1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, February 26, 2008
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CARLENE C MADDOGGE COURT DEDODGED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI - COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	
4	MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
5	MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT
6	MS. OUD! GAIEBBI, VICE-FRESIDENT
7	MS. JANET E. EVANS
8	MC CHEDDY EANLICCT
9	MS. SHERRY FANUCCI
10	MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT
11	
12	MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK
13	MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
14	MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR
15	MR. APIL MINORA, SOLICITOR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of silence observed.)
2	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call.
3	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Here.
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: Here.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
8	MS. FANUCCI: Here.
9	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.
10	MS. GATELLI: Here.
11	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes and prior to Third
15	Order we do have a proclamation this evening
16	for the members of the West Scranton High
17	School football team that I would like to
18	read and present.
19	WHEREAS, the Council of the City of
20	Scranton is desirous of honoring the "2007
21	WEST SCRANTON INVADER FOOTBALL TEAM" as
22	Lackawanna Football Conference Division I
23	Champions and PIAA District 2 AAA Division
24	Champions; and.
25	WHEREAS, the "WEST SCRANTON INVADER

1	FOOTBALL TEAM" made Lackawanna Football
2	Conference and school history by winning a
3	state playoff game defeating Blue Mountain
4	39-16. The "2007 WEST SCRANTON FOOTBALL
5	TEAM" became the first Lackawanna Football
6	Conference team to win a state game in Class
7	AAA. These young men have brought pride to
8	the West Scranton Community through their
9	hard work, commitment, dedication and
10	teamwork. They are outstanding examples of
11	student-athletes and an outstanding
12	reflection of West Scranton High School; and
13	WHEREAS, the "WEST SCRANTON INVADERS
14	FOOTBALL TEAM" wish to express their
15	gratitude to Head Coach Michael DeAntona;
16	Assistant Coaches Rick Rosser, Meade Beebe,
17	Bill Phillips, Colin Phillips, Larry Reagen,
18	Andy Butsko, Sr., Andy Butsko, Jr., Mario
19	Bevilacqua, Dennis Hircenak and Frank
20	Santomauro, Trainers Tom Nowakowsk and Alex
21	Bonisese, Equipment Manager Anthony "Tippy"
22	DeNunzio, and their familles, friends and
23	fans.
24	WHEREAS, the "WEST SCRANTON INVADER
25	FOOTBALL TEAM MEMBERS" consist of Hubie

1	Graham, Cody Elgin, Mike Gerrity, Brian
2	Courtright, Jason Chuff, C.J. Rosser, Jon
3	Smerecky, Anthony Monacelli, Craig Lora,
4	Brandon Ward, Shawn Irving, Matt McGloin,
5	Chris Gentilezza, Mark Strzelecki, Shawn
6	Bodtman, Devin Gonzales, Dylan Rivera, Chris
7	Krouchick, Jacob Karboski, Corey Marsland,
8	Austin Eltora, Tim Horlacher, Ethan Dunn,
9	Nick Boyle, Marc DeNinno, Bradley O'Malley,
10	Kyle Matay, Josh Schroeder, Jimmy Williams,
11	Tim Tanana-Nae, Brad Seymour and Nick Ferra.
12	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that
13	on Tuesday, February 26, 2008, Scranton City
14	Council wishes to congratulate the "WEST
15	SCRANTON INVADER FOOTBALL TEAM", their
16	Coaches, Teachers and Parents for their
17	outstanding achievement.
18	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this
19	Proclamation be made a permanent part of the
20	Minutes of this Council, as lasting tribute
21	to the "WEST SCRANTON 2007 INVADER FOOTBALL
22	TEAM."
23	(Round of Applause from audience and
24	each member of the team comes forward.)
25	MR. COURTRIGHT: And we would just

1	like to, if we could, just bring up another
2	special guest, Chris Sledzenski.
3	(Round of Applause by the audience
4	and Chris Sledzenski comes forward.)
5	MR. MCGOFF: I would like to offer
6	Coach DeAntona if you would like to say
7	anything please come to the podium.
8	MR. DEANTONA: I'd like to take this
9	opportunity to thank City Council for
.0	allowing us to come over here and recognize
.1	the hard work that these young men put into
.2	have a successful football season this year,
.3	and it does take a team effort and it's not
_4	just the players who work hard and the
.5	coaches, but we have a fantastic booster
. 6	club at West Scranton High School, too, that
.7	allows us the opportunities to feed the kids
.8	lunch during sessions and any of you, if you
.9	happen to be at our banquet this year, it's
20	a first class event and I'd liketo thank our
21	president, Mr. McGoin, and the rest of the
22	boosters for that and I'd also like to thank
23	not only the community of West Scranton, but
24	the City of West Scranton because, boy,
25	everybody really got behind us through our

2	staff and the community of West Scranton and
3	our team really fed off the energy the City
4	of Scranton gave us and there is no place to
5	live like the City of Scranton, it's a great
6	place to be. Thank you very much.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Third order.
8	MS. GARVEY: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
9	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON
10	JANUARY 23, 2008.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
12	If not, received and filed.
13	MS. GARVEY: 3-B. CONTROLLER'S REPORT
14	FOR THE MONTH ENDING JANUARY 31, 2008.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
16	If not, received and filed.
17	MS. GARVEY: That's it for Third
18	Order.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Prior to Fourth Order
20	are there any announcements that any members
21	of council have?
22	MS. EVANS: Yes. I'd like to
23	congratulate Mark Horan, Scranton High
24	School senior for winning the District II
25	diving championship and his coach, Frank

2	for winning the District II wrestling title,
3	and congratulations to the Scranton High
4	School girls' basketball team for winning
5	their third straight district title and to
6	their coaches, Pat Ferguson and Bill
7	Gibbons, and these are just a few reasons
8	why Scranton High School has become known as
9	the Campus of Champions.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?
11	MS. GATELLI: I just have two things.
12	The first thing is the Northeast Theatre in
13	the Hotel Jermyn is having a play called
14	Time and Timeless, that will be running from
15	February 27 until March 16, and you may call
16	558-1515 for tickets and schedule. And
17	also, the Minooka Lions is going to have
18	their Autism Awareness dinner again back at
19	St. Joseph's in Minooka. It's on April 26
20	and they will have a raffle, door prizes,
21	food and entertainment and tickets are \$15
22	and you are can call Jack Walsh at 346-2152
23	also if you would like to volunteer, and
24	that's all I have. Thank you.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Fourth Order. Citizens'

1 Participation. Doug Miller.

2 MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,

Doug Miller, president of Scranton Junior
Council. Last week I was unable to attend
council due to an illness, however, I did
receive a full report of the meeting in the
Times and I did see the replay on Channel
61. You know, regarding what took place
here last week, I think I may have a simple
solution for one of our problems here, each
week we should play a game of musical chairs
and whatever seat you land in is where you
will sit for the night. I find this to be
fair for everyone. It could be fun for all
of us, too.

But, on a more serious notes, you know, I would have to ask that some of the adults that attend these meetings start setting a better example for this junior council and for the many other young people that view these meetings at home. We come here to conduct city business and it's time people respect that.

And moving onto some business, I'd like to respond to the latest development in

our downtown, last week the city received
word that Rosado Group, an expanding car

3	dealership chain, will be moving their
4	corporate headquarters to Lackawanna Avenue.
5	The ownership decided to come to Scranton
6	because they saw that the downtown was
7	growing and it's a great place to conduct
8	business. This move comes at a time when
9	progress and revitalization is taking place
10	on Lackawanna Avenue. This business was not
11	asked to come here, they chose to come here
12	because they want to be a part of our
13	success.
14	Recently, the Office of Economic and
15	Community Development released a project
16	that would invest \$16.5 million in Skyview
17	Park Apartments. This project will
18	certainly help compliment the progress that
19	is being made in South Scranton and the

is being made in South Scranton and the improvements that will be made transform the complex into a state of the art facility. I encourage council to approve any measures necessary to move this project forward.

Finally, Scranton Junior City Council is raising funds to benefit a police and

firefighters' memorial. We are proudly
joining Mrs. Novembrino and others to honor
our brave men and woman. We are asking

4	citizens to join us in these fundraising
5	efforts and make a contribution towards this
6	project. Donations can be sent to city
7	hall, 340 N. Washington Avenue, Scranton,
8	PA, 18503. Scranton Junior Council is also
9	planning fundraising events to benefit this
-0	project and we will be making announcements
.1	on those owe events very soon. Thank you
.2	for your time.
_3	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Doug. Fay
_4	Franus.
. 4	Franus. MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.
.5	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.
.5	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. I'm going to address something that I
.5 .6 .7	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. I'm going to address something that I addressed a couple of weeks ago. Something
.5 .6 .7	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. I'm going to address something that I addressed a couple of weeks ago. Something has been brought to attention, that's why
.5 .6 .7 .8	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. I'm going to address something that I addressed a couple of weeks ago. Something has been brought to attention, that's why I'm bringing this back up. Last week Daniel
2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. I'm going to address something that I addressed a couple of weeks ago. Something has been brought to attention, that's why I'm bringing this back up. Last week Daniel Hubbard mentioned that Jeff Brazil was not

telling me the truth. He knew about the 1 letter that I wrote him, that I sent him, so I'm sure he has had answers ready for me.

was there for the whole day, so in $\ensuremath{\text{my}}$

opinion I believe that Jeff Brazil was not

24

25

2

I would like to explain something else. I think it's a public outrage and abuse of public confidence for Donna

Doherty, Mayor Doherty's wife and Laura

Craig to do the same exact thing that Tom

Gilhooley did, but Tom Gilhooley didn't get away with it, he was charged, he lost his job for doing the same thing.

Roseanne Novembrino sent Joe
Pilchesky a letter when he asked her to
investigate this incident of the DPW workers
at the fire. She wrote him and said that
city council, the city rather, was paid \$300
in donation for the work that DPW did. It
should have been a payment for services
rendered by a DPW. I'd like to know who set
that amount. I wonder what a moving company
would have charged to take paintings from
Point A to Point B with truck loads. Donna
Doherty had a municipal man there, Jeff
Brazil and DPW had trucks loaded, trips back
and forth, probably would have cost over

1	\$1,000. So, I say this to you everybody in
2	the city, the president has been said here
3	at the very least, the very least, DPW is
4	now open for business, but they will get

5	donations later so that's okay. I guess
6	they figure this justifies it. They can do
7	what they want and get donations later, so I
8	suggest that anybody in the city in the
9	spring if your house is flooded call DPW,
10	have them come over and help them move
11	furniture, just make a donation, twenty,
12	thirty dollars, whatever you think is right.
13	The letter that was sent sugarcoating
14	the wrongdoings that was done by public
15	workers for private services, private work
16	in my opinion and many others. I'd like to
17	know why are the criminal laws different for
18	Tom Gilhooley than they are for Jeff Brazil,
19	it's the same law, the law was broken.
20	Different faces, different names but the
21	same law was broken.
22	I say council I gave council
23	rather letters that I wanted answered, I
24	never got any response many, many weeks ago
25	on this issue and I would like some replies.

1	I gave ead	ch one of	you a let	ter with
2	questions	I wanted	answered	and I hope to
3	get these	answers.		

Mrs. Evans, I have pictures here of

Donna Doherty, the mayor's wife, Jeff
Brazil, Bill Candor and other DPW workers
with the vehicles, the license numbers and
everything in these photos. You can make
copies and give them to everybody else on
council if you like, I'll get this to you
when I'm done, and I would just like to wrap
this up by just asking a question, I just
want to make this one statement, Mayor
Doherty, and I want to quote this, Mayor
Doherty is now running for office and he is
quoted in the newspaper, "Two terms in eight
years is long enough for someone to commit
themselves to change."

He didn't make any changes so I'm just wondering why he is running again if he thinks two terms is enough, and I would like to go further with this criminal case as far as this Jeff Brazil matter and, Billy, in motions would you please respond to me, in motions, if you could write it down so you

won't forget this time, I know it's a lot
but it's hard for you because of what the
rules are, Mr. McGoff set the rules so
whacky, if you could you please you
mentioned last time while we were here that

6	Mrs. Gatelli lied about the seat, if could
7	you just explain what you mean by that as
8	far as the vote and underhanded because I
9	would like to know because I thought it was
10	for whatever reasons if you could explain
11	that in motions. Mrs. Evans
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: I said it was my
13	opinion.
14	MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: I said it was my
16	opinion.
17	MS. FRANUS: Oh, absolutely, but I
18	would just like to know what you were
19	saying. Mrs. Evans, I would like to give
20	you these pictures now and give all of the
21	members of council and have Kay make copies
22	if you'd like. That's all. Thank you very
23	much.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Ms. Franus.
25	Bill Jackowitz.

1	MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
2	South Scranton. The Scranton Fire
3	Department was established in 1866,
4	142 years ago. Now the Mayor of Scranton,
5	Chris Doherty, and the Scranton

Times-Tribune are going out of their way to embarrass the members of the Scranton Fire Department. Whether you like them or not you cannot take aware their professionalism and bravery which is demonstrated any time they put their fire jackets and boots on and respond whether a false alarm or two or three alarm fire. Four May 1901 Scranton became a paid fire department, almost 117 years ago.

Scranton's Fire apparatus. During a 24-hour period Scranton fire operates ten apparatuses in one command vehicle. Engine 2, Gibson Street. The newest piece of fire apparatus in 2003 American LaFrance engine was delivered to the fire department October of 2003. This engine was paid with OECD funds. The backup engine is a 1984 Spartan chassie with a Young body design. Young body went out of business approximately

15 years ago. Replacement parts are hard to come by. The only modifications to this engine were new decals, adaptors for hoses and nozzles. Three firefighters were assigned, but usually only staffed with two firefighters.

7	Rescue 1, Wyoming Avenue, apparatus:
8	A 1995 engine that is currently out of
9	service as of 23 February '08. Rescue 1 is
10	currently utilizing a 1990 Sutphen pumper
11	that was originally Rescue 1 and was taken
12	out of service in 1995. Now it is back in
13	the service. A proposal to replace Rescue 1
14	was made in 2001, this was never acted upon.
15	Rescue 1 responds to all motor vehicle
16	accidents, industrial accidents, rescue
17	calls, alarms, structural fires throughout
18	the City of Scranton.
19	Engine 4, Mulberry Street,
20	apparatus: A 1977 Ferrara pumper, three
21	firefighters assigned. Truck 2, a 2002,
22	aerial ladder, out of service. Currently a
23	1985 Sutphen tower truck is currently in
24	service as Truck 2, three firefighters

Engine 7, Luzerne Street, apparatus,
a 1987 Sutphen pumper, three firefighters
assigned, but usually only staffed with two
firefighters. This fire station originally
was built to house two engine companies.
Engine 14 was disbanded by the city, a

assigned.

7	reserve fire truck is housed here.
8	Engine 8, Market Street, apparatus,
9	a 1997 Ferrara, three firefighters assigned
10	but usually staffed with only two
11	firefighters. This fire station was
12	originally built to house two engine
13	companies.
14	Engine 12 was disbanded by the City.
15	Engine 9, North Main Avenue,
16	apparatus, a 1997 Ferrara, also a truck
17	four, a Quint 1993 emergency, one Quint
18	model, 75-foot aerial and 1,500-gallon pump,
19	staffed at all times with three
20	firefighters. Truck four covers all of
21	Scranton on the West Side. It is a 75-foot
22	ariel height.
23	Engine 10, East Mountain Road,
24	apparatus, a 1990 Sutphen Pumper 3. Three
25	firefighters assigned but usually only
	19
1	staffed with two firefighters.
2	Engine 15, Ash Street, apparatus, a
3	1989 Sutphen pumper, three firefighters

in the 1800's and remodeled in 1980.

