no lids on
21	them, we are waiting a year for them to be
22	on them. Nothing. All garbage all over and
23	I have pictures here, blighted houses, out

25

23

1	is never cut. The one is running an illegal
2	garage fixing cars, all you hear is motors
3	running in there, compressors, you smell
4	paint fumes and they are getting away with
5	it. In the house next to my mom is a busted
6	shed. There is all garbage in there, the
7	grass is never cut, and another thing is
8	there was a drug house there a couple of
9	years ago and we had to go to a lawyer

of town landlords, there is two houses next

to my mom, out of town landlords. The grass

10	because we couldn't get them out of there
11	and we left a message with the safety
12	director who would in the answer the call
13	until we hired a lawyer about it, so
14	something has got to be done about those
15	places up there. If you would care to look
16	at these pictures I have them right here?
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Where did you say
18	it was at, what project?
19	MR. GILROY: Village. Townhouse.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: Townhouse.
21	MR. GILROY: It was a drug place at
22	one time. There is no security up there at
23	all at that housing development, it's
24	ashame. My mom is 20 years next month and

1	wouldn't want to live there to see what goes
2	on there. There is a gangs out there
3	drinking liquor, they are defecating by the
4	dumpsters, you have to see what it's like to
5	live up there, it's a shame and my mother is
6	elderly, that's why I'm here on her behalf,
7	it's a very rotten shame what we have to put
8	up up there, no security or nothing, and
9	that's why I'm here.

it's like living in hell living there, you

10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Sir, did they do
11	away with the cop? Did they do away with
12	the cop going out there?
13	MR. GILROY: Saturday there might be
14	Carrie Iaaavazzi is the park manager and she
15	said on Saturday they might have security
16	there from maybe until 12, but they are out
17	all hours of the night where you see bottles
18	of liquor, beer, be sitting in cars, sitting
19	on curbstones, it's terrible. You wouldn't
20	want to live up there. My mom
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: They used to have a
22	Scranton police officer there. They don't
23	have it anymore; correct?
24	MR. GILROY: Well, she says on
25	Saturdays, she hires one on Saturdays. We
	25
1	never see any security up there at all.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: I know her, I'll
3	talk to her for you.
4	MR. GILROY: My mom had damage from
5	the fireworks. They shot those rocket
6	launchers and it was like World War II when
7	the Russians were going to Berlin, they had
8	that noise on them. They were out and shot
9	them off the roof, I was sitting on the

steps and I got almost hit in the face, it

missed me, sparks flying all over the place, and they damaged the -- they burnt the wood on the steps. They melted where the fan is on the side of the house, they melted that and there is a like a little indentation on the bottom where I guess where it hit it, and it landed on the -- there is a park bench under the steps, it landed under there.

But, as they were coming from all directions, from the project in the back and where they're running that illegal garage and there was rocket launchers, they were just going "Zroop", right over where our roof, the garage, and there is all trees and

everything in the yard. It's a shame. Like I said, it's a shame, you wouldn't want to live up there. It's like living in hell living there. Plus with all the blight, the road is all a mess, you can't even get a pothole taken care of. We are waiting three weeks for a pothole and I talked to one of the guys down I think it's in -- I can't think, I forget his name, I was shopping in Dunmore Saturday, last Saturday, and there

1	was a DPW truck up at Big Lots, two guys
.2	were in there, the truck was parked right
.3	there. The guy, Mr. Matthews, that's what
_4	his name was. He said, "We can't do
.5	anything because we were understaffed."
- 6	I said, "What about those two guys
.7	that were in Dunmore shopping last Saturday
-8	with the DPW truck?"
_9	They are understaffed, give me a
20	break. That's all I have to say. Thank
21	you.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gilroy,
23	and I do have the list you gave to me.
24	Brett McCloe.
25	MR. MCCLOE: Good afternoon. My name

1	is Brett McCloe, Scranton taxpayer, and I
2	want to talk about some things that were
3	said last week from you folks up there, and
4	I had a conversation in the park and the
5	gentleman came up to me while my children
6	were playing at one of our city playgrounds
7	and asked me a very important question,
8	"Don't you guys have anything better to do
9	with your time than to criticize the
10	progress of this mayor and the progress that
11	this mayor and the city council has made for

12	the city?"
13	My reply became rather quickly and I
14	said, "Depends on what you call progress."
15	I said to him, "Would you allow me
16	to take your credit card to buy some new
17	clothes and a very expensive car that you
18	don't need at all and all in the name of
19	progress because it is better to look good
20	than to be good? Would you be happy with me
21	taking credit for all your so-called
22	progress as I leave it up to you to pay the
23	bill?"
24	He laughed. And my second point
25	was, no, there is nothing better to do than

1	to take one or two hours out of every week
2	or so and come down here to council chambers
3	and to give my thoughts and my opinions as a
4	citizen to all the citizens. I not only
5	tell you what I think, I will tell you why I
6	think what I do. After 15 minutes of
7	political banter it became very clear that
8	he was connected. He had the right look,
9	the right name, he lived in the right
10	neighborhood, owned and operated the right
11	business. He said, "The Lord helps those

12 who help themselves."

I said, "I'm quite sure you have helped yourself quite a bit without help from the Lord. You must be one of those who believe our local government can walk on water."

He bragged, that's what the beauty of political contributions and 3-2 votes.

He became very angry when I confronted him with his own humanity and lack of economic compassion. The inevitable conclusion to our conversation was summed up by his parting statement, "Blank the people," to which I replied, "That's my point exactly."

That statement alone is why people come to these council meetings to combat legislation that reeks of impropriety and is fueled by this blank the people mindset.

Was this one of the other people in the city who people say they represent? I hope this is not the time of constituent any of you or any elected official would base the needs of this city upon. We all know one of these other people in this city, and except for the possible warped sense of community these people are fairly honest and good

people, but there does need to be a constant
open dialogue between citizens of this city
not just between here and there, to each one
speak to one. We have to change the
psychology and the way we feel about each
other in order for us to truly progress.

Mr. McGoff, as a former teacher you

Mr. McGoff, as a former teacher you above all should understand the importance of the history of this city and the psychology of the people who live in it.

This has always been a city where back room deals were made and where most citizens turn their back on malfeasance and the appearance

of impropriety in order to safeguard their jobs, their livelihood and their social standing. Generation after generation has survived and thrived off of political slight of hand.

Unfounded allegations and character assaults are a natural result of a rightfully cynical society with instant access to information. Unfortunately, sometimes misinformation, mistrust, misbehavior, and a lack of decorum go hand-in-hand with the abolishment and

13 dismantling of Scranton's very old 150 year old fueled system and the creation of a 14 15 democracy where democracy existed before. 16 I guess I don't know much about the 17 mechanics of how the city government works, but I am trying to learn. It's the people 18 19 ourselves who have to change first because 20 we will become them. They are not going to be there forever, we will all -- some of us 21 22 will be getting voted into those seats in 5, 10, 15 years. Thank you. 23 24 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you Mr. McCloe. 25 Les Spindler.

1	MR. SPINDLER: Morning, Council. Les
2	Spindler, city resident, homeowner.
3	Mr. McGoff, two weeks ago you stated that
4	someone from the University of Scranton told
5	you the people that play soccer down at the
6	South Side complex did not pay. Well, what
7	did you think they were going to tell you?
8	Did you think they were going to tell you
9	the truth? Did you go down and ask the
10	people that are actually paying? Did you go
11	down to the complex and ask the people down
12	there if they are paying? I did,
13	Mr. McGoff, go talk to the people that are

14	playing. They pay to play on Sunday and if
15	the University does own that complex where
16	is the rest of the money they owe the city
17	for it? And where is the lighted ballfield
18	they were supposed to build for the city?
19	Did the cat get your tongue, Mr. McGoff?
20	MR. MCGOFF: I didn't realize they
21	were questions, no.
22	MR. SPINDLER: Forget about it then.
23	They are just all lies that the University
24	told you. Go ask the people that play there
25	on Sunday if they play or not. I did.

Maybe it's too much out of your schedule to go down there on a Sunday.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me. I gave you an answer to the questions that you had.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay, and I'm telling you the answer I got from the people that pay. I'd like to read something from an article from the Doherty newsletter last week, something the mayor said about the fire and police unions. The mayor said, "We don't want to jump the gun and cost the taxpayers anymore money."

Is he joking? He doesn't want to

cost the taxpayers any more money? He must have cost them millions in arbitration appeals already and now he doesn't want to cost the taxpayers anymore money? I can't believe this guy. He is a joke.

And then a day or two later I read something else in the Doherty newsletter,
"TV switch has more to offer," and I had a lot of respect for Stacy Brown until I read this article, I'm just going to read bits and pieces of it. It starts off, "A colorful screen bursting with fireworks and

chocked full of information."

Stacy, the fireworks weren't real.

From help lines to hot lines to happenings,
that were placed in there which viewers of
public access Channel 61 and 62 have
recently grown accustomed to."

Scranton Today showed all of those things and more. And, lastly, it also says that cable access television is more than just showing city council meetings. Well, Stacy, you and the mayor should have seen the pie chart that Scranton Today gave and it's RFP. It showed only 28 percent of their programming was government meetings,

72 percent of their programming was other
16 coverage, so these people should get the
17 facts straight because the mayor said he
18 picked ECTV because Scranton Today only
19 showed government meetings. That's a lie
20 and their pie chart proves it.
21 Also, about that \$10,000. I think
22 there should be an investigation on that.

Also, about that \$10,000. I think there should be an investigation on that.

There might be money laundering, the mayor had to come before council and get that money approved. He didn't do it, he

circumvented council to get an outrageous
sewer authority raise of almost double, then
he circumvents council to get \$10,000 to
whoever he wants to, this mayor gets what he
wants, all right, he is like a little kid
that wants to take the ball and go home if
he doesn't get what he wants. This council
gives the mayor whatever he wants and
something has to be done now. There should
be an investigation, this \$10,000 was given
to ECTV illegally and something has to be
done. Thank you for your time.
MR MCGOFF Thank you. Mr Spindler

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.
Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Yeah, Ronnie Ellman, homeowner, member of the Taxpayers' Association. I've just had a terrible two weeks and I just feel like taking it out on you all, but I won't, but my insurance is just driving me crazy this Hartford. I guess you catch enough dickens today. I'm going to tell you a real kick

I'm going to tell you a real kick story why I am so impassioned about this money being taken from Friendship House. I told you that my father was in politics in

Tennessee in the 50's and 60's and 70's, and
that's about the time that Danny Thomas was
trying to establish St. Jude Hospital and my
father was very honored to have met him
several times on business and that's when I
was an x-ray technician and he took me down
there one night to meet Mr. Thomas and I
asked him for a job working at Danny Thomas,
this is about six months or a year before
they opened, and I went over a few days
later to wander around and get familiar and
I lasted to lunch time, and I ate my free
lunch and I told this Dr. Bassett I'm not
man enough to work around these children.
It's just heartbreaking and then for years I

just felt guilty. These people they needed
staff members and all and I didn't stay to
help them, so I have always supported Danny
Thomas as much as I could and St. Jude's
Hospital.
Every time, I'm not saying this to

Every time, I'm not saying this to

-- I don't know how to say it without

sounding like a phony or something, when I

see a little baby's picture in the paper in

the obituaries or somebody from St. Joseph's

it's just heartbreaking to me. Young people they just don't, you know, haven't lived their life.

