1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Tuesday, March 18, 2008
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI- COURT REPORTER
25	CATHERE S. NANDOZZI COURT REFORTER

1	
2	
3	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
4	
5	MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
6	III. ROBERT HOOGIT, TREBUIENT
7	MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT
8	MS. JANET E. EVANS
9	
10	MS. SHERRY FANUCCI
11	MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT
12	
13	MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK
14	MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
15	,
16	MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 1 2 observed.) 3 MR. MCGOFF: Roll call. MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans. 4 MS. EVANS: Here. 5 MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Gatelli. 6 7 MS. GATELLI. Here. MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci. 8 9 MS. FANUCCI: Here. 10 MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright. 11 MR. COURTRIGHT: Here. MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff. 12 13 MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with the reading of the minutes. 14 MS. GARVEY: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. 15 APPLICATIONS AND DECISION RENDERED BY THE 16 ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD ON MARCH 17 18 12, 2008. MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 19 20 If not, received and filed. MS. GARVEY: 3-B AGENDA FOR THE CITY 21 22 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING TO BE HELD ON 23 MARCH 19, 2008. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 25 If not, received and filed.

1	MS. GARVEY: That's it for Third
2	Order.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Just one brief
4	announcement that I have, next week or,
5	excuse me, the agenda for next week's
6	meeting will not be posted until Monday.
7	Legislation does not come down until
8	Thursday and city hall is closed on Friday
9	and so Mrs. Garvey will not be posting the
10	agenda until Monday for next week's meeting.
11	Any other announcements that anyone may
12	have?
13	MS. EVANS: Yes, I received an e-mail
14	from a city resident who asked if city
15	council would consider awarding
16	proclamations for students from West
17	Scranton Intermediate School who won first
18	place at King's College Junior Academy of
19	Science. He says most often he hears about
20	basketball and football and he believes that
21	education should take precedence and when
22	academic excellence has been achieved it
23	should be recognized, so if council would
24	agree.

MS. FANUCCI: Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Any other? Fourth

4 order. Citizens participation. Doug

5 Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council, president of the Scranton Junior City

Council. I'd like to begin by once announcing as I did last week that the Scranton Junior City Council is sponsoring a charity basketball game between the police and firemen on Friday April 4 at Scranton

High School beginning at 7:00 p.m. Tickets are \$3.00 each and all proceeds will benefit a police and firefighters' memorial. We hope to see many residents out supporting

our brave servicemen and women.

I also am pleased to hear that the St. Patrick's Day parade on Saturday went very well. We were also fortunate to have a special visit by Senator Clinton who walked in the parade. I would like to thank the parade committee for making Saturday an enjoyable day for all families. We look forward to the continued success in the

1 future.

2	Last week I, and a few students from
3	West Scranton High School, addressed the
4	smoking ban issues and I would like to take
5	the time to respond to a few points that
6	were brought up by speakers here last week
7	and in the past. I find it totally
8	despicable that adults come up here and
9	chastise this Junior Council and the
10	students that come forward. We are only
11	trying to make our air cleaner and
12	healthier.
13	Also, the statement was made by a
14	speaker that we, the students, are being
15	used to push other council members agendas.
16	Well, the speakers are partially right,
17	however, we aren't the one pushing agendas.
18	The student from West Scranton came forward
19	and expressed their concerns about our
20	health and safety and they should be
21	commended rather than degraded by these
22	people.
23	I support a smoking ban for personal
24	reasons. I speak for myself on this issue

and all other issues. I am not controlled

2	a family member due to secondhand smoke, so
3	I take total offense in anyone who comes up
4	here and says smoking is not harmful. You
5	loss a loved one to secondhand smoke and I
6	guarantee you'll change your mind
7	MR. MCGOFF: Doug, please address
8	council, not the audience.
9	MR. MILLER: Well, I understand. It
10	has also been stated that we, the students,
11	should be more concerned with the
12	handicapped rather than the smoking ban.
13	We'll take a trip up to Nay Aug Park and
14	take a look at the handicapped swingset this
15	Junior Council raised money and can be used
16	by handicapped children.
17	Take a look at all of the
18	neighborhood parks that this Junior Council
19	spent Saturday mornings installing flags to
20	honor our veterans. We are currently
21	working with the South Side Neighborhood
22	Association to plant trees all across the
23	neighborhood. We are all in the process of
24	raising funds to benefit a police and
25	firefighters' memorial. We are doing our

2	let me see some of you do something positive
3	for this city for once rather than
4	constantly criticizing every project.
5	Finally, I would ask Senator Mellow
6	once again to listen to the 85 percent of
7	the Pennsylvanians and support a
8	comprehensive smoking ban. I would like to
9	thank council for sending a letter to
10	Senator Mellow last week and I encourage
11	residents to call Senator Mellow's office at
12	570-346-5721 and as I have said in the past
13	the time to act is now. And with that said,
14	I would like to wish everyone a very happy
15	Easter and remember West is best. Thank
16	you.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Douglas.
18	Mike Dudek.
19	MR. DUDEK: Good evening, Council.
20	My name is Mike Dudek, 608 People's Street
21	here in Scranton. I live in the Plot. I
22	sent a letter to the Attorney General of the
23	State of Pennsylvania, I'm going to read you
24	the content of the letter since this is
25	directly on point for city business.

1 "Dear sir, the Scranton
2 Times-Tribune March 14, 2008, reported on

the front page of \$12 million mysteriously
appeared unaccounted for in the Single Tax
Office of the City of Scranton, the
employees did not know what to do with it.

The newspaper mistakenly called it a quote windfall for the city. The newspaper is wrong. Obviously somebody committed a crime and as a citizen, taxpayer, resident of the City of Scranton I want you, Attorney Corbett, to investigate that crime. This is not a situation where the money appeared less than an hour before closing time. It would be hard to get an armored car that late in the day. This money at 3 percent interest is losing a thousand dollars a day just sitting there as apparently it has just before Christmas. Is the criminal act criminal incompetence on the part of a worker or workers? If so, how could all of that money stay hidden in that tiny tax office for so long?

Did tax collector Ken McDowell order that money to be held in storage through the

10

detriment of the city and the school 1 district he served or did Mayor Christopher

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3	Doherty order Mr. McDowell not to deposit
4	that money in order to isolate the mayor
5	from a perjury charge?
6	In a labor arbitration filed by the
7	city's fire and police units, the city
8	administration testified under oath that
9	Scranton is a distressed city under
10	Pennsylvania Distressed Municipalities Act.
11	Obviously, this \$12 million if properly
12	deposited would automatically disqualify
13	Scranton as a distressed city since
14	\$12 million stands to relieve a lot of
15	distress.
16	This money is as much proof of a
17	crime having been committed as a bleeding
18	corpse with bullet holes in it. Please
19	investigate the level of criminality
20	involved here and bring Jack Wagner with you
21	so he could lead the auditing team.
22	Sincerely, Mike Hudack.
23	I would also ask city council to
24	conduct it's own investigation. You have a
25	unique asset, you have one of the very best

investigating solicitors on the planet at

your disposal to conduct an investigation.

I think that you should be able to conduct

4	an investigation as to find out what's going
5	on with this \$12 million and perhaps even
6	work with the Attorney General. We are
7	looking at the biggest financial scandal in
8	the history of this city. That's enough.
9	There is no two ways about it.
10	I always feel like the oceanographer
11	in jaws trying to get the mayor of Shark
12	City to realize he has got a problem out
13	there. You have a crime, it has been
14	committed, it could be simple negligence but
15	negligence can be a crime or it could be all
16	of the other possibilities that I laid out
17	for you. I can guarantee you this much, I
18	know an attorney named Jennings who is going
19	to investigate this starting tomorrow when
20	he hears about it. Thank you.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dudek.
22	Dennis D'Agostino.
23	MR. D'Agostino: Good evening,
24	Council, my name is Dennis. This past
25	Sunday on Extreme Makeover ABC with Ty

Pennington, America fell in love with a rough Harley biker pastor named Steven
Astori. As Ty put it, he is just a

nontraditional kind of pastor, the kind that America loves. I mean, this guy prays with rough bikers right in the bar. His story took place in Stage Coach, Nevada. Steven actually converted his family garage into a community rec room for skaters, punks, preps, like all of these kinds of teens. I grew up in an upper class police officers home and I would have been the kind of teen who have went to that and I wasn't a troubled teen.

As it ended Steve said, "Throughout all of this my heart's passion is that somebody across America sees this and sees what we've started with and they see the need in their community and I hope others catch our vision and do the same things in their community. Don't forget about the kids."

Well, I don't ride a Harley, I actually ride a Kawasaki Ninja, and I feel in love with the underground youth culture

of which every teen in Scranton is a member, troubled or not. I don't know what drove us, but a few college buddies decided to start a similarly youth thing in a west

Scranton park in the middle of winter in
2004 and it grew. Teens like underground
stuff and it's attracted over 1,000
one-timers, a lot of them from the West
Scranton High School and Scranton High.
These same college buddies reopened a closed
down Boys and Girls Club in the West Side
with a little money they had. I mean, can
you imagine what a story. College students
reopened a Boys and Girls Club center. We
applied for Extreme Makeover but our video
got damaged in the mail.
What do college students do while

they're in college? I would have never thought of something like this. I have just finished my master's degree and I'm working hard, about 3/4 finished my second. Scranton's Youth Culture needs a 2008 center where they walk in and it feels like it's 2008 not 1950, but upholding the values of 1950 and/or asking or pleading from the

1	bottom of our hearts that we need help. We
2	need our community, we can't do it
3	yourselves. We own a 15,000 square foot
4	building that's been designed for youth and

5	we are asking the City of Scranton, a
6	community, to take our building and put on
7	an extreme makeover. We have a little heat,
8	bad lighting, serious water damage, ceilings
9	and walls are collapsing, some mold,
10	overflow of water, busted pipe, it's just
11	falling apart externally, but internally
12	you'll see more life in that place than most
13	places. It's a home to these kids.
14	I want to restore the pride of
15	Scranton. I love this city. I love it's
16	European flavor. It doesn't have to be us,
17	but we are young, educated, willing and we
18	have a lot of energy. The name of our work
19	is the Coal Mine and we don't currently have
20	our 501 C-3, but we are a nonprofit youth
21	organization. Thank you for your time and
22	God bless.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much.
24	Les Spindler.
25	MR. SPINDLER: Good even, Council,

1	Les Spindler, city resident. First off, I
2	have to correct an error stated last week.
3	I stated that the long-term debt of the city
4	is three million, unfortunately, I was
5	wrong, I wish it was only that little, it's

6	really c	over 3	300 г	mill	ion.	. I	just	V	anted	l to
7	get that	stra	aigh	tene	ed ou	ıt.				
8		Next	thi	ng,	Mr.	McGo	off,	Ι	know	tha

Next thing, Mr. McGoff, I know that decisions on the fire chief have been talked about a lot about lately, I think any decision and the fire chief you should not have anything to do with since you already stated he is friend of yours and you run with him. If there are any decisions to be made, any votes taken, I think you should abstain because you have a partial -- a biased opinion towards the fire chief.

And the next thing, Mrs Gatelli, you said you don't think that should be discussed between council. Well, back in 1999 council and Chris Doherty demanded that Mayor Connors fire Chief Applebee over something to do with fire hydrants, but it was okay for him to discuss that back then, but you don't think it's okay to discuss it

now,	so	Ι	think	council	should	discuss	it
and	it's	a	very	importar	nt issue	€.	

Next thing Mrs. Evans, last week you stated on the matter of the flexible foam warehouse, you said that either the mayor

has a selective memory or he isn't telling
the truth. Well, I think it's the latter.

Since the mayor has a history of not telling
the truth starting with him saying he will
be the sixth councilman here.

I learned last week that the city

loss two more arbitrations and they have

I learned last week that the city
loss two more arbitrations and they have
already paid lots of money out which
somebody else will talk about later, but
they had to pay the policeman that 27th week
that they were owed and also I had to hire
back the slip clerks the mayor let go, has
to give them all of their back pay and
everything else they had coming to them
which is going to cost the city lots and
lots of money because of Chris Doherty.

