1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, February 19, 2008
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI- COURT REPORTER
24	CAIRENE S. NARDOZZI- COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	
4	MR. ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
5	
6	MS. JUDY GATELLI, VICE-PRESIDENT
7	MS. JANET E. EVANS
9	MS. SHERRY FANUCCI
10	MR. WILLIAM COURTRIGHT
11	FIX. WILLIAM COOKINION
12	MS. KAY GARVEY, CITY CLERK
13	MR. NEIL COOLICAN, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
14	
15	MR. AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to dispense
2	with the reading of the minutes.
3	MS. GARVEY: Third order, 3-A,
4	INDEPENDENT AUDITORS REPORT FOR THE YEAR
5	ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2006.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
7	If not, received and filed.
8	MS. GARVEY: 3-B. APPLICATIONS AND
9	DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING BOARD
10	MEETING HELD ON FEBRUARY 13, 2008.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
12	If not, received and filed.
13	MS. GARVEY: That's it for third
14	order.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Before citizens'
16	participation is there any council members
17	that have any announcements?
18	MS. GATELLI: I just have a few. I
19	just have an announcement that this weekend
20	is a very big one for the cultural center.
21	They are going to have the Broadway
22	production "Rent" at the Temple this weekend
23	on Friday, Saturday and Sunday if anyone is
24	interested in going and, also, I would like
25	to congratulate a student of West Scranton

High School, I don't know if you saw her this morning, but she won the "Teen Fight Fat Challenge," and she going to be on Montel Williams tomorrow. Her name is Kaitlyn Watkins and she is a sophomore and we'd like to congratulate Kaitlyn for such a great accomplishment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the only other thing I have is I have been talking to PennDOT about things that Bill had asked me about to put in the roadway. It's like a cone and it has a picture of a person walking and I'm in the process of trying to get them for Davis Street. I spoke to the girl today and the only problem with it is that we have to find someone that is willing to put the cones out and take them back in. They are not allowed to stay out at night, and I think that they just want them back there for mass, so I'm going to call the pastor tomorrow and, Bill, if you know anybody that is interested in, you know, doing that they would have to take responsibility for putting them out say before mass and then taking them in.

MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll ask, I think

1	it was the people from the church that had
2	the concern, so I'll ask the people from the
3	church if someone would be able to do that.
4	MS. GATELLI: And then you can call
5	me and we'll arrange to get them for you.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: All right.
7	MS. GATELLI: And anyone else that is
8	interested in them, you know, they are to
9	help pedestrians cross in a crosswalk area
10	that's very busy, so anyone that's
11	interested just tell Mrs. Garvey and you can
12	call council office and we can see if we
13	can't get them for your particular
14	neighborhood, and that's all I have,
15	Mr. McGoff.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Let me add one thing I
17	wanted to mention last week, but we
18	cancelled, the Black History Month dinner
19	will be held at Lackawanna College on
20	February 28. I'm not sure if they're still
21	accepting reservations for that, but it will
22	be held and the keynote speaker is Mr. Stacy
23	Brown, who is with us tonight.
24	MS. EVANS: Mr. President, if I
25	might? I would like to commend Marie and

1	Dick Lasky for organizing, once again, the
2	annual Lithuanian flag raising which was
3	conducted this past Saturday here at city
4	hall. They did an outstanding job and I
5	wish them many, many more years of such an
6	event and great success.
7	MR. MCGOFF: If that's all, the first
8	speaker, Fay Franus.
9	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.
10	First I'd like to ask Mr. McGoff, why wasn't
11	there a meeting last Friday after it was
12	cancelled on Tuesday?
13	MR. MCGOFF: The first reason it was
14	that I could not attend the meeting.
15	MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?
16	MR. MCGOFF: I could not attend a
17	meeting on Friday night, I had prior
18	commitments; and the second reason was that
19	there was nothing on the agenda that seemed
20	to have any time sensitive there was
21	nothing that was time sensitive on the
22	agenda, so I didn't think that it was
23	necessary to reschedule.
24	MS. FRANUS: All right. Those trees
25	in South Side, let me ask you, do you know

2	any chance?
3	MR. MCGOFF: Offhand I don't know.
4	MS. FRANUS: Anybody if they know.
5	MS. GATELLI: I don't know if they
6	bid it out, but if you want you can call the
7	forester, Anthony Santolli, he might be able
8	to answer.
9	MS. FRANUS: I know Anthony.
10	MS. GATELLI: He is on Prescott
11	Avenue.
12	MS. FRANUS: Okay, and he is a nice
13	man. I also want to say that I contacted
14	Jeff Brazil about the him picking up
15	those paintings on Mulberry on Linden
16	Street at that fire. He told me he was
17	there all the time and that he is a public
18	servant and that's his job. He said if
19	anybody needs help that's what he is there
20	for, so I suggest this, anybody in the city
21	if you need any help moving anything, like
22	he said, she had paintings out in the street
23	and she needed help and he said he would do
24	this any day of the week he said that's why

25

he is there, that's the kind of person he

2	which is fine. But then I say to everybody
3	in the City of Scranton, call Jeff Brazil,
4	348-4180 and say, "I need help. Come over
5	with your trucks and help me move some
6	stuff. "
7	What's good for one is good for all.
8	Now, Mr. McGoff, with your rules about
9	answering questions in motions, that little
10	thing failed. Your rules, your new rules
11	about council members answering people in
12	motions, maybe you should start taking
13	account of all the questions that people ask
14	here that don't get answered in motions
15	after they ask for them to be answered in
16	motions. I asked Mr. McGoff two weeks ago
17	to answer me, he refused, he didn't answer
18	me, whether he forgot or whatever, but I'm
19	asking you, why was Mr. Courtright's seat
20	changed, Mr. McGoff?
21	MS. GATELLI: Can I answer that
22	question?
23	MS. FRANUS: Yes, please.
24	MS. GATELLI: This is the seat of the
25	vice-president. Whoever is the

vice-president of the zoning board, the
planning commission, whatever board there

3	is, the vice-president always sits to the
4	left of the president, so that's why this
5	chair was moved. No other reason.
6	MS. FRANUS: Okay.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: I disagree. I sat
8	there are two years and I wasn't the
9	vice-president and I specifically asked
10	Mr. McGoff why he moved my seat and
11	Mr. McGoff said because you asked him to
12	move my seat.
13	MS. GATELLI: Because the
14	vice-president as far as I knew always sat
15	to the left of the president. The zoning,
16	the planning
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: My opinion is that
18	that you are lying.
19	MS. GATELLI: Pardon me?
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: I truly believe you
21	are lying. I have my own reasons why I
22	think you are sitting there, but
23	MS. GATELLI: It is the privilege of
24	the vice-president to vote second to the
25	president.

1 MR. COURTRIGHT: Who said anything

2 about voting?

3	MS. GATELLI: I just said it about
4	voting. That is why the vice-president sits
5	next to the president.
6	MS. EVANS: If I can maybe interject.
7	Well, I just wanted to
8	MS. FRANUS: It's my time.
9	MS. EVANS: Please don't use her
10	time. I served in the Office of the
11	vice-president under Mr. DiBileo, I was
12	seated where Mr. Courtright now sits, I
13	voted second, not second to last.
14	MS. GATELLI: Well, good for you.
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: Are you trying to
16	hide your vote?
17	MS. GATELLI: Well, the zoning
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: Are you trying to
19	hide your vote?
20	MS. EVANS: We are not zoning.
21	MS. GATELLI: I'm hiding my vote,
22	Bill.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: I think so. I
24	think you are trying to do something
25	underhanded.

1 MS. GATELLI: Yeah, I am.

2 MR. COURTRIGHT: That's my opinion

3 and I think everybody here agrees.

4	MS. GATELLI: Yeah, they agree, Bill.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here
6	MS. GATELLI: The tax collector is
7	getting to you, isn't it?
8	MR. MCGOFF: Again okay,
9	that's out of order.
10	MS. GATELLI: Really.
11	MR. MCGOFF: It's out of order. I'm
12	sorry, Mrs. Franus.
13	MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?
14	(Whereupon the audience makes
15	several comments.)
16	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.
17	MS. FRANUS: Let's get back to
18	everyday life here. Another thing,
19	Mr. McGoff, you stated two weeks ago that
20	you didn't think there was anything wrong
21	with text messaging, well, I beg to differ.
22	I'm a taxpayer as everybody else in this
23	city and we are paying all of you up there
24	not to text message, it's a public meeting,
25	you are paid to be at council. If you are

not prepared enough, Mrs. Fanucci, to come
to council without text messaging someone
with possibly the answers or -- well, I

4	don't know why you are laughing, I'm very
5	serious.
6	MS. FANUCCI: Then don't say silly
7	things, but I was text messaging my daughter
8	goodnight, I will continue to do that for
9	every meeting until I am finished on my
10	term.
11	MS. FRANUS: Wait, just because you
12	say it that doesn't mean you should.
13	MS. FANUCCI: I will do that. It
14	doesn't mean I shouldn't do it, but I don't
15	think my 11-year-old gave me what to vote
16	on, but I'll ask her next time.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Thank you,
18	Mrs. Franus.
19	MS. FRANUS: Is that my time or is
20	that extended time or was that
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. FRANUS: Which is what?
23	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me?
24	MS. FRANUS: Which is what?
25	MR. MCGOFF: That was the five-minute
	1.

1 time.

2 MS. FRANUS: But Mrs. Evans asked
3 that it be extended because you took up my
4 time.

5	MR. MCGOFF: Our rules say that we
6	are not going to do that.
7	MS. FRANUS: Mrs. Evans asked for
8	if, are you trying to say what she is saying
9	doesn't matter? You people up there spoke
10	MR. MCGOFF: I'm saying we are
11	following the Rules of Council. Thank you.
12	MS. FRANUS: I was speaking and you
13	all up there continued to speak and I even
14	said my time and Mrs. Evans asked for it to
15	be stopped, so you are breaking your own
16	rules. You are breaking your own rules. I
17	could have said point of order, but I chose
18	not to because I gave you the respect that
19	I'm asking for, but I'm not getting it.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Franus.
21	MS. FRANUS: Wait one second, I want
22	to see what's here. Oh, if you are going to
23	vote on the taxes
24	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.
25	MS. FRANUS: Excuse you.

1	MR. MCGOFF: You asked questions and
2	you got answers and that used your time.
3	I'm sorry that you didn't want those
4	responses.

5	MS. FRANUS: I also asked, wait a
6	minute, I want to talk and that's when
7	Mrs. Evans said
8	MR. MCGOFF: The next speaker is
9	Jack Powell.
10	MS. FRANUS: Please follow your own
11	rules from now on, please, and thank you,
12	Mr. Courtright and Mrs. Evans, for answering
13	me.
14	MR. POWELL: Hello. My name is a
15	Jack Powell, I live on Luzerne Street next
16	to Mr. Skantos' development. Over the last
17	period of weeks I have heard about
18	Mr. Skantos and his development and we have
19	some issues with Mr. Skantos ourselves.
20	When Mr. Skantos first came to us and bought
21	the property there was it was an R-1
22	property. He came to us and he asked that
23	it can be changed for his benefit which
24	monetarily it was, so he asked if it could
25	be changed from R-1 to different

stipulations and variances and zoning
change. He had several meetings with us and
doing the course of the meetings we were
concerned about different areas such as
buffer zones, tree lines, which we

stipulated to we weren't going forward,

myself, the Keyser Valley Neighborhood

Association, Mary Alice Burke, all of the

officers.

So we went to Mr. Skantos and said if he went by these rules that we wouldn't contest his variances. We did it at the Keyser Valley Community Center, which was full. We did it at the different meetings down here planning, zoning, and he agreed to them along with the person that was doing the plans for the arrangement.

Well, in turn, a period of years had gone by and I see Mr. Skantos is up here and he wants you to take his word for this and that, well, we saw it during the course of the summer a man out of there with a set of plans where at Keyser and Luzerne was always to be kept when he moved his trailer out as a green or buffer zone. Well, lo and behold

there is set of plans with three buildings, six units on this little property. I called Mr. Wallace up at zoning, spoke with him, I didn't hear anything further. I went down to see for myself and I met the two young

ladies upstairs, Miss Nole, and there was a
Pat Jennings there and they went to look for
the items I was looking for like pertaining
to Mr. Skantos' properties. He in turn,
they opened up the folder, there was nothing
there but a scrap of paper. Mr. King came
out of the back and asked what I was looking
for, he came out with a set of plans, on
that corner there was no buildings, nothing.
I explained what we are concerned about that
this was a buffer zone, he told me, told me
that he could not build there. The only
thing that could be built it was to remain
and he had to go by the original plans, that
was fine. I went back and told all of the
neighbors. I was sort of their
spokesperson. Everybody was satisfied with
that.
I get a call about an hour before

planning meeting, by the time I get here

there a presentation going on and Mr. King
is there and Mr. King informed the planning
commission of these buildings. I was
assuming it was the last legal building to
be built, which is the brown building of the
picture that you see, and I was more

concerned at that time that they were going to make it rentals. Mr. King had told me, if he gets the buildings there and he wants to rent them we can't stop him from renting them, you are stuck with what you have, I said that's fine.

So, I went down and in the mean time they passed this motion. Well, they gave me a set of plans after the meeting, it had three buildings, six units and was not the plan that I was given up in zoning. It was totally different. I went up and met with Mr. King afterwards and I asked him about being told this and I said to him, I said, what about Mr. Skantos agreeing with him. He said, well, he had to do it at a stipulated meeting not your meeting because he could tell you anything he wants. Well, with that I'm a little upset, so we left.

But I went to talk to Mary Alice and I told
her about the meeting we were at she said we
got to have a regular meeting. Well, since
that meeting that I had here with the
planning commission I have been trying to
get minutes, two months, none.

So, I filed that paper that I sent
to you which was a Right to Know which the
law office I went to talk to Mr. Farrell he
was in the process of leaving, I have spoken
to the new attorney upstairs, and she is
looking into it at this time. She was very
forthwith and whatever, but as it stands the
day that the letter came Mr. Skantos moved
the backhoe to start dig, so I because
there is no building there now, there is
nothing there, so we are trying to stop it
before he does it because it was green zone.
We can get the whole neighborhood here I,
could pack this place with people that were
at these meetings, but he is changing it as
he wants, and I said when he was here I
asked him, what's going on, and he was
referring to me yous people. I mean, yous
people? We are neighbors there. I mean, he

lives in Clarks Summit, treat us decent, you
know? When he wanted something he was
around every day. I tried to stop him once,
he went by, I went down to meet him at his
office they said I now had to get an
appointment. When we have concerns like
this and if you will notice in the other

8	picture there is arborvitaes planted all
9	along the back, that was another condition
10	of the agreement along with keeping it the
11	buffer was those arborvitaes were planted
12	and as you can see he started. Thank you.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. Powell, I have a
14	list of complaints for lack of a better of
15	word that I met with the people back in your
16	development about a week and a half ago, we
17	were there for a couple of hours and when
18	it's my turn to speak we are going to try to
19	setup a meeting both for their concerns and
20	also your concerns and we are going to try
21	to have a representative of the city that
22	would be much more versed in those type of
23	things than we are, so I'll speak on it when
24	it's my turn to speak if you don't mind.
25	MR. POWELL: Very good. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And we'll oversee it,

Mr. Powell.

MR. POWELL: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: We will keep our eye on

it as well.

MR. POWELL: Mary Alice said any time

you need her or any of the officers that

8	were there and if we need Keyser Valley
9	Community Center
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah, I spoke to
11	Mary Alice already.
12	MR. POWELL: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Bill Jackowitz.
14	MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening, city
15	council, Mr. President. Bill Jackowitz,
16	South Scranton. Pennsylvania taxpayers have
17	a right to know how the Commonwealth spends
18	their hard-earned tax dollars. Do Scranton
19	taxpayers have that same right? Community.
20	The first part of community means
21	communication. The second part of community
22	is unity. We have none in Scranton thanks
23	to our politicians.
24	19th February 2008 could be the
25	night that Scranton City Council steps up to

1	the plate and hits a home run for the
2	residents of Scranton, Pennsylvania. The
3	latest economic developments again proves
4	that the Scranton/Wilkes-Barre area is in
5	financial ruins. The residents are
6	suffering through no fault of their own.
7	Proof: The unemployment went up again
8	7/10ths of a percent in the month of

9	December 2007. It's up to 5.3 percent,
10	higher than the state and national average.
11	I provided each one of you with this
12	information, I hope you review it and look
13	at it.
14	The nest egg index, I also provided
15	with you a nest egg index, ranks
16	metropolitan areas on their resident's
17	saving and investment habits.
18	Scranton/Wilkes-Barre is ranked 455 out of
19	500. That is 45 away from the bottom. This
20	should be an embarrassment to all of the
21	elected officials in the area and especially
22	the Chamber of Commerce. The reason it fell
23	72 positions in ranking from 2006 to 2007
24	Scranton went from 383 to 455 in one year,
25	but yet we are told on a weekly basis by our

е	conomic development chairperson in the
m	ayor that the area is improving. We have
n	o empty store fronts, we have a beautiful
p	ark system, the business will come or have
a	lready come. If that is not misleading
ti	hen I will never know what misleading is.
Y	ou do not have to be a rocket scientist to
k:	now that low wages means no savings. If

you make no money, you can't save any money and it's proven by that report. I hope all five of you look at it and take it to heart because it's true and real. The reason cited for the miserable conditions are relatively low wages, above-average unemployment, and a cultural resistance to thrift. This reinforces a negative image that the community already has of itself. It is not the community's fault, I believe that is the elected officials and appointed political officials and the Chamber of Commerce who are responsible for this. They lack the leadership abilities to lead and I provided you with that proof tonight.

Salaries here trail pay levels in

other northeastern and national markets	
which reduce savings potential. Out per	
capita income is lower in the region, the	
area jobless rate typically runs higher t	han
the state and national averages. People	are
going from paycheck to paycheck and they	may
be two or three paychecks away from	
financial ruin. Many citizens in the reg	ion
are tapping their savings for living	

expenses. Senior citizens are withdrawing money to live on. I ask city council to step up and rescind the ordinance that attaches high fees and penalties on the residents who fall behind on their taxes. If you want to punish the slum landlords then come up with a plan to do just that, but do not punish the hardworking or the retired residents of Scranton. Enough is enough. Put this up for vote tonight and show the residents that you really are on their side. Actions speak louder than words.