This thing, the paper I phoned -- I phoned this last Monday before I came and I was told what was in the yesterday's paper that money was collected for that specific purpose of building a new wing. Now, you listen to the big lie from the mayor, there is no way we can pay this man, we don't have any business taking it, I just don't -- I just don't see how they get away with it. I have been on the phone all week and I got a complaint form from the Attorney General's Office to fill out about when I told them

what was going on. I am going to do everything I can to let this state know what Friendship House, they are not in the banking business, if they have so much money then they can loan it to the city, they shouldn't be out there getting contributions. I have never given them anything,

I have never given them anything, but the next thing you know what is the mayor going to ask St. Joseph for some of

their money, you know, or Catholic services, the Red Cross? He doesn't have any business taking money from people that are donating it for a specific purpose. He just doesn't have any feelings for his fellow man.

You know what, you are like this one. When I'm at the Taurus Club, every time I go to the men's room and talk to someone I have found out that mayor Doherty has lost a lot of support with his own crowd of professionals I guess is the word. He just can't go on like this. He is alienating the people he needs. I'm not really mad at him, I told you, the park was beautiful when I was down there for the car show, but he just -- you know, the people of

17	this city just must make some contributions
18	to the city and that's voting. What we
19	need maybe some public forum or something to
20	see what's going on and how the people feel,
21	see how they feel about the mayor, and maybe
22	I'm wrong, and see how they feel about you
23	guys. I don't see anything wrong in having
24	a public forum, but we can't go on just
25	borrowing. They will be out right now

1 asking for donations and people are taking, let's just say \$1,000 donation, and they are 2 3 taking it off their income tax donating it to their for a specific purpose of a 4 building fund and it's being given to us. 6 Now, that can't be legal. There is something wrong somewhere. Thank you very 8 much. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. 10 Liz Hubbard. 11 MS. HUBBARD: Morning, Council. Liz 12 Hubbard, Scranton resident and taxpayer. According to the Council minutes of 3-9-06 I 13 14 know this has all been said before, but the money coming out of that \$577,000 had to be 15 approved by council according to Mr. 16

17	McTiernan's questions and Mrs. Gatelli and
18	Mr. Minora's answers. On that, if these
19	minutes are correct, when was the \$10,000
20	given to the East Scranton Business
21	Association and what project was it approved
22	for? Can anybody answer that?
23	MS. FANUCCI: You are aware it did
24	not come here? I mean, we didn't vote on
25	that. But, I can tell you what it was
	39
1	what it is designated for. It was
2	designated for cleanup and for rehab in the
3	basement facility of that church.
4	MS. HUBBARD: When was it given to
5	them.
6	MS. FANUCCI: I don't really know. I
7	don't know that date. I have no idea. I
8	know it was a few months, but I don't know
9	the exact date.
10	MS. HUBBARD: Why was council
11	bypassed?
12	MS. FANUCCI: Well, I do know as far
13	as UDAG funds are concerned, under a certain
14	amount does not have to pass through
15	council, so that has always been. That's
16	been

MS. HUBBARD: How very convenient

18	for
19	MS. FANUCCI: Well, it's been
20	convenient for all of the mayors. That is
21	something that's being going on forever.
22	This isn't new, this isn't something that
23	just happened, that has been going forever.
24	UDAG is pretty much disbursed by the mayor
25	to do pet projects like the treehouse and
	40
1	things like that.
2	MS. HUBBARD: Pet projects being the
3	key word.
4	MS. FANUCCI: Yes, being the key
5	word.
6	MS. HUBBARD: Doherty said on the
7	radio that it was for cleaning up blight,
8	i.e., cleaning the basement of an old
9	church. How does cleaning up the basement
10	of an old church that is owned by a wealthy
11	businessman who pays no taxes on any of the
12	properties he owns because it's a nonprofit,
13	how does that constitute blight?
14	MS. FANUCCI: It is a nonprofit. It
15	doesn't matter who owns what, the fact is
16	it's a nonprofit organization.

MS. HUBBARD: No, ho, what I'm

18	saying, if the \$10,000 was to clean up the
19	weeds in the yard or the facade of the
20	building, okay, that might be classified as
21	blight, but the 10,000 was to clean up the
22	basement.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Well, blight can be
24	anything from
25	MS. HUBBARD: Who sees the basement?
	41
1	MS. FANUCCI: Well, wait, blight can
2	be anything from code to not code. Blight
3	can be, you know, electrical problems.
4	Blight can be anything. Blight isn't just
5	weeds, so it would fall under blight.
6	MS. HUBBARD: Yeah, Mayor Doherty
7	said it was specifically for cleaning the
8	basement. Well, how about I'd like to get
9	some money to clean my basement.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Well, file a nonprofit
11	and we will see what we can do. I don't
12	know what to tell you.
13	MS. HUBBARD: I mean, this is
14	bordering on the ridiculous that this money
15	is being funneled to these people and you
16	said in the morning paper I believe that the
17	programming is wonderful. I haven't seen

any programming.

19	MS. FANUCCI: Have you been watching?
20	MS. HUBBARD: Every time I turn it
21	on I get little blight hotline numbers and
22	all of that stuff. I haven't seen anything.
23	MS. FANUCCI: What did you get last
24	time in the old station? I mean, come on,
25	they are only a few weeks old.
	42
1	MS. HUBBARD: The old station until
2	they ran out of money.
3	MS. FANUCCI: Listen, I'm entitled to
4	think that the graphics are wonderful and
5	I'll stand on that. I think that what I
6	have seen so far has been really good.
7	MS. HUBBARD: All right, well, I
8	mean, to watch graphics, what can I tell
9	you.
10	MS. FANUCCI: You should.
11	MS. HUBBARD: I would like to have
12	seen some of this money that's apparently
13	going for cleaning up blight, some of it to
14	go toward the flood siren that you people,
15	all of you unanimously voted on that.
16	MS. FANUCCI: I actually checked on
17	that for you, I checked on that for you

recently, and the reason that you did not

19	get your flood siren and you will not be
20	getting your flood siren is because when
21	they tested all of the flood sirens they
22	felt that they still can hear them from your
23	house.
24	MS. HUBBARD: Really? Because I
25	didn't see anybody on my front porch
	43
1	listening.
2	MS. FANUCCI: It happened.
3	MS. HUBBARD: Well, I didn't hear any
4	flood sirens.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Well, it happened and
6	they tested them, and it was actually awhile
7	ago, and so they decided that you actually
8	are in earshot. They used whatever the
9	meter is that this used to measure the
10	sounds, yeah.
11	MS. HUBBARD: Okay. Maybe the birds
12	can hear it, but the people can't.
13	MS. FANUCCI: But the good thing is,
14	the good thing is that 30 houses were ripped
15	down in blight with the money that we did
16	give out.
17	MS. HUBBARD: Well, you know what I
18	figured, 10,000 could clean out a lot of
19	skunks and other critters in the city.

20	MS. FANUCCI: Well, see, that's good.
21	MS. HUBBARD: Because to me they are
22	more of a problem than a dirty basement of a
23	church that's a nonprofit.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Everybody has their
25	cause, which is nice.
	44
1	MS. HUBBARD: I'm sorry, the money
2	goes to all of these places in the city and
3	there is so many problems that never get
4	addressed that it's disgusting. Anyway,
5	have a nice day.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Hubbard.
7	Stephanie Galla.
8	MS. GALLA: Stephanie Galla, city
9	resident. I'm here because I'm frustrated
10	with the new station, it's not showing the
11	council meetings, I don't get to see them, I
12	work for a living, so they need to start
13	airing them at night. I realize in the
14	evenings when they start again we will get
15	them, but they haven't been conducive to
16	those of us who work, it's ridiculous.
17	The other things are city business,

the mayor again is getting ready to put us

in danger because he is getting ready to cut

18

more firemen. I don't know what he doesn't
get that they need some backup and cutting
the staff more is putting my home, my live
and everybody else's life in danger and he
needs to not do this, and I don't know what
you as a council can do, but somebody has

got to get this man under control because he is just out of control. You know, he is trying to blame them for the taxes, well, I don't know how he can because they haven't gotten a raise, they work for nothing at this point because the cost of everything else has gone up so much. I don't know how they expect them to keep going. You know, I wouldn't mind paying a little more if I was getting the services, but how can I get services when I don't have the manstaff? It doesn't even make sense to me.

He agreed to the arbitration, he hasn't lived up to it, we all know that. He keeps going to Court and he keeps costing us more money, we all know that, that's not anything new. He has taken away baseball fields on us, he is not replacing them. He is taking fields like Weston field that had two baseball fields, he put soccer fields

in. Well, it's nice that other people like
to place soccer but I thought this was
American, this was baseball, apple pie and
Chevrolet, you know, that's what I grew up
on.

I would like some accountability on the \$10,000. Now, I do understand that we are supposed to be checking into that now, did I read that in the paper this morning?

As I read in the paper that he is getting ready to ax more people.

I would like to know, you know, like
I don't understand how we let Scranton Today
go. I don't understand that. They got
shafted so bad and I think it needs to be
investigated and something should be double
checked. There was something wrong with
this process totally. If the mayor wants to
continue to spend money to improve the city
it would more wise to improve the streets.
Now, I realize some of them are state
streets, but, I mean, a lot of the streets
are not and if we want the tourist to come
in we should have decent roads to ride on.
Now, I mean, I understand we are doing

21	something with 100 block of Lackawanna
22	Avenue that they just shut down, but, I
23	mean, that was that for way, way too long.
24	You know, now there is a section of
25	Jefferson, I think belongs to the state, bu
	47
1	that section right there by the "U" between
2	Mulberry and Linden that's always a mess,
3	can somebody, you know, maybe get the state
4	to take care of that? It's been a big
5	pothole for as long as I can remember.
6	MS. FANUCCI: There has been a lot
7	of fighting, not fighting, but back and
8	forth on that road because of the PennDOT
9	and they wanted to make it a passing three
10	lane where people can pass and the residents
11	of the Hill and also
12	MS. GALLA: No, this is when I'm
13	talking Jefferson Avenue I'm talking down by
14	the University.
15	MS. FANUCCI: Right. Right. The
16	problem is that they were trying to make it
17	into like those passing lanes and the
18	feeling was that if you make cars go faster
19	on the avenue that it could actually be
20	worse for the residents, so that's been

going on for a long time between PennDot and

22	trying to do planting there.
23	MS. GALLA: The other spot that
24	concerns me a lot because I do drive around
25	the city a lot I get stuck at it lot at
	48
1	Mulberry Street as you are coming into the
2	city right at Wyoming it used to have the
3	arrows to what lane you are supposed to be
4	in, again, I'm pretty sure that's state, but
5	it would be great if
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: They are doing a
7	study on that, we are looking into it.
8	MS. GALLA: Okay. All right, because
9	that's just hazardous.
10	MS. FANUCCI: That is.
11	MS. GALLA: And if they don't want to
12	put it down on the road, put it up on a pole
13	so you know what lane you are supposed to be
14	in.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: They are doing a
16	study to do a left-hand turn signal.
17	MS. GALLA: Oh, okay. Oh, excellent.
18	That would be great.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Yes, it would be.
20	MS. GALLA: Now, I understand that
21	Fav Franus spoke at council a couple of