Another thing, last week in the Doherty

News here I saw an article that Scranton

Ford was charged with forgery, and lo and
behold, who owns Scranton Ford but the

Rosado Group. Well, a few weeks ago Doug

Miller was singing their praises about them

coming downtown. Well, that's just we need

coming downtown, a business that breaks the

law. We lost two reputable businesses,

Gryzbowski Train Shop and Gianetti Music

7 Studio that were in this city for years and 8 years, now we are going to have a coming in 9 that breaks the law. That's just what we need in this city. Oh, I forgot, but we 10 have Nay Aug Park. 11 The \$12 million found in the Tax 12 Office, it's unbelievable how \$12 million 13 could just appear and everybody wants it, 14 the school district, the city, I think the 15 16 place where it should go is right back to 17 the taxpayers where it belongs. 18 Next thing, Saturday we had a presidential candidate marching in the St. 19 20 Patrick's Day parade, and as a Scrantonian 21 I'm embarrassed that Hillary Clinton had to 22 march in this city, I'm surprised she didn't 23 trip in a pothole, that the streets are ridiculous, the 200 block of North 24 25 Washington where the reviewing stand is like

18

a w	shboard, it goes up and down, but,
aga	n, we have Nay Aug Park, the heck with
dow	town, let's take care of Nay Aug Park.
I t	ink this city should be ashamed of
the	nselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Lastly, the Lackawanna Avenue

7	bridge, that was supposed to have been done
8	last summer, is there any word on that? Is
9	that thing ever going to get fixed before
10	someone falls through and gets killed?
11	MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, we did
12	receive some information about that. I have
13	it at home. I'll look for it during the
14	week and I could bring it for you next week.
15	MR. SPINDLER: Okay, because that's a
16	dangerous situation there. There is a hole
17	on the one side even though it is covered up
18	by a metal plate, who knows when another
19	hole might open up when somebody is walking
20	over the bridge or driving over it. I know
21	when Councilman Pocius was here back then he
22	said it was supposed to be fixed and now
23	they said it was supposed to have been fixed
24	last summer it's still sitting there falling
25	apart. It's real safety hazard. Thank you.
	19
4	
1	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.
2	Jack Powell.
3	MR. POWELL: Council, Jack Powell,
4	Luzerne Street. After caucus last week we

MR. POWELL: Council, Jack Powell,
Luzerne Street. After caucus last week we
met and we got to together and you suggested
that we have a meeting in regards to
Mr. Skantos and Keyser Terrace. Well, some

8	of them were on vacation, we got in touch
9	with most of the people and we think
10	Thursday, the 27th, Thursday, we are not
11	sure on a time, I have to check with Mary
12	Alice tomorrow and that's the tentative
13	date. It will in the evening.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Where at?
15	MR. POWELL: At the Keyser Valley
16	Community Center.
17	MS. GATELLI: Do you think when you
18	find out you could call the office and let
19	Kay know?
20	MR. POWELL: Yes. Not a problem.
21	Yes. And, as you know, last week before the
22	caucus it was a very limited amount of time
23	so we didn't have time to go over all of the
24	issues. In addition, we were under the
25	impression from Mr. Courtright that

1	Mr. Skantos wasn't coming, so
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: That's what I was
3	told. I was under the same impression.
4	MR. MCGOFF: That was my fault, I'll
5	explain it to you.
6	MR. POWELL: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Skantos if he said he wasn't coming and

if this is his thing, but along with
Mr. King, Mr. King originally met with me up
in his zoning office prior to that plan
being approved and told me to tell the
neighbors that nothing could be built on
that corner. I went back to the neighbors
and told them no buildings were to built and
all of a sudden they have a meeting in the
planning commission and they approve those
meetings that I was shown there was no
buildings to be built on that corner, just
doesn't cut it.

All of the neighbors were mad, I mean, it's really out of control and we brought the minutes to the meeting last week because we went to Mr. Skantos, he said he didn't remember, so Mary Alice fortunately had all our minutes and the meetings that we

had to refresh it and he remembered, so we
are going to have to wait and see I guess
how far this goes, but I thought maybe that
was similar to him saying he wasn't coming
and to have the meeting and him telling me
that the plans couldn't be approved and then
they approved them, you know, it just
it's not right, okay?

9	That's all I have and I'll let you
10	know next week on the time and everybody
11	from Keyser Valley is welcome and we can
12	just discuss all of the problems. Thank you
13	very much.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Powell.
15	Just very briefly, Mr. Skantos it was
16	indicated to me that he was not going to be
17	at the meeting, when I told the person that
18	had contacted me that we were still having
19	the meeting with residents of Keyser Valley
20	apparently he changed his mind and decided
21	to come, so it was my fault. I did tell
22	Mr. Courtright he wasn't.
23	MR. POWELL: I understand. I just
24	thought it was a little bit, you know, have
25	the meeting, he is not showing when he ws
	22
4	•
1	supposed to.
2	MR. MCGOFF: I apologize for the
3	confusion.
4	MR. POWELL: Thank you.

5 MR. MCGOFF: Lee Morgan.
6 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.
7 The first question -- the first thing I have
8 is a question, and I'll address it to

9 Mr. McGoff since you're council president 10 and maybe you can clarify. Under the agreement with the cable company if there is 11 12 over a certain amount of channels then there 13 is room for more public access. Scranton/ 14 Lackawanna County Taxpayers' and Citizens' Association would like to have access to one 15 16 of those channels and since city council is 17 part of the governing body in that contract we would like to know how do we petition 18 19 this council to get access to that channel? And if you don't know, sir, I would 20 21 like to ask you to find out how to go 22 through that procedure since you are part of 23 the governing body in that contract. 24 MR. MCGOFF: I have absolutely no

MR. MCGOFF: I have absolutely no knowledge of that, but I will make some

23

1	attempt	+ 0	find	011±
1	attembt	LU	LIIIQ	out.

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

MR. MORGAN: Okay, that would be fine. The other -- there is a couple of other questions I have here. There is going to be a public access channel board, I mean, that's my interpretation of what I have heard, has there been any decisions on who is going to be on that board and how the candidates are going to be can chosen? Does

10	anybody know?
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, I didn't know if
12	anybody wanted to respond. No one has been
13	appointed yet, each member of council is
14	going to suggest a name.
15	MR. MORGAN: The other questions I
16	have is Mr. Dudek brought up a good, I guess
17	a good solution evidently because he asked
18	council to investigate or to use it's powers
19	of investigation, at least that's what I
20	interpreted him to be saying to find out
21	what's going on with the Tax Office and he
22	is on very firm ground here, but I don't
23	know, do you think that the council would
24	entertain that idea? And this question
25	again goes to Mr. McGoff, since you are
	24
1	council president I think I should address
2	everything to you, sir.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Do you want me to answer
4	now?
5	MR. MORGAN: Sure.
6	MR. MCGOFF: It is my knowledge that
7	a forensic auditor is being hired, is that

the correct word I guess or is being hired

by the three taxing bodies jointly by the

8

10 county to investigate the situation. MR. MORGAN: Okay. A follow-up, 11 would be in 2007 council voted to issue 12 13 subpoenas to all of the authorities in the 14 city of Scranton. Janet Evans I believe 15 brought that motion forward and it was voted 16 on and it was passed and then, I mean, do you agree with that? Do you agree with that 17 18 statement that a motion was brought forth 19 and council agreed to issue subpoenas to all 20 of the various departments in the city? 21 MR. MCGOFF: I don't recollect the 22 vote, no. I remember a request for 23 subpoenas, but I do not remember what the resolution of that was. I'd have to check 24 25 back.

1	MR. MORGAN: Could you check back
2	because my recollection is that council
3	voted, and I would have to go back into the
4	Scranton Times archives to be absolutely
5	certain, but I'm fairly certain there was a
6	vote taken and it passed unanimously and
7	then two weeks later or a week later council
8	decided that it was going to break those
9	subpoenas up in various ways so that they
10	would be easier to ingest and then what

happened is council killed them because the subpoenas were never issued and my question is possibly you could ask your solicitor that since that vote was taken and it was duly taken by this council and it was passed does Janet Evans still have the lawful authority to ask the solicitor to issue those subpoenas?

MR. MCGOFF: Well, the first thing -- to respond, the first thing I would like to do is found out what the vote was on that.

MR. MCGOFF: Like I said, and then investigate from there, you know, further

1	MR. MORGAN: Because I really think
2	it's time to start really trying to figure
3	out where we are and, you know, I think it's
4	time for the people in this city to realize
5	that we have to start doing business another
6	way and that's why hopefully you will get
7	back to me so that we can follow a set of
8	parameters in order to move forward to get
9	access to this public access channel that I
10	spoke to you about because in that contract

from that.

11	it says that you are part of the governing
12	body. And the other problem I have is that
13	this Channel 61 was advertised and put out
14	for bid and I don't remember that ever going
15	before this council to be advertised and
16	bid. All carry on next week. Thank you.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.
18	Sam Patilla.
19	MR. PATILLA: Good evening, Mrs.
20	Evans and Mr. Courtright. I'm not going to
21	spend too much time on the 12 million, but I
22	will say once it's found out who how the
23	money is going to be disbursed I truly feel
24	that a portion of that money should be used
25	to paint the fire hydrants per the fire

1	department, to repair our roads here becar	use
2	we have lousy roads, and the rest of that	
3	money should be returned to the taxpayers	
4	because that 25, 25, 25,25 should be	
5	repealed and that money should be given	
6	right back to the taxpayers and it should	n't
7	be given to the mayor so he can use it to	
8	plant trees or whatever else they want to	
9	do. We have enough wasteful spending as	it
10	is.	

Secondly, as a member of the

Scranton Taxpayer/Lackawanna County
Association to the people who take part our
next people will be April 3 here at
6:00 p.m., okay? We are currently in the
process, I asked Ozzie at our last meeting
if we couldn't comprise a series of graphs
and charts, bar graphs, pie charts, flow
charts, to show what the revenue was for say
the end of the Connors' administration to
the present administration so we can see
what the revenue was, what the spending was,
but I would like to take that a little bit
deeper, because while I have been viewing
the budgets from 2008 until 1999, I noticed

1	that especially in terms of the public
2	department, the Public Works, you know, when
3	they submitted a budget for 2008 there is a
4	\$936 difference for each line item. Each
5	line item adds up to \$936 and I talked to
6	Ms. Schumacher, my court-appointed anger
7	management person, why that figure always
8	pops up, okay? I have an accounting
9	background. I came through school,
10	accounting was my thing, and she suggested
11	maybe it was wages per the union. It can't

be wages per the union because if it wasn't
increased per wages it would be based on
each individual's each employee's wages
and unless they getting the paid same amount
of money then it can't be the same amount
per the increase. You know, this
administration through my brief, brief
viewing of the budgets here has a tendency
of overbudgeting extremely. Now, it's no
problem with overbudgeting requesting more
than you actually use the year before, but
when that money gets up that amount gets
up to 50 to \$100,000 that's a big
difference, okay, and using the Single Tax

1	Office, for example, they had a standing
2	budget of 2007 of all \$400,000, yet, the
3	health insurance costs was \$200,000, that's
4	half the budget, you know. And like I said,
5	I have a small background in the accounting
6	field and I know, I make numbers do whatever
7	I want them to do, you know, it's hard for
8	us to actually get a clear picture of
9	exactly where this money is going without
10	the vouchers, the invoices and the bills,
11	but with the agendas that we receive each
12	night we come here and the budgets we can

get a general idea of where that money is going or how it's being played with, you know. As Mr. Dudek said earlier, that \$12 million is a lot of money, that's not chicken feed and the sharks couldn't wait to come out, oh, we are going to do this with that money, we are going to do that. It's not your money. All right? We don't know who that money belongs to because it hasn't been determined how the disbursement is going to work out and until that has been done, you know, we can't say, well, this is Scranton's share, this is the wage tax

1	share, we don't know until that audit has
2	been done, okay? But like I said before,
3	80 percent of that money should go right
4	back to the taxpayers and that 25, 25, 25
5	the remaining portion of that increase
6	should be repealed, okay, because when you
7	go into the blight when you go into the
8	blight thing right now, you know, most of
9	the blighted occurs because administration
10	has allowed neighborhoods to go into decay.
11	It's not drugs, it's not minorities, it's
12	because the city gave up on that area. The

housing was run down. You give that
criminal element a place to hide their
drugs, you give the homeless a place to go
and sleep because instead of using money for
the emergency shelter program to house these
people you use it to plant trees. Now, you
could have used that money for what it was
earmarked for and got these people off the
street then you wouldn't have that problem,
okay, so I don't want people blaming the
poor, I don't want them blaming the minority
for blight because that's not the problem.
The problem is when a city gives up on it's

1 people. Thank you.

2 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Patilla.

3 Brett McClough.

MR. MCCLOUGH: Good evening. My name is Brett McClough, Scranton taxpayer, homeowner. I just wanted to say a few things about the smoking ban that is probably going to go statewide that I am neither for or against it, but one thing that is for sure, something really extraordinary happened in the City of Scranton when the illegal smoking ban was lifted last spring and people across this

nation can take a look at the shining
example of what we set here in Scranton. I
am proud to report that people actually do
use free will and make choices to dine in
restaurants with or without smoking
sections. Most who do not smoke still chose
to go into restaurants that have smoking
sections or not. In Scranton, free will has
prevailed. Government intervention is
redundant and unwarranted. Regardless of
what side of this issue you are on never put
yours or anyone else's free will on the

chopping block simply because it's
inconvenient to use it.

I had given Mrs. Evans as well as the principal of Scranton High School a list of air quality testing equipment that could have been rented at reasonable prices basically so the students could actually go into a restaurant that wishes to participate in a local indoor air quality study and have those students actually do the work to test the air quality, find out how many microns of what kind of gases are in any particular

part of any given restaurant and reference
to how big the restaurant is, what time of
day it is or what day of the week or how
many people are smoking in that restaurant
and so on and so forth.

Have the science, wood-working and art classes get together and actually build and esthetically pleasing air purifier.

Before we allowed students to just get by with cut, copying and pasted someone else's work without full understanding of the nature of the science behind it. Let them

do the work. Let them come up with their own conclusions and their own solutions.

It's time we start educating our students to become leaders, not indoctrinating them to become followers.