You may not like or respect some of the speakers, but this time the speakers are 100 percent correct and three council

L	members are 100 percent incorrect. Do the
2	right thing. Get rid of this ordinance
3	tonight. Mr. President, I would never ask
1	you to fetch and retrieve anything for me.
5	I do my own research. My questions,
õ	Mr. President, when all avenues fail and
7	before the taxpayer must reach in their
3	pockets should you as an elected official
)	step in and assist. You are one record as

saying that you can speak to the mayor and get answers. Councilwoman Fanucci is on record saying that she meets with the Chamber of Commerce. She is also on record stating that Mr. Burke would attend a council meeting during the month of September 2006, where is he? Doe he know where city hall is located at?

I always provided provide council

I always provided provide council members with copies of my research. My intent is to help council and keep the residents truly informed, not to mislead you. Take the vote tonight, invite

Mr. Austin Burke and the mayor and Chamber of Commerce to address the council in the city residents at a public meeting and let

them know how terrible the economy really is
here. Everything revolves around the
economy and if you don't believe that then
you need to go back to school because that's
the way it is. The economy runs the
government and it runs the city and it runs
the community and, like I said, we have no
communication, there is no unity whatsoever
in this community. You all were elected to
work for the residents, not yourself, family

11	and friends, get your family members and
12	friends jobs is not what you are here for.
13	Do the right thing, get rid of the silly
14	ordinance tonight.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
16	Mr. Jackowitz. Andy Sbaraglia.
17	MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
18	citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I
19	don't really care where you sit up there.
20	To me it doesn't matter, but what's in these
21	things that's the things that matter.
22	And let us go. We see that we are
23	you are 5-B we are looking to get we
24	pulled from housing emergency shelter and so
25	forth and so on over a half a million

1	dollars for Skyview and we go and we go to
2	"E", well, this may be a loan because it
3	says loan agreement, but I don't know, I
4	didn't read the thing in-depth to see if
5	there was a forgiveness clause in it, too,
6	which there could be.
7	5-F, another \$150,000 for Skyview.
8	How much taxes does Skyview give us? Does
9	anyone know how much money we get from taxes
10	there? Probably not a penny. Probably a

nonprofit, but yet we are taking money from people who want to build homes. There may be other people that need a roof over their head and according to Skyview, well, true you are giving them a roof over their head, but it's a man who if you looked at the original deal once we sold Skyview that's, I didn't recognize, I saw myself fall for that, I didn't realize we owned Skyview. I always thought the Scranton Housing owned it, but apparently Scranton owns it themselves, just like some of the other things and they sold it, but when they sold it they put in for grants for this man and it comes through, I think he got \$6 million

1	or so, big headlines in the paper, big city
2	developer, biggest in the world. I mean, it
3	was really laid out in the times, how much
4	money they got and so forth and so on, but
5	it comes down to the point we are taking
6	money, pour it into other places, I don't
7	know how rundown Skyview was, I really
8	don't, but for the amount of money we are
9	taking from other projects I don't know if
10	could be better spent.

I believe that people should build

homes in Scranton. I do, even all of them

KOZ homes, I didn't fight them. I didn't

come up and run before the whole council and

say, "Don't do this, don't do that," because

them homes are going to stay and eventually

we are going to get some money out of it.

They may bleed us for awhile, but eventually

we are going to bleed them and we are going

to bleed them good because they are all new

homes.

Now, whether they can afford to keep them I don't know, but eventually we are going to sock it to them, but Skyview we are taking almost \$1 million from Skyview out of

these pieces of legislation, either in loans or grants. Loans I don't mind, I don't favor grants especially when they are partly owned.

Okay, let's look for our old friend parking authority is looking for a million bucks, I guess you turned down the garage.

I don't know why they borrowed 32 million or up to 35 million, I thought that was all incorporated into it, but now apparently it isn't incorporated into it and they need

more money, but when it comes to the parking authority the truth is I don't think they are sound. I really don't believe they are sound, and if you read the legislation when they borrowed the 30 million, they set up the slush fund to cover the money apparently so they say until this generates money, but believe me it's not going to generate money. It's going to collapse and it's going to collapse on the people of Scranton. You can't just build parking garages after parking garages and not figure somewhere along the line these things got to be repaired. We got more people that we have

1	to hire to man them. We got more expense
2	for medical and for pensions all added on to
3	the costs. How long do you think this is
4	going to last before it collapses entirely
5	or until they have to charge \$1,000 a month
6	to rent a parking space there. I think they
7	are up to 85 up there already and climbing
8	and the reason they have to do that is
9	because they are not solvent and, believe
10	me, that was space you give to the Hilton,
11	it's hurting. Hurting very, very bad. If
12	you ever figured out how much 120 spaces are

13	worth at \$85 a month and how much money they
14	are losing in income for the Hilton we'll
15	never get it back. I don't care even when
16	that things goes on the tax rolls we are not
17	going to get it back, it's going to be a
18	white elephant to us and it's going to sink
19	us more and more until the police and
20	parking authority into the hole. I mean,
21	the building is there. It's there. I mean,
22	unless we have to fix it up again or
23	something in 20 years it's just a building
24	that's going to be there.
25	Now, we get to the Connell building.
	30
1	I was told that he wanted to take okay.
2	I won't talk anymore on that. Thank you.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
4	Mr. Sbaraglia. Ozzie Quinn.
5	MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers'
6	Association. 5-D, Growing Greener. That is
7	a transfer of one million out of the five
8	million or that is an additional grant we
9	are looking for one million? Do you know,

Ms. Fanucci? It's under your -- pardon?

MS. FANUCCI: Would you like me to

12 answer that now?

10

13	MR. QUINN: Yeah, right now, five
14	words. One word. Is it a grant or is not a
15	grant? Is it a grant from the Connell or
16	not?
17	MS. FANUCCI: It is transfer of the
18	Growing Greener money that we have received.
19	MR. QUINN: Okay. You answered me.
20	Now, do you know you don't have to have a
21	match, but there is a you have to
22	demonstrate a match for that \$1 million and
23	that's why I'm getting at. I still haven't
24	gotten that information I asked off
25	Mr. McGoff last week. I sent a letter the

1	day after, it's 13 days from Mrs. Aebli,
2	under the Right to Know Act I sent it, she
3	has five working days to answer, she never
4	answered. So, this is going on three months
5	and that's why I want know this information
6	what's going on with this UDAG money and
7	with these community development block grant
8	monies because the mayor has a little nest
9	egg someplace, all right, and he is using it
10	because this Growing Greener you have to
11	have demonstrate a matching grant and that's
12	coming out of the people's money. I want to
13	know this money can go back into the

neighborhood and help these people who are suffering with taxes. Never mind what Mrs. Fanucci says about that you can't go back for seven years, that is nothing but a lie. That is — the federal regulations say you can go back year after year after year. That might be a local policy, but it's not a federal and she inferred to me that I'm going back to the 1980's, no, this is 2008, and also do you know in 2008, Mrs. Fanucci, that you can help low income people from not being foreclosed upon with CDBG funds? Are

## you aware of that?

Well, I want to tell you something,
okay, I have the last HUD monitoring report,
the last HUD monitoring report. Now, look
at here, in CDBG you see \$4,876,758, okay.
Of that, we spent \$1,627,457 and change,
which is 37 percent rounded out to
37 percent of our total CDBG, 37 percent,
okay? Do you know how many jobs we created?
Four. What does that even come out? Divide
it. What's the math? One million, what
does it amount to, \$400,000 for one job? We
don't even know if that job is still there,

we don't know how much that job was paying, we don't know anything about that job. Four jobs and over a million dollars spent, come on, we got to start looking into this stuff. Do you know how much we spent on housing? We spent -- the lowest 1.7 percent, the lowest, and I know that you can use CDBG funds and irregardless of what Mrs Fanucci says all funds can be coupled which they are throughout the nation. Sixty percent of your retirement funds throughout he nation falls from CDBG for housing

rehabilitation. Don't listen to these false things that she is throwing at you. She doesn't know what's going on, I'm telling you.

And the problem is, the third
highest thing coming out of the OECD is for
administration for paying all of these
people that the mayor is hiring. That's
where our tax money is he going. If this
money can be used, as Mrs. Evans said, out
into these neighborhoods to prepare we
wouldn't have to go borrowing again for this
matching fund. Don't laugh at me, Mrs.
Fanucci.

15	MS. FANUCCI: I certainly didn't.
16	MR. QUINN: She is out of order, and
17	I'll tell you something, if we could use
18	this money instead of going ahead
19	MS. KNIGHT: Mr. McGoff, she is out
20	of order.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.
22	MS. KNIGHT: Correct her.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me. Be quiet.
24	I'm sorry, Mr. Quinn.
25	MR. QUINN: Stop the clock, okay,
	34
1	.1
1	please.
2	MR. MCGOFF: And I will. Please
3	refrain from doing that.
4	MR. QUINN: Unequivocally I want to
5	say right now I'm not angry, I'm passionate
6	about this city and I'm passionate of what's
7	going on in here with this CDBG program and
8	with this here setting up a resolution
9	transfer of one million, it doesn't say
10	transferring. One million dollars and it

doesn't say that we should have to show a

coming from, this money that you couldn't

demonstration match. And where is that

get Mrs. Gatelli and you couldn't get

11

12

13

15	Mrs. McGoff and you didn't you couldn't
16	pinch hit for me and you wouldn't know
17	sitting over there and sent me up a blind
18	alley and now all us taxpayers are the ones
19	that area a suffering. It's too late.
20	Well, all us taxpayers cannot go spending
21	this money, and this money for these silly
22	things when it can be going onto fix
23	people's homes through the city and fix some
24	of these streets, you go through these
25	neighborhoods there is no more curbs. No

1	more curbs. It's a shame. It's terrible.
2	Forget about the downtown, our people are
3	living in a neighborhoods. They are the
4	people who paying the taxes, let's go. Now,
5	when you are paying \$400,000 for one job
6	that's ridiculous and you don't even know
7	how much that job is paying or if it's still
8	here. What are you doing? You are the ones
9	that passed this and now you passed 2008.
10	Come on, look out for the taxpayers once in
11	awhile. Thank you.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
13	Les Spindler.
14	MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council,

Les Spindler, city resident. First off,

Mr. McGoff, you cannot pick and choose who you let talk five minutes or longer than five minutes. At the last meeting two weeks ago you let Mr. Skantos stay there for well over five minutes, it was probably closer to ten minutes and you didn't tell him to step down, you gave him all that time. You can't pick and choose, that's discrimination. If you let one person do it you will have to let every person do it.

Now, Mrs. Fanucci, the last meeting you said when you laughed there is something blatantly obvious. Well, at that meeting Mr. Ungarvsky said an 85-year-old woman lost her house and you laughed. Do you think that's funny about an 85-year-old woman losing her house? I don't care if the city took it, the state took it, the county took it, it's not funny that an 85-year-old woman lost her house.

MS. FANUCCI: You are darn right it's not.

MR. SPINDLER: If you think that -I'm talking, keep quiet. Keep her under
control, will you, Mr. McGoff? Keep her

16	under control, I have the podium.
17	MR. JACKOWITZ: She did laugh.
18	MS. KNIGHT: She's laughing all the
19	way
20	MR. SPINDLER: She laughed and if
21	that's her sense of humor she has a sick
22	sense of humor thinking an 85-year-old woman
23	is funny when she losses her house. She
24	acts like a five year old. That's blatantly
25	obvious.
	37
1	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, if you are
2	going to make accusations then you are going
3	to have to expect a response.
4	MR. SPINDLER: It's on the tape.
5	The laughing is on the tape.
6	MR. MCGOFF: I said, if you are going
7	to make accusations you are going to have to
8	expect a response.
9	MR. SPINDLER: It's not an
10	accusation, it's a fact. Don't waste my
11	time, please. Now, Mr. McGoff, as a
12	representative of the taxpayers of this city
13	you obligated to ask for an investigation of
14	city workers using city vehicles at
15	taxpayers' expense doing private work and

that's what Mr. Brazil did at that fire and

you also said Mr. Brazil acted in good faith in an attempt to help a business that was in jeopardy. You were told by the assistant chief, Mr. McGoff, that that building was not in jeopardy. You and Mr. Courtright with there and I was standing right by you. There is no denying that, so I think there 23 should be an investigation into that, that that is similar to a warden having prisoners

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

38

do work at his house and we know what happened there.

Another thing, Mr. McGoff, you took offense to Mr. Morgan's condemnation of basketball coaches. Well, I think you should pay attention these meetings more. All Mr. Morgan said that he was a basketball coach. Mr. Seitzinger, is a basketball coach. He never said anything condemning about it, all he -- the point that he was trying to make was that he wasn't qualified to be the head of licenses, inspections and permits. He never condemned basketball coaches, so please pay attention.

Next, everyone knows 93 percent of the firefighters took a vote, 91 percent of them voted to have the chief fired, but the mayor said it was politically motivated.

These people are looking out for their own safety. They were ordered to do things that they were fearful of their safety and the mayor says this man will be chief as long as he is the mayor. Well, all this mayor cares about is Chief Davis bringing in votes. He doesn't care about the safety of these

firefighters and Chief Davis should be fired immediately.

The headline in Saturday's Doherty
newsletter, "City Draws Corporate
Headquarters." Well, the company that's
going into that building, we lost a business
from that building that was here for
16 years, so we are not gaining a business,
we are just replacing a business and the
Scranton Times doesn't talk about the 20
businesses that left the city this past
year.

I'm going to read a quote from the paper what Mr. Gryzbowski had to say. "I owned in Scranton," Mr. Gryzbowski said Friday, "We wanted room to grow. I just didn't see eye to eye with a lot of city

decisions," and I think that's what a lot of businesses in this city are feeling. Mrs. Evans, at a meeting three or four weeks ago you brought about some line painting and the one meeting you weren't here I brought about, you brought it up in front of the Steamtown Mall, I brought that up a long time ago and I have been asking 

for years about lines be painted.

2 MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: You can be darn sure that green line will be painted for the St. Patrick's Day parade.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: But lines for safety reasons, for some reason they aren't getting painted. Lastly, I see where a shoe store moved into where Poochie was, but we didn't gain a business, the business just moved from the other end of the block. They moved from a business where they were paying taxes to a business where they are not paying taxes. Do they get the KOZ status on that building? Does anyone know? Well, if they do they are going from a taxpaying business

18	to a non taxpaying business.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.
20	MR. SPINDLER: That's all I have to
21	say. If I wanted to say more I would not
22	step down. Thank you.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Jean Suetta.
24	MS. SUETTA: Good evening. How are
25	we tonight?
	41
1	MR. COURTRIGHT: Fine.
2	MS. SUETTA: First of all, I want to
3	commend our firefighters for stopping that
4	fire from jumping over to the other side of
5	the alley or half of Providence Square would
6	have been gone. They did a marvelous job.
7	Thank you.
8	Now, Bill, Lace Works. You know
9	when I left here two weeks ago it was foggy,
10	I was going home over the Albright Avenue
11	bridge, right on Nay Aug Avenue, this
12	critter comes out, I thought it was a
13	raccoon, it was a big rat, a big rat. What
14	are you going to do about it down there? I
15	mean, it's a feeding ground for them. We
16	have kids in the neighborhood. What happens
17	if one of the kids get bit by the rat? I

mean, this was grandpa.

19	MR. COURTRIGHT: You know what, Jean,
20	I promise you tomorrow I will call
21	Mr. Seitzinger and ask him if he can go down
22	there with me and if we can
23	MS. SUETTA: I'll meet you.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: He probably doesn't
25	meet with you, no, I'm only kidding. If we
	42
1	can take a look at, I don't know, we're not
2	100 percent sure who owns it, but obviously
3	they are not taking care of it and it needs
4	to be taken care of so I will ask
5	Mr. Seitzinger, he has always been receptive
6	to me and I'll ask him tomorrow
7	MS. SUETTA: Because they are
8	parking tractor trailers there.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: It's a mess.
10	MS. SUETTA: I'm sorry.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm sorry I didn't
12	do it this week, it slipped my behind.
13	MS. SUETTA: And I think the roads
14	over in Iraq are better than Nay Aug Avenue,
15	I mean it. They are deplorable.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: I promise you
17	tomorrow I will call and ask.
18	MS. SUETTA: And DPW, Mr. McGoff,

19	when I was working on DPW we had a
20	supervisor that was doing reconstruction of
21	his house and having us remove his trash.
22	He got fired. You are not allowed to do it.
23	If that was the case when we got flooded
24	where were they to help us with ourselves to
25	salvage what we could? I mean, you do for
	43
1	one you do for all. Mrs. Fanucci, have they
2	started restoration on the Radisson yet?
3	MS. FANUCCI: They have not.
4	MS. SUETTA: No bids?
5	MS. FANUCCI: They have put it out to
6	bid, but there was nothing. They did not
7	make any type of progress on the money at
8	all, no.
9	MS. SUETTA: And aren't you putting
10	the money in the bank to get interest?
11	MS. FANUCCI: They don't get the
12	money. They don't receive the money until
13	the project is ready to go, no, so they
14	don't have the money.
15	MS. SUETTA: But, Bill, you will find
16	out for me?
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: I promise you
18	tomorrow I will call him.
19	MS. SUETTA: And put a

20	recommendation in for our firemen, they did
21	a fabulous job out there.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes, they did.
23	MS. SUETTA: They saved the block.
24	Okay, thanks.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Ms. Suetta.
	44
1	David Dobson. Daniel Hubbard. Mr. Dobson
2	is upstairs and says he doesn't wish to
3	speak.
4	MR. HUBBARD: Good evening, Council,
5	Daniel Hubbard. I'm just going to start
6	with a little history. This is July 20,
7	2007, Scranton Times, "City Council approved
8	\$35 million bond issue for the Scranton
9	Parking Authority. Plans call for the
10	demolition of the Oppenheim parking garage
11	and building a new \$9 million multi-level
12	structure on it's North Washington Avenue
13	site. Construction is supposed to begin
14	this year."
15	August 12, 2007, "The Connell
16	building also received a \$5 million state
17	grant called for developing apartments,
18	creating office and retail space on the

19 first floor and second floor and developing

20 underground parking for it's residents."