22	weeks ago and she asked Mrs. Gatelli
23	spoke to this was stated at council that
24	she had talked to Judy on the phone and that
25	Judy was going to possibly bring up the
	49
1	subpoenas and then she decided to wait on
2	it. Okay, that's my time. Thank you.
3	MS. GATELLI: We will be doing them
4	today. We will be getting the subpoenas.
5	MS. GALLA: I mean, I don't
6	understand like we asked you a couple of
7	weeks to do it but then you were kind of
8	skiddish about it, what changed.
9	MS. GATELLI: No, I wasn't skiddish
10	at all, the tax collector stated that he
11	wasn't coming so I didn't see the necessity
12	of going through all the legalities to get
13	this, but we are now at our limit and I will
14	be making that motion today.
15	MS. GALLA: Okay, thank you.
16	MS. GATELLI: You are welcome.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Marie Schumacher.
18	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good morning. Marie
19	Schumacher. First, I would ask Mrs. Gatelli
20	to report the status of the inputs to the
21	auditors during motions today and how many

of those delinquencies reported several

23	weeks ago	have	been	satisfi	led and	what	;
24	current o	delinqu	encie	es are.			
25	S	Second,	as t	to this	\$10,000	, I	heard

the mayor on WILK and I have to question his
logic. I heard him say something to the
effect that he had to give that \$10,000 to
ESBA to give to ECTV because the East
Scranton Business Association was an
established entity, yet at the same time he
was asking you all and us taxpayers to foot
the bill for \$90,000 to this unknown entity
other than what we know from their proposal,
so I really question the mayor's logic and
also on that \$10,000 if those UDAG funds can
be used for code violation repair I think it
would have been really nice if the city had
made that poor man whole who came here at
this podium I believe that lived on Dickson
avenue where his pipes froze and flooded
because the city had his power shut off, and
I think that would be an appropriate use of
those UDAG funds if, in fact, that is how
they are to be used.
Now, as to the resolution and

Now, as to the resolution and ordinance to provide 90,000 precious tax

dollars to ECTV, I want to reiterate several
fallacies used the primary fallacy used as a
basis for gifting these funds to ECTV as the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

51

creation of three low to moderate income jobs. As two jobs are being eliminated at Scranton Today there is only one net job being created by ECTV and 90,000 is simply to much for a distressed city to pay for the creation of a single job.

Now, let's move on again as I did last week I want to reiterate, move onto the inflated proposal submitted by ECTV. Within the last two weeks ECTV has admitted or their personnel have admitted that individuals included in the proposal for ECTV operations were not even aware of their inclusion. Chris Balton's role apparently was fulfilled when the inflated proposal was submitted. The ECTV inflated proposal also included over \$50,000 of HD equipment. The likelihood is that by the time HD reaches the public access channels any equipment bought now would be outdated. Cable companies are not required to offer HD bandwidths for peg channels and until and unless that ever happens precious HD

25	The proposal requested us taxpayers
	52
1	or we taxpayers to pay for the utilities for
2	the ECTV studios, again, wasn't that clever
3	of Mr. Mansure to give this tax
4	nonproperty tax paying huge entity this big
5	empty church to ECTV for the rental of only
6	a dollar a year knowing that we sucker
7	taxpayers would also be paying to heat up
8	that huge church and keep his property from
9	deteriorating. Very clever.
10	Mr. Tom Welby, who seems to be the
11	selection committee spokesman, has been on
12	talk radio and has said SCTV was eliminated
13	due to past performance. Now, I ask you
14	again, which is worse, SCTV doing a great
15	job with extremely limited resources or ECTV
16	winning with a highly inflated proposal,
17	again, I would ask you to let your
18	conscience be your guide. Thank you.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs.
20	Schumacher. Mr. Dobson.
21	MR. DOBSON: Good morning, Council.
22	Dave Dobson, citizen of Scranton, Taxpayer
23	Association associate. On Channel 61 I feel

bandwidth will be used elsewhere.

happily employed find themselves at odds
over another's whim. Now, on the coverage,
an oversight committee, I can't believe that
anyone could be happy with two days a week
during the day coverage. I think that it
should be expanded that people of all shifts
and should be covered on a rebroadcast
schedule, and possibly maybe a weekend to
run ads, free ads from the ad council isn't
necessarily improvement. And once again,
it's ashame because I see people on both
sides of this issue arguing with each other
and in disagreements and basically it's over
the whim of one person.

Now, on our economic development, I feel once again we need to move to industrial park development, we have an excess of former of coal land called Brownfield. These lands should be returned to grade, basic infrastructure dealt with and industries solicited. In surrounding communities, business park development has caused Big Bass Lake and other lakes in these areas to become polluted and raised

23

24

1	have a big TCE problem and I might add than
2	years back I talked to a federal official on
3	disposal of these and during the 70's it was
4	perfectly legal to dump it into the soil,
5	the trichlormethine causes liver cancer and
6	a number of other maladies and it's in
7	people's wells. In our city we have the
8	infrastructure to deal with these.
9	Also, we have the source of
10	population density and it would make mass
11	transit more profitable and available work
12	force in an energy starved environment it
13	could actually flourish. Please work on
14	policy changes to help society make these
15	changes, these advantages are possibly as
16	valuable or more than Mideast oil. Where is
17	the oil from Iraq that we were all hearing
18	about a couple of years ago? Did they think
19	they were just going to drive in there and
20	steal it? I don't think so. It sounds very
21	legal to me.

But one parting thought on these firemen, what's it's going to do to our insurance when we are reviewed by insurance

1	up for what might be \$50 extra on our
2	property tax bill to turn around and
3	possibly pay 300 or 400 more on our fire
4	insurance, that's a definite possibility.
5	Now, I have been asked to ask this by
6	someone else, and I believe it's right, are
7	we happy with what we are seeing on ECTV?
8	Are we happy that the public who works 9 to
9	5 can't see city council because the
10	rebroadcast schedule of ECTV, 2:30 p.m. and
11	9:30 a.m. Why isn't meetings being
12	broadcast in the evening?
13	Once again, I feel it's an awful
14	shame. I don't know, it's probably gone too
15	far for anybody to shake hands and makeup at
16	this time, but it's ashame that's the one or
17	two people or the three people on a
18	committee can decide what everybody else. I
19	would think being a paying customer of
20	ComCast I should have been asked who I want
21	as a broadcaster and how much money I want
22	donated. Thank you and have a good day.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dobson.
24	Kevin Murphy.
25	MR. MURPHY: Good morning, Council.

Ţ	Kevin Murphy, 54/ Lincoln Street. I stand
2	her before you with a unique perspective, I
3	sat on the dais there as a council person
4	and as a council president and now today I
5	speak before you as a taxpayer. The ECTV
6	issue, it's not doesn't seem to be a
7	money issue. Now, I understand from serving
8	on council that certain monies that are
9	allocated through OECD can be recycled after
10	three years to be used for various projects
11	that don't have to meet the same criteria
12	that an initial application for OECD funding
13	are required to meet. So, I don't really
14	want to dwell on the funding, although, I
15	think it should be looked into because
16	although you can use that recycled UDAG
17	money for various projects, as I said, I
18	don't believe that you could be given to the
19	Scranton Business Association and them to
20	unilaterally make the decision to give it to
21	another entity. I think there may be a
22	problem there, but my primary focus and what
23	I want to speak to you about is the
24	accessibility of government and governmental
25	body meetings and the municipal meetings

here in Scranton and Lackawanna County.
Now, I want to also congratulate Scranton
Tomorrow and Scranton Today for the nine
years of service and for operating here in
these chambers and throughout the city in
Lackawanna County, I think they did an
excellent job, and as I said, from my
perspective as a councilman sitting up there
and council president at one point I know
how difficult it is, and I respect everyone
that sits up there who is running for office
and trying your best to serve the public and
I would hope that when people come to speak
before council as when I sat where you are
sitting now that they are respectful and
that they make their point and we're able to
agree to disagree without too much
contentiousness.

But, anyhow, that having been said, and I know that's not only the case, but what I want to talk to you about is the accessibility of these meetings and we have a very diverse working community out there. There is people that work first, second, third shifts in this economic crises that we

1	are currently in. There is people working
2	two or three jobs, so I think if you
3	contemplate whether or not you are going to
4	allocate the \$90,000 in funding for ECTV,
5	and I want to preface this by saying I think
6	Scranton Today did a fantastic job, ECTV,
7	I'm not affiliated with them either, so I'm
8	bipartisan in that regard, but what I want
9	you to consider is you have the same
10	accessibility of these meetings, to planning
11	commission meetings, to the zoning board
12	meetings, to the Sewer Authority meetings
13	that you had under Scranton Today because I
14	think they did an excellent job of getting
15	the message out there. I think the
16	taxpayers and the people that are sitting
17	and watching in their homes that can't
18	attend a meeting down here at city hall or
19	go to these other meetings can watch it and
20	follow their money because right now more
21	than ever with the gas prices over \$4.00 a
22	gallon, property taxes going up, the
23	reassessment there is a lot of critical
24	financial issues that people are facing in
25	their homes and different times, they are

here right now. So now it's mo9re important than ever for them to follow their tax dollars to make sure they are getting the most for their money, so I think that's the critical issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think before you contemplate or as you are contemplating about allocating that money to ECTV I think you should talk to them first and make sure that the accessibility to these meetings and other governmental body meetings meets the same standard as Scranton Today met, and I think they did an excellent job and I think that's something that you really have to consider because the discourse back and forth and the public exchange between an elected official and regular citizen I think it goes a long way. It goes a long way in making sure that the integrity of what public access is all about is maintained, and that's letting somebody sit in the comfort of their own home, watching what's going on with their taxpayer dollars and deciding for themselves who is full of hot air, who is not, who has their own agenda, who doesn't, and I think

1 if you trust the people to make their own 2 determinations based on what they see on 3 their own TV I think they are more than 4 fair, but if I could be of any assistance to 5 you and answer any questions I would be more than happy to do so, but I think that's the 6 critical issue here right now is 7 8 accessibility. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much, 10 Mr. Murphy. 11 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. Thank you 12 very much. 13 MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, I do agree 14 with your presentation today and I feel 15 strongly about the importance of government 16 meetings being viewed by city taxpayers, however, I believe that perhaps one of the 17 18 stipulations for the change from one 19 manager/operator to another was that the 20 rebroadcasting of government meetings would cease. In other words, a live broadcast and 21 22 perhaps one rebroadcast because apparently

that seemed to be one of the issues that the

management of Scranton Today, so though I do

mayor had with Channel 61 under the

23

24

agree with you, I think it may be a difficult task to accomplish in order to be able to provide the same number of rebroadcasts that had previously been presented.

