1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, June 17, 2008
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CAMILENE C MADDORRI DDD ARRIGIAI GOUDE DEDODERD
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	
4	MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
5	
6	MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT
7	MS. JANET E. EVANS
9	MS. SHERRY FANUCCI
10	MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT
11	FIX. WILDIAM COOKINIONI
12	MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK
13	MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
14	
15	MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Here.
6	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli.
7	MS. GATELLI. Here.
8	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Here.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. GARVEY: THIRD ORDER. NO
16	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Any announcements that
18	are to be made?
19	MS. GATELLI: Yes, I have a few.
20	This Sunday at the Everhart Museum is called
21	Sunday in the park from noon until four and
22	it's free with a library card. It's part of
23	the Everhart Museum and the library system
24	events for the summer. Also, anyone who
25	wants to have their children join the summer

reading program at the Albright Memorial
Library, you can go to the children's
library and have your children sign up and
with that they have a passport for, oh, at
least 40 venues for the summer that the
children can go to and they will all be free
for them, and that's at the children's
library you can sign up.

Also, the Regional Equity Area

Actress, Agnus Cummings, is going to be

running a forensic and acting coaching

program at the Old Brick Theatre for the

summer for children age 13 through adults.

It's hourly classes and if you would like to

call to sign up the number is 903-0623, and

that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thomas Schroeder of West Scranton High School will be a junior this fall. He is the only individual from West Side to be chosen and to have the Honor to play for the Moosic Mets. Moosic Mets baseball is a fall showcase team that will allow the players chosen to showcase their talents. This team will be traveling to major universities where many college

1	coaches and professional scouts will be
2	attend. The cost associated to play for
3	this team is \$800. The Moosic Mets and the
4	Schroeder family are seeking sponsors for an
5	ad book to help defray the costs and ads
6	sell for \$25, \$50 and \$100. Also, donations
7	will be accept. Ads and donations may be
8	mailed to: "The Schroeder Family, 501 North
9	Lincoln Avenue, Scranton, Pa., 18504.
10	Checks can be made payable to: "Moosic Mets
11	Baseball." It's a very worthy cause, it's a
12	great honor for this young West Scranton
13	High School student and I hope that be some
14	of you will elect to help him make his
15	membership in this a reality.
16	MR. MCGOFF: That's it? Anyone
17	else?
18	MS. FANUCCI: I have one thing to
19	say, my niece graduated from Scranton and I
20	wanted to say congratulations and we are
21	very proud of her. She is a wonderful,
22	wonderful adult now I have to say, but I
23	just want to congratulate her on her
24	graduation. Thank you.
25	MR. MCGOFF: I do have two things or

1	three things, and I'll just read this, I
2	just received it. The Department of
3	Community and Economic Development will be
4	hosting a training and technical assistance
5	section to discuss the local share account
6	funds under the Pennsylvania Racehorse
7	Development and Gaming Act of 2004 as
8	amended. I believe that it says that it's
9	an opportunity to learn more about the
10	application process. The training session
11	will be held Thursday, June 19, at Brennan
12	Hall at the University of Scranton at
13	3:00 p.m., and it says the public is invited
14	to attend and to participate in the
15	discussion period following the presentation
16	by DCED. So that's Brennan Hall, Thursday
17	at 3:00 p.m. dealing with local share
18	accounts through the Resource Development
19	and Gaming Act if anyone is interested.
20	Also, we received or I received a
21	letter of thank you from Rich Brozinski from
22	Telespond, we did send a letter on their
23	behalf. They were applying for the grant,
24	we just sent a response they had applied for
25	the grant, it's been submitted and they

And, lastly, after some negotiations
between the school district, the city and
Lackawanna County the county three-on-three,
Lackawanna County three-on-three basketball
tournament is returning to the City of
Scranton. It originated on the streets of
the City of Scranton and then moved to
Lackawanna County Stadium for a number of
years, but this year it's going to be held
July 19 and 20 and will be conducted at the
Scranton High School parking lot which will
give them a little bit more visibility and
access to the tournament. Applications and
all should be out shortly. Anything else?
Fourth Order. First speaker, Andy
Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonian, want to talk about 5-M, a few minutes on that, that has to do with this loan to the Scranton/Lackawanna -- Lackawanna College, for Lackawanna College, and this is what they wanted to do with the money, they want us to furnish the new dormitory for the

equipment, and various other capital improvements in connection with the facility and college and they want us to pay for their loan that they took out in 1998 when they bought the, you know -- when they were given, practically given the school. It could be upward of \$50 million, we have no idea what it's worth, there is no dollar amounts in it, so, you know, what you voted on, thank God there is another public hearing coming on it.

Okay, let's move into "J". That has to deal with our favorite group of people up there at the municipal authority, they are coming up, they want to be -- well, their authority runs out this year and they want a 50-year lease on their authority and I believe this is the time now to put a quarrelsome in this thing where the people in the Hill Section, the kids in the Hill Section can use that pool for free like they always did. This is the only time you are going to be able to do it. I don't care if what they do with the rest of the park, but

the pool should be open to the kids at least
in the Hill Section and it's a crime that

they are not. Okay, that's one. Let's go
to "I", our favorite one. This has to do,
of course, with Channel the new Channel
61. I call it Mayor Doherty's TV station,
you call it "EC", Electric City, okay, but
in all probability this thing is the
mayor's. He setup the commission that voted
on it. There is a lot of things being
lodged against it, but this commission that
he set up, I don't know if it's biased or
not biased, but I was told that one of the
members got a considerable COM-D block loan
before he voted so last year, so I don't
know if that had anything to do with voting,
but it does bring up ethics and that thing
should go before the Ethics Commission.

As you know, we had a lot of trouble with 61 and the mayor. The mayor, not that 61 did anything, they only showed what happened, what was going on here. We were -- the cameras were pulled from this chambers, okay, they were put back in, but we almost had to go through a strip search

to come up here to speak, that wasn't called for. That was ridiculous, but this shoes

the animosity this mayor had against the
people who ran this channel only because
they showed the truth. Without open, honest
and efficient government this republic will
collapse. It's time now for you to stand up
for what is right. You must look at your
conscience and believe is this going to be
open, honest and efficient or is if just
another play to get more money out you
know how this thing runs, \$90,000 given
away, I wouldn't even say I would say a
grant even though you call it a loan, but it
is a grant after three years, they don't
have to pay it back, so you give them the
\$90,000 where you wouldn't give the other
station anything only because the other
station showed the truth. They showed what
happened here, how it happened, this is
democracy in action and you want somebody
that's going it be there and say, well, we
are not going to show this, this looks bad.
We show it once and maybe we'll have it
replayed at 2:00 in the morning, so people

can't watch it like they should. Government is important to be watched. It can never be shown to many times. Even though it makes

4	some of the people look bad, so be ti, it
5	makes everybody look bad. Some of the
6	people at the podium I think they look bad,
7	too, but at least they come to the podium.
8	They are not saying things behind your back
9	they are saying it to your face and that's
-0	all you can ask for democracy, people can
.1	get up and speak to you face-to-face and not
.2	behind closed doors or whatever or back
.3	doors or whatever. Now is your chance to
. 4	really do something, look into the options
.5	that you have at the present time and look
. 6	at the legal ramifications of what you are
.7	doing. I thank you.
.8	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
.9	Mr. Sbaraglia. Ozzie Quinn.
20	MR. QUINN: Good morning. Ozzie
21	Quinn, Taxpayers' Association. I spoke with
22	the county commissioners, that's what's
23	printed in the paper and the school district
24	and just using the figures now that we used

principle now, city taxpayers, city
taxpayers out of the same pocket are one
half billion dollars in debt principal. The

in the last audit, we are now, just the

school district is \$185 million in debt in principal. The commissioners \$287 million debt principal and we have to use the figures from the old audit, that's half a billion dollars. That's just principal, so what we are talking about long-term indebtedness of a billion dollars.

Now, I know government officials say, you know, you don't use long-term indebtedness. Well, when I buy a car I don't say I'm buying my car for \$20,000, I include the interest. I just want to also explain why this principal and with the economic conditions that exist today not only in Scranton, but throughout the world and oil is now at \$5 a gallon for people and there is approximately \$2,000 homes in the City of Scranton and it will be \$10 in January and if someone has a tank of 250 gallons that's going to be \$500 to fill up that tank in January, February, and March when your taxes are coming out.

So, I see that you are going to
transfer project money today from one
project to the other, I advise you to
transfer some more money into situations for

5 help health and safety of those people who are going to be paid and will not be able to 6 pay because of the high taxes, the high wage tax and the economic conditions that exist. 8 9 Now, with this ECTV being transferred, 10 this project, first of all, the Northeastern 11 Pennsylvania Public Access TV on February 19 12 filed a complaint with the Ethics Commission, certified letters were sent and 13 14 received through receipts that they received 15 they have no idea, nobody has any idea that they were received. 16 17 Now, going ahead and without due 18 process, without any procedural justice you 19 are going ahead and going ahead and going to 20

process, without any procedural justice you are going ahead and going ahead and going to approve \$90,000, that's wrong. Your lawyer will tell you that. I hope he will. Also, the fact is that there is appeal by the zoning board and they are putting that money, OECD money into 933 Prescott Avenue which is going to be used as collateral.

14

1	It's wrong.	It's	terribl	y wi	rong.	You	1
2	are it's	common	sense	you	don't	do	these
3	things.						

21

22

23

24

25

4 Also, now, looking at this loan this

\$90,000 it's for 13 years. Did you ever see a loan in the City of Scranton 13 years? I haven't. That's a grant. And you know what happens, if they pay in three years, not pay off, if they hire three people in three years under the low to moderate income criteria of HUD that loan is no longer in existence, it's a grant, okay? No longer they have to pay for that.

Now, that low to moderate income is a national objective, they have to meet that in order to get that loan, so obviously someone is hoodwinking somebody somewhere here and we the citizens whether it federal, state or local money it's taxpayers' money and we can't just throw it out the window like this by using deception of saying that it's an ordinance, pass it to a resolution that we can use this money and mind you, no public hearing by OECD as of yet, no public hearing. It's outrageous. How can you even

introduce it without the Ethics Commission,
without the due process of the Ethics
Commission, without the appeal and without
the public hearing. It's just ridiculous
and you cannot do it and I urge you, please,

6	do not even introduce it today without
7	that without these elements that I have
8	just spoke about, and just put it aside.
9	Put it aside, please. Don't let the mayor
10	just be, you know, to the symbiotic three
11	that you have to do it. Thank you.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Scott Thomas.
13	MR. THOMAS: Good morning. My name
14	is Scott Thomas and I'm the Board of
15	Directors or Scranton Today and the current
16	operators of Scranton 61 and 62. I'm sure
17	we are all aware of the circumstances that
18	brought us to a place where Scranton Today
19	will be losing control of the channels and
20	Electric City TV will be taking over. I do

they chose ECTV except to say that theirs

21

22

23

24

25

however, think we need to be reminded of

reviewed the bids selected ECTV without any

public input and they never revealed why

this, the three member committee that

1	was the best proposal, whatever that means.
2	When this decision was handed down we at
3	Scranton Today were disappointed and, yes,
4	some of us were outraged. We asked for no
5	start up costs. ECTV proposed \$313,000 in

start up costs. We had nine years 6 7 experience managing the peg channel, ECTV had no experience. 8 9 We have been criticized for the lack 10 of diversity in our programming but this is 11 a misconception. Look at our proposal which is on our website, it contains a long list 12 of the programs that we have offered over 13 14 the last nine years, programs that reflect a 15 multitude of topics and viewpoints. 16 People complained that we repeat 17 programs. This is true. This is a due to equipment limitations. Our proposed first 18 19 year operating budget included equipment 20 upgrades that would have addressed this 21 problem and that budget was still less than 22 what ECTV was proposing. In my opinion, our 23 proposal built upon Scranton Today's proven 24 commitment to open government and free and 25 equitable public access and looked forward 17 1 to a future with exciting possibilities. Since the committee never revealed why 2 they selected ECTV we are free to speculate. 3

they selected ECTV we are free to speculate

Perhaps, it is because one of the

principals, Chris Balton, has a very

impressive resume in video productions. If

4

5

this is, indeed, why the committee chose

ECTV it shows they had a very poor

understanding of what peg channels are all

about. While we should always strive for

the highest technical and esthetic

standards, community access is really about

turning on the cameras, pointing the

microphones and hoping against hope that you

can see and hear your fellow citizens. What

it requires is a commitment to the mission

and not an impressive resume.

It is not easy. We are viewed by some as a public relations arm for the city because we once aired a program produced by the mayor. Any innocent technical glitch is interpreted as a political statement. We have been blamed for scaring away perspective employers. Chris Balton, the main principal behind ECTV lacked the

commitment necessary to operate a peg
channel. When things got tough for ECTV
Balton bolted. His name was removed from
the ECTV website and the website itself that
he maintained was taken down. Who is left
at ECTV and do we know anything about their

commitment to open government and free and public access?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Items 5-G and 5-I should not pass and the city should reopen the bid process for Scranton's peg manager, however, if the dark day does arrive and ECTV does commence operation we the citizens must insist that they can continue to cover all municipal meetings currently covered by Scranton Today, that the meetings are broadcast at times when most people are awake, that the meetings are not edited or censored in any way and that we the citizens, regardless of our means or connections, are afforded access to ECTV free of charge or constraints so that we are not silenced when the plug is finally pulled on Scranton Today. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Barb O'Malley.

MS. O'MALLEY: Good morning. My name
is Barbara O'Malley, I'm a board member of
Scranton Tomorrow and a long time volunteer
with the station. My remarks are about the
potential funding for ECTV. In 1996 a
gathering of concerned and committed
citizens was convened by the founders of

Scranton Tomorrow. The meeting was the
first step of the forging the future
initiative by the City of Scranton. The
participants, called stakeholders, came from
all corners of this community with the
common goal, a better Scranton. Scranton
Today, better known as Channel 61, is an
outcome of this civic process. The founding
board members of Scranton Today,
stakeholders who participated in this
undertaking remain committed to the mission
that grew out of the forging the future
action plans, plans contained in this report
to the community.
The plans called for utilizing media

The plans called for utilizing media sources to encourage citizen involvement in the political and government process, in other words, an engaged and informed

1	electorate. In spite of this long-term
2	commitment, Scranton Today was not chosen to
3	continue managing 61, the station which has
4	brought government to the public for the
5	last nine years. Electric City TV was
6	selected instead. Electric City TV was
7	formed in January 2008 by cofounders Chris

8	Balton and John Darcy. Chris Balton
9	supplied the technical knowledge while John
10	Darcy, an employee of Paul Mansure, supplied
11	the studio space. ECTV's proposal
12	identifies Chris Balton as the station's
13	executive director of programming and the
14	entity who submitted the proposal.
15	The proposal states: "If you are
16	looking for someone qualified to run the
17	local peg station, Chris brings a wide range
18	of experience."
19	However, all indicators are
20	Mr. Balton is no longer associated with
21	ECTV. Today you have a loan agreement
22	between Scranton OECD and ECTV in the amount
23	of \$90,000 of taxpayer money on the agenda.
24	It appears that the remaining cofounder of
25	ECTV, Mr. John Darcy, will be the individual
	21
1	
1	responsible for signing the promissory note.