21 Okay. Same article, Mayor Doherty

22 quoted, "As far as the Connell building,

23 that should be underway soon now that is

24 city council has passed legislation

25 approving a \$35 million bond for the

project."

So, real quick question before I go to the next article, was the \$35 million bond for the parking authority or was that conveniently given to the parking authority so that it could be put into the Connell building project. The mayor is quoted as saying that the \$35 million bond was for the Connell building project in August.

Now, if nine million of that 35
million was put aside to demolish and build
a new facility in place of the Oppenheim
garage why do they need a million dollars
now out of the fund, the Grow Greener fund
when they already have nine million that you
guys gave them that was part of the
35 million? So as far as I'm concerned the
Oppenheim building garage is a done deal.
The nine million is in place for it, that's
what they needed to tear it down and build a

21	new structure.
22	Today's paper the mayor is quoted as
23	saying, "There will be parking for the
24	renovated Connell building and in an area
25	where we need it."

Well, is the Connell building underground parking for their building like they are quoted as saying in July or is the city building a parking garage for the Connell building? Because it's stated right here in the development plan that they are going to put an underground parking garage under the Connell building for the residents of the building.

Now, Mayor Doherty is quoted as saying today that the parking -- that the reason you guys have to give this million dollars to the parking authority is so that we can use -- we have to use the money now so we are going to use it for the Oppenheim building. Who is we? Who applied for the grant. That Grow Greener grant, five million grant, did the owner of the Connell building apply for the grant for his project or the did the city apply for a grant to

give him the money.

Now, I mean, seriously there is a

lot of disruption in what's going on here.

You guys passed a \$35 million bond issue for

the parking authority. Of that \$35 million

bond issue they are supposed to use — they are supposed to use it to renovate three of their existing garages and rebuild the fourth, which is the Oppenheim garage, so if nine million was earmarked to rebuild this facility last year why do we have to give them a million dollars out of the Grow Greener, I mean, let's face it, Growing Greener grant and parking it just doesn't seem like it kind of flows, you know. I would think Growing Greener we could use it to develop green space. Five million dollars, obviously, then we could probably get some of that \$60,000 from the trees for the flood siren.

But, seriously, I mean, who is building the garage for the Connell building? Is the City of Scranton building a garage for a private developer who has already stated that he was building his own garage underground and if we are building

the garage for him with the nine million out
of the 35 million why do we need another
million to go on top of it now? He is going
to use the other 40 million of the grants to

go to the Connell building project. Well, why just not use the \$5 million. Where has the \$35 million gone? Is it gone already? Is it spent? Have they refurbished any of the other three?

I mean, seriously it's a lot of money here. With \$35 million you should be able to refurbish three garages and rebuild one for nine million. I mean, that's \$26 million later just to refurbish three garages, but now we have to give them another million for the Oppenheim garage which is in my mind already paid for from the nine million.

Now quick, real quick on this one,

I'm not going to get into a long drawn out

argument over this, but as far as Mr. Brazil

goes and the art gallery, Mr. McGoff, you

saw me at that fire that day and the reason

I know that Mr. Brazil wasn't there all day

was because I helped Laura Craig and her

friend unload the entire gallery and carry
the paintings across the street to the
Plotkin shoe department. When the paintings
were placed up against the building across

the street safe away from the scene of the
fire and away from any problems the mayor's
wife showed up with Jeff Brazil in toe.
Then Mr. McAndrew showed up in a pick-up
truck. Mr. McAndrew and Mr. Brazil and a
DPW worker that I heard Mr. Brazil call on
his phone and tell him to come down there
and help him proceeded to take these
paintings to the Everhart Museum long after
the paintings were safe and away from water
or smoke because I was the one who helped
them carry all the pictures out, and you can
ask Laura Craig that because she asked me
who I was and I told her and I spent two
hours helping them clean that gallery out
and Mr. Brazil was no where to be seen.
MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Liz Hubbard.
MS. HUBBARD: Good evening, Council,
Liz Hubbard, Scranton resident, taxpayer. I
had a couple of things one of which the
question why was, Mr. McGoff, the seat was

changed, but that was covered earlier.

23	Judy, did you hear anything about the
24	skunk removal? Am I going to get a check or
25	what is the story?
	50
4	
1	MS. GATELLI: No. As a matter fact,
2	I didn't even see a letter in the box, Kay.
3	MS. GARVEY: I'm sorry, I was writing
4	something else, what was the question?
5	MS. GATELLI: The letter that I asked
6	to be sent about the skunks?
7	MS. GARVEY: Yeah. There was
8	something sent about the skunks and did you
9	get a response about that?
10	MS. GATELLI: No, I didn't even see
11	my letter.
12	MS. HUBBARD: I mean, now it seems
13	like we have giant rats plus skunks.
14	MS. GATELLI: I let you know when I
15	hear something. I'll talk about it later, I
16	don't want to take your time.
17	MR. HUBBARD: There is a lot of money
18	floating around this city for all kinds of
19	projects. I think that the city should
20	really try to contract with someone and it
21	doesn't necessarily have to be the same
22	person that did Cottage Avenue and my

23	skunks, but I think there is a lot of
24	people, people stop me in the grocery store
25	and mention that they have skunks where they
	51
1	live and massums and T masn itle
1	live and possums and I, mean, it's
2	disgusting. We shouldn't have I lived in
3	the country for 25 years and never saw a
4	skunk, I come to Scranton and they are
5	everywhere. And I do mean the four-legged
6	ones. I mean, I know the two-legged ones
7	have been here for awhile, but it's the
8	truth. I used to see a bear a week, deer
9	everyday, never saw a skunk, and now all of
10	a sudden they are everywhere. So, let's get
11	the city to do something with some of these

Now, the next question is on 5-E and F, the \$400,000 that's a loan, is that the same people that are in 5-F? Is all going to that Skyview?

community development block grant funds that

MS. GATELLI: Um-hum.

seem to go over other places.

MS. HUBBARD: Now, that Skyview is a private -- that's not a public housing development and, I mean, as far as I know that was built by private developers like back in the 80's, maybe even the late 70's.

24	Where	did	the	city	acquire	it?	Does	anybody
25	know?							

1	MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry?
2	MS. HUBBARD: How did the city
3	acquire it because didn't the newspaper say
4	that they sold it to these people? This
5	sounds to me like these guys are tax shelter
6	groups because when the tax laws change that
7	tax shelters you couldn't get them anymore,
8	the government cut back on them, you could
9	still get involved in a tax shelter if you
10	are in that income range where it would be
11	public housing, low income or elderly and
12	you just get a great return on your money
13	until the shelter runs out and by the time
14	is runs out you are already ready to retire
15	and don't need the tax shelter, but I don't
16	understand why the city is giving them the
17	money to renovate. I mean, I could take
18	\$100,000 and renovate my property, but I
19	can't even get a flood siren. So, I mean, I
20	think you people should really think twice
21	about giving them this money. If they I
22	mean, it's not a really nice area to begin
23	with and it isn't a city-owned project and I

1		MR.	MCGOFF:	Thank	you,	Mrs.	Hubbard.
2	Lee M	lorgan.					

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, council,
Lee Morgan, and a member of the Lackawanna
County Taxpayers; and Citizens' Association
and the reason I'm saying that is it I'm
also on the board of the Northeast Public
Access project and I'd just like to say
that, you know, I'm not a spokesman for this
group, but I would just like to say that we
are of the opinion that the transfer of the
operation of Channel 61 and 62 was done for
political reasons and I really find it
troubling what's happened here to be quite
blunt.

But I would like to move on and say
that I really appreciate what the Scranton
firemen did in regards to Chief Davis. I
really do honestly believe it's time for
that gentleman to resign and I really find
is a troubling thing when a mayor hires
somebody who is not capable of doing a job
and states to the people that he is going to
keep them there as long as he is the mayor

1	times before, I really don't know, you know,
2	I really can't tell you how many firemen
3	this city needs, but I can tell you we don't
4	need to cut any and we are looking to cut
5	expenses in the wrong places.
6	I mean, you know. We have I have
7	been coming here probably, wow, I don't
8	know, maybe almost two decades and I just
9	find it just so troubling that regardless of
10	who gets elected we just seem to have a
11	total lack of representation. The
12	neighborhoods have been in the shape they
13	have now for a very long time. We keep
14	bringing up on the agenda about how money is
15	being transferred and misspent and nobody
16	has a clue why, nobody has any information
17	about what's going on, and people are going
18	to find out later, but, you know, the worst
19	thing is it that, you know, we even have
20	people that aren't unqualified and you took
21	offense to me saying that Mark Seitzinger
22	was a basketball coach. There is nothing
23	wrong with a being a basketball coach.

MR. MCGOFF: It was a joke.

1	it's really not a joke. The real joke here,
2	and with all due respect to everybody on
3	council is, the things that this council
4	itself votes for and propels forward there
5	is things that are introduced by council
6	that never should have been introduced and
7	then later on we are trying to find out how
8	it got out of the bag and we have so many
9	questions and absolutely no answers and, you
10	know, nothing is hypothetical when it comes
11	to what this council votes to do and we have
12	so many people here that homes are being
13	leveraged by this city daily by it's out of
14	control borrowing and spending and no idea
15	what this money is being spent for.

You know, Mr. Hubbard has a nice paper back there, I was reading about people making dog parks in Hawaii for \$90,000 that dwarfs the one we have and then other ones for 55 grand and you just see that there is no parameters around how much money we are willing to waste here as if like the people in this city just have a ton of money and that's not the case and we are not even looking to save money here. I mean, it's

just wrong what this council is doing and
nothing is funny here.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, the divide I see at council is, Mr. McGoff with all due respect, three on this side for the mayor and two on that side against the mayor, and you know what, that's no way to run a city and for you to say that you are not going to get information for people because it's not your job to fetch it is just terrible and I hope you retract that statement and that you would move as the president of this council to inform all of the people in this city, including yourself, on what's actually happening here on, you know, everybody came up here and asked about where this money is going, where that money is going, we asked questions, we are going to answer them later or we'll find out next week and the answers have never really come. Never. Never.

And don't you think it's time for this council to finally for once let the people know where their money is going. I mean, if we are going to sell somebody's house because they can't pay their taxes

1	don't	you	think	we	should	try	to	lower	their
2	taxes?	?							

Don't you think for once we should try to do something about the sidewalks and the curbs. As I have spoken long -- for a long time it's time to invest in the neighborhoods. But, you know, we are not creating jobs here even with all of the stimulation that council want to pretend it's providing to the local economy it's really not. It's destroying in city.

I have people I grew up with and their children won't even live here and it's -- there is nothing to wonder about. We have got a wage tax which is regressive, our property tax is the same way. We have a garbage fee, we have all of these fees tied to our government and then you look at the people. Sit here and watch the zoning board or the planning board. If you are going to watch the zoning board watch them. You know, we have so many political hats in all of those boards it's just a shame. It really is. You know what we need to do, and I'm not trying to slay anybody, we got to

1	bring ordinary people in.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.
3	Jim Stucker.
4	MR. STUCKER: Mr. Courtright.
5	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Jim.
6	MR. STUCKER: I went a couple of
7	times we had to clean our gutters, our
8	drains, the leaves are still there on Oak
9	Street and they are blocking the water that
10	comes down. Remember that water we had,
11	that heavy stuff, well, there is no drain at
12	all on Oak Street or on Mark Street. They
13	put Mrs. Stirna, in front of Mrs. Stirnas
14	the water is coming down like a river. On
15	Oak Street that drain that's by the gas
16	station both sides was blocked. I used to
17	open them. I don't get no money for it and
18	I'm not doing it no more. If they paid me
19	would do it. I would take a shovel and a
20	rake and go and do it, but, no.
21	I went to I went to these public
22	works, right, I went to see I went to
23	the, what do you call it, I can't say the
24	name of it, they are supposed to put signs
25	up on Market Street, I had to go to the fire

1	station the other day and have them call the
2	cops come up on Market Street and tell them
3	there is no signs on Market Street for no
4	parking on the hill. There is two cars
5	there on Market Street right on the top,
6	right by the laundry mat there all the way
7	down to the bottom on both sides. The
8	cops the cops both called up the DP I
9	can't say the name of it, supposed to call
10	them and tell them to have signs up and they
11	said they're not doing it, the city is
12	supposed to do it, the city is supposed to
13	put signs up for people not to park on both
14	sides of the road. There is a lot there
15	is going to be a wreck there on Market
16	Street I know that, really, really bad.
17	They told me bring it up to city council to
18	Courtright about it.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: Well, we are going
20	to look into, Jim. Jim, it's tough parking
21	up there, Buddy, and the businesses need all
22	the parking they can get so that
23	MR. STUCKER: There's Stirna's, the
24	laundry mat, John Mattes' building, all the
25	way down to the bottom.

1	MR. COURTRIGHT: They are looking at
2	it. They are looking at it, Jim. Hang in
3	there.
4	MR. STUCKER: So, what about on
5	Lackawanna Avenue the holes over there are
6	so bad the buses, I'm afraid a car or a bus
7	is going to go flat on the tires. And on
8	Market by the Oppenheim and the Globe
9	Store, the old Globe Store, they are still
10	parking cars there, they are still parking
11	the buses. A couple of times the buses
12	couldn't get to the curb. I'm afraid
13	somebody is going to fall and hurt
14	theirselves and the buses are going to be
15	sued. They got to do something. You got to
16	have a ticket girl up there.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay, Jim.
18	MR. STUCKER: So, I hope you keep
19	putting signs up that says "No Parking" I'd
20	be happy.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: We are checking on
22	it.
23	MR. STUCKER: Please.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Okay.
25	MR. STUCKER: Okay.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Stucker.
2	MR. STUCKER: And I got to get ahold
3	of Pat somehow. He's going to give me a
4	ride home I got to see if I can get a cell
5	phone and call him.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: Maybe one of the
7	people out there will let you use their cell
8	phone, Jim.
9	MR. STUCKER: All right.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
11	MR. STUCKER: My birthday is next
12	Thursday or this Thursday.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: Happy birthday.
14	This Thursday? Happy Birthday.
15	MR. STUCKER: Sixty-three.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: You look good.
17	MS. EVANS: And many more.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: So long, Jim.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Stucker was the last
20	speaker to sign in, and anyone else?
21	MR. UNGARVSKY: Good evening, city
22	council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky and I'm a member
23	of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers.
24	Part of what we do is by try to inform the
25	people of what's going on. Last meeting I

1	came to city council and told you about a
2	woman who was in jeopardy of losing her
3	home. I was quite disappointed when I
4	walked away from this podium because the
5	only thing I heard from city council about
6	it was that it's not the city that did it,
7	Scranton doesn't do it, there was no
8	compassion and you had nothing to offer
9	about it. I think it's time that you remove
10	that immoral act and rescind the statute.
11	Does anyone have anything to say about
12	removing it?
13	MS. EVANS: You are referring to the
14	ordinance that increased the costs,
15	penalties, fines and fees, etcetera?
16	MR. UNGVARSKY: That is correct.
17	MS. EVANS: Yes. Well, I hope to
18	present that motion again tonight.
19	MR. UNGVARSKY: Fine, because I
20	asked for it at the last meeting and, like I
21	say, no one had anything to say about it and
22	I thank you, city council.
23	MS. KNIGHT: Good evening, Mrs. Evans
24	and, good evening, McGoff and
25	Mr. Courtright. Mr. McGoff, I'm here

2	to look at me, please. You took this
3	position and that seat that you are sitting
4	in, you are supposed to be highly educated.
5	Hum. I wouldn't want my kids having you as
6	a teacher.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your council, the two on your left are on the wrong side of the road. They egg on the people up there with their smiles, eating, laughing, talking about people that are out here on this side of the road and make comments about them while you sit there and do absolutely nothing. I would like to know if you all know the word respect. I know Mrs. Evans does and I know Mr. Courtright does. I wonder if you three do. If you don't, I'd like Mrs. Evans, and she is a teacher, to teach you that word because all three of you need it. You have become -- I thought when Mr. Courtright got in as president that he would make a five team group and he has divided. Mr. Courtright, you were right and Mrs.

I have known this all the time and I

Evans was right.

2	been down to council. That's what made me
3	come down here and tonight even started it
4	off. The lady is not here now, but why is
5	Judy sitting where she is. It's because of
6	you, Sherry, you couldn't get Mrs. Evans'
7	attention when you were trying to make jokes
8	about these people out there, so when the
9	change don't smile at me. Don't. Don't.
10	And you, too, Mr. Courtright. Not you,
11	Courtright, Mr. McGoff, I'm sorry, I got
12	your name confused, but you three are the
13	lowest things that can walk this earth.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, that's
15	MS. KNIGHT: Oh, that's
16	unprohibited. Oh, oh.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
18	MS. KNIGHT: I guess we can't use
19	words like that, but you all cannot give
20	answers. Mr. McGoff, do you realize that a
21	president of your position is to make sure
22	that you have a meeting every week with five
23	of your council people and all of the
24	questions that these people ask is up to you

1 these have an answer to their question.

25

to make the decision to have every one of

That's your job. That's what we pay you

3	for. And I think it's stinks that you get
4	\$500 a month. And, Judy, you don't have to
5	worry about your insurance because you are
6	getting paid \$500 a month, but the other
7	poor people out here have nothing. Some of
8	them are walking around this street with no
9	insurance.
10	And you are right, Mr. Courtright
11	and Mrs. Evans, you are left out of
12	everything that these three others, I can't
13	even call them people, I can't even call
14	them council because I have no other words
15	that I can use for them. And, yes, you will
16	grin at me the whole time, Sherry, and I
17	will grin back, but I think it's about time,
18	Mr. Courtright you took your job and your
19	MR. MCGOFF: It's Mr. McGoff.
20	Mr. Courtright is to my right.

