	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, August 9, 2012
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

(Not present.)

ROBERT MCGOFF

JOHN LOSCOMBE

PAT ROGAN

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
11	Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. CITY OF SCRANTON
15	INVESTMENT REVIEW PRESENTATION BY BNY
16	MELLON, ASSET MANAGEMENT RECEIVED JULY
17	25, 2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AUDIT STATUS REPORT
21	FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO RECEIVED AUGUST 2,
22	2012.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S

REPORT, HEARING DATE AUGUST 22, 2012. 1 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 2 3 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-D. CHECK RECEIVED IN 4 THE AMOUNT OF \$10.00, WHICH IS A DONATION 5 FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 6 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 7 8 If not, received and filed. 9 MS. KRAKE: 3-E. THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON FINANCIAL 10 11 STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2011. 12 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 13 If not, received and filed. 14 Do we have any clerk's notes this evening? 15 16 MS. KRAKE: Yes, Mrs. Evans. We got 17 a response from Mark Dougher, the Director 18 of Public Works, and he is actually 19 responding to various requests sent from our 20 office. All of he says, he is working on these requests and that all abandoned and 21 22 condemned property in the city please refer 23 any questions to Licensing and Inspections 24 for property owners, and then he lists 25 several -- would you like me to read through them?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MS. EVANS: Yes, please.

MS. KRAKE: 1431 North Washington

Avenue, Licensing and Inspection, zoning,

city planner, please call them in reference
to this property.

Boland Court, water problem fixed. Working on East Elm and Electric roadway. Wyoming and Linden is up for sale, the real estate company will cut this grass. Street and Mineral Avenue, the grass was cut but he is not sure, he has question marks. Mountain Lake Road, they are working on this week. Stop sign obviously in the process of clean up. Stop sign at Wheeler Avenue they will change, and thanks us for telling him about it. Basin work at East Mountain they are working on this problem. Prescott Avenue and Mulberry is a state road, he has talked to Turkey Hill about their trucks there and he is also called PennDOT. Luzerne Street cleanup is done. Kane Street, potholes done. Meadow Avenue, potholes he says that's a state road. Parker Street Bridge, lane sign, that's also

done. And the "Do Not Enter" sign on Garfield Avenue done Ash Street he changed the stop sign located at Ash and Adams Avenue. And then thanks us for the requests.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, you know, that triggers my memory that I would also like to thank acting police chief Carl Graziano for responses he has sent to city council regarding requests that have been sent by citizens to each of us and he has taken care of those situations, and so we thank him very much for responding in writing to our office and letting us know that the job is being done. And, thank you, Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: Your are welcome. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have one. There will be a benefit for Josh Sibio on Sunday, August 1, from 1 to 7 p.m. at St. Anthony's Park, that's Hill and Cooney Streets in Dunmore. There will be food, drinks, raffles and live entertainment and the

tickets are a \$15 donation.

Josh Sibio of Dunmore was involved in a terrible motorcycle accident on May 31. He was life-flighted to Thomas Jefferson hospital in Philadelphia and suffered severe life-threatening injuries. This young man will have may obstacles to overcome in the future and his prognosis is still uncertain. We are hopeful as being such a strong man, only 22 years old, he will have the strength to overcome his injuries and fully heal, but he has a very long road in front of him.

Josh served in Afghanistan as a Marine in 2010 and 2011 so he certainly has the strength to get through this.

Please join us to support Josh and his family and donations of food or raffle prizes are greatly appreciated. You can contact Trish at 969-6062 or Carrie at 614-6475 to make any donations. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. MCGOFF: I just have a very brief comment, congratulations to the Lackawanna County and to BackCourt Hoops and

John Bucci for the successful Three-on-Three basketball tournament. Members of the council were given t-shirts by Coach Bucci for their support of the Three-on-Three tournament. It really was a nice event Friday evening. A large number of people for the basketball tournament and also for the First Friday activities. It was really active downtown.

Saturday afternoon a large number of people, participants, and, unfortunately Sunday got rained out, but all in all it was a very, very successful tournament and hopefully we can keep it in the streets of Scranton for the coming years.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: And, by the way, the city team beat the county team convincingly on Friday.

MS. EVANS: All right.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just add one thing I forgot to mention on this benefit, for those of who are utilize Facebook there is a Facebook page called "Help Heal Josh Sibio."

MS. EVANS: Councilman Frank Joyce is unable to attend tonight's meeting due to the death of his beloved grandmother.

St. Joseph's Malachite Catholic
Church located at 130 North St. Francis
Cabrini Avenue in West Scranton will hold
it's annual parish picnic this Saturday,
August 11, from 4 to 11 p.m. and Sunday
August 12 from noon to 7:00 p.m. The event
features authentic Lebanese foods,
entertainment, raffle prizes and promises to
be great fun for young and old. For
additional information please call 343-6092.

The Scranton sewer authority will conduct a public meeting on Tuesday, August 14, 2012, in the Mellow auditorium at Lackawanna college from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to present and discuss a draft of a combined sewer overflow long-term control plan that will meet federal and state regulatory mandates. The public is encouraged to attend, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association, founder of the
Legion of Doom. First of all, I'd like to
offer my condolences to Frank Joyce and the
family on the passing of his grandmother.

You know, why do we need a Recovery Plan? Really? We have -- you know, I brought this before, this is from the old Recovery Plan. The old Recovery Plan did not work, we all know that. Okay? We all know that the old Recovery Plan was violated several times. I hope that when and if there is a new Recovery Plan drafted I hope it's in the Recovery Plan that the only way any changes or a Recovery Plan can be changed or violated would be with the concurrence of the administration and the city council and the residents of the City of Scranton.

The residents need to start having input in here because right now I personally feel that we are not being granted an

opportunity. I mean, you know, I still don't agree with the meetings behind closed doors, I never will. No one will ever convince me that's the way to do city business. I feel that there is more than five intelligent people in the City of Scranton and I think the residents should get involved.

I think we should get the universities involved in this. They have professors over there in economics and everything else, political science, I think we should -- we need to get everybody involved because the City of Scranton is in a total mess. I've said this before, it's not a city in crisis it's a city in ruins and we really need -- we really need to get on the ball and to something about this and I think more than five or six people should be involved in this process. I think it should be more open, that is my belief and I'll stand by that until the day I die.

As far as the ideas, you know, maybe we need to start selling some more city assets. You know, this may sound silly, but

you know what, maybe we need to start selling parts of neighborhoods, maybe we need to start selling city hall, police stations, fire stations. Maybe, you know, if we need money this badly, borrowed money is not going to get out of the problem. Borrowed money is going to get us deeper and deeper and deeper into the mess because the more money you borrow the higher interest rates, the higher your debt becomes. I mean, quite honestly, our tax base is not growing, our tax base is shrinking, and we need to really look at this.

You know, I feel, my personal belief, the people who got us into this mess is the mayor, past city councils, the city controller, the business administrators, but yet who is the people who are trying to solve the problem? The mayor, city council, business administrator, I don't know if the city controller is involved in the meeting or not, but they are the reason why we are in the mess we are in. They are the ones that did all of the behind scene deals.

I guess you found out now that Paul

Kelly signed a promissory note that no one seems to know about. How can the city solicitor sign a promissory note? I don't think a solicitor should have that power. Maybe they do. I'm not an attorney, but, I mean, we have serious problems and the city the residents of the City of Scranton a lot of them still don't realize how serious the problem is. I don't know why they don't realize it, but they really don't, because I have people coming up to me with suggestions but their suggestions are not going to solve the problems.

You know, we need millions. We don't need hundredes of thousands, we need millions. And, you know, last week it was reported we need 20 million by the end of the year. Where are we going to get 20 million dollars from? I mean, without borrowing it. Where else are we going to go get it from? We can't afford to borrow, that's the problem. We are painted into a box. I don't know how you are going to get out of the box. I really feel that city council is trying and they are doing their

best, but I think they are also being played and I think everything is going to fall and if it fails it's all going to fall on the shoulders of the city council. You guys are going to get blamed for everything.

And you know what, there is no way out. Because you are going to have to stand tall and accept it because they are going to say, "City council's budget, city council's Recovery Plan plan, city council's this."

That's the reason they want to get a Recovery Plan plan and get it signed. We need more than a Recovery Plan. We need a Recovery Plan that's going to work. We need a Recovery Plan that's going to generate revenue and get us out of this mess. We just don't need a Recovery Plan for the sake of a Recovery Plan. I'm glad I'm not in your shoes.

You know, again, we need to really look at how, how much can the city residents and taxpayers afford in tax increases? What can the city afford as far as services go? Can we afford the 70, 80,000 a year jobs that it sounds like people are having,

firefighters, police officers, so on and so forth. Do they deserve it? Yes, they do deserve it, but can we in the City of Scranton afford it? I don't think we can. I think we really need to start looking at these areas because the situation gets worse every single day. Excuse me, could I get a little bit more time?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Every single day the situation worsens, you know. I can remember years ago people coming to this podium five, six, seven years ago and maybe Janet Evans might remember this because I think she was the only one on council at the time, but we had people coming to this council saying that Doherty debt is good debt. Do you remember those statements?

MS. EVANS: Yes, I do.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, if Doherty's debt is such good debt why are we in the mess we are in now? We should be happy that we have good debt. You know, there is no such thing as good debt. All debt is bad debt, especially when the taxpayers have to

pay for it. And the person who made that statement, I was going to mention his name but I'm not going to, he no longer lives in the City of Scranton. Now, if the debt was so good why did he leave the City of Scranton?