4

5

assigned, but usually staffed with two

firefighters. This fire station was built

7 Car 21, a 1997 Ford Expedition

8	purchased with OECD funds, a replacement is
9	on order. Assistant chief's vehicle not
10	used to fight fires.
11	A brush truck stored in Engine 7
12	Luzerne Street, only used for special calls,
13	year unknown.
14	Car 30, a 1977 International that
15	replaced a 1972, a special that is only used
16	for two alarm fires, an eight cylinder
17	cascade and fill station for breeding there.
18	Cameras, thermal image cameras were
19	purchased by the residents of the city and
20	donated to the fire department. Newer
21	cameras are needed. Fire protection is a
22	never ending upgrade.
23	As you can see, the Scranton Fire
24	Department has been operating old fire
25	apparatus to protect the residents of

Scranton and I may add doing a professional and outstanding job. For the mayor to criticize is totally not acceptable and unwarranted. Considering he has refused to negotiate a contract or purchase safety equipment for the department, I find it to be very disturbing for the mayor, fire chief

8	and public safety director not to be aware
9	of the problems that allegedly exist in the
10	fire department.
11	Furthermore, the fact that a person
12	is a veteran, past firefighter and personal
13	friend are not the qualifications needed to
14	be a fire chief. As a resident of Scranton
15	I expect that an investigation be started
16	immediately into Chief Davis' qualifications
17	including schooling, experience, management,
18	training courses that have been completed,
19	communication courses that have been
20	completed and the national fire safety
21	schools and courses that Chief Davis has
22	attended.
23	Also, a complete and thorough
24	investigation into the recovery operation
25	that Chief Davis participated in. I

1	understand that many violations were
2	committed by Chief Davis that day. This was
3	a recovery not a rescue. Councilman
4	Courtright and Councilwoman Evans, I hope
5	you work together in this investigation.
6	Thank you.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Andy Sbaraglia.
8	MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,

9	citizen of Scranton, Fellow Scrantonians. I
10	see you're raising the permit for what we
11	call service vehicles. You want to raise
12	the fee to \$150 per month. Well, I can
13	understand some of that, but you got to
14	realize service vehicles perform what they
15	say, a service. It's not that I had one,
16	okay, I had a permit in my van because I
17	have serviced the elevators in the city, so
18	naturally we had a permit, but the permit
19	not for amusement, we didn't park there all
20	day or talk all night, we just did what we
21	were supposed to do and left the parking
22	space for whatever we had to do, but where
23	is the money going to go for these permits?
24	Is part of it going to stay with the parking
25	authority or is it going to be into the city

coffers? I mean, I don't know what the old
permit was because I retired quite a long
time ago, but I did have a permit, but
anyway, you probably don't have any
legislation there or read it. But, anyway,
this mayor has found a way to oppress the
people that the businessmen in Scranton,
too, because a lot of businesses do have

9	offices in Scranton like plumbers,
10	electricians and so forth and so on and a
11	lot of them live outside of the city, too,
12	okay?
13	Now, the 150 isn't going to kill
14	anybody, but it's just another step. We
15	have been oppressed in this city constantly.
16	You got that amusement tax that's going to
17	be oppressive when you finally get to it,
18	but that's an oppressive tax and you have
19	been steadily oppressing the people of
20	Scranton. You went up to your emergency fee
21	that 52 bucks, that was an oppression. You
22	raised our taxes. Constantly you are
23	looking for ways to squeeze the people, but
24	not ways to squeeze the administration.
25	A long time ago I said watch the

1	dollars and cents and everything else will
2	take care of itself. Nobody cares. You
3	care little or nothing, you just look for
4	more ways to siphon money from the people in
5	Scranton or whatever the businessmen in the
6	area. It just so happens though I did use
7	that permit so I know what it's about.
8	Now we got our million dollars going to
9	the parking authority. Now, according to

Mrs. Fanucci or Sherry, Miss, whatever you want to say, that money was going to be lost. She points out various things, but we have another four million that's probably going to be lost, too. Maybe we should pull that four million and put it into the streets because we definitely need better streets then we need a Connell building. It was actually cheaper to tear that building down than the money we poured into it and the good part about it, no one ever figured how long it would take for us to get the tax money out of that building that we invested in. No one ever cares about that. No one ever cares about how long the return is on these investments. They are not lifetime

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	investments, that building probably will
2	last it was probably put in 1890 or 1900
3	when everything else was built in the city,
4	so we are taking all of these old buildings,
5	poured millions and millions of dollars
6	into, people are losing their homes, their
7	cars, they can't no longer heat, but yet you
8	got money for these buildings and they are
9	private developers. They are people that

10	have money, have access to money, they just
11	don't want to borrow it which is one way of
12	looking at it, so we go out and get them a
13	grant to fix up an old broken down building
14	and we are great at that. We are trying
15	to I don't know what you are trying to
16	do, preserve Scranton as an 1890 town, is
17	that our goal is?
18	Central City is losing it's
19	relevance no matter what. If it wasn't for

relevance no matter what. If it wasn't for the University and some of the other colleges it would be a ghost town down there. You seen the mall. The mall was a bright spot when they said it was going to be built, this that and whatever, but when you look at how much money we are getting

1	out of that mall it's no longer a bright
2	spot. I think we probably lost more because
3	them people that were down there weren't
4	paying taxes and that's like four blocks we
5	lost for the mall and if I remember right I
6	think the mall on the tax rolls was like
7	400,00, 400,000 bucks on the tax roles.
8	Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Sbaraglia, to very quickly return to your initial question, the

11	permit fee was increased to \$150 per year
12	rather than per month and it hadn't be
13	changed or I should say raised, since
14	1988, however, the request to increase the
15	fee was made by Mr. Wintermantle and that
16	would lead me to assume that whatever
17	revenues are generated from the increase in
18	the permits would be received by the
19	Scranton Parking Authority rather than the
20	City of Scranton.
21	MR. SBARAGLIA: Thank you.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Evans.
23	Ozzie Quinn.
24	MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers'
25	Association, good evening. Each election we

1	have welcomed the candidates who are running
2	in the election to come before us if they
3	please, I'll ask actually a Paul Povridge
4	who is running in 119 legislation against
5	Edward Staubach and tomorrow night at 7:00
6	here in the city council chambers Kevin
7	Murphy who will be running against Frank
8	Shimkus will address the membership and it
9	will be later on during the week on Channel
10	61, 7:00 p.m. the public is invited.

Today the mayor did the State of the
City address over at the Chamber of
Commerce. I don't know why he did it over
there, half of the members aren't from the
city, it should have been done here or
someplace else that's Scranton High
School where the people could have went and
they wouldn't have been turned away, but
anyway, as President of the Scranton
Taxpayers' Association I'd like to give my
State of the City address from the
taxpayers' viewpoint.
First, there is no transparency for
the city taxpayers, that's obvious with

Mr. McGoff and Mrs. Gatelli trying to get

1	what I've been trying to get since last
2	October and you told me that it's not your
3	job to do it. Three-hundred million dollars
4	in long-term indebtedness including the
5	school district, also in addition to school
6	district tax, which is the responsibility of
7	our taxpayers plus the pro rata of the
8	county, which since it's over half a billion
9	dollars in taxes, property taxes, 25 percent
10	tax increase to taxpayers by three of you
11	people last this year, last year, an

atrocity penalizing our homeowner taxpayers
for being tax delinquent, unbelievable. Per
capita tax income, \$17,187.00 for taxpayers,
less than the state. Median household,
\$31,090, less than the state. Elderly
18 percent over 65 years of age fixed on
social security or retirement taxpayers.
Poverty individuals, 17 percent 17.2
percent, families 10.6 percent. Hundreds of
nonproducing property tax vacant city lots.
Neighborhood blight, housing, sidewalks,
curbs, and streets hurting our taxpayers'
home values. Cronyism and \$11 million at
cost to the taxpayers. No police or fire

department paid increases in six years yet

ongoing service to our taxpayers, thank you,

gentlemen.

Economic development projects, as I pointed out last week, four jobs at \$400,000 each job as per HUD's last report. Three point percent wage tax to our taxpayers.

Extravagant cost of parks and dog parks placed on the taxpayers' back. Pay to play contracts causing our taxpayers thousands and thousands of dollars. No bid contracts,

12 thousand and thousands of 13 dollars for probably Nay Aug Park. Potholes 14 all over the city and we lost our ballfield. 15 What kind of a state of the city address is 16 that mayor giving over there? He is not 17 with reality. Come on, we are suffering 18 here. These taxpayers cannot afford what's going on here and we are owe a half a 19 billion dollars in taxes. Look out for the 20 21 taxpayers, will you? You are all own homes. Stop bowing down to this Mr. Doherty. Get 22 23 with it. Get with the taxpayers. Come on, we are sick of it and we are not going to 24 put up with it. Thank you. 25

1	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
2	I'm not sure who signed here next, we have
3	two names on the same line. Lisa Lowrey.
4	MS. LOWREY: Good evening, Council.
5	On February 5 at approximately 10:00 p.m.
6	there was a call for a dog that was stuck in
7	a pool. At least two police cars responded
8	and Rescue 1 was then called out. As Rescue
9	1 was on it's way to the address they came
10	over the air trying to find out why the
11	animal control officer was not being called
12	out for the job. I understand that animal

control is not called out after hours due to overtime issues, but it costs approximately \$100 plus for Rescue 1 to respond to this type of a call. We ended up with one fire truck, three squad cars, three firemen on Rescue 1 and three policemen all for a dog in a pool. Animal control has the equipment to remove that dog within minutes at half the cost if not more than it was to have everybody else respond for this call.

I don't know if anybody knows, but Scranton has an estimated population of 76,000 people which in turn would turn into

21,000 plus owned dogs alone. They would
have an estimated 41,000 owned cats. The
city sells approximately anywhere up to
3,000 dog licenses at \$9.00 a license.
There is no way for anybody to follow-up on
these licenses but that is a big lost in
revenue to the city.

While I was employed at the Humane Society the City of Scranton also paid \$8,000 a year for animals to be taken there. That also is a lost of revenue and you have the cost of gas and the multiple trips each

day and then you the population of the feral cats which could exceed \$50,000, these are all estimated through the ASPCA. I don't know if the city has ever looked into owning it's own shelter, but there are programs that help cities build their own shelters at little or no cost to the city.

There are also grants available once a shelter is up and running that would help buy vehicles, maintain the building. The city also in turn could look into turning over dog law enforcement to the state. I think that there is a law that would

probably have to be changed allowing them to be able to come into Scranton because the class the city is under. I think they would be acceptable to this idea because they would stand to gain that \$9.00 per license at \$21,000 plus licenses.

It is obviously the animal control
job is to much for any one person to do with
the day-to-day routine and then try to
follow-up who bought their dog licenses. So
you still end up with than loss of revenue.
All of my facts are available through
websites, the Scranton website, the ASPCA

14	website and Operation Orange websites.
15	Thank you.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, I just
17	want to ask Mrs. Garvey a question, I'm
18	sorry, I will forget by the end of the
19	meeting. Kay, if you could just find out, I
20	know it switched back and forth, who makes
21	the decision on whether the dog catcher or
22	whatever, animal control guy comes out, who
23	it is, and what the standard operating
24	procedure would be if they can give us a
25	copy of that I would appreciate it. Thank

1 you.

12

2 MR. MCGOFF: Joe Talimini.

3 MR. TALIMINI: Thank you,

4 Mr. McGoff. My name is Joe Talimini, I'm a

5 resident of Scranton. We had a recent

6 incident here which has been ongoing with an

7 agency in the community as you all know. As

8 the Board of Directors this incident has

9 been going on for better than eight years

10 and the Board of Directors knows nothing

about it. Now, my understanding is this

Board of Directors is appointed by the

mayor. Consequently, it's under the

14 jurisdiction of the city.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

15 For several months now Mrs. Evans has asked for records, audits, etcetera, if this can go on in one agency in this community, it can go on in any agency in this community. Now, a board, as well know or you should know, is there to supervise and to make sure that things like this don't happen. You people are the board for this city. There are five of you sitting up there. You are elected, you are getting 25 paid very well for what you are supposed to

33

1 be doing. It's up to you to supervise the 2 agencies in this city. I have yet to hear 3 anybody on this council say anything in favor of the citizens, but you all jump up 4 5 and down whenever our illustrious mayor, who happens also I guess to be the honorary 6 7 chairman of the Chamber of Commerce wants 8 something. Well, the citizens want 9 something, too, they want action. I want to 10 see some action out of this council. If we don't get any action out of this council I 11 12 personally will see to it that there is an 13 investigation of this council as well as the 14 mayor because it's long overdue. You people are the Board of Directors of this

community, act like Board of Directors.

Make sure these agencies take care of

things.

Now, I'd like to tell you something

else, I have been a journalist for well over

60 years, most of you weren't even born when

have seen a lot of city councils on major levels, on small town levels and, believe

I was covering my first council meetings, I

me, this is the saddest one I have ever

seen. You have got a fire department in this community which is an excellent fire department I would stack it up against anybody in the country and I mean I'm talking about LA, New York, Birmingham, Alabama, a lot of small towns in-between, the way they are treated is deplorable, it's sickening, and you people don't speak out

for them and that's sad, too.

I realize we have a strong mayor and weak council, but I didn't realize how weak this council is until I started coming to these meetings, now I realize how weak you are. You've got the same thing with the

.5	police department. You've got an excellent
. 6	police department here, treat them with
.7	respect. These people do it, they deserve
.8	it. It's about time you people started
. 9	acting like responsible board members.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Talimini.
22	Les Spindler.
23	MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council,
24	Les Spindler. First of all, I'd like to add

onto something that Doug Miller said, he

1	said about the Rosado Group coming into the
2	city, what he neglected to say is the Rosado
3	Group is replacing a business that was in
4	this city for 16 years and they left because
5	they are unhappy with the way the city is
6	doing things. If I didn't know better I'd
7	think he has the same speech writer that
8	Mayor Doherty has, talk about the few
9	businesses coming in, but they don't say
10	about the 20 businesses that left the city
11	last year.
12	Next thing, Mr. McGoff, are you
13	going to ask for an investigation on Jeff
14	Brazil helping take those pictures out of
15	that art store?

16	MR. MCGOFF: Do you wish an answer
17	now?
18	MR. SPINDLER: Yes.
19	MR. MCGOFF: No, I am not. It was
20	already sent to the District Attorney and
21	the District Attorney said that there was no
22	reason to investigate.
23	MR. SPINDLER: I find that hard to
24	believe.
25	MR. MCGOFF: You asked me and I gave
	36
1	you an answer.
2	MR. SPINDLER: To me it sounds to me
3	like the same thing the prison warden did
4	and he was arrested for that. I guess maybe
5	because Donna Doherty was involved that's
6	why nothing is happening.
7	The next thing, Mr. McGoff, last
8	week you told Mrs. Franus when her time was
9	up she wanted more time, you said, "You
10	asked questions, you got answers. Your time
11	is up," but two weeks before Mr. Santos
12	asked questions and got answers and you let
13	him go well beyond his five minutes, why is
14	that?

MR. MCGOFF: Again, you wish me to

16	answer?
17	MR. SPINDLER: Yes.
18	MR. MCGOFF: It's at my discretion.
19	MR. SPINDLER: No, that's
20	discrimination. It's discrimination not
21	your discretion and it's against the law.
22	MR. MCGOFF: No, it's in the Rules
23	of Council.
24	MR. SPINDLER: The Rules of Council
25	don't override the constitution.
	37
1	I have a couple of quotes from the
2	mayor from the Doherty Newsletter on Friday.
3	"If the conditions are so bad," Doherty say,
4	"firefighters can find other jobs."
5	Well, they don't want to find other
6	jobs, they love their jobs, they just don't
7	like working under unsafe conditions that
8	Chief Davis is making them do.
9	Next thing, another quote from the
10	mayor, "If people feel I made the wrong
11	decision on Fire Chief Tom Davis then they
12	can vote me out of office."
13	Well, I hope they listen to him and
14	do that thing and vote him out of office.
15	Next thing, the mayor said he is running for

office because he hasn't finished what he

17	started. He is right. He hasn't finished
18	draining the city. He has borrowed millions
19	and millions of dollars and what do we have
20	to show for it? We have a \$600,000
21	treehouse, a bridge to nowhere, we have a
22	long-term debt of over \$300 million, we have
23	people leaving the city in droves, a
24	population lower than it's ever been. We
25	have businesses leaving the city and the

mayor has the gaul to say the city is in good shape. Does he think the people are stupid. I think the only people that are stupid are the ones that vote for him. So, like I said, we should do what the mayor says and vote him out of office. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Spindler. Lauren Kushner.

MS. KUSHNER: Good evening, Lauren
Kushner, a resident of the city and the wife
of a Scranton firefighter. We are here
tonight as family members of Scranton
Firefighters. Enough is enough. We are not
interested in making personal attacks on the
mayor or the administration because our
interest is in the safety and integrity of

these men and woman. However, we feel the need to protest the most recent comments made by Mayor Doherty and the Scranton Times that no firefighters have left the department during his time in office, that firefighters are unable to perform their duties due to their fitness level and that those who are unhappy with the way they are being treated should simply leave the city.

Such a statement is a reflection of the lack of respect for our city employees.

It is important that this administration and this council are aware that the issues recently brought to light regarding safety have been going on for years. It is time that you understand that we do not take these attacks lightly and that the statements made effect not just the men and woman of the fire department, but their family and their friends as well. We are here to show support for our husband's and their coworkers because the citizens have a right to know that the information provided to them is untrue and misleading.

The firefighters put their lives on the line everyday to protect the citizens of

.8	this city and their property. They are
. 9	placed on the fire department to be ready at
20	a moment's notice for the next call. The
21	job of a firefighter is inherently a
22	dangerous one and to say that safety
23	concerns are politically motivated is absurd
24	and offensive. It is time for this council
25	to do the right thing and address the safety

concerns of the Scranton Fire Department.