MS. KNIGHT: Mr. McGoff. When you took your oath the first day you lied through your teeth to not only to God but to the father that stood next to you and your family and the rest of this council out here

because you have done nothing since you took

over and it is a shame. Don't you have a

3	backbone, a brain of your own? Be a man,
4	stand up to Mr. Doherty and these two and
5	his crew? I don't think so. I don't think
6	you have anything and I don't even know how
7	the heck you got past teaching and you don't
8	have to say thank you because I know it's
9	not coming from your heart.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Knight.
11	MR. GERVASI: Good evening, City
12	Council. Mr. McGoff, may I approach,
13	Council with some handouts?
14	MR. MCGOFF: Sure.
15	MR. GERVASI: City council, my name
16	is Dave Gervasi, I am a city resident and a
17	very proud firefighter. What I just handed
18	you was the press release. We gave it to
19	the press last week which was the result of
20	no confidence vote we had on our fire chief,
21	and listed on here are some of the concerns,
22	safety concerns, politics, procedures and
23	management practices of our chief at fire
24	scenes and/or lack thereof of management
25	procedures.

What we are here for tonight is I have been directed from my membership with the Scranton Firefighters to be here tonight

1

and, frankly, I didn't need any motivation.

I just want everyone to know what transpired this week, the truth. On the day we had the no confidence vote they got a response from Mayor Doherty and he said, and I read it on the Times website under their breaking news, that we had the press conference and he said, and I'm just paraphrasing, basically he didn't know much about this and he was going to keep the chief as long as he was in there.

So, to give the mayor the benefit of the doubt I picked up the phone and I called his office and I left a message, about five minutes later he personally called me back and I told him what I had read and I found it hard to believe that he didn't know what was going on with the incidents with the chief over the years and I asked him if we could have a meeting, and he agreed and last Thursday at I believe 10:30 in the morning we met with four members of our union, the

deputy chief, the mayor, I'm sorry, not the

deputy chief, the public safety director,

the chief and the mayor met.

4 The mayor asks us what our concerns 5 were, we highlighted these that we put out this press conference, told them of other 6 7 incidents that were maybe nonsafety such as 8 insensitive, inappropriate and plain old 9 nasty remarks and abuse, verbal abuse of our 10 members throughout the years and, frankly, I 11 have three witnesses that will say this, the 12 chief did not utter a word in his defense, 13 the public safety director did not utter a 14 word in his defense, even though we did have some discussion on different issues, and the 15 16 mayor did not defend him. The mayor simply 17 said that, "I will take care of all of this." 18 19 And we are -- I emphasize this, our 20

And we are -- I emphasize this, our membership is delighted that the mayor is willing to step up to the plate, they are going to start meeting on Thursday to discuss our safety concerns and the difficulties we have been having under safety, but one thing he did mention that he

69

was steadfast, he is not removing the chief
from office.

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

With that said, we asked him frankly what are you going to do with the chief? He

is not going to be interfering with the incident commander at fire scenes any longer. He is not going to be abusing us any longer. He is not going to embarrassing us in the press any longer. What exactly is he going to do? How are you going to justify paying this man that basically is not going to have — to perform the duties of chief? And he basically said I'm going to worry about that, but I'm going — he just kept referring to he is going to fix our situation.

And, again, we emphasize we are delighted that he is taking these concerns seriously, but, again, I have been directed to come here tonight, I would like you to review these things and I don't want you to take our word for it of these incidents that happened over the years.

We want you to investigate it because since the mayor is steadfast in not

removing the chief we all feel that we have
no leadership in this position, that he
cannot handle that position and somebody
competent needs to put in that position. So

I'm asking this council since the mayor will
not do that part of it, that we are
requesting and I'm asking this council to
get involved, I'm asking this council to
maybe have a caucus on TV, in chambers,
privately, whichever your preference is and
we will prove to you everything in our press
release is the truth and it's factual, and I
would ask this council that if unless you
condone any of these safety concerns we have
I would ask this council to please have a
meeting with us and if you find that these
awful, awful incidents took place and we
convince you that they are true, we are
asking this council to support us and be an
advocate for us for the removal of the fire
chief and have him replaced with somebody
who is competent and can do the job and can
lead this department.
This not fair to the mouse to have

It's not fair to the mayor to have to play fire chief. It's not fair for the

1	public safety director to have to direct our
2	department and it's not fair to the Scranton
3	Firefighters to have someone who cannot
4	handle the job, and I'm asking you this
5	would you please let us know at this point

6	if it's okay, and I know my time is up, but
7	I don't want to push it.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gervasi.
9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, could I
10	say something or would you prefer I wait?
11	MR. MCGOFF: We can address it during
12	motions, I believe.
13	MR. GERVASI: Thank you.
14	MR. PATILLA: Good evening, Mrs.
15	Evans, Mr. Courtright. Sam Patilla, member
16	of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers'
17	Association. First I'd like to commend
18	Operation Democracy in their quest to seek
19	that the elections have a paper trail that
20	can be verified and both can be verified.
21	Secondly, I would like to commend
22	Scranton Today for keeping us informed of
23	city business and what's going on. And,
24	thirdly, I would like to commend the
25	Scranton Fire Department, especially in

light of all of the harsh treatment and pain that they have had to suffer at the hands of this administration over the years. And as I was viewing that fire in the Providence area, the Providence Square area the first

thing that came to mind was arson and it

didn't take me by surprise when the news

report came back and said that the fire

department was investigating for arson.

Thirdly, I mean, fourthly, I would

Thirdly, I mean, fourthly, I would like to, you know, from the laymen's point of view, the naked eye, all of this money transferring from the parking authority, to the city, to the housing authority, yada, yada, yada, to the average person this looks like money laundering point blank, you know, Peter robbing to pay Paul to pay Mary to pay Sue. That's all that it looks like. On week you are giving money, the next you are taking money back or are you sitting in another one. It's looks straight up like money laundering.

Now, I have been speaking to quite a few of the seniors in the area in reference to this spend less programs that is going on

throughout the state and throughout the nation. Me myself, I throw it back in their face, because we don't need money to keep us quiet, you know, that money can be used to pay off that debt we have in China, the money could be used that the Commonwealth

7	has to pay off the outstanding debts that we
8	have here the same thing around Scranton.
9	When I see the stimulus the first

things that comes to mind is Ed Rendell,

George W. Bush, enough said, all right?

They put the Commonwealth and this country
in the predicament that they are, they we
are already in, it's the same thing with

Doherty all right? You cannot continue to
borrow, borrow, borrow. Sooner or later the
banks are going to say no. The people are
going to say, no, and that institution is
going to collapse and it's going to call
around your ears and that's exactly what's
happening here, okay?

All these specialities of the economic business world all stated the same thing that I stated here, okay, you can't spend, you can't solve this problem by

spending money. You have to solve this
problem by reducing, wise reduction, not
lower employee midmanagement, unnecessary
deadweight at the top, that's where you
reduce, that's what you get rid of, that's
what you cut back at.

7 We, as a city, have to take our 8 finances by the throat, not by the reigns, by the throat and give them a good shake 9 10 because it's out of control, you know, you 11 cannot allow Chris Doherty or anybody else 12 to continue to borrow or to loan out our money and our debts aren't getting paid. 13 14 The interest doesn't go away. It grows by 15 the day and until we had a majority on the 16 council who are actually for the people we 17 are going to have these problems. You know, I hear residents 18 19 complaining about skunks. Well, when your 20 head is a skunk quite naturally they are 21 going to attract the rest of them. 22

going to attract the rest of them.

Okay, you want to plant trees, you are going to attract critters because while you are planting that tree you are not

taking care of that lot, you are just making

75

another blight, you are just making a home for them, all right?

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

And the city administration as a whole, you know, we have good individuals on council and the school board and other areas within this city, but as a whole we have a bunch of pole cats that need to be

eradicated because they are driving this
city to bankruptcy and that's exactly what's
going to happen. The state is not going to
be able to bail us out because sooner or
later people are going to wake up and
realize what Rendell is doing. The federal
government is not going to able to bail us
out because they have already mortgaged us
to China. If they took some of this money
that want and give it to these people, and I
know a lot of people need that money, we
could really use that money, what we could
really use is paying off our debt.
Getting our property back from China,
getting our state back from corporate
America, the health industry, that's how we
get back. Thank you. Good night, Mrs.
Evans and Mr. Courtright.

MR. MCGOFF: Good evening, Council.
My name is Matt MacDonald, I'm a Scranton
firefighter, I'm also a member of the
executive board for Local 60. I want to
come here tonight, obviously, you have never
seen me before, this is my first time in
speaking. I just want to touch on the no

conflict vote that we had. I just want to say that the vote that we took was not taken lightly by any of our members. It was not political in nature, I want to stress that. It was not meant humiliate or embarrass anybody. What our -- the vote was for was our safety and the safety of the citizens of Scranton.

On the last page of the press
release the numbers of the vote are on the
back, it's 93 percent of our firefighters
cast a vote on February 6. Out of the
93 percent that voted 91 percent have
absolutely no confidence in fire chief Tom
Davis. I find these statistics very
troubling as a young firefighter. I have
approximately six years on the job, I have
witnessed Chief Davis give very dangerous

orders at fire scenes. One, if the

gentleman who was ordered who has less time

on than I did, and this was approximately

two years ago, if he did not take Chief

Davis' order he probably would not be with

us today. He was ordered on a roof that two

minutes after he refused to go on collapsed

which is absolutely ridiculous that a young

9 firefighter had to counterman his fire chief in that nature on the scene of the fire. 10 11 Pretty much I'm here for the younger members of our department, like I said, I 12 13 have six years on it. There is 14 approximately 40 firefighters underneath me who are afraid of Tom Davis as the fire 15 16 chief because of some of his actions on and 17 off the fire scene. He gives dangerous 18 orders that young firefighters have to 19 counterman. It's equivalent to a private countermanning a general in the military 20 21 sense. This is unacceptable for us at the 22 Scranton Fire Department. 23 Like I said, the younger members are 24 fed up and they are scared and we beg the

council to have Fire Chief Tom Davis and

78

representation from the union to sit down and discuss these issues that we have because these are only a few of the issues that we have with Chief Davis.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

I know, I was at the meeting with Mr. Gervasi, we had the mayor stating that he would take care of it, that's fine, but we still need leadership in the Scranton

9	Fire Department, leadership that has lacked
10	over the past six years. We have had none.
11	We have had no leadership.
12	In this post 9/11 world the fire
13	service has been asked to take on tasks that
14	it's never had to before. More dangerous
15	tasks than we had to before and, frankly, we
16	need a fire chief who can take on those
17	responsibilities and I believe along with
18	nearly 90 percent of our entire department
19	that Chief Davis is not the man to do that.
20	Thank you, Council.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
22	Mr. MacDonald.
23	MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher,
24	citizen and member of the Taxpayers'
25	Association. Originally I didn't plan on

1	speaking on 5-D until I heard other speakers
2	and then I got my notes out because I
3	attended the Scranton Parking Authority
4	meeting on 16th of January and their Growing
5	Greener project was discussed as a solar
6	canopy which has photo-rotated cells for
7	producing electricity and also it would
8	remove the run-off problem. The stated
9	amount needed was \$22,000 for the design and

obtaining a grant and now instead of that grant we are apparently going to supply the grant money ourselves from another project.

So, I would suggest that you table this until Mr. Scopelliti could come down here for a caucus and explain why this \$1 million transfer is actually required.

Last week Mrs. Fanucci gave a rough definition -- or two weeks ago, excuse me, of Ben Franklin's famous quote on insanity which is doing the same thing over and over and expecting different results. Less important than whether our mayor, therefore, is insane for continuing to pursue the 27 pay period issue for the firefighters after losing the exact same issue for the police

is his lack of stewardship of our taxes by lining the pockets of attorneys to fight a lost battle.

On February 13 the monopoly
newspaper article reported the state police
had cited a downtown restaurant for
operating without a valid permit or license,
a valid health permit or license. The
permit for the restaurant had expired on

October 31 of the prior year. I asked

myself how many other eating establishments

in the city are in the same boat? Could it

be the overseeing department is not properly

performing this function? Who knows, but I

would think you people would certainly be

interested.

Now to move to Nay Aug park and the

Now to move to Nay Aug park and the \$305,000 amphitheater which cost taxpayers what, \$150,000 a year? I think it was usable for two years, maybe three, I could be off, but what's the difference whether it's \$100,000 a year or \$150,000 a year? Why was that amphitheater not insured? Why does the general contractor refuse to answer questions relative to the maintenance of the

1	tent? Could it be that the \$305,000 of our
2	taxes are being flushed down the toilet
3	because of poor maintenance? I am strongly
4	suspicious that that may be the case because
5	the otherwise, I see no reason why the
6	general contractor wouldn't say that what
7	the requirements for the maintenance were.
8	That's a lot of money to tear down and flush
9	away.

Now to the treehouse. I can't help

but wonder why the construction was split
into two pieces? The city contracted for
the foundation, concrete and steelwork to
the tune of \$229,000. Who contracted for
the wood and timber construction, grading at
the roadside for ramp access, permanent
electrical roofing and appurtenances,
specifically excluded from the contract the
city had with that general contractor. Who,
other than the city, is authorized to
protract for fixed assets in a public park?
There will be more on this coming weeks as I
hopefully will get answers to Right to Know.
So far I have been stiffed when I asked to
see a copy of the contract because it

1	appears that the Scranton Redevelopment
2	Authority which may have funded this project
3	or contracted for the balance of that effort
4	tells me that a legal review is necessary to
5	determine whether the record is a public
6	record subject to access under the Act.
7	Well, my goodness, a copy of the contract is
8	pretty explicit in the Right to Know what is
9	available to the public and I question why
10	I'm having such a difficult time.

11	Then, now to Mr. McGoff and his
12	direction that citizens should pursue
13	answers via Right to Know since it's not
14	council's job to fetch information for
15	citizens. My success rate on Right to Know
16	responses is less than stellar. I brought a
17	few of them with me tonight and I'll bring
18	them back next week. Thank you very much.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Ms.
20	Schumacher.
21	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Hello, Bill.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: Hi, Chris.
23	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Mrs. Fanucci, I got
24	a question for you tonight, only you, Mrs.
25	Fanucci, only you.
	83
1	MS. FANUCCI: All right.
2	MR. SLEDZENSKI: The other day I was
3	walking at the Steamtown Mall the other day,

MS. FANUCCI: All right.

MR. SLEDZENSKI: The other day I was

walking at the Steamtown Mall the other day,

you know, that big store at the mall

upstairs, it's out of business up there now.

There is two businesses out of the mall up

there, they are gone. The old shoe store on

top, two businesses are gone.

MS. FANUCCI: The mall, I know.

MS. SLEDZENSKI: They are gone.

11 Okay. Thank you.

12	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Chris.
13	MS. KRAKE: Good evening, Council, my
14	name is Nancy Krake. I would like to
15	explain what a sheriff's sale is to
16	Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli, and Mrs. Fanucci.
17	This is an example of the poster that we
18	have this month in the City Treasurer's
19	Office, it comes from the sheriff's office.
20	These are all of the properties that are
21	being sold in Lackawanna County. This is
22	usually between a mortgage company or a bank
23	and a property owner who are not paying
24	their mortgage.
25	What Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli and

1	Mrs. Fanucci have done is to expose the
2	property and homeowners of the City of
3	Scranton to this action. Every week Mrs.
4	Gatelli says the county has a sheriff's sale
5	for delinquent taxes, they do not. They
6	file a petition for confirmation nisi.
7	After 30 days they file a petition for
8	confirmation absolute, the tax claim upset
9	sale. Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli and
10	Mrs. Fanucci voted for and, therefore,
11	agreed with Mayor Doherty that if you can't

pay your house taxes the city should take you to sheriff's sale and put your property and homes up for the highest bidder. If Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci tried to explain these facts away again this evening, just remember their disclaimers in past weeks when they said the fines and the ordinances and that particular ordinance would hardly apply to everyone. We have already seen and heard of many examples and I am sure your constituents are contacting you.

I would also ask people who incurred these unjust fines to remember who imposed

them, Mr. Doherty, Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci. Unfortunately, this is the first time a sheriff's sale has ever had what you allowed the City of Scranton to do.

There are five examples on here of the Portonoff law firm going after people's property for Mid-Valley School District taxes, North Pocono School District taxes and Abington Heights School District taxes. This is obscene. And you know that the law firm of Abrahamsen, Moran and Conaboy and Northeast Credit and Collection who are now

doing it for the city are the persons

benefiting from this ordinance. The money

flowing into their coffers from our pockets

will not benefit any city services.

Finally, I would like to ask everyone

to remember that no matter what monies these
agencies claim to bring in the same amounts

to remember that no matter what monies these agencies claim to bring in the same amounts and most likely more would have been collected if the City of Scranton had a city treasurer's sale and/or an amnesty for delinquent property taxes. I would hope you could find it in your hearts to amend this ordinance.

1		MR.	MCGOFF:	Anyone	else?	Fifth
2	Order	Mrs	Evans			

MS. EVANS: Yes. Before I begin with what I have prepared for this evening, I did want to address the pleas that came before us this evening from members of the Scranton Fire Department. I must admit as they spoke several questions entered my mind, some of with which were voiced by the speakers, but again, I, too, am wondering then what are we paying the fire chief for, the fire chief who just recently was granted what, a

\$13,000 raise in the new 2008 budget? Is there not a chain of command within the fire department where complaints, discrepancies, any matter of concern to firefighters would be registered, a hierarchy of sorts. It would make absolutely no sense to me that the fire chief, that the public safety director who is above the fire chief, they would know nothing of what's been going on for six years. I find that very hard to believe.

I am, as they said, delighted that

I am, as they said, delighted that the mayor is going to finally provide the

1	equipment necessary for these men and women
2	to do their job appropriately and safely,
3	not only for their sakes, but for the
4	citizens of Scranton, but I have to wonder
5	why was this equipment withheld for six
6	years? The mayor himself said, you've got
7	to come to me, I make the call on the
8	equipment, who gets and who doesn't get,
9	that's a very telling statement and I guess
10	I'd like to ask him then why did the fire
11	department get nothing for six years? Why
12	did you withhold?

But, again, I guess what our primary

concern this evening would be the fact that
both of these gentlemen have requested
council to conduct a caucus between members
of the fire department, the fire chief,
perhaps the public safety director and
members of council and I, for one, would
very much like to see that caucus occur. I
am in favor of such an idea. I don't know
that the entire council would be, I can't
speak for anyone but myself, but again, I
would say I will sit down with them and I
don't have a problem doing that at any place

at any time. Perhaps as other council members speak this evening they would like to address the issues, too, or maybe they would now.