Now, I just want to shift gears up to that \$12 million. I'm not quite sure what to think about this \$12 million, but I am sure that no entity should even think about touching it until it's found out how these things happened. Even after all of the excuses and the explanations are given out I'm not quite sure that money should be divvied up, I think every city council in

every municipality should just take a step back and look at the big picture. This is not extra money, it's money you never knew existed, you have already made budgets regardless of that money existed or not and bottom line, it's not your money. How much would it cost the county to retrain 200 CNA's to become LPN's, 200 LPN's to become RN's. 200 med techs, 200 heavy equipment and forklift operators, 200 machinist, those are the things that I see in the newspaper

that these jobs that need to be filled and if anything it should be done with this \$12 million is a countywide comprehensive job training program to upgrade everybody. With our incomes go up then you guys are probably going to tax whatever, there you go, you get your money.

How about a \$5 million tuition
reimbursement grant that actually come from
all of the people of Lackawanna County where
you get yourself in school, when you pass
you will get your tuition reimbursed.
Something to keep us going. Something to
keep us moving up from Vandling to Moosic,

15	from Moscow to Dalton, there are many, many
16	things we can do to propel our economic
17	development of all citizens of Lackawanna
18	County and I believe it all starts with a
19	training and retraining of the adult
20	population and economic development that
21	starts from the bottom up.
22	I want everyone to ask this
23	question, is it worth 60 bucks you never
24	knew you had in order to make this happen?

tha	t money	, be	left	alor	ne.	The	time	is	now
to	change	our	futu	ce.	Than	ık yo	ou.		

Contact our local officials and demand at

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. McClough.

Paula Manley.

MS. MANLEY: Good evening, my name is Paula Manley. Most of you probably have heard that name already this week. I was told by the Parking Authority that I needed to come to you in order to see if we could get something resolved on this issue. I have a letter here that I wanted to submit to each and every one of you, I have a copy. I also have a copy for everyone of a parking ordinance within the city that I'm asking for consideration by you that may be changed

by you. 17 Just a little a brief information to 18 you on my part, I am an employee of 19 Northeastern Eye Institute and because I 20 have had several injuries not only with my 21 heart, but also because of brain aneurysms I 22 park my car on Mifflin Avenue. I have been 23 granted a handicapped placard for my car. 24 Just recently new meters have been installed 25 on Mifflin Avenue. I am no longer allowed

16

15

36

1	to park near the building for more than
2	three hours. I say three hours because I am
3	granted an additional hour for parking at
4	the meter because of the handicapped
5	placard. On Thursday of last week I
6	received a \$10 parking ticket for parking
7	over three hours. That was with money still
8	in the meter. It states oh, I called up
9	the parking authority, they told me that
10	there is a city ordinance that restricts me
11	from parking there for more than three
12	hours. It states that I must move my car at
13	least six spots away from the spot I am in
14	every three hours or pay the \$10 fine.

Something has to be done about the

ordinance on Mifflin Avenue. Northeast Eye
is only one of two buildings on the entire
200-block of Mifflin Avenue and both of
those buildings give care to other people.
Northeast Eye is an optical and eye surgical
center that houses several doctors. The
other building is a building that houses a
DayCare and a dance studio that is only open
at night when the meters aren't used. I'm
sure that if any of you or any of your

1	family members have ever been to Northeast
2	Eye that your eye appointment was probably
3	longer than two hours. In the department
4	that I work in which is the retinal
5	department that department deals with mostly
6	aging. The department many of our
7	patients need to have certain testing done
8	while there and while they are with us and
9	they are there for several hours. They
10	cannot run out in two-hour increments to
11	move their cars six spaces or get a ticket.
12	The parking in that area is very congested
13	to begin with. I'm asking you all as city
14	council members to please take a second look
15	at the ordinance and either change it or
16	take it completely off the books.

17	I'm not asking for free parking
18	because we do put money in the meters. What
19	I am asking for is to lift the 2 to 3 hour
20	restrictions. I understand that there are
21	ten-hour meters throughout the entire city,
22	that even though these meters are ten hours
23	they only allow you to park there for two.
24	Every meter that I have looked at within the
25	city and it's been also told to me by the

1	parking authority all say two hours only. I
2	am just here to ask you to take a look it
3	this, this ordinance and I have a copy, you
4	don't even have to go looking for it, I had
5	brought a copy for everybody. When I got
6	the actual ticket when I got the ticket I
7	sent it back with a complaint to the parking
8	authority asking them to please, you know,
9	look at this again, I have, you know, a
10	handicap plaque on the car, they sent it
11	back, I was denied, pay your ticket, you
12	parked over three hours.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Can I just interrupt
14	you for just one second?
15	MS. MANLEY: Sure.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: I forwarded your

17	e-mail this morning to Bob Scopelitti and
18	when I came in tonight he had called Kay and
19	gave a response to what you said on there, I
20	read it real quick. The answer though is
21	just some of the things you mentioned in
22	there so maybe tomorrow we can call you and
23	give you his response, it will be start
24	anyway, but he did. I sent it this morning
25	when I came back today he had already called
	39
1	back so I got to give him that much credit.
2	So I will get you the response that he gave
3	to me and we'll start from there.
4	MS. MANLEY: I do also want to thank
5	you and Mrs. Gatelli for returning my
6	e-mails and thank you for allowing me to
7	come here. If you need a copy of the
8	ordinance I have them.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Just give that to
10	Mr. Coolican. Thank you, Mrs. Manley.
11	MS. MANLEY: Thank you.
12	MS. GATELLI: Can I ask her one
13	question? Mrs. Manley?
14	MS. MANLEY: Yes.
15	MS. GATELLI: I just had a question,
16	does Northeast Eye provide with you with a

parking space?

18	MS. MANLEY: They don't no, they
19	do not. They don't provide us free with
20	parking, the employees are granted a parking
21	pass at the forum. I actually sent that
22	back to you today in an e-mail. We have a
23	small parking lot on the side of the
24	building that employees are not allowed to
25	park in because that is strictly for

patients. It is I did have a pass at the
Forum and I used to park there, but after I
did have high surgeries the walk especially
in the winter is very hard for me to come
over and into the building because there is
only the one entrance on Mifflin Avenue side
which is why I been parking in front of the
building and I do want to just interject
here to that the two cars that were
ticketed, mine and one other person, were
the only two employees that have handicap
plac on their cars.
MS. GATELLI: Handicapped.

MS. MANLEY: Yep.

MS. GATELLI: Thank you. Fay Franus. 

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus. Billy, I

would like to ask you a question, if I may,

18	are you going to make a motion tonight to
19	have an investigation into the allegations
20	against Chief Davis?
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes, I said I would
22	make it tonight. I wanted to give Mrs.
23	Gatelli the opportunity to review all of the
24	information. She said she needed more time.
25	MS. FRANUS: May I ask if your
	41
1	follow collegiate vote you down what will
2	you do then?
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: I don't know, I will
4	have to explore my options. I hope that
5	doesn't happen, but if it does I'll have to
6	explore my options.
7	MS. FRANUS: Mrs. Evans explained
8	the options last week, I hope you might
9	consider that idea.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: I will look at
11	whatever options are available.
12	MS. FRANUS: Okay. Thank you.
13	Mr. McGoff, how does it feel to become a
14	patsy here?
15	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me?
16	MS. FRANUS: How does it feel to
17	become a patsy?
18	MR. MCGOFF: I don't know what are

19	you speaking of so
20	MS. FRANUS: Well, I'm tell you what
21	I'm speaking of, I'm just amazed
22	MR. MCGOFF: It sounds insulating,
23	but
24	MS. FRANUS: Well, if the shoe fits,
25	\$5 million they find and nobody knew about
	42
1	it and nobody told you about it as president
2	of council this \$5 million in revenues
3	missing and all of a sudden it shows up and
4	nobody told you, so all of a sudden how
5	would you like it if you didn't have \$500 in
6	your paycheck, would you miss it? Would you
7	miss it?
8	MR. MCGOFF: I don't know what you
9	are asking or what you are
10	MS. FRANUS: If you're missing, if
11	there was \$500 missing out of your paycheck?
12	Would you miss if there was \$500 out of your
13	paycheck, would you notice?
14	MR. MCGOFF: Apparently.
15	MS. FRANUS: Well, okay. You think
16	the mayor and Stu Renda and Ken McDowell
17	would miss \$1,000 out of their paycheck? I
18	don't know. I know, I don't expect an

19 answer to that so I'll simply say, I think 20 they care more about the value of their 21 paycheck more so than they take their 22 responsibilities for the people in the city. 23 Now, I personally believe that they 24 know about this, either that or it's very -or else it's very -- negligence on their 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

43

part of the Taxpayers' Office, a lot of negligence there. Either that or you're patsies for the administration because council people up there, the three of you, Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Fanelli -- Mr. McGoff, Ms. Gatelli and Fanucci raised the taxes when they knew there was money sitting there. When they knew there was money sitting there you had the gaul to raise our taxes. Somebody is going to have to answer to this and then you sit there and you defend this administration, Mr. McGoff, and Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci. We'll see what's going to happen, but something is going to happen here. I'd like to say how Patsy McGoff is going to look on your campaign poster. I also would like to ask you, Mrs.

Fanucci, when Sam Patilla speaks why is it you go out in the hall? I mean, you are

20	supposed to represent the people in this
21	city and you go out in the hall and stand
22	there.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Mr he doesn't
24	address me, why should I stay in here and
25	listen to me.
	44
1	MS. FRANUS: He addresses all of
2	council.
3	MS. FANUCCI: No, he does not.
4	Watch the tapes and go back. If he
5	addressed me I certainly would stay. He
6	certainly does not. He addresses only two
7	of council members every single time.
8	MS. FRANUS: So you get up and you
9	walk out
10	MS. FANUCCI: Absolutely, and I will
11	continue to do so.
12	MR. FRANUS: Oh, you think just
13	because you continue to do so you think
14	that's right?
15	MS. FANUCCI: I personally think it's
16	right and it's something that I continue to
17	do.
18	MS. FRANUS: You are representing

everybody in this city, you are getting by

20	paid
21	MS. FANUCCI: Obviously I'm not
22	representing Mr. Patilla because he doesn't
23	address me.
24	MS. FRANUS: But that's childish on
25	your part if you ask me. Mrs. Gatelli, I'd
	45
1	like to ask you, as finance chair what are
2	you going to do about this \$12 million,
3	anything?
4	MS. GATELLI: I'm going to wait and
5	see what the forensic audit says before I
6	make a decision.
7	MS. FRANUS: Okay, and about the
8	subpoenas that Lee Morgan mentioned, I
9	believe, Mr. McGoff, that vote was, yes, for
10	these subpoenas, so I don't think you have
11	to wait. Let's put it this way, when you
12	find out that you did vote, yes, for those
13	subpoenas I'm going to ask you would you
14	still Mrs. Gatelli, Mrs. Fanucci and
15	Mr. McGoff did you still want to follow
16	through with those subpoenas? Would you
17	follow through and get those subpoenas, act
18	on them? Hypothetically if you find out
19	that the answer was, yes.

MR. MCGOFF: I would like to find out

21	what the results were first.
22	MS. FRANUS: Well, the results were
23	yes, because I know they were, so I said
24	will you?
25	MR. MCGOFF: I don't know.
	46
1	MS. FRANUS: You don't know if you
2	will or not? You did once before but that's
3	what I mean by your a patsy by the
4	administration.
5	MR. MCGOFF: I don't know that I did.
6	MS. FRANUS: Well, I say you did.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Well, I'm glad that you
8	are so sure.
9	MS. FRANUS: Well, let's put it this
10	way, would you want the subpoenas whether
11	you voted that way or not? Would you want
12	the subpoenas just present day today, would
13	you want the subpoenas to get this
14	information?
15	MR. MCGOFF: What information?
16	MS. FRANUS: On all of the audits
17	that Mrs. Evans asked for.
18	MR. MCGOFF: I would have to review
19	it again.
20	MS. FRANUS: Would you want to have

21	Kenny McDowell come in here and answer those
22	questions?
23	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Franus, you are
24	asking questions that are hypothetical.
25	MS. FRANUS: No, these are
	47
1	MR. MCGOFF: I already said that I
2	would
3	MS. FRANUS: These aren't
4	hypothetical. These are questions I'm
5	asking you now. Would you want to get
6	MR. MCGOFF: And they are very
7	hypothetical.
8	MS. FRANUS: No, they are not.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, they are.
10	MS. FRANUS: I'm asking you a
11	question? Would you want to get subpoenas
12	to get to the records. That's not
13	hypothetical, that's a direct question.
14	MR. MCGOFF: And I said if it and
15	when the motion is made I will
16	MS. FRANUS: Oh, you'll decide then,
17	but you don't know right now in your head if
18	you want to or not. You to have to think
19	about whether you want to or not, is that
20	it?
21	MR. MCGOFF: That's correct.