1	responsible for signing the promissory note.
2	ECTV's proposal has no information on
3	Mr. Darcy's background or experience,
4	however, a check of public records at the
5	Lackawanna County Office of Judicial Records
6	reveals Mr. Darcy may not be suited to
7	assume fiduciary responsibility for the
8	funds. These public records cite two IRS

federal income tax liens against Mr. Darcy totalling \$14,534.83 and a Commonwealth of Pennsylvania tax lien against Mr. Darcy totalling \$3,079.48 and a case from PG Energy against Mr. Darcy as well as another private business. Again, this is public information which can be obtained at the Office of Judicial Records at the corner of Spruce and North Washington Avenue in Scranton. As council members, your

As council members, your responsibility lies with the taxpayers of the City of Scranton. Clearly, the departure of Mr. Balton, who also has a tax lien filed against him, and Mr. Darcy's public record has made ECTV a poor choice in which to invest public funds. Scranton

Today is a proven long-term entity who files
a legitimate 501-C-3 organization which
means they can raise funds which are tax
deductible, ECTV is not in spite of
television or newspaper reports.

Scranton Today is an organization whose audit reports, financial reports, and IRS 990's are on file for the public

inspection. Scranton Today has no debt, has paid all of it's taxes, and is in compliance with all local, state, and federal statutes. 5-I and 5-G on today's agenda funding ECTV should be tabled and the bids for the management of Channel 61 revisited based on the information presented. I will leave a copy of my findings with the city clerk. Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Annette Palugis. MS. PALUGIS: Good morning. I'm

MS. PALUGIS: Good morning. I'm

Annette Palugis, I'm the vice-president of

the board of Scranton Today, Channel 61. In

summary, Channel 61 was denied the bid even

though it was a lowest responsible bidder

and ECTV who asked for a tremendous amount

of money was given the bid and this

tremendous amount of money, even though it
was public information, was never published
anywhere, was never in the newspaper and it
was only referred to occasionally. And you
can imagine our surprise and our outrage
when we discovered that the city was going
to spend something like \$300,000 for a
station that we already had in existence,
that we had a start up costs and so forth,

10 but we decided we would try to walk away with some dignity, which I believe sometimes 11 12 is an oxymoron in this town, and we accepted 13 that. 14 On May 20 I opened a paper and saw, and I wrote it down so I could quote it 15 exactly, saw this headline, "ECTV not 16 17 seeking start up costs. Mayor Doherty said ECTV must obtain it's own funding and he has 18 19 not agreed to provide money to the organization." 20 21 Well, what happened to the bid? I 22

23

24

25

8

9

Well, what happened to the bid? I always assumed when you put in a bid and the bid was accepted then the terms and agreements of the bid were also going to be enacted. All right. The saga goes on.

24

1	Today you have a motion before you that
2	suggests that OECD will be a vehicle for
3	\$90,000 for ECTV. Now, what happened to
4	this statement that they must seek their own
5	start-up costs? This is like working with
6	Jello. You know, first you say do, then you
7	say you don't.

Decisions and statements that are made here are made on innuendo, rumor,

whatever. I can't honor that and I'm here
to speak the truth and the dead elephant in
the middle of the room here is that we did
not receive the bid, we did not receive the
bid for the TV station because the mayor had
personal grievances against the station.
This is not rumor, this is not innuendo
because the mayor said this to me many times
personally and now you are going to give a
\$90,000 to a group of people that our
evidence points to doesn't appear to be the
type of people that should be running the
station, and the man who had all of the
credentials for all intensive purposes seems
to be gone, and I guess he realized it was a
losing battle or whatever, but now we are

going	to	give	this	money	to	peopl	e tha	ìt	have
no expe	eri	.ence	in t	elevis	ion	. Is	that	а	
valid v	use	e of t	axpa	yers'	dol	lars?			

And there is two other things on need to clear up: One, I am sick to death of everybody implying that it's Channel 61's fault that there is chaos at some of these meetings. Channel 61 is just the camera.

We record what you do. We don't even edit, and then in one of these articles at the

11	same time we were accused of being
12	political. If we were political we would be
13	editing what you say, but we don't have the
14	bid because we weren't political.
15	And, finally, I think it's time that
16	you took some responsibility for your
17	actions. I was a teacher for 44 years. If
18	my principal came into my room every week,
19	month after month, year after year, and my
20	room was in complete chaos and if I said to
21	him, "Oh, it's not my fault, it's these
22	terrible students you gave me."
23	And, finally, ladies and gentlemen,
24	I ask you to please today table this motion,
25	investigate the material that we gave you,
	26
1	put this out to bid again and return some
2	dignity and honesty to city government. We,
3	the taxpayers, we deserve better. Thank
4	you.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Spindler.
6	MR. SPINDLER: Good morning, Council,
7	Les Spindler, city resident, homeowner.
8	Council wants to transfer \$90,000 to ECTV,
9	well, many times in the past Mrs. Fanucci

has always stated that grant money can only

be used what it was originally intended for, so why can you transfer this money to ECTV now? Maybe because it's going to benefit Chris Doherty? In the past you couldn't transfer grant money, but now all of a sudden you can transfer it and this is being a little hypocritical. You have to vote this down today and do something to get Scranton Today back running Channel 61. Let's do what's right for the people of the city for a change. Last week I was reading in the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

Doherty newsletter that again the city is going to appeal another lost arbitration and in the Doherty newsletter city lawyers are

27

arguing that it's a violation of the revised 1 Recovery Plan.

> Well, what the Doherty newsletter doesn't say is that Mayor Doherty violates his own Recovery Plan with new hires and big raises he gives his friends, so it's only a one-sided statement in the Doherty newsletter and Mayor Doherty is just throwing out more taxpayer dollars.

Also, a day or two later in the Doherty newsletter there is a story about tax law requires monthly reports because the city hasn't been getting from the tax office and Mayor Doherty says, and I quote, "Am I supposed to know every law?" Well, yeah, if you want to run the city and run it the right way you are supposed to know what the law is. If you want to be mayor know what's going on. Okay, this is really interesting. Last week in the supermarket a woman came up

Last week in the supermarket a woman came up to me and stated that her son plays soccer down in the South Side complex every Sunday and in the beginning of this before the leak started every team had to come up with \$500.

Every Sunday every person that plays has to
come up with \$20 and this money goes to the
University of Scranton. How could this
money go to the University when they do not
own this field yet? This is in litigation,
they do not own this field. I went down
there Sunday and spoke to some people and
they pay money. There is a sign there
"Property of the University of Scranton."
That's a lie. They do not own this

field. It's in litigation and your

solicitor can tell you that. This is just another way maybe of Chris Doherty giving the \$700,000 back to the "U" that the city got from them, so I think there should be an investigation into this. These people should not be paying money to play soccer there on Sunday afternoon, and then they don't let people play softball there, they only let people play soccer on Sunday. The next thing, it was always stated

The next thing, it was always stated here by whoever was council president that nobody could come back to this podium and speak a second time, yet two weeks ago Doug Miller was allowed to come back to the

podium and speak a second time, so is it
going to be one way or another, but now a
precedent has been set so now everybody
should be able to come to this podium and
speak twice.

Lastly, can somebody explain to me why these meetings are 10:00 in the morning and not noon like they have been every year?

Mr. McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: We chose 10:00.

MR. SPINDLER: Why? Is this just another way to stick it to the speakers that

13	come to these meetings because a lot of
14	people can't get here at 10:00?
15	MS. FANUCCI: It's all about you,
16	Les.
17	MR. SPINDLER: You know, some of you
18	do work. I'm talking, all right? Some of
19	us do work, I was able to switch my lunch
20	today and get here at 10:00, but it's really
21	inconvenient and it's just another way of
22	the council majority to stick it to the
23	taxpayers, and you are very ignorant,
24	Mrs. Fanucci.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.

1	MS. FANUCCI: Right back at you, Les.
2	MR. SPINDLER: There is no excuse for
3	you.
4	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus. I want to
5	mention about Channel 61. The reason you
6	don't want Channel 61 here is because it
7	exposes you for what you are, Judy Gatelli,
8	Bob McGoff and Sherry Fanucci, and that's
9	the bottom line, selection here, and you
10	don't want people to see what you to, how
11	you act, how you treat people, and you don't
12	have control, you want control, that's why

you want to get rid of 61. Channel 61 is like an x-ray machine, it exposes you, it sees right through you for the people to see what you really are and that's why you are afraid of them, and you will do anything in your power, and you don't listen to the people, that means nothing. You are going to go and do what you want like you do every other time.

Now, I want to address and change the subject here a minute and go back to the subpoena issue since there was no meeting last week conveniently. It says in the Home

Rule Charter, "The council may make
investigations," now, Mr. Minora made a
statement when Judy was going to ask about a
subpoena and said that council may make an
investigation, he wanted them to make an
investigation first, I'm quoting, "The
council may make investigations into the
affairs of city and the conduct of any
department, office or agency and in aid of
it's legislative powers and functions they
may issue subpoenas for these purposes."

Meaning, you order the subpoena first and then you do the investigations.

14	Mr. Minora says whatever he wants to make
15	people believe what he is saying is true
16	when it's not. I asked Judy, I called her
17	on the phone and I asked her if she would
18	ask for a subpoena and she promised me that
19	she would. Then she said she was going to
20	call Mr. Minora and I said, "All you have do
21	is get the reasons, you stated you had to
22	have reasons, there is plenty of reasons,
23	look at the paper, you can find ten reasons
24	why you want to subpoena him."
25	Okay, she promised me she would ask

for a subpoena. Comes to the meeting,

Mr. Minora says, "Oh, Mr. Rinaldi and

Mr. McDowell, they are going to come in."

Nobody said they are going to come

in, maybe Mr. Rinaldi did, but Kenny

McDowell said he would, nobody could find

the man, standing on the street corner, but

you can't get him to answer any questions.

Again, the Scranton Times, Stacy Brown puts in, "Judy Gatelli wants the subpoena on Kenny McDowell," makes her look good. She looks good saying to Mr. Minora, "I want a subpoena."

14	In reality she does not want a
15	subpoena. No way does she want a subpoena.
16	She, Mr. McGoff and Mrs. Fanucci are all
17	covering for Doherty to take care of
18	Mr. McDowell, so the conversation
19	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me
20	MS. FANUCCI: That's in accurate and
21	I won't let you say that about me.
22	MS. FRANUS: Point of order.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Wait, to say it is not
24	fact.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Point of order is that
	33
1	
1	you are making accusations.
1 2	
	you are making accusations.
2	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion.
2	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not.
2 3 4	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. MS. FRANUS: In my opinion.
2 3 4 5	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. MS. FRANUS: In my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not an opinion.
2 3 4 5	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. MS. FRANUS: In my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not an opinion. It's not an opinion.
2 3 4 5 6 7	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. MS. FRANUS: In my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not an opinion. It's not an opinion. MS. FRANUS: It's my opinion that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. MS. FRANUS: In my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not an opinion. It's not an opinion. MS. FRANUS: It's my opinion that you are covering up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. MS. FRANUS: In my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not an opinion. It's not an opinion. MS. FRANUS: It's my opinion that you are covering up. MR. MCGOFF: That's not an opinion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you are making accusations. MS. FRANUS: I am. It's my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. MS. FRANUS: In my opinion. MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not an opinion. It's not an opinion. MS. FRANUS: It's my opinion that you are covering up. MR. MCGOFF: That's not an opinion. MS. FRANUS: That's not an opinion.

MS. FRANUS: Okay, I'm going to say

15	it again, in my opinion you are covering it
16	up. That's my opinion.
17	MR. MCGOFF: That's not an opinion.
18	Just because you use the word does not make
19	it an opinion.
20	MS. FRANUS: All right, listen to
21	me.
22	MR. MCGOFF: It's out of order.
23	MS. FRANUS: Okay, I'm out of order,
24	now, so be it, now you continue to listen,
25	it's my turn. Now, I'm saying when I spoke
	34
1	to Mac Cotalli on the phone che coid che
1	to Mrs. Gatelli on the phone she said she
2	was not going to ask for a subpoena because
3	she was going to listen to her attorney.
4	Okay. Since Mr. Minora doesn't feel there
5	should be a subpoena why doesn't somebody
6	else ask for a subpoena? I said, "Okay,
7	suppose Mrs. Evans and Mr. Courtright did,
8	would you vote for it?"
9	She said, "No."
10	I rest my case.
11	She said, "There is nothing I can do

I said, "Yes, there is, you could ask for a subpoena."

to satisfy you."

15 She wouldn't and it went back and 16 forth. Then a couple of weeks ago when I 17 18 called she was busy and she didn't get back 19 to me until four days later and then she 20 said to me, "Here is my cell phone number instead of my home number, call this number 21 22 any time you want I'll be glad to call you 23 back," which was nice. So, that day I call 24 here and about the subpoena. At the end of the conversation because she didn't want to 25

35

1 ask for a subpoena and I was putting her 2 feet to the fire about it because I really 3 wanted her to and she wasn't going to do it, 4 but she says at council she wants to and says in the paper to make herself look good, 5 6 she said to me, "This is a private number, 7 if you call this number again I will call the police and I will have you arrested." 8 9 I said, "Okay." 10 She repeated it again. I said, "Judy, you have to be crazy, you just gave 11 me this number and told me to call whenever 12 13 I wanted," but that's neither here nor 14 there.

15

I don't know what to say here. I

16	just don't want to say. I think I had a
17	right to say what I felt with her and she
18	basically is just telling them one thing to
19	try to get me off her back and she figures
20	if she says what I want to hear.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Franus.
22	MS. FRANUS: And one more thing, it
23	says there shall be a meeting, Home Rule
24	Charter says, Mr. McGoff, one question it
25	says the it says here, for the meeting that
	36
1	was cancelled last week, I called you, you
2	called me back.
3	MR. MCGOFF: And I responded.
4	MS. FRANUS: Yes, you did and thank
5	you. It says here in the Home Rule Charter,
6	"Council shall meet once a week in a regular
7	session in regular council chambers."
8	Shall meet, and you said to me that
9	you were busy and others were busy, you
10	should have been here. You should have
11	reschedule it for Friday night.
12	MR. MINORA: If I may, if I may just
13	so it's clear, she only gave you a half
14	dissertation of the law, we are also

governed by the Second Class City Municipal

16	Code which contains an in-depth requirement
17	before subpoenas are issued by council, I
18	think I have explained that to you in the
19	past, that does not mentioned to you by the
20	last speaker.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Marie Schumacher.
22	MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.
23	I'll start off with my typical question when
24	you make transfers and may I ask how much

transfer is, in fact, approved?

will remain in account 02-0234 if this

If you go to the OECD page and click on doing business in Scranton you will find a 14-page document. On page seven, you will find information on the Grow Scranton Fund which I will quickly read: "Financing business enterprises most often requires outside assistance. The most appropriate first step is to contact a private lending institution. You will need to provide a business plan, cash flow projections, three year's company tax returns if applicable, personal financial statements, and personal tax returns also three years.

A great many public and private funding sources that may offer financial support to

17	new businesses are also available. One
18	example is the Grow Scranton Fund
19	administered by Scranton's Office of
20	Economic and Community Development which
21	offers loans from \$25,000 to a \$1 million,
22	SBA guaranteed, low equity requirement,
23	flexible underwriting, community based, no
24	prepayment penalties, loans may be used to
25	purchase real estate, renovate business

premises, by inventory, machinery and equipment or serve as working capital."