MR. COURTRIGHT: Mr. McGoff, if I
may? Mrs. Evans, would you mind? She
interrupts me all the time, so I'm sure I
can get one time. I would just like to say
this, you know, I heard these accusations on
the radio, some of them anyway and now I
have read them through briefly, and they are
strong accusations, some of them are very
strong, and I believe in being fair to all

concerned. I don't know whether these
accusations are true or false, I have no
idea, but I certainly think that Chief Davis
deserves the right to defend himself.

I would recommend this, and this is
my committee public safety, and if every

my committee public safety, and if every council member would like to be there, fine. If just myself and another, Mr. McGoff or maybe another representative, fine, but I would like to see us do this first if maybe, I'm sure Mr. Davis has these list of accusations, you know, I'd like to see if

maybe we can't ask him to come and meet with some us or all of us, ask our stenographer to be present and if he can just answer to each and every one of these to defend himself.

I think everybody has a right to defend themselves and at that point after we get his answers maybe then we meet altogether because there is two sides to every story, because I got to be honest with you, if even one, if even one of these things is true then that's dangerous and I'm praying that none of these are true, but I would like to offer him the opportunity, you

know, at a controlled meeting where it would
just be, and I don't know if the
firefighters would be opposed to this or
not, where it would just be Chief Davis and
either all of council or some of council to
ask him to answer the questions, and the
only reason I say that to do it that way
first, just first, so that we don't have
we wouldn't have a back and forth and
arguing session.

And then after we got his answers

then we can see about having a meeting I would think with all of us, but some of them are, man, are very serious and if I was Chief Davis I would want to defend myself, and I'm sure he does.

That would be my suggestion, and I don't know if the rest of council would be willing to go along with that, I just felt that being that it's my committee I needed to say something on it, so I would ask the rest of council if you would consider that suggestion. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I would be very willing to consider that and since it is a personnel

15	issue I would imagine that would be
16	protected under the Sunshine Law to be a
17	closed meeting, would it not?
18	MS. GATELLI: Attorney Minora?
19	MR. MINORA: I think that's correct.
20	I think that's correct, because it would
21	deal with hiring and firing and matters of
22	personnel, so I think it ought to be a
23	confidential meeting.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Excuse me one more
25	moment, I'm sorry. The only reason I ask
	91
1	that it be appropriate to maybe have a
2	stenographer there so that there would be no
3	misinterpretation on what was said because,
4	again, God, these are some serious, serious
5	accusations and I certainly think the
6	gentleman has the right to defend himself.
7	MC EVANC. Well I would come with
7	MS. EVANS: Well, I would agree with
8	that and then council could discuss it
	-
8	that and then council could discuss it
8	that and then council could discuss it afterwards. So perhaps we could try between
8 9 10	that and then council could discuss it afterwards. So perhaps we could try between now and next week to set a time and a date

like to poll council outside of here, I

mean, I don't know that we want to -- I

14

16	don't think you want to discuss it here, but
17	maybe you can poll us outside and see if
18	they're willing to do it and if everybody is
19	if we have three that are willing who you
20	would you think needs to be there or not be
21	there.
22	MR. MCGOFF: If we can wait five
23	minutes after the meeting.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Sure, and I'm so
25	sorry, I keep taking your time, I'm not even
	92
1	
1	saying today if you feel comfortable calling
2	us tomorrow.
3	MS. GATELLI: I said let
4	Mr. Courtright and Mr. McGoff, it's your
5	committee.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: That's fine by me
7	if that's fine by the rest of you.
8	MS. FANUCCI: That's fine.
9	MS. GATELLI: I don't think we all
10	need to be there.
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: I hate to prolong
12	this, but it's a serious issues. If and
13	when, you know, we would have that meeting,
14	Mr. McGoff, and we had our answers then at
15	that point in time maybe we could all meet.

16	I just don't think these questions could go
17	unanswered because in all fairness to
18	everybody some people are going to believe
19	that these are all true, some people are
20	going to believe that none of these are true
21	and when something like this happens,
22	unfortunately, I think you have to answer
23	them. So, if that's all right with the rest
24	of the members.
25	MS. GATELLI: How about if I make a
	93
1	motion that Mr. Courtright and Mr. McGoff
2	set up a meeting to discuss these
3	allegations and then come back to council.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: That would be great.
5	MS. FANUCCI: I'll second that.
6	MR. MCGOFF: There is a motion to set
7	up a meeting between Chief Davis and members
8	of council, selected members of council, to
9	discuss the allegations made by the
10	firefighters.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question?
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question.
13	MS. EVANS: I would be willing to
14	forego being present at this meeting if
15	there is a stenographer because I would like

the meetings of that meeting -- the minutes

17	of that meeting, excuse me, made available
18	to everyone on council.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: I would feel
20	comfortable having it without that because I
21	certainly wouldn't to be held accountable
22	for what Chief Davis said, you know, let it
23	be on the record and then every member of
24	council could have it and if there is
25	something council needs to do, fine. If

1 we're out of our league on this then, you 2 know, but I'm recommending a stenographer. 3 MR. MCGOFF: The only thing that I -if we, in fact, ask for this meeting it 4 being a management issue I'm sure the 6 meeting would be at the discretion of the mayor. I don't think that we can compel 8 Chief Davis himself so the request would 9 have to go through the mayor and the Director of Public Safety and whatever 10 11 other --12 MR. COURTRIGHT: I'm sure that's the 13 proper way to do it. MR. MCGOFF: I would be more than 14 15 happy to maybe that contact and make

whatever arrangement is necessary.

17	MR. MINORA: You need to vote on it.
18	MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor
19	signify by saying eye?
20	MS. EVANS: Aye.
21	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
22	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.

MS. EVANS: I have several other

1

95

2 matters to address this evening, 3 particularly in light of my absence due to 4 an illness on February 5, and I do apologize 5 to the people for missing a meeting, I was 6 ill and I ask for your understanding. 7 First, the Scranton Times reported the city's principle long-term debt is 8 9 \$94 million. Following the release of the 2006 audit on February 7, 2008, I obtained 10 11 my copy to determine an accurate financial status. As of December 31, 2006, the 12 13 Doherty long-term debt is \$226,925,015.00. This figure includes principle and interest 14 as well as the debts amassed by the Scranton 15 16 Redevelopment Authority, Parking Authority 17 and Sewer Authority, and the debt service

for capitalized leases. \$227,000,000 in debt through the year 2033. Please keep in mind this figure, 227 million does not, does not include the 35 million plus interest borrowed in 2007 by the parking authority nor does it include the proposed \$11 million plus interest the mayor will borrow this year. By year's end, we will reach

approximately 300 million in long-term debt. Don't allow the apologists from the Scranton Times and this administration to spin this figure any other way. If the truth be told, they know and I know that principal applies to your pay off today and we all know that is an utter impossibility for Scranton.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, do
you worry about your mortgage pay off today
or do you think of your mortgage payments
over 25 years? Do you pay \$25,000 for our
knew car today, and if you do you are a
lucky individual, but if you are like most
of us you take a four or five-year loan for
maybe 35 to \$40,000 in principal and
interest, so think critically. Think for
yourselves. Is your life better, safer

today for 300 million in long-term Doherty debt? 227 million in five years, 300 million in seven years, so plan for your future in our city at least through the Year 2033. Is this the mayor's recovery? His recovery is a financial nightmare. Remember, union workers had to changes, no changes whatsoever in six years, so you can't blame them. Health care? It appears 

changes whatsoever in six years, so you can't blame them. Health care? It appears that through at least October of 2007 health care costs were under budget according to PEL, the Pennsylvania Economy League, so the excuses are weak. Does our city need recovery? Absolutely, yes. Now more, much more, than we did in 2002, but we need smart recovery. The former Recovery Plan actually terminated in 2005 and PEL stated that it was working on a revised plan in 2007 and I hope it is.

I hope for smart recovery. Halt wasteful spending, stop the borrowing, sell union contracts and pay down the debt. And, as a side note, initial stages of the 2007 independent audit began in January of 2008.

Now, in response to Mr. DeSarno's

question posed three weeks ago regarding
frivolous spending, I do not approve of
frivolous spending and my record as a
councilwoman reflects that. I have created
three balanced budgets to avoid tax
increases and to halt the borrowing. I did
not vote for any unnecessary borrowing,

inflated mayoral budgets or questionable tax increases, and I won't. Further, I will never agree to contract violations and wasteful litigations. I will never engage in bad faith policies or procedures and this is not a matter of perception. You can take it to the bank.

Next, I wish to commend Cathy

Carrera, city grant's writer, and five

firefighters for obtaining a \$318,000 FEMA

grant for the fire department communications

equipment. Too often credit is misplaced by

the newspaper and the boss receives the

acclaim when the employees have the idea,

they do the work and they bring it to

fruition. So, thank you to Miss Carerra and

to those five very smart firefighters.

Now, I was not surprised to learn

that the mayor's committee selected Electric

City TV to run Channel 61 and 62, and as

soon as the announcement to seek proposals

ccurred the red flag flew. There are a

number of matters that I'd like to bring to

the attention of the public. Electric City

TV who received the committee and hence the

mayor's nod of approval had requested four
phases of funding. Phase 1, \$300,000.
Phase two, \$200,000. Phase three, \$200,000.
Phase four, approximately \$160,000. I
remember when I think the editorial list
called Scranton Today a ward of the state
when they requested \$25,000 and then got
\$13,000 from city council, so, I'd have to
ask what does this make Electric City TV, a
mistress? The free studio that Scranton
Today was accused of turning down appears in
the Electric City's budget and they would
like \$28,000 to renovate the studio and
\$36,000 in annual maintenance costs.

Now, in addition to that, I believe that Marywood University and the University of Scranton operated Channel 62 with the assistance of Scranton Today and no where in the Electric City proposal do I see any

20	mention of either Marywood or the university
21	continued involvement with that station, so
22	that raises a question as well. As far as
23	I'm aware we seem to be giving almost a
24	million dollars to an unknown entity on a
25	promise to deliver without any type of

1	accountability or oversight. I'm not
2	opposed to giving them this money, in fact,
3	I suggested long ago that the franchise fee
4	be used to help fund Scranton Today, hence,
5	Channel 61 each year of their operation, so
6	this idea comes as no great surprise to me,
7	but I think when we are talking become a
8	million dollars worth of ratepayer's money
9	there should be oversight and
10	accountability.
11	Therefore, I move that city council
12	create an appoint an oversight committee to
13	ensure that Electric City TV follow through
14	on all of the promises enumerated in their
15	proposal.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: I'll second that.
17	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Who
18	would comprise this committee?

MS. EVANS: I believe the committee

20	should be appointed by city council. I was
21	thinking, for example, there might be five
22	members of the committee, and each member of
23	council could appoint one member of the
24	oversight committee.
25	MR. MCGOFF: And the responsibilities
	101
1	of the committee would be?
2	MS. EVANS: To report to city
3	council. They will be overseeing what money
4	is given to Electric City TV, how that money
5	has been utilized and having in hand their
6	proposals they will check to see that what
7	has been guaranteed in return for this money
8	will indeed occur on Channel 61 on 62.
9	MS. GATELLI: Would they just be like
10	an advisory committee?
11	MS. EVANS: They are an oversight
12	committee and then they would report their
13	findings whether annually or semi-annually
14	to council.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Again, I don't mean to
16	belabor it I'm just trying to go through the
17	process of how it would work and exactly how
18	the committee what we do with the
19	information received from the committee as

well.

21 MS. EVANS: Well, I think we have the
22 responsibility then to make sure that,
23 indeed, they are according to -- what is
24 reported to us, they are abiding by the
25 terms of the agreement and if they are not

then the cable franchise fee should be withheld at least for a period of time in which they would be able to perhaps remedy the situation, but it's a great deal of money that has never been given to that television station since it's inception.

Never. This is a first and because it is a first and because it is a first and because it is such a significant amount of money there must be oversight and as it stands there is none.

MR. COURTRIGHT: You know, on the question, I just think that they going to get such a large amount of money and we wouldn't give Scranton Today anything, we gave them \$13,000, I would really like to see what they are going to do with all of that money and if, in fact, if we don't have any type of control over what they are doing, I mean, who is to say that they will even come to close to saying what they are

21	going to do.
22	MS. GATELLI: What would be the
23	ramifications if they didn't?
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Withhold the money
25	from them.
	103
1	MS. GATELLI: Do we have the power to
2	withhold the money from them?
3	MS. FANUCCI: We don't have the power
4	to do that.
5	MS. GATELLI: Does council have the
6	power to do that?
7	MS. EVANS: I think council does
8	have, for example, investigative powers and
9	when things within any department let's say
10	or with this agreement are amiss I believe
11	we have the duty and the responsibility to
12	step in and hold people accountable.
13	MS. FANUCCI: I have no problem
14	holding them accountable, my problem is at
15	the end of it all what do we do then? We
16	have the information, if we don't have the
17	power to say where the money is going and
18	where the money is not going then what
19	happens? What can we do at the end of it.
20	I have no problem on the idea, I think it's

a good idea, but we have to sit down and I

think we have to create something that we
would have as a guideline for us that we
know that if this happens this is what would
happen then, maybe create it in the

legislation or create in some way that we have our power and know what our power is, so I would vote that maybe we table it and discuss what it is that we would want after maybe that withhold money or in the next budget time that we do something, whatever the ramifications would be, that we would sit and discuss what we would want those to be. So, I would like to make a motion to table it and try to figure out what it is we want to do if we do get information that we have the legislation.

MS. EVANS: Well, I think basically you can determine that when you decided or rather agreed to create the committee, because we are not, for example, tonight naming committee members or anything of that nature, so we are merely agreeing tonight to create an oversight committee that would be appointed by city council.

MS. FANUCCI: Well, I have a motion

23	anyone would like to second. That's all I
24	have.
25	MS. EVANS: Well, you do realize, and
	105
1	I have one on the floor though before that,
2	but you do realize that those monies are
3	part of the city's operating budget.
4	MS. FANUCCI: I realize that quite
5	frankly, yes, that's why I said we could do
6	something in the next budget year, that's
7	what I'm trying to say. What is that we
8	could do to withhold money from the next
9	budget because if the money has already been
10	provided with the first budget and they get
11	the money in the beginning we have no power
12	to go take the money back from them, so it
13	would be the next budget year is where our
14	legislation would lie.
15	MS. EVANS: Well, it's coming in four
16	phases, so let us say they proceed with
17	phase one
18	MS. FANUCCI: Well, right, but then
19	they can't
20	MS. EVANS: And if they are not in
21	compliance they don't go into phase two.
22	MS. FANUCCI: I've got a motion on

to table and that's on the floor, so if

23	+ h a	floor.
/)		1 1 ( )( ) [

24			MR.	MCGC	FF:	Well,	Ι	think	the	ic	lea
25	of	an	oversi	aht	comn	nittee	is	fine	and	Ι	also

think that perhaps we could implement the
idea tonight with the possibility of having
it included in the contractual language of,
you know, the operation of Channel 61,
present the idea, you know, to the mayor and
have it included in the actual contract so
that that committee would exist, you know,
we would have already voted to create the
committee and then if, in fact, we can
include it the agreement.

MS. EVANS: I don't know that you need to include it in the contract though, this is coming from the city's side of it and we do have the power to create, draft legislation and pass it, so what I would ask, for example, with regard to the suggestion you made is this, and if the mayor refuses an oversight committee does that mean then none will ever exist and this company walks away with a million dollars without being held responsible in any way, shape or form for the five years of their

23	contract?
24	MR. MCGOFF: That wasn't what I had
25	in mind, but I thought that the most
	107
1	
1	effective way of implementing the idea would
2	be to have it within the contract with ECTV,
3	is it ECTV?
4	MS. EVANS: Yes.
5	MR. MCGOFF: That that would be the
6	most effective way.
7	MS. EVANS: I agree.
8	MR. MCGOFF: And if, in fact, that
9	there is a disagreement then I think that we
10	can further discuss it to, you know,
11	implement it in another way, but I think the
12	first step would be to see if we can't make
13	it the most effective way and then, you
14	know, continue from there if min fact mit's
15	not pertinent.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Mrs. Evans' motion
17	is just to form the committee.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Right.
19	MR. COURTRIGHT: So if we form the
20	committee we can hash this stuff out.
21	MR. MCGOFF: That's what I said, and
22	that's what I thought was most reasonable.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: And I would leave to

24	see it in the contract, that would be great
25	if you could get it in contract then that
	108
1	would appease any
2	MR. MCGOFF: I don't think there is
3	anything to stop us from creating a
4	committee and then we can determine at a
5	later date what the specifics duties of that
6	committee would be and how it would go
7	about.
8	MS. EVANS: And the membership.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Right, and all of that
10	other stuff. There wasn't a second to your
11	motion.
12	MS. FANUCCI: No.
13	MR. MCGOFF: To table it. Then we
14	are on the motion, the motion was made and
15	seconded to create an oversight committee
16	for the operation of Channel 61.
17	MS. EVANS: But I had also added, I
18	believe, that the membership would be
19	appointed by city council.
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: One member for each
21	council member.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Did we add that?
23	I just want to make sure we have the wording

25	MR. MINORA: So the motion
	109
	109
1	includes
2	MS. EVANS: To create an oversight
3	committee for ECTV.
4	MR. MINORA: Of five individuals
5	appointed by council?
6	MS. EVANS: Yes.
7	MR. MINORA: And who on council would
8	appoint them?
9	MS. EVANS: Each council member.
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Each member would
11	pick one person.
12	MR. MCGOFF: With one member by each
13	council member.
14	MS. GATELLI: I think we can stagger
15	the terms on that.
16	MS. EVANS: But that everyone has a
17	part in this.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Garvey, are you
19	MS. GARVEY: I'm trying.
20	MR. MCGOFF: I just want to make sure
21	that we have the wording right and that
22	it's, you know, it's what we want. And so
23	basically the motion is, just so we are
24	clear, to create an oversight committee for

properly so that we are not --

1	by the five members with appointed by the
2	individual members of council. All in favor
3	signify by saying aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Aye.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
6	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
9	ayes have it and so moved.
10	MS. EVANS: Thank you. As of today,
11	February 19, I received no response from
12	Mr. Hayes and Mr. Brazil for the written
13	snow removal policy. I hope I'm not to
14	assume that there is no policy or that maybe
15	they withheld the information because they
16	don't like me, but I believe I'm in good
17	company since hundreds of city residents
18	over the years have told me that they never
19	received responses as well or are scolded
20	for their persistence. So, maybe, you know,
21	some of these bosses earning the big bucks
22	need a big broom to sweep them out when they
23	don't answer questions and they won't help
24	citizens with their problems.