So, again, I don't know what to tell you people. I still support you, I'm not happy with a lot of your decisions, but you know what, I never will be happy with everybody's decisions all the time. I still think we need to et the public involved. think we need to have a public meeting with the mayor, city council, state representatives and everybody else involved in this and invite the public, have it at the West Scranton High School or Scranton High School and allow the citizens to speak because I know there is a lot of people who want to speak but they don't want to come to city council meetings.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Jackowitz. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians.

22

19

20

21

23

24 25

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SBARAGLIA: As you know we are in a quagmire. Everyone should understand you're are trying to keep the tax hike as low as you can. We all understand this. We also understand that problems seem to be popping up from every direction.

Now, we were told they were making payments on the medical bill for the workers, now, I heard you say this. This was done. Now all of a sudden we find out there is a \$2 million promissory note that wasn't paid for and now we are being sued.

Now, the question is who in the administration has the right to get out promissory notes? They are administrative. You are the only legislative body there is. When you give letters of credit out they had to come to you. They didn't just say to the administration give out letters of credit, they had to come before this body.

Now, all of a sudden we find out that promissory notes are being written.

How many are being written? How many were written? How many more is going to show up?

That's your - how could you get at hand on the problems of the financial picture of the city without all of these answers and this administration is sandbagging all of these, and I don't know what Roseann knew about, the controller, would the controller know about an issue of a promissory note? I think she would. I think it had to go through her office, too, and I don't know if that why Roseann wouldn't inform you.

The question is the demographics of the city. We got a huge amount of the city is tax exempts. Another youth group is on retirement and the truth is maybe 40 percent of the city is -- or the whole city, and that's not good when it comes to a balance of anything it's not very good.

I don't know, maybe your best bet is to try to get them people in Harrisburg to push along the deal where all of the tax going to the school districts would be abolished and the sales tax would be raised and maybe the wage tax raised and then the money we are paying the school district could then be used to pay our bills. That's

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now. Like I said, Mr. Rogan you are

a quick fix if you can get it done through Harrisburg. Probably quicker than some of the others because that bill is already in the works and moving. If you can get that thing passed that would end your financial problems. You would have all of that money that we are giving to the school district being able to be used by the city. the people in the Scranton would pay more, but we are going to pay more anyway regardless of what happens, but I think you had should be down there writing them, your legislature, your senators and telling them to get that bill passed because that would help you a lot instead of what we are doing

right, some of that might not go through the legislature. They might not pass a commuter tax or might not pass this and might not pass that, but this other one thing seems like I have support, and if they have support maybe that's your best bet, try to get them to pass that then all of this other problems would disappear. There would be

plenty of money to pay off the bills.

It's just too bad we don't have people that if they get all of that money are going to use it wisely, that's the only problem. They use it wisely. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.
Mrs. Janet?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ELLMAN: I ran into Dave at the mall Tuesday, he borrowed \$5 from me, said you would pay me back tonight.

MR. LOSCOMBE: With interest.

MS. EVANS: I'll pay you back.

MR. ELLMAN: I didn't think I was going to get it back. You know, a couple of weeks ago I read about Gerald Cross making another one of his fantastic statements about how well off Scranton is, how great of job PEL is doing, but he completely overlooked mentioning the nonprofits in his statements. Not one word about the millions of dollars they have taken off. Not one word about the mayor

and Todd O'Malley infested the city with.

You know, that medical bunch downtown they have been here eight or ten years and they have built in Archbald.

That's your KOZ program that works and God forbid if you blame Doherty for anything for this man. I guess that's our tax dollars at work, that's what we get out of Harrisburg.

I just wonder if there anybody out there that really believes Mr. Doherty is doing any kind of job, and at lunch time at the Taurus Club they used to -- he used to have a lot of supporters, now they seem to be gone, you know, because you just can't overlook what's happened to the city, like, we are dead and we just don't know it yet. We are not buried. This is the city that Doherty has built. It's just full of poverty and chaos and that's all he has created here.

Again, let me remind you all of this act from the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, the Purely Public Charity Act of 1985. I brought this up once before, it's got five prerequisites for every single nonprofit in

that can fit into these. You know, like Sister Adrian certainly does and that Progressive Center, but just take the Intervention Center, there is a nonprofit they bought five pieces of property from Rinaldi scattered all over town there and going off the payroll. This was a crooked financial deal and nothing else, you know? There is -- it's gone. It's off the payroll. You people need to again address this. It's from the State Supreme Court, Purely Public Charity Act of 1985.

the state and there is hardly a one in town

You know, I don't know. I just don't care. For the last couple of months I bet I have talked over to 100 people and it's so bad to see people that are losing the dream of their life of owning a home, and I always forget about these little guys that were in businesses like barber shops and all of these things that are running into. I talk to an electrician today that lost his business because he couldn't afford the insurance and so forth, it just wasn't taxes. It's just so hard on people and here

we are with people like Al Boscov getting everything his way and somebody that works and works and works losses their business.

It's not right. And what has Al Boscov done for the city? You know, he hasn't given us any money back. It's gone. He probably beat us in Wilkes-Barre and everybody else out of all of that money.

This is just such poor management and here we are millions of dollars in debt over the past 25 years and it was only 25 years ago all the city owed was \$1 millions. I don't know.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: You know, it's like I said, I can't afford all of these taxes and all on the house and everything else and when I make these statements here all I do is hear from one person after another the middle class and the lower people, I talked to a guy the other day they are leaving town from a little cheap apartment. They can't afford it because the rent got raised.

MS. EVANS: Well, thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: I realize you are our

only tool against all of this and sometimes, unlike Bill, I get frustrated and all, but I backed you people and I know you are our only tool we got against all of the graft and corruption in this administration and I thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I just would like to ask a question first, two questions. One, this plan was crafted with the help of council, that's a true statement, is it not? Is this a true statement that the Recovery Plan was crafted with the help of council?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MR. MORGAN: It was, okay. Now, the other question I have is that when a public hearing is held and if the majority of the people who participate are in objection to the plan will council vote "no" on the plan?

MS. EVANS: Council will certainly

consider what has been said during the public hearing, the final vote will not occur that evening, but the following week. I think though it's important to also keep in mind more people reside in the city than those who will be attending the public hearing so we do have to consider everyone. So in addition to those who speak at the public hearing, I'm sure each and every one of us will also be taking a look at our e-mails, our phone calls, and taking everything, everyone's voice into consideration.

MR. MORGAN: But this public hearing will possibly be recorded; is that right?

MS. EVANS: Will it be --

MR. MORGAN: I mean, it will have -I believe in my own opinion that the people
who appear there in public to support or not
support this plan, this is a public hearing
of residents and any e-mails you get that's
not a public hearing, and I just think that
they are two totally two distinctively
different things.

But I would like to say that I don't

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know if council and the administration and state government are Dr. Jekyll or Dr. Kevorkian, but in both instances the patient dies.

Now, I have read your Recovery Plan, not your Recovery Plan, but the Recovery I can't see any merit to this plan whatsoever. None. Because what you are doing is you are raising taxes on people who are ill prepared to pay them at a point in our country's history where unemployment is at historical highs, and my own opinion when you read about the great depression there weren't a lot of programs for then and we had SSI and SSD. Now we have a lot of things that remediate the downturn in a lot of different ways or else it would be much broader and much deeper, but when you look at this plan, I mean, I just can't see how anybody could present this plan.

And in my opinion I think that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by offering a \$2 million loan and \$200,000 grant I find that to be bribery, to be quite blunt. And the other thing I have a problem with is

that we have to be honest and objective as to the merits of this plan. Many parts of it cannot be implemented because they require other legislation to make it possible, but in the long and short of it all, I mean, I just think that a Recovery Plan has to be realistic and I think the residents have to be able to pay it, and I think that when you look at the average wage of the residents in this city, regardless of the debt, I don't think it's possible.

And when you read this and you talk about sale or lease of city assets, well, Scranton Sewer Authority or the Parking Authority, the Sewer Authority plan was a nightmare last time, okay? If we are going to privatize and do privatization of DPW or anything else to save money I don't see that as being a logical solution to our problems and considering that our long-term debt here on this is \$122 million.

I think you really have to consider a lot of other things that have been said in the newspaper recently. We had five former mayors meeting and in my opinion I don't

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

blame Mayor Doherty for all of the things that happened here because all of the legislation that he passed came through a council and they all had an obligation of oversight and to make sure they were protecting the residents, all right? I mean, there is just no way that this plan is feasible.

More real estate transfer tax, people can't even sell their properties and then in this plan they are talking about how we are being denied in the increase of value of city properties. There is no increased value in city properties, you can't give them away, okay? I mean, you know, you just take a look at all of these things that are happening, and I have to be very blunt and very honest, maybe it would be a blessing to tell the state, okay, look it you, come on in here and take it over and do whatever you want with it because this plan isn't workable and I'm really of the frame of mind that if this in plan after the public hearing that this council votes on it that they will pass it and it's not a benefit to

one resident in this city. Not one.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to again continue the discussion on the Recovery Plan and basically reiterate a lot of the statements I made over the course of the last several weeks. You know, last week we heard a lot of comments regarding the Recovery Plan, some criticism and some positive comments, positive feedback, but the majority of it directed towards the council and recent weeks and the past month, I should say, have been mostly been in the negative and that's through the media and at this podium and, as I stated last week, I found the criticism to be unfair.