So, you can see that if, if 5-I was really a loan and not a grant which is in essence a gift from the taxpayers a separate account would not be required. The OECD Grow Greener Fund could have been used.

There are also other funding sources available such as the Lackawanna County Industrial Development Authority, so the real question is why the subterfuge, why the slight of hand that presents something as a loan but is really a grant or a gift as explained by Mr. Osborne earlier?

When a company is being formed employees are needed so setting the

requirement for converting this loan to a

gift by hiring three people. Now, how could

you not do that? So, is it really and truly

a gift, it's a grant from the get-go and I'm

sorry that the mayor chose this subterfuge.

This \$90,000 could have been used to

help many in the community, just today there

help many in the community, just today there was a complaint about disabled people driving their battery powered chairs in the

street instead of the sidewalk. Scranton

Police Captain Graziano responded, "The way

some sidewalks are cut doesn't allow for the

motorized chairs to be ridden safely on

them."

And the disabled users need to be accommodated by allowing the motorized chairs and scooters on streets. This \$90,000 could be used to make the streets save. The needs of this distressed city are many and very real. This slight of hand ordinance should be retitled the reverse robin hood ordinance is it takes funds provided for the poor/low and middle income people to give to the rich.

Per ECTV's lawyer the documentation that would allow them to be tax exempt is

19 collecting tax free donations they are 20 dependent on the city taxpayers and I think that is wrong, wrong, wrong. 21 22 Next topic, last week I picked up 23 this brochure, it's a nice glossy brochure 24 on Nay Aug Park, the mayor is prominently 25 mentioned in the middle of the first fold 40 and I would like to read what it says down 1 2 here about pool and waterslide complex, "Open Memorial Day through Labor Day." 3 4 Now, even the monopoly newspaper got it correct last Saturday when it reported 5 6 the opening of the city's pools is 7 traditionally tied to the Scranton School District calendar. Who is in charge of 8 9 disseminating this incorrect information? 10 And speaking of who is in charge, at 11 the May 6 meeting Mr. Courtright stated that 12 the civil service test wasn't given. Why 13 isn't -- I'm sorry, wasn't given fast enough 14 so there was no where to draw or police 15 officers. 16 Now, who was in charge of scheduling

the civil service exams? Mr. Courtright,

not even filed so, in fact, they are not

18

18	would you please answer that during motions
19	today. I'll be back with the rest next
20	week. Thank you.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs.
22	Schumacher. Dave Dobson.
23	MR. DOBSON: Good morning, City
24	Council, Dave Dobson, resident, member of
25	the Scranton Taxpayers' Association
	41
1	associate. I'd like to also speak for
2	Channel 61 today.
3	Some things I would like to point
4	out, I made a small list here, Channel 61
5	has been denied allocations and lost paid
6	employees and many of the complaints
7	recently about programming and so forth are
8	prompted by this because they just don't
9	have the ability with the small amount of
10	money that they have requested to go on and
11	it's really a shame to fault somebody after
12	when you are actually implicated in the part
13	of the problem. It's inexpensive, it's
14	unedited.
15	My involvement with them I had to
16	drop off my seat at the table on the
17	Taxpayers' Association and become

videographer because we lost our

videographer and that's why you have seen me
at times up there getting instructions so
that I don't mess up the broadcast. A few
weeks ago I hit the wrong button due to some
changes in the board and we lost video. So
certainly I was more than interested in
straightening that out.

Now, on some of these public
subsidiaries or public donations, when WVIA
lost it's tax subsidiary, their programming
started to change and I'm not criticizing,
but, for instance, there was a program to be
aired, the high cost of shops at Wal-Mart
and apparently Wal-Mart coaches it's
employees and advises them on how many
welfare benefits they will qualify for at
their wage level and their amount of members
of their family and so forth and in essence
you are paying, you are paying the wages so
somebody could show up at Wal-Mart for a job
and it was never aired. We got to do
instead a fundraiser and several months
later, well, I noticed that Wal-Mart had
donated money to WVIA or PBS, public
broadcasting system more or less.

19 George Seldice once -- he was a
20 newspaper man back in the earlier part of
21 the last century and he was the author of a
22 book "Tell the Truth and Run" and he pointed
23 out how many newspapers and so forth they
24 are full of commercials, they are full of
25 ads and if you crossed these people your

newspaper is finished, it's not going to be
-- it's not ever going to get the ads, you
can't call somebody a desk post and walk
away and expect them to run a two-page ad
and he actually had -- he actually had
cancellations of articles because the
people -- the news issues he was covering
took out a four-page ad.

And constantly describing citizens as terrorizing in the Times that's -- people can watch this and see that we are not terrorists and I kind of resent any kind of implication that we are harassing people or terrorizing them.

Dave Allen was he was connected with the other proposal and he has written several letters to the Ethics Committee and signatures have been given for this -- these letter deliveries and nobody seems to know

anything about them. The review board,

well, a few weeks ago I noticed the person

speaking from the review board that awarded

that contract and she was complaining about

having the pay high fees for vendors and so

forth, well, sometimes we reap what we sow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

44

I'll be back next week hopefully and thank you and have a good day.

MR. MCGOFF: Any other speakers?

MR. LYMAN: Raymond Lyman. I got a couple of questions, number one, about Electric City TV, I think it's kind assadine (ph) that Electric City should not be given this \$90,000. Scranton Today should be here, here and forever for, number one, those cameras should be put back on the air. Chris Doherty should not have a right to take those cameras out. Now, I know Scranton Today took them out, but for one they should be put back on because there is somebody else running for mayor, a poor quy and it's not Gary DiBileo it is me because I think Chris Doherty, now, I can't use the word criminal, but he did acts that in my eyes are wrong, \$12.9 million, him and Ken

20	McDowell, Andy Jarbola, Andy Jarbola said in
21	the Scranton Times no crime was committed,
22	those were his words. The FBI raided the
23	tax office. Rinaldi, the lawyer, for the
24	tax office didn't do nothing about it, right
25	there suspicious, kind of didn't do nothing.
	45
1	Rinaldi owes back taxes, but yet you four
2	pass an ordinance to steal people's houses
3	away. I'm ashamed of that, and you four are
4	going to pass this ordinance because you do
5	everything with the mayor says.
6	Amil Minora, Gilhooley worked for
7	the county and he was on city council, Amil
8	Minora works for the District Attorney, he
9	is also city solicitor, I don't think he
10	should be sitting there as city solicitor.
11	I think that's criminal.
12	MR. MCGOFF: That's out of order.
13	MR. LYMAN: That's not out of order.
14	It's my opinion.
15	MR. MCGOFF: It's out of order.
16	MR. LYMAN: Well, remember Gilhooley.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.
18	MR. LYMAN: Gilhooley was a city
19	councilman and he was a warden and there was

a law that says you can't have two jobs.

21	Amil Minora is a district attorney and he is
22	city solicitor and I want that investigated.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Please
24	MS. FANUCCI: Ray, he can have two
25	jobs.
	46
1	MD IVMAN, don't you tried to
1	MR. LYMAN; don't you tried to
2	threaten me because I'm running for mayor.
3	MS. FANUCCI: I'm not threatening
4	you. Obey the rules.
5	MR. LYMAN: I am obeying the rules,
6	I'm not fighting with nobody.
7	MS. FANUCCI: Well, you are not
8	allowed to say statements that aren't
9	accurate and say things about people.
10	MR. LYMAN: I'm not threatening, I'm
11	not fighting with nobody, but it is the
12	truth.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Ray, move on to
14	something else, would you, please? Okay?
15	Go onto something else.
16	MR. LYMAN: Number two, I want we
17	have people here that said we fight with
18	city council, you guys fight the people.
19	You guys act like, you know, the child. I
20	went to Duryea, I wasn't to their city

21	council meetings and people come up to me
22	and they say, oh, you go to those city
23	council meetings and they said to me, it's
24	not the people that go to city council that
25	speak, it's your city council members that
	4
1	act like fools and I'm not putting you guys
2	down but if the shoe fits wear it.
3	MR. MINORA: I have to correct
4	another legal fallacy that the Hatch Act
5	applies to federal employees holding public
6	
	office not appointed
7	MR. LYMAN: Well, Gilhooley
8	MR. MCGOFF: Quiet, please.
9	MR. LYMAN: (Unintelligible comment.)
10	MR. MINORA: If I could just finish,
11	is that he demonstrated a very poor
12	understanding of a Hatch Act.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
14	Mr. Gervasis.
15	MR. GERVASI: Good morning, City
16	Council. My name is Dave Gervasi, I'm a
17	citizen of the City of Scranton. I have
18	just a few comments, and I'm sorry, I wasn't
19	coming, I heard some controversy and it's
20	what motivated me to come down here. Before
21	I make the comments I want to make I just

want to bring everybody through a brief
little history lesson because, you know, we
have been beat up in the newspaper for years
when the union leadership that come here to

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

48

speak, fire, police, clerical, DPW and just a little bit of a review because for some reason you feel a little bit vindicated when some very, very credible come up to speak.

Years ago when we started coming to meetings talking to city council about various issues we had with the city, before the cameras were rolling, by the way, all of a sudden it seemed that this administration they tried everything in their power to get these cameras turned off over the years and I don't think we have to review details, but I think everyone remembers why it may have taken four months to paint this chamber and how hard it was for everyone to find out where the meetings were going to be next and that used to be during the referendum campaign or the Recovery Plan and it was obvious and transparent to most of us that worked for the city why the mayor was to doing things like that because he didn't

22 want people to get the information.

23 As that proceeded I mean, maybe

25 that little back room because the last time

Mrs. Fanucci can look, and I went back in

they tried to throw Channel 61 out of there they were done HVAC work back there, I was there the other day, we were at a meeting there and I went back just to check on it and they never did any HVAC work back there, that was another lie by the mayor and some of his cabinet members.

So these things happen over and over and over again and, of course, the newspaper and the powers that be here in city hall what they would do instead of trying to dissuade people from coming to council meetings or trying to dissuade Channel 61 from taping council meetings or from showing council meeting. For years what they would do is attack the speakers, we all heard the names, Legion of Doomers, and all of that, Nelson comes here and very eloquently at every meeting reminds you of the names we have all been called, but I can understand why some people would believe that because, you know, basically we are special

interests, myself, you know, I'm a
leadership in the fire union, so I have told
people over and over and it's been on tape,

it's been on live TV that what I say is

true, I'm not coming here lying or playing

political games I just kind of tell it like

it is and counter what the newspaper or the

mayor has said about our department and

other union leaders have done that and the

citizens have come on behalf of their

neighborhood associations or on behalf of

themselves, and for years and years and

years it just seems like it was very obvious

to all of us that they were just trying to

shut us down.

Now, today I come and I see three people, three very, very, very fine credible upstanding citizens from the City of Scranton who volunteer their times for charitable organizations, civic organizations and other things. I have been acquaintances with one or two of them, and they come here and they tell you, frankly, right out that Mayor Doherty didn't like Channel 61 and what was being at council

23	meetings. And obviously, I think, well, to
24	me, my opinion is they told the truth what
25	they said here today and I think it was very

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

51

courageous for them to come up and do that and tell everyone the truth. You heard it here today. The mayor doesn't want council playing, so it kind of vindicates every else over the years that have been fighting this like Mr. Courtright and Mr. DiBileo and Mrs. Evans who have been fighting to keep these cameras rolling and what do we have? Well, I'm a firefighter, so I'm going to cut to the chase and give you my speculation and my opinion. This has been, and we have said it here before, this is nothing but a hostile takeover so that they can suppress the information going to the citizens of the City of Scranton and especially now and my big question I have now is why is Mr. Balton not there anymore? I had an occasion to speak to Mr. Balton a few times and he seemed like a pretty upstanding reasonable guy who actually had a problem with Scranton Today because they weren't showing council meetings enough.

23 And I also know in talking to members

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to shut these cameras down and they would even go so far as to drag Austin Burke out of the Chamber of Commerce to say it's causing businesses to not come to the City of Scranton, which is ridiculous.

This has been the MO for this administration since the beginning and now you heard it today from very, very credible people. Don't listen to a union head or a council crazy or whatever they call us, you heard it from credible people. This thing needs to be tabled, this thing needs to be thrown out and you need to give Scranton Today people that will sit on a board who also has members that are closely affiliated with Mayor Doherty and they sit there and they decide what's played and what's not played and they do is it because they are a complete group of this community and they make the right decisions and they have been making the right decisions from this time on and they should be running this because they are not going to be prejudiced, they are not

24	going to edit anything and they are going to
25	show real life democracy at work, and I
	53
1	thank everybody for coming today. Thank you
2	for letting me speak.
	-
3	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Gervasi, can I just
4	ask you one question, it has nothing to do
5	with what you said?
6	MR. GERVASI: Sure.
7	MR. MCGOFF: The torch run on
8	Friday, do you have any information?
9	MR. GERVASI: That would the
10	police usually run it. Talk to the police
11	department.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
13	MR. LASKE: Hi, Amil?
14	MR. MINORA: Mrs. Laske.
15	MR. LASKE: Hi, everybody. I come
16	down and I listen to these speakers and I
17	have to say I agree with them
18	wholeheartedly, they are not selling you a
19	bill of goods, somebody wants Channel 61
20	off. No, I haven't been down here for a
21	long time for the simple reason it's like
22	whipping a dead horse. To talk to you, it
23	falls on deaf ears. Now, this is why I'm
24	here today and I hope Judy comes back in

1	\$11 million, this is just my honest opinion,
2	I think that the time has come when the
3	mayor has borrowed enough money, put the
4	city in the whole deep enough, it used to be
5	that my grandchildren had a chance to get
6	out of hock, now, I have two great
7	grandchildren and they are going to be
8	indebted with what his shenanigans. He
9	borrowed millions and millions of dollars, I
10	fail to see the city moving forward. Our
11	roads are deplorable, there is more houses
12	for sale than there have been in my lifetime
13	and I am 80 years old. My God, when is it
14	going to stop?
15	Now, I can't do anything about
16	making the decision about telling hem not to
17	borrow \$11 million, I come here as a
18	taxpayer and ask you to tell Mr. Doherty,
19	and it's nothing personal, Chris is a friend
20	of mine, that he has borrowed enough, we
21	have went the limit and I'm not doing it
22	anymore. If you need my house that bad,
23	1092 West Market Street, come up and take

the darn thing. I'm paying too many taxes

1	you. Now, let your conscience be your
2	guide. Judy?
3	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
4	MR. LASKE: Hurry up back. I got a
5	minute left. I gave a speech here for you
6	and it's I just come to see you, baby.
7	Okay, Judy, I'm here to ask you, I'm asking
8	the council as the five people that could
9	stop Mr. Doherty from borrowing \$11 million
.0	more. Now, what you do, let your conscience
.1	be your guide, but I'm going to tell you
.2	one, I don't give mad often, but you are
.3	going to have a mad Lithwok on your hands if
_4	you give him any money at all. Thank you
.5	very much.
. 6	MR. HUBBARD: Good evening, Council,
.7	Daniel Hubbard. The purpose of this
.8	statement today is to rescind the multiple
.9	accusations and negative remarks I have made
20	at Scranton City Council meetings regarding
21	Daron Northeast. The following statements
22	which were made were misleading, malicious
23	and without merit. Among the false
24	statements I made about Daron Northeast

where I stated that they were not in

Τ	compitance and that they exploited loopholes
2	to get the company up and running.
3	Daron Northeast followed the proper
4	variances with regard to manufacturing
5	operations in the City of Scranton and did
6	nothing to exploit the City or the zoning
7	officials. Daron submitted all proper
8	applications and received all appropriate
9	approvals to begin it's operations in 2003.
10	I also stated that Daron Northeast
11	violated local zoning ordinances 30 some
12	times. I have and still have no basis for
13	that accusation. To my knowledge there is
14	not one zoning ordinance being violated by
15	Daron Northeast at this time.
16	I have accused the city
17	administration of protecting Daron Northeast
18	and lining their pockets as a result of
19	that. I have no basis for that accusation
20	whatsoever. Daron Northeast has followed
21	all proper channels and guidelines
22	establishing it's business within the city
23	limits.
24	My statements accusing Daron
25	Northeast of long-term damage as a result of