1	interrupt, but I did receive this to be
2	passed on and with you missing the meeting
3	that you were ill and I neglected to get
4	this to you in time, but it was received
5	from them.
6	MS. EVANS: Oh, thank you. So there
7	is one after all, a draft copy, okay, and
8	I'm going to go over there and I can let you
9	know what that contains next week. Thank
10	you, Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: And I'm sorry for the
12	delay in that.
13	MS. EVANS: No problem. Also, I
14	received numerous e-mails and letters and a
15	few calls regarding the tree planting in
16	South Side and some residents noted that the
17	2,600 block of Cedar area and the 26 and
18	2,700 blocks of Birney where trees had
19	damaged sidewalks and I was also asked to
20	read the following note: "We do not need
21	any trees on the 500 block of Maple Street,
22	South Scranton, 520, 524, 526 and 530 Maple
23	Street where senior citizens unable to clear
24	leaves and dirt from the trees. We had
25	trees planting and they uprooted the

1	sidewalks which we had to have repaired and
2	the leaf truck never showed up, so all of
3	the leaves blew back on our properties. We
4	have need better streetlights, better roads
5	and new lines painted on the streets."
6	Well, I'm not going to go on with
7	the note, but I was hoping that perhaps
8	council on behalf of the residents of just
9	those specific addresses could send a letter
10	to the Shade Tree Commission and the DPW
11	notifying them that these specific
12	residences would chose not to receive a
13	tree.
14	MS. GATELLI: Can I interrupt? No
15	one is going to be a getting a tree that
16	doesn't want one. They are doing a survey
17	of who wants trees, we have done this before
18	in South Scranton and the people that didn't
19	want them we took care of them, so no one
20	will be getting a tree that doesn't want
21	one.
22	MS. EVANS: Well, I understood from
23	the newspaper that there is going to be
24	sending letters to South Side residents and
25	these trees can only be planted in low to

1 moderate income areas.

2	MS. GATELLI: Actually, in the
3	Renaissance area, the redevelopment project.
4	MS. EVANS: So, I had wished that
5	these people who had contacted me would be
6	aware that they do not have to accept the
7	tree if they don't want the tree. The trees
8	are free. If you don't want the tree, you
9	need not accept it and I'm sure that there
10	is a way that you will be able to make that
11	clear in writing or, you know, if there is a
12	visit to your home and if you have any other
13	questions or problems about it you contact
14	me.
15	me.  Has council had ample time over the
15	Has council had ample time over the
15	Has council had ample time over the last three weeks to consider the amendment
15 16 17	Has council had ample time over the last three weeks to consider the amendment to File of Council 82, 2007? I know in that
15 16 17 18	Has council had ample time over the last three weeks to consider the amendment to File of Council 82, 2007? I know in that period of time I have received a number of
15 16 17 18	Has council had ample time over the last three weeks to consider the amendment to File of Council 82, 2007? I know in that period of time I have received a number of letters and e-mails regarding the amendment
15 16 17 18 19	Has council had ample time over the last three weeks to consider the amendment to File of Council 82, 2007? I know in that period of time I have received a number of letters and e-mails regarding the amendment that I proposed three weeks ago?
15 16 17 18 19 20	Has council had ample time over the last three weeks to consider the amendment to File of Council 82, 2007? I know in that period of time I have received a number of letters and e-mails regarding the amendment that I proposed three weeks ago?  MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah, I'm fine.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Has council had ample time over the last three weeks to consider the amendment to File of Council 82, 2007? I know in that period of time I have received a number of letters and e-mails regarding the amendment that I proposed three weeks ago?  MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah, I'm fine.  MR. MCGOFF: Yes. To answer I looked

1	File of Council No. 82, 2007, to approve
2	penalty, interest and fee schedule for
3	collection of delinquent real estate taxes
4	by striking 3-J, eight-hundred and no
5	one-hundredth dollars or the preparation of
6	and filing of a writ of execution for
7	sheriff's sale.
8	3-K, the actual filing service
9	execution and poundage fees, if any, for
10	service and execution by the Office of the
11	Sheriff of the County which are in effect at
12	the time of such filing service and
13	execution as established by the Office of
14	the Sheriff of the county or such other
15	instrumentality of government and shall be
16	charged with the establishment of such fee.
17	3-L, one-hundred seventy-five and no
18	one-hundredth dollars for the preparation,
19	filing and conduct of the proceedings to
20	effect service of process.
21	3-M, thirty and no one-hundredth
22	dollars for costs incurred in connection
23	with the reissuance of writs.
24	3-P, twenty-five and no
25	one-hundredth dollars for the preparation

1	and filing of any praecipe, petition or
2	motion to continue a judicial or sheriff's
3	sale.
4	3-Q, four-hundred and no
5	one-hundredth dollars for attendance by
6	legal council at a judicial sheriff or tax
7	sale.
8	And by striking the following dollar
9	amounts: 3-A, twenty-five and no
_0	one-hundredth dollar per lien. 3-B,
.1	one-hundred sixty and no one-hundredth
.2	dollars. 3-C, thirty-five and no
.3	one-hundredth dollars. 3-U, and no more
. 4	than \$225 per hour commensurate with the
.5	attorney's normal hourly rate and inserting
. 6	3-A, ten and no one-hundredth dollars. 3-B,
.7	40 and no one-hundredth dollars. 3-C, 30
.8	and no one-hundredth dollars.
. 9	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
20	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,
22	before Mrs. Evans had made that motion a few
23	weeks back Mr. Hazzouri spoke and
24	Mr. Hazzouri, I don't know if you are
) <b>5</b>	watching but I've had an avalanche of phone

calls since you presented yourself here,
evidently you hit home with other people
that have the same problems, so I thank you
for coming and I think it's time we change
this ordinance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: And in the interim, I did stop into the Single Tax Office and had a very lengthy discussion with Mr. Chuck Cox who was the internal collector of taxes prior to the appointment of Mrs. Vitale, and I also spoke with a representative of the Single Tax Claims Office of the County of Lackawanna and they were very helpful and, you know, neither indicated that sheriff's sales had been conducted, that tax sales had occurred, for example, in the city only as recently as 1998 and houses were never taken by sheriff's sale and the county provides a payment plan, the county collects only the school district library and county taxes, but they will provide a payment plan to any individuals who demonstrates need or extenuating circumstances. Their statement was it has never been their intention to take a citizen's home through sheriff's

1 sale, so --

MS. FANUCCI: Well, I met with three 2 3 parties on this and the city also has that option, in fact, there were four documents 4 5 that went out on this legislation all 6 stating, "Please call us and setup a plan," 7 and I believe and, you know, I don't have the exact number, but there was something 8 9 like 35 percent of the letters that went out 10 called into the beginning to setup a payment 11 plan and once the payment plan had started their process stopped right there. I did 12 13 look into Mr. Hazzouri's, exactly 14 Mr. Hazzouri's after him being here and the reason his did not was because he never 15 16 contacted to say, you know, I'll make a 17 plan, I'll send you two dollars a week, you 18 know, nothing. He never made a contact in 19 years, so that was the problem with 20 Mr. Hazzouri's. We have also had other 21 people that we sat down and looked at, I had 22 gotten tons of e-mails myself and when I went to and spoke to them on behalf of the 23 24 taxpayers, they actually --NCC fixed, you 25 know, the problem. One was a bad address,

they were sending actually their taxes to the wrong address and they were getting billed for that, they took care of that. And what they said was that they have no problem setting up a payment plan and that's what the letter stated for the past four letters, so that was something I was happy to see, you know, they are not looking to take the house either. In fact, they have not implemented the sheriff's sales or taken over of the house and when the speaker got up a few weeks ago and stated that the city was taking this woman's home I knew that not to be a fact because that had not happened to anyone in the city. So, that might have been a little bit of my reaction when sometimes people get up and misinform the public of what is going on. So, you know, we do provide that, too. Nobody in the city was looking to take the money. Also, after looking at legislation, if you take out all of the fees that are you

Also, after looking at legislation, if you take out all of the fees that are you looking to take out the city and the taxpayers are still going to be responsible for that money. Yeah. Right. So the

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2	MS	. E	VANS:	The	city	doesn't	get	that
3	money.							

MS. FANUCCI: Wait, wait, if
we take the legislation out the city will be
responsible for the fees, meaning that the
taxpayers who do pay their taxes right now
will have to pay those fees because the
motion we already got the money, we
received the money. We received the tax
money from the delinquent taxes, we have
already received that and, Mr. McGoff, if
you want it talk about the meeting with NCC
that might be something that you want to go
into so that they can understand a little
bit more about what was said at that
meeting.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, just that -sorry. Perhaps just to back up a little
bit, this legislation was originally passed
to deal with delinquent taxes for the years
2004, 2005 and 2006. When it was passed NCC
sent letters to all of the names that they
received even before they had, I'm going to
say before there was the authority to do

that these fees and penalties were going to
be enacted and imposed. That was in July of
2007 and within the month of July they
received back, I forget the dollar amount,
but it was a significant amount of people
responded and paid their taxes for those
delinquent years. I should also note that
the claim that many of these letters that
were sent and, again, I don't have the
percentage, but a number of them were to
were out of the area that a significant
number were out of the area. Then in
November 2007 a formal letter was sent to
those people who had not let me backup.
If people even made an attempt, if
people contacted NCC at that time after that
July letter, there were no fees or penalties
imposed from this legislation, it was
stopped and any payment plan did not include
fees. In November a formal letter was sent
notifying people that they had 30 days to
respond and at the end of that 30 days that
these penalties would, in fact, take place.

MS. EVANS: No, the people were

1 charged those penalties.

MS. FANUCCI: No.

3	MS. EVANS: Yes, they were. I
4	have I have the evidence at home right
5	from NCC. They were charged extra. The
6	bill went from 100 and some dollars up to
7	several hundred dollars within the 30 days
8	time limit.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Well, I have the report
-0	from Patricia Cobb and she states that
.1	MS. EVANS: She is the attorney for
.2	NCC.
.3	MS. FANUCCI: Right. Right, that the
. 4	they collected in three months prior to NCC
.5	they started in April 1 and they put out the
. 6	first letter, nothing was changed in the
.7	fees, nothing, until they notified the
.8	people that they had 30 days and if and
.9	the fee was not implemented, so that's what
20	she is saying, and for the 2005-2006 tax
21	years then they said you have 30 days to
22	call and make up a payment plan, every
23	opportunity has been afforded to the

current SRA project was no exception. They
said that they always do that, that that's

delinquent taxpayer to get advance notice

prior to implementation of any fee and the

24

not a new procedure, they have done that from day one. In June they alerted the delinquent taxpayer of the pending sale of the taxes due to SRA.

Now, this is a problem. We received the money, we sold our delinquent taxes to a company, they gave us the money. We did this why? Because we didn't want to have to raise taxes. We wanted to try to get some money from people who owed us money already, this was the delinquent taxpayer from 2005 to 2007 is included, also. They did not put the fees on them, but they were notified. So, you had time to make up a payment schedule, you had time to say, "Listen, I have a problem, I'm not going to be able to do that."

They have not put more taxes on or more money on anyone who has made a payment schedule, so to me that's what this is about and we also, you know, since then 25 percent more money has come into the city in 2007 since we did put these fees on an implement

them because people knew now that we have a recourse. Before, the county did have a reason and a good reason to be able to take

your houses because they have legislation, we did not have legislation, we had nothing to be able to say we can collect the fees.

That's why they are paying their county taxes and they were paying state taxes and they were leaving the city dry, so I don't believe that any of these fees, you know, are put out there for people not to be at a stop.

I mean, it's not like we are just saying, okay, this is going, this ball is in motion, it's going to keep going and keep going and we are going to get all of this money and have you no say if you can't afford it, if the seniors cannot afford this. That's not how it works. They still have the right to just like anything else when your credit card comes in the mail you have to call and makeup a schedule, a payment schedule, and as long as you do that these fees will never happen.

MS. EVANS: Well, this gentleman who

was 88-years old was not given the option of a payment plan. He was given 30 days in which to pay the initial amount plus the

extra let's say \$300 plus by that 30th day 4 5 and if not beyond that it jumped up to \$2000, so there was no payment plan within 6 7 that 30-day time period. 8 But, again, I anticipated this three 9 weeks ago when I introduced it the first time. I anticipated that, you know, of 10 course, you are going to seek other 11 12 opinions, but I have to say it is a 13 questionable reference when it's coming from 14 the very company that's reaping the rich financial benefits of that piece of 15 16 legislation. Of course they are going to 17 defend it. They are getting very rich off 18 it. 19 And, you know, senior citizens, 20 people will talk about get a reverse mortgage. Well, you know what, there is an 21 awful lot of working families that are in 22 23 these circumstances, they are not old enough to apply for a reverse mortgage. And senior 24 25 citizens, yes, I know very well how

1	meticulous they are about paying their
2	bills, but you can't get blood from a stone
3	If the money isn't there the money isn't
4	there. They are not hiding it under their

5	mattress because they intend to take them
6	with them over a cathedral cemetery. They
7	haven't got it. So now we are going to
8	proceed along with a sheriff's sale which is
9	something that, you know, to my knowledge
10	has only been a regular occurrence with, for
11	example, banks and mortgages and mortgagees,
12	and we are stepping right into that
13	territory not to make the city rich, but to
14	make an outside agency rich.
15	MS. FANUCCI: We received
16	90 percent
17	MS. EVANS: We received no.
18	MS. FANUCCI: of our back taxes
19	for selling those.
20	MS. EVANS: We received taxes and we
21	placed a 10 percent annum on top of that.
22	NCC places a 25 percent on top of that plus
23	everything in that that legislation.
24	MS. FANUCCI: If a phone call is not
25	made to makeup a payment plan. So, yes, I

L	do leel						
2		MS.	EVANS:	Why	were	people	not
3	offered	payı	ment pla	ans?			

4 MS. FANUCCI: They were are all

5	offered payment plans.
6	MS. EVANS: No, they were not.
7	MS. FANUCCI: Did you make a phone
8	call to NCC on behalf of that 80-year-old
9	man?
10	MS. EVANS: No, I didn't, but I've
11	actually been to
12	MS. FANUCCI: You should have.
13	MS. EVANS: NCC since I have been
14	on counsel.
15	MS. FANUCCI: Yes, once at 4:00, they
16	told us that you were, but what I want to
17	ask you
18	MS. EVANS: Oh, did they? What else
19	did they say?
20	MS. FANUCCI: I said they said
21	"Was she here," I asked. I said, "Was she
22	here," because I wanted to know where the
23	information you were provided from and they
24	said you were there.
25	MS. EVANS: Was it John Petulla you
	127
1	spoke with?
2	MS. FANUCCI: I spoke to everyone. I
3	spoke to
4	MS. EVANS: Oh, John Petulla, yes,

5 and the attorney.

6	MS. FANUCCI: I said, "Did you speak
7	to Mrs. Evans on behalf of this
8	legislation?"
9	Of course I did.
10	MS. EVANS: Well, I am very glad that
11	they told you about my visit. Did they also
12	tell you that they threatened me
13	MS. FANUCCI: No.
14	MS. EVANS: with pulling their
15	business out of Scranton for coming to
16	complain, question and defend the taxpayers
17	in the city
18	MS. FANUCCI: No.
19	MS. EVANS: who had been
20	improperly filed against by them? That
21	company has been sued several times.
22	MS. FANUCCI: You didn't go on behalf
23	the taxpayer and that's what I'm saying, if
24	you spoke on that 80-year-old man, like I
25	went on three different occasions about
	128
1	people since we started this discussion and
2	they did correct problems, problems that
3	were wrong address, problems that

MS. EVANS: But the meeting was down at NCC with his nephew, who is middle-aged,

6	they understand the situation very well.
7	They went to NCC.
8	MS. FANUCCI: And they would not
9	makeup a payment plan for him?
10	MS. EVANS: No, they would not. No,
11	they would not. And that was the story I
12	brought to you on council in December.
13	MS. FANUCCI: Will you provide his
14	name after the meeting?
15	MS. EVANS: I don't have the
16	information with me and I would have to ask
17	the parties involved.
18	MS. FANUCCI: Right. See, if they
19	will do that.
20	MS. EVANS: And if they want their
21	name
22	MS. FANUCCI: Because they really
23	have been very helpful with people that I
24	have provided for them to try to get to them
25	to see if they can come to a
	129
1	MS. EVANS: Well, that would mean
2	though that for everyone who has a problem I
3	think you would have to be going down there
4	and interceding for them.

5 MS. FANUCCI: No, I think that a 6 phone call to stop your taxes from -- your

7	delinquent taxes from going into fees is not
8	something that's unreasonable for the normal
9	taxpayer. I think that the people should
10	want to do that. I know myself if I get
11	behind you call. You call and find out if
12	there is way you can make payments and the
13	bottom line is that if you can call and stop
14	the process and it would take a phone call
15	from what they are saying then these fees
16	are not going to be tacked on and that's the
17	bottom line, but I also am happy for the
18	money we received. I am happy for the fact
19	that we increased 25 percent of our tax
20	base.
21	MS. EVANS: You know what, I bet if
22	we ever had the audacity to pass an amnesty
23	program for a period of months, I bet the
24	city would see triple what it does right now

city would see triple what it does right now because if people were able to pay just the

130

1	face value of what they owe I think you
2	would see that money coming in.
3	MR. MCGOFF: They were offered that
4	opportunity.
5	MS. FANUCCI: From day one.