22 MS. FRANUS: Boy, you really have the hand of -- you just don't know what you 23 24 want, do you? You don't have an idea what you want. Thank you. 25 48 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Ms. Franus. 1 2 Ron Ellman. 3 MR. ELLMAN: Ron Ellman, homeowner and taxpayer and member of the Taxpayers' 4 5 Association and the Taurus Club as you can see, I keep growing and growing. Oh, 6 7 Mr. McGoff, you are not even listening to 8 me. MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I am. 9 10 MR. ELLMAN: Heaviest the head that 11 wears the crown, isn't it? As you may or may not have noticed, I hadn't been here for 12 13 a few months and I had quiet a few people 14 does me why and the reason was by choice, I 15 just didn't want to come. You know, and I 16 had some people say were you being sued by 17 the mayor or somebody for your big mouth and 18 did somebody at council tell you to tone it

down? None of these things -- I just didn't

people -- these people these five people up

want to come nor more, you know. These

19

20

22	here are too arguable and too credible to
23	worry about what I say, you know, I just
24	wanted to, like I say, I go to the flea
25	markets and everything and I have people ask

me, that's just ridiculous. The reason I
came tonight though I had a fire at my house
December 14, I'd hired an electrician to do
some work and it caught fire, I should have
done it myself and burned the house down and
saved \$165, but I want to say the first
thing that happened the smoke alarms went
off before I smelled any smoke and I cannot
put enough emphasize on people that have
smoke alarms. They don't cost very much, I
think 16 is giving them away or somebody is
going to give them away, they are so
important at saving your lives; and the
second thing is I grabbed the fire
extinguisher out of my antique car and run
in there like a fool and squirted the fire,
which was huge, and that is plain stupid,
you shouldn't ever go back in, the smoke hit
me and I couldn't believe it, it just like a
20th of a second or something, I've read
where people are found by the door and
windows and you wonder why they don't get

out. You are incapacitated immediately, so
if you have a fire just get the hell out of
the house and forget it.

Then I want to say that my neighbor
phoned the fire department and they go there
in minutes and there was stuff all over of
the street, just all kinds of fire trucks
and the police were there and an ambulance
and they come into my house like gang
busters and with two big hoses and put the
fire out, of course, and I don't want to get
involved in their I'm not educated enough
to make a comment on their internal
problems, but whoever trained these people
did a wonderful job. These people were so
professional and just handled everything so
perfect I didn't have no broken windows and
doors, they didn't damage any furniture and
we have a houseful of, you know, several
antiques. They just were you just did a
wonderful job and for the mayor to say to
the city that these men and women don't
deserve a rais is just a it's just
outrageous. There is just no reason for
them not having raises and all of the dead,

unnecessary weight that's on the payroll
there has been 50 jobs added, there is all
kind of jobs been invented, like, Mr. Hayes,

he has an invented job, then he gets a promotion, then he gets a raise and Mr.

Seitzinger is useless. I phoned him myself over and over and over again, he is harder to find that Ken McDowell when he was in office and to give people like that raises and promotions and say our firemen and our police is a disgrace is a kick in the teeth to the people of the city. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman, David Dobson.

MR. DOBSON: Good evening, Council.

There was a woman here a few minutes ago speaking on parking, I might also add I took an elderly relative to Northeast Eye and they are actually so busy that people are parking in the parking lot with their cars idling and stuff and nobody can find the space for the patients, so that is a big problem for people down there.

Okay, once again, the smoking ban has arisen, and should people smoke?

Absolutely not. There is no evidence that

enforcement commences and become involved
many people who cannot stop will suffer.
Once tobacco becomes a controlled substance,
fines, prosecution, criminal sentences may
prevail. I do not believe in this kind of
ideals that there are any kind of help to
the people involved and the amount of
secondhand smoke that's present right now is
negligible compared to what existed years
and years ago which is what I suspect many
of our so-called scientists and whatever and
people to make that call are basing their
facts on.

Social legislation in many instances means well, however, more often than not results in creating more problems than it solves. An approximately 25 percent of all lung cancer is caused by Raydon and it's present in great quantities in our area, in great volumes because of the mine that existed years ago and very little has been done to generate awareness.

I see some ads on the state a year  $\$ 

house tested for Raydon, please. My
respectful advice to the young generation is
consider tolerance as a virtue, but find out
who you are before you burn your bridges.
Don't smoke, don't start to smoke, but don't
become a thorn in somebody's side to fight
for some public space, and also have your
home tested for Raydon once again. It might
he the unlucky one in four

Throughout the history of our country we have had many laws concerning birth control and everything, Emma Goldman wound up in prison for talking about birth control back in the early 20th Century, a doctor could be arrested and imprisoned in Connecticut for five years for discussing birth control with his patients, and a lot of the social legislation, like I said, it means well, nobody should ever start to smoke, there is no good evidence to continue smoking that you are going to get -- be left off the hook, but in many instances once these laws -- once we have a law and we have the laws being violated then people are sent

1	scrutiny and if you follow the results of
2	this a person like this winding up in the
3	penal system more often than not the
4	recidivism rate is very high and it's for
5	something far worse because all most
6	prisons cause mental insanity. They are not
7	correctional institutions. Thank you. Have
8	a good night.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dobson.
10	Marie Schumacher.
11	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie
12	Schumacher, resident and member of the
13	Taxpayers' Association. First regarding the
14	\$12 million, I would like to know how the
15	auditor overlooked these missing millions.
16	I recall the audit was delayed because of
17	problems in coordinating with a Single Tax
18	Office, so certainly they must have
19	overlooked their books and I wonder how they
20	could have overlooked this especially since
21	it was a single account according to
22	Mr. McGovern. How they would have
23	overlooked that and I wander if the auditor
24	you hired is competent.

25

1	progressed last week without the answers to
2	the questions I raised. Mrs. Fanucci said,
3	I believe, that the \$74,000 item was money
4	allocated for the Roaring Brook Pittston
5	Avenue collapse, but the state came through
6	and allocated the money. Well, that was a
7	roughly \$6 million project and 75 percent of
8	that was paid by the federal government.
9	The balance was paid by a combination of the
10	state and the city.
11	Now, OECD informs me that the first
12	two project digits reflect the year, so
13	since two of the projects were in the '01
14	somebody would have had to have a crystal
15	ball to know what tropical storm Ivan was
16	going to do to Pittston Avenue in 2004 and
17	two other projects were '05 projects which
18	would have been after the storm. So, it's
19	difficult to correlate these days with what
20	Mrs. Fanucci stated.
21	I still believe we need to know the
22	remaining balances in the projects from
23	which the monies are being transferred and

what approved and budgeted projects will not

be accomplished.

1	I noticed an RFP in the legal
2	section off the Monopoly newspaper for 300
3	trees for South Side. This raises the
4	question of whether or not this is a phased
5	program which is not housed, it was
6	presented in the ordinance, or has the 1,000
7	tree project been reduced to 300 and if the
8	latter what will happen to the remaining
9	funds for the 700 trees that will not be
10	procured and planted.
11	Next, I would like to request if
12	there is any intention to introduce an
13	ordinance requiring new built homes to
14	install sidewalks. I have repeatedly asked
15	that question and most of my questions
16	anymore are rhetorical, but that one I would
17	like an answer to in motions. I would like
18	to request that the Director of Parks and
19	Recreation be invited to come before council
20	to describe the recreation program.
21	It seems that we have a big "P,
22	little "R" parks and recreation department.
23	There is not much recreation for our young
24	people. It's been stated that way tonight
25	and repeatedly. All of the emphasis seems

1	to be on the parks. No, not parks, but way
2	Aug Park. Much of the mayor's first
3	five-year capital budget poured tons of
4	money into Nay Aug. The mayor started the
5	Nay Aug conservancy to raise funds for Nay
6	Aug Park. The Scranton Redevelopment
7	Authority spends funds on Nay Aug park.
8	Recently, a component of the Chamber
9	announced a project focusing on the
10	restoration of the Shimel-Finney Pavilion at
11	Nay Aug. The Recreation Authority itself
12	deals only with Nay Aug Park. Why are all
13	of the other parks orphans and all of this
14	attention is paid only to Nay Aug Park?
15	I would like to know if we have any
16	projections for what happens to our local
17	economy when the Middle East conflict ends
18	or is significantly scaled back? Certainly
19	it's likely that Tobyhanna, General
20	Dynamics, Gentex and the remainder of the
21	defense contractors will be affected and
22	probably scale back and I wonder if anybody
23	is looking into how this is going to affect
24	our economy, what it's going to do to the
25	city budget, and what kind of retraining

1	projects may be offered? And that concludes
2	my comments for tonight.
3	MS. EVANS: Miss Schumacher, I do
4	have a number of responses to the questions
5	that you posed last week, so if you could
6	would you be staying until the conclusion of
7	the meeting?
8	MS. SCHUMACHER: Depends on how long
9	it goes.
10	MS. EVANS: Okay. Well, I'll take
11	care of this now then and I'll see that you
12	get it almost immediately.
13	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else to speak?
15	MS. STULGIS: I'm Ann Marie Stulgis,
16	and I'm here as both a city taxpayer and
17	president of the Fraternal Order of Police
18	and the Scranton Police Union. Since the
19	taxpayers didn't learn about this in the
20	Scranton Times, I thought they should know
21	that the City of Scranton with Christopher
22	Doherty as mayor, Ray Hayes as public safety
23	director, Lisa Moran as Human Resource
24	Director and David Elliott as chief of
25	police eliminated in violation of the police

1	contract several clerk positions in January
2	of 2003. In February of that year the union
3	filed a grievance because those positions
4	were created as part of a recovery plan.
5	They were created by the Pennsylvania
6	Economy League who oversees the distressed
7	status of the City of Scranton. In return
8	for the creation of those clerk positions
9	police jobs were eliminated the
10	understanding being that while police jobs
11	were eliminated lower paying clerks would be
12	then hired to do all of the paperwork for
13	police officers because police officers are
14	mandated to write reports and all reports
15	have to be typed. Since, like most of us,
16	police officers aren't necessarily typists
17	and tend to hunt a peck a lot on the
18	keyboard, a lot of time spent doing
19	paperwork. That time would not any longer
20	spent in headquarters they would be on the
21	road insuring the safety of the citizenry
22	and the clerks would do the work.
23	However, since the city chose to
24	arbitrarily eliminate those positions the
25	FOP went to arbitration and in February 13

1 is when we filed the brief of '03, that was 2 heard by an arbitrator and on September 13th 3 of '04, a full 19 months later, the 4 arbitrator ruled that the city blatantly and willfully violated the union contract. They 5 were ordered to make a cash payment to the 6 7 police officers, they were ordered to pay 8 union legal fees and they were ordered to pay interest from the date the grievance was 9 10 filed which was, of course, February of '03. Did the city comply? No, they did not. 11 12 They appealed it with Common Pleas Court. 13 The city lost. Did they by law then comply? No, they did not. They then went to 14 15 Commonwealth Court. There were two hearings 16 held in Commonwealth Court, the first hearing with three judges because of the 17 18 seriousness of the issue, because of the 19 possibility of a huge monetary payout and because the city was an Act 47 city 20 Commonwealth Court demanded an en banc 21 22 hearing which means the case was heard by 23 the full Commonwealth Court. The decision was unanimous, the city lost again. Did 24 25 they comply? No, they did not. At that

point the FOP then went to Pennsylvania

Labor Relations Board alleging that the city
violated the Pennsylvania Labor Relations

Act by failing to comply with Common Pleas

Court decision. Pennsylvania Labor

Relations Board ruled, in fact, the city did
fail to comply. Did the city then comply?

No, they did not. In the mean time the city
filed with the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to
have the case heard. Pennsylvania Supreme

Court denied hearing the case saying that
the Commonwealth Court decision stands. Did
the city then comply? No, they did not.

May I remind you that the dollar figure all of those years continued to grow as did the interest. The Pennsylvania Labor Relations' Board then contacted Robert Farrell who was of the city solicitor asking why the city failed to comply. He said, oh, they are working on complying. On July 19th of 2007 Lisa Moran signed a notarized sworn affidavit stating that, in fact, the city had completely complied with the arbitrator's decision. Nothing could have been further from the truth. The city did

not comply and yet she swore that they have, and I happen to have a copy of that with me should anyone doubt my word. Has the city complied as of yet? No, they have not, and we recently had another Pennsylvania Labor Relations hearing to force compliance. To date five years and one month has passed. A week ago the police officers were paid \$1 million of that arbitration award. They have hired five of the eight clerks. That \$1 million is about half of what the city owes. Keeping in mind that that dollar figure is mounting everyday, that that interest is growing everyday, that they still have three more clerks to hire.