Thank you.

3 MR. MCGOFF: Pat DeSarno.

MR. DESARNO: There are so many issues in this city I don't even know where I'm going to start, so I'll just go with one issue at a time. I would like to start with a little primer for the benefit of those who wish to continue to bash the Scranton Fire Department. I suggest that you educate yourself before embarrassing yourself by repeating spoon-fed misinformation.

In regards to the recent no confidence vote, casting secret ballots is an integral part of most electoral systems.

The process affords everyone the opportunity to vote their conscience without fear of

reprisal from those with opposing views. In this instance, the so-called veil provided by the union was the chance for anyone, anyone in the Scranton Fire Department to not go with the flow so to speak and then no one would be the wiser, yet 125 members chose to forego that opportunity and declare their lack of faith in the chief. So, what

then is spineless? Is it those 125 men who traveled without disguises to the voting site? Is it the 35 or so members who stood in front of the cameras in front of fire headquarters and behind the union leadership? And maybe it's those who dare to come here and publically express our dissatisfaction with the goings on in this city.

Personally, and I mean this on a personal level, I think this fits the definition to a "T", one who stands by idly, head bowed in deference to his political patron as he watches if that patron exacts his penchant of vendettas on the families, and believe me, indeed, the children of those who dare oppose him politically. How then are we supposed to look to that man for

l9 leadersh	nip?	
-------------	------	--

20	Now,	another thing, pay attention,
21	Miss Fanucci	and Stacy Brown if you are
22	looking here	somewhere, for the last time,
23	longevity is	a form of acknowledging and
24	compensating	employees for their years of
25	service. It	's an equitable way for those a

little bit higher in seniority to earn a
little bit more than those that came after
them. An employee's base pay is increased 1
percent for every two years, not one year as
is so often misstated. In 1993, the union
conceded a cap of 10 percent as well as
freezing longevity for those who were above
the 10 percent at that time. All years
spent working after 20 are done so with no
further bumps in longevity and since
longevity increases are added to base salary
they do accrue, but at the rate of
approximately \$200 per year I hardly think
that warrants being categorized as a raise.

The health care coverage touted as unsurpassed by any local employer is ancient history. As a matter of fact, the only fire department employee still enjoying the

19	so-called Cadillac coverage is Chief Davis.
20	Some have stated that no confidence votes
21	are a national trend and, Mr. McGoff, you
22	called them increasingly common. They are
23	eerily familiar sounding, don't you think?
24	I don't know if I would call eight
25	departments out of over 3,000 IAFF

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

43

affiliates taking such actions over the past two years trendy.

Additionally, the average tenure of these chiefs was three and a half years. So, six years really isn't all that odd, it actually implies the real reluctance by anyone to take such a drastic measure and the realization of the gravity of such an action. It was not an easy option.

A final note, in three of those cases the chief stepped down. I have taken notice of one particular recurring trend, every so often Doherty ads pop up everywhere imparting laughably simpler versions of the latest Doherty provided propaganda and all the while they assume that everyone might be dumb enough to actually believe the notion that everyone involved in the very same original idea at the very same time -- at

the very same moment in time.

Oh, finally, Mrs. Gatelli, twice I
have asked members of this council to opine
on the matter of wasteful spending by a
vindictive mayor of much needed city dollars
and spending that money on sure loser Court

cases. And, Mr. McGoff, feel free at any
time to give me a real answer, I have came
here, I cited my sources, unlike some
people, and I presented my facts, your
failure to offer even the slightest
acknowledgment of the issues I raised is an
insult to me and will behoove you to pay
attention to this, by your own admissions
you have engaged in questionable political
business on school district property during
your regular working hours and I reiterate
this behavior as questionable so I have
taken it upon myself to contact some of my
old friends and to hatcheck in the US Office
of Special Counsel, we'll let them sort it
out, and just to be thorough, I'll be
presenting my concerns to all pertinent
school district officials as well. I hope
you hear me now. Thank you very much.

20	MR. MCGOFF: Candace McColligan.	
21	MS. MCCOLLIGAN: Candace McColligan,	
22	Scranton resident. Each week I'm amazed at	
23	the information that the people of this city	,
24	bring to your attention and I'm amazed to	
25	see that much of it is ignored, that valid	
	4	. 5

questions go unanswered even as votes on those same issues are being passed. Do any of you want to have all of the answers before making a decision or is that your decision isn't affected by the facts, therefore, getting answers to the questions presented here truly doesn't matter.

I'm fascinated with the issue with
the DPW removing property for a private
citizen has been blindly defended. No
looking into it, no efforts to find out what
really happened, just defense, who needs
facts. Even if the person had benefited
from the actions of the DPW money
contributing back to the city, since when
are city services funded by taxpayers out
for hire? Would it even be legal for that
to happen? Who is protecting the taxpayers
of this city?

I have never seen a city so divided

or taxpayers so ignored all headed by a
mayor who creates hostility through a my way
or the highway attitude and feeds false
information to the public through a
newspaper whose journalist integrity can be

called questionable at best.

I keep hearing the recovery plan used as a defense by members of this council. If you truly support a recovery plan as you state how can you justify voting for the 2008 budget or any of the budgets that created new positions and gave raises to a select few? From my reading of the plan, positions were to be eliminated and salaries reduced or held at bay. You, as council, have voted against the recovery plan in those instances and now try to stand behind it and use it as a defense. You can't have it both ways and neither can the mayor.

Just like the plans of the past there are some really good ideas within the current plan, but there are things that simply don't work. I support a recovery plan that supports the people of this city,

not just the people at city hall. I support
a recovery plan that makes crime and safety
not landscaping a priority. While I don't
have all of the facts and information that
you do on council, I do have ideas and even

if my initial thoughts aren't feasible perhaps there are ways to make them that way. Mainly, I would like to see the people be more involved and more heard in the decisions of this government. There are always seem to confusion as to why people are up in arms about \$60,000 spent on trees or one million dollars transferred to fund an already funded parking garage.

First, as was pointed out last week, it's the amount of money being spent. It's shown over and over in other cities these projects can be completed for a fraction of what this city is spending. Why are we wasting taxpayer dollars when we are in financial distress? Do any of you bother to compile the amount of money being wasted each week? Does it even matter to you that so much more can be done with that money and that you have the control to make it happen?

I realize that certain money is

allocated for specific things, but why can't
this council present several options to the
people as to how that money could be spent
rather than putting one thing up as the only

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

48

option at the last minute. We all know that there are plenty of opportunities to brainstorm for ideas before time is about to run out. Procrastination, if that's what we should call it, is no excuse in city government. Let the people have an opportunity to be a part of the process. Put some power into the hands of those whose money you are spending. Let them make a case for which of those options they feel is best and then place your votes keeping those opinions in mind. Seeing the people represented in those votes is a great first step in rebuilding the trust that has been lot, and a trust has been lost no matter how much you have tell yourselves differently.

I fully realize that this would not be an option for each vote in issue, but it would be an option for some and it would allow the people to have a say which is what this city is lacking all too often.

22	It was made very clear at the last
23	meeting I attended that nothing has changed
24	at these council meetings and until
25	something does we the citizens should not

expect different results from our presence
here, that you will keep smiling, but
perhaps we should take your advice and
change our ways. So my question is this, if
we are unhappy with the direction of this
city and the decisions of this mayor and
council what is that we should do? If we
shouldn't come here each week and let you,
as our representatives know, who should we
talk to? The implications is that we either
need to change what we are saying or how we
are saying it or that we shouldn't
participate at all, but I'm sure that I must
be mistaken because it would be largely
offensive to think that a representative of
the people would say to them that their
voice doesn't matter or shouldn't be heard
especially as that representative has been
elected to listen to the people and be
guided accordingly.

Certainly there are those that come to these meetings with the intention of

23 disrupting things rather than to bring positive change, but to focus on those 24 25 speakers is to admit your inability to do 50 1 your job properly and does and injustice to 2 the rest of us. To state because of those speakers all of us must suffer your 3 4 disinterest in what we have to say is a 5 disgrace. 6 Listen to the people of this city 7 because they have a lot to offer, because they have a different perspective, because 8 9 they have every reason to only one the best for this city and because it's what you are 10 put on this council to do. Thank you. 11 12 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. 13 McColligan. Andrew Porter. 14 MR. PORTER: Good to see the young 15 people involved in the city government. One 16 city under God. Last week I may have 17 witnessed the birth of a city in these 18 changes, the citizens of Scranton spoke to 19 city council and the mayor were included in 20 this glimpse of the future. There may be a light at the end of the tunnel. 21

firefighters spoke of an major problem

23	within his department and very serious
24	charges were brought up were brought
25	forth against the fire chief. This person

spoke of a meeting where amongst others the
mayor was present and promised something
would be done but the chief would remain in
his position. Other citizens spoke of taxes
and at least one senior citizen was losing
their home. City council chambers were
filled with anger, but less hate and after
the citizens spoke all of the members of
city council did some work. There was anger
and disagreement on many issues, but the
line were drawn and each member defended
their proposition as best they could.
Scranton's heavyweight bought ensued for
more than two hours. Janet Evans came out
swinging, three left jabs followed by a Bill
Courtright right cross. Then Sherry Fanucci
countered with the right lead followed by a
Judy Gatelli upper cut. Mr. McGoff was in
the middle of the ring feeling the affects
of both sides and offered that he would like
to balance the scales by giving the chief
the benefit of the doubt. In the end the
referee called it a draw, but it was a

24	victory for the City of Scranton.
25	The City of Scranton does not belong
	52
1	to us. This is God's city. We just live
2	here. At one moment in time at the meeting,
3	I think it was at the beginning of the
4	meeting, council member announced a function
5	to be held in the city acknowledging black
6	history month, that they had a speaker, I
7	never heard of that speaker, so at this time
8	I would like to take the time to reflect on
9	American History and I ask you that be
10	patient with me because certain things need
11	to be said.
12	In America for over the course of
13	400 years slavery existed. People were
14	beaten down, raped, maimed and murdered
15	simply because of the color of their skin.
16	African people were taken and removed from
17	the land to become from their land to
18	become slaves in these United States and
19	Tarzan was left in Africa to become the king
20	of the jungle. This was a dark and
21	despicable period in our American history
22	and after centuries of people owning people

the Emancipation Proclamation Act was

Rosa Parks, Macon Evans, Martin Luther King,
Malcolm X, Jessie Owens, Joe Louis, Muhammad
Ali, the Tuskegee Airman, Branch Ricky,
Eleanor Roosevelt, John Fitzgerald Kennedy
were but a few colored and noncolored heroes
to impact positive change in America and the
people trying to define their identity in
the 60's and 70's were saying it loud, "I'm
Black and I'm proud," adorned in African
attire called Dashikis and natural hairdo's
known as Afros. A Renaissance period was
underway not as a threat to America, but to
help the people find their place in a
strange land.

Today people wear wigs, adorn their hair with colors from red, blue, yellow, orange, green, purple and every other color of the rainbow while our youth walk the streets hooded in over 60-degree temperatures while others slump riding and driving in cars, so I ask you, is this cool or is this possibly dangerous? Decades ago our government met in the halls of Congress and passed a piece of legislation that would

1	the scales, and so the idea of a grant was
2	born but the very people that grants were
3	put in place to help they have never
4	received and so that dream was never even
5	realized. Over the course of time the
6	language of legislation has been changed to
7	benefit other individuals, their families
8	and friends.
9	In the year 2004 through 2006 I went
10	back to school with some fine young men and
11	gentlemen of Scranton. My time is up. I
12	shall be back. This is not our city. We
13	have to do the work. This is God's city and
14	if we don't define who we are it can rain
15	for 18 days and 18 nights and Noah's Ark
16	will not save us. That only happens once in
17	a lifetime. This is our land. These are
18	our people, and I'd like to say
19	congratulations to the West Scranton
20	football team and that they should try and
21	get some other folks on their team because
22	those other folks can help them go over and
2.3	into the nationals.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Brian

1	MR. MURRAY: Good evening, Council.
2	My name is Brian Murray, taxpayer, city
3	firefighter. I come before you this evening
4	to provide factual information in regards to
5	the recent statements made by the
6	administration in the Scranton Times on
7	Friday, February 22, 2008, regarding the
8	recovery process that took place in Roaring
9	Brook on May 27, 2007.
10	As the driver of the on-duty chief
11	that day I was involved in all aspects of
12	that recovery, including providing transport
13	of rescue crews, equipment and
14	administrative officials to the scene,
15	assisting in the setup of both safety ropes
16	for the crews to descend into the step falls
17	area and the lifting system used for the
18	recovery. I also descended into the step
19	falls myself and assisted with the recovery.
20	In light of the statements and
21	pictorials and reference to the physical
22	condition of the eight, not 15 or 20
23	firefighters as indicated by the Times that
24	took place in the recovery, I offer the

following facts: Of those eight, six

repelled into the falls, including myself,
all of which received advanced training and
certification in both firefighting and
rescue at Harrisburg Area Community College.
Chief Davis doesn't even have that type of
training. All being deemed physically fit
to do the profession at hand by Harrisburg
Area Community College, three of which were
so certified just months before the
recovery.

As for the remaining two, one was the duty chief who oversaw the complete operation and the other a seasoned member of Rescue 1 crew who oversaw the operation of all rope lifting systems and safety lines.

In reference to Chief Davis' actions that day, it was only after the initial recovery crew repelled into the falls area did Chief Davis himself scale down the terrain. He did so with no safety harness or helmet to protect himself in case of a fall. He was advised by a member of our crew not to do so without proper gear and his reply was, and I quote, "I have to see this for myself."

	Upon entering the falls area Chief
Ι	Davis was advised to stay away from the
S	scene until the crime scene investigator
ć	arrived. He continued into the crime scene
ć	and only turned back after he was threatened
V	with detainment by the on-scene police
C	officer for entering a crime scene.

It disheartened me to read in the paper the quotes of the administration about this terrible day and think of the pain the Grendel family has and continues to go through. I can only offer my most sincere condolences to the family in hopes to ease their pain.

To both this council and the citizens and of Scranton I ask you, how is a member of the fire department to have confidence in their fire chief, a man who has less modern day firefighting training and experience than one of our newly hired recruits, a man who previously stated both today and in past weeks has complete disregard for his own safety and safety of the men and women he commands? I implore you, please, personally educate yourself

T	with the safety issues at hand, both the
2	safety issues of the firefighters and the
3	issues that plague the citizens of the city.
4	Thank you.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Sean Trope.
6	MR. TROPE: Good evening, Council.
7	My name is Sean Trope, I'm a firefighter for
8	the City of Scranton. It really turns my
9	stomach what I read in the paper on Friday
10	about the physical condition of the
11	firefighters that were there, I was there
12	that day, I was on Rescue 1, the mayor has
13	no we know he has no soul for us, he
14	doesn't care about us, but yet he uses the
15	family's name in the paper again, brings it
16	up. Why? When we talked about this
17	situation we were behind closed doors we
18	left it as just an incident at the falls at
19	the gorge. He wanted to know, what
20	incident? What incident? He knew what
21	incident it was, he was there. Why did he
22	have to come out and say this to the
23	families? Why is it he have to lie, not
24	even half truth, it's a lie. Every single
25	one of us that were there that day did our

1	job without exception and we were
2	physically more than physically able to
3	do it and we did do it.
4	I was there when the chief came to
5	the scene. Now, he goes over to the rope,
6	asked me, "Are there guys down there?"
7	"Yes, Chief."
8	He grabs the rope. Now, he is
9	wearing sneakers, shorts, and a golf shirt.
10	Meanwhile, for me to go down and two other
11	firefighters that were already down there
12	assessing the situation and already
13	addressed the problem. I have to wear a
14	harness, hook into the line, into the rope,
15	with two bruising cords which are safety
16	attachments which I was to slip and fall and
17	I let go of that rope they are going to grab
18	and I'm not going to fall. The degree of
19	the slope dictated that that's how we
20	operate.
21	I advised him, chief, I wouldn't go
22	down there like that with no gloves,
23	nothing, just get a fastener. I want to be
24	John Wayne, I want to be a hero, I want to
25	go down that rope. Okay. I advised him, I

advised him three times, "Chief, I wouldn't do it --" it's a crime scene. It's the police. They are running the show, we are just helping them.

I do have knowledge on crime scenes and how they operate and what you need to do. You get one shot at a crime scene. One shot. That's it. There was no reason for him to go down there. He is not offering us any sort of technical support. Hey, you guys need this, you know, you should do this, you should do that. The guys on scene are on halt systems, our set lines, our safety lines were already all set up. Now, why does he have to go down there? I can't even imagine why. It turns my stomach to think why this man has to go down there.