25

6

MS. EVANS: When were they offered

7	that opportunity?
8	MR. MCGOFF: When the letter was sent
9	from NCC.
10	MS. FANUCCI: For 2005, 2006
11	MS. EVANS: The face value.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Face value. 2005,
13	2006
14	MS. EVANS: So, NCC was not going to
15	collect their 25 percent fee on top of that?
16	I doubt that very much.
17	MR. MCGOFF: I'll stand corrected on
18	that.
19	MS. EVANS: And the city's additional
20	10 percent.
21	MR. MCGOFF: To date, just to back up
22	and to date, since December, in December
23	after the 30-day period was over fees were
24	attached. The only fees that were attached
25	were the ones that are on here from A
	13

1	through E. At this point in time no
2	additional fees have been attached at all.
3	The attempt was that the initial fees were
4	sufficient enough to should have been
5	sufficient enough to encourage people to pay
6	the delinquent taxes. If not, then, yes,
7	additional fees would be added, but all of

8 these have not been attached at this point in time, only the first five, and with that 9 as a February \$192,000 -- over \$192,000 has 10 been collected in delinquent taxes. 11 12 I think there is a need, and I said 13 this before when the initial legislation came along, I think there is a need for 14 15 penalty for people who refuse to pay taxes. I think there are -- are there circumstances 16 17 in which there are people that are hurt by 18 it, probably, and I think that those can be dealt with as they are have been in some 19 20 cases, but I think that removing the penalty 21 penalties from the delinquent taxes severs 22 no purpose, that people will continue to not 23 pay. MS. EVANS: Well, this doesn't remove 24 25 all the penalties though, Mr. McGoff, I'm

132

_	
2	MR. MCGOFF: I understand that.
3	MS. EVANS: I'm amending it to make
4	it more reasonable.
5	MR. MCGOFF: I understand that.

not rescinding the ordinance.

1

6

MS. EVANS: There are still, indeed,

7 many penalties, costs and fees attached to

8	it because I, too, favor collecting
9	delinquent taxes. I just don't favor
10	putting my throat on some or putting my
11	foot on someone's throat and crushing them.
12	MR. MCGOFF: I don't think that
13	that's happening.
14	MS. EVANS: Well, of course, that
15	will never happen, that's why it's included
16	here in writing.
17	MR. MCGOFF: I might also mention
18	that taxpayers do have the ability to
19	challenge the reasonableness and validity of
20	fees and penalties from third party
21	collectors, the Municipal Claim and Tax Lien
22	law allows that opportunity, so there are
23	avenues for people that
24	MS. EVANS: I'm well aware of that,
25	but are they going to have the money to hire
	133
1	an attorney to go and challenge this if they
2	don't even have the money to pay for their
3	delinquency.
4	MR. MCGOFF: I'm just saying that
5	there are opportunities for people to pay
6	their delinquent taxes without onerous
7	penalties being attached. When people

refuse to do that and make no effort to do

9	that then, in fact, there needs to be
10	something in place that will compel them in
11	some way to pay those taxes. I don't think
12	that that is unreasonable and I think that
13	the legislation that was passed is a
14	reasonable attempt to collect the delinquent
15	taxes.
16	MS. EVANS: Well, then I just hope we
17	are going to be this meticulous across the
18	board with all taxes. Wage taxes, those who
19	have never paid it, those who live here,
20	work out of town and don't pay it, people
21	whose files have been pulled, let's be just
22	as meticulous to the rich as we are to the
23	poor.
24	MS. GATELLI: I agree with that.
25	MR. MCGOFF: I would support that
	13

1	wholeheartedly.
2	MS. FANUCCI: I agree with that
3	100 percent.
4	MS. EVANS: There is an awful lot out
5	there.
6	MS. FANUCCI: How do you Mrs.
7	Evans, do you really know that for a fact?
8	Because you bring it up a lot. Is that

9	factual information because I believe if
10	that is factual information that that's
11	something that should be brought forward,
12	right? I mean, if you know that, that these
13	are certain individuals out there who are
14	not paying and that they are being protected
15	I think you should bring that out.
16	MS. EVANS: And what honestly
17	would what would be done with them? I think
18	we all know, I mean, it sounds very nice to

would what would be done with them? I think
we all know, I mean, it sounds very nice to
do that, sounds like the right thing, like
I'm trying to do the right thing here, you
see the right thing, you see a wrong thing,
you try to do the right thing for people,
but it doesn't happen, so I have very little
faith in a system that's going to go after
those people when it's been ongoing for a

1	long time, and, you know, just like I said
2	that night, and I don't want to belabor this
3	anymore, when Mr. McDowell would not even
4	allow these tax auditors to come to a
5	meeting just to discuss the process, what
6	does that tell you?
7	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on the

question? The motion is to Amendment to
File of Council No. 82, 2007. All those in

10	favor?
11	MS. EVANS: Aye.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: All those opposed?
14	MS. FANUCCI: No.
15	MS. GATELLI: No.
16	MR. MCGOFF: No.
17	MS. EVANS: Sorry, folks. But
18	tomorrow is another day. Next, I have a few
19	more issues. If this would be agreeable to
20	my honorable colleagues council requests a
21	letter to the University of Scranton and the
22	Public Safety Department on behalf of Hill
23	Section residents requesting a proactive
24	plan an increased surveillance of off campus
25	students dwellings on March 15, 2008, St.

L	Patrick's parade day and I think all of us
2	did receive a letter from a concerned
3	resident, I'm just going to give this
1	briefly, not the entire letter, last year's
5	parade day celebrated by the off-campus
5	students that lived in these run-down
7	dwellings involved almost 24 hours of
3	drinking, harassment, fights and vandalism.
9	Hundreds of partygoers, mostly underage,

descended on our neighborhood throughout the morning hours. These student tenant buildings were full of partiers inside and on porches throughout the day. Late in the afternoon, these drunken parties turned destructive as students, including many friends from out-of-town spilled out onto the streets harassing residents in their homes and assaulting young people walking home from the parade. And it goes on and that paragraph ends.

Our police officers did their

Our police officers did their
absolute best to cover dozens of city blocks
around this school that day. The next
morning these students took off for spring
break and you know what happened thereafter,

I don't want to go into that and incident.

But, if council agrees I would like that letter sent to the University and to the Public Safety Director. Yes? Thank you.

The mayor recently stated that he intends to tear down the remaining theatre structure at Nay Aug park because a replacement is impractical and before the door closes on this project I have several

11	questions which require responses from the
12	administration. I'd like to know the name
13	of the insurance company and agent that
14	insured the tent theatre at Nay Aug Park.
15	Why didn't the city file an insurance claim
16	to repair the theatre?
17	Also, was the structure insured with
18	inadequate coverage and if so, why.
19	Further, why was the tent not dismantled
20	from the frame during the winter months and
21	why was a decision made to tear down the
22	theater one year after the actual damages
23	occurred? And if my colleagues agree with
24	my concerns I would like letters sent on
25	behalf of council to the mayor, Mr. Brazil,
	138
1	Mrs. Novembrino and Attorney Patterson
2	requesting responses to the aforementioned
3	questions.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Any objection?
5	MS. GATELLI: I just would like to
6	add one thing, I don't know if you will

9 MS. EVANS: I do, too.

there.

7

8

10 MS. GATELLI: And I have talked to

agree, but I think the tent should stay

11	Bob Slessinger from the Scranton Theatre and
12	it was very successful.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes.
14	MS. GATELLI: So, I would like to
15	add that in there that the mayor can do
16	whatever he can to
17	MS. EVANS: Certainly.
18	MS. GATELLI: Reinstate that tent.
19	MS. EVANS: Um-hum, I agree.
20	MS. GATELLI: And then I agree with
21	the whole thing.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Any objections to the
23	letter?
24	MS. EVANS: On
25	MR. MCGOFF: I would just going to
	139
1	ask Mrs. Garvey to do that.
2	MS. EVANS: Thank you. On a related
3	topic, I would like to know if the treehouse
4	is insured and by what insurance company and
5	agent and also I wish to know how it can be
6	insured with only one entrance/exit.
7	I also have, this was built-up now
8	over three weeks, some citizens' requests.
9	The 2100 block of Brick Avenue residents
10	report that in front of and across from 2158

Brick Avenue both sewers are blocked. And,

in fact, I got a call today from the residents stating that they are working in the area not on these specific problems that I'm reporting, but they are working close by on the same types of problems, so I'm hoping while they are out there they would get to this address, 2158 Brick. The street is beginning to erode causing a dangerous drop into a column dump and into like Leggett's Creek, the depression needs to be filled as soon as possible and residents also request the installation of a guardrail, if possible, in this area to prevent vehicular accidents.

The 200 block of Hollister Avenue
neighbors report junk cars in the block and
they report that previously junk cars were
removed, but they were later replaced by
others.

The 900 block of Myrtle Street
between Quincy Avenue and Hitchcock Court,
there would be trash, mattresses, weeds,
twigs which were thrown on the sidewalks and
curbs seven months ago and part of debris
was even dragged up the hill where it also

remains and as a result students have to walk in the street because they can't navigate on the sidewalk through the debris, so the area of residents voice their concern for school students during the winter months who were forced to walk into the streets so if the DPW could please pick up that trash in both locations as soon as possible. The rear of 730 North Irving Avenue. Residents of the 700 block of North Irving 

The rear of 730 North Irving Avenue.

Residents of the 700 block of North Irving

Avenue wish to know why repeated calls,

requests, and promises by Mr. Seitzinger

have gone unanswered over the last one and

one half years. The garage at that address

remains in deplorable condition and poses a safety hazard. When can they expect action will occur?

The residents of the 1400 block of Dickson Avenue complain of dust and dirt in their road from Keystone Block located at the corner of Glen Street and Dickson Avenue.

And, finally, I have received reports that the university is demolishing the old student center, and I'm told that it's been done without permits. I don't

13	know if that is true or false because I am
14	not an employee of licensing, inspections
15	and permits, so I'm hoping that
16	Mr. Seitzinger can either verify this and
17	correct it or deny it because it's an
18	absolutely falsehood, and that's it.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Courtright?
20	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes. I'll go first
21	with, we discussed in caucus about Keyser
22	the people's concern in Keyser Terrace and
23	Mr. Powell was here earlier speaking on the
24	development of up there, and I was there

last week, not this past Saturday but the

1	Saturday before with many of the residents,
2	and they would like to see that we would
3	have a meeting at the Keyser Valley
4	Community Center. Thursday evenings seem to
5	be the night they would be hoping for. They
6	said anytime 7:00, 7:30 would be acceptable
7	to them. The question arose, you know,
8	would all of council be able to be there, I
9	think all of council with be able to be
10	there as long as we were in observance and
11	weren't taking any action. Kay? Kay, I'm
12	sorry.

MS. GARVEY: I'm sorry, I was 14 conferring with Mr. Minora. 15 MR. COURTRIGHT: I guess we are at a 16 little bit at a loss for who is doing 17 Mr. Parker's job right now, but if we can 18 ask Mr. Brazil if he knows and ask them if 19 they would be willing to meet with the residents of Keyser Terrace on some Thursday 20 21 evening in the very near future, number one, 22 as soon as possible so that the thing Mr. Powell has spoken about doesn't go 23 24 forward and is already built by the time we have the meeting; and number two, I have a 25

13

12

13

143

1	list and if anybody from council doesn't
2	have it, I have a list of the concerns that
3	I received when I was back there and I asked
4	them to put it in writing for me, and there
5	are several, and a layman's opinion, my
6	opinion, there is a lot of problems there,
7	so if we can try to get that setup as soon
8	as possible some Thursday evening I would
9	appreciate that.
10	One other thing, Kay, I know awhile
11	back we asked to give proclamations to the

West Scranton football team and I believe we

are awaiting for some other seasons to get

14	over, maybe basketball.
15	MS. GATELLI: I think will be next
16	week.
17	MR. COURTRIGHT: So if we can get
18	that going I'm sure everybody would like to
19	see that.
20	MS. GATELLI: I think it's going to
21	be next week, I'll let you know tomorrow.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: I got this letter
23	awhile back and it's three pages front and
24	back and I apologize, but I can't make out
25	your writing, most of your letter and I

1	believe it's about, I know it's about Park
2	Gardens, and some kind of parking problem up
3	there and it's a very lengthy letter, but
4	you left me no phone number or address or
5	anything for me to get in touch with you,
6	and I apologize, but I can't read your
7	writing and I have tried to ask a couple of
8	over people to read it and they had
9	difficulty, too, so if you wrote this letter
10	if you could call me or if you want to
11	e-mail it to me you can do that and then I
12	would be able to read it and I'll try to
13	help you out.

We have the legislation on Skyview
this evening. I had asked this in the past
and it kind of fell on deaf ears now that we
got a new director over there and maybe she
whould be willing to do this, when we have
legislation coming down of this magnitude I
think it's fair to say that the
administration knows months and months,
maybe years at a time sometimes, and so
which would be Mrs. Aebli now, but before
Mrs. Hailstone, and I think it's kind of
unfair to us to give us the legislation, you

know, three or four days before we have a meeting or whatever the case maybe.

And even I believe Mrs. Aebli, is it Mrs. Aebli or is it Miss? Miss, okay. I'm old here, I guess, but she even said this was a very complex piece of legislation and I would ask that they do this, if they are going to send legislation such as this before to try and give us, you know, a couple of weeks notice, hey, this is going to be coming down if you have any questions so that we can intelligently vote on this.

I'm voting, no, on all of tonight only because I got the letter from her today, I

did call there the other day she wasn't in and neither was Lori Reed and Jim, I forget his last name, but he was very helpful to me, he tried to answer every question I had for him, and I then I got an e-mail from Ms. Aebli just the other day and I hadn't had an opportunity to get back to her. So I'm just voting, no, because I don't feel that I'm up to speed on it, and I'm always leery when we are giving grants.

I just got a letter today, and I

actually got it here late and I didn't really read it, I didn't have an opportunity to, but especially when we are giving money away in a grant that really makes me think, but \$400,000 and then another \$150,000 is a lot of money. It's my understanding it is a private company.

One of the questions that I asked is, you know, who are the people behind it because that question is always asked to me, you know, is it this corporation or that corporation and I don't think it's any secret one of the first things they asked me is do they donate to the mayor? You know, I

don't know if they did or not, you know, I

don't know even know their names, but

whatever information they could give me I

would appreciate it. I am going to vote,

no, this evening. If it moves forward I

will certainly talk to Ms. Aebli either this

week and try to get more abreast of what's

going on here.

Kay, another thing, I guess my stuff
has built up here as well as Mrs. Evans has,
I asked a long time ago there was a study

done back on Kane Street and Pittston Avenue in Minooka and I asked back when Mr. Parker was here and I didn't get any response to the study, and when Mr. Brazil first came on it was only fair to give him some time. I would like to see the study that was done back there on Kane and Pittston Avenue as they continue to get flooded. I know there is no easy fix, but I would just like to see the study.

I promised the people back there
that I would try to look into it for them
and I'm probably forgetting some of the
things, but one last thing, I believe the
mayor said he doesn't answer, he doesn't

like getting my letters on this or he is not going to answer anymore or whatever the case may be, but I'm going to ask to send a letter on this again. For years now I have been asking about this project that we try to do on Main Avenue in West Scranton and Congressman Kanjorski is more than gracious enough to come in, he walked the avenue with us, he asked a lot of questions, not only was he in favor of the project he had some

suggestions. One of his suggestions was he wanted to see underground utilities, and as that moved on I had asked many times were we still good with that, they said the project wasn't dead but I got no answers.

I stopped the mayor at the National Night Out this year and I asked him, is this project dead, I said, I'm not going to just keep busting you week after week, if it's dead, tell me it's dead. He said, no, it's not dead, we weren't going the route of Mr. Kanjorski, the money was going to be coming from the state and we would see it in the state budget, so if you would please update me so I can update the people on Main

16 Avenue.

And the urgency is this, many of them were interested in repairing their sidewalks themselves but they don't want to come in and do whatever they need to do with them and the project does go through, so, you know, we kind of got them on hold here. So, if he does not like me sending him a letter and he tells you that I will call him, whatever he wants me to do to make him

happy I will do it, I would just like an answer, and I believe that's all I have.

Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Fanucci.

MS. FANUCCI: I actually wanted to speak on two things tonight. I would like to make a motion in light of the NCC and try to take a gauge on who is having problems and who is not having problems, I want to send a letter to the mayor to implement the procedure for the Treasurer's Office to log all calls with the person's name and number and the problem so that we can get a copy of that weekly and maybe try to keep, you know, on top of the situation to see what exactly is going on. You know what, maybe that way

17	we would know if I did make that in a
18	motion awhile ago that every department
19	actually started keeping logs and from what
20	I was told the procedure has to come through
21	the mayor's office, so I'm going he is in
22	charge of that department, so I am going to
23	ask that the mayor does implement this and
24	maybe make sure that he we can receive a
25	copy of the log weekly, that way we can
	150
1	contact, you know, know exactly what kind of
2	problems are going on and I'm doing that in
3	the form of a motion.
4	MS. GATELLI: I'll second that.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Thank you.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,
7	I'm for your motion, but I'm just asking
8	this question, is this where they are
9	instructed to call is the Treasurer's
10	Office? Is that the first place they call?
11	MS. FANUCCI: That would be the first
12	phone call if you are having problems you
13	would call there first before, you know, to

before you would be directed to NCC and  ${\tt I}$ 

know that a lot of problems have come in

through that office because we have been

14

15

17	told that, so I would like to know and just
18	try to find out what citizens are having
19	problems and I think that if we can stay on
20	top of that that might be helpful.
21	MS. EVANS: I think, yeah, they get
22	some calls, but actually the delinquent
23	taxpayer is directed to call NCC.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Right. Right, after
25	that.

1	MS. EVANS: And, you know, it was a
2	number of years ago, Mr. Courtright you
3	might recall, I had asked that NCC take or
4	keep logs of their phone calls because at
5	that time we had so many citizens who came
6	to city council just that once or twice to
7	talk about NCC and the fact that they had
8	been abused over the phone, do you recall
9	that?
10	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yeah.
11	MS. GATELLI: I remember that.
12	MR. COURTRIGHT: I got to say this,
13	we changed the letter which I think solved a
14	lot of problems, the letter was a little on
15	the harsh side back then and it was scaring,
16	it was certainly scary to some older people.
17	I got to say one thing, ever since that

letter has been changed most of my calls

have been limited. Most of them. Now, with

this new legislation, I got to be honest,

they have started to escalate again.