Now, to make it very clear, I fought this case through that whole system, I do not get paid to fight that case nor did I get one dollar of that award. I did it because it's the right thing to do. It's just most unfortunate that this administration has no clue what the right thing to do is and as a taxpayer I find it appalling at the incompetence, and might I also mention that an additional \$1 million

2	ridiculous case. Thank you.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Stulgis.
4	MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
5	Mr. President, Scranton City Council
6	members. My name is Bill Jackowitz, South
7	Scranton resident. Enough is enough. The
8	St. Patrick's Day parade became a political
9	campaign parade. Forty-five minute delay so
10	Hillary Clinton can campaign and Chris
11	Doherty was never more than two inches away
12	from her. Doherty did a better job than the
13	secret service agents. Maybe a career
14	change is in store for Mayor Doherty and
15	businessman Doherty. A 45-minute delay in a
16	parade. A parade should continue one, it
17	should never be stopped.
18	Elected officials, politicians have
19	been betrayed the trust of Scranton
20	taxpayers. The alleged incident at the
21	Single Tax Office does not paint a good
22	picture of Scranton elected officials.
23	Citizens have been coming before council for
24	years asking for someone to look into this
25	matter only to be ignored. Citizens have

as to what taxpayers' money has been
collected and where the money is. Citizens
have been stonewalled every step of the way.
I have said many times from this podium that
coverups lead to trouble. Nay Aug Park
cannot solve this situation. A complete and
total audit must be accomplished and also an
investigation by the State of Pennsylvania
and federal law enforcement agencies.
Councilwoman Gatelli, as finance
chairperson, you must provide answers to the
taxpayers. Last week 11th March of '08 you
stated that you voted for the fines and fees
because taxpayers must pay their taxes.
Well, it appears that taxpayers were paying
their taxes. Elected officials were not

chairperson, you must provide answers to the taxpayers. Last week 11th March of '08 you stated that you voted for the fines and fees because taxpayers must pay their taxes.

Well, it appears that taxpayers were paying their taxes. Elected officials were not doing their jobs. Remember, each elected official takes an oath of office where they swear to uphold the constitution of the United States of America against all foreign and domestic enemies and serve the people.

I guess there is no mention of protecting the people from elected officials.

25 Last week's meeting was very

interesting, there was a long discussion
about the situation with the fire

department. President McGoff and Councilman
Courtright held an informal meeting with
Chief Davis and then with the firefighters.
No notes were taken at Chief Davis' meeting,
a tape and notes were taken at the
firefighters' meeting. Now Councilwoman
Gatelli and Fanucci are stating that they
had to vote against the letter being sent
that questioned that an outside agency be
brought in to investigate, the alleged
allegations against the chief, the reason
being they have not seen any information
about the alleged complaints and no
grievances were filed by the fire
department.
Well, if the council would have
followed the lead of Councilman Courtright
and Councilwoman Evans and had a

followed the lead of Councilman Courtright and Councilwoman Evans and had a stenographer present then an official record would have been available with every word that was spoken at these two meetings. Now, we have a record of one meeting and no record of Chief Davis' meeting, plus Kay

Garvey was put into a position that she
should not have been put into. Again, the

elected officials have let the people down.
This is not a personnel issue. This is a
public safety issue, a simple thing is
having a stenographer present became a
political issue. Now you all are arguing
about not having the proper information.
What a dog and pony show.

Just two or three weeks ago the city controller stated that taxpayers would not be receiving their tax refund checks. The reason, the city has no money. Well, guess what, there was tax money available because people have been paying their taxes. Tax collectors and controllers have not been doing their jobs. The time has come for all elected officials to start doing what they were elected do, serve and protect the citizens and taxpayers, not finding jobs for family members and friends. Start by fetching information for citizens and answering legitimate questions. The coverups must stop today.

Mr. Renda stated that the city

1	administrator and	administration was not
2	privy to the tax,	this tax information.
3	Come on now, this	is Scranton Taxpayers'

money being paid to Scranton to provide

services to the residents of the city and

now they the elected and appointed officials

want us to believe they were not aware of

12.2 million dollars in a checking account

at the First Fidelity Bank. Please, give us

some credit for intelligence.

This has been spoken about over and over but, yet nobody in authority listened and took the time to investigate. All that was done was to raise taxes and fees and blame the unions. Stand up and be counted and accept the blame. Scranton Taxpayers deserve an apology from every elected and appointed official who stonewalled them for the past four years. Don't tell me you didn't know where the money was and,

Mrs. Gatelli, as finances chair I hope by next week you can tell us the citizens of Scranton whose name was on that checking account, who made the deposits to First Fidelity Bank's checking account. Someone's

name must be on that account and someone had
to make those deposits unless Casper the
Ghost arrived in Scranton to do it. I hope

4	you have that information for me next week.
5	MR. JUDGE: Good evening, Council.
6	John Judge, I'm the secretary with the
7	firefighters' union. I didn't come last
8	week, I thought there was going to be a
9	vote, after I saw that the vote wasn't taken
-0	it was tabled because some members didn't
.1	have a chance to read over what was talked
.2	about between myself and President
.3	Schreiber, President McGoff and
. 4	Mr. Courtright. I would just like to extend
.5	our offer to of the union to sit down with
. 6	the other council members if that's what you
.7	need to make an informed decision on this
.8	very important safety matter.
. 9	This executive body has received a
20	mandate from our membership to pursue this
21	issue and make sure and ensure that there
22	they are save when they do their work. I
23	think there has been some confusion about

Mr. Courtright and Mr. McGoff and I think that all four of us when we left that meeting felt very confident on where each side was coming from with the issue. We

our motives, some people have put forth some

ideas which are ridiculous and we spoke with

just hope that you will move this issue forward tonight and when we talk about a third party arbitrator or mediator we are not assuming that that mediator is going to come in and say, "Chief Davis no longer has a job."

What we want is somebody to come in and look at these issues from the outside and give us recommendations based on these incidents that have happened on how to make this department more effective and safer to run. So, I would really hope, and if you don't have the proper information tonight or you do want to speak to us, I'm sure that we would be more than willing to sit down with any of the council members that didn't have the ability to sit down with us the first time. Please just don't brush us aside here. Like I said, we have that mandate from our membership and we're not going to let you brush us aside. We are going to

push this forward and ensure that all 150 of us are able to work as safely and possible.

We understand the inherent risks with our job, but you as you see when we put forth

5	some of the specifics on this matter we need
6	this addressed and I hope that council will
7	take this very seriously and help and work
8	with us to do that and I think that
9	supporting Councilman Courtright's motion
10	would be the prudent thing to do. Thank you
11	very much.
12	MS. GATELLI: Mr. Judge, I just
13	would like to tell you that I will be voting
14	on that issue tonight. I wasn't here and I
15	didn't have the transcript.
16	MR. JUDGE: I understood. Right.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city
19	council, my name is Dave Gervasi and I'm a
20	Scranton Taxpayer and Firefighter with the
21	City of Scranton. I just want to add to
22	what my brother John Judge just said, there
23	have been a lot of things thrown around,
24	words that I heard coming from council and I
25	just wanted to assure you that this is

solely based on safety, and as Mr. Judge
said, we have a mandate from our guys, our
guys are not letting us let this go. It
needs to be done, so I just want to stress,
I really, really want to stress this, and I

want to apologize if any of you up there
feel that we, you know, put you guys in the
middle of this whole thing, but what else do
we do? We went to the mayor, had a meeting
with the mayor, I was at the meeting, we
explained everything to him, he did not make
any apologies for the chief. He didn't try
to defend the chief, he sat and he listened
and he heard what we had to say and he said
he would take care of it, and when we said
once you try to take care of it who is
going to run the department to understand
all of these new procedures that we are
going to try to formulate together, and he
said, "Don't worry about it, I will take
care of it."

He must have said is five times that day and, well, I did mostly, I said, well, we all did, there was four of us at the meeting and we told him that, you know, we

need some competent leadership in our
department because no matter what you do and
no matter what we do the chief needs to be
chief and needs to make the decisions and
needs to carry out whatever procedures we

6	come up with to make this department safer
7	than it is now and he insisted he is not
8	getting rid of the chief, period.
9	So, we apologize for putting you in
10	the middle of this, but where else do we go?
11	You know, there is nobody else to call,
12	there is nobody else to tell, so we came
13	here and we are asking for whatever it
14	takes, an outside investigation to make it
15	fair, political pressure from you, whatever
16	you need to do we are asking you to do
17	because things are not right with the fire
18	department as long as we don't have or we
19	continue to have the leadership we have now,
20	and I'm sorry for putting you in the middle
21	of this, but you are elected officials, you
22	are the next step we take and I thank you
23	for your time.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gervasi.
25	MS. KRAKE: Good evening, Council.
	7

My name is Nancy Krake. The Doherty
administration is oppressive and dictatorial
in it's policies and treatment of workers
and citizens alike. Mrs. Evans and
Mr. Courtright are a striking contrast to
the three people on this council that follow

7	the	mavor's	examples	and	his	orders.
•	0110		011011110	0.110.		0 = 0.0 = 0 .

Mrs. Evans holds this administration
and her colleagues accountability for city
finances and asks the tough questions.
Mr. Courtright holds this administration
accountable for public safety and also ask
the tough questions. These things need to
be asked every day. A good example is the
recent \$12 million found at the Single Tax
Office. It just shows how important checks
and balances are in city government and how
important it is to have a newspaper that
finally reports both sides of the story. I
wonder if any of the councilpersons who did
not vote for Mrs. Evans' budget, that would
be Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli and
Mrs. Fanucci, a budget that included
revenues from the Single Tax Office that
should are been collected over the past

seven years would like now to go back and
revisit that proposal. Perhaps you could
amend the current budget to add those
revenues and reduce taxes, and maybe we can
take away those Draconian penalties that do
not go into city coffers no matter what

Mrs. Fanucci says.

8	I would like to ask Mrs. Evans to
9	consider running for Mayor of Scranton.
10	Many people stop me at my job and wherever I
11	am and tell me that she is the person that
12	watches over them and the city. If a new
13	administration at the Single Tax Office
14	found millions in one month just think of
15	what Mrs. Evans would be able to find out
16	about Mayor Doherty's administration if she
17	were mayor. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MR. DAVIS: My name is Jim Davis, and I'm here again and I'm going to -- we have got seven more days until election, until the primary election. It seems as though by a strange divine essence of the law, we are now faced with the idea that Pennsylvania may be the voting -- may be the

voting block of the century and we may be the persons that actually define who our next president or our next candidate for presidency will be. It's important. It's very important. Things have not done as we had hoped with the last election, but we need all of you people, everybody out there

8	we need you to get involved with the school
9	board. We need you to get involved here.
10	We need your words. We need your prayers,
11	too, but at the same time this is democracy
12	in action. This is a form of democracy that
13	will you see no matter what country you go
14	into, no matter what state you go in, even
15	if you were to go to Washington and
16	Harrisburg, there is the form of government
17	that stands up. They follow the same rules
18	and you hear them all of the time.
19	Mrs. Fanucci came up with the smoking ban,
20	it was struck down, in the next court it was
21	struck down, even across the state they were
22	trying to bring up the ban, it was
23	unconstitutional, they proved it.
24	You see, because a person says

doesn't mean that it is and we can't be lead
around like a bunch of sheep, so we have to
listen to what we are hearing. We have to
be conscious when we come in here. We have
to be conscious when we go other places.
It's so important. Our rights, our freedoms
are only that if we protect them. I think I

something that's true and it's good it

said that last time, too, and it's so
important. I was a veteran, I am a veteran
so, therefore, I know when I say about
protecting rights and freedoms it's
important to us. It's important to me and
my kids. I'm so proud of McClough and
Patilla come up ear and really have done
their homework, they have done their
homework, and you have to admit to it that
they have done their homework and they have
done a very good job. They are giving us
facts, something that you didn't think you
would ever hear from us, but if they are
here and they are here and they are
representing themselves very, very well and
I'm very proud of them. Yeah, I'm very
proud of a lot of things and I'm proud of
this election, also.

1	I can't mention the candidates, I
2	know that's again the law, so I'm just
3	saying to you be conscious in your efforts,
4	get out of the house, register to vote if
5	you haven't registered, if you have
6	registered make sure you get out and vote.
7	It's very important. How we treat this next
8	election is going to determine a awful lot

9	of people and an awful lot of things, a lot
10	of money that comes down from the federal
11	government, from the state government to our
12	educational systems and to our legal systems
13	and the grants and the loans and things that
14	like that keep us afloat because we are
15	supposed to be I came here four years ago
16	we are already depressed. Remember that?
17	And I said what is it that is going to keep
18	me depressed and broke and nobody had an
19	answer to it. Well, depressed is a state of
20	mind. We are broke. We are in, but we are
21	totally sitting on the side line. That
22	is that's gigantic, and you are right,
23	and we thank you, Miss I have forgotten
24	your name a second, she did such a fantastic
25	job with the budget and this has to be a

problem. If we had listened to her, and
that's what we are saying, you are doing
your homework, Mr. Courtright, I know you
were never put in this situation before in
your live, but you keep coming up with
answers, answers that help us understand,
they help us understand what problems we
have with the fire department and what

9	problems we have with the city. Thank you.
10	Keep up the good work, I'll keep praying for
11	you and keep this open for democracy,
12	really, for democracy's sake, we need it.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Davis.
14	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Bill.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Chris.
16	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Here's yours, Bill.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Thank you, Chrissy.
18	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Here, Jude.
19	MR. MCGOFF: It's the West Scranton
20	High School baseball schedule.
21	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, Bill, it was
22	fantastic, I enjoyed it Saturday, so,
23	remember something, Billy, always West Side
24	Bill, always West Side, Bill. Remember
25	that, Billy.