	1	their operations and operating illegally and
	2	polluting the environment and killing
	3	residents were made recklessly and without
	4	any merit whatsoever. I have no reason to
	5	believe that these statements have any truth
	6	whatsoever. For these reason, I am hereby
	7	retracting the above statements that I made
	8	about Daron Northeast at city council
	9	meetings.
1	.0	I hope council appreciates and
1	.1	enjoys the time with their family while they
1	.2	have it over the summer. Thank you and have
1	.3	a good day.
1	_4	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Hubbard.
1	.5	MR. MCCLOE: My name is Brett McCloe,
1	. 6	Scranton taxpayer. I think that was
1	.7	probably one of the saddest things I have
1	.8	ever heard. I don't know what it is about
1	.9	this city that makes people have to bow down
2	20	excuse me, that thing just teared me up a
2	21	little bit. I wanted to say something, I
2	22	haven't been here in a couple of a month
2	23	or so and I guess what I was writing over
2	24	the past couple of weeks it really comes
2	25	around. One of the things that always

passed through my mind was how overrated the
notions of leadership, how overrated it is.
How do we follow the traditional Scranton
response is what you just heard, do as you
are told. I'm sorry, I just got a little
overwhelmed because what I saw here was
exactly what I was writing about.
Mrs Gatelli made a compelling

Mrs. Gatelli made a compelling statement three weeks ago, she said she was finance chairperson by default. How does such a strong-willed person, a feisty person such as herself get put anywhere by default? What kind of message did this send to the people of Scranton? How do we follow?

Mr. Courtright, as a marshal art's instructor, a teacher of decisive thinking above all, you know the mental discipline it takes to hurl a man through the air, break a board with your hand and endure tings I can't even imagine. Every scenario has a carefully choreographed set of decisive moments that affect the outcome of any engagement. You are always thinking, but somewhere between the motions and agenda items you get handcuffed and blindfolded by

1 red tape and bureaucracy.

I think you need to ask yourself what you need to do to make every issue a fair fight. Don't let bureaucracy get in the way of your gut instincts and your decisions how do we follow. How we follow says more about what and who we are as a people than all of the accomplishments and all of the leaders in Scranton combined.

Some follow out of fear. I think sometimes we just might have saw that. Some follow out of losing standing within the community or hope for a favor or reward, the city has played with those types and it shows in how we vote both politically -- how we vote both locally and nationally.

At the opposite end of the spectrum are those who follow a vision that does not directly benefit themselves, who bring real progress and real prosperity. In World War II, manufacturing and political leaders of our country came up with a vision on how to supply our troops with the arsenal of democracy. It was the passion of the followers who got the job done.

Progress, prosperity through fair and open and honest and economic development must start with the championing the advancement of the bottom rungs of the economic ladder where brochures of trade schools lie unread on the coffee table. It's a place where no one told them the difference between what they want to do and what they need to do to get it, where real progress is measured in three to five dollar an hour increments. Street fares and walks through the park are only served to help the people forget the financial woes of the day and will never be a symbol of progress or prosperity as long as the survival of the financially fittest mindset is in play. We will never raise the passions of those who will follow as long as our officials cannot be trusted as their own envisions and interest. Who do you guys follow? People of Scranton, we need to reevaluate what it means to follow before we get to a future that looks nothing like the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vision that is being sold to us today. I am sorry for being emotional earlier, it just

overwhelmed me a little bit to see what happens to people in this town because of the power structure and the way you guys do business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KNIGHT: Geraldine Knight, I'm a resident of Scranton and I haven't been here for a long time. Two things have been bothering me the most, one was what our money was doing in the federal bank. That startled me and Mrs. Evans offered \$20,000 to keep Channel 61. Now, if -- I don't know how much money will it make, but I gather you are in quite a bit of a -- not a \$25,000 a year job, and not that that makes any difference, but if you were going out to buy a TV and there was only two on the counter would you by the one for the 20,000 or would you by the one that was close to \$300,000 which is not going to give you nothing but garbage. So, if you all -- if you have any brains, which I'm -- only know a few out there that do, think about it.

And second of all, when I think of all the bank and the money I was a teller in two banks, one in New York and one up here

1	in Pennsylvania, and when I opened up
2	accounts for people there were always names
3	written, signed on the bottom and you got a
4	social security card and you got their
5	driver's license and they were copied, whose
6	name is on this account that has all of this
7	money in the federal bank, can anybody tell
8	me up there? I'd like an answer now not
9	when I leave.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Are we talking about
11	the tax office?
12	MS. KNIGHT: Yeah.
13	MS. FANUCCI: Okay, so when you
14	saying about accounts
15	MS. KNIGHT: Yeah, but do you
16	MS. FANUCCI: and what name was
17	on it, what were your well, it was done
18	electronically. It was not there was not
19	someone who goes everyday and says, "Here's
20	the specific"
21	MS. KNIGHT: No, no, no. That
22	account had a name when it first started
23	there had to be a name, I beg your pardon,
24	Honey, there had to be a name on the
25	account.

2	was the tax office, it's the tax office
3	account so it would be whoever was in charge
4	of the tax office at the time.
5	MS. KNIGHT: Whose name?
6	MS. FANUCCI: Actually from what I'm
7	gathering now that account actually had
8	money in before Ken, so it might have
9	actually been whoever was beforehand, so I'm
10	not sure.
11	MS. KNIGHT: We have an attorney over
12	here, so brilliantly you are, I hear.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me. Excuse me.
14	You are being given an answer and you are
15	not listening.
16	MS. KNIGHT: I didn't want. She is
17	not really knowing I know what she was
18	trying to say, but she is a whipping it
19	around.
20	MR. MCGOFF: No. She is a giving you
21	an answer and it would be the same answer
22	that Attorney Minora would give you or I'm
23	assuming anyone else on council. Deposits
24	were being made through the tax office by
25	the tax collector whoever it was at the time

2	MS. KNIGHT: Who was that then?
3	MR. MCGOFF: At different times at
4	one point in time it was Ken McDowell, prior
5	to that it was Joe Doherty, I think prior to
6	that he Ed Walsh, so whoever was the tax
7	collector at the time.
8	MS. KNIGHT: So in quote, all of
9	these three quote gentlemen knew about it?
10	If you are putting the money in
11	MR. MCGOFF: There was an account
12	that's already been added to.
13	MS. KNIGHT: If you are putting the
14	money in.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, they knew there was
16	an account, that's already been admitted to,
17	not admitted to
18	MS. KNIGHT: But if you are putting
19	the money in every week and you are coming
20	back with a statement every month or weekly
21	or however they do it you would known that
22	you have \$100,000, 200, 300, 400 and I don't
23	how much interest on top of that. So, how
24	come these three people get away with this
25	and is allowed to do it? How do you people

1 up there -- and you know what bothers me the 2 most, Judy, you are one of them.

3	MS. GATELLI: I figured I would be
4	one of them. That wasn't hard to figure
5	out.
6	MS. KNIGHT: And I'm not against
7	religion, but you are the first one that
8	always says, oh yeah, my five minutes are
9	up. Go ahead.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Knight.
11	MS. KNIGHT: But, Judy, you go to
12	church on Sunday
13	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
14	MS. KNIGHT: Next time
15	MS. GATELLI: That's none of your
16	business if I go to church or not.
17	MS. KNIGHT: The next time you open
18	your mouth
19	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Knight, your time
20	is up.
21	MS. KNIGHT: The next time you open
22	your mouth to receive the Lord make sure
23	MR. MCGOFF: Oh, excuse me
24	MS. GATELLI: Oh, stop it. Stop it.
25	I am not going to take the Lord's name in

1 this chamber, now stop it. Shame on you.

2 MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Knight --

3	MS. KNIGHT: Shame on you.
4	MS. GATELLI: Shame on you. Shame
5	on you talking about the Lord like that.
6	Shame on you.
7	MS. KNIGHT: I'm not ashamed of
8	anything.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Knight
10	MS. KNIGHT: You should be.
11	MS. GATELLI: Well, I'm not.
12	MS. KNIGHT: You should be.
13	MS. GATELLI: Well, I'm not.
14	MS. KNIGHT: Because you're stupid,
15	that's why.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Oh
17	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Knight, I'm going
18	to ask you to leave these chambers.
19	MS. GATELLI: You know whose campaign
20	she'll be on.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, I'm going to
22	ask you to leave the chambers, please?
23	MS. KNIGHT: Why?
24	MS. FANUCCI: Why?
25	MR. MCGOFF: Because you were out of

order, you were being incendiary and I'm not going to tolerate it for the rest of the meeting.

4	MS. KNIGHT: Oh, but you tolerate
5	it
6	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, I'm asking
7	you to leave.
8	MS. KNIGHT: I'll leave, but I'm
9	coming back and I will keep coming back and
10	(unintelligible) including you, you and you.
11	(Whereupon Mr. McGoff bangs the
12	gavel.)
13	MS. KNIGHTS; keep doing it until I
14	walk out the damn door.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you for justifying
16	my request.
17	MS. GATELLI: Unbelievable.
18	MR. UNGVARSKY: Good morning, city
19	council, I'm Tom Ungvarsky and I'm a member
20	of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers'
21	and I, too, would like to talk about 5-G.
22	As you probably think, would you please
23	answer these questions after I'm done
24	speaking, sir? As you probably know, there
25	are several actions being taken against

ECTV, I wonder if any of these actions are
fruitful what will happen to the \$90,000

that ECTV receives? Also, I was of the

4	understanding that the agreement with
5	Mr. Balton and the City of Scranton. Now,
6	that Mr. Balton has left ECTV what happens
7	to the agreement? It seems like it should
8	be null and void.
9	One other point, I understand or I
10	have heard a rumor that a roof might be put
11	on the 933 building and would that be
12	covered under this \$90,000? And probably
13	the last thing I have to say is after about
14	six months of bickering over Channel 61, why
15	is it that ECTV has never appeared, has
16	never made a statement, has never clarified
17	their position, they have been strangely
18	silent about their transaction and maybe you
19	can invite them here before you pass this
20	ordinance. Thank you. Before I leave,
21	would you answer those questions for me,
22	please?
23	MR. MCGOFF: On the first question
24	what will happen to the \$90,000?
25	MR. UNGVARSKY: Yes, if they lose any
	69

L	of	their	 the	actions	started	against
2	the	m?				

3 MR. MCGOFF: I would assume that since it's a loan that they would be

5	required to well, if any of those that	ıt
6	I can't answer.	
7	MR. UNGVARSKY: Maybe it's something	Γ
8	you should discuss before you pass this?	
9	MR. MCGOFF: I would assume that if,	
10	in fact, they were removed as operators of	
11	the public access channel that that money	
12	would be returned to the city.	
13	MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay. How about if	
14	they spend it?	
15	MR. MCGOFF: Since it is a loan I	
16	would assume that whatever costs are	
17	occurred while they are operating it would	
18	be	
19	MR. UNGVARSKY: Are they offering	
20	any collateral to back up that loan?	
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, the equipment and	
22	the property and	
23	MR. UNGVARSKY: I thought we were	
24	buying the equipment?	
25	MR. MCGOFF: Well, that becomes the	
		70

L	collateral	for	the	purchase

MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, if we bought it 2 for them I don't know how it's collateral, 3

but going on, the agreement between

5	Mr. Balton and the City of Scranton, wha	t
6	happens with that since Mr. Balton	
7	MR. MCGOFF: The agreement is bet	weer
8	the company ECTV	
9	MR. UNGVARSKY: That was	
10	Mr. Balton	
11	MR. MCGOFF: television, well,	
12	it's more than one person.	
13	MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, I think you	
14	should look into that before you pass th	is
15	ordinance. What about the roof?	
16	MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry?	
17	MR. UNGVARSKY: What about if the	У
18	put a roof on is that covered under this	
19	\$90,000?	
20	MR. MCGOFF: I don't know anythin	g
21	about that.	
22	MR. UNGVARSKY: There was a rumo	r
23	that they would be putting on a roof for	
24	that 933 Prescott Avenue.	
25	MR. MCGOFF: I don't know anythin	g
		-
1	about a roof, so I don't know.	

MR. UNGVARKSY: Maybe we should check

on that, also, and maybe you would invite

channel -- well, ECTV to appear here and

present their case. I thank you for

6	answering the questions or trying to anyway.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr.
8	Ungvarsky, and I think the invitation to
9	someone from ECTV would probably be proper.
10	MR. UNGVARSKY: Thank you.
11	MR. ELLMAN: Ronnie Ellman, homeowner
12	and member of the Taxpayers' Association.
13	Well, Mr. McGoff, I didn't vote for you, but
14	I think you are doing well up there and
15	trying to handle some
16	unacceptable exhibitions like, you know,
17	Mr. Miller, threw a temper tantrum up here
18	and then he wants to retreat to the table
19	and say, "I'm a kid, you can't criticize
20	me."
21	It can't be both ways, it's like
22	being pregnant, you are or you are not. He
23	wants to stand up here and then he can be
24	criticized like the rest of us.
25	And Stacy Brown's unacceptable

article in the paper that week of an
interview I suppose was nothing but a bunch
of half truths, it was biased, it was
outrageous to say these people out here are
capable of hurting someone that comes to

6	this table. The last year or two I've
7	talked to six, eight, ten of Mr. Miller's
8	peers here and there and a couple of parents
9	and a grandmother, I even mentioned the
10	grandmother one time, and the reason he is
11	at that table alone is because he has got a
12	bad attitude problem from his peers, every
13	single one of them, he looks down at them
14	that's why he ended up sitting there by
15	himself
16	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Ellman
17	MR. ELLMAN: No no, because they
18	people out here, these good people out here
19	don't threaten anybody. These people on the
20	other side of the TV aren't threatening
21	anybody, it was an outrageous, blatant lie
22	saying that. Go on.
23	MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to say
24	Mr. Miller had something to say, there was
25	an article written about him, I think we

1	1	h	belabored	+ h + a	0 10 0 1 1 0 lb
ı		nave	pelapored	T.nls	enouan

MR. ELLMAN: Well, but I sat back

there and talked to Mr. Miller after his

statement, as far as I was concerned it was

a childish --

6 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: -- statement, but he is
the one that brought it up again, not me and
I have a right to say this tonight. He has
a hit and run idea. For someone that gloats
in front of the TV set he can't even sit and
say thank you, he had to jump up here every
opportunity and show his pearly whites. I
can't understand why he wanted to take it
off, all I did was criticize his judgment
which I didn't think was very good. Well,
I'll get off the subject.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Almost off of it. I got one thing else to say, the article said that Mr. Miller said that where were we to help him when he was raking yards and so forth? Personally, I imagine most of people people and the people in front of that camera were working when he was raking yards

and school grounds and doing his thing with his group, but everybody here does something he has never done and won't do for years to come they sign an income tax, they sign the tax check so he can go to school every year, mine is \$800 or \$900 for the school taxes

7	and my taxes are over \$1,200. I haven't had
8	no one in school for 30 years. There is
9	people out here that haven't had a child in
10	school for 50 years and they still pay and
11	look at the product we are getting. Now, I
12	won't say no more.
13	Mr. Spindler mentioned that the
14	people are paying, I was told this, too, and
15	I just don't see how the school does not
16	own that property why are they collecting
17	money? They want both things. Let them
18	either pay for it and put us in a park like

money? They want both things. Let them either pay for it and put us in a park like they were supposed to a couple of years ago or let them get out of it. You know, this is just more corruption than this city reaks of. I know you don't like it, but that's

I went to the car show Sunday at the park and the park looks good, it does, you

what's going on right under your noses.

know, right there when I was leaving one of
the gentlemen with a staff thing, a shirt or
that knows me, says, "Look at the tree."