You know, as I have taken the chance the last few days I have gone over the Recovery Plan and I have read it and I will say it's not a perfect plan, but I don't

think we ever claimed that it was a perfect plan. No plan is perfect, but it addresses a lot of the issues that we have both that we face today and later on down the road. The main issue obviously is the tax increase and there is a lot of discussion as to whether or not that tax increase should be imposed, but I think it's important for the residents of this city to understand that this tax increase had to happen.

But more importantly, we need to take a look at the fact that the mayor wanted 78 percent tax increase and that the fact that this council fought vigorously, as I stated, to cut that tax increase as much as possible to reduce the burden on the residents of this city all that needs to be taken into consideration. Yeah, it's easy to come up here and say, "Oh, I would have done this, I would have done that."

That's one of the things in the last few weeks that's really frustrating throughout this whole process is that we have a ton of people that want to criticize, whether it's the Scranton Times and their

editorials, they are good at their editorials, where is their plan? I haven't seen one. You know, you have Chris Kelly, he has been writing his little articles every Sunday sitting behind his computer. Where are his solutions? You don't hear them. They are going to drawn their cartoons. What's the editorial's suggestions to solve the city's problems? They don't have any. All the critics that come up here every week, "Oh, you should do this, you do that," where is your Recovery Plan?

We have a ton of grandstanders. We have a ton of Monday morning quarterbacks but they have nothing to offer. I'm not claiming to have all of the answers, I certainly will never claim to have the answers, but I do know one thing, if I'm going to be critical of something I'm going to come up with a suggestion, as I have done for the past ten years that I have been coming here, and I suggest that other people do the same thing.

As I have stated, I read the plan, I

bet you the majority of the people haven't and yet they are yapping their mouths making comments and being critical yet they probably haven't even picked it up. Well, I have read it and I am challenging tonight all of the critics and all the grandstanders to come forward and a let's hear your plan if you have all of the answers.

You know, I've had to go on social media networks and defend the council over statements that I made, and I have people coming on, "Oh, there is no leadership, there is no transparency."

It's funny we should talk about transparency, we find out yesterday that we are being sued by Blue Cross and Blue Shield for a promissory notes signed by Attorney Paul Kelly on the city's behalf pledging that we would make a payment in full by January 5 of \$2 million. Well, obviously that didn't happen, but while we want to talk about transparency we find out that this council was never informed that Attorney Kelly signed off. Why wasn't that filed. Why was that the case, do we know?

And then we want to come up here and criticize the council for lack of transparency. I mean, it's absurd.

As I said, a lot of ludicrous statements have been made, you know, we go onto PEL. I read PEL's letter, they had many issues with the plan, but what I find completely insulting is the fact that their suggestion for all of the issues they had was, let's take a guess? What have they always come back with, a tax increase as always and they are supposed to be helping us and moving us forward? Their suggestion for everything is a tax increase, I read the fairly decent size letter and every issue they have a tax increase yet again putting the burden on the residents of this city.

When is it going to stop? When are they are going to come here an advise us in good faith to move us forward? They have come in here and offered nothing but unrealistic expectations, they have done nothing positive. As we have been saying for weeks now, the debt has increased. Our financial situation is worsened since PEL

has been here. And they are going to come and tell us how to run the city.

We have heard a lot about bankruptcy. We have had speaker after speaker come up here and talk about Chapter 5 and Chapter 9 and Chapter 11, whatever it's been. Bankruptcy is not the solution, as I have stated many times before. As we have talked about, a 78 percent tax increase that will look inviting compared to what they are going to come in here and do. You are talking about tax increases well over 100 percent, is that what we want?

So I think we come up here and we make comments I think we need to take a look at the whole picture and understand what's truly in the best interest of the residents of this city, and as I have stated before, when we have people come up here and they want to make comments and be critical I think it's very important that at the same time you have a solution yourself.

The commuter tax, I stated there is some concerns that we shouldn't be punishing people outside of the city. I don't look at

it that way. I look at it as we are sharing services that we, the taxpayers, pay for which is are public safety, our roads and bridges each day, and I think it's only fair that you contribute to that.

One last brief comment regarding the nonprofits. They have made it quite clear they are not going to contribute. It's time to hold them accountable once and for all. I know legally they don't have to give us a dime. You know, the University, the \$175,000 they give us each year, as I have stated before, it's pocket change. That's lunch money to the "U."

But more importantly, we need to look into the profitable businesses that are within the University such as the Chick-fil-A, Quiznos, Starbucks and anything else that's in there that hasn't been contributing to the city why aren't they and if means getting the Tax Assessor's Office involved, I know they have been reviewing some things, the county has already stated they have no interest in it, and I'm really troubled by that.

But the time is now to come up with solutions and I challenge the critics and grandstanders come forward and let's hear your plan. If you have all of the answers, let's hear what you have. You have been given more than ample time to come forward. This chamber is open every Thursday, let's hear what you have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. HETMAN: Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County Department of Community Relations. Just a few housekeeping items to deal with tonight. First, the downtown drive-in summer movies series showing of Rocky that was set for 9 a.m. tonight at courthouse square has been postponed due to expected inclement weather. A makeup date will be announced in the near future. Again, this evening's showing of Rocky as part of the downtown drive-in summer movies series has been postponed due to expected inclement weather and a makeup date will be

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

announced in the near future.

Second, Mr. McGoff already mentioned this in his remarks, but on behalf of the Lackawanna County Commissioners, we would like to thank everyone who participated in or attended the Three-on-Three basketball tournament this past weekend in downtown Scranton, along with First Friday and all of the other downtown events, and also as Mr. McGoff said, I was there to see it take place and he lead the charge, the city team did win handsomely over of the county team so our congratulations to Mr. McGoff and everyone from the city who played, and again, to all of our participants both the celebrity games and all of the other action which take place over the weekend at all age levels and skill levels and everyone we saw who came out and enjoyed a good time in downtown Scranton.

Just some announcements this evening regarding several programs. First, just a remainder as to something we talked about a couple weeks back. The Lackawanna County Arts and Cultural Department will present

their 2013 Lackawanna County Community Arts and Culture grant workshop series the next few weeks. These take place, there is one workshop during the month of August at each of the Lackawanna County library system libraries. Artist and art organizations not currently receiving grant funding can apply for up to \$3,000 in grant funds for community arts and cultural grant projects. These workshops will cover the types of projects that are eligible for the grants and how to write the grant proposals.

Applications will be due October 12, 2012, for art projects that will take place in the 2013 calendar year and the workshop at the Albright Memorial Library here in downtown Scranton will take place this coming Monday, August 13, from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. Again, that's this coming Monday, August 13, from 6 p.m. at the Albright Memorial Library in downtown Scranton. Please invite any artists or anyone who is interested in putting on an art program to come and take a look and explore the program for themselves.

Second, I would like to make an announcement that the Lackawanna Heritage Valley, National State Heritage area, regarding the upcoming heritage explorer train excursion. On Saturday, August 18, the Lackawanna County Heritage Valley National and State Heritage area will run the seventh annual heritage train from the City of Scranton to the City of Carbondale for the ethnic heritage festival. Ride the rails for an old fashioned, fun filled day for the whole family.

The excursion is free for children 12 and under. The adults tickets are \$5 and tickets for seniors 65 years or older are \$4. Children under 16 must be accompanied by adult and all passengers, including children, must have a ticket to ride the train. Tickets are able at all Lackawanna County Library System libraries from July 12 through August 17. As seating is limited, families are encouraged to obtain their tickets early. For more information about the Heritage Explorer train please contact the Lackawanna County Library System at

570-348-3003 that's 570-348-3003.

And again, the train leaves I believe at 10:00 in the morning from Steamtown National historic site, I think it returns from Carbondale at approximately 4 p.m. A nice day, it shows off and gives people exposure to different places around the country and makes for a nice family activity.

And later in the month, actually the month of September, Steamtown National Historic Site will host Railfest 2012 Labor Day weekend, September 1 and 2. The annual event is a celebration of railroading in the past and present and future. Various displays, programs, demonstrations and, of course, train rides on both days of the events.

There are a number of items listed here, I can't go over all of them due to time, but for more information on the festivities of Railfest can be obtained by contacting Steamtown 570-340-5204 from 9 a.m to 4:30 p.m. weekdays and weekends, and also their website for Steamtown is

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

www.nps.gov-stea -- excuse me,

www.nps.gov/stea. That's all I have for evening. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HETMAN: No problem. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: David Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave Dobryzn, resident of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Taxes and so forth I have a message for people in the outside, I keep seeing in the newspaper editorials and so forth on how we are paying way less taxes than them, well, first of all our property values are much lower, but city life is more efficient. Less roads. bridges, water, sewer, gas lines, less infrastructure altogether per household. Less response time and distance for fire employees, less mileage on the vehicles, more concentrated taxable property. please stop reminding me that will your \$500,000 home is taxed much higher than my \$50,000 fiasco that I own.

Now, for weeks and months I have

been talking in possible years about outsourcing the trade packs, a lot of our jobs have gone outside of the area, and people are on their way backward in wages. The average working person has lost money over the years. If you go to school, well, my wife got turned away from a job for about \$8,000 a year more because she went to school for something and they said, "Oh, you will dump this job as soon as you get a job offer there."

Well she wasn't getting a job offer so, you know, she was basically penalized for going to school.

On privatizing, every now and then somebody sees fit to dump a tire on my front law, and I have to run around and beg people to take it and pay five bucks to get rid of it. When I was up the country I had a couple with 51 bags of trash, blamed us for it, and my wife convinced the town police and the mayor that it wasn't ours and showed them the coupon book, which was about a \$1.75 a bag then and it went up to two and a quarter, the last time I paid that was in

2000, and that trash did not see a landfill, by the way. It got hauled out and dumped somewhere along the countryside, so be very careful.