1	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Chris.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Chris.
3	MR. PORTER: Andrew Porter,
4	concerned citizen. Good evening, citizens
5	of Scranton. I'm not a politician and no
6	one knows who I vote for, and when I got
7	home last week I understand that someone
8	needed to hear some information for me. I
9	don't tell people who to vote for. I,

myself, work for God and I'm pointed in that
direction. This is God's city and I
consider it to be a great city and I'm not a
biased person, I look out for the best
interest of this city, so any vote of mine
cast in any direction is my personal
business and, you know, I just look for
so if you have a question, if anybody has a
question for me, you can ask the question
now, but, you know, if you need to if you
would like to see me outside or if you see
my outside and you have a question for me
and you can ask that question and I'll give
you an honest answer, but I don't think that
anyone wants to challenge my integrity, so
if there is a question and you need a

1	question answered I'm here now, you can ask
2	the question and I'll answer it for you. If
3	not, have a good evening.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Porter.
5	MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini,
6	Scranton. I'd like to first of all
7	congratulate the young gentleman over there
8	for his efforts, I get a lot of complaints
9	from the kids in town that there isn't

anything to do, of course, we had the same complaints when we were kids, but I have to agree with them. All of these kids don't go down to Adams Avenue, they don't go down and hang out down town, they don't go and hang out in parking lots and I applaud this young man's efforts and I hope that they are successful in every way, shape on form. Secondly, I would like to bring up something that disturbed me a little bit. I

Secondly, I would like to bring up something that disturbed me a little bit. I found out probably 20 or 25 years ago we had a pretty serious situation in Scranton with a gentleman who was flying to Colombia and bringing drugs back here from Colombia, a very big deal, I don't know how many of you remember it, but also there was a money

laundering situation with the local bar	ık and
I said this 20, 25 years ago that's	
something we can't blame on you and Ch	ris
Doherty, but I would just like to poin	out
the fact that Scranton hasn't always be	een
squeaky clean and it's not squeaky clea	an
right now.	

There is an issue that keeps coming up here and it's called honesty, integrity, dedication and humility. Now, you people

here every week. We look at you and we look at you for leadership and guidance, which is why you were elected. Several months ago

Mrs. Evans asked for an audit, she asked for records to come through, recently we have had some pretty disturbing trends within city agencies. They have boards and directors, there are oversight committees, again, I'm reiterating, you people are the oversight committee for these groups. Very questionable activities, some of them which resulted in indictments of a local director of an agency, and now this situation with the Tax Collector's Office, the Single Tax

1	Office, you know, come on. I find it
2	awfully hard to believe that you people
3	couldn't possibly know what was going on or
4	would not investigate when that is your duty
5	and that is our right as citizens to demand
6	that you adhere to that policy. Now, I am
7	personally involved in this thing for the
8	simple reason that I live in the Scranton
9	Housing Authority project. You know, I have
10	seen what goes on up there, I have seen how

people are treated, I see how some of the tenants are treated, I would hate to think that this same thing existed in other agencies within this city, but if you people don't exercise your right to oversee these committees you are just as guilty as the people who are indicted and who may very well be going to jail and it's incumbent upon you as a governing board to make sure that these agencies are above board and legit because you are dealing not only with your money, but you are dealing with our tax money and that's very, very important.

And as far as the fire department goes, that situation with the chief, I don't

1	know the chief, I have no opinion one way or
2	the other, but I reiterate what
3	Mr. Jackowitz said, this is not a matter of
4	a personnel situation, this is a matter of
5	public safety and I think it should be
6	treated that way and I hope you will honor
7	that commitment. Thank you very much.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Talimini.
9	MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening,
10	Council. Nelson Ancherani, resident and
11	taxpayer, city employee, financial secretary

of the FOP, again, exercising my first
amendment rights. What I'm going to talk
about tonight nobody saw in the slimes, it
wasn't in there, they didn't get a chance to
read about it, so I'll just let them know
and let you know. It's about the 27 pay
period arbitration. We have to remember
that January 2, 2004, was a Friday payday,
so the pay was for the year before. In that
27 pay argument the city argued that meant
that there were 54 weeks that year or
378 days in 2004. I mean, the most amount
of days that I have heard about in a year is
366, leap year, this year. I got paid, they

1	did finally pay. I got paid and I got \$500
2	more than I should have had I been paid the
3	regular pay. That's absurd, okay? I got
4	over \$500 more. Bad. But it shouldn't have
5	been that way. It was a senseless
6	arbitration, so I'm going to read from some
7	of the judge's opinion. It has here, "Lisa
8	Moran gave the union representatives two
9	choices for dealing with the issue, the
10	first choice presented was having pay this
11	payday at this end of calendar year 2004, at

about the time of the Christmas holidays.

Second choice was having the wages of each employee reduced throughout the year so that the budgeted salary amounts would be paid on 27 pay days Moran insisted upon immediate answer. When none was forthcoming the city unilaterally reduced the wages of the union employees. The situation in which Moran found herself in early 2004 was totally foreseeable and predictable. Every 11 or 12 years due to the inherent quirks in the Gregorian calendar a pay year will have 27 biweekly paydays instead of 26.

Moran also knew at the time that she

proposed reducing hourly pay to stretch the
city's appropriations over 27 pay periods
that this matter had been handled
differently in 1993. When this situation
previously arose 11 years prior, the city
had paid it's employees their regular pay
for each of the 27 pay periods without
modification. Were this the case of first
impression," and this is a judge, "I might
be inclined to give the city the benefit of
the doubt. While the city may have
inadvertently blundered into a quagmire in

200 by reducing police wages, the remedy
perceived a fiscal dilemma, it compounded
it's problem by resolutely refusing to
remedy it's contractual violations even when
it knew or reasonably should have known that
it could not win.

Thus, while the initial decision to

Thus, while the initial decision to reduce wages may not have been in bad faith, the decision to persist with it's position that it need not properly pay it's police employees is a clear indication of bad faith. Once the Court's ruled denying the city's appeals in the firefighter's case and

the 1	Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board
issu	ed it's decision the city was on notice
that	it was threading on dangerous ground by
fail	ing to settle a police case," yet it
cont	inued and it ended up paying this
inte	rest that they shouldn't have had to if
they	paid us in the beginning.

"The city's reduction of police
employees biweekly wages to address the 27
payday issued in 2004 violated both Article
II and Article 27 of the collective
bargaining group Agreement. As a remedy,

the city must make whole with backpay and
all applicable benefits owed for the back
pay period. Any union employee whose hourly
wage was reduced in 2004. I also find that
the city acted in bad faith by persisting in
the litigation of in matter after June 24,
2007, a date one month after the date of the
Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board
Firefighters' case. By that date the city
should have reasonably been able to digest
the sum of the decisions of the PLRB and the
Courts and should have known that it had no
likelihood of prevailing in the instant

case." 

Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr.

Ancherani. Fifth Order. Mrs. Evans. 

MS. EVANS: Good evening. Twelve

million dollars, maybe more, that's the

issue on everyone's mind and tongue. Of

course, the priority is to properly

distribute the revenue among the local

taxing bodies as well as outlying

governments. I also believe there are count 

less Scranton residents who are owed refunds 

for the EMS tax. Many senior citizens and 14 teens spoke to me in the last two years 15 about their earning less than \$12,000 16 annually and never receiving refunds. 17 Consequently, I do firmly support an 18 independent audit of the Scranton Tax 19 Office, however, I believe the serious 20 nature of the discovery requires a forensic 21 audit and I'm very happy to learn that that 22 will be the case, but I also believe we need 23 an auditor or a firm from outside of Northeastern Pennsylvania, many employees of 24 25 local firms have contributed to political

1	campaigns of local elected officials. Thus,
2	it is important that any shred of doubt be
3	removed from this situation from this
4	particular audit in order to restore
5	taxpayers' confidence in the Scranton Single
6	Tax Office. Equally important, I believe if
7	an independent investigation is warranted
8	either the State Attorney General or the
9	Secret Service should conduct a complete
10	investigation. Apparently either
11	nonfeasance or malfeasance has occurred, but
12	for what reason? Who would most benefit
13	from such a cash windfall? Did Fidelity

14 Bank ever question this Tax Office account? Three years worth of consistently increasing 15 funds in a tax office account should have 16 17 been puzzling since the role of the tax 18 office is to collect taxes and annually 19 disburse them to governments. 20 Also, Mr. McDowell was asked to 21 attend a council caucus to discuss

22

23

24

25

attend a council caucus to discuss

procedures regarding the EMS tax, he never

attended. More at issue, he refused to

allow two tax office auditors to attend a

council caucus to discuss wage tax

1	collection procedures in 2007, just three
2	short months ago. I suspected problems in
3	the tax office and believed additional
4	revenue beyond the annual disbursements
5	could be realized, and to substantiate my
6	beliefs, I requested that these two
7	employees speak to council, but as I said,
8	Mr. McDowell forbid them to speak with us
9	and the mayor rather than supporting this
10	attempt to increase revenue in the city's
11	operating budget turned a deaf area. He was
12	more interested in passing his own budget
13	than considering my budget or any
14	suggestions I might propose.

Some of my council colleagues didn't feel it was viable or pressing to pursue the tax office concerns in December. Rather, they predictably passed the mayor's budget and into this new year we have begun to seek accountability. Why wasn't the city's controller's office provided the funds to conduct annual audits of the tax office?

This is a much more significant priority than political job creations and top level salary hikes.

Finally, it seems coincidental that the tax office windfall occurs at such a fortuitous time. The mayor intends to borrow approximately \$11 million in May or June, however, this would likely be very difficult and costly since Standard and Poors has withdrawn the Triple B rating for the city and Scranton essentially has no rating.

Further, it is difficult to perhaps impossible to secure municipal bond insurance because of the ongoing bankruptcy crisis of municipal bond insurers, let alone a city without a bond rating. Won't the tax

office windfall arrive just in time to help solve the mayor's 2008 financial deficit or perhaps he will pave some streets, particularly, since the election fast approaches and we the taxpayers and residents haven't seen too much paving since his last mayoral campaign. Now, I did also receive some

Now, I did also receive some
responses to an issue I raised last week and
a letter that was originally directed to
Mr. Seitzinger on February 21. In the 200

block of Hollister Avenue Mr. Seitzinger
says that according to the inspector in that
area, Mr. Liptai, everything has been taken
out of their except for one van which is
partially on private property and the curb
line. So, they are working with the police
on this since it crosses over each of the
two departments. And as for the 700 block
of North Irving Avenue and the complaint of
the garage at the rear of 730 North Irving,
Mr. Seitzinger said this was condemned and
notification has gone out to rehab or raise
it.

As for the 1400-block of Dickson

Avenue, the complaint of dust and dirt from

Keystone Block, Mr. Seitzinger is working on this and will get back to us prior to next week's meeting.

And as for the University of

Scranton student Center demolition without

permits, Mr. Seitzinger claims they did have
a permit. It's called a lead permit, where
they had to recycle some things from the old
building to put into the new building. It

was a 16-month demolition, interior demo

took some time due to the fact that they had to gut and recycle and he states everything was legitimate.

Also, back in October and then again
I believe on at least one other occasion,
possibly two, I have been asking
Mrs. Seitzinger and Mr. Brazil to address
the issues on Smith Place in West Scranton,
and I have been told at least once that they
will get out there, they will examine the
properties involved and something will be
done about it, but to date the residents of
the area have once again contacted me, and I
apologize to them because I believe this is
the fourth time they have had to contact me

and the fourth time I have had to extend my apologies for no work having been performed. In fact, I don't believe anyone has even been out there to inspect any of the problematic areas and so I'm hoping that please one or both of these gentlemen will get out there within the next week and get some actions started on this area. 

And, finally, I would like to wish a very happy Easter to all and I would like my

council colleagues to seriously consider
what I have suggested this evening about an
investigation of the Single Tax Office. The
audit is certainly appropriate, but that's
only going to cover the disbursement of
funds. That there are many, many
significant issues here that are amiss and
this does require an investigation that I
don't believe city council should be
performing. I believe we need the powers of
the State Attorney General's Office or the
secret service who so admirably went into
Luzerne County to investigate a credit card
scandal. Well, I think that the situation
we are facing far supersedes Luzerne
County's financial problem at this time and

17	so, as I said, please consider this and I am
18	hoping that council in conjunction with the
19	Scranton School Board perhaps and Lackawanna
20	County would seek this independent
21	investigation as soon as possible. That's
22	it.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Evans.
24	Mrs. Gatelli.
25	MS. GATELLI: First, I would like to
	9
1	congratulate the student at West Scranton
2	high school that made the First Team All
3	Stars for soccer, Matt Gavern, Jonathan
4	Taffio, Shane Davis, Billy Schultz and Mike
5	Zangardi.
6	Also, we have a national merit
7	scholarship finalists, and I would like to
8	acknowledge her, her name is Sara
9	Kalinowski.
10	Also, if you read in the paper this
11	week one of our football players, Eric
12	Shrive, was featured in a story in the
13	Scranton Times. He is an offensive tackle
14	and he has been offered 24 college
15	scholarships. I think some of us at West

Side are hoping that he takes the one for

17	Notre Dame.
18	There will be an Easter egg hunts
19	this Saturday for children ages 2 to 10.
20	They are being sponsored by neighborhood
21	associations and sports groups. They will
22	be Saturday, March 22, at noon. They will
23	be held at Clover Field, Weston Park, Weston
24	field, Nay Aug Park and Connell Park and I

Sam Yushinski for getting that altogether.