But while I have you, I just wanted to ask you one question, if I might. I noticed in today's paper some movement, shall we say, on the Recovery Plan and as a councilman who voted for the implementation of the Recovery Plan I know you advocated strongly for the Recovery Plan during your tenure on council, what quickly is your perspective on these proposed staffing cuts in the city?

MR. MURPHY: Well, I didn't come here to prepared to speak about this, but since you asked the question I will be happy to address it. I think as you sit there and you gather information to make decisions that are in the best interest of the taxpayers of the city as I did when I sat there, you make your best decision based on the information that you are given at that time.

1	Now we are six years down the line
2	from 2002 when the Recovery Plan was
3	initially adopted and the Recovery Plan was
4	adopted for several different reasons: One
5	very important reason was we were under
6	state sanction at the time because we didn't
7	have we hadn't adopted a Recovery Plan,
8	but we had money, liquid fuels money from
9	the government and the state that was being
10	withheld for roads and bridges and repairs,
11	so we had to make sure that we were going to
12	do the responsible things for the taxpayers
13	because we didn't want any rate increases,
14	we didn't want any tax increases and that
15	was another thing that was thrown out there
16	at the time when the Recovery Plan was
17	adopted, there was going to be a looming 8
18	percent tax increase at that time and with
19	the distressed city status and with the
20	sanctions, the PEL who was involved in the
21	this entire process along with the
22	administration and with council, everyone
23	understood that the Recovery Plan was not
24	something that was written in stone. As
25	long as you worked within the financial

do many different things.

I think one critical thing that has happened that I was very unhappy with over these subsequent years is that there has been some hypocritical decisions that seemed to be unfair and don't seem, but are, in fact, unfair because when you are trying to implement a financial recovery plan and at the same time you are creating new jobs and when you are giving huge pay increases to administrative officials and there is only one group sharing the burden I don't think that's right, so in retrospect I believe the decision at that time was the right decision.

I don't believe the stewardship of
the plan has been properly carried out. I
think you have to make sure that if you are
going to implement a Recovery Plan and
especially if you are going to implement the
Recovery Plan in a manner in which public
safety maybe jeopardized, I don't want to
see layoffs for firemen, I don't want to see
layoffs for police officers, this is a

rapidly and we have gone a long way from
2002 to 2006, so some of these decisions
that have to be made they have to be made
with public safety in mind and I think you
always have to be willing to revisit
something, look at it and make sure that in
the end you are doing the right thing and I
think there is some different ways that we
could do that, too, and I think Act 47, you
know, if amended would help ensure that
there is a specific time frame in which to
implement the Recovery Plan in a matter
which is fair to not only the administration
and management, it's also fair to labor,
union and the taxpayers because subsequent
to 2002 you had a 24 percent tax increase as
you are all aware, so was it hypocritical, I
believe it was.
MS. EVANS: Thank you.
MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, can you

MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, can you just give me a little bit of latitude, I want to ask you a question, Mr. Murphy, and if you don't want to answer it and I can understand, you can just walk away because

1 it's kind of a tough question, all right,
2 and I don't want to put you on the spot?

3	MR. MURPHY: Well, that wasn't an
4	easy one right there.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: This is probably
6	tougher. If you were voting right now right
7	today at this minute for the Recovery Plan
8	that you voted for several years ago would
9	you vote yes or no, and all I ask is a yes
10	or no answer.
11	MR. MURPHY: Yes, I do respect your
12	question and I do have the advantage of
13	hindsight, but I would say, no. No. I
14	wouldn't favor the plan as it stands.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: Thank you for
16	answering. I know that's a tough question.
17	MR. MURPHY: But initially, as I said
18	when I came up here to speak about ECTV, I
19	think the primary thing that we want to make
20	sure is we don't have restrictive coverage
21	and restrictive coverage is a form of
22	censorship so I think you have to be careful
23	with that, so revisit that and hopefully
24	they can make those accommodations to have
25	these meetings retelevised then I think the

1 taxpayers will benefit from that and I think
2 we will all be in a better place. Thank you

3	very much.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Murphy.
5	Any other speakers?
6	MR. LYMAN: Raymond Lyman. Mrs.
7	Evans, can I ask you a question? Would you
8	do me a favor?
9	MS. EVANS: I could try.
10	MR. LYMAN: Would you write a letter
11	to the Supreme Court for a question? How
12	could Mayor Doherty circumvent the
13	constitution to allow ECTV to be put on the
14	air violating the law because Scranton
15	Today's rights were violated because, number
16	one, how could he do that for the people of
17	Scranton, they should have been voted on to
18	do that because ECTV they don't run city
19	council meetings, they only ran it once at
20	2:30 and they didn't run it after that
21	because when I was watching it they cut it
22	off three times when I was watching it at
23	2:30, they ran it when Sam Patilla and then
24	it went off, and then it came back on the
25	air and then after Bill Courtright was

speaking then it went off again and then
when McGoff was threatened about a lawsuit
against me then it went off again and the

4	voice was so low I couldn't even hear it.
5	And, Mr. McGoff, my friend told me if
6	you threatened me with a lawsuit I will sue
7	your pants off, violation of my civil rights
8	because every time I come to these meetings
9	my lawyer told me violation of my civil
10	rights were violated because you never
11	threatened these people here. Every time I
12	come up here my five minutes was never up
13	because I tape these meetings because it's
14	never five minutes, so shut your mouth.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.
16	MR. LYMAN: I got an envelope here.
17	Remember last week you said I had to give
18	you
19	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Lyman.
20	MR. LYMAN: an envelope
21	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Lyman.
22	MR. LYMAN: This is my five minutes.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Well, it's going to be
24	cut short if you continue.
2.5	MR. LYMAN: Point of order.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Exactly.

2 MR. LYMAN: Just like Fay Franus says

3 every time. Shut your mouth.

4	MR. COURTRIGHT: Oh, Ray.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Ray.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Please leave the podium
7	MS. FANUCCI: You are going to have
8	to leave.
9	MR. LYMAN: Let me speak.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Please leave the podium
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Ray.
12	MR. LYMAN: No, let me speak. Just
13	like when Fay Franus
14	MR. MCGOFF: Ray, you are not doing
15	yourself any favors here. Please leave the
16	podium.
17	MR. LYMAN: No, I want to speak.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Raymond, can I just
19	say something to you?
20	MR. LYMAN: I want to speak.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, I'LL hold
22	your time for a minute, don't tell him to
23	shut up or anything like that.
24	MR. LYMAN: No, I'm not
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: You can't do that

and they are going to have to remove you and
everyone is going to be all upset. Nobody
wants the officer to remove you, try to
remain calm, you can't tell him to shut up,

5	you can't do that, all right? Please. Try
6	to be decent and everybody will allow you to
7	speak, but you can't whether you like it
8	or not he is a council person and you can't
9	tell him to shut up.
10	MR. LYMAN: When I became mayor.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: When you become
12	mayor that will be wonderful, but, please.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Please leave the podium.
14	When you can speak more responsibly then you
15	can return.
16	MR. LYMAN: I'm not fighting with
17	you, I'm sorry.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Your time is up. Thank
19	you.
20	MR. LYMAN: I have a couple of
21	questions I just want to ask.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Your time is up. Thank
23	you.
24	MR. LYMAN: Well, my five minutes
25	ain't up.

L	MK.	MCGOFF:		ies, i	LL	IS.		
2	MR.	LYMAN:	Ι	didn't	: h	near	the	bell.

3 MR. MCGOFF: Your time is up.

4 MR. LYMAN: Okay, I'll just sue your

5	pants off, violation of my civil rights.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
7	MR. LYMAN: We'll see you in Court,
8	Mr. McGoff. I'll see you in Court.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. My apologies
10	for the comments. Mr. Ungvarsky.
11	MR. UNGVARSKY: Good morning, city
12	council, I'm Tom Ungvarsky and I'm a member
13	of the Scranton Lackawanna County Taxpayers
14	Association. It's still unclear to me how
15	this process of evolved that we selected
16	ECTV and perhaps you can answer a few more
17	questions for me. Do we know how many
18	meetings the three-member panel had and how
19	much time they devoted to selecting ECTV?
20	MS. EVANS: No.
21	MR. UNGVARSKY: Now, are there any
22	follow-up questions yes, ma'am.
23	MS. EVANS: No.
24	MR. UNGVARSKY: No, were there any
25	follow-ups to any of the other bidders for
	71
1	this Channel 612 Ware the proposals
1	this Channel 61? Were the proposals
2	submitted by the others?
3	MS. FANUCCI: You mean, Mr. Ozzie

Quinn and that proposal, the one that Ozzie

Quinn put in? There was follow-up and I

6	believe that that was basically funding by
7	they were looking for funding also by
8	government and also private entities.
9	MR. UNGVARSKY: Were there any
10	face-to-face meetings with them?
11	MS. FANUCCI: With them?
12	MR. UNGVARSKY: With anyone.
13	MS. FANUCCI: I'm not sure. That
14	would be the committee's process.
15	MR. UNGVARSKY: Wan anyone proposed
16	to take over the channel, were there any
17	face-to-face meetings with them?
18	MS. EVANS: I don't know.
19	MR. UNGVARSKY: Were there any
20	follow-up questions, I mean, when somebody
21	puts a proposal on the desk I'm sure there
22	is questions that someone would have, did
23	they ask, did this three-member panel ask
24	anyone, any other follow-up questions?
25	MS. EVANS: I don't know.

1	MR. UNGVARSKY: It seems to me as
2	though everything here was done in a vacuum
3	and was there own one meeting and was that
4	in the mayor's office?

MS. EVANS: I don't know.

6	MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, maybe before
7	you even vote on this you could find out
8	those answers, also. Mrs. Fanucci, ten
9	weeks ago I asked you a question about a
10	SECCAS, LLC if they were going to be coming
11	to town or if they were just looking for the
12	best offer from someone. I understand that
13	they got \$2 million from the State of
14	Pennsylvania or it was proposed that they
15	get \$2 million. Have we heard anything more
16	about that, you were supposed to find an
17	answer out for me.
18	MS. FANUCCI: I was supposed to find
19	an answer on it, and I did not find out
20	anything more on that, but I will still look
21	into that for you. Yeah, I did not find
22	anything more on that.
23	MR. UNGVARSKY: So perhaps they are
24	just looking for the best bid then I guess.
25	MS. FANUCCI: I'm not sure, but

nobody is coming out and giving me that as a
final answer either, but I will continue on
that for your.
MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay, maybe you can

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay, maybe you can ask some of those questions in your motions. Thank you.