Right there, big healthy tree with a big red dot on it. I was in Wilkes-Barre last week for a car show, you go through the whole town there is no trees missing, you

8 phone the police in Dunmore, anywhere, 9 Taylor, ask them how many trees they have 10 cut down in 10 or 15 years and they say occasionally there is a tree. We are 11 12 cutting hundreds of trees down and they 13 costing a fortune and most of them are healthy, they are not diseased trees, you 14 15 can go look at them and go talk to the 16 people cutting them down. Thank you. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. 18 MR. DAVIS: Salaam aleikum. Six

years ago I walked into this building and I spoke to the person who I thought was in charge of Channel 61, and I had watched, I had listened for about two or three weeks what was happening here in the council room and I asked him, I said, "Could I become a volunteer. I want to be a volunteer to just

76

run the cameras."

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Now, this was six years ago and I got behind the camera up in the and loft and eventually we moved the camera down from the back, but it gave me an education, you know, and right now I'm very happy because I have seen people come, I have seen people go, I

have seen people who are on this side of the board and those who are not, and I realize that as I sit there and make comments about people who are here on this side it's very easy to do because you are protected, you're at a podium. We thought enough of you to elect you and put you on a podium, but the respect that you are giving to those who come here with questions, with answers, with arguments, is not really what I would call a very human equation.

I kind of find that it's

disrespectful, and not because you want to

be disrespectful because it's a defense

mechanism. As you present yourselves we are

listening to you. All of these voices out

here hear you, they hear you very plain

clearly, and it scarce me because I don't

see where we are going from here. I don't see the road, I don't see the solution to the problems. We are talking about billions and billions of dollars in debt, and I can't imagine our children being able to pay it off because we are not able to pay it off, we are accumulating more debt than we have reason to, I think, but I'm not sure, I'm

not -- I'm not a treasurer, I'm not a -- I'm not the collector of dues or things like that, but at the same time I do pay my wages, I pay my -- I pay just as I go along, buy my food, pay my rent, but this is something that you are not listening to.

You are not listening to us as we speak to you about the same thing everybody in the United States is talking about, the little man as far as status is concerned is being washed away. He is being pushed down too the point where he won't be able to blame you for it, he won't blame anything but themselves which is self-degradation and that we don't need. We don't need you to sit there and tell us that we are not worthy of you. We are tired of that, also. We are

tired of the snickering, we are tired of the bombast and words and actions and deeds and worst of all in this bell. When a person is standing here trying to tell you something of worth you have not -- it's just sort of very ignorant to pound the bell or you hear the bell saying, all right, that's enough.

That's enough of your time, the person may

not be finished, but that's respect. That's
what I was taught as respect. I was taught
respect in the Pine Street Baptist Church
how to speak orally, how to have respect for
other people and that has carried me all of
the way through my life, and treat people
with respect and I may not have I may
have insulted you, but I have reason to
insult you I think because I think I'm
taking it for those who have not insulted
you, those who have sat there and were told
of themselves and told of their problems
being minor, and I thank you for your bell.
I think it's very, very apprapoe.
MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Davis.
MR. SCHMIDT: My name is Bobby
Schmidt and I'm from Scranton here, I just

1	have a few comments. One thing I find very
2	troubling is the use of OECD funds towards
3	the city advertising and boosters. I find
4	it to be very not just wasteful, but
5	extremely ineffective, I mean, since the
6	40's really, Scranton Chamber, Scranton
7	Times, the City of Scranton, they have
8	gotten together to have these projects to
9	keep people here. In the 40's, you know, it

was, you know, all of these industrialized strategies and the Chamber of Commerce, excuse me, the Chamber of Commerce engaged in all of these adverting to keep workers here because the area was completely depleted.

Now, OECD funding typically should go towards, you know, poor folks, housing, things of that point. Increasingly it's the case that OECD funding is going towards advertising and one example of this is ECTV. If you read in the newspaper they said they would play city council meetings once a week perhaps, but the rest of that is going to go towards enlightening the people who already live here of all of the assets that are in

the city. I know of one program that was
very beneficial to me was a program through
OECD where they give you \$10,000, \$15,000 to
put into your house. If your house is going
into, you know, disrepair, they would give
you some money, that way you could keep your
house up to code and live there, 10, 15
dollars is really water off a duck's rearend
because it would cost them more than that

.0	for the city to knock it down.
.1	So anyway, this ECTV, this
.2	boosterism is very infective. If you talk
.3	to people, people are very upset, you know,
. 4	from a few years ago the summer in the city.
.5	The summer in the city campaign, all of this
. 6	money from OECD going towards these banners
.7	to advertise to people who already live here
. 8	trying to convince us of all of the
.9	amenities, and you if you talk to people, I
20	mean, look, this area is in decline, there
21	is no doubt about it. It's not our fault
22	that this area is in decline, this is
23	throughout the northeast, it's not just
24	Scranton, Pennsylvania, I mean, there is
25	most mining, no you know, there are some

suburbs, you know, like Upper Darby, but
even Upper Darby it's really filled up with
white folks that have just moved in.

You know, this area is in the decline, this region is in decline. The areas of growth or in the sunbelt. So, again it's not our fault and that's the problem, people that are living in this area go for greener pastures, that's not our fault.

Another problem with this area is,
you know, you really have to know somebody
to get a job. It is -- I mean, this area is
in decline, it's Scranton, and it's put all
of this pressure on these jobs and most
areas, right, in most school districts, for
instance, in the big cities, you know, you
have to beg people to go there and teach,
but in the City of Scranton because there is
just no jobs here, you know, you have to
know somebody to get a job.

Another issue is corruption and which, I mean, I'm not going to, you know, everybody reads the Scranton Times and they are very familiar, if you live in the city

1	you understand. Now, rather than changing
2	the material, the reality of this city,
3	Doherty and not just Doherty, but mayors
4	all across the northeast really are trying
5	to change the image of this city, trying to
6	make us feel better about this city and it
7	gets very wasteful. You know, Doherty's
8	strategy has been to knock down homes. I'm
9	not really, you know, not a whole lot of
10	emphasis has been towards rehabbing homes,

11	keeping poor people here and everything has
12	been toward keeping poor people out of here.
13	Now, Sherry Fanucci, I'm not looking to
14	attack you, I just have an honest question,
15	the rumor is that your house is for sale.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Does this have to do
17	with anything?
18	MR. SCHMIDT: Yes.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Are you getting your
20	information off one little website?
21	MR. SCHMIDT: No, somebody brought it
22	up back here.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Would you like to buy
24	it? It is for sale. I would be thrilled if
25	you did buy it because I'm looking for an
	83
1	anxious buyer.
	_
2	MR. SCHMIDT: I tell you what, there
3	is a fool born every minute, I'll look at
4	it, how's that.
5	MS. FANUCCI: You should. You
6	should.
7	MR. SCHMIDT: Here's the question I
8	have, are so okay, you are selling your
9	house, are you going to look to buy a house
10	in the city? Because here's what I find

troubling, here's the point I'm getting at,

12	you are going to vote on this legislation
13	for ECTV booster
14	MS. FANUCCI: Yes, I am.
15	MR. SCHMIDT: And you are all for
16	boosting this area, fine, fabulous, are you
17	going to still live in this city?
18	MS. FANUCCI: I have to live in this
19	city because I'm a city councilwoman.
20	MR. SCHMIDT: Well, after your house
21	is sold.
22	MS. FANUCCI: You are not going to
23	let me address the question, let me clarify
24	something, you would have to be crazy to
25	come here every week and put up with this
	84
1	

1	kind of tactics where people worry about
2	whether you are selling your home or not and
3	not want to believe in the city and love
4	this city and do what you can for the city.
5	Of course I'm going to live in the city, why
6	would I leave this city if I'm a city
7	councilwoman representing the
8	MR. SCHMIDT: No, after your house is
9	sold which that could take a year.
10	MS. FANUCCI: That's I just

answered your question, would you like me to

12	answer it again:
13	MR. SCHMIDT: Okay, so you are going
14	to buy another house in the city.
15	MS. FANUCCI: Well, what would I be
16	doing as a city council person?
17	MR. SCHMIDT: It was just a
18	question, so you are, thanks.
19	MS. FANUCCI: This is personal
20	information that I'm giving to you to be
21	gracious because you are a first time
22	speaker, but to be honest with you this is
23	personal information and really you have no
24	right to be asking this in public, is my
25	house for sale, it's my personal life, but I
	85
1	am being nice to you because you, obviously,
2	are new and trying to make your way, so
3	that's
4	MR. SCHMIDT: I'm not trying to
5	thank you very much. Just please consider
6	this ECTV, it's very wasteful, and none of
7	this boosterism has worked. The population
8	has declined for decades, so just consider
9	that.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. How are you,
11	Chris?

MR. SLEDENZSKI: How are you, Bob?

13	Is this thing on? I can't hear myself talk
14	into it.
15	MR. MCGOFF: It should be.
16	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Billy, I can't
17	hear myself in this thing?
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: What's that?
19	MR. SLEDENZSKI: It ain't working.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: It's not working?
21	Talk loud.
22	MR. MCGOFF: We'll hear you.
23	MR. SLEDENZSKI: How's that, Bill?
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: That's good.
25	MR. SLEDENZSKI: I can't hear myself.
	86

These firemen in the City of Scranton are

2	doing a good job in the city, all of them,
3	even Dave Gervasi, he is doing a good job.
4	Let's keep it up down there, boys.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Even Dave Gervasi.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?
7	MS. EVANS: Good morning. First, a
8	brief update on the 2007 independent audit.
9	The status remains basically unchanged since
10	my last report a few weeks ago. According
11	to the response from Shawn Grassi, CPA,
12	received on June 4 by the Office of City

Council and I quote, "We have enclosed copies of our April 15, 2008, letter and it's attachment dated February 11 and the May 21 letter to Frank S. Renda, copies of which were sent to city council and the mayor. However, unless the client provides us with the necessary data to perform the audit it impedes our ability to complete the audit and issue the audit report on a timely

correspondence, the original which dates

back to February 11, 2008, our desire to

basis. As you can see from our

complete the audit as quickly as possible is
evident. A delay in receiving requested
information and resulting any technical
issues will control when the audit report
will be issued."

Thus, two pages of requests from

Robert Rossi and Company or information

from, mainly, from the city administration
as well as three municipal authorities and
the Single Tax Office and NCC remain
unanswered.

Council also received a response from police chief Elliott regarding an

investigation by the D.A.'s Office of the
arson fire at Coney Island located on Cedar
Avenue. He states that the fire department
fire inspector ruled on the cause of origin
of fire. Once the fire is ruled arson is
turned over the police fire marshal who
investigates and makes an arrest when
warranted. The D.A.'s Office does not have
an arson investigator, however, their
prosecutors who are trained in I believe the
proper strategies and methods of prosecuting
such cases are members of the arean task

force and as such are already involved in this case.

Further, the chief objects to any transfer of in case or any other unless it involves a conflict of interest. Therefore, council might want to reconsider it's request to the D.A.'s Office moved by Mrs. Fanucci.

Next, there are numerous pieces of legislation on today's agenda, one of which is the \$90,000 loan to ECTV through OECD for the purchase of equipment. I have numerous serious concerns about such a loan. First

14	of all, the payment of the loan is deferred
15	for three years.
16	Second, if three full-time
17	employment positions are created within
18	three years of the date of closing on the
19	loan then there shall be no repayment of the
20	loan and it becomes a gift from the
21	taxpayers, if you will. Also, I recall in
22	2006 perhaps Scranton Today requested a
23	\$7,000 grant from OECD which was not given
24	to them.
25	Third, the \$90,000 will be used to

purchase equipment. This equipment will be
used as collateral for this loan/grant, not
property. Also, it appears that these
monies according to the loan contract cannot
be utilized for roofing.

Number four, as soon as three positions are created the equipment is no longer collateral. It appears to be owned by ECTV, thus, OECD through the taxpayers is purchasing equipment for ECTV and that's a very nice government gift I would say.

Fifth, Chris Balton, executive director of ECTV, and if I may say in my opinion a true talent and expertise of this

15 organization is no longer affiliated with ECTV. 16 Six, the transfer of CDBG funds from 17 the Grow Scranton Fund deserves scrutiny. 18 19 OECD states that the money came from a 20 consultant, NDC, a consultant to OECD whose contract was terminated by city council I 21 22 believe in an approximately late 2004. The annual cost of that consultant was \$60,000. 23 24 OECD claims this is the source of the 25 funding for ECTV since the Grow Scranton

90

Fund no longer exists. Thus, \$60,000 has been unused for three and a half years in an account. Since OECD added \$30,000 to that \$60,000 figure from the same account apparently, what is the total dollar amount of monies in the Grow Scranton account? Seven, whose is signed on the OECD applications and if it is Mr. Balton's name then I believe those applications become void. 11 Eight, if Mr. Balton was an officer 12 of the corporation what is ECTV's corporate status currently since he is no longer 13

affiliated.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

Nine, how will ECTV provide salaries for three permanent employees. The \$90,000 is only for equipment. Ten, I would like to see a list by the donors and business sponsors who have contributed or pledged financial support to ECTV and the amount of each pledge and donation and this way I believe council could ascertain how quickly ECTV may come before council with it's hand out once again.

Eleven, I would also like to see a
copy of their 501-C-3 status. I do realize
that they have applied to the state for
nonprofit status, but I would like to see a
copy, a written copy, granting that 501-C-E
status and I notice that as I am speaking
one of the officers of Scranton Today is
shaking her head. I assume that means this
does not exist.

Twelve, what if any, is the ruling of the Ethics Commission regarding the complaint filed by Northeastern Pennsylvania Public Access Project? Five months after the complaint was registered there has still been no response.