And as far as the Sewer Authority is concerned, it might sound like a good idea but it's my understanding that American Anglican was milking the situation and not doing what they were supposed to do either which resulted in us receiving fines.

On the audit, I wonder if that -well, it probably isn't because we didn't
receive the audit, that promissory note.

Gee, how convenient. Once again, Mr -- and
anybody in the administration, please, get
the audit to these people so they don't have
to sit here and listen to this every week.

And on these tax increases it's a matter of principles. Who gets a 100 percent? Maybe Mr. Rogan did because he stopped making the pizza and he got himself a real job after he got out of college, so he got a two or 300 percent raise, you know

MR. ROGAN: I still make the pizza,

too, Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Nobody gets 100 percent raise over two or three years, so it's the principal of it and how much they go up.

And, okay, I have also a copy of the Pennsylvania Constitution on Section 3, religious freedom: "All men have a natural and indefeasible right to worship Almighty God according to the dictates of their own consciences; no man can of right be compelled to attend, erect or support any place of worship or to maintain any ministry against his consent; no human authority can, in any case whatever, control or interfere with the rights of conscience, and no preference shall ever be given by law to any religious establishments or modes of worship."

Now, keeping in mind that we have a bunch of nonprofits or tax exempts that want to stick their nose into politics constantly in the area and here we are paying taxes to keep them and not be compensated for it, so keep in mind that statement, and I think

I'm

1 comment on those? 2 MS. EVANS: As soon as they are 3 complete. MS. SCHUMACHER: Within at least 24 4 5 hours prior to the public hearing? MS. EVANS: That would be the goal, 6 7 but, you know, there is -- there may be 8 changes thereafter. I don't know. 9 hoping that all changes are complete prior to the public hearing and certainly all of 10 11 that will be made available to the public. 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I certainly 13 hope so. It's going to be hard to comment 14 on it if we haven't seen it all. Next. is the sales tax that's in 15 16 your revised Recovery Plan based on the 17 Senator Blake Bill 1502? 18 MS. EVANS: I believe so. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. You are 20 aware that only 40 percent of the amount 21 that the city would get is available for use 22 in operating budgets, that 60 percent of it 23 has to go to homestead and real estate 24 taxes?

> MS. EVANS: Yes.

25

1	MS. SCHUMACHER: And you still stand
2	by that figure?
3	MS. EVANS: The figures were
4	actually presented to us by Lackawanna
5	County.
6	MS. SCHUMACHER: As the total that
7	we would get or the total
8	MS. EVANS: As the total that the
9	City of Scranton would receive, yes.
10	MS. SCHUMACHER: But you were
11	showing all of that as operating budget
12	revenue; correct?
13	MS. EVANS: Yes.
14	MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, again, if
15	what we get, if you put the total in and we
16	can only use 40 percent toward the operating
17	budget then 60 percent of that has to come
18	out so
19	MR. MCGOFF: May I?
20	MS. EVANS: Okay.
21	MR. MCGOFF: There are exemptions
22	that could increase that 40 percent or that
23	could increase the amount beyond 40 percent.
24	MS. SCHUMACHER: That are in work
25	because

MR. MCGOFF: That were in the Bill. 1 2 MS. SCHUMACHER: -- the copy that 3 Senator --MR. MCGOFF: That are exemptions in 4 5 the Bill that allow municipalities to 6 increase that percentage beyond 40 percent. 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: So the taxpayers 8 don't get any help again even though that's 9 what was billed. 10 Now, that takes me to another point, 11 it's a slight diversion, but several weeks 12 ago I did ask the question on how many letters the city council has sent to our 13 14 state representatives, legislators I should say, not just reps, on the elimination of --15 16 in support of the elimination of the school 17 property tax relief bills. 18 MS. EVANS: I don't believe that 19 council has sent any. 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Interesting. Well, 21 I do hope that a lot of business owners come 22 out to next week's public hearing because I 23 can't believe that they aren't really 24 concerned and I hope they are not afraid to 25 come out and speak in public.

1 Now, to other items ongoing, have 2 the parking bills gone out yet and does 3 council have a list of the recipients of those bills for the sales tax? 4 5 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake? MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans, I did verify 6 7 with our business administrator that, 8 indeed, the parking bills went out several 9 weeks ago. Someone must have given the 10 wrong information, but they have not yet 11 given us a list of who they were sent to. MS. EVANS: I know that Councilman 12 13 Joyce said he was going to look into that 14 for you, so obviously he hasn't been able to do so within this past week, but I'm sure he 15 16 can address that for you further in the 17 future. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: And do we have a 19 name yet for the rental registration bills? 20 MR. MCGOFF: For, I'm sorry? 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Rental registration 22 bills? 23 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, as far as I 24 know the position that we asked was posted 25 and as soon as that -- I would assume that

1 as soon as that is filled that they will send those out. 2 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. 4 MR. MCGOFF: I'm assuming, I can 5 check. MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I appreciate 6 Last year's budget had a rather large 7 8 attachment showing all of the former 9 employees that are out on workers' comp, has 10 the city ever had a program to provide 11 employment for those people that have 12 qualified to do something but not the job 13 for which they are receiving workers' comp? 14 MS. EVANS: I don't know. I think that would be probably a question for the 15 16 Human Resources Office, so if you would like 17 to address that to them I'm sure they can 18 tell you. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: I guess I will, and 20 a question for Mr. McGoff. 21 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry? 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: A question for you. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Who was the third 25 member of the three-on-three team that

1 wasn't you, Ryan McGowan and Mark 2 Seitzinger? The one who really sunk almost 3 every basket he -- -MR. MCGOFF: The one that made most 4 5 of the -- scored most of the points, Chris Boland. 6 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: Who? 8 MR. MCGOFF: Chris Boland, 9 treasurer. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you 11 very much. And now that we are considering 12 13 MS. EVANS: He is tall. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: He is more than tall, he is good. Now that we are going 15 16 ahead apparently with the -- we still are 17 going ahead with changing the classification 18 of the city to Third Class from 2-A have we 19 now started a process to find all that 20 legislation that pertains only to 2-A cities 21 so we know where we may have to make 22 changes? 23 MS. EVANS: Actually, that's still 24 being discussed with the administration, but 25 right now the priority has been financing

for the city and the Recovery Plan deliberations.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And if assuming that you went ahead with the Third Class would that require a Home Rule Charter, a new Home Rule Charter Commission or would we stick with the one we have?

MS. EVANS: I don't have a definite answer to that. I don't know that anyone does, but it seems redundant to go through that process since we already have a Home Rule Charter and we had already chosen the form of the government for the City of Scranton.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, I would hope that we would have a new Home Rule Charter, I think there are plenty of deficiencies and not very much teeth in the one we have where it's important.

And then I'll conclude tonight by sharing the article I told you about a few weeks ago about the City of Vallejo, it is now a model for cities in the age of austerity.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, City

Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm Tom Ungvarsky.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: In speaking with other people the question often comes up about how did we get into this mess and who is to blame. My answer is the voter. When the mayor first ran for office it was understandable that people would vote for By the second time he ran I'm sure him. there were some kind of questions about his administration, but the third time he ran people could see what was happening to the city and yet we still voted him in, and that's why I think it's the voter who is to blame.

I want to thank council for the good fight they are fighting, however, I wish it was done out in the open before final passage of this budget. I hope city council will hold a town hall meeting, not a caucus, but a town hall meeting with the five of you, DCED, PEL, and the mayor and if the mayor doesn't show up then we'll know who is

1

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to blame. I just wish that more of these meetings that you have had with the mayor you either explain what was going on or you had them out in the open. Too much has been hidden from the people of this city and it's no wonder we can't find or we don't vote for someone who really would do a decent job and I thank you for your time.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. NEWCOMB: Good evening, city council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. NEWCOMB: Before I get to what I want to say, three quick things. First and foremost, I was outside I don't know if you-- I believe you did, said something about Helen Cook? I just want to make a quick note that I knew Helen since I was 15 years old, on my first job I used to bag her groceries, and this city lost a true asset this week. She gave back to people in the city for a long time and I hope she realizes how she will be missed by many people. My condolences go to Frank.

And we were talking last week ${\bf I}$

25

heard somebody say about the Sewer Authority and DPW about privatization, I have to say in regards to the Sewer Authority, as you know, I have been fighting since I purchased my house in 2005, about a water issue that has plagued our neighborhood for years, and I hope it comes to fruition and I have faith in Gene Skeleton that it will, but I talked to him once if not twice a week over the last couple of months and they have a plan to fix the problem on Keyser Valley now that they have -- they are able to do it with the water, they finally own the storm runoff and hopefully I'll be able to come back here in a couple of months and say that the problem is finally resolved, but the conversation I had with him the other day it sounds like they are going to have a company coming in and they got a engineer and they are going to fix the problem, so as far as that goes with the Sewer Authority I think if we were to go to privatization with them it would only hurt situations like not only myself but major problems throughout the city because they have been very, very responsive

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to me in helping the situation.

DPW, I don't know if they exist to be perfectly honest with you, besides when they pick up my trash, no due respect to the people that work there, but as far as their administration I really don't think they exist.