7	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
8	Mr. Ungvarsky. Anyone else?
9	MS. KRAKE: Good morning, council.
10	The mayor and his administration are now
11	playing a very dangerous game shooting from
12	the hip and with no plan in place the mayor
13	will be deciding who gets police and fire
14	coverage and who doesn't. Will the mayor
15	close fire stations in rich neighborhoods or
16	poor? Take a look at his record for the
17	past seven years and it's obvious some
18	people count in this city and some people do
19	not. We all note there is no economic
20	savings when this administration cuts jobs.
21	I think the past councilman that just stood
22	at this podium is well aware of that. The
23	clerical union lost 30 plus jobs and the
24	mayor gobbled up that money and more for his
25	patronage middle management jobs. This is

about control and ultimately the abuse of taxpayers' moneys.

Our city budget has grown nearly \$30 million since Doherty has been in office. None of that excessive bloat went to raises for clerical, police and fire.

Now, to be honest, the clerks did get a small raise in this year's budget. Five million of it and more went to raises for the administration. You can't forget the 27 percent tax increase or the over 220 million in long-term debt. Any further reduction in workers would only impact the safety of the citizens in Scranton.

The unions have already given and given and given and given. Fire lost 50 jobs in '93 and police have lost at least that many since we have been distressed. If the Recovery Plan were to being enacted a handful of consultants and lobbyists basically bureaucrats in Harrisburg will decide the distribution of our city's resources just like Chris Doherty when he was councilman went to DCED and demanded we be distressed, I would like to invite

council people to come with us and address
DCED and demand we are taken out from under
the distressed status and out from the
Pennsylvania Economy League and their
oppressive control as they run and ruin our
lives and our city. It's time. It's time
now before this goes any further to give the

8	city, it's resources and our lives back to
9	everyone, all of the citizens. Thank you.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.
11	MR. GERVASI: Good morning, City
12	Council, my name is Dave Gervasi and I'm the
13	vice president of the firefighter's union
14	and a resident of the City of Scranton. I
15	guess you probably know why I'm here today.
16	There was some more inaccurate information
17	put out by the Scranton Times in this
18	administration this morning and it said that
19	the mayor just got the right to cut 38
20	firefighters. That is completely and
21	unequivocally not true. That hearing that
22	happened yesterday was on the police
23	department, unfortunately, on the police
24	department. The only thing that was brought
25	up at this hearing about the fire department

was the city's legal representation, this is according to my attorney, the city's legal representation, mentioned the fire department and said that we have no plans for the fire department at this time. That decision came down yesterday exclusively on the police officers, not the fire

8 department, so what you read in the paper 9 today is once again false.

Now, we did file for a stay when the city was talking about implementing the Recovery Plan before the cases settled. The fire department and the police in concert filed for a stay. We lost that part of it, but nothing was discussed about the fire department, so don't believe what you read in the paper again.

Unfortunately, for the police

officers they want to cut the longevity pay

of those officers which for any officer that

was hired after January 16, 2003, very

unfortunately, one-third of the police

officers that are working at this time were

hired after the January 1st of 2003 since

the mayor chased all of our experienced

officers out the door back in 2002. To make matters worse, these same police officers have been living without any cost of living adjustments in the last seven years and that third is going to lose a few percentage points in their salaries. They are going to take a cut in pay. I don't know if you are real concerned about this, but I believe, if

I'm correct, I believe 12 officers have already left the police department for greener pastures because when you have wage freezes and less than cost of living raises over and over and over again since 1992 right now just in the fire department we are \$15,000, our salaries, \$15,000 under the median salary of a comparable city in the City of Pennsylvania and cities within our own region in the northeast, \$15,000 and the police are in about the same boat we are.

So, I don't know if you people really care, I know some of you do, but do you really care that we are going to lose our finest police officers? There are some great officers. Sergeant Paul Duffy who I personally know very, very well, he is

actually married to one of my relatives, one
of the finest officers to ever walk this
beat in the City of Scranton, he is gone.
He can't take it anymore. It's unfair.
It's disgusting and this Recovery Plan is
Draconian and it was nice to see Councilman
Murphy here today tell the truth about if he
had to vote on it today would he vote on the

Recovery Plan. The people were misinformed about the Recovery Plan and the word couldn't get out because the newspaper kept it out and kept protecting this administration from day one. The plan is Draconian, it's disgusting, it's unworkable, parts of it our illegal and it never should have been voted on, but I can understand the residents when you are threatened with a tax increase.

One other thing I would like to bring up about why we are going to make these police officers suffer and maybe in two months make the fire department suffer when we hit that stage of this Court case, we had a woman with a PhD in economy do a financial analysis of our city's finances

in the paper about their deficits, the true numbers within our end of the year audits, our financial audits, independent audits.

The city right now according to this PhD in economy who I think is much more qualified than anyone that works for the city, especially in this administration, we are sitting on a \$11.7 million surplus for the

end of 2006 and the mayor should know that, raised your taxes, our taxes, 25 percent when it wasn't necessary and now he wants to knock off 37 firefighters if happens in Court. Well, just so everyone knows that 38 firefighters is going to add up to approximately \$2.4 million in savings if it

approximately \$2.4 million in savings if it happens, you are probably going to have engine company closures, I don't know which neighborhood is going to suffer over that and increase response times, but they never had to raise your taxes, we know this as a fact, never had to your raise your taxes and they don't have to cut the police department

or the fire department, they don't have to

L	make these police officers suffer now, which
2	we heard they are going to try to do to
3	them, it's all been a lie and it's all been
1	a lie that's been repeated in the paper
5	every single day and I'll give you any
5	documentation you want to see to back up
7	what I just said today. Thank you for your
3	time.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gervasi.

10	MR.: Hello, Bill.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Chris.
12	MR.: Billy, one thing, there is
13	crime in the city, we need the police to
14	provide the protection in the city, we just
15	can't allow to let anymore go. Thank you.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Chris.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Mrs.
18	Evans?
19	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good morning.
20	Since our last council meeting several
21	beneficial announcements have occurred. The
22	long vacant Sunoco station on Mulberry
23	Street will be transformed into a Dunkin
24	Donuts Shop. Also, Mr. Oleski, deputy
25	director of public safety is embarking on a

1	cleanup of 70 abandoned lots throughout the
2	city with the help of the DPW employees, of
3	course. City residents have complained of
4	these eyesores in their neighborhoods
5	throughout my five years as a councilwoman,
6	so I am pleased that many blighted
7	properties finally will be addressed.
8	Last Friday, July 4, I attended the
9	annual Independence Day celebration at the

Nay Aug Park Swim Complex. This beautiful

11 event sponsored by the American Flag 12 Committee included representation by military veterans of all wars and conflicts. 13 14 I'd like to particularly recognize Mr. Frank Tyron, event organizer, the Ringhold band 15 16 under the direction of Ted Riggy, and the outstanding soloist, Mary Rita Stuckard, for 17 18 their roles in making these celebrations so successful. 19 20 I'm also pleased that the Southern 21 Union building will be occupied in the near

22

23

24

25

I'm also pleased that the Southern
Union building will be occupied in the near
future. I'll be own more pleased when it
goes back on the tax rolls.

Yet another beneficial announcements for this area is the \$4 million allocation

1	and approval for the Commonwealth Medical
2	College. The location of this institution
3	in our city will address local health
4	concerns by hopefully replenishing the
5	dwindling number of physicians and
6	specialists and by offering a level of
7	health care that will make us competitive
8	with institutions outside of our area,
9	thereby, decreasing the need of our citizens
10	to seek medical treatment in New York or

11	Philadelphia, etcetera.
12	Next, let's turn out our attention
13	to questionable issues of crucial concern to
14	the taxpayers. Last week the mayor
15	announced that he had given \$10,000 in UDAG
16	funds to the East Scranton Business
17	Association in order that this association
18	could then give that same \$10,000 to ECTV.
19	He further elaborated that on March 9, 2006,
20	\$577,000 in UDAG funds was approved for
21	various city projects by three members of
22	council, thereby, giving him the right to
23	spend these federal funds in any way he
24	decides. According to the minutes of the
25	March 9, 2006, council meeting, however, any
	83
1	project funded by the \$577,000 UDAG monies
2	must receive council approval.
3	Now, I had planned on asking
4	Attorney Minora these questions, Attorney
5	Williams are you employed in his law firm?
6	MR. WILLIAMS: I am.
7	MS. EVANS: Then, perhaps you could
8	pass these questions onto him.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: I would be happy to

MS. EVANS: Do these UDAG funds

10 do that.

12 require the knowledge and consent of city 13 council before they can be given out by the mayor and if Mr. Minora determines that, 14 15 indeed, this is appropriate and legal 16 process I ask that he put his opinion in 17 writing and I also ask for a copy of any source to document his opinion. Thank you. 18 19 Since these funds are taxpayers' money they should decide if this \$10,000 grant 20 passes the smell test. Some have stated 21 22 that I am anti-ECTV and this is not true. I 23 attended the ECTV open house because I

24

25

11

 ${\tt ECTV}$ firsthand. As I toured the basement of

wanted to be open minded and learn about

84

1	933 Prescott Avenue with Mr. Balton, the
2	area was in such good condition that I
3	thought perhaps the renovations had already
4	begun. When I asked Mr. Balton if the work
5	was already underway, he answered that, no,
6	no renovations had yet begun, ECTV was
7	waiting for it's appearance the following
8	week before the city's zoning board for a
9	variance before it would begin any
10	renovations.

Mayor Doherty also stated last week

that he gave the UDAG funds to the East

Scranton Business Association to give to

ECTV to he eliminate blight in the basement

of 933 Prescott Avenue, the location of

ECTV's studio and offices.

Consequently, I ask when the

basement of this church at 933 Prescott

Avenue or the property itself was declared

basement of this church at 933 Prescott

Avenue or the property itself was declared

blighted. I would also like an explanation

of how it was blighted. Therefore, with

council's approval I would like to send a

letter to OECD requesting written responses

to these questions on or before July 21,

2008? Is that agreeable? Thank you.

On another topic, Council received a
letter from Attorney David Solfanelli
yesterday stating that council's request for
Mr. McDowell to appear and public comments
relating to his tenure as tax collector are
purely for political purposes rather than
the pursuit of any legitimate inquiry. He
goes on further to say that these actions
make it apparent that council does not
desire an informative meeting with McDowell.