Volunteers are certainly welcome to help out
and the volunteers are asked to come at

11:00.

would just like to thank Mark Dougher and

I am continuing to work on the cones to be put in the road on Davis Street. I contacted John Pocius because he is very active in St. Joe's back there and he has offered to contact the pastor and the groundskeeper there because someone has to be responsible for the cones. Also, I put in a request for the cones at West Scranton High School because of the severe traffic problem in the morning.

I would like to know if it's possible to send a letter to Comcast, I have noticed that if you don't have digital cable

. 8	they have taken off the TV Guide and they
. 9	have taken off an about 7 or 8 channels,
20	when you go to the channel there is just
21	snow there so, Kay, if we could possibly
22	find out why all of those stations are taken
23	off and there is no TV guide. The bill
24	didn't go down, but the stations are gone.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: Mrs. Gatelli, I
	96
1	think you can still get the stations but
2	they give you a box.
3	MS. GATELLI: Yeah, and have to pay
4	more for that.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Initially, they were
6	giving you that for free, but if you didn't
7	get it by a certain date then you are out of
8	luck. I think that's the way it worked.
9	MS. GATELLI: I got numerous
.0	complaints on a property at 1520 Linden
.1	Street where there was a picture of a fire
.2	that occurred at this building in Jimmy
.3	Money was the firefighter that was the
_ 4	inspector and he stated in the paper that
.5	there was a lot of garbage in the back of

the property and since then I have gotten

complaints from some of the neighbors that

16

it is a problem property and I talked to Mr. Seitzinger and he is going to have a full general inspection done on the property, he has already requested police reports concerning that property and the D.A.'s Office was already notified for considering it a possible nuisance property. 

I would like to congratulate the CMC

nurses, they are fighting to make things
better at the hospital. As you know, they
have been trying to unionize for years and
improvements in staffing make sense when it
comes to patient care. Anyone that's been
in the hospital knows that they are very,
very understaffed, and hopefully, the
unionization of the nurses up there will
certainly help get patient care back where
it should be, and we received this notice
and it says that anyone that would like to
support the nurses if they could please
contact the acting CEO, John Milsan, at
969-8240.

I would just like to make a few comments about the \$12 million, also. I was glad to have made a motion several weeks ago to send a letter to the Tax Office

about here for many years since I am here by

Mrs. Krake and, you know, it finally came to

fruition that there was a problem and they

did answer us concerning that motion and

shortly after they made the discovery of the

money that's been there. I don't know who

is going to get all of the money and where it's all accumulated, I know a lot of it is people paying wage tax to out of town municipalities, also, but I would agree with Mrs. Evans that we need to find out what's going on there and I will be in favor of doing something of that nature, but I would like to get a look at the forensic audit first and see where that happens to lead us. It's unfortunate and I hope we can get to the bottom of it, and that's all I have and Happy Easter to everyone.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Fanucci.

MS. FANUCCI: I just would like to speak on a few of the questions that were addressed to me last week about some of our grant money and how it's dispersed. The Growing Greener Program, I wanted to try to

help people understand, Growing Greener sounds like, well, okay that means we are just about communities maybe planting trees and things like that, but, I need you to understand there is like six different phases of each program, sometimes it doesn't mean just one phase.

During the Growing Greener grant
it's taken from one project to another to a
garage and that was the problem with the
Oppenheim Garage saying this is not a
development of green space or anything that
would be construed for the Growing Greener.
That is absolutely not the case. There is
six different phases. What they did was,
I'm just going to give you the outline of
the program, over five-year stint, Growing
Greener is growing our communities and
economy. Two-hundred and thirty- million
for the Department of Environmental
Protection actually to clean up rivers and
streams which you would absolutely think
that this is something that should fall
under that category. Two-hundred seventeen
point five million to the Department of
Conservation and Natural Resources for

parks, natural areas, open spaces, and local recreation needs. Eighty million to the Pennsylvania Department of Agricultural for farming. Fifty million to the Department of Community and Economic Development to revitalize the communities through

investment and housing and redevelopment
projects. I believe that that might be
where we qualified for the garage. That
money has to be transferred, if it is a
community development project it's OECD
money which is still a Growing Greener
grant, it still has to be transferred to an
another economic development project. You
cannot take you know, if you applied for
the rivers and streams money, which is a
whole different department, which would be
through DCED or DCED would be the one who
would take care of that, if you apply
through them you have to keep that grant
under that same structure so that is why it
complied for that, and when I say you use it
or lose it because if there is not another
economic development project that goes with
that then they have to be able to trace that

20 money to the next economic development
21 project, so that's what they did.
22 And then there is another one that
23 can be the commission for repair for like
24 fish and gaming, so that's another one that
25 they have. And then another one is for the

gaming commission for habitat related facilities and upgrades and repairs. So under that all is Growing Greener, it's not just one type of grant that you can use, it's all different grants that fall under the same program, so I just wanted to give some information on that tonight.

Also, I do want to talk on the \$12 million, also. Obviously, I think we are a little taken back, there is nothing that can be said as far as I'm concerned that would shed light on any of this. This is not my department nor do I even act as if I'm qualified to even discuss where the money is or whose money it is. I definitely support an investigation and an audit.

Whether or not I believe we can launch an investigation, I believe that when that happened in Wilkes-Barre I believe that it was the DA got started the investigation so

I'm not sure if that happens here or not

happens here if has to be like a criminal or

if it was the city who did that. I'm not

sure how that started, but that might be

something we want to look into. I certainly

want to see where the money has come from
and how it could be dispersed. It's a lot
of money, and I can't say that to think
about the fact that it was sitting there is
not is a little disheartening considering
what we have already been through on trying
to just come to some type of conclusions on
where we can get funds, but I definitely
would support any type of progress to find
some type of a resolution to this problem.
So, I would definitely say that. And as for
me, have a happy Easter and that's all I
have.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Fanucci.
Mr. Courtright.

MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll start off with a motion that I did last week, I would like to make a motion that city council send a letter to Mayor Christopher Doherty and the Scranton Firefighter Union President, Dave

Schreiber, recommending to them to use and
outside agency or individual to conduct an
investigation into the allegations brought
to the Scranton City Council by the Scranton
Firefighters' Union against Chief Tom Davis.

1	MS.	EVANS:	Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? I
would like to respond. As you probably
figured I have been opposed to this, as I
said, that I believe it's unnecessary, I
believe that the entire process has been, I
don't want to say unnecessary, but I think
it's gone in the wrong direction. Let me
present a scenario, the administrative staff
at city hall took a vote and they voted that
they have absolutely no confidence in
Attorney Tom Jennings to negotiate a
contract for the firefighters. They also
have a list of allegations that he has used
bad judgment, that he has been demeaning to
city officials and, consequently, they would
like to have him removed and they are going
to go to the union president and ask that
Mr. Jennings be removed as negotiator for
the firefighters. The union would
absolutely reject and find that laughable.

22	There is no way that they would even
23	consider that, but in retrospect, that's
24	primarily what the union has done in asking
25	for the dismissal of Chief Davis.
	104
1	MR. GERVASI: Did anybody get killed,
2	Mr. McGoff?
3	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, Mr. Gervasi,
4	it's my turn
5	MR. GERVASI: Did anybody killed by
6	that's a disgrace.
7	MR. SCHREIBER: Public safety isn't
8	important?
9	MR. GERVASI: What a disgrace. What
10	a disgrace. Unbelievable.
11	MR. MCGOFF: You are out of order.
12	MR. GERVASI: I know I am. I
13	apologize.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
15	MS. EVANS: If I oh, you are not
16	finished. I'm sorry, I thought thank you
17	was your conclusion.
18	MR. MCGOFF: No. I believe that
19	that's the scenario that's taken place. I
20	don't believe that there have been no
21	criminal the other thing to clear up here

is that the allegations that have been made
against Chief Davis in some ways it's made
to sound like that there are criminal in
nature, that we are going to conduct an

investigation into see if these allegations
are if he is guilty of these things. The
allegations that have been made against
Chief Davis deal with decision making and
management skills. The issues that have
been brought forward have been addressed,
they have been brought to the mayor. The
mayor has attempted to address them. By the
admission of all of the firefighters they
are not looking for recommendations for
Chief Davis to be removed, they don't think
that that's going to happen, what is the
purpose of conducting another investigation?
If there are recommendations to be made why
can't the firefighters themselves make these
recommendations to the mayor through the
committees that have been established?

The only thing that a further investigation would do would be a continuation of, I don't want to use the word harassment, but a continuation of the demeaning of the fire chief unnecessarily.

23	I think that due process has been followed.	
24	I think that the issues that have been	
25	addressed by the mayor and the firefighters	
	10	6

have received some resolution and I do not believe that we need to continue this

process.

MS. EVANS: I'd like to respond to some of that. First of all, the comparison that Mr. McGoff draws is an inadequate comparison, we are comparing apples to oranges. The attorney who would represent a union is a legal matter, a matter of representation, the issue that we have been asked to consider rather is a matter of public safety and that means specifically the safety of the chief himself, the safety of the men under and women under his charge and ultimately the safety of the residents of the City of Scranton.

Now, it's been said, too, that the firefighters can make their recommendations to the mayor and he can address these situations and if, indeed, that is the case and certainly it's an avenue to consider then I would suggest the mayor receive the

23 60 some thousand dollar salary of the fire 24 chief because he is in effect in charge of 25 that department responding to their 107 concerns, addressing their concerns, 1 2 developing operating procedures. I fail to 3 see what the charges are then of the fire chief. 4 But most importantly, even setting 5 6 all of Mr. McGoff's arguments aside and my arguments as well, in good conscience and from an ethical standpoint, Mr. McGoff, I 8 believe you have to recuse yourself from 9 10 this vote. You have stated publically that 11 you are a good friend, a close friend of 12 Chief Davis and there is a distinct conflict 13 of interest at play and I believe, as I 14 said, from an ethical standpoint you must 15 recuse yourself from voting on Mr. Courtright's motion. 16 17 MR. MCGOFF: I don't think it's 18 unethical at all. I would ask that those people who are political opponents of Chief 19 Davis then recuse themselves from the vote. 20 21 MS. EVANS: Are you suggesting me? 22 MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Well, then you should

24	call me by name. But, I'm not a political
25	opponent of Chief Davis. I'm an elected
	108
1	acunailmonage and I warmagent many than
1	councilperson and I represent more than
2	Chief Davis, I represent all of the
3	residents of the city and I take their
4	security very seriously and even if it were
5	an issue of one individual being close to me
6	or opposed to me I can't place my feelings
7	toward that one individual above and beyond
8	the needs and the welfare of the citizenry.
9	MR. MCGOFF: I'll just respond that
10	I, too, am elected by more than Chief Davis
11	and what
12	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: By
13	the mayor.
14	MR. MCGOFF: And just because I
15	happen to be have a personal relationship
16	with the chief that is friendly, that does
17	not mean that it is unethical and that I
18	cannot vote to represent those people who I
19	feel are opposed to what is taking place. I
20	believe that there are numbers of people
21	that support Chief Davis and I am voicing
22	their opinions as well just as you claim to

be voicing the opinions of others.

24	MS. EVANS: Well, I do believe though
25	there are four people who are capable of
	109
1	voting on this issue and four people who can
2	make these decisions aye or nay without
3	being so close to the individual at the
4	center of the controversy.
5	MS. FANUCCI: I'm going to state
6	something here, Mrs. Evans, you are getting
7	into very tough waters for yourself. I
8	mean, you are very close to all of the union
9	officials. I mean, you speak with them
10	before the meetings, you are very close to
11	them, also. Now, I believe
12	MS. EVANS: I meet with them before
13	the meetings?
14	MS. FANUCCI: You are very, very
15	close and, in fact, you know
16	MS. EVANS: But, please don't make
17	statements that are false.
18	MS. FANUCCI: That wasn't false.
19	MS. EVANS: That is false.
20	MS. FANUCCI: We can all I have
21	seen you sit and talk to them, I have seen
22	paperwork work go back and forth, we have
23	all seen it.
24	MS. EVANS: Prior to meetings? No,

1	example, the case of Mr. Schumacher
2	tonight
3	MS. FANUCCI: No, no, no.
4	MS. EVANS: Who are city residents
5	and I'm answering questions, but please,
6	you're treading on very dangerous territory.
7	MS. FANUCCI: Oh, no, no, I'm not.
8	MS. EVANS: You are actually we
9	are on the question.
10	MR. MCGOFF: No, we are not.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Can I make a
12	statement
13	MS. EVANS: Yes, we are. Mrs.
14	Fanucci says that I'm holding meetings with
15	union officials prior to council meetings.
16	MS. FANUCCI: That's fair.
17	MS. EVANS: You have not witnessed
18	that and that's impossible because that does
19	not occur.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Okay, but what I was
21	going to state was even though we know you
22	are friendly and you are friends, which is
23	fine
24	MS. EVANS: Yes.