People are coming up here and saying the bad word in these chambers, the "B "word bankruptcy. I hate to say it and nobody supported this council more than me, I got punished for it from this administration for supporting people that sit there before me, but what's right is right and what's wrong is wrong and if I disagree I'm going do disagree and move on, but I think, unfortunately, as much as I hate to say it and nobody hates to see it but me, but I think inevitable this point because we are in a hole and instead of crawling out of it like you guys have been trying to do for the last year and a half we just keep digging a hole deeper and deeper and deeper.

And we owe \$2.4 million or \$2.4 million to the Blue Cross and Blue Shield,

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have \$300 million in debt. We have \$700,000 that we have to pay in back pay to the employees plus 6 percent interest, we just keep getting deeper. I have a couple of solutions, it's not going to solve the city's problems at all, but it might save us a couple of million collars.

First a foremost, sell the darn Parking Authority, get rid of. Whatever you get for it, take it and run with it. rid of the Parking Authority. And this is the big white elephant in this room and in this city and nobody wants to address it, and I have many friends in the police department and fire department and DPW, nobody wants to address it but I'm going it address it, we can't afford to pay for retired employees health care any more. We can't do it. If you retire from the city we should give two options, either pay 70 -- we pay a certain amount of your health care, but we can't afford to pay for your family's health care any longer, because currently right now if you retire from the City of Scranton and you have a spouse or children

we pay for their health care. We can't afford it anymore. Pay for the employee a certain percentage and move on.

I understand that there is contracts, let them do what they need to do, but it has to stop. We have 600 retired employees currently that we are paying for health care for that don't work for the city anymore. We have 40 retirees possibly that could retire next year, you are going to obviously have to replace those 40 people so technically you got 40 people going onto the payroll and into the retirement plan again.

You need to take a big black marker to the budget and every single person that's in that budget that makes more than \$50,000 a year either has to go or they have to decrease their salary. This is something that nobody else wants to talk about, but it's something simple that somebody brought up to me and I said, wow, that's a fantastic idea. Currently we have over \$500,000 in overtime in the DPW for last year, half a million dollars, I'll be real quick. If you -- I could be wrong, but if you take DPW and

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you make two shifts out of the DPW Department and you go from 6 to 2 and 2 to 10 that only leaves you from ten at night or 11 at night, however you want to do it, until 5 or 6 o'clock in the morning for a couple of hours to be able to have coverage. It makes perfect sense to me that you would significantly decrease the amount of overtime when you are paying people to come in and pave our -- plow our roads and do everything else that's necessary to do after 2:00 in the afternoon. If you don't want to do it, then hold privatization over their Personally I think that privatizing the DPW would hurt us because I'm the one that has to pay per bag, but things need to change and they need to change quick.

And finally, I had a couple of other things, I'm not going to come to the public meeting, but I got my two cents in there, two more quick things, I believe that the only police cars that we should have in the city are the fire chief, the police chief and the Department of LIPS should only be the three city vehicles besides, of course,

police and DPW and fire trucks that we should be paying for in the city.

I don't believe that the \$4 million from the KOZs, I think you need to come up with another idea because I think that's maybe money, I really do. I can't see how the University of Scranton and anybody else is going to pass over anymore. I mean, I read in the paper, which I hate to say, too, but they basically thumbed their nose at us and said, "We ain't giving you another nickles," so you got \$4 million that you've got to find somewhere else.

And another pet peeve of mine is
Engine 7 is West Side that's a mile and half
from my house that has been closed and
browned out on a daily basis and when I call
city hall I'm told that the firemen are on
vacation. I don't understand how firemen
are on vacation for almost two months now.
It's browned out 90 percent of the time over
the last two months because they don't have
the manpower to safely -- to put in there
and that's unacceptable for West Side. The
people in West Side should be in an uproar

1	that they don't have adequate fire
2	protection. It's browned out on 95 a 90
3	to 95 percent basis. That's unacceptable
4	and that needs to change. Maybe we
5	shouldn't be giving the grant money back and
6	be able to keep the fire stations open and
7	actually get something for our money. Thank
8	you.
9	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
10	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie, you're
11	back.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Hey, Chrissy.
13	MR. SLEDENZSKI: You're back;
14	rights, Jack?
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Nice shirt, Buddy.
16	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Thank you. Hello,
17	Janet.
18	MS. EVANS: Hi, Chris.
19	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Janet, you know
20	who's in the hospital, my sister. She has
21	impacted.
22	MS. EVANS: Oh, I'm sorry.
23	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Janet, pray for her
24	tonight for me, say a prayer for her Janet?
25	All of yous say a prayer for her. I really

1 appreciate it. I really will. I really 2 will. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay, Chris. MR. SLEDENZSKI: I want to say happy 4 5 birthday to Gary, his birthday is tomorrow, Jack. Happy birthday, Jack. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Chrissy, come here. A 8 little gift for you. 9 (Mr. McGoff gives Mr. Sledzenski a 10 shirt.) 11 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Thank you. MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 12 13 cares to address council? 14 MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS. MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have 15 16 any comments or motions this evening? 17 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, please. I'll try 18 and be brief. First on the Recovery Plan, 19 just a few quick comments. I think the 20 Recovery Plan is a positive step for the 21 City of Scranton. I do believe that it 22 takes care of four -- or there are four 23 things here that are important. There is 24 concern, creativity, compromise and 25 cooperation.

taxpayers, for the property owner. A lot of time and effort was put into trying to reduce the tax proposal that was made by PEL

There is a concern for the

and by the mayor and I think that the plan

successfully does that.

There is creativity. You may look at the alternative revenue sources as maybe being too creative you may feel that, but something has to be done in order to take care of the tax percentages and so some creative means of revenue had to be found. Yes, there may not be precedent for some of them, but they are there. We need to -- we need to make them work.

There is compromise. It took a lot of effort on the part of the mayor and Mrs. Evans to compromise on some ideas and to come to some resolution for some of the items that were included in the Recovery Plan. I think that is a major step forward and, as I said last week, I applaud the efforts of the mayor and Mrs. Evans to do that.

And lastly, and I think the biggest

thing that we have, is cooperation. This plan can be successful if we get the cooperation of all of the parties involved. We need to have people work together to make had plan successful. I truly believe that if council and the administration work together that this plan can be a guideline for recovery and hopefully we will do that.

As far as the concerns of PEL, PEL's response was -- it wasn't an abject refusal or denial of the plan, I know that in the letter what they were trying to do was say that you need to seek hard revenue sources. As I mentioned last week, you know, their answer seems to be that the only hard revenue is, you know, tax increases, property tax increases.

At the meeting with PEL representatives this past week and I sort of voiced my displeasure with the letter and with their response, not that I necessarily disagreed with all of the letter, I thought that -- I thought that they were just being too pessimistic. Rather than looking at some of the positives that were contained in

the plan I believe that they just, you know, took the negatives and presented us with a letter that seemed like an ultimatum.

And in talking with representatives of PEL, I do believe that in discussions with PEL and with some persuasion from council and the mayor I believe that we can come to some resolution of the differences that PEL had and I think in the end we can get some agreement or get agreement from PEL and DCED for the Recovery Plan.

I think that what we need to do is we need to find a way to make this work rather than never giving the plan a chance.

It's a plan, it's something that we have worked hard at, and let's find a way that it can be successful.

And lastly, I wanted to mention early, I'm sorry that I'm doing it at this point, I just wanted to mention the passing of Mrs. Nancy Smith, aunt of State Representative Ken Smith. A truly beautiful lady, you know, resident of South Scranton, she will be truly missed in the community. And that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. I did plan on commenting much more on the Recovery Plan, but I do see that Councilman Loscombe will be making a motion to table it so I guess I will wait to see what the final plan is.

As you know, I do opposed the plan in it's current version, I do have many questions, but as I also said, I am willing to listen to the people, my colleagues and we'll see what comes out of what changes, for example, and what comes out of the public caucus most importantly.

I just want to comment on a few items that were brought up and a couple of other things. I firmly believe that, and this was brought up over the past couple of times over the last few months that a Recovery Plan should be placed in front of the voters of Scranton on a referendum ballot. It happened in the past with the last Recovery Plan, I was upset with the vote, I didn't support it, but the voters

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

voted for it. So at the end of the day, you know, regardless of whether you support it or not it went up to a vote to the full residents of the City of Scranton and it was approved. So that is the true seal of approval or disapproval for a plan, so that's something I fully support.

It was also mentioned, and it's somewhat on the same topic, but a Home Rule Charter Commission. Again, something I fully support. It was brought up to a vote a few years past, it was shot down. I liked it a lot what was in that plan. I believe there should be term limits for elected officials. I don't believe that we should be allowed to have a mayor who is there for three terms, four terms, and the same thing for council. I believe two full terms is more than enough. Maybe the federal government would do the same, but who knows. And again, that's something that should be put in front of the voters for a vote.

The idea of a town hall meeting, I fully support that as well. All five members of council, the mayor,

Mrs. Novembrino if she would like to attend, members of the administration, anyone. I know, and I fully support the meetings being on TV, but I know a lot of people are afraid to come to city council because of it being on TV, you know, a lot of the e-mails that I get and I'm sure it's the same for my colleagues say, you know, I would love to come to a council meeting, but, you know, I don't want to be stopped in the grocery store, but at a town hall meeting maybe at West Scranton High School or Scranton High School I think that's a great idea.