In order to put such accusations to rest, I move to begin an investigation into

13	the 12.2 million dollars that was discovered
14	in an account of the Single Tax Office and
15	the performance of the duties and
16	responsibilities as prescribed by law of the
17	former tax collector, Kenneth McDowell, as
18	they relate to the City of Scranton.
19	MS. FANUCCI: I just have a question,
20	how are we what are we going to do to do
21	that? What's the process that you are
22	looking for, that's what I think should be
23	involved in the motion. You know,
O 4	investigate, who is investigating, how is to
24	investigate, who is investigating, now is to
25	be investigating and what are we actually
	be investigating and what are we actually
25	be investigating and what are we actually 86
25	be investigating and what are we actually 86 saying, so I think if you include that it
25 1 2	be investigating and what are we actually 86 saying, so I think if you include that it might be helpful.
1 2 3	be investigating and what are we actually 86 saying, so I think if you include that it might be helpful. MS. EVANS: Well, I can tell you that
1 2 3 4	be investigating and what are we actually 86 saying, so I think if you include that it might be helpful. MS. EVANS: Well, I can tell you that I'm making the motion to begin the
1 2 3 4 5	be investigating and what are we actually 86 saying, so I think if you include that it might be helpful. MS. EVANS: Well, I can tell you that I'm making the motion to begin the investigation in order that Mrs. Gatelli,
1 2 3 4 5	be investigating and what are we actually 86 saying, so I think if you include that it might be helpful. MS. EVANS: Well, I can tell you that I'm making the motion to begin the investigation in order that Mrs. Gatelli, our finance chair, is able to make her
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	be investigating and what are we actually 86 saying, so I think if you include that it might be helpful. MS. EVANS: Well, I can tell you that I'm making the motion to begin the investigation in order that Mrs. Gatelli, our finance chair, is able to make her motion for subpoenas today.

to Pennsylvania Code, and I believe --

MS. FANUCCI: We are allowed to

11

13	investigate, but I wasn't sure that she was	3
14	going to maybe we should do it all in	
15	one.	
16	MS. GATELLI: I was going to make	
17	both of them, I think that's why she	
18	MS. FANUCCI: Why don't we do it at	
19	all once? Can we pull it all at once and	
20	we'll make it and send it right	
21	MS. EVANS: Well, actually, I think	
22	you need the motion for the investigation	
23	first so that you are on the record	
24	documenting that	
25	MS. FANUCCI: Okay.	
		87

1	MS. EVANS: an investigation has
2	been initiated.
3	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question, I
5	would just like to say this, I believe that
6	Mr. Minora had stated to us in the past in
7	order for us to subpoena that we did have to
8	have an investigation, so this motion would
9	enable Mrs. Gatelli to make her subpoena
10	or the investigation will enable
11	Mrs. Gatelli to make her subpoenas.
12	MS. EVANS: And, again, these rights

and authorities are granted by not only

14	Scranton's Home Rule Charter, but by the
15	Pennsylvania Code as well and I believe the
16	Pennsylvania Code provides that city council
17	may issue subpoenas for testimony and books,
18	records, etcetera, in any pending
19	investigation, inquiry or impeachment case.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
21	MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to
22	ask, what do you feel we can accomplish by
23	this investigation? The tax office and the
24	Mr. McDowell had been investigated, I
25	believe there have been three

1	investigations, and while I do believe that
2	Mr. McDowell does, you know, perhaps should
3	answer some questions, I just don't know
4	what the intent of an investigation would be
5	and what purpose it would serve.
6	MS. FANUCCI: Well, I'm going to say
7	you, I seconded it, and my problem is not
8	exactly the investigation that's ongoing
9	with just him, but we have not been able to
10	get any answers within the current office.
11	They have not been forthright and
12	forthcoming with what we need and for some
13	reason there has been lot of very bad

attitude and very negligent answers. They
are not even responding to us asking them to
respond. So, to me obviously there is some
reason and if it takes this to get whatever
answers we need then that's how it's going
be.

We did give many opportunities for them to come here and speak to us and try to find out exactly what we needed during that time and the first appearance was wonderful, obviously, we had tons more questions that were still not addressed. And, you know, I

understand the investigation with the FBI and that is a separate issue as far as I'm concerned, a very separate issue.

MS. GATELLI: It is separate from the 12 million and I, too, feel that they are not being forthright. A large amount of that I believe is part of the City of Scranton's money and I am counting on that money for next year's budget. The forensic audit hasn't been started yet, that's been waylaid for whatever reason and from my conversations with Attorney Minora concerning Mr. McDowell for some reason they had to get permission from the current tax

15	collector for him to come here.
16	The 12 million is not part of the
17	FBI investigation, it is separate, and
18	without the forensic audit and a subpoena
19	from us to answer some questions that we
20	would see relevant I think it's absolutely
21	necessary.
22	MS. FANUCCI: And I'm going to
23	comment, they are dragging their feet.
24	There is no reason that that audit should be

taking this long to even get started, let

alone to be, I mean, it would be one thing
to, okay, give the person the time to do the
audit, but to be able to go out and make the
audit happen is taking entirely too much
time. And I have to make decisions based on
voting for the people in the city and taxes
and money and I can't do that unless I know
exactly what we are entitled to out of that
money, if any, so, to me, yeah, it is very
important from where I sit to be able to
make these decisions.
MS. GATELLI: Also, I received a
response from Stu Renda concerning the

independent audit and many of the questions

are for the Single Tax Office, so they are even as we speak not answering the audit and questions. MS. FANUCCI: Questions, yeah. MS. GATELLI: So, that also has been the problem with our independent audit. MS. EVANS: But, again, that was the case for each of the past years under the prior tax collector. The office was not rapidly forthcoming in providing information to Rossi and Sons in any of the last three years at least, but I believe Mr. McDowell holds the key to the situation. This was

years at least, but I believe Mr. McDowell holds the key to the situation. This was his elected office. He oversaw the account into which 12.2 million dollars was deposited. He holds the answer as to why he would comingle funds rather than keep them separate according to state tax laws. There are many laws that were not followed under his tenure and --

MS. FANUCCI: Those deposits were made even prior to him, so what does that mean? How come we can't get these answers? It seems almost absurd that we can't find out with today's technology exactly what we need to know. We are not asking for the

16	FBI's information because this is a totally
17	separate issue. So, to hide behind that
18	seems almost irrelevant at this time. We
19	are at the stage now
20	MS. EVANS: I think it's important
21	though, I'm sorry.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Go ahead, it's your
23	time.
24	MS. EVANS: I think it's important to
25	note that Mr. McDowell's attorney seems to
	92
1	feel that the current tax collector and her
2	solicitor have created this problem.
3	MS. FANUCCI: Right. Right.
4	MR. EVANS: Not Mr. McDowell.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Which is amazing, so
6	who is right and who is wrong? And this is
7	what we need to know and I don't think any
8	of us don't agree, I mean, I'm not speaking
9	for everyone, but I certainly think that is
10	something that is extremely major as far as
11	the taxpayers are concerned.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Could you just repeat
13	the motion so that we are sure again?
14	MS. EVANS: Certainly. I move to
15	begin an investigation into the 12.2 million

16	dollars that was discovered in an account of
17	the Single Tax Office and the performance of
18	the duties and responsibilities as
19	prescribed by law of the former tax
20	collector for Kenneth McDowell as they
21	relate to the City of Scranton.
22	MS. FANUCCI: And I seconded it.
23	MS. GATELLI: She seconded.
24	MR. MCGOFF: It was seconded. All
25	those in favor signify by saying aye.
	93
1	MS. EVANS: Aye.
2	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
3	
	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
6	ayes have it and so moved.
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you. I also have
8	citizens' request for the last week. 112
9	Ridgeview Drive, just above this property
10	there is a road problem that causes storm
11	water to fail to travel to the gully and the
12	pipes on this street. As a result, the road
13	is beginning to deteriorate and the water
14	and debris collected in front of 114

RidgeView Drive. I ask that Mr. Brazil and

the city engineer examine this location to

15

17 determine a possible solution as soon as 18 possible. Amd pave Cooper Avenue on to 19 Gordan Place. 20 And, finally, vehicles have resumed parking on the sidewalk at 916, 918, 820 and 21 22 932 1/2 Greenridge Street. According to 23 residents of Greenridge Street violators 24 were previously warned, I know that to be 25 the case because I have been keeping an eye 94 1 on that area and I did see the problem clear 2 for two or three weeks, but it has returned, 3 so please address this safety hazard 4 appropriately, and that's it. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Evans. 6 Mrs. Gatelli. MS. GATELLI: Yes. To answer 8 Mrs. Schumacher I did receive a response 9 from my request last week to Mr. Renda and 10 he said that the office maintains their 11 focus to complete the audit, however, 12 noticed that four items listed on the recent 13 report are dependent on related parties, authorities and the Single Tax Office, so I 14

would ask, Kay is not here, Neil, are you

taking the requests? Ask her to please

15

contact Mr. Renda and find out which authorities need to answer and the Single Tax Office and send them a letter to give their information to Mr. Rossi as expediently as possible as council is becoming anxious to receive our audit. We had another complaint, I think it was Mr. Spindler, I don't see him here now

actually receive correspondence from the
University of Scranton. The University of
Scranton allowed the International
Spanish Soccer League to play at the complex
on Sundays in 2007 and again in 2008 free of
charge. The University has not charged the
soccer league to play there. The University
continues to make the facility available for
public use. The fall softball league was
offered the fields free of charge in 2007,
it opted not to use them.

about the University of Scranton. We did

And anybody is welcome to see this correspondence from the University of Scranton. I'm not saying that I don't believe you, but I don't know if they would be putting it in this writing that they are not charging anybody. If someone is being

18	charged maybe they can contact us and tell
19	us who they are paying and we can
20	investigate further, but we can only go by
21	documents that we receive, you know,
22	official documents from the University.
23	MS. EVANS: Mrs. Gatelli, while you
24	are on that, I think somewhere in that
25	letter it is stated that the field is open
	96
1	to the public or can be available for public
2	use, I'd like to ask the University of
3	Scranton then why they refused the softball
4	leagues.
5	MS. GATELLI: Okay.
6	MS. EVANS: That I do know for a fact
7	as well, not just in summer but last summer
8	as well.
9	MS. GATELLI: Just the summer leagues
10	because it says they offered them to the
11	fall, the fall leagues were offered. You
12	want to know about the summer leagues? The
13	next thing is the vacant lots that being
14	cleaned up by Mr. Oleski, I have seen some

of the workers out cleaning the lots, but I

want to make people aware that Mr. Gerry

Langan of the Goodwill Industries on the

15

16

corner of Prospect Avenue and Maple Street
has called and the community service they
have offered to cut and clean some of the
lots in the nearby vicinity of their
facility over on Prospect Avenue, so I would
like to publically thank him for his
commitment and hopefully by hearing this
announcement other businesses that have

access to lawnmowers and weed whackers around their businesses maybe will offer to clean some of the city owned public lots that are overgrown and I certainly would encourage that as a community service.

The Friendship House, my friend, Ron Ellman, who hasn't picked me up on the motorcycle yet just so you know that, was talking about the Friendship House and I think that he misunderstood the money of the Friendship House. He said that the Friendship House donated money to the city and was taking it back. It is not money that was given by the Friendship House, it was OECD money of a project that we had approved. The Friendship House will not be ready to do that project for several more years so they felt it unfair to tie up that

money when they are, in fact, are not ready
to use it, so I just wanted to clarify that
Friendship House money.

As far as the \$577,000 that was put

As far as the \$577,000 that was put into an account of UDAG to be used for various projects, yes, I was under the impression the projects would come to city

council as I stated at that meeting, not all
of them. The dog park never came before us,
although, we knew it was happening. The
tree house didn't come before us. All of
the properties that were demolished I don't
believe came before us, and my only concern
is, yes, I still believe they should come
before us. I would like to see the projects
that are being approved even though it is
UDAG money. I can't remember when I was
there if we did any without council's
approval, I would have to go back along way.
I hope that we did when we are there get
approval from council, but, you know, maybe
we didn't either, so I don't want to be too
hard on them if it was done in the past.