Thirteen, there is no contract	
despite what the newspaper reports. Ther	е
is no contract. There is no letter of	
agreement between the city and ECTV and I	
have information of that in writing from	
21 Attorney Patterson, city solicitor.	
Fourteen, the mayor and some coun	cil
members intend to give \$90,000 to a group	
with no peg management experience, no	
production experience, and no guarantees	as
	92
1 to how it will fulfill all RFP, that is	
2 proposal, promises. Is this a sound	
3 investment? I think not.	
Fifteen, the city gave \$13,000 to	

Fifteen, the city gave \$13,000 to

Scranton Today Channel 61 in a ten-year

period. Now the city will give \$90,000 to

ECTV for start-up costs because they are not

yet in business. Is this a sound

investment?

And, finally, I know I have heard concerns that have been voiced earlier today about the conduct of city council meetings providing a poor image of our city. Well, first, I might remind everyone that council meetings have been contentious for many,

many years. In fact, those individuals who are older than I remind me of the days when council meetings were broadcast by radio and they were according to these listeners highly contentious meetings often involving I believe the mayor's father who was a city council member at that time, and so you see, the atmosphere and conflict evidently is one that accompanies the process of democracy and it is not something new that has

developed in the last two, four or five years, it's long been with us.

But more so, I hear your concerns
for again this providing a very poor image,
yet, I believe it was part of Scranton -- or
not Scranton Today, but ECTV's proposal to
place council meetings on united streaming
and so if the concern is that Scranton is
receiving a poor image to our neighboring
community, my goodness, now you are going to
be broadcasting these meetings worldwide, so
not only our neighbors in Northeastern
Pennsylvania will be viewing it, but our
neighbors across the country, California,
perhaps our friends over in England, so be
careful what you ask for because evidently

17	you just might receive it.
18	Now, based on the 16 concerns, very
19	serious concerns that I have outlined, I
20	move to reopen the RFP process for operation
21	of peg channels 61 and 62.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll second that.
23	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,
25	Mr. McGoff, I will speak in motions more on
	94
1	it, but I've had some concerns throughout
2	this thing and in light of Mr. Balton
3	possibly exited from ECTV and some of the
4	things were brought to light from the podium
5	this evening or this morning that I did
6	not know, I have even more concerns.
7	MS. EVANS: And I might add, I think
8	this is the most fair manner in which to
9	address what seems to have been a very
9	address what seems to have been a very unfair process, so let's going to back to
10	unfair process, so let's going to back to

my issue when this started and 61 got it

there actually wasn't a bid process, I think

Jimmy decided, Mayor Connors just decided

14

15

that they were going to do this, so the fact
at that there even was a process is better
off than it was before. It was just another
mayor deciding this is what they wanted to
do, it just so happens not to be this mayor,
it was the last one.

I'm going to tell you my concern is

I'm going to tell you my concern is regardless of who, what, where and when as long as 61 or whoever is showing the council

meetings I am personally -- that's the issue
I have, that's the only thing that has to do
with my method of the TV broadcast.

And, also, it's the mayor's call like it or not, you know, it's his deal just like a lot of legislation that comes before us his deal, so really considering all of that it has nothing to do with what we want, think or feel, quite frankly. So, you know, that is something that, real reality. It's real reality, but it's the truth. I mean, it's his call just like it was Jimmy's call and when the next mayor come around and it will be there call and the next mayor after that.

As far as what happens to the channel, as long as we are on we are on.

That's what's important here as far as my concern. Whether or not there are or channel. Now, I was going to see I was a big proponent of 61, started off with 61, I loved 61, started off with it, the intent of the channel when it started and what it ended up to be were two separates issues. They wanted to do more programming. They

wanted they did do a lot of programming
in the beginning and it ended up that for
some reason it just didn't they wanted to
do outdoor programming, everything. They
wanted to show everything in the city. It
wasn't, you know, the last speaker come up
and say it was a PR thing. That's not
exactly that's exactly what the intent
was last time to go out and show what they
would show, it happens in the City of
Scranton and our community. I believe that
the new channel just came to the graduation
of the Scranton High School and our taping
MS. EVANS: But, that was Scranton.

MS. FANUCCI: Okay, well, but that's what the intent is to show what happens in

the community. It is a PR things, so you can say, okay, well, okay, look happened, I believe there was one thing on the railroads years ago, but as far as to sit here week after week and fight over who is showing what. I mean, it's not -- I really don't -as long as it's on it's on. That's as far as I know we are on, so --

MR. MCGOFF: I, too, believe that the selection process that was conducted was from my perspective a fair and equitable process. The people that were chosen to be on the committee I find to be honest, reliable citizens who made a decision and whether we like that decision or not I don't think that reopening it is necessarily going to change things.

MS. EVANS: Well, I'm just thinking, you know, based on the information that was presented this morning, for example, concerning Mr. Darcy I think they might want to reconsider their decisions. I don't know that that information was available to them at the time they made the selection. In addition to the fact that some may say the programming on Channel 61 was not as diverse

as it would have been desired, we do have to
remember they weren't given any money. In
nine years they received a grand total of
\$13,000 from the City of Scranton.
I do agree with Mrs. Fanucci that

I do agree with Mrs. Fanucci that absolutely selection of the peg channel operator is the decision of the mayor,

however, it is the decision of city council
whether or not to provide that \$90,000 gift.
That cannot be given by the mayor and I'm
not sure that the mayor himself is even
quite sure what he wants because on one hand
in the newspaper he states unequivocally
that there will be no funding of ECTV and
then approximately two weeks later he is
saying, well, yes, we are funding ECTV,
Channel 61, through OECD with \$90,000. So
I'm not quite sure if the one hand knows
what the other is doing.

You know, I do feel though that, as
I say, the bottom line is council does
control the purse strings here. Council,
just like the mayor has the ability to
select, council has the ability to provide
or withhold this money.

19	MS. FANUCCI: I'm happy with the
20	decision, so let's just vote.
21	MR. MCGOFF: I was going to say,
22	there is a motion and it's been seconded.
23	Motion to vote.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
25	MR. MCGOFF: All those on the motion,
	99
1	to restate, to reopen the selection process
2	for the operation of Channel 61.
3	MS. EVANS: Yes.
4	MR. MCGOFF: All in favor.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Opposed? No.
8	MS. FANUCCI: No.
9	MS. GATELLI: No.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Motion is defeated.
11	MS. EVANS: I also have requests for
12	the week, actually for the last two weeks:
13	A letter to Mr. Luciani, contact Lorraine
14	Craig, and I do have a phone number listed,
15	but on the intersection of the 100 block of
16	Morgan Court and 800 block of Hemlock
17	Street. She has contacted you 11 times, and
18	he has failed to respond.
19	MS. GATELLI: I just want to

20	interrupt, he is not there anymore, maybe
21	that's why he keeps not answering here, for
22	a year he's not there.
23	MS. EVANS: There has not been a city
24	engineer
25	MS. FANUCCI: For a year.
	100
1	MS. GATELLI: No.
2	MS. EVANS: But, we have had
3	absolutely no engineering expertise for a
4	year?
5	MS. GATELLI: No, I think Mr. Parker
6	was volunteering for that. That phone
7	number probably doesn't work, you know, he
8	is not there.
9	MS. EVANS: Then, we'll send it to
10	MS. GATELLI: Brazil.
11	MS. EVANS: Mr. Brazil. Although, I
12	think Mr. Brazil may want to contact the
13	current engineer because it's a situation
14	that does require the review and
15	intervention of a professional engineer.
16	2935-37 Pittston Avenue, the property
17	was condemned over a year ago. It is city
18	owned. The grass is over four feet high and
19	the property is an eyesore. Please address

20	as soon as possible.
21	A letter to Mr. McMullen, residents
22	of the area report that there is increasing
23	traffic through Morgan Court and Rosen
24	Place. At the same time, there are children
25	riding their bikes and playing basketball in

those locations. With a closing of school for the summer months, more children are playing for longer time periods. Because residents fear for the safety of these children they request the placement of signs to alert drivers to drive slowly and to be aware of children playing at the Willow and Alder Street entrances to these courts.

612 Moosic Street, grass and hedges need to be cut. The garage door is open and has been broken into. Neighbors report that the property is blighted and I have included the name of the out-of-town property owner to be contacted as soon as possible.

Are city residents, this is a question that I have been asked numerous amount of times lately, are city residents allowed to have bonfires and fire bowls burning in their back yards on a regular basis?

21	Also, a letter to Mr. Brazil: Please
22	direct DPW employees who collect garbage
23	cans on lawns, pick up debris that that they
24	drop while emptying cans, and avoid damaging
25	tree lawns when cutting the corners too

short when driving. Residents of South Side that they just clean up the streets following garbage collections and that trash cans are thrown, often landing in the street and damaging the cans themselves.

Now, the DPW has a tremendous workload, and frankly, I think one of the hallmarks of a strong city is a powerful well-funded Department of Public Works and I know in my neighborhood DPW does an exemplary job on trash pickup days. Even as I travel to work throughout the Greenridge, lower Greenridge and North Scranton area, I noticed that those crews are doing their job and doing it quite well.

So, I'm just asking that everyone make the same attempts to respect the property of the city residents and respect the environment of our city who don't want to add to the litter and the dirty

21 conditions of our streets that seem to be so
22 often a problem in our communities.
23 Also, to Mr. Brazil, in the 200
24 block of North Garfield Avenue please send
25 the street sweeper to clean this block.

And to Mr. Seitzinger, please send an inspector to the 200 of North Garfield.

Neighbors report garbage cans remain in front of th properties and a front porch is littered with debris.

321 South 9th Avenue. This uninhabited house was condemned several months ago. The second door is open and the grass is overgrown. Neighbors worry that birds will enter the structure and that teens will trespass as well and this building is a for sale with Century 21 through Jack Ruddy, so perhaps LIPS can ascertain the ownership through the realtor.

A letter to Mr. Brazil: Please trim trees that are blocking the huge stop signs at the intersection of Shawnee Avenue and Depot Street. Residents report that vehicles drive at excessive speeds failing to stop for traffic signs, and I am also going to ask that the e-mail about those

22	vehicles driving in excessive speeds be
23	forwarded to Chief Elliott.
24	And in the 1000 block of Mohan Court
25	residents of the 1000 block of North Webster
	104
1	report that residents of apartments in the
2	1000 block of Clay Avenue are parking their
3	vehicles in this Court. Numerous calls to
4	the police department were made and police
5	officers asked residents to move their
6	vehicles. After the departure of the
7	officer, however, the residents again parked
8	their cars in the alley.
9	Further, firetrucks would be unable
10	to travel Mohan Court in the event of an
11	emergency. Finally, residents of North
12	Webster Avenue with driveway access through
13	the alley often find access blocked by
14	parked cars. Please take appropriate
15	measures to address this violation,
16	particularly in light of the failure of
17	warnings that have been issued, and that's.
18	I do want to say though particularly
19	to the members of the board of Scranton
20	Today, I thank you for ten years of tireless

dedication to the people of the City of

Scranton. Your volunteerism is unparalleled
and the quality of your objective coverage I
feel is going to be sorely missed and I am
concerned that it will not be equal and on

1	behalf of the countless city residents,
2	because as a council member I cannot tell
3	you that I have been contacted both by
4	calling and e-mail by many, many individuals
5	residing not only within our city, but
6	outside of our city as well who support you,
7	who feel that loss already of your presence,
8	so please know that you always have been
9	appreciated, you always will be appreciated,
10	and I do personally apologize for what has
11	happened to Scranton Today. I tried very
12	hard to prevent that, but I do apologize for
13	the process, the lack of the process with
14	the Ethics Commission, it does seem that the
15	deck is stacked and for reasons we can only
16	conjecture up here, but it is apparent that
17	it has been stacked. But, again, I thank
18	you so very much for ten wonderful,
19	wonderful years of service and I think we
20	all do. And that's it.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Evans.
22	Mrs. Gatelli.

23 MS. GATELLI: Well, I just have one thing, this Thursday and several of the 24 25 issues that Mrs. Evans spoke about and her 106 1 complaints are being addressed by the South 2 Side Community Justice Program. As I stated last week, he we have reinstituted our 3 4 program. We had more people at our meeting 5 last week than we had at the neighborhood 6 meeting, so it was very fulfilling to see 7 that many people from the neighborhood. We will be meeting at 10 a.m. on 8 9 Thursday morning and we will start our walks, we will have the police and the 10 11 district attorney and an inspector and 12 anyone who has any complaints please forward 13 to them our e-mail or to Mrs. Garvey and we 14 will address them on our walk this Thursday. 15 Thank you. That's it. 16 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 17 Mrs. Fanucci. 18 MS. FANUCCI: I also will be pretty 19 brief. On the Coney Island fire, I was glad 20 that Mrs. Evans read that letter, we had already received it, but I was doing 21

requests on a motion, I think people said

nothing was done, a statement was made that
nothing was being done to help about the
fire cause, so I'm glad to see that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

107

Obviously, we know that our firemen are working very hard to try and investigate what goes on in the city. So, I will retract that motion, obviously, to send a letter to the D.A.'s Office since they are already involved.

Also, again, on the statements and words and what goes on here and whatever else, I don't know how many different ways I can say this, but if someone gets up and they accuse me of something or say something that is inaccurate I am going to defend myself and I am going to set them straight and I will continue to do so as long as I sit here. You can say I'm rude. You can say whatever, but I think it's rude to get up here and make statements that are inaccurate, to put our own spin on what goes on so that you can, you know, act like you know what goes on and I'm the one who can tell you and I will continue to tell you when people get up here and accuse me of saying or doing things with motives aren't

24	accurate	I'm	going	to	set	them	st	raigh	nt.
25	3	[t's	pretty	ea.	sy t	to te	el	you,	you

1	don't have to ask me, I'm going to tell you.
2	There is no motive that isn't mine. There
3	is no motive that I don't decide on. I am a
4	very freewilled person and do as I choose
5	and do it with the best intent what I feel
6	goes on. When I vote I vote with my
7	conscience and I vote what I think is best
8	for the city. We get people here who
9	totally disagree with me and I totally
.0	disagree with me and that will go in these
.1	chambers forever, that is part of the
.2	democracy that happens here and that is why
.3	we live in a place that is wonderful. I
_ 4	think we all say what we have to say, but I
.5	do believe in the lot of the ways that we
. 6	say it that words are very powerful and we
.7	need to know when we come into these
.8	chambers that you cannot just say what you
.9	need to say about people and accuse them of
20	actions that are not true and to stand
21	behind that as if it is true and expect to
22	no consequences, that's life. Just like you
23	can't go in and yell "Fire" in a movie

1	I will say in part, my family is a
2	very, very good family and I work hard, my
3	family works hard and I'm not certainly not
4	going to let these chambers come to take
5	away any of that.
6	Also, I want to thank the last
7	speaker for the free advertising on my house
8	being for sale. I'm sure my realtor will be
9	very happy for that. Yes, my home is for
10	sale, I did answer a question that I do
11	believe it was probably none of the business
12	of the residents, but, yes, I a selling my
13	home, and I can guarantee you that I will be
14	living in the City of Scranton as long as I
15	sit on this council, and I love my job and I
16	certainly am not planning on leaving the
17	residents here quite so quickly, and that's
18	all I have. Thank you.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Fanucci.
20	Mr. Courtright.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. I am just
22	going to briefly speak on 61, a lot of the
23	speakers brought out questions that I had.

One thing I wasn't aware of was when Mrs.

L	the comment that the mayor said why the
2	channel was chosen the way it was, that
3	really bothers me. I wasn't aware of that.
1	Most of the things I was aware of, but that
5	I wasn't aware of. I just think that's
õ	wrong.