Next, again, I was very upset to learn from the newspaper again about Attorney Kelly signing a promissory note without knowledge from -- without the elected officials been given any notice on it. Obviously the city has to pay its bills. There is no question that we have to pay what we owe to Blue Cross, but I don't know understand where, you know, an appointed official has the authority to sign off on that type of note. I may be wrong, but I do believe that that's something that

should be left to the elected officials, and if it is signed off on by a solicitor the elected officials should at least know about at the very least. So I hope that more information comes to light on that. You know, we'll see how that plays out.

Two other things that were mentioned, one is an employment plan for workers' comp employees. It seems to make a lot of sense to me. You know, for instance, if you were in, you know, a manual labor job and you were hurt maybe you can't return to a manual labor job, but you can do another job in the same department, maybe of the clerical nature, and I know Mr. McGoff mentioned union issues with that, but do think it's something that should be looked at instead of paying workers' comp, get them back to work. I think that's a great idea.

Finally, and this is I know

Mr. Lewis has been coming to council and

bringing up bankruptcy every week and a few

other people have mentioned it and before I

elaborate on this I do not support

bankruptcy as of right now, but I do think

it's something that council needs to educated on. I'll be the first to admit, and it's a very complex proceeding, I do not know much about a municipal bankruptcy. I don't know if many of us do. It's a rare occurrence, it's becoming more frequent, unfortunately, across the country, but I do think it's something we need to be educated on. Hopefully it never comes to that, but we need to know what would happen.

And what I -- the one thing I did, I didn't read the whole article because Mrs. Schumacher did just give it to us, but the one thing I did notice was this town did, their credit rating dropped, obviously, after the bankruptcy filing, but they paid only five cents for every dollar it owned to bond holders, which I think can be a very big benefit.

Now, do the benefits outweigh the costs? I don't know. I would hope that in the future we can have a caucus, I know there was one scheduled, it was cancelled, just to discuss what would happen and in the event that there was a bankruptcy. I'm not

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

saying I support it, because at this point I absolutely don't. I think we can get out of our problems through the elected officials listening to residents and different people in the community.

And one final comment on the Recovery Plan and, like I said, I'll hold-since it's being tabled I'd hold my comments. As far as PEL is concerned, and I don't support the plan, but I don't think that the city whether you support or oppose it should ever be held hostage by a group of the Pennsylvania Economy League or has absolutely no stake in what happens to the City of Scranton. If Scranton succeeds or Scranton fails it doesn't matter with PEL. Actually, they probably want the city to remain in a distressed status because that's what is paying their paychecks at the end of the day. If Scranton were to get out of distressed status they would be gone and they wouldn't being get paid by the state taxpayers anymore. So, although, I do oppose the plan in its current form I don't think that what PEL says should really have

much bearing on the debate.

And that is all I have to say for now. Maybe some comments on the items as they come up for a vote. That's it for now.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any motions or comments this evening?

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, I apologize, I do have one more thing.

MS. EVANS: Go ahead.

MR. ROGAN: I was contacted by a few police officers and residents who have once again brought up the condition of the police cars in the city. I know that I believe Mr. Loscombe and Mrs. Evans did visit DPW and I'm glad Mr. Dougher finally took the time to reply to some of our letters. So, Mrs. Krake, could we please send one asking what the hold up is again on repairing the police vehicles whether it's a monetary issue and they can't afford to purchase the parts or if other projects are being done first.

And I heard a story from a resident that one of our police officers was driving

9

10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

around the city and he smelled smoke in his car so the first thing you think is where is the fire, being a good police officer looking around no fire. Keeps driving around smells smoke, still no fire. thing you know the vehicle catches on fire. So when our police officers can't even travel in the community to fight crime, you know, that's defeating the whole purpose of having a police officer. You could have 10,000 police officers in the city but if they don't have a vehicle to get place to place they are going to be ineffective. I hope that the DPW will make it a top priority before anything else of getting police cars repaired and back on the streets to fight crime. And that's is all for this time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,

Councilman Loscombe, I'll call on you now,

but first I'll ask you if you concur with my

memory of our visit to the DPW and

Mr. Dougher. Mr. Dougher said that police

cars were a number one on the priority list

in terms of repairs because I know we did

question him about that.

_

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Is that correct?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe at that time they were waiting for cages for some of the cars, that's why they were delayed, but, yes. He said that's, you know, because of the nature of the vehicle it's first priority.

MR. ROGAN: Hope that isn't how fast they move on their top priority because --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just to second that,

I know in the past couple of weeks I know we
passed legislation, Chief Duffy had
initiated it and acting chief Graziano was
continuing to follow-up on additional
innovative ways to get some new vehicles.

They had one donated, they have got some
grants for two others and they were working
-- supposed to be a vehicle that was
donated, we don't have the legislation yet,
but it's more or less like an all-terrain
vehicle for East Mountain rescues and stuff
like that and West Mountain where they would
have to go off road which would be a

benefit, too.

So I know they are actively working on a new -- but, as Mr. Rogan said, we have to take care of these existing, also, so I will follow-up on that. I appreciate that.

MR. ROGAN: Great.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Next, I would -maybe I better wait for Mr. McGoff to come
back for the motion?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm going to elaborate on the Recovery Plan tonight, a lot has been said about. I want to wait for the public hearing. I do concur and I think we all do a think a town hall meeting would fantastic. I mean, it would have to be done previous to August 23 when we have to pass this, but, you know, to have everyone's input. I know a lot of people have ideas.

They say there is things in our plan that aren't workable or whatever, but I think Mr. McGoff explained that pretty well. You know, do you take the big bite, do you pay 80 percent taxes and God knows what else

or do you work, as we did, with compromising, Mrs. Evans and Mr. Joyce along with the mayor, to reduce the tax bite and come up with some other revenue sources?

They could say they're pie in the sky or whatever, and the unfortunate thing is a lot of these sources were presented two and a half years ago. If they were implemented then we would be much farther ahead in the game. Now, we are against the wall and the administration realizes that and are willing to pursue them.

But, again, if they had acted on it two and a half years ago it would have helped us immensely, but these are ideas. There is no easy way. There is no perfect plan, it's been said by everyone. I don't care who comes in here. You know, bankruptcy, again, is a last option in my mind. You know, I mean, I look at it personally, I have financial problems personally. I don't want to go bankrupt, I owe those debts. I want to try and work them out with the people I owe them to. I'm not going to pass them off. I brought those

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

debts on myself, I want to pay them.

We didn't bring the debts on, but it's been done through previous administration and council and that would be a last resort if we have no way out. Again, it's an option that, you know, I'm sure we are all studying and we would like to know more on, but we have been given a time line and a deadline that doesn't give us a lot of time to, you know, research everything.

Unfortunately, that's not the only thing all five of us here do. We don't have a desk job downstairs Monday to Friday from 9 to 5. Most everyone here has to survive by doing a full-time job, so it is a little more difficult and we try to get back to our constituents and we try to get the answers and we try to -- you know, it's hurtful when you read letters and editorials or whatever, especially people from out of town they have all of the answers, too, for us, you know, that's why they live out of town, there is no easy answer. It's taken many years to get to this point and it's got going to change tomorrow. There is going to be pain

for everybody. Do I like to see the out-of-town people pay? No, I don't. But if they could help us out for awhile perhaps if some of the major employers and nonprofits in this city contributed their fair share we wouldn't have to go after the out of towners. They employ a lot of out of town people. If they paid their share then their employees wouldn't have to be asked.

And, you know, it's ashame the comments they make and to sit back and watch this city wallow the way we are right now and to sit back real cocky and say, "We give in-kind services, we do this, we do that."

You know, I don't know how they could sell their students and other states and out of town in coming to Scranton to be students in their colleges, you know, because it's all over the news, it's all over the nation. You think they would want to help improve the appearance of their city to help draw more students in for themselves, but they don't and the time has come and the mayor has committed to going after them and we'll go after them.

You know it's ashame that we may have to embarrass them into contributing a little bit to us, but it's worked in other cities. It's worked in Pittsburgh, it's worked in Rhode Island, and again, I know there is representatives throughout the state that are working on a state level because we are not the only municipalities in this spot to come up with more teeth to get the nonprofits.

And again, Mr. Ellman brought up the 1985 Public Charity Act, we have already gone over that. You know, we have been utilizing that but, you know, it's on county level we have to work with to get some of this stuff through, through the county assessor's office. You know, there is so many hoops we have to jump through, so many ropes we have to jump over, everybody sits back and thinks that you can just do this, do this and do this, and there is some nights I sit home scratching my head saying, boy, if only they knew. If they only knew what goes on between Thursday and the next Thursday with the meetings with

representatives and meeting our constituents. I mean, we all get phone calls, we all get e-mails, we all get stopped in the stores and restaurants and we appreciate that because that's how we find out a lot of what's going on out there that we are not, you know, accessible to.

But you know what it's like trying to get information from this building, trying to get cooperation from this building. It's nice to see we are having some cooperation now, but what really kicks you back is when you find out things like a promissory note you knew nothing about, different things like are what are keep kicking us back. We are two steps ahead and one step back -- or it should be one step forward two steps back basically is what's happening.

But, you know, the Recovery Plan, and again, you know, there has been -- we are having open meetings, but there has been mention about it being put on a referendum.

I'll tell you right now, it would be voted down flat no matter whose plan it was. As

long as there is a 1 percent increase in taxes nobody would vote for it. The only reason they voted for that last one on the referendum was because there was a promise of no tax increase and guess what happened? After it was approved we had a 26 percent tax increase. I would love to see it voted that way, but I know, we hear it every day, "My taxes are going up, I can't afford it. My taxes are going up."