My other concern about that is if it can't be done and it shouldn't be done why

does Roseann Novembrino sign the vouchers

for those projects to be done, so apparently

the original legislation is enough for her

to sign all of the vouchers because they

have all been signed by her, and I know

Roseann is very prudent in checking out the

funds, so maybe we can also send a note to

her, Neil, if she has done that in the past and have her solicitor also look at it and see what the deal is there. Maybe when we get all of the information we can do some type of a motion that we do require it if it is not already required and just being circumvented because I, too, would like to have a say in the money that's gone through UDAG money.

The firemen. I was not happy to read about the fire department in the paper today or the police department. As you know, I've always been an advocate for the police department, as a neighborhood leader that's what you want in your neighborhood is more police always, you never have enough police officers and almost every call that I contact the mayor for is a police issue. I just talked to him yesterday about a problem

in an housing development near my house
where neighbors were complaining about the
fireworks until 3:30 in the morning and the
boom boxes that rattle your windows which we
have to deal with at 3:00 and 4:00 in the
morning, so police issues are very much on

the forefront.

And as far as the fire department, they tried to close my firehouse several times of which Mr. Gervasi knows I have it, I could bring it in, I have 10 or 12,000 signatures that Mayor Connors and I went around South Side in Minooka and obtained, so I won't be happy if my firehouse is closed, I'll tell you the truth about that. I won't be a happy camper.

But, I'm going to say this, everyone needs to sit down. It has come to this level because people are not sitting down together. We have a contract in the school district coming up. We know nothing about it as the workers. Our leader is meeting with the school negotiators. We don't know what they are negotiating about and we won't know that until it is brought before us, but

they are sitting down and they are trying to
cooperate and compromise and sometimes you
win a little piece and sometimes you lose a
little piece, but I think this is has gone
on long enough. Six years is a long time
and I think that everybody needs to sit

1 down.

Like Mr. Dobson said about Channel
61, it's all about getting along and it's
all about you give me a glass of water and
I'll give you a half a glass and let's talk
about it and let's try to settle it.
Fighting about it is not getting anybody
anywhere. Everybody is hanging onto their
own turf and rightfully so, I'd hang onto
mine, too. I'm a public employee. I want
to hang onto mine as much as you want to
hang onto yours, but you got to sit down and
you have to make an effort and I think that
would go a long way.

I think a lot of this is, oh, I

don't want to say scare tactics because I

think that could really happen, anybody can

win in Court. The mayor could win and the

firemen can win, but you are tossing that

coin up to the air and you don't know if the

head or the tail is going to come down when
you go to Court. I would much rather see
people get together and you get a little
piece and I get a little piece and everybody
is happy. So, I would encourage Mr. Gervasi

and whoever to, you know, try to talk to
somebody if you need any help anybody up
here would be willing to support you, I'm
sure, and the police officers. The clerical
already got their contract, but any one of
us up here are supportive of the police and
fire and we would be willing, I would be
willing to setup something with the mayor
with two of us, three of us can't meet, but
two of us can meet and try to see if we
can't settle this before bad things happen.
I don't want them to happen and I don't
think anyone else does either.
And my last thing is I would like to

make a motion for Mr. Minora to prepare the subpoenas for Mr. McDowell to come before us and answer questions.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add that

21	regarding any information that Mr. McDowell
22	might require to respond to council he
23	should request that information himself from
24	the Single Tax Office.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? All those
	103
1	in favor signify by saying aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye.
3	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
4	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
7	ayes have it and so moved.
8	MS. GATELLI: That's all I have,
9	Mr. McGoff.
10	MS. FANUCCI: I have nothing at this
11	time.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Neil, I guess I'll
13	have to get a couple of these things to you.
14	I got a call about a catch basin at the
15	corner or Prescott and Mulberry, if they
16	could take a look at that one. This article
17	in the paper of Mr. Oleski has caused my
18	phone to ring a great deal and one specific,
19	I tried to call him yesterday I know he was
20	on vacation, but I called his office and
0.4	

left a message and he didn't call me back

yet, so, Neil, maybe we could send this
down, there is a lot at the corner of
Hampton Street and Sixth Avenue, I believe
it's 602-604 Hampton, since I have been on

council the people in that neighborhood have been trying to get the city to clean that one up and, boy, when they saw this thing in the paper they called me and I believe it was one of the last ones that Mary Alice Burke had torn down so I know it's a city, the city -- anyway, the city tore it down, I don't know if they are possession of the property.

Realistically, I think everybody knows there is possibly enough votes for \$90,000 to go through, maybe not, and I think most know that I'm not in favor of the \$90,000, but if I was one of the people that were in favor of giving the \$90,000 I think I would be a little bit upset because I don't believe that any anyone on council knew about this \$10,000 until maybe the day before we got it. I didn't know until the day of the meeting, so if I am one of the guys helping out the mayor to give this

\$90,000 I would have thought that he would have given me the courtesy to tell me, I'm backdooring another ten, but evidently he didn't, so I would be a little bit upset,

1	VO11	know
L	you	VIIOW

Mrs. Garvey sent a letter, I believe
it was on my behalf, I'm not sure or council
to Linda Aebli about the 10,000. I don't
<pre>know if it's not maybe it's legal, maybe</pre>
he found a legal way to do it, but I don't
think it's morally correct at all that he
sent money to the neighborhood association
and then they turned it over to ECTV,
whether it's legal or not I don't know and
hopefully Mr. Minora will find it for us,
and a lot of times the public doesn't want
to take Mr. Minora's answer, you know, they
say he doesn't know what he is doing, which
I'm not what I think I'm going to do is
I'm thinking maybe I'll put together a
letter and maybe I'll ask HUD if, in fact,
whether the mayor is allowed to do this. I
just think it's wrong.

This TV station has gotten off on such a bad foot here, I mean, it's put a bad taste in a lot of people's mouths, people

that originally weren't concerned one way or
another and now are very upset and I think
rightfully so. I think one thing they have

got to change and change it immediately today is when they are broadcasting this meeting. I mean, for whatever reason they can't broadcast us live, I hope that changes, there are lot of people upset about that, but 2:30 in the afternoon and then 9:30 in the morning when the average person is working the dayshift can't watch it, once again, it makes you look like, and I say you, ECTV, it makes you look like you are trying to hide government from the people.

Whether you are or not isn't the question, it makes it looks like you are, so I would suggest to you whoever is there, whether it's Mr. Darcy I believe who I have never met I don't think, change it today, right now, so that the people have access to what's going on in here. You are making yourself look terrible, terrible and all you have to do is just rectify that and I think you would give yourself a big boost up there, and I'll get off that subject.

23	MS. GATELLI: Bill, why don't you
24	make a motion that we send a letter for them
25	to at least air it every shift.
	107
1	MR. COURTRIGHT: All right. I'll
2	make a motion that we send a letter to ECTV
3	asking them to air city council meetings not
4	only live, but one of all of the three
5	working shifts it would be 7 to 3:30, three
6	working shifts hours would be my motion.
7	MS. GATELLI: I'll second that.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
9	those in favor signify by saying aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
12	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
15	ayes have it and so moved.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Thank you. One
17	other one, Neil, on Bromley Avenue, I don't
18	have the exact address but it's in the 100
19	block of South Bromley Avenue, oh, I think
20	it was during the last election that's how
21	long it's been they tore down a building on
22	that, a very large building called the

Yeager building and the residents have been

there and cutting the grass, if that's one
that Mr. Oleski could put on his list, it's
a rather large lot, and I did check into I
don't have an official letter or anything,
but I did check into my understanding is the
gas company is going to be tearing up the
road for the full length of Washburn Street,
so once they complete their work the road
will be paved and that road really needs to
be paved. I'm going to ask the gas company
if they can tear up Meridan Avenue, that
needs to be paved. It seems that's the only
way we are getting streets paved.

I won't comment on the fire department because as Mr. Gervasi said what went down yesterday was basically for the police department so I'll comment on that and I'll reserve my comments on the fire department if and when something happens there.

I would like the people to take a look and say, for instance, you are a city police officer that came on the job in 2005,

getting was now taken away from you so now
you are losing money, okay, how attractive
is that going to be to people who want to be
a police officer in this area? I know there
is articles in the paper saying we have a
ton of people that applied and more so than
ever, I think not. I think I know as much
about that as anybody in this city, and I
talked to the people that are going to
become police officers, some of them that
are on the jobs right now whose names I
obviously I won't mention, I don't want to
jeopardize their job, they told me they were
coming here short-term, you know, just until
they get something better.

I think we need to take a look around and look at the municipalities around us that are similar size and makeup as ours and pay our people accordingly, both police and fire so that we can get quality people and people that want to stay here. When you have a lot of people leave that have left here in the recent past in the police department anyway, they have knowledge that

1	you learn from working each and everyday, so
2	I would really like to see that happen that
3	we start to pay them at the very least what
4	other municipalities around us are paying so
5	we don't lose them.
6	One concern, and it's a major
7	concern of mine, is there was a there is
8	language in there about manning, and I
9	understand that they are going to try to use
.0	supervisors for manning and possibly I'm
.1	hearing anyway that maybe even the
.2	detectives would be pulled to answer a call
.3	if all of the people were called up, but I
_ 4	will be watching closely as I know other
.5	people told me how many times does the
. 6	ComCenter come over the air and say,
.7	"Command car, we have no cars available,"
.8	and that's in the daytime, all right, and
.9	that's what we have now.
20	So, I will wait and see what happens
21	because I don't think anybody knows for sure
22	how they are going to do it, but if it
23	appears that we are running very short of

people on the road then I will ask Dave

1	week of how many men man each shift and I
2	want a realistic list, not a list that we
3	have "X" amount of officers but three of
4	them are sitting in an office somewhere, I
5	want to talk about people that are on the
6	street doing the job. I don't think there
7	is I anybody up here that obviously, you
8	know, we are not always on the same side,
9	but everybody always seems to agree we need
10	people on the street, and if this Recovery
11	Plan or whatever you want to call this is
12	going to take people off the street, and I
13	mean really take people off the street, I'm
14	not talking about we always seem to get into
15	the numbers here, "Well, we got this many
16	officers, and we got this many officers,"
17	let's tell the truth and say who is out
18	there doing the job, who is out there
19	answering the calls, not somebody that's
20	sitting in an office, not somebody that
21	possibly can answer the call, who is
22	answering the calls and it really, really
23	concerns me, so I will keep my mouth shut
24	until I see what happens and if I see it
25	going the wrong way then I will become very

Ţ	poisterous.
2	And one last thing, this will be the
3	last time I do this, it's been almost I
4	believe three years I have been asking about
5	the project on Main Avenue from Jackson
6	Street to Luzerne Street, the mayor refuses,
7	he doesn't want to answer my letters he told
8	somebody, I don't want to get that person in
9	trouble, the last time I saw him he said,
10	"If he wants to talk to me let him call me,"
11	so I called him and he didn't answer the
12	phone and the last time I talked to him I
13	think it was maybe about a year ago he said
14	that we are going to use state money, there
15	might be money in the state budget coming.
16	He didn't want to go the route that we had
17	been going with Mr. Kanjorski, so I will
18	call him and ask him, "Are you going to do
19	this project on Main Avenue in West
20	Scranton, yes or no," and that will be the
21	last time and hopefully he will give me a
22	yes or no answer. I'm really getting
23	irritated and that's all I have. Thank you.
24	MS. GATELLI: Excuse me just for one
25	second, but I told you once before and it