1	are still capable of separating your
2	feelings and making a judge and deciding
3	what's right or wrong. Now, you didn't even
4	let me get there without jumping on that,
5	but what I was saying was that even though I
6	know you that have friendship and as we all
7	do with everyone in this city pretty much,
8	you are still capable of sitting here and
9	deciding what is right for the taxpayers.
10	So, that's what I was trying to get to and
11	I'm sorry that you got so offended because
12	that's actually where I was going.
13	MS. EVANS: Well, I wanted to
14	straighten the record out and, secondly,
15	yes, I have great respect for the unions.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
17	MS. EVANS: All the municipal unions,
18	but I can't say that we have close
19	friendships that mirror that of the
20	situation that I addressed a few minutes ago
21	and I can say I'm basing my decision on the
22	notes that I have received from both
23	meetings and the contrast between the two is
24	stark and to close your eyes and your ears
25	and pretend that none of this happened or

L	it's all a figment of someone's imagination
2	or it's a vendetta or it's a political ploy,
3	you know, I just don't know where we are
1	going in this city.

I don't know, sometimes I have to wonder, people ask me, what do you get paid to do? What does city council get paid to do? Well, you know, I'm not so sure because sometimes I think if we just went up to Staples and brought some rubber stamps with our names on it and just put them here and didn't bother to show up we would do just as well.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, I had absolutely no intention on speaking on the question, I planned on making the motion and just voting, but I take offense to the fact that you say that I'm a political opponent of Mr. Davis, I am not a political opponent of Mr. Davis. I happen to be in charge of public safety, allegations were brought to me, I never said whether I was in favor of Mr. Davis being removed or not being removed. All I'm trying to do is what is fair and what is correct. I -- I -- well,

1	I'm not going to leave it at that because I
2	don't want to say something I'll be sorry
3	for. Let's just vote.
4	MS. GATELLI: I would could I say
5	something? I know that no one likes me to
6	ask this question, but I'm going to ask the
7	solicitor if he thinks it's a conflict of
8	interest for Mr. McGoff to vote on this
9	issue.
10	MR. MINORA: No, it's clearly not.
11	Friendships are friendships. Everyone up
12	there has voted and has friendships. A
13	conflict of interest involves a financial
14	interest or some benefit to you of a vote,
15	so that's just not the case in this
16	situation.
17	MS. GATELLI: Thank you. I say we
18	just call for a vote instead of continuing
19	to argue.
20	MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor
21	signify by saying aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Aye.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
24	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.

1	MR. MCGOFF: All those opposed? No.
2	The ayes have it and so moved.
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: Thank you. Kay, I
4	guess I have to say this to Mr. Seitzinger,
5	1158 and 1160 Hampton Street it's been
6	vacant for 15 years and I believe it's
7	condemned and I have had some residents
8	coming and telling me that the outside is a
9	mess and the building is deteriorating,
10	maybe Mr. Seitzinger might be able to take a
11	look at that I'd appreciate it.
12	The SIT clerks, that's bothered me
13	since day one since I have been on this
14	council, I always thought it was a wrong
15	decision, I always felt we would end up
16	paying that, I always wondered who advised
17	Mr. Doherty on that and I'm certainly not a
18	lawyer but I think it was plain to see we
19	are going to lose that. I didn't see where
20	the million dollars came from or in a
21	roundabout the SIT clerks received on this
22	so far, so if they could show me where we
23	got that money from, maybe we went through
24	it and I just missed it, I don't know, but
25	it seems pretty obvious from Mrs. Stulgis

said that there is more to come, so I would like to know where we are going to get the rest of the money to pay off the rest of this SIT clerk award. I would appreciate if we could find that out. It's awful lot of money.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I'll get to the tax office now, most of the questions have been asked and answered, the one question I had was if I was sitting there and I had \$12 million why do we leave it in an account that's just like a regular savings account, you know? Why don't we put it into US Treasury Bills, T-Bills or, you know, why don't we put it in three, six, nine, 12 month CD's which would have certainly afforded us a lot more interest, much, much more interest. I would like to see if we can't -- I don't hold the people in there responsible now, but I would like to ask that question why is that money just sitting in an account I believe it's getting somewhere in the neighborhood of 1 percent where we have could have gotten anywhere from 3.75 or better with the treasury bills or CD's and obviously not

know the economy is not doing as well, but back when this money was in there so if they can even give me their best guess I would appreciate it that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I don't know why we weren't -- I don't know whose responsibility it was to make us aware, and when I say "us" I mean the citizens of the City of Scranton that there was \$12 million sitting there, but somebody should have told us somewhere down the line we got \$12 million bucks and that just didn't happen, and now right now one of the important questions for me is what is going to become of whatever money the city has coming to us. Are we going to give back some of the 25 percent tax increase that the residents have? Are we going to use it to pay for us, I would like to ask the current administration and I would like for this question to come from all of council, if they wouldn't mind, the administration, what is their intention on whatever sum of money we get? Do they have, you know, any of that money that would be coming earmarked for anything in particular, just curious and I'm sure the rest of the people in the city are curious what's going to happen with that money, whatever the dollar amount might be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as the investigation goes, you know, I think some of us have been sitting on here a little but longer, but I'll just since day there has been accusations made about that office and I was happy Mrs. Gatelli made that motion the other week and I know Mrs. Evans has brought it up the questions and there has been some questions that I think that maybe we didn't give as much credibility to or maybe we dismissed a little bit because they might have seemed a little bit out there, but I think in light of what's happened now some of those questions have credibility and so maybe what we need to do as everyone else said maybe we do need to have an investigation, sadly to say, but I think everybody pretty much up here feels the same way about that, so if this council is in favor of moving forward with something else I would probably go along with that, and that's all I have. Thank you.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
2	Mr. Courtright. First thing I'd like to do
3	or say is my apologies to Mr. Courtright and
4	to Mrs. Evans, I did not mean to indicate
5	that you were biased or could not make a
6	decision, I guess I was just trying to make
7	a point that we all have affiliations that
8	exist and were not asked to remove ourselves
9	from votes because of those affiliations,
.0	and so my apologies. I did not mean to
.1	offend.
_2	MR. COURTRIGHT: I accept that.
.3	MR. MCGOFF: Just a couple of
_4	responses to some things that were brought
.5	up this evening, Mr. McClough made some
- 6	mention of the smoking ban, and I'd like to
.7	say that I know we have been, you know, this
.8	has been an ongoing thing for over a year
_9	now, and I don't think that there is
20	anything wrong with arguing against the
21	smoking ban, but I don't think it's
22	necessary to demean in any way the students
23	who proposed it. They were well meaning,
24	they wanted to become engaged in civic

activity, they were doing what all of us are

something and I think they were very well intentioned and I would hope that in the future that on all of these arguments that we not become personal in involving the students who are, as I said, just trying to complete a class project and work for the benefit or what they feel to be the benefit of this city.

I'm not going to go back to that, I'm going to skip over that. On the audit, of the Single Tax Office I, too, think that something should be done. I think that for the future an additional item should be considered. I think that we should look into -- I know that it says in the Home Rule Charter that an annual audit should be conducted by the Controller's Office. I believe that we should look into expanding that and some way so that the audit is conducted by the three taxing bodies and that it is done annually and that the cost of the audit can then be -- rather than be being placed in the hands of the city controller's office or any single body that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2	audit is conducted on an annual basis. As
3	this thing moves along, as I'm sure it will,
4	I think that this is something that maybe we
5	can discuss with the other taxing bodies and
6	see that if we can make some type of an
7	amendment to our Home Rule Charter and to
8	the process of dealing with the Single Tax
9	Office.
10	And I just wanted to, I knew I was
11	skipping something, Mr. Davis mentioned it's
12	only seven days until the vote, I think he
13	was speaking of registration. There is only
14	about a week left to register to vote and,
15	again, I know Mr. Davis was trying to
16	encourage people to do that, you only have a
17	week but there is a month plus until the
18	primary so there is time to before the
19	primary is held.

Again, a joyous Easter to everyone and also a peaceful Passover to members of the Jewish community and that is all.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, in the event that we are not able to amend the Home Rule Charter, I know that every so often, every I

don't know what the period of years would
be, individuals are elected to evaluate the

3	charter and propose changes, etcetera, and
4	then we are is it
5	MS. GATELLI: Time is up.
6	MS. EVANS: Then we will doing that
7	shortly I suppose, but again, in the event
8	that that's not within our power I still
9	believe we could in a joint venture pursue
10	this and, you know, bring it to fruition
11	until such time as perhaps they will work it
12	into the charter. I don't think we should
13	abandon it if can be done in that way.
14	MR. MCGOFF: I agree and what I was
15	trying to say was that I think it's
16	something that has to be done annually and
17	it should be a shared responsibility of the
18	three taxing bodies and I'm sure that we can
19	work it out some way and I'm sure that the
20	school district and the county would be more
21	than willing to engage in that.
22	MS. EVANS: Share the costs
23	MR. MCGOFF: Sharing the costs and
24	getting
25	MS. EVANS: and the

1 responsibility.

2 MR. MCGOFF: -- an accurate audit of

3	the Single Tax Office on a regular basis.
4	Kay?
5	MS. GARVEY: FIFTH ORDER. NO
6	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
7	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 16,
8	2008 - AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND
9	ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
10	02.229588 ENTITLED "CITY OF SCRANTON ARSON
11	TASK FORCE ACCOUNT" FOR RECEIPT AND
12	DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS FROM THE
13	DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC
14	DEVELOPMENT (DCED) FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON
15	ARSON TASK FORCE.
16	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard Reading
17	by Title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item 6-A
19	pass Reading by Title.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
21	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MS. EVANS: Aye.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
25	MS. GATELLI: Aye.

1 MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.

2 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The

3 ayes have it and so moved.

4	MS. GARVEY: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
5	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 17, 2008 - AN ORDINANCE
6	- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
7	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
8	SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS
9	FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND
10	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (DCED) FOR THE CITY OF
11	SCRANTON ARSON TASK FORCE.
12	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard Reading
13	by Title of Item 6-B what is your pleasure?
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item
15	6-B pass Reading by Title.
16	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
17	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Aye.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
21	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
24	ayes have it and so moved.
25	MS. GARVEY: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
	1:

- 1 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 18, 2008 AN ORDINANCE
- 2 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
- 3 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF

4	SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS
5	FROM U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
6	FEMA GRANT.
7	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard Reading
8	by Title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item
10	6-C pass Reading my Title.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye.
15	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
16	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. GARVEY: 6-D. READING TY TITLE -
21	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 19, 2008 - AN ORDINANCE
22	- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR NAD OTHER
23	APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
24	SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO LEASE AGREEMENTS WITH
25	VARIOUS LITTLE LEAGUE, TEENER LEAGUE, LEAGUE
	125
1	ASSOCIATIONS FOR USE OF CITY OWNED PROPERTY

1	ASSOCIATIONS FOR USE OF CITY OWNED PROPERTY
2	IN CONNECTION WITH APPROVED LITTLE LEAGUE,
3	TEENER LEAGUE, MISS-E LEAGUE, AND JUNIOR
4	AND/OR MIDGET FOOTBALL LEAGUE ACTIVITIES FOR

5	A THREE-YEAR PERIOD COMMENCING APRIL 5,
6	2008, AND ENDING APRIL 14, 2011.
7	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard Reading
8	by Title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item
10	6-D pass Reading by Title.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes. I had requested a
14	prior lease which I did receive notice that
15	there was great similarity between the lease
16	of I believe 2006 and now 2008, however, the
17	legislation in 2006 failed on a 2 to 2 vote
18	by council, thus, I requested a lease that
19	was in effect prior to 2006 and I believe
20	that one is coming from 2003. I haven't
21	been able to read it completely, but from
22	what I have perused it appears to be very
23	similar.
24	I also realize that all of the
25	teener leagues, little leagues, etcetera,

1	don't receive their copy of the lease until
2	the legislation is passed by council,
3	however, I would ask all of those entities
4	if you had any problem or issue with the

5	lease of 2003 that would be of concern to
6	you today to please contact me prior to next
7	week's meeting at which time there will be a
8	final reading of this ordinance.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on 6-D? All
10	those in favor signify by saying aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
13	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
16	ayes have it and so moved.
17	MS. GARVEY: 6-E. READING BY TITLE -
18	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 20. 2008 - AN ORDINANCE
19	- AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 49, 2006,
20	ENTITLED: "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE
21	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE
22	CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE AL NECESSARY
23	ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED
24	SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND
25	DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS AMENDED) TO BE
	127

1	FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK
2	GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT
3	PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
4	SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY
5	TRANSFERRING \$239,156.45 FROM PROJECT NO.

6	95-003.2 AND \$74,470.75 FROM PROJECT NO.
7	01-026 AND \$30,000.00 FROM PROJECT NO.
8	01-96,1 and \$85.82 FROM PROJECT NO. 05-215
9	AND \$56,286.98 FROM PROJECT NO. 05-15 TO
10	PROJECT NO. 07-19 PURCHASE OF A FIRE TRUCK.
11	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard Reading
12	by Title of Item 6-E, what is your pleasure?
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item 6-E
14	pass Reading by Title.
15	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
16	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
20	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. GATELLI: Motion to adjourn.
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
	128
1	
1	
2	

3

I hereby certify that the proceedings and

CERTIFICATE

6	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
7	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
8	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
9	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
10	ability.
11	
12	
13	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI
14	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	