It's the truth. There is a lot of, you know, tough times out there, but I think to put that on a referendum with even a 1 percent tax increase it would be shot down just knowing the mindset, and that's unfortunate, but that's where we are at. That's my feeling anyway. I mean, I would love everybody to have a vote on it, but I think that's why we were elected to make those decisions, you know? You can chastise us or whatever, we all have independent You know, a lot of times we vote together, sometimes we don't, we have different philosophies on different things, but we all get along in the long run. We

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all work together here. We are all working for you. Every one of us. No two people are the same.

But, you know, when it comes down to the taxpayers and what we need for this city I think we are all in-sync and we are all working for the same thing. And I wasn't going to elaborate on the Recovery Plan, sorry about that. I get off on a tangent.

I just have one more issue to bring up and that has been at me and I think Mr. Newcomb mentioned earlier about Engine And, you know, the fire chief and stuff, he just mentioned numbers and people in the neighborhoods don't realize, Engine 7 is the fire engine on Luzerne Street in West Scranton. As Mr. Newcomb stated, it is closed 95 percent of the time. I think in the last 36 days it was closed 33 days. That covers quite a bit of ground. covers the whole west mountain where there are no fire hydrants or anything. That's a the first response company for Mt. Dewey area, Ransom Township off of Division Street or over off the top of Sekol Avenue past the

WWDL. That's the first responding company for those areas and it's amazing because there are many fires in those areas that Scranton was able to stop before the companies came over the mountain from Clarks Summit and Newton ransom.

But there was a fire again last week, I believe it was on Dewey Avenue, and Engine 7 was closed and the damage probably could have been considerably less. We are seeing more and more and more fire damage.

Engine 15 up in Petersburg closed for how long now, a couple of years. There wasn't one voice of uprising over that, but you know what, when one of those big houses in the Hill goes up and three or four more continue down the road you are going to hear it. Where were they when it was closed? Everybody thinks it will never happen to them. Why don't you call somebody that experienced a fire in their house, they never thought it would happen to them either. The unfortunate thing is with all of these closings it's going to happen and it's going to happen bad. It's inevitable.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These stations that have been closed, browned out without any kind of workable plan, no plan whatsoever. Like I said before, I think they used the dart board method. Let's close this one, let's close this one.

Fortunately, when Engine 10 was closed most of the time the neighbors, who are proud of their neighborhood up there and rightfully so, got up in arms and then the administration decided to keep Engine 10 open, but that's a false hope for the people on East Mountain because now with all of these other stations closed Engine 10 is off It's not the mountain most of the time. there to protect them. They may see it parked there once in awhile, but if there is a fire downtown, boom, Engine 10 is there. If there is a fire in South Side Engine 10 is there. If there is a West Side Engine 10 is gone, so it's gone out of there more than it's in there.

Engine 2 in South Side, you know,
that's stays maintained. Engine 4 right
here on Mulberry Street with all of the high

rises, closed most of the time. There is a truck company active in there and the assistant chief. Over on West Side on Engine 8 is closed a lot or Engine 9. Truck 4 is closed. Engine 9 is closed permanently, so that sometimes they move Engine 8 over when Engine 7 is closed. They move Engine 8 from North Scranton over to North Main Avenue leaving all of the north end to the Dickson City line and up the Morgan Highway uncovered. If there is a fire downtown there is nobody on the west side.

It's a disaster waiting to happen and everyone has sat back and not made a peep about it, but God forbid when something happens it's going to happen and it's going to be bad and then it's going to be too late. The administration gave back \$3 1/2 million. You know, I don't know who makes the decisions. I mean, we have chiefs in departments, we have the administration, but we had on the police department last year, all they needed were two police officers and they would have had 13 more that were paid

by COM-D patrolling our streets, because they didn't have those two they lost that COM-D funding for the 14, so we would have been paying for two and had 15 COM-D officers on the street. Then the Supreme Court ruling came, they had to bring back six police officers. We are paying for six to have six where we would have been paying for two to have 15.

Somebody is not paying attention.

Now with this SAFER grant giving back this \$3 1/2 million, the firefighters wrote that grant, they knew what was required, but somebody in the top obviously didn't pay attention because the federal regulations with that SAFER grant is that each piece of equipment in the city had to be covered with four people on a piece of equipment, so we are back to where we were before. If we had that SAFER grant everything would be opened, so we got that grant and we are no better than we were before because we are mandated to have four men on a piece of equipment.

Somebody didn't to their homework in the administration and it's going to cost

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somebody. It's going to cost public safety personnel or people in this city and I'm just -- I can't understand the apathy of the people who have just sat back and let their neighborhoods be vacated because they think it will never happen to them, but when it does happen to them and they are waiting ten minutes for that company with the water to arrive a their property then I bet they are not too happy.

You know, you can read in the paper, oh, the first company was on scene within two minutes, I have stated this many times before, there is not going to be any water on that fire until the engine company gest there. You could have Rescue 1 there on scene and you could have a truck company on a scene, but the truck company could throw these ladders up and start ventilating, they have a little bit of water, by the time they have to lift the ladders to get the hose out of bed, a waste of time. I said, it's a pattern, the way it always worked was the engine company would pull up in front of the house, the next engine would take a hydrant,

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if there is only one they would pull the hose to their own hydrant. They would start the water immediately on the fire. In the mean time, if anyone was in there to be rescued.

You know, the fire gear that a firemen wear isn't fire proof, neither is their skin. They can't go in that house, although, many of them will and have without water being there because they need that protection. Somebody has to start knocking down the fire while they are getting the place evacuated, checking the structure for people, and the ladders companies are getting up and getting the roof ventilated and getting ladders up in case there is rescues from above, it's a whole pattern. It takes those three pieces of equipment and if that engine company with all of the water takes another ten minutes to get from that station over, it's deadly. It is deadly.

And, you know, I hate to say I'm going to predict something, but it's inevitable. You know, the city has had good response times for many years with the

stations they have had and the equipment they had, they reduced the department under the first Recovery Plan by 50 men. At one time the city fire department had 250. In 1983, '82, '83, they reduced it to 200. Under the first Recovery Plan, I believe it was, they reduced it to 150 men. Now we are down to 130. We are 20 short. With 150 men everything worked. That's why the firefighters won the 150, they know it will work and with the four pieces and four men on a piece mandated by SAFER everything would be covered, but it's not.

We are no matter better today than we are before we got that SAFER grant. All they did was take that money, throw some away, and we are no better, and that's the truth and it's very disappointing, but I don't know. I mean, if anybody is interested or worried they should call the mayor's office. He is the one that made the decision. He has management rights.

Council don't. Council didn't make the decision. We had no say in that. We had no say in returning the SAFER grant. That was

1 ||

done unilaterally.

Now, we had some cooperation going with the mayor, I just wish he would have cooperated with us on that aspect. Your tax dollars pay for your safety, your garbage pickup, police and fire safety, and to be able to speak to someone when you come to city hall with an issue. That's what your city tax dollars are paying for, and that's what they should be working for and, you know, it's unfortunate but that's where we are at this point.

But, I'm sorry, we had another fire the other day or last week also on Penn Avenue, a long response time for a company to get there and the men actually -- if they had water on a scene they would have knocked it down. Instead, it got out of control and I understand that there were many animals in that house. One of the fire -- after they were evacuated one of the firefighters heard something squealing. They believed it was a child or something in the house. They went in, pulled out a pig, and it was -- the skin was falling of the pig, that's how bad it

was when they got it out of there so they had to put it to sleep, but that's the kind of dedication they have. They don't care if it's -- there was snakes, fish, anything they can get out of the house alive they will do it, but when they heard the pig squealing, believe it or not, it sounded like a child or something, but that's what they do. And they are doing it for you, but without the right tools it's like sending our soldiers into battle with no Humvees and no bullets. It's ashame. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Loscombe, are you going to make the motion?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, yes. I forget.

Thank you. I would ask my colleagues on council to consider tabling 6-A, the Recovery Plan ordinance, due to the absence of the Finance Chair Frank Joyce, and the rescheduling of the public hearing to next Thursday, August 16, at 5:30. Council would like to hear the public input and have time to consider it before a final vote is taken.

Next week the Recovery Plan

1	ordinance will be in Sixth Order and the
2	following week's meeting August 23, will be
3	the final vote.
4	MR. ROGAN: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: On the question?
6	MR. ROGAN: Yes, I would just like
7	to reiterate, I do oppose the plan in the
8	current version. I hope this will give time
9	for the changes to be made. And, also, as
10	our Councilman Loscombe mentioned, our
11	Finance Chair can be here when we hold the
12	public caucus.
13	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
14	signify by saying aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved. And is there
20	anything, Councilman Loscombe?
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe that's it.
22	Thank you.
23	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good
24	evening. As was just mentioned, a public
25	hearing for the revised Recovery Plan has

been postponed to Thursday, August 16, at 5:30 p.m. In addition, we hope to table the plan in Sixth Order tonight and place it back on the table into Sixth Order at next week's council meeting following the public hearing.

Adjustments to the plan are occurring, such as the addition of \$1 million in permit revenue to be received in 2013 from Geisinger Community Medical Center construction project. However, the absence of our Finance Chair slowed the process slightly and temporarily. The attendance of the Finance Chair is essential at the public hearing and as soon as adjustments to the plan are complete, Councilman Joyce will present them to the public prior to the final Seventh Order vote.

After reviewing the August 2, 2012, letter from the Pennsylvania Economy League rejecting the revised Recovery Plan, I asked Mr. Joyce to total the tax increases that would be imposed if the recommendations contained in PEL's letter are followed.