1	might help you to call Dr. Barry Minora.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: I've dealt with
3	Dr. Minora at the very beginning.
4	MS. GATELLI: He got some grants I
5	think and other kinds of grants.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: That was the very
7	first thing I did, Mr. McTiernan was on the
8	council at the time and we met,
9	Mr. McTiernan and myself and Chris Doherty
10	and we met and I told him Dr. Barry Minora
11	was very willing to help us to show us where
12	the money and everything and this and that
13	and he didn't seem to open to that, the
14	mayor so we went the route of Congressman
15	Kanjorski, Congressman Kanjorski came up, we
16	walked Main Avenue, he said he even
17	wanted to do more than what I was asking, he
18	wanted to do underground utilities and then
19	the last conversation I had with the mayor
20	was that money was going to becoming through
21	the state somehow, I believe he mentioned
22	possibly using Mr. Van who is no longer
23	there I think he might have been working as
24	a consultant or something, but nothing
25	since, and I'm the guy that's getting hit

Τ	with the questions every week when I walk
2	down the avenue, you know, what is going on
3	here.
4	So I will make the call. If that's
5	what it takes if he wants a call I'll make
6	the call, I just thought it was easier if
7	you sent a letter, he could send it back, I
8	believe he says he throws my letters in the
9	garbage somebody told me, so I won't waste
10	the paper anymore. That's all I have.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Attorney
13	Williams, you are back to work.
14	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
15	MR. MCGOFF: 5-B.
16	MR. WILLIAMS: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION
17	- AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
18	OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
19	ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
20	SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY
21	AUTHORITY FOR A PRIVILEGE AND EASEMENT FOR
22	THE CONSTRUCTION AND DESIGN OF THE
23	LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL TO BE
24	LOCATED ON THE TRAIL AREA.
25	MR MCGOFF: At this time I'll

1	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
2	introduced into it's proper committee.
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
4	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
5	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
6	those in favor signify by saying aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Aye.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
9	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
12	ayes have it and so moved.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION
14	- AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AN OVERSIGHT
15	COMMITTEE TO ACT IN AN ADVISORY CAPACITY
16	ONLY TO OVERSEE THE MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC
17	ACCESS CHANNELS 61 AND 62 BY ELECTRIC CITY
18	TELEVISION ("ECTV") THROUGH THE COMCAST
19	CABLE SYSTEM.
20	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
21	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
22	introduced into it's proper committee.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
25	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All

1	those in favor signify by saying aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye.
3	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
4	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
7	ayes have it and so moved.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION
9	- AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL
10	NO. 140, 2007, AN ORDINANCE "ENTITLED
11	GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2008" BY
12	TRANSFERRING \$50,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.
13	01.080.00081.4010 (STANDARD
14	SALARY-DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS-BUREAU OF
15	ENGINEERING) TO ACCOUNT NO.
16	01.080.00081.4201 (PROFESSIONAL
17	SERVICES-DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS - BUREAU
18	OF ENGINEERING) TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO COVER
19	CITY ENGINEERING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
20	PAYMENTS TO PENNONI ASSOCIATES.
21	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
22	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
23	introduced into it's proper committee.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
25	MS. FANUCCI: Second.

1	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question, we
3	need a city engineer, so I'll be voting,
4	yes, but I want to make it known that we are
5	spending another \$50,000 additional than
6	when we had to do in the past, all right,
7	so, I'll be voting, yes, because we need a
8	city engineer, but I'm not happy about it.
9	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
10	those in favor of introduction signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Aye.
13	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
14	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
17	ayes have it and so moved.
18	MR. WILLIAMS 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
19	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
20	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A
21	SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO FARLEY'S
22	EATERY AND PUB, TO OPERATE AND OUTDOOR
23	RESTAURANT AT 300-302 ADAMS AVENUE,
24	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
25	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll

1	entertain a motion that $5-E$ be introduced
2	into it's proper committee.
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
4	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
5	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
6	those in favor signify by saying aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Aye.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
9	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
12	ayes have it and so moved.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION
14	- A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT TOF RYAN
15	MCGOWAN, 735 NORTH BROMLEY AVENUE, SCRANTON,
16	PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, TO THE POSITION OF CITY
17	TREASURER EFFECTIVE JUNE 30, 2008. MR.
18	MCGOWAN WILL BE REPLACING DOUG HEIN WHO
19	RESIGNED.
20	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
21	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
22	introduced into it's proper committee.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
25	MR. MCGOFF: On the guestion? All

2	MS. EVANS: Aye.
3	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
4	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
7	ayes have it and so moved.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: SIX. Consideration
9	OF ORDINANCES - READING BY TITLE. 6-A.
10	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 28,
11	2008 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF
12	COUNCIL NO. 123 OF 2007, ENTITLED, "AN
13	ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
14	APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
15	SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO
16	IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR
17	COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS,
18	(AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UN THE COMMUNITY
19	DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME
20	INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND
21	EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY
22	TRANSFERRING \$90,000.00 FROM PROJECT 02-234
23	INVESTMENT IN GROW SCRANTON FUND TO PROJECT
24	NO. 08-248 ELECTRIC CITY TELEVISION.
25	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard Reading

2	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
3	Committee on Community Development, I
4	recommend final passage of Item 6-A.
5	MS. GATELLI: Second.
6	MR. MCGOFF: No, we didn't move it
7	yet.
8	MS. GATELLI: What do you mean we
9	didn't move it?
10	MS. FANUCCI: I'll move it.
11	Mr. Chairperson, first I move that 6-A pass
12	Reading by Title.
13	MS. GATELLI: Second.
14	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
17	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
20	MS. EVANS: No.
21	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
22	moved.
23	MR. WILLIAMS: 6-B. READING YT TITLE
24	- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 30, 2008 - AN
25	ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING AN EASEMENT ON

1 CITY-OWNED LAND TO THE SCRANTON SCHOOL

2 DISTRICT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW

3	WEST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.
4	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard Reading
5	my Title of Item 6-B what is your pleasure?
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item 6-B
7	pass Reading by Title.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
9	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
10	MS. EVANS: At last week's meeting I
11	had requested that if any residents had
12	concerns regarding this legislation if they
13	would be please contact the office of city
14	council prior to today. I know that I
15	received no e-mails or phone calls regarding
16	this piece of legislation and so I'll be
17	approving it.
18	MR. MCGOFF: And I know I had a
19	question about some of the wording and I did
20	not hear from anyone and my fault I did not
21	contact anyone at the school district to ask
22	for clarification, but since are no
23	complaints by residents I assume it
24	MS. GATELLI: I think that we talked
25	about this before and that some of the

residents did meet with the school district
and it was rectified. That was my

3	understanding.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes, that's true, but
5	this legislation involves an easement onto
6	the land that they had previously discussed,
7	and in the original ordinance I believe the
8	easement was to be used only for access and
9	egress
10	MS. GATELLI: For emergency vehicles.
11	MS. EVANS: for emergency vehicles
12	to the proposed new school. Now, however,
13	they are asking for a broadening of the use
14	of that easement I think in order to bring
15	through equipment and such that are required
16	in the construction.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else, 6-B. All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Aye.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
21	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
24	ayes have it and so moved.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Seven. FINAL READING

OF RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES -

CONSIDERATION FOR ADOPTION. 7-A. FOR

3 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY

4	DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO.
5	35, 2008 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
6	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF
7	SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN AGREEMENT AND
8	MAKE A LOAN FROM THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
9	BLOCK GRANT LOAN PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 08-248
10	IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$90,000.00 TO
11	ELECTRIC CITY TELEVISION TO ASSIST AN
12	ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
13	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
14	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Community Development?
16	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Community Development, I
18	recommend final passage of Item 7-A.
19	MS. GATELLI: Second.
20	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
21	MS. EVANS: Yes. An item that I find
22	bothersome is that obviously the mayor will
23	give ECTV \$90,000 directly, that means
24	specifically by means of legislation placed
25	before city council for it's approval or

L	denial, yet, the mayor takes \$10,000
2	indirectly and passes it to one nonprofit to
3	give to another, gives it to one nonprofit

4	to give to ECTV and this just doesn't seem
5	appropriate, ethical. If you can't present
6	7-A directly I see no reason why the \$10,000
7	couldn't have been given directly to ECTV.
8	Why funnel it through another nonprofit?
9	Now, I don't think it's good enough to
10	say, well, this very may well have occurred
11	in the past and so we are going to accept it
12	today. No, it's not acceptable. If it were
13	done in the past it wasn't right and that
14	doesn't excuse today's improprieties.
15	In addition to that, you may say,
16	well, it's a minimal amount of money, well,
17	you could take \$577,000 and create maybe 50
18	minimal projects all of which could be
19	administered behind closed doors without the
20	knowledge of the public without the approval
21	of city council.
22	Now, my last word on this is going
23	to be this isn't Monopoly money just because
24	it's not coming out of the city's operating
25	budget doesn't mean this is, you know,

nonexistent funding. It's not going to hit
you in the pocket. Well, yes, it is because
these are tax dollars, but most particularly
these are federal tax dollars and I don't

5	know that the federal government is going to
6	approve the manner in which the City of
7	Scranton through it's Office of Economic and
8	Community Development much shuffles federal
9	tax dollars, so I will not in good
10	conscience approve what I believe is
11	entangled in very, very inappropriate
12	mismanagement of public funds.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Roll call,
14	please.
15	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: No.
17	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
18	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
19	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
21	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
23	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

1	MR. WILLIAMS: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
2	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
3	RESOLUTION NO. 41, 2008 - REAPPOINTING DAVID
4	CARDEN, 248 WILBUR ST., SCRANTON,

5	PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE
6	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF
7	SCRANTON. MR. CARDEN'S TERM EXPIRES ON JULY
8	1, 2008 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY
9	1, 2011.
10	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-B.
13	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
14	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
15	call.
16	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
17	MS. EVANS: Yes.
18	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
19	MS. GATELLI. Yes.
20	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
21	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
22	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
24	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
	12
1	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted, and I
2	would like to thank Mr Williams for his

fine work and ask for a motion to adjourn. 3 MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.

MS. FANUCCI: Second.

6		N	IS.	E	EVA	NS	:	Ar	nd	a	jc	b	well	done	· .
7															
8															
9															
10															
11															
12															
13															
14															
15															
16															
17															
18															
19															
20															
21															
22															
23															
24															
25															
1		С	Ε	R	Т	I	F	Ι	С	Α	Т	Ε			

I hereby certify that the proceedings and
evidence are contained fully and accurately in the

128

5 notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the

6	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
7	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
8	ability.
9	
10	
11	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR
12	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	