Now, I was not in the gorge at the time that he was supposedly, you know, I wouldn't say yelled at by a police officer so I will not speak on that. I mean, this is just ridiculous, I'm sorry. Why is he there? Can anybody tell me? You know, I mean, you talk about safety for us. Now, he goes down there, you know, wants to be a big

hero and he goes down and gets hurt. Now we have to change our whole operation to rescue him, to bring him up. I'm not trying to crack a joke here, but that's exactly what would happen. We tax our resources now.

It's a different way to have to operate.

You know, and it's -- every one of us knows the inherent dangers of our chosen profession. We know the risks.

All we ask from the mayor and the city is could you give us proper equipment so every one of us can go home at night or in the morning and see our children, our wife, our husband, whatever, it's all we ask for. And what do we get? We get the mayor in this paper who will just print a lie. Will print a lie. And we are all fat, out of shape and then that cute little cartoon in Sunday's paper, I mean, that guy what is he, in second grade? I mean, because that's what you do. I mean, I understand, you know, council, you have different political views, what side you are on, that's fine. We aren't all going to agree on everything, but work with us, sit down. I will sit down with anybody and discuss in detail the

events of that day. I won't do it here in a

public forum because there are certain

things that do not need to be made public

out of good taste and I will not do it. I

will sit down at any time and I will tell

you details of how we operated and what

happened. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Jim Davis.

MR. DAVIS: Salaam aleikum. My name is Jim Davis and I come to you after, let's see, we started this in 1984 when Jessie Jackson first ran for president and this is more or less we have a history of people running for president, but we have told Jessie, run, Jessie, run, because that was the thing he had to do. We are making our way towards the pole or the goal of presidency with Barack Obama, Senator Barack Obama, and we are very proud of him. Now don't get confused, we are very proud of this young man. He is the epitome of so many things we have asked for in this lifetime but he's finally gotten to the point where he can reach out and almost

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm saying like this to the kids don't be disconcerting. Don't be afraid to lose because there will be someone after that.

Believe me. We are not going to stop just like we are not going to stop running for city council or for mayor or for other conditions. We don't have representation.

We don't have representation in the fire department, a fantastic fire department. Some of my best friends are on the fire department. Thomas Hogan who died in the fire department was my closest friend for a long time, but I'm saying like this, there is -- it's unsafe for us to tell our kids to go down and join them because you have problems at the top. If you have problems at the top, if you have problems with the chief, the safety for those underneath him are not going to be looked at. They should be -- you really should investigate. There is something wrong with this whole thing and he should be removed and you should remove him, but that's beside

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have anybody removed or ask to have him removed, but the main thing is keep your heart and your soul and your hope in it's proper place. Keep your eyes straight ahead and look at the people that you want to vote for and listen to them and discern what they are saying as being the truth. If you can do that you won't make any mistakes because that's all we have. All we have is our hope and our faith and our trust, and what goes on in front of us and I wish you nothing about the very best in this election.

The mayor is going to run for office again? Is he serious? I mean, there is so much negative -- well, I guess we don't count. If we think things negatively that does not -- and he has already shown it to us twice, that does not decide what he does in the polls, so maybe what we have to do is to get two more people like ourselves and start talking to people like that and talk to them about the election, talk to them about the presidential election, get involved. I mean, really get involved, get

involved to the point where it almost hurts to talk about because what's going on in

3	Scranton is painful. We have got firemen
4	that are hurting themselves and maybe
5	causing others to do that and they are still
6	not doing anything about it and I feel sorry
7	for them because there is some good strong
8	men. I mean, there are some very brave men,
9	too. I have seen it. There is no there
10	is no whimps in our fire department that I
11	know of. So pray for them, guide them and
12	have faith in what they are saying and what
13	they are doing and we got a back up because
14	if we don't back them we don't have
15	anything. Seriously, our lives are at
16	jeopardy, are in jeopardy. Thank you very
17	much.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Davis.
19	Brett McClough.
20	MR. MCCLOUGH: Good evening. My name
21	is Brett McClough, Scranton taxpayer. Weeks
22	ago I had made a statement about substantive

MR. MCCLOUGH: Good evening. My name is Brett McClough, Scranton taxpayer. Weeks ago I had made a statement about substantive hope and I heard an interesting statistic about Scranton and Lackawanna County. There are more single women with children than

1 married with children and I wanted to tell
2 you a little story about some advice I gave

a single young mother of two when she was
talking about how she was going to spend her
tax return. She was talking about going
shopping, paying off some utility bills with
what turned out to be a substantial amount
of money, it was \$5,000 from head of
household earned income tax credits. I
asked her what her rent was. She told me it
was \$500 a month plus utilities. I asked
her, do you plan on staying in this
apartment for at least the next year and is
the landlord good about fixing things when
things break? Once again she said, yes.
I said to her it must be very hard
and frustrating to live paycheck to paycheck
especially with two children and a drawer
full of bills. Now, the advice I gave her

and frustrating to live paycheck to paycheck especially with two children and a drawer full of bills. Now, the advice I gave her was not rocket science, nothing new, has many variations dependent on individual's circumstances and is by no means fool proof, but is based on some successes and more importantly lessons from my own failures that always result in a "I could have done

1 this. I would have done that. I should
2 have done the other."

I told her to take 60 to 70 percent

of that \$5,000 return and put it towards reducing her rent for the year. Paying off two or three months gives you a nice break. When it's over you are back where you started. Strike a deal with the landlord and give him the \$3,000 which cuts her monthly rent from \$500 down to \$250 a month, not to mention there are possibly a few landlords out there that might even cut her a break, maybe even take a month off.

The reason why I made this
suggestion to her was to give her a chance
to see options for a better future for
herself by reducing her rent she now has
alleviated one of the major stressors in her
life by showing a bit of innovative fiscal
responsibility she now has options, options
to spend more year round instead of blowing
it all in three months on things that really
don't add to the quality of life. She now
has options of spending more time with her
children instead of pulling double shifts to

make ends meet. She might even like her job

just a little bitter because she knows that

her financial situation is not hopeless.

She can now begin to develop a peace of mind
and finally read that brochure that has been
sitting on other coffee table that could
eventually take her back to school and
possibly double her income in two years.

Yes, she still has hard work to do to

get the rest of the bills paid, but the funny thing about utilities and credit cards it's all controllable. That tax return should not be used on utilities because you are to lazy to turn off the lights or turn down the heat. You make those bills, you pay those bills. Why blow a \$5,000 head start on your future because of mistakes you made in the past.

There is many, many more things that can be done to help the quality of life for single parents and women during these economic hard times and I wish this young mother as well as all single parents good luck on finding their own way through own fiscal 2008.

What does this have to do with city
business? It has to do with innovative
fiscal responsibility and economic
development that starts from the ground up,

5	by strengthening the morale of the work
6	force and offering a vision built upon the
7	unique qualities of our existing population,
8	not a population that we think we are going
9	to get. Books, lager, lattes are not enough
10	to transform this city. Hopefully in 2009
11	we can elect innovative leaders who don't
12	follow orders, read outdated books on how to
13	run a city or stand in federal or state
14	welfare lines waiting for a grant that can
15	only be used to plant trees. Thank you.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. McClough.
17	Chris Sledenzski.
18	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, Bill, where's
19	Matt going to college this year, Billy?
20	Where's he going to college this year, Bill?
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: PennState.
22	MR. SLEDENZSKI: That's right. He's
23	sticking with Penn State, too. It's the
24	only one in the world. West is best, Bill.
25	You know they are still better than anybody

1	else	in	this	city	and	they	are.	West	is
2	Best,	Ва	aby.						

3 MR. MCGOFF: Any other speakers?

4 MS. SUETTA: Jean Suetta, Scranton.

5	You know, I was a little late today, but
6	Jesus Christ you didn't have to lock the
7	front door. You locked the front door. I
8	had to walk all the way around the back and
9	I got a sore knee. Did you find out
-0	anything for me, Bill?
.1	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes, I did, Jean.
.2	Do you want me to answer you now?
.3	MS. SUETTA: Yeah.
_4	MR. COURTRIGHT: I left a letter in
.5	my basket there, but Mark Seitzinger sent me
. 6	a letter and I called him this morning and
.7	spoke to him. He had gone down there right
.8	after I spoke to you here and he talked to,
.9	I can't remember the guy's first name, it
20	was Cordaro was his last name, he spoke to
21	him, he went down there and he met with him
22	at the location. He told him he needed to
23	get the place cleaned up and he said as soon
24	as the weather breaks he will start doing

and he told him that he needs to do
something now to start getting it cleaned up
now and he said he would and Mr. Seitzinger
said he would keep his eye on it and if
nothing materializes in the way of fixing it

that, but he told him -- hear me out here,

6	he will start to cite him.
7	MS. SUETTA: Okay, thank you very
8	much.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: You are welcome.
10	MS. SUETTA: Judy, last week you said
11	you sit on the left-hand side so you can
12	vote second.
13	MS. GATELLI: Bill, would you like to
14	sit here, Bill? You can have your seat
15	back.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes, I would like to
17	sit there.
18	MS. GATELLI: Well, next week you can
19	sit here.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: Thank you.
21	MS. GATELLI: I'm not going to fight
22	about the seat. My Gosh.
23	MS. SUETTA: No, no, no, not God, you
24	know
25	MS. GATELLI: Bill and I always got
	72
1	along with each other, I'm not going to

fight about the seat. 2

MS. SUETTA: You're going to give him 3

back his seat?

MS. GATELLI: He can have his seat. 5

6	MS. SUETTA: Because I was going to
7	tell you to put a motion up
8	MS. GATELLI: How about that?
9	MS. SUETTA: Good. I was going to
10	tell you to put a motion up to vote first.
11	No response?
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Well, I don't care
13	when I vote, Jean, you know that, it's
14	just
15	MS. SUETTA: I was going to say you
16	put a motion up there so you can vote first.
17	We don't care what order
18	MS. GATELLI: I don't care when I
19	vote. It doesn't matter.
20	MS. SUETTA: Because it's always
21	going to be the same.
22	MS. GATELLI: It doesn't change the
23	vote.
24	MS. SUETTA: All right. We're gonna
25	switch?
	73
1	MS. GATELLI: We'll switch. How
2	about that?
3	MS. SUETTA: All right.
4	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie

6 Taxpayers' Association. First, I would --

5

Schumacher, resident and member of the

7	my recollection is that the OECD three-year
8	lease expires in June of this year?
9	MS. GATELLI: No, it did.
10	MS. SCHUMACHER: Say what?
11	MS. GATELLI: I think it did last
12	year.
13	MS. EVANS: Actually it was the year
14	before, I believe during July perhaps.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: Can you wait because
16	I have a lot tonight, but in motions, thank
17	you very much, but I do hope you take action
18	and sufficient time to keep that lease from
19	being renewed and get OECD back in this
20	building filling up some of the empty space.
21	Now, next I'd like to talk about the
22	proposed ordinance that somehow skipped from
23	5-D to 7-C this week. I don't know what
24	happened to the interim step, but last week
25	Mrs. Fanucci stated that the Growing Greener

money is not a new issue of money. It is a
transfer from the Connell building project
to the garage. A lot of times they have
time frames on the money and this money was
earmarked for the Connell building in the
beginning so it's not new money that's being

7 issued. Now, the ordinance itself is to 8 approve applying for a grant from the 9 Growing Greener II fund which is in it's 10 third year. The reports for the first two 11 years are on-line and there have been no 12 grants to Scranton made per these reports. 13 The ordinance makes no note of a transfer, 14 only an application for new grant under the 15 Growing Greener II. 16 Now, I have two problems with the ordinance which I believe should be 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I have two problems with the ordinance which I believe should be defeated: First, council has already proved a bond which included demolition of the current structure and building the new garage.

Second, DCED, Growing Greener II has \$50 million for Main Street and downtowns redevelopment including improvements to water and wastewater infrastructure. Then,

75

if Scranton is to obtain a grant I would

suggest and argue that it should be to help

out the sewer authority with improvements to

the waste water infrastructure. We have

already approved the money in the bond for

the work that is now being considered under

this grant.

Further, per the ordinance the million dollars would be used for two items: \$380,000 for demolition of the current Oppenheim garage and the balance to shore up a fire wall. Now, I must ask why the shoring up of the Connell building wall should be the responsibility of the parking authority? When the sewer authority ran into a problem at Franklin and Spruce the owners of that building paid for the shoring up.

Now, next, new subject. Last week I pondered why the city was not overseeing restaurants to ensure licenses and health permits are current. Tonight I bring forth a new question, the bar and grill that was cited by the state police it turns out was given \$120,000 commercial industrial loan

just last June. Now, failure to maintain current licenses and permits is a default of the covenant of the loan if notified by the city. Now I wonder if the reason it was the state police who made the citation was to avoid a default notification. Is this how OECD protects taxpayer money? I wonder.

8	Now, to some of the unanswered Right to
9	Know, I know I have only been commenting
10	since the chair of council informed us it
11	was not his job or your jobs to fetch
12	information for us, so I would like to
13	comment and just a few he said we should use
14	Right to Know. Here's one to the solicitor
15	of the City of Scranton, this one is pretty
16	recent, it was only dated the 19th of
17	January, I asked for "I am hereby
18	requesting principal amount of nonelectoral
19	debt for the City of Scranton and each of
20	it's authority and how close in dollars or
21	percent the aggregate amount comes to the
22	Commonwealth's limit on nonelectoral debt."
23	I have not received an answer to
24	that. This one is more recent, dated 2
25	February, but still out of compliance, I

1	ask I requested to review the contract
2	and any amendments thereto with Forever
3	Young Treehouses for the Nay Aug treehouse.
4	I'll read a few more next week that I have
5	not had responses to. Thank you.
6	MR. HUBBARD: Good evening, Council,
7	Daniel Hubbard. A couple of quick things
8	for you. I quoted Mayor Doherty last week

as saying that the reason we need to redo
the Oppenheim Garage, which is not part of
the Connell building, just so we are clear
on that, I know that you said the money was
earmarked for the Connell building, this has
nothing to do with that project, it's
actually separate. I found out that the
city, in fact, did buy the garage from the
management of the Steamtown Mall then they
refurbished it. Now, Mayor Doherty is
saying that, if I may, that we need more
parking in that area. These pictures are as
of this morning. That's the third floor of
the Oppenheim building. This is the
entrance to the third floor where there is
no cones or saw horses blocking it off.
There is over 50 parking spots on the top

1	floor that are empty. This is the second
2	floor of the Oppenheim garage, empty, and
3	this is the management sign, the only sign
4	indicating who owned the garage at one point
5	or another, the Steamtown Mall. There is no
6	signs in that facility indicating if you
7	have a problem with your vehicle or any
8	problems parking there to contact the

9	parking authority.
10	I still would like an answer on
11	whether or not we are rebuilding this garage
12	for the City of Scranton or is this garage
13	for the Connell building project because the
14	Connell building project is being marketed
15	by Precision Realty Group and they are
16	indicating that there is a potential for a
17	parking garage to supply sufficient parking
18	for each residential unit so is there a
19	potential for a parking garage for the
20	residents of this building or like has been
21	stated previously by the mayor in the paper
22	are they building a parking garage
23	underneath the facility?

Now, if this facility was rehabbed

by the city not too long ago, and I'll tell

1	you the epoxy coating on the concrete on the
2	third floor is brand new, there is not a
3	mark on it. I pushed some of the snow off
4	just to see how many lines were there to
5	count the empty spaces and it's brand new
6	and it's not blocked off, so it could easily
7	be driven up and parked if it was cleared of
8	snow. You can't drive up there?
9	MS. FANUCCI: No.

MS. FANUCCI: No.

24

10	MR. HUBBARD: Then why isn't it block
11	off?
12	MS. FANUCCI: Actually, I parked in
13	that garage.
14	MR. HUBBARD: Why isn't it blocked
15	off?
16	MS. FANUCCI: The reason that
17	they've had it block off is because pieces
18	of
19	MR. HUBBARD: It's not blocked off.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Pieces of the concrete
21	have been falling and they were worried
22	about health and people's safety.
23	MR. HUBBARD: Falling from where?
24	MS. FANUCCI: Falling from going
25	up the ramp to get to the top space.
	8
1	MD HIIDDADD. This not blocked -55
1	MR. HUBBARD: It's not blocked off.

1	MR. HUBBARD: It's not blocked off.
2	MS. FANUCCI: You can't get out
3	they don't even have enough cards. It's a
4	private parking spot.
5	MR. HUBBARD: I'm saying it's not
6	blocked off. You could drive right up there
7	if you want right now.
8	MS. FANUCCI: You can drive right up
9	there, but they have they had had it all

. 0	blocked off, all taped off and now
.1	MR. HUBBARD: As of this morning it's
.2	not taped off
.3	MS. FANUCCI: I'm answering do you
. 4	want to hear the answer or do you want to
.5	hear
. 6	MR. HUBBARD: You are eating my time
.7	so wait until I'm done speaking. A quick
.8	question on the Connell building project
.9	since we are so quick to give money to
20	people. When this building was purchased in
21	2003, this is from the Times, a King of
22	Prussia Real Estate developer bought the
23	building for \$200,000 and he agreed to pay
24	\$679,000 of back property taxes, 419 of
25	which is to the city in delinquent property

1	taxes and with NCC I'm sure we can roll that
2	up to a million, \$193,000 to the school
3	district and \$66,000 to the county. Have
4	those back taxes been paid? Since we are
5	going to be giving this guy a \$5 million
6	grant to rehab a building and we are
7	conveniently building a parking garage for
8	him and throwing another million in on top
9	of it, why not just give him the key to the
10	city at this point? I mean, is this kind of

11	like a Daron thing? That number rings
12	pretty close, I think it was 675 in
13	delinquent taxes that they got by without
14	paying, so did Mr. Wolfington pay \$679,000
15	in back taxes on this facility yet?
16	MS. EVANS: I'll address that when we
17	vote.
18	MR. HUBBARD: Now, Mrs. Gatelli, you
19	are the finance chair, correct? Right?
20	Okay. Good.
21	MS. GATELLI: By default.
22	MR. HUBBARD: So we are on the same
23	page here. This is for everybody, this is a
24	handout that came out from the Department of
25	Parks and Recreation in Newport News,

1	Virginia, population 70,000 people. They
2	built a dog park that rivals what we have,
3	although, they don't have boulders to the
4	tune of \$25,000. They did manage to get a
5	dog park in with a fence, double gates,
6	water fountains for the dogs, shelter and a
7	separate area for small dogs that's
8	separately fenced off and they managed to
9	build a dog park that is self-supporting by
10	the membership or registration fees by city

residents that use this dog park and imagine that they got one that's self-supporting and they did all of these lovely things that they put in there that we have and they did it for \$55,000.

So, as the finance chair you need to at least try to justify \$300,000 in a dog park because I have researched this and across the country I have yet to find one dog park that was built for more than \$150,000 and even in some of the biggest cities they managed to put dog parks in for under \$150,000. Hawaii put a dog park that would be combined with the Newsport News and Scranton's, enormous dog park. They got it

1	in for \$95,000, so we want to talk about
2	frivolous spending, where is the \$250,000
3	gone that what was overspent on a dog park.
4	\$300,000, it's probably the most expensive
5	dog park in the United States and we are a
6	distressed city. So, I mean, I would like
7	an answer on that one to justify to the
8	taxpayers why we have to spend \$300,000 on a
9	fence in field for dogs to run around in
.0	when every city in the country managed to
.1	get it done for under \$150,000 and I just

12 gave you proof that a city the same size as 13 ours managed to do a park better than ours 14 for \$55,000. 15 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else? MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening. Nelson 16 17 Ancherani, resident and taxpayer and city employee, financial secretary of the FOP, 18 First Amendment Rights. Miss Fanucci, 19 February 5 you made reference to OECD, and I 20 21 quote, "Just not picking from what I heard, like, the favorites of the city," end of the 22 23 quote. That's funny. I have been using the word favorites only in the context that the 24 25 favorites or favor of the city share in

1	\$15 million in raises in new positions. One
2	example is her new business administrator,
3	Stu Renda. Previously, I said Renda's wages
4	were \$30,000 a OECD, I stand corrected.
5	Renda was making \$39,000 a year as auditing
6	and compliance director in a newly created
7	position in 2003. In 2004 Renda got a
8	\$5,000 raise. 2005 he got a \$5,000 raise,
9	his salary rose to \$49,000. He was promoted
10	toward the end of 2007 to business
11	administrator and the salary for business

12	administrator for 2008 is \$85,000. Renda
13	received a \$46,000 raise since 2003. Renda
14	received raises even though it violated the
15	Recovery Plan. Renda said the following in
16	newspaper quotes: "Better financial footing
17	can only be attained by controlling employee
18	costs through implementation of the city's
19	Recovery Plan."
20	I didn't hear Renda complain when he
21	received raises and \$312,500 in salary
22	through 2008 between his newly created
23	position and business administrator. Even
24	without costs, this is another quote, "Even
25	without costs of living increases
	85
1	firefighters and police union members pay
2	only a small fee for health and other
3	insurance."
4	Isn't that how it works for him,
5	too, or he must have forgotten?
6	"They also received additional pay
7	each year for longevity. Some receive as
8	much as 10 percent of their base salaries
9	while many receive 5 percent or 3 percent."
10	Stu, that's no where near
11	200 percent like he gets. Just remember,

12 Ms. Fanucci, if the favorites like Renda got

no raises from the beginning just like the unions no one would have a gripe and we wouldn't be where we are now. Fair is fair, discrimination is not fair. Stu started out in OECD at \$39,000 now making \$85,000, \$46,000 more. How about that raise? Would we call him a favorite? I would. Hey, Stu, you said police were offered a 19 percent raise, try 5 percent and you got over a 200 percent raise. Ms. Evans' budget would have eliminated all of the raises and put everyone in city hall back to where they were and it would be fair to everyone, not just the favorites. Ms. Fanucci also said she believes in a Recovery

to everyone, not just the favorites. Ms.

Fanucci also said she believes in a Recovery

Plan. A question for Miss Fanucci, and

please just a yes or no is required, did you

read the Recovery Plan in it's entirety?

MS. FANUCCI: Yes.

MR. ANCHERANI: I'll refresh
everyone's memory then, on Page 64 of the
Recovery Plan under wages it says, and I
quote, "Wages for 2003, 2004, 2005, the base
hourly wages and salaries of all city
employees not exceed existing 2002 rates."

That means no raises. Now, since you
believe in the Recovery Plan this in my
opinion must mean that you believe in it's
violations by the mayor by giving out raises
to the favorites since you believe in the
Recovery Plan you apparently are not
objecting to it's violation by the mayor by
your yes votes on the budget that contain
raises for nonunion workers, but not the
unions. I take that as discrimination. I
as a city employee have no choice but to
believe that you, along with Ms. Gatelli and
Mr. McGoff approve of the treatment of the

unionized workers of this city by this administration.

Now, Ms. Fanucci, in your laughing quote, "My laughing. My laughing always seems to be the funniest. I can't imagine that it actually gets as much attention as it does. But, yes, when I see how it is conducted here and, of course, when it is s blatantly obvious I'm going to laugh, just like you would laugh if it was me."

Miss Fanucci, you are saying you are supposed to be professional. You are supposed to represent all of the people of

14	the city and not just your people. When you
15	laugh at the people from up there you show
16	scorn for the people who come to this podium
17	because they care about their city. You
18	were right about the Jerry Springer show and
19	it starts there.
20	Miss Gatelli, your turn. Do you
21	remember saying, "I'm not going to go
22	through the tree project again - can I just
23	finish this?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. ANCHERANI: "I can't believe that

1	trees for 60,000 is causing such a ruckus."
2	Sixty-thousand for trees when the city would
3	not even give our returning soldiers from
4	Iraq the money to make them whole. That
5	wasn't even \$20,000 total and they risked
6	their lives for their country. What a shame
7	but yet we would give 60,000 for trees. A
8	little trivia. Do you remember when they
9	removed all of the trees from the 400-block
10	of North Irving Avenue because it was felt
11	that the tree line street contributed to the
12	crime rate? The drug dealers had to cover
13	of trees to do their drug deals. Remember,

once the trees were gone you could see up
the street and the druggies left, so putting
\$60,000 in trees in South Side will that
invite the druggies there for the cover of
trees? Thank you.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council, my name is Dave Gervasi, I'm the vice-president of the firefighters' union and a very proud firefighter. I'm going to be very brief. Usually when things are said by the audience people go on their merry way and talk about the things that they want to

talk about so I have one question I want to ask each and every one of you during motions to answer for me, is now that you heard just one incident, by the way, that was not brought up by the firefighters' union within our news press release because of the sensitivity of that issue, it was brought up by the mayor, now that you heard some of the truth other than our guys are fat or physically unable to do repelling down an embankment, Mr. McGoff, does that sound in any way, shape or form what these two gentlemen said tonight, my brothers, as a character assassination? Does that sound

15	like a character assassination or do they
16	sound truthful to you of his behavior at
17	this scene?
18	MR. MCGOFF: Tonight?
19	MR. GERVASI: Yes.
20	MR. MCGOFF: I believe what they
21	said, yes.
22	MR. GERVASI: Okay. Now, since you
23	two gentlemen were supposed to have a
24	meeting with the chief and then later on
25	with us so we can bring more witnesses that
	90
1	would back up our allegations we made in our
2	press release, not the one that was spoken
3	on tonight because it wasn't on the press
4	release, are you going to have that meeting
5	because everyday that goes by we still
6	continue to have incompetent leadership
7	running the department? Are we going to do
8	that in a timely manner?
9	MR. MCGOFF: The meeting is
10	scheduled.
11	MR. GERVASI: Thank you very much. I

MS. GATELLI: Could I just ask a

motions.

15	question? I just want to ask you a
16	question.
17	MR. GERVASI: Sure.
18	MS. GATELLI: If you can please
19	answer me, is there a clause in your
20	contract that if you feel that there is a
21	safety issue or that someone is being
22	harassed or someone isn't being treated
23	right or they have been called names, do you
24	have a grievance procedure like we do at the
25	school district?
	91
1	MR. GERVASI: Yes, we do.
2	MS. GATELLI: And maybe I'll just
3	talk to you later. I'd like to know a
4	little bit more about were there ever
5	grievances filed?
6	MR. GERVASI: Absolutely. Numerous.
7	We have two we have a mediation tomorrow,
8	I'm sorry, Friday, with two others
9	MS. GATELLI: All of these safety
10	things.
11	MR. GERVASI: Arbitrations,
12	mediations.
13	MS. GATELLI: So you have.

fact, many of the things that are on the

16	press release were brought to mediation and
17	the public safety director ordered our chief
18	at that time when I made the statement that
19	he contain them for awhile, knew that those
20	things were true and contained the chief at
21	fire scenes to not interfere with our
22	incident commander. As time went on it
23	started getting worse again, he started
24	interfering and doing really unprofessional
25	things. So, yes, this has been an on-going
	92
1	process since day one, since 2002 and it's
2	continued up until
3	MS. GATELLI: I'd like to discuss
4	this with you at another time.
5	MR. GERVASI: the Linden Street
6	fire a few weeks ago. Absolutely.
7	MS. GATELLI: Okay. Thank you.
8	MS. EVANS: If I might though,
9	Mr. McGoff, you indicated the meeting has
10	been scheduled for when?
11	MR. MCGOFF: Thursday morning.
12	MS. EVANS: Thursday morning and will
13	a stenographer be present at this meeting?
14	MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. EVANS: Why not?

16	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Davis refused to
17	have one.
18	MS. EVANS: Well, I had agreed that
19	I would forego attending such a meeting only
20	on condition that a stenographer be present
21	because I feel it's imperative for those
22	statements to be recorded in order that
23	every council member have them available. I
24	don't believe in such a serious matter we
25	should be reliant upon he said, he said, he
	93
1	said, and I don't know that even all of the
2	parties involved in the meeting are
3	completely objective, so I would say this,
4	if Chief Davis refuses a stenographer then I
5	insist on being present at that meeting and
6	that means the meeting must be held after
7	3:00 and I am the elected official.
8	MR. GERVASI: Or may I suggest
9	subpoena him to a meeting with a
10	stenographer.
11	MS. EVANS: And I do agree with that.
12	If the chief will not agree to meet after
13	3:00 then I will make a motion for a
14	subpoena to have him appear before Scranton

MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, could

15 City Council.

I -- I know this is not going with the rules and I ask maybe if you can just indulge me for a minute here, I think this thing has escalated much further than I thought it would. We did have a meeting set for tomorrow morning and I will certainly attend the meeting and they refused to have it recorded so it's not going to be recorded.

I'm going to think now that it's out of

our hands. I've got to think now this thing
has gotten so serious. These are I mean,
we have a list of the accusations and I
apologize for breaking the rules, but I just
think this is a serious issue and we have
the list of accusations and my intention was
this tomorrow, was to just read off things
that the fire department said and ask
Mr. Davis, you know, if they were true or if
they weren't true and if he wanted to offer
an explanation. I wasn't asking any of my
own questions, I was just going to read what
was on that sheet and now I have a couple of
firefighters come to the podium and I think
it's time we do something different.

I will certainly attend tomorrow,

but I ask everybody here to ponder this and I will get back to Mr. McGoff or Kay or the mayor, whoever has to make the decision what we do. I think we should just call in an outside agency. You know, when we have problems -- when we have problems within -the police department or something and it's an internal type thing so that there is no chance of any impropriety they usually would

use like the state police to come in and investigate them. I think this is beyond me and you here. I really do. I mean, that's serious stuff they are saying there, you know, and if it's true it's extremely serious and if it's not true the man needs to have his named cleared.

So, I would see say we seek out some outside agency and I know the mayor has to agree on this, but I think we got to get it resolved once and for all because I think the meeting tomorrow, which was a good idea, isn't going to satisfy everybody concerned and this is -- I would hope that you would agree this has escalated and I'm not comfortable, I'm not comfortable with the way it's going on. I think it's beyond us.

18 I think we need to find an outside agency to come in and let them look at the accusations, let them speak with the chief, let them speak with the firefighters and let that outside agency make a decision what needs to be done, not me or not you or not this council, I just don't think it's -- I don't think it's within my field of 25

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

96

expertise, you know, because I think what's going to happen, and I apologize for being lengthy on this, but this is probably one of the most serious issues since I have been on council, I think what's going to happen, and this is just my guess that, you know, I'm going to ask Chief Davis those questions and he would deny them. He would deny probably each and every one of them and then we would come back and have a have meeting with the firefighters and they would say, "He is lying," and then I think we are just going to keep going back and forth.

And I will say again, and I apologize, but an outside agency, but then parties, both the firefighters' union and the administration is agreeable to look at

this and say, okay, we agree on this agency and we will abide by their decision and I was just hoping that, and I wasn't -- I didn't even think about this until after this all happened tonight. I just didn't think it was going to go this far, but it's a serious issue and we got guys that are claiming they were there when these

incidents happened, and I'm not about to call him a liar, but I'm not about to call Chief Davis a liar either. Everybody has a right to defend themselves, so that's a suggestion. If you would think about it and then maybe we can get back to Mr. McGoff and offer your opinion, and I'm sorry for breaking the rules, but, thank you.

MS. EVANS: I would find that a reasonable alternative simply because I would hope that an outside agency could remain purely objective in this matter. But I did want to add to what they will be probing. A matter that arose several years ago, none of you with the exception of Mr. Courtright were on council, and it's an issue that has lingered through the years involving, once again, the fire department

19 and there had been storage of toxic materials in Minooka in a flexible foam warehouse and it was investigated by the Scranton Fire Department and it was reported according to chain of command and rather than that material being removed immediately 25 by the owner of the warehouse it was

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

98

permitted to remain within the warehouse for a period of five additional months, thereby, potentially placing the safety of the residents of Minooka and any firefighters who would be called to the scene in the event of a fire in grave danger, and I had asked publically several times in 2005 for a response from Chief Davis and the mayor who gave the order, who made the deal to allow that material to remain in that warehouse for five additional months.

And the question was asked over and over and the fire chief was asked to come to council, he did not appear nor did he ever respond nor did the mayor and I believe this too is a vital issue of public safety that should never be repeated, but I also strongly believe it should be added to the

19	investigative list. It certainly merits
20	disclosure. Who is running the public
21	safety department, who is calling the shots,
22	and who is putting the people of Scranton
23	and the men and woman of the Scranton Fire
24	Department at risk.

MR. MCGOFF: Anything else?

MS. GATELLI: I would just like to
ask our solicitor if he can help us, you
know, get this going or his legal opinion,
the only thing I have to say on it is, yes,
I do believe, you know, the people that
spoke here this evening and, you know, every
place you work there is problems with
supervisors, etcetera, and I just feel badly
that it's being discussed so much in public.
I know there is a safety issue, but in all
personnel matters that I have ever dealt
with, personnel matters are even exempt from
the Sunshine Law and if, indeed, I don't
know very much about Chief Davis' activities
other than what I have read, but I think he
does have a due process and just like
everyone else does, so I wanted to make sure
that he has his due process and that we are
not violating any of his confidentiality

20 with personnel issues on council.

21 I do agree with Bill that, you know,

22 it does need to be addressed, but it is

23 escalating and there is a person's

24 reputation at stake and I think we should

25 just put ourself in his shoes and even

though we may dislike him or he is doing terribly wrong things he does have rights under the Sunshine Law for personnel matters of confidentiality, so let's try to go the route that Bill said and have someone, you know, that's very objective hear both sides and we certainly don't want any fireman, you know, at risk. Absolutely.

MR. MCGOFF: Last week when it was requested that a meeting be held I contacted -- I actually contacted the mayor and contacted Chief Davis, it was agreed, they said, fine, we will meet, I have no problem with meeting, we will answer any questions that are asked. They said that that there was no need for a stenographer. Anyone -- notes could be taken, all questions would be answered. There was no attempt to hide anything. I thought that

that was a reasonable request that they
agreed to and that is what we want. I don't
know what necessarily has changed. They
still agree that Chief Davis would answer
any questions that were asked of him.

MS. EVANS: Well, what -- I'm sorry.

MR. MCGOFF: Why we need some outside agency to ask questions is beyond me. If there are questions that we have why can't we ask the questions, why do we need someone else to ask the questions, why do we need somebody else to interpret the answers for us? If there are questions that we have I believe that we are competent, capable people, able to make a determination as to the voracity of the answers and interpret them on our own for whatever purpose it may serve.

MS. EVANS: As I noted several minutes ago, questions have been asked and they have gone unanswered and it's long past time that answers must be given and I had but a minor request which was originally suggested by Councilman Courtright and that was for the presence of a stenographer and the mere fact that that is refused in my

21	mind does raise a red flag because, as you	1
22	said, you know, they are so agreeable to	
23	this and it's just a small issue. It is r	not
24	a small issue to those who are not present	5
25	at the meeting and it was a small request	on
		1 0

my part and short of that, of course, my suspicion will increase and I do prefer to see an objective outside agency doing an investigation again since none of us are professionals, public safety professionals.

I don't know that we are equipped to satisfactorily judge the responses of the fire chief and, you know, the concerns of the fire department. I think those who are schooled and skilled in public safety are much better equipped to handle this situation right now.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, if I can just -- I'm sorry.

MS. FANUCCI: Can I just say one thing? I've been trying to get in. My question is at the end of the day what are we responsible for and what can we do? We are not a judge, we are not a jury, and we are stepping out of our bounds as far as

21 the --

MR. COURTRIGHT: Excuse me, that's

why as they were talking it came to my mind

and I asked myself that question, what could

we do, and I'm thinking this, maybe the

firemen won't agree with me or the mayor
won't agree with me but I'm thinking this,
an outside agency that is a professional,
I'm not talking about just bringing somebody
off the street, I'm talking about that knows
about firefighting, somebody that is a
professional and the firefighters' union
would agree to and the administration would
agree to would probably ask the same
questions. I'm going to show up tomorrow,
absolutely, or Thursday, I'm sorry, Thursday
and, you know, speak with the Chief Davis
and Mr. McGoff, but my thing is this, if you
and I sit there and we ask Mr. Davis the
questions and he answers them and then we go
back with the firefighters and they are
going to say, no, and he is going to say,
no, then I'm thinking a professional agency
would make a professional recommendation to
the mayor what needs to be done and what
does not need to be done and I don't know

22	I don't know if either side is going to be
23	happy with me making that suggestion, you
24	know, I can't get a read from looking at
25	these guys out in the audience and certainly
	104
1	the chief isn't here so I don't know, I'm
2	just trying to be fair. I think that's the
3	fairest way to go and I think I know quite a
4	bit about the fire department and I just
5	don't know that I'm comfortable that I know
6	enough to say whether a man's career should
7	terminate or not terminate, you know, I
8	don't know that I'm qualified.
9	MS. FANUCCI: And not only that we
10	have no power to do it in way.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: Right.
12	MS. FANUCCI: So, we can sit here and
13	say anything we want, but quite frankly, at
14	the end of the day it means nothing.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: Absolutely.
16	MS. FANUCCI: So if we are going to
17	go about this it has to be in a way that
18	keeps our, you know, it's stays within our
19	professional bounds and what we are allowed
20	to do.

MR. COURTRIGHT: And that's what I'm

22	trying to do. I think they come to us
23	looking for help when sometimes there are
24	things that we can do, sometimes there is
25	things that we can't do, but we can try to
	105
1	steer it in the right direction. Do we have
2	the authority? No, we don't. We don't have
3	the authority.
	-
4	MS. EVANS: Well, actually we do.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Is there anything that
6	we can do
7	MS. EVANS: We have the powers of
8	investigation.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm talking about
10	the removal.
11	MS. EVANS: No, we have no power, I
12	agree with Mrs. Fanucci. We have no power
13	to hire nor do we have power to fire.
14	MS. FANUCCI: Right.
15	MS. EVANS: Nevertheless, I believe
16	that exposing a situation or shining a light
17	on it goes a very long way to solving it. I
18	think it's the job of government, the job of
19	the responsible newspaper to investigate
20	situations, to bear it to the public and in
21	so doing provide an avenue toward a solution

and so far I don't believe that I have seen

23 that either on the part of government or the 24 newspaper because I believe if the mayor 25 himself were truly objective and listening 106 1 to both sides he would be amenable to an 2 investigation and would never state so arbitrarily I will not fire this individual, 3 4 he will remain as long as I remain in office. 5 6 MS. FANUCCI: Well, and I'm going to 7 say his, but just in researching this, this has happened what, ten other times in other 8 9 states and --10 MS. EVANS: Six. 11 MS. FANUCCI: It's actually nine, I 12 think I have nine here, but none of them, 13 the mayors all stood by their chiefs, I 14 mean, that's what they do and so that is not 15 something that I find abnormal. It seems to 16 be that's what you stand by and I'd want my 17 boss to stand by me under any circumstances, 18 too. Does that mean it's right or wrong, 19 no, but I'm saying that's what the boss 20 does. MS. EVANS: But, he is not standing 21

by the remainder of his employees when he is

23	saying, "If you don't like your job you can
24	leave."
25	MS. FANUCCI: Well, I think was that
	107
1	you didn't leave your job. It's not if you
2	don't like it, he's saying you would have
3	left if you didn't like it. I would have
4	left my job if I didn't like it. But I'm
5	not here to dispute that, that means
6	nothing, but the thing is that when you have
7	a situation like this we can't I'm not
8	capable of putting a price tag on what can
9	happen out there, I'm not, and I'm not
10	certainly not going to take responsibility
11	for it. If something happens, you know,
12	they are saying they are not confident with
13	him and he saying this is not the situation
14	that took place. I certainly don't feel and
15	Bill is right qualified under any
16	circumstances to make that decision.
17	I know that there has been
18	grounds have been made that were a good move
19	and I though, they were meeting once a week
20	with the mayor, which I thought was a good
21	thing and continue to do so and I also know

23 was three union members, and I'm not sure

that there was a board put together which

25	on the board which they will sit down and
	108
1	try to implement new procedures, so at least
2	we know futurewise they are getting
3	together, which is a very great thing.
4	I am not going to make a decision on
5	someone's career and I'm not certainly
6	I'm not even comfortable with all of this
7	discussion right now because anyone I
8	don't know, I just don't feel like it's
9	appropriate, and this is administrative
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: If the majority of
11	us agree that this should be done, it has to
12	be the majority then we would send a request
13	to the mayor and ask him if he is agreeable,
14	that's all I am asking.
15	MS. FANUCCI: Do we even have that
16	right, that's my
17	MR. MINORA: Well, I'd like to take a
18	look at this.
19	MS. GATELLI: Can everybody stay for
20	a few minutes after the meeting?
21	MS. EVANS: We still have
22	investigative powers according to the Home
23	Rule Charter.

who else, if it's the mayor and someone else

25	MR. MCGOFF: Council may make
	109
1	investigations into the affairs of the city
2	and the conduct of any department, office or
3	agency in aid of it's legislative powers and
4	functions.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm just asking if
6	this council would be agreeable to ask the
7	mayor if he is agreeable to have an outside
8	investigation and ask the firefighters'
9	union if they're that's all I'm asking.
10	I'm not asking us to do anything other than
11	say, "Mr. Mayor, are you agreeable with
12	having an outside agency investigate these
13	allegations? Firefighters' union, are you
14	agreeable to having an outside agency that
15	you both agree on to investigate these
16	allegations?"
17	That all I'm asking, nothing more.
18	I think we're making it more complicated
19	than what I intended to be, just a simple
20	request, "Mr. Mayor, are you agreeable?
21	Firefighters' union, are you agreeable?"
22	Then if they are agreeable then we
23	are out of the loop, right?
24	MS. FANUCCI: No, I think you are

MS. GATELLI: Why don't we --

1	MR. COURTRIGHT: And that's all I'm
2	asking and I'm not asking you right here and
3	now to make your decision, I'm asking if
4	you'll think about it and it's something
5	that you would like to do you can tell
6	Mr. McGoff and if three of more of us are
7	agreeable then Mr. McGoff would I guess have
8	to bring it the mayor, and that's all I'm
9	asking, is he agreeable and then Mr. McGoff
10	would also have to, also, I would say, talk
11	to Mr. Schreiber and see if the
12	firefighters' union is agreeable, so to
13	be you know, and if he they are both
14	agreeable they to do it. If not, then I
15	don't know what we do.
16	And, again, that's all I'm asking.
17	I'm not asking us to do the investigation,
18	just asking if they are agreeable to looking
19	into it.
20	Our solicitor is saying that's fair
21	enough and that's good by me.
22	MR. MINORA: Well, you didn't bring
23	up a legal issue, which is what I was
24	concerned with when you were talking about

1	when there is one already in place in the
2	contract and I had a concern about that and
3	that's what I was pausing about. What you
4	are suggesting I have no problem at all.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, good.
6	MR. MINORA: I have no problem at all
7	with that.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: We will make our
9	feelings known to you, Mr. McGoff, and if
10	there is three of us then you can make a
11	note to the firefighters' president and the
12	mayor. Thank you.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Have we moved into 5-A
14	motions, yet? 5-A motions, I believe. Mrs.
15	Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: Thank you. First I wish
17	to revisit two issues I raised at last
18	week's meeting. I recently received the
19	Hill Neighborhood monthly newsletter in
20	which the association states that further
21	explanation for the Nay Aug Park theater
22	needs to take place. A photocopied
23	photograph provides evidence of the lack of
24	the maintenance by the city, and I quote:
25	"The persons or person responsible for the

1	maintenance of the tent theater should be
2	required to explain why preventative
3	maintenance was not initiated. Nothing
4	inside of the tent was protected from the
5	elements as you can see in the picture taken
6	August of 2007. The chairs have all rusted,
7	and are no longer usable. The tent theatre
8	was left in such a manner that nothing can
9	be salvaged."
10	And I know it is difficult for you,
11	all of you to see from that copy, but I will
12	pass it along to my colleagues.
13	When council receives responses to
14	the questions raised at the February 19
15	meeting by unanimous motion I will be happy
16	to report the information publically.
17	Next, council agreed during it's
18	last meeting to create an oversight
19	committee appointed by council for Channel
20	61, 62 management. However, there are
21	crucial questions and issues concerning a
22	peg channel management contract that must be
23	addressed within the next few weeks. Start
24	up costs in 2008 are over \$300,000. The
25	yearly contribution from the cable franchise

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ree to rund Channel 61 and 62 will not kick
in until 2009. Cable TV revenue is already
set in the 2008 operating budget at it's
maximum of 5 percent as it is each fiscal
year. From where will the over \$300,000
come in 2008? In fact, within the next
several weeks it appears.

If the city provides funding for the purchase of new equipment who retains ownership of the equipment at the conclusion of the contract? Why invest in HD cameras when peg channels are not carried in HD nor will they are be in the foreseeable future? Where is the contract between Electric City television and the city? Will the contract come before city council for approval prior to the disbursement of funds. I strongly recommend that no contract with Electric City TV come before council until the aforementioned questions are answered by the mayor and that no disbursement of funds occur in 2008 to deplete the 2008 operating budget. Any new contract should not begin until 2009.

So, Kay, if you could please send a

1	retter to the mayor, Mr. Renda, and Attorney
2	Patterson requesting responses to these
3	questions and if council is agreeable that
4	letter could be sent on behalf of council.
5	Yes?
6	MR. MCGOFF: I have no objection.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: None.
8	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Next, I'd
9	also like a letter sent and perhaps on
10	behalf of council, if once again you are
11	agreeable, to Mr. Seitzinger and Miss Aebli
12	regarding a city bar and grill that was
13	cited by the state police on January 8,
14	2008, for operating without a health permit
15	or license. As was noted earlier, this
16	establishment received a \$120,000 loan from
17	the city in June of 2007 and is in violation
18	of the terms of the loan agreement,
19	specifically, Item 8, Covenants, Paragraph
20	C. What measures, if any, have been taken
21	to obtain a valid house health permit and
22	what actions, if any, have been taken by
23	OECD. Please respond on or about before
24	March 10, 2008, and will that be agreeable?
25	MR MCGOFF: I have no objection

1	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Finally, in
2	the city controller's report for
3	January 2008 a payment to Southern Union
4	company for \$40,830.88 is listed on page 33.
5	Please send a letter to Mr. Renda and
6	Mrs. Novembrino requesting a complete
7	explanation of this payment, for what
8	purpose is the city making payments to
9	Southern Union Company as a prior year
.0	obligation?
.1	Also, to the regional director who
.2	oversees KOZ's and KOEZ's, does the Southern
.3	Union building on Lackawanna Avenue
_4	currently retain it's tax free status? And
.5	just very quickly, some requests I received
- 6	this evening, a resident states that the DPW
.7	is not clearing the handicapped ramps at the
-8	intersections in downtown Scranton for the
_9	wheelchair bound residents so I would like
20	Mr. Brazil to please turn his attention to
21	that.
22	And I also have some requests
23	regarding the East Mountain area involving
24	the parking of illegal cars. Now, I was
>5	notified of through Kay from Mr. Seitzinger

that there will be a sweep or a saturation in the near future of the South Side area.

I'm expecting that to occur once weather is permitting, but perhaps the police as they are patrolling the area can take a look at those cars that have illegal registrations or inspections and also there's a report of cars being parked on sidewalks so I would pass in the east mountain area, Kay, so I'm going to pass this along to you and ask thank Mr. Seitzinger and Mr. Brazil would be notified of these citizens' complaints, and that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

Mr. Courtright.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. I better
answer Fay's question first or you'll be
yelling at me next week. I did forget that
Miss Franus when you asked the question, I
did forget, a lot transpired that evening
and I didn't answer the question and last
week when I came back, I got to be honest
with you, I wasn't going to bring it up
because I didn't think anything would come
of it, and Mrs. Gatelli jumped in and

answered the question before I could deny
the answer or anything and we had our little
to-do here last week. I think it's been
solved. She graciously is willing to move
her seat and that's all I'm going to say
about it. I'm not going to go any further
with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I got another letter here and last week I showed a letter, it's three pages front and back, and I got another one the following day after this meeting three pages front and back and I'm having a real, real hard time reading this individual's writing and so what I've pieced together from the six pages of the letter I have here is that it's a parking problem in Park Gardens and I believe they wanted to tell Dave Elliott about it and they are upset with me and said they are not going to vote for me ever again, but I can't make out what you are asking me. So, please, if you watch these meetings you leave no name or address or phone number or e-mail, I can't get back and find out actually what it is that you want me to do and if it is a parking problem in

Park Gardens I do not know that the Scranton
Police Department can do anything with it if
it's on private property, but I said that's
what I pieced together out of these six
pages that there is some type of parking
problem at Park Gardens and you want me to
turn it over to Dave Elliott and I would be
happy to pass it onto Dave Elliott, please
contact me somehow, phone number or
anything. I will do whatever I could to
help you. I certainly don't want you mad at
me.
I took care of the Lace Company
there with Jean Suetta We talked about the

I took care of the Lace Company
there with Jean Suetta. We talked about the
police chief -- or fire chief, I'm sorry.
We'll have another one with the police chief
next or something, and character
assassination, I know I certainly am not
trying to assassinate his character. I
believe I'm trying to help him is what I'm
trying to do because I certainly want to be
able to defend myself if I was being accused
of things.

I have to agree with Mrs. Evans on not allowing any funds to be distributed for

again, ECTV for Channel 61? Mr. Webber was here and wanted to speak with me about it earlier and unfortunately we got tied up and I couldn't talk with him. I was always an advocate of keeping it Scranton Tomorrow or Scranton Today or whatever, it didn't work out that way, but as she said the funds for 2008 I think shouldn't be given to them out of the budget. I don't know if there is somewhere else that money could be coming, maybe from that miraculous UDAG fund, that seems to crop up wherever.

MS. EVANS: I don't know though that they would be able to do so and probably, you know, and this is a side effect, but another issue for the concern is that some of those monies will be used to renovate a building that is not city owned, in fact, it's owned by a millionaire.

MR. COURTRIGHT: So there is a lot of questions, but especially the one of the money, I would like to see where that's going to come from. And a regular speaker here, Raymond Lyman, contacted me and he

specifically wished me to say them on the		
air so I will do that for him. He has got a		
problem with garbage on Green Place and I		
will talk to the DPW for you, Raymond, and		
some type of parking problem and a loitering		
problem on Green Place and I will turn your		
concerns over, Raymond, to the police		
department and that's all I have. Thank		
you.		
MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Fanucci?		

MS. FANUCCI: I actually only have

two comments to make tonight. We are all

given a letter to transfer one of our -
from Sixth Order to Seventh Order a file of

council to move, this is on the building

with the Skyview Park Apartments. We all

received a letter from Linda Aebli that a

request had come in pretty much from

Washington that we did this so that they did

not lose the tax or funding, so I just

wanted to put that out that if we do move

that that was the reasoning for that so that

the scheduled closing would still take place

so that the income tax would not, I believe

1 that's what they are saying, the tax would
2 not actually accumulate so I just wanted to

3 put that out there.

4	Also, in response to the Recovery
5	Plan and the fact with the raises, Candace,
6	I believe this was your question about the
7	raises with the Recovery Plan, there were
8	provisions in there and the provisions were
9	not only for raises for clerical and
10	nonclerical union and nonunion so there are
11	provisions for the fire and police to get
12	raises. In fact, when the budget was passed
13	then it became that it was, I mean, there
14	definitely are provisions. I will get you
15	exactly what page that is on, Mr. Ancherani,
16	next week because I didn't have it with me,
17	but I actually researched that a few weeks
18	ago myself because I know that you have been
19	coming up here saying that was one of the
20	violations of the Recovery Plan and it
21	actually was not after I looked into it.
22	But, there were provisions for everyone to
23	get raises, which is a good thing, so, you
24	know, not that there was not an intention
25	for the unions to get raises there certainly

122

was, so I definitely wanted to respond to 1 2

that.

As far as the Skyview apartments, I
am for that project. And for the garage I
wanted to respond to Mr. Hubbard, I'm trying
to figure out who I was responding to,
Daniel, the garage is not accessible right
now to anyone. Anyone cannot get into the
garage. You have to have a pass to get in
there so the reason that is not filled right
now because they have actually been
relocating people from the Oppenheim garage
because it was purchased to the city now,
the purchased it from a private owner. The
owner had relocated people little by little
to another place where they could park, I
know that because I park there, so they are
trying to little by little get people not to
park there. This garage has been a mess. I
stated this on the record I believe months
and months ago, this garage is an absolute
mess. They did try to make it better, they
patched it up, it did not work. It need it
to be demolished. You can't even walk up
the stairwells. You are walking down ramps,

it's very unsafe, so just as a personal opinion, the reason there is not parking there is because you can't park there. Not

4	that a private person can't park there so
5	that's why if you are wondering why it was
6	empty that's why it's empty because it's
7	being taken care over and your pictures of
8	why, isn't that what I'm responding to.
9	MR. HUBBARD: I'll get more pictures
10	of the ramps in the morning.
11	MS. FANUCCI: He is very good as a
12	photographer. And that is all I have.
13	Thank you.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Gatelli.
15	MS. GATELLI: Yes. I will look into
16	the dog park. The dog park was not my idea,
17	by the way, it was a speaker that comes here
18	every week and requested the dog park.
19	However, I will look into it, I think that
20	it was bid, you know, so I'm sure it was all
21	bid out, but I will investigate that for
22	you.
23	I was trying to call the animal
24	control officer, but I haven't been able to
25	reach him. Kay, if you can reach him there

is a property on Gibbons Street, the animal control officer, and I talked to Mark

Seitzinger and he is going to have it

boarded up, but there is all stray cats in it and I was trying to reach him for that reason. I'll give you the address later, it's on Gibbons Street. And I'm glad that we are going to be investigating this problem that we were having. It does need to be looked in and I'm sure Mr. Courtright and Mr. McGoff will get some information tomorrow and bring it back to the rest of us.

The last thing is the trees. The trees passed. The trees are coming to South Side. I'm sorry that you don't approve of that, but that's what's happening. They have been approved. I have pictures here of all of the trees. I know Mr. Santolli, the city forester contacted people to go look at projects that he has done. Trees are part of the environment. There is global warming. There are funds for this particular type of activity in OECD. We are trying to remake an area that was driven by

1	drug dealers and bring it back. I don't
2	know if no one understands that because you
3	don't live there, but at the South Side
4	neighborhood meeting we have heard about it

for the last 15 years and that area is deplorable. There are nuisance bars that we had to close with the district attorney and we are trying to bring it back, and believe it or not, trees are part of the environment and part of making it a nice play to live.

So, yes, the trees are coming and I do have pictures of them.

Now, years ago when they planted trees there wasn't a forester so they planted silver maples and trees that lifted sidewalks. None of these trees will lift the sidewalks, I will show my colleagues. And no one is going to get a tree that doesn't want one and we did a project several years ago with the money we had gotten from Fred Belardi and the Neighborhood Association planted them and they are all thriving and doing very well. So, this is not, you know, if you think it's a joke it's not a joke. It's part of a

renaissance that we are trying to do over
there in a neighborhood that has been
suffering for years and we are trying to
bring it back.

5	MS. EVANS: Mrs. Gatelli, could you
6	tell everyone though where the trees will be
7	planted?
8	MS. GATELLI: They are going to be
9	planted on Moosic Street, Cedar, Pittston
10	and all the side streets in-between to Brook
11	street. It has to be in a low to moderate
12	census track.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
14	MS. GATELLI: So that's where we're
15	starting, and I really appreciate my
16	colleagues supporting this. I mean, I know
17	it's a lot of money, but the neighborhood is
18	terrible and you all know that.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes.
20	MS. GATELLI: You have all been over
21	there, you know, and some of you have come
22	with me to see the area and there is a lot
23	of demolition going on and we are going to
24	have a lot of revitalization and low
25	interest loans and facade grants to try and

help Cedar and Pittston Avenue, so that's
all this is about. It's to make the place
better and when Mr. Santolli did his study
South Side had the least trees of the whole
city, and I think it will add to the

vitality of the new neighborhood that we are
trying to create, and he doesn't work for
free and I know he doesn't like to be given
accolades, but he does a wonderful job.
It's truly his life is forestry and we are
very, very lucky in the city to have him.
He did go on several complaints that I had
from people and he will even come if you
have a problem with trees that are dying and
he will tell you what's wrong with them and
how to take care of them, so he is a very,
very good asset to the city and we
appreciate all of his volunteer services.
So that's the reason they are coming and I
hope that you will bear with me and hope
that are project is very successful for our
community and thank you.
MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much. A

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much. A couple of things, one from last week I was in contact with Mrs. Mehalchick from the

L	Drug and Alcohol Counsel or whatever and she
2	was inquiring about what it was exactly that
3	we wish to what the letter should contain
1	that we wish to send. I instructed her to
-	contact Mrs. Garvey, I don't know if she

6	did, and that they were going to that
7	they would a compose a letter that would be
8	sent to appropriate taverns and tavern
9	associations. She did ask that if were sent
10	if it would be possible that my signature be
11	attached to it as well. I didn't want to do
12	that without, you know, asking approval.
13	MS. GATELLI: You can put mine on
14	there, too.
15	MS. EVANS: And mine.
16	MS. GATELLI: I would appreciate mine
17	on this.
18	MS. EVANS: Perhaps we'd all sign it.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Like I said, she was
20	asking that at least
21	MS. GATELLI: Absolutely.
22	MR. MCGOFF: it have a some
23	substance from the city as well from city
24	council. Also, in reference to that I was
25	talking with Chief Elliott as well and he

1	said he is going to get back to the
2	procedure for the patrols for that day. He
3	did make mention of one thing that this year
4	they are going to strictly enforce the open
5	container ordinance which is a city
6	ordinance. It's not a state, it's not a

you know, it's a city ordinance, it can onl
be enforced by city police and they are
going to make an attempt to enforce that
during the parade day. Also, you mentioned
Mrs. Evans mentioned the oversight
committee. I did bring that to the mayor
and asked him about that. He said that tha
was a that he was fine with that, the it
was something that he thought that perhaps
the best way for us to do it would be submi
the names that we would want for the
committee and the provisions that we wanted
that they would then put it in the form of
motion, resolution, whatever, resolution and
then it would be sent back to us for
approval and that it would become, you know
part of all we would need to do is give
him or give them what we wanted in that
resolution and that would then be sent back

1 to us for approval.

Last two things, I neglected to do
this for quite awhile now. A number of
weeks ago the Hill Neighborhood Association
presented a check for \$1,000 to be given to
the canine fund, in fact, there was no

7	specific fund in which to place that check
8	and so I received this back from Director
9	Hayes and they created a fund, a special
10	city account was created and that \$1,000 was
11	placed into that fund so just so it's
12	recorded that it was sent.
13	Also, a letter was received from
14	Miss Laura Craig. Basically it says, "My
15	name is Laura Craig and I'm writing to thank
16	you and your fellow city employees, in
17	particular Mr. Jeff Brazil and his coworker
18	from DPW for the kindnesses that the City of
19	Scranton showed me and my business on the
20	unfortunate occasion of the devastating fire
21	that effected us here on Linden Street
22	recently."
23	She goes onto thank people and in
24	response to that, "Enclosed a check for
25	\$300.00 as a gratitude to the city."

1	I would like to ask for a motion to
2	accept this check on behalf of from the
3	Laura Craig gallery and Laura Craig and to
4	be placed into the city treasurer I assume.
5	MS. GATELLI: Bill, you always made
6	the motions.

7 MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm not going to

8	make this one.
9	MS. GATELLI: I'll make the motion
10	to accept it.
11	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question, I'm
14	going to vote to accept it. I had a concern
15	when I read the letter and I discussed it
16	with the solicitor earlier on I was fearful
17	that that check would be misconstrued as
18	payment for services rendered by the DPW and
19	then I believe somebody, I can't remember,
20	but somebody even brought it up this
21	evening, somebody at the podium brought it
22	up, you know, well, let them come and
23	possibly clean your basement and shovel your
24	driveway, you know we are hiring out the DPW
25	more or less, and I was concerned about that

1	and I discussed it with Mr. Minora prior to
2	the meeting and he said the wording on there
3	makes it a gift to the city and we are okay,
4	we are safe in that respect because we don't
5	and we should not be hiring out the DPW.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MS. EVANS: I share Mr. Courtright's

concern with this issue and I do understand
that it is a gift and I am most
appreciative. I do understand that the city
has accepted gifts before from private
citizens for the fire department in
gratitude for exemplary service provided
during a fire at a resident's homes. My
concern is that this may be construed,
although I'm not talking about the dollar
amount here because I think if we were to
look at the actual dollar amount it would
probably exceed the \$300.00, though I think
it is a generous gift, my concern is that it
could be construed as maybe a payment of
sorts after the fact of so much public
discussion and scrutiny and I don't want any
citizen to be mislead by this or be of the
opinion that you, as Mr. Courtright said,

you can have a particular situation at your
home and you are going to call the DPW and
expect that they will come and clear your
house of all appliances or furniture and
then you can gift them afterwards with your
appreciation.

So as much as I do appreciate

Mrs. Craig's gift and I am grateful and

9	pleased that precious art works are saved, I
10	personally prefer because of the
11	circumstances of this incident I prefer not
12	to accept the gift.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? The motion
14	is to accept the \$300.00 check as a gift for
15	to the City of Scranton from the Laura Craig
16	Galleries. All those in favor?
17	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
18	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
21	MS. EVANS: No.
22	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
23	moved.
24	MS. GARVEY: Fifth order. 5-B. FOR
25	INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE
	134
1	OF COUNCIL NO. 16, 1988 - WHICH AMENDED FILE
2	OF COUNCIL NO. 29, 1986 ENTITLED "AN
3	ORDINANCE, AS AMENDED, CREATING THE REMOVAL
4	OF EXISTING PARKING METERS WITH THE CENTRAL
5	BUSINESS DISTRICT AND PRESCRIBING PARKING
6	TIME LIMITS; DEFINING OFFENSES AND
7	PRESCRIBING PENALTIES; PROVIDING FOR THE

ENFORCEMENT THEREOF; AND REPEALING

9	CONFLICTING AND INCONSISTENT ORDINANCE, BY
10	DETAILING THE DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF
11	THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY ACTING AS AN
12	AGENT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON," BY AMENDING
13	SECTION 5(b) SERVICE VEHICLE PARKING PERMITS
14	BY INCREASING THE COST OF THIS PERMIT TO
15	\$150.00 PER YEAR PER VEHICLE."
16	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
18	introduced into it's proper committee.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
21	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MS. EVANS: Aye.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
25	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
	135
1	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
3	ayes have it and so moved.
4	MS. GARVEY: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
5	A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF BERNARD
6	GARVEY, 601 MERIDIAN AVENUE, SCRANTON,

9 2007. MR GARVEY WILL BE REPRESENTING THE

PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER OF THE FIRE

PENSION COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 18,

10	RETIRED FIREFIGHTERS ON THE COMMISSION AND
11	HIS FIVE YEAR TERM WILL EXPIRE ON DECEMBER
12	18, 2012.
13	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
15	introduced into it's proper committee.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
18	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye.
21	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
22	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.
	1

1	MS. GARVEY: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
2	A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF JOHN
3	SYDLOWSKI, 624 WINTERMANTLE AVENUE,
4	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER
5	OF THE FIRE PENSION COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE
6	DECEMBER 18, 2007. MR. SYDLOWSI WILL BE
7	REPRESENTING THE RETIRED FIREFIGHTERS ON THE
8	COMMISSION AND HIS FIVE YEAR TERM WILL
9	EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 18, 2012.

10	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
12	introduced into it's proper committee.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
14	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
15	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
16	those in favor of introduction signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
20	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. GARVEY: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
25	READING TY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 14,
	137
1	2008 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF
2	COUNCIL NO. 49, 2006 (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED,
3	"AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
4	OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
5	SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO
6	IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR
7	COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS
8	(AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE
9	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)

PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG)

11	PROGRAM", BY DELETING CERTAIN PROJECTS AND
12	ADDING A NEW PROJECT.
13	MR. MCGOFF: You have heard Reading
14	by Title of Item 6-A what is your pleasure?
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: I move that Item
16	6-A pass Reading by Title.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
18	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye?
20	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
21	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
24	MS. EVANS: No.
25	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
	138
1	moved.
2	MS. FANUCCI: At this time I'd like
3	to make a motion that we suspend our rules
4	and put 6-A into Seventh Order so that we
5	can vote on that.
6	MS. GATELLI: Second.
7	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
8	those in favor signify by saying aye.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
10	MS. GATELLI: Aye.

11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
13	MS. EVANS: No.
14	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
15	moved.
16	MS. GARVEY: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
17	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -
18	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 1, 2008 -
19	VACATING ANY AND ALL RIGHT, TITLE AND
20	INTEREST OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON IN THE
21	RIGHT-OF-WAY KNOWN AS SMALLACOMBE DRIVE AS
22	MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED ON THE MAP
23	ATTACHED HERETO.
24	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
25	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
	139
1	passage of Item 7-A.
2	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
3	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
4	call, please.
5	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
9	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
11	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.

12	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
13	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
16	MS. GARVEY: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
17	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
18	RESOLUTION NO. 12, 2008 - AUTHORIZING THE
19	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
20	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH
21	THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT
22	OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ("DEP") TO
23	ACCEPT THE ACT 101, SECTION 902 RECYCLING
24	DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION GRANT IN THE
25	AMOUNT OF \$69,250.00.
	140
1	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
3	passage of Item 7-B.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
5	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
6	call, please.
7	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
8	MS. EVANS: Yes.
9	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.

MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.

10

12	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
13	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.
14	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
15	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
18	MS. GARVEY: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -
20	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 13, 2008 -
21	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
22	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND APPLY FOR A
23	GRANT THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH OF
24	PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND
25	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (DCED) FOR A GROWING
	141
1	GREENER II MAIN STREET AND DOWNTOWN
2	REDEVELOPMENT GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF
3	\$1,000,000.00. IF SUCCESSFUL, COORDINATING
4	THE USE OF THE GRANT FUNDS WILL BE WIT THE
5	SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY FOR THE PROJECT
6	TO BE NAMES AS "WASHINGTON SPRUCE PARKING
7	FACILITY".
8	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
9	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
10	
_ 0	Committee on Community Development.