The thing I'd like to say is this about Channel 61, some people say that the airing of city council meetings makes the city look bad and stops businesses from coming into the city, that is not Scranton Today's fault, that is not Channel 61's fault. If these meetings get out of control that is our fault of the people that sit up here, that is the fault of the speakers at the podium, not Channel 61, they are just broadcasting what's going on here, so to lay the blame on them is just totally wrong in my opinion.

Kay, I don't know if we could send notice to Mr. Brazil on this again, maybe

Mrs. Gatelli may have an answer to this, I'm getting calls again about that restaurant on Cedar Avenue, whether there's an update on

1	MS. GATELLI: It's for sale.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: It's for sale. I
3	thought we were tearing that down. No?
4	MS. GATELLI: No, it's supposed to be
5	apartments. It was supposed to be
6	apartments in there and they went to zoning
7	and got approvals to put apartments in there
8	and they just never did it, so it's for sale
9	again. We are going to go there on
10	Thursday.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: All right.
12	MS. GATELLI: I wish it could be torn
13	down today.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: It's terrible over
15	there and I don't know how it's gone on this
16	long. Kay, if we can ask Mr. Brazil again,
17	on the Spruce Street complex I'm being told
18	the lights aren't on there at night right
19	now? I don't know why. If you can maybe
20	check that out for he.
21	And I don't know, I believe Mr.
22	Doherty told someone he didn't like me send
23	him letters on this, so I'll ask you to send
24	him a letter on this, if he doesn't, I'll
25	call him, I got stopped by a long, long time

1	business friend on Main Avenue in West
2	Scranton and he asked me is there any
3	progress on the project to do the streets
4	and lighting and whatnot on Main Avenue in
5	West Scranton, and I told him that, you
6	know, Congressman Kanjorski I believe it was
7	about two years ago he offered to help us
8	and the last time I did speak with the mayor
9	he said weren't going to go that route, he
.0	was going to be getting money from the
.1	state, but I haven't heard anything since,
.2	so I will ask you to send him a letter what
.3	the status of that is and if he doesn't want
_4	to answer my letter then I guess you can
.5	give me a phone number and I'll call him.
. 6	He didn't want to answer my letter last time
.7	he told someone.
.8	But, \$500 a team, \$25 a person to
.9	play down at that field doesn't sound right
20	to me. I don't know
21	MS. EVANS: That's through a letter
22	to the University of Scranton
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah, if you want to
24	send it to the University of Scranton I
25	can't imagine that that's going on. Again,

1	\$20 a	player,	boy,	if	you	go	down	and	watch
2	that :	soccer le	eague.						

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Somebody asked me about this, I don't know if it was Ms. Schumacher, I think what happens, and this is my opinion, I'm pretty sure this is what happens, I sat on the Civil Service Commission for awhile and what would happen was Mr. Klee, Chief Klee would tell us, we would inform them that the list was getting down low, you know, but back in those days there was a lot of people on these Scranton police officers, we really never exhausted the list, very much seldom. What would happen is there was a list, you were eligible for two years on this list and the civil service commission had the authority to extend it out 30 and sometimes we did that, but there was only so many names on the list. There doesn't seem to be as many people interested in being a police officer in the City of Scranton now. The list expired without the Civil Service person giving the test what I believe in a timely fashion and now we don't have anyone, we don't have anybody to draw from, so when

I was on the commission it came from the administration the request and from the administration before for us to give the test, but we would inform the administration that was list was getting down to the point where there weren't any candidates. I don't know if that answers your question or not, if not stop me afterwards and I'll try to expand on it further.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've been asked again about the cameras on the poles that I believe we spent about \$300,000 for, there still seems to be a communication problem where they can't communicate from the camera to I guess because it's mostly only done in the police department, so some of them are up and running but they are not actually up and running, some of them can't communicate and I know when they will all be up and running, some of them can't communicate and I don't know the status on when they will all be up and running. Some days if you take at look at the police department they will be testing the camera and seeing it on the screen behind the desk, but there seems to

1 be a problem with the communication so they 2 are not running. 3 And one last thing, the captain was walking through the hall just now so I 4 5 grabbed him and the torch run will be on 6 20th, it will go from Carbondale to Scranton 7 so it will be 8:30 on Friday, the torch run, if anybody is interested in that. That's 8 9 all I have today. Thank you. 10 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Courtright. Another thing to mention, I 11 12 mentioned it before, the Relay for Life will 13 take place this weekend at Memorial Stadium June 21 through the 22nd sponsored by the 14 15 American Cancer Society. Donations can be made, visitors can attend, I would imagine 16 17 that if you wanted to be part of a team you could still find someone that would allow 18 19 you to. Again, a worthy cause and an 20 activity that is well received throughout 21 the country as a matter of fact, so that's this weekend, June 21 and 22. 22 23 I was at the opening of the dog park 24 on this past Saturday at Connell Park, a 25 rather wet experience, but there were, you

1 know, attended by both human and canine 2 visitors. It was a very nice program, would 3 have been better without the rain. The Spruce Street lights, I had somebody ask me 4 about that as well, that there was a certain 5 number of lights that were on and then the 6 next day the person came and said, "Thanks 7 8 for taking care of the lights." Well, it doesn't stop there, about 9 10 two days later it was, "Oh, the lights were out again, who do we contact?" 11 So I don't know what the problem 12 13 was, why they were out one time and on the next day or what was happening, but I was 14 15 actually unsure is that --16 MS. GATELLI: PennDOT does it? MR. MCGOFF: PennDOT? But, we will 17 18 try to find out about the Spruce Street 19 lights, but they were working since there was a complaint that they were not working. 20 And the last thing, again, I guess a 21 22 lot is being said about ECTV, I firmly 23 believe that broadcasting of government meetings is an important thing to do which 24 25 is why, you know, last year sometime I voted to have Channel 61 back in council chambers. I believe it's necessary and I believe that it's for some people the only way in which they have participation in the government around them and also firmly believe that Channel 61 will continue to operate. I firmly believe that it will continue to broadcast government meetings. I don't think that there is any attempt to cover up anything, to silence anybody, to hide anyone of the speakers said, "It's just a camera."

I think that was the most telling statement that was made and as

Mr. Courtright said, the camera doesn't change anybody's behavior. It is we who are responsible for what happens here not a camera, and I guess what I'm getting to is that I guess I do feel badly that Scranton Today is no longer operating or will no longer operate Channel 61, but I do believe that it will continue to operate. A decision was made, I still believe that decision was made in a fair and equitable way. I knew some of the people that were on

1	the committee that made the choice, I
2	trusted their judgment. I believe them to
3	be honest reliable citizens and I don't know
4	that it was a flawed process, I know that
5	there are people are upset at the fact that
6	Scranton Today will not longer be operating
7	Channel 61, but I'm believe that we have to
8	do what we can to continue to see that there
9	is a continued operation of Channel 61, and
10	that is all. Mrs. Garvey.
11	MS. GARVEY: FIFTH ORDER. 5-B. FOR
12	INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE - ESTABLISHING A
13	"NO PARKING" ZONE ALONG THE SOUTHERLY SIDE
14	OF MULBERRY STREET (S.R. 3027) FROM THE NOW
15	VACATED HITCHCOCK COURT EAST TO A POINT
16	SEVENTY FIVE FEET (75') EAST OF THE CENTER
17	LINE OF ROYAL WAY (QUINCY AVENUE VACATED)
18	THE SAME DISTANCE AS MOR PARTICULARLY
19	DESCRIBED ON THE MAP/PLAN ATTACHED HERETO,
20	TO ALLOW FOR SITE DISTANCE FOR THE DRIVEWAY
21	TO ROYAL WAY.
22	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
23	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
24	introduced into it's proper committee.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.

21 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 23 introduced into it's proper committee. 24 MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.

25

120

MS. FANUCCI: Second.

2	those in favor signify by saying aye.
3	MS. EVANS: Aye.
4	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
5	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
8	ayes have it and so moved.
9	MS. GARVEY: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
10	AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
11	123, 2007, ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE
12	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
13	OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE
14	ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE
15	CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY
16	PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS
17	AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY
18	DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME
19	INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND
20	EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY
21	TRANSFERRING \$216,628.42 FROM PROJECT 05-154
22	FRIENDSHIP HOUSE AND \$24,371.58 FROM PROJECT
23	05-154.1 FRIENDSHIP HOUSE TO PROJECT 07-04
24	PAVING OF CITY STREETS TO INCLUDE
25	HANDICAPPED CURB CUTS.

1 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 2 entertain a motion that 5-D be introduced

3	into it's proper committee.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
6	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
7	MS. EVANS: Yes, I'd like to know how
8	many streets can be paved with this total
9	amount of money and I would like a
10	comprehensive list of those streets that are
11	designated for paving.
12	MR. MCGOFF: And I think that should
13	be done on behalf of council, that would be
14	a fair question. Anyone else? All those in
15	favor signify by saying aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
18	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. GARVEY: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
23	AN ORDINANCE - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF
24	SCRANTON PROVIDING FOR AN AGREEMENT OF
25	INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION FOR THE

1 PURPOSE OF MULTI-MUNICIPAL COMPREHENSIVE

2 PLANNING BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND THE

3	ADJACENT MUNICIPALITIES OF; ABINGTON
4	TOWNSHIP, CLARKS GREEN BOROUGH, CLARKS
5	SUMMIT BOROUGH, DALTON BOROUGH, DUNMORE
6	BOROUGH, GLENBURN TOWNSHIP, NEWTON TOWNSHIP,
7	NORTH ABINGTON TOWNSHIP, SOUTH ABINGTON
8	TOWNSHIP AND WEST ABINGTON TOWNSHIP.
9	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
11	introduced into it's proper committee.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
13	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
14	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
15	MS. EVANS: I do you recall from
16	today's caucus that a SAPPA representative
17	would like to speak with council, having
18	been given an update provided last week with
19	regard to a meeting that would have been
20	conducted in our city. Obviously, the first
21	full length draft has not yet been presented
22	to any of the entities, and so I would
23	encourage my honorable colleagues to perhaps
24	enable this piece of legislation until such
25	time as either we are able to speak with the

SAPPA	reg	pres	sent	tative	about	th	ne fui	ll-leng	th
draft	or	be	in	posses	ssion	of	that	draft.	

3 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

4	MS. GATELLI: When are they coming?
5	MR. MCGOFF: I believe the date was
6	not until July
7	MS. GARVEY: Fifteenth. I believe
8	it's July 15 is what you agreed on in the
9	back.
10	MS. GATELLI: And then we have three
11	weeks.
12	MS. EVANS: Well, we can always make
13	it
14	MS. GATELLI: Well, why don't we move
15	it until the final one so we'll be ready for
16	it once they come.
17	MS. EVANS: That's fine.
18	MS. GATELLI: Or we could vote it
19	down, you know what I mean?
20	MS. EVANS: Yes. I'd just like to be
21	able to actually see it
22	MS. GATELLI: Yes, I agree with you.
23	MS. EVANS: and read it prior to.
24	MS. GATELLI: Let's move it to the
25	last and then we'll table it.

1 MR. MCGOFF: So you're withdrawing

2 the motion?

3 MS. EVANS: Well, I didn't make a

4	motion, I just asked about it.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Okay, I'm sorry.
6	MS. GATELLI: It was on the question.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? All those
8	in favor of introduction signify by saying
9	aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
12	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
15	ayes have it and so moved.
16	MS. GARVEY: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -
17	AN ORDINANCE - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A
18	RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY
19	ANN MARIE KULICK T/A/ ANN'S BAR, 160
20	BOULEVARD AVENUE, THROOP, PA 18512, LICENSE
21	NO. R-15082 TO KENDRA A. RICCIO ENTERPRISES,
22	LLC T/A/ "BALLINA" FOR USE AT 2934 BIRNEY
23	AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA. AS REQUIRED BY THE
24	PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD.
25	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll

L	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
<u>></u>	introduced into it's proper committee
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.

4 MS. FANUCCI: Second.

5	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
6	those in favor signify by saying aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Aye.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
9	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
12	ayes have it and so moved.
13	MS. GARVEY: We need a motion for a
14	public hearing.
15	MR. MCGOFF: I ask for a motion for a
16	public hearing.
17	MS. GATELLI: I'll make a motion we
18	have a public hearing on this.
19	MS. GARVEY: June 24 at 6:50, is that
20	what you want? We're at daytime now, 9:50.
21	MR. MCGOFF: All in favor?
22	MS. EVANS: Aye.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
24	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The

ayes have it and so moved.

MS. GARVEY: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION
AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.

5	123 OF 2007, ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE
6	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
7	OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE
8	ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE
9	CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY
10	PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS
11	AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY
12	DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME
13	INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND
14	EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY
15	TRANSFERRING \$90,000.00 FROM PROJECT 02-234
16	INVESTMENT IN GROW SCRANTON FUND TO PROJECT
17	NO. 08-248 ELECTRIC CITY TELEVISION.
18	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
19	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
20	introduced into it's proper committee.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
23	MR. MCGOFF: On the question.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question, I'm
25	going to be voting, no, to this ECTV thing

and not to beat a dead horse here I just
think there is too much questions and I fear
for what's going to happen in the future.
totally disagree with the playing of I
don't know you say that city council maybe

played three times a day everyday for the entire week, but I believe city council and other governmental agencies should be played more than one time just for the people to see their government, and by saying you are only going to play it one time I think that, and I'm not saying that you are trying to hid something, but I think it's gives the impression that you are trying to hide something. And I sincerely hope that they do not edit any of the meetings either for good or bad, let people see the government the way it happens and sometimes, you know, it's ugly, but that's the way it is, it's ugly sometimes, but that's all I have.

MS. EVANS: I think it's also very prudent to weigh the pros and cons of this situation and we have on the one hand a new organization with little to no experience where one of the cofounders has

disassociated himself with the organization
and another cofounder according to some of
the testimony presented today has very
evident financial problems and this is the
gentleman who will be signing on the dotted

6	line for this \$90,000, and in addition to
7	that we have an operation that isn't costing
8	everyone nearly as much. I mean, one-tenth
9	as much for operation as what is being
.0	requested for startup costs for ECTV.
.1	And, finally, I think what concerns
.2	me and it was mentioned Mr. Courtright
.3	earlier I believe would be the fact that
_ 4	granted the mayor makes the ultimate
.5	decision, but for a mayor to say, "I don't
. 6	like this" or "I don't want this" or to
.7	suggest to the Board of Directors such
.8	directions or programming and presentation
.9	of government meetings that should raise a
20	red flag for everyone who lives in the City
21	of Scranton and that's government control of
22	the media, or at least attempted government
23	control of the media and that's a
24	frightening concept, and so I think, as I
25	said, when you weigh the pros and cons of

this situation	on the scales are tipped very
definitely in	n one direction and it's a
matter of sir	mply doing the right thing.
MR. N	MCGOFF: I'd like to just
comment brief	fly, we have been here, you

know, on numerous occasions when money has

been requested for the operation of Channel 61, and I realize we've voted, yes, no, whatever, it has been back and forth, but it appears now that the question is not the funding, but who is receiving the funding.

I don't think that we can have it both ways.