MS. FANUCCI: Well, there is a reason

23 I'm doing this, I had a few constituents
24 when I was talking to about the address
25 being a problem, the address change, the

first phone call that was made was made there and, you know, I just think that that would be a starting ground for us at least to try and to get it and I would love to see that in all departments, but just to start there because that's what we are discussing tonight and no different than me saying when I went over to NCC, did you speak to Mrs. Evans on this, this is our problems. I mean, you have you to try and stay ahead of it, so that's what I wanted to do.

MR. MCGOFF: We have a motion to ask the mayor to institute a call log for the Treasurer's Office, all calls dealing with delinquent taxes and listing a name, a contact number and the specific nature of the problem. All in favor?

18	MS. EVANS: Aye.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
20	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. All Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. FANUCCI: I wanted to speak on a
25	few of the OECD issues we had tonight. The

money -- the Growing Greener money, that is not a new issue of money. It is a transfer from the Connell building project to the garage, the reason being, and I know I have said this 100 times, and when I say it for some reason that people ask for information and when I give it seems like it's not true, but it's a use it or lose it deal. If it's not used soon we will lose that money from the state. That is why it was transferred to the garage because that's going to be the first phase of the project, that seems to be progressing faster than the Connell building, that's what I have been told. A lot of times they have timeframes on the money, you know, and this money was earmarked for the Connell building in the beginning so it's not new money that's being

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 issued.

I also want to on behalf of Mrs.

Schumacher, I would like to send a letter to

licensing and permit maybe to Mark asking

him how a restaurant can fall through the

crack with our health department and health

inspector, what has happened there, maybe

for a little bit of a report so with can find out exactly how this happened so maybe we can prevent that from happening again in the city. And maybe he can give us some insight on, you know, we have all said that we would like to see some more people in that department, so maybe will help us get some insight on that.

And I do want to say one thing you one thing, you know, in light of the last few weeks and all of the terrible violent situations that have occurred I'm going to ask, Mrs. Knight, when you come up to speak I do smile and I'm going to smile at you because I do feel that you are trying to engage a situation that maybe is not exactly something that you are intending to do. I do feel that your tone gets a little bit,

19	you know, you get excited and you get a
20	little bit out of control, so when I do that
21	it's to try and deflect the way you are
22	going about your actions and speaking. I'm
23	certainly never going to stop smiling, but I
24	do want to say that I'm going to ask that
25	maybe we can tone it down. I understand you
	155
1	have your views and I understand your views
2	perfectly, but maybe if we cannot engage in
3	such a combative behavior that might be
4	something that we can think about. And that
5	is all I have. Thank you.
6	MS. KNIGHT: And can I answer on
7	that?
8	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.
9	MS. KNIGHT: Mr. McGoff, can I
10	answer?
11	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, no.
12	MS. KNIGHT: Oh, I can't?
13	MR. MCGOFF: No.
14	MS. KNIGHT: Well, I will see you
15	next week, Ms. Fanucci.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Mrs.
17	Gatelli.
18	MS. GATELLI: The first thing I'd
19	like to remind Kay about the letter

concerning the skunks, but more importantly, if you see any of your neighbors that don't have garbage cans that is the number one reason why there are skunks. If there is nothing for the skunks to eat you will not have skunks in your neighborhood and mostly

the people that I talk to that do have the skunks it usually is a neighbor that doesn't have a can and the garbage is laying all over their yard, so, you know, we might want to have the department of community development be a little more alert when there is a garbage complaint because it does cause the skunk problem.

Another item concerning the St.

Patrick's Day parade, it is a problem, it's a problem every year, and I worked one year with the Lackawanna County Drug and Alcohol Commission and we tried to encourage the taverns not to open quiet so early in the morning. We were not successful, but I think it would be behoove this council to send a letter to the Drug and Alcohol Commission of Lackawanna County and encourage them to try to make another effort

20	at encouraging the taverns, I know that we
21	cannot make them do that, but it certainly
22	would add to the family atmosphere that
23	should be at the St. Patrick's Day parade
24	rather than some of the things we do see, so
25	I'm going to ask that if everyone would
	157
1	agree that we send a letter to the
2	Lackawanna County Drug and Alcohol
3	Commission and ask them to contact the
4	Tavern Association and just encourage them
5	to possibly not open quite as earlier on the
6	St. Patrick's Day parade.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Any opposition? Mrs.
8	Garvey, please make that happen.
٥	MC CATELLIA And the last thing I

MS. GATELLI: And the last thing I want to do is we have a new tax collector and I think that it is time now for us to investigate the wage tax. I do know of people that don't pay the wage tax because they say they don't live in Scranton and they have a home somewhere else and they claim that as their primary domicile which in my own mind I know that it really isn't, but I don't know legally the ramifications if you have several homes what that entails, but I would like to send a letter to the tax

21	collector and ask her to do an investigation
22	at her earliest convenience to look to her
23	auditors for some information and see if,
24	indeed, there is any evidence that wage
25	taxes are not being paid and, you know, I
	158
1	don't know how far back we can go, but I
2	would ask for at least the last several
3	years to start with and if she could respond
4	to us in, you know, within a month or so and
5	maybe give us a copy of her audit if
6	everyone is agreeable with that? Anybody
7	like to add anything else to that?
8	MS. EVANS: Yes, perhaps the auditors
9	could explain to her the process that the
10	city used to follow-up and what their jobs
11	had once consisted of in terms of the
12	collection.
13	MS. GATELLI: Of the wage tax.
14	MS. EVANS: And delinquent wage taxes
15	and why it was discontinued and in what ways
16	it could be revitalized.
17	MS. GATELLI: Okay. I think we need
18	to get some answers. And that's all I have.
19	Thank you.
20	MR. MCGOFF: I'll try to be quick,

just two times. First that it was brought
up by a couple of speakers, again, the issue
of Mr. Brazil helping move paintings from
the gallery or from the street outside of
the gallery, I know that someone did bring

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

159

that issue to the District Attorney's Office, the District Attorney's Office did respond that there was -- that they did not feel that there was a reason to investigate and there was no violation of any law in what he did. And again, I don't know the exact circumstances of why Mr. Brazil was there or did that, but I agree that acting in good faith to help people in an emergency is part of what Department of Public Works should do and for those who said that perhaps the Department of Public Works should be available at other emergencies I wholeheartedly agree, and I would have faith in Mr. Brazil to be there when his help is needed.

Also, much has been said and we discussed before the issue of the fire chief, Mr. Tom Davis, and the issues brought by the firefighters by the union and the members of the fire department, I would just

22	like to clarify a little bit, I think some
23	of the things that have been said, not
24	necessarily in the letter that we were given
25	from Mr. Gervasi, but I think some of the

things that have been said in the public
about Mr. Davis have been absolutely unfair.
I think it's been a case of character
assassination by those people who have some
personal animosity. Mr. Davis is a veteran
of the Armed Forces. He is a 25 or over
25 years serving in the fire department in
the City of Scranton and I think a total of
somewhere around 33 years with the
department in total. He's a man who was
highly decorated as a firefighter, a member
of the union and had rose through the ranks
of the position of assistant chief during
the time he was with the fire department and
I believe he deserves, I'm going to say a
little bit the benefit of the doubt for the
service that he has given to the City of
Scranton over the course of time, and I will
admit to the fact that he is a friend and a
supporter and I do feel that perhaps a sit
down with member of the council and some

22	others would be helpful, but I do want to at
23	least balance the record a little bit and at
24	least let people know that Mr. Davis is a
25	man who has dedicated his life to the
	161
1	service of others and citizens of the City
2	of Scranton. The rest can wait and that is
3	all I have. Thank you.
4	MS. GARVEY: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -
5	AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
6	49, 2006 (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED, "AN
7	ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
8	APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
9	SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO
10	IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION SO FAR
11	COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS
12	(AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE
13	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
14	PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME)
15	PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG)
16	PROGRAM", BY DELETING CERTAIN PROJECTS AND
17	ADDING A NEW PROJECT.
18	MR. MCGOFF: At this time, I will
19	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
20	introduced into it's property committee.
21	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Second.

23	MR.	MCGOFF: Or	n the	question?
24	MS.	EVANS: Yes.	. We	seem to have
25	significant	amounts of	money	y that go unused

in OECD. You know, time and time again
there is almost a pattern here of use it or
lose it. We didn't use it for this or we
didn't use it for that. Why? I mean, this
just -- does anyone have any questions
besides me why such money seems to linger in
so many instances and very coincidentally
can be taken from that mission and applied,
you know, in a very timely fashion to
another project.

So, you know, I have to find it a bit astonishing that \$550,000 worth of HOME monies that would never have been needed in the City of Scranton? That seems like a stretch, but I think what also concerns me about all of these pieces of legislation tonight, in addition to those in the past, like Mr. Courtright said we are very often asked to make critical decisions in a very brief time frame, but more than that, though we are given back up we are not given the complete picture, we are often, in fact,

23 always taking the word that this business or 24 this company is credit worthy. Yes, we have 25 run a credit report. No, there is no liens

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

163

again them, etcetera, etcetera, and then we come to find out that either they are or the business folded and now some other business is there, you know, and so on and so forth, and I think in order to feel comfortable giving out the people's money as freely as we are asked to do, and it is your money, you know, to say, well, that's not coming out of the city budget, that's still your money, those are your state taxes, those are your federal taxes that you pay, you are still paying and you know you pay a lot to those governmental entities, too, and that money should be used very wisely and I would just feel much more comfortable to have information on all of these companies.

Like you said, you would like to know who the owners are or partners or whatever, well, I would like to see the credit history. I would like to see in black and white a credit history, if they have ever defaulted on loans, if they have picked up one business, closed it and moved

24	e.	Lsewher	œ,	if	the	ЭУ .	have	e l	Liens	against	the	m,
25	I	don't	wan	t ·	the	WO	rd o	of	OECD	anymore,	I	

And then I never see any follow-up
once these loans have been awarded and I'm
guilty of awarding plenty of them, believe
me, because I do want to see re development
business in Scranton, but now in my fifth
year on council I want some accountability.
I want some follow-up, I want some proof,
you know, the numbers are there, the jobs
were created, what they are paying, if you
have lived up to the conditions of loans and
grants, you know, we saw no one else was
here, but you were what happened when we
questioned KOZ's and KOEZ's cease with the
state over the Southern Union building. We
still don't have answers to this day about
the Southern Union building. The state
wouldn't even step in and take a look at
that one, so I'm just asking here I want
some written accountable, I want some
follow-up and I want to see something more
than, you know, the people's name. I mean,
I even have to go through Kay here to find

24	out that this loan in 5-E is for 40 years at
25	a zero percent rate of interest.
	165
1	So, I mean, there is an awful lot of
2	information that I need before I can cast
3	intelligent votes on these and I think until
4	all of us start to get that I can't vote to
5	approve anymore of these things.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on the
7	question? All those in favor of
8	introduction signify by saying aye?
9	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
10	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
12	MS. EVANS: No.
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
14	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
15	moved.
16	MS. GARVEY: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
17	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
18	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
19	AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE
20	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
21	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ("DEP") TO ACCEPT
22	THE ACT 101, SECTION 902 RECYCLING
23	DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION GRANT IN THE

AMOUNT OF \$69,250.00.

1	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
2	introduced into it's proper committee.
3	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
4	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
5	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
6	MS. EVANS: This money would be used
7	for the purchase of additional recycling
8	bins for the citizens of the Scranton.
9	MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor
10	signify by saying aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
13	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
14	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
16	ayes have it and so moved.
17	MS. GARVEY: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
18	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
19	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
20	AND APPLY FOR A GRANT THROUGH THE
21	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
22	COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (DCED)
23	FOR A GROWING GREENER II MAIN STREET AND
24	DOWNTOWN REDEVELOPMENT GRANT IN THE AMOUNT

1	COORDINATING THE USE OF THE GRANT FUNDS WILL
2	BE WITH THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY FOR
3	THE PROJECT TO BE NAMES AS "WASHINGTON
4	SPRUCE PARKING FACILITY."
5	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I will
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
7	introduced into it's proper committee.
8	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
9	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
10	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
11	MR. COURTRIGHT: On the question,
12	a million dollars, I would like to see a
13	million dollars go into West Scranton into
14	that project I keep talking about. I can't
15	seem to get anything over there, but I'm
16	sick of since I have been on council as
17	Mrs. Evans five years it seems like they put
18	stuff before us and say if you don't use it
19	in a month, two months, three months you are
20	going to lose it, so they paint you into a
21	corner. They wait until they are almost
22	ready to lost the money and then they put
23	the legislation before us and I think, you
24	know, in my opinion that's done purposefully
25	sometimes and I'm sick of it.

1	MS. EVANS: The other thing I wanted
2	to make sure was, because speakers were
3	addressing this earlier, I did not vote in
4	favor of the \$35 million bond issuance for
5	the Scranton Parking Authority. However, at
6	the time we had a caucus with
7	Mr. Wintermantle and other individuals who
8	explained the proposed uses of the
9	\$35 million and they were exactly what was
10	stated tonight, so, I too would question
11	what's the additional million dollars for
12	because the demolition of the Oppenheim
13	garage, the construction of the new garage
14	and repairs to existing garages were all
15	covered in that \$35 million bond issue, so,
16	you know, as Mr. Courtright said, I think we
17	can find other perhaps better uses for this,
18	and again, I just I have got to see I
19	need more information than what I'm given
20	and I don't want lip service, I want black
21	and white.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: One more thing if
23	you don't mind, Mr. McGoff, I voted for the
24	\$35 million and I was going into the caucus
25	voting, no, until Mr. Saleski came and I

T	asked film a for of questions and to me ne
2	had all the right answers. I know
3	Mr. Sbaraglia came in and asked him a lot of
4	questions, so Mr. Saleski actually changed
5	my mind and I was very comfortable at the
6	time that everything was going to be taken
7	care of and I never thought we would be
8	coming for another million dollars.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? All those
10	in favor of introduction signify by saying
11	aye.
12	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
13	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Opposed?
15	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
16	MS. EVANS: No.
17	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
18	moved.
19	MS. GARVEY: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
20	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
21	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE
22	CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN
23	AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE HOME
24	INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM IN AND AMOUNT
2.5	NOT TO EXCEED \$400.000 00 TO GREATVIEW

1	DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP TO ASSIST AN
2	ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
3	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I will
4	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
5	introduced into it's proper committee.
6	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
7	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
9	those in favor significant by saying aye.
10	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
11	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
13	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
14	MS. EVANS: No.
15	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
16	moved.
17	MS. GARVEY: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -
18	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
19	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE
20	CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A GRANT
21	AGREEMENT AND MAKE A GRANT FROM THE HOME
22	INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM IN AN AMOUNT
23	NO TO EXCEED \$150,000.00 TO NATIONAL HOUSING
24	TRUST-ENTERPRISE PRESERVATION CORPORATION TO
25	ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.

1	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
2	entertain motion that Item 5-F be introduced
3	into it's proper committee.
4	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
5	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
6	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? I
7	would just like to comment that I voted,
8	yes, for these proposals dealing with
9	Skyview and I certainly would like to see
10	this project move forward to renovate and
11	redevelop Skyview apartments. I understand
12	that there are a number of questions that
13	people have as to the financial arrangements
14	for it, and hopefully within the next week
15	or so we can get some answers to those
16	situations, but I doI really do want to
17	see this project move forward. All those in
18	favor of introduction signify by saying aye.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
20	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
23	MS. EVANS: No.
24	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
25	moved.

1	MS. GARVEY: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION -
2	A RESOLUTION - APPROVING THE TERMS AND
3	CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY
4	OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND
5	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND THE PALUMBO GROUP,
6	A PLANNING AND DESIGN FIRM, AND AUTHORIZING
7	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
8	OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO THE
9	CONTRACT TO RETAIN THE PALUMBO GROUP TO DO A
10	STUDY TO INCLUDE HISTORIC PRESERVATION,
11	ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS AND DESIGN FOR THE
12	CEDAR AVENUE REVITALIZATION AREA OF SOUTH
13	SCRANTON. TOTAL COST NOT TO EXCEED
14	\$90,000.00.
15	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
16	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
17	introduced into it's proper committee.
18	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
19	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
20	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
21	those in favor signify by saying aye.
22	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
23	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
24	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?

1	MR. COURTRIGHT: No.
2	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and so
3	moved.
4	MS. GARVEY: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION
5	A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION
6	OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD
7	("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF
8	APPROPRIATENESS FOR HIGHLAND ASSOCIATES,
9	LTD, 102 HIGHLAND AVENUE, CLARKS SUMMIT FOR
10	REPAIR OF THE EXISTING CLOCK AND NEW REAR
11	ENTRANCE AT GOODWILL NORTH, NORTH MAIN
12	AVENUE AND THEODORE STREET.
13	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-H be
15	introduced into it's proper committee.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
17	MS. FANUCCI: Second.
18	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye.
21	MS. FANUCCI: Aye.
22	MS. GATELLI: Aye.
23	MR. COURTRIGHT: Aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.

1	MS. GARVEY: SIXTH ORDER. NO
2	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
3	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES
4	- FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 13,
5	2008 - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A
6	RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY
7	N&L VENDING, INC., 143 COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES,
8	THORNHURST, PA, 18424, LICENSE NO. R-11639
9	TO POWER MAC, INC. FOR USE AT 1175 KANE
10	STREET, SCRANTON, PA. THE CURRENT LOCATION
11	OF ANGELINA'S RESTAURANT AS REQUIRED BY THE
12	PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD.
13	MR. MCGOFF: As chairperson for the
14	committee on rules I recommend final passage
15	of Item 7-A.
16	MR. COURTRIGHT: Second.
17	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
18	call, please.
19	MR. COOLICAN: Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes.
21	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. Courtright.
22	MR. COURTRIGHT: Yes.
23	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Fanucci.
24	MS. FANUCCI: Yes.
25	MR. COOLICAN: Ms. Gatelli.

2	MR. COOLICAN: Mr. McGoff.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
4	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
5	Thank you again and I'll entertain a motion
6	to adjourn.
7	MR. COURTRIGHT: So moved.
8	MS. GATELLI: Second.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	
3	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
4	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
5	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
6	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
7	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
8	ability.
9	
_0	
.1	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI
.2	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
.3	
. 4	
.5	
. 6	
.7	
. 8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	