Committee on Community Development, I

13	recommend final passage of Item 7-C.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Second. On the
15	question?
16	MS. EVANS: There was a question
17	posed earlier this evening by a speaker
18	regarding possible tax delinquencies for the
19	owner of the Connell building, Graystone,
20	Scranton, LLP and, in fact, there are
21	delinquencies dating back to 1995. Now,
22	many of those were paid '95, '96, '97, '98,
23	'99, 2001 and 2001 and to 2002, 2003.
24	However, it appears that the 2007, 2006
25	taxes were not paid and it is, indeed, a

1	significant amount of money that it owed to
2	the county, the school and the city. I
3	believe, for example, 2007, to the county
4	and school district \$31,906.08. In 2006,
5	\$34,018.09 and to the city in 2007
6	\$11,8040.62 and in 2006 \$12,767.93.
7	Now, we have already discussed at
8	last week's meeting the fact that a bond
9	issue was approved by city council with the
10	exception of myself for \$35 million which
11	included the demolition and construction of
12	the new garage. I do not see the need for

13	the additional million dollars, but more so,
14	I don't know why we would be funding,
15	further funding, this garage that is going
16	to serve in a significant capacity the
17	owners of the Connell building. I think the
18	owners of the Connell building must first
19	step up to the plate and pay their
20	delinquencies.
21	MS. FANUCCI: I'm going to comment on

MS. FANUCCI: I'm going to comment on that on the question. That's pretty much inclusion, they are not going to own this garage so I don't know how you can, you know, decide and it's a grant. It's a

1	wonderful grant and if we don't take it
2	maybe it will go to Allentown. I don't
3	understand how we can fight over grant
4	money, but, yes, I don't believe that that's
5	the case. It is for all of downtown. I
6	know myself I park down there everyday and
7	this garage is sorely needed especially for
8	the people in my building which now will be
9	losing all of their parking, seven floors of
10	people who need to park, so I do believe
11	that this garage will be very, very well
12	needed because it's needed now and that's
13	all I have.

14	MS. EVANS: Well, certainly we are
15	not going to argue over the necessity of the
16	garage, but the money, as I stated, was
17	already put in place by this council many,
18	many, many months ago and as for the grant,
19	certainly I'm not opposed to the application
20	and awarding of the grants, but I do believe
21	we should be applying for those grants for
22	more necessary projects that will benefit
23	everyone in the City of Scranton and I think
24	it's been made quite clear whether or not
25	through the newspaper or the mayor or the

1	representatives of the parking authority
2	that it is the intention of the owners of
3	the Connell building to utilize a
4	significant number of spaces in that garage.
5	So, if we are going to be applying for
6	something let's apply for it for a project
7	that I think that is it more worthy.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on the
9	question? Roll call, please.
10	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: No.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.

MR. COURTRIGHT: No.

14	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
15	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
16	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.
17	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
18	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. GARVEY: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -
23	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 14, 2008 -
24	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
25	CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
	145
1	ENTER INTO A LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN
2	FROM THE HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM
3	IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$400,000.00 TO
4	
_	GREATVIEW DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP TO
5	ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
6	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
7	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Community Development?
9	MS. FANUCCI: As Chair for the
10	Committee on Community Development, I
10	Committee on Community Development, I recommend final passage of Item 7-D.

MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,

Mr. McGoff, I spoke with Linda Aebli quite awhile ago on this whole thing and one of the concerns I had was, I mean, it's

40 years. Forty years is a long time. She said to me that she, too, was very concerned about it but this deal was struck before she got in there and she also had some concerns with, you know, with the 40-year thing. If I could just step back one minute to 7-C as far as the grant goes, I don't know, it just seems that sometimes these loans and grants

come down to where, you know, we only have a
couple of months left and we are going to
lose them. We are always trying to market
this city, maybe we need to market these
loans and grants a little better so we don't
have to wait until three months before we
are going to lose it and have to rush. I'm
sure a lot of people would be standing in
line to get, you know, a million dollar
grant so maybe we need to market it a little
bit better. Thank you. That's all I have.
Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to add to the terms of the loan which

Mr. Courtright alluded to a 40-year loan but at 0 percent interest. Who among us wouldn't enjoy such an arrangement? And my other problem with the situation is, again, what I explained last week, I want more information in black and white from now on. As we have seen with a number of the loans, we have awarded there have been significant problems thereafter. There is little to no accountability after this is awarded and until such time as I get in black and white,

not lip service, but black and white a	
credit history, history of payments an	d
defaults, a history of liens, if any,	
etcetera, I'm just not going to feel	
comfortable approving anymore of these	
agreements, so that is why I would be	
declining.	

MS. FANUCCI: You know, I don't want to keep tonight going on and on, but to claim that there is no accountability.

There is only one person who has defaulted on a loan and, in fact, he is trying to make good now, it was Whistles. We have only had one problem in the entire city.

Accountability. You have to pay back the

loan. The states accountable. There are some many checks and balances in place, so maybe to sit down and understand the system better you would understand that. This is what has to happen. It's not one person in the office deciding. It goes on and on and on, so to -- and you can't apply for the loan, you have to be cleared and free before you get the loan, so you can't have liens on you. You can't be in default of something

else, so that's just not an accurate statement.

And we have said this time and time again, there has only been one instance in the city's history in years since at least since this mayor and the last mayor had taken place that this has happened, so that is just not accurate and to say that I just couldn't let that go because that is so not what happens in that office.

MS. EVANS: Well, I do know this that
I have been requesting all of the
information, complete information about all
of the loans from Miss Hailstone for years
and now from Ms. Aebli on no one seems to be

16	able to provide that.
17	MS. FANUCCI: I do believe that
18	that's because they cannot provide what
19	people's personal financial statements are
20	to you as a councilperson.
21	MS. EVANS: But, they can ask me to
22	approve the awarding of money to them.
23	MS. FANUCCI: Well, maybe you should
24	sit down and go through everyone of them and
25	then
	149
1	MS. EVANS: That's what I would like
2	to do. That's what I want sent to all of
3	
	us.
4	MS. FANUCCI: Then you should go and
5	call because when I sit down with them it's
6	very accurately done and the procedure is
7	very, very and you would like it because
8	it is very black and white so you should
9	probably go.
10	MS. EVANS: Well, I'd like that, but,
11	you know, again, I'm reading about lien
12	after lien, I see business after business
13	that leaves
14	MS. FANUCCI: But they still have to
15	pay back the money if they leave. The money

has to be paid back, so even if they close

17	they still have to pay the money back, so
18	that again, we are still getting our money
19	back.
20	MS. EVANS: That, again, I don't know
21	until I see in black and white, but, you
22	know, again, as I said last week, use it or
23	lose it that's worn out now. There are
24	plenty of places to use money in this city
25	and how it happens to conveniently sit for
	150
1	so long I find puzzling.
2	MS. FANUCCI: It does have to be
3	applied for in advance.
4	MS. EVANS: And no one applies for it
5	in all those years?
6	MS. FANUCCI: There are, but they
7	have to go through the black and white
8	procedure of filling out of the forms and
9	being able to pass and decide if they are
10	financially able to do. I mean, you have to
11	have some type of equity. You just can't go
12	and decide to take this money.
13	MS. EVANS: Right, but ultimately
14	it's OECD and the mayor that makes the
15	decision on where that money is going to go.
16	MS. FANUCCI: No, the state is the

18 MS. EVANS: Well, the state I can't really rely on at this point either because 19 20 the state has done nothing, for example, 21 about the Southern Union building. 22 MS. FANUCCI: Nobody knows how to do 23 the job. 24 MS. EVANS: And when the agency was 25 brought in they told us -- do you remember 151 1 they told us to lease the Southern Union building ourselves. Now, it's been vacant 2 for how long? I don't even know if they 3 4 still maintain their tax exempt status. No 5 one wants to properly investigate any of 6 this, so I think it's all well and good to 7 listen to all of this and all it shakes out 8 to there is always enough money in the kitty for the next new project the mayor wants. 9 10 MS. GATELLI: Excuse me, I'm going to 11 interrupt here, too, because if we have any 12 questions about OECD our solicitor happened 13 to be the solicitor for OECD for many years, so he is a valuable resource and he 14 15 certainly can answer a lot of the questions

that we may have on these issues.

Secondly, some of the money in OECD

one who decides.

17

16

18	and I believe it was specifically the UDAG
19	account was always the mayor's discretion.
20	I worked, you know, you know I worked for
21	Connors and that was always his discretion
22	to use the UDAG accounts. So, yeah, it is a
23	mayoral decision in a lot of these instances
24	with OECD.
25	MS. EVANS: But, even when I think
	152
-	
1	commercial/industrial loans, those were
2	applying the decisions are ultimately
3	made
4	MS. GATELLI: But I don't think
5	anyone is denied.
6	MS. EVANS: and then they come
7	down to us.
8	MS. GATELLI: Yeah, I don't think
9	anyone is denied, you know, that would apply
10	and has all of the proper I know I was
11	trying to help someone to get a loan but
12	they didn't have a business plan and they
13	didn't have, you know, adequate credit
14	history, you know, they couldn't understand
15	why they couldn't get it, but they didn't

have all of these criteria to get it, but,

you know, at least they tried.

16

18	MR. MCGOFF: We are still on 7-D.
19	Anyone else on the question? Roll call,
20	please.
21	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
22	MS. EVANS: No.
23	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
25	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
	153
1	MO TANUGOT. V
1	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
2	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.
3	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. GARVEY: Mrs. Evans, just to
8	interject here, I just talked to Linda Aebli
9	regarding that letter that was sent back in
10	December and the second request in January
11	as a body of council asked the same
12	questions that you posed in December
13	regarding all of the loan information and
14	she did say that she was working on that to
15	get a response to you, so maybe soon you
16	will have one.
17	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
18	MS. GARVEY: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION

19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -
20	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 15, 2008 -
21	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
22	CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
23	ENTER INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT AND MAKE A
24	GRANT FROM THE HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP
25	PROGRAM IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED
	154
1	\$150,000.00 TO NATIONAL HOUSING
1	
2	TRUST-ENTERPRISE PRESERVATION CORPORATION TO
3	ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
4	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Community Development?
7	MS. FANUCCI: As Chair for the
8	Committee on Community Development, I
9	recommend final passage of Item 7-E.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Second. On the
11	question?
12	MS. GATELLI: Yeah, I just want to
13	talk about this again, I'm not quitting on
14	the subject because I happen to live there
15	very close to this particular low income
16	housing project and I believe there are at
17	least 150 families or more that live there.

We had many, many problems up there with

drug activity and guns and problems in this
particular neighborhood, they since have
settled down quite a bit and the people
here, this National Housing Trust, are the
leading public housing enterprises in the
country. I many very, very confident that
they are going to do a wonderful job. The

place itself is not in good shape, once again, when I worked for Jimmy I had to go up there several times and condemn some of the units. There is also a sewage problem because it's down in a hole and they have to pump the sewage up, so there is always a problem with sewage in the apartments, and it really needs an overhaul and it needs new management and I think that these people are going to bring it to that particular project. I don't think that we can afford to have anymore poor people out on the streets and that's why I'm really for this.

You know, we had the Washington West where the people had to leave and we do have all of the low income housing projects in South Side and I have fought that over the years because they wanted to more in, but what we have we like to keep in good

20 condition and it really needs some work up
21 there, the people are living in deplorable
22 conditions and I think that these people are
23 reputable nationwide in managing low income
24 housing projects. It was managed always
25 privately and some of the people were not

wery amenable when we went up there and had meetings, they just let things go on there that shouldn't have gone on, and this is going to be a great thing and it will help all of the poor people that live there. We cannot afford to put anyone out of their homes and I think they will do a great job. The county, Cordaro and Munchak and I believe Washo also voted for it. They have contributed to this project with their community development assets, so they also have an investment in the project, it's not just the city, so I think everybody is looking forward to it being a much better place than it was in the past.

MS. EVANS: And I agree, I think we do want to see the improvements and they are greatly needed, but this isn't ours. Let's remember this is privately owned. This is

20	not city-owned and, yes, this is a highly
21	reputable nationwide company who a developer
22	I can't understand why, you know, they are
23	coming with their hands out for such
24	significant amounts from every government
25	entity. I mean, you have to wonder almost
	157
1	are there tax credits involved, is this a
2	tax shelter, whatever.
3	MS. GATELLI: Oh, absolutely. It is
4	tax credits, absolutely.
5	MS. EVANS: I do agree it needs a
6	complete overhaul and I very much want to
7	see that happen, but I would rather see it
8	happen at the expense of the owners not
9	those who reside there but this company that
10	owns this housing development.
11	MS. FANUCCI: My thought is that the
12	people are ours and it's our job to
13	sometimes look out for people who can't look
14	out for themselves right now.
15	MS. EVANS: Oh, I agree, but I don't
16	think we often do that. I mean, we are
17	ready to crucify them for their taxes
18	MS. FANUCCI: For \$150,000 I believe
19	it's a very good, it's a very good
20	investment.

21	MS. GATELLI: And I think the total
22	cost it's probably \$4 or \$5 million so they
23	are investing a lot of their own money.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,
25	obviously it's going to pass, but I just wan
	158
_	
1	to say this, this new company that comes in
2	I hope they do one thing, Mrs. Gatelli said
3	there was some drugs and crime and that's a
4	fact.
5	MS. GATELLI: Oh, absolutely.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: That's probably the
7	worst place in the city at one time and for
8	one reason and one reason they don't have
9	that activity now is they have two police
10	officers sitting up there all the time and I
11	hope this new company, I think sometimes in
12	the afternoon hours I hope this company
13	continues to do that because if not it will
14	going right back to where it was.
15	MS. GATELLI: Don't worry, they
16	will.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: And I saw that
18	happen in Townhouse, when they put a police
19	officers in Townhouse that place was like, I
20	got to be honest with you, if there wasn't

21	much action on a night and a cop was looking
22	for action they would just ride through
23	Townhouse and grab one of the drug dealers.
24	Once they inject a police officers in there
25	they just move on, so I hope they live up to
	159
1	their national name and keep officers there,
2	and that's all I have. Thank you.
3	MS. GATELLI: Long after I'm off this
4	council I will still be on them. I can spit
5	over there. That's how close I am.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: I hope so.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Roll call,
8	please.
9	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: No.
11	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
13	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
14	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
15	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.
16	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
17	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
19	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
20	MS. GARVEY: 7-F FOR CONSIDERATION BY
21	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -

22	RESOLUTION NO. 16, 2008 - APPROVING THE
23	TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT BETWEEN
24	THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC
25	AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND THE PALUMBO
	160
1	CDOUD A DIAMNING AND DECICN EIDM AND
	GROUP, A PLANNING AND DESIGN FIRM, AND
2	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
3	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO THE
4	CONTRACT TO RETAIN THE PALUMBO GROUP TO DO A
5	STUDY TO INCLUDE HISTORIC PRESERVATION,
6	ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS AND DESIGN FOR THE
7	CEDAR AVENUE REVITALIZATION AREA OF SOUTH
8	SCRANTON. TOTAL COST NOT EXCEED \$90,000.00
9	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
11	passage of Item 7-F.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
13	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
14	call, please.
15	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: Yes.
17	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
19	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
20	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
21	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.

23	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
	161
1	MS. GARVEY: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION H
2	MITE O COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - OR ADOPTION -
3	RESOLUTION NO 17, 2008 - ACCEPT THE
4	RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
5	ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BORD ("HARB") AND
6	APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
7	FOR HIGHLAND ASSOCIATES, LTD, 102 HIGHLAND
8	AVENUE, CLARKS SUMMIT FOR REPAIR OF THE
9	EXISTING CLOCK AND NEW REAR ENTRANCE AT
10	GOODWILL NTH, NORTH MAIN AVENUE AND THEODORE
11	STREET.
12	MR. MCGOFF: What the recommendation
13	of the Chairperson for the Committee on
14	Community Development?
15	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
16	Committee on Community Development I
17	recommend final passage of Item 7-G.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
19	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
20	call, please.
21	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
22	MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. GATELLI: Yes.

23	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
25	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
	162
1	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
2	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.
3	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
4	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. GARVEY: 7-H, was which formerly
8	6-A, FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
9	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT maybe prior to
10	reading this I don't know if there is anyone
11	in the public that wishes to speak on the
12	issue?
13	7-H, which was formerly 6-A. FOR
14	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY
15	DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL
16	NO. 14, 2008 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
17	49, 2006 (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED, "AN
18	ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
19	APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
20	SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO
21	IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR
22	COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

23	(AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE
24	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
25	PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME)
	163
1	PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG)
2	
3	PROGRAM", BY DELETING CERTAIN PROJECTS AND
	ADDING A NEW PROJECT.
4	MR. MCGOFF: What the recommendation
5	of the Chairperson for the Committee on the
6	Community Development?
7	MS. FANUCCI: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Community Development, I
9	recommend final passage of Item 7-H.
10	MS. GATELLI: Second. MR. MCGOFF:
11	On the question? Roll call, please.
12	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: No.
14	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
16	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
18	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.
19	MS. GATELLI: Yes.
20	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted.
23	That's concludes Seventh Order. Thank you

25	I ask for	a motion to	adjourn.	
				164
1	М	R. COURTRIGH	T: So moved.	
2	М	S. GATELLI:	Second.	
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

for your participation and your concern and

2	4	
2	5	

2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
5	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
6	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
7	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
8	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
9	ability.
10	
11	
12	
13	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI
14	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	