That if we want to fund -- if we want to fund Channel 61 then this is a means by way you can do it, so what we're saying after our meeting today is that we are not opposed to funding, we are opposed to the people who will be receiving the funding.

Again, I believe that we do need to ensure that Channel 61 will continue to operate. I believe that the decision on ECTV is irrevocable, and that it will be operator of Channel 61 and that there are some questions I think that those are questions that perhaps we can pursue to have

answered, but I feel that if we are going to
continue to go forward with open and, again,
I go back to a camera, a camera can't hide
what takes place, it's just a camera. It
doesn't matter who is on operating it. It
will show what is taking place and while

people may have some reservations about the number of times that it will been shown I think that that's something that we can deal with in the future, I believe that we should move forward and, yes, look into some of the questions that are being raised, but that the continued operation of Channel 61 is essentially and is funded and supported. MS. EVANS: I just wanted to clarify

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to clarify some of my statements in the event that they might become somewhat confused based on what Mr. McGoff's comments. First of all, my decision is not personal. My decision a based on cold hard facts and it certainly is not specifically, let us say, a matter as was suggested not funding, but who receives the funding because actually it greatly involves the funding. Scranton Today was to be provided with \$20,000 and that would have

covered	a s	six-month	n peri	od,	now	we	are
looking	at	\$90,000	for a	six	-mor	nth	period
July th	coug	gh Decemb	ber.				

Scranton Today would have liked a percentage of the cable franchise fee which would be enacted when a new contract with the cable carrier will be negotiated by the

8	city. Now, what that asked for was a
9	percentage of what Scranton Today asked for
10	pales in comparison to the percentage asked
11	for by Electric City TV and those are
12	monies, the cable franchise fee, is money
13	that comes into the city's operating budget,
14	so the comparison of the percentages is also
15	going to effect our budget, so it's not, as
16	I said, it's not a personal issue of "I like
17	you, I dislike you", this is a factual end,
18	this is dollars and cents, and this is
19	experience and this is credentials. And I
20	think, you know, it's very self-evident on
21	what this council should base it's decisions
22	and I think basically it's basing it's
23	decision on something personal and that is
24	the personal wish of the mayor of the City
25	of Scranton.

1	MS. FANUCCI: I can't believe you say
2	that. I mean, you can't decide what I'm
3	going to say or what I feel, just like I
4	would say that yours is personal because you
5	don't want it because you want it to be
6	here, it would be the same exact thing.
7	MS. EVANS: But I do

MS. EVANS: But I do --

8	MS. FANUCCI: But I want to say
9	don't put words in my mouth, Mrs. Evans.
10	You decide what you decide, I decide what I
11	decide, and please do not make accusations
12	on my behalf. Thank you. Thank you.
13	MS. EVANS: Which is why you should
14	not be making any statement that I wished to
15	be mayor. I have not made that statement.
16	MS. FANUCCI: You told us last week.
17	I don't think that was I'm sorry
18	MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, I never made
19	any public announcement
20	MS. FANUCCI: I'm sorry, I
21	MS. EVANS: Eat your own words, just
22	cover your eyes.
23	MS. FANUCCI: But certainly I'm not
24	going to sit here and let someone say why I
25	decide to and to do what I'm doing. I do

1	what I want to do when I'm doing it because
2	of reasons that I have. Your factual
3	information is your factual information, my
4	information is based on the fact that I
5	believe we will be getting more for the
6	money, that's all it is. The programming, I
7	liked the proposal, I don't know if you read
8	it, but I liked it a lot. I though it was

9	great for the city, I thought it was great
10	for the residents and I thought people would
11	actually get a better amount of programming,
12	that is why I base my decision on my
13	decision. And if did use and, don't say
14	it's personal because if it was personal I
15	certainly would have done it six years ago,
16	I would have done it the day I got here and
17	had the problem, but I talked to Jack many
18	times. I have been advocate of 61, so if it
19	was something personal it certainly was very
20	personal from day and it certainly has not
21	been, so I just wanted to clarify that for
22	the public and also for you.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
24	MS. EVANS: Well, thank you, but I
25	believe I was saying that it's personal for

1	the bidding, it's not that personal for me.
2	MS. FANUCCI: It's really what
3	well, play it back, that's not what you
4	said.
5	MR. MCGOFF: All right. Anyone else
6	on the 5-G? All those in favor of
7	introduction signify by saying aye.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.

9	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
11	MS. EVANS: No.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
13	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
14	moved.
15	MS. GARVEY: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION -
16	AN ORDINANCE - ACCEPTING AND ORDAINING A
17	FOUR (4) FOOT PORTION OF LAND TO WIDEN A
18	PORTION OF LAVELLE COURT IN THE VICINITY OF
19	THE FIVE HUNDRED BLOCK OF MOOSIC STREET TO
20	CREATE A RIGHT OF WAY TO TWENTY (20) FEET.
21	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
22	entertain a motion that Item 5-H be
23	introduced into it's proper committee.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
25	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
	135
1	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
2	those in favor of introduction signify by

those in favor of introduction signify by

saying aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye.

MS. FANUCCI: Aye.

MS. GATELLI: Aye.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The

ayes have it and so moved.

10	MS. GARVEY: 5-I.FOR INTRODUCTION - A
11	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
12	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF
13	SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN AGREEMENT AND
14	MAKE A LOAN FROM THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
15	BLOCK GRANT LOAN PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 08-248
16	IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$90,000.00 TO
17	ELECTRIC CITY TELEVISION TO ASSIST AN
18	ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
19	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
20	entertain a motion that Item 5-I be
21	introduced into it's proper committee.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
24	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
25	those in favor of introduction signify by
	136
1	
1	saying aye.
2	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
3	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
5	MS. EVANS: No.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
7	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
8	moved.

MS. GARVEY: 5-J.

10	FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION -
11	APPROVING FOR ADOPTION THE PROPOSED
12	AMENDMENT OF THE ARTICLES ON INCORPORATION
13	OF THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION
14	AUTHORITY TO INCREASE THE TERM OF EXISTENCE
15	OF SAID AUTHORITY UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF
16	THE MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES ACT OF 2001.
17	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-J be
19	introduced into it's proper committee.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
21	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
22	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. This particular
24	piece of legislation as was noted earlier
25	but the speaker enables the Recreation

1	Authority to increase the term of it's
2	existence for another 50 years. Now, that
3	authority basically oversees Nay Aug, sets
4	the fees at the Nay Aug Park pool yet
5	council is left through the budget with the
6	bills for that department and the pool
7	system, so I believe the council should be
8	making decisions, council should be setting
9	those fees and though I might consider an
10	extension of the their term, a extension of

11	50 years appears quite excessive in my
12	opinion, so I will be voting, no.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question, Mr.
14	McGoff, I will be voting, yes, to move it
15	forward. I don't know if it's possible to
16	ask this administration if they could change
17	the elected time, 50 years is a little
18	excessive, I was thinking ten years or
19	something to that effect if they were
20	willing to do that then maybe some people
21	would probably vote, yes, if they could drop
22	it down to ten years or somewhere more
23	reasonable.
24	MS. GATELLI: Make a motion.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll vote, yes,
	138
1	then.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Can't we make a motion
3	to amend it at this time?

MR. MINORA: Well, I think you have to go back to the authority. They are the corporate body, they've got to make the submission and they submit it to us for approval. I think we can either vote it up or down or open it and tell them you want it reduced to a particular term and then if

11	they are agreeable to that to either
12	resubmit it or agree to our motion and then
13	amend it that way.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Would you make that
15	request on our behalf?
16	MR. MINORA: I will. I'm not sure I
17	know who the solicitor is for the Recreation
18	Authority. Kay just told me it's Paul
19	Kelly.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. All those
21	in favor of introduction signify by saying
22	aye.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
24	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
	139
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
2	MS. EVANS: No.
3	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
4	moved.
5	MS. GARVEY: 5-K. FOR INTRODUCTION -
6	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
7	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
8	AND ENTER INTO A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT NO.
9	04R007 WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF
10	PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
11	("PENNDOT") FOR THE 500 BLOCK OF LACKAWANNA
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

12	AVENUE PROJECT ENTITLED: PARK, PLAZA AND
13	PEDESTRIAN COURT.
14	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
15	entertain a motion that 5-K be introduced
16	into it's proper committee.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
19	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
20	MS. EVANS: I have also approved
21	economic projects during my term as city
22	council and I was very supportive of this
23	project in particular from it's inception in
24	2004, however, it was approximately a year
25	ago that I learned this particular economic

1	development project didn't include two city
2	anchors, two tax paying businesses, Coney
3	Island and Buona Pizza. They have been
4	treated most unfairly and until these
5	longstanding businesses are respected and
6	satisfied I will not be satisfied.
7	A true win-win situation,
8	progressive economic development includes
9	the entire 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue
10	because, after all, you can't make a

sandwich without the two pieces of bread.

12	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on 5-K? All
13	those in favor of introduction signify by
14	saying aye.
15	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
16	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
19	MS. EVANS: No.
20	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
21	moved.
22	MS. GARVEY: 5-L.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, could we just
24	take a two-minute recess?
25	(Recess taken.)
	141
1	MC CADVEY. 5 I FOR IMPRODUCETON
1	MS. GARVEY: 5-L. FOR INTRODUCTION
2	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE
2	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
2	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE
2	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
2 3 4	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND
2 3 4 5	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
2 3 4 5	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON, 800 LINDEN
2 3 4 5 6	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON, 800 LINDEN STREET, SCRANTON, PA FOR MINOR ALTERATION OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON, 800 LINDEN STREET, SCRANTON, PA FOR MINOR ALTERATION OF EXTERIOR TO FIT EXISTING MASONRY OPENING TO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	- A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON, 800 LINDEN STREET, SCRANTON, PA FOR MINOR ALTERATION OF EXTERIOR TO FIT EXISTING MASONRY OPENING TO ACCOMMODATE INSTALLATION OF ELEVATOR AT THE

13	entertain a motion that 5-L be introduced
14	into it's proper committee.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
17	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Aye.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
21	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
24	ayes have it and so moved.
25	MS. GARVEY: 5-M. FOR INTRODUCTION
	142
1	
1	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey,
1 2	
	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey,
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey, before you go on, I'm going to abstain and I
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey, before you go on, I'm going to abstain and I would ask Mr. Minora why the fact
2 3 4	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey, before you go on, I'm going to abstain and I would ask Mr. Minora why the fact MS. GARVEY: Bill, he stepped out.
2 3 4 5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey, before you go on, I'm going to abstain and I would ask Mr. Minora why the fact MS. GARVEY: Bill, he stepped out. MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll talk to him
2 3 4 5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey, before you go on, I'm going to abstain and I would ask Mr. Minora why the fact MS. GARVEY: Bill, he stepped out. MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll talk to him afterwards, but awhile back I voted on
2 3 4 5 6	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey, before you go on, I'm going to abstain and I would ask Mr. Minora why the fact MS. GARVEY: Bill, he stepped out. MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll talk to him afterwards, but awhile back I voted on legislation from the Lackawanna College and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Wait, Mrs. Garvey, before you go on, I'm going to abstain and I would ask Mr. Minora why the fact MS. GARVEY: Bill, he stepped out. MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll talk to him afterwards, but awhile back I voted on legislation from the Lackawanna College and I teach the police academy there and an

think they sent something to the Ethics

13	Commission and they said it was all right
14	for me to do that, but I would ask
15	Mr. Minora to check again, so I'll abstain
16	today until I find out.
17	MR. GARVEY: 5-M. FOR INTRODUCTION -
18	A RESOLUTION - APPROVING THE FINANCING BY
19	THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE
20	AUTHORITY OF CERTAIN CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR
21	THE BENEFIT OF LACKAWANNA COLLEGE, A
22	PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION SERVING THE PUBLIC;
23	DECLARING THAT IT IS DESIRABLE FOR THE
24	HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE OF
25	THE CITY OF SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY,

1	PENNSYLVANIA AND THE AREA SERVED BY
2	LACKAWANNA COLLEGE, TO HAVE PROJECTS
3	PROVIDED BY AND FINANCED THROUGH THE
4	AUTHORITY; DESIGNATING THE MAYOR OF THE
5	CITY, OR, IN HIS ABSENCE, THE PRESIDENT OF
6	VICE PRESIDENT OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY,
7	AS THE PERSON TO ACT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY
8	COUNCIL, AS THE "APPLICABLE ELECTED REVENUE
9	CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED; AUTHORIZING SUCH
10	MAYOR OF THE CITY OF THE PRESIDENT OR VICE
11	PRESIDENT OF THE CITY COUNCIL TO TAKE
12	CERTAIN ACTIONS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY
13	COUNCIL AS SUCH "APPLICABLE ELECTED

14	REPRESENTATIVE"; AND AUTHORIZING OTHER
15	NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE ACTION.
16	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-M be
18	introduced into it's proper committee.
19	MS. FANUCCI: So moved.
20	MS. EVANS: Second.
21	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
22	MS. EVANS: Yes. Having read the
23	background or supporting materials for this
24	particular piece of legislation I can assure
25	the taxpayers that according to the language
	144
1	the full, faith and credit of the City of
2	Scranton is not part of this agreement,
3	hence, the taxpayers are not responsible in
4	the event of failure of payment.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else
6	on 5-M? All those in favor signify by
7	saying aye.
8	MS. EVANS: Aye.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
10	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Abstain.

MR. MCGOFF: One abstention. The

14	ayes have it and so moved.
15	MS. GARVEY: 5-N. FOR INTRODUCTION -
16	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR THE
17	OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
18	SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
19	COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT WITH THE
20	INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MACHINISTS AND
21	AEROSPACE WORKERS LOCAL NO. 2462 (CLERICAL
22	UNION).
23	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
24	entertain a motion that Item 5-N be
25	introduced into it's proper committee.
	145
1	
1	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second.
	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
2 3 4	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? MS. EVANS: Yes, I had asked how the
2 3 4 5	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? MS. EVANS: Yes, I had asked how the city intends to pay for any increases that a
2 3 4	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? MS. EVANS: Yes, I had asked how the city intends to pay for any increases that a result from this particular contract. I can
2 3 4 5 6	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? MS. EVANS: Yes, I had asked how the city intends to pay for any increases that a result from this particular contract. I can state that any funds necessary to meet these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? MS. EVANS: Yes, I had asked how the city intends to pay for any increases that a result from this particular contract. I can state that any funds necessary to meet these additional costs most likely will be taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? MS. EVANS: Yes, I had asked how the city intends to pay for any increases that a result from this particular contract. I can state that any funds necessary to meet these additional costs most likely will be taken from an contingency, although, some funds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved. MS. FANUCCI: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? MS. EVANS: Yes, I had asked how the city intends to pay for any increases that a result from this particular contract. I can state that any funds necessary to meet these additional costs most likely will be taken

MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye.

15	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
16	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. GARVEY: SIXTH ORDER. NO
21	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. NO
22	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you for your
24	participation. Motion to adjourn.
25	MS. EVANS: So moved.
	146
1	MD MGGORE, Electric
1	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
2	
3	
4	
5	
5	
5 6 7	
5678	
5 6 7	

.5	
-6	
.7	
. 8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	147
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
5	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
6	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
7	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
8	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
9	ability.
- 0	
.1	
.2	CAMUENE C MADDOGGT DDD
.3	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
_4	