Mr. Joyce calculated individual tax

increases on a single item's basis from pages two through five of Mr. Cross' letter and he will present his work to the public himself next week.

However, I would like to present the total figures at this time. PEL's recommendations to replace a commuter tax, sales tax, PILOT payments, refinancing of debt in 2013, etcetera, with real estate tax increases compounded over three years, 2013, '14 and '15 total a 129 percent increase.

The average taxpayer based on a \$400 city tax bill would be \$659 and \$76 after year one, and \$916.21 after year two.

To some, those increases might sound feasible, however, I ask you then to add your school district taxes and your county taxes to those figures and you will understand how significantly you will be impacted if the Pennsylvania Economy League recommendations are fully implemented.

Some like to term the mayor and council's joint plan as a fantasy or pie in the sky. Those who do who oppose the commuter tax, the sales tax and a PILOT

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

program for nonprofits must be prepared to fill a \$32,925.248 hole in the Recovery Plan.

Further, those who oppose borrowing will add significantly to that \$33 million total and must fill an approximate minimum of \$48 million hole. Will they fill that \$33 million hole by raising your taxes?

That's what the Pennsylvania Economy League and some others wish to do.

At previous council meetings I have stated that a 78 percent tax increase was out of the question. Now we are looking at a potential 129 percent tax increase according to PEL. It seems that PEL may be living in a fantasy because 129 percent will never, never be collected, let alone 78 percent. Why? Because the taxpayers of Scranton don't have that kind of income. Thus, when the tax collection revenue plummets, and it will, what is PEL's backup Will they recommend another tax increase on top of the ones that couldn't be collected?

More than likely, PEL would have to

turn to alternate revenue generators, such as a commuter tax and a PILOT program to fill their hole and compensate for their overestimated real estate tax revenue projections.

Consider the following very seriously. Do we implement and enforce alternate revenue sources such as a commuter tax, PILOT program to share the tax burden for city services and maintain the increase at 33 percent or should we agree it's pie in the sky and raise taxes 129 percent or should we decimate the budget to eliminate your city services to such a meager level that Scranton becomes a major hub of crime and blight?

The bottom line is simply this, do you prefer a 33 percent tax increase or a 129 percent tax increase? It's your money, your lives, your homes and your city. You, the taxpayers, must decide and council's votes should represent you.

Next, I received a request from city residents regarding the Engine 10 firehouse.

The roof has been leaking since last year

and the approaching winter snow and ice will only increase the problem. I would hate to see this firehouse closed at any time in the future because the roof has collapsed or would be in need of extensive repair.

Since they are well aware of our city's financial woes, city residents suggested that perhaps some roofers might wish to volunteer or that a local business might want to donate shingles and supplies in order to make the necessary repairs and that city employees and/or professional roofers may volunteer to perform the labore. If the repairs are made now, we can prevent much larger problems in the future.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to Mr. Dougher, DPW director, informing him of this matter and proposing a follow-up on the suggestions of the East Mountain residents.

And, also, please send a letter to Solicitor Kelly, Ryan McGowan and the mayor asking if there are any additional promissory notes and if so identify them and the dollar amount owed on each, and that's

it.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ HUGHES: If I could just mention one thing?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. HUGHES: Coming from Frank's grandmother's funeral home last night I came down Providence Road and I saw where the old Scranton Transit Company was, there is a Turkey Hill going up, and I remember one of the first things I did was look at the ground lease between the city and BRT Ice and I took a look at it again and I believe, I don't know how this is being constructed from -- I mean, I don't have all of the information, but I think council should get it, under Section 2.03 of the ground lease agreement between the city and BRT Ice it says that the lessee, which is BRT Ice, shall pay \$600,000 to the lessor, the city, as rent for the leased premises. They are going to make payments of a dollar a year.

However, that any unpaid rent under this paragraph shall be paid by the lessee to the lessor in a lump sum within 30 days following the occurrence of the last to

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

occur.

"I", vacation of the leased premises by DPW in total as the date provided for hereunder; or two, certification of the leased land by the City of Scranton Planning Commission as within a redevelopment area as that term is defined by law approval, of a redevelopment contract for the lease land including transfer of the leased land to the lessee by the SRA and approval of the Redevelopment contract by the Scranton City Council.

There is basically -- this would have meant that the city would have had to transfer that parcel to the Scranton Redevelopment Authority and then the Scranton Redevelopment Authority would have had to have come as selected BRT Ice is the developer and they would have had to have a redevelopment contract, they would then have to have the area declared as a -- under the Redevelopment Authorities Act as a distressed area then they would have entered into a redevelopment contract which would have had to have been approved by council.

2

4

6

5

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Then there would have been a deed from the SRA to BRT Ice for that parcel and the city should have received \$600,000.

Now, I don't know how this Turkey Hill is being constructed. I think a couple of things should be looked at on this and it's basically, you know, for the solicitor to look into, but I'm positive that in the time that I have been here and council has been here for the last two and a half years I don't remember a redevelopment contract coming from the SRA -- to be approved between the SRA and BRT Ice. I think maybe Mrs. Krake when she has some time to take a look at see that would have had to have been done by ordinance where they would have selected a developer and we would have approved it, and you would have approved the development contract.

The second thing is I think maybe a letter should be sent to Don King to see if the city planning commission ever approved the former Scranton Transit parcels as a redevelopment area. The first step in a redevelopment project is to the city

planning commission is to determine that the area is blighted and then they would have proposed that and that would also have to come to council and been approved as a redevelopment area. Then once it's a redevelopment area it then would have been conveyed by the Redevelopment Authority to BRT Ice.

The whole thing just seems, you know, I don't know exactly what's going on and I think there should be a letter to Attorney Kelly, as the solicitor, regarding the status of Article 203 of the ground lease between the city and BRT Ice. I can't quite understand, I mean, this was done a long time ago, it was done in 2002 before I believe any council members were here, but that would seem to be what would have had to have happen.

And I remember reporting on this before it because there was very little reality transfer tax on this. There was a document recorded in the -- when they recorded this ground lease they said it was for 200 years and I think the net value of

the \$600,000 in 200 years might have been like \$15 or \$20, it was only a couple of cents paid to the city on the real estate transfer tax, but the lease is 99 years, there is no right to renew it, but something should be looked into this and the city should look into it and report back to council. I just wanted to report that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much, Solicitor Hughes.

And, Mrs. Krake, if you could please send those letters as directed by our solicitor and remain in contact with him and perhaps, Attorney Hughes, you can assist Mrs. Krake --

MR. HUGHES: Oh definitely.

MS. EVANS: -- in the drafting of those letters. Thank you very much.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED
"GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY
TRANSFERRING \$178,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.
01.401.13090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL
OPERATING EXPENSES — CONTINGENCY) TO THE
ACCOUNTS LISTED BELOW TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR

LIFE/DISABILITY INSURANCE PAYMENTS THROUGH 1 2 THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2012. 3 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 4 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 5 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 8 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 9 those in favor of introduction signify by 10 saying aye. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 12 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 14 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. ACCEPTING THE 16 17 RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL 18 ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATION OF 19 APPROPRIATENESS FOR POCONO SIGN & GRAPHIC, 20 21 1147 THE HIDEOUT, LAKE ARIEL, PENNSYLVANIA FOR REMOVAL OF EXISTING AWNING COVER AND 22 23 INSTALLATION OF NEW, BLACK SYNTHETIC VINYL 24 MATERIAL AWNING WITH VINYL LETTERING, WITH 25 NO CHANGE TO THE FRAME DIMENSION, HEIGHT AND

	· ·
1	LOCATION SPECIFICATIONS AT 410 SPRUCE
2	STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
3	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
4	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
5	introduced into its proper committee.
6	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
9	those in favor of introduction signify by
10	saying aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
15	have it and so moved.
16	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. ACCEPTING THE
17	RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
18	ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND
19	APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
20	FOR POCONO SIGN & GRAPHIC, 1147 THE HIDEOUT,
21	LAKE ARIEL, PENNSYLVANIA FOR THE
22	INSTALLATION OF A CUSTOM MADE, DOUBLE-SIDED,
23	SANDBLASTED AND CARVED HDU SIGN PANEL, 48"H
24	X 42"W X2", MOUNTED ON A CUSTOM WROUGHT
25	IRON BRACKET WITH BRASS END CAPS AT 410

1 SPRUCE STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. 2 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 3 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 4 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: 5 So moved. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 6 7 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 8 those in favor of introduction signify by 9 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 13 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 14 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-E. ACCEPTING THE 15 RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL 16 ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND 17 APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS 18 FOR POCONO SIGN & GRAPHIC, 1147 THE HIDEOUT, 19 LAKE ARIEL, PENNSYLVANIA FOR REMOVAL OF 20 21 EXISTING AWNING COVER AND INSTALLATION OF 22 NEW, BLACK SYNTHETIC VINYL MATERIAL AWNING, 23 WITH LOGO IN SHADES OF GREEN AND WHITE, WITH 24 NO CHANGE TO THE FRAME DIMENSION, HEIGHT AND LOCATION SPECIFICATIONS AT 406 SPRUCE 25

1	STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
2	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
3	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
4	introduced into its proper committee.
5	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
8	those in favor of introduction signify by
9	saying aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
14	have it and so moved.
15	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
16	Tabled.
17	SEVENTH ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT THIS
18	TIME.
19	MS. EVANS: If there is no further
20	business this evening, I'll entertain a
21	motion to adjourn.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.
23	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
24	adjourned.
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER